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1. Introduction

For route clearance and force protection, one technology of acute interest to the military is the standoff detection of targets using electromagnetic waves. An implementation of the technology in the form of the forward-looking radar (FLR) has been discussed in various studies within the context of in-road and roadside threat detection. A conventional FLR system—whether operating in, for example, impulse, step-frequency, or frequency-modulated continuous-wave (FMCW) mode—employs ultra-wideband signals to probe and—subsequently—image a scene by exploiting linear scattering responses. Since many prominent classes of targets encountered in theater are electronics-based and give off nonlinear re-radiated responses, recently there has been increased impetus in developing a nonlinear complement to the linear FLR. Nonlinear electromagnetic sensing—possessing its own unique set of implementation challenges notwithstanding—could potentially provide an additional mode of detection and has certain advantages compared to traditional radar technologies. For example, nonlinear detection can be leveraged to aid the extraction of a nonlinear target embedded in linear manmade or natural clutter; and analysis of the target harmonic re-emissions can lead to further opportunities in signature and image classification. The above discussions demonstrate there is the need for understanding the scattering properties of—as well as developing new imaging algorithms for—nonlinear targets and hence establish the motivation for the current study.

The concept of nonlinear radar has been explored within the radio-frequency identification (RFID) community: associated applications range from insect tracking to inventory tagging, wherein localization is usually achieved through direction-of-arrival and time-of-arrival techniques. The subject of nonlinear electromagnetic scattering has been investigated extensively. These works are pertinent to the modeling of nonlinearly loaded antennas, though it is conceivable that the analysis in these studies can be generalized to treat any nonlinearly loaded scatterer; unfortunately, the remote sensing aspect of the problem is not considered in detail—that is, these works do not discuss the exploitation of the harmonic scattering responses for target detection, localization, and imaging. A recent study presented a comprehensive numerical solver for characterizing the multistatic harmonic responses of nonlinearly loaded antenna targets under tone excitation in the presence of a half-space, and thence, proposed a subspace-based direct imaging technique for near-field location estimation of on-surface and buried targets. Image construction in that work is achieved not by wideband beamforming but rather by processing the “narrowband” scattered fields in the subspace domain at a single harmonic or multiple harmonics. The numerical experiment-derived imaging results reported indicate that while accurate target localization—and even “super-resolution”—can be obtained using an aspect-limited transceiver aperture consistent with that of the FLR, the
imaging performance can be rather noise sensitive—which is typical of subspace-based methods. Note that the imaging algorithm described in that work necessitates the use of a fully multistatic scattered field matrix, which may not always be convenient to generate in practical sensing systems.

The current study is envisioned as a companion to the previous study, with the focus on wideband imaging: more to the point, the objective here is to establish a wideband imaging framework based on the nonlinear scattering response that is directly complementary to the one based on the linear scattering response. The algorithm is expected to be equally applicable to all common sensing modes of interest—these include the fully multistatic configuration, as well as monostatic and bistatic ones. The presentation of this work is organized as follows. In Section 2, the conceptual underpinnings of nonlinear scattering theory and the harmonic imaging algorithm are first discussed. Then, in Section 3, numerical experiments are performed to illustrate the nonlinear FLR sensing problem as pertinent to step-frequency systems: viz., in Section 3.1, simulations are carried out using the previous scattering and propagation solver and, in Section 3.2, standoff harmonic imaging results—and interpretations thereof—are put forth for point-like and extended scatterers in free-space and half-space environments. Finally, in Section 4, a summary of this work is given.

2. Theoretical Background

The scene (Fig. 1) with the target (a nonlinearly loaded, perfectly conducting scatterer) is assumed to be excited by infinitesimal electric dipoles at transmitting time-harmonic fields at evenly spaced frequencies \( f \in F = \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_P\} \). Given an induced Norton equivalent short-circuit current \( I_{sc}(f) \rightarrow i_{sc}(t) = a \cos(2\pi ft + \theta) \), the resultant voltage at the scatterer terminal is of the form

\[
v_s(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(f,a)\left[ \cos(2\pi ft + \theta) \right]^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(f,a) \cos(2\pi nft + n\theta).
\]
For small signals, $\beta_n(f,a) \approx a^n \gamma_n(f)$. The dependence of the purely real variables $\alpha_n(f,a)$, $\beta_n(f,a)$, and $\gamma_n(f)$ on the scatterer impedance $Z_s(f)$ and the current-voltage ($i=V$) characteristic of the load ($i(t) = g(v(t))$) is implicit and hence suppressed.

