

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD360456

CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO: unclassified

FROM: secret

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:
Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM:
Distribution: Further dissemination only as directed by Director, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Washington, DC 20301, 05 MAY 1965, or higher DoD authority.

AUTHORITY

DNA ltr dtd 6 Dec 1985; DNA ltr dtd 6 Dec 1985

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

SECRET
RESTRICTED DATA

AD 360456L

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA



RESTRICTED DATA
SECRET

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

NOTICE:

**THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION
AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF
THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEAN-
ING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18,
U.S.C., SECTIONS 793 and 794. THE
TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF
ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN
UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED
BY LAW.**

360456L

CATALOGED BY: DDC

AS AD NO.

360456

L

Operation

SECRET

**POR-229I
(WT-229I)**

SUN BEAM

SHOT JOHNIE BOY

This document consists of 125 pages

No. 172 of 237 copies, Series A

PROJECT OFFICERS REPORT—PROJECT 2.13

**RADIOISOTOPE FRACTIONATION AND PARTICLE
SIZE CHARACTERISTICS OF A LOW-YIELD
SURFACE NUCLEAR DETONATION (U)**

DDC
MAY 25 1965

*Irving J. Russell, Colonel, USAF,
Project Officer*

Air Force Weapons Laboratory
Kirtland Air Force Base
New Mexico

GROUP-1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and declassification.

Issuance Date: May 5, 1965

RESTRICTED DATA

This document contains restricted data as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. Its transmittal or the disclosure of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited.

All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through Director, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Washington, D. C. 20301

DDC CONTROL
NO. 52184

SECRET
RESTRICTED DATA

**Best
Available
Copy**

Inquiries relative to this report may be made to

**Director, Defense Atomic Support Agency
Washington, D. C. 20301**

**When no longer required, this document may be
destroyed in accordance with applicable security
regulations.**

DO NOT RETURN THIS DOCUMENT

SECRET

FOR-2291
(WT-2291)

OPERATION SUN BEAM

SHOT JOHNIE BOY

PROJECT OFFICERS REPORT — PROJECT 2.13

**RADIOISOTOPE FRACTIONATION AND PARTICLE
SIZE CHARACTERISTICS OF A LOW-YIELD
SURFACE NUCLEAR DETONATION (U)**

**This document contains information affecting the Nation
Defense of the United States within the meaning of the
Espionage Laws, Title 18, U. S. C., Section 793 and 794
its transmission or the revelation of its contents in
manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.*

**Irving J. Russell, Colonel, USAF
Project Officer**

All distribution of this report is controlled.
Qualified DDC users shall request through
Chief, Defense Atomic Support Agency,
Washington, D.C., 20301.

**Air Force Weapons Laboratory
Kirtland Air Force Base
New Mexico**

GROUP-1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and declassification.

This document is the author(s) report to the Director,
Defense Atomic Support Agency, of the results of ex-
perimentation sponsored by that agency during nuclear
weapons effects testing. The results and findings in
this report are those of the author(s) and not neces-
sarily those of the DOD. Accordingly, reference to
this material must credit the author(s). This report
is the property of the Department of Defense and, as
such, may be reclassified or withdrawn from circula-
tion as appropriate by the Defense Atomic Support
Agency.

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301**

RESTRICTED DATA

This document contains restricted data as
defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.
Its transmittal or the disclosure of its
contents in any manner to an unauthorized
person is prohibited.

3-4

SECRET
RESTRICTED DATA

ABSTRACT

Aircraft sampling penetrations of a low-yield nuclear cloud from a land-surface burst in Nevada were made at four altitudes, from 20 to 54 minutes after detonation. Samples from each of the four levels were radiochemically analyzed for 15 fission product isotopes. Other samples from each level were fractionated into seven particle size groups by settling in benzene. The individual size fractions were analyzed radiochemically and by gamma spectrometry. Particle size distributions and specific activities were measured in the untreated and artificially fractionated specimens of debris. Fallout samples were collected in trays along the fallout hot-line. The fallout samples were radiochemically and gamma spectrometrically analyzed.

The extensive radiochemical and physical data published by NRDL on the fallout samples (Reference 9) were used in conjunction with the cloud data to establish: (1) approximately 70 to 75 percent of Zr^{95} and the rare earth refractory fission products had fallen out of the cloud within 20 minutes of the detonation. Of the 25 percent remaining, about 15 percent later fell out locally and at intermediate distances; the remaining 10 percent resided in particles less than 18 micron diameter and was carried to larger distances. (2) Approximately 5 percent of Cs^{137} and Sr^{90} fell out of the cloud in the first 20 minutes. Of the Cs remaining in the 20-minute cloud, about 25 percent fell out locally and at intermediate distances; the remaining 70 to 75 percent was associated with particles less than 18 microns in diameter and was dispersed to larger distances.

The partitioning of 18 fission product chains between the cloud and the prompt fallout has been determined from the detailed radiochemistry of the cloud and fallout samples. All are intermediate between Zr and Cs in partitioning behavior.

The radiochemical composition of prompt fallout particulates can be systematized on the basis of a simple model employing the concept of fallout formation time.

The R value data for the cloud are satisfactorily fit by the relationship $R_{i,cs} = (R_{cs,cs})^n$ where n varies between 0 and 1 for the different isotopes. The relationship is not extrapolatable to the composition of the fallout samples.

Three specific activity and particle size distribution behaviors can be discerned: irregulars in the cloud; irregulars in the prompt fallout; and spheres in the cloud.

The particle frequency functions for the irregulars in the cloud can be fit by $F(D) \propto D^{-2.2}$. The size distribution for spheres appears to be approximately log-normally distributed about a mean of 30 microns.

The specific activity of all isotopes in the cloud is highest in the smallest particles. S_p (fissions of Zr^{95} per gram) in the cloud decreases with increasing particle size but averages about 5×10^{12} , similar to the value in the most

intense prompt fallout samples. S_{137} in the cloud averaged 4×10^{14} fissions/gm compared to 1.2×10^{12} in the intense prompt fallout. The relationship between S_{95} and diameter is given by: $S_{95} \propto D^{-0.2 \pm 0.1}$. S^{137} follows no simple relationship with D over the entire size range but the distribution of Cs between particles $> 18\mu$ and $< 18\mu$ can be fit by $S_{137} \propto D^{-1}$. Data on the regulars (spheres) are tentative. The spheres exhibit a somewhat higher specific activity (S_{95}) than the irregulars. No more than 10 percent of the cloud fission activity is borne by spheres. Microprobe analysis demonstrates most spheres contain iron, with various quantities of Cr, Mn, Ni, and Zn, some of which show a tendency to be enriched relative to iron as particle size increases.

The importance of synthesizing data from cloud and surface sampling analysis programs is stressed. Isotopic fractionation is used as a tool in arriving at a partitioning between prompt and more remote fallout.

SECRET

PREFACE

Many members of the Biophysics Branch, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, participated in obtaining the data presented in this report. Capt. A. Anthony, the original project officer, and author of the POIR, deserves high praise for his efficient conduct of the field phase of the cloud sampling operation. A1c R. Clark performed the particle size sedimentation experiments and obtained particle size distributions by optical microscopy. He also investigated the specific activity relationships as a function of particle size. Airman Clark's contributions to this study were of signal value as well in many of the interpretive aspects. The radiochemical analysis work was directed by Maj. Harold O. Larson, who was assisted by Lt. J. Lamb, Lt. C. Kingsbury, Lt. G. Bryan, Lt. J. Noyce, and A1c R. Clark. Capt. W.R. Myers supervised some of the later radiochemistry work and intercalibrated the gamma spectrometric system by radiochemical methods. Lt. D. Pichler performed the electron beam micro-probe analyses of individual spherical particles. The radiochemical and gamma spectrometric assay was accomplished by Lt. R. Griffith, Lt. L.M. Hutzenbiler and Lt. W. Reed. Electronic Instrumentation maintenance and calibration services were rendered by Mr. J. Pulliam and Lts. N. Coddington and D. Wood. Capt. D. Lucke, Mr. H. Murphy and Lt. R. Lee aided in the computer reduction of the data and assisted in developing tests of a specific activity-particle size model.

Special thanks are due to Dr. E. Freiling of NRDL for sharing his penetrating insights in fallout phenomenology and for making available to us radiochemical data of the fallout samples prior to publication.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT-----	5
PREFACE -----	7
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION -----	13
1.1 Objectives -----	13
1.2 Background -----	13
1.2.1 Characteristics of Ground-Burst Debris -----	16
CHAPTER 2 PROCEDURE-----	22
2.1 Aircraft Sampling -----	22
2.2 Fallout Sampling -----	23
2.3 Aerial Filter Paper Analysis -----	24
2.4 Particle Size and Specific Activity Studies -----	25
2.4.1 Benzene Column Sedimentation -----	25
2.4.2 Particle Sizing by Optical Microscopy-----	26
2.4.3 Gamma Spectrometry of Particle Fractions -----	26
2.4.4 Specific Activity Measurements -----	27
2.4.5 Electron Beam Microprobe Analysis-----	28
CHAPTER 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION -----	30
3.1 Distribution of Radioactivity in the Cloud -----	30
3.2 Radiochemical Composition of Cloud Samples -----	31
3.2.1 Average Composition of the Cloud -----	32
3.2.2 Radiochemical Composition and Particle Size-----	32
3.2.3 Percentage Distribution of Radionuclides with Particle Size -----	33
3.3 Particle Size Distribution of Cloud Samples -----	34
3.3.1 Validity of Particle Sizing and Fractionation Methods -----	35
3.3.2 Size Distribution of Regulars and Spheres -----	36
3.3.3 Modification of Cloud Distribution by Fallout -----	37
3.4 Specific Activity of Cloud Samples with Particle Size -----	39
3.5 Specific Activity of Ground Samples -----	40
3.5.1 NRDL Measurements -----	40
3.5.2 Average Specific Activity and Particle Size -----	40
3.5.3 Specific Activity of Fused Agglomerates -----	41
3.5.4 Radiochemical Composition of Ground Fallout -----	42
CHAPTER 4 INTERPRETATION OF DATA-----	59
4.1 Fallout Formation Time and Radiochemical Composition -----	59
4.2 Partition of Isotope Activity Between Cloud and Fallout -----	61
4.2.1 Partition of Debris Mass Between Cloud and Surface -----	63

4.3 Specific Activity and Mass Distribution Model-----	64
4.3.1 Size and Activity Distribution Calculations in Benzene Fractions----	64
4.3.2 The n Parameter and the Slope of Fractionation Plots -----	70
4.4 Partition Between Intermediate and Distant Fallout -----	70
4.4.1 Cloud Fallout of Debris and Radioactive Isotopes in Greater than 18 Micron Fraction-----	71
4.5 Particle Size and Mass Frequency Curves for the Event-----	74
4.6 Specific Activity and Energy Coupling -----	75
4.7 Fractionation and Partition Between Prompt and Long Range Fallout ----	78
4.8 Significance of Logarithmic Fractionation Correlations -----	80
 CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS -----	 80
5.1 Conclusions -----	80
5.2 Recommendations -----	81
 APPENDIX A CLOUD PENETRATION -----	 93
 APPENDIX B PARTICLE SIZE AND SPECIFIC ACTIVITY MEASUREMENTS----	 101
 APPENDIX C CALCULATED DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS FOR BENZENE EXPERIMENT-----	 112
 APPENDIX D ELECTRON MICROPROBE ANALYSIS -----	 116
 REFERENCES-----	 124
 TABLES	
2.1 Cloud Sampling Data-----	29
3.1 Intensity Profile in Cloud -----	44
3.2 R Value Summary of Cloud Relative to Sr ⁹⁰ -----	44
3.3 Radiochemical Composition and Particle Size -----	45
3.4 Cut-Off Diameters in Microns -----	46
3.5 Cut-Off Diameters in Cloud -----	46
3.6 Percentage Distribution of Radionuclides with Size -----	47
3.7 Size Distribution of Spheres-----	48
3.8 Fraction of Particles Lost by Fallout -----	48
3.9 Specific Activity of Cloud Fractions -----	49
3.10 Specific Activity of Ground Fallout -----	49
3.11 Median Mass and Median Activity Diameters-----	50
3.12 Radiochemical Composition of Prompt Fallout-----	51
4.1 Partition of Isotope Activity Between Cloud and Fallout -----	63
4.2 Calculated and Observed Isotopic Distributions -----	85
4.3 Calculated and Observed Isotopic Distributions -----	86
4.4 Fractionation Slopes and n Parameter -----	87
4.5 Isotopic Partition Between Long Range and Intermediate Fallout -----	88
4.6 Comparison of Coral Surface and Continental Surface Slopes -----	88
A.1 Dose and Dose Rate Data from Cloud Penetrations -----	95
A.2 Data Extracted from Pilots Data Cards -----	96
A.3 Data from Samples Immediately After Removal from Aircraft-----	97

A.4 Dose Rate Readings on Samples at H + 24 hours -----	97
D.1 316 Stainless Steel Microspheres -----	120
D.2 Correction Factors for Electron Probe Analyses -----	120

FIGURES

3.1 Fractionation plots cloud samples-----	52
3.2 Benzene Fractionations-----	53
3.3 Particle size distribution -----	54
3.4 Slope reconstruction, 14k feet -----	55
3.5 Sphere distribution-----	56
3.6a Fractionation plots, fallout samples -----	57
3.6b Fractionation plots, fallout samples -----	58
4.1 Fallout formation time and $R_{1,95}$ -----	89
A.1 Aerial view of Johnie Boy event at H + 0 minutes, 25 seconds -----	98
A.2 View of Johnie Boy event, H + 1 minute, 30 seconds -----	99
A.3 Aerial view of Johnie Boy event, H + 2 minutes, 30 seconds -----	100
B.1 Photomicrographs of 14,000-foot fractionated samples-----	111
D.1 316 stainless steel microspheres -----	121
D.2 Microprobe analysis -----	122
D.3 Photographs of Johnie Boy metallic particles -----	123

SECRET

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 OBJECTIVES

The prime objective of this study was to define the radiochemical and physical characteristics of the nuclear cloud in a three-dimensional sense and to relate these characteristics to those found in prompt fallout samples along the hot-line. Parameters to be investigated were: (1) the particle size distribution in the cloud; (2) the relationship between particle size and individual radionuclide specific activity of regular and irregular particles; (3) partition of isotope activity between the cloud and prompt fallout; (4) the ultimate disposition of the cloud's isotopic content downwind as determined by particle size considerations; (5) the fractionation systematics of the event; (6) the composition of regular and irregular particles as determined by electron beam microprobe analysis.

1.2 BACKGROUND

Only a handful of nuclear explosions have been conducted by the United States on continental land surfaces prior to Johnie Boy. These have all been of low yield (in the vicinity of 2 kt or less). Most have been the object of intensive fallout investigations since they represent the only basis on

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic downgrading
and declassification

SECRET
RESTRICTED DATA

which to suggest similarity and differences between fallout phenomenology given by high-yield surface bursts on barges or on coral islands and the phenomenology that would result from high-yield continental surface bursts.

A sound fallout model leans heavily on assumptions concerning relationships between particle size and specific activity and the variation of these quantities with yield and burst conditions. Despite many years of experimental fallout studies much of the basic physical input data incorporated into fallout models and the scaling laws used in going from low to high yields are uncertain. Specifically open to question are (1) the particle size distribution, normally taken to be yield-insensitive and log-normal in form; (2) the relationship between specific activity and particle size, usually taken to be independent of particle size and unperturbed by fractionation; (3) the specific activity in a given size interval, commonly assumed to be directly scalable with yield; (4) the ignoring, until very recently, of the importance of isotope fractionation in affecting the character and intensity of the fallout field, and the partitioning between close-in and more remote fallout. Ideally, limitations of a fallout model should be imposed more by uncertainties in the meteorology and the three-dimensional distribution of the radioactive debris in the cloud than by inadequacies in basic physical data.

The relatively poor understanding of close-in fallout phenomena (considering the large number of experimental opportunities provided) must be attributed in part to fragmentation of effort. Until recently only desultory attempts have been made to correlate information obtained from cloud samples with those derived from associated ground fallout samples. Traditionally, aerial cloud sampling and surface experimental groups had quite different objectives and borrowed little of each other's data. Notable contributions in recent years by Freiling (References 1 & 2) are in part due to a consideration of both cloud and ground fallout analyses. Also, useful information possessed by agencies that are not interested in the fallout problem per se can be extracted in a form that reveals no sensitive details. For example, if fission product R values of a given event are reported in terms of their ratio to an expected norm (the R value of an unfractionated sample appropriate to the device in question), then not even the fission product yield curve of the device is revealed. These normalized R values are referred to as R' in this report.

Isotopic fractionation is a powerful and relatively unexploited tool in the study of surface-burst explosions. If well documented spacially, isotopic fractionation patterns permit one to partition individual isotopic radioactivities

between persistent cloud components and fallout, and with some confidence, between various particle fractions in the persistent cloud.

1.2.1 Characteristics of Ground-Burst Debris.

Stevenson (Reference 3), and Freiling (References 1 and 2) have demonstrated the existence of systematic isotopic fractionation patterns in ground burst debris. The relevant data of Stevenson and Freiling are mostly confined to large yield Pacific coral island tests.

