# AD 274 142

Office of Naval Research

Contract Nonr-1866 (32) NR 371-016

# SCATTERING BY DISCONTINUITIES OF SURFACE WAVES ON A UNIDIRECTIONALLY CONDUCTING SCREEN

By

S. R. Seshadri

January 15, 1962

Technical Report No. 349

Cruft Laboratory
Harvard University
Cambridge, Massachusetts

TR349

Office of Naval Research
Contract Nonr-1866(32)
NR-371-016

Technical Report
on

# SCATTERING BY DISCONTINUITIES OF SURFACE WAVES ON A UNIDIRECTIONALLY CONDUCTING SCREEN

by

S. R. Seshadri

January 15, 1962

The research reported in this document was supported by the Grant 9721 of the National Science Foundation. Publication was made possible through support extended to Cruft Laboratory, Harvard University, by the Navy Department (Office of Naval Research), the Signal Corps of the U. S. Army, and the U. S. Air Force under ONR Contract Nonr-1866(32). Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Technical Report No. 349

Cruft Laboratory
Harvard University
Cambridge, Massachusetts

TR349

# Scattering by Discontinuities of Surface Waves on a Unidirectionally Conducting Screen

by

S. R. Seshadri

Harvard University
Ca .bridge, Massachusetts

## Abstract

It is shown that a plane screen consisting of closely-spaced parallel wires which are separated from one another and which are such that the radius of the wires and the spacing between them are small in comparison to wavelength, can support a surface wave, the spread of whose field components depends only on the angle which the direction of propagation makes with the direction of the wires. The problem of radiation from a discontinuity in such a semi-infinite waveguide is studied for the following three types of discontinuities i) when it terminates in empty space, ii) when it terminates at another such semi-infinite waveguide having different propagation characteristics, and iii) when it terminates at a perfectly conducting half-plane. In each case, the power reflection coefficient, where applicable the power transmission coefficient, the loss of power due to radiation and its angular distribution are evaluated. The motivation for this investigation is briefly indicated.

## Introduction

The propagation of electromagnetic waves in waveguides with anisotropic walls has in recent years assumed practical importance in long-distance waveguide communication.[1,2] One such waveguide which is commonly used is in the form of a tightly-wound helix, in which the adjacent turns are separated from each other. In this connection it is of interest to investigate theoretically the effect on the propagation of guided waves introduced by the junction formed by either two different anisotropic (helical) waveguides or a helical waveguide and a circular waveguide having perfectly conducting walls. Also, helical waveguides of finite length, known commonly as helical antennas are widely used to obtain radiation along the axis of the helix. In view of this practical application the investigation of radiation from the open end of a helical waveguide is also of interest.

As a first step in the understanding of the more difficult problem of radiation from discontinuities in a helical waveguide, it is advantageous to treat the limiting case in which the radius of the helix becomes infinite. For the limiting case, the helical waveguide degenerates into a plane screen which is conducting only in the direction of the wires composing it and insulating in the perpendicular direction. In this paper, a treatment is given for the problem of radiation from discontinuities in such a planar waveguide consisting of parallel wires which are separated from each other and which are such that the radius of the wires and the spacing between them are quite small compared to wavelength.

In the first section, it is shown that an anisotropic planar surface can support a guided wave which is attenuated exponentially in the direction normal to the surface. The spread of the field in this surface waveguide decreases as the angle between the direction of propagation and the direction of the wires becomes close to $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Appropriate boundary conditions applicable at the surface of such a unidirectionally conducting screen have been given recently by Karp.[3] Radiation from the open end of such a semi-infinite surface waveguide is treated in the next section. Expressions for the power reflection coefficient and the radiation pattern are obtained.

In the third section, the electromagnetic fields produced at the junction of two semi-infinite surface waveguides are examined; the wires composing the two surface waveguides are assumed to be in different directions. It is important to note that by a suitable formulation this problem is reduced to the question of a solution of a functional equation similar to the one studied previously by Kay.[4]

A treatment is given in the final section for the problem of radiation from the junction formed by a semi-infinite surface waveguide and a perfectly conducting half-plane. For this case, the power reflection coefficient and the radiation pattern are found to be the same as for the open-ended waveguide.

## Surface Wave on a Unidirectionally Conducting Screen

Consider a unidirectionally conducting screen occupying the region $-\infty \leq x \leq \infty$, $-\infty \leq y \leq \infty$, and $z = 0$, where x, y, z form a right-handed rectangular coordinate system. Also set up two rotated coordinate systems $(\xi_1, \eta_1, z)$ and $(\xi_2, \eta_2, z)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{1,2} &= x \cos \alpha_{1,2} + y \sin \alpha_{1,2} \\
\eta_{1,2} &= -x \sin \alpha_{1,2} + y \cos \alpha_{1,2} \\
z &= z \qquad\qquad 0 \leq \alpha_{1,2} < \frac{\pi}{2} .
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

The screen is assumed to be conducting in the direction $\xi_1$ only. The electromagnetic fields $\vec{E}$, $\vec{H}$ satisfy the time harmonic Maxwell's equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \vec{E} &= ik\vec{H} \\
\nabla \times \vec{H} &= -ik\vec{E}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}
$$

in the region exterior to the screen. The harmonic time dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$ is implied for all the field components. On the screen the following boundary conditions are satisfied

$$E_{\xi_1}(x,y,o) = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$[H_{\xi_1}(x,y)] = H_{\xi_1}(x,y,o^+) - H_{\xi_1}(x,y,o^-) = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$[E_{\eta_1}(x,y)] = E_{\eta_1}(x,y,o^+) - E_{\eta_1}(x,y,o^-) = 0 \quad . \tag{5}$$

Let the mode for which $H_{\xi_1} = 0$ be considered. All the field components are conveniently derived using the electric vector potential $\vec{A}$, which, since $H_{\xi_1} = 0$ is entirely in the $\xi_1$ direction. The geometry of the screen itself is independent of the y-coordinate, hence, it is reasonable to look for the field components which do not vary with y. Because of (1), it is seen that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} = \cos \alpha_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} = - \sin \alpha_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad . \tag{6}$$

Also since

$$\vec{H} = \nabla \times \hat{\xi}_1 A \tag{7}$$

$$\vec{E} = -\frac{1}{ik} \nabla \times \nabla \times \hat{\xi}_1 A \quad , \tag{8}$$

it results that

$$H_{\xi_1} = 0$$

$$H_{\eta_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A(x,z)$$

$$H_z = \sin \alpha_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A(x,z)$$

$$E_{\xi_1} = \frac{i}{k} \left(k^2 + \cos^2 \alpha_1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) A(x,z)$$

$$E_{\eta_1} = -\frac{i}{k} \cos \alpha_1 \sin \alpha_1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} A(x,z)$$

$$E_z = \frac{i}{k} \cos \alpha_1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial z} A(x,z) \quad . \tag{9}$$

In view of (9), boundary condition (4) is automatically satisfied. Boundary condition (5) will be satisfied if

$$A(x,z) = A(x,-z) \quad . \tag{10}$$

For $\alpha \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$, boundary condition (3) will be satisfied if $A(x,z)$ has the form $e^{\pm ik \sec \alpha_1 x}$. This shows immediately that a unidirectionally conducting screen supports a wave traveling in the x-direction with a phase velocity $v_p = c \cos \alpha_1$ which is less than c , the velocity in free space. For a wave traveling in the direction of the negative x-axis, since

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k^2\right) A(x,z) = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$A(x,z) = A_0 \, e^{-ik \sec \alpha_1 x} \begin{cases} e^{-k \tan \alpha_1 z} & z > 0 \\ e^{k \tan \alpha_1 z} & z < 0 \end{cases} \quad . \tag{12}$$

