Use of Compound-Specific Stable Isotope Analysis to Distinguish Between Vapor Intrusion and Indoor Sources of VOCs

ESTCP Project ER-201025
TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION .............................................................................................................. 1
  1.1 BACKGROUND ........................................................................................................... 1
  1.2 OBJECTIVE OF THE DEMONSTRATION .............................................................. 3
  1.3 REGULATORY DRIVERS ........................................................................................ 3

2.0 TECHNOLOGY ................................................................................................................. 5
  2.1 TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION ................................................................................. 5
    2.1.1 Isotope Analysis ............................................................................................... 5
    2.1.2 Isotope Ratio Analysis .................................................................................... 5
    2.1.3 Application to Vapor Intrusion ...................................................................... 6
  2.2 TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .............................................................................. 8
  2.3 ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF THE TECHNOLOGY .................................. 9

3.0 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES ..................................................................................... 10
  3.1 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 1: COLLECTION OF DATA REPRESENTATIVE OF SITE CONDITIONS ......................................................................................................................... 12
    3.1.1 Data Requirements ......................................................................................... 12
    3.1.2 Success Criteria ......................................................................................... 12
  3.2 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 2: VALIDATION OF DRAFT PROTOCOL FOR USE OF CSIA TO EVALUATE VAPOR INTRUSION ............................................................... 12
    3.2.1 Data Requirements ......................................................................................... 12
    3.2.2 Success Criteria ......................................................................................... 12
  3.3 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 3: VALIDATION OF DRAFT PROTOCOL FOR IDENTIFICATION OF BOTH INDOOR AND SUBSURFACE SOURCES ............................................................... 13
    3.3.1 Data Requirements ......................................................................................... 13
    3.3.2 Success Criteria ......................................................................................... 13
  3.4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 4: IMPLEMENTABILITY AND COST EFFECTIVENESS ............................................................................................................................... 13
    3.4.1 Data Requirements ......................................................................................... 13
    3.4.2 Success Criteria ......................................................................................... 13

4.0 SITE DESCRIPTION ....................................................................................................... 14
  4.1 SITE LOCATION AND HISTORY ............................................................................. 14
  4.2 SITE GEOLOGY, HYDROGEOLOGY, AND CONTAMINANT DISTRIBUTION ................................................................................................................................. 17

5.0 TEST DESIGN ................................................................................................................. 20
  5.1 CONCEPTUAL EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN ............................................................ 20
    5.1.1 Conventional Program - Collection of Indoor Air and Sub-Slab Soil Gas Samples .......................................................................................................................... 20
    5.1.2 Collection of Samples for Stable Isotope Analysis ............................................. 21
    5.1.3 Protocol for Use of On-Site Analysis for Vapor Intrusion .................................... 22
  5.2 BASELINE CHARACTERIZATION ........................................................................... 22
  5.3 LABORATORY STUDY RESULTS ............................................................................ 22
  5.4 DESIGN AND LAYOUT OF TECHNOLOGY COMPONENTS .................................. 22
    5.4.1 Sampling Points for Conventional Samples ...................................................... 22
    5.4.2 Sampling Points for CSIA Samples .................................................................. 23

ESTCP Final Report: Use of CSIA to Distinguish between VI and Indoor Sources
8.0 IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES ........................................................................................................ 61
9.0 REFERENCES .......................................................................................................................... 62

TABLES

Table 1: Performance Objectives .................................................................................................. 11
Table 2: Demonstration Buildings ............................................................................................... 17
Table 3: Demonstration Site Geology/Hydrogeology and Key Contaminants ............................. 18
Table 4: Summary of Conventional Vapor Intrusion Sampling Program .................................. 21
Table 5: Summary of CSIA for Vapor Intrusion Sampling Program ........................................ 21
Table 6: Laboratory Analytical Methods for Demonstration ..................................................... 26
Table 7: Summary of Demonstration Program ........................................................................... 29
Table 8: Key Analytical Parameters .......................................................................................... 30
Table 9: VI Classification using Lines of Evidence Approach .................................................. 31
Table 10: Numeric Standards Used for VI Classifications ......................................................... 31
Table 11: Conventional Program Results .................................................................................... 33
Table 12: CSIA Protocol Results ................................................................................................ 35
Table 13: On-Site Analysis Protocol Results ............................................................................. 37
Table 14: Isotope Ratios for Benzene in Natural Gas .................................................................. 38
Table 15: CSIA Holding Time Evaluation .................................................................................... 43
Table 16: Summary of CSIA Data Quality Evaluation ................................................................. 43
Table 17: VI Classification based on Investigation Method ....................................................... 44
Table 18: Isotope Ratios for TCE in Planted Source .................................................................... 46
Table 19: Results from Active vs. Passive Sampling ................................................................. 47
Table 20: Cost Model for the Field Demonstration .................................................................... 54
Table 21: Typical Consultant Labor Requirements for Project Planning .................................. 55
Table 22: Representative Unit Costs for CSIA Demonstration .................................................. 55
Table 23: Analytical Costs for CSIA .......................................................................................... 56
Table 24: Typical Labor Requirements for Data Evaluation and Reporting ............................... 56
Table 25: Estimated Cost of Conventional Source Removal for One Building ....................... 58
Table 26: Estimated Cost of Focused On-Site GC/MS Analysis Protocol for One Building ... 59
Table 27: Estimated Cost of CSIA Protocol for One Building .................................................. 60
Table 28: Cost Comparison ........................................................................................................ 60

FIGURES

Figure 1: Conceptual Diagram of Basis for Use of CSIA to Distinguish between Indoor and Subsurface VOCs Sources ................................................................................................. 7
Figure 2: Building-Specific Field Testing Schedule .................................................................. 20
Figure 3: Advantages and Disadvantages of Sample Locations for Characterization of the Subsurface VOC Isotope Signature .................................................................................. 24
Figure 4: Interpretation of CSIA Results .................................................................................... 34
Figure 5: Example CSIA Chromatogram .................................................................................. 41
Figure 6: Building with Planted Indoor TCE Source ................................................................. 46
Figure 7: Comparison of Paired Groundwater and Sub-Slab TCE Isotope Ratios ................... 48
Figure 8: Lewis-McChord Building 9669 CSIA Results ............................................................ 49
APPENDICES

Appendix A: Points of Contact
Appendix B: Lines of Evidence Evaluations
Appendix C: Results from Individual Demonstration Sites
Appendix D: Data Quality Review and Laboratory Reports
Appendix E: Recommended Protocol
# ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1-TCA</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-DCA</td>
<td>1,2-dichloroethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bgs</td>
<td>Below ground surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTEX</td>
<td>Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,2-DCE</td>
<td>cis-1,2-dichloroethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COC</td>
<td>Chemical of concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIA</td>
<td>Compound-specific stable isotope analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cVOC</td>
<td>Chlorinated volatile organic compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ</td>
<td>Delta, an Isotope Ratio Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQO</td>
<td>Data quality objective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESTCP</td>
<td>Environmental Security Technology Certification Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUDS</td>
<td>Formerly Used Defense Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft</td>
<td>Foot, feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Gas chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCs</td>
<td>Hydrocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC</td>
<td>Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDW</td>
<td>Investigation derived waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRMS</td>
<td>Isotope ratio mass spectrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Non-applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH</td>
<td>Polyaromatic hydrocarbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per mil (%)</td>
<td>Parts per thousand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppbV</td>
<td>Parts per billion by volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA</td>
<td>Quality assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAPP</td>
<td>Quality assurance project plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC</td>
<td>Quality control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sq ft</td>
<td>Square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGA</td>
<td>Trace Atmospheric Gas Analyzer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>Trichloroethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THQ</td>
<td>Target Hazard Quotient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USEPA</td>
<td>United States Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UST</td>
<td>Underground storage tank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-PDB</td>
<td>Vienna - Pee Dee Belemnite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-SMOW</td>
<td>Vienna – Standard Mean Ocean Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>Vinyl chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Vapor intrusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOA</td>
<td>Volatile organic analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Volatile organic compound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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OBJECTIVES OF THE DEMONSTRATION

Indoor sources of VOCs are ubiquitous, resulting in detectable concentrations in indoor air, often at levels exceeding regulatory screening criteria. At corrective actions sites with potential vapor intrusion concerns, the presence of indoor VOC sources significantly complicates the exposure pathway evaluation. Because of these indoor sources, the detection of a site-related VOC in a potentially affected building does not necessarily indicate a vapor intrusion impact. However, because conventional investigation methods often do not clearly identify the source of VOCs, additional rounds of sampling are commonly required.

The overall goal of this demonstration was to validate use of compound-specific stable isotope analysis (CSIA) to distinguish between vapor intrusion and indoor sources of VOCs. As part of this project, a step-by-step protocol has been developed which can be used to provide an independent line of evidence to determine whether or not buildings are impacted by vapor intrusion.

TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION

Many elements, such as carbon, occur as different isotope species, differing in their number of neutrons present in the nucleus. For example, $^{12}\text{C}$, with 6 neutrons, is the most abundant form of carbon. $^{13}\text{C}$, with 7 neutrons, makes up a small fraction (~1%) of the carbon in the environment. Isotopic ratios ($^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$) of a specific compound (e.g., TCE) can vary as a result of differences in their source material or compound synthesis or due to transformation in the environment (USEPA, 2008). Differences in the isotopic ratio measured in organic contaminants present in environmental samples can be used to i) distinguish between different sources of the contaminants and ii) understand biodegradation and other transformation processes occurring in the environment.

While CSIA has been applied to groundwater investigations, its applicability to vapor intrusion assessments has only recently been explored (e.g., McHugh et al., 2011). As part of this ESTCP project, we have evaluated the applicability of CSIA for vapor intrusion and have developed a step-by-step protocol for investigations using CSIA. This protocol includes a decision matrix to guide users who may be unfamiliar with isotope analyses.

DEMONSTRATION RESULTS

The field investigation program included application of the CSIA protocol at four Department of Defense (DoD) sites. To evaluate the validity of this investigation approach, we also conducted conventional vapor intrusion and on-site GC/MS analysis protocol (ESTCP Project ER-201119) investigations at the same buildings. In two of four buildings, the CSIA approach yielded results consistent with the other investigation methods. In another building, a spray can was planted in a closet; the CSIA approach correctly identified an indoor source as being the source of VOCs in indoor air. In the fourth building, the CSIA approach was better than the other approaches in that it provided clear and strong evidence of an indoor source while the other methods yielded ambiguous results.

Overall, the demonstration results validated the CSIA protocol as a useful tool for distinguishing between vapor intrusion and indoor sources of VOCs.
IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

The CSIA protocol for vapor intrusion is not a standalone investigation approach. The CSIA protocol is most useful in buildings which have previously been sampled, in which investigation results show VOC concentrations near or above regulatory screening levels. In these buildings, differentiating between indoor and subsurface sources becomes critical for site- and risk-management.

Advantages of the CSIA protocol include:

- **Less intrusive** than an intensive (manual) source identification and removal effort commonly used in conventional investigations; and

- **Less training** needed to implement the protocol, as compared to other source identification methods (i.e., on-site GC/MS analysis [ER-201119]).

Limitations on the use of the CSIA protocol include:

- **Sample collection methods.** Sample collection using adsorbent tubes and pumps is slightly more complicated than sample collection using Summa canisters. This limitation can be mitigated by identifying a sampling team with prior experience using USEPA Method TO-17.

- **Potential for inconclusive results.** Interpretation of CSIA results is largely a matter of pattern-matching. If the isotope composition of subsurface VOCs is within the range commonly observed for VOCs in consumer products, there is more uncertainty in data interpretation. Because of this limitation, the investigation protocol recommends characterization of the subsurface source either prior to collection of indoor air samples or in conjunction with sampling at the first one or two buildings included in a site investigation. The investigation method should be applied as part of a larger indoor air sampling program only when the subsurface source has been found to be distinct from most potential indoor sources.

- **Issues with hydrocarbon sites.** At chlorinated hydrocarbon sites, two isotope ratios can be developed (δ¹³C and δ³⁷Cl from TCE), providing more data for interpretation. At petroleum hydrocarbon sites, it may not be practical to analyze for both relevant isotope ratios (δ¹³C and δ²H from benzene). CSIA for hydrogen requires a large sample mass which, in turn, may require an overly long sample collection period. Other potential issues include saturation of the sorbent tubes and interference from other hydrocarbon compounds which may complicate the laboratory analysis. Coordination with the analytical laboratory is important to mitigate these risks.

- **High concentrations of VOCs in indoor air.** In some buildings, indoor sources may cause indoor air concentrations to exceed screening levels by a large margin (e.g., >10x screening levels). In these buildings, additional CSIA sampling may be helpful after indoor source removal, to account for uncertainty in isotope mixing and potential low-level vapor intrusion.
1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this project is to validate the application of compound-specific stable isotope analysis (CSIA) as a tool to distinguish between vapor intrusion (VI) and indoor sources of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The specific goals of the project are as follows:

- **Task 1**: Validate the use of active adsorbent samplers for the collection of vapor-phase samples for carbon, chlorine, and hydrogen CSIA of VOCs (i.e., tetrachloroethylene (PCE), trichloroethylene (TCE), and benzene) that commonly drive vapor intrusion investigations.

- **Task 2**: Develop a protocol for application of CSIA for vapor intrusion investigations: i) Characterize the stable isotope signatures for common indoor sources of VOCs; ii) Characterize the stable isotope signatures of subsurface sources of VOCs and the variability in these signatures in close proximity to potentially affected buildings; and iii) Develop a protocol for application of CSIA to distinguish between vapor intrusion and indoor sources of VOCs.

- **Task 3**: Demonstrate CSIA for vapor intrusion investigations: Demonstrate the performance of the CSIA protocol through application at four different U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) sites potentially affected by vapor intrusion.

Task 1 was accomplished through a laboratory study which i) identified and validated the use of an adsorbent (Carboxen 1016) for sample collection, ii) optimized an analysis method, and iii) developed a streamlined laboratory study process in the event that additional target analytes are identified (Kuder et al., 2012).

Task 2 was accomplished through characterization of indoor and subsurface source isotopic signatures and development of an investigation protocol for using CSIA to distinguish between indoor VOC sources and vapor intrusion (GSI, 2012c).

This report summarizes the results of Task 3. Findings from the Task 3 field demonstrations were used to refine the investigation protocol. The revised protocol is provided in Appendix E of this report.

1.1 BACKGROUND

Indoor sources of VOCs are ubiquitous, resulting in detectable concentrations in indoor air, often at concentrations above regulatory screening levels. In residences, background concentrations of PCE, TCE, benzene, and several other VOCs commonly exceed regulatory screening levels (USEPA, 2011; Dawson and McAlary, 2009). The background concentration of VOCs in indoor air can increase or decrease over time based on changes in the use of these VOCs in consumer products. At corrective action sites with potential vapor intrusion concerns, the presence of indoor VOC sources significantly complicates the exposure pathway investigation. Because of these indoor sources, the detection of a site-related VOC in a potentially affected building at a concentration above the regulatory screening level does not necessarily indicate a vapor intrusion...
impact. Additional investigation is typically required to determine the sources of the detected VOCs.

Currently, the most common approaches for identification of indoor sources of VOCs during vapor intrusion investigations are i) visual building surveys, and ii) room-by-room measurement of VOC concentrations. Both of these approaches have limitations, as described below:

**Visual survey:** Most vapor intrusion guidance documents recommend visual identification and removal of indoor sources of VOCs prior to collecting indoor air samples for VOC analysis (e.g., USEPA, 2002). However, this approach has limited effectiveness because many indoor sources of VOCs are not identified by visual inspection and some identified sources (e.g., carpet, furniture, etc.) cannot easily be removed. For VOCs with indoor air screening concentrations close to 1 µg/m³ (e.g., benzene, TCE, and PCE), a one-gram source (i.e., approximately 1 mL) emitted into indoor air over a one-year period can result in a sustained exceedance of the indoor air screening concentration over that time. Although less prevalent than in the past, a wide variety of consumer products still contain high concentrations of PCE and/or TCE including spot remover, hobby glues, metal polish, gun cleaner, and lubricant spray. Product labeling laws are complex and subject to varying interpretations resulting in inconsistencies regarding identification of product ingredients. Although the primary ingredients are often identified on the labels, “inert ingredients” and incidental contaminants are often not identified. For example, some brands of self-defense pepper spray use TCE as the carrier solvent, resulting in a product that is >90% TCE. However, TCE is not required to be identified on the product label because it is not an “active ingredient” for the purpose of self-defense.

As a further complication, changes in manufacturing over time also result in temporal changes in product composition. Manufacturers of consumer products (e.g., cleaning agents, repair kits) may switch from one chemical agent to another (e.g., from TCE to methylene chloride) so that currently available information on ingredients does not reflect the composition of the product manufactured a few years ago. Similarly, a recent change in manufacturing processes has resulted in newly manufactured hard plastic objects (e.g., Christmas ornaments) serving as a source of 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) to indoor air (Doucette et al., 2009). All of these factors complicate the use of visual surveys to identify indoor sources of VOCs.

**Room-by-room sampling:** The distribution of VOCs within a building can provide a strong indication of the location of the indoor source (i.e., the VOC concentration is highest in the room containing the indoor source) or the entry point for subsurface vapors. As a result, a room-by-room sampling program can be effective for distinguishing between vapor intrusion and indoor sources of VOCs. However, such an approach can be both expensive and time consuming. When using an off-site laboratory, the investigation of a single building is likely to take at least 3-4 weeks (assuming two rounds of sampling and 1 to 2 weeks for off-site analysis) and result in over $2.4-4.8K in analytical costs (e.g., 12 samples at $200 to $400 per sample, not including sample collection and data interpretation costs). In addition, such a program would require access to the building on at least two different occasions, which can be difficult for off-site buildings or buildings not operated by the responsible party. Use of on-site analysis can decrease the time required to conduct room-by-room sampling by providing real-time results that facilitate the collection of source confirmation samples. However, the required equipment is very expensive (e.g., $120K to purchase a HAPSITE portable gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer.
(GC/MS) or approximately $5 to 10K per day for use of the USEPA Trace Atmospheric Gas Analyzer (TAGA) or similar mobile laboratory capable of TO-15 analyses). In addition, this equipment has limited availability, potentially causing delays in field investigation programs. As a result, room-by-room sampling to identify the source of VOCs detected in indoor air is impractical for many vapor intrusion investigations.

If CSIA is demonstrated to provide reliable discrimination between subsurface and indoor sources of VOCs detected in indoor air samples, then the use of CSIA would dramatically simplify the building investigation program required to distinguish between vapor intrusion and indoor sources of VOCs.

1.2 OBJECTIVE OF THE DEMONSTRATION

The overall goal of this project was to develop a reliable protocol for incorporating CSIA into vapor intrusion investigations. The objectives of the demonstration (Task 3) were to apply the draft protocol at four sites, evaluate its performance, and refine it as indicated by the demonstration results.

The performance evaluation serves to validate the various aspects of the draft protocol (Section 5 of GSI, 2012c) including sample collection methods, analysis methods, and the data interpretation process. This evaluation also serves to refine our understanding of the variability in isotope ratios for both indoor sources and subsurface sources of target VOCs.

1.3 REGULATORY DRIVERS

At a limited number of sites in the U.S., migration of VOCs from contaminated groundwater via vapor phase diffusion has impacted indoor air quality in overlying structures, posing a potentially significant, yet previously unrecognized human health concern for such properties. To address this concern, the USEPA has issued the “Draft Guidance for Evaluating the Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Pathway from Groundwater and Soils,” (USEPA, 2002), providing conservative screening criteria for various VOCs in groundwater and soil gas. These conservative screening values eliminate few sites and, as a result, a majority of sites with VOCs in groundwater require field investigation of the vapor intrusion pathway. We expect that updated USEPA vapor intrusion guidance due in 2013/2014 will include increased requirements for testing of indoor air during vapor intrusion investigations. When implementing these new requirements, accurate methods to distinguish vapor intrusion from indoor sources of VOCs will be important to facilitate efficient investigation approaches and reduced investigation costs.

