UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD360625

CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO:

unclassified

FROM:

secret

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM:

Distribution authorized to DoD only; Test and Evaluation; 23 JUN 1961. Other requests shall be referred to Defense Atomic Support Agency, Washington, DC. Formerly Restricted Data.

AUTHORITY

dna ltr dtd 3 oct 1995; dna ltr dtd 3 oct 1995

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

SECRET FORMERLY RESTRICTED DATA AD 360625



DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



FORMERLY RESTRICTED DATA SECRET

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or Comporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

NOTICE:

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEAN-ING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTIONS 793 and 794. THE TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.

WT-1611 This document consists of 74 pages. No. 165 of 175 copies, Series A



HARDTACK April - October 1958

RAHON HARDTACK PROJECT 1.6

WATER-WAVE MEASUREMENTS (U)

AVAILABLE COPY WILL NOT PERMIT FULLY LEGIBLE REPRODUCTION. REPRODUCTION WILL BE MADE IF REQUESTED BY USERS OF DDC.

Issuance Date: June 23, 1961

SECRET

00 00 00

ч фр Шриц Ф. _Qer



HEADQUARTERS FIELD COMMAND DEFENSE ATOMIC SUPPORT AGENCY SANDIA BASE. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

FORMERLY RESTRICTED DATA

Numble as Nostricted Data in foreign discommation. Sustion 1486, Austric Energy Act of 1984.

This material contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws Title 18, U. S. C., Secs. 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING; DOD DIR 5200,10 DOES NOT APPLY



Best Available Copy

Inquiries relative to this report may be made to

Chief, Defense Atomic Support Agency Washington 25, D. C.

When no longer required, this document may be destroyed in accordance with applicable security regulations.

DO NOT RETURN THIS DOCUMENT

.

SECRET

WT-1611

OPERATION HARDTACK-PROJECT 1.6

WATER-WAVE MEASUREMENTS (U)

Lewis W. Kidd, Project Officer Rockne H. Johnson

Scripps Institution of Oceanography University of California La Jolla, California

U. S. MILITATA ATTACA FROM DD.

FORMERLY RESTRICTED DATA

Handle as Restricted Data in foreign dissemination. Section 144b, Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

This material contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18, U.S.C., Secs. 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

BLICRT DIRECTLY And L. (Agency to. anni Agene Suitùl

SECRET

ABSTRACT

The objectives were to study wave generation mechanisms for two underwater shots, Wahoo and Umbrella, and to examine the terminal effects of these waves by inundation studies. These studies were to be integrated with the results of previous nuclear explosions under related geometries to the end that rational prediction might be made of the water waves resulting from future nuclear explosions.

Instrumentation for these shots consisted of various types of underwater pressure-time recorders, shipboard-mounted gyro-referenced inclinometers, cameras, and inundation gages. Instrument failure was very high for Wahoo; however, Umbrella was more successfully documented.

Results of Wahoo have been deduced primarily from a photographic record of the motion of a deep-moored floating station (coracle) supplemented by pieces of information from other incomplete records. The piecing together of the data allowed a reasonably reliable extrapolation to the first node of the wave envelope for a deep water record at 4,500 feet range from surface zero.

This record was compared with the theory in Reference 1 and found to give very good agreement for source conditions of a parabolic depression with a 213-foot initial depth and a 940-foot radius. Photographs of the plume at +7 seconds corroborate this lateral dimension.

Because Wigwam was the only other nuclear shot which could be readily compared with Wahoo, the results from that shot were closely reexamined and the same reconstruction techniques applied as for Wahoo. Crater dimensions derived from application of the theory of Reference 1 indicated a deeper and narrower paraboloid than for Wahoo. Correlation of the theoretical wave envelope with that recorded was not as close as for Wahoo, but this can be ascribed to the fact that all the energy for the Wigwam waves was not released from surface zero at a specific time. The significant fact is that the higher group velocity measured for Wahoo waves was due to its greater crater radius despite the fact that the Wigwam waves contained more energy.

A very rough scaling relationship between Wigwam and Wahoo can be derived, but it is important to recognize the limitations of any formula based on such scanty data.

The transition from cube root to fourth root in scaling from small to large yields was explored and illustrated by means of Project Seal data.

Umbrella wave records were obtained from three pressure-time recorders at close range, two pressure-time recorders and an inclinometer at intermediate range, and a long-range pressure-time-recording shore station.

The data obtained was analyzed in the same manner as for lagoon shots in previous operations and was found to coincide with the extrapolated Operation Redwing data for a device of equal yield detonated at the surface. The enhancement of wavemaking efficiency due to submergence, which has been observed for all other underwater nuclear shots, was not observed for Umbrella. It may be hypothesized that the location of the device on the bottom allowed it to transmit much of its energy directly into the earth, as a surface shot transmits the preponderance of its energy into the atmosphere.

Inundation from Wahoo was much greater than anticipated. Inundation from Umbrella was negligible because of the protection afforded the islands by an extensive lagoon reef.

FOREWORD

This report presents the final results of one of the projects participating in the military-effect programs of Operation Hardtack. Overall information about this and the other military-effect projects can be obtained from ITR-1660, the "Summary Report of the Commander, Task Unit 3." This technical summary includes: (1) tables listing each detonation with its yield, type, environment, meteorological conditions, etc.; (2) maps showing shot locations; (3) discussions of results by programs; (4) summaries of objectives, procedures, results, etc., for all projects; and (5) a listing of project reports for the military-effect programs.

.

CONTENTS	

٠

ŧ

.

.

1

*

ABSTRACT	5
FOREWORD	6
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	11
1.1 Objectives	11
1.2 Background	11
1.2.1 General	11
1.2.2 Project Seal	11
1.2.3 Shot Baker	12
1.2.4 Operation Castle	12
1.2.5 Waterways Experiment Station	12
1.2.6 Laboratory Studies	12
1.2.7 Operation Wigwam-	13
1.2.8 Operation Redwing	1.3
1.3 Theory	1.3
131 General	13
1.3.9 Kronzer and Keller	14
1.2.2 Manual and Ballon Theory	14
1.5.5 Underwater Explosion Incory	14
CHAPTER 2 PROCEDURE	16
2.1 Shot Participation	16
2.2 Buildup	16
2.3 Data Requirements	16
2.4 Instrumentation	17
2.4.1 Subsurface Pressure-Time: Modified BRL Gage	17
2.4.2 Subsurface Pressure-Time: Wave Recorder. Mark VIII	17
2.4.3 Subsurface Pressure-Time: Turtle Wave Recorder	17
2.4.4 Self-Referencing Wave Recorders: Gyro-Inclinometer	18
2.4.5 Photographic Measurements of Wave Neight Versus Time-	18
24.6 Distographic Measurements of Shoreline Wave Meishts	18
2.4.7 Visual and Distographic Records by Disject Disject Distributed	18
2.4.2 Con-Trans Inundation General	10
2.4.0 Daday Scene Distography	10
2.4.9 Radar scope Photography	10
2.5 Test-site Operations	10
2.5.1 Shot Wanoo	10
2.5.2 Snot Umbrella	19
CHAPTER 3 RESULTS	24
3.1 Shot Wahoo	24
3.1.1 Data Obtained	24
3.1.2 Data Reduction	24
3.1.3 Comparison with Other Shots	26
3.1.4 Shot Wigwam	26
3.1.5 Project Seal	27

7

3.1.6 Inundation Data	28
3.2 Shot Umbrella	28
3.2.1 Data Obtained	28
3.2.2 Data Reduction	29
CHAPTER 4 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	38
A 1 Conclusions	38
4.2 Decommendations	38
	00
APPENDEX A INUNDATION DATA	39
APPENDIX B A DESCREPANCY IN ENERGIES	
AS PREDICTED BY REFERENCE 1	70
	• •
REFERENCES	72
TABLE	
3.1 Wave Data, Shot Umbrella	30
FEGURES	
2.1 Schematic drawing of bourdon protector	21
2.2 Gyro-inclinometer	21
2.3 Instrument location chart, Shot Wahoo	22
2.4 Instrument location chart, Shot Umbrella	23
3.1 Wave records, Shot Wahoo	31
3.2 Wave record from YFNB 12, Shot Wigwam	32
3.3 Graph of Q_{W}/W versus $Z/W^{1/4}$	33
3.4 Graph of Hr versus W ^{1/1} /Z ^{1/4}	33
3.5 Graph of Z _{Cr} versus yield	34
3.0 Wave records, shot Umbreils	- 30 - 96
3.7 Graph of C- warms W1/2 + 3	30
3.0 Graph of H referred to death of generation resource re-	37
3.10 Graph of H $r^{0.06}$ versus $W^{1/2}$ h 2	37
A.1 Wahoo inundation chart: Glenn	40
A.2 Wahoo inundation chart: Glenn	41
A.3 Wahoo inundation chart: Glenn	42
A.4 Wahoo inundation chart: Glenn	43
A.5 Wahoo inundation chart: Henry	44
A.6 Wahoo inundation chart: Henry	45
A.7 Wahoo inundation chart: Irwin	46
A.8 Wahoo inundation chart: Irwin	47
A.9 Wahoo inundation chart: James	48
A.10 Wahoo inundation chart: Keith	49
A.11 Wahoo inundation chart; Keith	50
A.12 Pre-Wahoo: east Henry, viewed from ocean	51 E 4
A.13 Post-Wahoo: east Henry, Viewed Irom Ocean	52 50
A.14 PTC-Wanoo: West ITWIN, VIEWed ITOM OCEAN	53 54
A.10 FOST-WERDO: WEST ETWIN, VIEWEG IFOID OCEAN	04 88
A 17 That Walnot , autori from a stand from a set	50 58
ALL POR WENUU; CENERAL ARWIN, TRWEN ITOM COMMINSTALL STATES STATES	

A.1 8	Pre-Wahoo: southeast James, viewed from lagoon	57
A.19	Post-Wahoo: southeast James, viewed from lagoon	58
A.2 0	Post-Wahoo: southeast James, viewed from lagoon	59
A.2 1	Pre-Wahoo: southeast James, viewed from ocean	60
A.2 2	Post-Wahoo: southeast James, viewed from ocean	61
A.2 3	Pre-Wahoo: northwest James, viewed from ocean	62
A.24	Post-Wahoo: northwest James, viewed from ocean	63
A.2 5	Post Wahoo: James, looking east	·64
A.2 6	Pre-Wahoo: southeast Keith, viewed from ocean	65
A.2 7	Post-Wahoo: southeast Keith, viewed from ocean	66
A.28	Wahoo: First crest arrival at James-Irwin reef	67
A.29	Wahoo: Second crest arrival at James-Irwin reef	68
A.30	Wahoo: Third crest arrival at James-Irwin reef	69

٠

ł

٠

ŧ

9-10

•

SECRET

Chapter 1

¥

INTRODUCTION

1.1 **OBJECTIVES**

The objectives were to study wave generation mechanisms for two underwater shots. Wahoo and Umbrella, and to examine the terminal effects of these waves by inundation studies. These studies were to be integrated with the results of previous nuclear explosions under related geometries to the end that rational prediction might be made of the water waves resulting from future nuclear explosions.