It is to be noted that the field components decay exponentially as $|z|$ increases. This surface wave is either 'loosely bound' or 'tightly bound' to the screen, depending on $\alpha_1$ being small or large; there is no surface wave for $\alpha_1 = 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$. Using (9) and (12), the field components of the surface wave are written down explicitly as follows :

$$H_{\xi_1}(x,z) = 0$$

$$H_{\eta_1}(x,z) = \mp e^{-ik \sec \alpha_1 x} \, e^{\mp k \tan \alpha_1 z}$$

$$H_z(x,z) = i \, e^{-ik \sec \alpha_1 x} \, e^{\mp k \tan \alpha_1 z}$$

$$\vec{E}(x,z) = \mp i \vec{H}(x,z) \quad . \tag{13}$$

In (13), the upper sign is for $z > 0$ and the lower sign $z < 0$. An arbitrary value is used for the constant $A_0$ in (13). Notice further that

$$H_{\xi_1, \eta_1}(x,z) = -H_{\xi_1, \eta_1}(x,-z)$$

$$E_{\xi_1, \eta_1}(x,z) = E_{\xi_1, \eta_1}(x,-z)$$

$$H_z(x,z) = H_z(x,-z)$$

$$E_z(x,z) = -E_z(x,-z) \quad . \tag{14}$$

The relations (14) result on account of the symmetry about the plane $z = 0$. It is to be noticed that in view of (14), the equivalent to boundary condition (4) on the screen is

$$H_{\xi_1}(x,y,o) = 0 \qquad . \qquad (15)$$

It is now desired to examine the effect of terminating the surface waveguide $(0 \le x \le \infty)$ at $x = 0$, on the surface wave given by (13) when it is incident from $x = \infty$. On account of the symmetry relations (14), it is enough to consider the region $z > 0$.

## Radiation from the Open End of the Surface Waveguide

No surface wave can be supported in the region $x < 0$ and therefore, the incident surface wave will be partly reflected back as a surface wave and partly converted into a radiation field. The current on the screen,and therefore the vector potential,are both only in the $\xi_1$ direction and $\xi_1$ component of $\vec{H}$ is not generated by the discontinuity. It is assumed that k has a small positive imaginary part $\epsilon$, which is set equal to zero in the final formulas. All the field components may be obtained from the vector potential $A(x,z)$ which is related to the electric current density $i(x)$ by the formula

$$A(x,z) = A(x,-z) = \frac{i}{4} \int_0^\infty i(x') \, H_0^{(1)} [k \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + z^2}] \, dx' \qquad z > 0 \quad . \quad (16)$$

By making use of the following representations

$$E_{\xi_1}(x,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\zeta x} \, \bar{E}_{\xi_1}(\zeta,z) \, d\zeta \qquad (17a)$$

$$i(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\zeta x}\, \overline{I}(\zeta)\, d\zeta \qquad (17b)$$

$$A(x,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\zeta x}\, \overline{A}(\zeta,z)\, d\zeta \quad , \qquad (17c)$$

and (9), it follows for $z = 0$ that

$$\overline{E}_{\xi_1}(\zeta,0) = \frac{i}{k}(k^2 - \cos^2 a_1\, \zeta^2)\, \overline{A}(\zeta,0) \quad . \qquad (18)$$

Since from (16)

$$\overline{A}(\zeta,z) = \frac{i}{4}\, \overline{I}(\zeta) \frac{2}{\sqrt{k^2-\zeta^2}}\, e^{i\xi z} \quad , \qquad (19)$$

where $\mathrm{Im}\,\xi = \mathrm{Im}\sqrt{k^2-\zeta^2} > 0$ , (18) reduces to

$$\overline{E}_{\xi_1}(\zeta,0) = -\frac{1}{2k} \cos^2 a_1 \left[(k \sec a_1)^2 - \zeta^2\right] \frac{\overline{I}(\zeta)}{\sqrt{k^2-\zeta^2}} \quad . \qquad (20)$$

It is first necessary to know the regions of regularity of the various transforms in (20). From (13), the incident total current density is obtained as

$$i^i(x) = 2\, e^{-ik \sec a_1 x} \quad . \qquad (21)$$

Also as $x \to \infty$, $i(x)$ should obviously be of the form

$$i(x) = 2\left[e^{-ik \sec a_1 x} + R\, e^{ik \sec a_1 x}\right] , \qquad (22)$$

where the first term is the incident current density and R is the reflection coefficient at $x = 0$. It results from (22) that $[(k \sec a_1)^2 - \zeta^2]\, \overline{I}(\zeta)$ is regular in the lower half-plane ($\mathrm{Im}\,\zeta < \epsilon$). Also $\overline{E}_{\xi_1}(\zeta,0)$ is regular in the upper half-plane ($\mathrm{Im}\,\zeta > -\epsilon$). In addition, the transform of the Hankel function $\frac{2}{\sqrt{k^2-\zeta^2}}$ is regular and has no zero in the strip $|\mathrm{Im}\,\zeta| < \epsilon$, and therefore, the Wiener-Hopf procedure can be applied to solve (20). Rewriting (20) as

$$[(k \sec a_1)^2 - \zeta^2]\, \overline{I}(\zeta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{k-\zeta}} = -2k \sec^2 a_1 \sqrt{k+\zeta}\;\; \overline{E}_{\xi_1}(\zeta,0) \quad , \qquad (23)$$

it is seen that the right-hand side of (23) is regular in the upper half-plane and the left-hand side is regular in the lower half-plane. Both are regular in the strip $|\mathrm{Im}\,\zeta| < \epsilon$ and may be considered as analytic continuations of each other; together they define an integral function in the finite $\zeta$-plane. By considering the asymptotic behavior of either side of (23) as $\zeta \to \infty$, the integral function defined by (23) may be shown to be a constant. By applying the Meixner corner condition, it is clear that the singularity of $E_{\xi_1}(x,0)$ at $x = 0$ is of the form $x^{-1/2}$ and hence, $\mathbf{E}_{\xi_1}(\zeta,0) \sim \zeta^{-1/2}$ as $|\zeta| \to \infty$. From the right-hand side of (23), the integral function is seen to be a constant. Therefore, it is clear from (23) that $I(\zeta) \sim \zeta^{-3/2}$ as $\zeta \to \infty$, and hence, it follows that $i(x)$ vanishes at $x = 0$ as $x^{1/2}$. This is in accordance with the Meixner corner condition and the requirement that the current at the end of the wires composing the screen should vanish.

From (23) and (17b), it results that

$$i(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{D\sqrt{k-\zeta}}{[(k \sec \alpha_1)^2 - \zeta^2]} e^{i\zeta x}\, d\zeta \tag{24}$$

where D is a constant to be determined and the integration contour passes below both the poles $\zeta = \pm k \sec \alpha_1$. By closing the contour in the lower half-plane, it results that $i(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$, as it should. By closing it in the upper half-plane the value of $i(x)$ for $x > 0$ can be evaluated. In particular, since the incident current density is contributed by the pole $\zeta = -k \sec \alpha_1$, it may be derived from (24) and (21) that

$$D = \frac{4\,i\,k^{1/2} \sec \alpha_1}{(1 + \sec \alpha_1)^{1/2}} . \tag{25}$$

Since the pole $\zeta = k \sec \alpha_1$ gives rise to the reflected current density, it is ob -

tained from (22), (23), and (25) that

$$R = -\left(\frac{1-\sec \alpha_1}{1+\sec \alpha_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad . \tag{26}$$

The magnitude of the reflection coefficient monotonically increases as $\alpha_1$ increases.

