# UNCLASSIFIED

# AD NUMBER

AD329363

# CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO:

unclassified

FROM: confidential

# LIMITATION CHANGES

# TO:

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM:

Controlling Office-Naval Research Lab. Washington, DC

# AUTHORITY

NRL notice 5300-040, 24 Aug 1998; NRL notice 5300-040, 24 Aug 1998

# THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

# UNCLASSIFIED



THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED



Reproduced by the

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY ARLINGTON HALL STATION ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA





NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.



NRL Report 5755 32

WAY 2.1 1962

# ତ୍ତିSECRET ତିତି ଦିନ୍ତି MEAS **MEASUREMENTS USING A POLARIZATION INSTRUMENTATION RADAR ON SELECTED TARGET**

[UNCLASSIFIED TITLE]

I.D. Olin and F.D. Queen

**Tracking Branch** Radar Division

April 18, 1962



### **U. S. NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY** Washington, D.C.



3

NOX

NRL Report 5755

# SECRET

# MEASUREMENTS USING A POLARIZATION INSTRUMENTATION RADAR ON SELECTED TARGETS

## [UNCLASSIFIED TITLE]

# MEASUREMENTS USING A POLARIZATION INSTRUMENTATION RADAR ON SHIPS, AIRCRAFT, SEA RETURN, AND CHAFF

[SECRET TITLE]

I.D. Olin and F.D. Queen

Tracking Branch Radar Division

April 18, 1962



U. S. NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY Washington, D.C.

•

### CONTENTS

Abstract Problem Status Authorization	ii ii 11
INTRODUCTION	1
RADAR AND DATA-RECORDING SYSTEM	1
EXPERIMENTAL DATA	2
Ships and Aircraft Sea Return, Chaff, and Operational Aircraft	2 3
AUTOCORRELATION AND CROSSCORRELATION FUNCTIONS	5
CORRELATION FUNCTIONS OF POLARIZED COMPONENTS	5
INTERPRETATION OF COMPUTED RESULTS	7
CONCLUSIONS	7
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	7
REFERENCES	8

SECRET

iii

ABSTRACT [Secret]

An X-band pulsed radar system designed to measure echoing area and target-polarization characteristics has been built. Transmission of any one of four polarized components is possible (vertical, horizontal, right circular, and left circular), and the system is capable of receiving simultaneously along all four resolved components.

Tests have been conducted on a variety of ships, and polarized component return has been calibrated and recorded for different transmitted polarizations. Tests on propeller-driven aircraft (Convair 240 and a T-28) indicate the behavior of such factors as propeller modulation on polarized component return. An F3H jet aircraft was examined during flight and while dispensing chaff. Echo-area calibration indicates nose-on and broadside cross-section areas on the order of 120 and 12,000 sq ft, respectively.

The characteristics of chaff and sea return have been examined in detail. The properties of the crosscorrelation of orthogonally polarized returns have been considered and applied to these two targets. Large positive values of crosscorrelation are associated with gross area changes, whereas polarization changes are associated with large negative values of crosscorrelation. Although the return from chaff and the sea appears equally noisy, sea return displays prominent power fading, whereas the chaff also displays polarization changes.

### PROBLEM STATUS

This is an interim report on one phase of the problem; work on this and other phases is continuing.

### AUTHORIZ ATION

NRL Problem R07-04 Project RR 008-01-41-5552

Manuscript submitted January 25, 1962.

### MEASUREMENTS USING A POLARIZATION INSTRUMENTATION RADAR ON SELECTED TARGETS [Unclassified Title]

### INTRODUCTION (UNCLASSIFIED)

This report describes results of the final tests using the interim polarization radar described in a previous NRL report. The purpose of these tests was to examine several different target types and to evaluate the suitability of this system for the measurement of the radar echoing area and polarization characteristics. The earlier work described the system details and performance limitations. In addition, a series of tests on radar navigational buoys was conducted and reported. Particular note was made of the radar performance of the buoys on circular polarization. These buoys had been designed previously for use with linear polarization alone.

The targets used in the tests described here were all "targets of opportunity," so precise description is not always possible. Since the system details and performance limitations have already been discussed in the first report, much of this is not presented here.

