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Abstract

Rather than investigate a particular combustor, a whole class of combustion systems, susceptible to damage from combustion instabilities, is considered. Under some simple and realistic assumptions (pressure waves reflected from the combustor boundaries smaller than incoming waves, flame stable in itself, limited bandwidth flame response), it is demonstrated that a finite dimensional approximation to the open-loop transfer function of such a combustion system satisfies some general properties (stable zeros, small relative degree) that are exploited to design adaptive active controllers guaranteed to stabilise the self-excited combustion oscillations. In particular, for the practical case of a combustion system with time delay, a completely new and simple adaptive control design is presented and a formal proof for stability is given. The performance of such stable adaptive controllers is illustrated in a simulation.

1. Introduction

In order to meet stringent emission requirements, combustors are increasingly being designed to operate in a lean premixed mode. Although this reduces the NOx emissions, it has the disadvantage that premixed flames are particularly susceptible to self-excited oscillations, and the associated large-scale pressure waves can cause structural damage. Active control provides a way of extending the stable operating range of a combustion system, by interrupting the damaging interaction between acoustic waves and unsteady combustion. However, to be useful in practice, an active controller needs to be effective across a range of operating conditions. An efficient approach is to use an adaptive controller in which the controller transfer function is continually altered as the engine condition changes.

They are already some algorithms that describe how to update the controller parameters. The most popular adaptive schemes used for active control of combustion instability is the Least Mean Squares (LMS) algorithm applied to an IIR (Infinite Impulse Response) filter [5],[17],[12],[11]. The LMS is very attractive because it does not require any theoretical model: the combustion process is considered as a 'black box' and is learnt during a system identification procedure, performed off-line [5] or on-line [17],[12],[11] thanks to measurements. However, the major drawback is that an LMS controller might lead to a divergence of the control scheme if, for some operating conditions, the poles of the IIR become unstable. The features of a LMS controller have been extensively studied by Evesque & Dowling [12],[11], and here it was necessary to introduce a parallel algorithm (based on the Laguerre's method [28]) to prevent a starting divergence due to the controller. Other adaptive schemes already developed include neural networks [6] which is a nonlinear version of the LMS-controller, and a minimisation scheme based on the downhill simplex algorithm [25]. All these schemes provide no guarantee that the controller can stabilise the self-excited combustion system.

An efficient way to prevent any divergence of the adaptive control scheme is to use systematic methods for designing stable adaptive systems. The adaptive controller, called STR (Self-Tuning Regulator) by Annaswamy et al [2], is designed based on a Lyapunov stability analysis and is therefore guaranteed to be stable for any operating conditions. Furthermore, the STR has the advantage of avoiding a system identification procedure (which is one of the main difficulties in implementing a LMS controller [12]), since it uses little information about the physical process. However, so far, only a specific simple combustor has been shown to have the structure required for the design of a STR [2]. Moreover, the STR cannot accommodate a time delay between control action and its detection.

Our purpose in this paper is to:

(i) determine the general features of a self-excited combustion system, rather than investigate a particular combustor in detail.
(ii) exploit these features to design a novel adaptive controller that is guaranteed to stabilise the combustion system, the major challenge being to guarantee stabilisation in the presence of time delay in the combustion system.

Step (ii) involves first the choice of a low order fixed controller structure that can stabilise the system, and second the determination of adaptive laws for the controller parameters guaranteed to converge to stabilising values.

Hence, the paper is divided as follows: in section 2, a whole class of self-excited combustion systems is described, and its features used to build a controller are given. Section 3 describes low order fixed regulator structures that can control a combustion system with or without time delay, while section 4 deals with the design of a Self Tuning Regulator (STR) guaranteed to stabilise a self-excited combustion process, containing or not some time delays. In section 5, the performance of the STR is illustrated on a simulation based on a nonlinear model of a premixed ducted flame developed by Dowling [10].

2. General features of self-excited combustion systems

Most premixed combustors are highly resonant systems and may develop combustion instabilities for some operating conditions. These self-excited oscillations result from an interaction between unsteady combustion and acoustic waves: unsteady combustion generates sound, while acoustic waves reflected from the boundaries perturb the combustion still further. Rather than investigate a particular combustor in detail, we determine the general structure of this interaction, which will then be exploited to design fixed and adaptive controllers in sections 3 and 4 respectively.

2.1 Open-loop characteristics

A wide class of combustion systems, including lean premixed prevapoured (LPP) combustors and aeroengine afterburners, can be modelled as a combustion section embedded within a network of pipes, as shown in figure 1. We will investigate linear low frequency perturbations to the flow in such a pipework system. The flow at inlet to the combustor is assumed to be isentropic, and the frequencies of interest are low. This ensures that the combustion zone is short compared with the wavelength. Moreover, since only plane waves transport acoustic energy, it is sufficient to consider one-dimensional disturbances. The pressure and velocity upstream the flame can therefore be written as a linear combination of the waves $g$ and $f$, and downstream the
The equations of conservation of mass, momentum and energy across the short flame zone at \( x = 0 \) can be written in a form that is independent of downstream density and temperature [9]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^2 p_2}{dx^2} - p_1 + \rho_1 u_1(u_2 - u_1) &= 0, \\
\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma - 1} (p_2 u_2 - p_1 u_1) + \frac{1}{2} \rho_1 u_1 (v_2^2 - u_1^2) &= \frac{Q}{A}.
\end{align*}
\] (5)

\( Q \) is the instantaneous rate of heat release, \( A \) is the combustor cross-sectional area and \( \gamma \) is the ratio of specific heat capacities. Substitution from (1), (2) and (4) into (5), making use of the isentropic condition \( p_1/p_1^0 \), and linearising in the flow perturbations give the time evolution of the outgoing waves \( g \) and \( h \) generated by the unsteady heat release \( Q(t) \):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
X_{11} & X_{12} \\
X_{21} & X_{22}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
g(t) \\
h(t)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
Y_{11} R_w & Y_{12} R_d \\
Y_{21} R_w & Y_{22} R_d
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
[g(t - \tau_2)] \\
h(t - \tau_d)
\end{pmatrix}
\] (6)

where \( X_{ij} \) and \( Y_{ij} \) are constant coefficients depending on the mean flow only, and given in appendix B.

After taking the Laplace transform ¹ of the system (6) and using (1) and the boundary condition (4), one obtains the transfer function

\[
G(s) = \frac{u_1(s)}{Q(s)} = \frac{(R_d Y_{12} e^{-\sigma_2 s} - X_{12}(R_w e^{-\sigma_1 s} - 1))}{\det(N)}
\] (7)

where

\[
N = \begin{pmatrix}
X_{11} - R_w Y_{12} e^{-\sigma_2 s} & X_{12} - R_d Y_{12} e^{-\sigma_2 s} \\
X_{21} - R_w Y_{22} e^{-\sigma_2 s} & X_{22} - R_d Y_{22} e^{-\sigma_2 s}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\( G(s) \) describes the generation of unsteady velocity \( u_1(t) \) at the flame, due to the unsteady heat release \( Q(t) \).

Since the self-excited oscillation results from a coupling between unsteady heat release and acoustic waves, the forcing of unsteady heat release due to incoming flow disturbances at the flame must be also described. In many applications, the combustion responds most strongly to velocity fluctuations. This is because in acoustic waves the fractional change in flow velocity is order \( M^{-1} \) larger than the fractional change in pressure, i.e. a large factor at the low Mach numbers at which combustion can be sustained. This dependence on flow velocity can either be seen directly through its influence on flame kinematics and shape [16],[13], or indirectly through its influence on fuel-air ratio and hence on the rate of combustion in LPP systems [29]. A transfer function

\[
H(s) = \frac{Q(s)}{u_1(s)}
\] (8)

is introduced to describe this combustion response. In many circumstances, \( H(s) \) will include substantial time delays. Models for the flame transfer function \( H(s) \) have been published in the literature for different combustors. However, we do not want to restrict our controller design to any particular combustion system or model. Instead we will make general, non-restrictive observations about the structure of the transfer function \( H(s) \):

• (I) The flame is stable when there is no driving velocity \( u \), which means that the poles of \( H(s) \) are 'stable' (i.e. are in the half plane \( \text{Real}(s) < 0 \) and so lead to eigenmodes with negative growth rate).

• (II) The flame response has a limited bandwidth, therefore \( H \rightarrow 0 \) when \( s \rightarrow \infty \).