With the help of (17c), (19), and (24), it follows that

$$A(x,z) = \frac{iD}{4\pi} \int e^{i\zeta x + i\xi z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+\zeta}(k^2 \sec^2 \alpha_1 - \zeta^2)} d\zeta . \tag{27}$$

It is possible to express $A(x,z)$ in a closed form in terms of Fresnel integrals. However, since the interest is only in finding the radiation pattern, the expression for $A(x,z)$, valid in the far zone, will be found. Introduce the polar coordinates

$$-x = \rho \cos \theta \qquad z = \rho \sin \theta \qquad (0 \leq \theta \leq \pi) \quad . \tag{28}$$

The path of integration in (27) is deformed by setting

$$\zeta = k \sin \tau \qquad \xi = k \cos \tau \quad . \tag{29}$$

With (28) and (29), (27) reduces to

$$A(\rho,\theta) = \frac{i D}{4\pi k^{3/2}} \int \frac{\sqrt{1 - \sin \tau}}{(\sec^2 \alpha_1 - \sin^2 \tau)} e^{ik\rho \sin(\theta - \tau)} d\tau . \tag{30}$$

For $k\rho \gg 1$, (30) is evaluated by the method of stationary phase to yield

$$A(\rho,\theta) = \frac{\sec \alpha_1}{k(1+\sec \alpha_1)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{2}{\pi k \rho}\right)^{1/2} e^{i(k\rho - \frac{\pi}{4})} \frac{(1+\cos \theta)^{1/2}}{(\sec^2 \alpha_1 - \cos^2 \theta)} \quad . \tag{31}$$

Since $A(\rho,\theta)$ is in $\xi_1$ direction, it follows from (1) and (28) that

$$\vec{A}(\rho,\theta) = [ -\hat{\rho} \cos \theta \cos \alpha_1 + \hat{\theta} \sin \theta \sin \alpha_1 + \hat{y} \sin \alpha_1] A(\rho,\theta) \tag{32}$$




FIG. 1 RADIATION DIAGRAM OF THE SURFACE WAVEGUIDE WITH OPEN END

With the help of (32), the components of the field quantities are readily computed to yield

$$|H|^2 = \frac{2}{k\pi\rho}\,\frac{1}{(1+\sec\alpha_1)}\,\frac{(1+\cos\theta)}{(\sec^2\alpha_1-\cos^2\theta)} \quad . \tag{33}$$

Hence, the total power radiated per unit width of the screen is

$$P_R = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |H|^2\,\rho\,d\theta = \frac{4\cos^2\alpha_1}{k\tan\alpha_1(1+\cos\alpha_1)} \quad . \tag{34}$$

It is to be noted that (34) gives the power radiated in both the half-spaces $z \lessgtr 0$. From (13), the total incident power flowing across unit width in the direction of propagation is obtained as

$$P_i = 2\int_0^\infty |H^i|^2\cos\alpha_1\,dz = 4\cos\alpha_1\int_0^\infty e^{-2k\tan\alpha_1 z}\,dz = \frac{2\cos\alpha_1}{k\tan\alpha_1} \,. \tag{35}$$

The total power carried by the reflected surface wave per unit width of the screen is obtained using (26) as follows :

$$P_r = P_i\,|R|^2 = \frac{2\cos\alpha_1}{k\tan\alpha_1}\left(\frac{1-\cos\alpha_1}{1+\cos\alpha_1}\right). \tag{36}$$

Using (34) and (36), it results that

$$P_R + P_r = \frac{2\cos\alpha_1}{k\tan\alpha_1} = P_i \quad . \tag{37}$$

Hence, it is seen that the total incident power per unit width of the screen is equal to the sum of the power carried by the reflected surface wave and the power converted into the radiation field.

The radiation pattern as given in (33) is plotted in Fig. 1 for four different values of $\alpha_1$ . It is seen to consist of a single lobe with its null in the di -

rection of the surface waveguide and its maximum in the direction of the geometrical extension of the waveguide. Besides, the beam width is seen to reduce as $\alpha_1$ is decreased. Also, it is obvious from (34) and (35) that the proportion of the incident power that is radiated, monotonically decreases, at first slowly and then more rapidly as $\alpha_1$ is increased. If the general features of radiation of the limiting case are also true for the helical guide, then it follows that as the pitch is increased, both the reflection at the open end and the beam width of the pattern are reduced for the corresponding mode of excitation.

## Radiation from Discontinuity Formed by the Junction of Two Surface Waveguides

Another unidirectionally conducting semi-infinite screen is now considered to occupy the region ($-\infty \leq x \leq 0$ , $-\infty \leq y \leq \infty$ , $z = 0$ ) and is joined along $x = 0$ to the first surface waveguide ($0 \leq x \leq \infty$ , $-\infty \leq y \leq \infty$, $z = 0$). The second semi-infinite screen ($-\infty \leq x \leq 0$) is assumed to be conducting in the direction $\xi_2$ and insulating in the perpendicular direction $\eta_2$ where $\xi_2$, $\eta_2$ are given in (1) . As before, the surface wave given by (13) is assumed to be incident from $x = \infty$. At the discontinuity $x = 0$, a part of the incident surface wave is reflected, another part transmitted as a surface wave and the remaining energy in the incident surface wave is converted into a radiation field.

A general solution of Maxwell's equations (2) can be obtained [5] as the sum of two independent solutions $E_1$ and $E_2$ such that

$$\text{Type I} \qquad E_1 = -i\,H_1$$

$$\text{Type II} \qquad E_1 = i\,H_1 \quad . \qquad (38)$$

From (3), (4), (5), and (15), the boundary conditions for $z = 0$ become

$$\hat{\xi}_1 \cdot \vec{E}_1 = 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0 \, ; \quad \hat{\xi}_2 \cdot \vec{E}_1 = 0 \quad \text{for } x < 0$$

$$\hat{\xi}_1 \cdot \vec{E}_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0 \, ; \quad \hat{\xi}_2 \cdot \vec{E}_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } x < 0 \; . \tag{39}$$

For the incident field it is seen that

$$E^i = -i H^i \qquad \text{for } z > 0$$

$$E^i = i H^i \qquad \text{for } z < 0 \; . \tag{40}$$

Since $E_1$ and $E_2$ are separated in the boundary conditions and since the fields preserve the symmetry of the wavetype [namely $E_1$ or $E_2$] of the incident wave, it follows that for the scattered fields also

$$E^s = -i H^s \qquad \text{for } z > 0$$

$$E^s = i H^s \qquad \text{for } z < 0 \; . \tag{41}$$

Again the symmetry about $z = 0$ [ 14] permits the detailed consideration of only the region $z > 0$ .

The incident field as well as the geometry of the problem is independent of the y-coordinate and hence, all the components of the scattered field likewise are independent of the y-coordinate, and are therefore derived conveniently using the y-component of the electric and magnetic fields. In view of (41), the entire scattered fields may be derived from the y-component of the magnetic field only, using the following relations which are easily derived from (2) .

$$E_x^s(x,z) = \frac{1}{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_y^s(x,z)$$

$$E_x^s(x,z) = -\frac{1}{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_y^s(x,z)$$

$$H_x^s(x,z) = \frac{1}{k}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_y^s(x,z)$$

$$H_z^s(x,z) = -\frac{1}{k}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_y^s(x,z) \tag{42}$$

The sum of the incident and the scattered fields, denoted by the superscripts i and s respectively, is the total field. Since from (2)

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k^2\right] H_y^s(x,z) = 0 , \tag{43}$$

$H_y^s(x,z)$ may be assumed as follows :

$$H_y^s(x,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int f(\zeta)\, e^{i\zeta x + i\xi z}\, d\zeta \tag{44}$$

where $\mathrm{Im}\,\xi = \mathrm{Im}\sqrt{k^2-\zeta^2} > 0$ .

In view of (13) and (15), the boundary conditions [(3), (4), (5)] on the screens become

$$H_{\xi_1}^s(x,0) = 0 \qquad \text{for } x > 0 \tag{45a}$$

$$H_{\xi_2}^s(x,0) = -H_{\xi_2}^i(x,0) \quad \text{for } x < 0 \tag{45b}$$

Using (1), (42), and (44), it is obtained that

$$H_{\xi_{1,2}}^s(x,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \left[\, i\cos\alpha_{1,2}\,\frac{\xi}{k} + \sin\alpha_{1,2}\right] f(\zeta)\, e^{i\zeta x + i\xi z}\, d\zeta\ . \tag{46}$$

