

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

USADAC TECHNICAL LIBRARY



5 0712 01015036 4

*E-8*

0075

TECHNICAL  
LIBRARY



MEMORANDUM REPORT NO. 1180  
DECEMBER 1958

DRAG AND STABILITY PROPERTIES OF  
THE 105MM SHELL, HE, T388 (U)

EUGENE D. BOYER

Regraded *Unclassified*

By authority of *DTIC AD 305107*

Date *4 Aug 81*

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PROJECT NO. 5B03-03-001  
 ORDNANCE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECT NO. TB3-0108  
**BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES**



ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Retain or destroy per AR 380-5 and AR 345-220, or comparable Navy or AF Regulations. Contractors should consult their government contracting offices regarding procedures to be followed. DO NOT RETURN

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18 U. S. C. Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED  
AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE  
UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND  
NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON  
ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;  
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

14

1-1

31 July 81

-- 1 OF 1

-- 1 - AD NUMBER: 305107

-- 3 - ENTRY CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

-- 5 - CORPORATE AUTHOR: BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABS ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND  
MD

-- 6 - UNCLASSIFIED TITLE: DRAG AND STABILITY PROPERTIES OF THE 105MM SHELL, HE, T388

-- 9 - DESCRIPTIVE NOTE: MEMORANDUM REPT.

--10 - PERSONAL AUTHORS: BOYER, EUGENE D.;

--11 - REPORT DATE: DEC , 1958

--12 - PAGINATION: 20P

--14 - REPORT NUMBER: BRL-MR-1180

--16 - PROJECT NUMBER: ORD-TB3-0108

--20 - REPORT CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

--33 - LIMITATION CODES: 1

--35 - SOURCE CODE: 050750

--36 - DOCUMENT LOCATION: NTIS

--40 - GEOPOLITICAL CODE: 2402

--41 - TYPE CODE: A

C-8372

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES

MEMORANDUM REPORT NO. 1180

DECEMBER 1958

DRAG AND STABILITY PROPERTIES OF THE 105MM SHELL, HE, T388 (U)

Eugene D. Boyer

Requests for additional copies of this report will be made direct to ASTIA.

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of the issuing office; however, ASTIA is authorized to reproduce this document for U. S. Government purposes.

Department of the Army Project No. 5B03-03-001  
Ordnance Research and Development Project No. TB3-0108

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES

MEMORANDUM REPORT NO. 1180

EDBoyer/sec  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.  
December 1958

DRAG AND STABILITY PROPERTIES OF THE 105-MM SHELL, HE, T388 (U)

(UNCLASSIFIED)

ABSTRACT

The drag and stability properties of the 105-mm, HE, T388 shell are presented. These data were obtained from Transonic Range firings.


~~CONFIDENTIAL~~





## (UNCLASSIFIED) TABLE OF SYMBOLS

A	Axial moment of inertia (lb - in <sup>2</sup> )
B	Transverse moment of inertia (lb - in <sup>2</sup> )
d	Diameter (in)
m	Mass (lb)
K <sub>D</sub>	Drag coefficient
K <sub>M</sub>	Overturning moment coefficient
K <sub>L</sub>	Lift force coefficient
K <sub>H</sub> - K <sub>MA</sub>	Damping moment coefficient
K <sub>T</sub>	Magnus moment coefficient
$\overline{\delta^2}$	Mean squared yaw (deg <sup>2</sup> )
K <sub>D<math>\delta^2</math></sub>	Yaw drag coefficient (rad <sup>-2</sup> )
$\lambda_{1,2}$	Yaw damping rates (ft x 10 <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>-1</sup>
s	Gyroscopic stability factor
$\overline{s}$	Dynamic stability factor
N	Number of yaw stations
N <sub>T</sub>	Number of timing stations
$\epsilon_y$	Error in yaw fit (rad)
$\epsilon_s$	Error in swerve fit (ft)
K <sub>1,2</sub>	Sizes of yaw arms at mid range
$\phi_{1,2}^i$	Turning rates of yaw arms (deg/ft)



