The Marine Laboratory Institute Of Marine Science UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI

Ie

404041

63-3





THE MARINE LABORATORY CORE DESCRIPTION AND PREPARATION ROOM

INSTITUTE OF MARINE SCIENCE The Marine Laboratory University of Miami

63-1

A SUGGESTED OUTLINE FOR THE MEGASCOPIC DESCRIPTION OF MARINE SEDIMENTARY CORES

Submitted February 1963

to

The Office of Naval Research Contract Nonr 4008 (02)

by

Gene A. Rusnak and Stanley J. Luft

"Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the U. S. Government."

shalter Sumlh

F. G. Walton Smith Director

1 Rickenbacker Causeway Miami 49, Florida

8260 ML 63197

LIST OF CONTENTS

Ι.	Introduction,	1
JI.	General Instructions	2
111.	Logging Procedure	2
	Depth,	3
	Classification,	3
	Lithology	4
	C olor	4
•	Sampling Data	5
	Descriptive Text	5
IV.	Methods For Classification	6
	Abbreviations,,	6
	Semi-Quantitative Nomenclature	6
	Determinative Procedures	7
	Texture	9
	Wentworth Scale	9
	Physical Character	19
		10
	Nodular and Concretionary Structure	11
	Organic Constituents	11
	Miscellaneous Features	11
v.	Bibliography	12
VI.	Appendix	13

1.00.0.00

INTRODUCTION

The most important single source of marine geological information is found in the sedimentary record which is impressed upon the deposits of the marine environment. The lithologic description, classification and interpretation of these deposits can only follow from an adequate collection of representative sample. The advances of modern piston and gravity coring devices over the past two decades have provided a means whereby controlled sampling of the marine sedimentary section can be made rapidly and systematically. This advance has resulted in accelerated knowledge about the nature of the marine deposits and in particular to the processes involved in their deposition. This fact is clearly evident in the numerous publications which herald the advent of modern marine geology and oceanography.

The information contained within the pages of recent publications comprises an impressive array of detail. Much of the information is directly comparable from one study to another, but a great deal of information is lost because a uniform descriptive core-code is not used. It is understandably impossible for an individual investigator to study all aspects of the marine sedimentary record contained in cores which he may have collected. It is essential, therefore, that marine geological cores be uniformly and adequately described so that published descriptions will be meaningful to other investigators for further study. A uniform descriptive code will help not only in synthesizing available information, but also will help guide specialists to specific cores or areas required for specialized studies. An attempt to provide a uniform megascopic descriptive procedure for marine cores is suggested in the following report. The methods suggested here make no claims for originality, but are presented merely as a unified compilation of available techniques which can be used for an acceptable form of published core descriptions. The procedures used in this report are followed by The Marine Laboratory, Institute of Marine Science, University of Maimi, and are recommended for acceptance by other investigators to establish uniformity among published studies. Undoubtedly, there will be objections to some aspects of the suggested procedures. Where objections arise, the writers will welcome any modification or changes which may become generally acceptable.

Greater detail is recorded in the examples than might be needed generally for published descriptions of cores. The detail, indicated, however, is advantageous in the laboratory record of core properties. Published records may require only a summary of this detailed description combined into a digested graphic expression of the core lithology. Laboratory records are normally made on strip logs to original scale for complex lithology in order to (1) avoid descriptive errors and (2) to provide an exact description of detail. Lithologically homogeneous cores, require only a scaled down version of the strip-log record. An example of a lithologically complex type of strip log is presented in the appendix of this report.

Acknowledgements:

The writers are pleased to acknowledge the support of this work

by the Office of Naval Research and the National Science Foundation. The formalization of the material presented herein could not have been a possible without the backlog of knowledge which has been provided by many workers in the field here at the Marine Laboratory and The Scripps Institution of Oceanography. The writers wish especially to express their appreciation to F. F. Koczy, C. Emiliani, W. Charm for help in discussions of the problem. Many of the techniques discussed were developed during the senior author's association with Scripps. Although it is impossible to acknowledge the origin of techniques and ideas developed by many of the individuals at Scripps, M. N. Bramlette, J. R. Curray, H. Lusk, D. Poole, W. Riedel, F. P. Shepard, and Tj. H. Van Andel were especially productive sources of information. The writers of this report, however, are responsible for the layout of the present scheme and were, of course, influenced by personal bias which may not be acceptable to their associates. S. J. Luft is now with the U. S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

All logging normally done on a 1:1 scale.

All information should be written legibly on the strip logs.

The permanently recorded data on the strip logs should be inked in with a "Rapidograph" pen or similar finely-pointed pen utilizing India ink.

The following information is provided for the box heading each strip log:

Latitude and Longitude Station Number Ship and Cruise Area and Subarea Depth Date (of core recovery) Fathogram Number Core Section, Total Core Length, and Type Physiographic Province Remarks Name of Logger and Date

The strip log is divided vertically into the following columns:

I. Depth (in centimeters)
II. Classification
III. Lithology
IV. Color
V. Sampling Data
VI. Descriptive Text

The procedure for filling each column is described below.

LOGGING PROCEDURE

Under ideal conditions, the core should be described immediately

after it has been split, (longitudinally into equal halves) preferably after it has been photographed, (Figure 1) and preferably before sampling (Figure 2). Thus it will be fresh and wet, and relatively undisturbed. Both core halves are compared with each other after splitting, but only one-half will be sampled. The unsampled half section is to be sealed in a plastic "D-Tube" as an archives section.

Always try to work under the same type of conditions.

Use the same source of light for each type of operation. This is of particular importance in color determinations.

Work with a meter stick or metallic tape placed adjacent to the core.

Assume that the core was taken vertically, unless known to have been otherwise. Plane surfaces which are more or less perpendicular to the walls of the core may thus be considered as having been horizontal in their natural state.

Column I. Depth

The depth is indicated, on a 1:1 scale, on the printed strip log.

Colum II. Classification

The classification of recent marine sediments proposed by Olausson (1960) is used here because it lends itself well both to megascopic determination and to graphic presentation. This classification is essentially that of Revelle (1944) modified by Arrhenius (1952).