From (46) and (45a), it is seen that

$$\left[\, i\cos\alpha_1\,\frac{\xi}{k} + \sin\alpha_1\right] f(\zeta) = u^+(\zeta) \tag{47}$$

where $u^+(\zeta)$ is regular in the upper half-plane $\mathrm{Im}\,\zeta > -\epsilon$ . For $x < 0$, it is obtained from (46) and (13) that

$$H_{\xi_2}(x,z) = H^s_{\xi_2}(x,z) + H^i_{\xi_2}(x,z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int [\, i \cos a_2 \frac{\xi}{k} + \sin a_2 ]\, f(\zeta)\, e^{i\zeta x + i\xi z}\, d\zeta$$

$$- \sin(a_2 - a_1)\, e^{-ik \sec a_1 x - k \tan a_1 z} . \quad (48)$$

Rewriting (48) for z = 0 as,

$$H^s_{\xi_2}(x,0) + H^i_{\xi_2}(x,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left[ \left\{ i \cos a_2 \frac{\xi}{k} + \sin a_2 \right\} f(\zeta) - \frac{i \sin(a_2 - a_1)}{\zeta + k \sec a_1} \right] e^{i\zeta x}\, d\zeta \quad (49)$$

and using (45b), it may be argued that

$$[\, i \cos a_2 \frac{\xi}{k} + \sin a_2 \,]\, f(\zeta) - \frac{i \sin(a_2 - a_1)}{\zeta + k \sec a_1} = L^-(\zeta) \quad (50)$$

where $L^-(\zeta)$ is regular in the lower half-plane $\operatorname{Im} \zeta < \epsilon$. Eliminating $f(\zeta)$ from (47) and (50), it results that

$$u^+(\zeta) \frac{\cos a_2}{\cos a_1} \frac{K_2(\zeta)}{K_1(\zeta)} - \frac{i \sin(a_2 - a_1)}{\zeta + k \sec a_1} = L^-(\zeta) \quad (51)$$

where,

$$K_{1,2}(\zeta) = \left[ 1 - \frac{k \tan a_{1,2}}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - k^2}} \right] . \quad (52)$$

The transform relation (51) is valid in the strip $|\operatorname{Im} \zeta| < \epsilon$. The zeros of $K_{1,2}(\zeta)$ lie outside this strip. The standard Wiener-Hopf procedure requires the splitting up of the functions in (52) in the form

$$K_1(\zeta) = \frac{K_1^+(\zeta)}{K_1^-(\zeta)} \quad ; \quad K_2(\zeta) = \frac{K_2^+(\zeta)}{K_2^-(\zeta)} \quad (53)$$

where

$$K^{\pm}_{1,2}(\zeta) = \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty \mp \frac{i\epsilon}{2}}^{\infty \mp \frac{i\epsilon}{2}} \frac{\log\left[1 - \frac{k \tan \alpha_{1,2}}{\sqrt{t^2 - k^2}}\right]}{\zeta - t} dt\right\} . \qquad (54)$$

The + and - functions are regular and not zero in the upper and lower half-planes respectively. Using (53) and rewriting (51) as

$$u^{+}(\zeta) \frac{\cos \alpha_2}{\cos \alpha_1} \frac{K_2^{+}(\zeta)}{K_1^{+}(\zeta)} - \frac{i \sin(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)}{\zeta + k \sec \alpha_1} \frac{K_2^{-}(-k \sec \alpha_1)}{K_1^{-}(-k \sec \alpha_1)}$$

$$= L^{-}(\zeta) \frac{K_2^{-}(\zeta)}{K_1^{-}(\zeta)} - \frac{i \sin(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)}{\zeta + k \sec \alpha_1} \left[\frac{K_2^{-}(-k \sec \alpha_1)}{K_1^{-}(-k \sec \alpha_1)} - \frac{K_2^{-}(\zeta)}{K_1^{-}(\zeta)}\right], \qquad (55)$$

it is seen that the left and the right sides are respectively regular in the upper and the lower half-planes. Both sides are regular in the strip $|\mathrm{Im}\,\zeta| < \epsilon$ and may be considered as analytic continuations of each other; together they define an integral function in the finite $\zeta$-plane. For $|\zeta| \to \infty$, $u^{+}(\zeta)$ is $0(\zeta^{-\delta})$ where $\delta > 0$ in order that the integrals in (46) converge when $z = 0$. Also, it can be shown that the factors $K_{1,2}^{+}(\zeta)$ are $0(1)$ as $|\zeta| \to \infty$. Hence, by Liouville's theorem, the integral function defined by (55) is zero. Equating the left side of (55) to zero, an expression for $u^{+}(\zeta)$ is obtained and using it in (47) and (44), it readily follows that

$$H_y^s(x,z) = \frac{ik \sin(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)}{2\pi \cos \alpha_2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\zeta\, e^{i\zeta x + i\xi z}}{[k \tan \alpha_1 - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - k^2}][\zeta + k \sec \alpha_1]} \frac{K_2^{-}(-k \sec \alpha_1)}{K_1^{-}(-k \sec \alpha_1)} \frac{K_1^{+}(\zeta)}{K_2^{+}(\zeta)} . \qquad (56)$$

Expressions for the transmitted and reflected surface waves and the radiation field may be obtained by evaluating (56) asymptotically for large x . From (54), it follows that

$$K_{1,2}^{+}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{K_{1,2}^{-}(-\zeta)} \tag{57}$$

and that $K_{1,2}^{-}(\zeta)$ is analytic except for the branch points $\pm k$ and the logarithmic singularities at $\pm k \sec \alpha_{1,2}$ . The integrand in (54) is $0(\frac{1}{|t|^2}$ for large $|t|$ , and hence, the integration contour $[-\infty + \frac{i\epsilon}{2}$ to $\infty + \frac{i\epsilon}{2}]$ may be deformed into a new one embracing the radial branch cut from k to $\infty$. This contour may be deformed slightly at any point except possibly at $\zeta = k$ and $\zeta = k \sec \alpha_{1,2}$ where the singularities of the integrand occur. Hence, $K_{1,2}^{-}(\zeta)$ is analytic and non-zero everywhere except possibly at k and $k \sec \alpha_{1,2}$ . In view of (57), $K_{1,2}^{+}(\zeta)$ is regular and non-zero everywhere except at $\zeta = -k - k \sec \alpha_{1,2}$ . It is evident from (52) and (53) that

$$K_{1,2}^{-}(\zeta) = \frac{K_{1,2}^{+}(\zeta)\sqrt{\zeta^2 - k^2}}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - k^2} - k \tan \alpha_{1,2}} \tag{58}$$

Since $K_{1,2}^{+}(\zeta)$ is regular and zero at $\zeta = k$, it follows from (58) that $K_{1,2}^{-}(\zeta)$ has a branch point at $\zeta = k$. Again because $K_{1,2}^{+}(\zeta)$ is regular and non-zero at $\zeta = k \sec \alpha_{1,2}$ , $K_{1,2}^{-}(\zeta)$ has a simple pole at $\zeta = k \sec \alpha_{1,2}$ . In a similar fashion, it follows from (58) that $K_{1,2}^{+}(\zeta)$ has a branch point at $\zeta = -k$ and a zero at $\zeta = -k \sec \alpha_{1,2}$ . The integrand in (56) has,therefore, simple poles at $\zeta = \pm k \sec \alpha_1$, $-k \sec \alpha_2$ and branch points at $\zeta = \pm k$ . For x negative, (56) is evaluated by deforming the contour to a line parallel to the original contour along the real axis [Note that $\epsilon$ has been set equal to zero] slightly in the lower half-plane and indented above at the singularities of the integrand which occur at $\zeta = -k, -k \sec \alpha_1$ , and $-k \sec \alpha_2$ . The poles at $\zeta = -k \sec \alpha_{1,2}$ give rise to the surface wave, whereas the singularity at $\zeta = -k$ gives the radiation field which decays as $\frac{1}{|x|}$ for large x . Hence, for large x , only the surface-wave contributions dominate and the evaluation of the contribution of (56) at the poles $\zeta = -k \sec \alpha_{1,2}$ [Appendix A] yields

$$H_y^s(x,z) = \cos \alpha_1 \; e^{-ik \sec \alpha_1 x \; -k \tan \alpha_1 z}$$

$$+ \cos \alpha_1 \frac{K_2^-(-k \sec \alpha_1)}{K_1^-(-k \sec \alpha_1)} \frac{K_1^+(-k \sec \alpha_2)}{K_2^-(-k \sec \alpha_2)} \frac{\tan^2 \alpha_2}{\sec \alpha_2(\sec \alpha_1 - \sec \alpha_2)} e^{-ik \sec \alpha_2 x - k \tan \alpha_2 z} . \tag{59}$$

From (13) and (1), it is obvious that

$$H_y^i(x,z) = -\cos \alpha_1 \; e^{-ik \sec \alpha_1 x \; - k \tan \alpha_1 z} \quad . \tag{60}$$