Indoor air testing may be conducted using either traditional investigation methods (i.e., collection of sub-slab and indoor air samples using Summa canisters), advanced investigation methods such as CSIA or on-site GC/MS analysis (e.g., ESTCP Project ER-201119), or a combination of methods. The likelihood that the traditional investigation method will provide definitive results depends on a number of factors including most importantly:

1. The conservatism of the data evaluation: Traditional investigation results are typically evaluated using a multiple lines of evidence approach that includes both quantitative measures and qualitative measures. If concentrations of chemicals of concern (COCs) in indoor air exceed the applicable screening levels, then the likelihood of indoor sources is
evaluated based on the distribution of COCs in subslab and indoor air samples. This qualitative evaluation relies on the professional judgment of the stakeholders. In some cases, indoor air concentrations greater than 1% to 10% of the subslab concentration are taken as strong evidence of indoor sources. In other cases, indoor air concentrations less than the maximum subslab concentration are considered sufficient evidence of potential vapor intrusion to merit additional investigation. When a more conservative data evaluation approach is used, it is more likely that a traditional investigation method will not yield a definitive result.

2. The prevalence of indoor and ambient sources for the COCs: Indoor and ambient sources of benzene and many other hydrocarbons are ubiquitous, resulting in indoor air concentrations that exceed a $10^{-6}$ risk level in almost all buildings. Sources of chlorinated VOCs vary by compound. Approximately 50% of buildings have PCE concentrations that exceed a $10^{-6}$ risk level due to indoor sources, and 5-10% of buildings have TCE concentrations that exceed a $10^{-6}$ risk level due to indoor sources (Dawson and McAlary, 2009). In contrast, most buildings have no detectable indoor sources of 1,1-DCE or vinyl chloride. The concentration of 1,2-DCA in indoor air has increased significantly in recent years (Kurtz et al., 2010), a change attributable to plastic decorations (Doucette et al., 2009). If a site investigation includes COCs with common indoor sources such that background indoor air concentrations commonly exceed applicable screening levels, then it is more likely that a traditional investigation method will not yield a definitive result.
2.0 TECHNOLOGY

The technology being demonstrated for this project is the application of CSIA to distinguish between vapor intrusion and indoor sources of VOCs.

2.1 TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION

2.1.1 Isotope Analysis

Many elements, such as carbon, occur as different isotope species, differing in their number of neutrons present in the nucleus. For example, $^{12}\text{C}$, with 6 neutrons, is the most abundant form of carbon, but $^{13}\text{C}$, with 7 neutrons, makes up a small fraction of the carbon in the environment (~1%). Isotopic ratios (e.g., the ratio of $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$) of a specific compound (e.g., TCE) can vary as a result of differences in their source material or compound synthesis or due to transformation in the environment (USEPA, 2008). Differences in the isotopic ratio measured in organic contaminants present in environmental samples can be used to i) distinguish between different sources of the contaminants and ii) understand biodegradation and other transformation processes occurring in the environment.

CSIA measures the carbon, chlorine, and/or hydrogen isotope ratios for individual chemicals. Such differences in environmental samples are used to identify different pollutant sources or to understand pollutant transformation processes (USEPA, 2008). CSIA involves the separation of chemical compounds using GC, followed by conversion of the separated target compound to an easily measurable surrogate compound (e.g., CO$_2$ for $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ measurements) in an inline reactor. Finally, the abundance of stable isotopes of the surrogate compound is measured by isotope ratio mass spectrometry. For $^{37}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, owing to the relatively high abundance of $^{37}\text{Cl}$, CSIA methods have been devised that use conventional GC/MS analysis (similar to that of USEPA Method 8260) thereby eliminating the need for conversion of the target chemical to a surrogate compound (Sakaguchi et al., 2007).

While the ability to analyze isotope ratios in single-compound samples dates back to the first half of the last century, CSIA is still a relatively new approach. Commercially available CSIA instrumentation was introduced two decades ago, initially only for carbon and nitrogen isotopes (Sessions, 2006) but more recently also for hydrogen and chlorine isotopes (Sessions, 2006; Sakaguchi et al., 2007). Applications of CSIA in environmental contaminant studies appeared shortly after the instrumentation became available (e.g., Sherwood-Lollar et al., 1999), and were almost exclusively centered on aqueous and sediment samples. In the past decade, CSIA evolved from purely academic research to a technique with widespread application in environmental cleanup projects. The increased practical interest in CSIA is illustrated by the recent USEPA publication of a CSIA guidance document (USEPA, 2008).

2.1.2 Isotope Ratio Analysis

Stable isotope analysis of carbon, chlorine, or hydrogen involves measurement of the relative abundance of the two stable isotopes of the element (e.g., $^{12}\text{C}$ and $^{13}\text{C}$). However, the results are not reported as a direct ratio of the isotopes. In order to ensure inter-laboratory comparability and accuracy, these ratios are expressed relative to an international standard (typically V-PDB for carbon and V-SMOW for hydrogen). Measured values are compared to the standard and reported...
as $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}$, and $\delta^{2}\text{H}$ respectively. These terms are defined as illustrated in Equation 1 below for carbon.

$$
\delta^{13}\text{C}(\text{‰}) = \frac{\left(\frac{^{13}\text{C}}{^{12}\text{C}}\right)_{\text{sample}} - \left(\frac{^{13}\text{C}}{^{12}\text{C}}\right)_{\text{standard}}}{\left(\frac{^{13}\text{C}}{^{12}\text{C}}\right)_{\text{standard}}} \times 1000
$$

For manufactured products (i.e., potential indoor sources), the correction for the international standard typically results in negative values for the reported isotope ratios. Fractionation effects that result in enrichment of the lighter isotope (e.g., $^{12}\text{C}$) in the sample result in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ isotope ratio values that are more negative (i.e., larger negative values). Fractionation effects that result in enrichment in the heavier isotope (e.g., $^{13}\text{C}$) result in isotope ratio values that are less negative (or even positive).

### 2.1.3 Application to Vapor Intrusion

Various processes can change the isotope ratios of a compound (so-called isotope fractionation). Molecular bonds containing the lighter isotopes are broken at slightly faster rates than those containing the heavier isotopes. As a result, the isotopic ratio for a compound can change over time as the compound is biodegraded in the subsurface. The parent compound (e.g., TCE) becomes relatively enriched in heavy isotopes (i.e., less negative $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}$ values), while transformation products (e.g., cis-1,2-DCE) end up with less of the heavy isotopes (i.e., more negative $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}$ values). While physical processes such as evaporation and sorption can also cause fractionation at contaminated sites, these processes are often too subtle to have a measurable effect on isotope ratios, except for hydrogen.

The proposed investigation approach involves i) determination of stable isotope ratios of the target VOCs present in the air ($^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$, $^{37}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ for PCE and TCE; $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ and $^{2}\text{H}/^{1}\text{H}$ in the case of benzene) and ii) use of those ratios to differentiate between VOCs sourced from the subsurface (true vapor intrusion) and those sourced from miscellaneous household products. The conceptual basis for application of CSIA to vapor intrusion is illustrated in Figure 1. The basic hypothesis is that:

1. Isotope ratios for VOCs originating from different manufactured sources have isotope ratios within a defined range (Figure 1, Panel A). This range is small compared to the range of isotope ratios created by isotope fractionation effects that occur in the subsurface.
2. VOCs originating from subsurface sources commonly undergo biodegradation in groundwater and later in the unsaturated soil prior to entering indoor air. Individual molecules that contain the lighter isotopes are often preferentially biodegraded, resulting in enrichment of the heavier isotope species in the undegraded residue (Figure 1, Panel B). This enrichment process is known as isotope fractionation.
3. The consequence of isotope fractionation is that isotope composition of VOCs originating from the subsurface is often clearly different than that of pristine (undegraded) manufactured products acting as indoor sources of the same VOCs (Figure 1, Panel C).
4. This difference allows the successful differentiation between VOCs from indoor sources and those from true vapor intrusion sources (Figure 1, Panel D).

The proposed methodology for determination of isotope ratios in VOCs present in air or in soil gas involves i) recovery/preconcentration of the target volatiles from soil gas or from indoor air by sample processing by standard methods such as those described in USEPA Methods TO-15 or TO-17 (USEPA 1999a; USEPA 1999b) and ii) analysis of the collected samples for their isotope ratios, using CSIA adopted from the protocols used for analysis of the same VOCs present in groundwater samples (USEPA, 2008).

Figure 1: Conceptual Diagram of Basis for Use of CSIA to Distinguish between Indoor and Subsurface VOCs Sources
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Interpretation of the origin of VOCs in indoor air based on CSIA results will be relatively straightforward in comparison to traditional vapor intrusion investigation methods. The isotope ratios from VOCs in indoor air will be directly compared to those from the subsurface source and those measured in a variety of available consumer products. Isotope ratios dissimilar from the subsurface source but similar to the values characteristic of, for example, TCE present in household products is a strong indication that the latter is responsible for the indoor air contamination (see Figure 1, Panel D, Example A). On the other hand, the isotope ratios of TCE in indoor air can be similar to the subsurface sources and different from indoor sources, confirming the impact of vapor intrusion (Figure 1, Panel D, Example B).

2.2 TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT

In their December 2008 guide, the USEPA states that “Currently, CSIA is in transition from a research tool to an applied method that is well integrated into comprehensive plans for management of contaminated sites.” For groundwater contaminants, CSIA has been applied at more than 50 sites over the last 10 years to distinguish between different sources of the same contaminant and to document the occurrence of biodegradation or other transformation processes. Although CSIA is well validated for groundwater, additional work is required to validate the use of CSIA to distinguish between vapor intrusion and indoor sources of VOCs. This technology demonstration project will extend the application of CSIA techniques to vapor-phase samples to provide an effective tool to distinguish between vapor intrusion and indoor sources of VOCs. For this application, the isotopic signatures of individual VOCs in an indoor air sample will be compared to the isotopic signatures from local indoor and local subsurface sources of the same VOCs. A match between the isotopic signature of the indoor air sample and either the indoor or the subsurface source is expected to provide a clear identification of the primary source of the VOC in the indoor air sample. Key components for application of CSIA to vapor intrusion have been validated through work completed in Tasks 1 and 2 of this ESTCP project:

Active Sorbent Sample Collection and Analysis Method: CSIA requires a 100 to 1000 ng of an individual VOC in order to obtain a clear isotope signature. For indoor air samples, up to 100 L of air may be required for CSIA analysis. Sampling this volume of air requires use of a sorbent to capture and concentrate the VOCs of interest. Use of a sorbent allows the transfer of contaminants from a large volume of air to a small volume of sorbent, eliminating the problems associated with large volumes and low concentrations. For Task 1 of this project, a laboratory study was completed that validated the use of active sorbent sampling using Carboxen 1016 for the collection of indoor air samples for the analysis of isotope ratios of PCE, TCE, or benzene. In addition, a streamlined procedure was developed for validation of other sorbents or target analytes (Kuder et al., 2012).

Characterization of Indoor and Subsurface Sources: The typical range of carbon and chlorine isotope ratios for PCE and TCE sources and the typical range of carbon and hydrogen isotope ratios for benzene sources have been determined by compilation of literature studies supplemented by additional laboratory measurements. The results of this analysis are presented in GSI, 2012c.
Investigation Protocol: The protocol (Section 5 of GSI, 2012c) was based on the results of Project ER-201025 Task 1 and Task 2. This protocol was tested through implementation at four demonstration sites discussed below.

2.3 ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF THE TECHNOLOGY

As illustrated in Figure 1 above, CSIA can be used to identify the source of a chemical (i.e., indoor source vs. vapor intrusion) present in indoor air based on the measured isotope ratio. This analysis is independent of other common lines of evidence used to identify VOC sources such as attenuation factors and concentration ratios. In most cases, CSIA will be able to provide evidence of the source of a VOC based on the analysis of as few as one subsurface sample (e.g., groundwater) and one indoor air sample. As a result, CSIA is a cost-effective vapor intrusion investigation method that can be used as the primary line of evidence for source identification or in conjunction with other lines of evidence.

With respect to sample collection, the main limitation of the CSIA approach is the sample collection method required for indoor air samples. In order to obtain sufficient sample mass for analysis, the sample must be collected using an adsorbent tube and pump, such as that specified by USEPA Method TO-17. Although this equipment is readily available, the use is slightly more complicated than Summa canisters and some field personnel may not be familiar with its operation. This limitation can be mitigated by identifying a sampling team with prior experience in sample collection using USEPA Method TO-17.

A second limitation is the potential for inconclusive results. If the isotope composition of subsurface VOCs is within the range commonly observed for VOCs in consumer products, then CSIA is likely to yield inconclusive results (i.e., the isotope ratio measured for the target VOC in indoor air may match both the subsurface source and potential indoor sources). This limitation may apply at up to 50% of candidate sites (GSI, 2012c). Because of this limitation, the investigation protocol recommends characterization of the subsurface source either prior to collection of indoor air samples or in conjunction with sampling at the first one or two buildings included in a site investigation. The investigation method should be applied as part of a larger indoor air sampling program only when the subsurface source has been found to be distinct from most potential indoor sources.
3.0 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

The hypothesis for this demonstration project is that the site-specific application of CSIA to a limited number of indoor air and subsurface (water and/or soil gas) samples will allow the user to distinguish between indoor and subsurface sources of VOCs in indoor air, providing a valuable tool for source identification (i.e., indoor vs. subsurface). However, other investigation tools will still be required to address other aspects of the vapor intrusion pathway such as determining whether VOC concentrations in indoor air are above a regulatory screening level and evaluating temporal variability.

The overall objective of the demonstration was to validate the draft protocol for the application of CSIA to distinguish between vapor intrusion and indoor sources of VOCs. The demonstration was done in the field at “full-scale”, that is, in typical buildings subject to vapor intrusion investigations. This objective was met by:

1) Applying the draft protocol to one to two buildings with vapor intrusion concerns at each of four demonstration sites,
2) Utilizing the results obtained from the protocol to determine the vapor intrusion conditions in the buildings,
3) Conducting additional sampling in each building consisting of i) samples typically collected for a conventional vapor intrusion investigation and ii) application of the draft protocol for use of on-site GC/MS analysis for the investigation of vapor intrusion (from ER-201119), and
4) Comparing the interpretation of the additional sampling to the interpretation from the CSIA results in order to determine the reliability and comparability of the different investigation approaches.

Specific performance objectives are summarized in Table 1.
Table 1: Performance Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Objective</th>
<th>Data Requirements</th>
<th>Success Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantitative Performance Objectives</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1) Collection of data representative of site conditions.                              | Subsurface samples (groundwater samples collected in VOA vials or soil gas samples collected on sorbent tubes or in Summa canisters) and analytical results. Indoor air samples collected on sorbent tubes, and associated analytical results. | Precision, Accuracy, Completeness, Representativeness, and Comparability as defined in the quality assurance project plan.  
Result: Data met overall QA goals.                                                  |
| **Qualitative Performance Objectives**                                               |                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                  |
| 2) Validation of the draft protocol for the use of CSIA to distinguish between indoor sources of VOCs and vapor intrusion. | Determination of VOC sources using results from i) application of the protocol, ii) conventional sampling approach, and iii) on-site GC/MS analysis (per ER-201119). | Success will be achieved if:  
1) The three investigation methods all yield definitive and consistent determinations regarding the primary source of VOCs in indoor air, or  
2) If one or more of the methods yields ambiguous results regarding the primary source, attainment of a definitive determination using the CSIA method that is consistent with a definitive determination from one of the two alternate methods (if available).  
Result: Performance objective met. CSIA results were consistent with overall weight of evidence at demonstration sites. CSIA protocol correctly identified a building with a planted source. CSIA protocol provided strong evidence of indoor source for a building for which the other methods yielded more ambiguous results. |
| 3) Validation of draft protocol for identification of both indoor and subsurface sources. | Application of the draft protocol for at least one site with VOCs originating from a subsurface source and at least one site with VOCs originating from an indoor source. | Attainment of the validation success criteria at both types of sites (i.e., subsurface source sites and indoor source sites).  
Result: Performance objective met. Vapor intrusion was indicated in 1 of 4 demonstration buildings. Indoor sources were the primary sources of VOCs in 3 of 4 demonstration buildings. Calculations were completed to evaluate the impact of mixed indoor/subsurface sources. |
| 4) Implementability of the draft protocol for the use of CSIA to evaluate vapor intrusion. | Field experience implementing the protocol and interpreting the results.                                | Determination that the protocol is implementable and cost effective.  
Result: The protocol is usable and cost effective. Recommendations for protocol improvement based on demonstration findings have been incorporated into a revised protocol (Appendix E of this report). |
3.1 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 1: COLLECTION OF DATA REPRESENTATIVE OF SITE CONDITIONS

The collection of site data representative of actual site conditions was achieved by adhering to the sampling and analysis procedures specified in Section 5 of this report and the Demonstration Plan (GSI, 2012d).

3.1.1 Data Requirements

As discussed in Section 5.1, the demonstration program for each site consisted of i) collection of samples associated with a conventional vapor intrusion investigation, ii) collection of samples for CSIA, and iii) application of the on-site analysis investigation protocol for the ER-201119 demonstration program. The data requirements and QA procedures for the conventional sampling program and the on-site analysis program are detailed in the Demonstration Plan and Final Report for ER-201119 (GSI, 2012b; GSI, 2013).

For the CSIA samples, proper sample collection procedures were utilized and QA/QC samples collected to ensure that the data were representative of actual site conditions. As detailed in the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP; see GSI, 2012d, Appendix D), field QA/QC samples included field duplicates and trip blanks.

3.1.2 Success Criteria

QA/QC samples were evaluated to determine the data quality. Details of the data quality review are presented in Section 6.1 of this report.

3.2 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 2: VALIDATION OF DRAFT PROTOCOL FOR USE OF CSIA TO EVALUATE VAPOR INTRUSION

The goal of the field demonstration was to produce a validated procedure for the use of CSIA to evaluate vapor intrusion. The draft protocol tested during the demonstration included a step-wise sampling program and data interpretation matrix (GSI, 2012c).

3.2.1 Data Requirements

Validation of the draft protocol required comparison of the results from application of the protocol with results obtained using other investigation approaches. The two approaches for comparison were i) conventional building-specific vapor intrusion sampling (i.e., collection of sub-slab and indoor air samples) and ii) on-site GC/MS analysis per ER-201119. Each of the data sets was analyzed independently to determine the primary source of VOCs detected in the target building.

3.2.2 Success Criteria

The performance objective was considered met if i) the three investigation methods yielded consistent, definitive determinations regarding the presence or absence of vapor intrusion, or ii) if one or more of the methods yielded ambiguous results, but a definitive determination could be made using the CSIA method. Details of this evaluation are provided in Section 6.2 of this report.
3.3 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 3: VALIDATION OF DRAFT PROTOCOL FOR IDENTIFICATION OF BOTH INDOOR AND SUBSURFACE SOURCES

A comprehensive validation of the draft protocol requires validation for the identification of both indoor sources of VOCs and subsurface sources of VOCs.

3.3.1 Data Requirements

Comprehensive validation requires application of the protocol for at least one building where the VOCs detected in the building originate from a subsurface source and at least one building where the VOCs originate from a subsurface source.

3.3.2 Success Criteria

The CSIA protocol will be considered fully validated if the validation criteria (Section 3.2) are met for sites covering both subsurface and indoor sources of VOCs. An evaluation of this performance objective is provided in Section 6.3 of this report.

3.4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 4: IMPLEMENTABILITY AND COST EFFECTIVENESS

The protocol should be implementable by environmental professionals with typical training and experience. The protocol should also be a cost effective adjunct to a larger vapor intrusion investigation.

3.4.1 Data Requirements

Field experience obtained during the demonstration program was evaluated. Qualitative success criteria included complexity of the protocol implementation and any other logistical issues and costs associated with implementation.

