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# Systematic Analysis of the Functional Relevance of Nuclear Structure and Mechanics in Breast Cancer Progression

**Abstract**

Abnormal nuclear shape and structure has long been recognized as a characteristic feature of cancer cells, but the underlying molecular basis and functional consequences have remained elusive. It is now emerging that many breast cancer cells have reduced expression of lamins A/C, which negatively correlates with disease-free survival. To investigate the consequences of altered lamin expression in more detail, we modulated the expression of lamins in a panel of breast cancer cells. By developing and using novel microfluidic devices that mimic the conditions encountered during perfusion through capillaries or migration through interstitial spaces, we demonstrated that nuclear deformability, governed by levels of lamins A/C, constitutes a rate-limiting factor in the ability of cells to pass through openings smaller than the nucleus. Furthermore, we found that metastatic cells can dynamically adjust their nuclear envelope composition during migration through confining 3-D environments, facilitating transit through narrow constrictions. Interestingly, cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C were more prone to repetitive nuclear rupture, which could result in increased DNA damage and chromosomal rearrangements. Loss of lamins A/C also disturbed MKL1/SRF signaling. Our findings could provide novel diagnostic and prognostic markers for the treatment of cancer patients; ultimately, a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying the ability of breast cancer cells to dynamically alter their nuclear envelope composition may lead to the identification of new therapeutic targets.
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Lamins, MCF10A, MDA-MB-231, nuclear stiffness, nuclear shape, cell migration
# Table of Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Research Accomplishments</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reportable Outcomes</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendices</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

The central hypothesis of this proposal was that changes in the expression of nuclear envelope proteins such as lamins or lamin B receptor (LBR) may contribute to the characteristic irregular morphology of cancer cell nuclei and directly modulate cellular functions relevant to cancer progression. Nuclear lamins, particularly lamins A and C, are important determinants of nuclear shape and stiffness.1-3 At the same time, these proteins also interact with various transcription factors, thereby affecting important signaling pathways.1, 4 The purpose of this study was to conduct a systematic analysis of the functional consequences of changes in the expression of lamins (A, B1, B2, and C) and lamin B receptor on nuclear morphology and stiffness, as well as the functional consequences of such changes on cell migration through confined spaces (where more deformable nuclei may facilitate enhanced passage), proliferation, and other cancer related functions. In addition, we proposed to conduct an analysis of samples derived from breast cancer patients and orthotopic mouse models of the disease to assess changes in the expression of nuclear envelope proteins in breast cancer samples.

BODY

Due to the move of the PI from Brigham and Women’s Hospital to Cornell University in 2011 and the associated delays in the transfer of this award to the new institution, and the setting up of the new the laboratory at Cornell, we had requested a no-cost extension to 7/31/2014 that was granted on 3/7/2013. Consequently, this report covers the period from July 1, 2011 to July 31, 2014. Despite the official end of the project this summer, we are committed to continuing our research on this topic. And while the primary hypothesis of altered lamin and LBR expression in breast cancer patients has now been confirmed by us and others,5-7 many open questions remain into the molecular mechanisms by which changes in nuclear envelope protein expression can contribute to cancer progression. Particularly the results outlined in Tasks 3 and 6 hold the promise for revealing additional insights into the role of lamins A/C in breast cancer cells.

Task 1: Acquire a panel of cell lines and patient-derived samples representing various stages of breast cancer progression from benign to metastatic.

For our in vitro studies, we focused our initial efforts on a subset of cell lines to optimize experimental techniques. We started by analyzing the following cell lines: MCF10A (normal mammary epithelial cells), MDA-MB-231 (metastatic breast cancer cells), and MCF7 (non-metastatic breast cancer cells). We successfully modified these cell lines to either overexpress lamins (A, B1, B2, C) and LBR or to stably knockdown the expression of these nuclear envelope proteins. In addition, we obtained an additional panel of ~10 breast cancer cell lines which we have modified to fluorescently label the cell nucleus for use in our cell migration studies (Task 3). Since two recent studies confirming our hypothesis of altered lamin levels in breast cancer patients were recently published,5, 6 including one showing a significant correlation between loss of lamins A/C expression and reduced disease-free survival,6 and we also confirmed these results in primary breast cancer patient tissue samples (see below), we dedicated most of our efforts to investigate the underlying cellular mechanism, rather than characterizing a large panel of cancer cell lines as initially proposed. We have primarily focused on functional results obtained with the MDA-MB-231 cell line in which we modulated expression of lamins, as we believe that it represents the most relevant model for aggressive breast cancer. Nonetheless, as I recently joined the Cornell University Center on the Microenvironment and Metastasis that is part of the National Cancer Institute Physical Science in Oncology (PSOC) initiative, we are continuing to collaborate with other laboratories at Cornell University and Weill Cornell Medical College to confirm our findings in additional breast cancer cell lines and clinical samples.
particular, we established a collaboration with Dr. Linda Vahdat at Weill Cornell Medical College, who has provided us with paraffin embedded tissue sections to assess expression levels of nuclear envelope proteins by immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry. Results from these samples are presented under the section for Task 4.

**Task 2: Modulate nuclear shape and stiffness in a panel of well characterized breast cancer cells and non-tumorigenic controls by stable, ectopic expression of lamins A, B1, B2, C, a dominant negative lamin A mutant, or lamin B receptor (LBR).**

We created a panel of cell lines derived from MCF10A and MDA-MB-231 cells in which we selectively overexpressed lamin A, lamin B1, lamin B2, lamin C, or LBR with a custom-designed retroviral construct followed by fluorescence activated cell sorting to obtain physiological expression levels. In addition, we created a corresponding panel of cell lines in which we reduced expression of lamins A/C, lamin B1, lamin B2, and LBR by shRNA mediated knockdown. Changes in protein levels were confirmed by Western blot analysis (Fig. 1). As we experienced that even in these stably modified cells lamin expression can revert back over time, presumably due to strong selection pressure, we also created clonal populations of the modified cells, which are more resistant to population drift. In addition, we have been repeating some of the functional experiments with transient siRNA mediated knockdown to confirm results obtained with the stably modified cells.

We next evaluated the effect of changes in protein expression on nuclear morphology (Fig. 2). We found that most modifications had relatively minor effects on nuclear shape. However, overexpression of LBR resulted in nuclei with severe lobulations (Fig. 2), whereas overexpression of lamin A resulted in rounder nuclei. These results were confirmed by quantitative analysis of nuclear ‘roundness’ using the Contour Index (CI), defined as

\[
CI = 4\pi \times \text{(nuclear cross-sectional area)} / \text{(nuclear periphery)}^2
\]
The CI reaches a maximum of 1 for perfectly circular nuclei and decreases with increasingly irregular nuclear shape. Knockdown of lamins A/C and of lamin B2 resulted in more irregularly shaped nuclei, indicated by a decrease in the corresponding CI (Figs. 2 & 3). In contrast, overexpression of lamin A resulted in rounder nuclei with an increased CI. Overexpression of LBR caused severe lobulation of nuclei in MCF10A cells, resembling those of cancer cells (Fig. 2).

Figure 2. Examples of altered nuclear morphology in MCF10A cells with altered expression of lamin A/C or LBR, revealing increased nuclear lobulation in cells with reduced expression of lamin A/C or increased expression of LBR. Cells overexpressing lamin A have rounder nuclei.

Figure 3. Quantitative analysis of nuclear shape in MCF10A cells with altered expression of nuclear envelope proteins. Data based on ~500 to 1000 cells per cell lines. Null, non-modified controls.
To assess the effect of altered nuclear envelope protein expression on nuclear deformability, we subjected the panel of modified MCF10A cells to substrate strain experiments and measured the resulting nuclear deformations. The normalized nuclear strain, defined as the induced nuclear strain divided by the applied substrate strain, can serve as a direct indicator for nuclear stiffness. Lower normalized nuclear strain values indicate stiffer nuclei, while higher values, corresponding to larger nuclear deformations, indicate softer nuclei. In our experiments, we found that increased expression of lamin A significantly increased nuclear stiffness, while reduced expression of lamins A/C dramatically decreased nuclear stiffness (Fig. 4). The effects of changes in the expression of other nuclear envelope proteins were less dramatic, but still significant, particularly for overexpression of lamin C, lamin B1, and LBR, which reduced nuclear stiffness.

![Figure 4. Effect of altered expression of nuclear envelope proteins on nuclear deformability. Lower normalized nuclear strain values indicate stiffer, less deformable nuclei, while higher values indicate softer nuclei.](image)

Due to the reduced adhesion of MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells, these cells could not be subjected to sufficient substrate strain without detaching from the silicone membrane. To overcome this problem, we recently developed a micropipette aspiration set-up that we already successfully validated for lamin A/C-deficient and wild-type mouse embryo fibroblasts and that we are currently applying to a panel of cancer cells to measure their nuclear stiffness. In addition, we are collaborating with the group of Peter Friedl and Katarina Wolf at the Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre to measure nuclear deformability using atomic force microscopy (AFM). These experiments have already yielded encouraging results (data not shown). To provide a more generalizable tool to measure nuclear stiffness in a large number of cancer cells independent of their adhesive properties, we also developed a novel experimental system in which suspended cells are perfused through a microfluidic device with constrictions smaller than the size of the nucleus while imaging the transit of the cell through the constrictions.
with a high speed camera on a microscope (Fig. 5). The nuclear deformability can be inferred from the measured transit time. Our results (see Task 3 for more details) from these experiments confirm that breast cancer cells with reduced levels of lamin A/C have increased nuclear deformability. We recently further improved the design of the microfluidic device (Fig. 6), along with the custom-written image processing algorithm, enabling us to assess nuclear mechanics in hundreds of cells per minute, i.e., with vastly higher throughput than existing substrate strain and micropipette aspiration assays. We are currently in the process of further optimizing the design and validating it in a larger panel of cancer and normal control cells. In the long term, we are confident that our microfluidic device can be used to rapidly characterize large populations of (cancer) cells and even identify small subpopulations from heterogeneous samples, which could ultimately aid in the diagnosis and prognosis of cancer samples, for example, by assessing the fraction of highly deformable metastatic cells.

Figure 5: Microfluidic device to study nuclear deformability. (A) Image of 8 parallel constriction channels in bright field mode. Constrictions are 8 µm in width. Cells are perfused from the top and exit the channels at the bottom as indicated by the arrows. (B) Time-series of a cell passing through a channel with 5µm-wide constriction at a pressure of 10 psi, acquired at 100 frames per second. The limiting step for the cell to travel through the channels is the deformation time to pass the first constriction. Subsequent constrictions are traversed substantially faster. (C) Close-up of perfusion of MDA-MB-231 cells through microfluidic constriction, revealing substantial nuclear deformation as the cell passes through the 5 x 10 µm² pore.
Figure 6. Latest version of the microfluidic perfusion device to rapidly measure nuclear deformability of cancer cells. Red arrows indicate MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells at various stages of transit through an array of parallel constriction channels. The single constriction and the long and wide sections preceding and following the constriction facilitate automated measurements of cell size and transit time using a custom-written MATLAB algorithm.

**Task 3: Investigate whether changes in nuclear shape or stiffness can alter invasion, migration, or perfusion through narrow channels in the newly created panel of cell lines.**

In the first set of experiments, we evaluated whether changes in the expression of lamins A/C, which are the primary determinants of nuclear stiffness\(^2\) (see also Fig. 4) enhanced the ability of cells to flow through narrow constrictions when driven by a pressure gradient. We validated our device with lamin A/C-deficient (\(Lmna^{-/-}\)) and wild-type mouse embryo fibroblasts, which we had previously characterized by substrate strain analysis.\(^2, 3\) These experiments, published earlier this year, showed that lamin A/C-deficient cells pass significantly faster through 5 µm constrictions than cells from wild-type littermates.\(^10\) When investigating the panel of MDA-MB-231 cells in which we had modulated the levels of lamins A/C to correspond to the changes seen in patient samples (see Task 4), we similarly found that cells with reduced expression of lamins A/C were able to pass through the 5 µm constrictions significantly faster than comparably sized cells with normal levels of lamins A and C (mock controls), likely due to their increased nuclear deformability. Nonetheless, as the cell size varied within and between the various populations and it was recently shown that the transit time of cells through narrow constrictions exponentially increases with cell size,\(^12\) we also plotted the regression curves for MDA-MB-231 cells with reduced levels of lamin A/C and mock controls (Fig. 7). These plots revealed a substantial downward shift of the regression line for the MDA-MB-231 cells, indicating faster transit times for a given cell size. Taken together with the irregular nuclear shape and increased nuclear deformability observed in the cells with reduced levels of lamin A/C, our findings indicate that loss of lamins A/C results in reduced nuclear stiffness, which promotes the transit of cells through constrictions smaller than the size of the nucleus during perfusion. These results could have direct implication on the spreading of metastatic cells through the vascular system, were the capillaries, particularly in the lung, may act as a physical filter for the distribution of cancer cells, and increased nuclear deformability in cancer cells with reduced levels of lamin A/C could allow cells to pass this filter and metastasize to other organs. We are currently in the process of preparing follow up studies to test this hypothesis in a mouse model.

Our data further illustrate the power of our new microfluidic device to rapidly measure nuclear mechanics in large numbers of cancer cells. We have already initiated additional experiments to characterize a larger panel of cancer cells and healthy controls with this experimental system to
determine whether nuclear deformability correlates with metastatic potential and cancer aggressiveness.

**Figure 7.** Transit times during perfusion of MDA-MB-231 cells with stably reduced levels of lamin A/C (red) and mock controls (blue) through 5µm constrictions plotted as a function of cell size (log-log plot). Red and blue lines represent the least-squares regression fit for each of the cell populations, revealing that lamin A/C-deficient cells (red) with their more deformable nuclei can pass through the narrow constrictions faster than wild-type cells.

The above experiments assessed the role of (passive) nuclear mechanics during the rapid transit of cells through narrow pores during perfusion, i.e., cells in suspension driven through the pores by a pressure gradient. To study the effect of altered lamin expression and changes in nuclear deformability on the ability of cells to migrate through narrow constrictions, which occurs on much slower time scales (minutes and hours for migration studies vs. seconds and milliseconds during perfusion experiments), we developed a novel microfluidic device with precisely engineered constrictions ranging from 2 to 15 µm in size and induced cells to migrate through the constrictions along a chemotactic gradient across the channels. We monitored passage of cells through these constrictions at high spatial and temporal resolution and measured transit time, migration speed, and transmigration probability (Fig. 8). We first validated the devices using human and mouse embryo fibroblasts expressing different levels of lamins A/C. In these cells, we found that reduced expression of lamins A/C, which results in increased nuclear deformability, enhances the ability of cells to pass through narrow constrictions. While the different cell lines displayed only small differences in migration speed in 15 µm wide channels, cells expressing normal levels of lamin A/C took longer and longer when the constriction size was reduced to 5, 3, and 2 µm (Fig. 9). In contrast, lamin A/C-deficient fibroblasts moved through the smallest constrictions just as fast as through the larger constrictions, indicating that their more malleable nuclei no longer presented a rate-limiting obstacle. Cells with 50% reduced levels of lamin A/C (Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> MEFs) displayed an intermediate phenotype. Our just published results are consistent with a recent report by the Friedl lab, which observed that nuclear deformability poses a rate-limiting factor during migration of cells through dense collagen matrices, and findings by the Discher group (University of Pennsylvania) that lung cancer cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C were more efficient at migrating through small pores. A manuscript with the detailed characterization of our new microfluidic device is
currently in preparation and will be submitted to Lab on a Chip in August 2014. Using our device in a collaboration with the Egelhoff group (Cleveland Clinic), we were able to show that breast cancer cells require non-muscle myosin IIb to move the large and relative stiff nucleus through the narrow constrictions, and that inhibition or knockdown of myosin IIb significantly increased the time cells took to pass through constrictions smaller than the size of the nucleus. The resulting manuscript received very encouraging reviews from the Journal of Cell Biology and is under revision for resubmission).

**Figure 8.** Representative example of a cell with fluorescently labeled cytoplasm (green) and nucleus (red) migrating across a 3 µm constriction along a chemotactic gradient. (Left) Series of frames from time-lapse microscopy acquired over a range of 100 minutes. (Right) Detailed analysis of nuclear movement using a kymographs, i.e., plotting the fluorescence intensity profile along a fixed line (thin white rectangle) over time. The resulting kymograph (right, center) shows how the nucleus initially stalls at the constriction (A), then slowly advances (B) before suddenly slipping through the constrictions once it has sufficiently advanced (C). Once the nucleus has passed the constriction, the cell and nucleus resume their normal migration (D).

**Figure 9.** Time required for mouse embryo fibroblasts with different levels of lamins A/C to migrate through microscopic constrictions of different sizes. Transit times for wild-type cells (Lmna+/+) increase significantly with decreasing constriction size. In contrast, transit times for lamin A/C-deficient (Lmna−/− cells) are shorter and independent of the constriction size.
At the same time, our investigation of breast cancer cells migrating through the narrow constrictions produced some unexpected results. While the perfusion studies confirmed that knockdown of lamins A/C in MDA-MB-231 cells resulted in more deformable nuclei and faster perfusion times than control cells with normal levels of lamins A/C, surprisingly, cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C were no faster in migrating across the narrow constrictions than controls with normal lamin A/C levels (Fig. 10). Additional studies confirmed this result by showing that similar numbers of cells had crossed the length of the constriction channels after 48 hours for both lamin A/C knockdown and mock control cells (data not shown).

![Figure 10](image)

**Figure 10.** Histogram of migration transit times for MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells with reduced levels of lamin A/C (A/C knockdown) and cells with normal levels of lamin A/C (non-modified parental cells and non-target controls). The cells with reduced levels of lamin A/C were no faster to transit the 2 x 5 µm² constrictions than the control cells.

Given that we and other groups had recently shown in different cell lines (mouse embryo fibroblasts, neutrophils, fibrosarcoma cells, lung cancer cells) that lower lamin A/C levels corresponded to increased migration efficiency through small constrictions, we decided to investigated nuclear events during migration of breast cancer cells in more detail. Immunofluorescence staining of mock and non-modified cells in the devices revealed that lamins A/C levels and/or organization were dynamically regulated in these cells, with a decrease in fluorescence labeling for lamin A/C within the constriction channels, and an increase upon exiting the channels (Fig. 11). In contrast, levels of lamin B were not substantially altered during migration, confirming that the observed changes in nuclear envelope composition were specific to lamin A/C. These unexpected findings may explain the similar migration capability of lamin A/C knockdown and mock controls, as the latter cells’ ability to temporarily reduce lamin A/C levels inside the channels effectively minimizes the difference in nuclear envelope composition with the knockdown cells. We are currently in the process of investigating the molecular mechanisms of the observed dynamic changes in lamin A/C levels during the migration, which could result from increased solubility of lamin A/C caused by phosphorylation, altered gene expression mediated by nuclear mechanosensing, or by proteasomal degradation.

Of note, in addition to changes in nuclear envelope composition, we observed repetitive transient nuclear rupture during the migration through narrow constrictions, as visualized by NLS-copGFP escaping into the cytoplasm, then re-entering the nucleus after restoration of nuclear membrane integrity (Fig. 12). Importantly, lamins A/C knockdown cells had a significantly higher rate of nuclear rupture incidents than control cells (17% for lamin A/C knockdown cells vs. 2% for non-target controls), and nuclear rupture incidence increased in all cells when the device height was reduced from 5 µm to 3 µm. We have already presented these
results at a number of scientific conferences in 2013 and 2014 and are currently preparing a manuscript for publication. We will continue to investigate the molecular mechanism by which breast cancer cells manage to dynamically adjust their nuclear envelope composition and thereby overcome the normally rate-limiting factor of nuclear stiffness when migrating through dense 3-D environments. In addition, we are planning on following up on the finding of repetitive nuclear rupture in breast cancer cells during 3-D migration, particularly in cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C, as the repetitive nuclear damage may result in DNA damage and rearrangements that could further promote cancer progression.

Figure 11. Breast cancer cells dynamically modify their nuclear envelope organization during migration through narrow constrictions. MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded into 5 µm tall PDMS channels with an EGF gradient to induce cell migration. After 48 hours, cells were stained for lamin A/C (green), lamin B (red), and DNA (blue). Cells had decreased lamin A/C levels while crossing the constrictions and increased lamin A/C levels upon exit from the constrictions. Levels of B-type lamins remained unchanged throughout crossing and after exit. This indicates a possible dynamic regulation of lamin A/C that is activated by environmental constraints and may promote cell invasion.

Figure 12. Nuclear rupture in breast cancer cells during migration through narrow constriction. MDA-MB-231 cells expressing an NLS-GFP fluorescent fusion protein were seeded into 5 µm tall PDMS channels with an EGF gradient to induce cell migration. Escape of the fluorescent protein from the nucleus indicates (temporary) loss of nuclear membrane integrity (left). Many cells displayed repeated nuclear rupture during migration through the narrow constrictions, particularly in cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C.
Task 4: Quantify expression levels of lamins and LBR in breast cancer cell lines, patient-derived breast cancer cells/tissue sections and determine correlation with disease progression from benign to more aggressive/metastatic phenotypes.

We analyzed expression of lamins and LBR in MCF10A, MDA-MB-231, and MCF7 cells, as well as in a panel of other breast cancer cell lines. However, given the heterogeneous distribution of lamin A/C levels in several of the breast cancer cell lines,5 our findings of dynamic changes in lamin A/C levels in the breast cancer cells, and three recently published reports confirming our hypothesis of altered levels of lamins and LBR in breast cancer patients,5-7 we decided to focus our attention more on patient-derived tumor samples. Analyzing lamin A/C expression in patient-derived tissues samples provided by Linda Vahdat (Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY), we observed highly heterogeneous staining for lamin A/C in many of the cancer tissues and complete loss of lamins A/C in a subset of breast cancer cells (Fig. 13), similar to recently reported findings.5 We are currently planning in vivo experiments on mouse models to test whether this subpopulation of cells is particularly aggressive and responsible for cancer metastasis.

Figure 13. Human breast cancer tissue section stained with H&E (blue) and anti-lamin A/C (brown) revealing heterogeneous staining of cancer cell nuclei for lamin A/C, including some cells completely lacking lamin A/C expression (red arrow, nuclei appearing blue). Our hypothesis of altered lamin expression in breast cancer progression is further supported by a study published in the Chinese Journal of Cancer that described that lamins A/C are absent in almost 40% of human breast cancer tissues and that even in lamin A/C-positive cancers, expression of lamin A/C is heterogeneous or marked by altered intracellular distribution in the tumor cells,5 and another recent report that found that breast cancer tissue has increased expression of lamin B1 compared to normal tissue.7

Interestingly, one sample from a triple negative breast cancer showed very abnormal levels of lamin A/C (Fig. 14). According to the oncologist, this patent had had one of the most aggressive breast cancers she had ever seen. We are currently acquiring additional patient samples to see whether we can uncover a correlation between lamin expression levels and disease progression/aggressiveness, particularly in light of a recent report that showed a significant correlation between altered lamins A/C expression and reduced disease-free survival.6 Further analysis with a larger panel of samples may help to elucidate whether altered lamin expression can serve as a useful diagnostic and prognostic marker in breast cancer.
Figure 14. Altered and highly heterogeneous expression of lamin A/C in a patient with particularly aggressive triple negative breast cancer.

Task 5: Investigate whether changes in the expression of lamins or LBR could modulate biophysical cell functions such as invasion, migration, and perfusion through narrow channels.

The outcomes of this task are described under Task 3, as we used modulation of expression of lamins A/C to modify nuclear stiffness. Our data revealed that reduced expression of lamin A/C enhances the ability of (cancer) cells to flow through narrow constrictions during perfusion. At the same time, we uncovered surprising differences in the behavior of breast cancer cells from that of other cells (fibroblasts, fibrosarcome cells, neutrophils, lung cancer cells) when cells were induced to migrate through narrow constrictions, as highly metastatic breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MD-468) were able to dynamically change their nuclear envelope composition to facilitate migration through narrow constrictions (Fig. 11). In addition, we found that reduced levels of lamins A/C, as observed in a subset of patient breast cancer tissue, results in increased nuclear fragility and repetitive nuclear rupture during migration through narrow spaces, which could result in increasing DNA damage in those cells.

Task 6: Investigate whether changes in the expression of lamins or LBR could modulate non-biophysical cell functions such as proliferation or epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in the newly created panel of cell lines.

We recently identified a remarkable and surprising effect of loss of lamin A/C on the intracellular localization and activity of the transcriptional coactivator megakaryoblastic leukaemia-1 (MKL1).\(^{17}\) We found that while in normal cells MKL1 translocates from the cytoplasm to the nucleus in response to serum or mechanical stimulation, in lamin A/C-deficient and lamin A/C-depleted cells, MKL1 mostly remains in the cytoplasm (Fig. 15). This defect was caused by altered actin dynamics in the lamin A/C-deficient cells, as nuclear import and export of MKL1 are dependent on its interaction with monomeric G-actin. The altered actin dynamics were a result of the actin-polymerizing function of emerin, which is lost from the nuclear envelope in lamin A/C-deficient cells. As a consequence of disturbed actin dynamics and impaired nuclear translocation of MKL1, lamin A/C-deficient cells had reduced expression of MKL1/SRF target genes, which include actin, actin-binding proteins, vinculin, and serum response factor (SRF). These results were recently published in *Nature*.\(^{17}\) While some of our findings were originally obtained in the context of cardiac disease caused by lamin A/C mutations, they are also highly relevant to cancer progression, as recent publication suggest a strong link between MKL1 activity and metastatic processes, including epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and cell invasion and migration,\(^{18}\) including in MDA-MB-231 cells.\(^{19}\) We have already begun to follow up
on these findings to examine MKL1 signaling in MDA-MB-231 cells with altered expression of lamins A/C and to evaluate the effect of altered lamin expression on EMT.

**Figure 15.** Impaired nuclear translocation of MKL1 in lamin A/C-deficient cells. (a) Lamin A/C-deficient (Lmna−/−) cells had a lower fraction of nuclear MKL1 after serum stimulation than wild-type (Lmna+/+) cells, based on MKL1 immunofluorescence. Scale bar, 10 µm. (b) Quantitative analysis of cells with positive nuclear MKL1 staining in response to serum stimulation (N ≈ 50 per cell line). (c) Time-lapse sequences of cells expressing MKL1-GFP stimulated with serum, revealing impaired nuclear translocation of MKL1 in the lamin A/C-deficient cells. Scale bar, 10 µm.

In addition to these intriguing findings, we are following up on the question whether increased nuclear fragility can result in higher rates of DNA damage in breast cancer cells with reduced levels of lamin A/C. In preliminary experiments obtained during the last few weeks of this project, we observed that MDA-MB-231 cells with reduced levels of lamin A/C have substantially increased levels of γ-H2AX, a marker of double stranded DNA breaks. We are now investigating these results in more detail.
KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- We confirmed that breast cancer tissue samples have altered (and highly heterogeneous) expression of lamins and LBR.
- We generated a cell panel with systematic variation in the expression of the nuclear envelope proteins lamin A, lamin B1, lamin B2, lamin C, and lamin B receptor (LBR) by shRNA mediated knockdown or ectopic expression, mimicking expression levels seen in patient tissue samples.
- We developed a novel microfluidic device to study nuclear deformability during perfusion of cells through precisely defined constriction channels, providing higher-throughput measurements of nuclear mechanics than traditional methods such as micropipette aspiration, substrate strain application, or atomic force microscopy.
- We designed a novel microfluidic system to visualize cancer cells migrating through precisely defined constrictions at high spatial and temporal resolution, representing a significant advance over traditional Boyden chambers or transwell migration assays.
- We characterized the effect of changes in nuclear envelope composition on nuclear shape and deformability – increased expression of lamin A causes the most severe increase in nuclear stiffness; decreased expression of lamins A/C results in the most severe decrease in nuclear stiffness.
- We demonstrated that reduced levels of lamins A/C results in faster transit of cells through narrow constrictions, which might have important implications during perfusion of cancer cells through the circulatory system or proteolysis-independent migration through interstitial spaces.
- We identified a unique and unexpected feature of highly metastatic breast cancer cells, which are capable of dynamically adjusting their nuclear envelope composition as they migrate through 3-D environments and narrow constrictions. These adaptive changes may facilitate the migration of the metastatic cells through dense tissue and microenvironments.
- We identified increased rates of (transient) nuclear rupture in breast cancer cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C migrating through narrow constrictions, including extrusion of DNA from the nucleus, which could result in DNA damage and chromosomal rearrangements that could further promote cancer progression.
- We identified a novel connection between the nuclear envelope proteins lamin A/C and emerin and the transcriptional co-activator MKL1, which fails to properly localize to the nucleus in lamin A/C-deficient cells, resulting in reduced MKL1/SRF activity. As MKL1 is involved in several metastatic processes, these findings could have important implications in cancer progression.
REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

Manuscripts
We published the following manuscripts acknowledging funding from this project. Please see appendix for copies of the most relevant articles. Four additional manuscripts are currently in preparation or under review/revision.


4. Ho CY, Jaalouk DE, Lammerding J. (2013). Novel insights into the disease etiology of laminopathies. Rare Diseases. Published online Nov. 6, 2013


Conferences/Seminars/Meetings
Work performed as part of this project has been presented at the following meetings/invited seminars:


6. Squish and Squeeze – Nuclear Biomechanics and Mechanotransduction in Health and Disease. Invited seminar. Department of Biomedical Engineering, Yale University (May 1, 2014)


16. Lamins modulate nuclear deformability and transit through narrow constrictions of cancer cells. Denais CM, Jonnalagadda US, Zwerger M, Krause M, Wolf K, Vahdat L,


19. Nuclear mechanics and mechanotransduction in health and disease. PhD Symposium of the International PhD Program Molecular Mechanisms of Cell Signaling “Cells don’t play dice”; University of Vienna and Medical University of Vienna; Vienna, Austria. June 2013.

20. Nuclear Lamins – their contribution to cellular mechanics and human disease. Invited seminar. Cellular & Molecular Medicine Seminar Series at the Cleveland Clinic; Cincinnati, OH. May 2013


22. Nuclear lamins govern nuclear deformability and modulate the ability of cells to transit through narrow constrictions during migration and perfusion. Invited keynote talk. ASME 2nd Global Congress on NanoEngineering for Medicine & Biology; Boston, MA. February 2013.


24. Exploring nuclear deformability as a rate-limiting factor in cancer cell migration. Selected for a platform presentation at the 2012 Annual Meeting of the Biomedical Engineering Society (BMES) in Atlanta, GA. October 2012

25. Intracellular Mechanics and Mechanosensing in Physiology and Disease. Invited seminar at the College of Engineering, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT in April 2012.

Cell lines
We created the following cell lines:

- MDA-MB-231 cells overexpressing either lamin A, lamin B1, lamin B2, lamin C or lamin B receptor (LBR), including unsorted cells and cells sorted for medium or high levels of overexpression
- MDA-MB-231 with reduced expression of either lamins A/C, lamin B1, lamin B2, or LBR, including heterogeneous and clonal populations
- MCF10A cells overexpressing either lamin A, lamin B1, lamin B2, lamin C or LBR
- MCF10A with reduced expression of either lamins A/C, lamin B1, lamin B2, or LBR
- All of the above cell lines, as well as MDA-MB-468 cells, are also available with fluorescently labeled nuclei, either by expression of NLS-GFP or fluorescently labeled histones.
- We also created similarly modified cell lines of non-breast cancer cells lines (fibroblasts, fibrosarcoma cells) for comparison to identify effects specific to breast cancer cells.
Microfluidic migration and perfusion devices
We designed and generated novel microfluidic devices that enable visualizing cancer cells migrating or being perfused through precisely defined constrictions at high spatial and temporal resolution. We have already disseminated some of these devices to collaborating laboratories at the Cleveland Clinic and will continue to make them available to interested groups. We are currently finalizing a manuscript for submission to *Lab on a Chip* characterizing the device in more detail. The cell perfusion device for rapid measurements of nuclear deformability has already been published (Isermann et al. *Curr Prot Cell Biol* 2012).
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Experimental methods developed for the work on this project contributed to generating preliminary data for a project that was rewarded with a National Science Foundation Career Award to Jan Lammerding. The work proposed in the NSF Career Award is aimed at addressing the molecular mechanisms by which normal (i.e., non-cancerous) cells overcome the resistance of the large and stiff nucleus when migrating through narrow constrictions.

We are currently exploring additional funding opportunities to continue the research funded by this DoD Idea Award, with a particular focus on investigating how breast cancer cells—unlike several other cells—can dynamically adjust their nuclear envelope composition to facilitate passage through narrow constrictions and dense tissues, and to test whether the increased rates of nuclear rupture, caused by the increased nuclear fragility of cancer cells with low levels of lamin A/C, can lead to DNA damage and chromosomal rearrangements, which could further promote cancer progression.

CONCLUSIONS
The premise of this proposal was to test whether breast cancer cells and tissue have disturbed expression of the nuclear envelope proteins lamin and LBR, which could explain the often abnormal nuclear morphology of breast cancer cells and also directly contribute to altered function of cancer cells relevant to metastatic processes. Recent publications by other laboratories and our own work have now confirmed that expression of lamins and LBR is indeed altered in many breast cancer cells and further suggest that lower levels of lamins A/C correlate with reduced disease free survival.5-7

Based on these results, we generated a panel of cells lines with systematic modulation of the expression levels of lamins and LBR, which enabled us to rigorously study the functional effects of disturbed expression of nuclear envelope proteins seen in breast cancer tissues. In addition, we developed novel microfluidic devices to study the biomechanical aspects of altered nuclear envelope protein expression on the ability of cells to pass through narrow constrictions, mimicking conditions encountered during perfusion through the capillary system or migration through the interstitial space.
Using these tools, we were able to identify that nuclear deformability, which is governed by the levels of lamins A/C, constitutes a rate-limiting factor in the ability of cells to pass through pores and openings smaller than the nuclear size, which is highly relevant to the distribution of cancer cells through basement membranes, interstitial space, and small capillaries during cancer metastasis. Importantly, we identified a unique feature of highly metastatic cancer cells, as these cells—unlike fibroblasts and some other cancer cells investigated for comparison—were able to dynamically adjust their nuclear envelope composition during migration through confining 3-D environments by reducing the levels of lamins A/C at the nuclear envelope. These changes are predicted to make the nucleus more deformable and facilitate transit through narrow constrictions. At the same time, we observed that cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C were more prone to repetitive transient nuclear rupture during migration through narrow constriction, which could result in increased DNA damage and chromosomal rearrangements that could further promote cancer progression. In addition, we described for the first time that cells lacking lamins A/C have disturbed nuclear localization and activity of the MKL1/SRF pathway, which is highly relevant to EMT and cell migration.

Taken together, our findings could have important clinical implications for breast cancer. Analysis of expression levels of nuclear envelope proteins could be used in the diagnosis and particularly the prognosis of breast cancers, where a high fraction of cells with softer nuclei could indicate higher risk to the patient. Such prognostic approaches would be particularly powerful when applied to the analysis of circulating tumor cells, as it may help identify particularly aggressive subpopulations of tumor cells. We continue to follow up on our findings reported here to investigate whether the subset of breast cancer cells lacking lamins A/C are particularly responsible for metastatic spreading and to better understand the molecular mechanisms underlying the ability of breast cancer cells to dynamically alter their nuclear envelope composition, which may ultimate lead to the identification of new therapeutic targets.
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Nuclear Deformability Constitutes a Rate-Limiting Step During Cell Migration in 3-D Environments
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Abstract—Cell motility plays a critical role in many physiological and pathological settings, ranging from wound healing to cancer metastasis. While cell migration on 2-dimensional (2-D) substrates has been studied for decades, the physical challenges cells face when moving in 3-D environments are only now emerging. In particular, the cell nucleus, which occupies a large fraction of the cell volume and is normally substantially stiffer than the surrounding cytoplasm, may impose a major obstacle when cells encounter narrow constrictions in the interstitial space, the extracellular matrix, or small capillaries. Using novel microfluidic devices that allow observation of cells moving through precisely defined geometries at high spatial and temporal resolution, we determined nuclear deformability as a critical factor in the cells’ ability to pass through constrictions smaller than the size of the nucleus. Furthermore, we found that cells with reduced levels of the nuclear envelope proteins lamin A/C, which are the main determinants of nuclear stiffness, passed significantly faster through narrow constrictions during active migration and passive perfusion. Given recent reports that many human cancers have altered lamin expression, our findings suggest a novel biophysical mechanism by which changes in nuclear structure and composition may promote cancer cell invasion and metastasis.
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INTRODUCTION

The ability of cells to move within their environment is crucial for numerous physiological and pathological processes. During development, cell migration contributes to shaping the growing embryo and to forming nascent tissues; in mature organisms, immune cells are mobilized from the blood stream to enter sites of infection, and migration of epithelial cells and fibroblasts is vital for proper wound healing and tissue repair. In cancer metastasis, cell migration drives the invasion of tumor cells into the surrounding tissue and the dissemination to other organs. The fact that cancer metastasis, rather than the primary tumor, is responsible for up to 90% of all cancer deaths\(^4\) has been one of the primary motivators to study cell migration.

Traditionally, research on cell migration has involved observing cells moving on flat, two-dimensional (2-D) substrates, owing primarily to the convenience in sample preparation and the ability to image cells at high spatial and temporal resolution. These studies have resulted in tremendous insights into the intricate processes occurring during cell migration, particularly at focal adhesions and the actin cytoskeleton. Nonetheless, research carried out over the past decade indicates that cell migration in 3-D environments, as is the case in most physiological processes inside the human body, can substantially deviate from migration on 2-D substrates.\(^1\)\(^1\)\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^2\)\(^,1\)\(^1\)\(^,2\)\(^,20\)\(^,31\) Much of this work has focused on specific changes in cell morphology (e.g., spreading, cytoplasmic organization, etc.) or migration mode (e.g., amoeboid vs. mesenchymal migration) when comparing cells in 2-D vs. 3-D environments.\(^1\)\(^1\)\(^,1\)\(^2\) However, one additional and particularly important difference is that in 3-D migration, cells have to overcome the confinement of the surrounding extracellular matrix and other cells.\(^1\)\(^3\)\(^,20\) In many cases, the openings in the cell's 3-D environment can be as small as 2–30 \(\mu\)m in diameter,\(^36\)\(^,43\) i.e., substantially smaller than the cell diameter. Under these conditions, cells have two options: (1) to degrade/modify their environment to create sufficient space, for example, by secretion of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs); or (2) to deform to fit through the available space. In the latter option, the deformability of the cell becomes an important factor in the ability of cells to pass through 3-D environments.

The cytoplasm is very flexible and can undergo large deformations; in addition, the cytoskeleton can actively remodel to take up the available space, allowing it to penetrate openings as small as 1 \(\mu\)m.\(^44\) The cell nucleus, on the other hand, is 2- to 10-times stiffer than the surrounding cytoplasm and occupies a large fraction of the cellular volume; with a typical diameter of 3–10 \(\mu\)m, the nucleus is larger than many of the pores encountered in the extracellular environment, so that cell movement through such constrictions requires substantial nuclear deformations.\(^1\)\(^3\)\(^,1\)\(^4\)\(^,39\)\(^,44\) Importantly, a recent study by Wolf and colleagues suggests that nuclear deformability constitutes a rate-limiting factor during non-proteolytic migration of cells through 3-D collagen matrices.\(^44\) As nuclear deformability is directly dependent on the expression of the nuclear envelope proteins lamins A and C,\(^24\)\(^,25\) we analyzed the effect of lamin expression on the ability of cells to transit constrictions smaller than the nuclear diameter during migration in 3-D environments or perfusion through microfluidic devices with precisely controlled constrictions. We found that mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) partially (\(Lmna^{+/−}\)) or completely (\(Lmna^{−/−}\)) lacking lamins A/C, for which we had previously demonstrated increased nuclear deformability,\(^24\)\(^,25\) passed significantly faster through the narrow constrictions than wild-type (\(Lmna^{+/+}\)) controls.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture

MEFs from mice with homozygous (\(Lmna^{+/−}\)) or heterozygous (\(Lmna^{+/−}\)) deletion of the \(Lmna\) gene encoding lamins A/C, along with wild-type littermate controls (\(Lmna^{+/+}\)), were generously provided by Dr. Colin Stewart.\(^37\) Human SV40 virus-transformed skin fibroblasts (GM00637J), originally obtained from Coriell Cell Repositories, were stably modified with a tetracycline-regulated (Tet-off) GFP-lamin A construct\(^15\) provided by Dr. Tom Glover and maintained as described previously.\(^41\) NIH 3T3 fibroblasts were modified with mCherry–Histone-4 and GFP-LifeAct to allow visualization of nuclear position and F-actin distribution. All cells were maintained in Dulbecco Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin and streptomycin. Medium for live cell imaging was further supplemented with 25 mM HEPES buffer.

Device Fabrication

The migration devices were fabricated at the Cornell NanoScale Science and Technology Facility (CNF) using standard lithography techniques. The perfusion devices were modeled on a design by the Fletcher laboratory\(^32\) and described previously.\(^21\)\(^,35\) In brief, the design consists of 10 \(\mu\)m tall microfluidic channels that bifurcate multiple times and lead to 5 \(\mu\)m-wide
constriction channels. A wide bypass channel is included to assure maintenance of uniform pressure drop across the constriction channels even if some channels are blocked.

The migration devices contain features of two different heights, produced from a mold composed of two layers: one 5 μm tall layer to form the constrictions channels, and a second, 250 μm tall layer to create cell collection areas directly before the constrictions (in which cells are seeded) and behind the constrictions (in which cells that have passed the constriction can gather). The constriction channels are made of a series of round pillars, with three narrow openings in a row, measuring 5, 3, and 2 μm in width, respectively (Fig. 1a). We created two variations of the migration devices; one of which consisted entirely of channels with narrow constrictions, the other additionally including regularly spaced 15 μm wide passages that were used to study cell migration in the 5 μm tall channels without substantial nuclear deformation. Large reservoirs on either side of the constrictions that can be filled with media were used to establish a chemotactic gradient across the device.