In this column II, the numerical classification of Olausson (see Appendix A) is to be used. The purpose of this column is to numerically codify the graphic lithologic scheme of Column III.

The classification and vertical extent of any lithologic unit cannot, of course, be determined until that unit has been properly described in Column VI.

Gradational contacts should be determined as closely as possible and indicated by a dashed line; sharp contacts are to be sketched as seen.

A subdivision of Class 12 (Sand), on the basis of grain size, is necessary. The appropriate abbreviation (see Appendix A) for the size encountered follows the number 12, e.g., 12 v fn-fn (very fine to fine **pand**). The procedures for the determination of grain size and for the use of abbreviations are discussed under Column VI. Descriptive Text.

It is recommended that another class (12.5) be added to Olausson's scheme, to include the gravel (more than 2 mm. in diameter) size fraction.

An example of a single lithologic unit classification might read as follow: B + 3 + 4 + 11 + 12 v fn-fn + 14 + 17 + 19. This may be translated as: B. Terrigenous deposit; containing:

- 3. more than 60 per cent $CaCO_3$
- 4. between 10 and 30 percent Forams
- 11. silt
- 12. very fine to fine sand
- 14. detrital grains
- 17. Pteropods
- 19. any or all of the following: oolites, pisolites, nodules, and related concretions.

Column III. Lithology

Use the graphic classification of Olausson, mentioned above under Column II, and presented in the Appendix.

The patterns are to be applied carefully with the appropriate, transparent strips (such as "Zip-a-tone") which are guided by a straight edge held parallel to the column.

The following column spaces have been tentatively assigned for this work:

First Subcolumn

Generic classification	yellow
Proportion of calcium carbonate	black
Biological components	blue

Second Subcolumn

Textural properties	red
Miscellaneous components	green

This column, like Column II, cannot be filled in until the unit is properly described and classified. The indications for gradational and sharp contacts also apply here.

Ordinarily, the transparent patterned strips will be used for the entry of all patterns in graphic classification. An exception is made for entry of the textural and miscellaneous components, however. Experience has shown that the graphic description is more legible when texture and miscellaneous components are inked in by hand. Plastic transparent strips may be covered with a strip of "magic tape" (or similar material) to facilitate inking overlays.

Column IV. Color

Color determinations normally are to be made from the freshly split wet core, after it has been photographed, and before sampling or any other determinations. • Should the core have dried out prior to this determination, the word <u>dry</u> should be indicated at the top of the column.

Determinations are made by direct visual comparison with the G.S.A. Rock Color Chart, and the color symbols of that chart, rather than the names, are to be used.

Where necessary, interpolations can be made between colors of the same hue. Thus, a color intermediate between 10YR 8/2 and 10YR 6/2 may be written as 10YR 7/2.





Figure 1. Upper photograph shows core section in plastic liner before it is split into equal halves, but after the plastic liner has been partially cut through with the electric saw. Lower photograph shows general layout of core section in the "photo-rack" used to record core lithology. 中国大学には特別などないので、「「「「「」」」というないので、「」」」というないできょう





Figure 2. Upper photograph shows field technique for sampling core through plastic liner. "Door" opening is cut in liner with an electric soldering-gun having "tile-cutters" tip. Samples removed with disposable plastic syringes of appropriate size. Lower photograph shows "high-impact" polystyrene "D-TUBES" used for storing each core-half. A polyethylene envelope is placed around each core section to seal in moisture. The envelope covered section is then placed on top of a sheet of formalin soaked blotter paper and sealed in the D-TUBE. ("D-TUBES" available from Jet Specialties, 941 N. Eastern Avenue, Los Angeles, California. Storage method of "D-TUBES" developed by Scripps Institution of Oceanography) Similarly, a color intermediate between 10YR 8/2 and 10YR 7/4 may be written as 10YR 7.5/3. Where a color lies between two hues, the dominant hue is written first, e.g., 5GY 6/1 to 5Y 6/1.

If the unit consists of one color and mottlings of another, they are to be written as in the following example: $10YR 6/2 \le 5$ $6/1 \mod (w=with, mot=mottle)$. Where two or more colors are intermixed in such a way that each is identifiable, they may be written as in the following example, the dominant color preceding: 10YR 8/2&10YR 5/4 vrg (&= and, vrg=variegated).

The color symbol is to be placed in the column at the depth where it appears. A vertical arrow through the center of the column will indicate the vertical extent of the color, down to the next color symbol. If the change is gradual, the corresponding portion of the arrow should be drawn as a broken line. If the change is abrupt, a "contact" should be drawn across the column.

Thin irregular lenses of color, blotches, and other similar changes of limited extent in the color of a unit, which do not cross the entire width of the core, are to be noted in Column VI.

Column V. Sampling Data

Samples will be taken from the core, at fixed or varying intervals, for the following purposes:

- 1. Natural water content and specific gravity determinations, and identification of Foraminifera.
- 2. Grain size analyses
- 3. Carbonate content determinations
- 4. pH and Eh determinations
- 5. Chemical analyses
- 6. Paleotemperature determinations
- 7. Isotope-age determinations
- 8. Analyses for organic content
- 9. Petrographic studies
- 10. Others (identified in Coqumn VI)

The location and vertical extent of samples removed from the core is to be indicated by blacking in the correct vertical portion of the appropriate subcolumns (which are numbered from 1 to 10, as above). In every case, each large sediment sample removed from the core will have a similarly shaped piece of inert plastic (such as styrafoam) substituted to fill the void left by the sample removal. All plastic substitutes will be appropriately marked with depth of core which they represent and purpose for which sediment sample was taken. Obviously, small scrapings for slide smears, etc., need not concern the analyst as no void is made which might later effect the position or absence of a lithologic horizon.