3.4.2 Success Criteria

The objective was considered to be met if the protocol was determined to be implementable and cost effective. An evaluation of this performance objective is provided in Section 6.4 of this report.
4.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The field demonstration was completed at four sites: i) Joint Base Lewis-McChord near Tacoma, Washington, ii) Selfridge Air National Guard Base, near Detroit, Michigan, iii) Tyndall Air Force Base, near Panama City, Florida, and iv) the former Raritan Arsenal in Edison, New Jersey. Prior to each demonstration, on-site screening was conducted in order to select the buildings for implementation of the full demonstration program. The CSIA demonstration was combined with the demonstration of another innovative vapor intrusion investigation method (on-site GC/MS analysis to distinguish between VI and indoor sources of VOCs; ESTCP ER-201119). Both projects involve protocols to distinguish between indoor sources of VOCs and vapor intrusion. Site selection prioritized the following:

- **Building Characteristics**: Availability of one to three buildings at each site. Specific buildings for investigation were to be residential or industrial, large or small, and occupied or suitable for occupancy.

- **Subsurface Sample Points**: Presence of at least three existing subsurface sample points (either monitoring wells or soil gas sample points) with detectable concentrations of VOCs located within 1000 ft of a target building (either upgradient of the building or within 100 ft downgradient). These sample points were used to characterize the isotope fingerprint of the subsurface VOC source.

- **Vapor Intrusion Concern**: Presence of building(s) with either i) known vapor intrusion issues or ii) high vapor intrusion concern based on the presence of VOCs in close proximity to the building.

- **Building Access**: Availability of access to all parts of the building(s) during normal working hours for up to three days.

4.1 SITE LOCATION AND HISTORY

Each of the demonstration sites has a dissolved chlorinated solvent or petroleum hydrocarbon plume, or both, in shallow groundwater that has migrated away from the source (release) area. Prior to the demonstration, each site had been investigated in sufficient detail to provide an understanding of site geology and contaminant distribution in the subsurface and to allow selection of candidate buildings for the demonstration. Final selection of buildings for the demonstration was based on the existing data supplemented, in some cases, by field screening.

The demonstration sites included:

- **Joint Base Lewis-McChord (Lewis-McChord)**: This site is a military facility located south of Tacoma, Washington, that is an amalgam of US Army Fort Lewis and McChord Air Force Base. A chlorinated solvent plume is present in the uppermost aquifer beneath buildings in the Logistics Center. Because of the potentially large number of candidate buildings at the site, GSI prioritized the buildings by selecting those with footprints located within 200 feet of a shallow zone monitoring well having TCE concentrations greater than 10 µg/L in the most recent monitoring event. This prioritization yielded
eight buildings (Buildings 9522, 9671, 9666, 9679, 9674, 9669, 9564, and 9673). At the beginning of the field demonstration, indoor air in these buildings was screened using the HAPSITE ER. The key analyte used for screening was TCE, the primary COC in groundwater.

The highest TCE concentration (TCE 0.3 ppbV [1.6 µg/m³]) was found in Building 9669, which was selected as the first demonstration building. The other buildings had lower TCE concentrations, ranging from below detection limits to 0.03 ppbV (0.2 µg/m³).

- **Selfridge Air National Guard Base (Selfridge):** This site is an active military installation located north of Detroit, Michigan. Building 1533, located on the southwest corner of the base, was selected for the demonstration. This building is currently used as a maintenance facility for the U.S. Border Patrol.

Releases from two underground storage tanks (USTs) located northeast of Building 1533 were discovered in 1992. One of the tanks reportedly contained leaded gasoline and the other, diesel fuel. The tanks were removed in 1992, and remediation and groundwater monitoring have been conducted since that time. The shallow petroleum hydrocarbon plume is present beneath much of the Building 1533 footprint. The key target compound in groundwater is benzene.

- **Tyndall Air Force Base (Tyndall):** This site is an active military installation located near Panama City, Florida. Chlorinated solvent plumes are present in shallow groundwater beneath several on-site buildings. To prioritize buildings for investigation, GSI reviewed building locations relative to recent groundwater monitoring results, focusing on TCE, one of the key COCs in groundwater. Based on this evaluation, we prioritized six buildings: Building 156, 246, 219, 522, 258, and 560. GSI screened the indoor air in the six buildings, analyzing the samples with a HAPSITE SMART instrument. TCE concentrations were typically less than 0.1 ppbV (0.54 µg/m³). Because the concentrations were relatively low, Building 219 was selected as a building to test a “planted” source, to determine if the CSIA protocol could correctly identify the indoor VOC source. Access was also available for Building 156. Low TCE concentrations in indoor air made this building inappropriate for the CSIA demonstration. However, groundwater and sub-slab soil gas samples were collected for isotope analysis at Building 156, to evaluate sample locations which best characterize the isotope signature in the subsurface (see Section 6.2.2).

- **Former Raritan Arsenal Site (Raritan):** This Formerly Used Defense Site (FUDS) is located in Middlesex County, New Jersey. The site was operated by the US Army and was used for handling ammunition and ordnance from 1917-1963. Since site closure in 1963, various environmental investigation, remediation, and monitoring projects have been conducted. Over the last 10 years, more than 45 buildings have been evaluated for the vapor intrusion pathway, and six are subject to ongoing monitoring. Several buildings have had mitigation systems installed (Weston, 2012). The Campus Plaza 4 (CP4) building was selected for the CSIA demonstration because it is located near shallow impacted groundwater plumes, ii) it does not have an active mitigation system,
and iii) historical indoor air and sub-slab VOC sample results are available for comparison from 2004 – present. CP4 has been partitioned into separate suites to accommodate the current tenants. It is occupied by three tenants and the property owner’s firm. To screen the indoor air VOC concentrations in building, at least one indoor air sample was collected in each of the four tenant spaces. Based on the TCE results, the office/warehouse space on the west end of Campus Plaza 4 was selected for the demonstration. TCE indoor air concentrations in the west end was approximately 1 ppbV (5.4 µg/m³), but ranged from below detection limits to 0.2 ppbV (1 µg/m³) in the other tenant spaces.

In addition to CP4, Building 209 was accessible for the demonstration. TCE was not detected in indoor air screening samples, making the building unsuitable for the CSIA protocol. However, groundwater and soil gas samples were collected to evaluate sample locations which best characterize the isotope signature in the subsurface (see Section 6.2.2).

In summary, four industrial buildings (Lewis-McChord Building 9669, Selfridge Building 1533, Tyndall Building 219, Raritan Building CP4) were included in the field demonstration. The demonstration included conventional VI sampling in each building as well as application of the on-site GC/MS analysis (ESTCP Project ER-201119) and CSIA protocols as summarized in Table 2. Although the CSIA protocol was not applicable at two additional buildings (Tyndall Building 156, Raritan Building 209) because of low VOC concentrations in indoor air, groundwater and sub-slab soil gas samples were collected to evaluate sample locations which best characterize the isotope signature in the subsurface.
Table 2: Demonstration Buildings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building / Use</th>
<th>Size (sq ft)</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Key VOC for VI Evaluation</th>
<th>On-Site GC/MS Analysis Demonstration Completed (ER-201119)</th>
<th>CSIA Demonstration Completed (ER-201025)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9669/ Warehouse¹</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>Slab on grade</td>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1533/ Vehicle Maintenance</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>Slab on grade</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219 / Office²</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>Slab on grade</td>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (Planted Indoor Source)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Raritan Arsenal, New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Plaza 4³ Office and Warehouse</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>Slab on grade</td>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1) Building 9669 is approximately 40,000 sq ft and is divided into 2 halves. The demonstration was conducted the southeastern half of the building.
2) Building 219 is approximately 23,000 sq ft. The demonstration was conducted in the central portion of the building where access was granted.
3) Campus Plaza 4 building area is approximately 73,500 sq ft. The demonstration was conducted in the western portion of the building.

4.2 SITE GEOLOGY, HYDROGEOLOGY, AND CONTAMINANT DISTRIBUTION

The demonstration sites and buildings have varying degrees of concern with respect to vapor intrusion based on previously conducted environmental assessments. The geology, hydrogeology, and contaminant distribution at each site are summarized in Table 3.
Table 3: Demonstration Site Geology/Hydrogeology and Key Contaminants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Geology/Hydrogeology</th>
<th>Contaminant Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joint Base Lewis-McChord Logistics Center</td>
<td>Shallow stratigraphy consists of alternating glacial and non-glacial sediments (Envirosphere, 1988). Depth to water approximately 20-30 feet bgs. Hydraulic gradient to the northwest.</td>
<td>Chlorinated VOCs (cVOCs) are present in shallow groundwater as a result of historic releases from former disposal areas located upgradient of the buildings. cVOCs included in site groundwater monitoring program: TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, PCE, 1,1,1-TCA, VC. Near the demonstration buildings, TCE concentrations in groundwater in the shallow aquifer range from 60 – 110 µg/L, based on monitoring conducted in Spring 2012.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge Air National Guard Base</td>
<td>Shallow stratigraphy consists of glacial lake sediments (e.g., clays and silts) overlying a sedimentary bedrock. In the vicinity of Building 1533, shallow soils are predominantly sand and gravel fill. Underlying the fill is a clay layer approximately 30-40 feet thick (AMEC, 2010). Depth to water approximately 2 – 6 feet bgs. Hydraulic gradient to the south-southwest.</td>
<td>Impacted soils were excavated from the former UST basin and nearby areas in 1992 and 2003. Remaining soil and groundwater impacts are present along the western edge of the former UST basin/excavation area, under the eastern portion of Building 1533, and south of Building 1533. Key COCs from the site investigation are BTEX and PAH compounds. Benzene was considered the primary COC for the vapor intrusion evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Geology/Hydrogeology</td>
<td>Contaminant Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall Air Force Base</td>
<td>Shallow stratigraphy consists primarily of unconsolidated sands approximately 50 feet thick. This interval is underlain by a calcareous sandy clay to clayey sand (Jackson Bluff Formation). Depth to the water table aquifer ranges from 2 – 7 feet bgs. In the vicinity of the study building, the hydraulic gradient is generally towards the north/northeast.</td>
<td>cVOCs are present shallow (water table) and deeper zones at the site. The areal extent of cVOCs in the shallow zone is smaller than in the deeper zones. Recent groundwater monitoring results near the demonstration buildings indicate that TCE and cis-1,2-DCE are the primary constituents. Near Building 219, TCE concentrations are less than 10 µg/L; cis-1,2-DCE concentrations have been measured at more than 2,000 µg/L (3E Consultants, 2011).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Raritan Arsenal Site</td>
<td>The shallow stratigraphy consists of interbedded sands and clays. Gravels may also be present. There are two separate plumes with separate source areas in the vicinity of the demonstration building. The hydraulic gradient is generally towards the southeast. (Weston, 2013) The Campus Plaza 4 building is located above the Area of Concern 2 plume. The depth to water in the vicinity of Campus Plaza 4 is approximately 10 feet bgs.</td>
<td>2012 groundwater monitoring results near the demonstration buildings indicate that TCE is the primary COC. At Campus Plaza 4, TCE concentrations are approximately 8 µg/L.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.0 TEST DESIGN

The field demonstration of this protocol was conducted at four DoD sites.

5.1 CONCEPTUAL EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

In general terms, at each target building, the demonstration program consisted of i) collection of indoor air and sub-slab soil gas samples in accordance with conventional vapor intrusion investigation methods (Section 5.1.1), ii) collection of samples for stable isotope analysis (Section 5.1.2), and iii) implementation of the draft protocol for evaluation of vapor intrusion using on-site analysis (ESTCP Project ER-201119; Section 5.1.3) [see Figure 2]. The results from each of the three sampling programs were evaluated as described in Section 5.7 in order to assess the comparability of the three investigation methods.

Figure 2: Building-Specific Field Testing Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Conventional VI Investigation Method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Questionnaire and indoor source removal (if any)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Install sub-slab sampling points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Collect sub-slab vapor samples (grab)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Collect indoor and ambient (outdoor) air samples (8-hour)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CSIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. On-site screening to determine sampling parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Collect indoor air sample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Collect subsurface source sample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. On-site GC/MS analysis method (ESTCP Project ER-201119)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Baseline measurements and sampling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Building pressure control and follow-up sampling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: 1) Pre-sampling equipment checks and calibration are not shown. These activities occurred prior to any building investigations (prior to “Day 1”); 2) Orange = contingent; 3) For CSIA, VOC concentrations must be estimated to determine sample locations and sampling time.

5.1.1 Conventional Program - Collection of Indoor Air and Sub-Slab Soil Gas Samples

Currently, building-specific vapor intrusion investigations are most commonly conducted by collecting a limited number of indoor air and sub-slab soil gas samples for off-site analysis. The results are interpreted using a multiple-lines-of-evidence approach.

For the demonstration, the conventional program was completed first. A visual building survey, interview with building representative, and record review were conducted to identify indoor VOC sources for removal prior to sampling, consistent with conventional approaches. No indoor sources were identified and removed from any of the demonstration buildings using this approach. The conventional sampling program implemented in each building is summarized in Table 4.
Table 4: Summary of Conventional Vapor Intrusion Sampling Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Typical Number of Samples¹</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Vapor Intrusion Sampling Program (each test building)</td>
<td>Indoor air</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>VOCs</td>
<td>Indoors, with number of locations depending on building size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-slab vapor</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>VOCs</td>
<td>Sub-slab, 3 locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ambient air</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>VOCs</td>
<td>Outdoors, upwind of building</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 1) Table does not include QA samples.

5.1.2 Collection of Samples for Stable Isotope Analysis

ESTCP Project ER-201025 involved the use of CSIA for the evaluation of vapor intrusion. Because the on-site analysis protocol (Section 5.1.3) could include identification and removal of indoor VOC sources as well as manipulation of building pressure conditions, the CSIA and conventional programs were completed first to avoid inadvertently influencing the results of these programs.

The CSIA sampling program is summarized in Table 5.

Table 5: Summary of CSIA for Vapor Intrusion Sampling Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Number of Samples¹</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSIA for Vapor Intrusion Sampling Program (each test building)</td>
<td>Indoor air</td>
<td>1 - 3</td>
<td>Isotope ratios for target VOC</td>
<td>Inside target building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub slab vapor</td>
<td>1 - 2</td>
<td>Isotope ratios for target VOC</td>
<td>Below target building foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subsurface source</td>
<td>1 - 3</td>
<td>Isotope ratios for target VOC</td>
<td>Nearby monitoring well(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 1) Table indicates approximate number of samples collected. Detailed information concerning the logic for determining the sample locations and the specific number of samples to be collected is provided in the Demonstration Plan for ER-201025 (GSI, 2012d).

Section 5 of the Task 2 report (GSI, 2012c) presents the protocol for application of CSIA to vapor intrusion that was validated through this demonstration. The protocol provides a detailed description of the sample collection process. In general, the process included i) identification of subsurface and indoor air sampling locations, ii) estimation of the target VOC concentration at each sample point, iii) identification of the appropriate sample collection method based on the estimated concentration, and iv) sample collection.
5.1.3 Protocol for Use of On-Site Analysis for Vapor Intrusion

Following collection of the conventional samples and CSIA samples, the on-site analysis protocol (GSI, 2012a) was implemented in each building. The protocol uses a step-wise sampling and analysis program to identify vapor entry points and indoor sources of VOCs. The specific number of samples collected varied from building to building because the scope of each step in the investigation process is defined by the prior results.

5.2 BASELINE CHARACTERIZATION

As discussed in Section 4, site and building selection was based on pre-existing data. No additional baseline characterization was conducted prior to the demonstration at each building.

5.3 LABORATORY STUDY RESULTS

A laboratory study was conducted to evaluate the analytical method and isotope signatures associated with indoor VOC sources (Kuder et al., 2012). That study was followed by a literature review as well as analysis of additional samples of common indoor VOC sources (GSI, 2012c). During the demonstration, GSI collected two additional samples of natural gas, a potential indoor source of benzene, for isotopic analysis. Those results are summarized in Section 5.8 below.

5.4 DESIGN AND LAYOUT OF TECHNOLOGY COMPONENTS

At each building selected for the demonstration, the field program consisted of i) collection of samples associated with a conventional VI investigation, ii) collection of samples for demonstration of CSIA for VI evaluation, and iii) implementation of the on-site analysis protocol. Sections 5.4.1-5.4.3 describe sampling point installation procedures for each of the investigation methods.

5.4.1 Sampling Points for Conventional Samples

Sub-slab Sample Points: For the first three demonstration sites (Lewis-McChord, Selfridge, and Tyndall), three sub-slab sample points were installed in each test building to characterize the distribution of VOCs below the building foundation. Specific sample locations were distributed across the building and were adjusted to minimize the disturbance of building activities. Sample points for the collection of sub-slab soil gas samples were installed by drilling a ¾ to 1 inch hole through the building slab and into the underlying soil or fill material to a depth of 3 to 4 inches below the base of the foundation. A length of 1/8 inch outside diameter (OD) nylon tubing was placed in the hole and covered with approximately 3-4 inches of 20/40 sand. The remainder of the hole was sealed with a combination of hydrated bentonite clay and modeling clay. The end of the tubing was plugged with modeling clay when samples were not being collected. After sample collection was completed, the sample points were removed and the holes were sealed with cement or concrete patch.

At the last demonstration site (Raritan), permanent sub-slab sampling points had previously been installed for on-going VI monitoring. Rather than install new sub-slab sampling points, GSI used the existing points in the test buildings at this site.
Indoor Sample Points: For each test building, one to three indoor air sample points were collected to characterize the distribution of VOCs inside the building. Specific sample points were selected based on an evaluation of building operating characteristics, building size, and layout. Sample locations were also chosen to minimize disruption of building activities.

Outdoor Sample Point: For each demonstration site, at least one ambient (outdoor) air sample point was selected to characterize the concentration of VOCs outside the building. Specific sample points were located to balance the following factors: i) upwind, ii) avoid disruption to building occupants, and iii) location next to the HVAC system air intake if access to this point was available.

5.4.2 Sampling Points for CSIA Samples

Indoor Air Sampling Points: Sampling points were selected based on criteria in the protocol (Section 5.3 of GSI 2012c). In short, a sample was collected from the area of the building most likely to be impacted by vapor intrusion (e.g., location with elevated target VOC concentration based on on-site analysis (screening) result). Additional samples were collected based on building size, construction, or results of field screening.

Subsurface Sampling Points (Sub-slab): At least one sub-slab sample point used during the conventional program (Section 5.4.1) was also sampled for stable isotope analysis. The sub-slab sample point was selected based on field screening (i.e., the sub-slab location with the highest target VOC concentration was sampled for stable isotope analysis). Sub-slab sampling (Location F in Figure 3) is not recommended in the protocol for primary subsurface source characterization, but was done during the demonstration to help evaluate variability of the isotope ratios.

Subsurface Sampling Points (Groundwater): Existing groundwater monitoring points were used to collect samples for stable isotope analysis to characterize the subsurface source. Sample locations were selected using the criteria in the protocol (Section 5.2 of GSI, 2012c; see also Figure 3). No soil gas monitoring points (Location Type E) were available to be sampled during the field demonstration.
Figure 3: Advantages and Disadvantages of Sample Locations for Characterization of the Subsurface VOC Isotope Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A) Upgradient Groundwater Well (Screened at water table) | • Water sample easier to collect than soil gas sample.  
• Easiest sample point if this is the closest existing well to target building.                                                                                                                                                                                                 | • Does not account for any additional enrichment that occurs closer to building.  
• Isotope ratios for this sample may be more similar to indoor sources than actual VOCs entering building. As a result, sample may underestimate potential for CSIA to yield definitive results.                                                                                     |
| B) Soil Gas Sampling Point Not Close to Target Building (i.e., >100 m from building) | • Not recommended                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | • High uncertainty. Isotope ratios may not be representative of actual VOCs entering building due to spatial variability in vadose zone biodegradation processes.                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| C) Deep Groundwater Well                      | • Not recommended                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | • High uncertainty. Isotope ratios may not be representative of VOCs at top of water table.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| D) Groundwater Well Close to Target Building (Screened at water table) | • Water sample easier to collect than soil gas sample.  
• This water sample will be most representative of VOCs potentially entering building.                                                                                                                                                                                  | • Does not account for any additional enrichment that occurs within vadose zone.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| E) Soil Gas Sample from Close to Building     | • Not recommended based on findings from the demonstration                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | • More difficult to collect than water sample.  
• Further testing recommended. Based on the demonstration, sub-slab vapors were not representative of source vapors entering a building. Because sub-slab vapors not representative, further testing is needed to determine whether soil gas samples would be representative.                                                                                     |
| F) Sub-slab Soil Gas Sample                   | • Not recommended for primary characterization of subsurface source.                                                                                                                                                                                                           | • May contain VOCs originating from within building.  
• Sample collection can be a lengthy process, depending on concentration                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| G) Downgradient Groundwater Well              | • Not recommended                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | • May be more enriched in heavy isotopes than VOCs entering building.  
• Could yield false negative results.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |

Note: 1) This table summarizes sample location selection criteria. Updated recommendations based on findings from the demonstration are also provided in Appendix E.
5.4.3 Sampling Points for On-Site Analysis Protocol

Implementation of the on-site analysis protocol did not require the collection of any samples from the subsurface and, therefore, did not require the installation of any sample points. Indoor air sample locations were selected in accordance with the protocol for ER-201119, which involves iterative sampling within a building to follow VOC concentration gradients to the source.