1.2 BACKGROUND

1.2.1 General. The documentation of waves generated by nuclear explosions has been the objective of research projects for Operations Crossroads, Tvy, Castle, Wigwam, and Redwing. This work has always been complicated by many factors, including test-area geometry, instrument failure, and large gaps between data. Although a certain amount of empirical data was gathered applicable to particular shots, progress toward an understanding of the generative mechanism and the development of a universal Scaling formula has been show.

The bulk of pertinent data from previous nuclear tests has resulted from devices detonated on barges moored over varying depths of water within Bikini and Entwetck ingoons. In addition to these surface shots, there were two in which the device was submerged: Shot Baker during Operation Crossreads and Shot Wigwam.

Work on a laboratory scale has also been conducted, both with small high-explosive charges and with geometrically defined initial disturbances. In such experimentation, it is possible to conduct many tests and to repeat performances that require verification. On the other hand, there are certain disadvantages: (1) the uncertainty of the relationship between the effects of chemical and nuclear explosions, and (2) complications of scaling over an extreme range of yields where the functions of such monscaling quantities as atmospheric pressure, gravity, viscosity, and surface tension must be considered.

1.2.2 Project Seal. Project Seal was initiated by military interest in the application of offensive inundation during World War II. The most significant work was the conduct of a series of tests with small TNT charges in a basin of intermediate depth. The effect of varying the location of the charge with respect to the water surface was emplored, and certain critical depths of submergence were discovered. The deeper of these, designated by the project report (Reference 2) as the "lower critical depth," corresponds to that defined in the theoretical work in Reference 3. The "upper critical depth" described in Reference 2 as "adjacent to the water surface" was discovered to exhibit a very sharp peak and to yield wave heights greater than the lower critical depth. This upper critical depth has never been predicted theoretically or verified by independent research.



1.2.3 Shot Baker. The first nuclear device to yield water waves of interest was detonated in Bikini lagoon in 1946 as Shot Baker of Operation Crossroads. Water depth in the vicinity of the 20-kt device was 150 to 160 feet, and the depth of submergence was 55 feet. This lagoon depth was comparatively much shallower than that for the Seal tests, and the depth of submergence chosen was not representative of either of the critical depths. The wave data recorded showed that approximately 1 percent of the yield was converted to water-wave energy. A model law was deduced, the significant feature of which was Hr = constant, where H is the wave height (feet) and r is the range (feet) from surface origin. The first crest was found to travel with the velocity of a solitary wave:

$$C = \sqrt{g(h + H_c)}$$

Where: C = celerity, ft/sec

g = acceleration due to gravity, ft/sec

h = water depth, feet

Hc = wave height, feet; subscript c denotes wave crest property

1.2.4 (Speciation) Castle. Nuclear devices tested during Operation Castle for which significant data was recorded were of megaton range and located on barges in Bikini Jagoon. The generated waves traveled to the wave recorders over paths that were refracted to a greater or lesser degree, which compromised the applicability of the results to a scaling law. The general indication was that the Hr product was again constant. Of perhaps greater significance, however, was the shape of the wave train revealed by the records. For Shots Union and Yaakee, with yields of 7 and 13.5 Mt, respectively, the first and second crests had large amplitudes with a iong shallow trough between. The shapes of the crests were suggestive of solitary waves. This was in contrast with the records of Shot Baker of Operation Crossroads where the first trough was, as often as not, of greater amplitude than either adjacent crest.

1.2.5 Waterways Experiment Station. Small scale tests with high explosives were conducted by the Corps of Engineers, U.S. Army, at the Waterways Experiment Station (WES) in 1952. These tests were intended to model the effects of detonating a 20-kt waapon in typical harbors. The ratio $h/W^{1/3}$ (where W = device yield, kilotons equivalent TNT) was assumed to be a constant for geometric similarity, and tests were conducted with a series of charge sizes for each of several scaled depths. The depth of charge submergence was also varied. The effect of scaling by an arbitrary exponent from a yield of 20 kt down to charges as small as 0.5 pound of TNT was to change the yield-depth relationships all out of proportion to those intended. The recorded waves hore no resemblance to those of Shot Baker but were of the form of the much shallower (compared to yield) Castle shots. No critical submergence was discovered, but then, the water was never so deep as the lower critical depth, and the region of the upper critical depth was not examined in detail.

1.2.6 Laboratory Studies. These included two-dimensional studies by J. E. Prins (Reference 3) of waves generated by a local uniform elevation or depression of the water surface. The initial disturbance was established by elevating or depressing the water surface in a box at one end of a long trough. The laboratory setup allowed close dimensional control. In varying the length and elevation of the initial disturbance as well as the water depth, a series of records was obtained that was very revealing as to the transitions which wave trains undergo as the generation and propagation parameters are varied. With the dimensions of the initial disturbance small as compared to the water depth, the wave train showed purely oscillatory characteristics and was similar to those observed by Project Seal for a 4.36-pound TNT charge in 13 feet of water. As the ratio of initial disturbance to depth is increased, the first crest takes on more and more of the solitary wave characteristics, both in form and velocity. This is likened to the wave generated by Shot Baker. With a further increase in the disturbance-to-depth ratio, marly all of the energy of the wave train is contained in a first crest increase as a solitary wave

SEDRET

<u>Sallowed by much-smaller sactilations</u>. <u>In the sallower of the destances</u>, the wave indowers <u>sampased of two-primero: consist with satilitary wave shanchesistic saction intervening in angles</u>. <u>In these sames</u>, where the slowation generator was four or live times the unterviseth, the <u>samples within y wave space with a spinost station samples</u>. This is the same size of the same size of the same size of the same set of the same set of the same size of the same size of the same size of the same set of the same set of the same set of the same size of the same size of the same size of the same set of the same set of the same set of the same size of the same set of the same s

"Eberphase:nenosand-periods.Dithe recorded wave insine-wave-compand in the dispersion "theories for the line water.assistance in the line wave is a set of the instance is a set of the set

<u>1:2:7 Specification and the second s</u>

12.8 Dependent Balances The Balances water unrest separt (Reference: 4), dependent Big multiple sectors and a sector is a sector in the sector in the sector in the spectration and propagation of such respond in Thinkington. In this statistic because we assign and illustrian for our concerts from stifting and the set in the set in the set in the set of the s scarsbilly-anamined and stalinged. "It was concluded that the shape of the dilkial illuminance was a cilinduical conter, commiling in the bottom of the ingroup, which was surrounded by a mained hip of surfer. This surfer canter hip, sentaining both potential and his tic same, agen--senied the linst prest of the unitating wave tanin, whereas the somethier of the takin wangen--seated by the potential of the conter satisfy. "It was at tame diller the dispersion of searcy from the first trast-me multiplike out to the sunge of the second recolling studion, and the second ry in the recorded-wave donin-wasperititioned-according for the above-according than. The same good the wass fillowing the linst constrant quite dothe was gy of the conter phonip thrashing in se the manhot doubt for want of a batter standard, and a conter will be superiord. It was withowed that this shime as in such a comparison with the Manita of the saint land in granter. contexations of items felt in the of the night order plinary propose and to obtain all insur alimension, which is a function of yield alone, with which to sempare the semual shots and vobtain a mailing formula. Exater unites was found to wary-as the fourth suct of the typical.

-Turther supprised-stops showed derivation of the stillouing setting formula:

Wittere: - subscript guidenties guarantion.

In antiquing the superant to the same, considerable sugard-unargiven to the dact that Coursreaderand Samile tests initiated a value of une-although the Badwing reatifies initiated as some--what lower value. "The wave insight was referred to a slight of 40 deet of water, can avhilterry wake.

It was observed in Reference 4 that the depth of generalizes and the slepth of propagation are simble content parameters affecting the wave height. A scaling dusction for depth of propagation was not derived, because all superimental slats was propagated over the same slepth, that of Stikini supern.

Interry calculations of Meterance-4 also disclosed that the wavemaking efficiency of Shot Sinker-was enhanced for beyond that of a surface that of equal yield.