Stevenson found that the fractionation patterns of cloud samples from coral atoll surface shots can be reasonably well fit by a linear R value plot. Freiling, however, in an extensive study of the fractionation of coral island and water surface bursts, in which cloud and fallout samples both were measured, found it necessary to invoke a logarithmic plot. Logarithmic plots of $R_{1,89}$ versus $R_{95,89}$ give straight lines fitting both classes of burst quite well, under conditions whereby R value ratios fractionated over a two order of magnitude range.

Freiling has suggested (Reference 2) that the power law fit of the fractionated Sr⁸⁹ R values, $R_{1,89} = (R_{95,89})^n$, may be related to the mathematical fact that the product function x^n . Log normal (x) is also log normal in form and with the same

variance. Thus, if the particle size distribution is log-normal, the particle area and the particle volume functions should also be log-normally distributed. Freiling suggests that the most refractory isotopes, e.g., Zr^{95} and Ce^{144} , will be incorporated in the fallout material throughout the volume of the particles, whereas such species as Sr^{89} and Cs^{137} , which are mainly in the form of volatile precursors at the time of condensation of the particle matrix, will distribute themselves on the surface of the particles and will be log-normally distributed as D^2 . All other radionuclide chains will exhibit an intermediate character between Sr^{89} and Zr^{95} , being partly attached to the surface and partly incorporated in the particle.

Since radionuclide composition and particle size are intimately related, with refractory isotopes showing an enrichment relative to volatile isotopes in large particles, at least the qualitative features of Freiling's hypothesis are borne out by the facts. It is possible, however, that a randomized surface attachment, directly related to the refractivity of the chain in question will probably equally well account for the observations. The closest approach to ideal condensation behavior, as Freiling emphasizes, should be given by airburst condensation processes, where the entire matrix is initially in the vaporized state. However,

the specific activity of individual airburst particles in the micron size range has been observed by the author to decrease with size. These airburst particles are highly enriched in refractory relative to volatile isotopes, and the majority of their activity is due to the refractory radioisotope components (Zr^{95} and rare earths). Hence one would expect a gross specific activity relationship which is essentially constant, or which falls off between D^2 and D^3 . In fact, the fall off in specific activity with D is much steeper than D^2 in the majority of instances observed (Reference 4). In a surface burst a large fraction of the matrix to which the radioactive components can be attached has never been volatilized at all. Thus surface attachment of the fission fragments to larger inert particles must be considered an important mode of association in ground bursts.

Particle Size Distribution. The NRDL D-Model (Reference 5) for fallout assumes a log-normal particle size distribution for Nevada soil with $\log_{10} D = 2.053$ and $\sigma = 0.732$. Values adopted for coral are $\log_{10} D = 2.209$ and $\sigma = 0.424$. RAND (Reference 5) uses a distribution defined by $\log_{10} D = 1.65$ and $\sigma = 0.69$ to describe 95% of the cloud, and $\log_{10} D = 2.34$ and $\sigma = 0.50$ to describe the remaining 5%. Both models

assume a uniform activity distribution with mass. For example, the RAND model with $\log_{10} D = 1.95$ puts 95% of the activity between 20 and 360 micron diameter particles, and with $\log_{10} D = 2.54$, 95% of the activity is between 160 and 1200 microns.

Specific Activity and Particle Size. The literature on particle size distributions, and specific activity as a function of particle size (mostly gross activity data, undifferentiated by nuclide assay), is abundant. This resume will confine itself to Jangle S, Jangle U, and Teapot ESS (References 6 through 8).

(1) The highest specific activities we have been able to find documented radiochemically for Jangle S and Jangle U are 2.9×10^{13} fissions of Mo^{99} per gram of fallout. In Jangle U, Mo^{99} appeared to be the most refractory isotope, judging from comparative analyses of Zr^{95} and Ce^{144} in the specimens (References 7 and 8). In Teapot ESS, S^{144} (expressed in fissions of Ce^{144} per gram of fallout and estimated by us from the dpm/ga data) exhibited a maximum of about 2.3×10^{13} , along the hot-line, although one sample collected 3,400 yards from ground zero gave an estimated S_{144} of 5×10^{14} fissions per gram (Reference 6). This is probably a sample of true fireball material. It is estimated that one ton of yield will vaporize about 0.4 ton of soil. Thus, a reasonable upper limit for

the specific activity of a soil-like fission product as Ce^{144} would be about 3.5×10^{14} fissions/ μm of prompt fallout. The average specific activity for a given event is difficult to determine without a comprehensive analysis of all pertinent data. For example, in Teapot ESS, S_{144} increased from 4.5×10^{12} at 300 yards (R/hr = 4150) to 2.3×10^{13} at 3,400 yards (R/hr = 43). A crudely weighted average of about 5.5×10^{12} F_{144}/gm is indicated.

(2) The specific activity generally decreased with particle size but was constant to within a factor of two over the range of 400 to 3000 microns. In Jangle S and Jangle U, 95% of the total activity was found in particles in excess of 20 microns diameter. There is some tendency for enrichment of Sr^{89} and Ba^{140} in the finer particles relative to Zr^{95} or Ce^{144} . Typically, ground fallout particles contain less than 10% of their representative Sr^{89} content and about 35% of their representative Ba^{140} content relative to the refractory isotopes (Reference 6 through 8).

(3) The fraction of fully active particle in the intense, prompt fallout increases with particle size. It is estimated in Jangle U that 0.1%, 1%, and 10% of 1, 10, and 100-micron particles, respectively, were active. In Teapot ESS, 12% of particles larger than 200 microns were fully active and 39% were surface active.

(4) Hot particles have a number mean diameter larger than cold particles. The standard deviation of the frequency curves of hot and cold particles are comparable. The mass distribution for the prompt fallout along the hot-line generally is peaked to larger sizes than the undisturbed preshot soil.

(5) The radiochemical composition of cloud and fallout samples indicates severe fractionation of isotopic ratios of a complementary nature. The refractory isotopes Zr^{95} , Ce^{144} , and Mo^{99} are enriched in the fallout samples. The volatile isotopes Sr^{89} , Ba^{140} , Ag^{111} , and Ru^{106} are enriched in the cloud samples. To our knowledge material balance calculations have not been performed for these events, whereby an attempt was made to partition radioisotope activities between surface and the cloud.

CHAPTER 2

PROCEDURE

2.1 AIRCRAFT SAMPLING

Three WB-57 aircraft equipped with wing-tip tank samplers penetrated the cloud at five levels between 20 and 54 minutes after the detonation. Each tip tank exposed a 4.75-square foot IPC filter paper. The tanks were equipped with an open-close valve which was activated from within the aircraft. Flow rate through the filter paper was approximately 1,500 linear feet per minute. At these face velocities IPC was almost 100% efficient for all particles with diameters in excess of ~ 0.1 micron diameter. IPC is a cellulose mat paper which is impregnated with kronisol (dibutoxyethylphthalate). Its extreme purity from inorganic contaminants and its suitability for dry or wet ashing manipulation in the laboratory render it most useful for studying the chemical and physical properties of aerosols.

The sampling aircraft were equipped with a number of active and passive radiation measuring devices. Table 2.1 summarizes the salient features of each of the six independent penetrations between 9,500 and 14,000 feet MSL, the approximate upper and lower boundaries of the intense cloud. Ground zero was 5,153 feet MSL.

In Table 2.1, R refers to roentgens and F to fissions.

F(gross) was obtained by Los Alamos between H + 1 and H + 2 hours, using standard assay techniques. F_{95} and F_{137} were obtained radiochemically by assay of Zr^{95} and Cs^{137} .

The parenthesized numbers are exponents of 10, e.g.,

$1.6(14) = 1.6 \times 10^{14}$ (see Appendix A).

2.2 FALLOUT SAMPLING

Fallout samples were collected in 0.75 ft^2 cake pans filled with Nevada soil, placed along the anticipated hot-line (10° east of north) at 500, 700, 1,100, 1,300, and 1,500 yards from ground zero. The addition of soil to the pans was most poorly conceived. The fallout samples were difficult to analyze in a representative fashion, and only a limited number of radiochemical and gamma spectrometric data were obtained from them. They were useful, however, in demonstrating some of the compositional relationships between the largest debris found in the cloud and the fallout and provided a few cross-check points on the very extensive radiochemical data on the fallout samples given in Reference 9.

2.3 AERIAL FILTER PAPER ANALYSIS

A portion of each filter paper was dissolved and analyzed radiochemically for Sr⁸⁹, Sr⁹⁰, Y⁹¹, Zr⁹⁵, Mo⁹⁹, Cd^{115m}, Sn¹²³, Sn¹²⁵, Ru¹⁰³, Ru¹⁰⁶, Te^{129m}, Te¹³², Cs¹³⁷, Ba¹⁴⁰, Ce¹⁴¹, Ce¹⁴⁴, Pr¹⁴³, and Nd¹⁴⁷. Standard carrier radiochemical procedures were employed. The results are reported as R values. An R value is defined as:

$$R = \frac{(A_i/A_{99})_{J.B.}}{(A_i/A_{99})_{U^{235}}} \quad (\text{thermal fission})$$

Here A_i is the disintegration rate of isotope i , A_{99} is the disintegration rate of Mo⁹⁹. The numerator is the disintegration rate of the isotope relative to Mo⁹⁹ in Johnie Boy debris; the denominator is the ratio of the isotope disintegration

rate to Mo^{99} found in the thermal neutron fission of U^{235} . An R' value is defined in a similar fashion:

$$R = \frac{(A_1/A_{99})_{\text{J.B. debris}}}{(A_1/A_{99})_{\text{J.B. unfractionated}}}$$

The radiochemical analysis methods have been calibrated by thermal neutron irradiations and are generally accurate to about 10%.

2.4 PARTICLE SIZE AND SPECIFIC ACTIVITY STUDIES

2.4.1 Benzene Column Sedimentation. A known fraction of IPC filter paper was dry ashed at 450 to 500°C for 24 to 36 hours. The ash was suspended in benzene in a 500-ml graduated cylinder, vigorously stirred, and then allowed to settle for 120 minutes. The top 400 ml was withdrawn and filtered through Whatman #42 filter paper. (The filtrate was inactive). One quarter of the filter paper sample was reserved for microscopic particle sizing, as described below. The remainder was dissolved and transferred to an ampoule for gamma spectrometric measurements, using a 2- by 4-foot NaI well counter. The 100 ml of benzene suspension remaining contained all particles that had fallen

from higher levels in 120 minutes. The volume was again made up to 500 ml with benzene, stirred, and then allowed to settle for 60 minutes. As before, the top 400 ml was withdrawn, filtered, and treated as above. This procedure was repeated for successive settling times of 30m, 15m, and 1m. The residue from the 1-minute settling fraction was filtered directly. In this manner, 28 samples (seven at each sampling level) were obtained for gamma spectrometry, radiochemical analysis, and optical microscopy.

2.4.2 Particle Sizing by Optical Microscopy. Particle size distributions were obtained on samples of unsized aerial filter samples and on those which had been subjected to the benzene column sedimentation. A few milligrams of the debris were suspended in a collodion-ether-alcohol solution, spread evenly on a glass microscope slide, and frequency-diameter data were recorded under magnifications of 100 to 500. The diameter recorded is the projection on the horizontal axis. Frequency curves were established for both irregular and for spherical particles (see Appendix B).

2.4.3 Gamma Spectrometry of Particle Fractions. Gamma spectrometric measurements were performed on the 28 benzene fractions, on samples of undifferentiated debris, and on soil samples. The majority of the measurements were performed 440

days or more after t_0 . At this time, the samples contained only the following major γ -emitting components: Ce¹⁴⁴ - Pr¹⁴⁴, Ru¹⁰⁶ - Rh¹⁰⁶; Zr⁹⁵ - Nb⁹⁵; Cs¹³⁷; and Co⁶⁰. Earlier measurements established the levels of Ba¹⁴⁰ - La¹⁴⁰, Ce¹⁴¹ and Ru¹⁰³ in selected samples. Interestingly, the late gamma spectra provide information on two very refractory isotopes (Zr⁹⁵ and Ce¹⁴⁴), an isotope with intermediate volatility characteristics (Ru¹⁰⁶), an induced isotope (Co⁶⁰) and a very volatile isotope (Cs¹³⁷). Because of the limited number of components, and the reasonable separation of photo-peak energies, it was possible to establish the levels of all components to approximately 10%. The gamma spectrometric efficiencies were calibrated, post-facto, by radiochemical analysis of selected samples.

2.4.4 Specific Activity Measurements. Direct specific activity measurements were performed only on the 11K and 14K samples. Samples of dust were weighed and assayed on the gamma spectrometer. These represented the average specific activity, expressed in F_{95} , F_{144} , F_{137} , and F_{106} per gram. As well, each of the seven fractions obtained in the benzene sedimentation of the 11K and 14K debris were weighed and assayed. The specific activities of a few

individual particles of spherical debris were assayed on a low background beta counter and the results expressed as $F_{144}/gm.$

2.4.5 Electron Beam Microprobe Analysis. An Applied Research electron microprobe analyzer was used in these studies. Complete elemental analysis, within the limits of the probe (Mg to higher Z), was performed on some two hundred and fifty particles sampled from different heights. The majority examined were metallic or metallic oxide spheres in the 5 to 30 micron diameter range. Particles were located and sized by the electron back-scatter technique. The probe was switched to spot mode and an electron beam spot, one micron in diameter, was positioned directly on top of the particle in question. The X-ray detectors were then scanned through the required wavelengths and the intensity versus wavelength data were recorded as mass concentration units on the read-out. Data are reported for Fe, Cr, Co, Ni, Mn, Zn. At the moment the unit has not been calibrated for spherical particles of varying composition, and the data reported are at best qualitative. Details are to be found in Appendix D.

TABLE 2.1 CLOUD SAMPLING DATA (9500 to 14,000 feet MSL)

	9.5K	11K	11K	12K	13.5-14K	14K
A/C	842-R	842-L	842-L	245-L	245-R	827-L
Time	33 m	20 m	25 m	48 m	54 m	20 m
R/hr(ave)	4	10	30	0.05	4	75
R/hr(max)	6	60	60	0.1	8	200
t(sec)	30	10	15	68	83	17
R(total)	0.45	0.2	0.3	0.01	0.35	0.6
F(gross)	1.7(14)	4.2(14)	-	-	7.6(14)	8.4(14)
F/sec	0.57(13)	1.7(13)	-	-	0.9(13)	4.9(13)
F ₉₅ /sec	-	0.71(13)	-	-	-	1.0(13)
F ₁₃₇ /sec	-	1.6(13)	-	-	-	8.0(13)

CHAPTER 3

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 DISTRIBUTION OF RADIOACTIVITY IN THE CLOUD

The active and passive radioactivity measurements summarized in Table 2.1 make it clear that the most intense portion of the cloud was in the vicinity of 14,000 feet, both at 20 and at 54 minutes after detonation. On any basis (peak R/hr, average R/hr, total fissions collected, or fissions per second collected), the intensity profile increases from the cloud bottom at about 9,500 feet to the top at 14,000 feet. The purpose to which the radiochemical data of the cloud will be put does not require a volume integration of the cloud but only a knowledge of its relative intensity as a function of altitude. In the sampling effort an attempt was made to penetrate the region of maximum intensity in each of the six passes. Accordingly we will adopt a profile of intensity versus altitude based upon the fission collection rate (F/sec) encountered at 9.5K, 11K, and 14K, from 20 to 33 minutes after t_0 . The relative values are 1.0, 3.0, and 8.6 at three altitudes, respectively. These figures will be used to weight the radiochemical R values found at each of the four sampling altitudes in order to obtain an average radiochemical composition of the cloud. Table 3.1 gives the intensity versus altitude weighting factors adopted.

Although this procedure is quite arbitrary, the partition of radioactivity between the cloud and the prompt fallout, which will be derived from it, is relatively insensitive to the weighting method adopted. Prime weight must be given, in any event, to the 13.5 and 14-thousand foot samples.

3.2 RADIOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF CLOUD SAMPLES

The radiochemical R values, relative to Sr^{89} , are summarized in Table 3.2 for the 9.5K, 11K, 13.5K, and 14K cloud samples. The entry for Ave R will be explained in Paragraph 3.2.1.

In Figure 3.1 $R_{i,89}$ is plotted against $R_{95,89}$. The points are seen to fit the relationship:

$$R_{i,89} = 1.00 \pm .05 (R_{95,89})^n$$

where n varies between 0 and 1. Species having the same volatility characteristics in the cloud as Sr^{89} have zero slopes on the log-log plot. Species having the same refractory characteristics as Zr^{95} have slopes near 1.0. Those exhibiting an intermediate behavior, as Ce^{141} , Y^{91} , Ba^{140} , Te^{129m} and Te^{132} have intermediate slopes.

3.2.1 Average Composition of the Cloud. Using the weighting factors in Table 3.1, the average value of the $\text{Sr}^{89}/\text{Zr}^{95}$ R value ratio is calculated from the relationship:

$$\bar{R}_{89,95} = \frac{\int W(Z) \cdot R(Z)}{\int W(Z)}$$

R(Z) was obtained from Table 3.2 by linear interpolation at 0.5K intervals. The result obtained is $\bar{R}_{89,95} = 3.43$, or $\bar{R}_{95,89} = .292$. To a good approximation, the average composition is given by the 13.5K cloud values in Table 3.2. Values chosen from the curves of Figure 3.1 at $R_{95,137} = .292$ are given in the final column of Table 3.2.