Using (57), (59), and (60), the total transmitted surface wave for $x < 0$ is obtained as

$$[H_y(x,z)]_t = H_y^s(x,z) + H_y^i(x,z)$$

$$= \frac{\cos \alpha_1 K_2^-(-k \sec \alpha_1)}{K_1^-(k \sec \alpha_2) K_1^-(-k \sec \alpha_1)} \frac{1}{K_2^-(-k \sec \alpha_2)} \frac{\tan^2 \alpha_2}{\sec \alpha_2(\sec \alpha_1 - \sec \alpha_2)} e^{-ik \sec \alpha_2 x - k \tan \alpha_2 z} . \tag{61}$$

Notice that the incident wave (60) completely nullifies the surface wave with the value of $k \tan \alpha_1$ for the attenuation factor in the z-direction. This should be the case, since for $x < 0$, the screen is conducting in the $\xi_2$ direction and hence can support only a surface wave with an attenuation factor $k \tan \alpha_2$ . It may be easily shown [Appendix A] that as $\alpha_2 \to \alpha_1$

$$[H_y(x,z)]_t = -\cos \alpha_1 \; e^{-ik \sec \alpha_1 x \; -k \tan \alpha_1 z} = H_y^i(x,z) \quad . \tag{62}$$

This too should be the case, since the incident wave will then be transmitted as it is without any disturbance.

For $x > 0$ , (56) is evaluated by deforming the original contour along the real axis to one parallel to it slightly in the upper half-plane and indented below at the singularities $\zeta = k$ , and $k \sec \alpha_1$ . As before, the contribution of the integral (56) in the neighborhood of the singularity $\zeta = k$ gives rise to the radiation field, which for large $x$ is small compared to the surface wave term. Evaluating the contribution of the integral near the pole gives the reflected surface wave

$$[H_y(x,y)]_r = \frac{\sin(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)\tan\alpha_1}{2\cos\alpha_2 \sec^2\alpha_1} \left[\frac{K_2^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}{K_1^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}\right]^2 e^{ik\sec\alpha_1 x - k\tan\alpha_1 z} . \quad (63)$$

The radiation field is obtained by substituting (28) and (29) in (56) and evaluating the resulting integral by the method of stationary phase for $k\rho \gg 1$ . The result when (52) is made use of is

$$[H_y(x,z)]_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k\rho}} e^{i(k\rho+\frac{\pi}{4})} \frac{\sin(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}{\cos\alpha_2} \frac{K_2^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}{K_1^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}$$

$$\times \frac{\sin\theta}{[\tan\alpha_1+i\sin\theta]} \frac{1}{[\sec\alpha_1 - \cos\theta]} \frac{K_2^-(k\cos\theta)}{K_1^-(k\cos\theta)} . \quad (64)$$

The subscript R denotes the radiation field. Note that when $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$, the radiation field goes to zero as it should.

It remains only to determine $K_{1,2}^-(\zeta)$ from (54) which has been evaluated by Kay [4] in a different connection. In what follows only the expressions for $|K_{1,2}^-(-\zeta)|^2$ will be needed and this is taken from Kay's paper :

$$\left|K_{1,2}^{-}\right|^2 = \begin{cases} \left|\dfrac{\zeta - k}{\zeta - k\sec\alpha_{1,2}}\right| \left|\dfrac{\sqrt{\zeta^2-k^2} + k\tan\alpha_{1,2}}{\sqrt{\zeta^2-k^2} - k\tan\alpha_{1,2}}\right| & \zeta > k \\ \left|\dfrac{\zeta - k}{\zeta - k\sec\alpha_{1,2}}\right| & \zeta < k \end{cases} \quad . \quad (65)$$

It is desired to find expressions for the power reflection coefficient R, the power transmission coefficient T and a coefficient S for the radiated power which denote respectively the proportion of the incident power that is reflected, transmitted and radiated. The total power in the reflected surface wave per unit width of the guide is obtained from (63), (42) and (65) as

$$P_r = 2\,\mathrm{Re} \int_0^\infty \hat{x} \cdot \vec{E}_r \times \vec{H}_r^{\,*}\, dz = \frac{2\sin^2(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)\sin\alpha_1}{k\cos^2\alpha_2(\sec\alpha_2+\sec\alpha_1)^2} \quad . \quad (66)$$

The total power in the transmitted surface wave is calculated from (61), (42) and (65) as

$$P_t = 2\,\mathrm{Re} \int_0^\infty \hat{x} \cdot \vec{E}_t \times \vec{H}_t^{\,*}\, dz = \frac{8\cos\alpha_1\tan\alpha_2}{k(\tan\alpha_2+\tan\alpha_1)^2} \quad . \quad (67)$$

The power radiated per unit width of the screen, per unit area in the direction $\theta$ is obtained from (64), (42), and (28) for $k\rho >> 1$ as

$$S = \mathrm{Re}\,\hat{\rho} \cdot \vec{E}_R \times \vec{H}_R^{\,*} = \frac{2}{\pi k} \frac{\sin^2(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}{\cos^2\alpha_2} \frac{\sec\alpha_1}{(\sec\alpha_2 + \sec\alpha_1)} f(\theta) \qquad (68)$$

where the radiation pattern $f(\theta)$ is given by

$$f(\theta) = \sin^2\theta \left\{ (\tan^2\alpha_1 + \sin^2\theta)(\sec\alpha_1 - \cos\theta)(\sec\alpha_2 - \cos\theta) \right\}^{-1} . \quad (69)$$




1: $\alpha_1 = 15°$, $\alpha_2 = 30°$

2: $\alpha_1 = 30°$, $\alpha_2 = 15°$

3: $\alpha_1 = 30°$, $\alpha_2 = 60°$

4: $\alpha_1 = 60°$, $\alpha_2 = 30°$

5: $\alpha_1 = 60°$, $\alpha_2 = 45°$

FIG. 2 RADIATION DIAGRAM OF THE SURFACE WAVEGUIDE FOR VARIOUS VALUES OF $\alpha_1$ AND $\alpha_2$.

Hence, the total radiated power is

$$P_R = \int_0^{2\pi} S \ \rho \ d\theta = \frac{4 \cos^2 a_1 \sin a_1}{k \tan^2 a_1 (\tan a_2 + \tan a_1)^2} \left[ \tan^2 a_1 \sec a_1 \sec a_2 - \tan a_1 \tan a_2 \sec^2 a_1 + \frac{\tan^2 a_2}{2} - \frac{\tan^2 a_1}{2} \right] . \quad (70)$$

From (35), (66), (67), and (70), R, T, and S are obtained as

$$R = \sin^2 a_1 \ \frac{(\sec a_2 - \sec a_1)^2}{(\tan a_2 + \tan a_1)^2} \quad (71)$$

$$T = 1 - \frac{(\tan a_2 - \tan a_1)^2}{(\tan a_2 + \tan a_1)^2} \quad (72)$$

and

$$S = \frac{2 \cos^2 a_1}{[\tan a_2 + \tan a_1]^2} \left[ \tan^2 a_1 \sec a_1 \sec a_2 - \tan a_1 \tan a_2 \sec^2 a_1 + \frac{\tan^2 a_2}{2} - \frac{\tan^2 a_1}{2} \right] . \quad (73)$$

It is easily verified that $R + T + S = 1$ as it should. The radiation pattern (69) is plotted in Fig. (2) for several values of $a_1$ and $a_2$. It is noticed that it has a null in the plane of the waveguide. It is noticed that the beam width of the radiation pattern increases as the maximum of the pattern moves away from the plane of surface waveguide.

