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CALCULATIONS of fission-product distribution in fallout for the Small Boy event have been made using a condensed-state diffusion-controlled fission-product absorption model. The properties of calculated fission-product inventories in particles with size distribution supplied correlated reasonably well with properties of actual Small Boy fallout inventories. An evaluation of these inventories using the DELFIC fission-product distribution system is being made.

Henry's law has been demonstrated to be applicable to the system cesium/O₂/\( \text{CaO-Al}_2\text{O}_3-\text{SiO}_2 \) eutectic. The derived Henry's law constants have been shown to be independent of the oxygen pressure (\( 1 \geq P_{\text{O}_2} \text{ (atm)} > 1 \times 10^{-4} \)) and of water pressure (\( 0.03 \geq P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \text{ (atm)} > 1 \times 10^{-4} \)).

The diffusion coefficients of cesium in Small Boy soil have been measured. These measurements provide some justification for employing diffusion coefficients of fission products in CaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ eutectic for the Small Boy calculations. Studies of diffusion coefficients of fission products created in situ in silicate matrices have been initiated.

Some preliminary fission-product leaching studies have been made. A program for studying the leaching of recoiled fission products from silicates is outlined.

Mass spectrometric Knudsen cell studies have demonstrated the apparent importance of such species as CeO₂(g), PrO₂(g), NdO₂(g), and TcO₃(g) to fallout formation processes.
INTRODUCTION

During the course of the fallout formation studies program this year, progress has been made in understanding nuclear cloud chemistry and in applying this understanding and its effects to the distribution and behavior of fission products in fallout. While most of the studies at Gulf General Atomic have been directly involved with the measurement of chemical phenomena associated with cloud chemistry, an important portion of the program has been associated with the calculation of the distribution of fission products in fallout for the Small Boy shot and the subsequent analysis of the calculated particle activities. The results presented in this report suggest that the calculated distributions are reasonably realistic; it is felt that in further analysis, now in progress using the DELFIC model, these distributions will also be realistic.

Proving that Henry's law is applicable to the solution of cesium in CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ eutectic has been one of the results of this program. Transpiration studies have also confirmed the similarity in chemical behavior between cesium and rubidium. However, the exact nature of the equilibria between alkali metal doped silicate and a gas phase is still unknown.

Studies to test the diffusivities of fission products in Small Boy soil were carried out; the application of diffusivities determined in CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ eutectic in Small Boy calculations was deemed reasonable. Diffusion studies on fission products made in situ from U$^{235}$ dissolved in eutectic CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ are promising. Some agreement with previous methods has been demonstrated. This method allows the ready study of nuclides such as Xe$^{133}$ and I$^{131}$ and provides a survey method for studying silicates.
Some leaching studies were performed. The major accomplishment in this field has been the establishment of procedures that appear useful in describing leaching of fission products from silicates. It is believed that a description sufficient to associate a leaching model with the diffusion-controlled fission product absorption model can be attained, and thus a description of the effects of early cloud chemistry. It is believed that the model output will be very valuable to a long-term damage assessment program.

Mass spectrometric Knudsen cell studies of the stability of the rare-earth dioxides have shown surprisingly high stabilities of some of these oxides, particularly NdO$_2$(g). Indeed, the existence of gaseous rare-earth dioxides for most of the rare earths might be forecast from these studies. A second M-O bond energy of about 60% of the first M-O bond has been observed for Pr and Nd. The dioxide existence is important in cloud chemistry as these species predominate in the oxidizing atmospheres generally associated with nuclear events.

Knudsen cell studies of rhenium and technetium oxides have been performed mass spectrometrically. A comparison of the stabilities of M$_2$O$_7$(g) and MO$_3$(g) has resulted from this work. The Tc$_2$O$_7$(g) stability versus TcO$_3$(g) stability will prove to be quite useful in the estimation of the behavior of the cloud chemistry of this element.
SMALL BOY CALCULATIONAL STUDIES

As a test of the diffusion-controlled fission product absorption model,\(^{(1)}\) calculations for a simulated Small Boy shot have been made. The Small Boy shot is one of the better documented shots detonated over a silicate matrix; in particular, the description of its fallout behavior is extensive. The test of the calculational model consists of evaluating fission-product inventories from 70 mass chains in Small Boy particles. The size fractions, and total weights of these fractions, were supplied by R. Tompkins\(^{(2)}\) of the Nuclear Defense Laboratory. This particular data was extracted from input for calculations of fission product distribution in standard DELFIC calculations\(^{(3)}\) for Small Boy and is presented in Table 1. The cloud time-temperature history used in the fission-product absorption calculations was taken from this same source but modified to include an early history according to Hillendahl's model.\(^{(4)}\) The employed history is presented in Table 2. In making the calculations, Henry's law constants as reported by Norman\(^{(5)}\) and measured and estimated diffusion coefficients\(^{(6)}\) were employed. The applicability of these diffusion coefficients to the Small Boy calculational study is considered in this report. Fission yields were taken from the fission fraction of the weapon yield and Miller's\(^{(7)}\) energetics description. Fission product contents of each particle size fraction and the amount of fission products remaining in the gas phase 9.8 sec after the detonation are available as output of the Korts and Norman\(^{(4)}\) calculational system.

