UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
ADB812161

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO
Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM
Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; Dec 98. Other requests shall be referred through Defense Technical Info. Center, DTIC-BRR, 8725 John J. Kingman Rd., Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218.

AUTHORITY

To A/1 From E/4, per Hq AFMC/PAX, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433 via ltr. dtd February 24, 1999.
The U.S. Government is absolved from any litigation which may ensue from any infringement on domestic or foreign patent rights which may be involved.
Stress Analysis of XB-36 Test Nacelle and Installation

Alexander, M. M.
Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corp., Ft. Worth Div., Texas
USAF Project MX-140 Contr. No. W535-AC-22352

stress analysis is made of the engine stub wing of the XB-36 bomber. The report is subdivided into analyses of the engine mount and of the wing structure. The mount is a welded Chrome-Moly tubular frame work which carries the loads from the engine and accessories to the main wing fittings. These loads are then carried through welded steel fittings to two wing bulkheads which distribute the load to the wing structure. The basic wing structure consists essentially of a front and rear spar, and two chord trusses separated by truss type bulkheads at each station point. The construction is of welded structural steel. The leading and trailing edge air loads are carried to the interspar bulkheads by means of wooden ribs which support wooden longitudinal stringers. The entire wing is covered with plywood, which in turn is covered with galvanized steel sheet to obtain smoothness of airflow.

Copies of this report obtainable from CADO

Structures (7) B-36 - Stress analysis (14884.605); XB-Stress Analysis of Specific Aircraft (6) 36 (09409); Nacelles, Engine - Stress analysis (66070)

USAF C.N. W535-AC-22352
Model XB-36 Report # FZS-36-106

STRESS ANALYSTS

OF

XB-36 TEST NACELLE AND INSTALLATION

SEPTEMBER 2, 1943

CONSOLIDATED VULTEE Aircraft CORPORATION

FORT WORTH DIVISION FORT WORTH, TEXAS

COPY NO. _______ ASSIGNED TO. ________
STRESS ANALYSIS

OF

XB-36 TEST NACELLE AND INSTALLATION

SEPTEMBER 9, 1943
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notations Used in Report</td>
<td>1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>1C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation of Allowable Stresses</td>
<td>1D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Engine Mount</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Conditions and General Data for Stub Wing</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition I - Air Loads and Distribution</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition II - Air Loads and Distribution</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shears &amp; Bending Moments Due to Air Loads Alone</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of Dead Weight</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Air and Inertia Shears and Bending Moments on Spars</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Spars</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Engine Mount Wing Fittings</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Engine Mount Support Bulkheads</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End Plate Bolts and Fittings</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Air Load Rib and Bulkhead</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of Chord Trusses</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STRESS ANALYSIS OF XB-36 TEST NACELLE & INSTALLATION

NOTATIONS USED IN REPORT

A - Cross sectional area in square inches
P - Load in pounds
Pc - Applied compressive load in pounds
Pt - Applied tensile load in pounds
Pc - Allowable compressive load in pounds
Pt - Allowable tensile load in pounds
fs - Applied shear unit stress in pounds per square inch
fc - Applied compressive unit stress in pounds per square inch
ft - Applied tensile unit stress in pounds per square inch
fb - Applied bending unit stress in pounds per square inch
Fs - Allowable shear unit stress in pounds per square inch
Fc - Allowable compressive unit stress in pounds per square inch
Ft - Allowable tensile unit stress in pounds per square inch
Fb - Bending modulus of rupture
M - Statical moment
t - Thickness of plate (in weld equations, thickness of thinnest metal joined by weld) in inches
L - Length of weld in shear in inches
Pw - Allowable weld shear load in pounds
Pw - Applied weld shear load in pounds
Ps - Allowable bolt shear load in pounds
S - Total shear in pounds
CONSOLIDATED VULTEE AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

FORT WORTH DIVISION • FORT WORTH, TEXAS

MODEL: XB-36 AIRPLANE
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STRESS ANALYSIS OF XB-36 TEST NACELLE & INSTALLATION

NOTATIONS USED IN REPORT (CONT.)

S_{allow} - Total allowable shear in pounds

\( x, y \) - Distance from neutral axis to reference line in calculation of section properties

\( y_1 \) - Distance from neutral axis of a section to neutral axis of total section

\( L_c \) - Column length in inches

\( \rho \) - Radius of gyration of section

\( I_c \) - Moment of inertia of a component of a section about its own neutral axis

\( I_{c.g.} \) - Moment of inertia of the total section about its neutral axis

M.S. - Margin of safety based on ultimate loads and ultimate stresses
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INTRODUCTION

The stress analysis of the XB-36 Engine Stub wing is made in accordance with A.A.F. Specification 40440, Section E-2. The report consists of the analysis of the engine mount and the wing structure.

The mount is a welded Chrome-Moly tubular space frame work which carries the loads from the engine and accessories to the main wing fittings. The loads are then carried through welded steel fittings to two wing bulkheads which distribute the load to the wing structure.

The basic wing structure consists essentially of a front and rear spar, and two chord trusses separated by truss type bulkheads at each station point. The construction is of welded structural steel.

The leading and trailing edge air loads are carried to the interspar bulkheads by means of plywood ribs which support wooden longitudinal stringers. The entire wing is covered with plywood, which in turn is covered with galvanized steel sheet to obtain smoothness of airflow.
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CALCULATION OF ALLOWABLE STRESSES

In the design of the engine mount, the allowable loads for Chrome-Molybdenum Steel Tubes are taken directly from the values given in A.N.C.-5. Since good welded clusters are obtained at the ends of the tubes, a fixity coefficient of 1.5 is considered to be satisfactory.

The allowable stresses for structural steel, as given in the A.I.S.C. handbook could not be used directly, since the loads applied to the structure are at ultimate, which is a deviation from standard structural steel practice.

The minimum guaranteed Ultimate Tensile Strength for Structural Steel, from the A.I.S.C. handbook is 60,000 #/\text{in}^2. This value is used throughout the design.

For design of structural steel columns, the Rankine Equation is used in a form which is somewhat different from the form generally adopted in the handbook due to the use of Ultimate Loads in the design rather than \text{lg} loads.

The general form of the Rankine Equation is

\[ F_c = \frac{S}{1 + q(L/\text{in})^2} \]

For a factor of safety = 3, \( S = 12,500 \)

For use with Ult. loads, \( q = 3 \times 12500 = 37,500 \)

The value of 1/1800 for \( q \) is the one generally adopted in steel construction.

\[ F_c = \frac{37500}{1 + 1/1800(L/\text{in})^2} \]
STRESS ANALYSIS OF XB-43 TEST NACELLE & INSTALLATION (Cont'd.)

ANALYSIS OF ENGINE MOUNT

DESIGN CONDITIONS

The primary design condition for the engine mount is a 5 g vertical load acting down from the engine. The loads from this condition are combined with those resulting from torque and thrust if they are additive. Torque and thrust loads are never subtracted from the downward vertical loads if they are relieving loads. The mount is also satisfactory for approximately 2/3 reversal or up load.

DETAIL ANALYSIS (For referenced members see fig. 1 page 2)

A conservative analysis of the mount as a space framework has been made. The vertical shear has been assumed to be carried in the vertical truss systems (i.e.: AM, AB, BD, CM, CD, BK and DG) while the overhang moment is taken by members BH, B'H', DE and D'E' and thus back to the attachment points. Conservative overlaps have been made with respect to taking the engine torque out, as couples in either the vertical or horizontal plane. The detailed work of going through this analysis is not shown but the resulting member loads and margins of safety are shown on table III, page 2. Also shown on table IV, page 3 are the various loads on the engine mount fittings which will be used later on in this report while analyzing the spars, etc.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engine Accessories</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differential</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axle</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chassis</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brakes</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steering</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel System</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical System</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windshield</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirrors</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doors</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lights</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License Plate</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine &amp; Accessory</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>47.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item1</td>
<td>Description1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item2</td>
<td>Description2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item3</td>
<td>Description3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Total column calculated as Quantity * Price.
- All units are in metric.
- Prices are in local currency.
## TABLE IV

| MOUNTING UNIT | TORQUE THEORY | V | D | S | I | U | B | C | D | G | H | L | P | Q | R |
|----------------|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1119.5 | 9265 | -392 | 6842 | 1314 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 21.4 | A | 4 | 2 | 7.5 | 1.0 |
| 2 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1164.9 | 3960 | -143 | 604.6 | 1640 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 44 | 41.7 | 4.0 | 37 | 0 | 1.0 | 6 | 6 |
| 3 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | -200 | 12350 | 0 | -165 | 6450 | 4.1 | 2.0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1178.0 | 6965 | -300 | 6450 | 1714 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 21.4 | A | 4 | 2 | 7.5 | 1.0 |
| 5 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1164.9 | 2060 | -143 | 604.6 | 1640 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 44 | 41.7 | 4.0 | 37 | 0 | 1.0 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | -200 | 12350 | 0 | -165 | 6450 | 4.1 | 2.0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**E FORCES**

| 1 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1550 | 4244 | -15 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1178.0 | 6965 | -300 | 6450 | 1714 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 21.4 | A | 4 | 2 | 7.5 | 1.0 |
| 3 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1164.9 | 2060 | -143 | 604.6 | 1640 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 44 | 41.7 | 4.0 | 37 | 0 | 1.0 | 6 | 6 |
| 4 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | -200 | 12350 | 0 | -165 | 6450 | 4.1 | 2.0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1550 | 4244 | -15 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 6 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1178.0 | 6965 | -300 | 6450 | 1714 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 21.4 | A | 4 | 2 | 7.5 | 1.0 |
| 7 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1164.9 | 2060 | -143 | 604.6 | 1640 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 44 | 41.7 | 4.0 | 37 | 0 | 1.0 | 6 | 6 |
| 8 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | -200 | 12350 | 0 | -165 | 6450 | 4.1 | 2.0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**E FORCES**