These assumptions about \( H(s) \) fit many flame models given in the literature, including premixed flames [10] and LPP systems [14]. The eigenfrequencies can be determined by combining equations (7) and (8). They satisfy

¹The same notation is used for a temporal signal and its Laplace transform, for instance \( u(t) \) and \( u(s) \).
1 - G(s)H(s) = 0. \tag{9}

When a combustor is unstable, there are roots of equation (9) with \( \text{Real}(s) > 0 \): linear perturbations grow exponentially in time. We will design a feedback controller to stabilise such a system.

### 2.2 Actuated combustion system

In order to apply active control to our self-excited combustion system, an actuator is used to inject a perturbation and hence break the damaging coupling between unstable combustion and acoustic waves. The two most commonly used active control inputs are loudspeaker forcing and fuel-forcing (\[18\]) \[26\] \[4\]). We will concentrate on fuel forcing which is the most relevant for practical applications. An actuator is driven to provide extra fuel (and sometimes air) which in turn produces additional heat release. In order to describe the impact of this input on the combustion system characteristics, we study the relationship between \( V_c \), a voltage sent to the actuator, and \( P_{ref} \), the fluctuating pressure measured at a location \( x_{ref} \) (see figure 1). That is, our goal is to characterize the transfer function

\[
W(s) = \frac{P_{ref}(s)}{V_c(s)} \tag{10}
\]

which represents the actuated open-loop combustion process. We derive this transfer function in the following.

We assume that the fuel injection is arranged so that the external voltage \( V_c \) results in the additional heat release \( Q_e \) through the following transfer function

\[
\frac{Q_e(s)}{V_c(s)} = W_e(s) e^{-s\tau_a}, \tag{11}
\]

where \( W_e(s) \) represents the actuator dynamics. Typically the actuator will be a valve with the characteristics of a mass-spring-damper system, whose dynamics are described by the transfer function \( W_a(s) \). If the fuel-air mixture is injected directly into the combustion zone, the combustion response will be instantaneous (\( \tau_a = 0 \)). However, if only fuel is added, there will be a small mixing time delay before it is burnt (\( \tau_a > 0 \)). Often it is hazardous to inject fuel directly into the flame. If the additional fuel is introduced some distance upstream of the combustion zone, there will be a convection time delay \( \tau_c \) between injection and combustion. In a LPP system, it is convenient to modulate the main fuel supplied in the premix ducts, in which case \( \tau_c \) may be a significant proportion of the period of the self-excited oscillations. Notice that \( \tau_c \) is independent of the flame radial position, which means that we assume the same time delay between all fuel injection and its combustion. When the combustion zone is short this is trivially satisfied. If the combustion zone is extensive, it may be necessary just to inject fuel in a localised region to meet this constraint.

There will be additional unsteady heat release driven by the flow fluctuations. We will denote this naturally occurring rate of heat release by \( Q_n \). It is related to the velocity fluctuations by the flame model in (8):

\[
H(s) = \frac{Q_n(s)}{u_1(s)} \tag{12}
\]

For linear fluctuations, we can superimpose the fluctuating heat release due to external actuation \( Q_e \) and the naturally occurring heat release \( Q_n \) to give the total fluctuating rate of heat release:

\[
Q(s) = Q_c(s) + Q_n(s). \tag{13}
\]

The acoustic waves generated by \( Q(s) \) are described by (7), ie

\[
G(s) = \frac{u_1(s)}{Q(s)} \tag{14}
\]

From equations (11)-(13), one obtains that:

\[
\frac{u_1(s)}{V_c(s)} = \frac{G(s)W_e(s)e^{-s\tau_a}}{1 - G(s)H(s)}. \tag{15}
\]

If the unsteady pressure \( P_{ref} \) is measured upstream the flame \( (x_{ref} < 0) \), then \( P_{ref} \) is a linear combination of the upstream waves \( f \) and \( g \). Using (1) and the boundary condition (4) at \( x = -x_u \), one easily obtains:

\[
\frac{P_{ref}(s)}{u_1(s)} = \rho_1 \zeta_1 \left( 1 + \frac{R_a e^{-2s(\xi_1 - \xi_1)}}{R_a e^{-s\tau_u} - 1} \right) e^{s\tau_u}, \tag{16}
\]

Therefore, it follows from (15) and (16) that the open-loop transfer function of the actuated system given in (10) can be written in the form:

\[
W(s) = \frac{P_{ref}(s)}{V_c(s)} = W_0(s)e^{-s\tau_{tot}}, \tag{17}
\]

where

\[
\tau_{tot} = \tau_{del} + \tau_a \tag{18}
\]

is the total time delay in the actuated system, \( \tau_a \) is the time delay due to the actuation, \( \tau_{del} = -x_{ref}/(\xi_1 - \xi_1) \) is the detection time delay due to the pressure measurement location, and

\[
W_0(s) = \frac{\rho_1 \zeta_1 \left( 1 + \frac{R_a e^{-2s(\xi_1 - \xi_1)}}{R_a e^{-s\tau_u} - 1} \right) e^{s\tau_u}}{1 - G(s)H(s)} \tag{19}
\]

with \( G(s) \) and \( H(s) \) given in equations (7) and (8).

If \( P_{ref} \) is measured downstream the flame \( (x_{ref} > 0) \), then \( P_{ref} \) is a linear combination of the downstream waves \( h \) and \( j \). A simple calculation, using the wave structure in equations (1) and (2) and the continuity condition across the combustion zone in equation (5a), shows that the transfer function \( P_{ref}(s)/V_c(s) \) again has the form given in (17), provided that the right-hand-side of equation (19) is multiplied by

\[
P_{du}(s) = -\frac{X_1 + R_a Y_{11} e^{-s\tau_u}}{1 + R_a e^{s\tau_a} \frac{X_2 - R_a e^{-s\tau_u}}{1 + R_a e^{-s\tau_a}}}, \tag{20}
\]

\[
R_{de} = \frac{\tau_{tot}}{\tau_a} \tag{21}
\]

### 2.3 General structural properties of the open-loop system useful for control design

For the sake of clarity, only the case of an upstream pressure measurement is considered here, but similar results can be derived for a downstream pressure measurement, using the expression of \( P_{du}(s) \) given in (20). The wave description of linear perturbations in sections 2.1 and 2.2 gives the open-loop transfer function \( W(s) \) in a particularly convenient form:

\[
W(s) = e^{-s\tau_{tot}} W_0(s), \tag{21}
\]

ie the product of a pure time delay and the transfer function \( W_0(s) \) defined in (19). We will now show that \( W_0(s) \) has some general structural properties that are useful for the control design. First \( W_0(s) \) given in equation (19) is expanded into a rational form. This is achieved by applying the Padé approximation technique [3] for each exponential term of \( W_0(s) \). This technique has been widely used in handling systems with time delays. We will use the notation \( [L/M]_{f(s)} \) to denote the \((L,M)^{th}\) order Padé approximant of a function \( f(s) \), which is a rational function \( P(s) \) whose numerator has order \( L \) and denominator order \( M \). The rational function \( P(s) \) is chosen such that the first \( L + M + 1 \) terms in the power series of \( P(s) \) will match those of \( f(s) \), ie

\[
f(s) = \left[ \frac{L}{M} \right]_{f(s)} + O(s^{L+M+1}). \tag{22}
\]