### RADAR AND DATA-RECORDING SYSTEM (UNCLASSIFIED)

The radar used for the measurements is shown in the simplified block diagram, Fig. 1. The transmitter was a conventional X-band pulsed system with the following characteristics: carrier frequency 9225 Mc, prf 500 cps, pulse width 1  $\mu$ sec, beamwidth 3 degrees, and peak power 50 kw. The transmitted polarization could be made vertical, horizontal, right circular, or left circular by choice of waveguide components.

The receiver used a four-component polarization resolver (1,2), which resolves the return into vertical, horizontal, right-circular, and lett-circular components. This permits simultaneous sampling of four polarized components. Ganged rf attenuators in each of the four channels maintained the signal in the linear range of the receiver. In addition to these four components, two quadrature linear channels at 45 degrees to the horizontal were generated in the rf section. These were used in combination with the vertical and horizontal components for examining the tilt angle (2,3). The transmitter and receiver were optically pointed at the targets.

The six rf signals were separately mixed, amplified at 30 Mc, and detected by conventional methods. The video outputs of the V, H, R, and L channels were stretched, filtered, modulated, and recorded on magnetic tape. The video signals were also fed to a four-channel gray wedge pulse-height analyzer (4,5) which produces the amplitude-distribution density of groups of received pulses. This information may be used in the calculation of statistical properties of the target.

Each channel of the receiver had a dynamic range of 30 db. Errors of  $\pm 1.5$  db were observed. These were due in part to the lack of a good afc system and dissimilarities in the i-f response of the various channels.

The photographs from the gray wedge analyzer are seen to have unequal vertical deflections, when the data indicate that these heights should be equal. This corresponds to a calibration error and is due to varying spectral characteristics of the cathode-ray tubes used. This was not detected by the photomultiplier used to set the brightness levels. It will be observed that the vertical channel exhibits low intensity consistently, while the left-circular channel is always high in intensity. This difference, however, will not affect the calculation of the mean voltage from these plots.

### EXPERIMENTAL DATA

### Ships and Aircraft (Unclassified)

Figure 2 shows the amplitude-time function for a 60-second observation of a barge (range about 9450 yards). Right-circularly polarized illumination was used. The reflected components are, from top to bottom: vertical, horizontal, right circular, and left circular. Here the right-circular return (same polarization sense) is small in comparison with the left-circular return (opposite polarization sense). The properties of the target  $-a \log_{10}$ flat surface at the viewing aspect - disclose the reversal of polarization sense associated with a single reflection. Since the target is large, even small motions impart rapid changes in the return amplitude. In all the amplitude-time plots, an echoing-area calibration is included. The power-density spectrum of the received left-circularly polarized component during a five-second interval of the ru<sup>-</sup> is shown in Fig. 3. Although the target velocity is low, it is seen that the spectrum or stains significant components to 25 cps. In the other examples, employing ships with presumably greater velocity, the spectrum may not be higher. A representative photograph of amplitude-distribution density is shown in Fig. 4. These photographs depict, within a time interval (ten seconds in this case), the relative frequency with which amplitude within certain ranges occurred. The abscissa plots received voltage and the ordinate relative occurrence frequency. No outstanding reflective feature is observed since the traces are generally smooth. The height and width of the vertical and right-circular traces, respectively, are distorted due to exposure inaccuracies in the interim system employed.

Figure 5 depicts the return from a tanker (range approximately 16,000 yards). Right-circularly polarized transmission was employed. This time the same sense component (right circular) as transmitted contains significant amplitudes. Thus the doublebounce mechanism, producing two polarization sense reversals, is prominent. Several regions are observed where the signal fluctuations are very slow. Assuming that the ship was in continuous motion, a possible explanation is the large corner formed by the deck and superstructure, which was visible from the observed aspect angle. Subsequent observations of this target disclose the same general appearance in the return. Since much of the variation in the polarization channels appears closely correlated, the primary mechanism is a fluctuation in backscattered power rather than gross changes in polarization. Certain segments, such as the interval between 70 and 90 seconds, however, do exhibit significant variation in return polarization, as evidenced by fading in one channel accompanied by peaking in another. Figure 6 shows the power-density spectra of the received right- and left-circularly polarized channels during the 80 to 85 second interval of the run. Figure 7 shows photographs of amplitude-distribution density. Figure 7a was taken during the early part of the run (70 to 80 seconds) and shows no prominent peaks, since the target area is smoothly distributed. Figure 7b, taken during the interval 130 to 140 seconds, shows rather prominent peaks associated with discrete reflectors. It is to be noted that this occurred just after the broad response was observed. Side-lobe reradiation from the target is a possible explanation.