An analysis of the calculated fission product inventories in terms of fractionation factors has been attempted for certain fission product isotopes observed particularly in fallout from the Small Boy event. A listing of elements for which experimental fractionation factors may be available is
### TABLE 1
SMALL BOY PARTICLE SIZE-WEIGHT FRACTION DESCRIPTION
(Each particle size fraction contains 7.82 × 10^7 g fallout)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radius of Particle Size Fraction (cm)</th>
<th>(cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.699400</td>
<td>0.005649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.057880</td>
<td>0.004907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.038825</td>
<td>0.004251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.029201</td>
<td>0.003663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.023182</td>
<td>0.003134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.018987</td>
<td>0.002657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.015867</td>
<td>0.002221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.013454</td>
<td>0.001869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.011511</td>
<td>0.001444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.009917</td>
<td>0.001086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008591</td>
<td>0.000728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.007464</td>
<td>0.000060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2
SMALL BOY TIME-TEMPERATURE HISTORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (sec)</th>
<th>Temperature (°K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>3600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE 3**

FRACTIONATION RATIOS CALCULATED FOR A SIMULATED SMALL BOY SHOT ($\frac{C_i}{F_i} / \frac{C_{95}}{F_{95}}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radioactive Nuclide</th>
<th>Particle Radius (cm)</th>
<th>0.0292</th>
<th>0.00992</th>
<th>0.00313</th>
<th>0.00109</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr-89-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0504</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr-90-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-91-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr-95</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr-97</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo-99</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-103-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-106-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0936</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>1.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag-111-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag-112-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-123</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb-125</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te-127M</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te-129M</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-131-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te-132-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs-136</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs-137-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0396</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0663</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba-140-A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce-141</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr-143</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce-144</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd-147</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*B values include adsorption of gaseous fission products.*
presented in Table 3. These elements are correlated with the value 
$(C_i F_{95}/C_{95} F_i)$, i.e. $r_{i, 95}$, before and after surface adsorption, on assumed 
spherical particles, of the fission products still gaseous at $800^\circ K$, 9.8 sec 
after detonation, where $C_i/C_{95}$ is the ratio of concentrations in given size 
fallout particles of the isotope $F_i$ and $Zr_{95}$, divided by the ratio of total 
yields of the two isotopes $F_i/F_{95}$. The values presented in Table 3 corre-
spond to a time when all precursors of the particular isotope have decayed 
to the isotope $F_i$ or $Zr_{95}$ but only negligible decay of these isotopes has 
occurred. Behavior of the gaseous fission products may well be more 
complicated than that of adsorption on the total particle surface area of the 
nonvolatile products of decay; for instance, some particle-cloud detachment 
may occur before many volatile precursors decay appreciably. For these 
types of phenomena, the fallout model could be made more complex to handle 
the problem. Nevertheless, in the model's present state it is interesting 
to compare published fractionation ratios with the calculated values for 
Small Boy. A comparison to Small Boy data of Crocker, Kawahara, and 
Freiling is presented in Fig. 1. For given nuclides described in this 
figure, slopes of fractionation plots, i.e., slope of log $r_{i, 95}$ vs log $r_{89, 95}$, 
are plotted against the fractionation ratio for the largest of the four particle 
sizes given in Table 3. These two quantities appear to be closely correlated. 
The fractionation ratios are described both before and after adsorption of 
gaseous fission products remaining after 9.8 sec. The circle points include 
the adsorption while the triangle points include no adsorption after 9.8 sec 
following detonation.

An attempt to construct fractionation slopes from the calculated 
fission product sorptions, assuming adsorption after 9.8 sec, is shown in 
Fig. 2. Some of the fission product distributions give well-defined slopes, 
while others are better described as curves. The best slope was obtained 
for a nonlinear fractionation curve. Additionally, whether the curvatures 
of fractionation plots were essentially zero, positive, or negative
Fig. 1. Correlation of experimental and calculated fractionation in Small Boy
Fig. 2. Correlation of calculated and observed fractionation slopes
was designated by open circles, squares, or triangles, respectively. The agreement is good between calculated and experimental slopes, and since the actual Small Boy experimental data exhibit considerable variance, the agreement with the true situation might even be better.

The two plots in Figs. 1 and 2 suggest agreement between some of the basic properties of calculated and actual Small Boy fallout. Another test that can be made is a comparison between calculated and actual variation of the fractionation ratio of Sr$^{89}$ and Zr$^{95}$ with particle size. Figure 3 is a reproduction of the Crocker, Kawahara, and Freiling\(^{(8)}\) experimental data plot with the calculated Small Boy values superimposed. While the calculated fractionation ratios, shown by open circles for adsorption after 9.8 sec and triangles for no adsorption, appear to be somewhat higher for small particles and lower for large particles, there is considerable similarity between the calculated and observed values. There is a trend in the experimental values for the fractionation ratio to become invariant as the particle size increases. The trend might be explained by assuming that these particles do not absorb as much zirconium as the calculational model indicates. Large particles could deplete neighboring gas fields of zirconium and thus not load to the same degree that a small particle in a similar gas field would. A similar problem is noted in the calculational program where large particles do not load strictly on a volume basis. However, this effect is the result of insufficient time for a particle to diffuse zirconium (as an example) to an essentially uniform concentration. This problem may be real and could contribute to a fractionation of zirconium in large particles.

Predictions of the USNRDL radial-distribution model\(^{(9)}\) have been added to Fig. 3 by Freiling\(^{(10)}\) to compare this model to the diffusion-controlled absorption model. Freiling has also added cloud fractionation ratios as if they pertained to 1 μ particles. The diffusion-controlled model appears to provide a better fit to the data than does the radial-distribution...
Fig. 3. Effect of particle size on fractionation ratio using actual data (10) and calculated data
model, but the differences are mainly in magnitudes, not in character. Figure 3 suggests that the diffusion model represents an appreciable advance from the radial-distribution model in predicting fission-product distribution in fallout.

These comparison figures are the basis for optimism concerning the calculated distribution of fission products in fallout using the described method. A further test will be the application of the DELFIC particle distribution calculational system by Tompkins using the particle-fission product inventories calculated at Gulf General Atomic.
HENRY'S LAW CONSTANT MEASUREMENT

A formal report(11) covering the Henry's law constant studies performed at Gulf General Atomic during this contract and previous contracts was given to the International Atomic Energy Agency, describing the transpiration method and equipment used in these studies. This report summarizes the positions taken in the IAEA report and extends the information to the end of the contract period.