**Lemma 1:** The zeros of \( W_0 \) are stable (ie are in \( \text{Real}(s) < 0) \): \( W_0(s) \) is said to be ‘minimum phase.’
Note that a rational approximation of the multiplying time delay $e^{-\tau s}$ in equation (21) introduces unstable zeros into the open-loop transfer function $W(s) = W_0(s)e^{-\tau s}$; it is $W_0(s)$ that is of minimum phase, and not the overall transfer function $W(s)$. The time delays in the expression of $W_0(s)$ given in (19) are approximated by Padé expansions to give a rational approximation to the transfer function $W_0(s)$, and the stability of the zeros of $W_0(s)$ is discussed. First, it is evident from equation (19) that the poles of the flame transfer function $H(s)$ become zeros of $W_0(s)$. As noted in assumption (I), the condition that the flame is stable when there is no driving velocity $u_0$ ensures that these zeros are all in $\text{Real}(s) < 0$. Therefore $H(s)$ does not introduce unstable zeros for $W_0$. Secondly, $G(s)$ appears at the numerator and the denominator of $W_0(s)$, therefore its poles do not influence the zeros of $W_0(s)$. Thirdly, we assume that $W_n(s)$ has no unstable zeros. Fourthly, the numerator of $W_0(s)$ includes $G(s)$ defined in (7) and is the product of terms of the form $K_1(s)/K_2(s)e^{-\tau s}$, where $\tau$ is a time delay, and $|K_1(s)/K_2(s)| > 1$ for $\text{Real}(s) > 0$ (because the reflection coefficients $R_n(s)$ and $R_d(s)$ have modulus strictly smaller than 1 for $\text{Real}(s) > 0$, and also because $X_{12} > |Y_{12}|$). These factors have no zeros in $\text{Real}(s) > 0$, because at a zero

$$e^{-\tau s} = \frac{K_1(s)}{K_2(s)}.$$  

Equation (23) cannot be satisfied in the half-plane $\text{Real}(s) > 0$, because there $|e^{-\tau s}| \leq 1$, while $|K_1(s)/K_2(s)| > 1$. We need to check that this remains true after a suitable Padé expansion of $e^{-\tau s}$. After the Padé approximation is made, one has to solve equations of the type

$$\left[ \frac{L}{M} \right] e^{-\tau s} = -\frac{K_1(s)}{K_2(s)},$$  

in order to find the remaining zeros of $W_0$. Baker & Graves-Morris [3] introduce the concept of A-acceptability for rational functions: a rational function $R(z)$ is A-acceptable if $|R(z)| < 1$ for $\text{Real}(z) < 0$. They go on to prove that the Padé approximant of the exponential function $[L/M]e^{-\tau s}$ is A-acceptable provided that $M = L, L + 1$ or $L + 2$. Therefore, with such a choice of $L$ and $M$, we obtain that

$$\left| \frac{L}{M} \right| e^{-\tau s} < 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \text{Real}(-\tau s) < 0.$$  

However, $|K_1(s)/K_2(s)| > 1$ for $\text{Real}(s) > 0$, so equation (24) has no roots in $\text{Real}(s) > 0$. On $\text{Real}(s) = 0$, the numerator and denominator of the Padé approximant $[L/M]e^{-\tau s}$, are complex conjugate when $L = M$, which means that $|K_1(s)/K_2(s)| > 1$ for $\text{Real}(s) > 0$, equation (24) has no roots on $\text{Real}(s) = 0$, when $M = L$. Therefore, it has been proved that all the zeros of $W_0$ are stable, provided that the $(L, M)^{th}$ order of each Padé approximant is chosen so that $M = L, kM$, for any $M$.

**Lemma 2:** The relative degree of $W_0(s)$ is equal to the relative degree of the actuator transfer function $H(s) = n^*(W_0)$. An essential feature required for the control design is the relative degree $n^*(W_0)$ of $W_0(s)$, which is the degree of the denominator of $W_0$ minus the degree of its numerator. $n^*(W_0)$ is the sum of the relative degrees of its various factors. Again working from the definition from the definition of $W_0$ in (19):

- $n^*(G(s)) = 0$ when the $(L, M)^{th}$ order Padé approximant for each exponential term $e^{-\tau s}$ and $e^{-\tau s}$ satisfies $L \leq M$ (we assume that $R_n(s)$ and $R_d(s)$ have a relative degree equal to zero, so as it is described in Appendix A).
- similarly, $n^*(\frac{(1+s)K_0}{K_2(s)e^{-\tau s} - 1}) = 0$ when the $(L, M)^{th}$ order Padé approximants for each exponential term $e^{-\tau s}$ and $e^{-\tau s}$ satisfies $L \leq M$.
- $n^*(H) \geq 0$ since the flame has a limited bandwidth response (assumption (II)). Therefore, $H(s)$ does not affect the relative degree of $W_0$.

Finally, it appears that the relative degree of $W_0$ is equal to the relative degree of the actuator transfer function $W_a$, when each Padé approximant $[L/M]$ satisfies $L \leq M$.

**Lemma 3:** The high frequency gain of $W_0$ is positive

The controller design requires information on the sign of the high frequency gain $k_0$ of $W_0$ [21], defined as follows:

$$W_0(s) = k_0 \frac{Z_0(s)}{R_0(s)}.$$  

where $k_0$ is a constant, and $Z_0(s)$ and $R_0(s)$ are two monic polynomials. To find sign($k_0$), we simply need to find equivalent expressions at large $s$ for each factor of $W_0$. As noted in assumption (II), $H \rightarrow 0$ for $s \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $n^*(G) = 0$, so that

$$1 - G(s)H(s) \approx 0 \quad \text{for} \quad s \rightarrow \infty.$$  

The other factors of $W_0$ are terms of the form $1 + R(s)e^{-\tau s}$, where $\tau$ is a time delay. Make a Padé approximation: $e^{-\tau s} = [L/M]$, with $L = M$. Hence the high frequency gain of $[L/M]$ is $(-1)^M$. In appendix A is shown that the high frequency gain $h$ of $R(s)$ satisfies $|h| < 1$. Therefore, the high frequency gain $h(-1)^M$ of the term $1 + R(s)e^{-\tau s}$ has the same sign as 1. Finally, at high frequencies, the gain of $W_0$ is easily found to be positive when each Padé approximant $[L/M]$ satisfies $L = M$.

There is a straightforward reason why $W_0(s)$, in the open-loop transfer function $P_{ref}/V_c = W_0(s)e^{-\tau s}$, has the simple properties outlined in lemmas 1 and 2. For $\text{Real}(s) > 0$, the amplitudes of the oscillations do not decrease with time and the boundary conditions $|R_n|, |R_d| < 1$ ensure that the largest contribution to $P_{ref}$ is from the acoustic wave leaving the combustion zone, rather than the waves subsequently reflected from the boundaries. Under these circumstances, the main structure of $W_0(s)$ in equations (19) and (20) is dominated by the properties of $W_0(s)$, the other multiplying factors do not introduce unstable zeros nor affect the relative degree.

It is interesting to note that this argument remains true if the form of actuation is a loudspeaker, provided the loudspeaker is located within the combustion zone. However, this situation is more complicated when the loudspeaker is at a general axial position in the combustor. Then, since the combustion zone is an active component, it can reflect a wave of greater amplitude than the incident wave: if $R_f$ denotes the reflection coefficient at the flame, $|R_f| > 1$ is possible even in $\text{Real}(s) > 0$ [27]. Under these circumstances, $P_{ref}/V_c$ can have unstable zeros for some positions $x_{ref}$. Lemma 1 therefore is not true for general loudspeaker positions. This is consistent with the observations of Annaswamy et al [1] who calculated $P_{ref}/V_c$ for a particular idealised combustor with loudspeaker actuation. They found that no simple relationship could be derived between the locations of the sensor, actuator and flame, and the zeros stability. For instance, for the particular case of sensor and actuator collocated at the flame, their open-loop plant $P_{ref}/V_c$ had no unstable zeros. However, for the particular case of fuel forcing, the open-loop transfer function satisfies lemmas 1 and 2, properties that greatly help in the control design.

**3. Fixed regulator design**

We have shown that for fuel actuation the open-loop transfer function has a simple form: it can be written as the product of a pure time delay and a transfer function $W_0(s)$ which is rational after a Padé expansion is made: $P_{ref}/V_c(s) = e^{-\tau s}W_0(s)$. We will begin by designing a controller for the particular case

\(^2\text{A monic polynomial denotes a polynomial whose leading coefficient is unity.}\)
\(\tau_{tot} = 0\) and will then extend these ideas to the general and more practically relevant case \(\tau_{tot} \neq 0\).

### 3.1 System without time delay \((\tau_{tot} = 0)\)

It is clear from equation (18) that \(\tau_{tot} = 0\) requires that the control fuel is injected and burnt with no time delay \((\tau_a = 0)\), and that the reference pressure is measured in the combustion zone \((x_{ref} = 0)\). Our open-loop combustion process is then described by

\[
W_0(s) = \frac{P_{ref}(s)}{V_c(s)} = k_0 \frac{Z_0(s)}{R_0(s)} \quad (28)
\]

where \(k_0\) is a positive constant, and \(Z_0\) and \(R_0\) are two monic polynomials. Furthermore, \(Z_0\) and \(R_0\) are ‘coprime’ polynomials, which means that they have no common factors. From lemma 1, we also know that \(Z_0\) is a stable polynomial (it has only zeros in \(\text{Real}(s) < 0\)), whereas \(R_0(s)\) has unstable zeros since our system exhibits self-excited oscillations. Finally, if \(R_0\) has degree \(n\), \(Z_0\) has degree \(n - n^*\), where

\[
1 \leq n^* = n^*(W_0) \leq 2. \quad (29)
\]

\((n^* = n^*(W_0)\) comes from lemma 2, and most practical actuators have a relative degree of 1 or 2.