| 1 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1550 | 4244 | -15 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1178.0 | 6965 | -300 | 6450 | 1714 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 21.4 | A | 4 | 2 | 7.5 | 1.0 |
| 3 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1164.9 | 2060 | -143 | 604.6 | 1640 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 44 | 41.7 | 4.0 | 37 | 0 | 1.0 | 6 | 6 |
| 4 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | -200 | 12350 | 0 | -165 | 6450 | 4.1 | 2.0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1550 | 4244 | -15 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 6 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1178.0 | 6965 | -300 | 6450 | 1714 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 21.4 | A | 4 | 2 | 7.5 | 1.0 |
| 7 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1164.9 | 2060 | -143 | 604.6 | 1640 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 44 | 41.7 | 4.0 | 37 | 0 | 1.0 | 6 | 6 |
| 8 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | -200 | 12350 | 0 | -165 | 6450 | 4.1 | 2.0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**E FORCES**

| 1 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1550 | 4244 | -15 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1178.0 | 6965 | -300 | 6450 | 1714 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 21.4 | A | 4 | 2 | 7.5 | 1.0 |
| 3 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1164.9 | 2060 | -143 | 604.6 | 1640 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 44 | 41.7 | 4.0 | 37 | 0 | 1.0 | 6 | 6 |
| 4 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | -200 | 12350 | 0 | -165 | 6450 | 4.1 | 2.0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1550 | 4244 | -15 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 6 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1178.0 | 6965 | -300 | 6450 | 1714 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 21.4 | A | 4 | 2 | 7.5 | 1.0 |
| 7 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | 1164.9 | 2060 | -143 | 604.6 | 1640 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 44 | 41.7 | 4.0 | 37 | 0 | 1.0 | 6 | 6 |
| 8 MOUNTING FITTING AT A' | -200 | 12350 | 0 | -165 | 6450 | 4.1 | 2.0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
The wing structure is analyzed for two wind tunnel conditions. The data for $C_L$, $\alpha$, and C.P. are estimated on the basis of previous wind tunnel tests on scale models.

For a load distribution, the values of $C_N$ are assumed to be constant over the entire span.

A factor of 5 is used on the air loads, and a similar factor is used for relieving inertia effects.

**AERODYNAMIC DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition I</th>
<th>Condition II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_L = 1.0$</td>
<td>$C_L = 1.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha = 10^\circ$</td>
<td>$\alpha = 14^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V = 250$ mph.</td>
<td>$V = 150$ mph.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{D_0} = 0.012$</td>
<td>$C_{D_0} = 0.012$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.P. = 0.3406 C</td>
<td>C.P. = 0.2967 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{D_p} = 0.0347$</td>
<td>$C_{D_p} = 0.0347$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GENERAL DATA**

(For Planform and dimensions of stub wing see Fig. ___, page 10.)

**Stub Wing Area** = \(rac{(263.6 + 198.5)300}{2}\) = 69,315 sq. in.

= 481.35 sq. ft.

**Chord Equation** = \(263.6 - \frac{263.6 - 198.6}{300} x\) = 263.6 - 0.217 x

Where $x$ = distance from largest chord of stub wing

**A.R.** = \(\frac{b^2}{S}\) = \(\frac{28^2}{481.35}\) = 1.297
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DESIGN CONDITIONS AND GENERAL DATA FOR STUB WING

Overall Drag Coefficients

Condition I

\[ C_{D_1} = \frac{C_L}{(A.R.)} = 0.2455 \]

\[ C_D = C_{D_0} + C_{D_D} + C_{D_1} = 0.012 \times 0.0347 \times 0.2455 = 0.2922 \]

Condition II

\[ C_{D_1} = 0.553 \]

\[ C_D = 0.012 \times 0.0347 \times 0.553 = 0.5997 \]
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CONDITION I - AIR LOADS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

DETERMINATION OF NORMAL SPAN LOADING

\[ q = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 = 0.002558(250)^2 = 159.8 \text{#/a} \]

\[ C_N = C_L \cos \alpha + C_D \sin \alpha \]

\[ = 1 (\cos 10^\circ) + 0.2922 \sin 10^\circ = 0.985 + 0.0508 \]

\[ = 1.0358 \]

\[ N = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 C_n A = qC_n A \]

\[ = 159.8(1.0358)(481.35) = 79,900\# \]

Assuming uniform \( C_N \) on total area the loading in pounds per inch of span may be determined.

\[ \frac{N}{A} = \frac{79,900}{65315} = 1.1527 \text{#/sq. in.} \]

Span loading at largest chord of stub wing:

\[ \frac{N}{A} \times C = (1.152)(263.5) = 304 \text{#/in.} \]

Span loading at smallest chord of stub wing:

\[ \frac{N}{A} \times C = (1.152)(198.5) = 229 \text{#/in.} \]
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DETERMINATION OF CHORDWISE SPAN LOADING

\[ C = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 C_g A = q \times C_g \times A \]
\[ q = 159.8 \text{ #/ft}^2 \]
\[ C_g = C_D \cos \alpha - C_L \sin \alpha \]
\[ = 0.2922 \cos 10^\circ - 1 \sin 10^\circ = 0.288 - 0.1736 = 0.1144 \]
\[ C = 159.8(0.1144)(481.35) = 8,800 \text{#} \]
\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{C}{A} &= 8800 \div 69315 \\
&= 0.127 \text{#/sq. in.} \\
\end{align*} \]

Chordwise span loading at largest chord
\( C \times C_N = (0.127)(263.6) = 33.45 \text{#/in. of span} \)

Chordwise span loading at smallest chord
\( C \times C_N = (0.121)(198.5) = 26.2 \text{#/in. of span} \)

DETERMINATION OF SPAR LOADS

Assuming the total vertical load acting at the C.P., the load is divided between the spars inversely as their distance from the C.P.

C.P. = 0.3406 Chord
Front spar = 0.12 Chord
Rear Spar = 0.45 Chord
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DETERMINATION OF SPAR LOADS (CONT.)

LOCATION OF C.P. AND SPARS

At largest chord W/C = 304 $$/in.
W to F.S. = \frac{0.894C}{.31C} (304) = 87.6 $$/in.
W to R.S. = \frac{-2206C}{.31C} (304) = 216 $$/in.

At smallest chord W/C = 229 $$/in.
W to F.S. = \frac{0.894C}{.31C} (229) = 66 $$/in.
W to R.S. = \frac{-2206C}{.31C} (229) = 163 $$/in.

DETERMINATION OF HORIZONTAL TRUSS LOADS

Assuming the total chordwise load distributed between upper and lower trusses inversely as their distance from the chord plane at the position of the C.P.
DETERMINATION OF HORIZONTAL TRUSS LOADS (Cont.)

C.P. = .3406 (263.6) = 89.6 inches aft of L.E.
Distance from chord line to upper truss = 27 1/4 inches, and distance to lower truss = 19 1/4 inches at largest chord.

W to upper truss (largest chord) = 12 1/4 (33.45) = 13.85 #/in.

W to lower truss = 27.25 (33.45) = 19.6 #/in.

Corresponding distances at smallest chord = 18.75" and 15.5". Smallest chord of stub = 198.5 in.

W to upper truss (tip section) = 15.5 (26.2) = 11.85 #/in.

W to lower truss (tip section) = 18.75 (26.2) = 14.32 #/in.
STRESS ANALYSIS OF XB-36 TEST NACELLE & INSTALLATION

CONDITION II - AIR LOADS AND DISTRIBUTION

DETERMINATION OF SPAN LOADING

\[
q = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 = 0.002558 (130)^2 = 43.15
\]

\[
C_N = C_L \cos \alpha + C_D \sin \alpha
\]

\[
= 1.5 \cos 14^\circ + 0.997 (\sin 14^\circ) = 1.5 (.97) + .997 \times (.242)
\]

\[
= 1.455 + .145 = 1.6
\]

\[
N = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 C_{NA} = q CNA = 43.15 (1.6) (481.3\text{ in}) = 33,300 \text{ #/in}
\]

Assuming uniform CN on total area, the loading in #/sq.in. of span may be determined.

\[
N = 33,300 \times \frac{\text{ #/in}}{693.19} = .4805 \text{ #/sq.in.}
\]

Large Chord: \( W \) (Normal loading) = \( N \times C = \frac{N}{A} \times .4805 (267.6\text{ in}) = 126.7#/in.

Small Chord: \( W \) (Normal Loading) = \( N \times C = \frac{N}{A} \times .4805 (198.5\text{ in}) = 95.5#/in.

DETERMINATION OF CHORDWISE SPAN LOADING

\[
C = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 C_{cA} = q \times C_c \times A
\]

\[
q = 43.15
\]

\[
C_c = C_D \cos \alpha - C_L \sin \alpha = 0.997 (\cos 14^\circ) - 1.5 \sin 14^\circ
\]

\[
= .9805 - .263 = .717
\]

\[
C = 43.15 (.717) (481.3\text{ in}) = 4535 #/
\]

Chordwise span loading at largest chord = \( \frac{C}{A} \times C \)

\[
C/A = \frac{4535}{693.19} = .6614 \text{#/sq.in.}
\]
STRESS ANALYSIS (E XB-36 TEST NACELLE & INSTALLATION)

DETERMINATION OF CHORDWISE SPAN LOADING (Cont'd.)

\[ W = \frac{c \times c}{A} = 0.0654 (263.5) = 17.2\#/\text{in.} \text{ (largest chord)} \]

\[ W = \frac{c \times c}{A} = 0.0654 (198.5) = 12.97\#/\text{in.} \text{ (smallest chord)} \]

DETERMINATION OF SPAR LOADS

Assuming the total normal load acting at the C.P., the load may be divided between the spars inversely as their distance from the C.P.