Figure 8 shows the data received when a freighter was illuminated with vertical polarization (range about 7900 yards). Here the target is high out of the water and is complex, so that no single corner-like feature predominates. Although no crosspolarization is observed (horizontal equal to zero, right and left circular equal in detail), this does not necessarily mean that illumination with some other polarization would also result in no crosspolarization. (See Appendix A, Ref. 2.) Figure 9 shows the powerdensity spectrum of the vertically polarized return. Since no crosspolarized return occurred, the right- and left-circularly polarized components are identical. Figure 10 shows the amplitude-distribution density. The target is complex and presents a smoothed distribution. Figure 11 shows the return when illuminating a fleet tug with right-circular polarization (range 9200 yards, water surface smooth). This target is large and complex. The return discloses many uncorrelated peaks in the receiver channels, hence substantial depolarization is occurring. At about 25 seconds a low-frequency region is observed. The center of this region shows predominantly opposite sense (left-circular) return polarization, while the overall section contains prominent side lobes. The mechanism is that of a flat plate turning slowly.

Figure 12 depicts the spectrum of the left-circular component for a five-second interval. Significant components are observed to about 17 cycles. The amplitude-distribution-density photograph (Fig. 13) again discloses a smoothly distributed target over the interval shown (10 to 20 seconds).

The power spectra in this report are, for the most part, short time samples compared with the lowest frequency contained in the signal; hence resolution of low frequencies is not possible. The short time interval used will show the higher frequencies on the signal which would not be seen for a long sample, since the power in these frequencies is small compared with the low-frequency power. An example of this variation in apparent power spectrum with sample length is shown in Figs. 12 and 14. Figure 12 shows frequencies to 17 cps for a five-second data sample, while Fig. 14, a 50-second time interval of the same run, including the five seconds analyzed in Fig. 12, shows frequencies to only 1.7 cps. The true power spectrum would be one which did not change appreciably as the sample length is increased.

The return from a propeller aircraft is shown in Fig. 15. This figure shows the return from a twin-engine aircraft (Convair type 240) with vertical polarization transmitted. The aircraft was inbound on a crossover course at about 12,350 yards. The only depolarization observed is that due to propeller modulation, which the frequency analysis in Fig. 16 shows to be 45 cps, and it appears in the horizontal return. From the propeller modulation observed, the engine speed of the aircraft can be determined: frequency (cycles/min)  $\times$  engine-to-propeller gear ratio/number of prop blades: (45)(60)(20/9)(1/3) = 2000 rpm. The engine rpm specified for this aircraft is 2100 rpm at cruising speed. Horizontal polarization from the propeller is occurring because of the aspect angle of the propeller with respect to the radar line of sight. Since the angle is not 90 degrees, the propeller appears unsymmetrical, producing a net horizontal return three times for each complete propeller revolution. The propeller modulation described above is not seen in vertical return, due to the greater low-frequency power returned from the airframe at the aspect angles observed. The power received at this frequency is in excess of 16 db down from the low-frequency power and is out of the range of the spectrum analyzer.

### Sea Return, Chaff, and Operational Aircraft (Secret)

A single-engine aircraft, probably a T-28, illuminated with right-circular polarization, is shown in Fig. 17. The aircraft was observed flying away from the radar, range about

A re-recording of a one-second portion of the chaff drop shown in Fig. 21 was made using the same high-speed oscillograph and is shown in Fig. 29. Speed uniformity here permitted the combination of two records in a single photograph. Figure 30 shows the power-density spectrum of a two-second sample of data in Fig. 21. The samples in Figs. 28 and 29 were fitted to a computer program, and the autocorrelation, power spectrum, and crosscorrelation of the various components were derived.

