UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD328815

CLASSIFICATION CHANGES

TO:

UNCLASSIFIED

FROM:

CONFIDENTIAL

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Controlling DoD Organization: Department of Defense, Attn: Public Affairs Office, Washington, DC 20301.

AUTHORITY

NRO ltr dtd 19 Sep 2012; NRO ltr dtd 19 Sep 2012

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

NRO APP. VED FOR RELEASE 29 SEPTEMBER 12





Reproduced by the

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY ARLINGTON HALL STATION ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA





IRO APP. VED FOR RELEASE 29 SEPTEMBER

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

TITCHED PAPER ATTACHED PAPER		- ILE	COPT	<u>会理</u> 建设建設会
ATACHED PAPE ATACHED PAPE AT			ReIVIN TO ALL STATION	
TACHED PAPER WILL BE A CONTRACT OF THE RESPONSIBLE CUSTODIAN EACH PARE A CONTRACT OF THE RESPONS			LINGTON . TIRS	
<text></text>	ATT		APLIN AIM EFT ON DESK WH	EN ROOM IS VACANT.
	THESE P	APERS WILL BE N	WED TO THE RESPONSIBL	E CUSTODIAN EACH DAY.
<text></text>			DESTRUCTION CODE	
	E E		R]	Arkicaal
		CAN	EINERIE	The A
TITE - INOT TO EXCERCIPIE UTTERFAND EPAGER! De S. 100000000000000000000000000000000000		CUN	FIVENI	
Dev. & Thermil. Perform-Discoverer Ht. Shid. Document insentification No. Core No. C2/1 or 260 corres ONIGNATED BY Costello/Segletes 3/23/62 Date Date Local Accountability No. Date Date Costello/Segletes 3/23/62 Date			and the History Part of the state of the state	
CONTRACTOR OF THE PART OF THE	TITLE -	INOT TO EXCEED 40 L	ETTERS AND SPACES)	e geler ligne steelt. The end of the Z ona
		NOT TO EXCEED 40 LI V. & Therml. Per CUMENT IDENTIFICATIO 62SD445	CYTERS AND SPACES) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shid. ON NO. COPY NO. 2 /1	260
		CONTROL OF	etters and spaces) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shid. In No. COPY NO. 2/2 of etes 3/23/62 23 CONTRACT	260 corres
		(NOT TO EXCEED 40 LI V. & Therml. Per CUMENT IDENTIFICATION 62SD445 ORIGINATED BY Costello/Segli LOCAL ACCO	ETTERS AND SPACES) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shld. ON NO. COPY NO. 2/2 of etes 3/23/62 PAGES 23 CONTRACT LOGGED IN L DATE BY D	260 COPIES
		(MOT TO EXCEED 40 LE IV. & Therml. Per COMENT IDENTIFICATION 62SD445 ORIGINATED BY Costello/Seglo LOCAL ACCO 1 2	ETTERS AND SPACES) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shld. ON NO. COPY NO. 2/2 OF etes 3/23/62 PAGES CONTRACT UNTABILITY NO. LOGGED IN L DATE BY D	260 COPIES
		CONTRACTOR OF CO	ETTERS AND SPACES) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shid. ON NO. COPY NO. 2/2 or etes 3/23/62 UNTABILITY NO. CORVENSION CONTRACT LOGGED IN L DATE BY D	260 COFFEE NO. OGGED OUT ATE BY
		(NOT TO EXCEED 40 LE V. & Therml. Per CUMENT IDENTIFICATION 62SD445 ORIGINATED BY Costello/Segli LOCAL ACCO 1 2 3 4 5	ETTERS AND SPACES) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shld. ON NO. COPY NO. 2/2 of etes 3/23/62 PAGES 23 CONTRACT UNTABILITY NO. DATE BY D	260 COPIES
CANFIDENTIAL CANFINIST		CMOT TO EXCEED 40 LE Therml. Per COMENT IDENTIFICATION 62SD445 ORIGINATED BY Costello/Segli LOCAL ACCO 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ETTERS AND SPACES) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shld. ON NO. COPY NO. 2/2 or etes 3/23/62 PAGES CONTRACT UNTABILITY NO. COGGED IN L DATE BY D	260 COPIES
TORFIDENTIAL CONSIDERTIAL		CMOT TO EXCEED 40 LT IV. & Therml. Per CUMENT IDENTIFICATION 62SD445 ORIGINATED BY Costello/Segle LOCAL ACCO 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ETTERS AND SPACES) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shid. ON NO. COPY NO. 2/2 OF etes 3/23/62 PAGES CONTRACT UNTABILITY NO. LOGGED IN L DATE BY D DATE BY D CONTRACT CONT	260 COPIES
a construction construction		CMOT TO EXCEED 40 LT Therml. Per COMENT IDENTIFICATION 62SD445 ORIGINATED BY Costello/Segle LOCAL ACCO 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ETTERS AND SPACES) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shid. ON NO. COPY NO. 2/2 or etes 3/23/62 PAGES CONTRACT UNTABILITY NO. LOGGED IN L DATE BY D	260 COPIES
		(MOT TO EXCREP 40 Le av. & Therml. Per CUMENT IDENTIFICATION 62SD445 ORIGINATED BY Costello/Seglo LOCAL ACCO 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ETTERS AND SPACES) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shld. ON NO. COPY NO. 2/2 OF etes 3/23/62 PAGES 23 CONTRACT UNTABILITY NO. LOGGED IN L DATE BY D DATE BY D ATE BY D ATE BY D ATE BY D	260 COPIES NO. OGGED OUT ATE BY
第一)。 第一)。 司利を封己に行為た 「自治を持定」の 二 「自治を持定」の 二 「自治を持定」の 二 「自治を持定」の 二 「自治を行う」の 「自治を行う」の 二 「自治を行う」の 二 「自治の 「自うの 「自治の 「自うの 「自治の 「自治の 「自治の 「自治の 「自治の 「自治の 「自治の 「自治の 「自うの 「自治の 「 「自治の 「自治の 「自治の 「自治の 「自治の 「自治のの 「自治の 「自治の 「自治の 「自治の 「自 「自治の 「自 「自治の 「自治の 「自 「自治の 「自 「自治の 「 「自 「自 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「		CMOT TO EXCREP 40 Le ty. & Therml. Per CDMENT IDENTIFICATION 62SD445 ORIGINATED BY Costello/Segli LOCAL ACCO 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ETTERS AND SPACES) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shid. ON NO. COPY NO. 2/2 or etes 3/23/62 PAGES CONTRACT UNTABILITY NO. LOGGED IN DATE BY D ATE BY D CONTRACT CO	260 COPIES
		CMOT TO EXCREP 40 Le tv. & Therml. Per CUMENT IDENTIFICATION 62SD445 ORIGINATED BY Costello/Segle LOCAL ACCO 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ETTERS AND SPACES) rform-Discoverer Ht. Shid. ON NO. COPY NO. 2/2 or etes 3/23/62 PAGES 23 CONTRACT UNTABILITY NO. LOGGED IN L DATE BY D	260 COPIES