3.2.2 Radiochemical Composition and Particle Size. The R values of Zr^{95} , Ce^{144} , and Ru^{106} relative to Cs^{137} for each of the 28 laboratory fractionated samples are given in Table 3.4. The data in Table 3.3 are based on gamma spectrometric measurements, supplemented by radiochemical analysis for calibration purposes.

In Table 3.3 the column headings refer to the time the debris was allowed to settle in the benzene column prior to decantation and filtration (see Section 2.4.1 for details). The approximate cut-off diameters of the particles, in microns for each of the seven fractions are listed in Table 3.4.

The cut-off diameters in the cloud have been estimated, assuming a cloud top of 15,000 feet, for several values of particle density, at the sampling times in question (Table 3.5).

Table 3.3 reveals a systematic fractionation of fission product R values with particle size. In general, there is a rough constancy in radiochemical composition between particles of 18 microns or smaller, although there is a tendency for Cs¹³⁷ enrichment relative to Zr⁹⁵ and Ce¹⁴⁴ in the smallest particles, especially at the highest altitude. Particles in excess of 18 microns are highly enriched in Zr⁹⁵ and Ce¹⁴⁴ and to a less marked degree, in Ru¹⁰⁶ all relative to Cs¹³⁷.

Figure 3.2 portrays the calculated particle size separations achieved by the benzene fractionation procedure. The figure gives the percent of particles of a given size in the original cloud sample for each of the seven settling times. These $\phi(D)$ functions, when multiplied by the particle size distribution characteristic of the cloud at time of sampling ($F(D)$), give the particle size distributions of each of the settled fractions, $F'(D)$. Thus,

$$F'(D) = \phi(D) \cdot F(D)$$

Use of the $\phi(D)$ functions will be discussed in Section 4.3.

3.2.3 Percentage Distribution of Radionuclides with Particle Size. In Table 3.6 are summarized the percentages of each of four radioisotopes in the 28 laboratory-fractionated samples. These data are relevant to the partition between short and longer term fallout of refractory, volatile and intermediate fission product isotopes.

3.3 PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF CLOUD SAMPLES

The gross particle size distribution of the cloud samples was determined in two independent manners by optical microscopy. Specimens of 11K and 14K debris obtained by ashing of the filter paper were sized directly. The curves obtained are illustrated in Figures 3.3 and 3.4, drawn through the circled points. The two curves are essentially superposable, as is to be expected if the cloud was initially well mixed, and the range of comparison is restricted to diameters below the cut-off values (72 and 137 microns, respectively). The size distribution function, $F(D)$, fits the data rather well over the range 2 to 60 microns with the form:

$$F(D) = K.D^{-2.95}$$

The second method involved sizing a portion of each of the seven fractions obtained by sedimentation in benzene. Only the 9.5K and 14K samples were treated in this manner. At each altitude, seven $F'(D)$ distributions were obtained. $\phi(D)$ values (Figure 3.2) appropriate to each settled fraction were applied to the $F'(D)$ distributions to obtain $F(D)$ over a portion of the particle size spectrum. The various segments of the distribution were spliced in over-lapping regions to give the curves drawn through the triangular points in

Figures 3.3 and 3.4. The synthetic method gives a distribution that can be reasonably well fit by:

$$F(D) = K.D^{-3.25}$$

3.3.1 Validity of Particle Sizing and Fractionation Methods.

With the exception of the larger number of smaller particles observed below ten microns by the synthetic method, the two approaches are in satisfactory agreement. There is a good basis for preferring the form of the curve given by the synthetic method at small diameters. The slopes of the $F'(D)/\phi(D)$ curves obtained from the 120m, 60m, and 30m fractions were significantly steeper than the slope observed in the gross sample over the 1 to 18 micron range. In general, the optical microscopy method, and the method used in dispersing the particles for counting, tend to discriminate against the smaller sizes when many large particles are present. The low diameter cut-off fractions were free of this problem. In any event, the mass or volume calculations are little affected by a higher frequency of very small particles. The area calculations are more sensitive to the form at lower diameters however, and in Section 4.3 parametric adjustment of the form of the active particle size distribution at low

diameters will be invoked to explain the particle size-radiochemical composition of the volatile isotopes.

Little if any fragmentation or agglomeration of the large particles appears to have occurred as a result of the vigorous stirring in benzene, judging from the similarity in the form of the distribution functions from 10 to 60 microns, as given by the undifferentiated and the benzene sedimented specimens.

The very high specific activity of the fine particles, and the marked difference in radiochemical composition between the fine and larger particles argue against attributing the steeper form of $F(D)$ in the synthetic samples to fragmentation. (See Section 3.4 below, on specific activity considerations).

3.3.2 Size Distribution of Regulars and Spheres. Fewer than 1% of the observed particles could be identified as spheres or fused droplets. Because of the intrinsic interest in the spheres, the size distribution of the spheres was independently determined. The results are summarized graphically in Figure 3.5 where the percentage less than the stated size is plotted against the logarithm of the diameter. The distributions are approximately log normal in form, as predicted by Stewart (Reference 10) for the primary debris condensate, in contradistinction to the irregular particles in the cloud over the optical range. Table 3.7 gives the geometric mean diameter (D_g) and geometric standard deviation (σ_g) of the distributions.

The similarities between the 11K and 14K total samples may be noted. The smaller standard deviation of the 14K sample may be attributable to the lower cut-off diameter (72 versus 137 microns). In fact, the largest particles observed in the 14K and 11K samples, respectively, were 80 and 113 microns.

The form of the size distribution for the spheres can be described as:

$$F(D) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2} \log \sigma_g} \exp \left(- \frac{(\log D_g - \log D)^2}{2 \log^2 \sigma_g} \right)$$

3.3.3 Modification of Cloud Distribution by Fallout.

Because of the time intervening between cloud stabilization (t_0) and sampling (t_s) the original particle size distribution $F(D)$ will have been modified by gravitational settling. Assuming a uniform distribution at t_0 , and a cloud column of height H , measured from the cloud top, the fraction of particles of size D remaining in the column H after time t has elapsed is:

$$\phi = \frac{H - KD^2 t}{H}$$

The fraction falling out is $KD^2 t/H$ (Table 3.8). If the particle density is 2.5 g/cm^3 , D is in microns, and a shape factor of 0.65 is used to allow for the modified fall rate of randomly shaped particles of effective diameter D , the constant K is 0.57 ft/hr for a unit diameter particle. Table 3.8 gives the fraction of particles of various sizes remaining in the cloud at $t_0 + 20$ minutes, assuming the cloud top is 15K and the bottom is 9K.

While this is the hypothetical situation obtaining in the cloud as a whole, the situation at a given altitude is somewhat simpler. In a settling medium without turbulence, all particles of the same size will fall with the same velocity. Thus, at a given level the concentration of particles in all size groups will remain constant if D is less than the cut-off value $D=(KH/t)^{1/2}$. Above this size no particles of the original distribution will be found. The approximate cut-off sizes given in Table 3.5 were verified, in reasonable approximation, by microscopic examination of the debris. A density of 2.5 gives a fair fit.

In this simplified picture, the radiochemical composition differences between the 14K and 11K samples are those due to the particle size fraction ranging from 72 to 137 microns. The 9.5K and 11K samples, since they have similar cut-offs, 132 and 137 microns, should be quite similar in composition. In fact, the 11K sample gives evidence of somewhat finer debris. Interpretation of the sample obtained by penetrating 12K at H+48 and at 13.5-14K at H+54 is less clear cut. This sample contains a higher percentage of volatiles than at 11K but a smaller percentage than at 14K, despite the fact that the cut-off at 13.5K is estimated to be 49 microns. The assumptions of an initial uniform cloud, topping at 15K, are of course, unsubstantiated by any direct data .

3.4 SPECIFIC ACTIVITY OF CLOUD SAMPLES WITH PARTICLE SIZE.

Specific activities (S_i), expressed as isotope fissions per gram of particulate fallout, were obtained in separate benzene sedimentation experiments, using the 11K and 14K samples. Disagreements between the internal radiochemical composition of Table 3.9 with those in Tables 3.3 and 3.6 reflect the failure of the two independent settling experiments to yield completely reproducible results.

A few spheres were isolated in the 46 to 101-micron size range and counted on the low beta background system. The S_{144} values observed ranged from $1.5 \times 10^{14} F_{144}/gm$ to $6.5 \times 10^{14} F_{144}/gm$. The fission product activity in these particles, measured about two years after t_0 , was predominately Ce^{144} . The range of specific activities quoted above was based on the hard component of the $Ce^{144}-Pr^{144}$ beta absorption curve. Further work is needed to confirm the radioactive content of these microspheres. The very high F_{144}/gm is 3 to 10 times that found in other cloud and fallout samples and calls for verification.

3.5 SPECIFIC ACTIVITY OF GROUND FALLOUT SAMPLES

3.5.1 NRDL Measurements. A very extensive set of radiochemically determined specific activities is reported in Reference 9. The most relevant are those measured in the fallout along the hot-line. Lower specific activity samples are generally found off the hot-line and are likely to represent higher admixtures of inert throw-out. The data summarized in Table 3.10 represent, in our judgment, the specific activity of the bulk of the prompt fallout, expressed in equivalent fissions per gram of Zr^{95} , Sr^{89} , Sr^{90} , and Y^{91} . The columns are: NRDL sample number, distance, fissions per square foot, and S_1 . Parenthesized numbers are powers of ten.

In comparing these average numbers with the cloud values in Table 3.9, the S_{89} values can be considered roughly equivalent to the S_{137} (Sr^{89} and Cs^{137} are about equally volatile). Ru^{106} has a volatility character similar to Sr^{90} . It is to be noted that there is little difference between S_{95} in the 14K cloud and the fallout samples, whereas the Cs^{137} specific activity in the cloud is about 170 times higher than its equivalent, Sr^{89} , in the fallout (Sr^{89}/Cs^{137} in prompt fallout ~ 1).

3.5.2 Average Specific Activity and Particle Size.

Reference 9 reports a large volume of data on the relationship of specific activity and particle size. In Table 3.11 we tabulate the approximate values of the median diameter

and the median activity diameter of a set of fallout samples. Asterisked samples refer to those along the hot-line. The values were obtained from Table 3.4 of Reference 9 by interpolating to obtain the approximate diameter representing 50% of the cumulative mass or activity.

In general, the activity distribution was peaked toward the larger particles. Station 11A07 experienced the heaviest fallout, and the distribution was apparently more uniform in samples along the hot-line. The preshot soil sample had a median mass diameter of about 124 microns (Reference 9).

In the prompt fallout there is not a strong correlation between radiochemical composition and particle size, although there is a slight tendency for enrichment of volatile isotopes relative to refractories in the less than 44 micron fraction (See Table 3.15, Reference 9).

3.5.3 Specific Activity of Fused Agglomerates. We have determined F_{144}/gm of 24 lava particles taken along the hot-line between 1500 and 4500 feet. These particles were in the 500 to 1,200 micron interval. Their description ranged from spheres to pellets and irregular lava-like masses. The average value of S_{144} obtained was 1.88×10^{14} .

S_{144}/S_{137} varied systematically from 700 to 1,500 yards, increasing by a factor of 1.65 over this distance.

The average S_{144} of these fused masses is about 3.3 times higher than S_{95} (and therefore, S_{144}) reported in Table 3.10 and represents a very efficient incorporation of refractory fission products into volatilized or fused soil.

3.5.4 Radiochemical Composition of Ground Fallout. As in the case of the cloud samples an estimate will be made of the average radiochemical composition of the prompt fallout. Data for Zr^{95} , Sr^{89} , Sr^{90} , and Y^{91} were taken from Table 3.10 and represent the weighted averages found along the hot-line. The average of 11 Ce^{144}/Zr^{95} and Mo^{99}/Zr^{95} samples in the prompt fallout showed a standard deviation of less than 10% and was, within the standard deviation, equal to values reported in Reference 9 for the cloud samples. Hence it appears that Mo^{99} and Ce^{144} as reported by Tracerlab are systematically high with respect to Zr^{95} by factors of 1.15 and 1.22 respectively. In Table 3.1, cloud R values for Mo^{99} , Zr^{95} , and Ce^{144} are all very close to 1.00. Material balance does not permit Mo^{99}/Zr^{95} values in excess of 1 in both cloud and prompt fallout samples. Accordingly, in the final tabulation to follow, in the interest of consistency we have reduced the Zr^{95} ratios by a factor of 1.15, in the prompt fallout.

Most of the analyses for other isotopes reported in Tables 3.14, 3.15, and 3.16 of Reference 9 were performed on samples somewhat off the hot-line. To estimate the values in the hot-line samples of such species as I^{131} , Te^{132} , Cs^{136} , Cs^{137} , Ba^{140} , and Ce^{141} , $R_{i,95}$ were plotted against $R_{89,95}$, and values were selected for $R_{i,95}$ when $R_{89,95} = .0317$, the weighted hot-line value for Sr^{89}/Zr^{95} . Cs^{137} , Ru^{103} , and Ru^{106} were taken as the average of the three OIA07 samples, normalized to $Sr^{89}/Zr^{95} = .0317$. The R value plots appear in Figures 3.6a and 3.6b, and the selected values for the weighted isotopic content of the prompt fallout with respect to Zr^{95} are given in Table 3.12.

R values have been estimated also for $R_{89,95} = .1$ from the fractionation plots (Figures 3.6a and 3.6b). These R values will be used in Section 4.2 to describe the fallout composition between 6 and 20 minutes.

TABLE B.1 INTENSITY PROFILE IN CLOUD

<u>Altitude (Kft)</u>	<u>Intensity</u>
9.5	1.00
10.0	1.53
10.5	2.15
11.0	2.99
11.5	3.69
12.0	4.47
12.5	5.26
13.0	6.30
13.5	7.19
14.0	8.62

TABLE 3.2 R VALUE SUMMARY OF CLOUD RELATIVE TO Sr⁸⁹

	9.5K	11K	13.5K	14K	Ave R
Sr ⁹⁰	0.97	0.95	0.96	1.00	0.97
Y ⁹¹	0.89	0.75	0.66	0.61	0.70
Zr ⁹⁵	0.64	0.53	0.28	0.173	0.292
Mo ⁹⁹	0.65	0.51	0.29	0.167	0.29
Sr ¹²⁵	1.02	1.01	0.93	0.89	0.92
Te ^{129m}	0.95	0.91	0.98	0.76	0.82
Te ¹³²	0.91	0.91	1.07	0.81	0.86
Cs ¹³⁷	1.02	1.01	1.08	1.07	1.05
Ba ¹⁴⁰	0.88	0.87	0.82	0.66	0.77
Ce ¹⁴¹	0.99	0.79	0.63	0.48	0.62
Pr ¹⁴³	0.58	0.42	0.30	0.178	0.29
Ce ¹⁴⁴	0.62	0.52	0.23	0.170	0.29
Nd ¹⁴⁷	0.59	0.42	0.24	0.154	0.26

TABLE 3.3 RADIOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND PARTICLE SIZE

<u>R_{25,137}</u>							
	Res	1m	5m	15m	30m	60m	120m
9.5K	3.4	0.62	0.46	0.32	0.26	0.23	0.19
11K	2.5	0.59	0.31	0.24	0.20	0.20	0.19
13.5K	0.78	0.45	0.20	0.16	0.13	0.14	0.12
14K	1.3	0.26	0.24	0.11	0.095	0.084	0.073
<u>R_{144,137}</u>							
9.5K	3.4	0.66	0.39	0.27	0.23	0.22	0.20
11K	2.5	0.61	0.31	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.17
13.5K	0.84	0.45	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.12
14K	1.2	0.32	0.21	0.084	0.11	0.089	0.081
<u>R_{106,137}</u>							
9.5K	1.5	1.4	0.78	0.79	0.59	0.64	0.49
11K	1.5	0.97	0.90	0.51	0.57	0.60	0.54
13.5K	1.0	0.95	0.58	0.85	0.52	0.66	0.70
14K	1.8	0.94	0.79	0.65	0.79	0.57	0.59

TABLE 3.4 CUT-OFF DIAMETERS IN MICRONS

<u>Res</u>	<u>1m</u>	<u>5m</u>	<u>15m</u>	<u>30m</u>	<u>60m</u>	<u>120m</u>
137*	67	31	18	12.8	9.0	6.4

*The residue contained all particles greater than 67 microns. The cut-off is determined by the largest particle that should have been in the cloud at time of sampling.