Molds of the devices were fabricated from SU-8 photore sist. Briefly, a silicon wafer was baked at 200 °C for half an hour, then SU-8 was spun onto the wafer to obtain a 5 μm thick layer. To study the migration of cells along a chemotactic gradient in less confined conditions, we also created a variation of the migration device in which the channels had a height of 15 μm, but were otherwise identical. Wafers were baked at 60 °C for 10 min, cooled, and UV exposed using the first mask. Wafers were then baked briefly at 95 °C, cooled, and the unexposed SU-8 was dissolved in SU-8 developer. After development, the wafers were baked again at 200 °C for half an hour, then SU-8 was spun on the wafers to obtain the 250 μm thick layer. The wafers were then baked at 50 °C for 24 h, exposed using the second mask, and baked at 95 °C for 10 min. After cooling down, the wafers were developed, the heights of the structures were measured on a profilometer and the wafers were coated with (1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctyl)trichlorosilane to facilitate detachment during replica production. Replicas of the SU-8 molds were produced using polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS). The two components of Sylgard 184 (Dow Corning) were mixed in the 1:10 ratio recommended by the manufacturer, the mixture was degassed to eliminate bubbles and poured over the wafer in a plastic petri dish. This assembly was then baked at 65 °C for 2 h. The resulting PDMS replica was carefully peeled away from the SU-8 structures and individual migration devices were cut out and placed on packing tape to prevent dust from accumulating on the clean surface. Inlets for cells were punched using 1.2 mm biopsy punches, and reservoirs for medium were created using 5 mm biopsy punches (Harris).

Microfluidic devices were assembled by bonding the PDMS replicas to glass slides. Glass slides were incubated in 0.2 M HCl overnight, rinsed with deionized water, isopropanol and water again, then dried off with filtered air. Both the glass slides and the PDMS replicas were exposed to an oxygen plasma for 5 min and the PDMS was then placed on the glass slides, which sealed instantly. The newly formed microfluidic devices were baked at 95 °C on a hot plate for 5 min, doused and perfused with 70% ethanol to sterilize, followed by sterile water.

Validation of Gradient Formation in Migration Devices

Migration devices were passivated with 30 mg/mL BSA in PBS at 4 °C overnight to reduce absorption of fluorescently labeled dextran to the PDMS surface. Prior to imaging, the BSA solution was replaced with PBS on the side the cells are loaded on and Texas Red-labeled 70-kDa dextran (Molecular Probes) dissolved at 10 mg/mL in PBS (Invitrogen) on the other side, mimicking the conditions for the migration experiments with a PDGF gradient. The devices were then covered with a glass coverslip to minimize evaporation, placed on the heated microscope stage or in a temperature controlled incubator and imaged at defined time-points for up to 24 h. Line profiles of the fluorescence intensity were obtained using Zen light software (Zeiss) and the average profiles from at least three different measurements at each time point were used to determine the time course and stability of the gradient formation reported in Fig. 1. As the PDGF used for the migration experiments has a lower molecular weight (~25 kDa) than the fluorescently labeled dextran, it is expected that the PDGF gradient forms even faster than the data presented in Fig. 1.

Cell Perfusion Experiments

Experiments to measure passive nuclear deformability were performed as described previously.21 In brief, prior to the experiments, the microfluidic channels were treated with BSA (20 mg/mL in PBS) for 30 min to reduce cell adhesion. Cells suspended in PBS with 20 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA) at a final concentration of 3 × 10⁶ cells/mL were passed through a cell strainer and transferred into Exmire Microsyringes mounted on a microdialysis pump (CMA Microdialysis). A second syringe loaded with PBS + 20 mg/mL BSA was used to prime and occasionally flush the devices. Cells were perfused through
the microfluidic device at a rate of 2.0 μL/min and imaged at 20× magnification with a MegaPlus 310T CCD camera (Roper Scientific) at 30 frames/s. Perfusion transit times through the 5 μm constrictions were determined from recorded 60–90 s long videos as described previously. Separate aliquots of the cell suspensions were imaged at 10× magnification with a Roper CoolSNAP HQ and cell sizes were determined using ImageJ software. All cells used for the perfusion experiments had a similar size distribution. 

**Micropipette Aspiration**

Experiments to measure nuclear stiffness were carried out following previously established protocols. Micropipettes were pulled from glass capillary tubing (Sutter Instrument; 1 mm outer diameter; 0.5 mm inner diameter) with a Flaming/Brown Micropipette Puller (Model P-97, Sutter Instrument). Micropipettes were then cut to have an opening with an inner diameter of 4.0–5.5 μm. Subsequently, micropipettes were fire-polished and shaped using a Micro Forge (MF-900, Narishige). Micropipettes were immersed in PBS with 20 mg/mL BSA for 1 h to minimize cell adhesion and backfilled with PBS. Cells incubated with Hoechst 33342 for 10 min at 37 °C were suspended in DMEM + 10% FBS and placed in a microscope mounted glass-bottom culture dish. Individual cells in suspension were carefully positioned at the mouth of the micropipette using a negative aspiration pressure of \( \Delta P = 10 \) mm H2O. Subsequently, the aspiration pressure was increased to \( \Delta P = 75.5 \) mm H2O with a valve system developed in house, resulting in partial aspiration of the cell nucleus. Aspiration continued until an equilibrium position was reached and the nucleus stopped further advancing into the micropipette (typically less than 15 s). For a given aspiration pressure, the nuclear elasticity inversely scales with the ratio of the aspirated nuclear length, \( L_P \), and the micropipette diameter, \( D \) (Fig. 3). Cells in which the nucleus was positioned away from the micropipette were excluded from the analysis. Cell viability during the experiments was monitored using propidium iodide in the medium.

**Cell Migration Through Microfluidic Constrictions**

Cells for migration experiments were suspended in DMEM containing 10% FBS at a final concentration of \( 5 \times 10^6 \) cells/mL. The migration devices were incubated with 0.2 mg/mL fibronectin (Millipore) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for at least 2 h at 37 °C. The fibronectin-coated devices were then filled with fresh medium and aliquots of 20000 cells were added to each device (4 μLo f5 × 10^6 cells/mL). Live cell imaging experiments were carried out 24 h after seeding cells into the devices. Immediately prior to imaging, the medium in both wells of the device was replaced with phenol red-free medium containing 25 mM HEPES (Gibco); for experiments using a chemotactic gradient, the well towards which the cells were migrating additionally contained 200 ng/mL PDGF as a chemoattractant. After medium replacement, glass coverslips were added to the top of the devices to limit evaporation, and the devices were placed in a temperature-controlled chamber on a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope (AxioObserver) equipped with a CCD camera (CoolSNAP EZ, Photometrics).
and a motorized stage (Zeiss). Time-lapse images were recorded every 2 or 10 min for 8–14 h total. Image sequences used for the analysis of cell migration were acquired with a 20× objective in widefield/phase contrast mode. Fluorescence images were acquired in confocal mode with a 63× objective.

For the 48 h migration studies, we quantified the number of cells successfully passing through the constriction channels using devices that did not contain the wider 15 μm channels. Cells were seeded in the devices; after 24 h, the medium was replaced with imaging medium; the media in the reservoir on the

FIGURE 2. Nuclear deformation during migration through narrow constrictions. (a) Time-lapse image sequence of NIH 3T3 cells expressing fluorescently tagged Histone-4 (red) and actin (green) migrating through a 3 μm wide constriction, revealing substantial nuclear deformation. Scale bar: 20 μm. (b) Top-view and cross-sections of a confocal 3-D reconstruction of a cell migrating through a 3 μm-wide constriction, stained for DNA (blue) and F-actin (green). The cross-sections (right) demonstrate that the cell takes up the entire height of the device and that the nucleus fills out the constriction. Scale bar: 5 μm. White arrow denotes direction of migration. (c) Confocal 3-D reconstruction of a cell expressing GFP-lamin A migrating through a 2 μm-wide constriction. The cross-section through the constriction (II) suggests compression and buckling of the nuclear lamina inside the constriction. Scale bar: 5 μm. (d) Confocal top- and side-view of a single cell entering the 5 μm tall constriction channel inside the migration device. The channels were filled with fluorescently labeled dextran (red; left images) and cells were stained for DNA (blue) and expressed fluorescent actin (green). As the cell enters the 5 μm tall channel, the cell and nuclear height adjusts to the available height of the channel (compare bottom left and right images). Scale bar: 10 μm.
other side of the constrictions was additionally supplemented with 200 ng/mL PDGF. Images were taken then and 24 h later on an inverted microscope (Zeiss AxioObserver) with a 10× objective and a CCD camera (CoolSNAP EZ). The number of cells that had successfully passed through the constrictions was determined from these images. The proliferation rates of the different cell lines were measured by passaging the cells every 48 h five-times in a row, counting the cells and each time re-seeding 1 × 10⁶ cells in a T75 flask. The average fold-change over a 48 h period was then determined from the cell counts and used to normalize the number of cells that had migrated through the constrictions.

**Analysis of Cell Migration Through Microfluidic Devices**

Time-lapse image sequences were collated into movies and corrected for drift in the x–y plane using a custom-written MATLAB algorithm. In most cases, the cells analyzed only went through one constriction within the time frame of the experiment and the data points presented here thus represent different cells. Movies of individual cells traveling through constrictions were cropped and the Manual Tracking plugin in ImageJ was used to track the position of the front and back of the nucleus and used these to calculate time taken for the nucleus to transverse the constriction. The mean transit times were calculated and averaged for each condition and concentration with a significance level of p < 0.05. The statistical significance was tested against the wild-type using a Student’s t-test.

**FIGURE 3.** Lamin A/C-deficient cells have more deformable nuclei. (a) Representative images from high-speed time-lapse videos of cells being perfused through 5 μm wide microfluidic constriction channels, revealing that wild-type cells (Lmna+/+) take substantially longer to enter and move through the constriction channel than lamin A/C-deficient (Lmna−/−) cells. (b) Perfusion transit times through 5 μm constriction channels in the perfusion experiments. N = 167 cells for Lmna++, 236 for Lmna+−; ***, p < 0.0001. (c) Micropipette aspiration measurements on wild-type and lamin A/C-deficient cells, demonstrating that lamin A/C-deficient cells have more deformable nuclei. The nuclear elasticity is inversely proportional to the ratio of the aspirated nuclear length, LP, and the micropipette diameter, D. N = 17 cells for Lmna++, 18 for Lmna−/−; *, p = 0.0105.

**FIGURE 4.** Experimental approach to quantify the migration transit times of the nucleus through the narrow constrictions. Time-lapse image sequences were used to measure the amount of time between when the cell nucleus enters and exits the constriction. In this example, a Lmna+/+ MEF is shown. Entry into the constriction is defined as the time point at which the cell nucleus crosses a threshold (left yellow dotted line) located 5 μm in front of the constriction center; the nucleus is defined as having exited the constriction when the back of the nucleus crosses a threshold 5 μm past the center of the constriction (right yellow dotted line). The white dashed line indicates the outline of the nucleus for demonstration purposes. The center of the constriction is marked with a red dashed line. See Supplemental Data for representative time-lapse microscopy sequences of Lmna++, Lmna+− and Lmna−/− cells. Scale bar: 20 μm.
the back of the nucleus as it was passing through the constrictions. The migration transit time of a cell through a constriction was defined as the time between when the front of the cell crossed an imaginary line 5 μm in front of the constriction center and when the back of the nucleus passed a corresponding line 5 μm in front of the constriction center.

FIGURE 5. Reduced lamin A/C levels facilitate migration through narrow constrictions. (a) Migration transit times for Lmna+/+, Lmna+/− and Lmna−/− cells migrating through constrictions 15, 5, 3, or 2 μm wide and 5 μm tall. Wild-type (Lmna+/+) cells take significantly longer to pass through the 2 × 5 and 3 × 5 μm² constrictions than cells with reduced lamin A/C levels. For wild-type cells, but not Lmna+/− and Lmna−/− cells, migration transit times were negatively correlated with constriction size (p < 0.01). N = 24–41 cells per genotype, constriction; ***, p < 0.01 compared to Lmna+/+ cells. (b) Migration transit times through narrow constrictions normalized to the corresponding migration transit times through 15 μm-wide channels, which are larger than the nucleus. Wild-type cells have impaired migration efficiency through the smallest constrictions, indicated by increased normalized migration transit times, while cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C maintain comparable migration transit times for all constriction sizes. N = 24–41 cells per genotype, constriction; #, p < 0.05 compared to migration transit times through 15 μm channels. (c) Migration transit times through 2 × 5 μm² constrictions normalized to the 15 μm channels without the presence of a chemotactrant gradient; #, p < 0.05 compared to migration transit times through 15 μm channels.

FIGURE 6. Cell migration velocity in unconfined conditions. (a) Single cell migration analysis of MEFs migrating on 2-D fibronectin-coated glass substrates, indicating that wild-type (Lmna+/+) MEFs migrate slower than Lmna+/− and Lmna−/− cells. PDGF stimulation had no significant effect on migration velocity. (b) Migration velocity in the direction of a chemotactrant (PDGF) gradient in 15 μm wide channels with heights of 5 μm (3-D migration) or 15 μm (2.5-D migration). Consistent with the 2-D migration experiments, wild-type cells moved more slowly than the lamin A/C-deficient cells; unlike the lamin A/C-deficient cells, wild-type cells had impeded migration speeds in the 5 μm tall channels compared to the 15 μm tall channels, indicating a possible effect of partial nuclear compression (Fig. 2d).
behind the constriction center (see dotted lines in Fig. 4). A similar analysis was performed on cells in the 15 μm wide channels to obtain values of the migration transit time of cells under non-constrained conditions. In addition, we measured the size and circularity of nuclei inside the 5 μm tall channels (but outside the narrow constrictions) using a custom-written MATLAB script.

**Single Cell Migration Experiments and Analysis**

Single cell migration assays were performed using small chambers built by punching 1 cm diameter holes into PDMS slabs approximately 5 mm thick and bonding these slabs onto glass slides to obtain multiple wells. The size of the glass slides was such that six wells could fit on one glass slide, allowing observation of the three cell types, with and without PDGF, during the same experiment. Before experiments, the wells were sterilized with 70% ethanol and incubated with 0.2 mg/mL fibronectin (Millipore) in PBS at 37 °C for at least 2 h. Cells were passaged, seeded at 100 cells/well, and allowed to adhere for at least 6 h prior to the start of experiments. Immediately before imaging, the medium on the cells was replaced with phenol red-free medium containing 25 mM HEPES (Gibco). Half of the wells also contained 100 ng/mL PDGF; the concentration was chosen to be half-maximal concentration in the chemotactic gradient, thereby matching the average PDGF concentration inside the constriction channels. After replacing the medium, a glass slide was placed over the wells to limit evaporation; the PDMS assembly was then placed in a temperature-controlled chamber on a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope (AxioObserver) equipped with a CCD camera (CoolSNAP EZ, Photometrics) and a motorized stage (Zeiss). Time-lapse images were recorded every 10 min for 8–14 h total. Image sequences used for the analysis of cell migration were acquired with a 20× objective in widefield/phase contrast mode. Following the experiment, the position of the cells was tracked using the Manual Tracking plugin in ImageJ, and the average velocities were obtained. Cells that divided or were in contact with other cells were discarded from the analysis.

**Statistical Analysis**

Experiments were performed at least three independent times. Statistical analysis was performed with PRISM 3.0 software (GraphPad) and SAS v 9.13 (SAS Institute 2003). Data representing two groups were compared by unpaired Student’s t test with Welch’s
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**FIGURE 7.** Cells with reduced levels of lamin A/C migrate through narrow constrictions more efficiently. (a) Representative images of migration devices after 48 h of migration, revealing increased numbers of Lmna+/− and Lmna−/− cells that have passed through the constrictions. (b) Quantitative analysis of cell numbers that have passed through the channels after 48 h. Cells with a reduced expression of lamins A/C had significantly larger numbers than wild-type cells. (c) Cell numbers were adjusted for the different proliferation rates between cell lines. The adjusted data confirmed that cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C were more efficient at migrating through the narrow constrictions. N = 8 (from three independent experiments); **, p<0.01, ***, p<0.001, compared to Lmna+/+ cells. Scale bar: 200 μm.
correction to allow for different variances. For samples that did not follow a Gaussian distribution (perfusion transit times), data were compared with the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test. Data representing three groups (migration and perfusion transit times and cell number studies; Figs. 4, 5 and 7) were compared using an omnibus F-test and Tukey–Kramer multiple-means-analysis. Statistical correlation between constriction size and migration transit time for each cell line was analyzed using the Pearson test (Fig. 5). The data in the cell number study (Fig. 7) were transformed using a log(x + 1) transformation prior to analysis to better meet the assumptions of variance of the ANOVA. Data are expressed as arithmetic mean ± SEM. For all experiments, a two-tailed p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Nuclear Deformation During Migration in Confined 3-D Environments

It is now well established that cell motility in 3-D environments can substantially deviate from cell migration on flat substrates.11,31 Recent reports further suggest that the deformability of the cell, and particularly the nucleus, may present a rate-limiting factor in 3-D migration.13,18,44 However, most current strategies to study cell migration in 3-D environments are limited in their ability to quantitatively assess the effect of the physical environment on single cells: collagen matrices used for 3-D cell migration contain randomly distributed pores of variable size44 and the dense collagen network can make high resolution imaging challenging; transwell migration assays and Boyden chambers, on the other hand, have precisely defined pore sizes but do not allow real-time imaging of the cells traveling through the narrow constrictions at high resolution. We have therefore designed a novel microfluidic device in which cells migrate along a chemotactic gradient through well-defined constrictions (Fig. 1). The device is composed of an array of 5 μm tall channels containing a series of increasingly narrower constrictions measuring 5, 3 and 2 μm in width, respectively. Small lateral openings (Fig. 1a, white arrowhead) between the channels assure a uniform chemotactic gradient across the constrictions and nutrient supply to the cells, while intermittent 15 μm wide channels (Fig. 1a, black arrowhead) serve as controls to account for inherent differences in migration speed. By imaging the fluorescence intensity distribution of Texas Red-labeled dextran, we confirmed that gradients formed rapidly (<30 min) within the device and remained stable over the time-course of the experiments (Figs. 1b and 1c). Using this device, we obtained high resolution time-lapse sequences of fibroblasts moving along a PDGF gradient through the constrictions, revealing substantial nuclear deformations as the cells squeezed through the constrictions (Figs. 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d), including deformation of the nuclear lamina (Fig. 2c). In some cases, what appeared like buckling of the nuclear lamina was observed in cells expressing GFP-lamin A (Fig. 2c), possibly due to the presumably more rigid nuclear lamina in these cells. Importantly, the cells took up the entire height of the channels and adhered to both the top and the bottom of the fibronectin-coated channels (Fig. 2b), indicating that the device presents a true 3-D environment to the cell. Furthermore, the height of the channels (5 μm) was sufficient to confine the nucleus, as evidenced by the reduction in nuclear height as cells entered the channels (Fig. 2d).

Cells with Lower Lamin A/C Expression have More Deformable Nuclei

Given the large nuclear deformations required to pass through the narrow constrictions in our microfluidic channels and observed during non-proteolytic cell migration in dense collagen matrices,44 we hypothesized that cells with more deformable nuclei may be able to transit faster through constrictions that are smaller than the nuclear diameter. The deformability of the nucleus is primarily governed by the chromatin and the mechanical properties of the nuclear envelope.7 In particular, the nuclear envelope proteins lamin A/C are one of the major determinants of nuclear deformability, and MEFs from lamin A/C-deficient mice (Lmna+/−) have substantially softer nuclei than cells from wild-type littermates (Lmna+/+), with heterozygous cells (Lmna+/−) displaying an intermediate phenotype.24 As the Lmna+/−, Lmna+/+ and Lmna−/− MEFs have been well characterized in terms of their nuclear mechanics24,25 and their migration on 2-D substrates,10,17,26,28 we focused our subsequent studies on these cells. To confirm the increased nuclear deformability of the lamin A/C-deficient cells during passage through narrow constrictions, we perfused cells through microfluidic channels with constrictions smaller than the size of the nucleus (Fig. 3a). The lamin A/C-deficient cells passed through the constrictions significantly faster than wild-type controls (Figs. 3a and 3b), indicating that they had softer nuclei that required less time (and energy) to deform. We further confirmed the increased nuclear deformability of the lamin A/C-deficient cells using nuclear micropipette aspiration assays (Fig. 3c), consistent with previous results of cells with reduced levels of laminas A/C.22,30,38
Cells with Lower Lamin A/C Levels Migrate Faster Through Narrow Constrictions

To test whether reduced levels of lamins A/C and the associated increased nuclear deformability could improve the ability of cells to migrate through confined environments, we measured the migration transit time (Fig. 4) of Lmna+/+, Lmna+/-, and Lmna-/- MEFs cells migrating through precisely defined constrictions of 5 μm height and 5, 3, or 2 μm width (see Fig. 1). The cells with reduced lamin A/C levels (Lmna+/- and Lmna-/-) had significantly shorter migration transit times through the 3 and 2 μm wide constrictions than the wild-type cells (Fig. 5a; see also Supplemental Data for representative videos); the difference between the Lmna+/- and Lmna-/- cells was not statistically significant. In the 5 μm wide constrictions, the cells with lower lamin A/C levels displayed a trend towards faster migration transit times, but the difference between the cell lines was not quite statistically significant (p = 0.057).

To account for inherent differences in the migration speeds between the three cell lines in unconfined conditions (Figs. 6a and 6b), we normalized migration transit times through the various constrictions by the corresponding migration transit times in the 15 μm-wide channels for each cell line. This normalization allows for a more general comparison of the effect of small constrictions on the migration behavior of the different cell lines. A normalized migration transit time larger than ‘1’ indicates that cells from a given cell line take longer to migrate through a given constriction than the same cells would take to pass through a large channel that does not require nuclear deformation. For the 5 μm-wide constrictions, all three cell lines had normalized migration transit times close to ‘1’, indicating that this constriction size had no significant effect on cell migration (Fig. 5b, left graph). However, when further reducing the constriction size, this resulted in progressively increasing normalized migration transit times for the wild-type cells, particularly in the 2 μm-wide constrictions (Fig. 5b, right graph). These data suggest that in wild-type cells, nuclear deformability becomes rate-limiting when passing through openings substantially smaller than the nucleus. In contrast, for cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C, restricting the constriction size down to as small as 2 × 5 μm² had no effect on the migration transit time. In fact, for these cells the migration transit times through the narrow constrictions were indistinguishable from those for the 15 μm-wide channels, i.e., the normalized migration transit time remained close to ‘1’ (Fig. 5b, right graph), suggesting that the nuclei of these cells do not significantly resist deformation in the constriction sizes tested.

Effect of Lamin Expression on Cell Migration is Independent of PDGF-Gradient

To test whether the results depend on the specific chemotactic gradient used here (i.e., PDGF), we also performed experiments on cells migrating through the constriction channels without a chemotactic gradient. We found that the experimental observation remained the same: the Lmna+/- cells took significantly longer to pass through the narrow (2 × 5 μm²) constrictions than the 15 μm-wide constrictions (p < 0.001), and the Lmna+/- cells had significantly increased normalized migration transit times through the 2 × 5 μm² constrictions compared to Lmna-/- cells (Fig. 5c). Just as in the experiments with the PDGF gradient, Lmna+/- cells displayed an intermediate phenotype between the Lmna+/- and the Lmna-/- cells. Taken together, these results suggest that differences in lamin A/C expression and the associated changes in nuclear deformability, rather than differential responses to the chemotactic gradient, are responsible for the different abilities to pass through constrictions smaller than the nuclear diameter.

We conducted additional assays in which cells were induced to migrate through the constrictions in the absence or presence of a chemotactic gradient while being maintained in a cell culture incubator. For these experiments, we used devices without the 15 μm-wide channels to ensure that all cells had migrated through the narrow constriction channels. After 48 h, significantly more Lmna+/- and Lmna-/- cells had passed through the constrictions than wild-type cells (Figs. 7a and 7b), confirming the results obtained in the time-lapse microscopy studies. However, we observed that lamin A/C-deficient cells proliferate faster than wild-type cells (doubling times were 0.68, 1.0, and 1.6 days for the Lmna-/-, Lmna+/-, and Lmna+/- cells, respectively), which could affect the number of cells on both sides of the constriction after 48 h despite identical initial seeding densities. We therefore also compared migration efficiency after normalizing the cell numbers to the proliferation rate for each cell line. Importantly, even after accounting for the different proliferation rates, cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C had significantly higher migration efficiencies through the narrow constrictions than wild-type cells, while differences between Lmna+/- and Lmna-/- cells were not statistically significant (Fig. 7c). Experiments performed without a PDGF gradient produced similar findings (data not shown). Taken together, these data demonstrate that cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C, which have more deformable nuclei, are significantly more efficient at migrating through constrictions smaller than the size of the nucleus.
DISCUSSION

Using novel microfluidic migration devices that enable imaging of nuclear deformation during cell passage through tight constrictions in 3-D environments, we found that reduced levels of lamins A/C result in enhanced transit efficiency during passive perfusion and active cell migration. These results provide further support for an important role of nuclear mechanics in 3-D cell migration and indicate that changes in nuclear envelope composition, which often affect nuclear deformability, can have important implications in the ability of cells to move through dense tissues or other confined spaces. Cells embedded in dense collagen matrices show severe nuclear deformations when MMP activity is inhibited, and reducing the pore size between confining spaces. Cells embedded in dense collagen matrices show severe nuclear deformations when MMP activity is inhibited, and reducing the pore size between collagen fibers to less than 6 \( \mu m^2 \) (or about 1/10 of the cross-sectional area of the unconfined nucleus) causes stalled cell migration and nuclei forming long protrusions as they unsuccessfully attempt to pass through the constriction. Similary, breast cancer cells moving through microfluidic PDMS channels have significantly decreased rates of migration when the channel size is reduced below 8 \( \mu m \times 5 \mu m \) and osteosarcoma cell migration is impaired when channel width is reduced below 20 \( \mu m \).

In our experiments, we did not observe permanent arrest of cell migration, even in the 2 \( \times \) 5 \( \mu m^2 \) constrictions; however, when using migration channels with similar design but a channel height of only 3 \( \mu m \), migration efficiency was substantially lower than in the 5 \( \mu m \) tall constriction channels, particularly for the wild-type cells (data not shown). Importantly, even though the cells in our experiments had not reached the 'nuclear deformation limit' beyond which 3-D cell migration stalls, nuclear deformability had nonetheless a strong effect on migration efficiency through constrictions smaller than the unconfined nuclear diameter. In wild-type cells, migration transit times negatively correlated with a decrease in constriction size \( (p < 0.01) \), and migration through 2 \( \times \) 5 \( \mu m^2 \)-sized constrictions was significantly slower than through the 15 \( \times \) 5 \( \mu m^2 \)-sized reference channels. In contrast, cells with reduced levels of lamins A/C, which have more deformable nuclei, needed less time to pass through the narrow constrictions than wild-type cells; furthermore, their migration transit times were independent of the size of the constriction, at least down to the 2 \( \times \) 5 \( \mu m^2 \)-sized constrictions used in our experiments.

To further compare the migration behavior of cells in 2-D and 3-D environments, we also performed single cell migration experiments on 2-D glass substrates. In these experiments, wild-type cells were significantly slower than lamin-A/C deficient cells (Fig. 6), suggesting some inherent cell line-to-cell line variation and prompting us to use normalized migration transit times for further analysis to account for differences in migration speed in unconfined conditions. In addition, we also used migration devices with 15 \( \mu m \) tall channels to assess the migration speeds under the influence of a chemotactic gradient in 2.5-D, i.e., cells adhering to the side-walls and bottom or top, but not the top and bottom simultaneously. Similar to the results of the 2-D experiments, the wild-type cells migrated more slowly than the lamin A/C-deficient cells. Interestingly, while we observed similar migration speeds between 2.5-D and 3-D migration for the lamin A/C-deficient cells (Fig. 6b), the wild-type cells had lower migration velocities in the 5 \( \mu m \) tall channels (3-D migration) compared to the 15 \( \mu m \) tall channels (2.5-D migration), suggesting that wild-type cells already resist nuclear compression when reducing the available height down to 5 \( \mu m \) (see Fig. 2d), impairing their migration. Thus, normalizing the migration transit times with the (faster) transit times through the 15 \( \mu m \) wide sections in the 15 \( \mu m \) tall channels, rather than the (slower) times for the 5 \( \mu m \) tall channels as done in the current analysis, would result in even greater differences between the wild-type and lamin A/C-deficient cells.

As PDGF is a known effector of lamin A/C phosphorylation, and lamin A/C can conversely affect several signaling pathways involved in cell migration, we performed additional experiments to assess the effect of the PDGF chemoattractant on cell migration in wild-type and lamin A/C-deficient cells in more detail. Wild-type cells, but not lamin A/C-deficient cells, responded to the PDGF gradient by increasing their migration speed through the constriction channels compared to cells migrating without a PDGF gradient. However, this effect was independent of the constriction size (data not shown); hence, the PDGF gradient had little effect on the normalized migration transit times (compare Figs. 5b and 5c). Importantly, migration transit times for wild-type cells were always significantly longer than corresponding transit times for lamin A/C-deficient cells, regardless of the absence or presence of a PDGF gradient. Thus, the rate-limiting role of nuclear deformability in 3-D migration through narrow constrictions, governed by levels of lamins A/C, was independent of the chemoattractant used in the experiments.

Furthermore, the improved migration efficiency of the lamin A/C-deficient cells during 3-D migration through narrow constrictions could not be explained by differences in nuclear size, as the cells with reduced lamin A/C levels had larger nuclei than the control cells, with nuclear cross-sectional areas of 330 \( \pm \) 10, 320 \( \pm \) 10, and 210 \( \pm \) 10 \( \mu m^2 \) for \( Lmna^{-/-} \), Lmna+/−,
and \( Lmna^{+/+} \) MEFs, respectively. In fact, the larger nuclear size of the \( Lmna^{-/-} \) and \( Lmna^{+/+} \) cells would be expected to impair cell migration through narrow constrictions, pointing to an even greater effect of lamin A/C levels on cell motility. Further support for the importance of nuclear lamins and nuclear deformability on 3-D cell migration comes from a recent study in which cells expressing progerin, a lamin A mutant that results in more rigid nuclei, had difficulties passing between microposts positioned 6 \( \mu m \) apart, but showed no difference in unconfined migration.2 Similarly, ectopic overexpression of lamin A dramatically reduced the ability of neutrophil-like cells to pass through narrow constrictions during passive perfusion or active migration.33 Importantly, neutrophils normally have highly lobulated nuclei and downregulate lamins A/C and other nuclear envelope proteins during granulopoiesis while upregulating expression of lamin B receptor59; it has long been speculated that this change in nuclear envelope composition and the associated changes in nuclear shape and stiffness enhance the ability of neutrophils to migrate through microscopic openings between endothelial cells during extravasation and promote mobility in tissues during infection.13,33 Developmentally or environmentally regulated changes in lamin A/C expression have also been postulated to contribute to enhanced nuclear deformability in stem cells and may contribute to the correct trafficking of hematopoietic stem cells.35,38

Interestingly, even though \( Lmna^{+-} \) cells have only 50% reduced levels of lamins A/C and their nuclear deformability falls between that of \( Lmna^{-/-} \) and wild-type cells, the ability of \( Lmna^{+-} \) cells to migrate through narrow constrictions was virtually indistinguishable from that of \( Lmna^{-/-} \) cells (Figs. 5 and 7). One possible reason is that lamins A/C form an extended part of the LINC (Linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton) complex.5,16 Consequently, complete loss of lamins A/C will not only increase nuclear deformability, which should enhance migration efficiency, but can also disrupt force transmission between the nucleus and the cytoskeleton, which could negatively affect cell migration.18 Consistent with this idea, recent studies found that complete loss of lamins A/C or LINC complex disruption impairs the physical coupling between the actin cytoskeleton and nucleus, resulting in impaired cell polarization and migration on 2-D substrates10,17,26-28 and in 3-D collagen matrices.23 Cells with reduced (but not absent) levels of lamins A/C, such as the \( Lmna^{+-} \) MEFs, may thus benefit from the increased nuclear deformability caused by reduced lamin A/C expression while retaining near-normal nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling.

In conclusion, the role of lamins A/C in contributing to nuclear stiffness has important implications in determining the ability of cells to pass through narrow constrictions during 3-D migration or perfusion through narrow capillaries. These properties are not only relevant in various physiological processes, but are also attracting increasing interest in the study of cancer metastasis, as altered expression of lamins has been reported in a variety of human cancers (reviewed in Ho and Lammerding59). Breast cancer tumor cells, for example, often have reduced expression of lamins A/C,3,42 and loss of lamins A/C negatively correlates with disease-free survival.62 The increased nuclear deformability associated with reduced lamin A/C levels may promote invasion of metastatic cancer cells into surrounding tissues and spreading through the vascular and lymphatic system, thereby constituting a substantial risk factor on top of gene-regulatory changes linked to altered lamin expression.19 Thus, an improved understanding of the multifaceted function of lamins has the potential to uncover novel therapeutic targets in cancer metastasis and diseases caused by mutations in nuclear envelope proteins.
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Nuclear Mechanics in Cancer

Celine Denais and Jan Lammerding

Abstract Despite decades of research, cancer metastasis remains an incompletely understood process that is as complex as it is devastating. In recent years, there has been an increasing push to investigate the biomechanical aspects of tumorigenesis, complementing the research on genetic and biochemical changes. In contrast to the high genetic variability encountered in cancer cells, almost all metastatic cells are subject to the same physical constraints as they leave the primary tumor, invade surrounding tissues, transit through the circulatory system, and finally infiltrate new tissues. Advances in live cell imaging and other biophysical techniques, including measurements of subcellular mechanics, have yielded stunning new insights into the physics of cancer cells. While much of this research has been focused on the mechanics of the cytoskeleton and the cellular microenvironment, it is now emerging that the mechanical properties of the cell nucleus and its connection to the cytoskeleton may play a major role in cancer metastasis, as deformation of the large and stiff nucleus presents a substantial obstacle during the passage through the dense interstitial space and narrow capillaries. Here, we present an overview of the molecular components that govern the mechanical properties of the nucleus, and we...
discuss how changes in nuclear structure and composition observed in many cancers can modulate nuclear mechanics and promote metastatic processes. Improved insights into this interplay between nuclear mechanics and metastatic progression may have powerful implications in cancer diagnostics and therapy and may reveal novel therapeutic targets for pharmacological inhibition of cancer cell invasion.

**Keywords**  Cytoskeleton • LINC complex • Mechanotransduction • Mechanical stability • Nuclear lamina
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ER  Endoplasmic reticulum  
KASH  Klarsicht, ANC-1, Syne Homology  
LAPs  Lamina-associated polypeptides  
LBR  Lamin B receptor  
LINC  Linker of Nucleoskeleton and Cytoskeleton  
NPC  Nuclear pore complex

**Introduction**

The cell nucleus was the first organelle discovered in the seventeenth century. In the oldest preserved depictions of the nucleus, Antonie van Leeuwenhoek described a central “clear area” in salmon blood cells that is now commonly acknowledged as the nucleus [1]. A more detailed description of the nucleus was subsequently provided by the botanist Robert Brown, who first articulated the concept of the nucleated cell as a structural unit in plants [1]. Today, the nucleus is recognized as the site of numerous essential functions in eukaryotes, including storage and organization of the genetic material, DNA synthesis, DNA transcription, transcriptional regulation, and RNA processing. In cancer biology, much of the research has traditionally been focused on this “DNA-centric view,” starting with the identification of oncogenes and tumor-suppressor genes to the establishment of the multiple “hits” (i.e., mutations) concept now commonly accepted as a requirement for cancer initiation and progression [2]. Recently, however, it has become apparent that in addition to these genetic components, it is necessary to take the physical, i.e., biomechanical, factors of tumor cells and their microenvironment into consideration. Research conducted within the last 10 years has revealed that cancer cells have reduced stiffness [3–7], generate increased contractile forces [8], and are strongly influenced by their biomechanical environment [9, 10]. Furthermore, not only can cancer cells be mechanically distinguished from non-tumorigenic cells, but physical measurements also allow telling apart highly invasive cells from less invasive cells, for example, by their increased cell deformability [4] and increased traction forces [8], yielding the promise of future
diagnostic and prognostic applications. Here, we focus on a particular aspect of cellular mechanics that has traditionally received less attention in cancer cell biology: the role of nuclear structure and mechanics in cancer progression.

Despite many advances in understanding the biology of cancer and its associated molecular changes, the most common and reliable diagnosis of cancer cells in tissue biopsies by pathologists still relies on the presence of morphological changes in nuclear structure, i.e., increased size, irregular shape and organization [11]. Nonetheless, the functional consequences of these characteristic changes have yet to be determined; thus, it remains unclear whether the observed morphological changes merely correlate with other, more difficult to observe cellular defects, or whether they can directly contribute to the disease progression.

In recent years, a growing number of studies have reported altered nuclear envelope composition in various cancers [12, 13]. The structure and composition of the nucleus, particularly the nuclear envelope, play an important role in cellular mechanics and function, ranging from determining nuclear deformability and fragility [14–17] to participating in mechanotransduction signaling, i.e., the sensing of biomechanical factors and the corresponding signaling response [15, 18]. One potential mechanism by which changes in nuclear envelope composition could contribute to cancer progression is that softer and more lobulated nuclei facilitate cancer cell invasion through dense tissues, where cells often have to pass through constrictions smaller than the nuclear diameter [19, 20]. Furthermore, the physical coupling between the nucleus and the cytoskeleton is critical for cytoskeletal organization and cell polarization [21–24], which could further affect cancer cell migration. In the following sections, we provide a brief review of normal nuclear structure and mechanics, highlight changes that occur during oncogenic transformation, and discuss recent findings suggesting an important role of nuclear mechanics and nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling in cancer progression.

**Normal Nuclear Compartmentalization and Structure**

The nucleus is a highly compartmentalized organelle that can be roughly subdivided into the nuclear envelope and the nuclear interior (Fig. 1), the latter representing most of the chromatin in diverse states of organization [25], the nucleolus, and diverse smaller subnuclear structures such as Cajal bodies and nuclear speckles [26–28]. In addition, the nuclear interior contains a still incompletely defined structural network (i.e., the nucleoskeleton or nuclear matrix), which may provide additional mechanical support and also act as scaffold for transcriptional complexes and other nuclear processes. The nuclear envelope forms the physical barrier between the nucleus and the cytoplasm. It consists of two phospholipid bilayers, the inner and the outer membranes, and the underlying nuclear lamina, a dense protein meshwork mostly comprising laminas. The inner and outer nuclear membranes are connected at the sites of nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) and encapsulate the perinuclear space or lumen.
The outer nuclear membrane is continuous with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER); like the ER, its surface is scattered with ribosomes. The outer nuclear membrane exhibits a high degree of similarity to the ER membrane in terms of protein, enzyme, and lipid composition [29]. Nonetheless, recent studies have suggested that the outer nuclear membrane displays a certain degree of specialization [30] and participates in protein synthesis and processing [31]. The specialized protein composition of the outer nuclear membrane likely results from retention of specific proteins by
direct interaction with inner nuclear membrane proteins across the lumen, thereby enriching them compared to the ER fraction [32, 33]. In mammals, one particularly important family of outer nuclear membrane proteins is the nesprins [34], which play a central role in connecting the nucleus to the cytoskeleton [35–39].

The Nuclear Lumen and Nuclear Pore Complexes

The nuclear lumen, also commonly termed the perinuclear space, is a 30–50 nm wide aqueous space separating the inner from the outer nuclear membrane that is continuous with the ER lumen [40]. It accommodates the luminal domains of integral nuclear membrane proteins [41]. The inner and outer nuclear membranes come together at sites of NPC insertion [42]. NPCs act as the main gateway for molecules between the cytoplasm and the nuclear interior (and also proteins of the inner nuclear membrane). Small molecules can diffuse freely through the NPC, while the exchange of macromolecules larger than ∼40 kDa is mediated by a tightly controlled import and export mechanism requiring nuclear import and export signals and interaction with specific transport molecules [43–45].

The Inner Nuclear Membrane

The inner nuclear membrane contains at least 70–100 unique membrane-associated and integral membrane proteins that are retained at the inner nuclear membrane through interaction with nucleoplasmic proteins (e.g., lamins) and chromatin [13]. Most of these proteins have only been identified in recent proteomic studies [46–50], and the function of several of the nuclear envelope transmembrane proteins remains unclear. Some well-characterized inner nuclear membrane proteins include lamin B receptor (LBR), lamina-associated polypeptides (LAPs) [30], emerin, MAN1, nesprins, and Sad1p/UNC-84 (SUN) proteins [13]. Mislocalization or loss of these proteins due to mutations in nuclear envelope proteins causes a spectrum of diseases collectively known as laminopathies that include certain types of muscular dystrophies (e.g., Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy and limb-girdle muscular dystrophy), dilated cardiomyopathy, and the premature aging disease Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome [51].

The Nuclear Lamina

The lamina corresponds to a dense meshwork of proteins mainly composed of lamins underlying the inner nuclear membrane [52]. Lamins are type V intermediate filaments [53, 54] and display the characteristic tripartite molecular organization of
all intermediate filaments, which consists of a central a-helical rod domain flanked by a short non-helical N-terminal “head” and a C-terminal “tail” domain that includes an Ig-like fold [55].

In vertebrates, lamins are classified into two major classes, A- and B-type lamins, depending on their sequence, expression pattern, and biochemical properties [56, 57]. A-type lamins, including lamins A, C, AΔ10, and C2, result from alternative splicing of the LMNA gene on chromosome 1. These proteins are expressed in a tissue-specific manner later in differentiation [58, 59], have neutral isoelectric points, and are dispersed upon phosphorylation of lamins during mitosis [60]. Lamin A and C can be distinguished by their unique C-terminal tail and processing: the C-terminus of prelamin A contains a CaaX motif, which is subject to a series of posttranslational modifications, including isoprenylation and proteolytic cleavage, to give rise to mature lamin A [61, 62]. In contrast, the shorter lamin C has a unique C-terminus that lacks the CaaX motif and does not require posttranslational processing. In addition to their localization at the nuclear lamina, A-type lamins are also present in the nuclear interior, where they form stable structures [63].