.

.

1

i

NRO APPN- VED FOR RELEASE 29 SEPTEMBER _ 12

CONFIDENTIAL

LHSC 132748

1.STIA

APR 1-7 1952

Tis.A

Å

DOCUMENT NO. 625D445

DEVELOPMENT AND THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF

THE DISCOVERER HEAT SHIELD

BY

F.A. COSTELLO

J.A. SEGLETES

NISSILE AND SPACE VEHICLE DEPARTMENT GENERAL ST ELECTRIC

NRO APPN- JED FOR RELEASE 29 SEPTEMBER ___ 12

CONFIDENTIAL

DOCUMENT NO. 625D445

This document contains 23 numbered pages. Copy number 2/12 of 260 capies.

DEVELOPMENT AND THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF THE DISCOVERER HEAT SHIELD

BY

F.A. COSTELLO J.A. SEGLETES

To Be Presented At LMSC Research Laboratories Palo Alto, California

28 March 1962

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC RE-GRADING; DOD DIR 5200.10 DOES NOT APPLY

MISSILE AND SPACE VENICLE DEPARTMENT GENERAL DE ELECTRIC JIST Chestant Stiert, Philadelphia 4, Pana

a use

÷.,

2

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The information contained within this report is the result of many hours of work by many people. The prime contributors toward this particular report are extended a special acknowledgement. Chief among these contributors were Messrs. T. Shaw, M. Di Giacomo, and W. Mertz.