To this open-loop system, we will apply an active feedback

\[
\frac{V_c}{P_{ref}} = -K(s). \quad (30)
\]

The aim of the regulator is to stabilize the system, i.e. to make all the closed-loop poles stable. Combining (28) and (30) shows that these poles are the zeros of

\[
R_{cl}(s) = R_0(s) + K(s)k_0Z_0(s), \quad (31)
\]

The regulator transfer function \(K(s)\) is to be determined using roots locus arguments [8]:

**If \(n^*(W_0) = 1\), consider the transfer function**

\[
K_1(s) = k_c, \quad (32)
\]

where \(k_c\) is a constant. Then the closed-loop poles are the zeros of

\[
R_{cl}(s) = R_0(s) + k_0k_cZ_0(s). \quad (33)
\]

For \([k_c] ‘large’\), \(n - 1\) zeros of \(R_{cl}(s)\) will be moved towards the \(n - 1\) stable zeros of \(k_0Z_0(s)\). Investigation of the large \(|s|\) asymptotic form shows that the \(n^{th}\) zero of \(R_{cl}(s)\) is also stabilised if \(\text{sign}(k_c) = \text{sign}(k_0)\). Therefore, a finite value \(k_{c_{min}} > 0\) exists such that

\[
|k_c| > k_{c_{min}}, \quad \text{sign}(k_c) = \text{sign}(k_0) \quad (34)
\]

is a necessary and sufficient condition to stabilize our minimum phase plant of relative degree 1.

**If \(n^*(W_0) = 2\), and the regulator \(K_1(s)\) is used, then a large \([k_c]\) will guarantee that \(n - 2\) zeros of \(R_{cl}(s)\) will be moved towards the stable zeros of \(k_0Z_0(s)\). The two remaining complex conjugate roots of \(R_0(s) = 0\) will be moved towards the stable half plane \(\text{Real}(s) < 0\) only if**

\[
\text{sign}(k_c) = \text{sign}(k_0) \quad (35)
\]

As explained by Dorf & Bishop [8], equation (35)b guarantees that the asymptote centroid of the root locus is situated in the left half plane \(\text{Real}(s) < 0\). However, since (35) is not true in general, a better strategy is to use the following regulator:

\[
K_2(s) = k_c \frac{s + z_c}{s + p_c}, \quad (36)
\]

where \(p_c\) and \(z_c\) are some positive constants, \(p_c > z_c\). \(K_2(s)\) corresponds to a phase-lead compensator, which adds phase, i.e. damping, in a frequency range \([z_c, p_c]\). Then the closed-loop poles are the zeros of

\[
R_{cl}(s) = (s + p_c)R_0(s) + k_0k_c(s + z_c)Z_0(s). \quad (37)
\]

For a ‘large \(k_c\’ and an adequate choice of \(z_c\) and \(p_c\), the \(n\) zeros of \(R_{cl}(s)\) can be moved towards the left half plane. More precisely, a finite value \(k_{c_{min}} > 0\) and some positive constants \(p_c\) and \(z_c\) exist such that

\[
|k_c| > k_{c_{min}}, \quad \text{sign}(k_c) = \text{sign}(k_0), \quad \sum(\text{zeros of } R_0) - \sum(\text{zeros of } Z_0) < p_c - z_c \quad (38)
\]

is a necessary and sufficient condition to stabilize a minimum phase plant of relative degree 2 with the regulator \(K_2(s)\). Notice that \(K_2(s)\) is also guaranteed to stabilize a minimum phase plant of relative degree 1.

In the following, the compensator \(K_2(s)\) given in equation (36) will be implemented as shown in figure 2. The feedback transfer function for this system is given by

\[
\frac{V_c}{P_{ref}} = -\frac{k_2V_c}{s + z_c} - k_1P_{ref} \quad (39)
\]

\[
\frac{V_c}{P_{ref}} = -\frac{k_1(s + z_c)}{s + z_c + k_2}. \quad (40)
\]

It is clear from equations (40) and (36) that \(k_1\) represents the gain \(k_c\) and \(k_2\) determines the phase lag \(p_c\) in \(K_2(s)\).

![Figure 2: Fixed low-order controller structure for \(\tau_{tot} = 0\), \(n^* \leq 2\)](image)

However, the major drawback of such a fixed regulator \(K_2(s)\) is that a cautious choice of \(p_c\) is necessary if the inequality (38)c is to be guaranteed without detailed knowledge of the plant. This in turn can mean that the gain \(k_c\) required to achieve control is large, especially to ensure stabilization under varying operating conditions, i.e. under uncertainties in the unstable frequencies \(\omega_n\). A large \(k_c\) means a large control effort, which is to be avoided. Therefore, to improve the response of our regulator \(K_2(s)\) under varying operating conditions, one can choose a fixed \(z_c > 0\), and make the other control parameters \(k_c\) and \(p_c\) adaptive. This is the topic of section 4.

### 3.2 Combustion system with known time delay \((\tau_{tot} \neq 0)\)

Here the combustion process is described by

\[
W(s) = \frac{P_{ref}(s)}{V_c(s)} = k_0 \frac{Z_0(s)}{R_0(s)} e^{-\tau_{tot}}, \quad (41)
\]

with \(k_0\) a constant, \(Z_0\) and \(R_0\) two coprime and monic polynomials, and \(\tau_{tot}\) a known time delay. \(R_0\) has degree \(n\), and \(Z_0\)
has degree $n - n^*$, with $n^* = 1$ or 2 (lemma 2). It was shown in section 2 that $Z_0$ is a stable polynomial (lemma 1) and that $k_0$ is positive (lemma 3).

In section 3.1, low order controllers of the form (32) and (36) were used to control the combustion process. Here, the presence of the time delay $\tau_{tot}$ makes such controllers inadequate, especially when $\tau_{tot}$ is of the order of the period of the unstable mode. Control of systems in the presence of time delays has been extensively studied [30][19][15]. A popular approach to accommodate large delays is due to O.J.M. Smith [30]. The Smith Controller (SC) attempts to estimate future outputs $P_{ref}$ of the system using a known model, and provides an appropriate stabilization action. In Manitius & Olbrot [19], the SC was modified to control systems that are open-loop unstable by using finite-time integrals of inputs $V_c$ to estimate future outputs (the goal of this modification was to avoid unstable pole-zero cancellations). In Ichikawa [15], a SC controller with finite-time integrals was derived to serve as a pole-placement controller. In [24] and [16], adaptive versions of the pole-placement controller have been developed and proved to be stable.

Since our plant is open-loop unstable, we want to implement a SC using the finite-time integral suggested by Manitius & Olbrot [19] and used in Ichikawa [15], and which is given by

$$V_{SC}(t) = \int_{-\tau_{tot}}^{0} \lambda(\sigma)V_c(t + \sigma) d\sigma,$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where $\lambda(\sigma)$ is a weighting function (it is shown in section 4.2 how discrete values of $\lambda(\cdot)$ can be found by adaptation).

Briefly, the controller used in [15] and shown in figure 3 has the following form:

$$V_c(t) = \frac{C(s)}{E(s)}[V_c(t - \tau_{tot})] - \frac{D(s)}{E(s)}[P_{ref}(t)] + \int_{-\tau_{tot}}^{0} \lambda(\sigma)V_c(t + \sigma) d\sigma$$ \hspace{1cm} (43)

where the operators $C(s)$, $D(s)$ and $E(s)$ are chosen such that the denominator of the closed-loop transfer function matches a chosen stable polynomial. This can be achieved only if $\text{degree}(E) = n$, $\text{degree}(C) = n - 2$ and $\text{degree}(D) = n - 1$. This means that even for a plant of low relative degree ($n^* = 1$ or 2), if the order $n$ is large, then the controller dynamics $C/E$ and $D/E$ will be of high order. This differs from the delay-free case described in section 3.1, for which a first order compensator could stabilize the plant of relative degree 2 or 1.

