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I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The use of mononitromethane as a rocket fuel, either monopropellant or bipropellant, has long been recognized as offering distinct advantages with respect to performance, storage and handling, toxicity, etc. Yet after approximately 5 years of developmental research at this Laboratory and elsewhere, no successful nitromethane motor has been placed in service. Test motors have been operated satisfactorily, but their development beyond the test stage has been prevented by (a) problem of combustion which necessitates the use of a motor having a very large L and (b) heat and shock sensitivity of nitromethane, which have caused frequent, violent, and unpredictable explosions during motor operations.

This situation indicates the need for considerable fundamental investigation of various phases of nitromethane combustion, combustion catalysis, and its thermal and shock sensitivities. Sufficient information has been obtained concerning the shock sensitivity to permit a reasonably good definition (cf. Ref. 1) of the limits of safety of handling, etc. However, previous studies of the thermal sensitivity of nitromethane have led to conflicting data by various workers, indicating the dependence of their data on their experimental procedure. No clearly defined limits of thermal stability have been reported.

In the investigation described in the present report, an attempt was made to establish the temperature limits of the thermal stability of nitromethane as a first step in the development of fundamental data concerning the combustion as well as the thermal decomposition of nitromethane. The thermal decomposition of nitromethane apparently falls into two categories, the first being the normal decomposition which may be assumed to involve a simple breaking down of the nitromethane molecule, followed by oxidation, leading to normal oxidation, or combustion products. The rates of this mechanism of decomposition are measurable, and the temperature limits have been clearly defined. Second, there exists a high order mechanism by which nitromethane decomposes with detonation violence under certain conditions of heating. It is assumed that this second mechanism, as yet unidentified, gives rise to the unpredictable and often unexplained explosions that have hampered the efforts to develop a successful nitromethane motor. The temperature region in which these detonations occur has also been defined.

II. THERMAL DECOMPOSITION TESTS

A. Bulk Tests

Tests were conducted to determine the temperature region where rapid decomposition of nitromethane occurs. Previous workers have reported temperatures varying from 280 to 1100°C. Lawrence (cf. Ref. 2), using a thin-walled, stainless-steel tube wound with a nichrome electric heating element, recorded bulk temperatures of 288
for the rapid decomposition of nitromethane, Kaplan (cf. Ref. 1) used a thin-walled, stainless-steel bomb heated with a Bunsen flame, obtained bulk temperatures of 318 ± 20°C. McKitchen (cf. Ref. 3) reported an explosion temperature of approximately 410°C for nitromethane in a glass capillary tube heated rapidly in a copper block. These variations in the reported temperature of rapid decomposition of nitromethane indicate the apparent dependence of the results on the apparatus and the heating procedure used.

Apparatus and Procedure. In the present investigation, samples of 1.5 to 2.0 ml of nitromethane, purified by fractional crystallization, were placed in a stainless steel bomb having an internal volume of approximately 8 ml (cf. Fig. 1), and were heated by means of an electrically heated copper block into which the bomb fitted (cf. Figs. 2 and 3). The temperature of the sample was recorded by a thermocouple located within the bomb and attached to a Leeds and Northrup Micromax recorder. The temperature was recorded by a Broom Company Bourdon-type recording gauge connected to the pressure by an oil-filled capillary line. The temperature of the copper block was also recorded by the Micromax, and was automatically controlled by a controller unit attached to the Micromax recorder (cf. Fig. 4). The point of rapid decomposition of the sample was accompanied by a sudden rise in the temperature and pressure of the system (cf. Fig. 5). The bomb was designed to withstand the maximum pressures developed, therefore the system remained closed during the entire period of a test.