1.3 TOTOLY

1.3.1 Denneal. Depinning with Baubiyand Deisson in the early Dile centery, The problem

rafaioneriking ike-meter monoganoneticki yra dord bidinistkoner dan bann atin bischiyr many grogit 20 tanayy times. Mkonetkonneticki ygynon kombere medatymintjekiyr intheir combineritismot 21 tanayy times. Mkonetkonner, materalygtb, anthis much roditismotion officeration of 22 tanier meter meteritis

12522 Manmersmithlister. The masteressi gymnath, and gymnathy the concentration of the intervent of the inte

The sugging manual of this colling system to be sugged as the subsection of the sugged sector of the sugged sector of the subsection of th

Sincephancoli Middownee Mikistikasukaeriskkowstrangenandakireadikkovinkaerenninskikkow nipphalistikkovikaerinaina, which mikistraties ware produktorikaerinage. This since the state or produktikkovikaerina settimetika. The mikistik you this simulation the subarrary you this in which this gain and the subarrary substantiaerina settimetikaerina subarrary substantiaerina since s which even with the subarrary mathices in an antibio subarrary substance subarrary substances subarrary substances which even with the subarrary substances subarrary substances

The southy could use this is a solution of the source of t

Thussestimed, while each galanting the stimute of the same of the state of the stat

133.3 Underwater Exploriton Theory. Two hut is consequently be under a figure with the intervention of waveintervention of the intervention of the constitution of t

The margolupperiod to the surveitment to valided to the size of the cavity formed at the surtine. To runnition wavenabling differency "hum. Premey's theory supplies that the socillation bubble vents at its maximum superior phase and, as the socillations are damped, that at it in inst-superior of the bubble that branches the surface. This phases an uplimum depth of surmergence for the supportion, which is of the order of the rules of the inst-superior of the free bubble.

- However, The philosophic contraction of the philosophic c

°**#**4

1. 1.

idie: fidibioshay; concept/ angualitanti in panist:

$$4/33\pi \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{thr}}^{33} \operatorname{pur}(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{thr}}^{33} + \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{s}}^{33} (\mathbf{z}_{\mathrm{thr}}^{33}) \tag{11.2}$$

Witness:: Z_{max} = distant and an approximate in the state of the stat

 $\mu =$ in the second form

26 = zimmeginenic generate;, finttafivenier

Shorty personal of the philit in an and the provided to be an added to be the standard of the paint in a state of the provided of the provided of the state of the standard best to be a state of the st

Shar many disply and many displations, the antipping part of the dama the integrity, and and tiple would got multiplication that the second of the second bar of the second bar of the second bar, with the second bar. gauge and constitutes the grant bar of the second bar of the second bar of the second bar. The second bar of the second bar. The second bar of the second bar. The second bar of the second bar.





Charles 2

La l'ANCOL LESS D

221 SHOLD PROPERTY OF BUILDING

Frequent 1.6 participated in the documentation of the two underwater shots, Waino and Unbroks. Without was bound about 7/000 foot officiare in about 3/000 foot of water. The device, which yielded about 5 ht, was addressed of the two adopts of 300 foot. The device for Unbroila was placed on the Enimetal Ingram floor, which had a general depth of about 150 foot in the vicinity of suches area. The manoest point of the react was at a range of about 5/000 foot. The Unbroila yield was aloud 8 ht.

22 30000

Milliongh-project planning for the test largen in July 1957, delays in the allocation of fands restricted: the active building-plane to the 4-month period from December 1967 through March 2004.

The initial plane involved the development of measurement techniques, assentity and caliiontion of instrumentation, and choice of station locations. Choice of a particular method of mensionment depended again such factors as the anticipated wave height at range, instrument survival, some of instrument installation, and once of record recovery.

Accordingly, the gauge gaps of the start siles was stalled, particularly the water depths, reef lines, and available land arous. Sution locations were than subscript as to minimize effects of refraction on the gaugegrand wave height and to avail, where paulile, the distortions of the wave reconsidence to refraction from since and root lines. In addition, the distribution of available instrongestation was glaunoi to fit to with additional success of wave data from other projects.

23 DIGA BRANCHERS

To elitain the desired data if was necessary to establish stations at monored ranges and recent were bright as a function of time. Alternatively, the wave train could be remediat at a specific behave as a function of range; the monrest practical approach to this was-still, plantagraphy that would show only the locations of creats and trangits and not the amplitudes.

To against the generative process, if was meetingy to have a recording station as near as panelike to surface and and to photograph the development of plane photomous. For the logant situ, tolere-ant-after surveys of the logant floor in the winnity of surface zero once model.

The computation of more travel times and referentian paths repaired that baligmetric and title information be available over the area of observation. These of defaultion was to be defaultible either from reference to simultaneously recorded events such as air and group: stack or from electric signal.

Evaluation at municipal regarises the recording at mights reaction an share, sugether with summarian inform. This was to be correlated with the bright attaned by the same grant allotions.

SECIET

2.4 DISTRUMENTATION

Certain of the instrumentation utilized by the project was similar to that used by the Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) on provious operations. The gyro-inclinometer and the modified Ballistic Research Laboratories (BRL) gage were developed for Operation Rardtack. These two new systems are described in the following sections, as well as certain specialized accessory equipment for use with standard instrumentation. A detailed description of this standard instrumentation can be found in References 4 and 6.

2.4.1 Submarkace Pressure-These: Molified MiL Gage. Insteally, this unit was a differentialpressure recorder connected by a hose to a compliant bindler fixed with reference to the bottom. The system was filled with dry air. This instrument used as its transducing and recording system a standard MiL pressure-time recorder normally used for the measurement of air overpressure. This instrument senses changes in pressure in a motal ameroid-type capsule, which drives a directly coupled styles that moves on a radius of a battery-driven, chronometrically governed, face-aluminized, gives disk. While the total encursion of the styles was on the order of 0.550 tach, the trace width was on the order of 0.555, so satisfactory resolution was obtained with low-power microscopic read-out. Capsules in the 0- to 1-put range and the 0- to 5-psi range were selected, insect on the predicted wave heights.

The MML gapes were modified by changing the internal wiring and by changing the speed of the chart drive motors. Enternally, the units were accessorized with a pressure-reserve tank, submoid-actanted air valves, suitable planticing, battery power supply, and an electric control circuit programed by a combination of self-generated signals and the Edgerton, Germeshausen and Grier (EGEG) timing signals. Then the entire recording, pressurining, and control assembly was partial to be installed in the Nevy Modelogical Defense Laboratory (NREEL) coracle (a homispherical scaled float, approximately 10 feet in diameter) of Project 2.3 or in the Project 1.6 shifts.

The unit was pinced in a coracle (or shiff) and attached to a preinstabled have and bindder normably. Buring instabilistics of the assessibly, the bindler digith was measured exactly by pressurining the system and noting at what pressure the bindler began to fill. Because accurate volumetric measurements of the entire system were haven, the pressure required in the reserve battle was calculated, so that at equilibrium conditions the bindler was approximately half inflated. At half inflation, the bindler was able to expand and contract sufficiently to compannie for volume changes caused by pressure changes of this, steric sea level, and the crests and troughs of passing waves. It was about this equilibrium pressure that the differentialpressure capsale operated.

2.4.2 Subscrince Promote-Time: Were Recorder, Mark VIII. These units were identical to times used during Operations Castle and Rodwing. In concerc, the system was a fully temperature-compensated strain-gage absolute pressure transitiver connected by a submerged absolute to a share-innut strip-classi recorder. The transitiver was installed in water between 40 and W feet deep.

24.3 Submether Prossure-Time: Partle Wave Recorder. These units differed only in acconvertisation from time used with success during Quantizes Crossrounds, Castle, and Redwing. In concept. He units consisted of a boundon-driven styles inscribing on a clock-driven sampledaluminum disk. The online recorder unit was shock-mounted within a high-pressure steel case. The units were so designed that. By pressurizing or evacuating the inside of the steel case, they could be used in water depths of from 0 to 200 feet. The units were installed in conjunction with a 1,000-pound cast-load thirting to give them locational stability. The units were accommuted to be started by the arrival of the units wave, through use of a pressure suitsbande, suitabilit-quantal cloub-starting diviso.

Bunne seveni institus advandy close to surface sure were necessary, a bundue-take protoctive device was developed, so designed that all pressure signals to the bourdan vere

17

blocked until the arrival of a pressure signal in excess of the ambient value. Receipt of this signal caused a detent to disengage; however, the bourdon was not allowed to receive any signals for about 4 seconds, to allow adequate time for subsidence of extreme initial pressures. Subsequently, the system was open to all pressure signals. Figure 2.1 demonstrates the construction of the protector.

2.4.4 Self-Referencing Wave Recorders: Gyro-Inclinometer. The slope-versus-time curve for a surface station can be integrated to yield the wave height history. If the surface station is a vessel whose length is small compared to the waves of interest and the vessel is oriented bow or stern on the approaching waves, then the pitch angle of the vessel follows the slope of the surface and may be recorded as such. Actually both the pitch angle and the relative heading were recorded so that corrections could be introduced for departures from the end-on attitude.

The sensing element selected for these units was the Minneapolis-Honeywell LABS gyro. As designed, these assemblies were used to feed pitch and roll information to an automatic pilot; however, by rotating one axis through 90°, they were made to aline the contained potentiometers with the pitch and yaw axes. One leg of the pitch potentiometer was combined in a bridge circuit so that the output was amplified and recorded on a standard strip-chart recorder.

One leg of the yaw potentiometer was used as a resistive element in a relaxation, or blocking, oscillator firing a thyratron tube that impressed a marginal dot on the same strip-chart recorder. The oscillating frequency varied as the relative heading of the vessel.

The basic pitch and yaw instrument was accessorized by a battery-inverter power supply and an electronic control system programed by self-generated signals and signals from the EG&G timing circuits. Subsequently, the controller operated in the following manner: Upon receipt of an EG&G signal at H-5 minutes, the inverters and the gyro drive motors were activated. At H-1 minute, the gyro was triggered to uncage on the first hull motion through the horizontal, and the oscillator and chart drive motors were started. At the end of a total run time of 15 minutes, the entire system shut down.

The above combination, as illustrated in Figure 2.2, allowed measurements of pitch to $\pm 30^{\circ}$ and yaw to $\pm 60^{\circ}$.

2.4.5 Photographic Measurements of Wave Height versus Time. The Hardtack technicalphotography plan revealed that, in several instances, combinations of location, camera size, and lenses gave promise of valuable coverage of target-array vessels and buoys. Such coverage, using standard photogrammetric techniques, could give accurate elevation-versus-time curves for floating objects. It was anticipated that an assortment of data on wave positions, periods, and heights would be derived from analysis of technical photography.

