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Software Architecture

- The quality and longevity of a software-reliant system is largely determined by its architecture.
- Recent US studies identify architectural issues as a systemic cause of software problems in government systems (OSD, NASA, NDIA, National Research Council).

Architecture is of enduring importance because it is the right abstraction for performing ongoing analyses throughout a system’s lifetime.
Software Architecture Thinking

- High-level system design providing system-level abstractions and quality attributes, which help in managing complexity
- Makes engineering tradeoffs explicit
Quality Attributes

Quality attributes
- properties of work products or goods by which stakeholders judge their quality
- stem from business and mission goals.
- need to be characterized in a system-specific way.

Quality attributes include
- Performance
- Availability
- Interoperability
- Modifiability
- Usability
- Security
- Etc.
Central Role of Architecture

IMPLEMENT AND EVOLVE

DESIGN

IMPLEMENT

ARCHITECTURE

SATISFY

CONFORM

SYSTEM

BUSINESS AND MISSION GOALS

SATISFY
Our View:
Architecture-Centric Engineering

• explicitly focus on quality attributes
• directly link to business and mission goals
• explicitly involve system stakeholders
• be grounded in state-of-the-art quality attribute models and reasoning frameworks
Advancements Over the Years

- Architectural patterns
- Component-based
- Company specific product lines
- Model-based
- Frameworks and platforms
- Standard interfaces
What HAS Changed?

- Increased connectivity
- scale and complexity
- decentralization and distribution
- “big data”
- increased operational tempo
- mismatched ecosystem tempos
- vulnerability
- collective action
- disruptive and emerging technologies
Technology Trends
Software Development Trends

- Application frameworks
- Open source
- Cloud strategies
- NoSQL
- Machine Learning
- MDD
- Incremental approaches
- Dashboards
- Distributed development environments
- DevOps
Technical Challenges
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At the intersections there are difficult tradeoffs to be made in structure, process, time, cost, and assurance.

Architecture is the enabler for tradeoff analyses.
Architecture and Accelerated Capability

How much architecture design is enough?

Can architecture design be done incrementally?

There is a difference between being agile and doing agile.

Agility is enabled by architecture – not stifled by it.

Managing technical debt is key.
Managing Technical Debt*

A design or construction approach that's expedient in the short term but that creates a technical context that increases complexity and cost in the long term.

Some examples include:

- Continuing to build on a foundation of poor quality legacy code
- Prototype that turns into production code
- Increasing use of "bad patches," which increases number of related systems that must be changed in parallel

Hitting the Sweet Spot

- Total cost
- Cost of delay
- Cost of rework

Many small increments vs. Few large increments

Architecture Increments
Technical Debt Landscape

“invisible results of past decisions about software that negatively affect its future…deferred investment opportunities or poorly managed risks”

Making Hard Choices about Technical Debt

In the quest to become market leader, players race to release a quality product to the marketplace.

The Hard Choices game is a simulation of the software development cycle meant to communicate the concepts of uncertainty, risk, options, and technical debt.

Do you take the time to gather more tools or do you take a shortcut?
Current Research

What code and design indicators that correlate well with project measures allow us to manage technical debt?

1. time technical debt is incurred
2. time technical debt is recognized
3. time to plan and re-architect
4. time until debt is actually paid-off
5. continuous monitoring

---

**Dataflow Diagram:**

- **Detection Plugin**
  - Project Artifacts (defects, effort)
  - Source Code (C, Java, Cobol, etc.)
  - Design Artifacts (arch models, requirements)

- **Dataset**
  - Eclipse IDE

- **Visualization**
  - TD Dashboard

**Analyzers** (e.g., SonarQube, CAST, Lattix)
Architecture Done Incrementally

- Bolsa Mexicana de Valores (BMV) operates the Mexican Financial Markets on behalf of the Mexican government.
- Bursatec is the technology arm of the BMV.
- BMV desired a new stock trading engine to drive the market.
- BMV performed a build vs. buy analysis and determined that Bursatec would replace their three existing trading engines with one in-house developed system.

Bursatec committed to deliver a trading engine in 8-10 quarters.

- High performing
- Reliable and of high quality
- Scalable
Approach

Team Software Process (TSP) and Architecture-Centric Engineering
Project Challenges

- Measuring, planning, estimating, and tracking architectural design activities
- Integrating architectural design activities with iterative/incremental development models and TSP
- Improving the as-practiced fidelity of the architecture development process
- Measuring the benefits and ROI for architecture practices
Effort in Percent over Cycles – 1

Cycle 1 – 14 Weeks

- Reqs: Requirements
- HLD/Arch: High level Design / Architecture
- DLD: Detailed Design (UML)
- Code: Coding (no detailed design)
- Test: Testing
Effort in Percent over Cycles – 3

Cycle 3 – 18 Weeks

Reqs: Requirements
HLD/Arch: High level Design / Architecture
DLD: Detailed Design (UML)
Code: Coding (no detailed design)
Test: Testing
The fourth cycle of three weeks was used to rethink garbage collector handling and cleaning up.