For each instance of excitation at frequency $f$, the target generates harmonic scattered fields $E_s(r, r', nf)$ at frequencies $nf$ ($n \neq 0$): for $n=1$, the steady-state scattered field is the sum of the structural mode $E_{s,0}(r, r', f)$—that is, the response from the scatterer when it is short-circuited—and the re-radiation mode $E_{s,r}(r, r', f)$; for $n > 1$, only the re-radiation mode $E_{s,r}(r, r', nf)$ exists. The re-radiation mode is evaluated by exciting the scatterer with voltage source $V_s(nf)$ at the terminal, where $V_s(nf)$ is the phasor representation for the signal component of Eq. 1 with frequency $nf$.

For a point-like target at $r_s$, the structural mode can be written as

$$E_{s,0}(r, r', f) = G(r, r_s, f) \ell_{s,0}(f) G(r_s, r', f) \ell_{TX}(f),$$

(2)

where $\ell_{TX}(f)$ is the current moment of the transmitter, $\ell_{s,0}(f)$ is the scattering strength of the short-circuited target, and $G(\cdot, \cdot, f)$ is the Green’s function of the environment; the term $G(r_s, r', f)\ell_{TX}(f)$ can be interpreted as equivalent to a scalar incident field $E_{inc}(r, r', f)$. The re-radiation mode can be deduced as follows. First, the short-circuit current is approximated as
in which $l_e(f)$ is the scalar effective length\(^{27}\) of the scatterer as defined by exciting the terminal with a voltage source. Then from Eq. 1, it can be shown that $V_s(nf) = \beta_n(f,|I_{sc}(f)|)e^{j\phi_{sc}(f)}$. In turn, the re-radiated field is

$$E_{r,r',nf}(r,r',nf) = V_s(nf)G(r,r,nf)\ell_{r}(nf) = \beta_n(f,|I_{sc}(f)|)e^{j\phi_{sc}(f)}G(r,r,nf)\ell_{r}(nf),$$

with $\ell_{r}(nf)$ as the induced current moment at the scatterer due to a unity voltage source placed at the terminal. In view of Eqs. 2–4, a phase conjugation approach is proposed to obtain an imaging functional to locate the target at each harmonic order $n$:

$$\Psi_n(r) = \sum_{TX} \sum_{RX} \sum_{F} W(f)E_s(r,r',nf)[G(r,r',f)^nG(r,r,nf)],$$

where $TX$ and $RX$, respectively, represent the transmitter and receiver array spaces (which are assumed to be collocated), $W(f)$ is a spectral window function, and $^*$ denotes the conjugation operation. The above imaging functional is not limited to only low-power (small-signal) operations; it is general enough so that it can accommodate high-power (large-signal) sensing as well—which may be needed to detect targets with very weak nonlinear scattering.

The point spread function characteristics of Eq. 5 for free-space and near-ground (on-surface and shallow-buried) targets can be derived by following the procedure documented previously.\(^{28}\) The FLR sensing geometry (see Fig. 1) affords rather poor resolution in the vertical—or elevation—direction, so the predominant issue of interest here is the behavior of the point spread function across the horizontal plane. In the forward-looking—or broadside—direction, assuming a rectangular spectral window, the first-null down-range and angular cross-range resolutions of $\Psi_n(r)$, respectively, can be shown to be