2.4.6 Photographic Measurements of Shoreline Wave Heights. Whenever shorelines suspected of experiencing significant wave action fell within the scope of the technical-photographyplan camera stations, range and elevation poles were installed. Whenever these stations were beyond the range to give adequate coverage of the shoreline area of interest, cameras with timing systems were installed to view these areas.

The contractor-installed 12- by 12-inch wooden poles were painted with alternate horizontal stripes of black and white, 2 feet wide on the vertical dimensions. The poles were set in concrete footings and were guyed wherever required. Photographs of these poles gave data upon the inundation effects of the generated waves.

2.4.7 Visual and Photographic Records by Project Personnel. Wherever possible, project personnel were provided with handheld cameras and stop watches to record wave heights and times of arrival at stations, such as piers projecting into the lagoon, and aboard ships at various ranges from surface zero. Wherever advantageous, striped range poles of suitable caliber were installed on such piers.

18

2.4.8 Can-Type Inundation Gages. On shorelines expected to receive significant wave action but inaccessible to personnel at shot time, can-type yes-or-no gages were installed at 6-inch increments of elevation to give readings of maximum water depth. Postshot examination for salt water in these cans gave wave elevation on islands and at shorelines. These gages were installed to cover elevations from mean water level to twice the predicted wave height.

2.4.9 Radar Scope Photography. Surface search radar of fleet operational type, when adjusted for high-sea return, could show the range as a function of time of the generated wave crests (Reference 6). Plans were initiated to make these measurements during Shot Wahoo. The scope camera and accessories used for Shot Wigwam were readied.

2.5 TEST-SITE OPERATIONS

X

2.5.1 Shot Wahoo. Location of Project 1.6 stations and other sources of wave information for Shot Wahoo are shown in Figure 2.3.

It was intended to install BRL gages in five of the Project 2.3 deep-moored coracles. However, the subsurface hose-bladder assembly was damaged upon coracle installation for two of these units, and time was insufficient to effect repair. At shot time, upon receipt of the firing signal, the aneroid capsules for two of the remaining units were ruptured. The record presented by the one BRL gage that operated during Wahoo could not be interpreted.

Mark VIII wave recorders were installed and operated from Sites Elmer and James. The Site James transducer was located just off the reef between Sites Irwin and James and at a water depth of 53 feet. The recording station, which also mounted two project cameras, was carried away by inundating waters from the shot after a partial record had been obtained. The Site Elmer transducer was installed in the lagoon at a water depth of 43 feet.

The cameras mounted on the Site James wave recorder cab were an F-56 and a K-25 aerial camera. They were connected to a common intervalometer and the field of view of the F-56 included a clock. The F-56 was almed east along the reef, while the K-25 was pointed toward surface zero and the target vessel EC 2. Despite the inundation which completely flooded the lower camera (F-56), the film was salvaged and successfully developed. A range pole was mounted on the reef in the field of view of the F-56 but was carried away by the third wave crest, which crest also claimed the recording station.

Lookout Mountain Laboratories (LML) installed an unmanned 35-mm movie camera on Site Henry (Figure 2.4) and almed it toward surface zero. The field of view included the DD 592, coracle stations U-4.0 and U-4.8, and wave action on the Henry reef.

Inundation gages were placed on Sites James and Glenn. Those on Site James were washed away, whereas those on Site Gienn were above the level of maximum water rise.

The surface search radar aboard the USS Orlich was adjusted for maximum sea return, and the radarscope was photographed during the event. However, no waves were observed.

A series of before-and-after aerial photographs in both black and white and color were taken of the ocean shoreline from Site Gienn to Site Keith. In addition, a postshot survey gave an approximate record of the maximum water level rise on these islands.

2.5.2 Shot Umbrella. Location of Project 1.6 stations and other sources of wave information for Shot Umbrella are shown in Figure 2.4.

Insumuch as the turtle wave recorder was expressly designed for close-in recording in shallow water, three of these instruments were installed as close to surface zero as prospects of instrument survival, relocation, and recovery deemed practicable. They were installed in water depths of approximately 160 feet at ranges of from 1,350 to 1,750 feet from surface zero. Although the instrument locations were adequately marked with booys and all buoys were visible on the day following the shot, the recovery program was delayed by factors beyond the control of project personnel, with the result that all buoys had been destroyed by surface vessels by

19

D+3 days. One instrument was recovered on D+1 but recovery of the other two turtles was complicated in the extreme in that approximately 400 man-dives were required. The second unit was recovered on D+14 and the remaining unit on D+15.

The gyro-inclinometers installed for Wahoo remained aboard the same vessels for Shot Umbrella. The instrument aboard the DD 474, at a range of 1,930 feet from surface zero, malfunctioned due to an undetermined cause. The instrument on the DD 593 performed satisfactorily.

Two BRL gages were readied to operate in Project 2.3 coracles. On D-1 day, the moorings assigned to these two coracles suffered damage. One was cut free by a surface craft, and the second came adrift. Because the pressure hose to the one had ruptured, that station could not be reactivated. The other station was readied, but the coracle containing the instrument was attached to a mooring that did not have the subsurface pressure sensing assembly.

In addition to the two coracle installations planned for Umbrella, Project 1.6 installed BRL gages in two moored 16-foot fiberglass skiffs. Upon receipt of the firing signal, the aneroid capsule of one of these was ruptured by the automatic pressurizing system. The second operated as expected, but as for Wahoo, the record could not be interpreted.

In addition to the Mark VIII recorder installed off Site Eimer, a Mark VIII station was operated from Site Henry during Umbrella. The transducer was placed in a lagoon water depth of 68 feet and about 3,200 feet offshore.

A K-17 camera was borrowed from Program 9 and mounted on the roof of the instrument cab on Henry. This was operated in conjunction with the project K-25 camera that survived the Wahoo immidation. During the event, both cameras were exposed to a dosage of 105 r, and the lead sheeting, with which they were shielded, was inadequate to prevent fogging.

A photo target buoy was especially placed to be in the field of view of cameras located on Site Henry, but all the film from these cameras was fogged. Photos from other locations indicate that the buoy was obscured by the base surge during passage of the wave train.

Project personnel placed 360 imministion gages along the lagoon shorelines from Site Glenn to Site Keith. Because of the minor extent of the wave action, however, none of the gages were reached by imministing waters.

Project 6.8, which was also interested in subburface pressure measurements, installed subsurface pressure-time recorders at three stations ranging from 8,300 to 44,800 feet from surface zero. Two of these, Platforms P1 and P3, operated successfully.



Figure 2.1 Schematic drawing of bourdon protector.



Figure 2.2 Gyro-inclinometer.

21





SECRET

Chapter 3

RESULTS

3.1 SHOT WAHOO

3.1.1 Data Obtained. Stations at which wave data was obtained from Shot Wahoo are shown in Figure 2.3. Each observation at a particular location constituted only a partial record for that station.

The closest range at which wave action was observed was that of the target vessel EC 2, 2,500 feet from ground zero. This was a photographic observation by the K-25 camera mounted at Site James and exposing at approximately 2-second intervals. The base surge obscured the vessel soon after passage of the first trough and it did not reappear until after the third trough. A possible note is indicated by the record.

An LML movie camera located on Site Henry viewed wave action at two Project 2.3 coracles, U-4.0 and U-4.8, at surface zero ranges of 3,900 and 4,500 feet, respectively. The length of the target vessel DD 592, oriented broad on in the field of view, was used for calibration. Coracle U-4.0 was enveloped by the base surge after the passage of the first crest, and upon next sighting, the coracle had obviously come adrift and could no longer describe the wave motion at its original station. Coracle U-4.8 was never in the base surge but was periodically obscured by the preceding crests, while in the troughs and after the fourth crest, it was obscured by surf action at the beach. Approximate times of the crest arrivals at the Henry reef could also be measured from the camera record.

The Mark VIII recorder on Site James operated through the fourth crest at which time it was interrupted by the inundating third crest. The recorder was driven off scale by the amplitudes of the third crest, third trough, and fourth crest; however, maximum values were closely estimated from geometric considerations.

3.1.2 Data Reduction. It was necessary to establish the zero time of wave generation, which is hypothesized as the time at which the water crater attains its maximum volume. The available data presented two methods: by observation of timed photographs of surface effects and by analysis of period versus time (dispersion data) from wave records. The dispersion curve depends upon water depth of propagation, range, and time. The dispersion theory of Reference 1 has been shown by References 4 and 5 to reliably define the period-time function. For Wahoo, because of the water depth, over the preponderance of the T - t curve, the Reference 1 dispersion theory coincides with the relationship well established for infinite depth water:

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = (\mathbf{4}\pi\mathbf{r})/(\mathbf{gt})$$

(3.1)

Where: T = period, seconds

t = time, seconds

Uncertainties due to bottom contour are thereby effectively eliminated.

Examination of the periods from the record for which absolute time was most accurately recorded, Station 160.03, indicated that a wave zero time of 9 seconds as referred to shot time would effect the best agreement with dispersion theory.

Photographs of surface effects were studied with a view to estimating the time at which the explosion gases passed through the surface. This appeared to be at about 7 seconds.

24

Shot time plus 8 seconds was selected as the zero time for purposes of analysis of wave propagation. Times referenced in this report should be so understood unless shot time is specified.

Motion of the Coracles U-4.0 and U-4.8 was transcribed from the film by means of a Vanguard motion analyzer. A smooth curve was constructed through the data. Only the first trough was observed at Coracle U-4.0. Because trough positions of Coracle U-4.8 could not always be observed, the envelope of the wave train was assumed symmetrical about the stillwater level, and the troughs were accordingly constructed from the crest envelope. A plot of the wave periods showed a significant departure from theory even after the 8-second correction for zero time had been made. Although the film speed had been nominally 24 frames per second, a speed of 27 frames per second was found to give good agreement between the observed and theoretical periods. Because such a departure in film speed was not considered unreasonable for a camera that had not been intended for technical photography, the wave record was adjusted accordingly. This adjustment also brought the observed wave periods on Henry reef into agreement with dispersion theory.