CONFIDENTIAL-

NRO APPLOVED FOR RELEASE 29 SEPTEMBER -J12

60

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		Page
I	INTRODUCTION	4
n -	DESIGN CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES	5 5 6 7
n IV	CAPABILITY. Prediction. Verification.	10 10 11 12

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Page

3

	1	1	Ì	ļ	Ę	5	ι	l	1	•	f		ł		
						4	,			1					
												2			
							٠								
							٠								
						-									
						4	-						1		
						-	-								

17 18

1	Re-entry Vehicle Configuration	13
2	Mark 4 Convective Heat Fluxes During Powered Flight	5 - T.
	(Hottest Case)	13
3	Comparison of Trajectories During Re-entry for Ballistic	란지
	Missile and Discoverer Re-entry Capsule	14
4	Comparison of Heat Fluxes During Re-entry for Ballistic	
	Missile and Discoverer Re-entry Vehicle	14
5	Gross Heat Fluxes for Discoverer Mark 4 During Re-entry	
	(Hottest Case).	15
6	Convective Heat Flux Equations	15
7	Angle of Attack Correction Factor Curves	16
8	Effective Heat of Ablation of Phenolic Nylon	16
. 9	Illustration of REKAP Analysis	17:
10	Heat Shield Thickness Definition	17
11	Mark 4 Temperature and Charring Histories During	
- 19 - T	Powered Flight	18
12	Temperature Response of Surface 1 (Farthest from	
1.1.1.1	Earth)	18
13	Circumferential Temperature Distribution in Orbit	19
14	Mark 4 Temperature and Charring Histories During	
	Re-entry (Hottest Case). Data Calculated for Stagnation	Ξ.,
	Point	19
15	Mark 4 Temperature and Charring Histories During	
	Re-entry (Hottest Case). Data Calculated for Beginning	20
16	Mark 4 Temperature and Charring Histories During	
10	Re-entry (Hottest Case). Data Calculated for End of	
	Skirt	20
17	Effect of Tolerances on Structure Temperature at Time	
	of Parachute Deployment	21
18	Schematic of Structural Test	22

CONFIDENTIAL

I. INTRODUCTION

The Discoverer re-entry vehicle, designed and developed by General Electric Company's Missile and Space Vehicle Department, under contract to Lockheed Missile and Space Company, was the first man-made object to be recovered from an orbital mission. The purpose of this paper is to present the considerations that influenced the design of the re-entry heat protection system as well as the methods employed in predicting and verifying the system performance capability.

HEREN TH

The Discoverer heat protection system design was a ploneering effort. It involved a minimum-weight, highly efficient, and reliable design for a vehicle that was to be exposed to an environment that even today cannot be completely reproduced in simulators and large-scale air arc facilities.

Three significant phases are representative of the total environment to which the heat protection system was exposed: ascent heating, sustained hard vacuum, and re-entry heating. Of the high-temperature materials for the heat protection system that were considered for this environment; the ablation approach was found most satisfactory for minimum weight and efficient, reliable design.

Investigation of several classes of ablation materials showed that thermosetting plastics represented a practical design solution for re-entry satellife vehicles and that the "effective heat of ablation" concept is inadequate for accurate analysis of thermosetting plastic materials.

A review of all aspects of the Discoverer design is presented, including the environment definition, selection of the heat protection system, system design techniques, and the predicted vehicle capability. Significant advances that have been made in the system design technology for satellite heat protection since the inception of the Discoverer design are reviewed where applicable.

CONFIDENTIAL

II. DESIGN CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES

The size, shape, and weight of the Discoverer re-entry vehicle were dictated by system requirements and booster capabilities. Preliminary design trade-offs determined that the configuration should be as shown in Figure 1. The shape is essentially a sphere-cone. Inside the heat shield, which is shown shaded; an aluminum capsule is housed. After vehicle rc-entry, the parachute cover is ejected and the parachute deployed. The parachute drags the aluminum capsule out of the heat shield; the shield is discarded, and the aluminum capsule carries the payload safely to the impact area. It is with this configuration that the discussion will begin.

ENVIRONMENT

The re-entry capsule is subjected in succession to ascent heating, degassing in space, the hot and cold extremes of space, and re-entry heating. The ascent heating environment is adequately represented in terms of the aerodynamic heating rate as a function of time. This is shown in Figure 2 for the design, or maximum heating, trajectory. The gas cap thermal radiation flux is negligible.