5.5 FIELD TESTING

5.5.1 Field Testing for Conventional Vapor Intrusion Program

Conventional vapor intrusion investigation programs do not typically utilize field testing. An attempt to identify and remove indoor sources of VOCs is commonly conducted using a questionnaire and interview with the building owner or operator.

For each of the test buildings, the investigation team met with building representative(s) to complete an occupied building questionnaire and to conduct a visual inspection for potential indoor sources. For the Raritan buildings, previously-completed questionnaires were available for review.

No indoor VOC sources were removed from the test buildings based on these procedures.

5.5.2 Field Testing for CSIA Samples

Collection of vapor-phase samples for CSIA required an estimation of the concentration of the target VOC at the sample location. This estimate is needed to determine the proper sample volume. For the demonstration, estimates of target VOC concentrations were based on on-site analysis typically conducted the same day as the CSIA sampling. Other information such as data from previous studies was used, if available.

On-site analysis was used to estimate target VOC concentrations in different areas of the building. Potential indoor air sample locations were selected based on the building characteristics (e.g., separate tenant suites). Additional indoor air sample locations were selected based on building size or VOC concentration from the on-site analysis.

Three sub-slab sample points were installed during the conventional program. After installation of each point, the sub-slab soil gas was screened using on-site analysis. One to two sub-slab points with the highest concentrations were selected for CSIA sampling.

Field testing prior to groundwater sample collection was not needed.

5.5.3 Field Testing for On-Site Analysis Protocol

Field testing for the on-site analysis program is described in the Demonstration Plan for ER-201119 (GSI, 2012b).
5.6 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS METHODS

As described above, three different vapor intrusion investigation methods were employed during the demonstration. Each method included specific sampling procedures and analysis of samples at an off-site laboratory. Laboratory analytical methods are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6: Laboratory Analytical Methods for Demonstration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Container</th>
<th>Preservative</th>
<th>Holding Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conventional Vapor Intrusion Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>VOCs</td>
<td>USEPA TO-15(^1)</td>
<td>6-L Summa Canister</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CSIA Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>VOCs and corresponding isotopes</td>
<td>Klisch et al., 2012(^2)</td>
<td>Sorbent tube</td>
<td>Ice</td>
<td>4 weeks(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground-water</td>
<td>VOCs and corresponding isotopes</td>
<td>Klisch et al., 2012(^2)</td>
<td>VOA vials</td>
<td>Ice</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On-Site GC/MS Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>Radon</td>
<td>McHugh et al., 2008(^3)</td>
<td>1-L Tedlar bag</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>14 days(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOCs</td>
<td>USEPA TO-15(^1)</td>
<td>6-L Summa Canister</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>30 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1) Samples analyzed by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services in Simi Valley, CA.
2) Samples analyzed by the University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK. Holding time for vapor samples was originally 2 weeks but has been extended based on additional studies. See Section 6.1.2.
3) Samples analyzed by the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA.
4) No holding time specified, but lab tests demonstrate accurate results after 14 days storage in Tedlar bag (McHugh et al., 2008).

5.6.1 Conventional Vapor Intrusion Program

The conventional sampling program consisted of indoor and ambient air and sub-slab soil gas sample collection for VOC analysis.

Collection and Analysis of Indoor and Ambient Air Samples: At each test building, indoor and outdoor air samples were collected in individually certified, 6-L Summa canisters. Flow controllers were used to collect 8-hour composite samples for analysis of VOCs by USEPA Method TO-15 or TO-15 SIM.

Collection and Analysis of Sub-Slab Gas Samples: Prior to sample collection, the sample points were purged and a helium tracer test was conducted to verify that the point was not leaking. The test was conducted by threading the sample point tubing through a shroud. The shroud was then filled with at least 10% helium, as measured with an MGD-2002 portable helium meter. After the shroud filled with the desired amount of helium, the helium meter was attached to the probe tubing. The point passed the leak test if the concentration in the tubing was less than 10% of the concentration in the shroud. In addition to the helium tracer test, a shut-in test was conducted to
verify that the sampling train did not leak. Any leaks at the probe point or in the sampling train were repaired by rehydrating the bentonite or tightening connections in the sampling train, respectively. After confirming that the points were leak free, the sample was collected. Samples were collected in individually certified, 6-L Summa canisters. The samples were collected as grab samples (i.e., without flow controllers) for analysis of VOCs by USEPA Method TO-15 or TO-15 SIM.

5.6.2 CSIA Samples

Collection and Analysis of Vapor Samples: Indoor air and soil gas samples can be collected using Summa canisters or sorbent tubes, depending on the sample mass required for analysis. The mass is a function of sample volume and concentration. Recommendations for sample containers and parameters were provided in the demonstration protocol (GSI, 2012c). For the demonstration, all samples were collected using sorbent tubes.

Collection and Analysis of Water Samples: Water samples for CSIA can be collected using the same sampling procedures used to collect samples to measure concentration. The number of VOA vials, preservative, and other information is provided in the protocol.

5.6.3 On-Site Analysis Protocol Confirmation Samples

Collection and Analysis of Indoor Air Samples: The majority of samples collected for this protocol are analyzed on-site. However, at the end of each phase of the protocol (i.e., baseline building characterization, characterization of depressurized building conditions, etc.), a sample is collected for off-site laboratory analysis. These samples are used to i) assess the accuracy of the on-site analysis results and ii) to provide fully validated documentation of VOC concentrations in indoor air. Each confirmation sample was collected as a grab sample in an individually certified, 6-L Summa canister, with VOC analysis by USEPA Method TO-15 or TO-15 SIM. Separate ambient (outdoor) air samples were not collected for this portion of the demonstration because an ambient air sample was already collected for the conventional program (Section 5.6.1).

Collection and Analysis of Indoor and Outdoor Air Samples for Radon: The on-site analysis protocol includes an option to manipulate building pressure to further evaluate the source of VOCs in indoor air. At each test building where the optional building pressure control procedure was implemented, at least two indoor air samples and one ambient air sample were collected in Tedlar bags for off-site radon analysis. The indoor air samples for radon analysis were paired with the samples collected in Summa canisters for VOC analysis.

5.6.4 Sample Summary and Quality Assurance Procedures

In addition to samples collected for the demonstration (summarized in Table 7 below), samples were collected for quality assurance purposes. QA samples collected for off-site laboratory analysis consisted of field duplicates and trip blanks. Field duplicates were collected at a rate of at least 1:20 Summa canisters, 1:20 Tedlar bags, and 1:10 sorbent tubes. One sorbent tube trip blank was also analyzed for each demonstration site.

In addition to QA samples, other measures were taken to assure data quality. These measures included:
• Adhering to the Demonstration Plans for ER-201119 and ER-201025 and associated QAPPs (GSI, 2012b; GSI, 2012d)

• Collecting and analyzing field QA samples (see Section 6.1 and Appendix D)

• Use of Decontamination Procedures, where applicable. All sampling equipment was either i) single-use, disposable material or ii) flushed/purged before samples were collected. Sampling equipment used to collect samples from locations with potentially high VOC concentrations (e.g., sub-slab sample points) was not used subsequently for the collection of low concentration samples (e.g., indoor air). Summa canisters used for collection of sub-slab, indoor, and ambient vapor samples were supplied by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services (Simi Valley, CA), and were individually certified clean to prevent any contamination from previous samples. Samples for radon analysis were collected using single-use Tedlar sample bags. Cleaned and prepared sorbent tubes and VOA vials were provided by University of Oklahoma and TestAmerica Laboratories (Houston, TX), respectively.

• Sample Documentation. Field documentation was facilitated by pre-printed tables, labels, and log forms that simplified and allowed for more precise notation of sample collection and conditions while in the field. All samples for laboratory analysis were submitted under chain-of-custody control. All laboratory reports included a narrative that discussed any quality control excursions. Photographs were also taken to document project activities.

5.7 SAMPLING RESULTS

Tables 7 and 8 summarize the demonstration program and key analytes considered for each demonstration building. Vapor intrusion classifications for the four demonstration buildings are summarized in Appendix B, along with the lines of evidence applicable to each investigation method. Comprehensive sampling results for ER-201025 (CSIA demonstration) and ER-201119 (on-site analysis demonstration) are included in Appendix C. Appendix D contains tables summarizing the data quality review. Laboratory reports are also provided in Appendix D.
Table 7: Summary of Demonstration Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site / Building</th>
<th>Conv. VI Program</th>
<th>CSIA</th>
<th>On-Site Analysis</th>
<th>Pressure Conditions Tested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-slab Sample</td>
<td>Indoor Air Sample Locations</td>
<td>Outdoor Air Sample Locations</td>
<td>Source (GW) Sample Locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 9669</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 1533</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 219</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Raritan Arsenal Site, New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Plaza 4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BL = baseline (normal) operating conditions; NP = induced negative pressure; PP = induced positive pressure
### Table 8: Key Analytical Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site / Building</th>
<th>Conv. VI and On-Site Analysis Program</th>
<th>CSIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TO-15 (Key Analyte)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On-Site Analysis (Key Analyte)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compound</td>
<td>Isotope 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 9669</td>
<td>cVOCs (TCE)</td>
<td>cVOCs (TCE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 1533</td>
<td>Petroleum HCs (Benzene)</td>
<td>PHC (Benzene)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 219</td>
<td>cVOCs (TCE)</td>
<td>cVOCs (TCE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Raritan Arsenal Site, New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Plaza 4</td>
<td>cVOCs (TCE)</td>
<td>cVOCs (TCE)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Key Analyte = key analyte for vapor intrusion evaluation
Each test building, the vapor intrusion classification was interpreted based on the framework set out in the Demonstration Plan. For the **conventional and on-site analysis protocols**, a lines-of-evidence approach was used. Key questions were developed for each investigation method. The answers to the questions dictated the building’s vapor intrusion classification (Table 9).

### Table 9: VI Classification using Lines of Evidence Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results of Lines of Evidence Evaluation</th>
<th>Vapor Intrusion Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All lines of evidence indicate absence of vapor intrusion.</td>
<td>No evidence of current vapor intrusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed results, but weight of evidence indicates absence of vapor intrusion.</td>
<td>Supporting evidence of no current vapor intrusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed lines of evidence.</td>
<td>Inconclusive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of evidence suggests vapor intrusion with some uncertainty.</td>
<td>Supporting evidence of current vapor intrusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines of evidence predominately indicate vapor intrusion. Strongest lines indicate vapor intrusion.</td>
<td>Clear evidence of current vapor intrusion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This table applies to the conventional and on-site analysis approaches.

For each building evaluated with the conventional and on-site analysis protocols, two types of evaluations were done. The first included a lines of evidence evaluation of vapor intrusion (i.e., Is there evidence of vertical migration of VOCs into the building?). The second evaluation addressed regulatory implications (i.e., Is there evidence of vapor intrusion at levels approaching or greater than a “screening level”?). A response action is required only if the concentration of the target VOC in indoor air exceeds the applicable regulatory standard.

For the assessment of regulatory implications, we applied USEPA screening values to all the demonstration sites. These values may not be the legal standards for regulatory responses at the individual sites, however, they were used for this demonstration in order to provide consistency between the sites. For the demonstration buildings, the key COC for the vapor intrusion evaluation was either TCE or benzene. Therefore, the values in Table 10 were used for comparisons with site data.

### Table 10: Numeric Standards Used for VI Classifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Risk-Based Screening Level (µg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>3.0 USEPA Regional Screening Level Tables, May 2013; commercial/industrial setting; 10⁻⁶ target risk; THQ=1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>1.6 USEPA Regional Screening Level Tables, May 2013; commercial/industrial setting; 10⁻⁶ target risk; THQ = 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Screening levels used in conventional and on-site analysis protocol building evaluations.

The **CSIA protocol** is not a standalone investigation method. The protocol would be used if target VOCs are detected in indoor air at levels approaching or greater than screening (regulatory) levels. The conventional and on-site analysis protocols can be used as standalone
methods, and both of these approaches yield indoor air concentration data. Because the CSIA approach requires advance knowledge of indoor air concentrations, it would not be used in the absence of other evidence that VOC concentrations are high enough to be of concern.

5.7.1 Vapor Intrusion Classification using Conventional Lines of Evidence Approach

Conventional sampling was done in three demonstration buildings. The results from the conventional sampling program were evaluated using a lines-of-evidence approach which included the following questions:

1. **Comparison of key COC concentrations in indoor air to ambient (outdoor) air**: Do indoor concentrations of the key COC exceed outdoor concentrations? To be conservative, a “Yes” response was considered consistent with vapor intrusion.
   
   In all three buildings, indoor air concentrations of the key COC exceeded ambient (outdoor) air concentrations. This line of evidence, however, is not definitive with respect to vapor intrusion because of potential contributions from indoor sources.

2. **Sub-slab to indoor air attenuation factors**: Are concentrations of the key COC below the building significantly (e.g., >10x) higher than in indoor air?
   
   At each building, the sub-slab concentrations varied widely. In two of three buildings, at least one sub-slab result was more than 10x higher than the indoor air result.

3. **Sub-slab to indoor air ratios**: Are other VOCs found beneath the slab, and are sub-slab to indoor air concentration ratios similar?
   
   At two of three demonstration buildings, other VOCs (beyond the key target COC) were found at relatively high concentrations beneath the slab, and were also detected in indoor air. This general pattern was taken to suggest VI.

4. **Composition of COCs (e.g., concentration ratios) present in indoor air compared to composition of COCs present in groundwater**: Are ratios in indoor air consistent with a subsurface source?
   
   This line of evidence is applicable when multiple COCs are associated with the groundwater. Multiple COCs were detected in groundwater near all the demonstration buildings. However, this line of evidence was generally inconclusive.

Other lines of evidence are used in various guidance documents. For example, the vertical distribution of COCs within a building (e.g., main floor concentrations vs. basements/crawl space) is often evaluated. However, the demonstration buildings were all one story, slab-on-grade, industrial buildings. Therefore, this line of evidence is not considered further in the data evaluation.

Based on the lines of evidence evaluation (Questions 1 – 4), each building was classified with respect to vapor intrusion as shown in Table 9 above.

Building-specific results and interpretation of the conventional lines of evidence approach are presented in Table 11. It is important to note that the regulatory implication is based on the generic screening level (Table 10) used to standardize data interpretations for this report. Actual needs or requirements may be different, and will depend on each site’s particular circumstances.
### Table 11: Conventional Program Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Finding Based on Conventional Approach</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Lewis-McChord Building 9669 | FINDING: Supporting evidence of current vapor intrusion  
**IMPLICATION:** Indoor air concentration (1.5 µg/m³) is BELOW USEPA screening level (3 µg/m³); however, monitoring may be appropriate to characterize temporal variability.  
*Based on the indoor air results, this building would be a candidate for CSIA.* | Appendix B, Figure B.1.1 |
| Selfridge Building 1533 | FINDING: Inconclusive, can't distinguish between VI and indoor sources.  
**IMPLICATION:** (1) Indoor benzene concentration greater than USEPA screening level (1.6 µg/m³); (2) Further study needed to determine source.  
*Based on the indoor air results, this building would be a candidate for CSIA.* | Appendix B, Figure B.2.1 |
| Tyndall Building 219 (Planted Indoor Source) | Not applicable. No VI concern due to low TCE concentration. CSIA protocol was tested using a planted indoor source. | N/A |
| Raritan Building CP4 | FINDING: Supporting evidence of current vapor intrusion  
**IMPLICATION:** Indoor air TCE concentration is within 50% of USEPA screening level (3 µg/m³). Monitoring may be needed to characterize temporal variability.  
*Based on the indoor air results, this building would be a candidate for CSIA.* | Appendix B, Figure B.3.1 |

Note: Findings and implications above are based on the conventional program only. See Section 6.2 for an evaluation of the full dataset (e.g., results from conventional, CSIA, and on-site analysis approaches).

### 5.7.2 VI Classification using the CSIA Protocol

One building at each of three demonstration sites (Lewis-McChord 9669, Selfridge 1533, and Raritan CP4) was a suitable candidate for application of the CSIA protocol, based on concentrations of target VOCs in indoor air. A fourth building (Tyndall 219) was tested by planting a known source in the building to evaluate whether the CSIA protocol could accurately identified the source.
To evaluate the presence or absence of vapor intrusion, the compound-specific isotope ratios measured in indoor air samples were compared to i) subsurface (groundwater) samples and ii) the range of isotopic signatures for indoor sources. A decision matrix which includes the level of confidence in the interpretation is provided in Figure 4. The draft CSIA protocol proposed to use isotope measurements from either groundwater or soil gas samples to characterize the subsurface source. However, evaluation of the demonstration dataset as a whole suggests that the isotope measurements from sub-slab soil gas samples do not accurately characterize the subsurface source (see Section 6.2.2). Therefore, the vapor intrusion classifications have been made using only the isotope results from groundwater samples for characterization of the subsurface source. The finalized CSIA protocol (Appendix E) has been revised to reflect the greater reliability of groundwater isotope results compared to soil gas.

CSIA results fall into six categories, as illustrated in Figure 4.

**Figure 4: Interpretation of CSIA Results**

Data interpretation is based on pattern matching, as follows:

(A) Strong evidence that an indoor source is the primary source of VOCs in indoor air.
(B) Strong evidence that the subsurface source is the primary source of VOCs in indoor air.
(C) Evidence of mixed subsurface and indoor air sources.
(D) Evidence that the subsurface source is the primary source of VOCs in indoor air, additional enrichment in the heavy isotopes is likely occurring between the subsurface measurement point and the target building.
(E) Supporting evidence that an indoor source is the primary source of VOCs in indoor air.
(F) Supporting evidence that the subsurface source is the primary source of VOCs in indoor air. However, results are also potentially consistent with an indoor source, so the results should be interpreted within the context of other lines of evidence.

Individual demonstration building results are summarized in Table 12.
Table 12: CSIA Protocol Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Finding Based on CSIA Protocol</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord Building 9669</td>
<td>Supporting evidence of current vapor intrusion</td>
<td>Appendix B, Figure B.1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge Building 1533</td>
<td>Supporting evidence of NO current vapor intrusion</td>
<td>Appendix B, Figure B.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall Building 219 (Planted Indoor Source)</td>
<td>Strong evidence of an indoor source</td>
<td>Section 6.2.1, Figure 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raritan Building CP4</td>
<td>Strong evidence of an indoor source, not vapor intrusion</td>
<td>Appendix B, Figure B.3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Findings and implications above are based on the CSIA protocol only. See Section 6.2 for an evaluation of the full dataset (e.g., results from conventional, CSIA, and on-site analysis approaches).

5.7.3 VI Classification using the On-Site Analysis Protocol

In general terms, the on-site analysis protocol involves characterizing the VOC concentrations in a building under normal operating conditions (i.e., “baseline” conditions). Multiple indoor air samples are analyzed in order to find and follow concentration gradients to the source. Building pressure is measured and may be manipulated to get a better understanding of the source of VOCs in indoor air.

Key lines of evidence for the baseline building characterization include:

1. **Comparison of target VOC concentrations in indoor air to ambient (outdoor) air:** Do indoor concentrations of the key COC exceed outdoor concentrations? A “Yes” response is conservatively considered to be consistent with vapor intrusion. This line of evidence is not definitive with respect to vapor intrusion, however, because of potential contributions from indoor sources.