Using the method of analysis described by Norman, et al.,(11) cesium transpiration rates at both 1205 to 1208° and 1400°C were measured as a function of dried oxygen carrier-gas flow rate. The cesium sources were two CaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ 1173°C eutectic composition melts, which initially contained 44 µg Cs/g silicate and 5 µg Cs/g silicate (carrier-free Cs-137). The data at ~1200°C are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. The lines represent the asymptotes for large and small log V of the plotted equation

$$\log \frac{BK\lambda}{P_oAD} = \log \frac{\lambda V}{AD} - \log \left(1 - \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda V}{AD}\right)\right)$$ (1)

as derived by Merten,(12) where K is the transpiration rate (cpm/min), V is the carrier-gas flow rate (cm³/min), D is the interdiffusion coefficient (cm²/min), A is the capillary area (cm²), λ is length (cm), P₀ is the equilibrium cesium pressure (atm), and B is a factor that converts cpm to cm³ atm. The asymptotes are:

as V → 0,

$$\log \frac{BK\lambda}{P_oAD} = 0$$ (2)

and as V → ∞,

$$\log \frac{BK\lambda}{P_oAD} = \log \frac{\lambda V}{AD}$$ (3)
Fig. 5. Study of the transpiration of cesium from the 44 μg Cs/g CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ eutectic at 1205°C using O$_2$ carrier gas
Equation (3) can be reduced to

$$\log \frac{BK}{P_0} = \log V,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

from which experimental $K$ and $V$ data provide a measure of $P_0$. The studies are made with slightly different capillaries, thus the apparent diffusion coefficients $DA/\lambda$ differ.

To obtain Henry’s law constants from these data, the factor $P_0/B$ (atm cpm/cm$^3$ atm) must be divided by the specific activity of the melt, $S$ (cpm/g silicate), giving $P_0/SB$ [atm/(cm$^3$ atm/g silicate)]. Then $SB$ can be related to a cesium concentration $C$ (g Cs/g silicate) through the perfect gas law

$$\frac{SBM}{RT} = C,$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $M$ is the molecular weight (g/mole) of the cesium gaseous species (assumed monatomic), $R$ is the gas constant (cm$^3$ atm/°K mole), and $T$ is the temperature (°K). Thus, the Henry’s law constant (atm g silicate/g Cs) is

$$H = \frac{P_0}{C} = \frac{P_0 RT}{BSM}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

The particularly noteworthy point is that only the specific activity $S$ of the silicate melt is necessary to derive the Henry’s law constant from the experimental data, and not the cesium specific activity itself.

To test Henry’s law for this chemical system, the value of the transpiration ratio $K/V$ for the asymptotic line of Eq. (4) was substituted into Eq (6) for $P_0/B$, obtaining

$$H = \frac{KRT}{VSM}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

If the transpiration ratio $K/V$ (cpm/cm$^3$) is plotted on a log-log basis at a constant temperature against the silicate specific activity $S$ (cpm/g silicate), and a unit slope of the line through the data is obtained, the validity of Henry’s
law for the system is proved. These ~1200°C data are shown in Fig. 6 and the points provide unit slope within experimental error. Additionally, Fig. 6 shows data taken at 1400°C which also provide confirmation of Henry's law. Note that deviations from the expected unit slope are similar for both sets of data.

Thus, a confirmation of the anticipated chemistry of evaporation of cesium from a dilute cesium solution in the CaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ melts has been obtained from these data. That is, the degree of cesium association in the melt and the gas phase appear to be the same (i.e., probably one cesium for each gaseous cesium species, and one cesium for each solution species), and the solution is dilute enough so that solute cesium species are not affected by the presence of other solute cesium species. The vaporization reaction can be written as

\[ Y + \text{CsX(sol)} \rightarrow \text{CsY(gas)} + X. \]  

(8)

Attempts to define X and Y of Eq. (8) have not been too successful. It seems highly probable that \( \text{CsX(sol)} \) can be considered to be \( \text{Cs}^+(\text{sol}) \). Studies have been made to try to clarify the nature of \( \text{CsY(gas)} \). Transpiration studies similar to those shown in Figs. 4 and 5 have been made using argon in place of oxygen and water-saturated oxygen in place of oxygen. These tests were designed to find out, first, the oxidation state of the gaseous species,

\[ \text{Cs}^+(\text{sol}) + 1/2 \text{SiO}_3^-(\text{sol}) \rightarrow \text{Cs(g)} + 1/2 \text{SiO}_2(\text{sol}) + 1/4 \text{O}_2, \]  

(9)

and second, whether a volatile hydroxide could be important, thus

\[ 1/2 \text{H}_2\text{O(g)} + \text{Cs}^+(\text{sol}) + 1/2 \text{SiO}_3^-(\text{sol}) \neq \text{CsOH(g)} + 1/2 \text{SiO}_2(\text{sol}). \]  

(10)

For the test to be meaningful, the solution chemistry must be essentially unaltered by the change in oxygen pressure or the presence of water vapor. If this is the case, the change in oxygen pressure should affect the cesium Henry’s law constant according to Eq. (9) and the water pressure should
Fig. 6. Confirmation of the applicability of Henry's law to the system cesium dissolved in eutectic CaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂
affect the Henry's law constant according to Eq. (10). The experiments using these different gases with both levels of cesium concentration in the melts failed to reveal any sensitivity of Henry's law constant for cesium to these reactant gases, which suggests that Eqs. (9) and (10) may not be applicable. But Eqs. (9) and (10), especially (10), have appeared to be very likely candidates for the condensation processes in fallout formation, in fact, in previous reports mass spectrometric data have been presented that strongly indicate cesium atoms to be the important gaseous species.