Unlike A-type lamins, B-type lamins are encoded by two separate genes: LMNB1 for lamin B1 [64, 65] and LMNB2 for lamin B2 and B3 [66, 67]. Only lamins B1 and B2 are found in somatic cells; expression of lamin B3 is restricted to germ cells. Unlike A-type lamins, at least one B-type lamin is expressed in all cells, including embryonic stem cells; B-type lamins are acidic and remain associated with membranes during mitosis [68]. The C-terminus of B-type lamins is also isoprenylated but, unlike prelamin A, does not undergo proteolytic cleavage. Consequently, B-type lamins remain permanently farnesylated, facilitating their attachment to the inner nuclear membrane.

### The Nuclear Interior

In addition to DNA and histones, the nucleoplasm contains distinct structural and functional elements such as nucleoli [69], Cajal bodies [70], the Gemini of coiled bodies or gems [71], promyelocytic leukemia (PML) bodies [72], and splicing speckles [73]. The growing interest to decipher the detailed structure and composition of the nuclear interior has led to the recent discoveries that the nuclear interior contains actin [74, 75], myosin [76, 77], spectrin [78], and even titin [79]. It is now well established that actin oligomers or short polymers are present in the nucleus [80–82] and that all isoforms of actin contain nuclear export sequences [83], which may help prevent spontaneous assembly of actin filaments inside the nucleus. To date, many aspects of nuclear actin remain incompletely understood, including its precise structural organization [84]. Nonetheless, nuclear actin has been implicated in a number of functions highly relevant to tumorigenesis, including DNA organization, stabilization, and orientation during replication, determination of nuclear morphology, organization of gene regulatory complexes, and RNA synthesis [85]. The existence and function of the “nuclear matrix” or nucleoskeleton, typically defined as the insoluble
structure remaining after nuclease, detergent, and high salt treatment of isolated nuclei [86], remains a matter of lively debate, but given the plethora of structural proteins present in the nucleus and their often low diffusional mobility, it is likely that some (possibly local) structural frameworks exist in the nuclear interior.

**Nuclear Mechanics and Mechanotransduction**

In recent years, it has emerged that physical factors, such as the biomechanical properties of the microenvironment and the mechanical forces acting between cells and their environment, play an important role in cellular function [87]. With regard to cancer cells, modulation of cytoskeletal tension by Rho inhibition alone can be sufficient to phenotypically revert epithelial morphogenesis of malignant cells [10]. Rho proteins belong to the family of small signaling G-proteins (GTPases) that can act as “molecular switches” in regulating actin cytoskeleton dynamics, while also playing important roles in cell polarity, migration vesicle trafficking, mitosis, proliferation and apoptosis [88]. Furthermore, recent studies found that aggressive cancer cells can be distinguished from less invasive and non-tumorigenic cancer cells based on their cytoskeletal stiffness [3] and their contractile force generation [8]. What is now becoming apparent is that in addition to cytoskeletal stiffness and force generation, nuclear deformability, as well as the physical coupling between the nucleus and the cytoskeleton, play a critical role in cell motility in three-dimensional (3D) environments [19, 20]. In this section, we discuss the molecular players governing normal nuclear mechanics, i.e., nuclear deformability and nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling, as well as their potential contribution to cellular mechanosensing. Their involvement in cancer progression is then described in the subsequent section.

**Nuclear Deformability and Stability**

Over the years, a variety of experimental techniques have been developed to probe the mechanical properties of the nucleus, particularly its deformability under applied forces. These approaches include micropipette aspiration [89–93], atomic force microscopy [91, 94–96], cell stretching [14, 97–99], tracking of particles within the nucleoplasm [100], and, most recently, optical stretching [101] and measuring transit times through microfluidic constriction channels [102, 103]. These experiments have revealed that the nucleus exhibits both elastic (the nuclear lamina) and viscoelastic (the nuclear interior) behavior and is typically ~2–10 times stiffer than the surrounding cytoplasm [93, 99, 104, 105]. The precise measurements for the apparent Young’s modulus, a measure of material elasticity, range from ~0.1 to 10 kPa, depending on the experimental conditions and technique. This broad range of stiffness measures likely reflects a large degree of cell-to-cell variability, as well as different domains and mechanical behavior probed by the diverse experimental
methods. For example, tracking of small particles within the nucleoplasm is sensitive to entanglement of the tracked particle within the nucleoskeleton/chromatin; in addition, the resulting measurements exclude contributions to nuclear stiffness from the nuclear envelope [90, 91]. In contrast, cell stretch experiments and other techniques that result in large nuclear deformations will yield “bulk” measurements that combine contributions from the nuclear interior and the nuclear envelope, but may also depend on the mechanical properties of the cytoskeleton and its connection to the nucleus [17].

Micropipette aspiration experiments [90–92] and computational modeling [105] indicate that the mechanical deformability of the nucleus is mainly governed by the nuclear lamina and the nuclear interior; the relative contribution of each component depends on diverse factors such as mechanical load (e.g., applied tension vs. compression), the specific cell type, differentiation state, and chromatin configuration. The contribution of the inner and outer nuclear membranes to the deformability of the nucleus is largely negligible [106], as lipid membranes exhibiting relatively low bending stiffness and a two-dimensional (2D) liquid-like behavior, i.e., they can flow in response to applied shear stress, with connections to a large membrane reservoir in the form of the ER [16, 106].

The importance of the nuclear lamina in providing structural support to the nucleus and controlling nuclear size is now well established [12, 17], with the nuclear lamina acting as a load-bearing, elastic shell surrounding a viscoelastic nuclear interior [90, 91, 107]. Experiments on cells from gene-modified mice lacking specific lamin isoforms [98] and Xenopus oocytes ectopically expressing human lamins [95] suggest that lamins A and C are the main contributors to nuclear stiffness, with loss of lamin A or C resulting in softer, more deformable nuclei, while increased expression of lamin A results in stiffer, less deformable nuclei. Given the structural similarities between A-type and B-type lamins, it may be somewhat surprising that these proteins have distinct roles in affecting nuclear deformability. However, recent findings suggest that A- and B-type lamins—and even lamins A and C—may form distinct but overlapping networks [108, 109], and that A-type lamins may form a thicker protein network at the nuclear envelope [110]; however, as imaging the nuclear lamina in intact somatic cells with sufficiently high resolution remains technically extremely challenging, the exact structure and organization of the lamina and the different lamin isoforms at the nuclear envelope remains unclear. Interaction of specific lamin isoforms with other nuclear (envelope) proteins may serve as additional explanation for the distinct roles of the diverse lamins in nuclear mechanics. For example, loss of the inner nuclear membrane protein emerin, which directly interacts with lamins A/C, results in more deformable nuclei, although to a lesser degree than functional loss of lamins A/C [92, 97]. In addition, functional loss of lamins due to mutations or (partial) deletion can also affects chromatin organization [111–114], which could affect nuclear deformability.

Further illustrating the importance of A-type lamins in nuclear mechanics, lamin A/C-deficient cells have more deformable nuclei that are more susceptible to rupture under mechanical stress [14, 115]. Of note, mutations in A-type lamins, as well as emerin, cause a spectrum of human diseases (laminopathies) that include
Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy, limb-girdle muscular dystrophy, dilated cardiomyopathy, Dunnigan-type familial partial lipodystrophy, and Hutchinson–Gilford Progeria syndrome [51]. In many cases, cells from affected patients show characteristic features such as misshapen nuclei, increased nuclear fragility, and herniations [16]; furthermore, \textit{LMNA} mutations resulting in disease affecting cardiac and skeletal muscle often cause defects in nuclear mechanics [116], providing a potential disease mechanism for the muscular laminopathies.

Importantly, lamins also interact with other inner nuclear membrane proteins (e.g., emerin, LAPs, and LBR), nuclear pore components, DNA, chromatin, and transcription factors (e.g., retinoblastoma protein [Rb], SREBPs, GCL, and MOK2), and structural proteins such as nuclear actin and titin [117]. These interactions could further modulate nuclear stiffness by forming nucleoskeletal structures or affecting chromatin organization and transcriptional regulation. For example, nuclear abnormalities have been observed in cells depleted of large repeat-domain proteins such as titin and αII-spectrin [118, 119]. On the other hand, the role of nuclear actin in providing structural support to the nucleus remains unclear [84]. Through their interaction with SUN proteins, nesprins, and Samp1, lamins also play an important role in connecting the nucleus to the surrounding cytoskeleton [120], as discussed in more detail below.

Besides the nuclear lamina, chromatin is an important contributor to nuclear stiffness. Unlike the mostly elastic nuclear lamina, chromatin exhibits more viscoelastic material behavior, i.e., it flows when subjected to forces (Fig. 2) and undergoes plastic deformations [106, 107]. Chromatin decondensation during initial lineage commitment of embryonic stem cells is associated with a significant softening of the nucleus [101]. Subsequently, the viscoelastic deformability of the cell nucleus in human embryonic stem cells changes during further cellular

**Fig. 2** Invasive cancer cell MDA-MB-231 squeezing into an 8 μm width constriction. Image sequences of a cancer cell being perfused through an 8 μm-wide constriction at a pressure difference (ΔP) of 10 psi. The viscoelastic deformation as the nucleus flows through the constriction is clearly visible.
differentiation [107], becoming 6 times stiffer and also less fluid-like during terminal differentiation. It remains unclear, however, to what extent this behavior is caused by changes in chromatin organization, e.g., switching from loose euchromatin to more compacted heterochromatin, or results from the increased expression of A-type lamins in differentiated cells.

**Nucleo-cytoskeletal Coupling**

Over the last 10 years, it has become well established that the nucleus is physically coupled to the surrounding cytoskeleton [120]. Many of the molecular components are highly preserved throughout evolution, being present in unicellular organisms such as yeast all the way to mice and humans [121]. Building on work in yeast and drosophila, several of the molecular details of nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling were first unraveled in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, where UNC84 and ANC-1, in conjunction with Ce-lamin, participate in the actin-dependent anchorage and positioning of the nucleus [32, 122–125]. Subsequent studies have confirmed that closely related proteins are also responsible for nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling in mammalian cells; this physical connection is now commonly referred to as the Linker of Nucleoskeleton and Cytoskeleton (LINC) complex [126]. In the strictest definition, the LINC complex contains two essential parts: (1) a member of the trimeric inner nuclear membrane SUN- [127] domain protein family, which engages with nucleoplasmic proteins such as lamins [121, 128, 129]; (2), KASH- (Klarsicht, ANC-1, Syne Homology) domain containing nesprins located on the outer nuclear membrane that bind across the perinuclear space to the SUN domain of Sun1/Sun2 trimers [130]. The cytoplasmic ends of nesprins interact directly or indirectly with various components of the cytoskeleton, including actin, intermediate filaments (via plectin) [131], and microtubules (via microtubule-binding motors such as dynein and kinesin), thereby completing the physical connection across the nuclear envelope [121]. In many cases, lamins are considered an extended part of the LINC complex, as they bind to SUN proteins and inner membrane variants of nesprins and help tether these proteins to the nuclear interior [132]. Since the cytoskeleton also connects to focal adhesion and cell-cell junctions, cells contain a continuous mechanical network linking the nuclear interior and the extracellular matrix and neighboring cells, thereby allowing forces exerted from the cellular environment or the cytoskeleton to be transmitted directly to the nuclear interior [39, 120, 133, 134].

**SUN Domain Proteins**

The characteristic feature of SUN domain family proteins is a 115–175 amino acid domain that shares homology with the Sad1 protein from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* [135] and the UNC84 protein from *C. elegans* [122]. Mammalian cells have five SUN domain proteins, with two of these proteins (SUN1 and SUN2) present on
the nuclear envelope in somatic cells (SUN3-5 are testis specific) [136]. SUN1 and SUN2 proteins consist of a helical N-terminal domain that can bind to lamins [137] and nuclear pore complex proteins [138, 139], a single pass transmembrane domain to anchor the protein in the inner nuclear membrane [140], a luminal helical domain required for trimerization of SUN proteins [130], and the C-terminal SUN domain, which interacts with the KASH domain of nesprins [126].

**Nesprins and Other KASH Domain Proteins**

Mammals have four nesprins (genes SYNE 1–4), with nesprins 1–3 having multiple isoforms resulting from alternative splicing, initiation, and termination [34, 120, 121]. Expression of various nesprin isoforms can be highly tissue-specific [34]. In skeletal muscle, levels of nesprin-1 (first described as Syne-1 for synaptic nuclear envelope protein-1) are highest in synaptic nuclei, suggesting that it might participate in the migration and anchoring of these specialized muscle nuclei [141]. Common to all nesprins is a central region containing multiple spectrin domains, whose number can greatly vary between isoforms [142]; all nesprins (but not all isoforms) contain a ~60 amino acid-long C-terminal KASH domain, consisting of a transmembrane domain and a short, highly conserved luminal domain, which is essential for anchoring nesprins to the nuclear envelop [59, 142]. The N-terminal domain of nesprins typically contains specific motifs to interact with different cytoskeletal proteins. For instance, the nesprin-1 and -2 “giant” isoforms (1,000 and 800 kDa in size, respectively) contain an actin-binding domain (ABD) composed of two calponin homology domains [35, 37, 143]; additionally, nesprins-1 and -2 can interact with the microtubule-associated motors dynein/dynactin and kinesin [120]. Nesprin-3 can connect to intermediate filaments via plectin [36]. Nesprin-4 binds the microtubule-associated motor kinesin, and ectopic expression of nesprin-4 induces dramatic changes in centrosome positioning in cells [144]. While localization of larger nesprin isoforms is restricted to the outer nuclear membrane, shorter isoforms can also be present at the inner nuclear membrane, where they can interact with lamins and emerin [38, 145–147]. Nesprin isoforms lacking the KASH domain may also be found in other cellular structures. In addition to nesprins 1–4, mammals express at least one additional KASH-domain protein, aptly named KASH5, which is found exclusively in spermatocytes and oocytes, where it plays a critical role in meiosis [148].

**Other Molecules Involved in Nucleo-cytoskeletal Coupling**

With the growing interest in understanding the mechanics of the nucleus and its connection to the cytoskeleton, several recent studies have focused on identifying additional molecular players involved in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling. Based on experimental findings in emerin-deficient cells, one study has proposed that emerin binds to microtubules and that a subset of emerin located on the outer nuclear membrane is involved in coupling the centrosome to the nuclear envelope [149], but it
remains unclear whether the emerin-microtubule interaction is direct or mediated through other proteins such as nesprins.

A more recent candidate to be involved in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling is the inner nuclear membrane protein Samp1 [150], which associates with lamin A/C, emerin, Sun1, and Sun2 [150–152]. During mitosis, Samp1 is associated with the mitotic spindle [150]; during interphase, however, Samp1 is an important component of transmembrane actin-associated nuclear (TAN) lines [152], which promote rearward nuclear movement in polarizing fibroblasts by connecting the nucleus to retrograde actin flow via nesprin-2giant and SUN2 [153]. The involvement of lamins A/C in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling is further illustrated by the finding that lamin mutants associated with muscular dystrophies can disrupt this retrograde nuclear movement [132] and that lamin A/C is required for retaining Samp1 at the nuclear envelope [152]. Another potential mediator of nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling is the luminal protein torsinA, part of the AAA + ATPase superfamily. TorsinA interacts with the KASH domains of nesprins 1–3, and loss of torsinA results in mislocalization of nesprin-3 from the nuclear envelope and impaired cell polarization and migration [131]. Given the promiscuous interaction of SUN domain proteins and nesprins [154], it is likely that tissue-specific expression of their isoforms, as well as potential interaction with other nuclear envelope proteins such as Samp1, play an important role in the spatial and temporal control of nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling.

Nucleo-cytoskeletal Coupling Is Critical for Many Cell Functions

Studies investigating molecules involved in connecting chromatin and cytoskeletal structures have often focused on processes during mitosis and meiosis. For instance, analysis of chromosome condensation during yeast prophase has unraveled a direct interaction between Sad1 (a Sun homologue protein) and meiotic-specific bouquet (Bqt) proteins [155]. Sad1 has also been linked to Kms1 protein [156] and this interaction is known to couple telomeres to microtubules and cytoplasmic dynein [157, 158]. Similar results were obtained in C. elegans, where selective inactivation of Sun1 protein or Kdp-1 (KASH domain protein-1) protein delays cell cycle progression [159, 160]. In mammalian cells, lamins, SUN proteins, KASH5, and Samp1 have all been implicated in specific roles during mitosis and/or meiosis [148, 161], and loss of A-type lamins causes telomere shortening defects and overall genomic instability [162].

In recent years, research has increasingly focused on the role of LINC complex proteins in interphase cells and consequences of LINC complex disruption. In C. elegans, deletion of the nesprin and SUN1 orthologues ANC-1 and UNC-84 result in impaired nuclear positioning and anchoring in muscle cells [32, 122]. In mammalian cells, LINC complex disruption causes defects in nuclear positioning, cell polarization, and migration [133] by impairing force transmission between the nucleus and cytoskeleton [24, 153]. LINC complex proteins are particularly important during cell migration in 3D environments, for example, inside collagen matrices or tissues. In particular, lamins A/C, nesprin-2giant, and nesprin3 modulate
perinuclear actin organization and actin protrusions; consequently, deletion of lamins A/C or LINC complex disruption results in significantly impaired migration of cells in 3D collagen matrices [163]. The implications of impaired nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling in cancer progression are discussed in more detail below.

**Nuclear Mechanics Stiffness and Nucleo-cytoskeletal Coupling in Mechanotransduction**

As described above, the cytoskeleton physically connects the nucleus to the cellular microenvironment. Consequently, pulling on integrins on the surface of intact endothelial cells results not only in reorientation of cytoskeletal filaments, but also in distortion of the nucleus and spatial redistribution of subnuclear structures [134]. Similar results, including force-induced dissociation of nuclear protein complexes, have recently been obtained in HeLa cells subjected to forces applied via magnetic tweezers [164] and in human umbilical vein endothelial and osteosarcoma cells exposed to fluid shear stress [165]. It has long been speculated that such mechanically induced changes in nuclear structure and chromatin configuration could directly activate specific mechanosensitive genes, for example, by changing accessibility to transcription factors [18, 166]. This idea is further supported by studies that have found interactions between applied forces, Rho signaling, cell shape, and histone acetylation [167–169]. Nonetheless, direct evidence for such nuclear mechanosensing remains scarce, and the majority of data are rather correlative, making it difficult to discern whether mechanical forces acting on the nucleus are sufficient to directly induce changes in gene regulation, or whether the observed activation of mechanosensitive genes is the downstream result of signaling cascades originating in the cytoskeleton or the plasma membrane [15]. A recent study [24] addressing this question found that LINC complex disruption had no discernible effect on the mechanically induced expression of the mechanosensitive genes Iex-1 and Egr-1, whose activation is impaired in lamin A/C-deficient cells [14, 170], even though LINC complex disruption resulted in substantially reduced nuclear deformation when the fibroblasts were subjected to substrate strain [24].

At the same time, changes in nuclear envelope composition undoubtedly affect cellular structure and function. For example, LINC complex disruption alters the mechanically induced proliferation of C2C12 myoblasts [171]; LINC complex depletion also causes impaired propagation of intracellular forces and disturbed organization of the perinuclear actin and intermediate filament networks, leading to defects in nuclear positioning and cell orientation [22, 24, 171]. In the case of impaired expression of mechanosensitive genes in lamin A/C- and emerin-deficient cells, it remains unclear whether this effect is due to direct mechanical defects or a consequence of altered interaction of lamins with specific transcriptional factors. An additional mechanism by which lamins and emerin can affect mechanotransduction signaling has recently been identified, revealing that the actin polymerization-promoting activity of emerin at the nuclear envelope can influence nuclear and
cytoskeletal actin dynamics, thereby modulating localization and activity of the mechanosensitive transcription factor MKL1 (also known as MRTF-A or MAL), whose localization is dependent on interaction with monomeric G-actin [172].

Relevance of Nuclear Mechanics and Mechanotransduction in Cancer Progression

With growing advances in the understanding of the physics of cell motility, the mechanical properties of cancer cells have become an increasing area of interest [3]. As the nucleus is typically the largest and stiffest organelle, often occupying a large fraction of the cell’s volume, the properties of the nucleus can dominate the overall cellular mechanical response when cells are subjected to large deformations [17]. Several lines of evidence suggest that the ability of the nucleus to deform can impose a rate-limiting step in non-proteolytic cell migration in 3D environments, when cells attempt to squeeze through narrow constrictions imposed by extracellular matrix fibers and other cells (Fig. 3) [19, 20]. In this section, we summarize changes in nuclear structure and morphology observed in various cancers and describe the role of nuclear deformability in cell motility. In addition, we discuss the intricate feedback between the mechanics of the cellular microenvironment and intracellular organization and function.

Altered Nuclear Structure and Morphology in Cancer Cells

With few exceptions, the nuclei of normal cells have an ellipsoid shape with smooth outlines; in contrast, many cancer cells are easily identifiable by increased nuclear size, irregular nuclear contours, and disturbed chromatin distribution, making nuclear morphology one of the oldest and most commonly used cancer markers [11]. The irregular nuclear outline in cancer cells is mainly the result of grooving, convolutions and invaginations of the nuclear envelope [173]. While the characteristic changes in nuclear morphology in cancer cells are well documented, their cause and consequence remain unclear. Interestingly, the irregular nuclear morphology of cancer cells often bears striking resemblance to the abnormal nuclear shapes observed in cells lacking or expressing mutant nuclear envelope proteins such as lamins A/C, lamin B1/B2, or LBR [174, 175], suggesting a possible involvement of dysregulated nuclear envelope proteins [173, 176].

This idea is supported by a growing number of publications that report altered expression of lamins in a variety of human tumors, often associated with particularly malignant phenotypes (Table 1). Interestingly, while some cancers frequently show downregulation of lamin A/C [177–179], other cancers have upregulated levels of lamins A/C [177, 180, 181], and for some cancers, such as colon cancer, both increased [182] and decreased [183] levels of lamin A/C have been reported.
Furthermore, even within single tumors and individual cancer cell lines [184], highly heterogeneous expression levels of lamin A/C can be found [185]. Similarly, both high and low levels of lamins A/C have been considered poor prognostic markers for cancer patients, depending on the specific study and cancer subtype. For example, reduced lamin A/C expression is a sign of poor prognosis for patients with gastric carcinoma [186], and patients with stage II and III colon cancer have a significantly increased risk of cancer recurrence when their tumors are marked by loss of lamin A/C expression [183]. At the same time, another study found that patients with increased expression of lamins A/C in colorectal cancer tumors were almost
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Cancer/tumor type</th>
<th>Reported change</th>
<th>Prognostic value</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emerin</td>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Loss of emerin</td>
<td>[222]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamins A/C</td>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>Absence or very reduced expression in small cell lung carcinoma</td>
<td>[177]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colonic and gastric adenocarcinomas</td>
<td>Reduced levels and mislocalization (aberrant cytoplasmic immunolabelling)</td>
<td>[178]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other cancers: esophagus cancer,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cervical and uterine cancer,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>breast cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal cell</td>
<td>Skin carcinoma</td>
<td>Low levels or absence of lamin A</td>
<td>Increased proliferation</td>
<td>[179]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell skin</td>
<td>Skin carcinoma</td>
<td>Low levels of lamin C</td>
<td>Low proliferation</td>
<td>[179]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td>High levels of lamin A and C in the basal cell layer of the epidermis overlying</td>
<td>Proliferative capacity</td>
<td>[180]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>basal cell carcinomas, squamous cell carcinomas, and actinic keratosis (AK)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia and</td>
<td>Loss of gene expression by epigenetic silencing in nodal diffuse large B-cell</td>
<td>Poor outcome/overall survival</td>
<td>[223]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lymphomas</td>
<td>lymphomas and acute lymphoblastic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>leukemias.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal</td>
<td>Increased expression (mainly lamin A)</td>
<td>Poor prognosis (risk indicator of tumor related mortality)</td>
<td>[187]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian serous cancer</td>
<td>High levels in all stages of ovarian serous carcinomas; increased immunoreactivity in the higher stage of tumor</td>
<td>Correlates with advanced stage</td>
<td>[224]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary gastric carcinoma</td>
<td>Low levels</td>
<td>Poor histological differentiation; poor prognosis</td>
<td>[186]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>Low expression in lower grade; increased levels in higher grade</td>
<td>Correlates with advanced stage</td>
<td>[225]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon cancer</td>
<td>Low expression in stage II and III patients</td>
<td>Correlates with increased relapse</td>
<td>[183]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Heterogeneous lamin A/C protein expression pattern or absence of lamin A/C and aneuploidy</td>
<td></td>
<td>[185]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Mutated</td>
<td></td>
<td>[190]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamin A/C</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Loss of lamins A/C</td>
<td>Higher lamin A/C expression was associated with better clinical outcomes and with better overall and disease-free survival</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamin B</td>
<td>Colon cancer</td>
<td>Reduced expression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colorectal carcinoma</td>
<td>Increased levels</td>
<td>[178]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Increased levels of lamin B1 and B2 in malignant cell compared to benign</td>
<td>[226]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>Increased levels of Lamin B1 in cirrhotic tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liver cancer</td>
<td>Increased levels of lamin B1 in every stage (cirrhosis, early stage, late stage); presence of soluble lamin B1 in the circulation</td>
<td>[228]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>Increased levels of lamin B</td>
<td>Correlate with the tumor development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>Increased levels of lamin B1</td>
<td>Correlate with the tumor development, high metastatic potential and, poor overall survival</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamin B1</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Reduced mRNA levels of lamin B1</td>
<td>Expression of LMNB1 declined with worsening clinical outcome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP2</td>
<td>Malignant lymphocytes</td>
<td>Increased levels</td>
<td>LAP2β correlates with highly proliferative malignant cells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nesprins</td>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Nesprin 1 polymorphism</td>
<td>Associated with invasive ovarian cancer risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colorectal cancer</td>
<td>Downregulation of a transcript (shorter isoform)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Nesprin 1 is a candidate cancer gene (mutated in cancer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Nesprin 1 (mutations)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Nesprin 2 (mutations)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
NUP 88  Ovarian cancer  Increased levels  [233]
Different type of cancers: sarcomas, lymphomas, mesotheliomas, and breast cancer
Increased levels  Correlates with high-grade malignancies  [234–237]
Colorectal cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma
Increased levels  Correlates with poor differentiation  [238, 239]
NUP 98  Leukemia
Increased levels; may act as a component of a chromosomal translocation  [240]
NUP 214  Uterine, stomach and rectal tumors, leukemias, breast cancer
Increased levels; may act as a multifunctional oncogene and as a component of a chromosomal translocation  [189, 240–242]
twice as likely to die of the disease than patients with tumors negative for lamin A/C [187], possibly by lamin A/C promoting cell motility [188]. These apparently inconsistent findings point at the multiple roles lamin can play in cancer progression, which will be discussed in more detail below.

In addition to lamins, other nuclear (envelope) proteins have recently been implicated in a variety of cancers. A genome-wide scan in several patients with either breast, colorectal or ovarian cancer revealed genetic alterations in nesprin-1 [189], and another genome-wide study identified mutations in nesprin-1, -2 and lamin A/C in a panel of 100 breast cancer patients [190]. Furthermore, downregulation and mutations in nesprin have been associated with an increased risk of invasive ovarian cancer [191]. Lastly, several “nuclear matrix” or nucleoskeletal-associated proteins such as NuMA or nucleoporin proteins (NUP 88, NUP 98) have been correlated with aggressive tumor phenotypes [192] and used as prognostic markers of disease [193].

**Implications of Altered Nuclear Envelope Composition in Cancer**

What is the impact of altered nuclear envelope composition on nuclear mechanics? As lamin expression and chromatin organization determine nuclear deformability, it is expected that changes in nuclear architecture will alter the rigidity of the nucleus. In cancer, increased nuclear deformability may benefit metastatic cells that need to pass through narrow interstitial spaces or small capillaries, while defects in nucleocytoskeletal coupling may impair migration in 3D tissues [20]. In addition to these mechanical functions, the nuclear envelope and nuclear interior play important roles in the processing of genetic information [194–196]. Thus, changes in nuclear organization could have consequences on gene expression or DNA stability with important implications in cancer progression.

**Nuclear Deformability and Cell Motility**

The abnormal nuclear shapes observed in cancer cells and their resemblance to lamin-deficient or mutant cells, combined with the increasing reports of altered expression of nuclear envelope proteins in various cancers (Table 1), suggests that cancer cells may have altered nuclear mechanics. While direct measurements of nuclear deformability in cancer cells have not yet been reported, studies that measure whole-cell deformability consistently find that cancer cells, particularly highly invasive ones, have increased cellular deformability [3, 4, 7]. Why should (nuclear) deformability matter in cancer progression? During the metastatic process, cancer cells must undergo modifications and large elastic deformations to invade the tissue surrounding the primary tumor, intravasate blood vessels, survive the physical stresses during circulation in the blood stream, extravasate at new sites in the body, and eventually proliferate in a nutrient-deprived microenvironment [197].
Particularly during invasion and intravasation and extravasation, cells penetrate through interstitial spaces and openings ranging in size from 2 to 30 μm [198, 199]. Cytoskeletal shape is highly adaptive, owing to the rapid cytoskeletal remodeling and plasma membrane flexibility; consequently, cytoskeletal protrusions can invade spaces of less than 1 μm² in cross section [200, 201]. In contrast, the ability of the nucleus to pass through narrow constrictions is more limited due to its size and stiffness. Transient nuclear deformations, resulting in hourglass- and cigar-shaped nuclei, as well as nuclear protrusions indicative of attempts to pass through narrow constrictions, can be observed (at least transiently) during cancer cell migration in vivo [20]. Importantly, a recent report by Friedl, Wolf, and colleagues [19] found that deformation of the nucleus poses a rate-limiting step during proteolysis-independent cell migration. They found that in the absence of proteolysis, e.g., during matrix metalloprotease (MMP) inhibition or knockdown, migration of cancer cells through 3D collagen matrices and polycarbonate filters is limited by the available pore size: cell migration speed and migration efficiency gradually drops with decreasing cross-sectional areas of the constrictions until cell body movement is completely stalled [19]. A similar size-dependent effect was observed by Tong and colleagues [202] when studying cell migration in microchannels with varying width. Indeed, decreasing channel width below 20 μm (at a fixed channel height of 10 μm) resulted in increasing reduction in migration speed. At the extreme, cells in 3 μm-wide channels had a 70 % reduction in migration speed compared to 50 and 20 μm-wide channels. Interestingly, the minimum size requirement for (non-proteolytic) migration through 3D environments was found to be independent of the shape of the constriction and only depends on the available cross-sectional area [19].

While these studies illustrate the importance of nuclear deformability in cell migration in confined environments, the role of the nuclear lamina and nuclear stiffness in this process remains to be explored [20]. At least in neutrophil-like cells, which normally have extremely low levels of lamins A/C and which can migrate through constrictions only a few micrometers in diameter, overexpression of lamin A results in less deformable nuclei that have reduced efficiency at crossing narrow constrictions and that take significantly longer to transit narrow microfluidic channels mimicking capillaries [103]. Similarly, fibroblasts expressing a mutant form of lamin A (progerin) that is responsible for Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome have difficulties migrating through an array of microfabricated pillars spaced 6 μm apart [203], likely due to the increased nuclear stiffness caused by progerin [204, 205], as migration on non-constricted surfaces was comparable to cells from healthy controls [203]. Although these findings suggest an important role of lamins A/C in moderating the ability of cells to pass through narrow constrictions, Wolf and colleagues [19] found that the maximal deformation the nucleus could achieve during passage through narrow constrictions, indicated as the ratio of the nuclear cross section in the constriction to the undeformed nuclear cross section, was consistently around 1:10, regardless of the cell type studied. These findings suggest that the size limit for nuclear passage through small constrictions may be governed by the maximal compressibility of the nucleus. The theoretically maximal compression depends on the solid fraction of the nucleus, as the chromatin (and other nucleoplasmic
proteins) can be no further compressed once all void spaces have been eliminated. This idea is consistent with the observed reduction in nuclear volume by up to 60% during migration of skin fibroblasts through microfabricated constrictions [203] and with micropipette aspiration experiments that revealed that the nuclear volume can be compacted to about 20–40% of its original size before reaching a state that resists further compression [92, 106].

But what about cancers in which increased, rather than decreased, levels of lamin A/C have been reported, which is expected to result in reduced nuclear deformability [98]? Cancer cells are highly plastic and heterogeneous in their gene expression, so it is likely that different subpopulations of cells with distinct roles in cancer progression exist. Increased lamin levels could help protect cells from mechanical stress caused by the high hydrostatic pressure inside solid tumors. At the same time, lamins are also involved in multiple signaling pathways [51, 117], which could modulate functions relevant to cancer progression. For example, increased levels of lamin A/C in prostate cancer cause changes in the PI3K/AKT/PTEN pathway [206], and upregulation of lamin A/C in colorectal cancer induces changes in cytoskeletal organization that promote cell motility [188]. As such, it is likely that different cells and tumors have found different approaches to find the best compromise between increasing nuclear deformability and activation of signaling pathways to increase cell motility and invasiveness.

**Nuclear Rupture of Cancer Cells**

As described earlier, the nuclear envelope forms a well-defined compartment that acts as a protective shield for the genetic material. In normal cells, nuclear envelope breakdown and reassembly is limited to mitosis and precisely regulated [207]. Recently, Vargas et al. [208] have reported that in many cancer cells, the nuclear envelope transiently ruptures and then reseals during interphase, resulting in temporary exchange between the nucleus and cytoplasm and the occasional entrapment of cytoplasmic organelles inside the nucleus. Nuclear envelope rupture was associated with the formation of micronuclei, portions of chromatin exiting the nuclear interior, and mislocalization of nucleoplasmic/cytoplasmic proteins. Importantly, the frequency of nuclear rupture events was increased in cells with small defects in the nuclear lamina [208]. These results are consistent with previous reports of increased nuclear fragility in lamin A/C-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts [14] and spontaneous (transient) nuclear rupture in these cells [209]. In our laboratory, we have frequently observed that cancer cells undergo transient nuclear rupture while migrating through narrow (~2 μm × 5 μm) microfluidic constrictions, with lamin-deficient cells displaying significantly increased rates of nuclear rupture (unpublished observations). Breakdown of the nuclear compartment during repetitive nuclear rupture could potentially result in increased genomic instability and chromatin rearrangements, which could further contribute to cancer progression, but this idea has not yet been experimentally tested.
Changes in Chromatin Organization in Cancer Cells

Epigenetic changes in chromatin configuration can directly impact nuclear stiffness. Therefore, the chromatin modifications frequently observed in cancer cells, including disturbed heterochromatin organization [11], could be associated with altered nuclear deformability and thereby affect 3D cell migration, in addition to their role in transcriptional activity. Importantly, there is a strong interplay between nuclear envelope proteins and chromatin organization. Lamin A regulates dynamics of heterochromatin proteins in early embryonic stem cells [25]; lamins A/C-deficiency and mutations in the LMNA gene result in loss of heterochromatin [111, 210]. Furthermore, lamins and lamin B receptor (LBR) play an important role in tethering specific chromatin regions to the nuclear periphery [211, 212], which typically serves as a transcriptionally repressive environment [195]. LBR also interacts with heterochromatin protein 1 [213] and histones H3/H4 [213]. Lamin-associated polypeptide-2β (LAP2β) can modulate gene expression by regulating higher order chromatin structure or binding the transcriptional repressors germ cell less (GCL) [214] and histone deacetylase 3 [215], resulting in deacetylation of histone H4 [215]. Emerin can directly associate with chromatin modifiers and transcriptional repressors such as the death promoting factor Btf [216], the splicing associated factor YT521-B [217], and the transcriptional repressor GCL [218]. Given these findings, it is tempting to speculate that the altered expression of nuclear envelope proteins found in various cancers (Table 1) can directly affect chromatin organization and gene expression. Of course, the observed changes in expression of nuclear envelope proteins could also be the consequence, rather than the cause of altered chromatin organization. In this case, the changes in nuclear envelope composition could still result in further modifications of nuclear structure and organization while also directly altering nuclear mechanics.

Conclusion and Future Perspectives

The field of cancer cell biology has dramatically changed since 1943, when George Papanicolaou published his book *Diagnosis of Uterine Cancer by the Vaginal Smear*, which laid the basis for the now abundant “pap smear” to detect early signs of cervical cancer. Since then, researchers and clinicians have learned not only to identify and assess cancer cells based on characteristic morphological changes, but also to peek inside the inner life of cancer cells, including their genetic changes, biochemical composition, and metabolic state. In recent years, these approaches have been complemented by a new research direction, focused on the biophysical changes in cancer cells and their microenvironment. This research has already led to striking discoveries, including the role of the extracellular matrix stiffness, composition and topology in cancer progression [219] and the characteristic difference in cell deformability of cancer cells, which may lead
to new diagnostic and prognostic applications [3]. Motivated by research in other diseases (laminopathies), it is now emerging that the mechanical properties of the cell nucleus, particularly its deformability and connection to the cytoskeleton, may play a similarly important role in cancer metastasis. The idea that deformation of the large and stiff nucleus presents a rate-limiting factor during the passage of metastatic cancer cells through tight interstitial spaces or narrow capillaries has recently found increasing experimental support [19, 103, 165].

Given the increasing reports of altered expression and mutations in nuclear envelope proteins responsible for determining nuclear stiffness, it is intriguing to speculate that (a subset of) cancer cells may have acquired specific adaptations in their nuclear structure and mechanics to promote metastatic spreading. Nonetheless, experimental verification of this idea is still lacking. Additional experiments, using sophisticated combinations of live cell imaging and measurements of subcellular mechanics, including primary tumor (and metastatic) cells from cancer patients and complemented by in vivo studies in mouse models, will be required to firmly establish this hypothesis. These experiments will also have to address why some cancers frequently have increased lamin levels while others have decreased or unchanged levels, and whether such changes in nuclear envelope composition can serve as reliable prognostic markers. Given the diverse functions of lamins, it is likely that (varying) combinations of altered cellular mechanics, cell signaling, and stem cell differentiation contribute to the increasingly emerging role of lamins in cancer progression. Done correctly, such experiments have the potential to not only address these key questions but to also produce novel insights into the dynamic nature of cancer cells, which may switch between different morphological and mechanical modes depending on their current role in cancer progression. Novel technology developments to probe single cell mechanics at substantial higher throughput than traditional methods [5, 102, 220, 221] will enable detection of rare cell subpopulations, which could play a crucial role in cancer progression. Identifying key (mechanical) parameters that govern cancer cell metastasis may reveal novel therapeutic targets for pharmacological inhibition.

These clinical translation-driven experiments should be complemented by research to address some of the more fundamental questions in cancer cell biology, including the molecular mechanisms by which cells manage to squeeze the nucleus through constrictions only one tenth the diameter of the nucleus in size, and whether induced nuclear deformations can directly contribute to cellular mechanosensing. We are only at the beginning of a long road ahead, the destination a complete understanding of the physics of cancer progression and the underlying biology, but it will be exciting to see what is awaiting us around the next corner.
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Broken nuclei – lamins, nuclear mechanics, and disease

Patricia M. Davidson and Jan Lammerding

Mutations in lamins, which are ubiquitous nuclear intermediate filaments, lead to a variety of disorders including muscular dystrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy. Lamins provide nuclear stability, help connect the nucleus to the cytoskeleton, and can modulate chromatin organization and gene expression. Nonetheless, the diverse functions of lamins remain incompletely understood. We focus here on the role of lamins on nuclear mechanics and their involvement in human diseases. Recent findings suggest that lamin mutations can decrease nuclear stability, increase nuclear fragility, and disturb mechanotransduction signaling, possibly explaining the muscle-specific defects in many laminopathies. At the same time, altered lamin expression has been reported in many cancers, where the resulting increased nuclear deformability could enhance the ability of cells to transit tight interstitial spaces, thereby promoting metastasis.

Lamins and disease
Since the discovery in 1999 that mutations in the nuclear envelope proteins lamin A/C cause Emery–Dreifus muscular dystrophy (EDMD) [1], lamins and lamin-associated proteins have garnered increasing interest in the scientific and medical community, resulting in the discovery of over 450 disease-associated lamin mutations to date (see http://www.umd.be/LMNA/). These ‘laminopathies’ include EDMD, dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), Dunnigan-type familial partial lipodystrophy (FPLD), and Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS), a premature aging disorder [2]. Given that lamins A/C are expressed in nearly all cells and tissues, the high degree of tissue-specificity and the broad range of diseases caused by different mutations in the same gene are perplexing. Despite extensive research efforts, the molecular mechanisms underlying laminopathies remain to be fully explained.

In addition to providing structural support to the nucleus, lamins also contribute to nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling, chromatin organization, epigenetic modifications, DNA replication, transcriptional regulation and repair, and responses to oxidative stress [2]. In this review we focus on the mechanical aspects of lamin functions, including governing nuclear deformability and fragility, physically connecting the nucleus and the cytoskeleton, and contributing to mechanotransduction signaling – in other words, the ability of the cells to respond to mechanical stimuli. These functions could be particularly relevant to muscular dystrophies and cardiomyopathies caused by mutations in lamins A/C. At the same time, striking new reports suggest that nuclear deformability, which is modulated by the expression of specific lamin isoforms, can constitute a rate-limiting factor in the ability of cells to pass through micrometer-sized constrictions in 3D environments [3,4], and this has important implications for cancer progression, immune cell function, and development.