Observations of wave periods and relative amplitudes on the Henry reef indicate a node in the wave envelope at about 285 seconds. As both the theory of Reference 1 and experimental data of Reference 5 show that this is a feature of a wave that moves at constant velocity from the origin, it was projected back to show the time at which nodes could be expected at Station 160.03 and at U-4.8. The wave envelopes were then constructed through these points and the wave records extrapolated out to the node. The periods of reconstructed waves were ascertained from dispersion theory.

The reconstructed curves are shown in Figure 3.1 together with the partial records from the EC 2, the Coracle U-4.0, and the Henry reef. Reconstructed sections are indicated by broken lines.

The energy content of the first group of waves, which may be considered as containing the preponderance of energy of the train, was calculated from the reconstructed U-4.8 record. In this operation the waveform was considered as sinusoidal and the water depth as infinite. For waves near the front of the train, where the error from the latter assumption might be significant, the wave amplitudes are so low that their contribution to the total energy of the train is insignificant. The energy of the first wave group at 4,500-foot range was 1.3×10^{12} ft-lb. A meaningful measurement of the energy at Station 160.03 cannot be made, because the recorded amplitudes have undoubtedly been influenced by reflection of the wave train from the nearby reef.

The amplitudes shown in the Station 160.03 record of Figure 3.1 have been corrected for the attenuation of subsurface pressure due to water depth and wavelength. Reference 7 was used for this purpose. No reference of the shallow water to deep water wave heights has been made, although this would be desirable to allow a direct comparison between stations. An impulsively generated wave train represents an unsteady-state condition, that is, the flow of energy past any point is not constant but varies roughly as the square of the amplitude envelope. The tables of Reference 7 that relate shallow water to deep water wave height have a constant energy flux as their premise and are therefore not applicable to the transient case.

By way of illustration it can be shown that for the extreme case of referring the height of a wave traveling in very shallow water ($C = \sqrt{gh}$) to its height in deep water. Reference 7 gives

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{\lambda}{4\pi h}}$$
(3.2)

Where: subscript o denotes the deep water condition

 $\lambda = wavelength$

.

It can be shown that this transformation does not conserve the energy of the individual wave; therefore, if the amplitudes of a wave train were adjusted according to Equation 3.2, the resulting figure will not be representative of how the wave train would appear at the same range in deep water, because the total energy will not be the same.

An alternate premise of considering the energy to be conserved within each wave reaches the following conclusion:

$$\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}_{0}} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi \mathrm{h}}}$$
(3.3)

A shallow water record referred to deep water by Equation 3.3 will now show the same energy, but the resultant curve still does not represent the wave train after travel to the same range over deep water, because the structure of the train (number of significant waves) has been altered by shoaling.

It appears, then, that any attempt to refer the record of Station 160.03 to deep water would be misleading, and it is, therefore, left as recorded.

It should be noted that the referring of a record from one shallow depth to another shallow depth by the application of Green's law does not result in these inconsistencies. For shallow water both of the afore-considered premises are prevalent. Because the energy is traveling at the phase velocity, there is no dispersion.

3.1.3 Comparison with Other Shots. Other shots which were held in comparable geometries and for which data was available were Shot Wigwam and Shot 147.3C of the Seal series (Reference 2). For each of these the charge was submerged, and the water was sufficiently deep so that the wave train was of oscillatory form without solltary wave characteristics.

3.1.4 Shot Wigwam. The Wigwam data, which, like that from Wahoo, was obtained photographically, was reexamined, and the same reconstruction measures instituted as for the Wahoo coracle record. It was decided that analysis could best be based on the motion of the YFNB 12 at 5,520-foot range. Reconstructed data is shown in Figure 3.2. The dispersion data indicated a wave generation zero time of shot time plus 10 seconds. This coincides with the time observed for the venting of the explosion. Phase zeros, calculated according to Reference 1, were in excellent agreement with those observed for major waves and also disclosed the following point of primary significance: the first trough and crest which were observed by Reference 6 were actually preceded by another full cycle and were, in fact, the second generated trough and crest. The energy of the first trough and crest was dispersed below an observable level and, therefore, could not be detected photographically against the ocean background.

A wave amplitude envelope was judiciously constructed for the first wave group and energy measurement based thereon. The value calculated was 2.65×10^{12} ft-lb, which gives a wave-making efficiency of 3.4 percent. Because this analysis considers more waves than that of Reference 6, the efficiency is accordingly higher.

An amplitude envelope, giving the same node and maximum as that observed, was calculated according to Reference 1. The initial condition assumed was a paraboloidal depression. The dimensions indicated for the crater were a 690-foot radius and a 322-foot depression at the origin. Agreement between the observed and calculated envelopes, as shown by Figure 3.2, was not as good as that for Wahoo.

The observed group velocity, which is the velocity of the maximum of the wave envelope, was 51 ft/sec for Wahoo as compared to 46 ft/sec for Wigwam. According to Reference 1, the group velocities for disturbances of the same geometric type varied directly as the crater radius. The lower group velocity for Wigwam is therefore due to the smaller lateral dimension of its crater despite the fact that the system contained more energy.

It should be borne in mind that the term "crater" might not be entirely applicable to Wigwam, because the great depth of submergence undoubtedly led to a breaking up of the bubble before it reached the surface, as the multiple venting observed at the surface would indicate. Also, condensation of the gas bubble was probably significant in decreasing the potential of the system. This would be compensated in part by induced upwelling of the water surrounding the bubble.

The various papers of Reference 3 point out the complexities encountered in defining the mechanics of an underwater explosion after the first expansion of the gas globe. Calculating

the energy that may be converted to surface waves would seem to be almost a problem in probabilities rather than the application of the usual form of empirical scaling equation. The following derivation, then, should be considered as giving only an indication of what results might be expected from explosions below the lower critical submergence.

The energy contained in a large underwater bubble is directly proportional to its volume and submergence.

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{4}/\mathbf{3} \,\pi \,\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{3}} \,\mathbf{Z} \,\rho \,\mathbf{g} \tag{3.4}$$

Where: Q_b = energy, foot pounds; subscript b denotes bubble property R = radius of generative condition, feet

If the requirement for geometric similarity of submergence of bubbles is $Z \propto R$, then

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{h}} \propto \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{4}}$$
 (3.5)

Assuming the efficiency of bubble formation to be constant with yield;

$$Q_{\rm b} \propto W \propto R^4$$
 (3.6)

The first step in establishing a scaling rule was to explore the variation of wavemaking efficiency, with relative submergence. The function Q_W/W was plotted against $Z/W^{1/4}$ in Figure 3.3 for Wahoo and Wigwam. The line connecting the data showed the relationship.

$$\frac{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{W}}}{\mathbf{W}} \propto \left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{\mathbf{W}^{1/4}}\right)^{-1/4} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{W}} \propto \frac{\mathbf{W}^{17/16}}{\mathbf{Z}^{1/4}} \tag{3.7}$$

Where: subscript W denotes wave train property

Because the objective is to relate this function of Q_W with the maximum wave height observed at any range, an assumption was necessary as to how H varied with r for deep water waves. Lacking indicative experimental data, it was assumed that Hr = constant, which is in agreement with Reference 1. The function of Hr with Q_W was determined by plotting Hr versus $W^{17/16}/Z^{1/4}$ in Figure 3.4.

$$\mathbf{Br} = 1.06 (10^5) \mathbf{W}^{17/2} \mathbf{Z}^{-1/3}$$
(3.8)

The nature of the problem and the reliability of the data make it advisable to change the exponent on W to the more convenient $\frac{1}{2}$, in which case the relationship becomes

$$\mathbf{Hr} \approx 1.17 \, (10^3) \, \mathbf{W}^{1/2} \, \mathbf{Z}^{-1/2} \tag{3.9}$$

As previously pointed out this formula should not be considered to give more than an indication of what results might be expected from explosions submerged in deep water. It should not be applied to explosions above the lower critical submergence or near the bottom or to smallscale explosions.

3.1.5 Project Seal. Series 147 of Project Seal included the defonation of 4.25-1b TNT charges at varying submergence in a water depth of 13 feet. Reference 2 includes the actual record from one of the shots, 147.3C, which was at the observed lower critical submergence of 4 feet. The record was analyzed from the standpoint of the theory of Reference 1, and energy measurements were made for comparison with Wahoo and Wigwam.

The record from Shot 147.3C consisted of amplitude-time curves at ranges of 50, 126, 172, and 187 feet, plotted simultaneously. The records at the two marest ranges were undisturbed by reflected waves until their first nodal points, after which time their interpretation became increasingly difficult. The records at 172 and 187 feet were disturbed by reflections even before their first nodes. Because of these disturbances, a theoretical wave savelope was fitted to the nearest record only, and place more wave calculated for just the two marest records.

Of the several initial dispincements discussed in Inderence 1, the paraboloid yields a wave surveyed in Shot 147.3C. Thus the calculation of a theoretical surveyope

was based on a depression whose radial dimension was chosen so that the theoretical node fitted the observed node. The agreement between the envelopes predicted and observed was not entirely satisfactory, the observed maximum occurring later in the first group than predicted. Also predicted, but not observed, was a bore whose amplitude was approximately half the maximum amplitude of the first group.

The energy of the wave train at 50 feet was found to be 8.25×10^4 ft-lb. Equating this to the energy of a paraboloidal depression, $\pi/6 \rho g (R\eta)^2$, where η = amplitude, with R = 11.1 feet as determined from the position of the first node, $\eta = 4.46$ feet.

The calculated phase zeros, which are independent of the initial disturbance, were in excellent fit throughout the entire first group at 50 feet, and throughout most of the first group at 126 feet.

To compare Shot 147.3C with Wahoo and Wigwam, the scaling law given by Equation 1.2 was used. Although the atmospheric pressure influences the radius of the bubble formed by a given explosion, the energy that may be transferred to the surface waves from a given size bubble is quite independent of atmospheric pressure. The energy equivalence between the bubble, tangent to the stillwater level, and surface waves, then becomes

$$\epsilon_1 4/3 \pi \mathbf{R}^4 \rho \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{W}} \tag{3.10}$$

where ϵ_1 is the efficiency of the process, assumed constant.