## Radiation from Discontinuity Formed by the Junction of a Surface Waveguide and a Perfectly Conducting Half-Plane

The surface waveguide in the region $(-\infty \leq x \leq 0, -\infty \leq y \quad \infty, z = 0)$ is now assumed to be replaced by a perfectly conducting half-plane and the incident surface wave is the same as is given by (13). As before, the incident and hence, the scattered fields are independent of the y-coordinate; therefore, it follows from (2) that

$$E_x^s = \frac{1}{ik}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_y^s \qquad H_x^s = -\frac{1}{ik}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_y^s$$

$$E_z^s = -\frac{1}{ik}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_y^s \qquad H_z^s = \frac{1}{ik}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_y^s \quad . \tag{74}$$

One of the boundary conditions on the screen is that

$$E_{\xi_1}(x,0) = 0 \qquad -\infty < x < \infty \quad . \tag{75}$$

Since from (13), $E^i_{\xi_1}(x,0) = 0$, it is obvious that $E^s_{\xi_1}(x,z) = 0$. Therefore, from (1) and (74), it results that

$$E_y^s(x,z) = -\frac{\cot a_1}{ik}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_y^s(x,z) \quad . \tag{76}$$

With the representation (44) for $H_y^s(x,z)$, it is derived from (74), (76), and (1) that

$$E^s_{\eta_1}(x,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int -\frac{\xi_1}{k\sin a_1} f(\zeta)\, e^{i\zeta x + i\xi z}\, d\zeta \tag{77}$$

$$H^s_{\xi_1}(x,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \frac{\cos^2 a_1}{k^2 \sin a_1}\left[k^2\sec^2 a_1 - \zeta^2\right] f(\zeta)\, e^{i\zeta x + i\xi z}\, d\zeta \, . \tag{78}$$

The remaining boundary conditions on the screens are

$$H^s_{\xi_1}(x,0) = 0 \qquad \text{for } x > 0 \tag{79}$$

$$E^s_{\eta_1}(x,0) = -ie^{-ik\sec a_1 x} \qquad \text{for } x < 0 \quad . \tag{80}$$

In view of (78) and (79), it results that

$$\left[k^2\sec^2 a_1 - \zeta^2\right] f(\zeta) = u^+(\zeta) \quad , \tag{81}$$

where $u^+(\zeta)$ is regular in the upper half-plane $\text{Im}\,\zeta > -\epsilon$. In a similar manner from (77) and (80), it may be shown that

$$\frac{\xi}{k \sin a_1} f(\zeta) + \frac{1}{\zeta + k \sec a_1} = L^-(\zeta) \quad , \tag{82}$$

where $L^-(\zeta)$ is regular in the lower half-plane $\text{Im}\,\zeta < \epsilon$. The transform relations are regular in the strip $|\text{Im}\,\zeta| < \epsilon$, and hence, the Wiener-Hopf procedure may be applied. By substituting for $f(\zeta)$ in (82) from (81) and rearranging the resulting expression, it follows that

$$\frac{\sqrt{k+\zeta}\quad u^+(\zeta)}{k \sin a_1 (k \sec a_1 + \zeta)} + \frac{2 k \sec a_1}{(\zeta + k \sec a_1)\sqrt{k + k \sec a_1}}$$

$$= \frac{(k \sec a_1 - \zeta) L^-(\zeta)}{\sqrt{k-\zeta}} + \frac{1}{\zeta + k \sec a_1}\left[\frac{k \sec a_1}{\sqrt{k-\zeta}} - \frac{2 k \sec a_1}{\sqrt{k + k \sec a_1}}\right] . \tag{83}$$

By the arguments of the Wiener-Hopf procedure (83) may be shown to define an integral function which is actually zero. Consequently from (83), (81), and (76), it is obtained that

$$H_y^s(x,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{2 k^2 \tan a_1 \; d\zeta \; e^{i\zeta x + i\xi z}}{\sqrt{k + k \sec a_1}\;\sqrt{k+\zeta}\,(\zeta^2 - k^2 \sec^2 a_1)} . \tag{84}$$

For x negative, (84) is evaluated by deforming the contour to a line parallel to the original contour along the real axis, slightly in the lower half-plane and indented above the singularities of the integrand which occur at $\zeta = -k, -k \sec a_1$. The singularity at $\zeta = -k$ gives the radiation field which decays as $\frac{1}{|x|}$ for large x and hence, for large negative x, the significant contribution arises due to the pole $\zeta = -k \sec a_1$. Evaluation of the residue of the integral at the pole $\zeta = -k \sec a_1$

gives

$$[H_y^s(x,z)]_t = \cos\alpha_1 \; e^{-ik\sec\alpha_1 x \; -k\tan\alpha_1 z} \quad . \tag{85}$$

Notice that (85) is exactly cancelled by the incident field (60). This should be the case, since a perfectly conducting half-plane cannot support a surface wave of the type (85).

For $x > 0$, (84) is evaluated by deforming the original contour along the real axis, to one parallel to it slightly in the upper half-plane and indented below the singularity at $\zeta = k\sec\alpha_1$. This pole gives rise to the reflected surface wave and its value is

$$[H_y(x,z)]_r = \frac{i\sin\alpha_1}{(1+\sec\alpha_1)} \; e^{ik\sec\alpha_1 x \, - k\tan\alpha_1 z} \quad . \tag{86}$$

To obtain the radiation field, (28) and (29) are substituted in (84) and the resulting integral is evaluated by the method of stationary phase for $k\rho \gg 1$. The result is

$$[H_y(x,z)]_R = \left(\frac{2}{\pi k\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(k\rho - \frac{\pi}{4})} \frac{\tan\alpha_1}{(1+\sec\alpha_1)^{1/2}} \frac{(1+\cos\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\cos^2\theta - \sec^2\alpha_1)} \; . \tag{87}$$

The total power in the reflected surface wave per unit width of the screen is easily computed from (86), (74), and (76) as

$$P_r = 2\int_0^{\infty} \hat{x}\cdot\vec{E}_r \times \vec{H}_r^{\,*}\, dz = \frac{2\sin\alpha_1}{k(1+\sec\alpha_1)^2} \quad . \tag{88}$$

The power radiated per unit width of the screen, per unit area in the direction $\theta$ is obtained from (87), (74), (76), and (28) when $k\rho \gg 1$ as

$$S = \mathrm{Re}\; \hat{\rho}\cdot\vec{E}_R \times \vec{H}_R^{\,*} = \frac{2}{\pi k\rho} \frac{1}{(1+\sec\alpha_1)} \frac{1+\cos\theta}{(\sec^2\alpha_1 - \cos^2\theta)} \; . \tag{89}$$

Hence, the total radiated power is

$$P_R = \int_0^{2\pi} S \;\rho\; d\theta = \frac{4}{k} \frac{1}{(1+\sec\alpha_1)} \frac{\cos\alpha_1}{\tan\alpha_1} \quad . \tag{90}$$

It is to be noted that $P_r + P_R$ is equal to $P_i$ as given in (35). The power reflection coefficient and the radiation pattern are noticed to be the same with or without the terminating perfectly conducting half-plane.

## Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank Professors R. W. P. King and Tai Tsun Wu for their help and encouragement with this research.

## References

1. Karbowiak, A. E., "Microwave Propagation in Anisotropic Waveguides", Proc. I. E. E., Monograph No. 147R August 1955 (103C, p. 139).

2. Karbowiak, A. E., "Microwave Aspects of Waveguides for Long Distance Transmission", Proc. I. E. E. Monograph No. 287R February 1958 (105C, p. 360).

3. Karp, S. N., "Diffraction of a Plane Wave by a Unidirectionally Conducting Half-Plane", Research Report No. EM-108, Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, August 1957.

4. Kay, A. F., "Scattering of a Surface Wave by a Discontinuity in Reactance", IRE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-7, pp. 22-31 January 1959.

5. Rumsey, V. H., "A New Way of Solving Maxwell's Equations", IRE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-9 pp. 461-465 September 1961.