![Figure 3: A n°th order controller structure for $\tau_{tot} \neq 0$, given in Ichikawa’s paper [15]](image)

However, our aim is to keep a low order compensator to control the plant as it was done in section 3.1. Therefore, we suggest the controller structure given in figure 4. We begin as in [15] by choosing $\lambda(\sigma)$, the weighting function in the Smith Controller, to have the form:

$$\lambda(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i e^{-\beta_i \sigma},$$ \hspace{1cm} (44)

where $\beta_i$ are chosen to be the $n$ zeros of the polynomial $R_0(s)$, whereas (at this stage) the $\alpha_i$ are arbitrary coefficients. Then, after substitution into (42) and evaluation of the integral, we obtain

$$V_{SC}(t) = \left( \frac{n_1(s)}{R_0(s)} - \frac{n_2(s)}{R_0(s)} e^{-\tau_{tot} \sigma} \right) [V_c(t)],$$ \hspace{1cm} (45)

where

$$n_1(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \frac{s - \beta_i}{s + \beta_i}$$
$$n_2(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \beta_i s e^{\beta_i \tau_{tot}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (46)

We prove in the following that the low order controller associated with the SC (see figure 4) will stabilize our minimum phase plant of relative degree 1 or 2 for a small $\tau_{tot}$. With the controller structure described in figure 4, the closed-loop transfer function is given by

$$W_{cl}(s) = \frac{k_0(s + z_c)Z_0(s)e^{-\tau_{tot}}}{R_0(s)} = W_{cl}(s)e^{-\tau_{tot}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (47)

where the closed-loop poles are the zeros of

$$R_{cl}(s) = A(s) + B(s)e^{-\tau_{tot}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (48)

with

$$A(s) = (s + z_c)(R_0(s) - n_1(s)) + k_2 R_0(s)$$
$$B(s) = (s + z_c)(n_2(s) + k_1 k_0 Z_0(s)).$$ \hspace{1cm} (49)

![Figure 4: A fixed low-order controller structure for $\tau_{tot} \neq 0$, n° ≤ 2](image)

If $\tau_{tot} = 0$, then equation (46) gives that $n_1(s) = n_2(s)$. Therefore,

$$R_{cl}(s) = (s + z_c + k_2)R_0(s) + k_1 k_0 (s + z_c)Z_0(s) = A_0(s) \text{ say}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (50)

That is, the closed-loop polynomial coincides with that in equation (37), which was for the delay-free case. As shown in section 3.1, $A_0(s)$ is stable for certain choices of $k_1$ and $k_2$.

If $\tau_{tot} \neq 0$, we show that the controller structure given in figure 4 still guarantees stability. Since the polynomial $n_2(s)$ is of degree $n - 1$, its coefficients can be chosen such that

$$n_2(s) = -k_1 k_0 Z_0(s),$$ \hspace{1cm} (52)

which implies, from equation (50), that $B(s) = 0$ and therefore $R_{cl}(s) = A(s)$.

Furthermore, it is clear from equation (46) that the polynomials $n_1$ and $n_2$ of the SC are linked. More precisely, the choice of $n_2$ in (52) imposes restrictions on $n_1$: it means that the coefficients $\alpha_1$, and hence $n_1$, are proportional to $k_0 k_1$. When $\tau_{tot} = 0$, $n_2 = n_1$, we will emphasise this scaling by writing:

$$n_2(s) = n_1(s) + \tau_{tot} k_1 z_c u_3(s),$$ \hspace{1cm} (53)

where $n_2$ is a polynomial of degree $n - 1$, with finite coefficients. Therefore, using (52) and (53) in (48), the closed loop poles are the roots of

$$R_{cl}(s) = (s + z_c + k_2)R_0(s) + k_1 k_0 (s + z_c) [Z_0(s) - \tau_{tot} n_2(s)]$$ \hspace{1cm} (54)
For ‘small’ \( \tau_{tot} \), i.e. for \( \tau_{tot} \omega_u < O(1) \) where \( \omega_u \) is the highest frequency among the zeros of \( Z_0 \), the zeros of \( T(s) = Z_0(s) - \tau_{tot} R_0 \) are close to the zeros of \( Z_0 \), and hence are stable. Therefore, for \( |k_1| \) large, \( n-1 \) zeros of \( R_{cl}(s) \) are stabilised. The 2 remaining zeros of \( R_{cl}(s) \) are obtained at large \( s \), \((k_1^{-1}(s+z_c+k_2) R_0(s)) \) is not negligible compared to \((s+z_c)Z_0(s) - \tau_{tot} R_0(s)\) when \( s \geq O(k_1^{-1}) \), and this is where we find the 2 remaining roots of \( R_{cl}(s) \). After division by \( s^{n-1} \) of the 3 highest coefficients of \( R_{cl}(s) \), we obtain

\[
s^2 + (k_2 - k_1 k_0 \tau_{tot} C) s + (k_1 k_0 - k_1 k_0 \tau_{tot} C z_c)
\]

where \( C \) is the highest coefficient in \( \tau_{tot} \). The 2 remaining roots of \( R_{cl}(s) \) are stable if the polynomial (55) is stable, i.e. if

\[
\begin{align*}
k_2 - k_1 k_0 \tau_{tot} C &> 0 \\
k_1 k_0 (1 - \tau_{tot} C z_c) &> 0.
\end{align*}
\]

In the range of values of \( \tau_{tot} \) considered (i.e. \( \tau_{tot} \omega_u < O(1) \)), one easily checks that \( C \sim +1 \) and that (56) is satisfied for some \( k_1 > 0 \) and \( k_2 > 0 \). Therefore, we proved that for ‘small \( \tau_{tot} \), all the closed-loop poles, i.e. the roots of \( R_{cl}(s) \), are stabilised for some \( k_1 > 0 \) and \( k_2 > 0 \). In other words, a plant of relative degree \( n \leq 2 \) with a time delay \( \tau_{tot} \) not too large is stabilised by the controller structure given in figure 4, and the controller equation is given by:

\[
V_c(t) = -k_1 P_{ref}(t) - \frac{k_2}{s + z_c} [V_c(t)] + \int_{-\tau_{tot}}^0 \lambda(\sigma) V_c(t + \sigma) d\sigma.
\]

In practice, the constraint on the size of \( \tau_{tot} \) is not so strong: simulation results for a nonlinear model of an infinite order plant described in section 5, show that control is obtained with \( k_1 > 0 \) and \( k_2 > 0 \) for \( \tau_{tot} \leq \frac{1}{10} \omega_u \) where \( \omega_u \) is the main unstable mode. Furthermore, for higher values of \( \tau_{tot} \), up to \( \tau_{tot} \sim 3 \) cycles of oscillations, we observe some periodic stability bands according to the values of \( \omega_u \tau_{tot} \) (figure 5b): a ‘stability band’ corresponds to values of \( \omega_u \tau_{tot} \) for which control is obtained after a finite time called settling time. Between two consecutive ‘stability bands’, there are a few values of \( \omega_u \tau_{tot} \) for which control is not obtained (the settling time is then infinite). It was also observed that the sign of the first order compensator gain \( k_1 \) achieved control changes between two consecutive stability bands (see figure 5a). These observations on \( \text{sign}(k_1) \) on the stability bands pattern can be interpreted as follows: for frequencies smaller or equal to \( \omega_u \), the open-loop transfer function can be approximated by a second order system:

\[
k_0 \frac{1}{(s - \omega_c)^2 + \omega_d^2}
\]

where \( k_0 = k_0(\sigma_c^2 + \omega_c^2) \), \( \text{constant of coefficient of } Z_0 \) is a positive gain. It is shown in appendix C that a plant of order 2, whose transfer function is given by (58), is stable for any delay \( \tau_{tot} \) which satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
k_1 \sin(\omega_u \tau_{tot}) &< 0 \\
1/\tan(\omega_u \tau_{tot}) - z_c &< \omega_u \omega_u &< 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, such a plant is characterized by a pattern of periodic stability bands according to the values of \( \omega_u \tau_{tot} \), as shown in figure 5a. We see also from (59) that the sign of \( k_1 \) required for control satisfies

\[
\text{sign}(k_1) = -\text{sign}(\sin(\omega_u \tau_{tot})),
\]

which corresponds to observations from the simulation (see figure 5a). In a practical combustor, the time delay \( \tau_{tot} \) would usually not exceed 3 cycles of oscillations; therefore, our controller appears very adequate for control of combustion oscillations.\footnote{\text{The zeros of } R_0 \text{ are complex conjugates, hence the constant coefficient of } R_0 \text{ is positive. } Z_0 \text{ is monic and stable, therefore its constant coefficient is also positive.}}