The energy of the wave train measured at the closest station was 8.25×10^4 ft-lb. Substituting this value in Equation 3.10 and assuming 100-percent efficiency gives R = 4.21 feet, in good agreement with the actual submergence. This result is highly encouraging, because this particular shot was found experimentally to lie at a critical submergence. Substituting R = 4.21feet for Z_{CT} in Equation 1.2 and solving for the efficiency of conversion of chemical energy to bubble potential, ϵ_2 , gives

$$\epsilon_2 = 11 \text{ percent}$$
 (3.11)

An energy equivalence of 1.5×10^6 ft-lb/lb of explosive was used. The charge contained 2 ounces of primer in addition to 4^{1}_{4} pounds of TNT, so the weight of explosive was 4.38 pounds. The efficiency calculated above compares with the value of 40 percent assumed in Reference 3. It must be observed that ϵ_2 includes approximately $(\epsilon_1)^{3/4}$, but this value should be much nearer to unity than the observed discrepancy would indicate.

Figure 3.5 was constructed on the form of Equation 1.2, using the above-derived efficiency of 11 percent, and it scales the lower critical submergence observed for Seal up to the yields of Wahoo and Wigwam. The datum points plotted for the two nuclear shots indicate that their submergence was below the lower critical; therefore, the radii of the bubbles reaching the surface were well below the maximum possible for their yields. The transition from cube root to fourth root scaling is illustrated by Figure 3.5, the asymptotes of the equation being plotted.

No account has been taken here of the probable inequivalence of effects between chemical and nuclear explosions.

3.1.6 Inundation Data. Inundation data for Wahoo was obtained by a postshot survey, which noted the heights reached by water and extent of penetration inland. These observations together with selected photos of inundation effects are contained in Appendix A. Sites Irwin and James were completely inundated. The heights to which debris was noted to be lodged in trees were as great as 15 feet. Runup on adjacent islands was 5 to 9 feet above tide stage.

Reference 4 observed that the breaker height at the beach was approximately 2.5 times the crest height recorded in 60 feet of water offshore. The James recorder showed a maximum crest height of 9.3 feet in 53-foot water depth. This represents a breaker height of about 23 feet, which agrees well with the observed inundation levels on the island.

3.2 SHOT UMBRELLA

3.2.1 Data Obtained. Stations at which data was obtained from Shot Umbrella are shown in

Figure 2.4. Usable records were from the three turtles, the DD 593 gyro-inclinometer, Sites Henry and Elmer Mark VIII stations, and from Project 6.8 Platforms P1 and P3.

3.2.2 Data Reduction. All subsurface pressure-time records were corrected for the attenuation due to instrument depth and wavelength. This correction itself introduces a certain amount of distortion into the record wherever the rate of change of period is great. This occurs at the first crest and at envelope nodes where the phase reverses. Corrected records from the three turtles and Platform P1 are shown in Figure 3.6.

The slope record from the gyro-inclinometer was numerically integrated to give a surface displacement record. Here a drifting base line in the original record complicated the problem. A new base line was established by arbitrary means prior to integration. Because the form of the displacement curve is highly sensitive to the particular base line established, the record can only be evaluated for period and an indication of maximum wave height.

The energy for the wave train was calculated, assuming radial symmetry and shallow water velocity (Table 3.1). Records of shallow water explosions always commence with a crest that arrives at a station at a time earlier than that predicted for a shallow water wave or even for a solitary wave if calculated from the origin at zero time. Reference 4 concludes that this first crest is the result of a water crater lip that is already advanced in range at the time taken as zero for wave generation. As the shallow water depth results in very little energy dispersion, energy of the remainder of the train following the first crest is considered equivalent to the potential energy of the water crater. Taking energy measurements at the closest range station, the dimensions of a water crater can then be calculated. For very shallow water, the assumption that a cylindrical cavity extends to the lagoon floor is as good an approximation as any and enjoys the advantage of simplicity. Table 3.1 also shows crater radii calculated from the three turtle records. This is plotted versus yield in Figure 3.7, together with data from other nuclear explosions. It is seen that the Umbrella crater radius is very near the extrapolated curve for the Redwing surface shots, in contrast to other submerged shots that fall well above this line.

As the Redwing results showed $W^{1/2} h_g^2$ to be directly proportional to the wave energy for surface shots, this function was also plotted as Figure 3.8, and the Umbrella data again coincided with the surface shot data. A scaling law was then derived for surface explosions, using the Umbrella data to extend the curve to lower yields.

Wave height versus range data was plotted in Figure 3.9 for well-documented lagoon shots. The maximum crest height was used and referred to the depth of generation by Green's law. It is necessary that the reference depth be a generation parameter rather than an arbitrary value, in order to allow comparison between shots fired in various depths. For each shot, a line was drawn defining $H_c r^n$ as constant. The exponent, n, varied from shot to shot but the average value was 0.86. $H_c r^{0.86}$ was assumed to be a function of Q and was therefore plotted versus $W^{1/2} h_g^2$ in Figure 3.10. Each datum point in this figure represents an observation at a particular shot. The scaling equation derived from Figure 3.10 was

$$H_{c}r^{0.86} = 25 h_{g}W^{1/4}$$
 (3.12)

As for previous scaling equations, caution should be exercised in applying it to conditions outside the range of data from which it was derived. Especially, as the ratio of crater radius to water depth becomes less, the approximation of a cylindrical initial depression becomes less valid.

Figure 2.4. Usable records were from the three turtles, the DD 593 gyro-inclinometer, Sites Henry and Elmer Mark VIII stations, and from Project 6.8 Platforms P1 and P3.

3.2.2 Data Reduction. All subsurface pressure-time records were corrected for the attenuation due to instrument depth and wavelength. This correction itself introduces a certain amount of distortion into the record wherever the rate of change of period is great. This occurs at the first crest and at envelope nodes where the phase reverses. Corrected records from the three turtles and Platform P1 are shown in Figure 3.6.

The slope record from the gyro-inclinometer was numerically integrated to give a surface displacement record. Here a drifting base line in the original record complicated the problem. A new base line was established by arbitrary means prior to integration. Because the form of the displacement curve is highly sensitive to the particular base line established, the record can only be evaluated for period and an indication of maximum wave height.

The energy for the wave train was calculated, assuming radial symmetry and shallow water velocity (Table 3.1). Records of shallow water explosions always commence with a crest that arrives at a station at a time earlier than that predicted for a shallow water wave or even for a solitary wave if calculated from the origin at zero time. Reference 4 concludes that this first crest is the result of a water crater lip that is already advanced in range at the time taken as zero for wave generation. As the shallow water depth results in very little energy dispersion, energy of the remainder of the train following the first crest is considered equivalent to the potential energy of the water crater. Taking energy measurements at the closest range station, the dimensions of a water crater can then be calculated. For very shallow water, the assumption that a cylindrical cavity extends to the lagoon floor is as good an approximation as any and enjoys the advantage of simplicity. Table 3.1 also shows crater radii calculated from the three turtle records. This is plotted versus yield in Figure 3.7, together with data from other nuclear explosions. It is seen that the Umbrella crater radius is very near the extrapolated curve for the Redwing surface shots, in contrast to other submerged shots that fall well above this line.

As the Redwing results showed $W^{1/2}h_g^2$ to be directly proportional to the wave energy for surface shots, this function was also plotted as Figure 3.8, and the Umbrella data again coincided with the surface shot data. A scaling law was then derived for surface explosions, using the Umbrella data to extend the curve to lower yields.

Wave height versus range data was plotted in Figure 3.9 for well-documented lagoon shots. The maximum crest height was used and referred to the depth of generation by Green's law. It is necessary that the reference depth be a generation parameter rather than an arbitrary value, in order to allow comparison between shots fired in various depths. For each shot, a line was drawn defining $H_c r^n$ as constant. The exponent, n, varied from shot to shot but the average value was 0.86. $H_c r^{0.86}$ was assumed to be a function of Q and was therefore plotted versus $W^{1/2} h_g^2$ in Figure 3.10. Each datum point in this figure represents an observation at a particular station for a particular shot. The scaling equation derived from Figure 3.10 was

$$H_{c}r^{0.86} = 25 h_{c}W^{1/4}$$
(3.12)

As for previous scaling equations, caution should be exercised in applying it to conditions outside the range of data from which it was derived. Especially, as the ratio of crater radius to water depth becomes less, the approximation of a cylindrical initial depression becomes less valid.

Station	Range	Wave Energy, Total	Wave Energy, Excluding First Crest	Radius of Cylindrical Crater
	ft	ft-lb	ft-lb	ft
163.01	1,700	13.2×10^{10}	7.6×10^{10}	187
163.02	1,350	13.5×10^{10}	10.0×10^{10}	215
163.03	1,750	12.0×10^{10}	7.3×10^{10}	184
Project 6.8				
Platform 1	8,300	$7.4 imes 10^{10}$	6.3×10^{10}	*
Platform 3	44,700	8.8×10^{10}	8.5×10^{10}	*

TABLE 3.1 WAVE DATA, SHOT UMBRELLA

* Not applicable because of energy dispersion.

•

٠

.

ж



Figure 3.1 Wave records, Shot Wahoo. Wave crests on Henry reef were observed as the wave broke. Note that only a single point was observed for the fourth crest at U-4.8. The dashed portions of the curve were not observed but were constructed from theoretical considerations. Absolute values for amplitudes on Henry reef could not be ascertained.



Figure 3.2 Wave record from YFNB 12, Shot Wigwam. Dispersion curve measured from shot time. Wave height curve measured from shot time plus 10 seconds. Reconstructed sections shown as dashed lines.



Figure 3.4 Graph of Hr versus $W^{17/16}/Z^{1/4}$. Slope of line is 0.57.

33





34










Figure 3.8 Graph of Q_T versus $W^{1/2}h_g^2$. Equation of line is $Q_T = 1.3 \times 10^6 W^{1/2}h_g^2$ ft-lb.