Column VI. Descriptive Text

All observations made during the study of the core, and particularly those which will aid in the classification of the core and its lithologic units, are to be noted in this column. Such observations should include mention of the following:

Color (except where noted in Column IV)
Texture (grain size)
Physical character (relative firmness and dryness)
Bedding (character of contact and of bedding planes,
thickness, attitude, markings of bedding surfaces,
disturbances, etc.)
Nodular and concretionary structures
Organic constituents
Miscellaneous features

Some of these characteristics are more fully developed below.

METHODS FOR CLASSIFICATION

Abbreviations.

A list of recommended abbreviations is to be found in the Appendix. These should be used whenever possible because of the need to conserve space within the strip log. Where no abbreviations are indicated, the word should be spelled out. From time to time, additional abbreviations may have to be formulated.

Multiple modifying terms should be hyphenated wherever possible. Periods are not to be used; phrases and sentences may be separated by semicolons, and clauses within phrases and sentences by commas.

All persons engaged in logging and interpreting the core logs should agree on standard procedures for using the abbreviations, and on using a standardized nomenclature.

An example of the use of abbreviations in core description may be the following (compare with core 10g example in the appendix):

est 20%F,cm F plates, scr rods, r/ R, scr shl frg, scr ool, v abu cal plates & frg, abu cal slt; text v fn-fn sd, w slt & md sd, w/ srtd & rndd; 5 mm Pt @ 37.5

Written out, the description would be as follows:

The unit contains an estimated 20 percent of Forams. Foraminiferal plates are common, rods (spicules, spines, and other elongated forms of organic origin) are scarce, Radiolaria are rare, shell fragments are scarce, oolites are scarce, calcareous plates and fragments (undifferentiated calcareous material greater than 62 microns in mean diameter) are very abundant, and calcareous silt (undifferentiated calcareous material smaller than 62 microns) is common. The texture (grain size) ranges from very fine to fine sand, with lesser amounts of silt and medium sand (in order of frequency), and the sediment is well sorted and rounded (visual estimate). A Pteropod, 5 millimeters in diameter, is encountered at a depth of 37.5 centimeters.

Semi-Quantitative Nomenclature.

The frequency of occurrence of a constituent, or group of constituents,

can be estimated by using comparison charts for the visual estimation of mineral percentages, together with a binocular or petrographic microscope. The values obtained, though semi-quantitative at best, are sufficiently adequate for locating the unit within the classification of Olausson.

The units and their percentile equivalents are listed in the following table:

Percentage of Sample	Frequency Group	Abbreviation
more than 60	flood	£1d
30-60	very abundant	v abu
10-30	abundant	· abu
5-10	common	cm
1-5	scarce	scr
less than l	rare	r/
l grain	trace	tr

Determinative Procedures.

After the core has been scanned for color (see Column IV. Color) and separated into color units, it is studied for gross and detailed lithology. Very often, color "contacts" are indicative of lithologic changes and contacts. Observations are to be made and recorded on the various characteristics outlined at the beginning of the discussion for this column (Column VI).

The tools utilized here include a hand lens, binocular microscope, petrographic microscope and accessories, dissecting needle, spatula, pocket penetrometer, and standard-size grain mounts. A bottle of dilute HCl will also be useful, and other equipment may be used whenever necessary. It should be emphasized that the determination of the properties and characteristics of the core is essentially a megascopic operation. Time should not be spent on highly detailed work inasmuch as the core will be sampled repeatedly for detailed study and analysis. The results obtained from these particular studies can always be incorporated later on into the strip log, or the core can be relogged when more complete information is available.

A rapid and satisfactory method of examining cores is outlined below. It may, of course, be modified according to the type of core, and the ability and knowledgeability of the operator.

A small sample is taken from the top of the core and placed on a clean microscope slide. A drop of distilled water is added and the sample is "smeared" (spread thinly and evenly). After it has dried thoroughly at 105° C. on a hot plate, the sample is mounted under a cover slip with Caedex mounting media (n=1.55). The slide may be placed in use after only a few minutes of setting.

The components are identified with the use of a petrographic microscope, and both their frequencies and grain size are estimated.

A stage or ocular micrometer will be useful for the latter determination, or size may be estimated from the magnification. A magnification of 100X or so is most adequate for the majority of smears; higher magnifications may be necessary for samples consisting largely of silt and clay sizes, whereas a lower magnification is more appropriate for the coarser sands. Smears are not recommended for samples where the average grain size is in excess of 0.5 mm. For these, the binocular microscope is sufficiently precise. Once the operator has familiarized himself with the normal components of the cores, five minutes will be adequate time for the perusal of a smear. Other smears may be taken wherever obvious compositional or lithologic changes appear within the core.

Once the operator has, through the examination of smears, a general idea of composition and texture, he transfers the core to the binocular microscope and studies the entire core from top to bottom, while shifting his field of view from side to side across the core. A magnification of about 20X is generally sufficient for this; closer examination of individual grains may require a magnification of 30X. Grain size is best determined by visual comparison with previously sieved "comparator" grain mounts. The clean edge of a sectioned core will often give a closer approximation of the true content of the core. This is especially true where the texture is partly clayey or silty, because this finergrained material may be smeared across the surface of the core, obscuring the sand. Additional smears may be made whenever necessary, but caution must be exercised to avoid contaminated edges or core-handling disturbances.

The following intervals of sampling for smears (to be noted in sub-column 9 of Column V) are recommended:

Always take smears from

- a. the top of the core.
- b. the bottom of the core. This will give an approximation of what may be present immediately below the cored section.

Usually take smears from

- a. the tops and bottoms of major lithologic units.
- b. the bottom of each section of a long core.

Occassionally take smears from

- a. the middle of a thick lithologic unit.
- b. anywhere deemed necessary by suspicious (?) lithologic or structural "disturbances".

The gross lithology of a core may, at times, be best observed with the naked eye, or with a hand lens.

Apparent dips are measured with a protractor. The angle is taken from where the plane to be measured meets the edge of the core. The depth is recorded, however, from the center of the core (as are all contacts and other plane surfaces). It is important to remember that the measured dips are only apparent ones, and that "true" dip directions cannot be determined from the core alone.