C.P. = 0.2962 x chord

Front Spar = 0.12 chord

Rear Spar = 0.43 chord

W to front spar = \( \frac{1335c}{0.31c} (126.7) = 54.5\#/\text{in.} \text{ (Largest Chord)} \)

W to rear spar = \( \frac{1767c}{0.31c} (126.7) = 72.2\#/\text{in.} \text{ (Largest Chord)} \)

W to front spar = \( \frac{1335c}{0.31c} (95.5) = 41.1\#/\text{in.} \text{ (Smallest Chord)} \)

W to rear spar = \( \frac{1767c}{0.31c} (95.5) = 54.4\#/\text{in.} \text{ (Smallest Chord)} \)
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DETERMINATION OF HORIZONTAL TRUSS LOADS

Assuming the total chordwise load distributed between the upper and lower truss inversely as their distance from the chord plane at the position of the C.P.

LARGEST SECTION

\[
\text{W to upper truss} = \frac{18.7/8 \times 17.2}{43.875} = 7.3\text{#/in. (Largest Chord)}
\]

\[
\text{W to lower truss} = \frac{25 \times 17.2}{43.875} = 9.8\text{#/in. (Largest Chord)}
\]
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DETERMINATION OF HORIZONTAL TRUSS LOADS (Cont'd.)

W to upper truss = \frac{13}{29\frac{1}{2}} \times (12.97) = 5.71\# / in. (Smallest Chord)

W to lower truss = \frac{16\frac{1}{2}}{29\frac{1}{2}} \times (12.97) = 7.25\# / in. (Smallest Chord)
STRESS ANALYSIS OF XB-36 TEST MACELE & INSTALLATION

SHEARS & BENDING MOMENTS DUE TO AIR LOADS ALONE

CONDITION I \((C_L = 1.0)\)

FRONT SPAR

\[
R_1 = \frac{-W}{2} - \frac{W}{3} = -66(300) - \frac{21.690(300)}{3} = -9900 - 2160 = -12060 \text{#}
\]

\[
R_1 = 12060 \text{# Down}
\]

\[
R_0 = \frac{-W}{2} - \frac{W}{6} = -66(300) - \frac{21.6(300)}{6} = -9900 - 1080 = -10980 \text{#}
\]

\[
R_0 = 10980 \text{# Down}
\]

The shear and bending moment curves may be found by the integration of the loading curves and are plotted on Fig. \(\_\), Page 7/7.
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REAR SPAR REACTIONS

\[ R_1 = \frac{WL}{2} - \frac{WL}{3} - \frac{163(300)}{2} - \frac{53(300)}{3} = -24,450 - 5300 = 29750# \]

\[ R_1 = 29,750# \]

\[ R_0 = -\frac{WL}{2} - \frac{WL}{6} - \frac{163(300)}{2} - \frac{53(300)}{6} = -24500 - 2650 = -27,100# \]

\[ R_0 = 27,100# \]

The shear and bending moment curves are plotted on Fig. 4.

UPPER TRUSS

\[ R_1 = -\frac{WL}{2} - \frac{WL}{3} - \frac{11.85(300)}{2} - \frac{2(300)}{3} = -1780 - 200 = -1980# \]

\[ R_1 = 1980 # Fwd. \]

\[ R_0 = -\frac{WL}{2} - \frac{WL}{6} - \frac{11.85(300)}{2} - \frac{2(300)}{6} = -1780 - 100 = -1880# \]

\[ R_0 = 1880 # Fwd. \]
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UPPER TRUSS (Cont'd.)

The shear and bending moment curves are plotted on Fig. 5.

LOWER TRUSS

The shear and bending moment curves are plotted on Fig. 6.
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SHEARS & BENDING MOMENTS DUE TO AIR LOADS ALONE

CONDITION II (C_L = 1.5)

FRONT SPAR

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Load} & = 7500 \text{lb} \\
R_0 & = 6830 \text{lb}
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \text{LOADING CURVE} \]

\[ R_1 = -\frac{Wl}{2} - \frac{WL}{3} - \frac{41.1(300)}{2} - \frac{13.4(300)}{6} \]

\[ R_4 = -6160 - 1340 = -7500 \text{ or } 7500 \text{ lb} \]

\[ R_0 = -\frac{Wl}{2} - \frac{WL}{6} - \frac{41.1(300)}{2} - \frac{13.4(300)}{6} = -6160 - 670 \]

\[ R_0 = 6830 \text{ lb} \]

The shear and bending moment curves are plotted on Fig. 3.
REAR SPEAR

\[ R_1 = \frac{-W_1L}{2} - \frac{W_1L}{3} = -\frac{54.4(300)}{2} - \frac{17.8(300)}{3} = -8160 - 1780 \]

\[ R_1 = -9940 \text{# or 9940#} \]

\[ R_0 = \frac{-W_1L}{2} - \frac{W_1L}{6} = -\frac{544(200)}{2} - \frac{17.8(200)}{6} = -8160 - 890 = -9060 \]

\[ R_0 = 9060 \text{#} \]

The shear and bending moment curves are plotted on Fig. 4.

Page 28.

UPPER TRUSS
STRESS ANALYSIS OF XB-36 TEST NACELLE & INSTALLATION

UPPER TRUSS

\[ R_1 = \frac{-W_1L - \frac{W_1L}{2}}{3} = -\frac{5.71(300)}{2} - \frac{1.59(300)}{3} = -856 - 159 = -1015 \text{#} \]

\[ R_1 = 1015 \text{# Fwd}. \]

\[ R_0 = \frac{-W_1L - \frac{W_1L}{6}}{2} = -\frac{5.71(300)}{2} - \frac{1.59(300)}{6} = -856 - 80 \]

\[ R_0 = -936 \text{# or 936 # FWD}. \]

The shear and bending moment curves are plotted on Fig. 5.
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LOWER TRUSS

\[ R_1 = \frac{-WL}{2} - \frac{WIL}{3} = -\frac{7.25(300)}{2} - \frac{2.5(300)}{3} \]

\[ R_1 = -1088 - 255 = 1343\# \text{ Fwd.} \]

\[ R_o = \frac{-WL}{2} - \frac{WIL}{6} = -\frac{7.25(300)}{2} - \frac{2.5(300)}{6} \]

\[ R_o = -1088 - 128 = -1216\# \text{ Fwd.} \]

The shear and bending moment curves are plotted on Fig. 8.
ESSUPPER TRUSS
SHEAR & BENDING MOMENT CURVES
AIR LOADS ONLY - CONDITION 1 & 2.
Fig. 5, Lower Truss
Shear & Bending Moment Curves
Air Loads Only - Condition 1 & 2

CONDITION 1 (C_2 = 1.0)
CONDITION 2 (C_2 = 1.5)
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DISTRIBUTION OF DEAD WEIGHT

The complete stub wing minus the power plant was found by actual weighing to weigh 12,880 lbs. To arrive at the weight to be distributed the weight of the end plates and end fittings was subtracted from the gross weight. The end plates and fittings were calculated to weigh 3068 lbs. Therefore, the net weight was 12882 - 3068 = 9814 lbs.

Three scales were used in the weighing and were placed as shown in Fig. (2). The net scale reactions are also shown.

Using lines x-x and y-y as reference lines the C.G. may be determined as follows:

Summing moments about line x-x

\[ E_{mx} = (\text{Reaction Scale 1 x Distance to x-x}) + (\text{Reaction Scale 2 x Distance to x-x}) + (\text{Reaction Scale 3 x Distance to x-x}) \]

\[ E_{mx} = 1870 \times 217.75 + 2775 \times 115.75 + 5169 \times 161.95 \]

\[ = 407,193 + 321,206 + 837,120 = 1,565,518 \text{ in lbs.} \]

\[ \bar{x} = \frac{E_{mx}}{\text{Net Wt.}} = \frac{1,565,518}{9814} = 159.52 \text{ in.} \]

Summing moments about y-y

\[ E_{my} = (\text{Reaction Scale 1 x Distance to y-y}) + (\text{Reaction Scale 2 x Distance to y-y}) \]

\[ E_{my} = 1870 \times (-300) + 2775 \times (-300) = -1,393,500 \text{ in}^2 \]

\[ \bar{y} = \frac{E_{my}}{\text{Net Wt.}} = \frac{-1,393,500}{9814} = -142.2 \text{ in} \]

Position of the C.G. relative to parts of wing are shown on sketch Fig. (2).
STRESS ANALYSIS OF XB-36 TEST NACELLE & INSTALLATION

SPAN LOADING

DEAD WEIGHT LESS ENGINE

Assuming a uniformly varying distribution of weight along the span, the span distribution may be found as follows: (load factor = 5 g down.)

Ultimate inertia load = 5 x net weight
= 5 (9814) = 49,070 #

Average Span Loading = \frac{\text{Ultimate load}}{\text{Span}} = \frac{49,070}{300} = 163.7 #/in. of spar

![Diagram of spar and position of CG]

Position of C.G.