$$\delta r = \frac{c_o}{n \Delta f};$$

$$\delta \phi = \frac{\lambda_{c,o}}{n L};$$

where $c_o$ is the velocity of the electromagnetic wave in free-space; $\Delta f$ is the bandwidth of $F$; $\lambda_{c,o}$ is the wavelength at the center frequency of $F$; and $L$ is the width of the transceiver array aperture. The results from Eqs. 6 and 7 are valid for a monostatic sensing geometry as well as a bistatic configuration with two end-transmitters (i.e., one transmitter located at each end of the array and receiving over the entire aperture). For a fully multistatic system or a bistatic system...
with only one transmitter, Eq. 6 still holds, but the cross-range resolution is twice of that stated in Eq. 7. A tapered spectral window can be applied to suppress the sidelobes in range—at the expense of degrading the down-range resolution. In practice, note that depending on the nature of the window and the sensing configuration, the cross-range imaging behavior could also be affected. It is important to emphasize that the formulations for the resolution and the observations given above are equally valid for both free-space and near-ground target imaging.

In general, for a non-point-like—or extended—target and an arbitrary vector incident field, Eqs. 3 and 4 should be modified, respectively, as

\[ I_{sc}(f) = E_{inc}(r_s, r', f) \ell_e(f); \]  

and

\[ E_{s,e}(r, r', nf) = \beta_e(f, I_{sc} (f)) e^{jnf L_e(f)} E_r(r, r, nf); \]

where \( \ell_e(f) \) is the vector effective length of the scatterer and \( E_r(r, r, nf) \) is the radiated field pattern of the scatterer when excited with a unity voltage source. Also note that the structural mode for an extended target can be written as a superposition of responses from point-like scatterers. To obtain the most general treatment, the framework above can be expressed in terms of the dyadic Green’s function for the vector field problem; nevertheless, it is seen that it is sufficient to evaluate the imaging functional (Eq. 5) by using the dominant field and Green’s function components of interaction.

3. Numerical Experiments

Scattering and imaging simulations are performed in this section to illustrate the formalism established in the previous section.

3.1 Harmonic Scattering Characterization

The electromagnetic response of the scatterer is computed using a hybrid method-of-moments and harmonic balance approach, together with an asymptotic field propagator. The impedance of the scatterer \( Z_s(f) \) and the short-circuit current at the scatterer terminal \( I_{sc}(f) \) are first characterized in the spectral domain by applying the free-space and half-space mixed-potential integral equation solver. Then—in the circuit domain—a harmonic balance technique is employed for obtaining the terminal voltage response \( V_s(nf) \) of the target connected to the nonlinear load. In essence, after dividing the equivalent circuit for the scatterer into linear and nonlinear sections at the terminal reference plane and applying the standard nodal current law, a
The resultant harmonic currents over the structure are deduced using the integral equation solver once again. Note that for the half-space problem, for the calculation of the dyadic and scalar Green’s functions within the integral equation solver, exact Sommerfeld integrals are used. To increase the computational efficiency, a tabulation-and-interpolation routine is employed for evaluating these integrals. On the other hand, for field scattering and propagation, the second-order-accurate asymptotic approximations to the integrals are exploited instead; such a treatment allows the second-order surface wave component to be included in the solution. The overall simulation framework outlined above closely follows the one delineated previously, where more details and formulations can be found.