Lamins – primary components of the nuclear lamina
The lamina is a dense protein meshwork underlying the inner nuclear membrane that is composed of lamins and lamina-associated proteins. In somatic cells the predominant lamins are lamins A and C (referred to as A-type lamins), resulting from alternative splicing of the LMNA gene, and the B-type lamins, lamin B1 and B2. Although most differentiated cells express at least one A-type lamin, embryonic stem cells, the lower layer of epidermis [5], and the central nervous system [6] produce little to no lamin A. These latter findings may explain why the central nervous system is typically spared in diseases arising from LMNA mutations. By contrast, striated muscles and many mesenchymal cells have particularly high levels of A-type lamins, and this may contribute to their prominent involvement in many laminopathies.

Unlike A-type lamins, B-type lamins are expressed by all cells throughout development. B-type lamins were previously considered to be essential for cell viability, based on knockdown studies in human cells [7] and Caenorhabditis elegans [8], but recent studies indicate that, at least in mice, B-type lamins are dispensable in many cell types, including embryonic stem cells [9,10] and skin cells [11]. Nonetheless, lamins B1 and B2 are necessary for organogenesis [9], and mice lacking lamins B1 and/or B2 die shortly after birth with severe defects in neuronal development and migration [12]. These contrasting findings may reflect changes in experimental conditions (acute knockdown in the human and C. elegans cells versus...
selection of stable lamin B-deficient embryonic stem cells) or they may result from cell- or species-specific differences. Regardless, the findings consistently point to an important role of B-type lamins in organ development, particularly the brain, and should stimulate further research into the function of lamins during tissue development. A growing number of reports further suggest an involvement of lamin B1 in regulating cellular senescence [13]. B-type lamins have recently been linked to two diseases, adult-onset leukodystrophy, caused by a duplication of the LMNB1 gene [14], and acquired partial lipodystrophy (Barraquer–Simons syndrome) arising from mutations in the LMNB2 gene [15]. Nonetheless, the number of identified disease-causing mutations remains far fewer than for lamins A/C, suggesting that many lamin B mutations may result in embryonic lethality in humans.

**Structural organization of nuclear lamins**

Lamins, which are type V intermediate filaments, assemble into a dense network in the nuclear lamina (Figure 1). Lamins A and C, however, are also present in the nuclear interior [16]. Owing to the difficulty of imaging the chromatin- and nuclear membrane-associated lamina at high resolution *in situ*, and the challenge of accurately reconstituting the nuclear envelope environment *in vitro*, the ultrastructural organization of the nuclear lamina in mammalian cells remains incompletely understood. Advances in cryo-electron tomography may eventually enable more

---

**Figure 1.** Lamin assembly and defects caused by lamin mutations. (A) Experiments with mutated and truncated lamins suggest that different parts of the lamin proteins play distinct roles in lamin assembly (red text). Two parallel lamin monomers (top left) form dimers (top right) through coiled-coil interaction of the heptad repeats in their central rod domains. These dimers assemble head-to-tail by overlapping their rod domains at the two intermediate filament (IF) consensus motifs (IFCM). The head-to-tail assemblies form non-polar protofilaments composed of two antiparallel polymers which then laterally assemble to form mature filaments (and paracrystals) with specific repeat units that are visible by electron microscopy and have been identified as the site of the globular immunoglobulin (Ig)-fold motif. Defects in lamin assembly can be identified in vitro by changes in the spacing of the repeat unit, as demonstrated for two mutants in *Caenorhabditis elegans* (bottom) or by failure to form lamin filaments or paracrystals. Note that, in the case of the Q159K mutation, it is likely that the spacing is 17/17/17, approximately corresponding to the 48 nm repeat unit, indicating a combination of three overlapping polymers instead of two. Inset, top right: a cross-sectional view of the heptad repeats of the coiled coil illustrates how the inner amino acids play a role in dimer assembly, whereas amino acids that point outwards can affect higher-order assembly. (B) Immunofluorescence images of human skin fibroblasts stained for lamins A/C (red), B-type lamins (green), and DNA (blue).
accurate visualization of the nuclear lamina in somatic cells [17]. Ectopic expression of human lamins in Xenopus oocytes indicates that A-type lamins form a thick (up to 100 nm) network and that B-type lamins form a thin fibrous meshwork closely associated with the inner nuclear membrane, likely due to their farnesyl lipid anchor [18,19] (see Box 1 for a comparison of the common animal models used to study lamins). Although A-type and B-type lamins can form mixed polymers during in vitro assembly [20], immunofluorescence and photobleaching studies suggest that A- and B-type lamins form separate but overlapping networks in somatic cells [21]. Even lamins A and C segregate and assemble as homodimers in vivo, despite their ability to form heterodimers in vitro [22]. Whether the diverse lamin networks are interpenetrating or simply adjacent remains to be determined, as well as to what extent specific lamins can compensate for one another. Mice that express only prelam A, only lamin C, or only mature lamin A lack obvious disease phenotypes [23,24], and in Drosophila ectopic expression of an A-type lamin can compensate for loss of B-type lamins in cyst stem cells [25], indicating that lamins may have (partially) redundant or overlapping roles. However, unlike lamin A, ectopic expression of lamin C only partially rescues the native stiffness of lamin A/C-deficient cells [26], suggesting a more important role for lamin A in nuclear stability.

**Lamin binding partners**

For A-type lamins at least 54 binding-partners have been identified to date; for the less-studied lamins B1 and B2 a combined 25 partners have been reported, some of which are also common to A-type lamins [27]. As a note of caution, some of the reported interactions may be indirect or might not occur under physiological conditions, and expression of many binding partners is likely cell type-specific [27,28]. Many nuclear envelope proteins and potential lamin-binding partners are still incompletely characterized, and new partners continue to be discovered. Recent examples include Samp1 [29], MLIP [30], and SLAP75 [31]. Broadly speaking, lamin binding partners can be categorized into structural proteins (such as actin, nesprin, SUN proteins, and titin) and signaling molecules and transcription factors (e.g., pRb, ERK1/2, c-Fos, and SREBP), although several proteins may possess both structural and regulatory functions. Importantly, interaction between lamins and lamin-binding partners can take place at the nuclear envelope and/or the nuclear interior, and this may provide an additional mechanism to regulate interactions. For a comprehensive overview of lamin binding partners and their diverse functions see a recent review [27].

**Box 1. Common animal models for the study of lamins**

*Xenopus oocytes*. In the large amphibian oocytes, which express only a B-type lamin (lamin LII), the lamin lacks the tight association with chromatin seen in somatic cells, allowing easy access to the nuclear lamina and isolation for structural observation by electron microscopy. Its native lamina is organized into orthogonal filamentous 10.5 nm wide and spaced 52 nm apart [107]. Lamins from other species ectopically expressed in amphibian oocytes assemble into a nuclear lamina, thereby enabling ultrastructural analysis. *Xenopus* oocytes currently provide the only model in which the *in vivo* lamin structure can be studied.

*Caenorhabditis elegans*. These worms express a single lamin, Ce-lamin, that is functionally similar to both A- and B-type lamins [108]. Ce-lamin can be assembled into 10 nm filaments in vitro, resembling the organization of the Xenopus lamina, although it has been suggested that the 10 nm filaments obtained in vitro may represent a transitory stage that remains stable only under specific conditions [108]. The effects of specific lamin mutations in various tissues and their functional consequences can be assessed in vivo [58].

*Drosophila*. Flies express two lamin proteins, A-type lamin C and B-type lamin DmA [109]. Human lamins expressed in Drosophila localize correctly, and many protein interactions are conserved. Many human laminopathies can be modeled by introducing corresponding mutations into *Drosophila* lamin C. Effects on nuclear structure and organization, as well as functional consequences such as locomotion and lethality, can be assessed in larval and adult animals [109].

*Mus musculus*. Mice provide important mammalian models to study laminopathies, including *Lmna* mutations causing DCM (N195K, H222P), EDMD (M371K), HGPS (G806G, L530P), and Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease (R288C), as well as various models lacking specific lamin isoforms. The frequently used lamin A/C-null model (*Lmna*−/−), which develops skeletal and cardiac defects, was recently found to express a short (54 kDa) fragment of A-type lamin by skipping exons 8–11 [110]. In a newer *Lmna*-null mouse model [111], homozygous mice develop more severe phenotypes whereas heterozygotes remain healthy, implying residual function and mild toxicity of the Lmna38–11 fragment. However, ectopic expression of wild type lamin A in the original *Lmna*−/− cells completely rescues nuclear stiffness in these cells [43], indicating that the Lmna38–11 fragment has no dominant-negative effect on nuclear mechanics. Although mouse models enable the study of the effect of human lamin mutations in mammals, many models require homozygous expression of the mutant lamin, unlike the often heterozygous expression in human laminopathy patients.

It remains unclear how mutations in lamins, which are nearly ubiquitously expressed, can lead to often highly tissue-specific disorders [2]. The ‘structural hypothesis’ suggests that lamin mutations increase nuclear fragility, resulting in cell death and progressive failure in tissues such as muscle that are exposed to repetitive mechanical stress. The ‘gene regulation hypothesis’ proposes that lamin mutations interfere with tissue-specific genes: lamin mutations may inhibit binding to tissue-specific factors [27] or lead to abnormal gene activation/silencing during differentiation [32]. A third hypothesis proposes that lamin mutations impair stem cell function: mutations may cause abnormal differentiation or depletion of the stem cell niche through defects in proliferation, survival, or differentiation efficiency [25]. These hypotheses are not mutually exclusive, and it is likely that laminopathies arise from a combination of defects in lamin function [2]. For example, abnormal differentiation could be related to dysregulated signaling pathways and disturbed chromatin organization, but also to mechanical defects such as impaired nucleocytoplasmic coupling [33]. Recent results provide support for each of these hypotheses, as detailed below.

**Lamin mutations can reduce nuclear stability**

Nuclear deformability is largely determined by nuclear lamina composition [34–36] and chromatin organization [37,38], which are sensitive to changes in lamin expression [39]. Consequently, expression levels of lamins A (and C) can help to predict nuclear deformability, with increasing...
levels corresponding to stiffer and more viscous nuclei [26,40]. Lamin A/C-deficient nuclei are not only more deformable but are also more fragile: lamin A/C-deficient cells show spontaneous transient nuclear rupture [41] and are more susceptible to nuclear breakage and cell death when exposed to mechanical stress [36]. B-type lamins undoubtedly play an important role in nuclear structure and contribute to nuclear shape and stability, particularly in cells lacking A-type lamins. However, in cells expressing both types of lamins, levels of A-type lamins correlate more strongly with nuclear stiffness than B-type lamins [26,40], suggesting that lamins A and C play a more dominant role in determining nuclear stiffness. This functional difference may be due to different structural properties of the diverse lamin networks because experiments ectopically expressing human lamins in Xenopus oocytes found that A-type lamins assembled into substantially thicker networks than B-type lamins [42]. In addition to lamin content, several other factors may influence nuclear deformability by affecting the organization of the lamin network, including the phosphorylation status of lamins and the structural contribution of lamin-binding proteins.

Although earlier studies were directed at cells lacking specific lamins, techniques to assess nuclear mechanics and fragility (e.g., micropipette aspiration, microindentation, and substrate strain application) are now finding widespread application to investigate the effects of specific disease-causing lamin mutations. A recent study of a broad panel of lamin A mutants expressed in lamin A/C-deficient cells found that, whereas reintroduction of wild type lamin A completely restored nuclear stiffness to levels of wild type cells, many mutations linked to EDMD and DCM failed to restore nuclear stiffness; by contrast, FPLD mutations were functionally indistinguishable from wild type lamin A [43]. In vivo, muscle tissue from EDMD patients [44], and from mouse [45,46] and Drosophila models of EDMD and DCM [43,47], contain severely elongated nuclei, indicative of reduced nuclear stiffness. Importantly, lamin mutations responsible for striated muscle disease also increase nuclear envelope fragility. Cultured laminopathy patient fibroblasts have higher rates of nuclear rupture in vitro [41], and skeletal muscle and cardiac tissue of mice and of human patients carrying EDM and DCM mutations, respectively, show anecdotal evidence of nuclear rupture in vitro, including mitochondria inside the nucleus [48] and discontinuities in the nuclear envelope visible by electron microscopy [49]. Taken together, these results point to a disease mechanism by which lamin mutations cause muscle-specific disease by compromising nuclear envelope stability and integrity (Table 1), resulting in nuclear rupture and consequently cell death in tissues subjected to mechanical stress.

In contrast to the effects of EDM- and DCM-causing mutations, lamin A mutations responsible for HGPS cause increased stiffness in HGPS patient cells [50,51] and when expressed in Xenopus oocytes [19]. Interestingly, fibroblasts from HGPS patients nonetheless have an increased susceptibility to mechanically induced cell death [50]. Increased sensitivity to mechanical stress is particularly relevant to vascular smooth muscle cells in HGPS because patients and mouse models exhibit progressive loss of vascular smooth-muscle cells in large arteries, which are subjected to repetitive vessel strains.

### Lamin mutations cause defects in lamin assembly

The mechanical defects described above are likely caused by aberrations in nuclear lamina assembly. Similarly to other intermediate filament (IF) family members, lamins are composed of a mostly α-helical central rod domain flanked by a short N-terminal head and a long tail domain, which contains a globular immunoglobulin (Ig)-fold [52]. In contrast to cytoplasmic IFs, many questions remain regarding the higher-order assembly of nuclear lamins. Most in vitro studies have focused on lamins from C. elegans (C-lamin) which form filaments with a diameter of ~10 nm in vitro, resembling those observed in the lamina of Xenopus oocytes (Box 1). Mammalian lamins can be induced to assemble into various structures in vitro, ranging from short head-to-tail polymers and filaments to paracrystals, depending on the experimental conditions [43,52]. The physiological relevance of these varying lamin forms remains unclear.

Owing to the challenge of crystallizing filamentous proteins, crystallographic analysis has been restricted to smaller lamin fragments, including the coil 2B of lamin A/C [53] and lamin B1 [54], as well as the lamin A/C globular tail [55]. Combined with in vitro assembly experiments using lamin mutations and partial deletions, these studies indicate that the supramolecular assembly of lamins largely depends on interactions within the lamin rod domains and the N-terminal head domain [52]. These studies have recently been expanded to investigate the effect of specific mutations on lamin assembly. Mutations causing DCM, EDM, or HGPS in the first IF consensus motif and in coil 2 of human [43] and C. elegans lamin [56–59] disturb lamin assembly, leading to shortened and irregular filament and paracrystal assembly in vitro and increased mobility and solubility of mutant lamins in vitro (Figure 1). The EDMD
and DCM-causing mutations in the lamin rod domain impair head-to-tail polymer assembly or lateral filament association rather than lamin dimerization [43], although some mutations could also disturb dimer formation [60,61]. Supporting the notion that EDMD and DCM mutations primarily affect higher-order assembly of lamins A/C, the crystal structure of coil2B was recently solved for two DCM mutations, and neither mutation altered the secondary structure of the wild type protein [62]. Intriguingly, studies with a fragment of the lamin A/C coil 2B domain, comprising a small portion of the tail (residues 328–398), suggest that, in addition to the typical left-handed heptad, lamins can form right-handed quindecad coiled-coils [63] such that during head-to-tail polymer formation the IF consensus motifs may ‘unzip’ from the heptad and latch onto the adjacent lamin dimer. Head-to-tail polymer assembly might therefore require that the IF consensus motifs can be easily disassembled, and mutations that increase heptad stability may impede higher-order assembly [60].

Although the rod and head domains are essential for lamin assembly, other regions are also important for proper protein function. The lamin A/C tail domain, including the globular Ig-fold, harbors most of the interaction sites for lamin-binding partners [27]. Specific mutations can affect the Ig-fold structure in distinct ways. Mutations causing striated muscle disease are typically located in the interior of the Ig-fold β-sandwich, which can destabilize the Ig-fold [55]. By contrast, mutations responsible for FPLD are clustered in a small region on the surface of the Ig-fold. The FPLD mutations, as shown for the R482W mutant, do not affect the crystal structure of the Ig-fold [64] but may instead impair interaction with specific binding partners such as SREBP1 [55]. Lastly, the HGPS mutation that results in a 50 amino acid deletion in the lamin A tail leads to stronger intramolecular binding, impeding molecular interactions that would require accessibility of the Ig-fold [65].

**Lamin mutations can disrupt nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling**

Although many lamin mutations that cause DCM and EDMD impair nuclear stability, other mutations have little or no effect on nuclear stiffness [43], suggesting that alternative mechanisms must contribute to the disease. One potential mechanism that has gained increasing prominence is the role of lamins in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling through interactions with LINC (linker of nucleoskeleton to cytoskeleton complex) components. The LINC complex is composed of SUN protein trimers at the inner nuclear membrane that connect across the luminal space to nesprins proteins on the outer nuclear membrane, and these in turn can interact with various cytoskeletal components [66,67]. Intact LINC complex function was recently identified to be crucial for a multitude of cellular functions, including force transmission between the nucleus and the cytoskeleton [68], nuclear positioning in secretory epithelial cells [69], retrograde nuclear movement in migrating fibroblasts via transmembrane actin-associated nuclear (TAN) lines [70], positioning of synaptic nuclei in muscle fibers [71] and the retina [72], and cytoskeletal organization [36]. Many molecular details underlying LINC complex function, including its dynamic regulation, remain incompletely understood, and recent studies have implicated additional nuclear envelope proteins in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling, including Samp1 [29,73] and emerin [74]. Lamins A/C can bind to SUN1 [75], SUN2 [76], Samp1 [73], emerin, and various nesprin isoforms [77], highlighting their importance in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling, presumably by anchoring LINC complex components to the nuclear lamina and interior.

Loss of lamins A/C and mutations associated with striated muscle disease can interfere with coupling to SUN proteins [77,78], emerin [59,77], Klaroid (a *Drosophila* nesprin analog) [79], Nesprin-1 [78], Nesprin-2 [80], nuclear pore components [79], and DNA [81]. Conversely, other mutations increase binding to SUN [77] and emerin [82]. Consequently, loss of lamins A/C and lamin mutations responsible for EDMD and DCM can disrupt nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling and related functions. Cells carrying EDMD and DCM mutations have defective intracellular force transmission between the cytoskeleton and nucleus [43], and expression of EDMD and DCM mutations, but not of the FPLD mutation, prevents retrograde nuclear movement because TAN lines fail to anchor to the nucleus [83]. Lamins also contribute to proper cytoskeletal organization and lamin A/C-deficient cells have reduced cytoskeletal stiffness and disturbed cytoskeletal networks [36], similar to the defects observed in cells after LINC complex disruption. Evidence of impaired nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling can also be found in tissues from EDMD and DCM patients, and from mouse and *Drosophila* models, which display discontinuous neuromuscular junctions, reduced numbers of synaptic nuclei, abnormal clustering of nuclei, and sarcomere disorganization around the nucleus [44,78,84]. These aberrations could provide further explanation for the muscle-specific phenotype of particular laminopathies.

The role of B-type laminas in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling is slowly emerging. Lamin B1-deficient cells have spontaneously rotating nuclei, indicating loss of nuclear anchoring, and lamin B1 and B2 are essential for neuronal migration during brain development, a process that involves nuclear movement along microtubules [15,85]. However, the underlying molecular defects remain unclear.

**Lamins, mechanotransduction, and gene regulation**

The cell nucleus has long been proposed to act as a cellular mechanosensor [86]. Thus, altered nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling and nuclear deformability resulting from lamin mutations may also affect the ability of cells to translate mechanical stimuli into biochemical signals, as evidenced by impaired activation of mechanosensitive genes in lamin A/C- and emerin-deficient cells in *vivo* [36,87] and in *vitro* [88]. However, it remains unclear whether the diminished mechanotransduction signaling results from impaired nuclear mechanosensing or from altered downstream signaling. On the one hand, disruption of nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling with dominant-negative nesprin and SUN protein constructs, which abolish nuclear deformation when cells are subjected to mechanical strain, has no effect on the mechanical activation of the genes impaired in lamin A/C-deficient cells [68], arguing against a role of the nucleus as
a mechanosensor. On the other hand, externally applied forces can alter intranuclear protein mobility [89,90], and depletion of lamins A/C prevents force-induced dissociation of protein complexes inside Cajal bodies [89]. A recent report further found that exposing isolated nuclei to shear stress causes partial unfolding of the lamin A/C Ig-fold, exposing a buried cysteine, and this could trigger further signaling events [40]. Furthermore, the ratio of A-type lamins to B-type lamins in cells and tissues strongly correlates with the overall stiffness of the surrounding tissue, with most of the effect being attributed to higher levels of A-type lamins in response to increased tissue stiffness [40]; in vitro, increasing substrate stiffness results in higher levels of lamins A/C and suppresses their phosphorylation [40], and this could further increase nuclear stiffness. These findings support the notion that applied forces can directly induce structural changes and signaling inside the nucleus, with the nucleus and the nuclear lamina in particular playing a central role in cellular mechanosensing and adaptation of cells to their mechanical environment. Interestingly, loss of lamin A/C or mutations leading to emerin mislocalization result in impaired intracellular localization of the mechanosensitive transcriptional cofactor myocardin-related transcription factor A (MRTF-A) which plays important roles in cardiac development and function [91]. This effect was caused by dysregulation of the actin-polymerizing function of emerin at the nuclear envelope, affecting nuclear (and cytoskeletal) actin dynamics that are crucial in regulating MRTF-A intracellular localization, and impairing activation of MRTF-A/Myocardin downstream genes [91].

Lamins may also modulate gene expression independently of mechanical stimulation because lamins A/C associate with several transcriptional regulators, including c-Fos, ERK1/2, SREBP1, and pRb [27]. Mutations and (functional) loss of lamins can affect intranuclear localization and stability of these transcription factors as well as the affinity of lamins for specific binding partners. In addition, lamins can modulate gene expression by controlling gene positioning through lamina-associated domains (LADs), which may control the silencing and activation of tissue-specific genes [92]. A detailed discussion of the various gene-regulatory roles of lamins can be found elsewhere [27].

Given these facts, it is not surprising that lamin mutations can disturb numerous important signaling pathways: striated muscle disease mutations result in abnormal activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) ERK [45], JNK [93], and p38α [94], as well as target of rapamycin (mTOR) [95,96]; HGPS mutations cause abnormalities in the Wnt pathway [97] and SIRT1 activity [98]. The identification of abnormal signaling pathways provides attractive targets for the development of therapies for lamino-pathies: treatments with MAPK inhibitors [45,93,94] or rapamycin [95,96] have already been shown to improve phenotypes in EDMD and DCM mouse models. For patients with HGPS, clinical trials with farnesyltransferase inhibitors (FTIs), statins, and bisphosphonates, aimed at modulating the abnormal processing of mutant lamin A, are currently underway [99]. An initial study with farnesyltransferase inhibitors resulted in modestly improved cardiovascular symptoms but did not rescue the stunted growth of the patients [100]. Although the various therapeutic approaches may not always address the root cause of the disease, they nonetheless present powerful means to alleviate many of the most pressing symptoms, immediately benefiting lamino-pathy patients.

Lamins, cell migration, and cancer

An increasing number of reports have recently implicated lamins in human cancers because cancers of the ovary, colon, gut, blood, prostate, lung, and breast often have altered expression of lamins (reviewed in [101]). In the case of colorectal cancer, both increased [102] and decreased levels of lamin A/C [103] have been shown to correlate with increased aggressiveness, and decreased levels are associated with tumor recurrence in advanced stage patients [103]. Given the diverse functions of lamins, changes in lamin expression may affect cancer progression through a variety of mechanisms, including altered proliferation, signaling, and migration [101,104]. One possible model to explain how low levels of lamins A/C can contribute to cancer progression is based on the emerging importance of nuclear deformability during cell motility in 3D environments. It is now becoming clear that nuclear deformability can constitute a rate-limiting factor in the passage of cells through narrow constrictions, as convincingly demonstrated for cancer cells and immune cells migrating through dense collagen matrices [3], breast cancer cells migrating through microfabricated channels [105], and neutrophils passing through microfluidic constrictions [4]. In the latter case, cells with decreased levels of lamin A were able to pass more easily through constrictions smaller than the size of the nucleus [4]. Conversely, expression of an HGPS lamin variant, progerin, which stiffens the nucleus, impairs cell migration through confining 3D environments [106], further supporting the idea that nuclear deformability is an important factor in 3D migration. These findings suggest that downregulation of A-type lamins, whether during physiological processes such as granulopoiesis or in a subpopulation of cancer cells, results in increased nuclear deformability – and can thereby facilitate transit of cells through narrow constrictions, for example, in the interstitial space or during intra- and extravasation and passage through narrow capillaries. However, given that lamin levels are not uniformly altered across different cancer types, and lamin expression even varies within individual tumors, it remains unclear whether lamins primarily modulate cancer metastasis by changing the mechanical properties of cells or through effects of lamins on cell proliferation, signaling, and differentiation.

Concluding remarks

Inside the body, cells are continuously exposed to physical forces and the mechanical constraints of their microenvironment. It is now emerging that lamins play a crucial role in the ability of cells to adapt to their mechanical environment by providing structural support to the nucleus and modulating mechanotransduction signaling. However, it remains unclear to what extent lamins and other nuclear proteins act as direct nuclear mechanosensors or whether
they primarily serve as signaling and structural hubs at the nuclear/cytoskeletal interface. Similarly, the role of lamins in stem cell function and differentiation – for example, by responding to mechanical cues or tethering genes to transcriptionally repressive locations – remains to be addressed in more detail because embryonic stem cells lacking all lamins still undergo normal differentiation in vitro and in vivo. In addition, more work will be necessary to address the specific effects of lamin mutations associated with different diseases. Patient-derived pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) that can be differentiated into various cell types provide an important tool to study tissue-specific defects of lamin mutations and are finding increasing use in investigating laminopathies. Understanding

![Diagram of nuclear structure and stability](image-url)

**Figure 2.** Overview of the diverse functions of lamins in nuclear and cellular mechanics and mechanotransduction. Abbreviations: EDMD, Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy; IF, intermediate filament LAD, lamina-associated domain; LINC, linker of nucleoskeleton to cytoskeleton complex; MRTF-A, myocardin-related transcription factor A.
whether muscle-specific laminopathies primarily result from structural defects or from disturbed signaling is particularly relevant to the development of therapeutic approaches; correcting altered signaling is currently more amenable to treatment with small molecules than are structural defects, which may require gene therapy or silencing of the mutant gene(s). Although much of our current understanding of the diverse functions of laminas has come from studying the effects of disease-causing lamin mutations, it is now becoming apparent that laminas may also play an important role in cancer progression, whether by providing physical limits to the ability of the nucleus deform through microscopic constrictions in interstitial spaces or by modulating cytoskeletal dynamics and other cell functions.

Lamins provide an ideal research avenue to study the interplay between (nuclear) structure and cellular function, given their central role in modulating nuclear and cytoskeletal structure, gene expression, and a plethora of other cellular functions (Figure 2). Enormous progress has been made in recent years in the understanding of laminas, with insights gained from these studies providing hope and promise to the many patients affected by devastating laminopathies.
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Lamin A/C and emerin regulate MKL1–SRF activity by modulating actin dynamics

Chin Yee Ho1–2, Diana E. Jaalouk2†, Maria K. Vartiainen3 & Jan Lammerding1,2

Laminopathies, caused by mutations in the LMNA gene encoding the nuclear envelope proteins lamin A and C, represent a diverse group of diseases that include Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy (EDMD), dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), limb-girdle muscular dystrophy, and Hutchison–Gilford progeria syndrome1. Most LMNA mutations affect skeletal and cardiac muscle by mechanisms that remain incompletely understood. Loss of structural function and altered interaction of mutant lamins with (tissue-specific) transcription factors may provide insight into the mechanism by which lamin mutations affect skeletal and cardiac muscle function2. Altered nucleo-cytoplasmic shuttling of MKL1 was caused by altered actin dynamics in Lmna+/− and Lmna−/− mutant cells. Ectopic expression of the nuclear envelope protein emerin, which is mislocalized in Lmna mutant cells and also linked to EDMD and DCM, restored MKL1 nuclear translocation and rescued actin dynamics in mutant cells. These findings present a novel mechanism that could provide insight into the disease aetiology for the cardiac phenotype in many laminopathies, whereby lamin A/C and emerin regulate gene expression through modulation of nuclear and cytoskeletal actin polymerization.

MKL1 (also known as MAL or MRTF-A) is a mechano-sensitive transcription factor with important roles in the cardiovascular system3. Intracellular localization of MKL1 is regulated via changes in actin polymerization4,5. Normally, MKL1 is localized in the cytoplasm by binding to cytoplasmic G actin and constitutive nuclear export. Mitogenic or mechanical stimulation triggers RhoA-mediated actin polymerization, liberating MKL1 from G actin and exposing a nuclear localization signal (NLS) within the actin-binding domain of MKL1 (refs 6, 7). Increased nuclear import, coupled with decreased export, causes accumulation of MKL1 in the nucleus, where it co-activates serum response factor (SRF) to turn on genes regulating cellular motility and contractility, including vinculin, actin, and SRF itself6. Because cells from lamin-A/C-deficient mice have impaired activation of mechanosensitive genes in vitro and in vivo, we investigated whether loss of lamin A/C could affect MKL1–SRF signalling. Nuclear translocation of endogenous MKL1 in response to serum stimulation was severely abrogated in Lmna−/− mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) compared to wild-type controls (Fig. 1a, c and Supplementary Fig. 1a). We confirmed these findings by time-lapse microscopy of cells expressing MKL1–green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Figs 1b and 2a) and in lamin-A/C-downregulated HeLa cells (Supplementary Fig. 2a, b), indicating that impaired MKL1 translocation is a general effect of loss of lamin A/C. To test whether similar defects could also result from lamin mutations associated with DCM, we investigated cells from the Lmna N195K mouse model (subsequently referred to as Lmna N195K cells), which develops severe DCM but lacks skeletal muscle involvement11. Lmna N195K MEFs

Figure 1 | Impaired nuclear translocation of MKL1 in lamin-A/C-deficient and Lmna N195K mutant cells. a, Lmna−/− and Lmna N195K MEFs had a lower fraction of nuclear MKL1 after serum stimulation than Lmna+/+ cells, on the basis of MKL1 immunofluorescence. Scale bars, 10 μm. b, Time-lapse sequences of cells expressing MKL1–GFP stimulated with serum (see Supplementary Videos 1–3). Scale bars, 10 μm. c, Quantitative analysis of MEFs with positive nuclear MKL1 staining in response to serum stimulation (see Supplementary Videos 1–3). Scale bars, 10 μm. d, Quantitative analysis of MEFs from Lmna−/− and Lmna−/− N195K N195K mice as well as littermate controls (n = 3 for each). e, Representative histological cardiac tissue sections from Lmna−/− and Lmna−/− N195K N195K mice and age-matched wild-type littersmates stained for MKL1 (brown). Red arrows denote example of MKL1-positive nucleus; arrowhead denotes an MKL1-negative nucleus. Scale bars, 20 μm. f, g, Gene expression of serum response factor (Srf) and vinculin (Vcl) in Lmna−/−, Lmna−/− and Lmna N195K MEFs after 1 h and 6 h of serum stimulation. Values were based on three independent experiments and were normalized to TATA binding protein (Tbp). h, Gene expression of Srf in Lmna−/− (n = 9), Lmna−/− (n = 11) and Lmna−/− (n = 10) cardiac tissue. Values were normalized to Tbp. I, Gene expression of Srf in Lmna−/− (n = 5) and Lmna−/− (n = 7) cardiac tissue collected 1 week after transverse aortic constriction (TAC) surgery. Values were normalized to Tbp and compared to those from sham mice. Statistical significance determined by Student’s t-test, compared to Lmna−/− MEFs; *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ****P ≤ 0.0001. Error bars indicate s.e.m.
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**Figure 2** Changes in nuclear import and export are specific to MKL1 and are caused by altered actin dynamics in Lmna+/−/− and Lmna N195K cells. (a, b) Change in nuclear fluorescence intensity over time upon serum stimulation in Lmna+/−/−, Lmna+/−/− and Lmna N195K MEFs expressing MKL1–GFP in the absence (a) or presence (b) of leptomycin B. Values were normalized to the initial nuclear fluorescence intensity before serum addition. n = 20 for each cell line. (c) Fluorescence loss in photobleaching (FLIP) experiments of MKL1–GFP to measure nuclear export. Increased loss of nuclear fluorescence indicates a higher rate of nuclear export of MKL1–GFP in lamin mutant cells. n = 10 for each cell line. (d) Schematic representation (not drawn to scale) of full-length MKL1–GFP (top) and MKL1(1–204)–2×GFP (bottom), consisting of the N-terminal actin-binding domain of MKL1 fused to two GFP moieties. RPEL motifs are depicted in blue and red; NLS in yellow; DNA-binding domain (SAP) and transcriptional activation domain (TAD) in purple; other parts of the C terminus in dark blue. (e) Representative frames from time-lapse series of Lmna+/−/− and Lmna N195K MEFs expressing MKL1(1–204)–2×GFP after serum stimulation (see Supplementary Videos 4–6). Scale bars, 10 μm. (f) Lmna+/−/− MEFs showed rapid accumulation of MKL1(1–204)–2×GFP in the nucleus upon serum stimulation, whereas nuclear accumulation was slower in Lmna+/−/− and Lmna N195K cells. Nuclear fluorescence intensity was normalized to the initial nuclear fluorescence before serum stimulation. n = 60 for each cell line. (g) FLIP experiments in cells expressing MKL1(1–204)–2×GFP. Fluorescence intensity values were normalized to the initial nuclear fluorescence intensity before bleaching of a cytoplasmic region. n = 10 for each cell line. Error bars indicate s.e.m. (Fig. 1a–c and Supplementary Fig. 1a) and bone-marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (Supplementary Fig. 1b) had impaired nuclear translocation of MKL1. Notably, cardiac sections from Lmna−/− and Lmna+/−/− mice had significantly reduced fractions of cardiomyocytes with nuclear MKL1 (Fig. 1d, e), confirming MKL1 translocation defects in vivo and implicating altered MKL1 signalling in the development of cardiomyopathies in these animals.

To characterize the consequences of altered MKL1 translocation, we assessed expression of select MKL1–SRF target genes. Lmna−/− and Lmna N195K MEFs had impaired serum-induced expression of Srf and vinculin (Fig. 1f, g) and had fewer focal adhesions than wild-type controls (Supplementary Fig. 3c, d); expression of an SRF-dependent luciferase reporter was also significantly reduced (Supplementary Fig. 3e). Cardiac tissues from Lmna−/− mice had lower Srf and actin transcript levels than those from wild-type littermates, and activation of Srf expression in response to left ventricular pressure overload was impaired in Lmna−/−−/− mice (Fig. 1h, i and Supplementary Fig. 3a, b), demonstrating altered MKL1–SRF mechanosignalling in vivo.

Experiments with an NLS–GFP–NES reporter construct consisting of GFP fused to an NLS and a nuclear export sequence (NES) revealed that general nuclear import and export were preserved in Lmna+/−/− and Lmna N195K cells (Supplementary Fig. 4), as were levels and localization of the nuclear transport factor Ran and its regulator, RCC1 (Supplementary Fig. 2c–f). We then devised experiments to assess independently nuclear import and export of MKL1. Nuclear import was measured by monitoring nuclear accumulation of MKL1–GFP while blocking nuclear export with leptomycin B8. Lmna+/−/− MEFs showed rapid accumulation of MKL1(1–204)–2×GFP in the nucleus upon serum stimulation, whereas nuclear accumulation was slower in Lmna+/−/− and Lmna N195K cells. Nuclear fluorescence intensity was normalized to the initial nuclear fluorescence before serum stimulation. n = 60 for each cell line. FLIP experiments in cells expressing MKL1(1–204)–2×GFP. Fluorescence intensity values were normalized to the initial nuclear fluorescence intensity before bleaching of a cytoplasmic region. n = 10 for each cell line. Error bars indicate s.e.m.
lower in Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K cells than in wild-type cells (Fig. 2e, f), indicating that impaired nuclear translocation of MKL1 was caused by altered actin dynamics in the lamin mutant cells. As seen with full-length MKL1–GFP, FLIP studies showed that nuclear export of MKL1(1–204)–2×GFP was significantly increased in the mutant cells (Fig. 2g).

In contrast, abrogation of G-actin binding by mutating all three RPEL motifs (MKL1(1–204)XXX–2×GFP) or disrupting the interaction between G-actin and MKL1 with cytochalasin D resulted in nuclear accumulation of MKL1(1–204)XXX–2×GFP (Supplementary Fig. 6a) and endogenous MKL1 (Supplementary Fig. 6b), respectively, in all cell types, indicating that MKL1 can enter the nucleus of Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K cells when decoupled from actin dynamics.

We subsequently compared actin organization between mutant and wild-type cells. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) revealed that nuclear actin, which modulates nuclear export of MKL1 (ref. 8), was more mobile in Lmna+/− cells than in wild-type controls (Supplementary Fig. 7). Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K MEFs also had a larger fraction of highly mobile cytoplasmic actin (Fig. 3a). Furthermore, Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K cells were slower to reassemble stress fibres after disruption of actin filaments with cytochalasin D (Fig. 3b, c). In addition, whereas wild-type cells increased their ratio of F-actin to G-actin upon serum stimulation, Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K MEFs had a consistently weaker response (Fig. 3d). These findings indicate that actin polymerization is altered in Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K cells and that altered actin organization may be responsible for the impaired nuclear translocation of MKL1.

What causes disturbed actin organization in lamin mutant cells? Because lamins contribute to nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling and impaired nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling can disturb perinuclear actin organization, we tested whether disrupting nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling with dominant-negative nesprin mutants (consisting of the KASH domain of nesprin) could reproduce defects in MKL1 translocation. However, expression of dominant-negative KASH had no effect on MKL1 localization (Supplementary Fig. 8). Emerin, an inner nuclear membrane protein associated with X-linked EDMD13, is an actin pointed-end capping protein that promotes actin polymerization in vitro14 and requires lamin A/C for proper localization. In Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K MEFs, emerin was more mobile and mislocalized from the nuclear envelope compared with wild-type cells (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 9a). Hemizygous emerin-null male mice (Emd−/Y) MEFs displayed the same impaired nuclear translocation of MKL1 as lamin mutant cells, which could be rescued by re-introduction of exogenous emerin (Fig. 4b–d). FRAP studies in Emd−/Y MEFs demonstrated that exogenous emerin completely restored actin mobility to levels of wild-type cells (Fig. 4e, f). Ectopic expression of emerin also markedly improved nuclear translocation of MKL1 in Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K cells (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 9b) by increasing the amount of emerin available at the nuclear envelope. In contrast, expression of emerin mutants unable to bind actin and to promote actin polymerization14 failed to restore nuclear translocation of MKL1 and caused dominant-negative defects in wild-type cells (Fig. 4d). These data indicate that emerin is a crucial modulator of actin polymerization and that loss of emerin from the nuclear envelope causes disturbed actin dynamics and impaired MKL1 signalling.

Taken together, our data suggest a novel mechanism for nuclear envelope proteins to regulate MKL1–SRF signalling by modulating actin polymerization. We propose that emerin primarily affects nuclear actin polymerization, which controls nuclear export and transcriptional activity of MKL1 (refs 2, 8). Altered MKL1–SRF signalling could then further affect cytoskeletal actin, as MKL1 and SRF are master regulators for numerous cytoskeletal proteins, including actin and actin-binding proteins, consistent with the reduced cytoskeletal stiffness reported in Lmna+/− MEFs9,15,16. Given the low levels of emerin at the outer nuclear membrane17 and the fact that the fraction of emerin at the endoplasmic reticulum increases in Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K cells (Supplementary Fig. 10), it is likely that emerin has only a limited direct effect on cytoplasmic actin polymerization. Nonetheless, we cannot exclude the possibility that emerin (and lamins) may have additional effects on MKL1. For example, direct interaction of lamin A/C with nuclear cap ofactin to promote actin polymerization18 could further contribute to the altered actin dynamics in Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K cells, as lamins, together with emerin and spectrin IIa, may form a nuclear cortical actin network14. Furthermore, emerin can inhibit or reduce the nuclear accumulation of other transcription factors, including β-catenin, Lmo7 and phospho-ERK1/2 (ref. 19).

Lmna+/− and LmnaN195K/N195K mice develop DCM and have defects in cytoskeletal organization and focal adhesions9,20,21, consistent with impaired MKL1–SRF signalling22. Underscoring the crucial role of MKL1–SRF in cardiac function, cardiac-specific deletion of SRF in adult mice results in DCM23. Considering the marked similarity of the cardiac phenotype observed in these mice with those in EDMD and DCM patients, we propose that impaired MKL1–SRF signalling and the resulting alterations in cytoskeletal organization may have a pivotal role in the development of cardiac defects and muscle phenotypes in various laminopathies. Surprisingly, although Emd−/Y cells have obvious defects in nuclear stability and mechanotransduction signalling24,25, emerin-deficient mice—unlike human patients with emerin mutations—lack an overt muscular phenotype26, indicating additional layers of complexity, species-specific differences, and possible compensation in the Emd−/Y mice. Nonetheless, Emd−/Y animals show delays in muscle repair26, consistent with a role of MKL1 in satellite cells and skeletal muscle regeneration27 and providing additional support for the involvement of impaired MKL1 signalling in nuclear envelopathies.