TABLE 3.5 CUT-OFF DIAMETERS IN CLOUD

	<u>9.5K</u>	<u>11K</u>	<u>13.5K</u>	<u>14K</u>
Time	33m	23m	54m	20m
$\rho = 1.50$	171	176	64	94
$\rho = 2.00$	148	153	55	81
$\rho = 2.50$	132	137	49	72

TABLE 3.6 PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF RADIONUCLIDES WITH SIZE

	<u>Res</u>	<u>1m</u>	<u>5m</u>	<u>2.5K</u>			
				<u>15m</u>	<u>30m</u>	<u>60m</u>	<u>120m</u>
Ce ¹⁴⁴	63.5	4.9	3.3	4.3	7.4	11.0	5.7
Zr ⁹⁵	61.4	4.5	3.9	4.9	8.2	11.6	5.5
Cs ¹³⁷	11.4	4.6	5.2	9.7	19.7	31.1	18.6
Ru ¹⁰⁶	21.2	8.8	5.5	10.3	15.5	26.4	12.3
<u>11K</u>							
Ce ¹⁴⁴	55.6	11.3	6.1	7.3	7.3	9.0	3.6
Zr ⁹⁵	56.7	10.8	6.1	7.5	6.7	8.7	4.0
Cs ¹³⁷	11.8	9.7	10.2	16.6	17.9	22.3	11.3
Ru ¹⁰⁶	24.4	12.7	12.4	11.2	13.5	17.8	8.1
<u>13.5K</u>							
Ce ¹⁴⁴	36.9	24.9	6.5	7.4	7.4	10.5	6.4
Zr ⁹⁵	33.9	24.8	7.4	7.7	7.7	12.0	6.7
Cs ¹³⁷	11.3	14.4	9.6	12.9	15.1	22.5	14.2
Ru ¹⁰⁶	15.3	18.4	7.5	14.8	10.6	20.0	13.4
<u>14K</u>							
Ce ¹⁴⁴	40.3	6.7	6.8	6.6	17.0	17.0	4.4
Zr ⁹⁵	42.6	5.6	6.8	6.6	17.0	17.0	4.4
Cs ¹³⁷	5.6	3.6	4.8	10.7	30.5	34.6	10.3
Ru ¹⁰⁶	13.8	4.6	5.1	9.3	32.5	26.4	8.2

TABLE 3.7 SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF SPHERES

	<u>MM</u> <u>(Total)</u>	<u>MM</u> <u>(Total)</u>	<u>g, MM</u> <u>(Residue)</u>	<u>MM</u> <u>(1 Min)</u>	<u>MM</u> <u>(Residue)</u>
D_g	33.5	33.5	51	11	46
σ_g	1.87	1.45	1.41	1.70	1.39

TABLE 3.8 FRACTION OF PARTICLES LOST BY FALLOUT

	<u>5%</u>	<u>10%</u>	<u>20%</u>	<u>30%</u>	<u>40%</u>	<u>50%</u>
μ	59	35	78	95	110	123
	<u>60%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>80%</u>	<u>90%</u>	<u>100%</u>	
μ	134	149	156	165	176	

TABLE 3.9 SPECIFIC ACTIVITY OF CLOUD FRACTIONS

Fraction	11000 Foot			14000 Foot		
	<u>S₉₅</u>	<u>S₁₀₆</u>	<u>S₁₃₇</u>	<u>S₉₅</u>	<u>S₁₀₆</u>	<u>S₁₃₇</u>
120m	8.1(13)	1.6(14)	2.9(14)	5.1(13)	3.2(14)	4.1(14)
60m	7.9(13)	1.9(14)	3.1(14)	9.1(13)	2.8(14)	7.3(14)
30m	5.8(13)	1.2(14)	2.1(14)	8.8(13)	2.9(14)	7.0(14)
15m	4.4(13)	9.1(13)	1.6(14)	7.3(13)	2.2(14)	5.7(14)
5m	3.4(13)	5.5(13)	9.5(13)	5.1(13)	2.3(14)	3.9(14)
1m	3.2(13)	2.7(13)	4.0(13)	3.9(13)	9.4(13)	2.2(14)
Residue	3.7(13)	2.1(13)	2.3(13)	4.2(13)	5.1(13)	1.1(14)
Ave.	4.1(13)	5.0(13)	7.9(13)	5.5(13)	1.3(14)	3.4(14)

In the above table the parenthesized numbers are powers of ten.

TABLE 3.10 SPECIFIC ACTIVITY OF GROUND FALLOUT

Sample	Distance	<u>F/ft²</u>	<u>S₉₅</u>	<u>S₈₉</u>	<u>S₉₀</u>	<u>S₉₁</u>
01A07	1200 ft	7.9(13)	6.1(13)	1.8(12)	5.8(12)	1.9(13)
11A07-#4	1342 ft	6.5(15)	5.3(13)	1.9(12)	6.5(12)	3.1(13)
21A03-4	2830 ft	2.7(15)	6.4(13)	2.4(12)	8.3(12)	3.8(13)
31A03	4717 ft	4.5(14)	7.9(13)	3.1(12)	9.0(12)	5.2(13)
Average, weighted by F/ft ²			5.7(13)	2.1(12)	7.0(12)	3.4(13)

TABLE 3.11 MEDIAN MASS AND MEDIAN ACTIVITY DIAMETERS

<u>Sample</u>	<u>MMD(microns)</u>	<u>MAD(microns)</u>	<u>Distance</u>
01A02	220	1400	1200 ft
01A03	310	1950	1200 ft
01A05	350	1450	1200 ft
02A04	177	1050	1200 ft
*11A07-2	>1000	>1000	1342 ft
12A079	210	1550	1342 ft
20A04	67	2830	2400 ft
20A07	250	1000	2400 ft
*21A0-1	-	1400	2830 ft
30A01-8	70	1250	4000 ft
*31A05	710	750	4717 ft
*32A08	610	750	4717 ft
*31A05-8	710	810	4717 ft

TABLE 3.12 RADIOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF PROMPT FALLOUT

	<u>Zr⁹⁵ R' Values</u>				
	<u>0-6m</u>	<u>6-20m</u>		<u>0-6m</u>	<u>6-20m</u>
Sr ⁸⁹	0.0317	0.100	I ¹³¹	0.083	0.95
Sr ⁹⁰	0.107	0.40	Te ¹³²	0.061	0.59
Y ⁹¹	0.512	0.82	*Cs ¹³⁶	0.49	-
Mo ⁹⁹	1.00	1.00	Cs ¹³⁷	0.034	0.35
Zr ⁹⁵	1.00	1.00	Ba ¹⁴⁰	0.28	0.67
Ru ¹⁰³	0.74	0.95	Ce ¹⁴¹	0.46 _{+0.15}	0.83
*Ru ¹⁰⁶	0.08	0.40	Ce ¹⁴⁴	1.06	1.00

In the above table, asterisked values have been divided by 1.6 to normalize for their increased yield in bomb spectrum fission. The values in the table for $Sr^{89}/Zr^{95} = .0317$ are estimated to be good to 10% for Sr^{89} , Sr^{90} , Y^{91} , Mo^{99} , Zr^{95} , Ba^{140} , and Ce^{144} and to $\pm 25\%$ of the quoted value for the remaining isotopes, unless noted. The values for $Sr^{89}/Zr^{95} = 0.1$ are considerably less well established and are based exclusively on the lines drawn through the data points of Figures 3.6a and 3.6b.




Figure 3.1 Fractionation plots cloud samples.




Figure 3.2 Benzene fractionations.




Figure 3.3 Particle size distribution.




Figure 3.4 Slope reconstruction, 14k feet.




Figure 3.5 Sphere distribution.




Figure 3.6a Fractionation plots, fallout samples.




Figure 3.6b Fractionation plots, salient samples.

CHAPTER 4

INTERPRETATION OF DATA

4.1 FALLOUT FORMATION TIME AND RADIOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION

A provisional attempt has been made to interpret the fallout sample fractionation plots of the alkaline earths and Ce^{141} . The Bolles-Ballou fission chain populations as a function of time since fission (Reference 12) (or adjusted values thereof based on revised half lives) were multiplied by a set of relative attachment coefficients for the several precursor fission elements. $R_{i,95}$ were then calculated versus time and calculated $R_{i,95}$ values were plotted against the associated $R_{89,95}$ numbers. The attachment coefficients (k_i) were chosen to give a consistent and physically reasonable fit to the experimental data. In this very simple model the k_i were assumed to be time independent. k_{Kr} , k_{Xe} , k_{Br} and k_{I} were taken to be 0, although there is evidence from Cs^{137} R value data that $k_{\text{I}} > 0$. k_{Sr} , k_{Y} , k_{Zr} and k_{Ba} were all taken to be 1.00. The observed fit was obtained by using $k_{\text{Rb}} = 0.22$ and $k_{\text{Cs}} = 0.15$. In principle, $R_{136,95}$ should be used for k_{Cs} , since Cs^{136} is independently formed in fission. However, the Cs^{136} data do not meet the mass balance criterion for cloud and fallout samples and are suspected of being too high.

The experimental $R_{i,95}$ values deducible from the data reported in Tables 3.15 and 3.16 of Reference 9 were plotted against the appropriate values of $R_{89,95}$. A comparison of the calculated and the experimental data is shown in Figure 4.1. The systematization achieved is satisfactory considering the gross simplicity of our assumptions. The results suggest that the time required to form a segment of the fallout cloud may be equally relevant to the interpretation of fallout plots as is the concept of surface versus volume incorporation.

One anomaly deserves mention. The excellent fit given by Y^{91} in Figure 4.1 holds only for samples off the fallout hot-line. Samples 11, 21, and 31 along the hot-line had Y^{91}/Zr^{95} ratios approximately 1.5 times higher than expected for the associated Sr^{89}/Zr^{95} and Sr^{90}/Zr^{95} values. The fallout required 1.5 m, 3.3 m, and 6 m, respectively to reach the ground at 1342, 2830, and 4717 feet from ground zero (Reference 9). As the particles proceed downwind, the proportion of Rb and Sr in the vapor phase increases, and surface attachment should thereby be favored for particles still in contact with the vapor. However, to explain the Sr^{89} and Sr^{90} ratios to Zr^{95} in these samples it must be assumed that k_{Sr} increases with respect to k_{Rb} as the cloud passes downwind. A subsequent addendum to this report will attempt to treat these and the remaining isotope data in a more quantitative fashion.

4.2 PARTITION OF ISOTOPE ACTIVITY BETWEEN CLOUD AND FALLOUT

Applying the constraint that $R_{1,95} = 1.00$ for all fission product isotopes as formed, it follows that:

$$\frac{F_{95}(\text{fallout})}{F_{95}(\text{cloud})} = \frac{\bar{R}_{1,95}(\text{cloud}) - 1}{1 - \bar{R}_{1,95}(\text{fallout})}$$

Two extreme isotopes, Sr^{89} and Zr^{95} , form the basis of the partition calculation. $\bar{R}_{89,95}(\text{cloud})$ has been established as 3.43 (see Table 3.2). The value of $\bar{R}_{89,95}(\text{fallout})$ remains to be determined.

The average radiochemical composition of the prompt fallout given in Table 3.12 pertains to the material that had fallen on the ground within six minutes. The $\text{Sr}^{89}/\text{Zr}^{95}$ of this component is 0.0317. An analysis of the fallout contours reported in Reference 11 suggests that approximately 25 percent of the fallout occurring between 0 to 20 minutes took place between 6 to 20 minutes. Thus, the appropriate value of $\bar{R}_{89,95}(\text{fallout})$ to use in the above equation is:

$$\bar{R}_{89,95}(\text{Fallout}) = 0.75 \times 0.032 + 0.25(R_{89,95}, 6 \text{ to } 20 \text{ min})$$

Unfortunately we have no direct measurements to suggest the value of $R_{89,95}$ in the 6 to 20 minute fallout. However, the bulk of the fallout in this interval was in the 150 to 400 micron size range. The data reported in Reference 9 give no evidence that

material in this size range along the hot-line is significantly different in composition from the larger particles representing the bulk of the deposited mass. An upper limit of $R_{89,95} = 0.3$ can be established with reference to the composition of the residue fraction found at 9.5K 33 minutes after t_0 (Table 3.3). On this basis, $F_{95}(\text{fallout})/F_{95}(\text{cloud}) = 2.72$, as opposed to 2.50, obtained when $R_{89,95} = 0.0317$ is used. Thus, the result is insensitive to $R_{89,95}$ (fallout) and for either situation the fraction of Zr^{95} in the fallout is $72 \pm 1\%$. However, the Sr^{89} in the fallout for these two cases is changed from 7.4% to 2.3%.

These differences will affect only to a small degree the calculated partition of all other isotopes if the set of cloud analyses is used for the calculation. The average R_{89} values are given in Table 3.2 for the cloud samples. The percentage of any isotope remaining in the cloud is merely:

$$\%_i (\text{Cloud}) = \bar{R}_{i,89} \times \% Sr^{89} (\text{Cloud})$$

If the set of fallout analyses is used to calculate the partition, the result is very sensitive to the choice of Sr^{89}/Zr^{95} in the 6 to 20-minute fraction of the fallout. This arises because of the steep slopes of the fractionation plots (Figures 3.6a and 3.6b). A trial calculation indicates that Sr^{89}/Zr^{95} of about 0.1 for the 6 to 20-minute component of the fallout (and the associated $R_{i,95}$ values taken from the fractionation plots at $R_{89,95} = 0.1$) gives a reasonable agreement

between the isotopes analyzed for in common in both cloud and ground fallout samples. In Table 4.1 the results of the partition calculations are given for $\bar{R}_{89,95}$ (fallout) = 0.0317 and 0.0488. The latter value was composited from 75% of 0.032 and 25% of 0.10.

TABLE 4.1 PARTITION OF ISOTOPE ACTIVITY BETWEEN CLOUD AND FALLOUT

Isotope	Fallout Analysis		Cloud Analysis	
	$R_{89,95}=0.0317$	$R_{89,95}=0.0488$	$R_{89,95}=0.0317$	$R_{89,95}=0.0488$
⁸⁹ Sr	0.023	0.035	0.977	0.965
⁹⁰ Sr	0.08	0.13	0.94	0.93
⁹¹ Y	0.37	0.42	0.69	0.67
⁹⁹ Mo	0.72	0.72	0.29	0.28
⁹⁵ Zr	0.72	0.716	0.285	0.284
¹⁰³ Ru	0.51	0.56	-	-
¹⁰⁶ Ru	0.05	0.12	-	-
¹²⁵ Ru	-	-	0.91	0.90
^{129m} Sn	-	-	0.86	0.79
¹³¹ Te	-	-	-	-
¹³² I	0.07	0.21	-	-
¹³⁷ Te	0.05	0.14	0.88	0.82
¹⁴⁰ Cs	0.05	0.08	0.98	1.00
¹⁴¹ Ba	0.20	0.27	0.80	0.72
¹⁴³ Ce	0.42	0.34	0.61	0.60
¹⁴⁴ Pr	-	-	0.29	0.28
¹⁴⁴ Ce	0.76	0.75	0.29	0.28
¹⁴⁷ Md	-	-	0.26	0.25

The analysis yields reasonably consistent results. The data derived for $R_{89,95}=0.0488$ probably describe the partition to a good approximation.

4.2.1 Partition of Debris Mass Between Cloud and Surface.

The average specific activity based on Zr^{95} of the 20 to 30-minute minute cloud sample is 5×10^{13} fissions per gram. This cloud contains 29% of the Zr^{95} produced, or 0.142 kt. There are 1.4×10^{23} fissions/kt. It follows that the total mass debris in the cloud is 400 tons.

The mass of prompt fallout is at least $400 \times (0.715/0.185) = 1,000$ tons. This follows because S_{95} in the cloud and in the intense prompt fallout is nearly identical. A higher total number than 1,000 tons for all fallout is indicated because throwout away from the hot-line has a lower average specific activity than the hot-line weighted average calculated in Table 3.10. The correct value for the total mass of prompt fallout is $(1000/\bar{S}) 5 \times 10^{13}$, where \bar{S} is the truly weighted average S_{95} over the entire fallout grid.

4.3 SPECIFIC ACTIVITY AND MASS DISTRIBUTION MODEL

The data in Tables 3.6 and 3.9 provide the basis for testing a specific activity model in which activity is related to some power of the diameter. Thus, if $F(D)$ is the particle size distribution at a given altitude, then $D^n \cdot F(D)$ will represent the activity distributions as a function of particle size if n is properly chosen for each isotopic species. The mass distribution should be described by $n = 3.0$. If further, n can be related to the slopes of the fractionation plots as tabulated in Figure 3.2, a quite general description of the system can be achieved.

4.3.1 Size and Activity Distribution Calculations in Benzene Fractions. In the benzene experiment, 0.8 of the 32.5 cm column was withdrawn for examination after each of six settling times. The residue from the 1-minute settling fraction was

filtered directly. A Stokes law sedimentation rate was assumed, using particle and benzene densities of 2.50 and 0.88 gm/cm³, respectively. The viscosity of benzene was 6.5 x 10⁻³ poise. The rate of fall of irregularly shaped particles was taken to be 0.65 that of equivalent spheres. For these conditions, the fall rate for a 1-micron diameter particle is 8.7 x 10⁻⁵ cm/sec.

If f_1 is the fraction of the original number of particles of size D available at the beginning of each sequential sedimentation, a function $\phi_1(D)$ is defined for each of the six sedimentations, of the form:

$$\phi_1(D) = f_1 \frac{.8 \times 32.5 - 8.7 \times 10^{-5} D^2 t}{32.5} \quad (4.1)$$

where D is the statistical diameter of the irregular particle, in microns, and t is the settling time in seconds. The $\phi_1(D)$ functions obtained by this analysis are plotted in Figure 3.2.