\( X = \frac{142' \times 100}{300} = 47.4 \% \text{ of span} \)

From table of geometric properties of trapezoids

\[ \frac{b_1}{b_2} = 1.37 \]
\[ b_1 = 1.37 b_2 \]
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DEAD WEIGHT LESS ENGINE (Cont.)

but \( \frac{(b_1 + b_2)(\text{span})}{2} = \text{total load} \)

\[
\frac{(1.37b_2 + b_2)(300)}{2} = 49,070 \text{ #}
\]

\[b_2 = 138.2 \text{ #/in.}\]
\[b_1 = 1.37(138.2) = 189.2 \text{ #/in.}\]

DISTRIBUTION OF WEIGHT TO SPARS

DEAD WEIGHT LESS ENGINE

The chordwise distribution of weight was taken as shown below.

Taking half of the interspar load to the front spar and half to the rear spar and finding spar loadings.

Load to front spar = \( .114 \text{ W/C} + \frac{.589}{2} \text{ W/C} = .4085 \text{ W/C} \)

Load to rear spar = \( .297 \text{ W/C} + \frac{.589}{2} \text{ W/C} = .5915 \text{ W/C} \)
STRESS ANALYSIS OF XB-36 TEST NACELLE & INSTALLATION

DEAD WEIGHT LESS ENGINE (Cont.)

Therefore, loading on spars are:

Loading Front Spar Inb'd. Section = .4085 (189.2) = 77.4 #/in.
Loading Front Spar Outb'd. Section = .4085 (138.2) = 56.5 #/in.

Loading Rear Spar Inb'd. Section = .5915 (189.2) = 112 #/in.
Loading Rear Spar Outb'd. Section = .5915 (138.2) = 81.9 #/in.
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DEAD WEIGHT PLUS ENGINE

The loads from the power plant are found in table IV, Page 8.

Superimposing the loads from the power plant at the dead wt., the spar loading curves are shown below:
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DETERMINATION OF R₁ & R₂ OF FRONT SPAR

\[ R₁ = \frac{W₁L}{2} + \frac{(W₁-W₂)L}{3} - \frac{P₁(162)}{300} - \frac{P₂(122)}{300} \]

\[ = \frac{56.5(300)}{2} + \frac{(77.4-56.5)(300)}{3} - \frac{15.530(162)}{300} - \frac{11.280(122)}{300} \]

\[ = 8475 + 2090 - 8400 - 4585 \]

\[ = -2420 \, \# \, \text{or} \, 2420 \, \# \downarrow \]

\[ R₂ = \frac{W₂L}{2} + \frac{(W₁-W₂)L}{6} - \frac{P₁(138)}{300} - \frac{P₂(178)}{300} \]

\[ = \frac{56.5(300)}{2} + \frac{(77.4-56.5)(300)}{6} - \frac{15530(138)}{300} - \frac{11.280(178)}{300} \]

\[ = 8475 + 1045 - 7150 - 6690 = -4320 \, \# \, \text{or} \, 4320 \, \# \downarrow \]

DETERMINATION OF R₁ & R₂ OF REAR SPAR

\[ R₁ = \frac{W₂L}{2} + \frac{(W₁-W₂)L}{3} + \frac{P₁(162)}{300} + \frac{P₂(122)}{300} \]

\[ = \frac{81.9(300)}{2} + \frac{(112-81.9)(300)}{3} + \frac{40666(162)}{300} + \frac{4813(122)}{300} \]

\[ = 12,290 + 3010 + 22,000 + 10,100 \]

\[ = 47,400 \, \# \uparrow \]

\[ R₂ = \text{Total Load} - 47400 = 94479 - 47400 = 47079 \, \# \uparrow \]

The shear and bending moment curves for the total inertia loads alone are shown on Figs. 7 & 8. Page 37 & 38.

REAR SPAR

Examining the air load shear and bending moment curves and the 5 g inertia loading shear and bending moment curves,
FRONT SPAN
SHEAR & BENDING MOMENT CURVES
FOR E.G. INERTIA LOADS.
it is found that the inertia loads in combination with either of the air load conditions might give a critical condition. Both conditions will be investigated.

Increasing the unit air loads five times and superimposing the loading curve upon the 5 g inertia loading curves the loading curves become...
The resulting shear and bending moment curves are shown in Figure 10, Page 43.
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COMBINED AIR AND INERTIA SHEARS AND BENDING MOMENTS ON SPARS

FRONT SPAR

Examining the air load shear and bending moment curves and the \(5g\). static loading shear and bending moment curves, it is found that the air load condition where \(C_L = 1.0\) in combination with the \(5g\) inertia loads will be the critical condition for the front spar structure.

Increasing the unit air load curves five times and superimposing the loading curve upon the \(5g\). inertia loading curves, the loading curve becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
&438 \text{ lb/in} \quad \text{IN B'D} \\
&118 \text{ lb/in} \quad \text{FRONT SPAR}
\end{align*}
\]

The resulting shear and bending moment curves are shown in Figure 2, Page 42.
Shear & Bending Moment Curves
Combined 5g. Air Load + 5g. Inertia Loads
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ANALYSIS OF SPARS

The applied loads are taken as the maximum loads from the three conditions, (1) 5g. inertia loads only; (2) 5g. inertia loads + air loads, CL = 1.5; (3) 5g. inertia loads + air loads, CL = 1.0.

FRONT SPAR

For point and member notations refer to sketch, Fig. 11.

Applying vertical shear at K to diagonal KB. Shear = 62,250# down.

\[ P_{KB} = \frac{\text{Shear}}{\sin \alpha} \]

where \( \alpha \) = angle between KB and horizontal

\[ P_{KB} = \frac{62,250}{\sin 57.7^\circ} = 73,600\# \text{ Tension} \]

Member is a 3 x 3 x 1/4 angle. Area = 1.44 sq. in.

\[ f_t = \frac{73,600}{1.44} = 51,100\#/\text{sq.in.} \]

\[ F_T = 60,000\#/\text{sq.in.} \]

\[ M.S. = \frac{F_T}{f_t} - 1 = \frac{60,000}{51,100} - 1 = 0.17 \]

Applying the vertical shear at L to diagonal LC. Shear = 53,000#

\[ P_{LC} = \frac{\text{Shear}}{\sin \alpha} \]

\[ P_{LC} = \frac{53,000}{\sin 45^\circ} = 75,000\# \text{ Tension} \]

\[ f_t = \frac{F_L}{A} \]

\[ A = 1.44 \text{ sq. in. (3 x 3 x 1/4 - angle)} \]

\[ f_t = \frac{75,000}{1.44} = 52,000\#/\text{sq.in.} \]

\[ F_T = 60,000\#/\text{sq.in.} \]

\[ M.S. = \frac{F_T}{f_t} - 1 = \frac{60,000}{52,000} - 1 = 0.15 \]
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FRONT SPAR (Cont'd.)

Obviously, since the member MD is typical of members KB and LC and since the shear is decreasing toward the center of span, MD will also show a positive M.S.

Applying the vertical shear at N to diagonal DO

Shear = 26,700#

\[ P_{DO} = \frac{\text{Shear}}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{26,700}{\sin 46.3^\circ} = 36,900\# \]

Length of DO = 50 inches. Column fixity C = 1.0

DO is a 3 x 0.083 C.M. Steel Tube.

Allowable Compressive Load = 47,000#

M.S. = \( \frac{47,000}{36,900} - 1 \) = -0.27

Applying vertical shear at O to diagonal OF. Max. Shear = 16,000#

\[ P_{OF} = \frac{\text{Shear}}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{16000}{\sin 40.5^\circ} = 24,600\# \text{ Tension} \]

Member OF is a 3 x 0.083 C.M. Steel Tube

Area = 0.7606 sq.in.

\[ f_t = \frac{P_{OF}}{A} = \frac{24600}{0.7606} = 32,350\#/\text{sq.in.} \]

\[ F_T = 0.841 (95000) = 80,000\#/\text{sq.in.} \] (Ref. AMC-5)

M.S. = \( \frac{F_T}{f_t} - 1 = \frac{80,000}{32,350} - 1 \) = 1.47
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FRONT SPAR (Cont'd.)

Applying shear at G to diagonal GP. Max. shear = 35,000#

\[ P_{GP} = \frac{\text{Max. Shear}}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{35,000}{\sin 44^\circ} \]

Length of GP = 45 in. - Member: 3 x .083 C.M. Steel Tube

Allowable Compressive load = 51,500# (Ref. ANC-5)

\[ \text{M.S.} = \frac{51,500 - 1}{50400} \]

Applying the vertical shear at J to diagonal JS. Max. Shear = 59,300#

\[ P_{JS} = \frac{\text{Max. Shear}}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{59,300}{\sin 53.5^\circ} = 73,800\# \text{ Comp.} \]

Length of JS = 30 inches - Member = 3 x 3 x 1/4 in. angle

\[ P = 0.93, \quad L = \frac{30}{0.93} = 32.3 \text{ in.} \]

\[ F_C = \frac{58,200}{1.44} = 40,600\#/\text{sq.in.} \quad \text{(Ref.: A.I.S.C. Handbook)} \]

\[ f_c = \frac{71,600}{1.44} = 50,200\#/\text{sq.in.} \]

\[ \frac{\text{M.S.}}{\text{F}_C} - 1 = \frac{58,200}{51,200} - 1 = \frac{135}{51,200} \]

Since the maximum shear curve decreases inboard and since the members are of typical section, obviously members HQ and IR will show positive margins of safety.
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FRONT SPAR (Cont'd.)