Texture,

ないたいのでいたいとうないのできたいろうないできます

The texture of a lithologic unit is determined in accordance with the size of its grains and the range of their size (or sorting). Other characteristics are of lesser importance to this study.

Grain size is determined on the basis of the Wentworth scale, through actual measurement (stage or ocular micrometers, or millimeter scale), or through visual comparison with charts or specially prepared grain mount standards.

The Wentworth Scale, as Adapted for this Study

M	lean Dia	meter	Ø Values	U.S. Standard	l Sieve Size	Textural Term
	mm.	μ		(retai	ned on)	
		,			_	Pebble (peb)
	4.0	4000	- 2	5	; •	Gravel (grv)
						Granule (grn1)
	2.0	2000	-1	10),	Very Coarse Sand
		•			, 1	(v crs sd)
	1.0	1000	0	18	3	Coarse Sand (crs sd)
	0.5	500	+1	35	5	Medium Sand (md sd)
	0.25	250	+2	60)	Fine Sand (fn sd)
	0.125	125	+3	120)	Very Fine Sand
						(v fn sd)
	0.062	62	+4	230)	Silt (slt)
	0.004	4	+8	minus 230)	Clay (cl)

Sediments are seldom so well-sorted that their texture can consist of only one of the above size grades. Most sediments consist of two or more textural classes. The classification (Appendix E) proposed by Folk (1954) will be used for the Textural nomenclature of the Terrigenous sedimentary units. (See Appendix B, item II).

Visual estimates of sorting although very subjective will be adequate for this study to determine whether the sample (or unit) is well sorted, moderately sorted, or poorly sorted. Objective classification can only follow from a complete size analysis.

Physical Character.

The relative firmness ("unconfined" compressive strength) of the core is determined by inserting a pocket penetrometer into the core to the depth indicated on the instrument. The results are shown in terms of kilograms per square centimeter. Because this procedure disturbs the core, it should be done on the split reserved for sampling.

Readings should be taken every ten centimeters, alternately with the samples for natural water content (Column V.1), i.e., at 5, 15, 25, etc. centimeters

-9-

of depth. Readings should also be taken at the top and bottom of major lithologic units and through the center of laminae.

The results are to be plotted in Column VI as, for example, S 0.75 (compressive strength is equal to 0.75 kg/cm²), and the location noted in Column V.10.

The relative wetness of the unit may be noted simply as fluid (or wet), damp, or dry.

The "packing" (arrangement of particles) of units may be described in terms of being loose (1/) or tight (t/). Gradations between these limits need not be mentioned as they are covered more quantitatively in the determination of relative firmness.

Bedding.

Descriptive work on the bedding and bedding plane features of the cores, and on the other characteristics which are mentioned below, requires that the operator be familiar with many aspects of stratigraphy and sedimentation. Methods, therefore, will not be discussed, and only the salient features which may be encountered during the study of the cores will be listed. The logger may refresh his memory by referring to journal articles and leading texts on the subject. Among the latter, those by Lahee (1941), Pettijohn (1957), and Shrock (1948) are especially useful.

The following features should be looked for and noted in the appropriate columns:

Contacts: Sharp or transitional?

* , ::

<u>Bedding Plain Traces:</u> Flat, undulatory, rippled, or irregular? Describe wherever possible.

Thickness of Beds: The following terminology, adapted from McKee and Weir (1953), should be utilized:

Thick-bedded	120-60 cm.
Thin-bedded	60-5
Very thin-bedded	5-1
Laminated	1 cm-2 mm.
Thinly laminated	less than 2 mm.
Very thin-bedded Laminated	5-1 1 cm-2 mm.

The following features should be looked for and noted where they appear:

- a. Is the thickness constant or variable? Give the maximum and minimum thicknesses.
- b. Is the bedding rythmic ("cyclic") or random? Describe.

c. Is normal or inverse graded-bedding present?

The distinction of beds is, of course, very subjective because we are only examining a very narrow column. It should be assumed that essentially horizontal planes represent bedding surfaces. There will, of course, be instances where the lithologic changes occur as "pockets" or "burrows".

Attitude and Direction of Bedding:

- Are the beds horizontal, inclined, or curved? Measure the (apparent) dip.
- b. Are the beds parallel, intersecting, or tangential to other beds? Describe. Use cross-bedding terminology whenever applicable.

<u>Relation of Particle Properties:</u> How are the particles oriented respective to bedding?

Disturbance of Bedding: Is folding or crumpling present? Describe.

Nodular and Concretionary Structures.

These will normally be comprised of small pellets, which way, in turn, be differentiated into colites and pisolites. The following features should be described:

- a. kinds and sizes
- b. condition and distribution
- c. orientation with bedding
- d, internal structure
- e. boundaries (sharp or transitional)

Organic Constituents.

A systematic paleontological study is not required here, but major groups of organisms and organic constituents should be identifiable in smears and with the binocular microscope. This is of major importance in any classification of marine sediments, Olausson's included. The organic constituents can be classed as Forams, Radiolaria, diatoms, gastropods, pelecypods, pteropods, algal plates, plants, and carbonaceous material. The following features should be noted:

- a. kinds and sizes
- b. condition (whole or broken)
- c. distribution
- d. orientation with bedding

Miscellaneous Features.

Any other features not already mentioned, which may be of importance in classifying the core and its units, or of general interest, should be noted and described. A partial list follows:

- 1. Zone of oxidation at the top of the core.
- 2. Voids and other open spaces.
- 3. Breaks, cracks, slumping and stretching caused by extrusion and handling of the core.
- 4. Burrows, and aggregate and composite structures.
- 5. Volcanic ash and pumice.
- 6. Detrital grains of inorganic origin.
- 7. Authigenic minerals, e.g., glauconite, halite, polyhalite.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

<u>Arrhenius</u>, G., 1952, Sediment cores from the East Pacific, Pt. 1: Properties of the sediment and their distribution: Rep. Swedish Deep-Sea Exp. 1947-48, vol. 5, fasc. 1, p. 11-12.

Folk, R. L., 1954, The distinction between grain size and mineral composition in sedimentary-rock nomenclature: Jour. Geology, vol. 62, p. 344-359.