During the orbital phase the prime environmental parameters of concern were the high vacuum and the low space temperature. The vacuum was of significance because it influenced the material selection in terms of outgassing rates. The space temperature was important because it contributed to low shield temperatures and, coupled with the sun, gave rise to large temperature gradients in the shield. Thermal stress problems resulted from the cold soak condition as well as the gradients.

The re-entry environments for the Discoverer vehicle represented a significant departure from those encountered by the ballistic missile re-entry systems. A comparison between trajectories of a typical low W/C_DA ballistic missile re-entry vehicle and the Discoverer vehicle is shown in Figure 3. For the satellite, deceleration occurs at comparatively high altitude. The differences between ICBM and satellite heating environments are partially illustrated in Figure 4. For equal ballistic factors and identical configuration and size, the peak heating rate is higher for the missile, but total heating is greater for the satellite because of the longer time involved. The longer heating pulse suggests that there will also be a longer time for the heat to penetrate the shield. Although aerodynamic heating prediction methods had been checked in X-17 Research Test Vehicle flights and verified at higher velocity in longrange ICBM heat-sink flights, similar results were not available for ablation material performance.

Figure 5 shows the predicted heat transfer to three locations on the Discoverer body for the design limit condition. The peak heat fluxes for the stagnation point, beginning and end of the conical skirt correspond to radiation equilibrium temperatures of 3780° R, 2640° R, and 2240° R, respectively ⁽¹⁾.

(1) The integrated fluxes are such that if they were used to cvaporate water, they would require water blankets that were 2.8, 0.7, and 0.4 inches thick for the three points, taken in the same order.

CONFIDENTIAL

MATERIALS CONSIDERATIONS AND SELECTIONS

The three general classes of materials considered on a preliminary basis for application to the Discoverer re-entry vehicle were metals, refractories, and plastics.

Metals

The use of metals for heat protection has been largely confined to heat-sink and radiative type systems. Heat-sink protection systems, which simply absorb the incident heat flux, are usable where both the heat transfer rate and integrated heat flux are small and weight is not a primary consideration. Radiative systems rely on high surface temperatures to reject the convective heating. Both the peak heating and integrated heating of the Discoverer design exceed the limits of efficient, lightweight, available metallic heat-sink or radiative systems.

Refractories

Refractory metals generally fall into the radiative class of heat protection systems. Graphite, however, combines the radiative property with mass transfer cooling due to its oxidation and sublimation. The injection of the carbon monoxide gas into the boundary layer "thickens" the boundary layer, reduces the temperature gradient at the wall, and thus decreases the heat input to the surface. Oxidation of the carbon in the boundary layer somewhat offsets the mass transfer cooling effect.

Quartz is another refractory that combines reradiation with mass transfer cooling and vaporization. Under high heat fluxes quartz softens, then vaporizes, at a very high temperature. There is no exidation effect from the quartz injection into the boundary layer.

Unfortunately, graphite was still in the laboratory development stage at the time of the Discoverer design and thus could not be considered seriously for the shortrange program. Quartz was found too heavy for the satellite re-entry application.

Plastics

There are basically two types of plastics that can be used for re-entry heat protection systems. One is the thermoplastic type, such as teflon, which is characterized by a decomposition process called depolymerization. The depolymerization process reduces complex hydrocarbon polymers to monomers, with a consequent absorption of heat. The monomer oxidizes and the gaseous products are injected into the boundary layer, providing mass transfer cooling. The second type of plastic is the thermosetting type, such as phenolic. A similar depolymerization process takes place, but instead of a complete removal of material, a solid residue is left behind in the form of a nonuniform cross-linked char sponge. The char acts as transpiration-cooled insulating layer.

An investigation of these plastics showed that the thermosetting type would best meet the weight and reliability requirements for the Discoverer heat protection system. The desirable thermosetting plastic thermodynamic properties sought in the ablation material were:

1. A low thermal diffusivity and depolymerization temperature, to minimize the amount of heat that is transferred into the structure.

<u>-CONFIDENTIAL</u>

2. The formation of a strong char layer with predictable properties.

3. The formation of a low-molecular-weight product to provide the most efficient mass transfer cooling agent.

Phenolic nylon was found to best meet the overall system requirements. The char layer formed by the nylon proved to be an efficient reradiation device; the degradation phenomena that occurred within the material provided an efficient means of absorbing the heat that did get through the char. This combination ablation-reradiation system was especially suitable for the re-entry satellite environment.