Maximum bending moment occurs at Sta. 23.
Checking axial stress in chord member at that section

\[
\text{Area per angle} = 1.31 \text{ sq. in.}
\]
\[
\text{Max. Moment} = 5,500,000 \text{ in.}^2
\]
\[
\text{Axial Chord Load} = \frac{M}{h} = \frac{5,500,000}{37.32} = 147,300\#
\]
\[
f_b = \frac{147,300}{2.62} = 56,300\#/	ext{sq.in.}
\]
\[
M.S. = 60,000 - 1 = \frac{60,000}{56,300} = 1.065
\]

REAR SPAR

For point and member notations refer to sketch, Fig. 12.
Applying vertical shear at K to diagonal KB. Max. Shear = 101,500#
\[
P_{KB} = \frac{\text{Max. Shear}}{\sin \theta} = \frac{101,500}{\sin 63.8^\circ} = 113,100\# \text{ Tension}
\]
KB is a \(3\frac{1}{2} \times 3\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \) in. angle, Area = 3.25 sq. in.
\[
f_t = \frac{113,100}{3.25} = 34,850\#/	ext{sq.in.}
\]
\[
F_T = 60,000\#/	ext{sq.in.}
\]
\[
M.S. = \frac{F_T}{f_t} - 1 = \frac{60,000}{34,850} - 1 = \frac{0.72}{34.850}
\]
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REAR SPAR (Cont'd.)

Maximum shear causing compression = 47,700#

\[ P_{KB} = \frac{47,700}{\sin 63.8^\circ} = 53,200\# \text{ Compression} \]

\[ P = 1.06 \quad \text{Length of KB} = 55 \]

\[ L = \frac{55}{1.06} = 52 \]

\[ F_C = \frac{37,500}{1 + (\frac{52}{18,000})^2} = 32,600\#/\text{sq.in.} \]

\[ f_C = \frac{P_{KB}}{A} = \frac{53,200}{3.25} = 16,380\#/\text{sq.in.} \]

\[ M.S. = \frac{F_C - 1}{F_C} = \frac{32,600 - 1}{16,380} = +.98 \]

Since the curves of shear decrease inboard and since members LC and MD are of typical sections, the members LC and MD will obviously show positive margins of safety.

Applying shear at N (Sta. 104) to diagonal NE

Maximum Shear = 36,400#

\[ P_{NE} = \frac{\text{Max. Shear} = 36,400}{\sin 95} = 45,600\# \text{ Compression} \]

NE: \[ 3\frac{1}{2} \times .095 \text{ C.M. Steel Tube} \quad \text{Length} = 55 \text{ inches} \]

Allowable Compressive Load = 64,400# \hspace{1cm} (Ref.: ANC-5)

\[ M.S. = \frac{64,400 - 1}{45,600} = +0.41 \]

Since members OP and PG are subjected to less shear than NE and since OP and PG are typical tubes, obviously they will show positive margins of safety.
REAR SPAR (Cont'd.)

Applying the vertical shear at T to diagonal JS

Max. Shear = 88,500#

JS is a $3\frac{1}{2} \times 3\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2}$ in. angle $\rho = 1.06$ $A = 3.25$ sq.in.

$P_{JS} = \frac{\text{Max. Shear} \times 88500}{\sin \alpha} = 102,100#$ Compression

$\sin \alpha = 0.889, \sin 59.5^\circ$

$A_{JS} = 3.25$

$f_c = \frac{P_{JS}}{A_{JS}} = \frac{102,100}{3.25} = 31,420$#/sq.in.

$\rho = 40 \div 1.06 = 38$

$F_c = \frac{37500}{1 + (38)^2} = \frac{37500}{1.08} = 34,700$#/sq.in.

$M_S. = \frac{34,700 - 1}{31,420} = +0.10$

Since the shear decreases as the curves progress inboard and since RJ and OH are typical sections, obviously they will show positive margins of safety.

Maximum bending moment due to combined loads occurs at Sta. 23, - 138 inches from inboard end. Applying this moment and checking chords in bending at this station, Maximum moment $= 5,500,000$ in. #

Chords: $2 - 3 \times 2\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2}$ inch angles.
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REAR SPAR (Cont'd.)

Chord Axial Load = \( \frac{M}{h} = \frac{5,500,000}{46} = 119,400 \) lb

\( f_b = \frac{\text{Chord Axial Load}}{\text{Area}} = \frac{119,400}{2 \times 1.31} = 45,600 \) lb/sq.in.

\( \text{M.S.} = 60,000 - 1 = \frac{45,600}{45,600} + 0.314 \)

Maximum bending moment due to inertia loads alone occurs at 110 inches from inboard end of stub wing.

Applying this moment and checking chords

Maximum moment = 5,500,000 in. #

Chords 3 x 2\( \frac{1}{2} \) x 4\( \frac{1}{4} \) in. angles

Chord Axial Load = \( \frac{M}{h} = \frac{5,500,000}{47} = 117,000 \) lb

\( f_b = \frac{\text{Chord Axial Load}}{\text{Area}} = \frac{117,000}{2 \times 1.31} = 44,650 \) lb/sq.in.

\( F_B = 60,000 \)

\( \text{M.S.} = \frac{F_B}{f_b} - 1 = \frac{60,000}{44,650} - 1 = + 0.341 \)
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ANALYSIS OF ENGINE MOUNT WING FITTINGS

Description: The engine mount wing fittings are constructed of welded structural steel, and are shown in Figs. 13 and 14.

Location: The fittings are attached to the rear spar of the wing. There are four fittings, two at station 23 and two at station 24. At each station there is one above the upper chord of the rear spar and one below the lower chord. Accompanying sketches and drawings give the dimensional locations.

The loads imposed by the engine mount on the fittings are tabulated on page 8 of this report. The loads are resolved into components in three directions - (1) The vertical direction perpendicular to the chord plane; (2) fore and aft (drag) direction parallel to the thrust line; (3) side direction perpendicular to the thrust line.

Method of analysis: The loads are applied at the face of the bushing block and carried through the fitting, weld plates, etc. to the wing structure. Welds are assumed to transfer loads only as shear connections and the equation for allowable loads for welds on low carbon steel from ANC-5 is used.
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UPPER FITTING AT STATION 23

Ultimate loads applied at the fitting-mount connection are:
(Ref. Page 8)

1. Vertical load = 13,337 # Down
2. Drag load = 40,213 # Aft
3. Side load = 6072 # Inboard

Applying the total drag load to the block and checking the weld to the fitting for strength in shear:

\[ P_t = 40,213 \text{ # Aft.} \]
\[ L = 2 (1.625) = 3.25 \text{ in.} \]
\[ P_w = 32000 \text{ Lt; } t = 1/2 \text{ inch} \]
\[ = 32000 \times (3.25) \times (0.5) = 64000 \text{ #} \]

\[ M.S. = \frac{P_w - \text{L}}{P_t} = \frac{64000 - 1}{40213} = 0.29 \]

Applying the total drag load to the fitting and checking at section BB for tensile strength. \( P_t = 40,213 \text{ #} \)

\[ \text{Area, } A = \text{Total Area} - \text{area of bolt holes} \]
\[ = 3.5 \times (0.5) + 2 \times 3.125 \times (0.5) - 2 \times (0.5) \times (0.5) \]
\[ = 1.75 + 3.125 - 0.5 = 4.875 - 0.5 = 4.375 \text{ sq. in.} \]

\[ f_t = \frac{P_t}{A} = \frac{40,213}{4.375} = 9190 \text{ #/Sq. in.} \]

\[ F_T = 60000 \text{ #/c.i.in.} \]

\[ M.S. = \frac{60000 - 1}{9190} = 5.54 \]
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UPPER FITTING AT STATION 23  (Cont'd.)

The nine 1/2 inch bolts and the weld along the upper edges of the inboard and outboard weld plates may be considered to resist the drag load. The resistance offered by the components (bolts and welds) may be assumed proportional to their relative strength. The bolts in addition must resist the side load as shear.

- L1 of outboard weld plate = 6 in.
- L2 of inboard weld plate = 3 1/2 in.
- $P_W$/ inch = 32000 #/Lt
  - $t = 0.25$

- $P_W$/ inch = 32000 (1) (.25) = 8000 #
- $P_W$ Total = 8000 (9.5) = 76,000 #
- $P_S$ = 14720 #/bolt on 1/2" bolt
- $P_S$ Total = 14,720 (9) = 132,700 #

- Total $P_W$ + Total $P_S$ = 76,000 + 132,700 = 208,700 #
- $S_{allow}$
- $P_t = 40,213 #$

Total Shear in Welds = \[
\frac{\text{Strength of Welds}}{\text{Strength of Welds} + \text{Strength of Bolts}} (P_t) = \frac{76,000}{208,700} = 0.363
\]

M.S. (welds) = \[
\left(\frac{P_W}{\text{Total shear in welds}}\right)^{1} = \frac{76000}{14650} = 5.19
\]

Shear in bolts due to drag load = Total Shear - Shear in Weld

= 40213 - 14650 = 25,563 #
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UPPER FITTING AT STATION 22 (Cont'd.)

Shear per bolt due to drag load = 25,663 - 2840 #

Determining shear in bolts due to side load

Cross sectional area of one 1/2 in. bolt = .1961 sq. in.