Normal Rapid Decomposition of Nitromethane. A series of thirty tests was made with the block held at constant temperature throughout each test. However, the block temperature was varied between tests from 450 to 540°C, and the block temperature of 481°C was the average temperature used for all of the tests made in the present investigation. The average decomposition temperature was 411°C with an average deviation of 18°C and was assumed to be an average block temperature of 481°C with an average deviation of 42°C. It was observed that the hotter block temperatures resulted in slighter lower decomposition temperatures, and this effect was considered to be caused by slight local overheating of the sample at the walls of the bomb. Therefore a plot was made of the decomposition temperature vs. block temperature (cf. Fig. 1) in order to obtain a straight line representing the average point of the trend. Three points were plotted at the following values which represented the average value plus the two points of average deviation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Decomposition Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>481 (average value)</td>
<td>411 (average value)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501 (481 + 20)</td>
<td>419 (411 + 20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>461 (481 - 20)</td>
<td>413 (411 - 20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This line was then extrapolated to the point of zero heat flux in the bomb (i.e., the point at which the temperature of the copper block equals the temperature of rapid decomposition) in order to eliminate the heating effect. The average deviation of the points about this line was 14.6°C. However, it can be seen that the points tend to converge at the point of zero heat flux, as would be expected if the increase in the amount of scatter of the points at higher block temperatures was attributed to local overheating. This method of interpretation gave 431°C for the approximate temperature at which normal rapid decomposition occurs. Although the average deviation of the lower half of the points, as plotted about the line, was 13°C, indicated a deviation of even less than this value at the point of zero heat flux, the final value assumed to the temperature of normal rapid decomposition was 431 ± 5°C, as this value represents only an approximate upper temperature limit at which these decompositions can occur, not an exact physical point.

When this curve is extrapolated to high block temperatures, it gives qualitative agreement with the temperature reported by Kaplan (cf. Ref. 1) and Laurent (cf. Ref. 2) if it is assumed in each case that they had observations of severe local overheating at the walls of their apparatus to temperatures above their recorded bulk temperatures.

Two tests were then made to determine the effect of additives on the temperature of decomposition. In no test did the commercial grade nitromethane used, and in the other nitromethane containing 2% per cent amine (a mixture known to be sensitive to detonation by shock) in either case was the temperature of normal rapid thermal decomposition affected.

In the preceding tests, the rate of heating of the bomb and the time elapsed before decomposition were dependent on the block temperature. In order to determine the effect of the length of time of the test on the temperature of decomposition, the results of these tests were compared with preliminary tests that had been made using a smaller copper block (which gave lower heating rates) and a bomb of approximately 1.5 ml internal volume (cf. Table I). The average time elapsed before decomposition in the tests made with the large copper block was approximately 9 minutes. In the tests made with the small block, the average elapsed time was 18 minutes. However, when a plot was made of the observed decomposition temperature vs. block temperature for the series of tests made with the small block, there was no apparent difference in the temperature of rapid decomposition for a given block temperature as compared with the series of tests made with the large copper block (cf. Fig. 6).

It is therefore reasonable to assume that the effect of the variations in the length of time of the tests is negligible within the limits of reproducibility of these tests.

On the basis of their studies of the rate of decomposition of nitromethane in the gas phase at low pressures, Taylor and Vessalovsky (cf. Ref. 4) reported that rapid decomposition occurred in the region from 330 to 420°C. They determined the fractional laves of nitromethane in this temperature range, and reported the energy of activation to be 41,000 calories. From the values for the half-life and energy of activation an
given by Taylor and Veselovsky, values of the half-life of nitromethane were calculated for various temperatures using the following form of the Arrhenius equation

\[
\frac{t_\frac{1}{2}}{t_\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{T_1}{T_2} \right)
\]

A plot was then made of half-life \( t_\frac{1}{2} \) vs temperature \( T \) (cf. Fig. 7). The sharp decrease in the half-life at approximately 400°C would indicate rapid thermal decomposition in this region. This effect further lends support to the belief that the mechanism of the decomposition above 400°C observed in these tests is similar to that obtained by Taylor and Veselovsky and that this mechanism constitutes the normal rapid thermal decomposition of nitromethane.

In roughly 15 to 20 per cent of the tests, a low-temperature decomposition occurred in the region from 280 to 350°C (cf. Table I and Fig. 6). The reaction was more violent than in other tests, always causing a rupture at some point in the apparatus, and it appeared to be a higher-order reaction resembling a detonation. In the case of normal rapid decomposition, the temperature and pressure rises could be seen to accelerate just before explosion (cf. Fig. 5), but in all detonations no prior increase in the measured rate of decomposition was observed.

This second reaction appears to be that which initiates the unexplained detonations of nitromethane when it is used as a fuel, hence further work was directed toward establishing the temperature limits of this reaction in order to identify its mechanism, if possible, and to study its suppression or elimination. It was considered that the temperature limits of the first reaction were satisfactorily defined and that the first reaction represents the normal thermal decomposition of nitromethane.