4. Adaptive regulator design

4.1 System without time delay (\( \tau_{tot} = 0 \))

It was shown in section 3.1 that a first order regulator \( K_2(s) = k_2(s + z_c)/(s + p_c) \) will stabilize our combustion process which is minimum phase and of relative degree less or equal to 2. The adaptive version of this regulator, called Self-Tuning Regulator (STR), is given in figure 6.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{P_{ref}(s)}{i(s)} &= W_{cl}(s) = \frac{k_0(s + z_c)Z_0(s)}{R_{cl}(s)},
\end{align*}
\]

and the closed-loop poles are the roots of

\[
R_{cl}(s) = (s + z_c + k_2) R_0(s) + k_1 k_0(s + z_c) Z_0(s),
\]

where \( z_c > 0 \) is fixed, and two controller parameters, \( k_1(t) \) and \( k_2(t) \), are tuned. It is clear from equations (62) and (37) that \( k_1 \) represents the gain \( k_1 \) of the fixed regulator \( K_2(s) \), while \( k_2 \) is used to tune \( p_c \). In the following, vectors are denoted in bold characters and \( ^T \) denotes the transpose of a vector. We introduce:

- the unknown controller parameter vector \( k(t)^T = [-k_1(t), -k_2(t)] \), and the error parameter vector \( \hat{k} = k - k^* \), where * denotes a value for which closed-loop stability is achieved.
the data vector \( d(t)^T = [P_{ref}(t), V(t)] \), where \( V(t) = \frac{1}{s + \varepsilon_c} [V_c(t)] \) and \( \varepsilon_c \) is a positive constant. In an experiment, \( d \) can be determined at each \( t \) from the measurement \( P_{ref} \) and known \( V_c \).

We now need to find an updating rule for \( k \), so that stabilisation can be accomplished for any parameters in \( W_0(s) \).

**Case (i)**: \( n^*(W_0) = 1 \). This corresponds to a case for which Narendra & Annaswamy [21] have developed a STR. However, we will repeat the main points of their argument because they provide the background to our novel STR for the case with time delay in section 4.2. When \( n^*(W_0) = 1 \), the closed-loop transfer function \( W_{cl} \) given in (61) has then a relative degree equal to 1 and is 'Strictly Positive Real' (SPR), which is the essential property required to develop a global stability analysis based on Lyapunov's direct method [21]. Such a method aims at finding adaptive laws for \( k \) which are guaranteed to stabilize the self-excited combustion process. Essentially, the strictly positive realness of \( W_{cl} \) means that it is possible to find a quadratic positive function \( V \), that decays in time when \( k \) is updated correctly. Such a function \( V \) is referred to as a 'Lyapunov function', and can be viewed as an energy function: if this function decreases, it implies that the system is stabilised. To summarize Narendra & Annaswamy’s results [21], when \( W_0 \) has a relative degree equal to 1, the STR which guarantees the stability of the system is described by:

\[
V_c(t) = k^T(t).d(t) \\
\dot{k}(t) = -\text{sign}(k_0) P_{ref}(t)d(t),
\]

where \( \text{sign}(k_0) = +1 \) from Lemma 3. For reference, equation (63) comes from the application of lemma 5.1 in Narendra & Annaswamy [21], noting that

\[
P_{ref}(t) = W_{cl}(s)[\dot{k}^T(t).d(t)].
\]  

**Case (ii)**: \( n^*(W_0) = 2 \). In this case \( W_{cl} \) is not SPR, but the approach of Annaswamy et al [2] shows that modifying the control signal \( V_c \) as indicated in figure 7 effectively makes the closed-loop transfer function \( W_{cl} \) have relative degree 1. Following their approach, we write

\[
V_c(t) = (s + \alpha)[k^T(t).d(t)]
\]

where \( d_a(t) = \frac{1}{s + \varepsilon_c}[d(t)] \). After some simple algebra, \( V_c \) can be written as

\[
V_c(t) = k^T(t).d(t) + \dot{k}(t).d_a(t).
\]

Now, we have

\[
P_{ref}(t) = W_{cl}(s)[s + \alpha][\dot{k}^T(t).d_a(t)]
\]

\[
= W_{ma}(s)[\dot{k}^T(t).d_a(t)],
\]

where \( W_{ma}(s) = (s + \alpha)W_{cl}(s) \) is the new closed-loop transfer function of our system, which has relative degree 2 and is SPR. Noting that equation (67) looks similar to equation (64), lemma 5.1 [21] can be applied: the STR which will guarantee the stability of the system of relative degree 2 is given by:

\[
V_c(t) = k^T(t).d(t) + \dot{k}(t)^T.d_a(t) \\
\dot{k}(t) = -\text{sign}(k_0) P_{ref}(t)d_a(t),
\]

where \( \text{sign}(k_0) = +1 \) from Lemma 3.

An important remark is that the STR described for the case \( n^*(W_0) = 2 \) will also work if \( n^*(W_0) = 1 \), which means that even if the actuator dynamics are not very well known in practice, the STR design given in equation (68) will always give satisfactory results.

4.2 Combustion system with known time delay \( (\tau_{tot} \neq 0) \)

The controller structure given in figure 4 includes fixed controller parameters \( k_1, k_2, \alpha, \) and \( \beta \) which need to be chosen based on the system parameters. Under uncertainties and variations in the operating conditions, it is more appropriate to adapt those control parameters. Hence, we choose an adaptive controller structure as shown in figure 8.

![Figure 8: A low-order adaptive controller for \( \tau_{tot} \neq 0, n^* \leq 2 \)](image-url)

In the control law given in equation (57), the finite-time integral due to Smith Controller and given in equation (42) is approximated as follows:

\[
V_{SC}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i(t)V_c(t - idt).
\]

Similar to the delay-free case, we define the controller parameters and data vectors \( k \) and \( d \) respectively:

\[
\bullet \quad k(t) = [-k_1(t), -k_2(t), \lambda_1(t), \ldots, \lambda_l(t)], \text{ and its error vector}
\]

\[
\dot{k}(t) = [P_{ref}(t), V(t), V_c(t - Ndt), \ldots, V_c(t - dt)],
\]

where \( V(t) = \frac{1}{s + \varepsilon_c} [V_c(t)] \).

Therefore, as the time delay \( \tau_{tot} \) is increased, \( N \) must be increased and more controller parameters are required. We are looking for an updating rule for \( k \).

**General case**: \( n^*(W_0) \leq 2 \). As in section 4.1, the closed loop transfer function \( W_{cl}(s) \) is made to effectively have relative degree 1 by using a modified control signal \( V_c \) (see figure 7). Then we obtain:

\[
P_{ref}(s) = W_{cl}(s)[s + \alpha]e^{-\tau_{tot}}[\dot{k}^T(t).d_a(t)],
\]

where \( d_a(t) = \frac{1}{s + \varepsilon_c}[d(t)] \). Due to the presence of the time delay \( e^{-\tau_{tot}} \), the Lyapunov function \( V_c \) used in the delay-free case will not decay in time. So we need to add an extra positive term in \( V_c \), in the form of a double integral \( \int_0^t \int_{-\tau_{tot} + \tau} \dot{k}(t)^2d\nu \), to account for the time delay \( \tau_{tot} \). Then we have \( V_c \leq 0 \), and hence a system whose energy is decaying in time (see details of proof in Appendix D). Therefore, with the Lyapunov function given in equation (98), the stability of the system is guaranteed when one uses the following STR:

\[
V_c(t) = k^T(t).d(t) + \dot{k}(t)^T.d_a(t) \\
\dot{k}(t) = -\text{sign}(k_0) P_{ref}(t)d_a(t - \tau_{tot}),
\]

where \( \text{sign}(k_0) = +1 \).
Particular case: \( n^*(W_0) = 1 \). Then the manipulation on \( V_c \) shown in figure 7 is not required, and therefore the following simpler algorithm can be implemented:

\[
V_c(t) = k^T(t) d(t)
\]

\[
k(t) = -\text{sign}(k_0) P_{seff}(t) d(t - \tau_0),
\]

where \( \text{sign}(k_0) = +1 \).

5. Application to a premixed ducted flame (simulation)

5.1 Nonlinear flame model used in the simulation

A model for nonlinear oscillations of a ducted flame developed by Dowling [10] will be used to verify the controller performance. The theory involves extension of the flame model of Fleisch et al [13] to include a flame holder at the centre of the duct and nonlinear effects. Due to page limitations, the reader is asked to refer to [10] for details.