The position taken concerning the rubidium, which behaves like cesium, (13) and cesium Henry's law constants, is that these values have been measured under conditions applicable to fallout formation situations. These constants have been demonstrated to be unaffected by certain atmospheric changes. Thus, it is satisfactory to use these measured Henry's law constants in describing this quantity for fallout formation calculations.

In addition to these mechanistic considerations, the cesium Henry's law constants measured during these studies can be compared with some values reported previously. (11) The new 1207°C value is $3.6 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{-5}$ atm/g Cs/g silicate, which is about a factor of four higher than reported previously using another eutectic melt, and the 1400°C value is $1.48 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-3}$ atm/g Cs/g silicate, which is about a factor of ten higher than obtained with the other melt.

The transpiration data presented in this report, for the case of cesium, (1) agree with the observations of the lack of rubidium sensitivity to studied carrier-gas compositions, (11) (2) confirm the applicability of Henry's law to solutions of cesium in CaO-Al2O3-SiO2 eutectic melt, and (3) provide a new measure of the value of the Henry's law constant in this melt.
DIFFUSION OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MOLTEN SILICATES

In this report some of the results of a computation of fallout formation using the Norman calculational model and the Korts and Norman computational program are presented. The computation was done to compare the model with experimental observations for the Small Boy detonation. Part of the input for the program is a set of Arrhenius dependences of diffusion coefficients for the transport of fission products in silicate glasses. The diffusivities include both experimentally determined values and predicted values as have been discussed by Winchell and Norman. However, most of these diffusivities pertain to a particular composition in the CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ ternary system, and it was considered necessary to make at least a cursory comparison of the diffusion of some radionuclide in Nevada soil-based glass with that in the CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ glass. The experiment is described below in detail because the composition and behavior of molten Nevada soil have evidently not been studied.

A sample of Nevada soil obtained from the vicinity of the Small Boy detonation was provided by S. Mikhail of USNRDL. The sample weighed ∼1 kg and consisted of particles ranging in size from fine dust to small pebbles. This sample was placed on a clean table, randomly mixed, gathered into a cone, and progressively quartered. A final representative sample weighing ∼20 g was placed in a ceramic assay pot and heated in air for 3 hr at 1000°C to destroy any organic material. The resulting dark red sample was separated into two subsamples: (1) particles of diameter ≥ 3 mm and (2) smaller particles. The finer mesh sample was subsampled using a riffle. A resulting sample was placed in a platinum crucible and carefully heated. At about 1300°C the sample frothed, indicating the presence of some volatiles. After heating at that temperature for ∼1 hr,
the sample was removed from the crucible, powdered in a Diamet mortar, returned to the crucible, and heated for 3 days at 1400°C. The resulting dark glassy material was recovered from the crucible and a representative specimen was mounted in plastic and polished. Microscopic examination of this specimen showed a highly vitreous matrix containing a few small bubbles and some small crystals that may have been quartz. It is estimated that the preparation was at least 95% vitreous in character. The density of this glass was found to be 2.40 g cm$^{-3}$, which is a typical value for refractory glass. A partial chemical analysis of the glass was made, and in Table 4 the results are compared with the composition of the 1450°C eutectic of the CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ ternary system, which is the reference matrix used for diffusion studies in this laboratory. The composition of the eutectic glass differs from that of the Nevada glass principally in the CaO content. This difference is lessened if one considers that Mg behaves similarly to Ca in these glasses and that the equilibrium, Fe(III) + Fe(II), favors ferrous iron in high-temperature acidic silicates, thus increasing the RO content. The differences in silica content of these glasses might become important in the diffusion of glass-forming species such as Sb, but the differences are of second-order importance for the diffusion of the majority of the fission product nuclides. In any case, the initial results obtained for the diffusion of radiocesium in the Nevada matrix are similar to values for the eutectic.

**TABLE 4**

COMPOSITIONS OF GLASSES IN WEIGHT PERCENT$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glass</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Fe$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eutectic</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The uncertainty is $\sim$1%.
To compare the diffusion of a radionuclide in the Nevada matrix with that in the eutectic matrix, radiocesium was used as the diffusing species. A representative sample of the Nevada glass was divided, mixed with carrier cesium ($\sim 1\%\text{ Cs (NO}_3\text{)}$), melted, divided, and melted and divided again. This sample was then activated in the Gulf General Atomic TRIGA reactor to yield Cs${}^{134}$. Using the plane source technique, experiments were done at 1683°K and 1612°K. The results are compared with those obtained for the diffusion of radiocesium in the eutectic matrix in Table 5.

**TABLE 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°K)</th>
<th>Nevada</th>
<th>Eutectic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1683</td>
<td>$4.2 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1612</td>
<td>$9.9 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$7.1 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The uncertainty is $\sim 50\%$.

The data in Table 5 indicate that, with respect to the transport of cesium, the two matrices are essentially equivalent. Again, it is felt that this result can be extrapolated to a majority of the fission product nuclides. It is concluded that, for the purpose of the calculational model, the eutectic matrix is a fair model of the Nevada matrix with respect to diffusion of radionuclides.