Experimentally, the particle size distribution F(D) was established to be of the form $K/D^{n'}$ from 1 to 60 microns. The distribution functions in D^n are, therefore,

$$F(D^n) = D^n \cdot F(D) = F(D^{n-n'}) \quad (4.2)$$

'n' has a preferred value of 3.25. Since in Table 3.6 the Cs¹³⁷ and Ru¹⁰⁶ always exhibit their maximum percentages in the 60-minute fraction rather than the 120-minute fraction, we explored the possibility that the active particle size distribution might have a maximum in the vicinity of 1 micron and fall off at lower values. Accordingly, a number median diameter D_g of 0.5, 1, 2, and 3 microns was employed, with symmetrical size distribution functions of the form:

$$K_1 D^{3.25} \quad (0 \text{ to } D_g) \text{ and } K_2 D^{-3.25} \quad (D_g \text{ to cut-off}) \quad (4.3)$$

The ratio K₁/K₂ required to normalize the number distribution was calculated for each value of D_g by setting

$$K_1/K_2 \int_0^{D_g} D^{3.25} dD = \int_{D_g}^{\infty} D^{-3.25} dD \quad (4.4)$$

The distribution functions for various values of n are:

$$F(D^n) = \frac{K_1/K_2 \int_0^{D_g} \phi_1(D) \cdot D^{3.25+n} dD + \int_{D_g}^D \phi_1(D) \cdot D^{n-3.25} dD}{K_1/K_2 \int_0^{D_g} D^{3.25} dD + \int_{D_g}^D D^{n-3.25} dD} \quad (4.5)$$

In Equation 4.5 the integration limit D_g is the assumed maximum in the size distribution, D is the cut-off value in

the cloud at the time and altitude of sampling. The adoption of D_g between 0.5 and 3 microns places 1 to 12 percent of the activity below D_g . The calculations were also performed with a lower integration limit of zero (no maximum).

The results of these calculations are tabulated in Appendix C. Mention will be made here of the differences between two independent settling experiments conducted with the 11K and 14K debris. The data in Table 3.6 were obtained using analytical grade benzene. Those in Table 3.9 were obtained in a later experiment with a lower grade benzene. Separations were less clean, as was evidenced by adherence of particles to the vessel wall. Unfortunately, weight percents corresponding to the activity percents reported in Table 3.6 were not recorded. In the succeeding discussion data for the second experiment will be also used, since the mass percentages in each fraction are available. However, we believe that Table 3.6 represents a better description of nuclide activity in the first five, and in the sixth and seventh particle size fractions and the comparisons with the calculations in Appendix C will be used as a basis for choosing the best values of n to describe the distribution of activity with size of Zr^{95} , Ce^{144} , Ru^{106} , and Cs^{137} . (The separations achieved between the one-minute settling and the residue fraction were highly variable and technique dependent).

Calculated and observed mass and isotopic distributions for the 11K and 14K debris, as found in the second experiment, are given in Table 4.2. The data are best fit using a $D_{\text{cut-off}} = 100$ microns for 11K and 76 microns at 14K. There is little difference resulting from the choice of a lower limit of integration of zero, with no maximum, or $D_g = 0.5$ micron.

The data of Table 3.6 give a different picture for the Cs^{137} and Ru^{106} distributions, with values of n being ~ 0.2 unit lower. In Table 4.3, the choice of n was largely dictated by the fit observed in the combined and 1-minute fractions. D_g was taken as 0.5 micron. The cut-offs assumed at 9.5K, 11K, 13.5K, and 14K were, respectively, 137, 120, 76, and 76 microns, respectively. These values differ somewhat from those given in Table 3.5. The proper choice of the cut-off value in the 13.5 sample is particularly ambiguous, since sampling was conducted at 12K and at 13.5 through 14K. (In Table 4.2 a value of 100 microns for the 11K samples was shown to give the best fit.) In Table 4.3 the comparison between calculated and observed values for Zr^{95} are applicable as well to Cs^{144} .

The following conclusions can be drawn:

(1) The agreement is not good for fitting the percentages of Cs^{137} and Ru^{106} in the 120-minute, 60-minute, and 30-minute fractions for any choice of D_g , $D_{\text{cut-off}}$ or n . Too much

activity is always placed in the 120-minute fraction. The best fits for the distributions of Cs^{137} and Ru^{106} in the courser fractions (5 minute, 1 minute, and residue, with cut-offs greater than 18 microns) is given for $n = 1.9 - 2.2$ for Cs^{137} and $2.2 - 2.4$ for Ru^{106} . These choices of n give the observed partition of Cs^{137} and Ru^{106} between particles less than 18 and greater than 18 microns, to good approximation. A reasonable explanation for the distribution of these isotopes in the smaller size fractions may be that Cs^{137} (and to a lesser degree Ru^{106}) distribute themselves with variable n over the particle size range and that the maximum in the active particle frequency function is indeed shifted to values in excess of 5 microns. Further analysis will be required to clarify this point. Many of the inconsistencies noted in comparing Tables 4.2 and 4.3 are unquestionably due to limitations in reproducing clean-cut separations experimentally. Another ambiguity arises from the proper choice of cut-off diameters at the sampling altitudes in question. However, for purposes of deducing values of n appropriate to partitioning the cloud debris between nuclide activity in fractions less than and greater than 18 microns, and for deriving a relationship between the slopes of the cloud fractionation plots and n , it suffices to use n values which fit the courser fractions. Thus, $n = 2.00$ will fit Cs^{137} and $n = 2.25$ Ru^{106} .

(2) A fair fit can be achieved for the mass distribution with $n=3$. This confirms the choice of $F(D) = K/D^{3.25}$ as a good description of the particle size distribution at all altitudes out to the cut-off values.

(3) The distribution of Zr^{95} and Ce^{144} is rather well accommodated by $n = 2.8 \pm .1$ in all courser fractions. (The 1-minute fractions at 9.5K and 14K in Table 4.3 are notable exceptions). The agreement is fair even in the smaller size fractions, although again the 120-minute fraction as calculated is usually too high.

4.3.2 The n Parameter and the Slope of Fractionation Plots.

The n parameter varies between 2.0 and 2.8 for the isotopes exhibiting extreme fractionation behavior, Cs^{137} and Zr^{95} . The slopes of the fractionation plots of Figure 3.1 vary from -0.04 to 1.0, or $0.8n$ units per 1.04 slope units. In Table 4.4 are listed the slopes and corresponding interpolated n values.

4.4 PARTITION BETWEEN INTERMEDIATE AND DISTANT FALLOUT

Sufficient facts are at hand to establish the ultimate fate of the radioactive and inert components of the 20-minute

cloud, given the meteorological conditions. These facts are:

(1) The total mass of airborne debris, its particle size distribution, and its variation with altitude.

(2) The cloud inventory of 17 fission product radioisotopes and the variation in radiochemical composition and concentration with altitude.

(3) The relationship between particle size and radiochemical composition as given by the n values in Table 4.4.

This problem will not be treated comprehensively. Only the salient points will be indicated.

4.4.1 Cloud Fallout of Debris and Radioactive Isotopes in Greater than 18 Micron Fraction. Material residing in particles larger than 18 microns diameter will have fallen to sea level in from 52 to 76 hours. This component of the cloud, which may be termed intermediate, will now be estimated.

The specific activity of the refractory isotopes Mo^{99} , Zr^{95} , Ce^{144} , and other rare earths are reasonably constant in the cloud debris as a function of altitude. $S_{95} = 4.1 \times 10^{13}$ F/gm at 11K and 5.5×10^{13} F/gm at 14K. Thus, both the debris and isotopes can be treated together in good approximation. Accordingly we will assume a particle size distribution for the whole cloud of the form $K/D^{3.25}$ with a cut-off at 100 microns.

This cut-off value is intermediate between the values obtaining for the top and bottom of the cloud. In any event, the results are relatively insensitive to the cut-off diameter adopted, as the calculations in Appendix C illustrate.

Thus, the fraction of isotope or mass falling out associated with particles greater than 18 microns is:

$$\phi_n = \frac{\int_{18}^{100} D^{n-3.25} dD}{\int_0^{100} D^{n-3.25} dD} \quad (4.6)$$

where n is the value listed in Table 4.4.

In Table 4.5, Column 1 is the percent of cloud isotopic activities associated with particle sizes greater than 18 microns obtained from Equation 4.6. Column 2 is the percent of the total isotope made by the device residing in cloud particles greater than 18 microns. Column 3 is the percent of the total isotope made by the device residing in cloud particles less than 18 microns. It represents the material available for long range fallout, capable of being transported to great distances.

The calculated mass in cloud particles greater than 18 microns is 73.5%. Hence the absolute mass in this size range is $400 \times 0.735 = 294$ tons. The choice of a lower cut-off diameter would tend to raise somewhat the figures in the final column.

For comparison we give the observed percentages of total activities in the 5m, 1m, and residue fractions, corresponding roughly to >18 micron particles, taken from Table 3.9.

	<u>9.5K</u>	<u>11K</u>	<u>12-14K</u>	<u>14K</u>
Cs ¹³⁷	21.2	31.7	35.3	14.0
Ru ¹⁰⁶	35.5	49.5	41.2	23.5
Zr ⁹⁵	69.8	73.6	66.1	55.0
Ce ¹⁴⁴	71.7	73.0	68.3	52.8

If these values are weighted by the intensity profile given in Table 3.1 to represent the average composition in the cloud, the results are in fair agreement with Column 1, Table 4.5.

Cs ¹³⁷	24.1
Ru ¹⁰⁶	33.8
Zr ⁹⁵	62.6
Ce ¹⁴⁴	62.2

4.5 PARTICLE SIZE AND MASS FREQUENCY CURVES FOR THE EVENT

The salient features of the particle size and mass frequency curves for the event can be deduced from a synthesis of NRDL and our data. A crude normalization might be provided by using the fact that there are approximately 400 tons of debris in the 20-minute cloud and of the order of 1,000 tons of high specific activity prompt fallout.

The particle size distribution found in the 20-minute cloud appears to be given by $K/D^{+3.25}$ to good approximation between 1 micron and the cloud cut-offs (100 to 160 microns). This implies a mass distribution as $D^{-0.25}$ in the cloud. The NRDL data (see summary in Table 3.11) exhibit a median mass diameter of 700 to 1,000 microns in the more intense fallout (it is lower elsewhere) and a median activity diameter (MAD) of 200 to 300 microns. In addition, it was observed that the fraction of radioactive particles increases with size in the fallout, being about 25 to 50 percent in the larger size fractions (>200 μ). This finding is in accord with our observation that the mean specific activity of the prompt fallout $S_{95} = 5.7 \times 10^{13}$, whereas the specific activity of the lava particles, which represent the main active component, is 1.88×10^{14} or approximately three times higher. Further, the specific activity of lava particles is reasonably constant.

The question of selecting a particle-size distribution for the event has not been studied in detail, but it is evident that the active mass distribution, over the entire particle size range in the fallout samples, is relatively flat, i.e., $dM/dD \sim \text{constant}$ to within a factor of 5, and the particle size distribution is therefore crudely of the form k/D^3 . While these conclusions are of qualitative worth at best and although Johnie Boy is probably an atypical case, they suggest the inadequacy of a monomodal, log-normal particle size distribution peaking at 120 microns, such as is used in some current fallout models. The rather good agreement between the predicted and observed fallout patterns, as reported in Reference 9, is somewhat fortuitous, since the particle-size distribution used in the model bears little relationship to that which actually characterized the event.

4.6 SPECIFIC ACTIVITY AND ENERGY COUPLING

In a situation wherein the energy of the device is effectively coupled with the soil environment, the specific activities of refractory isotopes should be fairly uniform in both cloud and fallout debris. This is the case in Johnie Boy. However, the average S_{95} of about 5×10^{13} F/gm found both in cloud and intense fallout samples is only about 15% of the value expected if fission zirconium were uniformly

incorporated in volatilized and recondensed soil. The lava-like particles found on the hot-line, and exhibiting S_{95} values of 2×10^{13} F/gm on the average, with one as high as 2.8×10^{14} , meet the criterion of efficient incorporation. This suggests that the agreement between cloud and fallout S_{95} may be an irrelevant fortuity. Both phases were diluted about seven-fold with inert material. (Dilution factors off the hot-line were, of course, much greater). In fact, S_{95} values in the cloud samples are a factor of two higher in the small than the large particles (see Table 3.9). The drop-off of S_{95} in the smallest particle size fraction in the cloud is attributed to the high preshot atmospheric dust content blank.

As energy coupling with the environment decreases, the fraction of fused and volatilized soil mass decreases. However, considerable masses of inert materials may still be moved. (Compare Jangle S with Jangle U). While the average specific activity for the event must be higher than for the more efficiently coupled case, and the average specific activity for the persistent cloud debris must certainly be higher, the prompt and long-term fallout may exhibit a wide spectrum of values, above and below 3×10^{14} F₉₅/gm. This interesting point, relating to the scaling of surface burst

phenomenology, calls for a reexamination of past data. A first step is to examine the relationships between moved mass and volatilized mass as they relate to yield and to condition of burst.

The specific activity of volatile isotope chains (Sr^{89} , Cs^{137} , Ba^{140} , Sr^{90} , Y^{91} , Ce^{141} , I^{131} , Te^{132}) in the fallout and cloud samples is obviously related to yield as well as to energy coupling in any incompletely contained situation. In the Johnie Boy case coupling was very effective in physically separating the volatile and refractory chains between fallout and cloud. The buoyant bubble cooled rapidly (some energy had been dissipated in soil volatilization), prior to the growth of chain members of more refractory character. Hence a physical separation of the main mass of the debris was achieved from the vapor constituents still rich in the halogens, rare gases, and alkali metals. At higher yields and longer condensation times, greater fractions of the aforementioned chains will be incorporated into the larger particles, along with Zr^{95} and Ce^{144} because more of the chains will exist as the alkali and alkaline earth metals, at the time the debris is forming (before it leaves the cloud). This will lead to a less pronounced partition of volatile chain isotopes between persistent cloud and fallout.

4.7 FRACTIONATION AND PARTITION BETWEEN PROMPT AND LONG RANGE FALLOUT

The relationship between fallout partition and fractionation will now be examined. The partition equation, using Zr^{95} as the refractory and Sr^{89} as the volatile prototypes is:

$$\frac{F_{95}(\text{fallout})}{F_{95}(\text{cloud})} = \frac{R_{89,95}(\text{cloud}) - 1}{1 - R_{89,95}(\text{fallout})} \quad (4.7)$$

This expression is obviously indeterminate when $R_{89,95}(\text{cloud}) = R_{89,95}(\text{fallout})$, and it becomes less useful as they approach each other. A further constraint is that the $R_{89,95}$ values be properly weighted averages.

In Johnie Boy the situation was ideal for application of Equation 4.7. A more interesting use of this tool is to reexamine cloud and fallout radiochemical data from high-yield surface bursts with a view to finding a relationship between partitioning of the isotopes and total yield. For those cases in which the data are incomplete, but cloud and fallout samples are still on hand, the techniques used in this report can be used to establish the relationship between particle size and the isotopic content of Cs^{137} , Sr^{90} , and Pm^{147} in the cloud samples. These three isotopes

are typical of volatile, intermediate, and refractory chains. All have half lives suitably long for this purpose, even twelve years after the fact.

An important source of relevant information should not be overlooked. Stratospheric and tropospheric sampling and analysis programs, such as HASP, especially when conducted during nuclear testing, can yield information on the isotopic composition of the persistent atmospheric reservoir. If the R values of Cs¹³⁷, Sr⁹⁰, and Ce¹⁴⁴ attributable to specific events, or groups of events, are close to their thermonuclear production ratios, which are well established, and the fallout samples exhibit a significant alteration from normal values, a rather precise statement of the partition is had.

Implicit in any physical reasoning attached to the use of Equation 4.7 is that radiochemical composition is related to particle size. If Cs¹³⁷ and Zr⁹⁵ were uniformly distributed in large and small particles alike, there would be no fractionation and the equation cannot be used. A critical examination of thermonuclear fallout data from this point of view is indicated. As suggested in Section 4.6, higher yields give longer condensation times and therefore more uniform radiochemical composition.

4.8 SIGNIFICANCE OF LOGARITHMIC FRACTIONATION CORRELATIONS

In Section 4.4 use was made of the slopes of the fractionation plots of the cloud samples to establish a relationship between particle size and isotopic composition. This procedure was justified on the demonstrated relationship between particle size and isotopic composition in the 28 laboratory fractionated samples.

In Section 4.1 the isotopic composition of the prompt fallout samples was shown to be related in a semi-quantitative fashion to a time of particle formation. The calculation introduced no geometric concepts of area or volume, and, in fact, was found to apply to a group of fallout samples in which no pronounced relationship between particle size and radiochemical constitution exists (see Reference 9).

Further, the cloud and fallout sample data do not form a continuous set on a fractionation plot. If the cloud data are extrapolated to $R_{95,89}$ values characteristic of the fallout samples, using the slopes observed in the cloud samples, the fallout composition is not obtained. The inverse is obviously true for the fallout samples. A plausible basis for this result is to be found in Figure 4.1. $R_{i,95}$ values, as calculated, are seen to approach a value of 1.00 asymptotically as Sr^{89}/Zr^{95} approaches 1. If a straight line extrapolation

of the $\text{Sr}^{90}/\text{Zr}^{95}$ data were made by drawing a line through the experimental points with a slope of about 1.05, the $\text{Sr}^{90}/\text{Zr}^{95}$ in the cloud sample would be over-estimated by a factor of 4.4. While, in the absence of other information, a straight line can be drawn through the datum points of Figure 4.1 and fit the data better than the theoretical curve with constantly changing slope, the latter corresponds to a more physically comprehensible picture and does not lead to unreasonable values for the extrapolated composition of the cloud samples. It does, however, leave unanswered the basis for the cloud fractionation plots (Figure 3.1).