~~(CONFIDENTIAL)~~

## INTRODUCTION

At the request of the Canadian Armament Research and Development Establishment (CARDE), BRL fired, through the Transonic Range<sup>1</sup>, four versions of the 105-mm, HE, T388 shell, designed at CARDE (Figure 1). The four types varied only in body length and were designed to bracket a stability factor of 1.8 at  $M = 1.1$ . These firings were suggested by the Bi-partite Standardization Committee as a part of the development of improved 105-mm howitzer ammunition. The firings were to determine the drag properties of these shell from  $M = 0.6$  to  $M = 1.5$  and the gyroscopic stability factors at  $M = 1.1$ .

Early tests indicated that the drag of these shell was approximately 20% lower than that of the standard M1 shell<sup>2,3</sup>. The T388 shell has a long hollow boattail and it was thought that the drag could be further reduced by bleeding air into the base from the body of the shell. Three shell of each type were available for stability firings at  $M = 1.1$ , and an additional ten shell of Type 1 for base-bleed experiments.

The shell were launched from a 105-mm howitzer (1 - 20 twist). A yaw inducer was employed on the six rounds at  $M = 1.1$ . This was done in order to obtain adequate yaw levels for a yaw reduction. The physical properties of the shell are given in Figure 1.

~~(CONFIDENTIAL)~~

## STABILITY

The stability factors and other aerodynamic properties of the shell are given in Table I. The reduction parameters are given in Table II. The stability factors, as determined at 465 feet from the muzzle, at about  $M = 1.1$ , vary from 1.66 for Type 1 to 1.95 for Type 2. All types are dynamically stable at the velocity tested,  $M = 1.1$ . No yaw analysis was made at other velocities fired. The yaw damping rates appear to be sensitive to yaw level but the evidence, on this small sample, is rather weak.

~~(CONFIDENTIAL)~~

## DRAG COEFFICIENT

The drag force coefficient,  $K_D$ , was obtained by fitting a cubic equation to the time-distance data for each round. These data were then reduced to zero-yaw by the relationship  $K_D = K_{D_0} + K_{D_{\delta^2}} \delta^2$ .  $K_{D_{\delta^2}}$ , the yaw drag coefficient, was determined to be 2.0 (per square radian) at  $M = 1.1$ . This value was used to reduce all drag values to zero yaw (Figure 2). A shadow-graph of Type 4 at  $M = 1.086$  is given in Figure 3. Three shells of Type 3 and one shell each of the other three types were fired at  $M = 1.1$ . When no difference was noted in the drag for the different types, the six remaining shells were fired over a range of Mach numbers to establish the drag curve. In comparing drag values of the M1 with that of the T388 (Figure 2) it is seen that in the subsonic region drags are the same for both shells while in the supersonic region the T388 drag is 20% less than that of the M1.

To test base-bleed designs Picatinny Arsenal modified ten Type 1 T388 shells by drilling bleed-holes with a 0.25 inch diameter at  $29^\circ$  to the axis of the shell. Five variations of vented areas varying from 6 to 48 holes, located before and/or after the rotating band, were tested (Figures 4 and 5). Details and physical properties for each type are listed in Table III. These designs showed no improvement in drag (Figure 2). In fact, for the two rounds fired in the subsonic region there appears to be an increase in drag. The 20% drag increase at  $M > 1.2$ , for modification 1, however, is due to the removal of the boattail and not to the presence of the vent holes.

(~~CONFIDENTIAL~~)

CONCLUSION

The ballistic characteristics of these four types of shell differ very little. At  $M = 1.1$  the gyroscopic and dynamic stabilities are similar and adequate. Therefore, because of the measured similarities of the ballistic characteristics of all four types the selection of the final type can not be made on the basis of these characteristics.