The experimental approach to the problem of diffusion in molten silicates has been extended by studying the simultaneous diffusion of several nuclides. Although the technique is still in the developmental stage, it shows considerable promise and a few data have been obtained. The apparatus consists of a water-cooled, high-vacuum, copper jacket with a removable base that supports water-cooled power leads for the furnace and thermocouple lead-throughs. The power leads are brazed to adjustable copper clamps that hold a platinum ribbon resistance element.
is indented by use of a special conical tool, and the glass sample is melted into the 70° cone. More glass is then melted onto the sample until the final sample closely approximates the solid figure generated by revolving a 35° sector of a circle about one of its two circle radii. The conical angle is optimized such that, when enough glass is placed in the cone and it just overflows onto the flat portion of the ribbon, the glass is in essentially the form of a spherical sector. This geometry involves the same mathematical model as that for diffusion from a complete sphere into an infinite sink when dealing with a volatile fission product that is insoluble in platinum. The sample temperature has been determined using a Pt-Pt/10% Rh thermocouple attached to the underside of the cone, and using an optical pyrometer to view the platinum in the vicinity of the cone. An "O" ring establishes the vacuum seal between the copper jacket and the furnace base, which can be removed from the jacket by uncoupling the quick-disconnect fitting.

A diffusion experiment is performed by homogeneously doping the glass sample with ~ 1% UO₂ (U²³⁵-enriched), activating the sample in the Gulf General Atomic TRIGA reactor, allowing the fission products to decay for several days, and then annealing the sample for a known period at a known temperature.

A library of lithium-drifted germanium detector gamma-ray photopeak spectra of fission products accumulated at Gulf General Atomic has been compared to experimental spectra as have Ge(Li) detector fission product spectra reported by Gordon, Harvey, and Nakahara. (15) A good correlation has been found among these spectra, so most of the important peaks are well-identified.

On this basis the isotopes in Table 6 seem reasonable to study by the vaporization method.

In the diffusion experiments it has been found necessary to maintain an ambient pressure of a few hundred microns of air instead of a high vacuum to prevent bubble formation, the cause of which is not well understood. After the annealing period, the glass-containing ribbon is situated...
TABLE 6
CANDIDATES FOR DIFFUSION STUDIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Important Precursors at Ten Days</th>
<th>Energy (MeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mo\textsuperscript{99}</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.181 (0.140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc\textsuperscript{99}</td>
<td>Mo\textsuperscript{99}</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru\textsuperscript{103}</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I\textsuperscript{131}</td>
<td>Tc\textsuperscript{131} (1), Tc\textsuperscript{131} (2)</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te\textsuperscript{132}</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xe\textsuperscript{133} (2)</td>
<td>I\textsuperscript{133}, Xe\textsuperscript{133} (1)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in a precise position above a lithium-drifted germanium detector, and the gamma-ray spectrum is obtained using a 4096-channel analyzer. This system is capable of resolving peaks separated by only ~ 5 keV. The spectrum can be read out as an oscilloscope photograph, as a printed set of channel and signal values, or as an IBM computer tape containing the channel-signal information. In the last case, a computer program affords peak identifications, integration under peaks, and proper background and decay corrections. The volatile fission products that have been found to be tractable so far with this technique include Xe\textsuperscript{133}, I\textsuperscript{131}, Te\textsuperscript{132}, and, to lesser extents, Mo\textsuperscript{99} and Tc\textsuperscript{99}. An example of the results from this technique is shown in Fig. 7 where the logarithm of the tellurium diffusivity in the 1450\textdegree K eutectic is plotted against the reciprocal absolute temperature. These data are described by the equation,

\[
\log_{10} D = 3.24 - 14600 T^{-1},
\]

where D is in cm\textsuperscript{2} sec\textsuperscript{-1}, T is in °K, and the coefficients were found by the method of least squares with unit weighing. This result agrees well with data obtained using an earlier vaporization technique.\textsuperscript{(6)}
Fig. 7. Diffusion coefficients for the transport of fission product Te-132 in the 1450°K eutectic matrix as measured by the new vaporization technique.
The chief merit of the present technique is that it affords the study of the simultaneous transport of several nuclides including those difficult to study otherwise. The method appears to be particularly worthwhile as a means of surveying different kinds of soil.
LEACHING STUDIES

The biological availability of radionuclides from the leaching of silicate fallout particles is an important consideration in the overall problem of fallout. The mechanism of leaching should be understood to the extent that calculations of fission product leaching from particles with concentration gradients may be made. In the previous final report, data for the leaching of iodine from a high-refractory glass were reported. The results indicated that the leaching mechanism was that of desorption. The data reported in this section show that the leaching of sodium from a less refractory glass can be explained by a diffusion-controlled mechanism.

The matrix used for this study was purchased from the National Bureau of Standards in the form of glass spheres (Standard Reference Material 1019). The composition of this glass is similar to that of window glass. The sample was screened using a set of standard sieves and four subsamples were chosen. These samples are described in Table 7.

TABLE 7
GLASS SAMPLES USED FOR LEACHING STUDIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Number of Particles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.59 - 2.36</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.36 - 1.65</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.65 - 1.17</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.17 - 0.89</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prior to weighing, the samples were inspected for foreign material and briefly washed with distilled water and dried. Irregular shaped or inhomogeneous particles were discarded. After weighing, the samples were
irradiated with neutrons in the Gulf General Atomic TRIGA reactor for 1/2 hr at 250 kW. A multichannel gamma analysis to 2 MeV showed peaks at 0.51, 1.37, and 1.73 MeV, which can be attributed to activated Na (pair production, primary $\gamma$, double escape from 2.75 $\gamma$) in the glass. The samples were placed in double-thickness Whatman No. 44 filter papers that were held in funnels equipped for aliquoting from the tip. Colorado River tap water with a pH of $\sim$ 8.2 was used as the leachant. Periodically, 10 ml of leachant was added to the glass samples after draining the previous aliquot, which was integrally gamma-counted from 0.4 to 2.0 MeV.

Leaching was done at room temperature without agitation. The pH of the leachant remained constant throughout the leaching periods. The overall leaching period was $\sim$ 30 hr.