Thermal detonation of nitromethane. In the preceding series of tests, the copper block heating unit was maintained at temperatures of 450°C or higher. By keeping the temperature of the block below the temperature for normal decomposition (431°C ± 5°C), it was hoped that the normal rapid decomposition of nitromethane could be prevented, and that the sample would either decompose slowly according to its normal rate of reaction at that temperature, or would detonate. In this manner it would be possible to isolate the detonation reaction from the normal rapid decomposition reaction. A series of tests was carried out in which the copper block was maintained at a temperature of 400°C or below, and the assumption that was made was found to be true (cf. Table III). Four tests were made with the block at 400°C. In two of these, after the temperature became constant, the pressure continued to rise gradually, indicating slow decomposition of the sample. After approximately 30 minutes of heating, the pressure also became constant, indicating that the decomposition was completed, in both cases. In one of these tests, the temperature of the block and hence of the bomb was then raised gradually to above 450°C, but there was no further evidence of reaction, confirming the fact that decomposition was completed.

In two of the tests, the block at 400°C, the sample detonated with sufficient violence to rupture the pressure connection on the bomb at temperatures of 340 and 360°C after approximately 15 minutes of heating.

Three tests were then made with the block at 350°C. In the first of these, the sample was allowed to heat up to 250°C, after which the bomb was removed from the block and allowed to cool for several minutes. The temperature of the sample was recycled several times in this fashion between 150 and 280°C for approximately 1 hour. When the temperature was then raised, the sample detonated at 370°C. In the following two tests, one detonated at 325°C after 16 minutes of heating, and the other decomposed slowly, no violent reaction taking place in over 1 hour of heating.

It was therefore observed that by lowering the block temperature, the normal high-temperature rapid decomposition of nitromethane was prevented, and the frequency of detonations was increased from 15 to 20 per cent to approximately 60 per cent. The upper temperature limit of the nitromethane detonations was also apparently established at approximately 350 to 370°C.

Because of the violence of the detonations, which invariably ruptured the pressure line at some point, the bomb was fitted with a small aluminum blast disk designed to burst at approximately 2000 psi. It was also decided at this point that no further intentionally induced detonation tests would be made with this apparatus.

The critical point of nitromethane. In all of the tests using the thermal decomposition bomb, it was observed that an irregularity always occurred in the temperature and pressure traces at approximately 300°C. When a plot was made of \( \log p \) vs \( 1/T \) for any of these tests, a straight line was produced, corresponding to the vapor pressure of nitromethane, up to the discontinuity at which point the curve deviated from the straight line, and hence marked the transition from a two-phase to a one-phase system. This value is not necessarily the critical point unless the critical density of the material is taken into account. Tests were made, therefore, using various sizes of samples (1.5 to 3.5 ml) in order to obtain values of the transition point for samples having mean bulk densities both greater and less than the critical density (cf. Figs. 8, 9, and 10). The critical point of nitromethane was then obtained by plotting the temperature of the points of transition vs mean bulk densities and extrapolating to the critical density from both sides. This work on the critical point of nitromethane has been reported in Reference 5. The critical temperature of nitromethane was found to be 315°C.

Lower temperature limit of detonation of nitromethane. Plots were made of \( \log p \) vs \( 1/T \) for each of the tests in which a detonation occurred (cf. Fig. 11). In every test the detonation occurred at a temperature above the critical region, in which case the sample was in the single gas phase. From these observations it would appear that the lower limit of nitromethane in the liquid phase or at temperatures below the critical temperature. However, this conclusion does not preclude the possibility of a detonation occurring at a lower temperature if a sample is heated at a lower temperature for a sufficient length of time.

The fact that the plot of \( \log p \) vs \( 1/T \) for each test was a straight line up to the critical point indicated that the pressure measured was the vapor pressure of the sample, and that there was also no measurable decomposition of nitromethane below the critical temperature.

B. Capillary Tube Tests

As was just mentioned, it was decided that no further intentionally induced
detonation tests would be made using the thermal decomposition bomb apparatus. Therefore, in order to study the frequency of detonations at various temperatures, and the possible effect of additives on that frequency, the sealed glass capillary-tube technique was used, making possible a large number of tests in a relatively short period of time and without damage to the apparatus when explosions occurred.

Apparatus and procedure. Pyrex glass capillary tubes, approximately 1.0 mm (D), 0.3 mm (d), and 35 mm long, were partially filled with various sizes of samples and sealed. They were then dropped into holes in a small brass block maintained at a fixed temperature by nichrome heating coils which were controlled by a Leeds and Northrup Micromax recording potentiometer and controller unit (cf. Figs. 12 and 13). The time required before explosion was measured with a stop watch. The upper end of the capillary tube was exposed when resting in the block, and could be observed visually through a safety-glass window during the test.