Lahee, F. H., 1941, Field Geology, McGraw-Hill, New York, 853 pp.

- LeRoy, L. W., 1951, Graphic representations, Chap. 10, p. 857, in LeRoy, ed.: Subsurface Geologic Methods, 2nd, ed., Golden, Colo.
- <u>McKee</u>, E. D., and G. W. Weir, 1953, Terminology for stratification and cross-stratification in sedimentary rocks: Geol. Soc. Am., Bull. vol. 64, p. 381-390.

<u>Olausson</u>, E., 1960, Description of sedimentary cores from Central and Western Pacific with the adjacent Indonesian Region: Rep. Swedish Deep-Sea Exp. 1947-48, vol. 6, fasc. 5.

Olausson, E., 1960a, Studies of deep-sea cores. Unpaged ms.

- Pettijohn, F. J., 1957, Sedimentary Rocks, 2nd ed., Harper, New York, 718 pp.
- <u>Revelle</u>, R. R., 1944, Marine bottom samples collected in the Pacific Ocean by the Carnegie on its seventh cruise: Carnegie Inst. of Washington Publ. 556, p. 5-16.
- Shrock, R. R., 1948, Sequence in Layered Rocks, McGraw-Hill New York, 507 pp.

Sverdrup, H. U., M. W. Johnson, and R. H. Fleming, 1946, The Oceans, Chap. 20, Prentice-Hall, New York.

APPENDIX A

LIST OF RECOMMENDED ABBREVIATIONS

٦

Biological Terms

Color Terms

burrows	burw	black	blk
Forams	F	blue	ь1
gastropod	gstr	brown	brn
mollusk	mlsc	dark	dk
organisms	org	gradational	grd1
pelecypod	plcy	gray	gy
plant	plt	green	gn
Pteropod	Pt	light	lt
preserved	prsvd	médium	md
Radiolaria	R	mottle, -d	mot
shell (y)	shl (y)	olive	01
spicules	spc1	orange	orn
Halimeda	Hal	stain (ing)	stn (g
ne ince		variegated	vrg
Directional Term	19.	yellow	y1
Diffectional Ita.	-	¥ellow	<i>J –</i>
bottom	bt	Lithologic Terms	
horizontal	hor		
lower	1wr	arenaceous	aren
middle	mid	argillaceous	argl
near	n/	calcareous	calc
parallel	17	carbonaceous	carb
perpendicular	I	cement	cmt
plane	pln	clay	cl
uniform (ly)	unfm (y)	detrital 🍙	detr
upper	upr	micaceous	mic
variable	var	oolitic	001
vertical	vert	pisolitic	pis
		rock (s)	rk, rx
		sand (y)	sd (y)
Mineralogical To	erms	sandstone	SS
		shale	sh
calcite	cal	siliceous	sil
clay	cl	silt (y)	slt (y
dolomite 🗸	dol	tuffaceous	tuf
dolomitic	dolic	volcanic	volc
ferruginous	fer		
glauconite	glauc	Quantitative Terr	ns
limestone	1s		
manganese	Min	abundant	abu
marcasite	mrcs	common	cm
mineralization	min	concentration	conc
pyrite	pyr	concentrated	contro
quartz	qtz	disseminated	dism
	sid	flood	fld
siderite			
siderite		fraction	fxn

-13-

angular	ang	14	
aggregate	agr	zone	z/
		void	v/
Textural and Structural	Terms	uniform (ly)	unfm (y)
		tight	t/
with	W	thin-bedded	t-b
well	w/	texture	text
similar to	~	structure	str
(compressive)		stringer	strg
strength	S	streak	stk
residue	res	stain (ing)	stn (g)
regular	-	sorted, sorting	srt (g)
preserved	prsvd	soft	sft
poor (ly)	pr (y)	shell (y)	shl (y)
partial (ly)	/~ prt (y)	sharp	shp
	%	round (ed)	rnd'(d)
oxidized	ox	rock (s)	rk, rx
oxidation	oxn	regular	reg
	″ 5-s	pellet	pel
	#	pebble	peb
meedi inte	mxt	oolite	001
	mtr1	nodule	nod
	irrg	medium	md
T A OW	hly	massive	msv
	est fr	loose	1/
	dst	layer (ed)	lyr (d)
a ramo co c	diam det	irregular lamina (t ed)	lam (d)
	cpl (y)	indurated	irrg
	ave	hard	indur
at	@ 	gravel	grv hd
	apr	granule	-
	L	granular	grnl
	& /	grain	grlr
uduit 1.00	adm	gradual ly	gray
	•	graded	grdy
<u>Miscellaneous Terms</u>		gradational	grd
		fragment	frag grdl
very	v	fracture	frac
	var	frosted	fstd
	unfm (y)	fine	fn
	tr	disturbed	dstb
strong (1y)	strn (y)	distributed	distrib
some	s/	disseminated	dism
	sm	dense	ds
	sl (y)	crystal	xt1
	sct	crystalline	x ln
	scr	cross-bedded	x-bd
	r/	contact	ct
	ormt	concretion	cncr
	nod	composite	comp
	na nin	compact (ed)	cpt (d)
	lt nd	bedding coarse	bdng crs
14-ha 1			

-14-

APPENDIX B

COMPOSITIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF RECENT MARINE SEDIMENTS

(after Olausson, 1960)

I. Pelagic deposits

A. Oozes

1. CaCO₃ 30%

- a. Skeletal remains of pelagic forams or pteropods lower than 30%,
 aa. CaC03 = 30-60%......
 bb. CaC03 > 60%......
- b. Skeletal remains of pelagic formas or pteropods higher than 30%.

aa.	$CaCO_3 = 30-60\%$	<u>foraminiferal</u>	(pteropod)
	-	marl ooze	
bb.	$CaCO_3 > 60\%$	Foraminiferal	(pteropod)
		chalk ooze	

2. CaCO₃ < 30%. Skeletal remains of siliceous organisms 30%.

a. <u>diatom ooze</u>

- b. radiolarian ooze
- B. <u>Red clay</u>. $CaCO_3 < 30\%$, and the amount of siliceous skeletal remains < 30%. The sediments are dominated by pelite. The red clay is considered as calcareous if $CaCO_3 = 10-30\%$.