The load-carrying structure selected to back up the phenolic nylon ablation material was phenolic glass. This structure was carried over from earlier heat protection system designs, where the glass structure was found most reliable.

DESIGN TECHNIQUES

Ascent Flight

Because an orbit temperature control coating on the outside of the shield could be destroyed by ascent heating, the orbit design was based on bare-shield optical properties. ⁽²⁾ Thus neither the high surface temperature during ascent nor the high outgassing rates of the hot shield nullified the orbit temperature control system. Outgassing effects were found insignificant with regard to shield performance when no coating was used.

Orbital Flight

The primary effect upon the shield during orbital flight was thermal stressing caused by large circumferential temperature gradients in the shield. The heat inputs consisted of direct solar radiation, albedo fluxes from the earth, and direct earth emission. Free molecule heating was insignificant, since the recovery capsule faced aft in the normal flight attitude. The orbits considered had perigee altitudes around 120 nautical miles. The incident radiant flux was determined using MSVD's Orbit Heat Flux program, which combines an oblate earth celestial mechanics program with the heat flux equations and geometry. The three-axes stabilization system is also used by this program. Shield temperature histories were calculated using a general threedimensional translent conduction-radiation computer program that has been in operation at MSVD for several years.

Re-entry

It was found very early in the design that the most severe re-entry condition for the heat protection system occurred when the initial re-entry path angle was smallest, or, in other words, when the flight path at 325,000 feet was approximately 89 degrees from the downward vertical. The governing criteria were: (1) that the temperature of the structural phenolic glass liner be held below 900°F, and (2) that the temperature of the capsule mounting ring be held below 450°F. The requirement for the structure temperature was based on the combined aerodynamic and buckling acceleration loads. The ring temperature was based on the rigidity requirements of the explosive piston mounts.

(2) Aerodynamic heating prediction methods are presented in the section on re-entry.

Laminar convective heat fluxes were caluclated by Lees' classical solution of hypersonic heating, with properties evaluated at Eckert's reference enthalpy state as shown in Figure 6 (Ref. 1). For turbulent flow the heat prediction was based on a momentum integral technique suggested by Walker (Ref. 2). Both prediction methods have shown good agreement with long-range ICBM heat-sink data. Scala and Warren (Ref. 3) have since shown, both analytically and experimentally, that only for re-entry velocities much greater than 26,000 fps will the effect of ionization significantly modify the convection laws. In accordance with ICBM flight-test data, the transition from laminar to turbulent flow was based on a local Reynolds number of 200,000, which indicated that the flow was laminar throughout most of the flight. The invised flow field conditions were determined from MSVD's hypersonic flow field program for gases in chemical equilibrium (Ref. 4). Gas cap thermal radiation was determined using the same flow field and high-temperature air emissivity values, but was found to be only 1 percent of the convective flux. Nonequilibrium radiation becomes significant only at velocities well above 26,000 fps.

During re-entry, the vehicle motion is a result of combined spin and yaw. To account for the resultant angle-of-attack effects on aerodynamic heating, a correction factor, derived analytically and correlated with experimental data, was applied to the heat fluxes calculated for zero angle of attack. Figure 7 shows the correction factor $\bar{q}_{\alpha \neq 0}/\bar{q}_{\alpha \neq 0}$ for the cyclic motion as a function of the maximum angle of attack for the three key body positions. The body orientation with respect to time was determined from six-degree-of-freedom trajectory analyses.

The thermostructual shield configuration and thickness was based on the established design criteria, and the convective and radiative heat inputs were determined for the maximum heat transfer or shallowest entry angle.

There were two approaches available for calculating the shield degradation and temperature response. The first was the seemingly crude approach of using an "effectiveheat-of-ablation," Q^* , in a conduction heat transfer analysis with the "conduction" temperature evaluated from ground data. Figure 8 shows one type of correlation of ICBM and ground-test data for spherical nose caps of phenolic nylon. It is noteworthy that a simple correlation of Q^* versus stagnation enthalpy would provide a reasonably good description of the complex transfert phenomena of the reaction kinetics, the char formation, the gas flow through the char, and the mass ejection into the boundary layer. This correlation technique shows considerably more scatter when it is applied to the cone or cylinder sections of the vehicles, where the heat flux is low.