### AUTOCORRELATION AND CROSSCORRELATION FUNCTIONS (UNCLASSIFIED)

Computation was made on the NRL-Narec computer utilizing the one-second interval of data from each of the two runs. Computation was actually carried out on the basis of the autocovariance and covariance of functions, and the resultant data were normalized to obtain the autocorrelation and crosscorrelation function. For example, given a time function,  $f_1(t)$  over an interval T, we find for the autocovariance (6):

$$C_{11}(\tau) = \left\langle \left[f_1(t) - \overline{f}_1\right] \left[f_1(t + \tau) - \overline{f}_1\right] \right\rangle_{T}$$
(1)

where  $\overline{f}_1$  is the average value of  $f_1(t)$  over the interval T. The normalized autocovariance (6) or the autocorrelation then becomes

$$\phi_{11}(\tau) = \frac{C_{11}(\tau)}{C_{11}(0)} . \tag{2}$$

To compute the covariance and crosscorrelation functions, the following technique was employed. Given  $f_1(t)$  and  $f_2(t)$ , with mean values  $\overline{f}_1$  and  $\overline{f}_2$  in the interval employed, the covariance is defined:

$$C_{12}(\tau) = \left\langle \left[ f_1(t) - \overline{f}_1 \right] \left[ f_2(t+\tau) - \overline{f}_2 \right] \right\rangle_{T}$$
(3)

The crosscorrelation is then obtained from

$$\phi_{12}(\tau) = \frac{C_{12}(\tau)}{\sqrt{C_{11}(0) \cdot C_{22}(0)}}.$$
 (4)

In the definitions given, subtracting out the mean value of the sample serves to prevent the detail in the random or periodic component from being suppressed when plotted after normalization.

### CORRELATION FUNCTIONS OF POLARIZED COMPONENTS (SECRET)

The mean value will always exist in these measurements, because its presence represents some finite scattering area which, after all, is the radar target. The normalization quantity  $C_{11}(0)$  is then just the ac component of power in the radar return.

### SECRET

ł

### NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

In any single channel the returns represented in Figs. 28 and 29 show variations with time. Given just the single-channel output, as obtained from the usual radar, for example, the source of the variations - i.e., power fading or amplitude trade-off between a pair of channels - is not disclosed. In the present system pairs of amplitude channels are available, and it is instructive to compare their variations. Little will be said of the autocorrelation functions, since their interpretation is widely understood.

The crosscorrelation function of interest here is the correlation between the orthogonal components of the received wave in each of the wave bases, i.e.,  $\phi_{VH}(\tau)$  and  $\phi_{RL}(\tau)$ . Components such as  $\phi_{VR}(\tau)$  and  $\phi_{HL}(\tau)$  are of little utility, since some redundancy is always present ( $P = V^{2} + H^{2} = R^{2} + L^{2}$ ), and some degree of crosscorrelation will always occur. Moreover, since there are component waves received from the same target, their degree of correlation at  $\tau = 0$  (the unlagged value) is of primary importance. Considering then the value of the crosscorrelation function at the origin,  $\phi_{12}(0)$ , between the orthogonal components of the incoming wave, several conclusions may be drawn concerning the nature of the radar return.

If the value of  $\phi_{12}(0)$  is large and positive, obviously variation in the received wave power is occurring.\* On the other hand, wave polarization is defined in terms of the ratio of the two orthogonal components, so that polarization changes as a function of time are "suppressed" under this condition.

If  $\phi_{12}(0)$  is large and negative, then increases in signal amplitude in one channel are accompanied by decreases in the orthogonal component channel, and conversely. This type of performance tends toward more uniform power, but at the same time the polarization ratio must change.\* If the average values of the functions are comparable with the peak-to-peak deviations, the polarization ratio can vary through extreme values; in the limit, it approaches power fading in one polarized channel accompanied by re-emergence of the power in the orthogonally polarized channel.

Under some circumstances the crosscorrelation may be very low, perhaps even zero. For this observation interval, then, there are some of each of the conditions described above occurring. Hence no statement may be made concerning the power variation over the interval, although it is evident that under these conditions the polarization ratio does not remain constant. The lack of correlation between these two quantities, which are components of the total received signal, may itself be significant.