II. <u>Terrigenous</u> deposits

A. <u>Organic muds</u>. CaCO₃ or skeletal remains of siliceous organisms >30%.

1. $CaCO_3 > 30\%$

a. Skeletal remains of pelagic formas or pteropods < 30%.

aa.	$CaCO_3 =$	30-60% <u>mar1</u>	mud or sand
bЬ.	CaCO3 >	60% <u>chalk</u>	<u>mud</u> or sand

b. Skeletal remains of pelagic formas or pteropods >30%.

aa.	$CaCO_3 = 30-60\%$	foraminiferal (pteropod))
bb.	CaCO ₃ > 60%	<u>marl mud</u> <u>foraminiferal</u> (pteropod) chalk mud)

- 15-

- 2. CaCO₃ \leq 30%. The amount of siliceous skeletal remains 30%.
 - a. <u>diatom mud</u> b. radiolarian mud
- B. <u>Inorganic muds</u>. Skeletal remains of siliceous organisms < 30%.</p>
 CaCO3 < 30%.</p>
 - Clayey muds. The average diameter >5 micron. <u>Black (blue, green, gray etc.) mud</u>
 - 2. Silty or sandy muds or sands. The average diameter 5 micron.

Black (blue, green, red, gray etc.) silty mud Black (blue, green, red, gray etc.) sandy mud Black (blue, green, red, gray etc.) silty sand

The types of deposite may be designated when necessary by adjectival terms for color, texture, and nature of organic and inorganic materials. Some of these terms are

Globigerina coccolith calcium carbonate ferruginous volcanic detrital siliceous glauconitic



APPENDIX C

こうまたいというないないで、ないないないないないないないないないないないないであったが、このであるないです。

RECENT OF CLASSIFICATION

APPENDIX D

DISTINCTION BETWEEN PELAGIC AND TERRIGENOUS MARINE SEDIMENTS

(modified after Sverdrup, Johnson and Fleming, 1946)

I. Constituents of Recent Marine Sediments.

TERRIGENOUS MATERIALS^{*/-} the breakdown products of terrigenous rocks of either igneous or sedimentary types. These can be either or both disintegration products due to mechanical breakdown of the rocks and decomposition products due to chemical breakdown.

Volcanic materials - lava fragments, volcanic glass, pumice, and mineral grains.

REMAINS OF ORGANISMS - the hard skeletal structures of marine organisms which may be either calcareous or siliceous.

Plant material - coccolith plates or fragments of maked algal plates or fragments.

Animal materials - mainly shells.

Calcareous - Forams, corals, worms, bryozoans, brachipods, mollusks, echinoderms, (arthropods and vertebrates are mainly phosphatic).

Siliceous - diatoms and radiolarians.

INORGANIC PRECIPITATES - precipitated materials out of supersaturated solutions. Carbonates and nodules of phosphate, manganese, barite, iron, pyrite and marcasite.

Products of Chemical Transformation - altered materials from transformation of terrigenous or volcanic products. Glauconite, phosphorite, and the less easily identifiable philipsite and altered clays.

Extraterrestial Materials - black metallic.or brown.siliceous meteoric spherules.

II. Classification of Recent Marine Sediments.

The classification of terrigenous deposits is not as rigorous as that of pelagic deposits. For the pelagic deposits, use the outline of Olausson (1960) in Appendix B.

The only criteria for distinguishing terrigenous deposits from the

*/ 32Bold type constituents refer to major components

-18-

pelagic ones are texture and character. In general, the terrigenous deposits are coarser, but the coarseness in itself is not definitive unless the composition of the coarse fraction is taken into consideration. The coarse fraction of pelagic deposits consists of planktonic forams and, in rare cases, volcanic ash. The coarse fraction of terrigenous deposits consists of terrigenous materials and/or the organicl/components mentioned above, in addition to inorganic precipitates, such as finegrained carbonate. The latter components are especially important in carbonate deposition areas such as the Bahamas.

According to Revelle (1944), pelagic and terrigenous deposits may be distinguished from one another if any of the following characteristics are present, and if caution is employed in the interpretation:

Pelagic Sediments (generally deposited at a relatively slow rate):

- 1. Red, brown, yellow, or white in color.
- 2. Less than 20% of allogenic mineral and rock particles larger than 5 microns in diameter.
- 3. Small amounts of neritic organic remains.

Terrigenous Sediments (generally deposited at a relatively fast rate):

- 1. Black, bluish, green, or gray in color.
- 2. More than 20% of allogenic mineral and rock particles larger than 5 microns in diameter.
- 3. Appreciable amounts of neritic organic remains.

The transitional deposits (AB) are those whose characteristics place them in an intermediate position between the terrigenous and the pelagic deposits.

1/ For the most part, the organics refer to the calcareous class of animal materials, but must include also the algal plates which are of plant origin.

whether the second with the second we will be used a support of the second of the seco

al and a day in the



Fig. 1. The major textural groups as defined by the relative percentages of gravel, sand and mud (silt and clay).



Descriptive Terms

A	gravel	N	sandy mud
В	sandy gravel	0	mud
C	muddy sandy gravel	L	sand
D	muddy gravel	M1	silty sand
E	gravelly sand	M2	muddy sand
F	gravelly muddy sand	M3	clayey sand
G	gravelly mud	N1	sandy silt
Н	slightly gravelly sand	N2	sandy mud
I	slightly gravelly muddy sand	N3	sandy clay
J	slightly gravelly sandy mud	01	silt
K.	slightly gravelly mud	02	mud
L	sand	03 .	clay
M	muddy sand		-

(NOTE: modify the above terms by specifying grain size).