Over two hundred tests were made at block temperatures varying from 300 to 450°C. The temperature limits for the normal decomposition of nitromethane as determined by the bomb method were substantiated. The critical point as previously determined was also confirmed, for the disappearance of the meniscus in the capillary-tube tests was observed visually to occur at approximately 300°C. However, the method was not satisfactory for studying the frequency of detonations, inasmuch as the evidence for actual detonation, not merely pressure failure of the capillary tube, was inconclusive. An actual detonation was evidenced only by a sharp cracking sound which could be distinguished audibly from a less violent popping noise made in the case of a pressure failure or a normal rapid decomposition explosion. This distinction is so only on a qualitative basis; hence it is not conclusive evidence for every individual test.

Upper temperature limit of nitromethane decomposition. The heating time required before explosion was increased for each temperature (cf. Table IV). A plot of the total time of heating vs. temperature of heating block (cf. Fig. 14) shows a smooth curve with a sharp decrease in time in the region from 380 to 420°C. This curve corresponds, in form, very closely to the plot of half-life of nitromethane vs. temperature as given in Figure 7. Hence it is evident that most of the explosions which occurred in the capillary-tube tests were caused by the normal rapid decomposition of nitromethane, not by the detonation mechanism. The upper temperature limit of stability of nitromethane, as determined in the thermal decomposition bomb tests, is also corroborated by this curve.

Lower temperature limit of nitromethane decomposition. In the tests at temperatures of 400°C or higher, as listed in Table IV, an average deviation of 15 to 20 per cent in the average time of explosions was obtained. In contrast with this finding, the average deviation of the tests at temperatures between 300 and 380°C was between 50 and 75 per cent. It may be deduced from this fact that the rate of decomposition at the higher temperatures was so great that actual adiabatic explosion of the nitromethane occurred, and that the time of explosion of the tube was essentially independent of the variations in the strengths of the various tubes used. At the lower temperatures, normal isothermal decomposition of the nitromethane occurred, causing a uniform rate of pressure rise. In this case the explosion of the tube resulted from a pressure rupture which was dependent on the variations in the strengths of the individual tubes, hence giving rise to the marked increase in the average deviations of the time of explosion.

At temperatures below 350°C the rate of decomposition became so slow that the time which elapsed before explosion and the average deviations of that time became too great for the tests to be practicable. The decomposition of the nitromethane in the capillary tubes could be observed visually by the darkening of the color from water-white to brown and ultimately black. At 330°C there was no appreciable coloring of the samples for approximately 4 minutes, after which they began to darken quite rapidly. At 320°C a period of 4 to 10 minutes of heating was required before color appeared, but at 300°C no evidence of color appeared after 15 minutes of heating.

The lower limit of normal decomposition of nitromethane cannot be given as any fixed temperature, but on the basis of all the preceding tests it is evident that the rate of decomposition becomes insignificant in the region of 300 to 320°C, and that the normal handling of nitromethane is apparently safe up to these temperatures.

III. CONCLUSION

A. Rapid Thermal Decomposition of Nitromethane

On the basis of the tests made, it is concluded that the normal thermal decomposition of nitromethane does occur at rates similar to those determined by Taylor and Vesselovsky in their low-pressure, gas-phase studies of nitromethane. At 300°C the half-life of nitromethane is 30 days, according to the data of Taylor and Vesselovsky, and in the tests described in this report there was no evidence of observable decomposition at this temperature. Above 300°C nitromethane decomposes slowly, but the rate does not become appreciable until a temperature of approximately 350°C or above is reached. From 350 to approximately 400°C nitromethane will decompose slowly and completely according to its normal rate of decomposition. Above 400°C the rate of decomposition is high enough to elevate the bulk temperature of the material because of the heat liberated by the decomposition; hence the decomposition rate becomes autocatalytic, and the sample will decompose with explosive violence. A temperature of 431°C was found to represent the upper limit at which these normal rapid decompositions of nitromethane could occur. In other words, nitromethane cannot be heated to temperatures above this limit, but will decompose completely, at rates dependent on the temperature and rate of heating, before this temperature is reached.