Figure 3 | Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K cells have altered actin dynamics and polymerization kinetics. a, Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) studies with GFP–actin revealed increased cytoplasmic/actin mobility in Lmna+/− and Lmna N195K cells relative to Lmna+/+ controls. n = 20 for each cell line. b, Representative images of Lmna+/+, Lmna−/− and Lmna N195K MEFs stained for actin stress fibres with phalloidin after cytochalasin D washout. The right column contains close-up images of the regions marked by the yellow rectangle. Scale bars, 10 μm. c, Lmna−/−/Lmna N195K MEFs had a larger fraction of cells containing stress fibres at 1 h and 2 h after cytochalasin D washout than Lmna+/+ and Lmna N195K cells. n = 50 for each cell line. d, Comparison of F-actin/G-actin ratio in starved and serum-stimulated Lmna+/+, Lmna−/− and Lmna N195K MEFs based on phalloidin (F-actin) and DNase 1 (G-actin) staining. Difference in the F-actin/G-actin ratio in serum-starved cells was not statistically significant (NS); n = 35 for each cell line. *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001; all comparisons relative to corresponding Lmna+/+ cells unless indicated otherwise by horizontal bars. Error bars indicate s.e.m.
Figure 4 | Emerin expression rescues actin dynamics and restores MKL1 nuclear translocation in Lmna−/− and Lmna N195K cells. a, Representative immunofluorescence images showing mislocalization of emerin from the nuclear envelope in Lmna−/− and Lmna N195K MEFs. Scale bar, 10 μm. b, Emd+/− MEFs had the same defects in MKL1 translocation as lamin mutant cells (compare with Fig. 1a). Scale bar, 10 μm. c, Stable expression of HA-emerin in Emd−/− MEFs restored normal nuclear MKL1 localization (71.1 ± 6.02%) in response to serum stimulation. Scale bar, 10 μm. d, Quantification of nuclear MKL1 localization upon serum stimulation in Lmna+/+, Lmna−/−, Lmna N195K and Emd−/− MEFs transiently expressing GFP-emerin, emerin mutants that do not bind to actin (GFP–M151, GFP–M164) or GFP vector alone. Cells were categorized as either having ‘nuclear’ or ‘diffuse/cytoplasmic’ localization of MKL1. Expression of GFP–emerin restored serum-induced nuclear localization of MKL1 in Lmna−/−, Lmna N195K and Emd−/− MEFs. n = 50 for each cell line. Statistical significance determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (P ≤ 0.001) with Dunnett multiple comparison post test. Each group was compared to Lmna−/− expressing GFP–emerin. e, f, FRAP analysis of GFP–actin mobility in the cytoplasm (e) and in the nucleus (f) of Emd−/− MEFs stably expressing either HA–emerin or a mock control. n = 10 for each cell line. Lmna+/+ data reproduced from Fig. 3a for comparison. Error bars indicate s.e.m.

Our findings further illustrate the wide-ranging impacts of mutations in nuclear envelope proteins. Treatment of lamin and emerin mutant mice with MAPK inhibitors can reduce cardiac and skeletal phenotypes, which may be attributed at least in part to the effect on MKL1 signalling, as inhibiting ERK1/2 activity is expected to increase nuclear localization of MKL1 by reducing its nuclear export. These findings encourage further approaches to correct impaired MKL1–SRF signalling to ameliorate the devastating cardiac disease associated with many laminopathies.

**METHODS SUMMARY**

Details for standard cell biology techniques (western blotting, immunofluorescence labelling, time-lapse microscopy and photobleaching experiments) can be found in the Methods. Mouse models have been described previously. All animal work was conducted in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

**Full Methods** and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.
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M. Vartiainen. The NLS–GFP–NES reporter construct consists of GFP fused to an (1–204)XXX–2

anism as MKL1 (ref. 30). The MKL1(1–204)XXX–2 Lmna

with 0.05% Tween 20 were incubated for 1 h at room temperature or overnight at

fluency were collected and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/phosphate-buffered

antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Slides were mounted in Prolong Gold

Probes, Invitrogen). Images were collected and analysed on a Zeiss LSM 700 con-

mice develop severe dilated cardiomyopathy and die at 4–8 weeks of age37. Lmna

region to the total number of cardiac myocytes.

Western blotting. Cells were lysed using radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA)

buffer with freshly added protease inhibitor cocktail. The cells were scraped off

using a cell scraper and incubated on ice for 30 min. The lysate was then cleared

by centrifuging at 13,200 r.p.m. at 4 °C. Protein concentration was estimated by

Bradford assay. Thirty micrograms of protein re-suspended in Laemmli sample

buffer was loaded per sample. Cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were prepared using

the Pierce NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction kit according to manufacturer’s instructions. Denatured proteins were resolved on 4–15% Nu-PAGE bis-tris polyacrylamide gels and blotted to a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane. Blocking was done with incubation in 10% non-fat dry milk in TBS with 0.1% Tween-20. The membrane was then probed with primary antibod-

ies in 5% milk in TBST at 4 °C overnight and sequentially detected with horse-

radish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibodies. The signal was revealed by autoradiography using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) (Pierce, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.).

F- and G-actin assays. For the cytochalasin D washout experiment, MEFs were treated with 1 μM cytochalasin D (Sigma Aldrich) for 30 min; subsequent drug washout was performed by rinsing the cells with three changes of medium. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS at 1 h or 2 h after washout and then permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS. Stress fibres were visualized using Phalloidin-Alexa 568. For fluorescence labelling of F and G actin, starved and stimulated Lmna

mice were a gift from C. Stewart. Slides were mounted in ProLong Gold Anti-Fade mounting medium (Invitrogen) and digitally imaged on a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss). Images were captured using identical exposure times for each cell line.

Real-time PCR. Total RNA from cell lines was extracted using the Qiagen RNeasy kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA from cryo-

preserved tissues was extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Life Technologies, Invitrogen Inc.) according to manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). Real-time PCR was carried out using SYBR-Green technology (Applied Biosystems) in a total volume of 25 μl. Gene expression for Srf and Vcl was quantified. Values were normalized to an endogenous control, TATA binding protein (Tbp), and compared to unstimulated samples with the AAC method. Data are based on results from three independent experiments.

SRE-luciferase assay. SRF forms a complex over the SRE/CArG element upon receiving upstream signals from the MAPK pathway and/or the RhoA pathway. SRF activity was measured using the Dual-Glo SRE-Luciferase Assay (Promega) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, Lmna

Vcl

was quantified. Values

and Srf

were normalized to an endogenous control, TATA binding protein (Tbp), and compared to unstimulated samples with the AAC method. Data are based on results from three independent experiments.

Time-lapse microscopy and photobleaching experiments. For live cell imaging, a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss) equipped with a ×63 oil immersion objective (Carl Zeiss) was used. Cells were maintained at 37 °C in HEPES-buffered DMEM for the duration of the time-lapse acquisition. Images were recorded at 30 s or 1 min intervals and analysed using the Zen software (CarlZeiss).

For photobleaching experiments, cells were plated on a coverslip and mounted onto a glass slide with a depression containing culture media. Fluorescence loss in photobleaching (FLIP) experiments were performed on a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope and images were captured using a 20× objective line. Cells had been serum-stimu-

lated for 30 min before the experiments. Relative loss in nuclear fluorescence was determined by comparing normalized nuclear fluorescence intensity to pre-bleach values (t = 0). Increased loss of nuclear fluorescence indicates a higher rate of nuclear export of MKL1–GFP. For FLIP experiments, two single scans were acquired, followed by repeated photobleaching using a single bleach pulse at intervals of 1 s for 200 iterations in defined regions of approximately 30 μm2 in the cytoplasm. Single section images were then collected at 1 s intervals. For imaging, the laser power was attenuated to 2% of the bleach intensity. The relative fluorescence intensity in a defined region of interest was determined by normalizing fluorescence intensity in the region to the total fluorescence in the same region during prebleach. This method provides a means of quantifying nuclear export as the cytoplasmic pool of fluorescing protein is rapidly bleached and subsequent loss of fluorescence signal from the nucleus reflects nuclear export. For FRAP experiments, the cells were scanned

©2013 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved
two times before photobleaching by scanning the region of interest 80 times at 100% laser intensity of a 488-nm laser line. Single section images were then collected at 5-s intervals with laser power attenuated to 2% of the bleach intensity. The fluorescence intensity at the region of interest at each time point was normalized to the change in total fluorescence due to bleaching and imaging, as described previously. For actin FRAP experiments, cells were photobleached in defined ~2 μm diameter nuclear and cytoplasmic regions with 2 μm thickness. Values were normalized to the whole-cell fluorescence or nuclear fluorescence at each time point, for cytoplasmic and nuclear actin FRAP, respectively. For photoactivation experiments, cells expressing MKL1–PAGFP were plated on glass-bottom dishes and starved for 24 h before stimulation with 15% FBS in phenol-red free DMEM for 30 min. Imaging was performed at 37 °C using an LSM 700 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss). Photoactivation of cytoplasmic MKL1–PAGFP was carried out using the 405-nm laser at 50% laser power for 30 iterations. Sequential imaging after photoactivation was performed using a ×63 oil immersion objective using excitation from a 488-nm laser line with 2% laser intensity. The increase of fluorescence was normalized to the initial fluorescence of the cytoplasmic activation area.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc.). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. unless stated otherwise. Two-tailed unpaired t-test and one-way ANOVA were used as detailed in respective figure legends. Statistical significance was defined as $P < 0.05$. All results are derived from three independent experiments.

Nuclear Mechanics and Mechanotransduction

Philipp Isermann* and Jan Lammerding

The nucleus is the defining feature of eukaryotic cells and often represents the largest organelle. Over the past decade, it has become apparent that the nucleus is tightly integrated into the structural network of the cell through so-called LINC (linker of the nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton) complexes, which enable transmission of forces between the nucleus and cytoskeleton. This physical connection between the nucleus and the cytoskeleton is essential for a broad range of cellular functions, including intracellular nuclear movement and positioning, cytoskeletal organization, cell polarization, and cell migration. Recent reports further indicate that forces transmitted from the extracellular matrix to the nucleus via the cytoskeleton may also directly contribute to the cell’s ability to probe its mechanical environment by triggering force-induced changes in nuclear structures. In addition, it is now emerging that the physical properties of the nucleus play a crucial role during cell migration in three-dimensional (3D) environments, where cells often have to transit through narrow constrictions that are smaller than the nuclear diameter, e.g., during development, wound healing, or cancer metastasis. In this review, we provide a brief overview of how LINC complex proteins and lamins facilitate nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling, highlight recent findings regarding the role of the nucleus in cellular mechanotransduction and cell motility in 3D environments, and discuss how mutations and/or changes in the expression of these nuclear envelope proteins can result in a broad range of human diseases, including muscular dystrophy, dilated cardiomyopathy, and premature aging.

Introduction

Mechanotransduction defines the process by which cells ‘translate’ mechanical stimuli into biochemical signals, enabling cells to sense their physical environment and adjust their structure and function accordingly. While mechanotransduction was first studied in specialized sensory cells, such as the inner hair cells involved in hearing, we now know that virtually all cells respond to mechanical stimulation. A growing body of work over the past two decades has led to the suggestion that, rather than relying on a single central ‘mechanosensor’, cells utilize a variety of mechanosensitive elements to sense applied forces and substrate stiffness, ranging from stretch-activated ion channels in the plasma membrane, conformational changes in proteins at focal adhesions and inside the cytoskeleton, to force-induced unfolding of extracellular matrix proteins, [1–3]. Recent findings have further fueled the speculation that the nucleus itself may act as a cellular mechanosensor, bypassing diffusion-based mechano-signaling through the cytoplasm to directly modulate expression of mechanosensitive genes [3].
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A central role in this mechanosensory process has been attributed to lamins, which are type V nuclear intermediate filament proteins that constitute the major components of the nuclear lamina — a dense protein network underlying the inner nuclear membrane — and that also form stable structures within the nucleoplasm [4]. Lamins can be separated into A-type and B-type lamins, with lamins A and C as the major A-type isoforms, and lamins B1 and B2 being the major B-type isoforms in somatic cells [4]. Lamins interact with a variety of nuclear envelope proteins, including emerin, lamin B receptor, and the nesprin and SUN protein families [5], as well as with numerous transcriptional regulators [4,5]. Lamins can also directly interact with chromatin [6] and help tether specific chromatin regions known as lamina-associated domains (LADs) to the nuclear periphery [7]; loss of lamins results in changes in chromatin organization, including the loss of peripheral heterochromatin [8]. Lamins, in particular lamins A and C, provide structural support to the nucleus [9,10] and play an important role in physically connecting the nucleus to the cytoskeleton, thereby enabling forces to be transmitted from the cytoskeleton and extracellular matrix to the nuclear interior [11–14].

Lamins are an extended part of the LINC (linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton) complex [15], which enables force transmission across the nuclear envelope. The LINC complex itself is composed of two protein families — SUN domain proteins at the inner nuclear membrane and KASH domain proteins at the outer nuclear membrane — that engage across the luminal space via their conserved SUN and KASH domains (Figure 1). SUN domain proteins interact with the nuclear lamina, nuclear pore proteins, and other nuclear proteins at the nuclear interior; in the cytoplasm, KASH domain proteins can bind to all major cytoskeletal filament networks, including actin filaments (through the actin-binding domain of the giant isoforms of nesprin-1 and -2), intermediate filaments (via interaction of nesprin-3 with the cytoskeletal linker plectin), and microtubules (via kinesin and dynein motor proteins binding to nesprin-1, -2, -4 and KASH5) [16]. We refer the reader to excellent recent reviews regarding the detailed molecular organization of the LINC complex [16], its evolutionary history [17], and the diverse role of lamins and other nuclear envelope proteins in other cellular functions [18].

The importance of nuclear mechanics and nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling in cellular function has become strikingly evident over the past decade by the identification of a growing number of diseases resulting from mutations in lamins and LINC complex components. In particular, mutations in the LMNA gene, encoding the nuclear envelope proteins lamin A and C, cause a variety of human diseases (laminopathies) that include Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy, dilated cardiomyopathy, limb-girdle muscular dystrophy, and Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome [18]. For many of these diseases, the molecular disease mechanism remains incompletely understood, but recent reports demonstrate that mutations in lamins A and C can disrupt LINC complex function and cause defects in skeletal and cardiac muscle [16,19,20]. In addition to its role in muscle, proper nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling is also essential in cell migration, for example, during wound healing, inflammation, cancer metastasis, and development [13,16,21].
Cytoskeletal forces are required to dynamically position the nucleus during migration on 2D substrates [21]. In 3D environments, the cell and nucleus face additional challenges, as the dense fibrous extracellular matrix network and tight interstitial spaces often create constrictions smaller than the size of the nucleus, so that the deformation of the typically large and relatively stiff nucleus can become a rate-limiting step [22].

In this Review, we provide an overview of the current understanding of the role of the nucleus and the nuclear envelope in cellular mechanosensing and mechanotransduction signaling and discuss how changes in nuclear structure and disturbed nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling can contribute to human disease. We conclude with a brief outlook on new directions in this exciting research field and discuss how improved insights into nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling and nuclear mechanosensing may eventually point to novel therapeutic approaches for the various nuclear envelope pathologies.

The Role of the Nucleus in Mechanotransduction

In its literal definition, mechanotransduction refers only to the immediate cellular processes in which mechanical stimuli are transduced into biochemical signals; however, the term mechanotransduction is often applied more broadly to describe the overall cellular response to changes in its mechanical environment, for example, activation of specific genes or changes in cellular structure and organization. In the following, we use the term ‘mechano sensing’ to describe the initiating mechanotransduction events, while denoting the downstream signaling and changes in gene expression as ‘mechanotransduction signaling’.

Given the central role of the nucleus in transcriptional regulation, it has long been speculated that the nucleus could act as a cellular mechanosensor that can directly modulate gene expression in response to mechanical disturbances. It is well established that external forces applied to a cell are transmitted from the plasma membrane via the cytoskeleton to the nucleus, resulting in (intra-)nuclear deformations [23–25]. These deformations could alter chromatin structure or induce conformational changes in nuclear proteins, such as the release of transcriptional regulators or translocation of chromatin segments away from transcriptionally repressive regions, thereby activating (or repressing) mechanosensitive genes (Figure 2). Support for this idea comes from three recent studies. Dahl and colleagues [26] found that fluid shear stress and compressive stress application increase intranuclear movement of fluorescent fusion proteins binding to ribosomal DNA and RNA in a number of cell lines, indicating that externally applied forces can indeed alter chromatin organization and accessibility. Going a step further, Wang and co-workers [27] reported that application of approximately nanonewton forces to the surface of HeLa cells via magnetic microspheres results in rapid (less than 1 s) dissociation of two major structural Cajal body proteins, coilin and SMN, and that disruption of the actin cytoskeleton or depletion of lamins A and C abolishes this response. Most recently, Discher and colleagues [28] revealed an additional mechanism by which force-induced nuclear deformation could initiate biochemical responses, focusing on the role of nuclear lamins. Application of fluid shear stress to isolated nuclei caused the immunoglobulin (Ig) domain of lamin A to unfold, exposing a previously buried cysteine residue [28]. While these findings indicate that the nuclear lamina could...
function as a nuclear force sensor, in their current study, Discher and colleagues [28] did not observe any exposed cysteines in intact cells, which may suggest that forces acting on the nucleus under physiological conditions are insufficient to cause (partial) protein unfolding. Furthermore, it remains to be seen whether any partial unfolding of lamins could alter the interaction with their diverse binding partners to initiate further changes in transcriptional regulation.

Interestingly, the same study by Discher and colleagues [28] also investigated the expression levels and phosphorylation state of lamins in response to changes in the cellular mechanical environment, revealing that the expression of lamins A and C (relative to B-type lamins) scales with the substrate stiffness in vitro and in vivo. In addition, softer substrates, which correspond to reduced cytoskeletal tension, were associated with higher levels of lamin A/C phosphorylation [28], indicative of a more soluble and mechanically weaker lamin network. As lamins A and C are the main contributors to nuclear stiffness and stability, it is easily conceivable that cells adapt the expression and organization of lamins to their mechanical environment, for example, resulting in high levels of lamins A and C in mechanically stressed tissues, such as skeletal and cardiac muscle, and low levels of lamins A and C in brain or adipose tissue, thereby normalizing the mechanical stress acting on the lamin network. However, at the current time, it remains to be seen whether this intriguing correlation is caused by a direct role of lamins in mechanosensing and a corresponding feedback loop to control lamin levels, or whether transcriptional regulation of lamins in response to substrate stiffness is downstream of other mechanotransduction signaling pathways.

Arguing, at least in part, against the idea that induced nuclear deformations are essential for cellular mechanosensing and mechanotransduction signaling is a recent study that found that disruption of LINC complex proteins by dominant-negative nesprin and SUN constructs almost completely abolishes nuclear deformation when cells are subjected to substrate strain, yet the mechanoresponsive genes tested by the authors were activated normally [24]. While these experiments do not exclude the possibility that some mechanosensitive genes exist that directly respond to nuclear deformation, they lead to the suggestion that mechanosensors in the plasma membrane and/or the...
Cytoskeletal coupling also directly interact with chromatin mediated by lamin A/C and emerin can affect gene regulation. MRTF-A [32], demonstrating how structural changes mediate results in impaired translocation and activation of emerin reduces nuclear and cytoskeletal actin dynamics inner nuclear membrane, functional loss of lamin A/C or emerin, which have impaired activation of mechanosensitive transcription factor myocardin-related transcription factor-A (MRTF-A, also known as MKL1 or MAL) were recently elucidated [32]. MRTF-A, which plays a critical role in cardiac development and function, is normally sequestered in the cytoplasm by interaction with monomeric actin; stimulation by mechanical stress or serum induces the assembly of actin filaments, resulting in the release of MRTF-A and its translocation to the nucleus, where it serves as co-activator for the transcription factor serum response factor (SRF) to initiate expression of genes with a serum response element (SRE) that include vinculin, actin, and SRF itself [34]. Nuclear activity and export of MRTF-A are further modulated by polymerization of nuclear actin [34,35]. Since emerin, which can directly bind actin and promote its polymerization [36], requires lamin A/C for its localization to the inner nuclear membrane, functional loss of lamin A/C or emerin reduces nuclear and cytoskeletal actin dynamics and results in impaired translocation and activation of MRTF-A [32], demonstrating how structural changes mediated by lamin A/C and emerin can affect gene regulation.

Importantly, lamins and other proteins involved in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling also directly interact with chromatin and numerous transcriptional regulators, including: retinoblastoma protein (pRb), c-Fos, and ERK1/2 for lamins A/C; α-catenin and ERK1/2 for nesprin-2; and β-catenin, barrier-to-autointegration factor (BAF), germ cell-less (GCL) and the splicing-associated factor YT521-B for emerin [4,5,37]. Consequently, defects in mechanotransduction signaling in lamin A/C- or emerin-deficient cells may also be attributed to the loss of the interaction of lamins or emerin with these transcriptional modulators, rather than the loss of their role in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling and nuclear stability, although more experimental evidence is needed to distinguish between these (non-mutually exclusive) hypotheses.

As these findings demonstrate, nuclear structure and deformability, as well as force transmission between the cytoskeleton and nucleus, play crucial roles in activating or modulating cellular mechanotransduction signaling. At the same time, nuclear mechanics and nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling can also affect other cellular functions that require the physical movement and positioning/anchoring of the nucleus within the cell. Examples include the rearward nuclear position in (most) migrating cells, the peripheral nuclear placement in striated muscle cells, or the basal nuclear position in stem cells asymmetrically dividing in their niche [16].

**Nuclear Positioning in 2D Cell Migration**

Many cells cultured on flat substrates show a characteristic cellular reorientation (polarization) before initiating migration [38]. Scratch wound assays reveal that, during the polarization process, the nucleus moves rearwards, away from the wound edge, resulting in the centrally located centrosome to be positioned ahead of the nucleus, towards the wound edge (Figure 3). This process requires intact nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling because LINC complex disruption or depletion of lamins prevents rearward nuclear movement [12,21,24].

A seminal study by Luxton and colleagues [21] uncovered that the nuclear repositioning during cell polarization is mediated by coupling the nucleus to dorsal actin cables...
that — driven by Cdc42 and actin–myosin II interactions — originate near the leading edge of the cell and move rearward, thereby dragging the nucleus backwards (Figure 3) [21]. These so-called TAN (transmembrane actin-associated nuclear) lines are composed of actin filaments, nesprin-2 giant at the outer nuclear membrane, and Sun2 at the inner nuclear membrane [21], and, as recently discovered, the inner nuclear membrane protein Samp1 [39]. The mobility of nesprins that are part of the TAN lines is significantly lower than in other parts of the nucleus, indicating that they are part of a stable complex [21]. This complex formation may be mediated by Samp1, as depletion of Samp1 results in failure to reposition the nucleus [39]. Similarly, when the LINC complex is disrupted by RNAi-mediated depletion of lamin A or Sun2, the TAN lines drift across the nuclear envelope without becoming sufficiently anchored, resulting in lack of nuclear movement and defects in cell polarization and migration [21]. In single-cell migration assays, LINC complex disruption causes reduced migration speed and decreased directional persistence [24], further demonstrating the importance of intact nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling. We refer the reader to a recent review [16] for a more detailed discussion of nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling in 2D cell migration.

**Cell Migration in 3D Environments**

Most in vitro migration assays are conducted on 2D surfaces; in contrast, cell motility in vivo — for example, cell migration during early development, infiltration of immune cells into sites of infection, or invasion of cancer cells into adjacent tissues — typically takes place in 3D environments. An emerging field of research suggests that cell migration in 3D environments differs substantially from 2D migration (discussed in [40]).

**Nuclear Deformability as a Rate-Limiting Step in 3D Cell Migration**

While much of the research in cell migration — both in 2D and 3D environments — has been focused on processes at the leading edge, particularly the dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton, it is now becoming evident that the mechanical properties of the cell nucleus and its connection to the cytoskeleton play an essential role in 3D migration [22,41]. When cells encounter constrictions in the interstitial space that are smaller than their nuclear diameter, cells can either proteolytically degrade the constricting extracellular matrix or attempt to squeeze through the narrow opening, requiring substantial cellular deformation. During non-proteolytic migration, the highly adaptable and dynamic cytoskeleton and plasma membrane can penetrate spaces less than 1 μm in diameter [22], but the large and stiff nucleus is much more resistant to large deformations and imposes a rate-limiting step during migration through narrow constrictions [22,42]. Recent studies of cells migrating through 3D collagen matrices, polycarbonate filters, or microfabricated channels with well-defined pore sizes demonstrate that decreasing pore sizes beyond 20 μm gradually reduces migration speed [22,43]. Movement of the cell body and nucleus stalls completely when encountering constrictions smaller than ~10% of the initial nuclear diameter [22], suggesting a finite limit of the compressibility of the nucleus [22,44].

Given the prominent role of nuclear envelope proteins, particularly lamins A and C, in determining nuclear deformability, it is intriguing to speculate to what extent nuclear envelope composition can affect cell migration in 3D environments. Cells expressing a lamin A mutation that increases nuclear stiffness [45,46] have difficulties navigating through 6 μm wide constrictions, even though they have similar migration speeds in unconfined spaces as control cells [47]. Conversely, neutrophils have evolved highly lobulated nuclei almost completely lacking lamins A and C, making them well suited to pass through narrow capillaries and narrow constrictions during extravasation and interstitial migration [48]. Ectopic expression of lamin A in HL-60-derived neutrophil-like cells induces rounder nuclei and an impaired ability to pass through narrow constrictions during perfusion and migration [42], further illustrating the importance of nuclear deformability in 3D cell motility.

**Does the Cytoskeleton Pull or Push the Nucleus during 3D Migration?**

The nuclear deformation during cell passage through narrow constrictions requires substantial cytoskeletal forces acting on the nucleus. One can imagine several non-mutual exclusive possibilities explaining how forces could be applied to the nucleus to move it through tight constrictions. The cytoskeleton could exert forces from the cell front, pulling on the nucleus, or it could apply contractile forces from the rear, pushing and squeezing the nucleus through the constriction (Figure 4). Pulling forces could result from molecular motors such as dynein attached to the nuclear surface via LINC complex proteins, moving the nucleus along the microtubule network towards the centrosome on the other side of the constriction. Actin–myosin interactions could exert contractile forces between forward-based focal adhesions and the anterior edge of the nucleus. The contribution of pulling forces is supported by the finding that integrin- and actomyosin-dependent force generation is
expected in a pulling model (Figure 4B), it is challenging to produce similar nuclear protrusions into the constriction as distinguish between the two major modes — i.e., pulling or actomotorized motors [51], zebrafish neurons rely on myosin II activity at the rear of the nucleus to push the nucleus forward [50], in breast cancer cells invading Matrigel scaffolds, actomyosin-based contraction is limited to the rear of the cells, and inhibition of actomyosin-based contraction reduced cell migration in dense collagen matrices, and further supported by the finding that in breast cancer cells invading Matrigel scaffolds, actomyosin-based cytoskeletal contraction is limited to the rear of the cells, and inhibition of actomyosin-based contraction abolishes invasion [56].

As squeezing the fluid-filled nucleus from the rear may produce similar nuclear protrusions into the constriction as expected in a pulling model (Figure 4B), it is challenging to distinguish between the two major modes — i.e., pulling or pushing the nucleus through the constriction — by observation of nuclear deformations alone. Further research is necessary to elucidate the molecular details involved in overcoming the nuclear resistance during cell migration in 3D environments. Importantly, it remains to be seen to what extent these processes require nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling through the LINC complex. While at least one study reported that LINC complex disruption impairs cell migration in 3D environments [57], a contractile actomyosin network at the rear of the nucleus may not necessarily require LINC complex function to transmit forces to the nucleus. Furthermore, a nuclear positioning mechanism independent of the LINC complex has been observed during the migration of nuclei in Drosophila oocytes, where polymerizing microtubules at the rear of the nucleus propel the nucleus forward [58].

In light of the emerging importance of nuclear mechanics during cell migration in 3D environments, it is intriguing to speculate whether cells are capable of dynamically adjusting the mechanical properties of the nucleus. An example of long-term adjustment can be seen during granulopoiesis, when cells downregulate expression of lamin B while increasing expression of the lamin B receptor, resulting in highly lobulated and deformable nuclei in granulocytes that promote passage through tight spaces [42,59]. Given the recent report of changes in lamin expression and phosphorylation in response to substrate stiffness [28], it is not too far-fetched to envision that cells may dynamically reduce or partially depolymerize the nuclear lamina network to transiently increase nuclear deformability, similar to the process of nuclear envelope breakdown during mitosis. Alternatively, cells could enhance migration through narrow constrictions by increasing the cytoskeletal tension, thereby exerting more forces on the nucleus. This could be particularly relevant in the spreading of cancer cells, as cells with increased metastatic potential were recently shown to generate higher cytoskeletal forces [60].

### Table 1. List of proteins/genes involved in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling and the diseases associated with specific mutations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein (Gene)</th>
<th>Diseases [Reference]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lamin A/C (LMNA)</td>
<td>Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy [78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dilated cardiomyopathy [80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Congenital muscular dystrophy (dropped head) [81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heart-hand syndrome [82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dunnigan-type familial partial lipodystrophy [83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generalized lipodystrophy [84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandibuloacral dysplasia [85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charcot-Marie-Tooth syndrome [86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atypical Werner syndrome [87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome [86,88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restrictive dermopathy [89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamin B1 (LMNB1)</td>
<td>Adult onset leukodystrophy (caused by duplication) [65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamin B2 (LMNB2)</td>
<td>Partial lipodystrophy [57,68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerin (STAG3)</td>
<td>Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy [90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nesprin-1 (SYNE1)</td>
<td>Emery-Dreifus muscular dystrophy [91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dilated cardiomyopathy [92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebellar ataxia [61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arthrogryposis [62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nesprin-2 (SYNE2)</td>
<td>Emery-Dreifus muscular dystrophy [91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nesprin-3 (SYNE3)</td>
<td>None reported to date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nesprin-4 (NESP4)</td>
<td>Hearing loss [64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUN1 (SUN1)</td>
<td>None reported to date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUN2 (SUN2)</td>
<td>Emery-Dreifus muscular dystrophy (patient also carried other mutations) [63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TorsinA (TOR1A)</td>
<td>Early-onset generalized torsion dystonia [93]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not included here are mutations in cytoskeletal and motor proteins that can result in muscular dystrophies, cardiomyopathies, and lissencephaly due to impaired neuronal migration [16].

required for non-proteolytic cell migration through dense collagen matrices [22] and observations of herniations of the nuclear membrane at the anterior edge of the nucleus along with detachment of the chromatin from the nuclear envelope in lamin B1-mutant neurons during migration [49].

At the same time, actomyosin-generated contraction can also serve as the pushing force for the nucleus, as seen in the interkinetic nuclear migration of neurons in the retina of zebrafish [50]. Unlike in mammalian cells, where interkinetic nuclear movement is mainly driven by microtubule-associated motors [51], zebrafish neurons rely on myosin II activity at the rear of the nucleus to push the nucleus forward [50], possibly reflecting species- or cell-shape-dependent differences [51]. While non-muscle myosin-IIa is located near the leading edge of cells [52–54], non-muscle myosin-IIb is present in the actin network surrounding the nucleus [55]. The idea of a contractile network consisting of F-actin and myosin-II at the side and rear of the cell responsible for pushing the nucleus through the constriction is consistent with data observed by Wolff et al. [22], who found that inhibition of myosin light chain activity reduced cell migration in dense collagen matrices, and further supported by the finding that in breast cancer cells invading Matrigel scaffolds, actomyosin-based cytoskeletal contraction is limited to the rear of the cells, and inhibition of actomyosin-based contraction abolishes invasion [56].

Interestingly, diseases affecting striated muscle, i.e., Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy, can also be caused by mutations in emerin (STAG3 gene), nesprin-1 (SYNE1), and nesprin-2 (SYNE2), suggesting a LINC complex-associated disease mechanism [16]. In addition to these muscular phenotypes, nesprin-1 mutations are also responsible for autosomal recessive cerebellar ataxia [61] and arthrogryposis [62], which is characterized by congenital joint contractures resulting from reduced fetal movements. Mutations in nesprin-4, for which expression is limited to secretory epithelial cells and hair cells of the inner ear [63], result in progressive...
high-frequency hearing loss, a phenotype that can be recapitulated in mice lacking either nesprin-4 or Sun1 [64]. In contrast, no disease-causing mutations have been reported for either of the SUN proteins, although a novel mutation in Sun2 was recently described in a patient with Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy who was also carrying a mutation in nesprin-1x1, which by itself is considered non-pathogenic [65]. Interestingly, the same study also identified a patient with Duchenne muscular dystrophy caused by a mutation in the dystrophin gene (DMD) also carrying a nesprin-1x2 mutation, suggesting that mutations in LINC complex proteins can act as modifier genes in other muscular dystrophies. Mutations and gene duplications have also been described for B-type lamins [18]. Duplication of LMNB1 results in adult onset leukodystrophy [66], characterized by demyelination in the central nervous system. Mutations in LMNB2 cause acquired partial lipodystrophy, which involves a progressive loss of subcutaneous fat tissue [67,68].

The disease etiology for the broad spectrum of nuclear envelopopathies remains incompletely understood. Patient cells are often characterized by abnormal nuclear morphology and altered distribution of nuclear envelope proteins, including mislocalization of lamins, nesprins, and SUN proteins [16], and lamin mutations linked to striated muscle diseases result in impaired nucleo-cytoskeletal force transmission and reduced nuclear stability [11,20]. These findings suggest that, at least for the diseases affecting cardiac and skeletal muscle, which are exposed to particularly high levels of mechanical stress, defects in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling and nuclear mechanics could directly contribute to the disease phenotype. Nonetheless, it is likely that additional mechanisms, such as impaired mechanotransduction signaling, disturbed transcriptional regulation, or impaired stem cell function, further contribute to the disease development and are responsible for the broad spectrum of human diseases [18].

One interesting and unexpected disease mechanism emerged from the recent crossing of lamin A/C-deficient and Sun1-deficient mouse models. Mice that lack lamins A and C develop severe muscular dystrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy and die at 4–8 weeks of age [69]. Surprisingly, when crossed with Sun1-deficient mice, which lack an overt phenotype, the resulting double deletion of lamin A/C and Sun1 expands the lifetime of the animals, possibly by preventing toxic accumulation of Sun1 in the Golgi apparatus [70]. Similarly increased survival was observed in mice lacking exon 9 of the Lmna gene, which causes a progeria-like phenotype when crossed with Sun1-deficient mice [70]. These findings suggest that, in addition to disrupting their normal role in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling, displacement of nuclear envelope proteins may cause further cellular defects by inducing Golgi stress and compromising Golgi functionality.

Recently, altered expression of nuclear envelope proteins, particularly lamins, has been reported in a number of cancers. For example, lamins A/C are downregulated in breast cancer, leukemias, lymphomas, colon cancer, and gastric carcinoma, whereas expression of A-type lamins is upregulated in prostate, skin and ovarian cancers [4,71,72]. Furthermore, a recent genome-wide analysis of 100 cancer patients identified mutations in lamins A/C, nesprin-1, and nesprin-2, which, albeit unlikely to be driver mutations, could represent modulators of cancer progression [73]. In cancer cells, altered lamin function could directly affect the nuclear deformability required for interstitial migration or act through diverse signaling pathways that promote cell motility [72,74]. These changes in nuclear envelope composition, which may provide an explanation for the often severe abnormal nuclear shape in cancer cells, could directly contribute to the disease progression, either by altering the mechanical properties of the cell nucleus [41] or by modulating signaling pathways and cytoskeletal organization associated with changes in lamin expression [75].

Outlook
Over the past decade, numerous novel nuclear envelope proteins involved in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling and force transmission to the nucleus have been identified, including nesprins and SUN proteins, the core components of the LINC complex. Nonetheless, many questions remain unanswered. What is the role of nuclear envelope proteins in cellular mechanotransduction? Can these proteins act as nuclear mechanosensors, or do they primarily serve as processing hubs in the cellular mechanotransduction signaling network? In the context of intracellular force transmission, given the broad distribution of nesprins and SUN proteins along the nuclear surface, how is the interaction of LINC complex proteins regulated to promote (dynamic) anchoring to specific cytoskeletal structures while avoiding ‘locking up’ the nucleus by unwanted interaction with other cytoskeletal elements? Which proteins are involved in this regulation? Where does the regulation take place — at the cytoplasm, the nucleoplasm, or the luminal interaction between the SUN and KASH domains? Are there other, yet-to-be characterized proteins involved in linking the nucleus to the cytoskeleton independent of LINC complex proteins?

Answering these questions will not only advance our understanding of normal cellular processes but also aid in the development of therapeutic approaches, targeting the many diseases resulting from mutations in LINC-complex-associated proteins. As of now, it remains unclear to what extent direct mechanical defects such as impaired nuclear anchoring as opposed to impaired transcriptional regulation or stem cell dysfunction contribute to the disease mechanisms, and whether these defects are interrelated [11,18]. Treating impaired signaling provides a more rapidly attainable goal and has already produced some promise in cardiac laminopathies [76], but may be insufficient to overcome structural defects.

Twenty years from now, we will probably look back with a smile at the limitations of our current knowledge of nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling and nuclear mechanotransduction. The concept of transmembrane connections between the actin cytoskeleton and the extracellular matrix, leading to the discovery of integrins, is almost 40 years old [77]. That work has evolved into a tremendously successful research field spanning cell migration, stem cell differentiation and anti-cancer therapies. Is nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling headed the same way? We will not find out for a while, but it is certainly an exciting ride, wherever it may lead us.
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Background: The unusual nuclear shape of neutrophils has been speculated to facilitate their passage through confined spaces. Levels of nuclear protein lamin A modulate cell passage through micron-scale pores. Conclusion: The unique protein composition of neutrophil nuclei facilitates their deformation; lobulated nuclear shape is not essential. Significance: Altered nuclear envelope composition, as reported in cancer cells, could impact cell passage through physiological gaps.

Neutrophils are characterized by their distinct nuclear shape, which is thought to facilitate the transit of these cells through pore spaces less than one-fifth of their diameter. We used human promyelocytic leukemia (HL-60) cells as a model system to investigate the effect of nuclear shape in whole cell deformability. We probed neutrophil-differentiated HL-60 cells lacking expression of lamin B receptor, which fail to develop lobulated nuclei during granulopoiesis and present an in vitro model for Pelger-Huët anomaly; despite the circular morphology of their nuclei, the cells passed through micron-scale constrictions on similar timescales as scrambled controls. We then investigated the unique nuclear envelope composition of neutrophil-differentiated HL-60 cells, which may also impact their deformability; although lamin A is typically down-regulated during granulopoiesis, we genetically modified HL-60 cells to generate a subpopulation of cells with well defined levels of ectopic lamin A. The lamin A-overexpressing neutrophil-type cells showed similar functional characteristics as the mock controls, but they had an impaired ability to pass through micron-scale constrictions. Our results suggest that levels of lamin A have a marked effect on the ability of neutrophils to passage through micron-scale constrictions, whereas the unusual multilobed shape of the neutrophil nucleus is less essential.

The passage of cells through narrow spaces is critical in physiological and disease processes from immune response to metastasis. For example, neutrophils are required to rapidly traverse constrictions that are much smaller than their own diameter of 7–8 μm: during perfusion through capillaries with diameters as small as 2 μm or during migration through transendothelial and interstitial spaces ranging from 0.1 to 10 μm (1). The ability of neutrophils to transit through narrow constrictions is essential; increased cell stiffness results in retention of neutrophils in arteries and capillaries (2), as well as accumulation in postcapillary venules leading to inflammation in the vascular bed (3).

Although the mechanical properties of neutrophils can be regulated by cytoskeletal filaments such as actin (4–6) and microtubules (7), the hallmark multilobed nuclear morphology has long been thought to facilitate the deformation of neutrophils through narrow spaces (8, 9); a round-shaped nucleus could sterically hinder the deformation of a cell through a narrow pore, whereas the multilobed neutrophil nucleus could aid cell passage as individual lobes could be sequentially “threaded” through constrictions. Indeed, cells with lobulated nuclear shape show less retention in 8-μm porous membranes as compared with their progenitors with round nuclei (10). However, it remains unclear to what extent this hyperlobulated nuclear shape is required for neutrophils to deform through narrow gaps; tightly regulated modifications in nuclear envelope protein composition also occur during granulopoiesis. Specifically,
during the process of granulopoiesis, as recapitulated in vitro using human promyelocytic leukemia (HL-60) cells, major alterations occur in the expression levels of two key nuclear envelope proteins; the integral nuclear membrane protein, lamin B receptor (LBR), is strongly up-regulated, whereas there is a concurrent decrease in levels of lamin A, a key structural protein that forms a network underlying the inner nuclear membrane and imparts the nucleus with mechanical stability (11–13). Thus, although the unique shape of the neutrophil nucleus could facilitate the passage of these cells through narrow constrictions, we hypothesized that reduced levels of lamin A could enhance nuclear deformability and thereby facilitate the passage of cells through micron-scale constrictions.

To dissect the role of nuclear shape and nuclear envelope composition in the passage of cells through constrictions that mimic physiological gaps, we used all-trans-retinoic acid (ATRA)-stimulated HL-60 cells to recapitulate granulopoiesis; this in vitro system is widely used for structural and functional assays of white blood cells (14–16). We probed the ability of cells to transit through micron-scale constrictions and investigated the effects of both altered nuclear shape and altered lamin A expression levels. Our results show that levels of lamin A have a predominant effect on the ability of cells to passage through narrow constrictions, whereas the altered shape of the neutrophil nucleus is not essential for rapid passage through micron-scale pores.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Culture**—HL-60/S4 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium with 1-glutamine (Invitrogen), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gemini Bio-Products, West Sacramento, CA). We generated scrambled control cells to compare with HL-60/S4 cells with stable shRNA-mediated knockdown of LBR (LBR KD cells) (17). To induce differentiation into neutrophil-type cells, we added ATRA at a final concentration of 5 μm to 1 × 10⁵ cells/ml; ethanol was used as vehicle control. We probed nuclear shape and nuclear envelope composition at days 0, 3, and 5 after ATRA treatment; we performed functional assays of neutrophil-type cells at 4 days after ATRA treatment, when cells display characteristics of neutrophils (11, 18).

**Microfluidic Deformation**—Soft lithography was used to fabricate microfluidic channels in polydimethylsiloxane (Sylgard 184 silicone elastomer, Dow Corning) (19). Devices were bonded to #1.5-thickness coverglasses. We drove the flow of cells by applying 28 kilopascals (4 p.s.i.) of pressure to a tube of 184 silicone elastomer, Dow Corning) (19). Devices were phosphorylated with a tributylin cofactor (11, 18).