## Appendix A

### Evaluation of the Transmitted Surface Wave

$$H_y^s(x,z) = \frac{ik\sin(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}{2\pi\cos\alpha_2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\zeta\, e^{i\zeta x + i\xi z}}{[k\tan\alpha_1 - \sqrt{\zeta^2-k^2}\,][\zeta + k\sec\alpha_1]}\frac{K_2^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}{K_1^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}\frac{K_1^+(\zeta)}{K_2^+(\zeta)}. \qquad (A1)$$

### Evaluation of the Residue at $\zeta = -k\sec\alpha_1$

In view of (52) and (53)

$$\frac{K_1^+(\zeta)}{K_1^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)\,[k\tan\alpha_1 - \sqrt{\zeta^2-k^2}\,]} = -\frac{K_1^+(\zeta)\qquad K_1^-(\zeta)}{K_1^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)\,K_1^+(\zeta)\sqrt{\zeta^2-k^2}} \quad . \qquad (A2)$$

For $\zeta = -k\sec\alpha_1$, [A 2] becomes equal to $-\frac{1}{k\tan\alpha_1}$. Therefore, the contribution of (A1) at the pole $\zeta = -k\sec\alpha_1$ is

$$-2\pi i\left[\frac{ik\sin(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}{2\pi\cos\alpha_2}\;\frac{K_2^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}{K_2^+(-k\sec\alpha_1)}\,x\;-\;\frac{1}{k\tan\alpha_1}\right]e^{-ik\sec\alpha_1 x - k\tan\alpha_1 z}\,. \qquad (A3)$$

Again from (52) and (53)

$$\frac{K_2^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}{K_2^+(-k\sec\alpha_1)} = \frac{\tan\alpha_1}{\tan\alpha_1 - \tan\alpha_2}\quad . \qquad (A4)$$

With (A4), (A3) may be simplified to yield

$$\cos\alpha_1\; e^{-ik\sec\alpha_1 x - k\tan\alpha_1 z} \quad . \qquad (A5)$$

## Evaluation of the Residue at $\zeta = -k\sec\alpha_2$

From (52) and (53)

$$K_2^+(\zeta) = K_2^-(\zeta)\,\frac{[\sqrt{\zeta^2-k^2} - k\tan\alpha_2]}{\sqrt{\zeta^2-k^2}} \quad . \tag{A6}$$

Hence, (A1) becomes

$$H_y^s(x,z) = -\frac{k\sin(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}{2\pi i\cos\alpha_2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\zeta\, e^{i\zeta x + i\xi z}}{[k\tan\alpha_1 - \sqrt{\zeta^2-k^2}][\zeta + k\sec\alpha_1]}$$

$$\times\ \frac{K_2^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)\,K_1^+(\zeta)\sqrt{\zeta^2-k^2}}{K_1^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)\,K_2^-(\zeta)[\sqrt{\zeta^2-k^2} - k\tan\alpha_2]} \quad . \tag{A7}$$

The contribution of the integral (A7) at the simple pole $\zeta = -k\sec\alpha_2$ is

$$-2\pi i\left[\frac{k\sin(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}{2\pi i\cos\alpha_2}\ \frac{e^{-ik\sec\alpha_2 x - k\tan\alpha_2 z}}{k^2[\tan\alpha_1 - \tan\alpha_2]\,[\sec\alpha_1 - \sec\alpha_2]}\right.$$

$$\left.\frac{K_2^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}{K_1^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}\ \frac{K_1^+(-k\sec\alpha_2)}{K_2^-(-k\sec\alpha_2)}\ \frac{k^2\tan^2\alpha_2}{k\sec\alpha_2}\right] \quad . \tag{A8}$$

After some simplification (A8) becomes

$$\cos\alpha_1\ \frac{K_2^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}{K_1^-(-k\sec\alpha_1)}\ \frac{K_1^+(-k\sec\alpha_2)}{K_2^-(-k\sec\alpha_2)}\ \frac{\tan^2\alpha_2}{\sec\alpha_2(\sec\alpha_1 - \sec\alpha_2)}\cdot e^{-ik\sec\alpha_2 x - k\tan\alpha_2 z} .$$

(A9)

It is obvious from (52) and (53) that

$$K_1^+(-k\sec\alpha_2) = K_1^-(-k\sec\alpha_2)\,[1-\frac{\tan\alpha_1}{\tan\alpha_2}] \quad . \tag{A10}$$

Also, it is easy to see that as $\alpha_2$ tends to $\alpha_1$

$$(\sec\alpha_1 - \sec\alpha_2) = -\sin^2\alpha_1 \sec\alpha_1 (1 - \frac{\tan\alpha_1}{\tan\alpha_2}) \quad . \tag{A11}$$

Substituting (A10) and (A11) in (A9) and passing to the limit, (A9) becomes

$$-\cos\alpha_1 \; e^{-ik\sec\alpha_1 x - k\tan\alpha_1 z} \tag{A12}$$

as $\alpha_2$ tends to $\alpha_1$ .

TR

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

Activity Supply Officer
Building 2504, Charles Wood Area
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey (50)
Attn: Director of Research

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
Navy 100, Box 39 (25)
Fleet Post Office
New York, New York

Armed Services
Technical Information Agency
Arlington Hall Station (10)
Arlington 12, Virginia
Attn: TIPDR

The Director
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C. (6)
Attn: Technical Information Office

Commander, AF CRL
AFRD, ARDC, CRRLC
Laurence G. Hanscom Field (4)
Bedford, Massachusetts
Attn: Electronics Research Directorate

Commanding General
Air Research and Development Command
P. O. Box 1395 (3)
Baltimore 3, Maryland
Attn: RDTRRP

Chief of Naval Research
Department of the Navy (2)
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Dr. A. Shostak, Code 427

Chief of Naval Research
Department of the Navy (2)
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Code 427

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
495 Summer Street (2)
Boston, Massachusetts

Chief, Bureau of Ships
Department of the Navy (2)
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Code 810

Director, Air University
Library (2)
Maxwell Air Force Base
Alabama

Chief of Naval Research
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Code 421

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
495 Summer Street
Boston, Massachusetts

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
John Crerar Library Building
86 East Randolph Street
Chicago 1, Illinois

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
346 Broadway
New York 13, New York

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
1030 East Green Street
Pasadena, California

Commanding Officer
Office of Naval Research
1000 Geary Street
San Francisco 9, California

Head, Document Section
Technical Information Division
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C.

Martin A. Garstens
Magnetism Branch, Code 6450
Solid State Division
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C.

Commanding Officer
U.S.N. Air Development Center
Johnsville, Pennsylvania
Attn: NADC Library

Commander
U. S. N. Air Development Center
Johnsville, Pennsylvania
Attn: AAEL

Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: El-1

Engineering Librarian
Convair
San Diego 12, California

Dr. John E. Pippin
Applied Physics and Ferrite Devices
Sperry Microwave Electronics Co.
P. O. Box 1828
Clearwater, Florida

Engineering Library
Sperry Microwave Electronics Co.
Clearwater, Florida

Dr. Lajos Rimai
Research Division
Raytheon Company
Waltham 54, Massachusetts

Elizabeth Weeks, Librarian
Raytheon Company
28 Seyon Street
Waltham 54, Massachusetts

Report Librarian
Sylvania Electric Products Inc.
Electronic Systems Division
100 First Avenue
Waltham, Massachusetts

Document Control Center
Wayland Library
Raytheon Manufacturing Co.
Wayland, Massachusetts

J. E. Goldman
Scientific Laboratory
Ford Motor Company
Engineering Staff
P. O. Box 2053
Dearborn, Michigan

Charles C. H. Tang
Bell Telephone Labs.
Murray Hill, New Jersey

Librarian
RCA Laboratories
Princeton, New Jersey

Dr. A. Amith
RCA
Princeton, New Jersey

Commander (2)
U. S. Naval Electronics Lab.
San Diego, California

Commanding General, RCRW
Rome Air Development Center
Griffiss Air Force Base (2)
Rome, New York

Commanding General
Air Research and Development Command
P. O. Box 1395 (2)
Baltimore, Maryland
Attn: RDDDE

Commander
Air Force Cambridge Research Labs.
Laurence G. Hanscom Field (2)
Bedford, Massachusetts
Attn: CROTLS

Commander
Wright Air Development Center
Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Ohio (2)
Attn: WCLRA Library

National Security Agency
Physical Sciences Division (2)
Fort George Meade, Maryland
Attn: Dr. Alvin Meckler

Associate Prof. A. Kaprielian
Department of Electrical Engineering
University of Southern California
University Park
Los Angeles 7, California

Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Research and Development)
Research and Development Board
Department of Defense
Washington 25, D. C.

