UNCLASSIFIED 408328

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto. AD

 ${}_{\mathbb{P}}^{1}$

AF 61(052)-587 TN-2 10 May 1963.

TECHNICAL NOTE No. 2

COLLISIONS BETWEEN WEST FORD NEEDLES AND MICROMETEORITES

A. SAUVAL

UNIVERSITY OF LIEGE DEPARTMENT OF ASTROPHYSICS

The research reported in this document has been sponsored by, or in part by, the

CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES, OAR

through the European Office, Aerospace Research, United States Air Force.

COLLISIONS BETWEEN WEST FORD NEEDLES

AND MICROMETEORITES.

ABSTRACT

Over a period of eight years there are sufficient collisions of micrometeorites with the needles of Project West Ford to break most of them.

INTRODUCTION.

In their study of the properties of the belt of needles from project West Ford, W.E. Morrow Jr. and D.C. MacLellan⁽¹⁾have compared collisions between the needles and a metallic surface in the belt and between micrometeorites and a surface. They have shown that satellites and cosmic rockets have more chance to meet dust of interplanetary space than the dipoles (this being due above all to the short time needed to cross the belt).

An equally interesting problem is that of needle-micrometeorite collisions to know if these will break the dipoles or even reduced them to small dust particles. Our calculation shows this phenomenon to be of real importance.

DATA ON MICROMETEORITES.

The table reviews what is at present known about micrometeorites near Earth.

The first two columns give respectively the magnitude M (extrapolated from the visual magnitude of meteorites) and the mass m of the micrometeorites, where following $Whipple^{(2)}$:

M = 2,512
$$\log_{10} \frac{m_o}{m}$$
 with $m_o(M = 0) = 25 \text{ gr}$.

The next two columns indicate the specific mass ρ and the radius R of the dust particles if supposed to be spherical; there is a minimum radius corresponding to the relation⁽³⁾:

$$R_{\min}^{\prime}/2 = 0, 6.10^{-4}$$
 (C.G.S.)

for which the solar gravitational attraction is equal to the solar radiation pressure.

- 2 -

.

- 3 -

The fifth and sixth columns give the speed v and the corresponding kinetic energy E (= $\frac{1}{2}$ m v²).

The seventh column gives, for each value of the mass m, the flux of particles of mass more than or equal to m according to the law :

$$\log_{10} F(cm^{-2}sec^{-1}) = -1.7 \log_{10} m(gr) - 21 (10^{-10}gr \le m \le 10^{-6}gr)$$
$$= -1.18 \log_{10} m(gr) - 16.7 (m < 10^{-10}gr).$$

These empirical relations between the flux and the mass of the micrometeorites, due to M. Dubin et al⁽⁴⁾ and to L.D. Jaffe et al⁽¹²⁾ respectively, seem to account best for the recent satellite observations⁽⁵⁻¹⁶⁾; other proposed relations take the form^(2,17-22)

$$\log_{10} F = -A \log_{10} m - B$$

 $1 \leq A \leq 1.7$

where

The satellites and space probes reveal the presence of a cloud, or at least a maximum of dust particles around the Earth (in circumterrestrial orbits)⁽²³⁻²⁷⁾ as the theoretical calculations predict⁽²⁸⁻³²⁾.

 $15.6 \leq B \leq 21.$

The eight column gives the depth of penetration of the micrometeorites D into an aluminium surface. The formula used, due to R.L. Bjork⁽²²⁾, is valid for thick planar targets of aluminium and spherical incident projectiles of aluminium :

$$c = 1.09 (mv)^{1/3}$$

with D in cms, m in grs and v in km sec⁻¹.

This relation has been derived theoretically from the following hypotheses ("hypervelocity impact") : normal incidence of the projectile, a hemispherical crater of radius D formed by the shock, the incident particle and the target obeying the laws of hydrodynamics at the time of the collision (because of the high speed of the meteorites), formation of a shock wave, negligible heat transfer and viscosity effects, fusion and evaporation unimportant for the penetration. Numerous other theories have been proposed for the depth of peneratrion where D is expressed as a function of the momentum or the energy of the incident particle and of other characterizing properties of the target (specific mass, heat of fusion and vaporisation, speed of sound in the material, modulus of elasticity,....)^(2, 4, 9, 17-19, 33-35). The initial kinetic energy is converted by various mechanisms : radiation, ionisation, evaporation, fusion, deformation,... D.B. Beard⁽³⁵⁾ proposes the following formula :

where Ω is the heat of vaporisation of the target in erg gr⁻¹. This formula is easily interpreted by supposing that that volume of the crater $(2/3 \ \pi D^3)$ is twice the ratio of the kinetic energy of the incident particle to the heat of vaporisation of the target ($\rho c \Omega$).

 $D = \left(\frac{3 E}{77 \rho c Q} \right)^{1/3}$

For a target of aluminium, the results of Beard and of Bjork are nearly identical.

Bjork's formula seems to be better not only because it takes into account the hydrodynamic behavior of the material (necessitating moreover long numerical integrations), but essentially because it is confirmed by experiment. As meteorite speeds cannot yet be given experimentally to particles of well defined masses, the laws deduced for D can only be checked at low speeds (a few km sec⁻¹) and their domain of validity is uncertain.