In the present system two sets of components are available, i.e., one pair of orthogonal linears and the orthogonal circulars. If crosscorrelation between the components of one set is compared with crosscorrelation between the components of the other set there will, in general, be some differences. These differences are due to inclusion of phase data in one pair of components which is not included in the other.<sup>†</sup> If there is little difference, and if the average values are similar, then polarization is seen to approximate linear with a tilt angle of 45 or 135 degrees (the condition for H = V = R = L).

$$|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{V}}| = \sqrt{|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{R}}|^2 + |\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{L}}|^2 - 2|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{L}}| \cos \theta}$$
$$|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{H}}| = \sqrt{|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{R}}|^2 + |\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{L}}|^2 + 2|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{L}}| \cos \theta}.$$

SECRET

<sup>\*</sup>The amount of variation is, of course, a function of the average values which have been subtracted off for purposes of this analysis.

<sup>†</sup>Given the pair  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{L}}$  and  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{p}}$  with a phase difference  $\theta$ , the circular channels indicate  $|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{L}}|$  and  $|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{p}}|$ ; however, the linear channels indicate

### **INTERPRETATION OF COMPUTED RESULTS (SECRET)**

Figure 31 shows the power-density spectra derived from the computer program for the chaff sample. The effective resolution of the spectrum is about ten cycles. A twosecond sample which included the data used for computation was analyzed with the scanning analyzer set with an effective resolution of about 15 cycles. The results are shown in Fig. 30. It is seen that the water-surface return contains a significantly lower signal spectrum than the chaff (Fig. 32). The crosscorrelation characteristics, shown in Figs. 33 and 34, also reveal substantial differences. The water surface shows fair positive correlation between components of both the linear and circular pairs, indicating power fading to be a prominent characteristic. On the other hand, the chaff shows nearly zero crosscorrelation (for  $\tau = 0$ , which is rather unique in itself). Polarization variations during the interval are perhaps the dominant characteristic.

It should be noted that the simultaneous four-channel reception will lead (assuming target characteristics are favorable) to significant values of crosscorrelation. On the other hand, independent runs with the various polarizations would not show these results except for a fortuitous set of circumstances. In general, the results would appear similar to Figs. 33 and 34 at the larger lagged values.

### CONCLUSIONS (SECRET)

A variety of targets have been examined using the omni-polarized receiver system, in conjunction with various transmitter polarizations. Generally, when linearly polarized transmission is used, the signal-return variations are traceable to "power fading" rather than polarization changes. Propeller modulation, however, induces significant crosspolarization. With circular transmission, crosspolarized components occur readily, since the complex nature of the targets permits many multiple wave reflections.

An analysis of the radar return from two noisy targets, chaff and sea water, reveals that chaff return contains both polarization and power changes, whereas the sea return discloses mostly power changes.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS (UNCLASSIFIED)

The authors wish to thank A. E. March and D. C. Hut for their work in the fabrication and testing of the radar system and assistance in data reduction. The work of H. G. Thompson and B. E. Wittman in determining the mechanical designs and directing the trailer modifications to accommodate the radar system is appreciated.

The contributions of the members of the New Techniques Section, Tracking Branch, Radar Division, for the initial design and testing of many of the components in the microwave system and in the many valuable suggestions is acknowledged.

The computer programming was the work of C. G. Myers of the Terminal Equipment Section of the Tracking Branch, and his help is greatly appreciated.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Allen, P.J., and Olin, I.D., "A Four-Component Polarization Resolver for Microwaves," NRL Memo. Rept. 1086, Apr. 1960
- 2. Olin, I.D., and Queen, F.D., "Measurements Using a Polarization Instrumentation Radar on Navigational Buoys," NRL Report 5701, Nov. 1961
- 3. Olin, I.D., "A System for the Dynamic Measurement of Radar Return Polarization," NRL Memo. Rept. 1082, Apr. 1960
- 4. Olin, I.D., "An Automatic Amplitude Distribution Recorder," Transactions of the 1959 Symposium on Radar Return - Part I, NOTS TP2338, pp. 145-168, May 11-12, 1959
- 5. Bernstein, W., Chase, R.L., and Schardt, A.W., "Gray Wedge Pulse-Height Analysis," Rev. Sci. Instr. 24(6):437-444 (1953)
- Blackman, R.B., and Tukey, J.W., "The Measurement of Power Spectra from the Point of View of Communications Engineering," Bell System Tech. J., Vol. 37, Part I, pp. 185-282, Part II, pp. 485-569, Jan., Mar., 1958







SECRET





SECRET

10

,







Fig. 4 - Echo-amplitude distribution density for the 20 to 30 second time interval of run 1R. The abscissa plots received voltage and the ordinate relative occurrence frequency (Unclassified)





•



(b) Received left circular



1

ł



i.