5.2 Adaptive regulator design

The flame model described in [10] fits in the class of combustion systems given in section 2, since:

- the upstream reflection coefficient has a modulus strictly smaller than 1 (choked end: \( R_u = (1 - M_1)/(1 + M_1) \), in agreement with equation 3. Notice that the downstream reflection coefficient has modulus just equal to 1 (ideal open end: \( R_d = -1 \)). However, with care the condition \( |R_d(s)| < 1 \) in equation 3 can be relaxed to \( |R_d(s)| \leq 1 \) provided an upstream pressure measurement is made. This is because in the transfer function \( G(s) \) given in (7), \( |X_{12}| > |Y_{12}| \) and \( |X_{22}| > |Y_{22}| \).
- after linearisation for small perturbations, the flame transfer function is given by (see [10]):

\[
H(s) = \frac{Q_s(s)}{u_Q(s)} = \frac{2n\Delta \omega e^{-2\pi r_f}}{sr_f^2(b+a)} \left(\frac{-b-a}{sr_f} + \frac{b-a}{sr_f} e^{-2\pi r_f}\right)
\]

Therefore, \( H \to 0 \) as \( s \to \infty \), and \( H(s) \) has no poles: hence assumptions (I) and (II) are satisfied.

Hence, section 2 proves that, once the system is approximated as finite dimensional, the relative degree of the open-loop transfer function \( W_0 \) is equal to the relative degree of the actuator transfer function. As in Evesque et al [11], the actuator chosen is a fuel injection system modelled as follows:

\[
Q_s(s) = \frac{e^{-2\pi r_s}}{s^2/\omega_c^2 + 2cs/\omega_c + 1}
\]

where \( \omega_c \) and \( c \) are respectively the resonance frequency and damping of the fuel injector. From equation (74), we deduce that the relative degree of \( W_0 \) is 2. Section 2 shows also that the ‘high frequency gain’ \( k_0 \) is positive and that the zeros of \( W_0 \) are stable.

Two cases are studied in the simulation:

- a system without time delay (\( \tau_{tot} = 0 \)). This is achieved by making the pressure measurement at the flame (ie \( x_{ref} = 0 \)) and by setting the time delay \( \tau_0 \) to zero in equation (74). Then the STR is implemented as indicated in equation (68), with \( \text{sign}(k_0) = +1 \).
- a system with time delay (\( \tau_{tot} \neq 0 \)). The pressure measurement is chosen for instance at \( x_{ref} = -x_u/2 \) and the delay \( \tau_0 \) can be varied. Then the adequate STR to control the self-excited oscillations is given in equation (71), with \( \text{sign}(k_0) = +1 \).

For both cases, a convergence coefficient \( \mu \) is added in the adaptive law for each controller parameter. The controller parameter vector \( k \) is initialized to zero. Simulations results are given in the next section.

5.3 Simulation results

**System without time delay (\( \tau_{tot} = 0 \)).** Figure 9 shows the time evolution of the pressure measurement \( P_{seff} \) and the corresponding control signal \( V_c \) for varying operating conditions. The control is switched on at \( t = 0.15 \) s, when the limit cycles are already established (operating conditions: \( \phi = 0.7, M_1 = 0.08 \)). The oscillations tend to zero within 1 s; note that the settling time depends on the convergence coefficient \( \mu \) used. Then from \( t = 1.95 \) s to \( t = 2.1 \) s, \( M_1 \) is increased to 0.095: in the open-loop system such a change shifts the frequency of the unstable mode from 58 to 63 Hz. Nevertheless, the STR is able to maintain control. Changes in the fuel air ratio \( \phi \) have also been successfully tested. It has been observed that the STR works fine even if \( x_{ref} \) is chosen anywhere upstream the flame, which is interesting for practical applications where a pressure measurement directly at the flame may be difficult to perform.

![Figure 9: STR for \( \tau_{tot} = 0 \).](image)

**System with time delay (\( \tau_{tot} \neq 0 \)).** This is the most interesting case for practical applications. As already illustrated in section 3 (see figure 5), control is obtained for values of \( \tau_{tot} \) much larger than those predicted by the theory: control is achieved periodically up to \( \tau_{tot} \approx 3 \) cycles of oscillations (ie up to \( \omega_0\tau_{tot} \approx 6\pi \) where \( \omega_0 \) is the unstable mode). The settling time varies periodically: control is easier in the middle of a stability band, and becomes more difficult on the boundaries of a stability bands. As in the delay free case (\( \tau_{tot} = 0 \)), the actual value of the settling time depends on the convergence coefficient \( \mu \) chosen. A typical time evolution of the pressure oscillations while control is applied is shown in figure 10. There, in order to test the adaptability of our STR under varying operating conditions, the mean upstream Mach number \( M_1 \) is increased from 0.08 to 0.095 between \( t = 1.95 \) s and \( t = 2.1 \) s: the STR successfully maintains \( P_{seff} \) at zero.

6. Conclusions

A general combustion system, susceptible to combustion instabilities, and satisfying the following assumptions, was considered:

1. the pressure reflection coefficients at the boundaries of the combustor have a modulus strictly smaller than 1 in \( \text{Real}(s) \geq 0 \).
2. the flame response has a limited bandwidth: at high frequencies, the flame does not follow incoming velocity fluctuations.
3. the flame is stable in itself, it becomes unstable only due to the interaction with the acoustic waves in the combustor.
4. the actuator used for control is a fuel injector which produces a heat release rate $Q_c$ related to the voltage $V_c$ driving the actuator simply by a time delay and a first or second order differential equation.

We demonstrated that such a combustion system is essentially represented by an open-loop transfer function

$$ W(s) = \frac{P_{ref}(s)}{V_c(s)} = k_0 \frac{Z_0(s)}{R_0(s)} e^{-s \tau_{tot}} $$

where $P_{ref}$ is a pressure measurement in the combustor and $V_c$ is the voltage driving the fuel injector used as control actuation. Some general properties have been derived:

(i) the zeros of $Z_0(s)$ are all stable (ie in Real$(s) < 0$)

(ii) Once $Z_0(s)/R_0(s)$ has been made rational using a Padé expansion, the relative degree of $Z_0(s)/R_0(s)$ is equal to the relative degree of the actuator transfer function, ie 2 for a fuel injector.

(iii) $k_0$ is a positive gain.

These properties have been exploited to design an adaptive controller guaranteed to stabilise the self-excited combustion system. In particular, for the case of a combustion system with time delay ($\tau_{tot} \neq 0$), which is the most realistic case, the design is completely novel: it involves a first order compensator combined with a Smith Controller. The adaptive laws for the controller parameters are derived based on a Lyapunov stability analysis. The adaptive controller has been tested successfully on a simulation of a premixed ducted flame. An experimental verification is planned over the next months.
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APPENDIX

Appendix A. Consider linear disturbances with time dependence $e^{st}$ in the pipework system upstream the combustion zone, as shown in figure 11. The Mach number considered is so low that we assume there is no mean flow in the pipework system. The pressure reflection coefficient at an axial position $x_i$ is $R_{u_i}(s)$. The cross-sectional area of the duct $i$ between $x = x_i$ and $x = x_{i+1}$ is $A_i$. The mean speed of sound is $c$ and the mean density is $\rho$. At $x_0$, we assume that the pressure reflection coefficient $R_{u_0}(s)$ satisfies

$$ |R_{u_0}(s)| < 1 \quad \text{in Real}(s) \geq 0 $$

$$ n^*(R_{u_0}(s)) = 0. \quad (75) $$

These assumptions are true for a choked end at $x = x_0$ for instance. In the following, we show by induction that for all $i$, $|R_{u_i}(s)| < 1$ in Real$(s) \geq 0$, and $n^*(R_{u_i}(s)) = 0$.