2. **No indoor sources:** Were known indoor sources of target VOCs removed prior to the end of the baseline period such that no (known) indoor sources remain in the building? If “Yes”, then the source of target VOCs may be consistent with vapor intrusion. If “No”, known indoor sources remain, and these indoor sources may be the primary source(s) of VOCs in indoor air. This question does not apply if the on-site results for the target VOC are below detection limits.

3. **Baseline building pressure:** Is baseline building pressure negative (i.e., building depressurized relative to outdoors [ambient])? A “No” provides evidence of an indoor source because a positive building pressure does not support the flow of soil gas into the building. A “Yes” response is conservatively considered to be consistent with vapor intrusion. However, this line of evidence alone is not definitive with respect to vapor intrusion because a negative building pressure does not eliminate the possibility of an indoor source.

4. **Vapor entry point:** Were vapor entry points found? If “Yes”, then vapor intrusion could contribute to target VOCs in indoor air.

The range of building classifications based on these lines of evidence is summarized in Table 9 above.
Building pressure may also be manipulated to get a better understanding of the source of VOCs in indoor air. Lines of evidence for the optional pressure control evaluation focus on change in target VOC concentrations relative to baseline, and relative to the building pressure condition.

1. **Building pressurization**: Are target VOC concentrations suppressed by building pressurization? A “Yes” response is consistent with VI.

2. **Building depressurization**: Are target VOC concentrations enhanced by depressurization? A “Yes” response is consistent with VI.

The range of building classifications based on these lines of evidence is summarized in Table 9 above. Refer to the final report for ER-201119 for additional details regarding the on-site analysis protocol and data interpretation methods.

The VI classifications for the demonstration buildings are summarized in Table 13. Note that the regulatory implication is based on the generic screening level (Table 10) used to standardize data interpretations for this report. Actual needs or requirements may be different, and will depend on each site’s particular circumstances.
Table 13: On-Site Analysis Protocol Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Results Based on On-Site Analysis Protocol</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord Building 9669</td>
<td>OVERALL FINDING: Evidence of current vapor intrusion</td>
<td>Appendix B, Figure B.1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IMPLICATION: Indoor air concentration ((2 \mu g/m^3)) is BELOW USEPA screening level ((3 \mu g/m^3)). Pressure control evaluation increases confidence in result, and decreases concern with temporal variability.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge Building 1533</td>
<td>OVERALL FINDING: No evidence of current/potential vapor intrusion</td>
<td>Appendix B, Figure B.2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IMPLICATION: Primary sources of benzene are indoors. Indoor air benzene concentration greater than USEPA screening level due to indoor sources. No additional evaluation warranted under current building use.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall Building 219</td>
<td>Not applicable. VI not likely based on on-site analysis protocol. No VI concern due to low TCE concentration. CSIA protocol was tested using a planted indoor source.</td>
<td>Section 6.2.1, Figure 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Planted indoor source)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raritan Building CP4</td>
<td>OVERALL FINDING: Office Area: Supporting evidence of VI. Warehouse: Suggestive of VI.</td>
<td>Appendix B, Figure B.3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IMPLICATION: Indoor air concentration ((0.43 \mu g/m^3 \text{ in warehouse})) is BELOW USEPA screening level ((3 \mu g/m^3)). Controlled depressurization did not enhance vapor intrusion reducing concern regarding temporal variability.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Findings and implications above are based on the on-site analysis protocol only. See Section 6.2 for an evaluation of the full dataset (e.g., results from conventional, CSIA, and on-site analysis approaches).

### 5.8 SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

During Task 2 of this project, we characterized the stable isotope signatures for common indoor sources of VOCs by compiling data available in the literature and analyzing samples of indoor sources (GSI, 2012c). Likely ranges of isotope ratios for indoor sources of PCE, TCE and benzene were developed. Isotope ratios for benzene were developed for gasoline, cigarette smoke, and natural gas, common indoor sources with sufficient benzene for isotope testing.

During the CSIA demonstration (Task 3 of ER-201025), we collected two additional natural gas samples for isotope analysis. The results were consistent with previous findings. As shown in Table 14, the natural gas signature is distinct from that of gasoline and cigarette smoke.
Table 14: Isotope Ratios for Benzene in Natural Gas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Carbon Isotope Ratio (‰)</th>
<th>Hydrogen Isotope Ratio (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas (GSI, 2012c)</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
<td>-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin, TX Natural Gas (this study)</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston, TX Natural Gas (this study)</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>-77.5 [-75 to -80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Benzene Sources (mean [range] of measured values)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline (GSI, 2012c)</td>
<td>-27.7 [-28.9 to -26.6]</td>
<td>-55 [-37 to -82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette Smoke (GSI, 2012c)</td>
<td>-32.0</td>
<td>Not determined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Finding:** Because of the distinct ranges, CSIA may be useful in distinguishing between types of indoor benzene sources.
6.0 PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

This section summarizes the data analysis completed to assess the performance objectives described in Section 3 and determine if the success criteria were met.

6.1 OBJECTIVE 1: COLLECTION OF DATA REPRESENTATIVE OF SITE CONDITIONS

6.1.1 Data Quality Review

This performance objective focuses on collection of representative data for isotope analysis. To evaluate whether success criteria were met, we reviewed sampling and custody procedures as well as analytical procedures and results. A data quality review of samples collected for the conventional and on-site analysis protocols is provided in the final report for ER-201119.

6.1.1.1 Sampling Procedures

Groundwater and vapor samples for isotope analysis were collected in accordance with the demonstration plan and associated QAPP (GSI, 2012d). All planned samples were collected. During the field programs covered by this report, the following deviations from planned procedures occurred:

- At Raritan Building CP4, the pump for sample CP4-IA-4 failed during sample collection. A second sample (CP-4-IA-4B) was collected the following day. The first sample was retained for analysis, and evaluated as a duplicate.

- At the Raritan buildings, permanent sub-slab vapor probes had been installed during previous investigations, and have been monitored on a routine basis for the last several years. Rather than installing new, temporary points, GSI collected sub-slab samples from the existing points.

- Groundwater sample collection procedures at the following sites were modified based on site-specific needs. At the Lewis-McChord site, groundwater samples were collected by personnel from Versar, the site contractor. At the Selfridge site, GSI collected the groundwater samples using low-flow/no-purge methods because of limited options to manage investigation-derived waste (IDW). At the Raritan site, GSI collected groundwater samples with bailers because of pump malfunctions.

- Groundwater samples were collected for the CSIA protocol to characterize the isotope signature of the subsurface source. At the Selfridge site, the monitoring well had not been sampled for several years. Therefore, the groundwater sample was split, with one portion submitted for VOC analysis and the other submitted for the isotope analysis.

6.1.1.2 Custody and Sample Handling Procedures

Groundwater samples were collected in VOA vials provided by TestAmerica laboratory in Houston, Texas. Vapor samples were collected in sorbent tubes provided by the University of Oklahoma Geology Department contract laboratory. All samples were shipped on ice under
chain of custody control to the University of Oklahoma for analysis. Samples were received by the lab in good condition, with one exception. Several VOA vials collected from the Raritan site groundwater were broken upon receipt by the lab. However, there was sufficient sample volume remaining to complete the requested analyses.

6.1.1.3 Holding Time

68% (42 of 62) of the CSIA analyses were analyzed outside of the two week holding time validated during the laboratory study for this ESTCP project. Therefore, we conducted additional study of the effect of holding time on sample results (see Table D.1.1). This additional analysis served to validate an extended holding time of up to 4 weeks for refrigerated samples (i.e., 4 °C) and up to nine months for samples frozen prior to analysis (see Section 6.1.2). All of the CSIA samples were analyzed within the extended holding times validated as part of this demonstration.

6.1.1.4 Laboratory Precision and Accuracy Assessment

Precision is the degree to which two or more measurements are in agreement as a result of repeated application of a process under specific conditions. Accuracy is the degree of agreement between an observed value (or an average of several values) and an accepted reference value. For CSIA, precision and accuracy is supported by laboratory procedures as follows:

Isotope ratios determined by CSIA are presented in delta (δ) notation (Equation 2). The sample isotope ratios (e.g., \( R_{\text{sample}} = \frac{^{13}\text{C}}{^{12}\text{C}} \)) are normalized to an international standard scale (e.g., V-PDB for carbon isotope ratios). Thus, δ units represent the difference between the sample’s ratio and the ratio of the international standard, reported in parts per thousand (‰).

\[
\delta^{13}\text{C} = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000
\]  

(2)

QA/QC in CSIA is required to control the analytical precision and accuracy of isotope ratio determination. The precision reflects the stability and linearity of the mass spectrometer detector (adversely affected by electronic noise and by fluctuations of water and oxygen present in trace amounts in the mass spectrometer source) and by fluctuations of baseline noise that affect the quality of quantitation of individual isotope peak areas for calculation of isotope ratios. A built-in routine of using internal standard gas for calibration of mass spectrometer output eliminates the problem of uncertain accuracy of the mass spectrometer detector. The overall accuracy can be adversely affected by: i) less than ideal thermal conversion of the analyte to the IRMS-amenable surrogate, ii) by the quality of GC peak separation (peak tailing resulting in a portion of analyte mass lost to integration and coelutions resulting in integration of the target peaks together with additional signal added by coeluent), and iii) by isotope species disproportionation by incomplete recovery from sample matrix. The latter applies specifically to environmental samples run by methods involving techniques such as P&T and thermal desorption. Matrix spikes prepared with standards (e.g., TCE, PCE and benzene) of known isotope composition are analyzed under identical conditions as the environmental samples of interest, to determine the analytical bias. GC separation quality poses a separate challenge that cannot be addressed adequately by matrix spikes, because the GC interferents in real samples are usually more abundant and diverse than in a matrix spike. The quality of GC separation has to be assessed by a trained operator, who can identify compromised peaks by examination of peak geometry and the
geometry of isotope ratio output (Figure 5). Minor coelutions are acceptable (and unavoidable). The net analytical uncertainty should account for all these potential problems, including problems caused by minor coelutions and peak integration deficiencies. Stated uncertainty for different isotopes is typically higher than the performance for clean matrix spikes, because it allows for additional factors present in actual samples. Stated uncertainty should be given for specific analytes analyzed by a particular method. The performance for the same isotope for different analytes and for the same analyte and isotope for different analytical methods is not necessarily identical.

Implementation of the QA/QC evaluations described above ensures that the accuracy and precision of the results remain within an acceptable range. The procedures do not support separate quantification of accuracy vs. precision. The accuracy/precision values for the analytes of interest (i.e., benzene, TCE, and PCE) and the methods of interest are: C: ±0.5 ‰; Cl ±1 ‰; H: ±5 ‰.

Figure 5. The lower trace is a chromatogram drawn for mass 44 (12C16O2). The upper trace is drawn for the ratio of masses 45/44 (13C16O2/12C16O2). The characteristic sinusoid appearance of the ratio trace results from slightly faster travel of 13C species through the GC column. Compound A is well-resolved, permitting accurate definition of isotope ratio. Compound B overlaps (coelutes) with another unidentified compound, mostly hidden underneath peak B. The coelution can be identified by careful examination of the geometry of the GC peak and the corresponding 45/44 ratio trace (arrows point to asymmetries resulting from such coelution).

6.1.1.5 Field Quality Assurance

Field precision was determined based on the difference in measured isotope ratios between paired normal and duplicate samples. Field accuracy was verified based on an evaluation of trip blanks.
• **Field Duplicates:** A total of five normal-field duplicate sample pairs were collected over the course of the demonstration. The field precision was evaluated by calculating the difference between the measured isotope ratios between the paired samples. The precision objective was ±1‰ for $\delta^{13}$C, ±2 ‰ for $\delta^{37}$Cl, and ±10 ‰ for $\delta^2$H.

As indicated on Table D.1.2, the difference between results was less than ±1‰ for all samples. Note that $\delta^2$H was not evaluated in the demonstration dataset.

• **Trip Blanks:** One set of sorbent tubes per demonstration site was transported with the samples and analyzed as a trip blank. Analysis focused on the site-specific VOCs (i.e., TCE for Lewis-McChord, Tyndall, and Raritan, and benzene and TCE for Selfridge). As indicated on Table D.1.3, no TCE was found in the trip blanks for Lewis-McChord and Tyndall, and small amounts were found at Selfridge (0 – 0.2 ng) and Raritan (0.1 – 1.3 ng). Similarly, small amounts of benzene were found at Selfridge (0.4 – 1.4 ng). The target mass for sample collection was 100 ng. The small mass found in the trip blanks would have constituted about 1% of the total, and would, therefore, have had minimal effect on the samples.

### 6.1.1.6 Completeness Assessment

With the exceptions noted in Sections 6.1.1.1 (Sampling Procedures) and 6.1.1.2 (Custody and Sample Handling Procedures), all necessary analytical samples were collected and analyzed.

### 6.1.2 Validation of Extended Holding Time

Additional analysis of twelve samples was completed to assess the impact of holding time on sample results. Each sample consisted of four sorbent tubes which were refrigerated (4°C) or frozen (-10°C) during storage prior to analysis. For the Lewis-McChord, Selfridge, and Tyndall demonstrations, the tubes were analyzed at different times ranging from 21 days to 9 months after sample collection (Table 15). The results of re-analysis were within the expected accuracy/precision range for all but two samples. In Lewis-McChord 1-SS-2-CSI, no peaks were observed in the sorbent tubes used for the supplemental analyses. In Selfridge SS-2 Low, the difference between the initial and subsequent results was 1.3 ‰, slightly greater than the typical analytical precision of ±1 ‰. However, this low concentration sample had only 10-20 ng of benzene (i.e., less than the minimum recommended sample mass of 30 ng), resulting in lower expected laboratory precision.
Based on the additional analyses completed to assess the impact of different holding times on sample results, holding times longer than the originally-validated 2 week period are acceptable.

**Finding:** Holding times of up to 4 weeks for samples stored at 4°C (i.e., refrigerated samples) are acceptable and do not adversely impact results. Samples analyzed after 6 months in a freezer (-10°C) are also not adversely impacted.

### 6.1.3 Evaluation of Performance Objective 1

Overall, the project data quality objectives were met (Table 16). Data quality exceptions occurred during the CSIA demonstration program as described above (e.g., holding time issues), but had little to no impact on the results.

#### Table 15: CSIA Holding Time Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Analysis 1 month after sample collection</th>
<th>Analysis 3 months after sample collection</th>
<th>Analysis 6-9 months after sample collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δ¹³C TCE Result [analytical error ±1 ‰]</td>
<td>δ¹³C TCE Result [analytical error ±2 ‰]</td>
<td>δ¹³C TCE Result [analytical error ±1 ‰]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord 1-IA-1-CSI</td>
<td>-25.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord 1-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>-18.5</td>
<td>no peak</td>
<td>no peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord 3-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td>-19.5</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge Indoor-1</td>
<td>-32.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge SS-2 High</td>
<td>-25.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ¹³C Cl TCE Result [analytical error ±2 ‰]</td>
<td>δ¹³C Cl TCE Result [analytical error ±1 ‰]</td>
<td>δ¹³C Benzene Result [analytical error ±1 ‰]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall 156-SS-3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall 219-IA-3 Pump 1</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall 219-IA-3 Pump 2</td>
<td>-3.15</td>
<td>-3.30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ¹³C Benzene Result [analytical error ±1 ‰]</td>
<td>δ¹³C Benzene Result [analytical error ±1 ‰]</td>
<td>δ¹³C Benzene Result [analytical error ±1 ‰]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge Indoor-1</td>
<td>-29.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge SS-1</td>
<td>-29.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge SS-2 1 Hour</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge SS-2 Low</td>
<td>-28.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-30.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 16: Summary of CSIA Data Quality Evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Quality Objective</th>
<th>Data Quality Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample collection and handling procedures</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holding time</td>
<td>Acceptable*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Precision/Accuracy Assessment</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Duplicate</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Blank Analysis</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness Assessment</td>
<td>Acceptable*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Data Usability</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Finding:** The data quality for the demonstration program dataset is acceptable and suitable for evaluation of demonstration performance.
6.2 OBJECTIVE 2: VALIDATION OF DRAFT CSIA PROTOCOL TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN INDOOR SOURCES OF VOCs AND VAPOR INTRUSION

The vapor intrusion classification of each demonstration building was evaluated separately, in accordance with criteria established for each approach (see Sections 5.7.1 – 5.7.3). This section compares the results of the full dataset.

6.2.1 Site-by-Site Analysis of Results: Building VI Classifications

Comparison of Vapor Intrusion Classifications from the Different Investigation Methods: A conventional and two innovative vapor intrusion investigation methods were applied at four demonstration sites. The vapor intrusion classifications were compared to determine method performance. When the classification was the same, the methods were determined to have performed equally. When one method resulted in a more definitive classification than another (e.g., supporting evidence vs. results not definitive), that method was determined to have performed better. The results for each of the four buildings are discussed below and summarized in Table 17.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Conventional Approach</th>
<th>CSIA Protocol</th>
<th>On-Site Analysis Protocol</th>
<th>Overall Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord 9669</td>
<td>Supporting evidence of current VI (below reg. level)</td>
<td>Supporting evidence of current VI</td>
<td>Evidence of current VI (below reg. level)</td>
<td>Results generally consistent between three methods. Results from on-site protocol were most definitive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge 1533</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
<td>Supporting Evidence of No Current VI</td>
<td>No evidence of current/potential VI</td>
<td>Results generally consistent between CSIA and on-site methods. Results from on-site and CSIA protocols were more definitive than the conventional approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall 219 (Planted Indoor Source)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Strong Evidence of Indoor Source (not VI)</td>
<td>Evidence of Indoor Source</td>
<td>CSIA correctly identified the planted indoor source and the source of TCE in indoor air.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raritan CP4</td>
<td>Supporting evidence of current VI (below reg. level)</td>
<td>Strong evidence of indoor source</td>
<td>Supporting evidence of current VI (below reg. level)</td>
<td>CSIA protocol performed best. On-site protocol and conventional approach both provided incorrect results.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demonstration Buildings:

- **Lewis-McChord 9669**: The conventional results were generally indicative of current vapor intrusion. However, TCE was the only subsurface COC consistently detected in indoor air limiting the ability to evaluate the constituent ratio line of evidence. Building 9669 is a supply distribution warehouse that contains a large variety (over 100) of VOC-containing products. As a result, using the conventional results alone, it would be
difficult to conclude with a high degree of confidence that no indoor sources of TCE were present. The on-site analysis protocol (both the baseline sampling and the pressure control) yielded results inconsistent with an indoor source of TCE. These results provided a higher degree of confidence that the TCE detected in indoor air originated in the subsurface. **The CSIA protocol also provided supporting evidence of a subsurface source.**

- **Selfridge 1533:** The conventional results were generally indicative of no vapor intrusion because the maximum benzene concentration in the sub-slab was less than 10x the concentration in indoor air and there were obvious non-removable sources in the building (i.e., automobiles being repaired). However, the benzene concentration in indoor air (14 µg/m³) was almost 10x greater than the risk-based screening value and the maximum benzene concentration in the sub-slab (58 µg/m³) was greater than the concentration in indoor air. As a result, a regulator may have required additional evaluation of whether vapor intrusion was contributing to the benzene detected in indoor air. The results from the on-site protocol provided greater confidence that indoor sources were the predominate sources of benzene in indoor air because i) the on-site analysis documented the temporally variable impact of the indoor sources on benzene concentration in indoor air and ii) the building pressure control results were consistent with an indoor source of benzene. **The CSIA protocol provided supporting evidence of NO current vapor intrusion, consistent with the on-site protocol.**

- **Tyndall 219:** The standard CSIA protocol was not applicable in this building because of the low TCE concentrations. Therefore, this building was used to test whether the isotope analysis could correctly identify a known, planted indoor source. An unopened cardboard box containing an unopened 16 oz. aerosol can of Sprayway C-60 Solvent Cleaner and Degreaser was placed in a closet. A sorbent tube sample and duplicate were immediately set up and left to collect overnight. The next morning, several indoor air samples were collected for on-site GC/MS analysis using the HAPSITE SMART. The HAPSITE SMART showed a slight concentration gradient towards the closet where the source was hidden (Figure 6, left panel). The isotope result for indoor air was distinct from the groundwater result, and was in the range of isotopic signatures associated with indoor sources (Figure 6, right panel). **Therefore, the CSIA protocol correctly identified the source of TCE in indoor air as an indoor source.**
According to the product MSDS, the ingredients included TCE (>90%) and carbon dioxide (3-5%). The isotopic signatures of this product from the original laboratory testing and indoor air testing during the demonstration were similar although the sampling was done more than a year apart (Table 18).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Tested</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ (%)</th>
<th>$\delta^{37}Cl$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sprayway C-60 (McHugh, et al., 2011)</td>
<td>-29.8</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air inside closet with planted Sprayway C-60 can (this study)</td>
<td>-28.8 to -29</td>
<td>-3.5 to -3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Raritan CP4**: The conventional results provided supporting evidence of vapor intrusion because the maximum TCE concentration in the sub-slab was more than 10x the TCE concentration in indoor air. The on-site analysis protocol results also provided supporting evidence of vapor intrusion because TCE was detected in indoor air, no indoor sources of TCE were found, two floor cracks were identified as vapor entry points, and the TCE concentrations measured in the wall gap of one room was higher than the highest TCE concentration measured in indoor air. Elevated COC concentrations in wall gaps are consistent with vapor intrusion because wall gaps can be connected to vapor entry points and have lower air exchange rates than building interior spaces. The on-site analysis protocol results were not considered definitive for two reasons. First, the two floor crack entry points appeared to be minor; no strong entry points were identified. Second, the wall gap appeared to represent a limited reservoir of TCE. TCE concentrations within the wall gap decreased after collection of a 6-L summa sample. In addition, several other wall gaps tested did not show elevated concentrations of TCE.
Based on the CSIA results, both the conventional and the on-site analysis protocol results appear to have provided an incorrect indication of vapor intrusion as the source of the TCE in indoor air.