-20-

abu cai sit; text v fn - fn sd. shi frg. scr ool. v abu cai plates & TOTAL CORE LENGTH 83cm TYPE GRAVITY gbu F. cm F. plates. scr rods. r/R. scr PHYSIOGRAPHIC PROVINCE BAHAMAS, BWI SHIP RV GERDA CRUISE 6017 AREA T.O.T.O. DEPTH 1370 DATE 3<u>1160</u>SUBAREA <u>*</u> W/srtd, & rndd. E grns. scr ool. text. vfn sity FATHOGRAM No. ____CORE SECTION ___ abu F. abu F.B. Pt frgs. cm pt sd. unfm distrib detr grns. (calcarenite) DESCRIPTION (calcarenite) * NORTH WEST PASSAGE By: W. CHARM W/sit & md sd. v shp color ct. frgs. detr Sompling Doto 184597884 SYR' -K K K K K IOYR % Color CORE LOG Lithology LABORATORY UNIVERSITY 4 49 FLORIDA MG 60-I MIAMI MIAMI Р С Classifi -cation 12+14+ 61+21+ 11+12+ +=++ 8+3+ 4+0+ B+3+

6

LAT. 25°22.5' LONG. 77°569'STA. No. 5

MARINE

THE





N'S;

ł

UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS DISTRIBUTION LIST for OCEANOGRAPHIC CONTRACTORS of the GEOPHYSICS BRANCH of the OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH (Revised January 1963)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

1 Director of Defense Research & Engineering Attn: Coordinating Committee on Science Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.

<u>Navy</u>

2 Office of Naval Research Geophysics Branch (Code 416) Washington 25, D. C.

Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C. 1 Attn: Biology Branch (Code 446) 1 Attn: Surface Branch (Code 463) 1 Attn: Undersea Warfare (Code 466) 1 Attn: Special Projects (Code 418)

- Commanding Officer
 Office of Naval Research Branch
 495 Summer Street
 Boston 10, Massachusetts
- Commanding Officer
 Office of Naval Research
 207 West 24th Street
 New York 11 New York
- 1 Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch The John Crerar Library Building 86 East Randolph Street Chicago 1, Illinois
- 1 Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch 1000 Geary Street San Francisco 9, California
- 1 Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch 1030 East Green Streat Pasadena 1, California

B8260 ML 63156

- 10 Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Navy #100, Fleet Post Office New York, New York
- Oceanographer
 Office of Naval Research
 Navy #100, Box 39
 Fleet Post Office
 New York, New York
- Contract Administrator Southeastern Area
 Office of Naval Research
 2110 "G" Street, N. W.
 Washington 7, D. C.
- 1 ONR Special Representative c/o Hudson Laboratories Columbia University 145 Palisade Street Dobbs Ferry, New York
- Mr. Whitcomb, Resident Representative Office of Naval Research Georgia Institute of Technology 840 Cherry Street, N. W. Atlanta 13, Georgia
- 6 Director Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 5500 Washington 25, D. C.

(Note: 3 copies are forwarded by the above addressee to the British Joint Services Staff for further distribution in England and Canada.)

- Oceanographer
 U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office
 Washington 25, D. C.
 Attn: Library (Code 1640)
- U. S Naval Branch Oceanographic Office
 Navy 3923, Box 77
 F. P. O.
 San Francisco, California

Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: FASS

1 Attn: RU-222

1

- Office of the U. S. Naval Weather Service
 U. S. Naval Station
 Washington 25, D. C.
- Chief, Bureau of Yards & Docks Office of Research Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 70
- Commanding Officer & Director
 U. S Navy Electronics Laboratory
 San Diego 52, California
 Attn: Code 2201
 Attn: Code 2420
- Commanding Officer & Director
 U. S. Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory
 Port Hueneme, California
 Attn: Code L54
- Code 3145
 Box 7
 Pt. Mugu Missile Range
 Pt. Mugu, California
- 1 Commander, Naval Ordnance Laboratory White Oak, Silver Spring, Maryland Attn: E. Liberman, Librarian
- Commanding Officer Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California
 Attn: Code 753
- 1 Attn: Code 508

1

- 1 Commanding Officer Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory 1 San Francisco, California
- 1 Commanding Officer U. S. Navy Underwater Ordnance Station Newport, Rhode Island 1

Chief, Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Code 373 Officer in Charge
 U. S. Navy Weather Research Facility
 Naval Air Station, Bldg, R-48
 Norfolk, Virginia

Contraction of the

A CARACTER STATE

- U. S. Fleet Weather Facility
 U. S. Naval Air Station
 San Diego 35, California
- 1 Commanding Officer U. S. Navy Air Development Center Johnsville, Pennsylvania Attn: NADC Library
- Superintendent
 U. S. Naval Academy
 Annapolis, Maryland
- 2 Department of Meteorology & Oceanography U. S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California
- Commanding Officer
 U. S. Naval Underwater Sound Laboratory
 New London, Connecticut
- Commanding Officer
 U. S. Navy Mine Defense Laboratory
 Panama City, Florida

Air Force

- Hdqtrs., Air Weather Service (AWSS/TIPD)
 U. S. Air Force Scott Air Force Base, Illinois
- 1 ARCRL (CRZF) L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts

Army

1

Army Research Office Office of the Chief of R & D Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C.

U. S. Army Beach Erosion Board 5201 Little Falls Road, N. W. Washington 16, D. C.

Army Research Office Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Environmental Sciences Division

-2-

OTHER U. S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

- 1 Office of Technical Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D. C.
- 10 Armed Services Technical Information Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia
- 2 National Research Council 2101 Constitution Avenue Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Committee on Undersea Warfare Attn: Committee on Oceanography

بمناهد المرجا وحرين الجد بجري المجربات ولجن مراجع الارار الارار والرار بجرار المحمد والمري