The results are shown in Fig. 8 where the total amount of activity leached per particle is plotted as a function of the square root of the time. Referring to this figure, it is seen that samples B, C, and D exhibited a short lag period but that the early loss by sample A was rapid. After this period, the losses are all linear functions of the square root of the time. The results of a least-squares fit of the data to the equation

$$Q = a + b \sqrt{t},$$

where $Q$ is the amount of leached radioactivity per particle in cpm, and $t$ is the time in minutes, are given in Table 8. Referring to Table 8 and Fig. 8, it appears that the leaching mechanism for sample A was different than that of the other three samples. This result is not understood. For samples B, C, and D, the square-root time dependence indicates a diffusion mechanism. As expected, the leaching rate ($b$, cpm min$^{-1/2}$, in Table 8) increases with the particle radius. Also, these three samples showed evidence of etching. The lag period may be attributed to an initially slow attack on the glass surface.
Fig. 8. Leaching of radioactivity from glass spheres by tap water as a function of the square root of the time.
The fractional release of the radioactivity by samples B, C, and D may be considered on the basis of diffusion from a sphere with zero surface concentration. Since less than 1% of the activity was lost, this process is described by (16)

\[ f = \left(\frac{6}{R}\right) \left(\frac{D t}{\pi}\right)^{1/2}, \]

where \( f \) is the fractional release, \( R \) is the radius, \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient, and \( t \) is the time. Radius-corrected leaching "rates" are presented in the last column of Table 8 as \( b/R^2 \). From these data and initial specifications the average value of \( D \) that would be associated with the sodium ion was calculated to be \( 2.2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2\text{ sec}^{-1} \). While it is not certain that sodium ion migration is indeed the rate controlling process, these data certainly suggest this to be the case.

It appears that the leaching of silicates is not a simple phenomenon. In general, the leaching rate depends upon the temperature, the degree of agitation, the pH and nature of the leachant, and the character of the silicate matrix. As an example of the latter, Lőcsei (17) studied the leachability of a \( \text{Na}_2\text{O-CaO-MgO-Al}_2\text{O}_3-\text{SiO}_2 \) system using 10% HCl. The character of the matrices ranged from 100% vitreous to 100% crystalline. His data are described well by an equation of the form

\[ S = ae^{-bx}, \]

TABLE 8
COEFFICIENTS OF EQUATION (12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>Mean Particle Radius (cm)</th>
<th>b/R^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.0644</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.69</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.83</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29
where $S$ is the solubility (g/m²·day), $x$ is the percentage of crystallinity, and $a$ and $b$ are constants. The effect was pronounced, being roughly two orders of magnitude in $S$.

In this laboratory further experiments to clarify the leaching problem are in progress. Fission product nuclides are recoiled into flat silicate glass plates that are then sectioned to determine the penetration distribution. Leaching of other recoiled, whole samples is then performed under a variety of experimental conditions. It is expected that the results of these studies with well-defined fission product distributions will make a realistic approach to this part of the fallout problem possible and will result in a leaching model, which, when associated with the cloud chemistry model, will provide a good description of the fission-product biological activity of silicates.
HIGH-TEMPERATURE MASS SPECTROMETRY

RARE-EARTH OXIDE VAPORIZATION STUDIES

A mass spectrometric investigation of the reaction

\[ MO_2(g) \rightarrow MO(g) + \frac{1}{2} O_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

for Ce, Pr, and Nd has been completed. Attempts to find other dioxides in the rare-earth series have been unsuccessful, probably due to a temperature limitation of the present apparatus of 2300°K. The work has been written up for submission to the International Journal of Mass Spectrometry and Ion Physics.

Information on Ce was obtained by adding CaO(s) to Ce₂O₃(s) and following the reaction

\[ CeO_2(g) + Ca(g) \rightarrow CeO(g) + CaO(g) \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Combining this with previously determined data on CaO(g)\(^{(18)}\) gave the desired information. Four ΔH measurements were made.

Praseodymium was studied three ways: (1) by using the O₂ gas feed - Ag calibration method, (2) by comparison to the CeO₂-CeO ratio, which was present as an impurity, and (3) by adding CaO. The starting Pr material was a black Pr₂O₃ oxide which lost O₂ at about 300°C. The oxide was light green when removed after the experiment; this was probably Pr₂O₃. ΔH was determined four times by (1) and (2), and once by (3).

The O₂ gas feed - Ag calibration method was used for Nd. Light blue Nd₂O₃ was used as the starting material. The sample appeared to be unchanged after the measurements. Four ΔH determinations were made.

Table 9 presents the results obtained for reaction (15).
TABLE 9
RARE-EARTH OXIDE THERMODYNAMICS
(Reaction 15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ kcal/mole $2000^\circ$K</th>
<th>$\Delta S$ eu $2000^\circ$K</th>
<th>% 2nd Bond Energy of 1st$^{(19)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>$73.5 \pm 2.5$</td>
<td>$18.3 \pm 3$</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr (1)</td>
<td>$39.2 \pm 4.6$</td>
<td>$6.3 \pm 3$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr (2)</td>
<td>$40.4 \pm 1.9$</td>
<td>$10.8 \pm 3$</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr (3)</td>
<td>$44.7$</td>
<td>$9.8 \pm 3$</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>$34.1 \pm 5.0$</td>
<td>$8.3 \pm 3$</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Uncertainties were estimated.

The $\Delta S$ for the general reaction (15) has been reported by Searcy$^{(20)}$ to be $17 \pm 2$ eu. This is in good agreement with the Ce data. The Pr and Nd data significantly differ from this and are a cause for concern. Because of this problem, the Pr studies were made in the three mentioned manners. Similar results were obtained as shown in Table 9. Reasons for this difference, if they are not real, can only be suggested. A factor of 100 in the measured equilibrium constant or 15 kcal/mole in the $\Delta H$ measurement would be needed to achieve agreement between Searcy's estimated $\Delta S$ and our measurements. This seems outside our experimental error although a bias in the measured equilibrium constants could conceivably be this big. That is, an anomaly between the efficiency of formation of CeO$_2^+$ and of PrO$_2^+$ and NdO$_2^+$ from neutrals could exist and affect the calibration.