ATRA treatment, when cells display characteristics of neutrophil-type cells at 4 days after ATRA treatment; we performed assays of neutrophil-type cells at 4 days after ATRA treatment, when cells display characteristics of neutrophils (11, 18).

**Retroviral Transduction**—We generated the stably modified lamin A-overexpressing (LamA OE) cells from the parent HL-60/S4 cell line by retroviral transduction (21–23) with the bicistronic vector (pRetroX-IRES-ZsGreen1, Clontech) for lamin A and the fluorophore reporter Zoanthus green fluorescent protein (ZsGreen1) with the 5’ Moloney murine leukemia virus LTR as the promoter. Cloning of the wild-type prelamin A into the bicistronic retroviral vector was performed as follows: the insert was generated by cutting pSVK3-prelamin A (24) (kind gift from Howard J. Worman) with SmaI and SalI; this was ligated to the vector obtained from cutting pEGFP-C1 (Clontech) with EcoRI and SalI and cut in a shuttle vector, which was subsequently digested with Xmal, blunted with Klenow, and then cut with BglII. The insert from the latter digestion was then ligated to the vector generated from cutting the pRetroX-IRES-ZsGreen1 with BamHI and blunted with Klenow followed by BglII digestion. Transfection of the resultant pRetro-prelamin A-IRES-ZsGreen 1 expression vector into the 293GPG retroviral packaging cell line (kind gift from Richard C. Mulligan) was performed using Lipofectamine Plus reagent (Invitrogen) based on the manufacturer’s specifications and previous protocols with minor modifications (21–23). A ZsGreen1 retrovector without lamin A insert was used to generate the mock control cells. Viral supernatant was collected daily for 6 consecutive days, filtered through 0.45-μm pores, and stored at −20°C. Later, the viral supernatants collected per batch were thawed and pooled, and viral titer was determined by viral infection of mouse embryo fibroblasts. Two rounds of viral transduction of HL-60/S4 cells were then performed using unconcentrated viral supernatant supplemented with 6–8 μg/ml Polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich) at a multiplicity of infection of 25–50. Gene transfer efficiency was assayed 5 days after retroviral infection by flow cytometry probing ZsGreen1 levels; because ZsGreen1 and lamin A are derived from the same bicistronic mRNA transcript, we sorted individual cells based on ZsGreen1 levels into a subpopulation of cells with well defined, elevated expression levels by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (Aria II, BD Biosciences or MoFlo, Beckman Coulter) into calcium-free PBS buffer. The resulting subpopulation does not derive from a single clone, but is rather generated by the highest expressing cells that may contain multiple insertions; the 5’ LTR promoter is relatively weak, and we observed an ~20–30-fold increase in lamin A levels in comparison with the mock controls.

**Analysis of Protein Expression**—Cell lysates were prepared from 5 × 10⁶ cells using urea lysis buffer with final concentrations of 9 M urea, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), 10 mM EDTA, 500 μM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 20 μl of β-mercaptoethanol, and 1 μl/ml protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma). All steps were performed at 4°C. Proteins were separated on a 4-12% Bis-Tris gel with 1× MOPS running buffer and then transferred onto activated transfer membranes, blocked, and labeled using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibodies (Bio-Rad). We used protein standard (Invitrogen SeeBlue Plus2) for size calibration and used β-tubulin as a loading control because its levels remain constant throughout differentiation (11). Primary antibodies used for probing are described in the supplemental Methods.

---

4 The abbreviations used are: HL-60, human promyelocytic leukemia; LamA OE, lamin A-overexpressing; LBR, lamin B receptor; LBR KD, LBR knockdown; ATRA, all-trans-retinoic acid; Bis-Tris, 2-(bis(2-hydroxyethyl)amino)-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol.

---

**Physical Properties of the Neutrophil Nucleus**
Expression levels were quantified by optical density analysis using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health).

**Cell Surface Marker Analysis**—To assay expression levels of CD11b, we used Fc receptor polyclonal human IgG (Sigma) as a blocking agent and labeled 10⁶ cells with Alexa Fluor 700 mouse anti-human-CD11b (BD Pharmingen). We analyzed fluorescence levels by flow cytometry (LSR II, BD Biosciences).

**Respiratory Burst Assay**—We determined superoxide radical production of day 4/ATRA-treated cells using luminol-enhanced chemiluminescence (Diogenes reagent, National Diagnostics, Atlanta, GA) following stimulation by phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (25, 26), as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were activated by the addition of phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (stock solution 1 mg/ml dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), Sigma) to a final concentration of 1 μM; we recorded luminescence values after 30 min using a plate reader (SpectraMax M5).

**Nuclear Shape Analysis**—We incubated cells with Hoechst 33342 (1 μg/ml, Invitrogen) for 30 min at 37 °C. We then placed the cells on a glass slide pretreated with poly-l-lysine (0.01% w/v in water) by centrifuging a 20-μl drop of cell suspension at 1,000 rpm for 5 s. Images were acquired using a 20×/0.5 Ph2 objective (EC Plan Neofluar, Zeiss), DAPI filter set, and charge-coupled device camera (AxioCam MRm, Zeiss). Analysis of nuclear geometry was performed using ImageJ. Circularity for a nucleon cross-section is 4πA/P², where A is the cross-sectional area and P is the perimeter.

**Transwell Migration Assay**—We used membranes with 3- and 8-μm pore sizes (Millipore) and FBS as chemoattractant (27, 28). Day 4/ATRA-treated cells were resuspended to 5 × 10⁶ cells/ml in RPMI without FBS. We placed media with and without FBS in the bottom well and cells in the top well and then incubated the plate at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for 2 h. We then removed the membrane insert, labeled cells in the bottom well with Hoechst, and imaged each well by microscopy using a 10×/0.25 Ph1 objective (A-Plan, Zeiss), charge-coupled device camera (AxioCam MRm, Zeiss), and DAPI filter set. We determined the number of cells per well using image analysis (ImageJ).

**Two-dimensional Migration Assay**—Glass-bottomed dishes (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) were coated with human fibronectin (10 μg/ml in Hanks’ balanced salt solution without calcium and magnesium, Gemini Bio-products). Cells were seeded onto the dishes, and images were acquired at 1-min intervals over 3 h (5% CO₂, 37 °C) using a Zeiss microscope outfitted with an automated stage (Applied Scientific Instruments, Eugene, OR), 10×/0.3 Ph objective (EC-Plan Neofluar, Zeiss), and charge-coupled device camera (AxioCam MRm, Zeiss); x-y positions of cells were extracted from the resultant movies (ImageJ), and trajectory analysis was performed using MATLAB.

**RESULTS**

**Lobulated Nuclear Shape Is Not Essential for Cell Transit**—To probe the ability of neutrophil-type cells with round or lobulated nuclei to deform through narrow gaps, we designed a microfluidic device with precisely defined constrictions of 5-μm width (Fig. 1A); this width is less than the typical 7–10-μm diameter of HL-60 nuclei, such that nuclear deformation is required for a cell to passage through a pore (supplemental Fig. S1). We forced the neutrophil-type cells (day 4/ATRA-treated HL-60 cells) to transit through these micron-scale pores using pressure to drive a flow of cell suspension through the channels; we monitored the passage of cells as a function of time. When a cell arrives at a constriction, it is subjected to physical forces resulting from external stresses due to the pressure drop across the cell trapped in the constriction; these stresses cause the cell to deform and passage through the pore. Given the dimensions of a single pore, a pressure of 28 kilopascals corresponds to approximately micronewton-scale forces. The rate at which the cell deforms largely depends on the applied stress (driving pressure) as well as the global mechanical properties of the cell and nucleus (5, 29–32) As individual cells deformed through the 5-μm constrictions, as shown in the inset. Scale bar, 20 μm. B, time-sequence images of day 4/ATRA-treated cells passing through 5-μm constrictions. Scrmbl Ctrl; scrambled control; Mock Ctrl, mock control. C, LBR KD cells have similar passage times as the scrambled controls despite the round shape of their nuclei, which has been speculated to sterically hinder the passage of cells through narrow pores. D and E, LamA OE cells take longer to pass the constrictions than mock control cells. In all box plots, the white bar denotes the population median, boxes are the 25th and 75th percentiles, and lines show the 10th and 90th percentiles. n.s., p > 0.05 for LBR KD versus scrambled control; ***, p < 0.001 for LamA OE versus mock control. n > 300 cells for each cell type. Error bars represent S.E. over three independent experiments.
kilopascals, this microfluidic assay primarily probes the passive mechanical behavior of the cell, as actin remodeling and protein expression changes occur on timescales of several minutes and more (33). Although actin can contribute to the cortical stiffness of neutrophils (4–6), we confirmed that the actin makes little contribution to these measurements by treating a subset of neutrophil–type cells with cytochalasin D to disrupt actin polymerization; this treatment had no effect on passage times (data not shown), indicating that the deformability of the nucleus has a pivotal role in the passage of cells through micron-scale pores.

To assess the effect of hypolobulated or round-shaped nuclei on the passage of neutrophil–type cells through micron-scale constrictions, we used LBR KD cells as an in vitro system. In contrast to the control cells that exhibit strong up-regulation of LBR during differentiation and develop lobulated nuclei, LBR KD cells show only trace levels of LBR expression and maintain round nuclei (17). Nevertheless, despite their round nuclei, LBR KD cells exhibited similar passage times as compared with the scrambled control cells (Fig. 1B). These observations suggest that the multilobed shapes of nuclei in mature neutrophils provide no significant advantage in the time required for cells to deform through 5-μm constrictions.

Generating Neutrophil-type Cells with Increased Lamin A Expression—Because the above experiments indicate that lobulated nuclear shape is not essential for neutrophil–type cell passage through narrow constrictions, we hypothesized that the unique molecular composition of the nuclear envelope in neutrophils could determine the ability of cells to deform. One possible origin may be the low levels of the key structural protein of the nucleus, lamin A; this protein is normally down-regulated by over 90% in ATRA-stimulated HL-60 cells after 4–5 days of stimulation (Fig. 2B) (11, 14). Given the essential role of lamin A in nuclear mechanical stability (12, 13, 30), we postulated that preventing lamin A down-regulation could reduce nuclear deformability and impair cell passage through pores. Because the LBR KD neutrophil-type cells that have round nuclei have similar reduced lamin A expression levels as unmodified and mock-modified cells, this may also explain their unaltered passage times (17).

To test the effect of increased lamin A levels on cell passage through narrow constrictions, we generated a LamA OE HL-60 cell line by retroviral transduction. The resulting subpopulation of high expressing cells exhibits lamin A levels that are about 20–30-fold higher than the mock-modified cells (supplemental Fig. S2A). Although lamin A expression levels in the LamA OE cells are greater than those in unmodified HL-60 cells, they are comparable with physiological levels in other somatic cells such as mouse embryo fibroblast cells (supplemental Fig. S2B). To confirm that the ectopic lamin A is properly localized to the nuclear envelope, we conducted immunofluorescence and confocal imaging (supplemental Fig. S3).

Protein Composition of LamA OE Cells—To characterize how protein levels of the LamA OE cells change during granulopoiesis, we monitored expression levels of major structural proteins over the differentiation time course; we induced the HL-60 cells to differentiate into neutrophil–type cells by ATRA treatment, collected cell lysates at days 0, 3, and 5 following ATRA stimulation, collected cell lysates at days 0, 3, and 5 following ATRA treatment, and performed immunoblotting (Fig. 2B). Data represent the average of three independent experiments; error bars represent the S.E. over three independent experiments; error bars represent the S.E. of 3–5 independent experiments; where not visible, they are smaller than the symbols. Based on immunoblot analysis, base-line levels of lamin A are estimated to be ~20–30× greater in the LamA OE cells as compared with the mock control cells (supplemental Fig. S2); for this reason, two separate axes are plotted for each cell line. D, expression levels of the cell surface antigen, CD11b, a hallmark of neutrophils, increase during differentiation for all cell lines. Left, representative histograms of data from a single flow cytometry experiment showing the distribution of CD11b expression levels at day 4 after ATRA stimulation. Right, graphs showing median values of CD11b after ATRA treatment with the values for each cell line normalized to day 0 for each independent experiment. Error bars represent S.E. over three independent experiments. Scrmbl Ctrl, scrambled control.


FIGURE 2. Genetically modified HL-60 cells show typical characteristics of neutrophils after ATRA stimulation. A, representative immunoblots for lamin A/C, B1, B2, and LBR with β-tubulin as loading control. Cell lysates are collected from LamA OE and mock control (Mock Ctrl) cells at days 0, 3, and 5 after ATRA stimulation. B and C, quantitative analysis of lamin A and LBR protein levels normalized first to β-tubulin and then to day 0 for each protein in each cell line. Error bars represent S.E. of 3–5 independent experiments; where not visible, they are smaller than the symbols. Based on immunoblot analysis, base-line levels of lamin A are estimated to be ~20–30× greater in the LamA OE cells as compared with the mock control cells (supplemental Fig. S2); for this reason, two separate axes are plotted for each cell line. D, expression levels of the cell surface antigen, CD11b, a hallmark of neutrophils, increase during differentiation for all cell lines. Left, representative histograms of data from a single flow cytometry experiment showing the distribution of CD11b expression levels at day 4 after ATRA stimulation. Right, graphs showing median values of CD11b after ATRA treatment with the values for each cell line normalized to day 0 for each independent experiment. Error bars represent S.E. over three independent experiments. Scrmbl Ctrl, scrambled control.

ATRA treatment, and performed immunoblotting (Fig. 2A). As expected, unmodified and mock-modified cells displayed a strong up-regulation of LBR during granulopoiesis with a concurrent decrease in lamin A levels (Fig. 2, B and C), confirming previous observations (11). In contrast, LamA OE cells have increased levels of lamin A that further increased during granulopoiesis (Fig. 2, B and C), possibly due to an ATRA-sensitive element in the ectopic promoter region. LamA OE cells showed...
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elevated basal levels of LBR as compared with the mock controls, with a similar ~4-fold increase in LBR levels during granulocytic differentiation. We also probed levels of other structural proteins that could contribute to cell deformability (4–6); during differentiation in both the mock-modified and LamA OE cells, actin levels showed minor variations, and other structural nuclear proteins, including lamin B1 and B2, showed a decrease in expression levels (Fig. 2) (34). Although we cannot exclude the possible contribution of lamin B1 and B2 down-regulation to altered cellular mechanical properties, we anticipate that the observed changes in lamin B1/B2 levels would have little effect on nuclear mechanical properties in comparison with the lamin A up-regulation; lamins A/C have a predominant role in nuclear shape stability and stiffness (12, 13, 30), whereas lamin B1 does not have any significant effect on nuclear mechanical stability (13).

Genetically Modified Cells Display Characteristics of Neutrophils—To test whether the genetically modified HL-60 cells still undergo normal granulopoiesis, we assayed essential functional, biochemical, and proteomic characteristics that define neutrophils. One metric to assess the differentiation of HL-60 cells into neutrophil-type cells is to measure cell density following ATRA stimulation; decreased proliferation rates are an indicator of successful differentiation as cells exit the cell cycle to commit to their differentiation into neutrophils (35). Both LBR KD and LamA OE cells showed a similar progressive decrease in proliferation rates over the days following ATRA stimulation; decreased proliferation rates are indicative of neutrophil-type cells. Importantly, we observed that all cell lines show significant increase in CD11b levels following ATRA stimulation, with levels varying slightly from round to multilobed nuclear shape, which is observed in

HL-60 cells after 3–5 days following ATRA treatment (11, 18). To investigate the effect of altered nuclear envelope composition on this shape transition, we imaged Hoechst-stained nuclei by fluorescence microscopy over the differentiation time course. To quantify changes in nuclear shape, we analyzed the circularity of nuclei, defined as $4\pi A/P^2$, where $A$ is the cross-sectional area and $P$ is the perimeter of an individual nuclear cross-section. For a perfect circle, the circularity value equals one; lower values reflect deviations from a circular shape. In the undifferentiated state, all cell lines have nuclei with predominantly circular shape and similarly high circularity values, representative of round nuclei (Fig. 3, A–C).

After 3 days of ATRA treatment, the unmodified, mock, and scrambled control cells exhibited nuclei with large invaginations; circularity values correspondingly showed a lower median and greater variability, reflecting these irregular nuclear shapes. By contrast, LBR KD cells retained their round shape, as reflected by the higher circularity values, even after 5 days of ATRA treatment (Fig. 3A) (17). The nuclei of LamA OE cells showed some morphological changes but failed to develop the characteristic lobulations seen in the unmodified and mock control cells (Fig. 3A, supplemental Fig. S3); the lack of severe lobulation that is typical for normal neutrophil cells illustrates that down-regulation of lamin A expression during neutrophil differentiation could also be required for the lobulated nuclear shape of mature neutrophils.

Lamin A Expression Alters Nuclear Lobulations during Granulopoiesis—A key hallmark of granulopoiesis is the transition from round to multilobed nuclear shape, which is observed in
Increased Lamin A Expression Delays Cell Passage through Pores—Lamin A is a crucial modulator of nuclear deformability (13, 30, 37). To probe the effects of increased lamin A levels on the ability of cells to deform through physiological gaps, we measured the passage time of LamA OE neutrophil-type cells and mock controls when forced through the 5-μm constrictions of our microfluidic device (Fig. 1, A and D). The LamA OE neutrophil-type cells exhibited a 3-fold increase in median passage time as compared with the mock controls (Fig. 1E); these results indicate that increased density of lamin protein at the nuclear envelope may impair the ability of LamA OE neutrophil-type cells to pass through the 5-μm constrictions. Taken together, our results show that lamin A levels have an important effect on the ability of cells to passage through 5-μm constrictions; physiological down-regulation of lamin A following ATRA-induced differentiation of HL-60 cells results in faster passage through the micron-scale constrictions, whereas ectopically increased expression of lamin A results in slower passage of LamA OE-neutrophils through the 5-μm constrictions.

Active Migration through Pores Is Impaired in LamA OE Cells—The results of our microfluidic experiments illustrate that altered expression of lamin A can substantially alter the passive deformability of cells. However, a critical function of neutrophils is their ability to actively migrate through narrow constrictions. To test migration efficiency, we used a transwell migration assay to probe the ability of cells to migrate through 3- and 8-μm pores; we monitored the number of cells that migrate through the pores after 2 h and determined the migration efficiency relative to the respective control cells. As seen in the passive deformation results obtained by microfluidic assays, the LBR KD cells exhibited similar migration efficiency as the scrambled control cells (Fig. 4, A and B), further substantiating that neutrophil-type cells with round nuclei can exhibit equivalent passage efficiency through micron-scale pores. By contrast, the LamA OE cells showed a marked reduction in migration through 3-μm pores (Fig. 4, D and E). The impaired migration was less severe in the experiments with 8-μm pores (Fig. 4, D and F); because deformation through 8-μm pores requires smaller deformations of nuclei, these results are consistent with our observations that nuclear deformation rate limits the passage of cells through micron-scale constrictions.

To address the possibility that a general migration defect underlies the impaired transwell migration efficiency of the LamA OE cells, we performed two-dimensional migration assays; cells exhibited velocities from 2 to 5 μm/min, consistent with previous observations of neutrophil migration (38). The LBR KD cells showed a slightly increased velocity as compared with the scrambled control cells (Fig. 4C). Importantly, LamA OE cells exhibited similar migration velocities as the mock control cells (Fig. 4F), indicating that the observed differences in the transwell assay cannot be attributed to general defects in their migration. Taken together, our experiments indicate that the density of lamin A at the nuclear envelope is crucial in facilitating the passage of cells through micron-scale constrictions.

DISCUSSION

It has long been speculated that the lobulated shape of the neutrophil nucleus is “a special adaptation for passing through vessel walls” (8). However, here we show that nuclear shape alone does not always determine the timescale for neutrophil deformation through micron-scale pores; neutrophil-type cells with round nuclei resulting from LBR knockdown (17) show unaltered passage efficiency through pores down to 3 μm, as probed using both passive deformation through 5-μm microfluidic constrictions, as well as active migration through 3- and 8-μm porous membranes.

These LBR KD cells also provide an in vitro model for Pelger-Huët anomaly; the nuclei from neutrophils of these individuals are round or bilobulated (36, 37) due to a complete or partial lack of functional LBR. The extent to which the altered nuclear shape of Pelger-Huët anomaly neutrophils affects their ability to passage through micron-scale constrictions has been inconclusive (26, 39–41). Some previous studies of these neutrophils discovered altered migration (26, 39, 40); however, these primary neutrophils also exhibited bilobular nuclei, and differ-
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FIGURE 5. Lamin A levels, rather than nuclear shape, are a primary determinant of the efficiency of cell passage through narrow constrictions. A schematic illustration summarizing the effects of nuclear shape and lamin A expression levels on the ability of cells to deform through narrow constrictions is shown. The ratio of LamA to LBR expression levels is estimated from immunoblots. Undifferentiated (unmodified or mock-modified) HL-60 cells, as well as the LBR KD neutrophil-type cells, exhibit efficient passage, despite their round nuclear shape. By contrast, lamin A overexpression results in impaired passage, both through the constricted 5-μm channels of a microfluidic device, as well as the 3- and 8-μm pores of the transwell migration assay. Undifferentiated LamA OE cells with more circular nuclei and lower levels of LBR require even longer time to passage through narrow constrictions.

ences in migratory ability could result from other phenotypic differences. Here we used LBR KD cells as an in vitro system to specifically investigate the effect of the hypolobulated nucleus on cell passage through micron-scale constrictions. Despite the round shape of LBR KD nuclei, which could sterically hinder the passage of nuclei through constrictions, these cells exhibited similar passage efficiencies through micron-scale constrictions as compared with the scrambled control cells with multilobed nuclei (Fig. 1).

Although LBR KD neutrophil-type cells have an atypical round nuclear shape, they have similarly low levels of lamin A as the unmodified controls (17). Here, we show that lamin A expression levels, rather than the shape of the cell nucleus, can be a major determinant of the timescale of cell passage through micron-scale gaps (Fig. 5). By contrast, nonmechanical functions of these cells are not substantially affected by changes in nuclear envelope composition. Although other types of white blood cells with ovoid-shaped nuclei, such as macrophages, also undergo transendothelial migration, their deformations occur on a slower timescale as compared with neutrophils (31). Indeed, monocyte/macrophage-differentiated HL-60 cells also show increased levels of lamin A/C expression relative to neutrophil-type cells (34).

If irregular nuclear shape is not essential for the deformability of neutrophil cells, then why do their nuclei exhibit this distinct shape? One possibility is that the multilobed nucleus could simply result from the marked changes in nuclear envelope protein composition. Indeed, lamin A levels impact the mechanical stability of the nuclear envelope, whereas ectopic overexpression of LBR can increase nuclear membrane surface area (42, 43) (Fig. 5). Alternatively, the unusual multilobed nuclear shape may facilitate other neutrophil functions, such as phagocytosis, the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps, or migration through even smaller <1-μm constrictions of the endothelium, either between or through cells (44).

Here we have used HL-60 cells, which are a well established in vitro model system to study white blood cell lineages; for example, the resulting neutrophil-type cells show similar structural and functional characteristics as primary neutrophils (14–16). HL-60 cells also exhibit similar mechanical properties; recent measurements of cell compliance using an optical stretcher confirmed that in vitro differentiation of HL-60 cells into neutrophil-type cells recapitulates the 3–6-fold increase in cell deformability observed in primary neutrophils and their CD34+ precursor cells (31). A direct comparison of the absolute passage times through micron-sized constriction between primary neutrophils and HL-60-derived neutrophil-type cells is complicated by the fact that HL-60 cells are typically larger than primary neutrophils (~12-μm versus 7–8-μm median diameter, respectively) and exhibit substantially larger transit times through microfluidic constriction channels (29). Consequently, we have focused our study on HL-60 cells and vary protein levels within the same cell type; this has enabled us to clearly illustrate the importance of nuclear envelope composition, particularly the levels of lamins A/C, on the ability of cells to pass through narrow constrictions during perfusion and migration.

It is intriguing to speculate that changes in levels of lamin A expression may have implications for cellular deformability in a variety of physiological processes and diseases (45). For example, certain types of cancer cells have reduced levels of lamin A expression as compared with their nonmalignant progenitors (46, 47). Akin to neutrophils, large deformations of cancer cells and their nuclei are required during deformation through micron-scale constrictions (48) in extravasation and metastasis. Ultimately, a deeper knowledge of the molecular basis of cellular and nuclear deformability will provide unique insights into the mechanical aspects of cell biology and possibly new therapeutic approaches.
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SI METHODS

Cell culture. We ensure > 90% of the population of the LamA OE cells has increased lamin A expression by monitoring ZsGreen1 expression and resoring cells when necessary. To control for spontaneous differentiation that can arise due to increased cell density, we monitor cell density each day during the differentiation time-course of five days (Coulter Counter, Beckman Coulter) and dilute the culture to $1 \times 10^5$ cells/ml with 5 µM ATRA in RPMI media. ATRA is stored as 1 mM stock in ethanol (Sigma). Before conducting microfluidic assays, cells are prefitered to remove large aggregates by passing the suspension through a cell strainer (35 µm mesh size, BD).

Antibodies. For immunblotting we use the following antibodies: goat anti-lamin A/C (N-18, sc6215) and anti-lamin B1 (M-20, sc6217; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, USA); mouse monoclonal anti-lamin B2 (65147C; PROGEN Biotechnik GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany); guinea pig serum against LBR, generated in the laboratory of Harald Herrmann; rabbit anti-β-tubulin (6046-100; Abcam, Cambridge, USA); and rabbit anti-actin (A5060; Sigma). In between probings, membranes are stripped with 0.2 N NaOH for 60 min at room temperature.

Microfluidic device fabrication. In brief, the desired design is printed onto quartz with 3 µm features (California NanoSystems Institute, UCLA). SU8-2005 (Microchem, Newton, MA, USA) is spin-coated (G3P-12 Spincoater, Specialty Coating Systems, Indianapolis, IN) onto a cleaned silicon wafer to a final thickness of 5.6 µm following the protocol described by the manufacturer. Exposure to UV light (200 - 250 mJ, OAI, San Jose, CA, USA) crosslinks the exposed pattern, and the non-exposed photoresist is removed using propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate (PGMEA). We confirm the channel height using a stylus profilometer (KLA Tencor, Milpitas, USA). PDMS with 10% (w/w) crosslinking agent is thoroughly degassed and then poured onto the SU8-mold. After baking overnight at 65°C, the structure is carefully peeled off the mold. Holes connecting to the channels are formed using biopsy punches (0.75 mm diameter, Harris Uni-Core, Ted Pella, Inc., Redding, CA, USA). The device is backlit to facilitate punching holes into the shallow channels, and is then rinsed with isopropanol and dried before oxygen plasma treatment (Femto, Diener Electronic, Reading, PA) and bonding to a glass cover slip of No. 1.5 thickness.

Microfluidic device operation. Cell suspension is placed in a flow cytometer tube (BD), and a custom-fabricated cap that maintains a pressure seal is placed on top, allowing us to pressurize the tube. The cap connects to both a source of compressed air (Air with 5% CO₂, Airgas), as well as tubing that extends into the cell suspension and connects to the microfluidic device (1/32” OD PEEK tubing, Vici Valco Instruments, Co. Inc., Houston, TX, USA). Maintaining a constant pressure drop across the device enables optimal measurement throughput and consistency.

Nuclear shape. Image analysis is performed on thresholded images that are corrected for background inhomogeneities.

Two-dimensional migration assay. After washing with buffer, $5 \times 10^5$ cells/ml in RPMI are placed on the dish, allowed to settle for 15 min at 37°C, and then washed with prewarmed RPMI media supplemented with 10 mM HEPES (Gemini BioProducts,
Calabasas, USA); heavy mineral oil (Sigma) is placed on top to prevent evaporation. Cells that divided or interacted with other cells are excluded from the analysis.

**Statistical analysis.** Paired samples t-tests were performed to evaluate the statistical significance of data sets over at least 3 independent experiments. Values of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered as statistically significant. To compare larger data sets of passage times and circularity values, we conducted non-parametric tests due to the observed non-Gaussian distributions of the data. All data are reported as mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m.) unless indicated otherwise.
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**SI FIGURES**

**SI Figure S1 – Deformation of nucleus is required for passage of cell through 5-micron constrictions.** Image of LBR KD neutrophils with Hoechst 33342 labeled nuclei passaging through microfluidic constriction channels. The image is acquired with fluorescence and low levels of transmitted light to show the outline of the microfluidic channels. Scale, 20 µm.

**SI Figure S2 – Western blots analyses for lamin A expression.** A. Lamin A levels during granulopoiesis of HL60/S4 mock and LamA OE cells in response to ATRA treatment. In the mock controls, lamin A levels decrease during differentiation; in contrast, LamA OE cells express substantially higher levels of lamin A at baseline that further increase in response to ATRA treatment. The same blot as shown in Fig. 1A is shown here with a much shorter exposure time. B. Comparative analysis of lamin A levels across different cell types. HL60/S4 cells have naturally low lamin A expression levels, as revealed by Western blot analysis. LamA OE HL60/S4 cells show roughly ~20 to 30x higher lamin A levels compared to the non-modified HL60/S4 cells; these levels are comparable to physiological levels of lamin A in mouse embryo fibroblasts.

**SI Figure S3 – Confocal microscopy reveals more details of nuclear morphology in lamA OE cells and mock controls after ATRA treatment.** Cells are immunolabeled four days after ATRA treatment with antibodies against lamin A and LBR; DNA is stained by Hoechst 33342. Confocal images confirm that lamin A is correctly localized to the nuclear envelope in the lamA OE cells, and that lamA OE nuclei do not develop the typical lobulations of the mock control cells following ATRA stimulation; instead revealing invaginations of the nuclear envelope over 1 – 2 µm length scales. Both LamA OE and mock controls show distinct LBR staining at the nuclear periphery. Scale, 10 µm.
SI Figure S4 – Cell proliferation progressively decreases following ATRA treatment for all cell lines. Cell density decreases within 3 to 5 days following ATRA treatment. This behavior is characteristic of HL60/S4 cells following ATRA treatment, which drives them to exit the cell cycle and differentiate into neutrophil-type cells followed by apoptosis. The genetically modified LamA OE and LBR KD cells show similar behavior as the control cell lines.

SI Figure S5 – CD11b expression levels increase following ATRA treatment in all cell lines. Flow cytometry results of cells labeled with CD11b-Alexa Fluor 700 performed at day 0 on non-differentiated HL60 cells and their genetic variants, and at day 4 after ATRA stimulation for (A) non-modified HL60 cells; (B) mock control cells; (C) lamA OE cells; (D) scrambled control cells; and (E) LBR KD cells.
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Myopathic lamin mutations impair nuclear stability in cells and tissue and disrupt nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling
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Lamins are intermediate filament proteins that assemble into a meshwork underneath the inner nuclear membrane, the nuclear lamina. Mutations in the LMNA gene, encoding lamins A and C, cause a variety of diseases collectively called laminopathies. The disease mechanism for these diverse conditions is not well understood. Since lamins A and C are fundamental determinants of nuclear structure and stability, we tested whether defects in nuclear mechanics could contribute to the disease development, especially in laminopathies affecting mechanically stressed tissue such as muscle. Using skin fibroblasts from laminopathy patients and lamin A/C-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts stably expressing a broad panel of laminopathic lamin A mutations, we found that several mutations associated with muscular dystrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy resulted in more deformable nuclei; in contrast, lamin mutants responsible for diseases without muscular phenotypes did not alter nuclear deformability. We confirmed our results in intact muscle tissue, demonstrating that nuclei of transgenic Drosophila melanogaster muscle expressing myopathic lamin mutations deformed more under applied strain than controls. In vivo and in vitro studies indicated that the loss of nuclear stiffness resulted from impaired assembly of mutant lamins into the nuclear lamina. Although only a subset of lamin mutations associated with muscular diseases caused increased nuclear deformability, almost all mutations tested had defects in force transmission between the nucleus and cytoskeleton. In conclusion, our results indicate that although defective nuclear stability may play a role in the development of muscle diseases, other factors, such as impaired nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling, likely contribute to the muscle phenotype.

INTRODUCTION

The mammalian nucleus is the largest organelle within the cell. It is separated from the cytoplasm by the nuclear envelope. The nuclear envelope consists of the outer nuclear membrane, which is continuous with the rough endoplasmic reticulum, the inner nuclear membrane and the nuclear lamina (1). The lamina is a proteinaceous network located underneath the inner nuclear membrane and is tightly connected to nuclear pore complexes and nuclear envelope transmembrane proteins. The lamina is primarily formed by two distinct types of proteins, referred to as A- and B-type
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Lamins are type-V intermediate filaments, i.e., fibrous proteins with a characteristic tripartite structural organization: an extended, central α-helical ‘rod’ domain flanked by non-α-helical N- and C-terminal domains. Lamins form coiled-coil dimers through interactions of the central rod heptad sequence repeats and further assemble into higher order structures. In mammalian somatic cells, the most abundant isoforms are lamins A and C, which arise from a single gene, LMNA, by alternative splicing, and lamin B1 and B2, encoded by the genes LMNB1 and LMNB2, respectively. Lamins A/C form interactions with a multitude of nuclear components and are involved in many major nuclear processes, including DNA replication and repair, chromatin organization, transcriptional regulation, and stem-cell maintenance and differentiation. The recent discovery that mutations in the LMNA gene cause a large variety of human diseases, collectively termed laminopathies, resulted in a rapidly growing interest in the biological functions of lamins A and C. Laminopathies include the autosomal dominant form of Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy (EDMD), limb–girdle muscular dystrophy, dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), familial partial lipodystrophy (FPLD) and the segmental aging disease Hutchison–Gilford progeria syndrome. Despite much progress, it remains unclear how mutations in a single, nearly ubiquitously expressed gene can cause such a variety of disorders and why the majority of the more than 400 mutations identified to date primarily affect muscular phenotypes, whereas other laminopathies mostly lack muscular phenotypes. Intriguingly, lamin mutations resulting in the same disease are often scattered across the length of the gene, whereas in other cases, different mutations in the same amino acid can cause distinct disease phenotypes.

Different, non-mutually exclusive hypotheses have been proposed to explain the broad range of laminopathies: the ‘structural hypothesis’ postulates that mutated lamins assemble into a structurally impaired lamina and lead to more fragile nuclei that rupture and result in cell death, especially in mechanically stressed tissue such as muscle. A variation of the ‘structural hypothesis’ is that mutations in lamins do not affect nuclear stability directly, but rather affect lamin interactions with components of the linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) complex, which describes the ability to transmit intracellular forces between the cytoskeleton and nuclear interior. Our hypothesis is that mutations in lamins A/C can alter gene regulation, and that misregulated, tissue-specific signaling pathways give rise to the various disease phenotypes. Other hypotheses include altered stem-cell conservation and differentiation, which could result in impaired tissue maintenance and regeneration in laminopathies.

Previous studies have identified lamins A and C as fundamental determinants of nuclear mechanical properties and demonstrated that loss of lamin A/C causes weaker, more deformable nuclei. However, the effect of specific disease-causing lamin mutations on nuclear mechanics has never been systematically tested. Therefore, it remains unclear whether mutations responsible for muscular phenotypes have more severe effects on nuclear mechanics compared with mutations linked to other laminopathies. In this study, we systematically tested the effect of laminopathic mutations on various aspects of nuclear mechanics, including nuclear stiffness (i.e., the extent to which the nucleus resists deformation) and nuclear fragility, both aspects of the stability of a nucleus under mechanical stress, as well as nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling, which describes the ability to transmit intracellular forces between the cytoskeleton and nuclear interior. We evaluated nuclear stiffness in skin fibroblasts from patients with EDMD and FPLD, in a panel of Lmna+/− mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) genetically modified to stably express physiological levels of mutant or wild-type lamin A, and in intact Drosophila melanogaster larval body wall muscle tissue expressing various EDMD mutations. We complemented these studies with assays to evaluate the effect of specific mutations on lamin assembly in vivo and in vitro and on force transmission between the nucleus and cytoskeleton. Our results suggest that specific myopathic lamin A mutations interfere with normal lamin assembly and result in a loss of nuclear stability that likely contributes to muscle-specific phenotypes, but that also other factors, such as impaired nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling, play a role in the development of muscular laminopathies.

RESULTS

Fibroblasts from EDMD patients show increased nuclear deformability

Cells and biopsies from laminopathy patients and mouse models often display misshapen or ruptured nuclei, providing anecdotal support for the hypothesis that mutated lamins may alter the lamina structure in a way that renders nuclei more susceptible to mechanical stress. To test whether lamin A/C mutations linked to muscular diseases cause particularly strong defects in nuclear mechanics and thereby promote muscular phenotypes, we assessed the mechanical properties of nuclei in primary skin fibroblasts from EDMD patients and compared them with cells from FPLD patients and healthy controls. Nuclear stiffness of adherent cells was inferred from the induced nuclear deformations in response to substrate strain application. We found that nuclei of cells from two EDMD patients, carrying the LMNA mutations AK32 and E358K, respectively, deformed significantly more than those from passage-matched healthy controls, indicating increased nuclear deformability in the EDMD cells. In contrast, cells from two FPLD patients with the LMNA mutations R482Q and R482L, respectively, had normal nuclear stiffness. In addition, we observed that cells from EDMD patients became more frequently damaged during the strain application than cells from healthy controls, indicating increased sensitivity to mechanical stress. In particular, close to 12% of EDMD fibroblasts with the LMNA E358K mutation were damaged during strain application, whereas <1% of cells were damaged in the three control cell lines.
Lamin mutations causing EDMD or DCM, but not FPLD, fail to restore nuclear stiffness

Since analysis of patient fibroblasts is limited by the heterogeneous genetic background and the limited availability of samples, we developed a comprehensive approach to test a wider panel of lamin A mutations associated with EDMD, DCM and FPLD in a genetically uniform background. We ectopically expressed mutant or wild-type lamin A in MEFs lacking a single Lmna allele (Lmna+/− MEFs), which express only ∼50% of the normal levels of lamin A/C (33,34). The lamin mutations were introduced with a bicistronic retroviral construct consisting of non-tagged human lamin A and a ZsGreen fluorescent reporter. Since both proteins are translated from a single bicistronic mRNA transcript and expressed at similar levels, we were able to sort for cells with physiological levels of ectopically expressed lamin A by fluorescence-activated cell sorting for ZsGreen. The resulting model system closely resembles the situation in laminopathy patients, who typically carry autosomal dominant LMNA mutations and express approximately equal amounts of mutant and wild-type lamins. Furthermore, expressing the mutations in the Lmna+/− MEF background and comparing them with Lmna+/+ and Lmna−/− MEFs enabled us to distinguish whether any observed effects of specific mutations were dominant-negative or caused by a loss-of-function of the mutant lamin A protein (i.e. haploinsufficiency). We tested a total of 15 mutations, representing EDMD (8 mutations), DCM (4 mutations) and FPLD (3 mutations) (summarized in Table 1). For comparison, we evaluated Lmna+/− MEFs stably expressing either the empty vector (mock control) or wild-type lamin A. As an additional control, we assessed the effect of a lamin A mutant lacking the N-terminal 33 amino acids (ΔNLA), which are critical for the assembly of higher order lamin structures. When expressed in mammalian cells, ΔNLA fails to assemble into the lamina and disrupts the existing lamin network, thus acting in a dominant-negative manner (35).

We confirmed that the modified cells expressed the lamin constructs at physiological levels by western analysis (Fig. 1B and Supplementary Material, Fig. S2). Moreover,
expression levels of lamin B1 and other nuclear envelope proteins such as emerin and lamin B receptor were not notably altered by ectopic expression of the lamin A variants (Fig. 1B). However, we found a decrease in the expression levels of lamin B2 for some of the mutants, most prominently for R453W, R482Q and R527P, T528K and L530P, found in patients with EDMD (68,78,82); and R482Q, R482W and L530P, identified in patients with FPLD (79–81). In addition, we expressed the engineered dominant-negative construct ΔNLA, which disrupts endogenous lamin organization (35). Note that, although most mutations affect both lamin A and lamin C, we expressed only modified lamin A in MEF cells. EDMD, Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy; LGMD1B, limb–girdle muscular dystrophy type 1B; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy with conduction defect; CMT2, autosomal recessive Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease; FPLD, familial partial lipodystrophy type Dunnigan (FPLD2). For additional information on these mutations, see also http://www.umd.be/ LMNA/.

Myopathic lamin A mutations that cause defects in nuclear stability are more soluble

To investigate possible molecular mechanisms for the loss of structural functions in some of the EDMD and DCM mutations, we investigated the intranuclear localization of the various mutants, as increased nucleoplasmic localization could indicate impaired incorporation of mutant proteins into the nuclear lamina. In wild-type cells and in Lmna+/− MEFs expressing wild-type lamin A, lamins A/C were predominantly localized at the nuclear rim (Fig. 2A). In contrast, cells expressing any of the mutations associated with the loss of nuclear stability (i.e. N195K, E358K, M371K and R386K and ΔNLA) had a prominent nucleoplasmic localization of lamins A and C (Fig. 2A). Since lamin A/C-deficient cells have an abnormal distribution of emerin (34), we also assayed expression and localization of emerin in our panel of cells. However, we did not observe any obvious defects in emerin expression (Fig. 1B) or localization (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3). Since the nucleoplasmic pool of lamin A was increased in cells expressing the lamin A variants N195K, E358K, M371K and R386K and the ΔNLA construct, we next quantified the soluble fraction of lamin A in these cells by a sequential protein extraction procedure using mild detergents (36). Cells expressing ΔNLA and the N195K, E358K, M371K and R386KMutants had substantially increased fractions of soluble lamin A compared with wild-type expressing cells (Fig. 2B and C), suggesting that these lamin A mutations fail to correctly incorporate into the nuclear lamina and thereby result in impaired nuclear stability.