The hoped for further reduction in drag by bleeding air into the base was not achieved. The intakes were located upstream of the boattail where the surface pressures are higher. These locations, however, severely limit the total intake area which can be achieved without jeopardizing the strength of the projectile. Hence, only about one half of the optimum intake area could be achieved even with 48 holes. This partially accounts for the negative results, and perhaps inefficient ducting with 1/4-inch diameter holes further reduces the amount of air bled into the base cavity. It is rather doubtful that further experimentation with base-bleed with this design would be fruitful.

*Eugene D. Boyer*  
EUGENE D. BOYER

(UNCLASSIFIED)

REFERENCES

1. Rogers, W. K. The Transonic Free Flight Range. Aberdeen Proving Ground: BRL R-1044, June 1958.
2. Boyer, E. D. Some Aerodynamic Properties of Three 105-MM Shell, M1, T377, and T107. Aberdeen Proving Ground: BRL M-1144, April 1958.
3. Roecker, E. T. The Aerodynamic Properties of the 105-MM HE Shell, M1, in Subsonic and Transonic Flight, Aberdeen Proving Ground: BRL M-929, September 1955.

(CONFIDENTIAL)

TABLE I

AERODYNAMIC DATA

Type	Round	M	$K_D$	$\sqrt{\frac{\delta^2}{\text{deg}}}$	$K_M$	$K_N$	$K_H - K_{MA}$	$K_T$	s	$\bar{s}$
1	4989	.755	.0494	2.0	1.745	.72	4.71	-.17	1.66	1.15
1	4903	1.106	.1370	2.96	1.745	.72	4.71	-.17	1.66	1.15
1	4980	1.220	.1278	1.34	1.745	.72	4.71	-.17	1.66	1.15
2	4992	.629	.0500	1.9	1.673	.83	4.56	-.17	1.73	1.16
2	4902	1.083	.1406	2.81	1.673	.83	4.56	-.17	1.73	1.16
2	4978	1.364	.1272	.9	1.673	.83	4.56	-.17	1.73	1.16
3	4899	1.101	.1727	8.35	1.537	.93	4.00	-.20	1.95	1.44
3	4900	1.106	.1290	1.18	1.574	.80	4.00	-.20	1.84	1.44
3	4901	1.110	.1334	2.05	1.650	.80	4.71	-.15	1.80	1.01
4	4993	.971	.0788	.8	1.650	.80	4.71	-.15	1.80	1.01
4	4904	1.086	.1350	2.64	1.600	.76	4.44	-.18	1.88	1.18
4	4979	1.457	.1201	1.2	1.600	.76	4.44	-.18	1.88	1.18

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
 TABLE II

REDUCTION PARAMETERS

Round	$K_1$ (rad)	$K_2$ (rad)	$\lambda_2 \times 10^3$ (ft) <sup>-1</sup>	$\lambda_2 \times 10^3$ (ft) <sup>-1</sup>	$\epsilon_y$ (rad)	$\epsilon_s$ (ft)	$S_L$ (ft)	$\phi_1'$ (deg/ft)	$\phi_2'$ (deg/ft)
4903	.033	.039	.38	.60	.0016	.0064	.04	3.46	.78
4902	.032	.036	.39	.63	.0019	.0130	.04	3.54	.75
4899	.111	.087	.18	.75	.0020	.0065	.15	3.73	.67
4900	.015	.004			.0018			3.62	.70
4901	.021	.028	.50	.52	.0018	.0073	.04	3.65	.73
4904	.035	.029	.36	.62	.0022	.0060	.04	3.75	.71

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TABLE III


BASE-BLEED DESIGNS

Modifications	No. holes ahead of band	No. holes behind band	Wt. (lbs)	cm (1 in from nose)	Round	M	K <sub>D</sub>	$\sqrt{\delta_2}$
1*	24		25.95	12.92	4985 4988	1.222 1.396	.1563 .1467	.96 .80
2	24	24	28.18	13.62	4984 4991	1.241 .760	.1322 .0625	1.27 1.59
3	12		28.80	13.78	4983 4990	1.263 .745	.1295 .0535	1.20 1.18
4	6		28.90	13.76	4982 4987	1.222 1.457	.1313 .1199	2.36 1.31
5		24	28.66	13.71	4981 4986	1.210 1.409	.1270 .1201	1.52 .89