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{M}_y & = 4(1.961) (2.625) + 5(1.961) (.875) \\
& = 2.06 + .859 = 2.919 \\
\bar{M}_x & = 9(.1961) = 1.765 sq. in. \\
\bar{y} & = \frac{\bar{M}_y}{\bar{M}_x} = \frac{2.919}{1.765} = 1.651 in. \\
\bar{M}_x & = 1.961 (11.25) + 2(.1961) (9.125) + 2(.1961) (7) + 2(.1961) (4.75) + 2(.1961) (2) \\
& = 2.21 + 3.58 + 2.75 + 1.86 + .79 \\
& = 11.19 \\
\bar{x} & = \frac{\bar{M}_x}{\bar{M}_x} = \frac{11.19}{1.765} = 6.35 in. \\
KR^2 & = s(x^2 + y^2) since R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \\
& = (4.66^2 + .975^2) + (4.35^2 + .775^2) + (1.7^2 + .775^2) + (1.6^2 + .975^2) + (2.775^2 + .775^2) + (2.775^2 + .975^2) + (4.6^2 + .775^2) \\
& = 113.96
\end{align*}
\]
Moment of shear about C.G. of pattern = $6072(6.55+1.625) = 48,450$ in.$^2$

Maximum $R = \left( \frac{4.9^2 + .775^2}{2} \right) = 4.96$ in., $x = 4.91$, $y = .775$

Shear in drag direction due to side load = $\frac{M_x}{L} = 48,450(0.775) = 350$ in.$^2$

Shear in side direction due to side load = $6072 + \frac{M_x}{L} = 675 + \frac{48450(4.9)}{113.98} = 675 + 2082 = 2757$ in.$^2$

Total shear in drag direction = $350 + 2840 = 3170$ in.$^2$

Total shear in side direction = $2757$ in.$^2$

Total shear on bolt = $\sqrt{317^2 + 2757^2} = 4205$ in.$^2$

M.S. = $14,720 - 1 = 4205 + 2.5$

Since the side load is carried as shear in the bolts and the vertical load is to be taken directly into the spar by the diagonal $X$, the drag load is applied and the fitting may be put into equilibrium as shown below.
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UPPER FITTING AT STATION 23 (Cont.)

First assume \( Pw_1 = Pw_2 \)

Summing moments about point 0

\[ \Sigma M_0 = 0 \]

(1) \[ -(Pw_2 \times 6) - (P_k \times 12.25) - (14650)(.65) + 40215(1.625) = 0 \]

\[ \Sigma F_y = 0 \]

(2) \[ -Pw_2 + P_k + Pw_1 = 0 \]

Since \( Pw_1 = Pw_2 \)

\[ 2Pw_2 + P_k = 0 \]

\[ Pw_2 = -\frac{P_k}{2} \]

Substituting in (1) and transposing terms

(1) \[ -(\frac{-P_k}{2}) \times 6 - 12.25 \ P_k = -4021.3(1.625) + 14650(.65) \]

\[ 3P_k - 12.25 \ P_k = 65,400 + 9580 \]

\[ 9.25 \ P_k = 55,870 \]

\[ P_k = 6040 \] (1)

\[ Pw_2 = \frac{-P_k}{2} = -6040 = -3020 \text{ or } 3020 \] (2)

\[ Pw_1 = Pw_2 \]

\[ Pw_1 = -3020 \text{ or } 3020 \]

To determine the stress at section A-A:

\[ \text{SECTION A-A} \]
UPPER FITTING AT STATION 23 (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Area, A</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>AY</th>
<th>Y1</th>
<th>Y1²</th>
<th>AY1²</th>
<th>Ic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.1225</td>
<td>.1225</td>
<td>.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.4375</td>
<td>-.40</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.2000</td>
<td>.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.1225</td>
<td>.1225</td>
<td>.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\bar{y} = \frac{\text{AY}}{A} = \frac{2.4375}{3.75} = .65 \text{ in.}
\]

Ic.g. = Io + AY1² = .703 + .525 = 1.228 in.⁴

Summing moments to the right of section A-A about the neutral axis of A-A₁ we have

\[
\Sigma M_A = (\text{Drag load} \times \text{distance to neutral axis of A-A}) + (P_k \times \text{Dist. to Section A-A}) + (\text{Bolt load} \times \text{distance to neutral axis of section A-A})
\]

Bolt Load = load taken by first 4 bolts
- \(\frac{4}{9}\) (Total Bolt Load)
- \(\frac{4}{9}\) (25,563) = 11,360#

\[
\Sigma M_A = 40213(1.625-.65) - 6040(6) + 11360(.15) = 39250 - 36240 + 7380 = + 10,390 \text{ in. #}
\]

\[
f_t (\text{section A-A}) = \frac{P_k \times MAC}{I} = \frac{40213 \times 10280(1.35)}{3.75 \times 1.228} = 10,720 + 11,410 = 22,130 \text{ #/sq. in.}
\]

\[
F_T = 60,000
\]

\[
\text{W.S.} = \frac{60,000}{20,130} = 1 \times 1.71
\]
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UPPER FITTING AT STATION 23 (Cont.)

Applying the load $P_k$ to the diagonal and assuming the applied vertical load is taken directly by the diagonal $K$.

Total Vertical Load = $P_k$ + Applied Vertical

$= 6040 + 13,337 = 19,377$#

$\alpha$ (Refer to sketch) = 45°

$P_c = \frac{19,377}{\sin 45°} = 27,400#$

PROPERTIES OF SECTION C-C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>$AY$</th>
<th>$Y_1$</th>
<th>$Y_1^2$</th>
<th>$AY_1^2$</th>
<th>$I_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.203</td>
<td>.875</td>
<td>.1777</td>
<td>.466</td>
<td>.2095</td>
<td>.0423</td>
<td>.0446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.546</td>
<td>.0625</td>
<td>.0341</td>
<td>.5465</td>
<td>.1200</td>
<td>.0656</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.203</td>
<td>.875</td>
<td>.1777</td>
<td>.466</td>
<td>.2095</td>
<td>.0423</td>
<td>.0446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma$</td>
<td>.962</td>
<td>.3895</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\bar{y} = \frac{AY}{A} = \frac{.3895}{.952} = .409$ in.

$I_{c,g} = I_0 + \frac{AY_1^2}{A} = .1502 + .0892 = .2394$ in.$^4$

$R = \sqrt{\frac{I_{c,g}}{A}} = \frac{.2394}{.952} = .502$ in.

$L_C = 5.5$ in.

$L_C/R = \frac{5.5}{.502} = 10.95$
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UPPER FITTING AT STATION 23 (Cont.)

\[ F_C = \frac{37,500}{1 + (10.25)^2} = 35,200 \text{ lb/sq. in.} \]

\[ P_C = A \times F_C = 0.952(35,200) = 33,500 \text{ lb} \]

\[ M.S. = \frac{33,500}{27,400} - 1 = 0.22 \]

Applying the loads to the weld plate and checking welds for strength, considering all the loads on one plate,

\[ \sum F = 0 = 14650 - P_{w3} \]

\[ P_{w3} = 14,650 \text{ lb} \]

The horizontal couple is resisted by a vertical couple of magnitude 6 \( P_{w4} \).

\[ \sum M = 0 = 7P_{w3} - 6P_{w4} = 0 \]

\[ P_{w4} = \frac{7}{6} P_{w3} = \frac{7}{6} (14650) = 17,100 \text{ lb} \]

Since there are two weld plates, one on each side of the fitting, the load obtained above is divided by two to obtain the load per plate.
Therefore,

\[ P_{w1} = \frac{1}{2} \times 3020 = 1510\# \]
\[ P_{w2} = \frac{1}{2} \times 3020 = 1510\# \]
\[ P_{w3} = \frac{1}{2} \times 14650 = 7325\# \]
\[ P_{w4} = \frac{1}{2} \times 17100 = 8550\# \]

\[ P_{w/in.} = 32000 \]
\[ L_e = 32000 (1)(.25) = 8000\#/in. \]

Determining the loads in pounds per inch of weld.

Segment AF

\[ L_{AF} = 1 \text{ inch} \]

\[ P_{wAF} = \frac{P_{w1}}{L_{AF}} = \frac{1510}{1} = 3020\#/in. \]
\[ P_{w} = 8000\#/in. \]

\[ M.S. = 8000 - 1 = \frac{7999}{3020} = +1.65 \]

Segment FE

\[ P_{wFE} = \frac{P_{w3}}{L_{FE}} + \frac{P_{w4}}{L_{AE}} = \frac{3020}{6} + \frac{17100}{6} 
\]
\[ = 503 + 2850 = 3353\#/in. \]

\[ P_{w} = 8000\#/in. \]

\[ M.S. = 8000 - 1 = \frac{7999}{3353} = +2.38 \]
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UPPER FITTING AT STATION 23 (Cont.)

Segment CD

\[ L_{CD} = 5\frac{3}{4} \text{ in.} \]

\[ P_{w_{CD}} = \frac{P_w^2}{L_{CD}} + \frac{P_w^4}{L_{BD}} = -3020 - \frac{17100}{5.5} = -550 + 2850 \]

\[ P_{w_{CD}} = 2300\#/\text{in.} \]

\[ P_w = 8000\#/\text{in.} \]

\[ M.S. = \frac{8000 - 1}{2300} = \frac{2479}{2300} = 1.02 \]

Segment BC

\[ L_{BC} = 1\frac{3}{4} \text{ in.} \]

\[ P_{w_{BC}} = \frac{P_w^2}{L_{BC}} = -3020 \]

\[ P_{w_{BC}} = 2010\#/\text{in.} \]

\[ P_w = 8000\#/\text{in.} \]

\[ M.S. = \frac{8000 - 1}{2010} = \frac{7999}{2010} = 3.98 \]

Segment AB and ED

\[ L_{AB} = L_{ED} = 6 \text{ in.} \]

\[ P_{w_{AB}} = \frac{14650}{6} = 2442\#/\text{in.} \]

\[ P_{w_{ED}} = P_{w_{AB}} = 2442\#/\text{in.} \]

\[ P_w = 8000\#/\text{in.} \]

\[ M.S. = \frac{8000 - 1}{2442} = \frac{7999}{2442} = 3.27 \]
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ANALYSIS OF ENGINE MOUNT SUPPORT BULKHEADS

The engine mount support bulkheads are of welded structural steel construction. Pages 66 and 73 show the essential dimensions of the bulkheads.