Further support of the CSIA results comes from passive sorbent samplers provided by Geosyntec Consultants. At the end of the demonstration, GSI deployed six passive samplers at the CP4-IA-4 location. Geosyntec retrieved the samplers three weeks later. The samplers were split, with three submitted to the University of Oklahoma and three submitted to the University of Waterloo for analysis. The results from the active and passive sampling were consistent (Table 19). These preliminary results suggest that, with additional validation, passive sorbent samples may serve as an alternative sample collection device for CSIA for indoor air.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 19: Results from Active vs. Passive Sampling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Method: Active Sampling (this study)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory: Univ. of Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ¹³C (‰)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ³⁷Cl (‰)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: 1) Average result shown; 2) Insufficient TCE mass for analysis of δ³⁷Cl.

The CSIA results for Raritan CP4 provided strong evidence of an indoor source because the TCE in groundwater was enriched in both ¹³C and ³⁷Cl, consistent with the kinetic isotope effect of biodegradation, while the TCE in indoor air had lower levels of ¹³C and ³⁷Cl, consistent untransformed TCE. Although no indoor source of TCE was identified during the site visit, the building manager reported that the building’s cleaning service had used a TCE-based spot remover in the past. Although she had requested that they not use chlorinated solvents in the building, she indicated that it was possible that they were still using them during some cleaning events.

Although the combined results from the conventional and on-site analysis investigations of Raritan CP4 did not support definitive source identification, the most likely explanation is the recent use of a TCE-containing spot remover. Based on the on-site analysis results, the highest TCE concentrations were found within a cluster of conference rooms that were the only carpeted spaces within the building. TCE concentrations within this cluster of rooms decreased from approximately 6 µg/m³ on the first day of the demonstration to approximately 2 µg/m³ on the fourth day. Although there is some uncertainty because a specific indoor source was not identified, the elevated concentration of TCE in the wall gap would be consistent with recent use of TCE in the building because elevated TCE concentrations would persist longer in the wall gap than in the more ventilated room space.
6.2.2 Evaluation of Subsurface Sample Locations

Groundwater vs. Sub-Slab Soil Gas

The draft CSIA protocol included several options for collecting samples to characterize the subsurface source (e.g., groundwater, soil gas, sub-slab soil gas). During the demonstration, we collected paired groundwater and sub-slab soil gas samples for TCE $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{37}$Cl analysis at five buildings (Lewis-McChord 9669, Tyndall 156, Tyndall 219, Raritan CP4, and Raritan 209). As shown in Figure 7, the sub-slab results are distinct from the groundwater results, and are outside of the indoor source range. The sub-slab samples showed a shift towards the “heavier” ratios relative to groundwater for all pairs except Tyndall Building 156. For Tyndall 219, Raritan CP4, and Raritan 209, the shift was primarily in the carbon ratios.

Figure 7: Comparison of Paired Groundwater and Sub-Slab TCE Isotope Ratios

The groundwater, sub-slab, and indoor air isotope results for Lewis-McChord Building 9669 are shown in Figure 8. The indoor air results are similar to groundwater, suggesting a subsurface source of TCE in indoor air. This is consistent with the interpretation from the conventional and on-site analysis investigation methods. Because of the shift between the groundwater and sub-slab samples, comparing the sub-slab and indoor results would have resulted in an interpretation of evidence of an indoor source. The isotopic shift between the groundwater and sub-slab results may be due to degradation in the subsurface or other, unknown factors. For use in this CSIA protocol, groundwater provides the best characterization of the subsurface source. Validation of soil gas sampling using this protocol would require additional research.
**Finding:** Comparisons of groundwater and indoor air results provided the clearest, most conservative interpretations that were also most consistent with the weight of evidence regarding vapor intrusion.

**Location of Groundwater Monitoring Wells**

As discussed above, results from groundwater samples appeared to be most useful for characterizing the subsurface source. Three demonstration buildings, Lewis-McChord 9669, Raritan CP4 and Raritan 209, provided the opportunity to evaluate the variability within the groundwater source (Figure 9). At these buildings, more than one shallow zone monitoring well was available for sampling during the demonstration. At Lewis-McChord (Figure 9, left panel), results from different locations in the plume were within ±1‰ for δ^{13}C and δ^{37}Cl, which is on the order of analytical variability. At Raritan (Figure 9, right panel), the differences between plume locations were up to about 4‰. The CSIA protocol was only applicable at Building CP4 in which TCE was found indoor air. The isotope variability observed between monitoring wells made no material difference because, at this building, the indoor air isotope signature was well within the indoor source range and distinct from the groundwater range. Thus, at both of the sites where isotope ratios were measured in samples from multiple wells, the overall interpretation of the results would have been the same using the results from any one of the individual wells.

**Finding:** Sampling locations near, and upgradient of, the buildings of interest best characterize the subsurface source. The demonstration results suggest that a sample from one monitoring well located close to the building of interest will often be sufficient to characterize the isotope ratio of the subsurface source. However, sampling two or more wells may increase the confidence in the results.
6.2.3 Evaluation of Performance Objective 2

The field demonstration has resulted in validation of the CSIA protocol (provided that groundwater samples are used to characterize the subsurface source). For three of four (Lewis-McChord 9669, Selfridge 1533, Tyndall 219) buildings where the CSIA protocol was applied, the source identification provided by the isotope results (i.e., vapor intrusion vs. indoor source) was consistent with the overall determination of the source based on the evaluation of all available information. For one building (Raritan CP4), the VI classification from the CSIA protocol was different from the preliminary classification based on the other two investigation methods (Table 17). However, based on the evaluation of all available information from all three investigation methods combined, the CSIA protocol performed the best. Additionally:

- The CSIA protocol correctly identified the planted source in Tyndall Building 219.
- The CSIA protocol provided a strong evidence of indoor sources in Raritan Building CP4, where the other two investigation methods yielded more tentative and opposite results (“supporting evidence of VI”).

These results demonstrate that CSIA is a useful supplement to conventional vapor intrusion investigations for sites where the source (vapor intrusion vs. indoor source) of the primary COC in indoor air is not clear.

Findings from the demonstration were used to refine the draft protocol. Specific recommendations are provided in Section 6.4.3. The revised protocol is provided in Appendix E.

6.3 OBJECTIVE 3: VALIDATION OF DRAFT PROTOCOL FOR IDENTIFICATION OF BOTH INDOOR AND SUBSURFACE SOURCES

6.3.1 Identification of both Indoor and Subsurface Sources

The draft protocol was applied at three buildings with indoor sources (Selfridge 1533, Tyndall 219 [planted indoor source], and Raritan CP4) and one building with subsurface sources of
VOCs (Lewis-McChord 9669). During the course of the demonstration, we were not able to identify a building where indoor air was being impacted by a target VOC originating from both vapor intrusion and an indoor source. Therefore, the resulting demonstration dataset did not allow direct evaluation of the utility of CSIA in buildings with both indoor and subsurface sources. However, based on the well-established theoretical understanding of the impact of mixed sources on isotope ratios, it is clear that the protocol could yield misleading results in some buildings with mixed sources.

To evaluate the impact of mixed sources on the isotope ratios of indoor air samples, we calculated expected isotope ratios in indoor air impacted by both the potential subsurface source at Tyndall Building 219 (as characterized by the groundwater sample from MW-20s) and the planted indoor source at Tyndall Building 219. That is, assuming that the total indoor air TCE concentration is 1 µg/m³ (0.2 ppb), we calculated indoor air isotope ratios assuming concentrations of i) 95% of the chemical from groundwater and 5% from the indoor source (Case 1); ii) 75% of the chemical from groundwater and 25% from the indoor source (Case 2); iii) 50% from groundwater and 50% from the indoor source (Case 3), and iv) 25% from groundwater and 75% from the indoor source (Case 4). Results are shown in Figure 10 below.

For Case 1, the CSIA protocol would correctly indicate that the subsurface source is the only significant source of TCE in indoor air (i.e., Scenario B in Figure 4). For Case 2, the CSIA protocol would correctly identify mixed subsurface and indoor sources (i.e., Scenario C in Figure 4). For Cases 3 and 4, the CSIA protocol would identify the indoor source as the “primary source” of TCE in indoor air (i.e., Scenario A in Figure 4), however, the protocol would not provide any indication of the contribution from the subsurface source because the results would be consistent with 100% contribution from an indoor source. Thus, it is clear that in some cases, the CSIA protocol cannot distinguish between mixed sources and 100% indoor sources. This limitation is addressed in the revised protocol.

**Figure 10: Isotope Ratios for Indoor Air with Mixed VOC Sources**

Notes: 1) Starting concentration of 1 µg/m³ based on measurement in Building 219 hallway; 2) Indoor source isotope ratios (green square) from the planted source at Building 219; 3) Groundwater ratios from MW-20s, adjacent to Building 219.
6.3.2 Evaluation of Performance Objective 3

Based on the demonstration results and a theoretical mixing evaluation, the protocol is likely to be reliable for identifying the primary source of a VOC in indoor air at buildings with contributions from both vapor intrusion and indoor sources. For buildings where the indoor source is the primary source, the potential for vapor intrusion to be a secondary contributing source could be evaluated by finding and removing the indoor source and retesting the building.

6.4 OBJECTIVE 4: IMPLEMENTABILITY AND COST EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROTOCOL

6.4.1 Demonstration Findings

This objective was evaluated by reviewing the experience gained during the demonstration. The protocol is applicable to buildings which have VOCs in indoor air, as determined by some other investigation method (e.g., historic site data). The protocol is a step-by-step procedure that can be implemented by a typical environmental professional with a few years of general experience and prior experience in sample collection using USEPA Method TO-17. Equipment for sampling is commonly available for rent or purchase (e.g., groundwater sampling equipment, air sampling pumps).

Based on experience gained during the demonstration:

- Communication with the analytical laboratory is important. For example, for sites with low target VOC concentrations, the laboratory can help confirm sampling parameters (e.g., sample collection period). Additionally, for petroleum sites, it may be difficult to obtain clean peaks from the analytical method because of potential high concentrations and interfering compounds.

- At petroleum sites, it may only be practical to analyze for carbon isotope ratios. For hydrogen, collecting enough sample mass may require extended sampling times. Problems with saturating the sorbents may also be encountered.

6.4.2 Evaluation of Performance Objective 4

Based on the results of the investigation, the CSIA protocol is implementable as a separate line of evidence to distinguish between indoor and subsurface sources of VOCs in indoor air. The protocol is cost effective; a detailed cost analysis is presented in Section 7.

The protocol is not a standalone investigation technique. Pre-existing data must indicate that target VOCs are present in indoor air prior to making the decision to use the CSIA protocol for the purpose of source identification.

6.4.3 Modifications to the CSIA Protocol

Based on the experience gained during the demonstration, we recommend the following modifications to the protocol. These recommendations have been incorporated into the protocol instructions provided in Appendix E.
• Extended holding time: As discussed in Section 6.1.2, additional analyses were completed to evaluate the effect of extended holding time on sample results. Based on these analyses, refrigerated tubes can be stored for at least 4 weeks prior to analysis. It is recommended that tubes be frozen for holding time longer than 4 weeks. No isotope fractionation was observed in tubes kept in a freezer for more than 6 months prior to analysis.

• Use of groundwater samples to characterize the subsurface source: Based on experience gained during the demonstration, groundwater samples are not only easier to collect, they are more useful for data interpretation, as compared to soil gas samples.

• Mixed Sources: In cases where the protocol identifies an indoor source as the primary source, additional evaluation may be required in some cases to confirm that vapor intrusion is not a secondary source.
7.0 COST ASSESSMENT

The cost of implementing the field demonstration programs was tracked and used to estimate the expected cost of implementing the CSIA protocol. The following sections summarize the cost for the field demonstrations included in this ESTCP project. It is important to note that the field demonstrations included additional tasks and associated costs in order to validate the protocol, including implementation of a conventional and on-site analysis investigation concurrent with the CSIA investigation. These costs would not be incurred during standard application of the procedure. Therefore, Section 7.1 describes the cost model associated with the demonstration, while Section 7.2 and 7.3 focus on cost considerations for routine application of the procedure.

7.1 COST MODEL

The demonstration included three different site characterization methods, each implemented at four DoD sites. Key cost elements included i) project planning and preparation, ii) field implementation, and iii) data evaluation and reporting (Table 20).

Table 20: Cost Model for the Field Demonstration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Element</th>
<th>Data to be Tracked</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Project planning</td>
<td>Labor hours</td>
<td>Senior Project Scientist/Engineer, Project Scientist / Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies (On-Site Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Calibration gas, Tedlar bags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Field program</td>
<td>Labor hours</td>
<td>Senior Project Scientist/Engineer, Project Scientist / Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Program</td>
<td>Equipment Rental,</td>
<td>Hammer drill rental for sub-slab point installation, helium and helium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>meter rental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample Analysis</td>
<td>Off-site laboratory analysis of air/vapor samples (TO-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIA Protocol</td>
<td>Equipment Rental/Purchase, Supplies</td>
<td>Pumps, consumables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample Analysis</td>
<td>Off-site laboratory analysis of water and vapor samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Site Analysis Protocol</td>
<td>Equipment Rental, Supplies</td>
<td>HAPSITE rental, operating costs, consumables, fan rental for building pressure manipulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample Analysis</td>
<td>Off-site laboratory analysis of confirmation samples (TO-15, radon)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Data evaluation and</td>
<td>Labor hours</td>
<td>Senior Project Scientist/Engineer, Project Scientist / Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reporting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Cost model does not include travel or shipping costs.
7.1.1 Cost Element: Project Planning and Preparation

Project planning included identifying target VOCs for CSIA analysis, estimating VOC concentrations needed to order the correct sample media (Summa canisters vs. sorbent tubes), and obtaining site access.

Labor requirements made up the primary cost in this element (see Table 21). For the demonstration, the time required for project planning varied widely, and depended primarily upon site-specific circumstances such as i) the number of meetings and presentations needed to obtain permission to access sites and buildings, and ii) volume of historic data reviewed to determine the specific buildings for investigation. Field preparation (e.g., calibrating and testing the HAPSITE portable GC/MS, calibrating air sampling pumps) could typically be completed the day before on-site work began.

Table 21: Typical Consultant Labor Requirements for Project Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Element</th>
<th>Sub Category</th>
<th>Representative Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Planning</td>
<td>Project Planning (pre-field event)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor hours: Senior Project Scientist/Engineer</td>
<td>10-15 hours per site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor hours: Project Scientist/Engineer</td>
<td>25-35 hours per site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation (on location, prior to building investigation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor hours: Senior Project Scientist/Engineer</td>
<td>2-4 hours per site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor hours: Project Scientist/Engineer</td>
<td>4-8 hours per site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Labor hours do not include time required for general tasks (shipping, travel, etc.).

7.1.2 Cost Element: CSIA Field Program

Costs for the CSIA field program included labor and costs for equipment, supplies, and laboratory analysis. Representative unit costs are summarized in Table 22.

Table 22: Representative Unit Costs for CSIA Demonstration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Element</th>
<th>Sub Category</th>
<th>Representative Unit Cost</th>
<th>Representative Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSIA Field Program</td>
<td>Labor hours: Senior Project Scientist/Engineer</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>Hours per building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor hours: Project Scientist/Engineer</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>Hours per building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment Purchase or Rental</td>
<td>$125(^1)</td>
<td>Dollars per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(e.g., air sampling pumps, sorbent tube holders; pumps/supplies for groundwater sampling)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample Analysis</td>
<td>$350-400</td>
<td>Dollars per single isotope per sample</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 1) GSI owns air sampling equipment used for the demonstration. However, sampling equipment is available for rental (e.g., TO-17 kits). 2) General costs such as travel and shipping are not included.
Although a number of commercial laboratories provide isotope analysis for water or air samples, to our knowledge, the University of Oklahoma service laboratory is the only laboratory that can measure compound-specific isotope ratios of VOCs on adsorbent tube samples. Analytical costs are summarized in Table 23.

### Table 23: Analytical Costs for CSIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Carbon</th>
<th>Chlorine</th>
<th>Hydrogen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adsorbent Tube Samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE/TCE</td>
<td>$400/sample</td>
<td>$400/sample</td>
<td>$350/sample (TCE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>$350/sample</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$350/sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE/TCE</td>
<td>$350/sample</td>
<td>$400/sample</td>
<td>$350/sample (TCE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>$350/sample</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$350/sample</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Laboratory requires estimated mass or concentration of target analyte in sample. An additional fee may apply if this information is not provided.

As indicated in Table 23, per-sample costs are based on the sample matrix and the isotopes desired. For example, if TCE is the key COC in a groundwater sample, analyses may be done for carbon and/or chlorine isotope ratios. If both are needed, then the analytical cost would be $750 for that sample. If only chlorine is needed, then the analytical cost would be $400.

#### 7.1.3 Cost Element: Data Evaluation and Reporting

Following completion of the field program, the results were reviewed and organized into a report to document the findings and conclusions. Key elements included CSIA data review and validation, documentation of the results, and review and documentation of the overall findings from the three investigations methods included in the demonstration.

The primary cost for this element is for labor. Typical time required for data review and reporting is summarized in Table 24, and varied based on the number of samples collected.

### Table 24: Typical Labor Requirements for Data Evaluation and Reporting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Element</th>
<th>Sub Category</th>
<th>Representative Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Evaluation and</td>
<td>Labor hours: Senior Project</td>
<td>2-4 hours per building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>Scientist/Engineer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor hours: Project Scientist/</td>
<td>8-12 hours per building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.2 COST DRIVERS

The CSIA protocol does not require collection of a large number of samples or a time-intensive field effort. Therefore, the cost for implementation of the CSIA protocol is not expected to vary significantly based on specific site characteristics. Instead, key costs drivers relate to mobilization and the number of buildings to be evaluated at the site.

#### 7.3 COST ANALYSIS

Routine implementation of the CSIA protocol will cost less than implementation during the field demonstration because of the additional tasks needed to validate the protocol.
The CSIA protocol is not used as a standalone investigation method. The protocol is appropriate when previously collected data indicate that the concentration of target VOCs in indoor air are near or above risk-based (i.e., regulatory) screening levels and the source (i.e., vapor intrusion vs. indoor source) has not been determined. Application of the CSIA protocol is not likely to directly substitute for conventional sampling; rather, it will primarily be considered at sites where conventional sampling has failed to yield definitive source identification.