Figure 3.9 Graph of H referred to depth of generation versus r, in two sections to insure clarity. Equations of lines are of the form $H_c \propto r^n$. Equation of dashed line is $H_c \propto r^{0.86}$.



Figure 3.10 Graph of $H_c r^{0.66}$ versus $W^{1/2} h_g^2$. Squation of line is $H_c r^{0.66} = 25 W^{1/4} h_g$.

Chapter 4

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 CONCLUSIONS

Study of Wahoo waves and other deep water wave trains has indicated an area of agreement between the theory of Reference 1 and observation. The amplitude envelopes could be approximately described by paraboloidal source conditions whose dimensions were of the same order as those observed photographically. The primary uncertainty is in the factors determining the aspect ratio of the initial disturbance.

The complex mechanics of underwater gas globes indicate that the derivation of a wave scaling law for bubbles that vent at a time much greater than the first expansion is perhaps unrealistic. However, perhaps a formula based on past observation will indicate the order of magnitude to be expected. Experiments with detonations venting upon or before the first expansion of the gas globe should meet with successful empirical analysis.

Examination of model laws has indicated the feasibility of exploring the generation parameters on a much smaller scale than Wahoo and Wigwam. Although the transition from cube to fourth power scaling of bubble energy with yield has been defined in Reference 3, it does not seem to have been given much regard by students of explosion waves. Ignorance of this function of atmospheric pressure has led to gross errors in previous attempts at scaling from small chemical explosions.

The height reached by inundating Wahoo waves on Site James was in the same ratio to the offshore crest as that observed during Operation Redwing. Scaling of shallow water wave height from deep water explosions, however, presents a complex problem.

The waves generated by Umbrella were not significantly different from what would have been expected from a surface explosion of the same yield. Because all other submerged shots have exhibited a marked increase of wavemaking efficiency over that for a surface shot, it must be concluded that the proximity of Umbrella to the bottom was the unique circumstance that made it the exception. Inclusion of the Umbrella data with that of Redwing surface shots has led to a scaling formula of perhaps wider applicability.

The extent of penetration inland of inundation waters was inconclusive. Those islands directly hit were totally inundated. Those only partially inundated were situated so that their beaches were at a small angle to the bearing to surface zero. The impinging waves underwent considerable refraction and consequent energy dispersion.

4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

• .

The effort in future tests should be concentrated on direct measurement of generative parameters as well as generated phenomena. Measurement of crater dimensions in deep water explosions could perhaps be accomplished by sonar systems. The feasibility of such sonar instrumentation should be studied. Examination of bottom cores from shallow water explosions would probably yield valuable information regarding the crater shape before wash-back of material during water crater collapse. The proximity to surface zero at which waves are recorded must be pushed to the limit.

Instrument development must stay abreast of documentary requirements. The insufficient allotment of time for this purpose, which extended contract negotiations have imposed upon the **past operation**, must not hamper future programs. Otherwise, compromise of the objectives will again be the inevitable result.

Appendix A

.

.

4

INUNDATION DATA

Included in this appendix are charts of Sites Glenn, Henry, Irwin, James, and Keith, showing the extent of inundation from Shot Wahoo, and the movement of large objects due to this inundation. Also included are selected pre- and post-Wahoo comparison photographs of Sites Henry, Irwin, James, and Keith, and photographs of the arrival of the first three Wahoo crests at the James-Irwin reef.

Inundated areas are shown on the charts by hatching. On these charts the island boundary is given by the high tide line, which is 5 feet above a datum approximately $\frac{1}{2}$ foot below mean low water springs.





40

SECRET











SECRET





SECRET









-

SPECCIPEET











SECHET











SECRET





SECRET



Figure A.12 Pre-Waliddi east Henry, viewed from deean.



frigute a.13 fost-wahou: east Henty, viewed from occan.

SECRET

52



\$3







.

¥

SOCIET



-- 36



•---- -<u>-</u>---

ESSERT:



E :-

SERIE







E.



Figure A-22 Post-Wahoo: southeast James, viewed from ocean. Postshot locations of waye-height poles and instrument and camera station can be seen on far side of island.

61

SECHET



Figure A.23 Pre-Wahoo: northwest James, viewed from ocean.





Figure A.25 Post-Wahoo: James, looking east.





Figure A.27 Post-Wahoo: southeast Keith, viewed from ocean.

SECRET

٨



Figure A.28 Wahoo: First crest arrival at James-Irwin reef.



Figure A.29 Wahoo: Second crest arrival at James-Irwin reef.



Figure A.30 Wahoo: Third crest artival at James-frwih reef.

•

.

Appendix B

A DESCREPANCY IN ENERGIES AS PREDICTED BY REFERENCE 1

It has been noticed that in the theory of Reference 1 the energy contained in the predicted wave train is approximately twice the energy of the initial water cruter postulated as the source of the wave train.

The potential energy W of a cylindrically symmetric elevation E(r) in the surface of an occur of infinite extent is

$$W = \pi \rho g \int_{0}^{\infty} E(r)^{2} r dr \qquad (3.1)$$

The energy of a cleap water wave train whose creats and troughs are each abasiotial is given by

$$Q = p g^2 \mathbf{r} \Sigma (\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{n}})^2$$
(33.2)

where \mathbf{H}_n is the height and \mathbf{B}_n the half-period of the n^{th} crest or trough.

Now the waves predicted by Reference 1 are of the form

$$\cos 2\pi \left(t/T - r/\lambda \right) \tag{B.3}$$

They may be considered deep water waves when

$$h/\lambda - (\sigma/2\pi) > \frac{1}{2}$$
 (B.4)

Under this condition Bauation B.3 becomes

$$\cos (g/4r) t^2$$
 (3.5)

The energy of the nth crest or trough of Buntion B.5 is

$$Q = 2 r \rho g C \int_{T/2} \cos^2 (g/4r) t^2 dt$$
 (B.6)

Comparison of the results of numerical integration of Squation B.6 with Squation B.2 shows that, for waves whose half-period is less than their age, Squation B.2 is a good approximation (within 5 percent) for Squation B.6. (The age of the wave could be defined as the time from the initial disturbance until the first phase zero of the wave.) Thus, if the waves can be considered to be in deep water, they can be considered sinuscial for the purpose of energy calculations.

The following is given as a manerical emaple of the discrepancy. As an initial distarbance, a paraboloid is taken whose radius is R = 785 foot, and whose maximum depth is $\eta = 213$ feet. The potential energy of this paraboloid is, from Squation B.1,

$$W = \pi/6 \, p \, g \, R^2 \, \eta^2 = 9.4 \times 10^{11} \, \text{ft-lb} \tag{B.7}$$

However, by Equation B.2, the energy of the calculated waves in the first group is $Q = 17.4 \times 10^{11}$ ft-lb. The inclusion or an estimate of the energy remaining in the following (much smaller) group results in $W \approx \frac{1}{2}Q$.

A similar energy discrepancy can be seen in the enangles (cylindrical elevation, and ymetholoidal impulse) given in Netsrance 1.
REFERENCES

1. H. C. Kranzer and J. B. Keller; "Water Waves Produced by Explosions"; URM-NYU 222, September 1955; New York University, Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York City, New York; Unclassified.

2. T.D.J. Leech; "Project Scal': The Generation of Waves by Means of Englosives; Final Report"; December 1950; Department of Eccentific and Industrial Research, Wellington, New Zealand; Secret.

3. "Underwater Explosion Research; Vol. II: The Gas Globe"; 1950; Office of Naval Research, Department of the Navy, Washington, D.C.; Unchessified.

4. L.W. Kind; "Direct Water Wave Measurements"; Project 1.9a, Operation Redwing, WT-1369, 1561; University of California, Beripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, California; Secret Formerly Restricted Data.

5. J. E. Prins; "Characteristics of Waves Generated by a Local Disturbance"; Series 99, Issue 1, August 1956; Wave Research Laboratory, Institute of Engineering Research, Department of Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California; Unclassified.

6. L.W. Kidd; "Measurement of Secondary Effects: Water Waves"; Project 2.9, Operation Wigwam, WT-1020, 31 July 1959; University of California, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, California; Donfidential Formerly Restricted Data.

7. R. L. Wiegel; "Gravity Waves: Table of Functions"; February 1954; Council on Wave Research, The Engineering Foundation, Berkeley, California; Unclassified.

SECRET

DISTRIBUTION

Military Distribution Category 16

ACOMPANY ACOMPANY

- Deputy Chief of Stalf for Hilitary Operations, D/A, Washington 25, D.C. ATER: Dir. of GRR
- 2 Chief of Research and Development, D/A, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: Atomic Div.
- 3 Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence, D/A, Weekington 25. D.C.