Bjork finds D to vary slowly with the density of the incident particle (probably like $\rho^{-0.18}$), though like $\rho_c^{-1/2}$ with the specific mass of the target; for oblique incidence, D depends on the normal component of the velocity; he remarks too that for a thin target, the penetration depth is 1.5 D.

- 5 -

THE WEST FORD NEEDLES.

The last two columns of the table concern the project West Ford needles. The total surface of a cylinder of length λ and diameter d (<< ℓ) is

 $\pi d \ell + \frac{1}{2} \pi d^2 - \pi d \ell = 1.59 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2.$

The number of collisions per needle per year can be easily derived. The penetration depths in a copper needle can be obtained by multiplying $D_{A_{-1}}$ by

1.5 x
$$\left(\frac{P_{A\ell}}{P_{Cu}}\right)^{1/2} = 0.83$$

REMARKS ON THE PRECISION OF THE CALCULATIONS.

The last column of the table has been calculated for the case of a planar copper target perpendicular to the incident direction of the projectile : it is difficult to predict exactly what will happen for a fine needle. If the crater were perfectly hemispherical and nearly symmetric about the axis of the dipole, it could be said that it would break for $D \ge 28.6 \mu$ (diameter of the needle). In fact the process is more complex ; craters are formed all across the width of the curved surface presented by the cylinder and may have any shape according to that of the micrometeorite (see reference ⁽²⁶⁾ for non-spherical μ meteorites); and crevasses are certainly formed around the holes.

There is a further uncertainty of a factor of 3 in the theoretical values for D due to incomplete knowledge of the dependence of D on the various parameters of the target and of the projectile⁽⁴⁾.

It is thus difficult to say exactly what depth of penetration will lead to breaking the needle(perhaps $D \gg 10-20\mu$).

There are yet other uncertainties in the micrometeorite data : distribution in mass (also $1 \le m_0 \le 25$ gr), specific mass (between 5×10^{-2} and 3.5 gr cm⁻³), speed (20 - 60 Km sec⁻¹ for dust in heliocentric orbits and 7 - 15 Km sec⁻¹ for that captured by the Earth), distribution of flux with altitude, longitude, latitude ⁽²⁴⁾ and time (one takes the swarms of meteors into account by multiplying the mean annual flux by 2.35)⁽³³⁾.

INTERPRETATION OF THE RESULTS.

The table shows that over the orbital lifetime initially predicted for whole needles (about 8 years (36)), each dipole in the belt will be struck more than once by a micrometeorite and will break at least once, on the understanding that the probability of breaking into bits is proportional to the surface left,

As every metallic fragment has as much chance as another to be broken anywhere along its axis, a ring of metallic fragments of all lengths but of the same diameter will be formed.

The lifetime of the reflecting ring, initially predicted as two years ${}^{(36)}$, will probably be reduced by a half or more : evidently the needles must be of the same length to be of value as half wave resonant dipoles.

As regards the orbital life of the belt, there are two possibilities depending on whether the principal force making the needles fall is the solar radiation pressure or the resistive Coulomb force.

If only the radiation pressure is important, the lifetime for a resonant orbit $(^{36})$ is inversely proportional to the ratio A/m (ratio of the effective cross section to the mass of the satellite) and will not be changed by breaking the needles, since A/m for a thin cylinder (d << ℓ) is independent of its length and is hence the same for all the bits assuming they have the form of a right cylinder (a good approximation in our case).

On the other hand, if we follow S.F. Singer⁽³⁷⁾ in expecting the Coulomb drag force to be preponderant, the orbital lifetime will be influenced. This mechanism leads to a reduction of the semi-major axis of the belt proportional to the ratio of the square of the electric capacity of the satellite to its mass C^2/m . For a thin wire, the ratio is

 $K = \frac{\ell}{d^2 \left(\log_{10} \frac{2\ell}{d}\right)^2} \qquad (K = constant)$

and so varies principally as \mathscr{I} . The bits of needles would have in this case an orbital life inversely proportional to their length.

It is at the moment difficult to say which of these two effects will be the more important for the second is not yet well enough known from the motions of satellites.

If neither of these two forces is sufficient to shorten the orbital life (possible for several reasons : initial non-resonant orbit, overestimation of the Coulomb breaking force,...), the dipoles will be reduced to progressively finer dust. One should add that optical and radio astronomy will be hindered more by the much more numerous fragments of needles than by the whole needles, above all for measurements of polarization.