Comparing the second oxygen bond energies from our data with the monoxide bond energies found by White et al.$^{(19)}$ indicates the possibility of more MO$_2$(g) molecules for the rare earths. Attempts should be made to find them if appropriate apparatus becomes available to handle higher temperature oxidizing systems.

For fallout formation, these studies have shown that the rare earths, which, except for Ce and Pr, were treated as the monoxides in the Henry's
law report, \(^{(5)}\) could be generally several orders of magnitude more volatile in a highly oxidizing atmosphere. The rare-earth volatilities probably will be characterized more by the CeO\(_2\) and PrO\(_2\) curves in the Henry's law report than by NdO, PrO, and SmO curves.

**Rhenium and Technetium Oxygen Systems**

A study was initiated to characterize the thermodynamic equilibria involving the gaseous oxides of technetium and oxygen. As a preliminary step in this plan, an investigation of the rhenium-oxygen system was done using two different mass spectrometers and two different furnaces. The mass spectrometers were a Consolidated Electrodynamics Corp. Model 21-703 and an instrument that was partly designed and built at Gulf General Atomic. The former instrument has been described by Norman, Winchell, and Staley, \(^{(21)}\) and the latter instrument has been described by Norman and Winchell. \(^{(22)}\) The two furnaces and methods of operation were essentially identical except that the background contribution from the furnace region in the Model 21-703 instrument was obtained with a movable cell and a fixed slit, and the background contribution in the other instrument was obtained using a fixed cell and a movable slit. In both cases, the iridium or platinum cells, which contained rhenium metal powder, were provided with oxygen inlet systems. The experiments using the Model 21-703 instrument will be described first.

The temperature was measured with a Pt-Pt/10\% Rh thermocouple in contact with the cell base. Temperature gradients were minimized by periodic measurements using an optical pyrometer, followed by power corrections. The important rhenium-containing ions observed were ReO\(_2^+\), ReO\(_3^+\), HReO\(_4^+\), Re\(_2\)O\(_5^+\), Re\(_2\)O\(_6^+\), and Re\(_2\)O\(_7^+\). Appearance potential data were in line with the ReO\(_2^+\), Re\(_2\)O\(_5^+\), and Re\(_2\)O\(_6^+\) ions being fragment ions. Appearance potentials of the parent ions were ReO\(_3^+\):12.4, HReO\(_4^+\):12.6, and Re\(_2\)O\(_7^+\):12.8 eV.
An isothermal O₂ variation experiment was done at 1500 K to clarify the reaction being studied. The results are shown in Fig. 9 where \( \log \left( \frac{I_{O_2}^+}{I_{ReO_3}^+} \right)^2 \) is plotted against \( \log \left( \frac{I_{Re_2O_7}^+}{I_{ReO_3}^+} \right) \). The experimental slope of \( \sim -1/2 \) indicates that the reaction being studied was

\[
Re_2O_7(g) \rightarrow 2 ReO_3(g) + 1/2 O_2(g) \quad (17)
\]

The temperature dependence of reaction (17) was studied with a constant flow of O₂ through the Knudsen cell. Five determinations were made with seven points per determination. The enthalpies were 80.1, 78.0, 74.9, 78.2, and 80.0 kcal mol⁻¹ with an average of \( \Delta H_{1500}^0 = 78.2 \pm 2.4 \) kcal mol⁻¹, where 1500 K was the approximate midtemperature of the experiments. Figure 10 shows a typical enthalpy determination.

An absolute pressure calibration using silver was done and partial pressures of O₂(g), ReO₃(g), and Re₂O₇(g) were determined using the procedure outlined by Inghram and Drowart. The relative cross sections for ionization by electron impact for O₂ and Ag were taken from Otvos and Stevenson, that for Re was estimated as 50, and those for ReO₃ and Re₂O₇ were obtained from the summation rule. The calibration gave partial pressures at 1487 K of O₂: 3.91 × 10⁻⁶, ReO₃: 1.59 × 10⁻⁵, and Re₂O₇: 2.93 × 10⁻⁶ atm. The partial pressures and the enthalpy for reaction (17) yielded a value of \( \Delta S_{1500}^0 = 21.5 \) eu for reaction (17).

Similar experiments with the Re-O system were then done using the Gulf General Atomic instrument, the instrument to be used for the technetium studies. The temperature range and O₂ pressures were equivalent to those used in the foregoing experiments. Temperatures were measured using optical pyrometry and care was taken to avoid axial temperature gradients in the platinum Knudsen cell. The mass spectrum and the appearance potentials of the important ions were essentially identical with those found using the Model 21-703 instrument. Using a constant O₂ inlet pressure, three determinations of the temperature dependence of reaction (17) were done yielding
Fig. 9. Results of the $O_2$ variation experiment.  
Slope $= -1/2; \; T = 1500^\circ K$
Fig. 10. Typical plot to determine enthalpy for the reaction
\[ \text{Re}_2\text{O}_7 \rightleftharpoons 2\text{ReO}_3 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 \]
an average enthalpy of $\Delta H^0_{1500} = 108.0 \pm 4.4$ kcal mole$^{-1}$. Two calibrations of the absolute partial pressures with silver gave a free energy for reaction (17), which was in agreement with that found with the Model 21-703 instrument. However, the average entropy for reaction (17) was found to be $\Delta S^0_{1500} = 45.2$ eu.