\*Boattail removed - overall length = 20.42 in.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES



TYPE	WT (LBS)	A (LBS-IN <sup>2</sup> )	B (LBS-IN <sup>2</sup> )	CM (IN)	X (IN)	L (IN)	Y
1	29.07	72.60	919.0	13.78	23.22	6.49	
2	28.76	71.40	892.0	13.59	23.00	6.27	
3	29.20	72.60	885.7	13.45	22.84	6.11	
4	29.08	72.27	861.0	13.29	22.63	5.90	

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES

FIGURE I

# ZERO-YAW DRAG COEFFICIENT VS MACH NUMBER




FIG. 2

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~




Figure 3.  $M = 1.086$

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~




Figure 4. Base-Bleed Designs

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~




Figure 5. Base-Bleed Designs

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
2	Chief of Ordnance Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C. Attn: ORDTB - Bal Sec ORDTW	1	Commander Naval Air Missile Test Center Point Mugu, California
1	Commanding Officer Diamond Ordnance Fuze Lab. Washington 25, D. C. Attn: ORDTL-012	1	Commanding Officer & Director David W. Taylor Model Basin Washington 7, D. C. Attn: Aerodynamic Laboratory
10	Director Armed Services Technical Information Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia Attn: TIPCR	1	Commander Naval Air Development Center Johnsville, Pennsylvania
10	British Joint Services Mission 1800 K Street, N.W. Washington 6, D. C. Attn: Reports Officer	2	Commanding Officer Naval Air Rocket Test Station Lake Denmark Dover, New Jersey
4	Canadian Army Staff 2450 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington 8, D. C.	2	Commander Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California Attn: Technical Library Aeroballistics Lab. Code 5034
3	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C. Attn: ReO	1	Commander Air Research & Development Command Andrews Air Force Base Washington 25, D. C. Attn: RDTWMB
2	Commander Naval Proving Ground Dahlgren, Virginia	1	Commander Air Force Armament Center Eglin Air Force Base Florida Attn: PGTRI
1	Commander Naval Ordnance Laboratory White Oak Silver Spring, Maryland Attn: Dr. Thurston	1	Commander Arnold Engineering Development Center Tullahoma, Tennessee Attn: Deputy Chief of Staff, R&D
1	Superintendent Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California	2	U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Sandia Corporation P.O. Box 5400 Albuquerque, New Mexico

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Director National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics 1512 H Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C.	1	The Johns Hopkins University Operations Research Office 6935 Arlington Road Bethesda 14, Maryland
1	Commander Army Rocket & Guided Missile Agency Redstone Arsenal, Alabama Attn: Technical Library, ORDXR-OTL	1	Commanding Officer Watervliet Arsenal Watervliet, New York Attn: H. A. Tobonsky
3	Commanding Officer Picatinny Arsenal Dover, New Jersey Attn: Feltman Research & Engineering Labs. Mr. S. Bernstein Mr. G. Flartey	1	Commanding Officer Rock Island Arsenal Rock Island, Illinois Attn: Mr. D. F. Petersen
		2	Commanding General Detroit Arsenal 28251 Van Dyke Avenue Centerline, Michigan Attn: Mr. F. W. Gerow Mr. F. C. Fischer
3	Commanding General Frankford Arsenal Philadelphia 37, Pa. Attn: Reports Group Mr. S. Senator Mr. B. W. Bushey	1	Commanding General Ordnance Ammunition Command Joliet, Illinois
1	Director, JPL Ord Corps Installation Department of the Army 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, California Attn: Mr. Irl E. Newlan Reports Group	1	Asst. Secretary of Defense (R&E) Director/Ordnance Washington 25, D. C.
1	Commanding Officer U. S. Army Chemical Warfare Laboratories Army Chemical Center, Md.		