It should be pointed out that there is an element of arbitrariness in the way the lines are drawn in Figure 3.1. With the exception of the Nd^{147} line, which is drawn parallel to the Mo^{99} line, the lines were drawn to converge at 1.0. This is physically reasonable and certainly within the allowed error in the individual datum points, estimated to be about 10%. (Our Nd^{147} relative counting efficiency is probably 10% too high.) This arbitrariness does not affect the argument advanced in the preceding paragraph.

The slopes of the cloud fractionation plots are evidently directly related to the partition of the individual radioisotopes between cloud and fallout. In fact, the ordinate

at $R_{95,89} = 0.29$ is the fraction of the device activity of the particular isotope in question which is found in the cloud, to within a few percent.

We note that by definition, the range of slopes is from 1 to 0 if the abscissa is chosen as the R value of the most refractory with respect to the most volatile isotope, and the ordinate is taken with respect to the volatile archetype. If an isotope is as volatile as Cs^{137} it will have a zero slope; if as refractory as Zr^{95} a unit slope. This fact provides a convenient basis for assigning to each isotope a power $(2 + n)$, where n is related to the slope of the fractionation plot, to describe the relationship between the particle size and specific activity.

It is evident that a log-normal distribution is not required to yield a straight line on a logarithmic plot when activity is incorporated into the particle in accordance with some power of the diameter. In the Johnie Boy samples the power law fit $D^{-3.25}$ leads to the same result.

The slopes given in Reference 1 for coral island surface shots are compared in Table 4.6.

Recalling that the coral surface slopes of the logarithmic fractionation correlations pertain to high-yield bursts, the higher values of the slopes for Sr^{90} , Te^{132} , and Ba^{140}

are quite reasonable. Condensation times are much longer than for Johnie Boy. In the instances of chains 90 and 140, a greater fraction can decay to alkali and alkaline-earth elements while the cloud is still hot and well mixed, thus permitting a more uniform incorporation with Zr^{95} and the refractory isotopes. The distinctly different behavior of U^{238} (reported by Freiling as Np^{239}) is most interesting and may be related to differences in particle matrix character.

To explain the relationship in the cloud samples between specific activity and particle size, the surface-to-volume concept of Freiling has much merit. A comparison of the calculated percentage of activity of Zr^{95} and Ce^{144} between the twenty eight benzene fractions with those recorded in Table 3.6 reveals that the activity distribution of Zr^{95} is best fit by a $D^{2.8 \pm .1}$ relationship. However, a choice of $D^{3.0}$ would place too much of the refractory isotopes in the larger sized fractions (>18 microns). The close similitude to volumetric incorporation of Zr^{95} in the cloud samples may be interpreted to argue in favor of the assumption that Zr^{95} is reasonably uniformly distributed throughout the radioactive particles. However, two physical factors may be at work to artefact this behavior. The low specific activity of Zr^{95} (1/5th to 1/7th that expected for volatilization of the

matrix and zirconium incorporation in the condensate) suggests a primarily surface adherence and subsequent agglomeration of heated, finer soil particles. Further, the surface-to-weight ratio for highly irregular particles over the particle size range in question may lead approximately to the calculated volume-to-weight ratio of equivalent spheres. From a pragmatic point of view it suffices to treat the Zr^{95} and its refractory analogues in this event as exhibiting close to a D^3 relationship in specific activity and to treat Cs^{137} , the most volatile isotope, as being incorporated as D^2 , bearing in mind the inconsistencies produced in the particle fractions <18 microns.

It is tempting to interpret the slopes of the fractionation plots in terms of the partition of the isotopes between cloud and fallout for the general case. However, as a corollary to the discussion in Section 4.7, two facts are still needed: the percent of the reference isotope (Zr^{95} or Sr^{89}) in the cloud and the average value of $R_{i,89}$ or $R_{i,95}$ in the cloud.

TABLE 4.2 CALCULATED AND OBSERVED ISOTOPIC DISTRIBUTIONS

Fraction	%M	D ^{3.0}	%Zr ⁹⁵	D ^{2.8}	%Cs ¹³⁷	D ^{2.3}	%Ru ¹⁰⁶	D ^{2.4}
<u>11K</u>								
120m	5.20	8.33	10.3	11.1	19.5	45.2	17.0	37.2
60m	4.55	4.58	8.7	5.6	17.8	13.8	16.9	12.6
30m	6.20	4.21	8.8	4.6	16.9	6.6	15.5	6.7
15m	5.44	4.96	5.8	5.4	10.9	5.1	9.9	5.7
5m	7.31	9.32	6.0	9.6	8.8	6.4	8.0	7.5
1m	25.3	21.8	20.0	20.9	13.0	9.5	13.9	12.6
residue	44.4	46.8	40.4	42.1	13.0	13.0	18.8	17.0

<u>14K</u>								
120m	3.34	9.0	3.1	15.2	4.0	43.5	8.5	36.8
60m	10.3	5.2	17.0	7.5	22.0	14.0	22.6	12.8
30m	8.3	5.0	13.3	6.1	17.1	7.0	18.9	7.2
15m	11.0	6.0	14.6	6.5	18.4	5.6	18.9	6.2
5m	10.9	11.8	10.2	11.6	12.4	7.4	19.8	8.6
1m	23.2	28.0	16.4	24.8	15.2	11.2	17.0	14.1
residue	33.1	34.0	25.4	28.0	10.9	11.0	13.2	14.1

TABLE 4.3 CALCULATED AND OBSERVED ISOTOPIC DISTRIBUTIONS
(First Benzene Experiment)

9.5K

Fraction	%Zr ⁹⁵	D ^{2.75}	%Cs ¹³⁷	D ^{2.00}	%Ru ¹⁰⁶	D ^{2.25}
120m	5.5	10.3	18.6	45.9	12.3	32.1
60m	11.6	5.5	31.1	15.5	26.4	12.4
30m	8.2	4.6	19.7	7.6	15.5	7.4
15m	4.9	5.0	9.7	5.4	10.3	6.2
5m	3.9	8.6	5.2	6.2	5.5	8.1
1m	4.5	17.5	4.6	7.8	8.8	12.0
residue	61.4	48.5	11.4	11.6	21.2	21.8

11K

Fraction	%Zr ⁹⁵	D ^{2.75}	%Cs ¹³⁷	D ^{2.00}	%Ru ¹⁰⁶	D ^{2.25}
120m	4.0	11.1	11.3	46.4	8.1	32.8
60m	8.7	5.9	22.3	15.6	17.8	12.7
30m	6.7	5.0	17.9	7.7	13.5	7.5
15m	7.5	5.4	16.6	5.5	11.2	6.3
5m	6.1	9.2	10.2	6.2	12.4	8.3
1m	10.8	18.8	9.7	7.9	12.7	12.3
residue	56.7	44.8	11.8	10.7	24.4	20.1

12-14K

Fraction	%Zr ⁹⁵	D ^{2.75}	%Cs ¹³⁷	D ^{2.25}	%Ru ¹⁰⁶	D ^{2.35}
120m	6.7	14.1	14.2	35.6	13.4	29.6
60m	12.0	7.5	22.5	13.8	20.0	12.2
30m	7.7	6.3	15.1	8.2	10.6	7.9
15m	7.7	6.9	12.9	6.9	14.8	7.1
5m	7.4	11.7	9.6	9.0	7.5	10.0
1m	24.9	24.0	14.4	13.3	18.4	16.1
residue	33.9	29.6	11.3	13.2	15.3	17.1

14K

Fraction	%Zr ⁹⁵	D ^{2.75}	%Cs ¹³⁷	D ^{1.88}	%Ru ¹⁰⁶	D ^{2.25}
120m	4.4	14.1	10.3	53.5	8.2	35.6
60m	17.0	7.5	34.6	16.9	26.4	13.8
30m	17.0	6.3	30.5	7.0	32.5	8.2
15m	6.6	6.9	10.7	5.0	9.3	6.9
5m	6.8	11.7	4.8	5.3	5.1	9.0
1m	5.6	23.9	3.6	6.3	4.6	13.3
residue	42.6	29.6	5.6	5.4	13.8	13.2

TABLE 4.4 FRACTIONATION SLOPES AND n PARAMETER

	<u>Slope</u>	<u>n</u>
Cs ¹³⁷	-0.04	2.00
Sr ⁸⁹	0.00	2.03
Sr ⁹⁰	0.02	2.06
Sn ¹²⁵	0.07	2.09
Te ¹³² (I ¹³¹)	0.12	2.13
Te ^{129m}	0.16	2.15
Ba ¹⁴⁰	0.20	2.19
Ru ¹⁰⁶	0.20	2.19
Y ⁹¹	0.28	2.25
Ce ¹⁴¹	0.38	2.32
Ru ¹⁰³	(0.50)	2.41
Mo ⁹⁹	1.00	2.80
Zr ⁹⁵	1.00	2.80
Pr ¹⁴³	1.00	2.80
Ce ¹⁴⁴	1.00	2.80
Nd ¹⁴⁷	1.00	2.80

The values for I¹³¹ and for Ru¹⁰³ in the above table are assumed on the basis of their behavior in the fallout debris. The interpolated n values should give to a fair degree the distribution of debris between 0 and 18 microns and from 18 microns to the cut-off diameter.

TABLE 4.5 ISOTOPIC PARTITION BETWEEN LONG RANGE AND INTERMEDIATE FALLOUT

Isotopes	% Cloud Activity >18 μ in cloud	%Total Activity >18 μ in cloud	%Total Activity <18 μ in cloud
Cs ¹³⁷	23	22	78
Sr ⁸⁹	24	24	74
Sr ⁹⁰	26	24	69
Sn ¹²⁵	27	25	65
Te ¹³²	29	26	56
(I ¹³¹)	29	26	53
Te ^{129m}	30	26	53
Ba ¹⁴⁰	32	26	54
(Ru ¹⁰⁶)	32	26	42
Y ⁹¹	35	24	43
Ce ¹⁴¹	39	24	36
(Ru ¹⁰³)	44	22	22
Mo ⁹⁹	66	19	9
Zr ⁹⁵	66	19	9
Pr ¹⁴³	66	19	9
Ce ¹⁴³	66	19	9
Nd ¹⁴⁷	66	19	9
Mass	74	-	-

TABLE 4.6 COMPARISON OF CORAL SURFACE AND CONTINENTAL SURFACE SLOPES

	<u>Coral Surface</u>	<u>Johnie Boy</u>
Sr ⁹⁰	0.24	0.02
Mo ⁹⁹	1.10	1.00
Te ¹³²	0.40	0.12
Cs ¹³⁷	-0.03	-0.04
Ba ¹⁴⁰	0.37	0.20
Ce ¹⁴⁴	0.92	1.00
U ²³⁸	1.02	.22




Figure 4.1 Fallout formation time and $R_{1,95}$.

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 CONCLUSIONS

(1) The partition of Johnie Boy debris between prompt and delayed fallout has been established for 17 radioisotopes. Subsequent analysis can treat other fission product chains on an analogical basis.

(2) The radiochemical composition of prompt fallout debris has been described semi-quantitatively for five fission product chains using the concept of fallout formation time and physically reasonable attachment coefficients for the elements. A more rigorous and comprehensive model can probably be developed describing the fractionated composition of all isotopes in prompt fallout.

(3) The specific activity as a function of particle size has been semi-quantitatively formulated for four radioisotopes. Specific activity-particle size relationships for cloud debris samples can probably be generalized on the basis of the slopes of logarithmic correlation plots of cloud debris samples.

(4) The particle size distributions of the prompt fallout and of the cloud are not in agreement with current particle size models.

(5) The slopes of logarithmic correlation fractionation plots are related to but are not unique indices of isotope partition between cloud and prompt fallout. Straight lines fitting cloud fractionation data are not extrapolable to the composition of fallout samples.

(6) The comprehensive description of a fallout event, to include radioisotope partitioning between cloud and prompt fallout, in order to yield insights on the basis of which yield scaling laws can be developed, requires as a sine qua non exhaustive radiochemical and physical definition of both radioactive cloud and the prompt fallout.

5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

(1) This study is not yet completed. A number of aspects of the problem have been treated with less than the desired rigor and should be pursued further either by this or other laboratories. Among these are: the active versus the non-active particle size distributions in the cloud debris; a better analytical description of the distribution of Cs¹³⁷ activity in the various benzene fractions; a better choice of the average Sr⁸⁹/Zr⁹⁵ ratio characteristic of the prompt fallout, taking into account the fallout between 6 minutes and 20 minutes, a factor largely glossed over in the preceding analysis;

a better definition of the particle size distribution of the active particles in the prompt fallout; a more complete and satisfying treatment of predicting the composition of prompt fallout particles, particularly with respect to the iodine isotopes.

(2) If future opportunities are provided for studying fallout situations, equal emphasis should be given ground and aerial sampling and analysis programs.

(3) The methods used in this study, or more unhurried and sophisticated versions thereof, can be applied profitably to the post-facto investigation of selected ground burst events over a range of yields, where aerial samples still exist. The knowledge gained of the particle size distribution of cloud samples, and the relationship between particle size and radiochemical composition for Sr^{90} , Cs^{137} , and Pm^{147} , can be invoked after the fact, in conjunction with analyses already a matter of record, to assist in the partitioning of the debris between prompt, intermediate, and long range fallout, as a function of yield and burst condition.

Appendix A
CLOUD PENETRATION
PROCEDURE

Cloud penetration samples were collected by three B-57 sampling aircraft for this project. The aircraft penetrated the Johnie Boy cloud at different altitudes and times after detonation (Table A.1).

Although some modifications of the procedures were made during the experiment just prior to the penetrations, the general procedure for the collection of samples by the aircraft were the same. An aircraft was to penetrate the cloud at a designated altitude and time after detonation in straight and level flight and collect a sample in one of the wing tip sample collectors. After emerging from the cloud, the aircraft would again penetrate at another altitude and collect a sample with the other wing tip sample collector.

The crew was furnished a data card for recording time of entry and exit of the cloud, maximum dose rate recorded in the cloud, total dose during penetration, airspeed, altitude, temperature, and general comments on the size and shape of the cloud.

Immediately after a cloud penetration, the aircraft landed and the crew members were removed from the aircraft and monitored by a Rad Safe team. The aircraft was monitored and the wing tip samples were then removed and placed in individual lead pigs.

DISCUSSION

The Johnie Boy event was detonated one foot below ground at the Nevada Test Site on 11 Jul 62 at 0945 hours. The cloud reached a height of approximately 14,000 feet MSL and ground zero was 5,200 feet MSL.

Three B-57 aircraft from the 1211th Sampling Squadron at Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico, performed the cloud penetrations. Two of the aircraft were airborne prior to H-hour and penetrated the cloud from H+20 to H+33 minutes. The third aircraft was dispatched at H+20 minutes and penetrated the cloud at H+48 and H+54 minutes.

The data as recorded by the crew during the cloud penetrations are presented in Table A.2.

Photographs of the cloud at H+ 25 seconds, H+ 1 minute 30 seconds, and H+ 2 minutes 30 seconds, are shown in Figures A.1 through A.3.

After the samples were removed from the aircraft wing tips, they were monitored and placed in lead pigs. The filter sample from a single wing tip tank is divided into a half and two quarter samples. Each of these sections was placed into a single lead pig.

The data obtained from the samples immediately after their removal from the aircraft appear in Table A.3. The data obtained on the samples at H+24 hours appear in Table A.4.

TABLE A.1 DOSE AND DOSE RATE DATA FROM CLOUD PENETRATIONS

MSL Altitude of Penetration (ft)	14,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	9,500	12,000	13,500 rising to 14,000
Penetration Time (min)	H+20	H+20	H+20	H+25	H+33	H+48	H+54
Peak Dose Rate in Cloud (r/hr)	200	60	60	60	6	0.1	8
Average Dose Rate in Cloud (r/hr)	75	10	30	30	4	0.05	4
Time Spent in Cloud (sec)	17	10	15	30	30	68	83
Total Dose (R)	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.45	0.01	0.35	

TABLE A.2 DATA EXTRACTED FROM PILOTS DATA CARDS

Aircraft Number	827	842	842	842	842	245	245	245
Pass Number	1*	1**	2**	3***	3***	1****	1****	2****
Altitude (feet)	14,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	9500	12,000	12,000	13,000 rising to 14,000
Air Temp. (°C)	18	20	22	21	21	24	24	22
Time After H-Hour (minutes)	20	20	25	33	33	48	48	54
RASCHEL Dose Rate Peak In Cloud (r/hr) <u>AVE.</u>	200 75	60 10	60 30	6 4	6 4	0.1 0.5	0.1 0.5	8 4
Time in Cloud (sec.)	17	10	15	30	30	68	68	83
INTEGRON Dose (r)	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.45	0.45	0.01	0.01	0.35
RASCHEL Cockpit Background (out of cloud) Dose Rate (r/hr)	0.4	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.15
Wing Tip Ion Chamber Dose Rate (r/hr)	1.2	0.05	0.05	0.2	0.2	0.23	0.23	0.47
IAS (knots)	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250
<u>Readings After Landing</u>								
RASCHEL (r/hr)	0.19	0.05	--	--	--	0.12	0.12	--
INTEGRON (r)	0.7	0.5	--	--	--	0.49	0.49	--
Ion Chamber (r/hr)	0.8	0.14	--	--	--	0.35	0.35	--
Time of Reading (min.)	H+33	H+50	--	--	--	H+75	H+75	--

*One penetration with both tip tanks open.

** Both passes made with left tank open, therefore, they represent one sample.

*** Only the right tip tank open.

**** Both penetrations made with both tanks open. The altitude variation of the second penetration was an attempt to follow the top of the cloud.