- Chief of Engineers, D/A, Washington 25, B.C. ATCH: MIND 5 (Chief of Engineers, D/A, Washington 25, B.C. ATCH: MICE 6 Chief of Engineers, D/A, Washington 25, B.C. ATCH: MICE 7- 9 Commonting General, J.S. Continuetal Aury Common, Ft. Homroe. Wa.
 - Multon, Va.
 Burden Director of Special Magons Development Office, Sud-quarters COMEC, Ft. Eliza, Soc. 4717. Copt. Chaster I. Petternon
 - 11 President, U.S. Any Artillary Board, Ft. Sill, Gala.
 - 12 President, B.S. Anny Air Different Stord, Ft. Hill 10., M 13 Commandant, U.S. Samy Command & Conversi Staff College,
 - Ft. Losversorth, Danas, MITH: 40000005
 - 14 Committing General, Charles I Corps Brabiling Goud., Ft. Wellin, Mla.
 - Annuality Consul, The Engineer Octor, Ft. Schwir, Va. 25 100
 - 16 Director, finand Ronors Institutes of Pethology, Malter Band dray Wed. Oaster, 525 15th St., 50, Mashington 25, D.C.
 - miling Difficer, U. S. Kray Research Lab., Ft. 37 18
 - 18 60
- 19-20 De
- Ammending Orrowr, 0. Roox, Ky. Summediant, Maltur Bood Army Inst. of Bos., Maltur Bood Army Weitonl Conter, Namitusiton 25, D.C. Summeding Differ, Clearius Harfwre Lab., Army Chanical Conter, Nd. ATH: Then Library Commaniing Conserel, Sagineer Second and Dev. Lab., Ft. Balvoir, Va. ATH: Chief, Fuch. Support Second Director, Waterways Seguriant Station, P.D. Box 631, Anno. 2 Man. 7. Sparsy 21 Com
 - 22 Director, Waterways Separa Victor org, Niss. ATIN: Library
 - Manualing Bentral, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Md. ATTN: Director, Ballistics Research Deboratory 23 23
 - 24 Director, Operations Teasurch Dirice, Johns Bopkins
 - 25 Preditiont, B
 - inertor, operations measured Arises, Johns Sogerns University, 6535 Kultington Rd., Swinsen At, Md. resident, Beach Browion Board, Odeps of Englishers, S201 Little Hells Rd., W.A., Washington 15, B.C. ommander-in-Chief, J.S. Surg Partific, HPO 395, San Francisco, Dalif. 4718: Unimens Utilesr nes, U.S. Wray, 26 00

WAVE ACCEVITING

- 27- 28 Chief of Nevel Operations, D/R, Munitington 25, D.C. ATTAC OF MANA OPERATION, J/H, Mushington 25, D.C.
 - ATTN: 08-31
 - i Chief of Mavel Operations, D/R, Mushington 25, D.C. ATTN: 08-75
 - 3] Chief of Meral Operations, D/H, Machington 25, D.C. ATTN: IT-OL
 - 12 Chief of Meral Desertions, D/M, Muddington 25, D.C.
- 31- . Chief of Nevel Terrers, D/R, Wentington 25, D.C. ATTN: Code Ril
- 34- 3" Chief, Bureau of Meral Measons, D/N, Musington 25, D.C. ATTN: PLI-3

 - NICH: MAL"S SR Bildf, Barun, of Wilman, B/B, Walkington 25, B.C. S9 Bildf, Barun of Wilme, B/B, Walkington 25, B.C. (1998) (Buth A2) 40 Dilof, Baron of Bapiliou and Woosaro, B/R, Walkington 35, B.C.
 - 25, R.C. 41 Ento2, Serves of To 3.C. ATM: 3440 an at Larde and Davis, DAI, Maddangun 25,

- 42 "Director, U.S. * Boral * Becarach Laboratory, Mashington 25, D.C. MITS: "Bro.* Exhibition I. Cass 44 "Ommander, U.S. * Hoval "Otherson Laboratory, White Oak, Silver Spitag 19, Fid.
- 13- 44
 - allow "sping 19, 19, 10. multing Officer and Director, "Bay Blottroics Entertary, Can Diago 52, Chlif. multing Officer, T.S., Havel Miss Deters Lab., 45 a.K
 - Pauma City, Via.
- Manufing Officer, U.S. "Bunkle Satislagical Bifus Enhanding, San Prantices, Chlif. ATTS: Tech. 47- 48 ** Info. BLT.
- 49-50 0 miling Officer and Director, U.S. Maval Civil Bighnesting Laboratory, Port Bassane, Galif.
 - 51 mmulting Officer, U.S. Movel Schools' General, U.S. Herel Station, "Tressure Island, Sas' Francisco, Calif.
 - 52 Separintendent, U.S. Meval Postgredunte School, Mosterey. Oulif.
 - making Differ, U.S. Fleet Starr School, D.S. Neval 53 0 Base, Eny Nest, Fin.
 - manding Officer, U.S. Flowt Sumr School, San Miego 54 0 47, 0111.
 - There's Charge, U.S. Reval School, CEC Officers, U.S. Reval Construction Bn. Center, Port Massac, Calif. 55 OFF
 - 56 0
 - 57 8
 - Hereit Constitution S. Conver, State Training Conter, Athentic, U.S. Boyal Base, Borfelk 11, Wa. ATT: Butherr Marttry Bapt. Bucharr Marttry Butherr Mangane Training Conter, Factric, Howal Buckton, San Mago, Galif. Sanshing Diffsor, U.S. Mawal Gainge Control Tag. Conter, Reval Base, Philadelphia 12, Pa. ATT: ABC Datasan Course - Con
 - -59 'Com
 - Commuting Officer, Teval Air Meterial Center, Phila-Calphia 12, Pa. ATIN: Technical Beta Br. Manufing Officer, U.S. Soval Wedical Research Institute, Sectional Teval Wedical Center, Botherds, Rd. 6h (@
- Communiting Officer and Director, Switheda, Hd.
 Communiting Officer and Director, Swith M. Taylor Hodel Basin, Rashington 7, D.C. MURI: Liberary
 Communiting Officer and Director, U.S. Savel Engineering Engerthment Station, Anampolis, Hd. 51- 52 👁

 - menuter, Bortols Revel Mitpurd, Portamouta, Va. ATTA: Indervator Explosions Research Division 61
 - 55 Communitant, B.S. Warine Corps, Weskington 25, D.C. KTER: Code ADSE
 - bb Director, Mattes Corps Enabling Force, Beveligsant Delver, MCS, Quantizoo, Va.
 - unner; s.o. quanticoo, Va. unnering Differer, B.S. Maral CIC School, U.S. Maral Air Station, Diviso, Saundok, Ga. Hist of Waral Operations, Sagarianant of the Many, Anching ton 25, D.C. ATCN: OP-ORT £7 10
 - 6P Center of W
- 50- 71 Chief, Bureau of Mayal Mangons, Navy Bugartment, Mashing-zon C., D.C. ATTN: WRL

AIR FURCE ACTIVITIES

- To Air Forre Termaral Application Derter, St. 18a7,
- Wastington 2-, D. D. " BQ. USAF, ATTN: Descentions Analysis Office, Office, Vice
- Chief of Sonff, Whenthern I's . . . "1- 84 BG. BANT, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: APCIN-321

- M. Mart, Manufacture 2.) Street and Burelayment, 200/D, M. WAF, Realizington 27, 3.C. Mill: "Automatic Street Street The Compact Convert, "Mill, "Suff, "Suffactors and "Superson Date: MIN: Sto.-Suff, Pro." West, Dreating on 25, 3.C. MIN: Sto.-Suff, Pro." West, Dreating on 25, 3.C.
 MIN: Sto.-Suff, Pro." West, Dreating on 25, 3.C.
 MIN: Sto.-Suff, Pro." West, Dreating on 25, 3.C.
 MIN: Sto.-Suff, Pro." West, Dreating on 25, 3.C. Busilier, Telebul XII Gammel, Bangbuy ME, R. ATSI: Do. Security Branch
- Subliver, C., Hart Through and Development Con Subliver SC, Andregen 25, J.C. WYP: Toria

73

SECRET

- SECRET
- 89 Commander, Air Force Ballistic Missile Div. BQ. AEDC, Air Force Unit Post Office, Los Angeles 45, Calif. ATN: ADBOT
- 91 Commander, AT Cambridge Research Center, L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Mess. ATTN: CHAST-2
- under, Air Force Special Mespons Center, Mirtland AFB. 92- 96 70
- Albuquesque, T. Mer. ATTW: Tech. Info. & Intel. Div. 97- 98 "Director, Air University Library, Manvell ATB, Als. 99 "Communitor, Lewry Technical" Training Conter (TW), Lowry AFS, Denver, Cohomedo.
- 100-102 Commander, Wright Air Bevelopment Center, Wright-Patterson AFS, Deyton, Ohio. ATTH: WEAT' (For WOOSI)
 193-104 Director, USAF Project BAND, VIA: USAF Lisison Office,
- "The MAND Corp., 1700 Main St., Santa Monica, Calif.
 - 105 Commander, Air Technical Intelligence Center, UBAF, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, ATTN: AFCHA-Wala, Library 106 Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence, HQ. UMAPP, APO 633, New York, N.Y. ATTN: Directorate of Air Targets
 - under-in-Chief, Pacific Air Forces, APO 953, San 107 'Ca Truncisco, Calif. ATTN: PFCIE-NB, Base Boo NT V

OTHER DEPENDENT OF DEPENDE ACTIVITIES

- 108 Director of Diffence Bessearch and Engineering, Mashington 25, D.C. ATTN:"Tech. Library
- 109 Chiller in, Armed Services Explosives Safety Board, DOD, Bailding T-7, Gravelly Point, Washington 25, D.C.
- 110 Director, Wespons Systems Evaluation Group, Boom 12880, The Pentagon, -Washington 25, D.C.

- 111-114 Chief, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Mashington 25, D.C. ATTH: Document Library numender, Field Command, DASA, Sandia Base, Albuquerque, 115 🗯
 - N. Mex. 116 20 Wiex. Mrrs: Terrs
- Minander, Field: Con N.Miex. STTN: TCVT and, DASA, Sandia Base, Albuquerque, 117-118 200
 - mierein-Chief, Strategic Air Command, Offutt AFB, 119 10 Sieb. MITH: GAME
 - 120 U.S. Documents Officer, Office of the United States "Mational Military Representative -: MAPE, APO 55, Hew Tork, M.Y.

ATONIC MURIT CONCINSION ACTIVITIES

- 121-123 U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Technical Library, Mashing-ton 25, D.C. ATTH: For IMA
- 124-125 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Baport Library, P.O. Box 1663, Los Aismos, M. Mex. ATH: Holen Badman 126-130 Sandia Corporation, Classified Document Division, Sandia
- Base, Albuquerque, N. Mex. ATTN: H. J. Smyth, Jr.
- 131+140 University of California Lawrence Hadiation Laboratory, P.O. Box 306, Livermore, Calif. Arth: Chovis G. Craig 141 Office of Technical Information Extension, Oak
- Ridge, Tenn. (Master) 142-175 Office of Technical Information Extension, Cak Ridge.
- Tenn. (Surplus)