REFERENCES

- (1) W.E. MORROW Jr. and D.C. MacLELLAN, Astr. J., <u>66</u>, 3, 107-113, 1961.
- (2) F.L. WHIPPLE, Proceedings VIIIth Int. Astr. Congress, Barcelona 1957, 418-428.
- (3) G.T. BEST, Space Research I, Proceedings 1st Int. Space Science Symposium, Nice (1960) by H. Kallmann-Bijl, 1023-1032.
- (4) M. DUBIN et al, Planet. Sp. Sci., 2, 121-129, 1960; Astr. J., <u>67</u>, 5, 248-256, 1962.
- (5) L. R. MAGNOLIA, Interplanetary Matter (1962), bibliographie.
- "(6)" P. W. HODGE et al, Smiths. Contr. to Astr. 5, 8, 1961, bibliographie.
- (7) F. L. WHIPPLE, J. Geophys. Research, 64, 1653-1664, 1959.
- (8) T. N. NAZAROVA, A. R. S. Journ., 31, 5, 713-714, 1961.
- (9) T.W. MUSSER and G.E. TOWNSEND, Design Guide to Orbital Flight (1962), 101-108.
- (10) F.W. WRIGHT et al, Astr. J., 66, 7, 298, 1961.
- (11) H.A. COHEN, Planet. Sp. Sci., 7, 417-422, 1961.
- (12) L.D. JAFFE and J.B. RITTENHOUSE, A.R.S. Journ., <u>32</u>, 3, 336-340, 1962.
- (13) H.E. La GOW and W.M. ALEXANDER, ref. 3, 1033-1041.
- (14) T.N. NAZAROVA, ref. 3, 1059-1062.
- (15) T.N. NAZAROVA, Space Research II, Proceedings of 2d Int. Space Science Symposium, Florence (1961) by H.C. van de Hulst et al, 639-644.

(16) R.K. SOBERMAN and C.L. HEMENWAY, Astr. J., <u>67</u>, 2, 121, 1962; Astr. J., 67, 5, 256-266, 1962.

- (17) H. HABER, Space Technology (1959), by H.S. Seifert, 27-21.
- (18) J.F. VEDDER, Satellite Environment Handbook (1961) by F.S. Johnson, 91-98.
- (19) K.A. EHRICKE, Space Flight: Environment and Celestial Mechanics (1960), 241-259.

(20) G.S. HAWKINS and E.K.L. UPTON, Ap. J., 128, 727-735, 1958.

- (21) C.W. McCRACKEN et al, Nature, 192, 441-442, 1961.
- (22) R. L. BJORK, A. R.S. Journ., 31, 6, 803-807, 1961.

(23) F. L. WHIPPLE, Nature, 189, 127-128, 1961.

- (24) A. R. HIBBS, J. Geophys. Research, 66, 2, 371-377, 1961.
- (25) W. D. CROZIER, J. Geophys. Research, 66, 2793-2795, 1961.
- (26) R.K. SOBERMAN et al, G.R.D. Research Notes n° 71, 1-50, 1961.
- (27) M. DUBIN, ref. 3, 1042-1058.

(28) D. B. BEARD, Ap. J., 129, 496-506, 1959.

- (29) D. B. BEARD, Nature, 191, 32-33, 1961.
- (30) C. de JAGER, Mém. Soc. Roy. Sc. Liège (1955), 15, 174-182.
- (31) S.F. SINGER, Nature, 192, 321-323, 1961.
- (32) V.G. FESENKOV, Soviet Astronomy, 5, 6, 775-778, 1962.

(33) A. ALBERI and C. ROSENKRANZ, Advances in Space Science and Technology (1961), III by F.I. Ordway, 354-356.

- (34) N.H. LANGTON et al, J. Brit. Interpl. Soc., <u>13</u>, 283-294, 1954.
- (35) D.B. BEARD, A.R.S. Journ., <u>31</u>, 1, 87-88, 1961.
- (36) I.I. SHAPIRO and H.M. JONES, Science, 134, 973-979, 1961.
- (37) S.F. SINGER, Nature, 192, 303-306 et 1061, 1961.

sufficient collisions of micrometeorites sufficient collisions of micrometeorites AF 61(052)-587 GEOPHYSICS ABSTRACT : Over a period of eight years there are AESTRACT : Over a period of eight years there are AF 61(052)-587 with the needles of Project West Ford with the needles of Project West Ford GEOPHYSICS COLLISIONS BETWEEN WEST FORD NEEDLES COLLISIONS BETWEEN WEST FORD NEEDLES TN-2 TN-2 to break most of them. to break most of them. AND MICROMETEORITES AND MICROMETEORITES UNIVERSITY OF LIEGE UNIVERSITY OF LIEGE ASTROPHYSICS DEPT ASTROPHYSICS DEPT 10 May 1963 10 May 1963 A. SAUVAL A. SAUVAL sufficient collisions of micrometeorites sufficient collisions of micrometeorites AF 61(052)-587 TN-2 ABSTRACT : Over a period of eight years there are ABSTRACT : Over a period of eight years there are with the needles of Project West Ford with the needles of Project West Ford AF 61(052)-587 GEOF HYSICS **GEOFHYSICS** COLLISIONS BETWEEN WEST FORD NEEDLES COLLISIONS BETWEEN WEST FORD NEEDLES **2-NT** to break most of them. to break most of them. AND MICROMETEORITES AND MICROMETEORITES UNIVE RSITY OF LIEGE UNIVERSITY OF LIEGE ASTROPHYSICS DEPT ASTROPHYSICS DEPT 10 May 1963 10 May 1963 A. SAUVAL A. SAUVAL