At $x = x_i$, the reflected wave $f_i$ is related to the incoming wave $g_i$:

$$ f_i(t) = e^{-2\pi i x_{i+1} - \pi i x_i c t} R_{u_i}(s)|g_i(t)|. \quad (76) $$

Writing the continuity of pressure and mass flux across $x = x_{i+1}$, and using the boundary condition (76) leads to the following relationship between the reflected wave $f_{i+1}$ and the incoming wave $g_{i+1}$ in the duct $i + 1$:

$$ f_{i+1}(t) = e^{-2\pi i x_{i+1} - \pi i x_i c t} R_{u_{i+1}}(s) |g_{i+1}(t)|, \quad (77) $$

where

$$ R_{u_{i+1}}(s) = \frac{K_i + R_{u_i}(s)e^{-s t_i}}{1 + K_i R_{u_i}(s)e^{-s t_i}} \quad (78) $$

$$ K_i = \frac{A_{i+1} - A_i}{A_{i+1} + A_i}, \quad (79) $$

$$ r_i = -2(x_{i+1} - x_i)/c. \quad (80) $$

By inspection $|K_i| < 1$. Furthermore, from (78), one deduces that $n^*(R_{u_i}(s)) = 0$ implies that $n^*(R_{u_{i+1}}(s)) = 0$ after a Padé expansion $[M/M]$ for $e^{-s t_i}$ is made. Let us write $R_{u_i}$ in the form

$$ |R_{u_{i+1}}(s)| = |R_{u_i}(s)|e^{-2\pi c t_r} \quad \text{in equation (78).} \quad \text{Then one easily obtains} \quad (81) $$

$$ |R_{u_{i+1}}(s)|^2 = K_i^2 + |R_{u_i}(s)|^2 e^{-2\pi c t_r} + 2 K_i R_{u_i}(s) e^{-\pi c t_r \cos(\theta)} \frac{1 + K_i^2 |R_{u_i}(s)|^2 e^{-2\pi c t_r} + 2 K_i R_{u_i}(s) e^{-\pi c t_r \cos(\theta)}}{1 + K_i^2 |R_{u_i}(s)|^2 e^{-2\pi c t_r} + 2 K_i R_{u_i}(s) e^{-\pi c t_r \cos(\theta)}} \quad (82) $$

where $\theta_i = \text{Imag}(s) r_i + a_i$ and $a_i = \text{Real}(s) t_r$.

The difference $P$ between the numerator and the denominator of $|R_{u_{i+1}}(s)|^2$ is equal to

$$ P = (1 - K_i^2 |R_{u_i}(s)|^2 e^{-2\pi c t_r}) - 1 \quad (83) $$

Therefore, in Real$(s) \geq 0$, $|R_{u_i}(s)| < 1$ implies that $P < 0$ and hence $|R_{u_{i+1}}| < 0$.

Now we assume that at $x = x_0$, the high frequency gain $h_0$ of $R_{u_0}(s)$ satisfies

$$ |h_0| < 1. \quad (84) $$

(this is true for a choked end). We show by induction that for all $i$, the high frequency gain $h_i$ of $R_{u_i}(s)$ satisfies $|h_i| < 1$.

From (78), after a Padé expansion $[M/M]$ for $e^{-s t_i}$ is made, one deduces that

$$ h_{i+1} = \frac{K_i + h_i (-1)^M}{1 + K_i h_i (-1)^M} \quad (85) $$

and hence

$$ |h_{i+1}^2| = \frac{K_i^2 + h_i^2 - 2 (-1)^M K_i h_i}{1 + 2 K_i h_i (-1)^M + K_i^2 h_i^2}. \quad (86) $$

The difference $Q$ between the numerator and the denominator of $h_{i+1}$ is.
\( Q = (1 - K^2)(h^2 - 1). \)  
(86)

Hence, \(|h_i| < 1\) implies that \( Q < 0\) and therefore \(|h_{i+1}| < 1\).

Similarly, for a pipework system downstream the flame, ended Appendix D. We start from equation (70):

\[
P_{ref}(t) = W_m(s)e^{-\tau_{tot}}[\tilde{k}^T(t)\mathbf{d}_a(t)],
\]
(93)
where \( W_m(s) = W_{ch}(s)(s + a) \) is SPR and \( \mathbf{d}_a(t) = \frac{1}{s+a} \mathbf{d}(t) \).

The adaptive law is chosen as

\[
\dot{k}(t) = -P_{ref}(t)\mathbf{d}(t - \tau_{tot})
\]
(94)
(a positive sign for \( k_0 \) is assumed).

Equation (93) can be expressed in a state-variable representation as

\[
\dot{x} = Ax(t) + (s + a) \left[ \mathbf{b}(\tilde{k}^T(t)\mathbf{d}_a(t - \tau_{tot})) \right]
\]

\[
P_{ref}(t) = \mathbf{h}^T(t)\mathbf{x}(t).
\]
(95)

\( x \) is the ‘state vector’ of the system and \( A \) is a matrix. \( \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{h} \)

is the ‘state representation’ of \( W_0 \).

We note that equation (95) can be rewritten as

\[
\dot{x}(t) = Ax + (s + a) \left[ \mathbf{b} \left( \tilde{k}^T(t)\mathbf{d}_a(t - \tau_{tot}) \right) \right]
\]

\[
- (s + a) \left[ \mathbf{b} \mathbf{d}_a^T(t - \tau_{tot}) \left( \int_{-\tau_{tot}}^{0} \tilde{k}(t + \nu) d\nu \right) \right].
\]
(96)

Using equation (94), this leads to

\[
\dot{x}(t) = Ax + (s + a) \left[ \mathbf{b} \left( \tilde{k}^T(t)\mathbf{d}_a(t - \tau_{tot}) \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ (s + a) \left[ \mathbf{b} \mathbf{d}_a^T(t - \tau_{tot}) \left( \int_{-\tau_{tot}}^{0} P_{ref}(t + \nu)\mathbf{d}_a(t + \nu - \tau_{tot}) d\nu \right) \right].
\]
(97)

As in Burton [7] and Niculescu [23] [22], the Lyapunov function candidate is chosen as

\[
V_1 = x^T(t)P(x(t) + \tilde{k}^T(t)\tilde{k} + \int_{-\tau_{tot}}^{t} |\tilde{k}(\xi)|^2 d\xi).
\]
(98)

Using equations (94) and (97), equation (98) leads to the time-derivative

\[
\dot{V}_1 = x^T(t)(A^T P + P^T A)x + 2x^T(t)P(s + a) \left[ \mathbf{b} \left( \tilde{k}^T(t)\mathbf{d}_a(t - \tau_{tot}) \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ 2x^T(t)P(s + a) \left[ \mathbf{b} \mathbf{d}_a(t - \tau_{tot}) \left( \int_{-\tau_{tot}}^{0} P_{ref}(t + \nu)\mathbf{d}_a(t + \nu - \tau_{tot}) d\nu \right) \right]
\]

\[
- 2P_{ref}(t)\tilde{k}(t)\mathbf{d}(t - \tau_{tot}) \cdot d \left[ \int_{-\tau_{tot}}^{t} \left( P_{ref}(\xi) \right)^T \mathbf{d}_a(\xi - \tau_{tot}) \right]^2 d\xi.
\]
(99)

Since \( W_m(s) \) is SPR, lemma 2.4 [21] can be used: given a matrix \( Q \) symmetric strictly positive, there exists a matrix \( P \) symmetric strictly positive, such that

\[
\text{Figure 13:} \quad \text{sign}(k_1) \ and \ values \ of \ \omega_u\tau_{tot} \ for \ which \ a \ plant \ of \ order \ 2 \ is \ guaranteed \ to \ be \ stabilised \ by \ the \ fixed \ controller \ described \ in \ figure \ 4
\]
\[ A^T P + P^T A = -Q, \]
\[ P(s + a)b = h, \]  
(100)

which leads to
\[
\dot{V} = -x^T Q x + \int_{-\tau_{tot}}^{0} (2y^T z + y^T y - z^T x) \, du
\]
\[ = -x^T Q x - \int_{-\tau_{tot}}^{0} (8y^T y) \, du
\]
\[ \leq -\tau_{tot} x^T Q x + 2\tau_{tot} ||P_{ref}(t)da(t - \tau_{tot})||^2
\]
\[ \leq -P_{ref}^T (k - 2\tau_{tot}) ||da(t - \tau_{tot})||^2
\]
\[ \leq 0 \text{ for } ||da|| \leq k/2\tau_{tot}. \]  
(103)

Therefore, the stability of the delayed plant under control is guaranteed for all \( \tau_{tot} \). A domain of attraction results, given by \( ||P_{ref}|| \leq k/2\tau_{tot} \); this domain reaches \( \mathbb{R}^n \) as \( \tau_{tot} \to 0 \).

---
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Question (M. Mettenleiter)
In order to guarantee the correct update of the algorithm you use a Lyapunov function. How does this function depend on the model of your combustion system?

Reply
The Lyapunov function is not model-based at all. Its existence relies only on the four assumptions made on our system (see paper), but no other information on the system is required in the Lyapunov stability analysis.
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