Even considering the uncertainties in the two enthalpy values, there is a large difference between the two entropy values for reaction (17) at 1500°K. The following calculation of the entropy for reaction (17) was made. Using Coughlin's(25) values of the free energy and enthalpy of formation of Re$_2$O$_7$(g) at 1500°K with the values of $S^0_{1500}$ for Re(s) reported by Stull and Sinke(26) and for $O_2$(g) given in the JANAF tables, an entropy of $S^0_{1500} = 172.4$ eu was calculated for Re$_2$O$_7$(g). Then, assuming that the JANAF value of $S^0_{1500}$ reported for WO$_3$(g) is similar to that of ReO$_3$(g), an entropy of $\Delta S^0_{1500} = 53.9$ eu is found for reaction (17). This value is in fair agreement with the larger experimental value of $\Delta S^0_{1500} = 45.2$ eu.

Although the higher entropy values for reaction (17) would seem more appropriate, there is strong experimental evidence that the lower value is better. It was stated in the foregoing that the background signals were measured by two distinctly different methods. The contributions to the total ion signal of the species vaporizing from areas adjacent to the cell orifice may be distinguished from the orifice signal with the Model 21-703 instrument. This was not possible with the other instrument. For the Re-O system, the corrections involved were large and temperature-dependent. It is felt that this problem was evinced in the two different enthalpies that were obtained and, hence, in the different entropies.

Although the Tc-O system was expected to present experimental difficulties similar to those found with the Re-O system, a cursory study of the Tc-O system with the Gulf General Atomic instrument was made. Three peaks (not including $O_2^+$) were observed that could be attributed to neutral gaseous precursors originating in the cell. A mass calibration, which included Hg$^+$, established the identity of these ions as TcO$_3^+$, Tc$_2$O$_5^+$,
and Tc\(_2\)O\(_7^+\) where the uncertainty of the calibration was \(\sim 1\) amu. The appearance potentials of these ions, relative to Hg\(^+\), were then measured and their relative intensities were noted. The appearance potential (12.8 eV) and relative intensity of the Tc\(_2\)O\(_5^+\) ion indicate that this ion could well have as its source a neutral species, Tc\(_2\)O\(_5\)(g). The Tc-O system would differ from the Re-O system by the presence of Tc\(_2\)O\(_5\)(g) under conditions where Re\(_2\)O\(_5\)(g) was not observed. To our knowledge, this is the first mass spectrometric information on the gaseous oxides of technetium.

The appearance potentials found for TcO\(_3^+\) (12.2) and Tc\(_2\)O\(_7^+\) (12.3) are in fair agreement with those for ReO\(_3^+\) (12.4) and Re\(_2\)O\(_7^+\) (12.8).

Because of the experimental difficulties, it was not possible to make an extensive study of the several equilibrium constants involving oxygen and the technetium oxides. However, the approximate temperature dependences of the three oxides at constant oxygen pressure were measured and these results yielded the intensity ratio data shown in Fig. 11. Using these data, it was possible to calculate the reaction enthalpies, which are listed in Table 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>(\Delta H^0_T) (kcal/mole)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_7^+ + \text{Tc}_2\text{O}_5 = 4 \text{TcO}_3)</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_7 = 2 \text{TcO}_3 + 1/2 \text{O}_2)</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_5 + 1/2 \text{O}_2 = 2 \text{TcO}_3)</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_7 = \text{Tc}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{O}_2)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 11. Equilibrium constant dependence upon temperature for gas-phase reactions between technetium oxides.

\[ \text{Tc}_2\text{O}_7(g) = \text{Tc}_2\text{O}_5(g) + \text{O}_2(g) \]

\[ \text{Tc}_2\text{O}_7(g) + \text{Tc}_2\text{O}_5(g) = 4\text{TcO}_3(g) \]
Although there is a large uncertainty inherent in the enthalpies in Table 10, the values appear to be reasonable. The values for the equilibria involving $\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_5(g)$ and $\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_7(g)$ are further evidence for the presence of $\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_5(g)$, the source of the $\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_5^+$ ion. However, in a simple, uncontrolled test of oxygen sensitivity the $\text{Tc}_2\text{O}_5^+$ ion did not respond as would have been expected. It is emphasized that the data leading to these results were derived from a cursory study.

An experiment with the Mn-O system was attempted using the CEC instrument. It was not possible to obtain any data under the experimental conditions used for the Re-O system. However, it was obvious that the Mn-O system is significantly different from the Re-O and Tc-O systems.
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DL-7
Cloud Chemistry of Fallout Formation
Final Report

Calculations of fission-product distribution in fallout for the Small Boy event have been made using a condensed-state diffusion-controlled fission-product absorption model. The properties of calculated fission-product inventories in particles with size distribution supplied correlated reasonably well with properties of actual Small Boy fallout inventories. An evaluation of these inventories using the DELFIC fission-product distribution system is being made.

Henry's law has been demonstrated to be applicable to the system cesium/O₂/CaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ eutectic. The derived Henry's law constants have been shown to be independent of the oxygen pressure (1 ≥ PO₂ (atm) > 10⁻⁴) and of water pressure (0.03 ≥ PH₂O (atm) > 10⁻⁴).

The diffusion coefficients of cesium in Small Boy soil have been measured. These measurements provide some justification for employing diffusion coefficients of fission products in CaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ eutectic for the Small Boy calculations. Studies of diffusion coefficients of fission products created in situ in silicate matrices have been initiated.

Some preliminary fission-product leaching studies have been made. A program for studying the leaching of recoiled fission products from silicates is outlined.

Mass spectrometric Knudsen cell studies have demonstrated the apparent importance of such species as CeO₂(g), PrO₂(g), NdO₂(g), and TcO₃(g) to fallout formation processes.
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