B. Thermal Detonation of Nitromethane

Between 300 and 360°C nitromethane occasionally detonates. Apparently such detonations occur only in the simple gaseous phase state above the critical point. Also, no measurable decomposition of the nitromethane takes place before detonations occur. These facts indicate that the detonation mechanism is initiated by the very earliest-formed products of the normal decomposition mechanism. This possibility is further substantiated by the fact that no detonations occurred after appreciable decomposition of the nitromethane had taken place. Therefore, it is proposed that the thermal detonations of nitromethane may be caused by one, or several, of the initial decomposition products or fragments (e.g., free radicals such as CH₃ or N₂, etc.) formed in
the temperature regions in which the normal decomposition of nitromethane takes place at a very slow rate, and that at higher temperatures the higher rate of normal decom-
position of nitromethane prevents the existence of conditions favorable to the
occurrence of detonations.

Figure 15 gives a summary of the effects of various respects of temperature on the
state of nitromethane.

C. Future Studies

Further tests will be made to identify, if possible, the substance or substances
which initiate the detonation mechanism, and to establish the mechanism itself. If
this information can be obtained, a logical approach could then be made toward
eliminating or substantially reducing the frequency of detonations, either by mecha-
nical design or by the use of a chemical suppressant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Sample Size (mL)</th>
<th>Material Used</th>
<th>Temp of Block (°C)</th>
<th>Temp at Which Rapid Decomposition Occurred (°C)</th>
<th>Elapsed Time (min)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Purified NM</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>474</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>459</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>460</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>454</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>460</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>465</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>465</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>450</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>464</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>465</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>468</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>451</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>475</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>510</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>487</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>504</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>530</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>526</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>538</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>523</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>505</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>524</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>507</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>470</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>485</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>453</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Purified NM</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2% aniline</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>crude NM</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE II

**PRELIMINARY SERIES OF THERMAL DECOMPOSITION BOMB TESTS, USING A SMALL-SIZE COPPER BLOCK HEATING APPARATUS HAVING A SLOWER HEATING RATE THAN THE MAIN APPARATUS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Sample Size (ml)</th>
<th>Material Used</th>
<th>Temp of Block (°C)</th>
<th>Temp at Which Rapid Decomposition Occurred (°C)</th>
<th>Elapsed Time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>purified NH</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>471</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>471</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>468</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>460</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>466</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>482</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE III

**THERMAL DECOMPOSITION BOMB TESTS WITH A HEATING BLOCK HELD AT TEMPERATURES BETWEEN 350 AND 400°C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Sample Size (ml)</th>
<th>Material Used</th>
<th>Temp of Block (°C)</th>
<th>Temp at Which Rapid Decomposition Occurred (°C)</th>
<th>Elapsed Time (min)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>purified NH</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>detonation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>detonation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>detonation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>detonation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE IV

**TESTS MADE BY HEATING NITROMETHANE IN SEALED GLASS CAPILLARY TUBES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature of Block (°C)</th>
<th>Number of Tests</th>
<th>Average Time of Explosion</th>
<th>Average Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.7 sec</td>
<td>± 1.0 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.8 sec</td>
<td>± 1.6 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.5 sec</td>
<td>± 1.2 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.6 sec</td>
<td>± 1.6 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.1 sec</td>
<td>± 1.6 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.4 min</td>
<td>± 1.8 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.4 min</td>
<td>± 5.5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16 min</td>
<td>± 8 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25 min</td>
<td>± 14 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35 min</td>
<td>± 12 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2
Thermal Decomposition Bomb and Copper Heating Block, Disassembled

Figure 3
Thermal Decomposition Bomb and Copper Heating Block in Operating Position

Figure 4
Diagram of Thermal Decomposition Apparatus
Figure 5. Representative Test of Nitromethane in Thermal Decomposition Reactor.

Figure 6. Temperature at Which Rapid Decomposition Occurred vs Temperature of the Heating Block.

Figure 7. Half-Life of Nitromethane vs Temperature.
Figure 8. Vapor Pressure of Nitromethane

Figure 9. Pressure Effect on the Critical Region of a Sample of Nitromethane Having a Mean Bulk Density Less Than the Critical Density
Figure 10. Pressure Effect on the Initial Heating of a Sample of Nitromethane Having a Mean Bulk Density Greater Than the Critical Density.

Figure 11. Log p vs 1000/T for Some of the Samples of Nitromethane Which Detonated.
Figure 12
Brass Heating Block for Glass Capillary Tube Tests

Figure 13
Brass Heating Block Enclosed in Shield

Figure 14
Time Before Explosion vs Temperature for Nitromethane Capillary Tube Test

Figure 15
Temperature Scale of Nitromethane
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