Chief of Naval Operations
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Op-20

Chief of Naval Operations
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Op-32

Chief of Naval Operations
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Op-413

Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics
Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: El-4

Technical Library
U. S. Naval Proving Ground
Dahlgren, Vriginia

Director
Naval Ordnance Laboratory
White Oak, Maryland

Librarian
U. S. Naval Post Graduate School
Monterey, California

Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Air Research and Development Command
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: SRY, Physics Division

Commanding General
Rome Air Development Center
Griffiss Air Force Base
Rome, New York
Attn: RCREC-4C

Commanding General
Rome Air Development Center
Griffiss Air Force Base
Rome, New York
Attn: RCR

Commander
Air Force Cambridge Research Center
230 Albany Street
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts
Attn: CRZI

Commander
Air Force Cambridge Research Center
230 Albany Street
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts
Attn: CRZM

Commander
AF Cambridge Research Laboratories
Laurence G. Hanscom Field
Bedford, Massachusetts
Attn: Dr. Hollingsworth

Commander
Wright Air Development Center
Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Ohio Attn: WCRR

Sandia Corporation
Org. 1424, Sandia Base
Alburquerque, New Mexico
Attn: Dr. C. W. Harrison, Jr.

Sandia Corporation
Sandia Base
Alburquerque, New Mexico
Attn: Library Division 1922-1

Mr. Robert Turner
General Electric Company
Advanced Electronics Center
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York

Library
Airborne Instruments Lab.
Walt Whitman Road
Melville, Long Island, New York

Secretary, Working Group
Semiconductor Devices
346 Broadway, 8th Floor
New York 13, New York
Attn: AGET

Metals Research Laboratories
Electro Metallurgical Company
Box 580, Niagra Falls, New York
Attn: Mr. R. J. Gladieux

Librarian
General Electric Research Lab.
P. O. Box 1088
Schenectady, New York

Westinghouse Electric Corp.
Research Laboratories
Beulah Road, Churchill Boro.
Pittsburgh 35, Pennsylvania

Prof. O. E. H. Rydbeck
P. O. Box 266
Belmar, New Jersey

Dr. Melvin W. Aarons
611 East Gennessee Street
Fayetteville, New York

Laboratory
Airborne Instruments
Mineola, New York

Commander
Wright Air Development Center
Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Ohio
Attn: WCRRH

Commandant
Air Force Institute of Technology
Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Ohio
Attn: MCLI Library

AF Special Weapons Center
Kirtland Air Force Base
Albuquerque, New Mexico
Attn: SWOI

Headquarters
AF Missile Test Center
MU-135, ADRC
Patrick Air Force Base
Florida

U. S. Coast Guard
1300 E Street, N. W.
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: EEE

M. A. Krinvanich, Chief
Systems Component Branch
Electronic Warfare Division
Signal Corps Agency
White Sands Proving Ground
New Mexico

Mr. A. D. Bedrosian
Signal Corps Liaison Office
Mass. Institute of Technology
Building 26, Room 131
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts

Chief, European Office
ARDC Command
Shell Building
60 Rue Ravenstein
Brussels, Belgium

Dr. J. Anton Hofman
Ordnance Materials Res. Office
Watertown Arsenal
Watertown, Massachusetts

Acquisitions Officer
ASTIA Reference Center
Arlington Hall Station
Arlington 12, Virginia

Stanford Research Institute
Documents Center
Menlo Park, California
Attn: Mary Lou Fields

Dr. C. H. Papas
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

Stanford Electronics Lab.
Stanford University
Stanford, California
Attn: Document Library
Applied Electronics Lab.

Department of Electrical Engineering
Yale University
New Haven, Connecticut

Librarian
Johns Hopkins University
1315 St. Paul Street
Baltimore 2, Maryland

Radiation Laboratory
Johns Hopkins University
1315 St. Paul Street
Baltimore 2, Maryland

Director, Lincoln Laboratory
Mass. Institute of Technology
Bedford, Massachusetts

Mr. John Hewitt
Document Room
Research Lab. of Electronics
Mass. Institute of Technology
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts

Professor A. Von Hippel
Mass. Institute of Technology
Lab. for Insulation Research
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts

Library, Room A 229
Lincoln Laboratory
P. O. Box 73
Lexington 73, Massachusetts

K. M. Siegel, Head
Theory and Analysis Department
Willow Run Laboratories
University of Michigan
Willow Run Airport
Ypsilanti, Michigan

Librarian
National Bureau of Standards Library
Room 301, Northwest Building
Washington 25, D. C.

Librarian
U. S. Department of Commerce
National Bureau of Standards
Boulder, Colorado

Dr. Earl Callen
National Security Agency
Physical Sciences Division
Fort George Meade, Maryland

Dr. H. Campaigne
National Security Agency
Physical Sciences Division
Fort George Meade, Maryland

Chung Kung University
Electrical Engineering Department
Tainan, Taiwan
Republic of China
Attn: Professor Chao-Hsi Chou
Head, Eng. Department

Mr. D. S. Jones
Department of Mathematics
Univ. College of No. Staffordshire
Keele, Staffordshire, England

Professor Paul Sanai Mito
Osaka City University
Dept. of Engineering Sciences
12 Nishi Ogimachi Kitaku
Osaka, Japan

Donald C. Stinson
Dept. of Electrical Engr.
University of Arizona
Tucson 25, Arizona

Professor Jerome R. Singer
Div. of Electrical Engineering
University of California
Berkeley 4, California

Professor Charles Kittel
Department of Physics
University of California
Berkeley 4, California

Serials Library
Brandeis University
Waltham, Massachusetts

Professor H. G. Booker
School of Electrical Engineering
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York

Library, College of Engineering
University Heights Library
University Heights
New York University
New York 53, New York

E. A. Chapman, Librarian
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Amos Eaton Hall
Troy, New York

Robert Plonsey
Department of Engineering
Case Institute of Technology
University Circle
Cleveland 6, Ohio

Dept. of Electrical Engineering
Case Institute of Technology
University Circle
Cleveland 6, Ohio
Attn: S. Seely, Head

Dr. C. J. Falkenbach
Batelle Memorial Institute
Columbus, Ohio
Attn: Electrical Engineering Division

Librarian
Engineering Library
Brown University
Providence, Rhode Island

Professor A. W. Straiton
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
University of Texas
Austin 12, Texas

Mr. William Way
Research Librarian
Tasker Instruments Corp.
3349 Cahuenga Boulevard
Hollywood 28, California

### SOLID STATE ONLY

Martin A. Garstens, Head
Paramagnetic Section
Magnetism Branch
Solid State Division
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: Code 6451

Dr. Reinier Beeuwkes, Jr.
Ordnance Materials
Research Laboratory
Watertown Arsenal
Watertown, Massachusetts

Mr. A. Saika
Himeji Technical College
Himeji, Japan

Electronics Research Laboratory
Division of Electrical Engineering
University of California
Berkeley 4, California
Attn: Librarian

Johns Hopkins University
34th and Charles Street
Whitehead Hall
Baltimore 18, Maryland
Attn: Mr. J. O. Artman

Librarian
Physics Department
Amherst College
Amherst, Massachusetts
Attn: Mr. Romer

Professor I. /Lowe
Department of Physics
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Professor R. E. Norberg
Department of Physics
Washington University
St. Louis, Missouri

Microwave Research Institute
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
55 Johnson Street
Brooklyn, New York
Attn: Librarian

Dr. Sidney Shapiro
Arthur D. Little, Inc.
15 Acorn Park
Cambridge 40, Massachusetts

Dr. Simon Foner
Lincoln Laboratories
Box 73
Lexington, Massachusetts

Mr. William H. From
Ewen Knight Corporation
206 A Street
Needham, Massachusetts

Dr. Edward U. Condon
6604 Waterman Avenue
St. Louis, Missouri

Dr. W. M. Walsh
Bell Telephone Labs., Inc.
Murray Hill, New Jersey

Librarian
IBM Watson Laboratories
612 West 115th Street
New York 27, New York

### ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION ONLY

Michigan State College
Department of Mathematics
East Lansing, Michigan

Microwave Research Institute
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
55 Johnson Street
Brooklyn, New York

Mr. L. E. Swarts, Jr.
Building 12, Room 2617
Hughes Research Laboratories
Culver City, California

Professor O.E.H. Rydbeck
P. O. Box 266
Belmar, New Jersey

One Copy Unless Otherwise Specified