ł



(a) Time interval 70 to 80 seconds



(b) Time interval 130 to 140 seconds

Fig. 7 - Echo-amplitude distribution density for run 25R (Unclassified)

SECRET

1



ļ

SECRET

•







Fig. 10 - Echo-amplitude distribution density for the 50 to 60 second time interval of run 13V (Unclassified)

SECRET

I

•



٠

17

ŝ

2

IN WWW WWW

SECRET

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY





Fig. 14 - Run 6R fleet tug, transmitted polarization right circular, received left circular, time interval 0 to 50 seconds (Unclassified)

1

SECRET

18

•



![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

ļ

14

l

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

SECRET

,

.

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 18 - Run 24RL, transmitted polarization right circular, received right circular, time interval 15 to 20 seconds (Secret)

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

Fig. 19 - Run 24RL, transmitted polarization right circular, received left circular, time interval 15 to 20 seconds (Secret)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

(a) Portion of the run which shows aircraft approach, chaff drop, and crossover

Fig. 20 - Run 24RR, F3H aircraft, minimum range 6300 yards, transmitted polarization right circular. Cross-section values listed are for broadside (Secret).

SECRET

,

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 20 (Continued) - Run 24RR, F3H aircraft minimum range 6300 yards, transmitted polarization right circular. Cross-section values listed are for broadside (Secret).

SECRET

SECRET

!

ļ

,

### NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

23

-

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

SECRET

2 ; .

24

•

,

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 21 - Run 24RH, chaff, range 10,800 yards, transmitted polarization right circular (Secret)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

SECRET

SECRET

26

,

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

.

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

(d) Received left circular

Fig. 23 (Continued) - Run 24RH, transmitted polarization right circular, time interval 57 to 62 seconds (Secret)

SECRET

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

Fig. 24 - Echo-amplitude distribution density for a broadside aspect of run 24R (Secret)

SECRET

-

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Fig. 25 - Run 4L, sea return, range 2100 yards, depression angle one degree, transmitted polarization left circular (Secret)

.

،

,

•

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

### SECRET

ł

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

,

Fig. 27 - Echo-amplitude distribution density for the 50 to 60 second time interval of 4L (Secret)

.

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 30 - Run 24RH (Fig. 21), transmitted polarization right circular, time interval 19.5 to 21.5 seconds(Secret)

SECRET

.

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

(d) Received left circular

Fig. 30 (Continued) - Run 24RH (Fig. 21), transmitted polarization right circular, time interval 19.5 to 21.5 seconds (Secret)

SECRET

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

SECRET

36

.

٠

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 32 - Computed power-density spectrum of the one-second sea-return sample (Secret)

SECRET

ł

ĝ

1

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

•

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

(b) Right and left circular

Fig. 34 - Crosscorrelation of components of chaff (Secret)

SECRET

•

ţ.

ł

### DISTRIBUTION

,

DOWNGRADED AT 12-YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR \$200.10	Copy No.
CNO Attn: Code On-03EG	1 - 2
Attn: Code 463, Mr. C.E. Burns, Jr. Code 406	<b>3</b> - <b>4</b> 5
BuWeps Attn: Code RRRE-2, J.F. Dibrell RMWC-43, R.J. Lukes	6 7
BuShips Attn: Code 670-B-1, C.L. Stec	9
USNEL, San Diego, Calif. Attn: Technical Library	10 - 11
USNOTS, China Lake, Calif. Attn: Tech. Library	12
USNPGS, Monterey, Calif. Attn: Tech. Library	13
USNATS, Patuxent River, Md. Attn: Electronics Test Div.	14
USNADC, Johnsville, Pa. Attn: Tech. Library	15
Aeronautical Systems Div., Wright-Patterson AFB, Dayton, Ohio Attn: ASD (ASAPRD-Distr.)	16
RADC, Rome, N.Y. Attn: RCRWE	17
AFCRC, Bedford, Mass. Attn: CRTOTT-2	18
OCSigO Attn: Ch., Research & Dev. Div.	19
USASRDL, Ft. Monmouth, N.J. Attn: USASRDL Liaison Office (3)	20 - 22
Office of the Director of Defense (R&E) Attn: Tech. Library Branch	23 - 24
ASTIA, Arlington, Va. Attn: TIPDR	25 - 34
Lincoln Laboratory, Lexington, Mass. Attn: Mr. G.F. Pippert	35
Lockheed Missiles & Space Div., Lockheed Aircraft Corp., Sunnyvale, Calif.	
Attn: J.R. Huynen	36

40

.

### NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

### DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

-	Copy No.
Sylvania Electronic Defense Laboratory, Mountain View, Calif. Attn: B.J. Lamberty	37
RCA, Moorestown, New Jersey Attn: D.L. Barton	28
General Electric, Court Street Plant, Rm. 53, Bldg. 9, Syracuse, New York Attn: L.B. Schofield	50
NPT.	39
	40 - 58

.

SECRET

<ol> <li>Radar signals -</li></ol>	<ol> <li>Radar signals -</li></ol>
Polarization <li>Radar targets -</li>	Polarization <li>Radar targets -</li>
Reflective effects -	Reflective effects -
Measurement <li>Olin, L.D.</li> <li>Queen, F.D.</li>	Measurement <li>Olin, I.D.</li> <li>II. Queen, F.D.</li>
SECRET	SECRET
Naval Research Laboratory. Report 5755 [SECRET]	Naval Research Laboratory. Report 5755 [SECRET]
MEASUREMENTS USING A POLARIZATION INSTRU-	MEASUREMENTS USING A POLARUZATION INSTRU-
MENTATION RADAR ON SELECTED TARGETS	MENTATION RNDAR ON SELECTED TARGETS
[Unclassified Title], by LD. Olin and F.D. Queen.	(Unclassified Title), by I.D. Olin and F.D. Queen.
41 pp. and figs., April 18, 1962.	41 pp. and figs., April 18, 1962.
An X-band pulsed radar system designed to	An X-band pulsed radar system designed to
measure echoing area and target-polarization	measure echoling area and target-polarization
characteristics has been built. Transmission of any	characteristics has been built. Transmission of any
one of four polarized components is possible (vertical,	one of four polarized components is possible (veritcal,
horizontal, right circular, and left circular), and the	horizontal, right circular, and the
system is capable of receiving simultaneously along	system is capable of receiving simultaneously along
all four resolved components.	all four resolved components.
Tests have been conducted on a variety of ships,	Tests have been conducted on a variety of ahips,
and polarized component return has been calibrated	and polarized component return has been calibrated
SECRET (over)	SECRET (over)
<ol> <li>Radar signals -</li></ol>	<ol> <li>Radar signals -</li></ol>
Polarization <li>Radar targets -</li>	Polarization <li>Radar targets -</li>
Reflective effects -	Reflective effects -
Measurement <li>Olin, I.D.</li> <li>Olin, I.D.</li> <li>Queen, F.D.</li>	Measurement <li>Olin, I.D.</li> <li>Queen, F.D.</li>
SECRET Naval Research Laboratory. Report 5755 (SECRET) MEASUREMENTS USING A POLARIZATION INSTRU- MENTATION RADAR ON SELECTED TARGETS [Unclassified Title], by LD. Olin and F.D. Queen. 41 pp. and figs., April 18, 1962. An X-band pulsed radar system designed to measure echoling area and target-polarization characteristics has been built. Transmission of any horizontal, right circular, and iett circular), and the system is capable of receiving simultaneously along all four resolved components. Tests have been conducted on a variety of ships, and polarized components. SECRET (over)	SECRET Naval Research Laboratory. Report 5755 [SECRET]. MEASUREMENTS USING A POLARIZATION INSTRU- MENTATION FADDR ON SELECTED TARGETS [Unclassified Title], by I.D. Olin and F.D. Queen. 41 pp. and figs., April 18, 1962. An X-band pulsed radar system designed to masure echoing area and target-polarization characteristics has been built. Transmission of any one of lour polarized components is possible (vertical, horizontal, right circular, and left circular), and the system is capable of receiving simultaneously along all four resolved components. Tests have been conducted on a variety of ships, and polarized component return has been calibrated SECRET (over)