### 3.2 Imaging Results and Discussions

A free-space, point-like target is examined first using the framework presented in the above sections. The target is a small vertical dipole element with length \( l \ll \lambda_{\text{min}} \), where \( \lambda_{\text{min}} \) is the minimum wavelength over the harmonic frequency bands used for imaging. The dipole is center-loaded with a diode with \( i(t) = I_o \left( \exp \left( \nu(t)/\nu_i \right) - 1 \right) \), \( I_o = 10 \) nA, \( \nu_i = 26 \) mV. The sensing geometry is as illustrated in Fig. 1: the linear array is composed of 17 equally spaced elements distributed over a 2-m-wide aperture; the center of the array is at \((10,0,2)\) m, with the target situated at the coordinate origin. A bistatic configuration employing a single illuminator is assumed: the illuminator—with transmitted power of \( 10 \) W at all incident frequencies and located at the center of the array—is a vertical infinitesimal electric dipole operating over the frequency band \([300 \text{ MHz}, 1.5 \text{ GHz}]\) in \( P = 401 \) steps. For image generation, the scattered fields up to the fourth harmonic are collected for each frequency. (Here then \( \lambda_{\text{min}} \) corresponds to the wavelength at frequency \( 4f_P \).) Fig. 2 shows \( \Psi_n(r) \) for \( n = 1,2,3,4 \). (The derived imaging theory can be applied to higher orders of \( n \), of course, but for demonstration purposes, only the results for up to the fourth order are included in this work.) The Green’s function \( G(r',r;f) \) within Eq. 5 is calculated asymptotically with the previously derived formulations. The images are constructed without any spectral window and are seen to match the theoretical point spread function very well, with the resolutions as predicted by Eqs. 6 and 7; the down-range and cross-range imaging sidelobe artifacts are also consistent with expectations. It should be noted that the re-radiated field \( E_{s,r}(r,r',nf) \) is of the form \( \propto f^{n+2} \) in the small-signal regime whereas the structural scattered mode \( E_{s,0}(r,r',f) \) is \( \propto f^2 \) (Rayleigh scattering); these frequency dependencies—which can also be readily derived from Eqs. 2 and 4—have been compensated in generating the images in Fig. 2.

The imaging and scattering characteristics of on-surface and shallow-buried point-like targets are similar to those of the free-space case and therefore are not explicitly included here.
A nonlinearly loaded extended scatterer is considered next. The scatterer is a vertical dipole element of length $l = 0.5$ m, center-loaded with a diode; the parameters of the diode and the sensing configuration are the same as those described above. The time and frequency domain backscattering responses at the center array element for up to the fourth harmonic are displayed in Figs. 3–6 for the free-space, on-surface, and shallow-buried scenarios. The image domain
results corresponding to these scenarios are shown in Figs. 7–9. For the half-space problem, the
ground soil has relative dielectric constant $\varepsilon_r = 4$ and conductivity $\sigma = 15$ mS/m, and the target
is positioned directly on top of the surface or buried at 3 cm depth. For the time-domain signal
reconstruction, the step-frequency-based excitation waveform is derived by taking the inverse
Fourier transform of a Blackman spectral window. It is seen that, in these examples, the
dominant contribution to the first-order harmonic return is provided by the structural mode
scattering. As a result, at least according to the frequency-domain plots, the first-order harmonic
response is quite dissimilar from the higher-order ($n > 1$) ones. The reverberations seen in the
first-order harmonic time- and image-domain signals are due to single- and multiple-order
diffractions from the ends of the dipole; these diffraction effects are also noted for the
higher-order harmonic signals, though they are not as well defined as those in the first-order
harmonic case. (In general, a direct correspondence between the peaks of the time-domain signal
and the maxima in the image may not be readily observable in these results because of the
complicated diffraction effects; however, an approximate equivalence between the time and
image domain responses does exist for free-space and above-ground targets: it can be shown that
the time-domain response of a transmitter and receiver pair is twice the real part of the complex
image response contribution due to that pair.)