No effort data was collected during that time.
Effort in Percent over Cycles – 5

Cycle 6

- Reqs: Requirements
- HLD/Arch: High level Design / Architecture
- DLD: Detailed Design (UML)
- Code: Coding (no detailed design)
- Test: Testing
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latency</td>
<td>1ms</td>
<td>0.1ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughput (transactions per second)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule (months)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality (defects/KLOC found during validation testing)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deployment Challenges

The **DevOps** movement continues what Agile started.
DevOps: State of the Practice

Focus is on

- Culture and teaming
- Process and practices
- Value Stream Mapping
- Continuous Delivery practices
- Lean Thinking
- Tooling, automation and measurement
  - Tooling to automate repetitive tasks
  - Static analysis automation for monitoring architectural health
  - Performance dashboards
DevOps and Architecture

Design decisions that involve deployment-related limitations can blindside teams.
DevOps Tips

- Don’t let designing for deployability be an afterthought
- Establish monitoring mechanisms
- Leverage measurable deployability quality attribute
- Align design with concrete requirements and response measures
- Use design abstractions to reason about implications of design options and trade-offs
- Consider design tactics that promote modifiability, testability, and operational resilience
Scale and Architecture

- Cloud strategies
- Cloud strategies for mobility
- Big data

“Scale Changes Everything”
Two Perspectives of Software Architecture in Cloud Computing

Two potentially different sets of business goals and quality attributes
Cloud Computing and Architecting

- SLAs cannot prevent failures.
- In cloud environments
  - Cloud consumers have to design and architect systems to account for lack of full control over important quality attributes
  - Cloud providers have to design and architect infrastructures and systems that provide the most efficient way to manage resources and keep promises made in SLAs
Mobile Device Trends
Architecture Trends: Cyber-Foraging

- **Edge Computing**
- Using external resource-rich surrogates to augment the capabilities of resource-limited devices
  - Code/Computation Offload
  - Data Staging
- Industry is starting to build on this concept to improve mobile user experience and decrease network traffic
- Our research: cloudlet-based cyber-foraging – brings the cloud closer to the user
Big Data Systems

- Comprise two very distinct but related technological thrusts
  - Data analytics
  - Infrastructure for storage and processing

- Analytics is typically a massive data reduction exercise – Data to Decisions
  - Input: high volume, low information density
  - Output: Low volume, high information density

- Computation infrastructure necessary to ensure the analytics are
  - Fast
  - Scalable
  - Secure
  - Easy to use
Big Data – State of the practice
“The problem is not solved”

Building scalable, assured big data systems is hard

Building scalable, assured big data systems is expensive
Big Data Survey

55% of Big Data projects are not completed.

When it comes to Big Data projects, the most significant challenge:

- 58% Inaccurate Scope
- 80% Finding Talent
- 76% Finding the Right Tools
- 73% Understanding
- 66% Education

Top Requirements of Big Data Solutions:

- #1 Ease of Management
- #2 Ability to Scale

http://visual.ly/cios-big-data
Architecture and Big Data

- System costs must grow more slowly than system capacity
- Approaches
  - Scalable software architectures
  - Scalable software technologies
  - Scalable execution platforms
- Scalability reduces as implementation complexity grows
- NoSQL Models are not created equal
Our Current Research

- Lightweight Evaluation and Architecture Prototyping for Big Data (LEAP4BD)
- QuABase: A Knowledge Base for Big Data System Design
  - Semantics-based knowledge model
    - General model of software architecture knowledge
    - Populated with specific big data architecture knowledge
  - Dynamic, generated, and queryable content
  - Knowledge Visualization
Software Assurance and Architecture

In safety critical systems more is needed.
High Fault Leakage Drives Major Increase in Rework Cost

Aircraft industry has reached limits of affordability due to exponential growth in SW size and complexity.

70% Requirements & system interaction errors

80% late error discovery at high rework cost

20%, 16% 5x

10%, 50.5% 20x

0%, 9% 80x

20.5% 300-1000x

Where faults are introduced
Where faults are found

The estimated nominal cost for fault removal

Total System Cost
Boeing 777 $12B
Boeing 787 $24B

Software as % of total system cost
1997: 45% → 2010: 66% → 2024: 88%

Post-unit test software rework cost
50% of total system cost and growing

Sources:
Architectural Models

- capture architecture in a form amenable to analysis
- range from informal (e.g., visio diagrams) to formal (e.g., with precisely defined execution semantics)
SAE Architecture Analysis & Design Language (AADL) Standard Suite (AS-5506 series)

- Core AADL language standard (V2.1-Sep 2012, V1-Nov 2004)
  - Strongly typed language with well-defined semantics
  - Textual and graphical notation
  - Standardized XMI interchange format

Standardized AADL Extensions
- Error Model language for safety, reliability, security analysis
- ARINC653 extension for partitioned architectures
- Behavior Specification Language for modes and interaction behavior
- Data Modeling extension for interfacing with data models (UML, ASN.1, ...)
Architecture-Centric Quality Attribute Analyses

Single Annotated Architecture Model Addresses Impact Across Operational Quality Attributes

**Safety Reliability**
- MTBF
- FMEA
- Hazard Analysis

**Security**
- Intrusion
- Integrity
- Confidentiality

**Data Quality**
- Data precision/accuracy
- Temporal correctness
- Confidence

**Resource Consumption**
- Bandwidth
- CPU time
- Power consumption

**Real-time Performance**
- Execution time/deadline
- Deadlock/starvation
- Latency

**Auto-generated analytical models**

Architecture Model
Conclusion

- Foundational software architecture principles persist.
- Change brings new challenges.
- Software architecture practices and research are key to meeting new challenges.
- Much remains to be done.
This is the Work of Many

At the SEI

- Felix Bachmann
- Stephany Bellomo
- Peter Feiler
- Ian Gorton
- James Ivers
- Rick Kazman
- John Klein
- Mark Klein
- Grace Lewis
- Ipek Ozkaya
- Rod Nord
- And many more…
Thanks, Grace!
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