ŧ

The characteristics of chaff and sea return have been examined in detail. The properties of the crosscorrelation of orthogonally polarized returns have been considered and applied to these two targets. Large positive values of crosscorrelation are associated with gross area changes, whereas polariza- flon changes are associated with arge negative values of crosscorrelation. Although the return from chaff and the sea appears equally noisy, sea return displays prominent power [ading, whereas the chaff also displays polarization changes. [Secret Abstract]	SECRET	
The properties of the crossorrelation of orthogonally polarized returns have been considered and applied to these two targets. Large positive values of crosscorrelation are associated with gross area changes, whereas polariza- tion changes are associated with large negative values of crosscorrelation. Although the return from chaff and the sea appears equally noisy, sea return displays prominent power fading, whereas the chaff also displays polarization changes. [Secret Abstract]	SECRET	

# SECRET

driven aircraft (Convair 240 and a T-28) indicate the behavior of such factors as propeller modulation on polarized component return. An F3H jet aircraft was examined during flight and while dispensing chaft. Echo-area calibra-tion indicates nose-on and broadside cross-section areas on the order of 120 and recorded for different transmitted polarizations. Tests on propellerand 12,000 sq ft, respectively.

The properties of the crosscorrelation of orthogonally polarized returns have been considered and applied to these two targets. Large positive values of crosscorrelation are associated with gross area changes, whereas polariza-tion changes are associated with large negative values of crosscorrelation. Although the return from chaif and the sea appears equally noisy, sea return displays prominent power fading, whereas the chaif also displays polarization The characteristics of chaif and sea return have been examined in detail. changes. [Secret Abstract]

SECRET

# SECRET

driven aircraft (Convair 240 and a 7-28) indicate the behavior of such factors as propeller modulation on polarized component return. An F3H jet aircraft was examined during flight and while dispensing chaff. Echo-area calibra-tion indicates mose-on and broadside cross-section areas on the order of 120 and 12,000 sq ft, respectively. and recorded for different transmitted polarizations. Tests on propeller-

driven aircraft (Convair 240 and a T-28) indicate the behavior of such factors as propeller modulation on polarized component return. An F3H jet aircraft was examined during flight and while dispensing chaft. Echo-area calibra-

recorded for different transmitted polarizations. Tests on propeller-

and

SECRET

tion indicates nose-on and broadside cross-section areas on the order of 120 and 12,000 sq ft, respectively.

The characteristics of chaff and sea return have been examined in detail.

# SECRET

driven aircraft (Convair 240 and a T-28) indicate the behavior of such factors tion indicates mose-on and broadside cross-section areas on the order of 120 and 12,000 aq ft, respectively. as propeller modulation on polarized component return. An F3H jet aircraft was examined during flight and while dispensing chaff. Echo-area calibraand recorded for different transmitted polarizations. Tests on propeller-

The characteristics of chaff and sea return have been examined in detail. The properties of the crosscorrelation of orthogonally polarized returns have been considered and applied to these two targets. Large positive values of crosscorrelation are associated with gross area changes, whereas polariza-tion changes are associated with large negative values of crosscorrelation. Although the return from chaff and the sea appears equally noisy, sea return displays prominent power fading, whereas the chaff also displays polarization changes. [Secret Abstract]

SECRET

The characteristics of chaff and sea return have been examined in detail.

# UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

5300-040 24 August 1998

Code 5300

REPLY TO ATTN OF:

SUBJECT:

DATE:

### **REQUEST TO DECLASSIFY NRL REPORTS**

TO:

Code 1221.1 (C. Rogers)

1. It is requested that the NRL Reports listed below be declassified. The information contained in these reports has become public knowledge in the many years since first classified.

Declassify, public release.

5790 NVC MR-2138 7033 8920 AD-509 378 W AD-510278 V 5694 5821 **A B B** AD-371825 AD-333579 M 5876 5755 **S** AD-334 317 -AD-329363  $\checkmark$ 

Declassify, DoD and DoD contractors only. These Reports contain Critical Technology.

**SEA** 

5835 AD-332239 V

I Z. Maine Educar

EDWARD E. MAINE Associate Superintendent Radar Division

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 (REV. 1-80) GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6 5010-114