Different amino acid substitutions in the same amino acid positions can have distinct effects on lamin structural function

All laminas share a conserved, tripartite organization comprising a central coiled-coil rod domain, as well as non-helical head and tail domains (37,38). The coiled-coil rod is the principal subunit for all structural states, both for extended filaments and for more complex arrays formed from filaments as revealed early on by in vitro assembly studies (39) and recently developed further in detail by cryoelectron tomography (40). It is clear from these studies that these coiled coils interact by multiple longitudinal and lateral interactions mediated by the many ionic side chains that are found on the surface of the coiled coil, to form higher order structures. Strikingly, the four mutations that had the most severe effects on efficiently as a lamina formed from wild-type lamin. Although these findings implicate increased nuclear deformability in the pathogenesis of myopathic laminopathies, other DCM and EDMD mutations partially or completely restored nuclear stiffness (Fig. 1C), indicating that additional mechanisms may contribute to the disease mechanism. Importantly, all three tested FPLD mutations completely restored nuclear stiffness as efficiently as wild-type lamin A, suggesting that the FPLD mutations have no effect on the structural function of lamin A.

### Table 1. Human disease-associated LMNA mutations used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔK32</td>
<td>EDMD</td>
<td>(68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R60G</td>
<td>DCM-CD, DCM-CD + FPLD, DCM-CD + FPLD + CMT2</td>
<td>(62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L85R</td>
<td>DCM-CD</td>
<td>(62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N195K</td>
<td>DCM-CD</td>
<td>(62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E203G</td>
<td>DCM-CD</td>
<td>(62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E203K</td>
<td>DCM-CD</td>
<td>(77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E358K</td>
<td>EDMD</td>
<td>(78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M371K</td>
<td>EDMD</td>
<td>(79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R386K</td>
<td>EDMD</td>
<td>(78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R453W</td>
<td>EDMD, EDMD + FPLD, LGMD1B</td>
<td>(78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R482Q</td>
<td>FPLD</td>
<td>(79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R482W</td>
<td>FPLD, FPLD + LGMD</td>
<td>(80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K486N</td>
<td>FPLD</td>
<td>(81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W520S</td>
<td>EDMD</td>
<td>(78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R527P</td>
<td>EDMD, EDMD + FPLD, LGMD1B</td>
<td>(82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T528K</td>
<td>EDMD, LGMD1B</td>
<td>(78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L530P</td>
<td>EDMD</td>
<td>(82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔNLA</td>
<td>Synthetic dominant-negative construct</td>
<td>(35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tested mutations were R60G, L85R, N195K E203K and E203G, causing DCM (62,77); ΔK32, E358K, M371K, R386K, R453W, W520S, R527P, T528K and L530P, found in patients with EDMD (68,78,82); and R482Q, R482W and L530P, identified in patients with FPLD (79–81). In addition, we expressed the engineered dominant-negative construct ΔNLA, which disrupts endogenous lamin organization (35). Note that, although most mutations affect both lamin A and lamin C, we expressed only modified lamin A in MEF cells. EDMD, Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy; LGMD1B, limb–girdle muscular dystrophy type 1B; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy with conduction defect; CMT2, autosomal recessive Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease; FPLD, familial partial lipodystrophy type Dunnigan (FPLD2). For additional information on these mutations, see also http://www.umd.be/ LMNA/.
nuclear structure were localized within, or in the case of R386K just three amino acids after the predicted coiled-coil rod domain of lamin A (41) (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4A). Moreover, the mutated amino acids were located in the outward-facing positions f or g of the heptad repeat of the coiled-coil dimer (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4B) and were substitutions to the positively charged lysine. On the other hand, substitution of E203, another outward-facing position in the central rod heptad repeat, to the neutral glycine had no effect on the structural function of the protein. Based on these findings, we hypothesized that mutations to lysine in these outward-facing positions might interfere with the higher order assembly of lamin dimers. We therefore tested whether substitutions to small uncharged amino acids (alanine, glycine) could restore normal nuclear stiffness. In the case of E358, both alanine and glycine substitutions rescued nuclear stiffness, supporting our hypothesis. However, in the case of M371, only the glycine substitution restored nuclear stiffness, whereas substitution to alanine resulted in increased nuclear deformability; conversely, in the case of R386, only alanine but not glycine rescued nuclear stiffness (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4C). In addition, we tested whether mutation of amino acid E203 to lysine resulted in increased deformability, i.e. a loss of structural function. Surprisingly, neither lysine nor alanine at position E203 caused nuclei to become more deformable. These data suggest that each individual amino acid position and each substitution can have different effects on structural functions of lamin A and the corresponding nuclear stiffness, consistent with the finding that different amino acid substitutions in the same position can result in different laminopathies (13).

**Lamin mutations that cause impaired nuclear stability have disturbed filament and paracrystal assembly in vitro**

Since our solubility studies suggested that lamin A mutants that have impaired structural function fail to correctly incorporate into the lamina network, we decided to directly assess their assembly into higher order structures. Recombinant human lamin A can be induced to assemble into either paracrystalline structures or filaments in vitro, depending on buffer conditions and dialysis procedure (42). We evaluated wild-type lamin A and three lamin mutations that caused the loss of structural function in our nuclear strain assay, namely ΔK32, N195K and E358K mutants (Fig. 1A and C). Although wild-type lamin A formed the expected large structures of laterally organized fibers (paracrystalline arrays), the three lamin A mutants failed to assemble into well-organized structures and instead formed small aggregates lacking the typical 24.5 nm repeat pattern (Fig. 3, top row and Supplementary Material, Fig. S5). Similarly, only wild-type lamin A assembled into regular extended filaments in vitro (Fig. 3, bottom row and Supplementary Material, Fig. S5). These results suggest that the loss of structural properties of lamins through distinct mutations associated with more deformable nuclei is caused by impaired assembly interactions of the mutant proteins, consistent with recent reports on Caenorhabditis elegans lamin carrying amino acid substitutions that correspond to laminopathic mutations (43,44).

**Nuclei of D. melanogaster larvae body wall muscle expressing disease-causing Lamin C variants are more deformable**

To test whether lamin mutations associated with muscular dystrophy also cause defects in nuclear stability in muscle tissue, we developed a novel experimental assay that enables us to examine nuclear deformability in the intact body wall muscle of D. melanogaster third instar larvae subjected to mechanical strain (Fig. 4A and B). D. melanogaster possesses genes encoding Lamin C and lamin Dm0, considered to share properties with mammalian A- and B-types, respectively. D. melanogaster can serve as a model to study human laminopathies (45–47), and flies and larvae lacking Lamin C show similar defects in nuclear structure as cells from Lmna−/− mice and patients with EDMD (47). Muscle-specific expression of Drosophila Lamin C possessing mutations modeled after those causing human muscle laminopathies leads to larval locomotion defects, nuclear and cytoplasmic abnormalities and, in some cases, lethality at the pupal stage (46). We assessed nuclear deformability in the body wall muscles of six different D. melanogaster strains, expressing wild-type lamin C, the headless variant of Lamin C lacking the first 42 amino acids (Lamin C ΔN) (47), and the Lamin C variants N210K, R401K, K493W, W557S and L567P, corresponding to the human point mutations N195K, R386K, R453W, W520S and L530P, respectively, which cause DCM (N195K) and EDMD (R386K, R453W, W520S and L530P) in humans. The mutant lamins were expressed using the Gal4/UAS system (48), with the C57 Gal4 driver stock providing the muscle specificity (49). Note that the wild-type and mutant lamins were expressed in an otherwise wild-type genetic background, allowing for tests of dominant-negative function. Body wall muscle expressing the ΔN mutation had misshapen nuclei (Fig. 4B), consistent with previous reports (46). These nuclei also deformed significantly more under applied strain, compared with muscle nuclei expressing wild-type lamin and non-transgenic larvae (Fig. 4C). Similar to our results in MEFs, where only the ΔNLA mutation caused dominant-negative effects on nuclear stiffness, muscle-specific expression of lamin point mutations resulted in substantially milder disturbances in nuclear deformability. Although the differences were not statistically significant compared with the expression of wild-type Drosophila Lamin C, we observed a clear trend toward softer nuclei of larvae muscle expressing EDMD mutations (Fig. 4C). This reduced effect on nuclear stiffness in Drosophila muscle cells, compared with MEF cells, could be attributed to the fact that in the Drosophila system mutations were expressed in a wild-type background of Lamin C expression, whereas in MEF cells mutations were expressed in a Lmna−/− background. Importantly, nuclear stiffness of epidermal cells, which did not express the mutant lamins, was indistinguishable between all strains, including larvae with muscle-specific expression of the ΔN mutant (1.248 ± 0.019 for unmodified larvae, 1.239 ± 0.017
Figure 2. Myopathic lamin A mutations that cause defects in nuclear stability have increased nucleoplasmic distribution and are more soluble. (A) Immunofluorescence staining for lamin A/C in Lmna+/− fibroblasts stably expressing the empty vector (mock), wild-type lamin A, head-truncated ΔNLA or disease-
Laminopathic lamin A mutations disrupt nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling

Our findings that only a subset of the DCM- or EDMD-causing mutations resulted in significantly impaired nuclear stability suggests that additional mechanisms may contribute to the muscular phenotype. One such mechanism could be that lamin mutations disrupt the physical coupling between the nucleus and cytoskeleton, which plays important roles in muscle function. Lamins A/C interact with inner nuclear membrane proteins containing a SUN (Sad1p and Unc-84 homology) domain such as Sun1 and Sun2 (50). Through the SUN domain, these proteins associate across the perinuclear space with the KASH (Klarsicht, Anc-1 and Syne homology) domain of a protein family termed nesprins (51–53) located on the outer nuclear membrane; nesprins in turn connect to cytoskeletal components including actin, microtubules (via dynein) and intermediate filaments (via plectin) (14,54). Lamin mutations could interfere with this nucleo-cytoskeletal connection, and defects in nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling and anchoring have been reported in Lmna<sup>-/-</sup> mice (16) and MEFs (15,55). To directly test the effect of specific lamin mutations on intracellular force transmission between the nucleus and cytoskeleton, we applied a technique recently developed in our laboratory (15), in which we locally apply force to the cytoskeleton while measuring the induced nuclear and cytoskeletal displacements across the cell (Fig. 5A). We performed these measurements on Lmna<sup>-/-</sup> MEFs stably expressing the empty vector (mock), wild-type lamin A or five different laminopathic lamin A mutations: E203G causing DCM; R453W and T528K causing EDMD; and the FPLD mutations R482W and K486N. Importantly, all of these mutations displayed normal nuclear deformability in our nuclear strain assays (Fig. 1C). For comparison, we included Lmna<sup>+/-</sup> MEFs, which serve as control for normal nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling, and Lmna<sup>+/-</sup> MEFs stably expressing either the empty vector (mock) or DN KASH had significantly impaired force transmission between the nucleus and cytoskeleton, as reflected by smaller nuclear and cytoskeletal displacements in areas away from the force application site (Fig. 5B) compared with Lmna<sup>-/-</sup> MEFs. This indicates that lamin A expression levels from only one functional Lmna allele is not sufficient to establish the LINC complexes necessary to provide as strong a coupling between the nucleus and the cytoplasm as in Lmna<sup>+/-</sup> MEFs. Reintroduction of wild-type lamin A was sufficient to completely restore intracellular force transmission in Lmna<sup>-/-</sup> cells to levels comparable with Lmna<sup>+/-</sup> MEFs (Fig. 5B). In contrast, all tested mutations, with the exception

and 1.248 ± 0.023 for wild-type and ΔN-expressing larvae, respectively), confirming that the observed defects in the myonuclei were a direct consequence of the muscle-specific expression of Lamin C mutants.

specific lamin A mutations (upper panels) and overlaid with the ZsGreen signal and DAPI chromatin staining (lower panels). Cells expressing the ΔNLA, N195K, E358K, M371K and R386K mutations have increased nucleoplasmic localization of lamin A/C. Scale bar: 20 μm. (B) Soluble lamin A protein fraction (S) versus total lamin A and C levels in Lmna<sup>-/-</sup> fibroblasts stably expressing the empty vector (mock), wild-type lamin A, head-truncated ΔNLA or disease-specific lamin A mutations, as detected by western analysis. The soluble fraction contains lamin protein that is not incorporated into the nuclear lamina, and was therefore extracted by treatment of cells with mild detergent. Note that only 1/30 of the total lamin A fraction, compared with the soluble fraction, was loaded on the gel. Similar protein levels were loaded, as reflected by actin staining. Since different amount of cells were loaded onto gels for each cell line, a direct comparison of amounts of soluble or total Lamin A fraction between cell lines is not possible, and it is the ratio between soluble to total protein that is used for the interpretation of the results. Extraction was performed three independent times; one representative panel is shown. (C) Quantification of the soluble lamin A fraction of the cells analyzed in (B), indicating that ΔNLA and the disease-specific lamin variants N195K, E358K, M371K and R386K are more soluble than wild-type lamin A and other lamin variants.

Figure 3. Impaired in vitro assembly of myopathic lamin A mutations that alter nuclear mechanical properties. Recombinant human wild-type lamin A ΔC18 (i.e. mature lamin A) as well as the single-amino-acid deletion ΔK32 and the point mutations N195K and E358K were induced to assemble into paracrystalline structures (upper panel) or filaments (lower panel) in vitro and imaged by transmission electron microscopy. In contrast to wild-type lamin A, the lamin A variants ΔK32, N195K and E358K failed to assemble into regularly-organized structures and instead formed irregular aggregates. Scale bar: 0.2 μm.
of the E203G mutant, failed to rescue intracellular force transmission (Fig. 5B), indicating that these mutations interfere with nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling despite having apparently normal incorporation into the nuclear lamina and maintaining normal nuclear stiffness. Surprisingly, these defects were also visible in the two FPLD mutations.

**Figure 4.** Decreased nuclear stiffness in body wall muscle of *D. melanogaster* larvae expressing Lamin C variants causing EDMD. (A) Overview of the experimental approach to observe nuclear deformations in *D. melanogaster* larval filet subjected to strain application. Upper panel: the larval filet, consisting of the body wall muscle, is glued to a transparent silicone membrane (left panel); individual muscle fibers are easily detectable (middle and right panel, with distinct muscle fibers highlighted in the right panel). Lower panel: although biaxial strain is applied to the *Drosophila* larval filet, the tissue strain in the muscle fibers is almost completely uniaxial in the direction of the muscle fiber. (B) Change in nuclear shape in pre-stretched and fully stretched muscle strands of larvae expressing wild-type Lamin C (the A-type lamin of *D. melanogaster*), head-truncated ΔN Lamin C or the W557S Lamin C mutation, which corresponds to the human LMNA W520S mutation. Muscle cells expressing the ΔN lamin mutation deformed significantly more under applied strain. Images in the lower panel represent nuclei under strain, overlaid with the nuclear contour from the pre-stretch state in red. (C) Normalized nuclear stiffness of *D. melanogaster* larvae expressing wild-type *D. melanogaster* Lamin C, head-truncated Lamin C ΔN or Lamin C mutations (labeled in black) that correspond to human EDMD-causing LMNA mutations (labeled in red). ***P < 0.001, compared with muscle nuclei expressing wild-type Lamin C.
DISCUSSION

The finding that different mutations in the LMNA gene cause a variety of human diseases and that many of these mutations result in tissue-specific defects has continued to puzzle researchers for years. Gross alterations in nuclear morphology are often noted as a hallmark of laminopathies (24–29,31), and biopsies from muscular dystrophy and DCM patients have revealed defects in nuclear envelope continuity, loss of compartmentalization and even the presence of mitochondria within the nuclear interior (25,30,56), suggesting physical damage to mechanically fragile nuclei. Nonetheless, it has remained unclear to what extent mechanical defects, i.e. impaired nuclear structure and stability or disruption of nucleo-cytoskeletal connections, contribute to the development of muscular phenotypes observed in many laminopathies.

We performed a comparative study on the effect of a panel of lamin mutations, representing EDMD, DCM and FPLD, on nuclear mechanical properties. We used human patient fibroblasts as well as Lmna+/– MEFs modified to express different disease-causing lamin A mutations. The latter cell line was previously reported to still express a truncated fragment of lamin A (57). In our studies, we found Lmna+/–, Lmna+/– and Lmna–/– MEFs to be a valid system to test the effect of laminopathic mutations on nuclear stability: we found that nuclear stiffness shows a dose-dependent increase with the amount of wild-type lamin A, and nuclear deformability increases with loss of its expression (Fig. 1C). Moreover, the reintroduction of wild-lamin A into Lmna–/– MEFs completely restored nuclear stiffness to values comparable with Lmna+/+/ MEFs. Therefore, the truncated lamin A fragment present in the Lmna+/– and Lmna–/– MEFs had no effect on nuclear deformability.

In our studies on both patient cells and modified Lmna+/– MEFs, we found that all lamin mutants responsible for FPLD had normal nuclear stiffness. In contrast, several mutations associated with EDMD and DCM resulted in a loss of nuclear stability, as evidenced by increased deformation of the nuclei and enhanced sensitivity to mechanical strain. The findings are consistent with previous reports that FPLD mutations, which are often clustered around a small surface region of the lamin Ig-fold, do not affect lamin diffusional mobility (58,59). Of note, we found the most severe defects in EDMD and DCM mutations affecting the N-terminal domain of A-type lamins and specific amino acid substitutions in outward-facing positions of the coiled coil of the central rod, implying that defects in the higher order assembly of lamins are responsible for the loss of structural function. We speculate that distinct myopathic mutations might have effects on the lamina network and nuclear integrity due to impaired assembly into the lamina network. Therefore, a large fraction of these mutant proteins remains nucleoplasmic and more soluble, so that the lamina cannot adequately support nuclear stability. Other mutations might have more subtle effects on assembly or produce minor irregularities in the lamina lattice, which are not easily detectable in our nuclear strain assays. Unlike the nuclear strain experiments, our in vitro assembly studies, which revealed severely defective in filament and paracrystal assembly of lamin mutants causing EDMD, were performed in the absence of wild-type lamin A. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that the presence of wild-type lamin A could assist in the formation of more regular-appearing structures comprised of mutant and wild-type lamins (which would likely still be mechanically weaker), previous co-assembly studies with wild-type and mutant desmin found that the mutant protein segregates in vitro and in vivo (60,61), which would also provide an explanation for the increased solubility of the mutant lamins in our studies (Fig. 2).

We found that different amino acid substitutions at the same amino acid position within the central rod domain can have very distinct effects on nuclear deformability. This observation may at least in part explain why some amino acid substitutions at specific positions are more prevalent among patients with LMNA mutations than others. For example, for position E203, both E203K and E203G substitutions have been identified in patients with DCM, whereas substitution to E203A, which had normal structural function in our nuclear strain assay, has never been reported in patients. Similarly, for position E358, the E358K mutation is the only reported amino acid change reported in severe muscular dystrophy, and position R386 has been reported mutated to lysine (K), threonine (T), or methionine (M), but not to glycine (G) or alanine (A). Although this preference for specific amino acid substitutions may simply represent underreporting of disease mutations or the rarity of LMNA mutations, it is intriguing to consider the possibility that the fact that some amino acid substitutions have not been reported in patients may indicate that these changes either have no profound effects or are so deleterious that they are lethal during development.

Using muscle-specific expression of myopathic lamin mutants in D. melanogaster, we provided the first direct evidence that lamin mutations impair nuclear stability in muscle fibers subjected to mechanical strain. Interestingly, the N210K mutation, which corresponds to the human N195K mutation that causes DCM, had no effect on nuclear stability in the Drosophila body wall muscle, which may indicate that lamin mutations can differentially affect nuclear structure and mechanics in skeletal and cardiac muscle. This idea is further supported by the fact that patients carrying the LMNA N195K mutation show no clinical symptoms typical for muscular dystrophy, and skeletal muscle biopsies exhibit no pathology (62).

Although our findings strongly support a role for impaired nuclear mechanics in the development of muscular phenotypes in laminopathy patients, it is important to note that only four of the 12 EDMD and DCM mutations caused severe defects in nuclear stability, whereas other mutations displayed normal nuclear stiffness in our assays and yet cause EDMD or DCM in humans. For cases in which no obvious defect in nuclear stability was observed, we cannot exclude the possibility that abnormalities are masked by impaired nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling. However, considering the strong correlation between nuclear deformation (Fig. 1C) and solubility of mutant lamins (Fig. 2C), it seems likely that our measurements reflect specific differences in structural function of different lamin mutations. This idea is supported by prior observations using C2C12 myoblasts that demonstrated diffuse, nucleoplasmic localization in transfected cells for the same four mutations we found to be defective in providing nuclear stability (63). For cases where we did not observe negative effects on nuclear stiffness, we favor
the explanation that additional factors must contribute to the development of muscular disease, specifically a disruption of nucleo-cytoskeletal connections. In support of this hypothesis, we observed defects in intracellular force transmission (Fig. 5B). Our findings are consistent with a recent report in which laminopathic mutations associated with DCM and EDMD caused impaired nuclear movement in MEFs, which was attributed to defects in the attachment of cytoskeletal actin cables to the nuclear lamina via nesprin-2 giant/Sun2 complexes termed transmembrane actin-associated nuclear lines (55).

One potential limitation of the current studies is that we investigated mutations in lamin A only, whereas most human mutations affect both lamins A and C. However, we found that the expression of lamin A was sufficient to completely restore nuclear stiffness in Lmna−/− MEFs, suggesting some redundancy between these two isoforms, which is consistent with the lack of phenotype in mice lacking either lamin A or C (64–66). In addition, we obtained similar results in Drosophila muscle fibers which express only a single A-type lamin.

Taken together, our findings demonstrate the complexity of lamin mutations and their effect on nuclear structure and stability in muscle diseases. Many, but not all, mutations associated with EDMD and DCM are characterized by defects in structural function, resulting in more deformable and more fragile nuclei, which could render cells more sensitive to mechanical stress. In addition, all tested EDMD mutations disrupted nucleo-cytoskeletal coupling, which could further impair muscle function, for example, by failure of myonuclei to anchor at neuromuscular junctions (16). In addition to these mechanical aspects, it is likely that lamin mutations can interfere with a broad range of other cellular processes requiring lamins, including replication, gene expression, DNA repair, proliferation and stem-cell differentiation (10,21). In this scenario, different lamin mutations may specifically impact one or more of these functions, thus explaining the broad spectrum of human diseases caused by the diverse LMNA mutations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines

Lmna+/+ , Lmna+/− and Lmna−/− MEFs were cultured in D10 medium (DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 4 mM L-glutamine, 50 U/ml penicillin and 50 μg/ml streptomycin). 293GPG cells (67) were cultured in D10 supplemented with 1 μg/ml tetracycline, 2 μg/ml puromycin and 300 μg/ml G418. Human patient fibroblasts (68) were kindly provided by Howard Worman (Columbia University, New York, NY, USA) and maintained in D10 containing 5 μg/ml Plasmocin™ (InvivoGen) for prophylactic treatment against mycoplasma contamination.

Plasmids
cDNAs of wild-type and point-mutated human prelamin A, as well as the ΔNLA lamin variant lacking the first 33 amino acids, were kindly provided by Howard Worman [Columbia University, New York, NY, USA (63)]. The point-mutated cDNAs used in this study cause amino acid substitutions as shown in Table 1. Lamin variants were either amplified by PCR and ligated into pRetroX-IRES-ZsGreen1 using NotI and BamHI restriction sites, or subcloned from a shuttle vector as described previously (69). Mutagenesis of the codons of amino acids E203, E358, M371 and R386 to either glycine or alanine was performed by amplifying human prelamin A in two fragments and introducing codon changes over the respective primers. After PCR, the two fragments were ligated together via an introduced restriction site that does not change the primary sequence of the prelamin A protein (introducing BspDI at amino acid positions 195–196: 583–588agccgg→ atcgca results in N195N, G196G; introducing EcoRV at amino acid positions 364 and 365: 1090–1095gacatc→ gatac results in D364D, I365I; or introducing Xhol at amino acid positions 380 and 381: 1138–1142gttgc→ ctgag results in L380L, E381E). As control, primers with the same introduced restriction sites but without amino acid change in positions E203, E358, M371 and R386 were used to amplify the two prelamin A fragments that were ligated together. Ligated full-length prelamin A variants were ligated into pRetroX-IRES-ZsGreen1 using NotI and BamHI restriction sites. The dominant-negative nesprin KASH (DN KASH) plasmid was described previously (15). Constructs encoding the mutant forms of Drosophila Lamin C were generated using the QuikChange II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit. The primers contain nucleotide substitutions that result in single-amino-acid substitutions within Lamin C. The mutated Lamin C sequences were cloned into the P-element transformation vector pUAST (70) and used to generate transgenic stocks (45). For all mutagenesis procedures, introduced mutations were confirmed by sequencing.

Retroviral infection and cell sorting

Lmna+/− MEFs were modified by retroviral infection. 293GPG cells were transfected with pRetroX-IRES-ZsGreen1 vectors containing lamin A variants, using Lipofectamine™ 2000 (Invitrogen). Starting 6 h after transfection, cells were maintained in D10 without tetracycline, puromycin and G418. For 6 subsequent days, cell medium was collected and replaced. This medium containing assembled virus was filtered through 0.45 μm pores, supplemented with 8 μg/μl Polybrene and added to Lmna+/− MEFs for 24 h. At 5–10 days after retroviral infection, positive cells were sorted for the bicistronically expressed ZsGreen, which allowed us to finely control expression levels of the ectopically expressed lamins translated from the same bicistronic mRNA. Cell sorting was performed on a BD FACSARIA Special Order 11 color sorter, using a 488 nm laser to excite ZsGreen1 and with a sort pressure of 70 psi.

Protein extraction

Preparation of total cell extracts containing 3 × 10⁵ cells per microliters was performed as previously described by resuspending pelleted cells in Laemmli sample buffer (LSB, 10% glycerol, 3% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris–HCl, 50 mM DTT and 0.05% bromphenol blue) and subsequent boiling for 5 min (71). Extraction of the soluble lamin A/C fraction of modified and unmodified Lmna+/− MEFs was performed following a
modified protocol for differential protein extraction (36). Confluent cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), trypsinized and resuspended in 1.2 ml of growth medium. An amount of 33 μl of the cell suspension was immediately boiled with 267 μl of LSB (‘total cell fraction’). Another 1 ml of aliquot (‘soluble lamin fraction’) of the cell suspension was transferred into a new tube; cells were briefly spun down and resuspended in 200 μl of lysis buffer containing 0.5× PBS, 50 mM MOPS (pH 7.0), 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA, 1% NP40, protease inhibitor (SIGMAFAST™ Protease Inhibitor, Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.75% saturated PMSF in ethanol. Extraction with 1% NP40 detergent was previously shown to release the soluble lamin A/C fraction but not lamina-associated A-type lamins (36). Extraction was performed at room temperature for 5 min; cell remnants were spun down, and 150 μl of the supernatant were boiled with 75 μl of 3× LSB. Extraction was performed three independent times. Notably, owing to slight changes in experimental conditions for each isolation, e.g. cell density, buffer composition, vortexing or extraction timing, the absolute results of the soluble lamin fractions varied between individual experiments, even though the relative difference between cell lines within each experiment were very similar.

**Immunofluorescence analysis**

Immunofluorescence analysis was performed as previously described (71). In brief, cells were plated on sterile coverslips and grown overnight, briefly washed with PBS, fixed in 4% formaldehyde (pH 7.4) and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS. Fixed cells were incubated either with mouse anti-lamin A/C antibody [LaZ (72)] or with guinea pig anti-emerin antibody [Em-N-term (73)], diluted 1:2 and 1:300, respectively, in PBS containing 10% normal donkey serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch). Cells were washed and incubated with secondary antibodies: Cy3-coupled donkey anti-mouse or donkey anti-guinea pig IgG (Jackson Immunoresearch) diluted 1:500 in PBS containing 0.5% Tween-20 and 5% milk powder. Enhanced luminescence reaction was performed and membranes were exposed to X-ray films.

**Drosophila stocks**

Generation of transgenic stocks was performed as previously described (45). Stocks expressing wild-type and mutant lamins under the control of a UAS element were crossed to the C57 Gal4 driver stock (49) and the resulting larval progeny were analyzed for muscle defects (45). The lamin stocks encode wild-type Lamin C, headless Lamin C (lacking the first 42 amino acids, Lamin C ΔN) or the mutations N210K, R401K, K493W, W557S and L567P (corresponding to human mutations N195K, R386K, R453W, N210K, R401K and L567P, respectively) (46,47,74). Drosophila stocks were maintained on standard corn meal media at room temperature; the Gal4/UAS crosses were performed at 25°C.

**Nuclear strain analysis and microneedle manipulation assay of cultured cells**

Nuclear strain analysis and microneedle manipulation of patient fibroblasts and LmnaΔN MEFs, as well as data analysis, were performed as described previously (32,75).

**Nuclear strain analysis in muscle tissue**

For nuclear strain analysis of *D. melanogaster* muscle tissue, third instar larvae were placed in a drop of cold low-potassium buffer containing 108 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 8 mM MgCl2, 1 mM Na2HPO4, 4 mM NaHCO3, 10 mM sucrose, 5 mM trehalose, 5 mM HEPES and 100 μg/ml Hoechst 33342 nuclear stain on a glass slide. Subsequently, the head and tail were removed and the body wall muscle was opened with a horizontal incision down the length of the longitudinal axis to remove the organs. The body wall muscle filet was then transferred onto an elastic silicone membrane clamped into the strain dish and covered with 15 ml of high-potassium buffer containing 108 mM NaCl, 35 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 8 mM MgCl2, 1 mM Na2HPO4, 4 mM NaHCO3, 10 mM sucrose, 5 mM trehalose, 5 mM HEPES and 10 μg/ml Hoechst 33342. To securely attach the muscle filet in the open position to the center of the silicone membrane, a small amount of histoacryl blue adhesive (Tissue Seal, LLC) was applied to the four corners and to two or two additional points along the periphery of the muscle filet and then affixed to the silicone membrane. The muscle filets were then subjected to biaxial strain application as described previously for adherent cells (32,75), with minor modifications. To facilitate imaging of muscle fibers, the muscle filet was pre-stretched by applying low-level biaxial strain to the silicone membrane, resulting in taut but only lightly strained muscle fibers. Fluorescence images of 1–3 muscle fibers, containing up to 15 myonuclei, were acquired at this position. Subsequently, the silicone membrane was further stretched, resulting in larger tissue strain and induced nuclear deformations. Importantly, although the

rabbit, donkey anti-goat or donkey anti-mouse IgG (Jackson Immunoresearch) diluted 1:5000 in PBS containing 0.5% Tween-20 and 5% milk powder. Enhanced luminescence reaction was performed and membranes were exposed to X-ray films.
applied membrane strain is biaxial, due to the muscle physiology and attachment, the tissue strain in the muscle fibers is almost completely uniaxial in the direction of the muscle fiber. The same myonuclei were then imaged in this strained condition. To calculate tissue strain, changes in the inter-nuclear distance within a single muscle strand were calculated using a custom-written MATLAB program. Nuclear deformations were then quantified as previously described (32,75).

**In vitro lamin assembly**

Recombinant human lamin A ΔC18 (‘mature’ lamin A) as well as the single-amino-acid deletion ΔK32 and the point mutations N195K and E358K were expressed using the pET24 system. A clone coding for lamin A ΔK32 was generously provided by Gisele Bonne (Inserm U582 – Institut de Myologie, Paris, France) and subcloned into pET24a. Induction with 1 mM IPTG was done for 3 h at 37°C (42). Inclusion bodies were isolated as described previously (76). For *in vitro* paracrystal assembly, lamin protein stored in 8 μM urea at a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml was dialyzed into 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 300 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA and 1 mM DTT overnight at 4°C to obtain soluble lamin complexes. Lamins were briefly centrifuged in a benchtop centrifuge at full speed for 15 min to remove all protein at higher assembly states. Lamin proteins were diluted to 0.1 mg/ml and further dialyzed in the same buffer, but with stepwise reduction of salt concentration from 300 to 50 mM NaCl. Each dialysis step was performed for 20 min at 37°C. For *in vitro* filament assembly, lamin protein in 8 μM urea at a concentration of 0.2 mg/ml was dialyzed into 25 mM Tris (pH 8), 250 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT for 1 h at 37°C to obtain lamin dimers, then dialyzed into 25 mM MES, pH 6.5, 250 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT for 50 min at 37°C. Assembled filaments, fixed with 0.1% glutaraldehyde, and paracrystals were then applied to glow-discharged, carbon-coated copper electron microscopy grids and analyzed in a Philips 410 transmission electron microscope (FEI). Images were taken with a CCD camera (Bioscan 792, Gatan).

**Statistical data analysis**

All measurements were performed at least three independent times. All data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean. Statistical analyses were performed with PRISM 3.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Data were analyzed by a two-tailed Student *t*-test (for comparison between two groups) or one-way ANOVA with post-test for comparison of several groups. A two-tailed *P*-value of <0.05 was considered significant, with the symbols ‘*’ for *P* ≤ 0.05, ‘**’ for *P* ≤ 0.01 and ‘***’ for *P* ≤ 0.001.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

Supplementary Material is available at *HMG* online.
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ABSTRACT

The nucleus is the characteristic hallmark of all eukaryotic cells. The physical properties of the nucleus reflect important biological characteristics, such as chromatin organization or nuclear envelope composition; they can also directly affect cellular function, e.g., when cells pass through narrow constrictions, where the stiff nucleus may present a limiting factor. We present two complementary techniques to probe the mechanical properties of the nucleus. In the first, nuclear stiffness relative to the surrounding cytoskeleton is inferred from induced nuclear deformations during strain application to cells on an elastic substrate. In the second approach, nuclear deformability is deduced from the transit time through a perfusion-based microfabricated device with constrictions smaller than the size of the nucleus. These complementary methods, which can be applied to measure nuclear stiffness in large numbers of living adherent or suspended cells, can help identify important changes in nuclear mechanics associated with disease or development. Curr. Protoc. Cell Biol. 56:22.16.1-22.16.21. © 2012 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

The mechanical properties of the nucleus have recently gained increasing interest, as it is now emerging that changes in nuclear structure and mechanics are associated with a variety of human diseases and also occur during normal differentiation and development (Zwerger et al., 2011). The nucleus often occupies a large fraction of the cell volume and houses the genomic DNA. It is enclosed by the nuclear envelope, consisting of two lipid bilayers, the outer and inner nuclear membranes, and an underlying dense protein meshwork, the nuclear lamina. The nuclear lamina is composed of lamin proteins and connected to the inner nuclear membrane via nucleus-specific transmembrane proteins such as emerin, lamin B receptor (LBR), and SUN proteins (Holaska, 2008). Importantly, the nucleus is physically connected to the surrounding cytoskeleton via nesprins and SUN proteins at the nuclear envelope, enabling continuous force transmission between the extracellular matrix and the nuclear interior (Lombardi and Lammerding, 2011). The mechanical behavior of the nucleus is primarily determined by contributions from the nuclear lamina and the nuclear interior. The lamina deforms elastically and can stretch significantly under stress (Dahl et al., 2004). The nuclear interior, consisting of chromatin and chromatin-associated proteins, has characteristics of a viscoelastic medium (Rowat et al., 2008). In most normal cells, the nucleus is significantly stiffer than the surrounding cytoplasm. As such, the mechanical properties of the nucleus often govern the overall mechanical behavior of cells during large cell deformations. Nuclear deformability may even constitute a limiting factor in the cell’s ability to migrate through narrow constrictions, e.g., during cancer cell metastasis or white blood cell migration through tissues (Friedl et al., 2010). Furthermore, mutations in nuclear envelope proteins, such as lamins, cause a large number of human diseases (Simon and Wilson 2011), including muscular...
dystrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy, and it has been suggested that increased nuclear fragility could contribute to the often muscle-specific disease phenotypes. Modifications in nuclear envelope composition during development or differentiation are also associated with changes in nuclear mechanics (Pajerowski et al., 2007). Therefore, characterizing the mechanical properties of the cell nucleus is an important factor in understanding the function and behavior of normal and diseased cells.

Here, we present two independent and complementary approaches to measure nuclear mechanics. In the first, adherent cells are subjected to substrate strain, and nuclear stiffness is inferred from the induced nuclear deformations. In the second approach, suspended cells are perfused through microfluidic channels with constrictions smaller than the size of the nucleus. The transit time through the constrictions is indicative of nuclear stiffness. The best choice of which technique to use (or to use both) will depend on the cells under investigation and the specific aims of the study.

STRATEGIC PLANNING

When performing a large number of experiments, it is helpful to automate parts of the image acquisition and the image analysis. A protocol for the use with a motorized stage that makes it easier to revisit previously recorded fields of view is included. For the image analysis, we have developed several MATLAB scripts to compute nuclear and membrane strains. These scripts are available from the authors upon request.

To ensure that all cells have a similar size, shape, and orientation, contact printing can be used to deposit extracellular matrix molecules in only precisely defined areas.

The current protocol uses a one-step strain application, and cells cannot be imaged during the strain application process. Motorized strain devices can help alleviate this problem, although changes in focal position and membrane displacement can impose further challenges.

BASIC PROTOCOL 1

NUCLEAR DEFORMATION DURING APPLIED SUBSTRATE STRAIN

Observing induced nuclear deformation resulting from stretching adherent cells can provide important information on the mechanical properties of the nucleus and nucleo-cytoskeletal force transmission. In the assay described in this protocol, the cell is stretched by applying uniform strain to the cell’s substrate. The associated forces are transmitted across the focal adhesions through the cytoskeleton and the nuclear envelope to the nuclear interior. Previous studies indicate that the resulting nuclear strain is closely correlated to the applied substrate strain of the substrate (Caille et al., 1998; Lammerding et al., 2004). Importantly, since the nucleus is normally significantly stiffer than the surrounding cytoskeleton, the induced nuclear strain is typically only a fraction of the applied membrane strain, and the cytoskeleton absorbs most of the applied strain. Hence, the ratio of the induced nuclear strain to the applied membrane strain can give a reliable measurement of the nuclear stiffness relative to the surrounding cytoskeleton. Low nuclear strain values correspond to a stiff nucleus (or soft cytoskeleton), whereas larger nuclear strain values indicate softer, more deformable nuclei. In these experiments, the substrate strain can be applied bi-axially or uniaxially, mimicking physiological conditions. For example, cells in the lung and bladder are typically exposed to bi-axial strain, whereas muscle cells are predominantly stretched in only one direction, along the muscle fiber. In our experience, we found that uniaxial strain application is often preferable for the substrate strain experiments, since many cells can tolerate uniaxial strain application better than bi-axial strain (presumably because it requires a smaller increase in total plasma membrane area), so that higher strains can be applied, which allows for better quantification of the induced nuclear deformations.
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Figure 22.16.1 Overview of the strain device: (1) Strain dish with the mounted silicone membrane (2). The membrane is held in place by a plastic O-ring (3). The strain dish is inserted into the dish holder plate (4), which contains four nylon sliders (5) to slide up and down on vertical pins (6) fixed in the base plate (7). The vertical moving range, and thereby the maximum applied membrane strain, are limited by nylon spacers (8). The membrane is stretched over the stationary Teflon-impregnated delrin platen (9). The platen contains a central opening wide enough to fit an objective (10) through it. The dish should contain enough imaging medium (11) that during stretch the membrane does not dry out. (A) Device in un-stretched condition as required for pre- and post-stretch images. (B) Device in fill-stretch condition, by application of weight to the strain device, illustrated by the arrows pointing down. The membrane stretch is limited by the nylon spacers (8).

The microscope-mounted strain device described in this protocol is a custom-designed system (Fig. 22.16.1). Such a device can be built in a basic machine shop at a reasonable cost. Alternatively, one can use a commercially available system (see Materials for suggestions). However, many of the available devices have the limitation that they use thick silicone membranes, which can reduce image quality and resolution. The custom-built strain device described in the following protocol consists of a strain dish with an elastic silicone membrane at the bottom on which cells are cultured and a platform that is mounted on an inverted microscope. The bottom rim of the strain dish has an outer thread to fix the strain dish in the holder plate. The silicone membrane is secured to the strain dish with a plastic O-ring, which tightly fits into the groove of the strain dish from the bottom side.

The membrane is stretched by applying a weight to the top of the strain dish, pushing it down over a stationary platen in the base plate. This results in a uniform bi-axial strain field in the center of the silicone membrane. Vertical metal pins in the base plate help to guide the strain dish in the holding plate and keep it aligned parallel to the base plate and objective. The maximum applied strain can be adjusted by limiting the vertical displacement of the strain dish and holding plate by placing nylon spacers between the base plate and the holding plate. The strain dish can be easily modified for uniaxial strain application by applying two parallel strips of Scotch tape to the membrane (see Fig. 22.16.2). Since the silicone membrane cannot deform where the Scotch tape is applied, the area between the two strips of tape is subjected to uniform uniaxial strain.

The cells are stained with a cell permeable blue fluorescent DNA dye (Hoechst 33342), which allows high-resolution imaging of nuclear shape. Phase contrast and fluorescence
Figure 22.16.2 Overview of the applied membrane strain. View from the top or bottom of the strain dish during strain application. (A) Membrane with central landmark. Note the uniform radial (bi-axial) strain field. (B) Membrane with central landmark and two parallel Scotch tape stripes (in gray) next to the landmark, one on each side of the landmark. The Scotch tape, which locally restricts the deformation of the silicone membrane, results in a uniaxial strain field between the stripes.

Images of several membrane sections are imaged before, during, and after strain application. Marking the center of the strain dish with a fine-tip marker on the bottom of the silicone membrane can aid in locating (and re-locating) specific membrane sections for the repeated imaging, as the central dot serves as a landmark, and the positions relative to this landmark remain relatively constant during strain application.

Image analysis is typically performed off-line following the strain experiments. The applied membrane strain is calculated by comparing phase-contrast images of the silicone membrane between prestrain and full-strain images; nuclear strain is calculated by comparing fluorescence images of the cell nucleus. Comparison between prestrain and post-strain phase-contrast images of the cells can serve to identify cells that became damaged or detached during the strain application and that should be excluded from the analysis.