7.3.1 Cost Scenarios for the Three Investigation Approaches

Source identification methods include i) conventional methods (intensive manual search and source removal), ii) the on-site GC/MS analysis protocol (ER-201119), and iii) the CSIA protocol.
Conventional Source Identification

Conventional methods include completing a building questionnaire, visual product inventory, and removal. The level of effort for indoor source removal can be significant depending on the amount of materials stored. Additionally, removals may not be feasible in some buildings because they would disrupt critical operations (e.g., Selfridge Building 1533 vehicle maintenance) or because of large volumes of potential sources (e.g., 20,000 sq. ft. Lewis-McChord Building 9669 [warehouse], containing 3-story shelving units).

Estimated costs and assumptions for a conventional source removal program are summarized in Table 25. Because the focus is source removal, this scenario does not include sub-slab or ambient air sampling common in conventional programs. It does include collection of indoor air samples before and after the removal to determine the effectiveness of the removal effort. It also includes an “emission chamber” sample (i.e., isolation of products in a closed container and collection of an air sample of emissions from the products) to evaluate whether the products are significant VOC sources. The time required for a source removal can be significant. A total time of eight hours is assumed because of practical limitations commonly imposed by access agreements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 25: Estimated Cost of Conventional Source Removal for One Building</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost Element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Project planning and preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Conventional field program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Data evaluation and reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Estimates do not include shipping, travel, or QA samples (field duplicates). Costs assume implementation in conjunction with a larger sampling program.
On-Site GC/MS Analysis Protocol for Source Identification

This innovative protocol (ER-201119) is designed to distinguish between vapor intrusion and indoor sources of VOCs. The on-site analysis allows collection of a large volume of data in a short period of time. Assuming the same building as in the conventional scenario, the on-site analysis protocol is expected to take less time because the source identification and removal is more efficient (i.e., method allows more selective removals). However, the protocol requires more equipment than a conventional program. Estimated costs (Table 26) assume a limited investigation that is focused on locating current indoor VOC sources. The costs assume that this focused investigation is part of a larger on-site analysis program, so time for equipment QA is not included.

Table 26: Estimated Cost of Focused On-Site GC/MS Analysis Protocol for One Building

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Element</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Project planning and preparation</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>1 hours $150 $/hr</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>3 hours $100 $/hr</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. On-site analysis field program</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>4 hours $150 $/hr</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$2,295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>4 hours $100 $/hr</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment Rental</td>
<td>1 days $575 $/day</td>
<td>$575</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Off-site Sample Analysis</td>
<td>3 samples $240 $/spl (incl. Summa rental)</td>
<td>$720</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Data evaluation and reporting</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>2 hours $150 $/hr</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>8 hours $100 $/hr</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Project Total: $3,845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Estimates do not include shipping, travel, or QA samples (field duplicates). Costs assume implementation in conjunction with a larger sampling program.
CSIA Protocol for Source Identification

The CSIA protocol is most efficiently implemented as a part of a larger vapor intrusion investigation program. The level of effort in the field is minimal compared to the other methods. A source removal, per se, is not needed to determine the primary sources of VOCs in indoor air. Sample analysis is more expensive, but fewer samples are needed (Table 27).

Table 27: Estimated Cost of CSIA Protocol for One Building

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Element</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Project planning and preparation</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Senior Project Scientist/Engineer</td>
<td>1 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Project Scientist / Engineer</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. On-site analysis field program</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Senior Project Scientist/Engineer</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Project Scientist / Engineer</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment Rental</td>
<td>Pumps, misc supplies</td>
<td>1 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Off-site Sample Analysis</td>
<td>VOCs (2 samples)</td>
<td>2 samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Data evaluation and reporting</td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Senior Project Scientist/Engineer</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Project Scientist / Engineer</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Estimates do not include shipping, travel, or QA samples (field duplicates). Costs assume implementation in conjunction with a larger sampling program.

7.3.2 Cost Comparison

In the scenarios described in Section 7.3.1 above, implementation of the CSIA protocol is the least expensive on a per-building basis (Table 28).

Table 28: Cost Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigation Method</th>
<th>Cost for One Building</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Source ID and Removal</td>
<td>$4,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Site GC/MS Analysis Protocol</td>
<td>$3,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIA Protocol</td>
<td>$3,250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**8.0 IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES**

This project has resulted in development of a new tool to distinguish vapor intrusion from indoor sources of VOCs, one of the major problems with current investigation techniques. Advantages of the CSIA protocol include:

- **Less intrusive** than an intensive (manual) source removal; and
- **Less training** needed to implement the CSIA protocol, as compared to the on-site GC/MS protocol.

Limitations to the use of the CSIA protocol include:

- **Experience with TO-17 sample collection methods.** Sample collection using adsorbent tubes and pumps is slightly more complicated than sample collection using Summa canisters. This limitation can be mitigated by identifying a sampling team with prior experience in sampling using USEPA Method TO-17.

- **Potential for inconclusive results.** If the isotope composition of subsurface VOCs is within the range commonly observed for VOCs in consumer products, there is more uncertainty in data interpretation. Because of this limitation, the investigation protocol recommends characterization of the subsurface source either prior to collection of indoor air samples or in conjunction with sampling at the first one or two buildings included in a site investigation. The investigation method should be applied as part of a larger indoor air sampling program only when the subsurface source has been found to be distinct from most potential indoor sources.

- **Issues with hydrocarbon sites.** At petroleum hydrocarbon sites, it may not be practical to analyze for hydrogen isotopes because the large sample mass required may result in an overly long sample collection period. Other potential issues include saturation of the sorbent tubes and matrix interference complicating the laboratory analysis.
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### Appendix A: Points of Contact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POINT OF CONTACT Name</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION Name Address</th>
<th>Phone Fax E-mail</th>
<th>Role in Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tom McHugh</td>
<td>GSI Environmental Inc. 2211 Norfolk Street Ste 1000 Houston, TX 77098</td>
<td><a href="mailto:temchugh@gsi-net.com">temchugh@gsi-net.com</a></td>
<td>Principal Investigator (PI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lila Beckley</td>
<td>GSI Environmental Inc. 9600 Great Hills Trail Ste 350E Austin, TX 78759</td>
<td>Ph: 512-346-4474 Fax: 512-346-4476 <a href="mailto:lmbeckley@gsi-net.com">lmbeckley@gsi-net.com</a></td>
<td>Project Team Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomasz Kuder</td>
<td>School of Geology and Geophysics, Univ. of Oklahoma 100 E. Boyd St. Rm # A-119 Norman, OK 73019</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tkuder@ou.edu">tkuder@ou.edu</a></td>
<td>Project Team Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Paul Philp</td>
<td>School of Geology and Geophysics, Univ. of Oklahoma 100 E. Boyd St. Rm # A-119 Norman, OK 73019</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pphilp@ou.edu">pphilp@ou.edu</a></td>
<td>Project Team Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Sam Brock</td>
<td>AFCEC 3300 Sidney Brooks Brooks City-Base TX, 78235</td>
<td>Ph: 210-536-4329 Fax: 210-536-4330 <a href="mailto:Samuel.Brock@brooks.af.mil">Samuel.Brock@brooks.af.mil</a></td>
<td>Contracting Officer’s Rep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Myers</td>
<td>Environmental Restoration Bldg 2012 Liggett AVE RM 313 Box 339500, MS-17 JBLM, WA 98433-9500</td>
<td>Ph: 253-477-3742 <a href="mailto:william.w.myers@us.army.mil">william.w.myers@us.army.mil</a></td>
<td>Site Project Manager (Demonstration Site #1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl Neades</td>
<td>Environmental Division, IMMI-PWE U.S. Army Garrison Detroit Arsenal, Michigan</td>
<td>Ph: 586-282-8345 <a href="mailto:cheryl.l.neades.civ@mail.mil">cheryl.l.neades.civ@mail.mil</a></td>
<td>Site Project Manager (Demonstration Site #2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miguel Plaza</td>
<td>Environmental Restoration Flight 325 CES/PMO 119 Alabama Avenue Tyndall AFB, FL 32403</td>
<td>Ph: 850-283-2398 <a href="mailto:miguel.plaza@tyndall.af.mil">miguel.plaza@tyndall.af.mil</a></td>
<td>Site Project Manager (Demonstration Site #3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Piettro</td>
<td>Environmental Branch U.S. Army Corps of Engineers NY District, Jacob K. Javits Federal Building, 26 Federal Plaza, Room 1811 New York, NY 10278-0098</td>
<td>Ph: 917-790-8487 <a href="mailto:Sandra.L.Piettro@usace.army.mil">Sandra.L.Piettro@usace.army.mil</a></td>
<td>Site Project Manager (Demonstration Site #4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B: Lines of Evidence Evaluations
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FIGURE B.1.1: RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM
ESTCP Project ER-201025, Use of CSIA to Distinguish between VI and Indoor Sources of VOCs

Site Data: Lewis-McChord Building 9669, Washington

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line of Evidence</th>
<th>Consistent with VI?</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor air concentration &gt; outdoor air?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Also consistent with potential indoor source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-slab &gt;10x indoor air concentration?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At 2 of 3 sub-slab points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-slab to indoor air concentration ratios consistent with VI?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>TCE, PCE, 111TCA are highest conc VOCs in sub-slab; also detected in indoor air, with similar conc ratios.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration ratios consistent with groundwater (GW) source?</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
<td>In GW, c12DCE is approx 2% of TCE conc; c12DCE not detected in sub-slab or indoor air, but may not have been detectable because of low conc in GW source; PCE, 111TCA not detected in GW.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FINDING: Supporting evidence of current vapor intrusion

IMPLICATION: Indoor air conc (1.5 ug/m3) is BELOW USEPA screening level (3 ug/m3); however, monitoring may be appropriate to characterize temporal variability.

Notes: 1) Building schematic is not to scale. 2) See Section 5.7.1 for decision logic. 3) See Table C.1.1 for all conventional program results.
FIGURE B.1.2: RESULTS FROM CSIA PROTOCOL
ESTCP Project ER-201025, Use of CSIA to Distinguish between VI and Indoor Sources of VOCs

FINDING: Supporting evidence of current vapor intrusion

Data Interpretation

Notes: 1) See Section 5.7.2 for decision logic. 2) See Table C.1.2 for CSIA sample results.
FIGURE B.1.3: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE ANALYSIS PROTOCOL
ESTCP Project ER-201025, Use of CSIA to Distinguish between VI and Indoor Sources of VOCs

Baseline Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Corner of Warehouse</td>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>0.7 - 1.1 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center of Warehouse</td>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>0.8 - 2.0 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Section</td>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>0.97 - 1.7 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outdoors: TCE not detected

Pressure Control Evaluation

Baseline Finding: Supporting evidence of current VI

Pressure Control Finding: Evidence of potential VI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line of Evidence (Baseline)</th>
<th>Consistent with VI?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor air concentration &gt; outdoor air?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No indoor sources?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline building pressure negative?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor entry point found?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line of Evidence (Pressure Control)</th>
<th>Consistent with VI?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target VOC conc suppressed by building pressurization?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target VOC conc enhanced by depressurization?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OVERALL FINDING: Evidence of current/potential vapor intrusion

IMPLICATION: Indoor air conc (2 µg/m³) is BELOW USEPA screening level (3 µg/m³). Pressure control evaluation increases confidence in result, and decreases concern with temporal variability.

Notes: 1) See Section 5.7.3 for decision logic. 2) See Table C.1.3 and C.1.4 for on-site analysis protocol results.
### Data Interpretation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line of Evidence</th>
<th>Consistent with VI?</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Indoor air concentration &gt; outdoor air?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Also consistent with identified indoor source (e.g., automobiles being services inside building)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sub-slab &gt;10x indoor air concentration?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sub-slab to indoor air concentration ratios</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
<td>Elevated detection limits in indoor air prevent meaningful comparisons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consistent with VI?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Concentration ratios consistent with groundwater (GW) source?</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
<td>In GW, benzene is approx 25% of the ethylbenzene concentration. In sub-slab, ratios vary between sample points. In indoor air, ethylbenzene not detected (&lt;57 ug/m3).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FINDING:** Inconclusive, can’t distinguish between VI and indoor sources.

**IMPLICATION:** Indoor benzene concentration greater than USEPA screening level (1.6 ug/m3). Further study needed to determine source.

Notes: 1) Building schematic is not to scale. 2) See Section 5.7.1 for decision logic. 3) See Table C.2.1 for all conventional program results.
FIGURE B.2.2: RESULTS FROM CSIA PROTOCOL
ESTCP Project ER-201025, Use of CSIA to Distinguish between VI and Indoor Sources of VOCs

Site Data: Selfridge Building 1533, Michigan

Indoor Air vs. Groundwater Isotope Signatures

Data Interpretation

Finding: Supporting evidence of no current vapor intrusion

Notes: 1) See Section 5.7.2 for decision logic. 2) See Table C.2.2 for CSIA sample results.
FIGURE B.2.3: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE ANALYSIS PROTOCOL
ESTCP Project ER-201025, Use of CSIA to Distinguish between VI and Indoor Sources of VOCs

Site Data: Selfridge Building 1533, Michigan

Baseline Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line of Evidence (Baseline)</th>
<th>Consistent with VI?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor air concentration &gt; outdoor air?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No indoor sources?</td>
<td>No (Sources found and could not be removed from building)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline building pressure negative?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor entry point found?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baseline Finding: Supporting evidence of no current VI

Pressure Control Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line of Evidence (Pressure Control)</th>
<th>Consistent with VI?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target VOC conc suppressed by building pressurization?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target VOC conc enhanced by depressurization?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pressure Control Finding: No evidence of potential VI

OVERALL FINDING: No evidence of current/potential vapor intrusion

IMPLICATION: Primary sources of benzene are indoors. Indoor air benzene concentration greater than USEPA screening level due to indoor sources. No additional evaluation warranted under current building use.

Notes: 1) See Section 5.7.3 for decision logic. 2) See Table C.2.3 and C.2.4 for on-site analysis protocol results.
FIGURE B.3.1: RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM
ESTCP Project ER-201025, Use of CSIA to Distinguish between VI and Indoor Sources of VOCs

Data Interpretation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line of Evidence</th>
<th>Consistent with VI?</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor air concentration &gt; outdoor air?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Also consistent with potential indoor source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-slab &gt;10x indoor air concentration?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-slab to indoor air concentration ratios consistent with VI?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>TCE, PCE found at highest concentrations in sub-slab; also detected in indoor air. Ratios similar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration ratios consistent with groundwater (GW) source?</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
<td>In GW, c12DCE is 20-75% of the TCE conc. In sub-slab, c12DCE is &lt;1% of the TCE conc. c12DCE not detected in indoor air.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FINDING:** Supporting evidence of current vapor intrusion

**IMPLICATION:** Indoor air TCE concentration is within 50% of USEPA screening level (3 ug/m3). Monitoring may be needed to characterize temporal variability.

Notes: 1) Building schematic is not to scale. 2) See Section 5.7.1 for decision logic. 3) See Table C.4.1 for all conventional program results.
FIGURE B.3.2: RESULTS FROM CSIA PROTOCOL
ESTCP Project ER-201025, Use of CSIA to Distinguish between VI and Indoor Sources of VOCs

Site Data: Raritan Building CP4, New Jersey

Indoor Air vs. Groundwater Isotope Signatures

Data Interpretation

FINDING: Strong evidence of indoor source, not vapor intrusion

Notes: 1) See Section 5.7.2 for decision logic. 2) See Table C.4.2 for CSIA sample results.
FIGURE B.3.3: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE ANALYSIS PROTOCOL
ESTCP Project ER-201025, Use of CSIA to Distinguish between VI and Indoor Sources of VOCs

Site Data: Raritan Building CP4, New Jersey

Baseline Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.86 - 1.1 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pressure Control Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.2 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baseline Finding: Supporting evidence of current VI

Pressure Control Finding: Pressure variation does not enhance VI (warehouse)

Data Interpretation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line of Evidence (Baseline)</th>
<th>Consistent with VI?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor air concentration &gt; outdoor air?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No indoor sources?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline building pressure negative?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor entry point found?</td>
<td>Inconclusive (conf room wall gap conc. 2-3x higher than indoor air; one warehouse expansion joint 5x higher than indoor air)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line of Evidence (Pressure Control)</th>
<th>Consistent with VI?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target VOC conc suppressed by building pressurization?</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target VOC conc enhanced by depressurization?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OVERALL FINDING: Office Area: Supporting evidence of VI. Warehouse: Suggestive of VI.

IMPLICATION: Indoor air conc (0.43 µg/m³ in warehouse) is BELOW USEPA screening level (3 µg/m³). Controlled depressurization did not enhance vapor intrusion reducing concern regarding temporal variability.

Notes: 1) See Section 5.7.3 for decision logic. 2) See Table C.4.3 and C.4.4 for on-site analysis protocol results.
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### TABLE C.1.1: RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM

**ESTCP Project ER-201119**  
**Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>Field Sample ID:</th>
<th>Sample Location ID:</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>Matrix:</th>
<th>Sample Type:</th>
<th>Sample Collection Date:</th>
<th>Analytical Method (units):</th>
<th>Key Analyte for VI Evaluation</th>
<th>Other Reported Compounds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|          |                   |                     |              | GW      | N           | 6/21/2012               | 8260 (ug/L)               | Trichloroethene (TCE)      | Dichloroethene, 1,2-     |
|          |                   |                     |              |         |             |                         |                          |                           |                         |
|          |                   |                     |              | GW      | N           | 6/21/2012               | 8260 (ug/L)               | 55                        | -                       |
|          |                   |                     |              | GW      | N           | 5/30/2012               | 8260 (ug/L)               | 110 H                     | -                       |
|          |                   |                     |              |         |             |                         |                          | 96                        | -                       |

#### Notes:

1. Vapor samples analyzed by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, CA.
2. Sub-slab soil gas collected as grab samples (without flow controller). Indoor and outdoor air samples collected with 8-hour flow controller.
3. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol (“<”) = analyte not found at indicated limit; Dash (“-”) indicates compound not analyzed.
4. Results from May/June 2012 groundwater monitoring event, provided by base personnel. VOC analysis of groundwater samples was not conducted as part of the ESTCP VI Study.
# TABLE C.1.1: RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM

**ESTCP Project ER-201119**

**Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID: Field Sample ID: Sample Location ID: Description:</th>
<th>BUILDING 9669</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-1-CON</td>
<td>1-SS-2-CON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-1</td>
<td>1-SS-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-slab, front, near battery recycling area</td>
<td>Sub-slab, middle, near 1-IA-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Type: Sample Collection Date: Analytical Method (units):</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 10:46</td>
<td>7/24/2012 11:06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m³)</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m³)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key Analyte for VI Evaluation**

| Trichloroethene (TCE) | 43 | 320 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 | <0.038 |

**Other Reported Compounds**

| Dichloroethane, 1,2- | 0.65 | <0.55 | 3.2 | 0.053 | 0.05 | <0.038 |
| Dichloroethene, 1,1-(1,1-DCE) | <0.13 | <0.55 | <0.91 | <0.037 | <0.036 | <0.038 |
| Dichloroethene, cis-1,2- | <0.13 | <0.55 | <0.91 | <0.037 | <0.036 | <0.038 |
| Dichloroethene, trans-1,2- | <0.13 | 0.57 | <0.91 | 2.3 | 1.6 | <0.038 |
| Tetrachloroethene (PCE) | 17 | 22 | 21 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.052 |
| Trichloroethane, 1,1,1- (TCA) | 3.4 | 6.2 | 9 | 0.042 | 0.039 | <0.038 |
| Vinyl chloride (VC) | <0.13 | <0.55 | <0.91 | <0.037 | <0.036 | <0.038 |