- Laboratory Director Biological Laboratory Bureau of Commercial Fisheries P. O. Box 6121, Pt. Loma Street San Diego, California
- Commandant (OFU)
 U. S. Coast Guard
 Washington 25, D. C.
- Commanding Officer
 U. S. Coast Guard Oceanographic Unit c/o Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Woods Hole, Massachusetts
- Director
 Coast & Geodetic Survey
 U. S. Department of Commerce
 Washington 25, D. C.
 Attn: Office of Oceanography
- 1 Mr. James Trumbull U. S. Geological Survey Washington 25, D. C.
- Director of Meteorological Research U. S. Weather Bureau Washington 25, D. C.
- Director
 U. S. Army Engineers Waterways Experiment Station
 Vicksburg, Mississippi Attn: Research Center Library
- Laboratory Director Bureau of Commercial Fisheries Biological Laboratory 450-B Jordan Hall Stanford, California

Bureau of Commercial Fisheries U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service Post Office Box 3830 Honolulu 12, Hawaii Attn: Librarian ١t

 Laboratory Director Biological Laboratory Bureau of Commercial Fisheries P. O. Box 3098, Fort Crockett Galveston, Texas

1

- Laboratory Director Biological Laboratory, Auke Bay Bureau of Commercial Fisheries P. O. Box 1155 Juneau, Alaska
- Laboratory Director Biological Laboratory Bureau of Commercial Fisheries P. O. Box 6 Woods Hole, Massachusetts
- Laboratory Director Biological Laboratory Bureau of Commercial Fisheries
 P. J. Box 280 Brunswick, Georgia
- Laboratory Director Biological Laboratory Bureau of Commercial Fisheries P. O. Box 271 La Jolla, California
- Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
 Sandy Hook Marine Laboratory
 P. O, Box 428
 Highlands, New Jersey
 Attn: Librarian
- Director National Oceanographic Data Center Washington 25, D. C.
- 2 Defence Research Member Canadian Joint Staff 2450 Massachusetts Avenue, N. W. Washington 8, D. C.
- 2 Library, U. S. Weather Bureau Washington 25, D. C.

-3-

- Director, Biological Laboratory Bureau of Commercial Fisheries Navy Yard Annex Building 74 Washington 25, D. C.
- 2 Director, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service Department of Interior Washington 25, D. C. 1
- Dr. Orlo E. Childs
 U S Geological Survey
 345 Middlefield Road
 Menlo Park, California

Dr. John S. Schlee
 U. S. Geological Survey
 c/o Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
 Woods Hole, Massachusetts

RESEARCH LABORATORIES

- 2 Director Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Woods Hole, Massachusetts
- 3 Project Officer Laboratory of Oceanography Woods Hole, Massachusetts
- 1 Director Narragansett Marine Laboratory University of Rhode Island Kingston, Rhode Island
- Bingham Oceanographic Laboratories Yale University New Haven, Connecticut
- 1 Gulf Coast Research Laboratory Post Office Box Ocean Springs, Mississippi Attn: Librarian
- Chairman
 Department of Meteorology & Oceanography
 New York University
 New York 53, New York
- 1 Director Lamont Geological Observatory Torrey Cliff Palisades, New York

Director
 Hudson Laboratories
 145 Palisade Street
 Dobbs Ferry, New York

Great Lakes Research Division Institute of Science & Technology University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan Attn: Dr. John C. Ayers

Dr. Harold H_{askins} Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey

1

1

1

- Director Chesapeake Bay Institute Johns Hopkins University 121 Maryland Hall Baltimore 18, Maryland
- Mail No. J-3009 The Martin Company Baltimore 3, Maryland Attn: J. D. Pierson
- Mr. Henry D. Simmons, Chief Estuaries Section Waterways Experiment Station Corps of Engineers Vicksburg, Mississippi
- 1 Oceanographic Institute Florida State University Tallahassee, Florida
- Director, Marine Laboratory University of Miami
 #1 Rickenbacker Causeway Virginia Key Miami 49, Florida
- 1 Nestor C. L. Granelli Department of Geology Columbia University Palisades, New York
- 2 Head, Department of Oceanography & Meteorology Texas A&M College College Station, Texas
- 1 Director Scripps Institution of Oceanography La Jolla, California

-4-

- Allen Hancock Foundation 1 University Park Los Angeles 7, California
- Head, Department of Oceanography 1 Oregon State University Corvallis, Oregon
- 1 Department of Engineering University of California Berkeley, California
- 1 Director Arctic Research Laboratory Barrow, Alaska
- Dr. C. I. Beard Ŀ Boeing Scientific Research Laboratories P. O. Box 3981 Seattle 24, Washington
- Head, Department of Oceanography 1 University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington
- Geophysical Institute of the 1 University of Alaska College, Alaska
- 1 Director Bermuda Biological Station for Research St. Georges, Bermuda
- Department of Mateorology & Oceanography 1 New Zealand Oceanographic Institute 1 University of Hawaii Honolulu 14, Hawaii Attn: Dr. H. M. Johnson
- Technical Information Center, CU-201 1 Lockheed Missile and Space Division 3251 Hanover Street Palo Alto, California
- University of Pittsburgh 1 Environmental Sanitation Department of Fublic Health Practice Graduate School of Public Health Pittsburgh 13, Pennsylvania
- Director 1 Hawaiian Marine Laboratory University of Hawaii Honolulu, Hawaii
- 1 Dr. F. B. Berger General Precision Laboratory Pleasantville, New York

-5-

- Mr. J. A. Gast 1 Wildlife Building Humboldt State College Arcata, California
- Department of Geodesy & Geophysics 1 Cambridge University Cambridge, England
- · 1 Applied Physics Laboratory University of Washington 1013 NE Fortieth Street Seattle 5, Washington
 - Documents Division m1 1 University of Illinois Library Urbana, Illinois
 - Director Ocean Research Institute University of Tokyo Tokyo, Japan

1

- Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom The Laboratory Citadel Hill Plymouth, England
- ASW Information Research Unit 1 Building 80, Plant A-1 Lockheed-California Company Burbank, California
 - Department of Scientific and Industrial Research P. O. Box 8009 Wellington, New Zealand Attn: Librarian
- President 1 Osservatorio Geofisico Sperimentale Trieste
- 1 Advanced Research Projects Agency Attn: Nuclear Test Detection Office The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.
- 1 Chemistry Department College of Engineering University of Wisconsin Madison 6, Wisconsin

all the states