TABLE A.3 DATA FROM SAMPLES IMMEDIATELY AFTER REMOVAL FROM AIRCRAFT

Aircraft Number	Paper Position*	Pig Gamma Reading at 7" - (r/hr)	Time of Reading	Missions Corrected to	Sample Size
827	L-1-1	1000	1057	4.2×10^{14}	Half
827	L-1-2	360	1059	1.5×10^{14}	Quarter
842	R-2	140	1111	7.5×10^{13}	Half
842	R-1-2	80	1115	4.5×10^{13}	Quarter
842	L-2	420	1119	1.9×10^{14}	Half
842	L-1-1	160	1121	1.1×10^{14}	Quarter
842	L-1-2	175	1122	1.2×10^{14}	Quarter
245	R-1-1	170	1158	1.9×10^{14}	Quarter

*Paper position R or L indicates right or left wing tip tank respectively.

TABLE A.4 DOSE RATE READINGS ON SAMPLES AT H + 24 HOURS

Position of Dose Rate Reading	245-R-1-1	842-R-2	842-L-1-1	842-L-1-2	842-R-1-2	827-L-1-1	827-L-1-2	842-L-2
At the surface of the pig with the pig closed.	5	10	25	12	3	30	25	40
At the mouth of the pig with the pig open.	100	--	90	60	12	290	130	190
On the surface of a 1-inch-diameter plastic vial containing a 1-square-inch piece of the sample.	9	2.3	6	4	0.6	16	7	7



Figure A.1 Aerial view of Johnie Boy event at H + 0 minutes, 25 seconds.
(FCWT DASA 719-8-NTS-62)





Figure A.2 View of Johnie Boy event, H+ 1 minute, 30 seconds.
(FCWT DASA 719-7-NTS-62)



**Figure A.3 Aerial view of Johnie Boy event, H + 2 minutes, 30 seconds.
(FCWT DASA 719-10-NTS-62)**

100

SECRET

Appendix B

PARTICLE SIZE AND SPECIFIC ACTIVITY MEASUREMENTS

Introduction

The early penetration air samples from the Johnie Boy test were used to determine the distribution of the various radioactive nuclides with respect to particle size. Included were fission product analysis of the samples at various altitudes, particle size separation by settling methods, classification of the particle sizes by microscopy techniques, and gamma spectroscopy of the various size particles from each of the attitudes.

The particle size separation was accomplished by dry ashing the filter papers, stirring the remaining particles in a graduated cylinder containing benzene, and separating and filtering the top four-fifths of the liquid after settling times of 120, 60, 30, 15, 5, and 1 minute respectively. These samples were split into two portions, 1/4 being used for particle sizing and 3/4 being reserved for gamma spectrometer analysis.

Aerial Sample Usage

Following is a listing of the manner in which the aerial samples were used.

Aircraft Number	827	827	842	842	842	842	842	245
Task Number	L-1-1	L-1-2	R-2	R-1-2	L-2	L-1-1	L-1-2	R-1-1
Altitude(s) (1,000 ft)	14	14	9.5	9.5	11	11	11	12-14
Time(s) of Penetration (Min)	20	20	33	33	25 20	25 20	25 20	48 54
Amount of Paper Received	1/2	1/4	1/2	1/4	1/2	1/4	1/4	1/4
Fission Product Analysis	-	1/4	1/2	-	-	1/4	-	1/8
Particle Sizing	1/8	-	-	1/8	3/16	-	-	1/16
Particle Reserve	1/16	-	-	-	1/16	-	1/8	-
Mixed Fission Product Source	5/16	-	-	1/8	1/4	-	1/8	1/16

Sample Preparation for Particle Sizing

The samples of IPC paper were placed in beakers and ashed in a muffle furnace at 450°C for approximately 16 hours; some of the samples were ashed up to 8 hours longer because of remaining carbonaceous material.


The amount of residue for blank IPC paper was found to be only 11.1 mg per square foot of paper. A full aerial IPC paper would have an area of 4.75 square feet and has 52.7 mg of residue.

By using this blank the following calculations were made to determine the weight of particles that were on the filter paper. The diameter of the filter paper is 30 inches and the diameter of the sampling area is 29 1/2 inches.

Aircraft Number	827	842	842
Tank Number	L-1-1	L-1-2	L-2
Radius of Sampling Area (r_s)	14"	13"	14"
Length of Arc of Sampling Area (S_s)	8"	4 3/4"	6 1/2"
Area of Sampling Sector ($A_s = \frac{S_s r_s}{2}$)	56 in ²	31.85 in ²	45.5 in ²
Radius of paper (r_p)	14 1/4"	13 1/4"	14 1/4"
Area of paper (S_p)	8"	5 1/4"	6 1/2"
Area of paper ($A_p = \frac{S_p r_p}{2}$)	57 in ²	34.8 in ²	46.3 in ²
Wt of Particles and Ash	4.4 mg	2.7 mg	3.6 mg
Wt of Paper Ash (Apx .0772 mg/in ²)	279.7 mg	232.7 mg	317.0 mg
Wt of particles	275.3 mg	230.0 mg	313.4 mg
% of total paper ($\frac{AS}{684}$)	8.19%	4.66%	6.65%
Wt of particles on total paper	3.36 gm	4.94 gm	4.72 gm
Wt of particles mounted for beta counting	24.4 mg	30.8 mg	40.7 mg
Reserve sample	248.4 mg	197.8 mg	272.1 mg

Separation of Particles by Size

The following apparatus was constructed in order to obtain homogeneous mixing.




The particles which remained after the ashing were slurried in benzene and transferred to the 500-ml graduated cylinder. Benzene (reagent grade) was added to bring the liquid up to the 500-ml mark. The stirring paddle was inserted into the solution and the solution was stirred for thirty minutes. The paddle was then removed and rinsed with a few milliliters

and the remaining 3/4 of the sample was reserved for gamma spectra analysis. There were a total of 28 samples produced by this method.

Microscope Sizing of Particles.

One quarter of the filtered particles was placed in a test tube and about two ml of a dilute collodion solution (1 part collodion; 10 parts ethyl alcohol; 10 parts ethyl ether) was added to slurry the particles. The slurry was then transferred to a glass plate and allowed to evaporate. The resultant layer was very thin and allowed two-dimensional measurement of the particles.

The apparatus used was a Bausch and Lomb microscope with 12.5 power eyepieces and 8X, 10X, 20X, and 40X lenses giving total magnifications of 100X, 125X, 250X, and 500X. The measuring device was a variable hairline eyepiece that appeared as such.



Each time the dial on the right of the eyepiece rotated one revolution, the hairline moved one division on the scale. The dial was graduated in one hundred divisions.

The scales were calibrated by placing a standard plate graduated in 0.1 mm and 0.01 mm under the microscope and finding the number of divisions on the eyepiece scale corresponding to 0.01 mm.

The following calibrations were determined.


100X	1.03 divisions/micron
125X	1.27 divisions/micron
250X	2.70 divisions/micron
500X	5.27 divisions/micron

The lower limit of measurement was around 5 divisions on each of the scales and the precision for each measurement was 2 divisions.

The plates containing the particles were placed on the stage of the microscope and the stage was moved to find an area of significant concentration of particles. The field of measurement was a rectangle bordered on the sides by the #0 and #10 lines and on the top and bottom by the ends of the shortest lines (e.g., line No. 4). The stage was then moved vertically to the next field. This was continued until a suitable number of measurements had been obtained.

The measurements were all made horizontally and measured only the horizontal width as the particle was lying. The following diagrams will show the distance measured for

various types of particles. This distance is from point A to point B.



The distance from A to B was found by taking the difference between the two readings on the scale mounted on the eyepiece. The measurements were recorded as divisions and classified by frequency occurrence for each size.

In order to obtain a statistical distribution of the number of small particles with relation to the number of large particles, glass plates of particles from unfractionated portions of Samples 827-L-1-1 and 842-L-2 were measured at magnifications of 5.27, 2.70, and 1.03 divisions per micron. The conversion factor of the areas observed is expressed by:

$$\frac{(5.27)^2}{(1.03)^2} = 26.2 \text{ and } \frac{(2.70)^2}{(1.03)^2} = 6.88$$

of benzene and the solution was allowed to settle for 120 minutes. The top 400 ml of the 500 ml of liquid was then carefully siphoned off and filtered on a filter tower through Whatman # 42 7/8-inch diameter filter paper. (On a total sample slurried in benzene and filtered by this method, no appreciable radioactivity was found when the filtrate was evaporated to dryness on a platinum disc.)

The above procedure was then repeated in order to obtain the other fractions. This procedure produced seven size fractions as follows:

<u>Stirring Time</u>	<u>Settling Time</u>	<u>Nomenclature of Fraction</u>
30 minutes	120 minutes	120
30 minutes	60 minutes	60
30 minutes	30 minutes	30
5 minutes	15 minutes	15
5 minutes	5 minutes	5
5 minutes	1 minute	1
None	None	Residue

This procedure was accomplished on samples from 14,000 (Figure B.1); 11,000; 12 to 14,000 and 9,500 feet. Each of the filter papers containing the particles was sliced with a scalpel into two fractions, one-fourth of the samples being used for particle sizing.

If the particle size frequency for all of the particles measured at 5.27 div/ μ magnification is multiplied by 26.2, it will bring both sets of data into comparison for the total particle size picture.

The heavier fractions from the various altitudes contained spheres ranging in diameter from 20 microns to 150 microns. Some of these spheres had cracked surfaces and others appeared to be completely smooth. Some of the spheres had been broken, possibly in the mixing of the samples. Some of the heavier fractions and the unfractionated samples were measured for spheres only. Each fraction was photographed. Many of these photographs show clearly the presence of the previously described spheres.

Following is a listing of the number of particles sized from each of the fractions.

		<u>All Particles</u>		<u>Spheres Only</u>	
		<u>Number Sized</u>	<u>Magnifi- cation</u>	<u>Number Sized</u>	<u>Magnifi- cation</u>
9.5K	Residue	400	100X	100	100X
9.5K	1 Minute	440	100X	-	-
9.5K	5 Minute	360	100X	-	-
9.5K	15 Minute	300	250X	-	-
9.5K	30 Minute	260	250X	-	-

		<u>All Particles</u>		<u>Spheres Only</u>	
		<u>Number Sized</u>	<u>Magnifi- cation</u>	<u>Number Sized</u>	<u>Magnifi- cation</u>
9.5K	60 Minute	240	500X	-	-
9.5K	120 Minute	200	500X	-	-
14K	Residue	400	100X	100	100X
14K	1 Minute	400	125X	60	100X
14K	5 Minute	300	100X	-	-
14K	15 Minute	400	500X	-	-
14K	30 Minute	280	250X	-	-
14K	60 Minute	432	500X	-	-
14K	120 Minute	320	500X	-	-
14K	Unfractionated	285	100X	60	100X
14K	Unfractionated	311	250X	-	-
14K	Unfractionated	360	500X	-	-
11K	Unfractionated	112	100X	233	100X
11K	Unfractionated	253	250X	-	-
11K	Unfractionated	360	500X	-	-




Figure B.1 Photomicrographs of 14,000-foot fractionated samples.

Appendix C

CALCULATED DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS FOR BENZENE EXPERIMENT

$\bar{D}_g = .50$ D Cut-Off-49 μ

<u>Fraction</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>2.25</u>	<u>2.50</u>	<u>2.75</u>	<u>3.00</u>
120m	50.26	38.82	27.44	17.89	10.97
60m	16.91	15.01	12.38	9.49	6.88
30m	8.29	8.91	8.82	8.02	6.77
15m	5.93	7.46	8.47	8.70	8.23
5m	6.75	9.79	12.70	14.83	15.90
1m	7.68	12.77	19.01	25.47	31.33
residue	4.15	7.20	11.17	15.57	19.88

$\bar{D}_g = 1.00$ D Cut-Off-49 μ

120m	41.41	31.83	22.78	15.26	9.68
60m	17.07	14.77	12.01	9.20	6.69
30m	9.80	9.87	9.31	8.21	6.84
15m	7.53	8.63	9.17	9.05	8.38
5m	8.76	11.45	13.82	15.46	16.20
1m	10.00	14.97	20.70	26.55	31.92
residue	5.39	8.44	12.17	16.24	20.26

$\bar{D}_g = 2.00$ D Cut-Off-49 μ

120m	29.49	22.64	16.45	11.35	7.48
60m	16.91	14.21	11.38	8.67	6.34
30m	11.79	11.06	9.95	8.49	6.94
15m	9.75	10.21	10.14	9.57	8.62
5m	11.59	13.72	15.40	16.42	16.72
1m	13.28	17.96	23.09	28.21	32.95
residue	7.23	10.18	13.60	17.27	20.92

$\bar{D}_g = 3.00$ D Cut-Off-49 μ

120m	20.74	15.94	11.71	8.25	5.58
60m	16.21	13.39	10.62	8.09	5.94
30m	13.18	11.93	10.37	8.68	7.00
15m	11.48	11.41	10.89	10.00	8.84
5m	13.85	15.50	16.66	17.24	17.19
1m	15.91	20.33	25.00	29.62	33.91
residue	10.23	12.66	15.55	18.77	21.86

$\bar{D} = .50$ D Cut-Off 76 μ

<u>Fraction</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>2.25</u>	<u>2.50</u>	<u>2.75</u>	<u>3.00</u>
120m	48.18	35.64	23.57	14.10	7.84
60m	16.21	13.78	10.63	7.48	4.91
30m	7.95	8.18	7.58	6.32	4.84
15m	5.69	6.85	7.28	6.86	5.88
5m	6.48	8.99	10.91	11.70	11.36
1m	8.18	13.30	18.97	23.95	27.49
residue	7.29	13.23	21.04	29.56	37.65

$\bar{D} = 1.00$ D Cut-Off 76 μ

120m	39.21	28.81	19.33	11.92	6.87
60m	16.16	13.37	10.19	7.19	4.76
30m	9.28	8.94	7.90	6.42	4.86
15m	7.13	7.81	7.78	7.07	5.95
5m	8.30	10.37	11.73	12.09	11.51
1m	10.51	15.37	20.41	24.75	27.87
residue	9.38	15.30	22.64	30.54	38.16

$\bar{D} = 2.00$ D Cut-Off 76 μ

120m	27.44	20.11	13.72	8.76	5.27
60m	15.73	12.62	9.49	6.69	4.46
30m	10.97	9.86	8.30	6.55	4.88
15m	9.08	9.06	8.46	7.38	6.07
5m	10.79	12.19	12.84	12.66	11.77
1m	13.72	18.11	22.37	25.94	28.49
residue	12.30	18.06	24.84	32.03	39.04

$\bar{D} = 3.00$ D Cut-Off 76 μ

120m	19.04	13.95	9.64	6.29	3.89
60m	14.88	11.73	8.74	6.16	4.14
30m	12.10	10.44	8.53	6.62	4.89
15m	10.54	9.99	8.96	7.62	6.17
5m	12.72	13.57	13.71	13.14	12.00
1m	16.22	20.19	23.90	26.94	29.07
residue	15.99	21.14	27.19	33.65	40.05

$\bar{D} = .50$ D Cut-Off-137 μ

<u>Fraction</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>2.25</u>	<u>2.50</u>	<u>2.75</u>	<u>3.00</u>
120m	45.94	32.10	19.39	10.32	5.00
60m	15.46	12.42	8.75	5.48	3.14
30m	7.58	7.37	6.23	4.62	3.09
15m	5.43	6.17	5.99	5.02	3.76
5m	6.18	8.09	8.98	8.55	7.25
1m	7.80	11.98	15.62	17.51	17.56
residue	11.60	21.84	35.02	48.50	60.18

 $\bar{D} = 1.00$ D Cut-Off-137 μ

120m	36.90	25.57	15.70	8.64	4.37
60m	15.21	11.87	8.28	5.21	3.03
30m	8.74	7.93	6.42	4.65	3.09
15m	6.71	6.93	6.32	5.12	3.78
5m	7.81	9.20	9.52	8.75	7.31
1m	9.89	13.64	16.57	17.94	17.71
residue	14.73	24.86	37.18	49.67	60.70

 $\bar{D} = 2.00$ D Cut-Off-137 μ

120m	25.37	17.49	10.94	6.26	3.32
60m	14.55	10.98	7.56	4.78	2.82
30m	10.15	8.58	6.62	4.68	3.08
15m	8.39	7.88	6.74	5.27	3.83
5m	9.97	10.60	10.25	9.05	7.42
1m	12.68	15.74	17.85	18.55	17.96
residue	18.95	28.74	40.05	51.39	61.57

 $\bar{D} = 3.00$ D Cut-Off-137 μ

120m	17.36	11.96	7.58	4.45	2.44
60m	13.57	10.05	6.87	4.36	2.59
30m	11.03	8.95	6.71	4.67	3.06
15m	9.61	8.56	7.05	5.38	3.86
5m	11.59	11.62	10.78	9.29	7.51
1m	14.79	17.30	18.80	19.05	18.19
residue	23.40	32.44	42.71	53.07	62.49

$\bar{D} = .50 D$ Cut-Off-120 μ

<u>Fraction</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>2.25</u>	<u>2.50</u>	<u>2.75</u>	<u>3.00</u>
120m	46.40	30.84	20.25	11.06	5.54
60m	15.61	12.70	9.13	5.87	3.47
30m	7.66	7.54	6.51	4.96	3.42
15m	5.48	6.31	6.25	5.38	4.16
5m	6.24	8.28	9.38	9.17	8.02
1m	7.88	12.26	16.30	18.78	19.41
residue	10.72	20.06	32.16	44.77	55.97

$\bar{D} = 1.00 D$ Cut-Off-120 μ

120m	37.36	26.23	16.43	9.28	4.84
60m	15.40	12.18	8.67	5.60	3.35
30m	8.85	8.13	6.71	5.00	3.42
15m	6.80	7.11	6.62	5.50	4.19
5m	7.91	9.44	9.97	9.41	8.10
1m	10.02	13.99	17.35	19.27	19.60
residue	13.65	22.90	34.24	45.93	56.50

$\bar{D} = 2.00 D$ Cut-Off-120 μ

120m	25.78	18.02	11.50	6.74	3.68
60m	14.78	11.31	7.95	5.15	3.12
30m	10.31	8.84	6.96	5.05	3.41
15m	8.52	8.12	7.08	5.68	4.24
5m	10.14	10.92	10.77	9.75	8.23
1m	12.89	16.22	18.75	19.99	19.91
residue	17.62	26.59	37.02	47.63	57.40

$\bar{D} = 3.00 D$ Cut-Off-120 μ

120m	17.69	12.36	7.99	4.80	2.71
60m	13.83	10.38	7.24	4.71	2.88
30m	11.24	9.25	7.07	5.05	3.40
15m	9.80	8.85	7.43	5.82	4.29
5m	11.82	12.02	11.36	10.02	8.34
1m	15.07	17.85	19.80	20.57	20.19
residue	21.94	30.20	39.65	49.34	58.37

Appendix D

ELECTRON MICROPROBE ANALYSIS

Two hundred and fifty Johnie Boy particles have been analyzed by an Applied Research electron microprobe. Elemental analysis within the limits of the probe was performed on particles sampled from different heights in the atmosphere. Ratios of the elements versus particle size were calculated for the Johnie Boy particles. Electron backscatter displays were used as a criterion for determining the size of the particles (see Figures D.3a, D.3c, and D.3d), as well as means for positioning the analyzing beam.