The second method for calculating the shield response took a completely different approach, using the MSVD REKAP analysis. The REaction Kinetics Ablation Program describes the entire physical process. Data taken from independent tests are used to determine the reaction rate constants, thermal conductivitics, specific heats, and other pertinent physical property data for the shield material. The REKAP analysis uses this data in calculating the shield performance as shown in Figure 9, which illustrates the ablation process. The calculated heat flux is applied, mathematically, to the ablation material. As the temperature of the material rises, a reaction begins

(3) This is most plausible for the ICBM case shown, since mass addition is an important parameter on high-heat-transfer locations.

to take place whereby the material decomposes. The reaction proceeds until there are no reactants left, leaving behind a residue called char. In Figure 9 the reaction zone is at about the middle of the slab. Heat is applied to the material at the front face. The gas generated by the reaction passes through the char and blocks part of the convective heating. Some of the heat is radiated from the char surface. Of the heat that penetrates the char, most is absorbed in the gas flowing from the reaction zone. The apparent high specific heat of the gas is the result of the cracking processes taking place as the gas is heated. The heat that gets past the char layer is then split between the heat of formation of the gaseous products and the heat conducted through the virgin plastic toward the back face.

Both the Q^* and REKAP methods have been applied to ICBM designs being conducted at MSVD. In general the Q^* method has been found adequate for certain nose cap designs (4) but too inaccurate for the low heat flux regions that are characteristic of low-heat-transfer regimes. Consequently, for the low flux regions, relatively large safety factors are required if the Q^* method is used. The REKAP analyses, on the other hand, have shown excellent correlation on all parts of the body.

Since the REKAP was in its initial development at the time of the Discoverer design, the less accurate Q* method was used. As much ground-test data as could be generated was used to reduce safety factors to a minimum.

The shield thicknesses that resulted from the design study are shown in Figure 10. These are the thicknesses that will be considered in the discussion of the capability.

(4) These designs are characterized by large loss of mass and dimensional change.

CONFIDENTIAL

III. CAPABILITY-

PREDICTION

Ascent Flight

As implied in the few statements concerning ascent heating, the ascent problems were essentially circumvented by the elimination of optical coatings for orbit temperature control. Figure 11 shows the outside surface temperature histories for the three key shield points. The point at which the flow turns laminar is apparent. Allowance was made in the orbital studies for the slight variation in infrared emissivity and solar absorptivity between the charred and uncharred materials.

Orbital Flight

A typical temperature history of a point on the conical section of the shield is shown in Figure 12. It can be seen from this figure that the effects of ascent heating are negligible after approximately the first orbit. The cyclic character of the curve is typical of transient responses from the satellite surface for flights alternately in the sun and in the shade. To illustrate the thermal gradient problem, Figure 13 shows the average shield temperature as a function of circumferential position. It was found necessary to cut the phenolic nylon with circumferential slots to overcome the bond line stresses.

Re-entry Flight

From the heat fluxes of Figure 5 the temperature and ablation histories shown in Figures 14, 15 and 16 were derived. The effective heat of ablation, Q^* , for the phenolic nylon was determined from the plot of Q^* vs h_S (enthalpy). Q^* was used as a constant in this analysis, based on the average enthalpy over the heating time. These responses are the result of the maximum heating trajectory that was anticipated, which was found to occur with the combination of a re-entry velocity of 26, 370 fps at a path angle of 1.46 degrees, both measured at 325, 000 feet altitude. Under minimum heating conditions, with a re-entry angle of 4.45 degrees, the integrated heat flux decreases by 40 percent, the heating time by 40 percent and the resultant depth of char by approximately 40 percent.

As in all design studies, one of the key questions is: What are the effects of the tolerances in thermophysical properties and variations in design due to manufacturing tolerances? To resolve this problem, a probability and error analysis was undertaken. The parameters studied are listed in Figure 17. This is an interesting analysis of the significance of each variable. In calculating the overall effect, it was assumed that the parameters are stochastically independent, that the effects of variations in the parameters on the structure temperature could be approximated by a linear relationship, and that the variations in values are normally distributed. The individual effects can be recognized on the chart. When the root-mean-square 30 limit of structure temperature is determined using the information presented previously, it is obvious that the design is adequate and, in fact, can survive more extreme conditions than originally required. From another point of view, the vehicle could accept a greater payload and still survive 30 conditions.