The loads from the engine mount are distributed to the spars and to the upper and lower chord trusses by means of the bulkheads.

The system has one degree of redundancy, but since their stiffnesses are approximately equal, the overhang moment is assumed reacted half by the chord trusses and half by the spars.
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DETERMINATION OF REACTIONS FOR BULKHEAD #23

(For engine loads refer to Table II, Page 8.)

\[ \sum M_A = 46813(2.75) + 11,799(7) + 13337(7) + 40213(47.24) \]
\[ = 128,800 + 82600 + 93400 + 1,900,000 \]
\[ = 2,204,800 \]

Moment balanced in upper and lower trusses = 1,102,400 in.

Moment balanced in spars = 1,102,400 in.

71By = 1,102,400

By = 15,530#

Shear at front spar = 15,530#

\[ \sum F_V = 0 \]

Shear at rear spar = 15,530 + 13,337 + 11,799

= 40,666#

Truss shear to balance moments = \frac{1,102,400}{44,875} = 24,600#

\[ \sum F_H = 0 \]

Horizontal Force at A = -40213 + 24600 + 46813 = 31200#

Determining internal loads

Taking joint B as a free body
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DETERMINATION OF REACTIONS FOR BULKHEAD #23 (Cont'd.)

\[ \sum F_y = 0 \]

\[ (1) \ AB \sin 2.4^\circ + \ BC \sin 46.9^\circ - 15530 = 0 \]

\[ \sum F_H = 0 \]

\[ (2) \ AB \cos 2.4^\circ - \ CB \cos 46.9^\circ = 0 \]

\[ AB = \frac{CB \cos 46.9^\circ}{\cos 2.4^\circ} = .685 \ CB \]

Substituting in equation (1)

\[ .685 \ CB \sin 2.4^\circ + \ CB \sin 46.9^\circ = 15530 \]

\[ (.02865 + .73) \ CB = 15530 \]

\[ CB = 20,500 \text{ Tens.} \]

\[ AB = .685(20,500) = 14,030 \text{ Tens.} \]

Taking joint C as a free body and solving for CD and CA

\[ CE = D \text{ since } \sum F_H \text{ at } E \text{ must } = 0 \]

\[ \sum F_Y = 0 \]

\[ (1) \ DC \sin 6.2^\circ + AC \sin 49.4^\circ - 20,500 \sin 47.2^\circ = 0 \]

\[ \sum F_H = 0 \]

\[ (2) \ AC \cos 49.4^\circ + 20,500 \cos 47.2^\circ - DC \cos 6.2^\circ = 0 \]

\[ (2) \ AC = \frac{294DC - 13910}{1.525} = 1.525 \ DC - 21,400 \]

CONFIDENTIAL
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DETERMINATION OF REACTIONS FOR BULKHEAD #23 (Cont'd.)

Substituting in (1)

\[ 0.108 \text{DC} + 0.759(1.525 \text{DC} - 21400) = 15050 \]
\[ 0.108 \text{DC} + 1.158 \text{DC} = 15050 + 16220 \]
\[ \frac{\text{DC}}{1.266} = 31270 = 24720 \# \text{Tension} \]
\[ \frac{\text{AC}}{1.525(24720)-21400} = 16300 \# \text{Comp.} \]

Shear to upper truss = \( \Sigma F_H \) at D

\[ \Sigma F_H = 24720 \cos 6.2^\circ + 24600 - 40213 = 8887 \# \]

Shear in upper truss = 8887#

Shear to lower truss = \( \Sigma F_H \) at A

\[
\begin{aligned}
F_H \text{ at A} & = 31200 - 46813 + AC \cos 49.4^\circ + AB \cos 2.4^\circ \\
& = 31200 - 46813 + 0.651(16300) + 14030(0.98) \\
& = 15613 + 10700 + 14000 \\
\end{aligned}
\]

Shear to lower truss = 8887#
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CHECK OF MEMBERS FOR STRENGTH

Checking member DC for strength
Load DC = 24,720 lb Tension
Section of DC = 4 x 1 5/8 x 1/4 open channel
A = 1.82
ft = 24,720 / 1.82 = 13,580 lb/sq. in.
Ft = 60,000 lb/sq.in.
M.S. = 60000 - 1 = 660 - .0083

Checking member AC for strength
Load in AC = 16,300 lb
Section - 4 x 1 5/8 x 1/4 channel
A = 1.82
I (least) = .38
ρ (least) = \sqrt{\frac{.38}{1.82}} = .4565 in.

Checking member CB for strength
Load CB = 20,500 lb Tension
Section 4 x 1 5/8 x 1/4 open channel
Area = 1.82 sq. in.
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CHECK OF MEMBERS FOR STRENGTH (Cont.)

\[ f_t = \frac{20,500}{1.82} = 11,280 \]

\[ F_T = 60,000 \text{ $/sq. in.} \]

\[ M.S. = 60,000 - 1 = \]

\[ 11,280 \]

Checking member AB for strength

Load AB = 14,030 $/C$, Section (typical)

\[ L_C = 35.75 \text{ inches} \]

\[ \rho = .4565 \text{ in.} \]

\[ L_F = 35.75 \cdot 78.1 \]

\[ \rho = .4865 \]

\[ F_C = \frac{37,500}{1 + \left(\frac{35.75}{15000}\right)^2} = 27,800 \]

\[ f_c = \frac{14,030}{2} = 7720 \text{ $/sq. in.} \]

\[ M.S. = f_c \frac{-1}{f_c} = 27,800 - 1 = \]

\[ +2.6 \]

DETERMINATION OF REACTIONS FROM BLIND #24

\[ M_K = 30200(46.25) + 9063(2) + 7670(2) + 36923(3) \]

\[ = 1,400,000 + 18,196 + 15,340 + 110,800 \]

\[ = 1,644,326 \text{ in. $/}$ \]

Moment reacted by front spar = \[ \frac{1,644,326}{2} = 772,163 \text{ $/}$ \]

\[ 68.5 \sqrt{V} = 772,163 \]

\[ \sqrt{V} = 11,280 \text{ $/}$ \]

Moment reacted by force at F = 772,163 $/
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DETERMINATION OF REACTIONS FROM BLIND. $24$ (Cont.)

Force at $P = \frac{772,152}{42.26} = 18,280 \, \#$

Shear at front spar = $11,280 \, \#$

$N_Y = 0$

Shear at rear spar = $11,280 \times 9093 + 7670 = 28,043 \, \#$

$N_X = 0$

Horiz. force at $K = -30900 + 18280 + 36923 = 24,813 \, \#$

Taking joint $J$ as a free body and solving for $GJ$ & $KJ$

\[ J_Y = 11,280 \, \# \]

\[ (1) \quad GJ \sin 47.5^\circ + KJ \sin 1^\circ = 11,280 = 0 \]

\[ N_X = 0 \]

\[ (2) \quad KJ \cos 1^\circ = GJ \cos 47.5^\circ = 0 \]

\[ KJ = \frac{GJ \times \cos 47.5^\circ}{\cos 1^\circ} \]

Substituting for $KJ$ in equation $(1)$

\[ GJ \sin 47.5^\circ + GJ \cos 47.5^\circ \tan 1^\circ = 11,280 \]

\[ (0.735 + 0.01182) \, GJ = 11,280 \]

\[ GJ = 11,280 \times \frac{15,080 \, \#}{.735} \]

\[ GJ = 15,080 \cos 47.5^\circ = 10,220 \, \# \, C \]
Determination of Reactions from Model 334 (Cont.)

Taking joint G as a free body and solving for EF & EK.

\[ \begin{align*}
  \text{GR} &= 0 \quad \text{since } EF_H \text{ at } H \text{ must equal 0.} \\
  EF_Y &= 0 \\
  (1) \quad FG \sin 6.8^\circ + KG \sin 49.2^\circ - 0J \sin 47.4^\circ &= 0 \\
  EF_H &= 0 \\
  (2) \quad KG \cos 49.2^\circ + GJ \cos 47.4^\circ - FG \cos 6.8^\circ &= 0 \\
  KG &= \frac{FG \cos 6.8^\circ - 15090 \cos 47.4^\circ}{\cos 49.2^\circ} \\
  \text{Substituting for } KG \text{ in equation (1)} \\
  FG \sin 6.8^\circ + (FG \cos 6.8^\circ - 15090 \cos 47.4^\circ) \sin 49.2^\circ &= 0 \\
  15090 \sin 47.4^\circ \\
  .1183FG &= 1.149FG = 11,080 + 11,320 = 22,900 \\
  KG &= 22900 = 18,070 \text{ $T$} \\
  1.2678 \\
  KG &= 18,070 \cos 6.8^\circ - 15090 \cos 47.4^\circ \\
  &= 11780 \text{ $C$} \\
  \text{Shear to upper Truss} &= EF_H \text{ at } F \\
  EF_H \text{ at } F &= -30290 + 18280 + 18070 \cos 6.8^\circ = 5950 \text{ $f$} \\
\end{align*} \]
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DETERMINATION OF REACTIONS FROM BLKHD. #24 (Cont.)