Materials

- Deionized water to rinse assembled strain dishes
- 70% ethanol
- Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) or Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS), both Ca/Mg-ion-free
- Fibronectin or other suitable extracellular matrix proteins to coat silicone membrane
- Appropriate cell culture medium, e.g., Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin/streptomycin
- Trypsin/EDTA
- Hoechst 33342
- HEPES buffered, phenol red-free imaging medium: e.g., Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) without phenol red and with HEPES
- Chemically inert, silicone-impermeable grease (e.g., Braycote804; Castrol)
- Custom-built strain device consisting of microscope-mounted base plate, dish holding plate, and components for strain dish (Fig. 22.16.1); alternatively, one can use commercially available systems (e.g., from Flexcell International Corporation, the Cell Stretcher by Electron Microscopy Sciences, or the STREX instruments from B-Bridge International)
Silicone membrane: 0.005-in.-thick silicone sheeting in 12 × 12-in. sheets (Gloss/Gloss nonreinforced silicone sheeting; Specialty Manufacturing)

Scissors
Kimwipes or other tissues
Ethanol prep pad: 1.1 × 2.6-in.
A utoclavable bags
A utoclave
10-cm disposable plastic dishes, sterile
Fine-tip black permanent marker suitable to mark silicone membrane
Scotch tape (19-mm width)
4°C incubator
Centrifuge
Hemacytometer
37°C cell culture incubator
Microscope
Inverted epifluorescence microscope equipped for fluorescence and phase-contrast image acquisition with light sensitive CCD camera
Filter sets for DAPI/Hoechst 33342
60× non-immersion phase-contrast objective with long working distance
Weight plate (~5 lb) to place on strain dish for strain application (Fig. 22.16.1)
Image acquisition software
Linear-encoded motorized stage (optional)
Image analysis software: e.g., ImageJ, A dobe Photoshop or MATLAB (Mathworks)

NOTE: DIC objectives can be used as an alternative for phase contrast; however, in our experience, DIC illumination is more sensitive to deviations from the ideal Koehler illumination that can occur with custom-build strain devices, resulting in reduced image quality that can make it difficult to analyze the transmitted light images.

NOTE: We have also successfully used (water) immersion objectives for the strain experiments; however, care must be taken that the silicone membrane is not accidentally stretched over the objective, particularly when applying strain, as this could cause additional local strain in the substrate. When using immersion objectives, it is best to lower the objective when applying the weight to the strain dish and the refocusing once full membrane strain is reached.

Prepare the strain dishes
1. Prior to assembling the strain dish, clean all components, including the O-ring, with water and soap, wipe with 70% ethanol, rinse thoroughly with tap water, then deionized water, and air dry the components.

2. Mount the silicone membrane on the clean strain dish using the plastic O-ring. We typically place a 3 × 3-in. piece of silicone membrane over the plastic O-ring lying on a clean surface and press the strain dish from the top over the plastic O-ring with the membrane. The O-ring is held in place in the groove of the strain dish together with the membrane. Cut excess membrane with scissors as close to the strain dish as possible so that the excess membrane cannot get into the outer thread of the strain dish when mounted into the holding plate.

   After placing the membrane on top of the O-ring, ensure that the membrane is wrinkle free, which would cause leakages during cell culture or strain-experiments.

3. Clean the top (inner) surface of the membrane by wiping with a Kimwipe soaked in 70% ethanol in a circular motion from the center to the periphery of the dish, ending by wiping the dish walls in the inside of the dish. If necessary, clean the outside of the silicone membrane.
4. Repeat the previous cleaning step with a sterile ethanol prep pad.
5. Rinse the device under running deionized water and let it air dry.
6. Place the dishes in autoclavable bags and autoclave using the dry cycle for glass and plastic.
7. Once dishes are sterilized and have cooled down to room temperature, open the autoclave bag inside a sterile biosafety cabinet and place each strain dish in an inverted 10-cm sterile disposable plastic dish.
8. Invert the dish, so that the bottom of the silicone membrane faces up. Use a fine-tip black marker to draw a small dot at the center of the silicone membrane, which will serve as the landmark to re-locate cells during and after strain application.
9. For uniaxial strain experiments, place two parallel pieces of Scotch tape (~20 mm × 19 mm) centered around the black dot, approximately 5-mm apart.
10. Turn over the strain device to the upright position, so that the inside of the silicone membrane faces up and cover the strain dish with a sterile disposable petri dish.
11. Pipet 10 ml of 2 μg/ml fibronectin in sterile PBS into the strain dish; gently swirl to ensure that the entire membrane is covered.
12. Incubate overnight at 4°C. Alternatively, incubate for 2 hr at 37°C. Ensure that the surface is completely covered with the coating solution.
13. Aspirate the fibronectin solution and rinse the membrane once with sterile PBS. Fill the dishes with 10 ml of appropriate cell culture medium and place in the incubator to prewarm.
   When handled outside the biosafety cabinet, strain dishes should always be kept inside an inverted sterile 10-cm disposable plastic petri dish to minimize risk of contamination.

**Plate cells for the experiments**

In our experiments, we have successfully used mouse embryo fibroblasts, human skin fibroblasts, breast epithelial cells, and mouse myoblasts and myotubes for the strain experiments. The conditions below were optimized for mouse embryo fibroblasts. Other cell types may require some adjustments. For fibroblasts, reseed cells every two to three days at 60% to 80% cell confluency in cell culture flask (e.g., 75-cm² flask). Avoid growing cells to total confluency.

14. Remove the culture medium and rinse cells once with sterile PBS or HBSS without calcium and magnesium for 1 min at room temperature.
15. Detach the cells with trypsin/EDTA solution for 5 min at 37°C. We typically use 1 ml for a 75-cm² flask.
16. Add 2 to 5 ml of culture medium, containing 10% fetal bovine serum, to the trypsin/EDTA suspension to inactivate the trypsin. Gently mix by pipetting up and down to breakup cell clusters and achieve a single-cell suspension.
   For a 75-cm² flask, we add 4 ml medium.
17. Centrifuge the cell suspension for 5 min at 230 × g, 4°C, and remove the supernatant.
18. Resuspend the cell pellet in 5 ml cell culture medium and count cell density with a hemacytometer.
19. Seed the cells in the prepared strain dishes to achieve a desired cell confluency of \( \sim 20\% \) to 30\% within 1 to 2 days. The cell density should be high enough to contain several cells per field of view while at the same time low enough to minimize cell-cell contacts.

20. Maintain cells overnight or longer in cell culture incubator to achieve sufficient cell attachment before using the strain dish for experiments.

**Prepare the cultured cells for stretch experiment**

21. Add Hoechst 33342 directly to the strain dish with cell culture medium to a final concentration of 1.5 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \); gently swirl to mix and incubate for 15 min at 37\( ^\circ \text{C} \) in a cell culture incubator.

   *The final concentration of Hoechst 33342 may be optimized for each cell type to achieve bright nuclear fluorescence labeling while avoiding cytotoxic effects.*

22. Replace Hoechst-containing medium with 15 ml phenol red-free imaging medium containing HEPES.

**Set up the microscope-mounted strain device**

23. Remove the strain dish from the incubator. Gently apply a thin layer of Braycote grease along the periphery of the bottom of the silicone membrane with a gloved finger.

   *Make sure to keep the center of the dish free of any grease, as this could interfere with the imaging process.*

24. Carefully screw the strain dish into the dish holder plate, making sure not to spill any medium.

25. Position the strain device base plate on the microscope stage. Insert appropriate spacers onto the guidance pins of the base plate. Switch the microscope to a high-power long working distance objective. We typically use a 60\( \times \) objective, but have also successfully conducted experiments with a 40\( \times \) water immersion objective.

   *Depending on the design of the strain device, you may require an objective extension tube (e.g., from Thor Labs) to account for the altered imaging plane.*

26. Carefully position the holding plate with strain dish onto the base plate and slowly lower it until the silicone membrane rests on the central platen.

27. Adjust the microscope stage position so that the landmark dot on the silicone membrane is centered over the objective. The system is now ready for image acquisition.

**Image and perform strain application**

28. In phase contrast mode, locate the landmark dot at the bottom of the silicone membrane and acquire an image of the reference point for later identification.

29. Focus on the cells plated on the silicone membrane and identify a field of view with one to five healthy looking, well-spread cells with the nucleus located in the cell center. Acquire a phase contrast and a fluorescence image. For the phase-contrast image, focus on the cell boundary and the silicone membrane; for the fluorescence image, focus on the center cross-section of the fluorescently labeled nucleus.

   *Make sure to remember the location of the field of view relative to the reference landmark dot.*

30. Move the field of view along an imaginary line perpendicular to the intended strain direction (in the case of uniaxial strain application), as the relative positions along this line will not change during strain application. Take note of the relative position...
of the field of view relative to the landmark dot so that the same cells can be found again.

*Leave the phase-contrast images open in the acquisition program to help identify the same fields of view later. Acquire ten to fifteen pictures of different areas. This prestrain acquisition phase should take no more than 5 to 10 min.*

31. Carefully place the weight plate on top of the strain dish to apply membrane strain. Wait ~1 min for viscoelastic effects to dissipate.

32. Focus on the bottom of the silicone membrane and search for the landmark spot. Comparison with the previously acquired images will aid in this task. Acquire full-strain image of reference dot.

33. Focus on cells on the silicone membrane. Re-locate cells acquired during prestrain imaging, taking images in phase contrast and fluorescence for each field of view, making sure to match the focal positions of the prestrain images. Use the images of the first set of image pairs to find the same field of view and to adjust the fine focus.

*Do not exceed more than 15 min of full strain application to prevent cytoskeletal remodeling and other cellular adjustments to the strain application.*

34. Carefully remove the weight plate. If necessary, gently lift up the strain dish, until it loosely rests on the silicone membrane on the platen, matching the position during prestrain imaging. Wait for 1 to 2 min for the cells and membrane to relax.

35. Acquire final set of image pairs (post-strain) following the procedure above. Use the previously acquired prestrain images to help find the same cells again and to match the focal position.

36. Remove the strain dish from the microscope and repeat the process with the next strain dish.

*The entire imaging procedure should take less than 30 min.*

**Protocol variation: Simple acquisition protocol with motorized stage**

37. In phase contrast mode, find the landmark dot on the bottom of the silicone membrane.

38. Acquire an image of the landmark dot to make it easier to identify the same spot during and after strain application.

39. Set the stage position to zero at this location; all other recorded positions will be relative to this location.

40. Focus on the cells above (or slightly to the side) of the landmark dot. Acquire phase contrast and fluorescence images for the first field of view as described in step 29.

41. Move the stage in the direction perpendicular to the intended uniaxial strain direction and find the next field of view with suitable cells. Acquire pictures in phase contrast and the DAPI/Hoechst channel as described above. Store the stage position of each field of view in the image acquisition program.

42. Repeat the above step for six to ten additional fields of views. The entire process should take less than 10 min.

43. Apply the substrate strain as described in step 31. Wait for 1 to 2 min for viscoelastic effects to dissipate. During that time, locate the landmark dot and focus on the same spot on the membrane. Use the reference image acquired in step 38 for orientation.

44. Reset the stage coordinates to zero in the acquisition program.
45. Use the motorized stage and the stored (relative) stage positions to revisit the previously acquired fields of view. If necessary, manually adjust the focus and stage position to closely match the original view. Again, take phase and fluorescence images as described in step 29. Proceed with the other fields of view in the list of recorded stage positions.

*Do not exceed 10 min of strain application.*

46. Carefully remove the weight from the strain dish as described in step 34 and wait 1 to 2 min for viscoelastic effects to dissipate. During this time, bring the landmark dot into the field of view.

47. With the landmark dot closely matching the previously acquired field of view (see step 38), re-zero the stage coordinates. Acquire the same set of image pairs for each position as described above.

**Analyze the image with ImageJ or Photoshop**

A typical set of images acquired in matching fields of view is shown in Figure 22.16.3. The cell was imaged in phase contrast and fluorescence (Hoechst 33342) mode in pre-, full-, and post-stretched conditions. The uniaxial strain application is clearly visible by the displacement of distinct speckles on the silicone membrane in the phase-contrast field and by elongation of the nucleus in the strain direction in the fluorescence images.

48. Calculate the applied membrane strain by measuring the distances between matching image features on the membrane in image pairs acquired before and during strain application. Compare image pairs acquired before and after strain application to confirm that the membrane relaxed back to the prestretched shape and cells did not become damaged or detached. Exclude cells with obvious differences in morphology from further analysis.

*In our experience, the silicone membranes usually contain sufficient intrinsic speckles and other distinguishable features that can be used for the membrane strain computation. It is*
important to use only features firmly attached to the membrane (and not floating particles) and located outside the cells. It is best to use several pairs of features to make sure that the computed results are consistent. The entire process can be further optimized with image processing algorithms implemented in MATLAB or similar programs. For uniaxial strain application, the pairs of speckles should be located along the strain direction, as displacements in the perpendicular direction should be minimal.

49. Calculate the induced nuclear strain by comparing the length of the nucleus measured before, during, and after strain application in corresponding image-sets. Alternatively, pictures can be overlapped in Photoshop and the prestretched image adjusted by free transformation and stretching to the full-stretched image. Repeat this process for each cell in the field of view.

For mouse cells, the bright condensed heterochromatin spots (chromocenters) can be used to align the images of the nuclei, or to measure intranuclear distances.

Note that we here define strain as the relative increase in size, e.g., the change in length divided by the initial (unstrained) length.

50. Repeat the above steps for the image sets acquired at additional positions.

The membrane strain should be fairly uniform between different fields of view and can be averaged to compute the mean membrane strain.

51. Calculate the normalized nuclear strain for each cell by dividing the induced nuclear strain by the applied membrane strain.

$$\text{normalized nuclear strain} = \frac{\text{nuclear strain}}{\text{applied membrane strain}}$$

ASSESSING NUCLEAR DEFORMABILITY WITH A MICROFLUIDIC PERFUSION DEVICE

The mechanical properties of viscoelastic objects, such as nuclei and cells, can be determined by observing their dynamic deformation under stress. A commonly used method to probe nuclear mechanics is micropipet aspiration, in which the stiffness of the nucleus is determined by gently aspirating the nucleus into a micropipet with a tip diameter smaller than the size of the nucleus (typically a few microns) and quantifying the time-dependent deformation into the pipet opening (Dahl et al., 2004). The stiffer the nucleus is, the longer it will take to (partially) aspirate it into the narrow opening of the pipet. The approach presented here is based on a similar principle. Intact cells or isolated nuclei are perfused with a constant pressure gradient through microfluidic channels containing precisely defined constrictions narrower than the size of the nuclei. Since the nucleus is significantly stiffer than the surrounding cytoskeleton, nuclear deformability will largely determine the transit time through the narrow constrictions. While cells with highly deformable nuclei can rapidly pass through the constrictions, cells with stiffer nuclei will take longer or even be unable to transit through the constrictions altogether. A major advantage of this device over the aforementioned techniques is that hundreds of nuclei can be probed within a few minutes because of the rapid cell throughput and the many channels that can be imaged in parallel.

These perfusion devices are made of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) by soft lithography. In a first step carried out in a cleanroom facility, a silicon wafer spin-coated with photore sist is exposed to UV light through an optical mask containing the desired channel layout and subsequently developed. Thereafter, in a well-equipped laboratory, a PDMS replica is cast from the master and bonded to a glass slide to create a microfluidic device. Cells are then perfused through the device, and their ability to pass through narrow channels is assessed as a measure of nuclear mechanics.
Materials

SU-8 2010 photoresist (Microchem)
SU-8 Developer (1-Methoxy-2-propyl acetate, Microchem)
Isopropanol
Nitrogen
Anti-stiction coating: e.g., (tridecafluoro-1,1,2,2-tetrahydrooctyl)trichlorosilane, a fluorinated silane (also known as FOTS)
Sylgard 184 silicone elastomer base
Sylgard 184 silicone elastomer curing agent
0.2 M HCl
70% ethanol
Deionized water
Bovine serum albumin (BSA; see recipe)
Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; see recipe)
Pluronic L-127 (optional)
Cells for analysis (1 ml cell suspension in PBS with BSA at a density of $3 \times 10^6$ cells/ml)
Trypsin
Cell culture medium appropriate for the cell type studied [e.g., Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS)]
Cytochalasin D (optional)
10% bleach in water (optional)
Microfabrication facility with the following tools: mask generator, contact aligner, molecular vapor deposition and spin coater
CAD software (e.g., AutoCAD, L-edit)
4-in. silicon wafers
Oven
Hot plate
150-mm (diameter) plastic petri dishes
Premium vacuum pump, 115 V (Harrick Plasma)
Desiccator
Packing tape
Utility knife
Cutting mat
0.75-mm (diameter) Uni-Core hole-puncher (Harris)
Glass coverslips (22 × 50 mm, thickness 1.5)
Glass slides (27 × 75 × 1.0-mm)
Compressed air tank with 5% CO₂
Expanded plasma cleaner, 115 V (Harrick Plasma)
0.2-μm (pore size) syringe filter
5-ml syringes
0.015-in. i.d., 1/32-in. o. d. pre-cut natural PEEK tubing for microfluidics (Ing)
Forceps (rounded tip)
Cell culture incubator
Hemacytometer
Centrifuge
40-μm (pore size) cell strainer
High-speed microscope camera, 60 frames per second or higher: e.g., PIKE F-032 1/3" CCD FireWire.B Monochrome Camera with up to 208 frames per second (Edmund Optics)
Inverted microscope with camera mount
Image acquisition software
Image Analysis software (ImageJ)
15- and 50-ml Falcon tubes
Pressurized cap for 15-ml Falcon tubes for microfluidics (World Precision Instruments, FLUWELL-1C-15ML)
Two stage brass analytical pressure regulator, 0 to 25 psi (Airgas)
5/16-in. (i.d.) PVC tubing, 40' length
0.05-in. (i.d.) PVC tubing, 2' length

**Design and microfabricate the device**

1. Design a mask for your device using CAD software (see Fig. 22.16.4). The device consists of an inlet channel (item 1 in Fig. 22.16.4), into which a hole is punched to allow for the connection of tubing, followed by a filter (item 2 in Fig. 22.16.4) with a minimum width of 15 μm to prevent the passage of aggregated cells and dust, followed by a series of bifurcations that end with several parallel constriction channels (item 6 in Fig. 22.16.4). The constriction channels consist of a sequence of constrictions narrower than the cell nucleus. In our devices, these constrictions are 3-, 5-, or 8-μm wide. Narrower constrictions are used for smaller or more deformable cells (e.g., neutrophils), while larger constrictions are suitable for fibroblasts or other larger cells. Two wider bypass channels are included in the device. These channels serve to maintain an approximately constant perfusion rate (and pressure drop across the constriction channels) in case some of the constriction channels become blocked.

**Figure 22.16.4** Perfusion device design. (A) Overview of the entire device, with the pillars needed to support the PDMS ceiling removed for viewing purposes. (B) Close-up of device constrictions and bypass channels with pillars to reveal additional details. (C) Further close-up view of the constrictions channels. (1) Flushing inlet, to clear blocked constriction channels. (2) Filter to eliminate large dust particulates and cell aggregates. (3) Flushing channels. (4) Inlet for cell suspension. (5) Bypass channels to maintain constant pressure in constriction channels. (6) Constriction channels or microchannels. (7) Device outlet.
with cells or debris. If the device is set up with a constant pressure perfusion, rather
than constant flow rate, as in our setup, these bypass channels are not necessary. The
device also contains an extra inlet that can be used to flush the channels with PBS
if the constriction channels get clogged. Features are also integrated into the design
around the inlet to serve as guides while punching holes.

For an instructional video describing the fabrication process, see video 1 at

It is critical that an aspect ratio (channel width:channel height) of no more than 4:1 is
maintained for any features in the channels to prevent the PDMS ceiling from collapsing
into the channels. Thus, for a channel height of 8 μm, the channel width should not exceed
32 μm.

2. Write the mask design created in step 1 onto a 5-in. mask in the microfabrication
facility using a mask writer.

Several companies also offer custom-produced high resolution masks for a reasonable
price (e.g., Fineline Imaging).

3. Bake 4-in. silicon wafers at 200°C for at least 20 min and allow the wafers to
cool for a few minutes. Pour enough SU-8 2010 on the wafer centered on the spin
coater to cover half the diameter of the wafer, then spin the wafer at 500 rpm for
10 sec (with 100 rpm/second acceleration), and then 3000 rpm for 30 sec (with
300 rpm/second acceleration).

If another type of photoresist is used, follow the manufacturer’s spin-coating recommen-
dations to obtain a 10-μm-thick layer of photoresist.

4. Allow the SU-8 photoresist to relax at room temperature for 10 min.

5. To remove any remaining solvent, bake the wafers on a hot plate at 60°C for 10 min,
allowing the hot plate to ramp up from room temperature with the wafers placed on it.

Take care not to exceed the temperature.

6. Expose the photoresist-coated wafers in a contact aligner with a dose of
250 mJ/cm². The exact exposure time will depend on the specifications of the
instrument. Allow the resist to relax for 1 hr post-exposure.

The exposure dose used here is double the manufacturer’s recommended dose, as we have
found that higher doses improve binding of the SU-8 features to the silicon wafer and
reduce the appearance of cracks without any ill-effects on the structures. However, this
may not be the case for all designs.

7. Post-bake the photoresist coated wafers for 3.5 min at 90°C. Allow the wafers to
cool down on the hot plate, which has been switched off to ensure a slow cool-down.

The structures in the resist should now be visible to the naked eye.

8. Submerge the wafer in SU-8 developer for 5 to 10 min at room temperature, then
rinse the wafer thoroughly with isopropanol and dry with a stream of nitrogen.

If a white precipitate appears upon rinsing with isopropanol, the SU-8 has not been
completely dissolved and the wafer should be rinsed with SU-8 developer and then
placed in a dish with fresh SU-8 developer, since precipitate in the developer will result
in white streaks on the wafer.

9. Hard bake the wafer for 30 min at 200°C.

10. Coat the wafer with an anti-stiction coating, such as (tridecafluoro-1,1,2,2-
tetrahydrooctyl)trichlorosilane, a fluorinated silane (also known as FOTS).

This coating helps prevent PDMS from becoming permanently stuck to the wafer.
Mold the PDMS and assemble the microfluidic device

11. Place the wafer in a 150-mm (diameter) plastic petri dish, with the photoresist features facing up. Combine ∼6 g curing agent and 54 g silicone elastomer base of the Sylgard 184 kit in a disposable container (10% solution by weight). Mix vigorously for a few minutes and then degas the solution under vacuum in a desiccator for 10 to 30 min to remove air bubbles. Pour the degassed solution on top of the wafer; the PDMS layer should be 3- to 5-mm thick to ensure that inlet and outlet tubing can be properly connected to the final device. Place the dish with the wafer and PDMS solution in the oven at 65°C for 2 hr to cure PDMS.

Optionally, once the solution has been poured on top of the wafer, it can be placed under vacuum as well, although this step is usually not necessary. Degassing can also be performed by pouring the solution into a Falcon tube and centrifuging it.

12. Slowly peel off the cured PDMS from the wafer. Tape a piece of packing tape to a laboratory bench (adhesive side up) and then place the side of the PDMS that was in contact with the wafer onto the packing tape to minimize dust accumulation on the PDMS.

13. Cut devices out of the PDMS on a cutting mat with a utility knife, while the packing tape is still adhered to the PDMS. Punch inlet and outlet holes in each device at the designated areas using a 0.75-mm diameter Uni-Core hole-puncher.

14. Clean glass coverslips or glass slides by placing them in a 0.1 M HCl solution for at least 10 min. Rinse the glass slides with ∼20 ml deionized water, followed by ∼10 ml ethanol, and then 20 ml water again. Dry under a stream of compressed air. Only dry glass slides close to the time they are going to be used, to minimize the possibility of dust particles.

Glass slides in the HCl solution will quickly sink to the bottom of their container. Ensure that there is solution in between the pieces of glass and the container by swirling the solution occasionally. Prevent oil in the compressed air system from being sprayed on glass slides by connecting a filter in series with the tubing leading from the compressed air source.

15. Immediately prior to plasma treatment, remove the tape from the devices.

16. Place a piece of glass slide and PDMS, with microstructure side up, side by side in the plasma cleaner. Treat with plasma for 5 min at the highest setting (200 W). Release the vacuum, remove the glass slide and PDMS from the plasma chamber, and immediately bring the two into contact with each other, pressing both parts firmly together. Place the device on a 95°C hot plate for 10 min, and then allow to cool for 10 min at room temperature.

Check if the device has adhered properly by gently trying to peel the PDMS off from the glass slide; if the PDMS becomes detached, then there is a bonding problem. To mediate bonding problems, eliminate sources of dust and oil. For example, wipe down the inside of the plasma cleaner and keep the door closed when not in use. Additionally, gloves are often coated with a surfactant to prevent them from sticking to each other in their packaging; wash gloves thoroughly with 70% ethanol to remove this coating.

Fill and prime the microfluidic device

17. Prepare ∼10 ml of 20 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA) suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Filter the solution in a laminar flow hood (biosafety cabinet) using a 0.2-μm syringe filter to sterilize it.

The BSA does not dissolve quickly; stir in order to more efficiently suspend the solute. An alternative to the BSA solution is a solution of 0.2% I-127 Pluronic in PBS.
18. Passivate each device with 20 mg/ml BSA in PBS solution to prevent cells from adhering to the channel walls as follows:

   a. Using a clean sterile 5-ml syringe, aspirate 1 ml of the sterile filtered BSA solution.
   b. Connect 0.015-in. (i.d.) PEEK tubing to the syringe using a pair of forceps and then insert tubing into the device inlet.
   c. Firmly press on the syringe plunger until the solution can be seen coming out of the other holes of the device.
   d. Continue injecting solution until air bubbles stop appearing in the liquid coming out of the device. Do not remove tubing from the inlet. The device should not contain any air bubbles.

   *The extent to which the device has been filled can be examined under a standard bright-field microscope at low magnification. Bubbles generally form near constriction points and appear lighter in color than the channels that are filled with solution. Continue injecting BSA solution until all bubbles have disappeared.*

19. Inject additional solution using the syringe every 5 to 10 min in order to refresh solution in the device channels and to prevent the inlets and outlet from drying out. Leave the solution in the device for ∼30 min to ensure proper BSA coating.

   *BSA adsorbs onto the surfaces in the microfluidic device, making them less adherent to cells and preventing excessive clogging of the channels.*

**Prepare the cell lines**

20. While priming the microfluidic devices, prepare cell lines to be examined.

   *Each experiment requires at least 1 ml cell suspension, with a cell density of ∼3 × 10^6 cells/ml. Typically, a confluent 75-cm² cell culture flask is more than sufficient to provide the required number of cells.*

21. Rinse the plated cells with ∼10 ml PBS and then detach the cells with 1 ml trypsin solution for 5 min at 37°C. Once the cells are detached, deactivate the trypsin with 4 ml medium containing serum.

22. Count the cells using a hemacytometer.

23. Centrifuge the cells for 4 min at 223 × g, 25°C, and resuspend them in 20 mg/ml BSA in PBS solution at a density of 3 × 10^6 cells/ml.

   *Make sure to repeatedly pipet the cell suspension up and down to break up any cell clumps and to obtain a single-cell suspension, as cell clusters can clog the microfluidic channels.*

24. Remove any remaining cell clusters by passing the cell suspension through a cell strainer with a 40-μm pore size attached to a 50-ml Falcon tube.

25. Assess cell size. Pipet ∼30 μl cell suspension from each sample onto a glass slide and cover with a coverslip. Acquire four to five images with a 10 × objective in bright-field mode, so that 100 to 300 cells are imaged. Use an image analysis program (e.g., ImageJ) to determine the cell size (i.e., cross-sectional area) distribution for each population.

   *To avoid any confounding factors, such as difference in cell size, it is important to only compare samples with similar cell size distributions. Image cells immediately after pipetting on the glass slide, before the cells have attached and spread.*

26. If one wants to minimize the effect of cytoskeletal stiffness or is interested in the effect of the (actin) cytoskeleton on cell transit times, one could treat cells with cytochalasin D. This will depolarize the actin cytoskeleton and the results obtained will be independent of cytoskeleton stiffness.
**Perfuse the cells**

27. Transfer 1 to 2 ml cell suspension into a 15-ml Falcon tube. Attach the tube to a pressure cap for 15-ml tubes.

   *The pressure cap seals and pressurizes the Falcon tube with the cell suspension.*

   A constant flow rate device, e.g., a syringe pump, can also be used to perfuse cells through the microfluidic device. However, if there is significant clogging of the device due to dust particles or cell aggregates, this will result in an increased flow rate in channels that are not blocked. A constant pressure apparatus will maintain a constant flow rate in all channels, even when some of them become blocked.

28. Insert the PEEK tubing into the pressure cap so that the tubing inlet is submerged in the cell suspension near the bottom of the Falcon tube.

   *Cells tend to accumulate in clumps at the very bottom of the Falcon tube. The cell suspension reservoir should be gently agitated every 5 min to keep cells in suspension.*

29. Attach the manometer to a gas tank using PVC tubing. Use the two-stage regulator on the gas tank to set the pressure in the Falcon tube to \( \sim 10 \) psi. Once the cell suspension has filled the tubing and starts flowing out the end, connect the tubing to the inlet of the microfluidic device (see Fig. 22.16.5). At the same time, connect a 5-ml syringe with the 20 mg/ml BSA in PBS solution to a small length of tubing.

---

**Figure 22.16.5** Experimental setup of perfusion device. (1) Pressurized air tank (5% CO\(_2\)) with (2) two-stage pressure regulator to control perfusion pressure. (3) PVC tubing with 5/16-in. i.d. The tubing may be 40’ in length or longer, depending on the distance between the tank and microscope. (4) Manometer or other device for measuring pressure just before the tubing enters cell suspension reservoir. (5) PVC tubing with 0.05-in. i.d. This tubing only needs to be a few feet in length, as its primary purpose is to provide the correct-sized connection to the pressurized cap on the cell suspension reservoir. (6) Cell suspension reservoir—composed of a 15-ml Falcon tube with a pressurized cap with sealed opening for tubing. (7) PEEK tubing with 0.015-in. i.d. (8) Perfusion-based microfluidic device, see Figure 22.16.4 for details. (9) Inverted bright-field microscope with 10× objective and high-speed camera.
(approximately 1 ft) and connect the tubing to the inlet of the flushing channel. The syringe can be used to flush clogged channels, if necessary.

30. Mount the perfusion device on a microscope with video-capture capabilities. Set the microscope to bright-field mode and locate constriction channels of the device. Orient the device and camera so that parallel constriction channels can be simultaneously monitored.

Note microchannels that have become blocked by cells and other debris—it is normal for several channels to become blocked during an experiment. As long as a few constriction channels remain open during the observation period, devices can be used for experiments.

31. Preview device using the camera and image acquisition software (see Fig. 22.16.6). Set the rate of frame capture between 50 fps and 100 fps, depending on the speed that the cells traverse the constrictions. As an approximate guideline, the minimum transit duration should be 2 to 5 frames.

32. Wait for the pressure in the device to equilibrate before acquiring the first video. This may take up to 10 min.

The length of tubing leading from the compressed air tank to the cell suspension reservoir is compliant and can store energy via elastic deformation. Therefore, the pressure gradient will be transient whenever there is a perturbation to the system, including when the pressure is initially turned on and the reading at the tank may not be representative of the pressure elsewhere in the system. This transient pressure can most accurately be monitored by taking pressure measurements near the cell suspension reservoir over time and waiting for the pressure to level off. See Troubleshooting for construction of a manometer to alleviate these inconsistencies.

33. Acquire several videos of 60 to 90 sec duration. Flush channels in between videos as necessary; when cells become lodged in the microchannels, firmly press the plunger of the syringe connected to the flushing channel to remove debris. Wait several minutes for the pressure to equilibrate again before acquiring another video sequence.

34. After finishing perfusion of one cell line, the next cell line should be perfused through a separate microfluidic device using the same protocol.
The pressure regulator should be adjusted based on manometer readings to ensure uniform pressure for all experiments. Additionally, new or sterilized tubing should be used for each cell line. The new tubing should be the same length as in the previous experiment.

Tubing may be cleaned and sterilized by perfusing 10% bleach through the tubing. After 5 min, perfuse deionized water through each tubing length to remove bleach residue.

Analyze the data

35. Measure the time that it takes for each cell to travel through the first constriction of the microfluidic device and the time needed to travel through the entire length of a constriction channel with an image analysis program such as ImageJ. Either of these two transit times may be used for analysis.

Cells usually take the longest amount of time to pass through the first constriction, as the initial deformation occurs here. Cells keep their general deformed shape as they pass through subsequent constrictions and, therefore, often travel through these constrictions much faster.

36. Sum the number of frames needed for each cell to pass through the constriction channels, or first constriction, and convert this to a total cell transit time based on the frame rate.

This step can be done manually in an image analysis software program like ImageJ. Cell tracking can also be automated to quickly analyze large data sets, as described previously (Rosenbluth et al., 2008).

37. Visually verify each transit event in the video sequence. Make sure to include only valid events in the final analysis. Cells should enter the constriction channels one at a time and traverse the entire channel before another cell enters the channel. Cells often pause and deform at each constriction, with the longest pause and largest change in conformation occurring at the first constriction. Examples of invalid events that should be excluded from analysis include: multiple cells traversing one constriction channel at the same time, cell conglomerates clogging a constriction channel, large dust particles, which may be mistaken as cells, or broken-up pieces of cells flowing through the channels.

Expect between 20 and 300 cells, in total, traversing the constriction channels per one minute of video.

38. Compare the distribution of transit times between different cell populations using appropriate statistical tests.

REAGENTS AND SOLUTIONS

Use deionized, distilled water in all recipes and protocol steps. For common stock solutions, see APPENDIX 2A; for suppliers, see SUPPLIERS APPENDIX.

Bovine serum albumin (BSA) solution

Add 200 mg BSA powder to a 50-ml Falcon tube and add phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; see recipe) to obtain a final volume of 10 ml. Mix vigorously until BSA is fully dissolved. Sterilize by passing solution through a 0.2-μm syringe filter. BSA powder should be stored at 4°C. The 20 mg/ml solution of BSA in PBS should be stored up to 1 month at 4°C.

Dulbecco’s PBS

Dissolve the following in 1 liter of deionized water:
- 0.2 g potassium chloride (KCl)
- 0.2 g potassium phosphate monobasic (KH₂PO₄)
- 8 g sodium chloride (NaCl)

continued
2.16 g sodium phosphate dibasic (Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O)
Check pH and adjust to 7.4, if necessary, using 1 M NaOH or 1 M HCl
Autoclave solution to sterilize
Store up to 6 months at room temperature
Alternatively, commercial solutions without calcium or magnesium can be used.

COMMENTARY

Background Information
Several techniques have been developed to probe the mechanical properties of nuclei (see Lammerding et al., 2007; Rowat et al., 2008 for recent reviews). These include single-cell-based techniques, such as atomic force microscopy on living cells, micropipet aspiration of suspended cells, and microneedle manipulation, in which the deformation of the nucleus is observed when strain is locally applied to the part of the cell, as well as global techniques, such as cell compression, in which the deformation of a cell sandwiched between two plates is observed. Some of these techniques can be applied to isolated nuclei, which has the advantage of probing the nucleus directly without the confounding influence of the cytoskeleton. However, nuclear isolation can damage the nucleus and may also alter the mechanical properties of the nucleus, e.g., by osmotic swelling (Dahl et al., 2004).

In the techniques presented here, measurements can be carried out on many cells over a short period of time. In the case of the cell stretching experiment, the number of cells that can be probed is limited to the number of cells that can be observed in the field of view and imaged in the relative short time of stretching (less than 10 min). When acquiring cells from several nearby fields of view, this corresponds to circa ten to fifteen cells per experiment. In the perfusion technique, hundreds of cells can be observed in a matter of minutes. This latter technique can also be used with isolated nuclei or in the presence of cytoskeletal-disrupting drugs to reduce the effect of the cytoskeleton on the perfusion time.

Critical Parameters and Troubleshooting

Basic Protocol 1: Stretching
It is essential to thoroughly clean the strain dishes before plating cells. Our experience shows that this is one of the most critical steps in the experimental procedure and the most common reason cells fail to grow on the silicone membrane. A nother important parameter is cell density. We found that a critical cell density is necessary to achieve viable cells after plating. We recommend conducting pilot studies on the membranes with increasing cell densities to find an optimal setting that ensures high cell viability while minimizing cell-cell contacts.

The maximal membrane strain that can be applied without causing cell damage may vary between different cell types, as some cells can tolerate more membrane strain than others. This parameter should be optimized before performing experiments. We found that for fibroblasts, 5% biaxial or 20% uniaxial strains are generally well tolerated by most cells.

The choice of extracellular matrix type and concentration may be adjusted to achieve maximal cell adhesion for the experiments. Note that cells that are spread very thin may be more sensitive to strain application.

We have successfully acquired additional color channels during the experiments (typically only before strain application), e.g., to identify cells expressing specific GFP- or mCherry-fusion proteins or that have been genetically modified.

Basic Protocol 2: Perfusion
Many factors need to be taken into consideration in the device design, including the size of the constrictions, which will depend on the size of the cells or nuclei that will be perfused, and additional features such as filters for larger particles, flushing channels, and inlets for localized chemical perfusion. One additional aspect that needs to be considered in the design is appropriately sizing structures to avoid collapse of wide channels. This can be achieved by including support pillars in the design located within the channels without blocking the flow. We found that an aspect ratio, i.e., the ratio of channel width to its height, of 4:1 prevents PDMS collapse in most applications. In the case of a device with channels 10-μm tall, this means that the distance between the outer edges of two pillars in a single row should be no more than 40-μm apart.

Poor bonding of PDMS to glass slides will invariably lead to leaky devices. The most likely causes of poor bonding, in our experience, are dirtied bonding surfaces and inadvertently eliminating the plasma treatment by contacting plasma treated surfaces with gloves or tweezers. To troubleshoot this problem,
ensure that all work surfaces are clean and dust-free before beginning work. Placing a piece of packing tape on the microstructured side of the PDMS can also help eliminate dust contamination. Tweezers, gloves, hands, and any other material that may be in close contact with the PDMS or glass slide should be thoroughly washed with soapy water and ethanol.

It is essential for data analysis that the cell populations being analyzed are similar in size. Populations with larger cells will have longer average transit times. To ensure the validity of data, confirm that the populations are similar in size by measuring the area of non-adhered cells on a glass slide. Take four to five images of each glass slide using the 10× objective of a bright-field microscope, then compare the cell size distributions of the different cell populations.

Another key to obtaining consistent data is to ensure that the applied perfusion pressure in each device is uniform between experiments. The pressure reading at the inlet to the device might not always match the pressure reading at the air tank, due to the elastic tubing and compressible air. To monitor the pressure in the device more closely, pressure readings should be conducted near the inlet of the device. For example, the pressure can be monitored by connecting a pressure gauge to the tubing or by constructing a simple manometer (see Fig. 22.16.5).

A manometer is a simple and inexpensive way to accurately track the pressure just before the cell suspension reservoir. A manometer can be constructed by connecting a 500-ml bottle to the tubing system close to the device inlet. Connect tubing coming from the compressed air tank to one side of the bottle and connect another length of tubing to the other side, which will lead to the device inlet. Connect a final piece of tubing to the bottle that will be submerged in the manometer fluid. Use a saturated sugar aqueous solution to increase the density of the fluid in the manometer to \( \sim 1.3 \text{ g/ml} \) and add food coloring to increase fluid visibility. The increased density will result in a lower fluid height in the manometer upon pressurization of the system. For a pressure of 5 psi, the sugar solution height will be \( \sim 2.7 \text{ meters, or 8.9 feet, as opposed to 3.5 meter, or 11.5 feet, for water alone. Wait for the fluid height to equilibrate to help ensure that there is little difference in pressure between experiments.} \)

If alternative channel heights are desired, the microfabrication protocol will need to be modified. The manufacturer's recommenda-

---

**Anticipated Results**

**Basic Protocol 1**

The normalized nuclear strain reflects the nuclear stiffness relative to the cytoskeletal stiffness. Typical values for nuclear strain are 0.1 for mouse embryo fibroblasts for uniaxial strain application (20% strain) and 0.05 to 0.1 for human skin fibroblasts for similar levels of uniaxial strain. Normalized nuclear strain values of 0.3 and higher indicate extremely deformable nuclei, e.g., in lamin A/C-deficient cells. Occasionally, we observe single cells with nuclear strain values as high as 1, but this is quite rare. Nuclear strain values of 0 correspond to extremely stiff nuclei that do not show any nuclear deformation under strain. Negative nuclear strain values mean that the nucleus contracted during strain application and often indicate cells that became damaged or detached during strain application.

Since the normalized nuclear strain reports nuclear stiffness relative to the cytoskeletal stiffness, it is important to evaluate cells' potential changes in cytoskeletal structure and mechanics when comparing results from different cell lines. This can be done by magnetic bead microrheology (Lammerding et al., 2004) and complemented by immunofluorescence analysis of cytoskeletal filaments.

**Basic Protocol 2**

For cells passing through narrow constrictions with a diameter smaller than the size of the nucleus, the deformation of the large and relatively stiff nucleus presents the rate-limiting step in the transit process. Thus, cells with stiffer, less deformable nuclei will take longer to pass through the narrow constrictions than cells with more pliable nuclei. When perfusing mouse embryo fibroblasts through 5-μm wide constrictions, we typically observe transit times between 20 to 200 msec, with some cells taking as long as a few seconds to
pass the constrictions. In addition to comparing the mean transit times, it can also be helpful to plot a histogram of the transit times for each cell line, as such a histogram can reveal the fraction of cells that become (transiently) stuck in the narrow constrictions. Lastly, performing additional experiments with perfusion devices with constrictions larger than the nuclear size can serve as an important control, as differences in nuclear mechanics between populations should have no effect on transit times with these settings.

### Time Considerations

**Basic Protocol 1**
- Assembling strain devices: 2 hr
- Culturing cells: 3 days
- Stretching cells: 1 hr per dish

**Basic Protocol 2**
- Microfabrication: 1 day
- Microfluidic device fabrication: 3 hr
- Perfusion experiment: 2 hr
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