CONFIDENTIAL

VERIFICATION

Ground Test

Ground test verification was provided by material tests, subsystem tests, and system tests. The material data were discussed in the section on design procedures, where the simple Q^* vs h_g plot was found to adequately correlate diverse test conditions, at least for high heat fluxes. The usual tests for other thermal properties were also conducted.

A structural subsystem test was performed to ensure the adequacy of the design under the high re-entry temperatures. Figure 18 shows a schematic of the test arrangement. The shield was bared to the phenolic glass structural liner; the degraded structural properties of the hot phenolic nylon left it with no load-carrying capability. The design conditions for the structural test were those corresponding to the time of parachute deployment. It was from this test that the liner temperature limit of 900° F was derived.

Several heat transfer tests of complete heat shields were attempted but there was little useful data obtained. The main difficulty in these tests was adequate simulation of the environment.

The low-temperature capability of the shield was verified in cold soak tests. The adequacy of the design to withstand the temperature gradients experienced in orbit was checked in the Lockheed Bemco High-Altitude Thermal Simulator Chamber. Thus thermal tests were directed primarily toward material studies, the structural test provided verification of the design limits, and the system tests were of the go/no-go type.

Flight Tests

No flight-test data have been obtained on the performance of the phenolic nylon shield. No shield instrumentation was allowed on the flight vehicles; however, judging from the maintenance of internal temperatures within the prescribed limits, it can be concluded that the design is adequate.

CONFIDENTIAL___

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The discussion of the limited test verification of the design capability concludes the design review that encompassed the environment definition, the material selection, the design procedures, and the design capability.

The end results of this design effort can now be considered. First of all, of course, increased confidence has been gained in design capability; but the main conclusions to be drawn are the two mentioned at the outset of this discussion: (1) the suitability of thermosetting plastics to satellite re-entry heat protection systems; and, (2) the inadequacy of the Q^* method of analysis.

The advantage of the thermosetting plastics lies mainly in the char formation and high reradiation from the char surface. The need for improved thermosetting materials, both with regard to performance and cost, has led General Electric to the development of castable ablation materials. The casting process has considerably reduced manufacturing costs, while the incorporation of additives and reinforcements has permitted tailoring of the materials to the application.

The development of the REKAP analysis has resulted in a detailed understanding of the ablation phenomena. It has permitted the correlation of many diverse test data. And, since it calculates the heat protection provided by each portion of the ablation process, it has proved invaluable in the development of new materials.

In addition to the development of the REKAP, two other computer programs have proved essential in the design of this satellite system. MSVD's Orbit Heat Flux Program was developed to handle the many combinations and permutations of orbit trajectories that had to be evaluated in analyzing the system. Its use has provided exact design conditions as well as the probability of encountering any given set of conditions. It has thus prevented overdesign, with its concurrent weight penalties, by permitting rapid determination of realistic, but extreme, design conditions.

Processes for configuration optimization were found to be amenable to mechanization. Consequently, a Configuration Selection Digital Computer Program was developed that optimizes the external shape, considering thermodynamics, aerodynamics, flight mechanics, weight and balance, and structural mechanics. Configurations belonging to certain families can be evaluated with this program at the rate of thousands per minute.

Thus, design capabilities have been extended by the development of computational techniques that now permit system optimization and detailed performance prediction.

CONFIDENTIAL___













13

NRO APPILJVED FOR RELEASE 29 SEPTEMBER _J12











Figure 5. Gross Heat Fluxes for Discoverer Mark 4 During Re-entry (Hottest Case)

LAMINAR (LEES)

 $h_{stag} = \frac{0.760}{(Pr)^{2/3}} \left(p_{s}^{*} \mu_{e}^{*} U_{o}^{*} \right)^{1/2} \left(h_{s}^{*} - h_{w}^{*} \right)$

$$\frac{\Phi_{\text{off stag}}}{(\text{Pr})^{2/3}} \left[\frac{\int_{0}^{s} (\rho_{e}^{-\mu} e^{+} U_{e}^{-}) y}{\int_{0}^{s} (\rho_{e}^{-\mu} e^{+} U_{e}^{-}) y} \right]^{1/2} (h_{r} - h_{w})$$