OPERATING CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES

Particle Sizes. Particle sizes determined by the electron backscatter displays were validated by a calibrated, standard, copper-silver grid whose wire mesh diameters were determined by Applied Research Laboratories. On a 360-micron sweep at a 30-kv accelerating potential the electron beam covers an area of 129,600 square microns. Under these conditions, at an electronic magnification of 1X (optical equivalent 222X) the dominant copper grid wire has a diameter of thirty microns edge to edge. From the copper wire grid backscatter representation on the oscilloscope, it was determined that each small gradient represented 5 microns in length.

Particle Analysis. An electron beam accelerating voltage of 30 kv was used, and a sample current of 0.05 microamps was maintained throughout the analysis. A beam spot size of approximately 1 micron was used. The particles from Johnie Boy were mounted on highly polished beryllium rods and placed in a specimen holder. These particles were then positioned inside the probe. A spot scan from edge to edge of the particle was used for the analysis. The intensity versus wavelength data were recorded and subsequently used to determine a relative weight percent of the elements present.

Standardization. The Johnie Boy particles were calibrated against eighteen stainless steel microspheres. The analysis of the microspheres was carried out at 30 kv and 0.05 microamps sample current. However, a somewhat different 4-inch LiF detector voltage setting was used than for the Johnie Boy particles. The results of the microspheres analyses are listed in Table D.1. The ratios of Cr/Fe and Ni/Fe in the microspheres are plotted in Figure D.2.

Our analysis of these spheres agreed with independent microprobe data from Tracerlab on the same controlled sample batch. The compositions of the stainless steel microspheres were independent of particle size.

The next step was the recalibration and calculation of the relative elemental percentages of the Johnie Boy particles with respect to the same 4-inch LiF detector setting as was used for the stainless steel microspheres. The recorder unit scale readings were adjusted to give readings equivalent to those which would have been obtained had the X-ray detector settings been the same for the Johnie Boy particles and the stainless steel microspheres.

Elemental ratios have been plotted for the Johnie Boy particles (see Figure D.1). The final relative mean average composition for the Johnie Boy particles was calculated taking into account corrections for absorbance and atomic number. These final calculations are listed in Table D.2.

The formula used for the relative mean average composition of the Johnie Boy particles was the following:

$$\bar{X}'_{(n)} \cdot A_{(n)} \cdot B_{(n)} = \bar{X}_{(n)}$$

where $\bar{X}'_{(n)}$ = Initial relative mean average composition for element (n).
 $A_{(n)}$ = Absorbance correction factor for element (n).
 $B_{(n)}$ = Atomic number correction factor for element (n).
 $\bar{X}_{(n)}$ = Final relative mean average composition for element (n).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following elements were scanned for and were not found to be present in the Johnie Boy spherical metallic oxide particles within the detectable limits of the probe: Al, U, Ti, Sn, Pb, Ta, Rb, W, Mg, Cu, Ag, Au.

The relative mean average composition of the metallic oxide particulates, which indeed constituted the majority under investigation was 64.9% Fe, 2.0% Mn, 19.4% Cr, 14.4% Ni (see Figure D.1). Some thirty metallic particles contained zinc and six of these thirty particles contained traces of cobalt. It was found that the analytical results were independent of the particle size.

Many of the Johnie Boy metallic particles were elliptoidal or spherical in shape, some were irregular in shape (Figures D.3a, D.3c) as compared to Figure D.3d, an electron backscatter display of spherical stainless steel microspheres.

Most of the Johnie Boy particles contained iron, with the elements Mn, Cr, Ni, Zn being present. All showed a tendency to be enriched relative to iron as the particle size increased (see Figure D.1).

TABLE D.1 316 STAINLESS STEEL MICROSPHERES

No.	Size μ	Fe	Cr	Ni
1	55	63.5	20.0	13.5
2	45	62.5	21.5	13.0
3	30	62.0	20.5	14.0
4	45	62.0	22.0	13.0
5	50	63.0	21.5	12.5
6	15	62.5	20.5	13.5
7	25	62.0	21.5	13.0
8	20	62.0	20.5	13.0
9	25	62.0	21.0	13.5
10	20	63.0	22.0	13.0
11	35	62.5	21.5	13.5
12	45	62.0	22.0	13.0
13	30	62.0	21.0	13.5
14	55	63.0	20.0	13.0
15	40	62.5	21.5	13.0
16	55	62.5	21.0	13.5
17	40	62.0	20.5	14.0
18	35	63.0	21.5	13.0

Mn, for all particles 1.5%

TABLE D.2 CORRECTION FACTORS FOR ELECTRON MICROPROBE ANALYSES

(n)	$\bar{X}'_{(n)}$	$A_{(n)}$	$B_{(n)}$	$\bar{X}'_{(n)}$
Fe	66.0	1.00	.984	64.9
Ni	13.2	1.10	.992	14.4
Mn	2.2	1.00	.910	2.0
Cr	17.5	1.15	.965	19.4





Figure D.1 316 stainless steel microspheres.




Figure D.2 Microprobe analysis.




Figure D.3 Photographs of Johnie Boy metallic particles.

REFERENCES

1. E.C. Freiling; "Radionuclide Fractionation of Bomb Debris"; *Science* 133, 3469, June 1961; Unclassified.
2. E.C. Freiling; "Theoretical Basis for Logarithmic Correlations of Fractionated Radionuclide Composition"; *Science* 139, 3539, March 1963; Unclassified.
3. P.C. Stevenson; "Correlation of Fractionation Phenomena in the Tewa Event of Operation Redwing, Suggestion for the Control of Fractionation"; UCRL-5027, University of California, Livermore Radiation Lab.; November 1957; Secret Restricted Data.
4. I.J. Russell; "Specific Activity and Particle Size Relationships of Airburst Debris Particles"; Air Force Weapons Laboratory Unpublished Notes; 1964; Secret Restricted Data.
5. "Compilation of Radioactive Fallout Prediction Systems"; Volume 3, Working Papers compiled for USNRDL-DASA Fallout Symposium Project; September 1962.
6. M.J. Schumchyk and E.H. Bouton; "Fallout Studies"; Project 2.5.1, Operation Teapot; WT-1119; July 1958; Chemical and Radiological Laboratories, Army Chemical Center, Maryland; Unclassified.
7. "Operation Jangle, Radiochemical Measurements and Sampling Techniques"; WT-373, June 1952; Confidential.
8. "Particle Studies"; Operation Jangle; WT-371, July 1952; Armed Forces Special Weapons Project, Washington, D.C.; Secret Restricted Data.
9. D.E. Clark, F.K. Kawahara, and W.C. Cobbin; "Fallout Sampling and Analysis"; Operation Sun Beam, Project 2.9, POR-2289; October 1963; Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; Secret Restricted Data.
10. K. Steward; "The Condensation of a Vapor to an Assembly of Droplets or Particles"; *Trans. Faraday Society*, Vol. 52; 1956; Unclassified.
11. E.H. Bouton; "Radiological Survey"; Operation Sun Beam, Project 2.8, POR-2266; September 1962; U.S. Army Chemical Corps, Nuclear Defense Laboratory, Army Chemical Center, Maryland.
12. R.C. Bolles and N.E. Ballou; "Calculated Activities and Abundances of U^{235} Fission Products"; USNRDL Report; August 1966.

SECRET

Military offices, installations, and contractors requesting changes of address or distribution requirements should forward their requests through established channels to the Chief, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Washington, D. C. 20301.

DISTRIBUTION

Military Distribution Category 26

ARMY ACTIVITIES

- 1 CHIEF OF R & D DA
- 2 AC OF S INTELLIGENCE DA
- 3 ASST. C OF S FORCE DEV. ATTN CRR-OPNS
- 4 CHIEF OF ENGINEERS DA
- 5- 6 ARMY MATERIAL COMMAND
- 7 CHIEF SIGNAL OFFICER DA
- 8 CHIEF OF TRANSPORTATION DA
- 9- 10 THE SURGEON GENERAL DA
- 11- 12 U S ARMY COMBAT DEVELOPMENTS COMMAND
- 13 U S ARMY CDC NUCLEAR GROUP
- 14 U S ARMY ARTILLERY BOARD
- 15 U S ARMY AIR DEFENSE BOARD
- 16 U S ARMY AVIATION BOARD
- 17 ARMY WAR COLLEGE
- 18 U S ARMY AIR DEFENSE SCHOOL
- 19 U S ARMY CDC ARMOR AGENCY
- 20 U S ARMY CDC ARTILLERY AGENCY
- 21 U S ARMY CDC INFANTRY AGENCY
- 22 U S MILITARY ACADEMY
- 23 QUARTERMASTER SCHOOL U S ARMY
- 24 U S ARMY ORDNANCE & GUIDED MISSILE SCHOOL
- 25 U S ARMY CDC CBR AGENCY
- 26 U S ARMY CBR WEAPONS SCHOOL
- 27 U S ARMY SIGNAL SCHOOL
- 28 U S ARMY TRANSPORTATION SCHOOL
- 29 ENGINEER SCHOOL
- 30 MEDICAL FIELD SERVICE SCHOOL
- 31 U S ARMY NUCLEAR MEDICAL RESEARCH DET EUROPE
- 32 ARMED FORCES INSTITUTE OF PATH
- 33 WALTER REED ARMY INST OF RES
- 34 GENERAL SUPPLIES RESEARCH & ENGINEERING LAB
- 35 ENGINEER RESEARCH & DEV LAB
- 36 WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION
- 37 DIAMOND ORDNANCE FUZE LABORATORY
- 38- 39 BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY
- 40 ARMY MATERIALS RESEARCH AGENCY
- 41 U S ARMY MOBILITY COMMAND
- 42 U S ARMY MUNITIONS COMMAND
- 43 ELECTRONICS COMMAND
- 44 U S ARMY ELECTRONIC PROVING GROUND
- 45- 46 U S ARMY ELECTRONIC R & D LABORATORY
- 47- 48 U S ARMY CDC COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT GROUP
- 49 THE RESEARCH & ANALYSIS CORP
- 50 WHITE SANDS SIGNAL SUPPORT AGENCY
- 51- 52 U S ARMY NUCLEAR DEFENSE LABORATORY
- 53 U S ARMY CDC AIR DEFENSE AGENCY
- 54 U S ARMY CORPS OF ENG NUCLEAR CRATERING
- 55 UNITED STATES CONTINENTAL ARMY COMMAND
- 56 CHIEF OF R&D DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
- 57 U S ARMY CDC COMBINED ARMS GROUP
- 58 US ARMY ENGR. RES. & ENGR. LABS.

NAVY ACTIVITIES

- 59- 60 CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS OPOSEG
- 61 CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS OP-0985
- 62 CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS OP-75
- 63 CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS OP-92262
- 64 CHIEF OF NAVAL PERSONNEL
- 65 CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS OP-94
- 66 CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS OP-922F2
- 67- 69 CHIEF BUREAU OF NAVAL WEAPONS DLI-3
- 70 CHIEF BUREAU OF MEDICINE & SURGERY CODE 74
- 71 CHIEF BUREAU OF SHIPS CODE 423
- 72 CHIEF BUREAU OF SHIPS CODE 362
- 73 CHIEF BUREAU OF YARDS & DOCKS CODE 74
- 74 DIR. US NAVAL RESEARCH LAB.
- 75- 76 U S NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY
- 77 MATERIAL LABORATORY CODE 900
- 78 NAVY ELECTRONICS LABORATORY
- 79- 82 U S NAVAL RADIOLOGICAL DEFENSE LAB
- 83 U S NAVAL CIVIL ENGINEERING LABORATORY
- 84 U S NAVAL ACADEMY
- 85 U S NAVAL SCHOOLS COMMAND U S NAVAL STATION
- 86 U S NAVAL WAR COLLEGE

- 87 U S NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
- 88 U S NAVAL SCHOOL CEC OFFICERS
- 89 U S NAVAL DAMAGE CONTROL TNG CENTER ABC
- 90 U S NAVAL MEDICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE
- 91 U S NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION
- 92 DAVID W TAYLOR MODEL BASIN
- 93 U S NAVAL SUPPLY R&D FACILITY
- 94- 97 U S MARINE CORPS CODE A03H

AIR FORCE ACTIVITIES

- 98- 99 HQ USAF AFTAC-TD
- 100 HQ USAF AFRDPF
- 101 HQ USAF AFXPDG
- 102 HQ USAF AFOCEKA
- 103 HQ USAF AFGOA
- 104-108 HQ USAF AFNINDE
- 109 AC OF S INTELLIGENCE HQ USAF
- 110 BALLISTIC SYSTEMS DIVISION
- 111 HQ USAF AFMSPAA
- 112 TACTICAL AIR COMMAND
- 113 ALASKAN AIR COMMAND
- 114 AIR DEFENSE COMMAND
- 115 AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
- 116 PACIFIC AIR FORCES
- 117-118 AF CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH CENTER
- 119-123 AFWL WLL-3 KIRTLAND AFB
- 124-125 AIR UNIVERSITY LIBRARY
- 126 LOWRY TECH. TNG. CEN. TS-W
- 127 SCHOOL OF AVIATION MEDICINE
- 128-129 AERONAUTICAL SYSTEMS DIVISION
- 130-131 USAF PROJECT RAND
- 132 AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER
- 133 HQ USAF AFORQ
- 134 HQ USAF AFXPDK

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

- 135 DIRECTOR OF DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING
- 136 ASST TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE ATOMIC ENERGY
- 137 MILITARY LIAISON COMMITTEE
- 138 WEAPONS SYSTEM EVALUATION GROUP
- 139 ASST SECRETARY OF DEFENSE INSTALLATION & LOGISTICS
- 140 INDUSTRIAL COLLEGE OF THE ARMED FORCES
- 141 ARMED FORCES STAFF COLLEGE
- 142-145 DEFENSE ATOMIC SUPPORT AGENCY
- 146 FIELD COMMAND DASA
- 147 FIELD COMMAND DASA FCTG
- 148-149 WEAPONS TEST DIV. DASA-SANDIA-WTNT-TI
- 150 U S COAST GUARD
- 151 JOINT TASK FORCE-8
- 152 COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF PACIFIC
- 153 COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF ATLANTIC FLEET
- 154 STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND
- 155 CINCOMAD
- 156-158 ASST SECRETARY OF DEFENSE CIVIL DEFENSE
- 159 DIR. DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
- 160-179 DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

POR CIVILIAN DISTR CAT. B 3

- 180 ISOTOPES WESTWOOD NEW JERSEY
- 181 STAMFORD RESEARCH INST. ATTN RUBIN
- 182 RAND CORP SANTA MONICA CALIF ATTN TECH-LIBRARY
- 183 US WEATHER BUREAU WASHINGTON ATTN PERBER
- 184 SPERRY RAND CORP LONG ISLAND N Y
- 185 GENERAL ELECTRIC CO DEF-ELEC-DIV.

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION ACTIVITIES

- 186-188 AEC WASHINGTON TECH LIBRARY
- 189-190 LOS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LAB
- 191-195 SANDIA CORPORATION
- 196-205 LAWRENCE RADIATION LAB LIVERMORE
- 206 NEVADA OPERATIONS OFFICE-LAS VEGAS
- 207 DTIC OAK RIDGE-MASTER
- 208-237 DTIC OAK RIDGE SURPLUS

125

SECRET

RESTRICTED DATA