As evident from Eqs. 8 and 9, note that the re-radiation mode is spectrally modulated by the
radiation characteristics of the scatterer (as well as by the properties of the nonlinear loading). In
these examples, the “shape” of each set of higher-order harmonic frequency domain responses in
Fig. 6 is largely determined by the functions $\ell_\tau (f)$ and $E_\tau (r, r, nf)$—the variations of both of
which also reflect the resonance properties of the scatterer. This spectral modulation makes it
difficult to identify a specific order of diffraction from the dipole ends in the higher-order
harmonic time and image responses. For the free-space and above-ground target cases, the
higher-order harmonic frequency-domain responses (Figs. 6a and 6b, for $n > 1$) are weaker over
the second half of the band than over the first half since the main lobe of $\ell_\tau (f)$ for the vertical
dipole—which occurs near the broadside direction (or near the plane of the ground) at the lower
frequencies—shifts to higher elevation angles as the frequency increases toward 1.5 GHz; as
such, the induced short-circuit current $I_{sc} (f)$ is reduced over the second half of the band, where
the small-signal regime consequently occurs and, thus, the scattering signal intensity in general
decreases with increasing harmonic number (i.e., $E_{s, r} (r, r', nf) \propto |I_{sc} (f)|^2$). For the buried-target
case, as expected, the scattering signal intensities on average are much weaker as compared to
those of the free-space and on-surface target cases, and the small-signal regime is applicable over
the entire band. Note that the four principal local maxima observed here in the higher-order
harmonic spectral responses (Fig. 6c) correspond to the resonance frequencies of $\ell_\tau (f)$.  
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Fig. 3   Normalized time-domain harmonic scattering responses for diode-loaded, free-space extended target:
  a) n = 1; b) n = 2; c) n = 3; and d) n = 4
Fig. 4  Normalized time-domain harmonic scattering responses for diode-loaded, on-surface extended target: 
a) n = 1; b) n = 2; c) n = 3; and d) n = 4
Fig. 5  Normalized time-domain harmonic scattering responses for diode-loaded, subsurface extended target: a) $n = 1$; b) $n = 2$; c) $n = 3$; and d) $n = 4$
Fig. 6 Frequency-domain harmonic scattering responses for diode-loaded extended target for \( n = 1,2,3,4 \): a) free-space; b) on-surface; and c) subsurface
Fig. 7  Harmonic imaging results for diode-loaded, free-space extended target: a) $|\Psi_1(r)|$; b) $|\Psi_2(r)|$; c) $|\Psi_3(r)|$; and d) $|\Psi_4(r)|$. $F = [300 \text{ MHz}, 1.5 \text{ GHz}]$, transmitted power = 10 W.
Fig. 8 Harmonic imaging results for diode-loaded, on-surface extended target: a) $|\Psi_1(r)|$; b) $|\Psi_2(r)|$; c) $|\Psi_3(r)|$; and d) $|\Psi_4(r)|$. $\text{F} = [300 \text{ MHz}, 1.5 \text{ GHz}]$, transmitted power = 10 W.
Fig. 9 Harmonic imaging results for diode-loaded, subsurface extended target: a) $|\Psi_1(r)|$; b) $|\Psi_2(r)|$; c) $|\Psi_3(r)|$; and d) $|\Psi_4(r)|$. $F = [300 \text{ MHz}, 1.5 \text{ GHz}]$, transmitted power = 10 W.

Although the full-band data are always processed to obtain the results in Figs. 7–9, the images can also be constructed with only sub-band data—that is, for instance, given that a stronger response is observed over the first half of the band for the free-space and above-ground cases, one may choose to generate the images using the signal only from that sub-band; this, of course, would lead to a loss in image resolution.
The standoff sensing method investigated herein is envisioned for use primarily in the short range. From asymptotic analysis, in the small-signal regime, it can be shown that the amplitude of the $n$-th harmonic backscattered field has a dependence of the form $\propto \rho^{-2(n+1)}$, where $\rho$ is the radial distance from the transceiver to the scatterer. The faster propagation attenuation rate of the higher-order harmonic signal intensities as a function of distance requires high power to be transmitted for long range applications.

4. Conclusions

A wideband, step-frequency-based harmonic image construction method has been formulated for the localization of free-space and near-ground nonlinearly loaded scatterers. The nonlinear scattering theory in support of the method has been outlined. Numerical experiments have been carried out to demonstrate the underlying concepts appearing herein. First, the steady-state harmonic scattering responses from point-like and extended targets are characterized using a hybrid frequency-domain full-wave solver, in conjunction with a harmonic balance approach and an asymptotic propagation technique. Then, the harmonic signals are analyzed in the time, frequency, and image domains for targets in free-space and half-space environments. The numerical examples illustrate that the targets are accurately localized by employing a forward-looking bistatic sensing configuration consisting of a single transmitter and a linear array of receivers.

The overall analysis framework established in this study can be applied to investigate target scattering and imaging responses as a function of—for example—incident (or transmitted) power, frequency band of operation, standoff range, and sensing geometry. Although the mode of excitation of interest here is sequential single-tone illumination, the scattering algorithm and the imaging method are expected to be readily extendable to other modes of excitation, such as sequential double-tone and multiple-tone illumination over a wideband.
5. References