Shear to upper truss = 5980 #
Shear to lower truss = $E_{FH}$ at $K$

$E_{FH}$ at $K = -24813 + 36923 - 11780 \cos 48^\circ - 10220 \cos 1^\circ$

$E_{FH}$ at $K = -24813 + 36923 - 7870 - 10210 = 5970 #$

Shear to lower truss = 5970#

END PLATE BOLTS AND FITTINGS

DETERMINING SUPPORT REACTIONS

Due to inertia loads (5 g.)

Inboard end of stub wing

\[ \Sigma M_{R1} = 0 \]

\[ 2420 (29.75) + 47,479 (51.688) - R_2(102) = 0 \]

\[ 102R_2 = 72,000 + 2,456,000 \]

\[ R_2 = 24,800,000 \]

\[ \Sigma F_V = 0 \]

\[ 2420 - 24800 + 47479 - R_1 = 0 \]

\[ R_1 = 47479 - 24800 = 22,679 # \]
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DETERMINING SUPPORT REACTIONS

Outboard End of Stub Wing

\[ \Sigma M_{R_1} = 0 \]
\[ 47,079 \ (104.5) - R_2 \ (102) - 4320 \ (42 \ 1/2) = 0 \]
\[ 102R_2 = 4,930,000 - 183,800 \]
\[ R_2 = \frac{4,746,200}{102} = 46,500 \ \# \ \uparrow \]

\[ \Sigma F_Y = 0 \]
\[ 4320 + 46500 - 47079 - R_1 = 0 \]
\[ R_1 = 50,830 - 47079 = 3751 \ \# \ \downarrow \]

Due to Combined Air + Inertia Loads

Inboard End
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DETERMINING SUPPORT REACTIONS

\[ \Sigma M_R = 0 \]

\[ 62500 \times 29.75 + 102(R_2) - 101,500 \times 51.688 = 0 \]

\[ 102R_2 = 5,250,000 - 1,859,000 \]

\[ R_2 = \frac{3,391,000}{102} = 33,210 \text{ lb} \]

\[ \Sigma F_V = 0 \]

\[ 62500 + 101,500 - 33210 - R_1 = 0 \]

\[ R_1 = 130,790 \text{ lb} \]

Outboard End

Inboard End

1. Bolts at rear spar = 48 - AN6-22A bolts

Maximum shear = 101,500 lb
CONSOLIDATED VULTEE AIRCRAFT CORPORATION
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STRESS ANALYSIS OF XB-36 TEST NACELLE & INSTALLATION

CHECKING SHEAR IN BOLTS TRANSFERRING LOAD FROM SPARS TO END PLATE

Allow. Shear per bolt = 8280 

Shear per bolt = \(\frac{191,500}{48} = 2115\) 

\[\text{M.S.} = \frac{8280}{2115} = 3.91\]

Bolts at front spar = 38 - AN6 - 22A bolts

Maximum Shear = 62,500 

Allow shear per bolt = 8280 

Shear per bolt = \(\frac{62500}{38} = 1645\) 

\[\text{M.S.} = \frac{8280}{1645} = 5.04\]

Outboard End

Bolts at rear spar: AN6-27A - 29 bolts

Maximum Shear = 38,200 

Allow Shear per bolt = 8280 

Shear per bolt = \(\frac{38200}{29} = 1360\) 

\[\text{M.S.} = \frac{8280}{1360} = 6.14\]

Bolts at front spar: AN6-27A-20 bolts

Maximum Shear = 59,300 

Allowable Shear per bolt = 8280 

Shear per bolt = \(\frac{59300}{20} = 2965\) 

\[\text{M.S.} = \frac{8280}{2965} = 2.80\]
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CHECKING SHEAR IN BOLTS TRANSFERRING LOAD FROM END PLATES TO FITTINGS

Inboard End

Bolts at forward support
31-AN8-31A Bolts
Maximum Shear = 130,790 #
Allowable Shear per bolt = 14,720 #
Shear per bolt = \( \frac{130,790}{31} = 4215 \) #

M.S. = \( \frac{14,720 - 1}{4215} = 3.5 \)

Bolts at aft support
29-AN8-31A bolts
Maximum Shear = 33,210
Allowable Shear per bolt = 14,720 #
Shear per bolt = \( \frac{33,210}{29} = 1147 \) #

M.S. = \( \frac{14,720 - 1}{1147} = 4.1 \)

Outboard End

Bolts at forward support
36 - AN8 Bolts
Maximum Shear = 32,400 #
Allowable shear per bolt = 14,720 #
Shear per bolt = \( \frac{32,400}{36} = 900 \) #

M.S. = \( \frac{14,720 - 1}{900} = 16.3 \)

---
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CHECKING SHEAR IN BOLTS TRANSFERRING LOAD FROM END PLATES TO FITTINGS

Bolts at aft support
27-AN8 bolts

Maximum Shear = 115,100 #
Allowable Shear per bolt = 14,720 #
Shear per bolt = 115,100 / 27 = 4,266 #

M.S. = 14,720 - 1.45

ANALYSIS OF SUPPORT FITTING

Checking shear tearout of lug
Allowable Load = 2 x (t x F_u) (Ref. ANC-5)
x as shown on sketch
t = thickness of lug
F_u = ultimate shear allowable
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ANALYSIS OF SUPPORT FITTING

Allowable load = 2 (1.6) (1) (.76 x 60,000) = 144,000 #
M.S. = 144,000 -1 = 0.10

Checking tension at section A-A across bolt hole

Allowable Tensile Load, \( P_T = (2R-D) \times P_T \) (Ref. ANC-5)
\( P_T = (2 \times 2-1) (1) (60,000) = 180,000# \)
M.S. = 180,000 -1 = 0.377
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ANALYSIS OF AIR LOAD - IR & BULKHEAD

For purposes of analysis, a section at Sta. 21 is taken as a typical bulkhead.

Chord = 249.5"

Dist. midway between adjacent stations = 38.5"

Loading at L.E. = 2.263#/sq. in.

Loading at T.E. = .0526#/sq. in.

Load per inch at L.E. = 2.26 \times 38.5 = 87.2#/in.

Load per inch at T.E. = .0526 \times 38.5 = 2.025#/in.

Rate of change = \frac{87.2 - 2.025}{249.5} = .341#/in./in.

Front spar = .12 \times 249.5 = 29.95" aft of L.E.

Rear spar = .43 \times 249.5 = 107.3" aft of L.E.

Loading at Front Spar = 87.2 - .341 \times 29.95 = 76.9#/in.

Loading at Rear Spar = 87.2 - .341 \times 107.3 = 50.5#/in.

Loading over nose section:
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ANALYSIS OF AIR LOAD RIB & BULKHEAD (Cont’d.)

Load = \( \frac{87.2 \times 76.9 \times 29.95}{2} = 2455 \) #

Ratio of loadings = \( \frac{87.2}{76.9} = 1.034 \)

C.G. = \( 0.505 \times 29.95 = 14.93" \)

\( M = 2455 \times 14.93 = 36,600" \) #

Couple = \( \frac{36600}{35.9} = 1020" \) #

Loading over section aft of rear spar:

\[ \text{Load = } \frac{50.5 + 2.025 \times 142.2}{2} = 3750" \]#

\[ \text{Moment at rear spar = } 2.025 \left( \frac{142.2}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{48.475 \times (142.2)^2}{3} \]
\[ = 20,450 + 163,000 = 183,450" \]#

Couple = \( \frac{183,450}{46.15} = 3980" \) #

Interspar load = \( \frac{76.2 + 50.5 \times 77.35}{2} = 4930" \) #

The total loading is summed up in Fig. 7, Page 85.

The interspar air load bulkheads have the same type of
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ANALYSIS OF AIR LOAD RIB & BULKHEAD (Cont'd.)

construction as the ones supporting the engine mount. Inspection of the loading shows that the air loads are much less than those imposed by the engine. Therefore no further investigation is necessary.

Check of airload rib aft of bulkhead.

\[ M_{\text{max}} = 183,450 \text{"#} \]

The rib is made from 1" Douglas Fir Plywood. Depth = 46.15

\[ f_b = \frac{6 \times 183,450}{1 \times 46.15^2} = 517\text{#/sq. in.} \]

\[ F_b = \frac{2460 - 1}{517} = 17.3 \]

...
ANALYSIS OF CHORD TRUSSES

The chord trusses are of the same construction as the Front and Rear Spars. Inspection of the loadings shows that the shears and moment are less than those imposed on the spars. Therefore the chord members are considered satisfactory with no further check.
The U.S. Government is absolved from any litigation which may ensue from any infringement on domestic or foreign patent rights which may be involved.
ABSTRACT:
Stress analysis is made of the engine stub wing of the XB-36 bomber. The report is subdivided into analyses of the engine mount and of the wing structure. The mount is a welded Chrome-Moly tubing space frame work which carries the loads from the engine and accessories to the main wing fittings. The loads are then carried through welded steel fittings to two wing bulkheads which distribute the load to the wing structure. The basic wing structure consists essentially of a front and rear spar, and two chord trusses separated by transverse bulkheads at each station point. The construction is of welded structural steel. The leading and trailing edge air loads are carried to the inter spar bulkheads by means of plywood ribs which support wooden longitudinal stringers. The entire wing is covered with plywood, which in turn is covered with galvanized steel sheet to obtain smoothness of airflow.