TURBULENT (WALKER)
$$\frac{\Phi_{e}^{-\mu} e^{-1/4} U_{e}^{-\mu} (\frac{\mu_{e}^{-\lambda}}{\mu_{e}})^{1/5} (\frac{\rho_{e}^{-\lambda}}{\rho_{e}^{-\lambda}})^{1/5} (h_{r} - h_{w})}{\int_{0}^{s} \rho_{e}^{-\mu} U_{e}^{-\mu} (\frac{1/4}{\mu_{e}})^{1/5} (h_{r} - h_{w})}$$

Figure 6. Convective Heat Flux Equations

CONFIDENTIAL

15

NRO APPROVED FOR RELEASE 29 SEPTEMBER 2012



CONFIDENTIAL

Figure 7. Angle of Attack Correction Factor Curves



Figure 8. Effective Heat of Ablation of Phenolic Nylon

CONFIDENTIAL

16

192.5



「「「

17

Figure 9. Illustration of REKAP Analysis





CONFIDENTIAL_

NRO APP. JVED FOR RELEASE 29 SEPTEMBEL 2012

PUNEIDENTI



Figure 11. Mark 4 Temperature and Charring Histories During Powered Flight





CONFIDENTIAL

÷.,



FINENT



Figure 14. Mark 4 Temperature and Charring Histories During Re-entry (Hottest Case). Data Calculated for Stagnation Point.

19











NRO APPROVED FOR RELEASE 29 SEPTEMBER 2012

CONFIDENTIAL

End of Sulm ន្តត្ -5 88 rature Effect (°F) Stiming of Skirt -----13 23 - 7 58. -10 8 2 24 57in ę ņ 90000 000000 850 510 0335 220 100 30 ę. 'essimistic 1,56 80 20000 1100 1250 Value of Paramet 00000 0000 .245 105 115 199 Ŷ 3.1 25685 75 120 200000 2450 88 010 1100 010000 100 0237 00003 120 200 4.61 . Optimistic 32 00 130 3000 300000 20 001 *Phenolic Nylon 38 - 45% Realn Content FT - BBC 08/FT Revealed Number Cort. of Heat Transfer sen. (Bhgraffor point) (Lamiant) of she, p. (Turbister) of she, p. Path Angle - Degrees Latinal Verbicity - FT/SEC Fuching Michion Eurologe the too go "Inder Layer Dessity LBK/ FT3 "Immer, Layer Conduct "Inner Layer Thickin ő Blead of TU/LB Ouler Layer Brehen - U Skirt Rey Otter Layer L06/FT er Lay TUTS Outer Lay Parkmete Outer anni .. Enited.

CONFIDENTIAL

.

21

Figure 17. Effect of Tolerances on Structure Temperature at Time of Parachute Deployment





REFERENCES

- L. Lees. Laminar heat transfer over blunt-nosed bodies at hypersonic flight speeds. Jet Propulsion, April 1956.
- 2. G. K. Walker. A particular solution to the turbulent boundary layer equations. Journal of Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 27, No. 9, September 1960.
- 3. S. M. Scala and W. R. Warren. Hypervelocity stagnation point heat transfer. General Electric Technical Information Series report R61SD185, October 1960.
- F. Gravalos, I. Edelfelt, and H. Emmons. The supersonic flow about a blunt body of revolution for gases at chemical equilibrium. General Electric Technical Information Series report R58SD245, 16 June 1958.

CONFIDENTIAL



NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

14675 Lee Road Chantilly, VA 20151-1715

19 September 2012

Defense Technical Information Center Attn: DTIC-OQ Information Security 8725 John J. Kingman Rd., Suite 0944 Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

To Whom It May Concern:

This concerns Technical Report AD-328815, **Development and Thermal Performance of the Discoverer Heat Shield** by F.A. Costello and J.A. Segletes.

Subsequent to National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) Freedom of Information Act request No. F12-0115, this record was approved for public release by the Acting Chief, Information Access and Release Team on 29 September 2012.

The attached declassified version of the document is now available for posting on the DTIC web site.

Please feel free to contact Linda Hall if you have any questions. She can be reached on 703 227-9158.

Sincerely,

Stephen R. Glenn, Chief Information Management Services Center Management Services and Operations National Reconnaissance Office