**Notes:**

1. Vapor samples analyzed by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, CA.
2. Sub-slab soil gas collected as grab samples (without flow controller). Indoor and outdoor air samples collected with 8-hour flow controller.
3. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol (“<”) = analyte not found at indicated limit; Dash (“-”) indicates compound not analyzed.
TABLE C.1.1: RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM
ESTCP Project ER-201119
Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>Field Sample ID:</th>
<th>Sample Location ID:</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>Matrix:</th>
<th>Sample Type:</th>
<th>Sample Collection Date:</th>
<th>Analytical Method (units):</th>
<th>Key Analyte for VI Evaluation</th>
<th>Other Reported Compounds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUILDING 9674</td>
<td>2-SS-1-CON</td>
<td>2-SS-1</td>
<td>Sub-slab, north side of building</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>7/24/2012 14:49</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-SS-2-CON</td>
<td>2-SS-2</td>
<td>Sub-slab, near center</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>7/24/2012 15:05</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-SS-3-CON-Resample</td>
<td>2-SS-3</td>
<td>Sub-slab, south side of building</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>7/26/2012 8:08</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-IA-1-CON</td>
<td>2-IA-1</td>
<td>Indoor air, center of warehouse</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>7/24/2012 15:21</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>&lt;0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-AA-1-CON</td>
<td>2-AA-1</td>
<td>Outdoors</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>7/24/2012 15:25</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.033</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Vapor samples analyzed by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, CA.
2. Sub-slab soil gas collected as grab samples (without flow controller). Indoor and outdoor air samples collected with 8-hour flow controller.
3. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol ("<") = analyte not found at indicated limit; Dash ("-") indicates compound not analyzed; "D" indicates result is from a dilution.
### TABLE C.1.2: RESULTS FROM ISOTOPE PROGRAM
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025
Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>GROUNDWATER</th>
<th>BUILDING 9669</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>LC-18</td>
<td>1-SS-2-CSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>GC-18</td>
<td>GC-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>near Building 9669</td>
<td>near Building 9669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix:</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>GW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>7/24/2012 10:50:00 AM</td>
<td>7/24/2012 10:50:00 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TCE C/Cl (per mil)</td>
<td>TCE C/Cl (per mil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d13C TCE</td>
<td>-23.3 H</td>
<td>-23.6 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d37Cl TCE</td>
<td>2.5 H</td>
<td>2.4 H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Isotope analysis was completed by the University of Oklahoma.
2. Groundwater samples collected by Versar.
3. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol (<*) = analyte not found at indicated limit; Dash (—) indicates compound not analyzed;
   H = samples analyzed outside of validated holding time period of 2 weeks; J = estimated result.
4. Indoor air TCE concentrations were too low in Building 9674 to allow collection of sufficient mass for isotope analysis.
### TABLE C.1.3: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE ANALYSIS PROGRAM CONFIRMATION SAMPLES

**ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025**  
**Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>Field Sample ID:</th>
<th>Sample Location ID:</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>Pressure Condition</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Sample Collection Date/Time:</th>
<th>Analytical Method (units):</th>
<th>Key Analyte for VI Evaluation</th>
<th>Other Reported VOCs</th>
<th>Notes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location ID:</td>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Pressure Condition</td>
<td>Sample Type</td>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>Key Analyte for VI Evaluation</td>
<td>Other Reported VOCs</td>
<td>Notes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUILDING 9669</td>
<td>1-IA-3-BL</td>
<td>1-IA-3-PP</td>
<td>1-IA-3-NP</td>
<td>1-AA-1</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>7/25/2012 8:53</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (µg/m³)</td>
<td>Trichloroethene (TCE)</td>
<td>Dichloroethene, 1,2-</td>
<td>VOC analysis of vapor samples by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, California. Radon analysis by University of Southern California.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-IA-3</td>
<td>1-IA-3-BL</td>
<td>1-IA-3-PP</td>
<td>1-AA-1</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>7/25/2012 9:57</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (µg/m³)</td>
<td>Other Reported VOCs</td>
<td>Dichloroethene, 1,1- (1,1-DCE)</td>
<td>2. Samples collected as grab (i.e., without flow controller). Samples for VOC analysis were collected in 6-L Summa canisters. Samples for Radon analysis were collected in 1-L Tedlar bags.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-IA-3</td>
<td>1-IA-3-PP</td>
<td>1-AA-1</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>7/25/2012 11:06</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (µg/m³)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-</td>
<td>3. Pressure Condition: BL = baseline (uncontrolled); NP = negative pressure (building depressurized); PP = positive pressure (building pressurized)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-IA-3-NP</td>
<td>1-AA-1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>7/25/2012 9:25</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (µg/m³)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-</td>
<td>4. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol (&lt;) = analyte not found at indicated limit; Dash (--) indicates compound not analyzed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene (PCE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trichloroethene, 1,1,1- (TCA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vinyl chloride (VC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Radon (pCi/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. VOC analysis of vapor samples by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, California. Radon analysis by University of Southern California.
2. Samples collected as grab (i.e., without flow controller). Samples for VOC analysis were collected in 6-L Summa canisters. Samples for Radon analysis were collected in 1-L Tedlar bags.
3. Pressure Condition: BL = baseline (uncontrolled); NP = negative pressure (building depressurized); PP = positive pressure (building pressurized)
4. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol (<) = analyte not found at indicated limit; Dash (--) indicates compound not analyzed.
## TABLE C.1.3: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE ANALYSIS PROGRAM CONFIRMATION SAMPLES
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025
Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>Field Sample ID:</th>
<th>Sample Location ID:</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>Pressure Condition</th>
<th>Matrix:</th>
<th>Sample Collection Date/Time:</th>
<th>Analytical Method (units):</th>
<th>Key Analyte for VI Evaluation</th>
<th>Other Reported VOCs</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUILDING 9674</td>
<td>2-IA-1-BL</td>
<td>center of warehouse</td>
<td>outdoors</td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>7/26/2012 8:36</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>Trichloroethene (TCE)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>center of warehouse</td>
<td></td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>7/26/2012 8:36</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.031</td>
<td>&lt;0.031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-IA-1</td>
<td>center of warehouse</td>
<td></td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>7/26/2012 10:15</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.031</td>
<td>&lt;0.031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-IA-1-NP</td>
<td>outdoors</td>
<td></td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>7/26/2012 8:45</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-AA-1</td>
<td>outdoors</td>
<td></td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Radon (pCi/L)

| Radon       | 0.09 | 0.1  | 0.12 | 0.09 |

Notes:
1. VOC analysis of vapor samples by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, California. Radon analysis by University of Southern California.
2. Samples collected as grab (i.e., without flow controller). Samples for VOC analysis were collected in 6-L Summa canisters. Samples for Radon analysis were collected in 1-L Tedlar bags.
3. Pressure Condition: BL = baseline (uncontrolled); NP = negative pressure (building depressurized); PP = positive pressure (building pressurized)
4. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol (“<”) = analyte not found at indicated limit; Dash (“-”) indicates compound not analyzed.
# TABLE C.1.4: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE GC/MS ANALYSIS

**ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025**  
Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Date/Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>DCE12T (ug/m³)</th>
<th>TCE (ug/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCREENING SAMPLES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 10:56</td>
<td>Workroom air, door open</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 11:06</td>
<td>09522 IA (Tedlar)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 11:13</td>
<td>09671 IA (Tedlar)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 11:21</td>
<td>09666 IA (Tedlar)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 11:28</td>
<td>Workroom air, door open</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 11:35</td>
<td>09679 IA (Tedlar)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 11:43</td>
<td>09674 IA (Tedlar)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 11:50</td>
<td>09669 IA (Tedlar)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 12:44</td>
<td>09522 IA (re-run Tedlar)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 12:52</td>
<td>Workroom air, door open</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 14:27</td>
<td>09564 IA (Tedlar)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 14:35</td>
<td>09673 IA (Tedlar)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 16:15</td>
<td>9669-SS-1 (Tedlar)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 16:23</td>
<td>9669-SS-2 (Tedlar)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 16:30</td>
<td>Workroom air, door open</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 16:38</td>
<td>9669-SS-3</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/2012 16:49</td>
<td>9669-SS-2 (repeat Tedlar)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 10:15</td>
<td>9674 SS-1 (Tedlar)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 10:28</td>
<td>9674 SS-2 (Tedlar)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 10:35</td>
<td>9674 SS-3 (Tedlar)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 10:43</td>
<td>rerun 9674 SS-3 Tedlar</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUILDING 9669</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 10:07</td>
<td>1-IA-1 location; next to 8-hr Summa</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 10:51</td>
<td>1-IA-1 repeat</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 11:33</td>
<td>Outdoors on loading dock</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 13:45</td>
<td>Near battery center</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 13:53</td>
<td>Center back</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 14:00</td>
<td>Center (1-IA-1)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 14:09</td>
<td>Center of offices (room with cubicles)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 14:16</td>
<td>Office front corner (design demonstration room)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 14:24</td>
<td>Repeat front corner near battery center/recycling area</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TABLE C.1.4: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE GC/MS ANALYSIS
**ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025**
Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Date/Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>DCE</th>
<th>TCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 14:32</td>
<td>Inside cage</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.63 J</td>
<td>0.75 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 14:39</td>
<td>Between counter and front door/main entrance</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.79 J</td>
<td>0.91 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 14:47</td>
<td>Near 1-IA-2</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.59 J</td>
<td>0.7 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/24/2012 14:54</td>
<td>Repeat front corner near battery center</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 7:57</td>
<td>BL 1-IA-1 center of building</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 8:04</td>
<td>BL Center back</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.9 J</td>
<td>1.7 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 8:11</td>
<td>BL Front corner</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.7 J</td>
<td>2.2 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 8:18</td>
<td>BL Front, near counter</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>2 J</td>
<td>1.6 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 8:50</td>
<td>BL Repeat front corner (1-IA-3)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.6 J</td>
<td>1.5 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 9:07</td>
<td>PP Repeat front corner (1-IA-3)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.5 J</td>
<td>1.4 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 9:23</td>
<td>Outdoors at 1-AA-1.</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 9:31</td>
<td>PP Repeat front corner (1-IA-3)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.3 J</td>
<td>1.2 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 9:42</td>
<td>PP Repeat front corner (1-IA-3)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.1 J</td>
<td>1 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 9:54</td>
<td>PP Repeat front corner (1-IA-3)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.1 J</td>
<td>1.1 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 10:08</td>
<td>NP Repeat front corner (1-IA-3)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.95 J</td>
<td>0.81 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 10:22</td>
<td>NP Repeat front corner (1-IA-3)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.2 J</td>
<td>1.3 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 10:41</td>
<td>NP Repeat front corner (1-IA-3)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.95 J</td>
<td>1.6 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 10:55</td>
<td>NP Repeat front corner (1-IA-3)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.91 J</td>
<td>1.8 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 11:05</td>
<td>NP Repeat front corner (1-IA-3)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.71 J</td>
<td>2.1 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 11:13</td>
<td>NP Repeat front corner (1-IA-3)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.91 J</td>
<td>1.7 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 11:27</td>
<td>Flux crack near SS-2. Sampled after 5 minutes.</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>1.2 J</td>
<td>1 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 11:35</td>
<td>Flux same crack near SS-2. Sampled after 15 minutes total. Fan off.</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>1.3 J</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 13:25</td>
<td>Flux second crack, in floor of cage. Sampled after approx 1 hr 20 min</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>0.79 J</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 13:36</td>
<td>BL Indoor air in cage</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.2 J</td>
<td>1.8 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 13:43</td>
<td>BL 1-IA-3</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.2 J</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 13:50</td>
<td>BL Center back</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.3 J</td>
<td>1.2 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 14:01</td>
<td>BL Center, near 1-IA-1</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.1 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 14:09</td>
<td>BL Near shelf with trans12DCE source</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.97 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 14:21</td>
<td>BL Center of other half of building (haz mat storage)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.23 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 14:33</td>
<td>Floor flux through carpet 1. Bowl set approx 1 hr 10 min prior to sampling.</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>1 J</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE C.1.4: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE GC/MS ANALYSIS
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025
Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Date/Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>DCE12T ug/m3</th>
<th>TCE ug/m3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUILDING 9669</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 14:41</td>
<td>Floor flux through carpet 2</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 14:53</td>
<td>Floor flux through carpet 3, closer to wall</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 15:01</td>
<td>Floor flux through carpet 4, closer to cage</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 15:08</td>
<td>Repeat floor flux through carpet 1. Bowl set &lt;5 min prior to sampling</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 15:15</td>
<td>Floor flux through carpet 5, further from wall</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 15:22</td>
<td>Floor flux through carpet 6</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 15:29</td>
<td>Indoor air approx 2 ft above carpet 6</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/25/2012 15:39</td>
<td>Indoor air approx 2 ft above floor, near closed bay door</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUILDING 9674</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/2012 7:47</td>
<td>Outdoors near 2-AA-1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/2012 7:58</td>
<td>BL 2-IA-1 center of building</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/2012 8:05</td>
<td>BL in front of hazmat containers</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/2012 8:25</td>
<td>BL in front of back / bondcote shelves (repeat location)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/2012 8:56</td>
<td>NP 2-IA-1</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/2012 9:15</td>
<td>NP 2-IA-1</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/2012 9:45</td>
<td>NP 2-IA-1</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/2012 10:13</td>
<td>NP 2-IA-1</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Samples analyzed using an Inficon HAPSITE ER portable GC/MS instrument. Calibration curve 7/22/2012.
2. Samples are grouped by building, and sorted chronologically.
3. J = estimated (result less than lower calibration limit); JE = estimated (result higher than upper calibration limit); U = not detected.
4. Matrix: AI = Indoor air; AF = Flux chamber; AA = Ambient (outdoor) air; SS = Sub-slab
Note: Only monitoring wells sampled for the demonstration are shown. Groundwater gradient is to the northwest. TCE concentration in shallow groundwater in map area is in the 50 – 100 ug/L range.
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ESTCP Projects ER-201119 and ER-201025
Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington

Figure C.1.2: Building 9669 Floorplan

Note: Figure illustrates sample locations for off-site laboratory analysis. HAPSITE sample locations are not shown.
Figure C.1.3: Building 9674 Floorplan

Note: Figure illustrates sample locations for off-site laboratory analysis. HAPSITE sample locations are not shown.
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### TABLE C.2.1: RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM

ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201205  
Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 1533</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>MW-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>MW-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>East of building, between building and former UST cavity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix:</td>
<td>GW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>9/18/2012 15:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>8260C (ug/L)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Key Analyte for VI Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>BUILDING 1533</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzylic Chloride</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brombenzene</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromochloromethane</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butadiene, 1,3-</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butanone, 2- (MEK)</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyl Acetate, n-</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butylbenzene, n-</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butylbenzene, sec-</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butylbenzene, tert-</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon disulfide</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloro-1-propene, 3- (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorotoluene, o-</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorotoluene, p-</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2- (DBCP)</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE C.2.1: RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM**

ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025
Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 1533</th>
<th>INDOOR-C1</th>
<th>OUTDOOR-C1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>MW-16</td>
<td>SS-1C</td>
<td>SS-2C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>MW-16</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>SS-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>East of building, between building and fmr UST cavity</td>
<td>Sub-slab, west bay of building</td>
<td>Sub-slab, inside storeroom on east side of building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix:</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>8260C (ug/L)</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m^3)</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromoethane, 1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;80</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromomethane</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane, 1,2- (CF2CCl2F2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloro-2-butene, trans-1,4-</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, 1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, 1,3-</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, 1,4-</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobutane, 1,4-</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethane, 1,1- (1,1-DCA)</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethane, 1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethene, 1,1- (1,1-DCE)</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloropropane, 1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;70</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloropropane, 1,3-</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloropropane, 2,2-</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloropropane, 1,1-</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloropropane, cis-1,3-</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloropropane, trans-1,3-</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxane, 1,4-</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;460</td>
<td>&lt;320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;93</td>
<td>&lt;64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl ether</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl methacrylate</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyltoluene, 4-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptane, n-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location ID:</td>
<td>BUILDING 1533</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>MW-16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>MW-16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>East of building, between building and fmr UST cavity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>9/18/2012 15:20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>8260C (ug/L) TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 1533</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>SS-1C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Sub-slab, west bay of building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>9/18/2012 13:23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 1533</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>SS-2C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>SS-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Sub-slab, inside storeroom on east side of building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>9/18/2012 13:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 1533</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>SS-3C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>SS-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Sub-slab, northeast corner outside office door</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>9/18/2012 14:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 1533</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>INDOOR-C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>IA-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Indoor Air, southwest side of building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>9/18/2012 16:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 1533</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>OUTDOOR-C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>AA-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Outdoors, west side of building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>9/18/2012 16:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>MW-16</th>
<th>SS-1C</th>
<th>SS-2C</th>
<th>SS-3C</th>
<th>INDOOR-C1</th>
<th>OUTDOOR-C1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hexane, n-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanol, 2-</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropyltoluene, p-</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limonene, d-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;93</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-2-pentanone, 4-</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>&lt;120</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonane, n-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octane, n-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinene, alpha-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propanol, 2- (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;460</td>
<td>&lt;320</td>
<td>&lt;6.9</td>
<td>&lt;570</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene, n-</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.14</td>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>5.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachlorofuran (THF)</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,3-</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>&lt;0.69</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethane, 1,1,1-</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.14</td>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.14</td>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloropropane, 1,2,3-</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane, 1,1,2-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE C.2.1: RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM**  
**ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025**  
**Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>MW-16</th>
<th>SS-1C</th>
<th>SS-2C</th>
<th>SS-3C</th>
<th>INDOOR-C1</th>
<th>OUTDOOR-C1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>MW-16</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>SS-2</td>
<td>SS-3</td>
<td>IA-1</td>
<td>AA-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>MW-16</td>
<td>SS-1C</td>
<td>SS-2C</td>
<td>SS-3C</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>East of building, between building and fmr UST cavity</td>
<td>Sub-slab, west bay of building</td>
<td>Sub-slab, inside storeroom on east side of building</td>
<td>Sub-slab, northeast corner outside office door</td>
<td>Indoor Air, southwest side of building</td>
<td>Outdoors, west of building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix:</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>8260C (ug/L)</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;320</td>
<td>&lt;6.9</td>
<td>&lt;570</td>
<td>&lt;7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl acetate</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>&lt;460</td>
<td>&lt;320</td>
<td>&lt;6.9</td>
<td>&lt;570</td>
<td>&lt;7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl chloride</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>&lt;9.3</td>
<td>&lt;6.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.14</td>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylenes, o-</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>&lt;46</td>
<td>&lt;32</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylenes, m,p-</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;57</td>
<td>&lt;0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Groundwater sample analyzed by Alpha Analytical, Mansfield, MA. Vapor samples analyzed by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, California.
2. Sub-slab soil gas collected as grab samples (without flow controller). Indoor and outdoor air sample collected with 8-hour flow controller.
3. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol ("<") = analyte not found at indicated limit; Dash ("-") indicates compound not analyzed.
### TABLE C.2.2: RESULTS FROM ISOTOPE PROGRAM
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025
Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID: BUILDING 1533</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description: East of building at IA-2; near IA-1 Inside storeroom Inside storeroom Inside storeroom Southwest side of building Southwest side of building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix: GW SS SS SS SS IA IA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type: N N N N N N N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units: per mil per mil per mil per mil per mil per mil per mil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d13C BEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes: 1. Isotope analysis was completed by the University of Oklahoma. 2. Bold font = detected result; Dash (&quot;-&quot;&quot;) indicates compound not analyzed; H = samples analyzed outside of validated holding time period of 2 weeks; J = estimated result.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE C.2.3: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE ANALYSIS PROGRAM CONFIRMATION SAMPLES

**ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025**  
**Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 1533</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>INDOOR-1-BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>IA-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Indoor air from center of western bay; sample collected 5 min after SUV in bay was started briefly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PressureCondition:</td>
<td>IA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>9/19/2012 11:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Analytes for VI Evaluation:</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Benzene
130  5.3 RE  69  -

#### Other Reported VOCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>IA</th>
<th>IA</th>
<th>IA</th>
<th>AA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>18000 RE E</td>
<td>9400 D</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;9.9 RE</td>
<td>&lt;26</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butadiene, 1,3-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>&lt;0.99 RE</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butane, 2- (MEK)</td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>&lt;25 RE</td>
<td>&lt;65</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyl Acetate, n-</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon disulfide</td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>&lt;25 RE</td>
<td>&lt;65</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloro-1-propene, 3- (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>&lt;0.99 RE</td>
<td>&lt;2.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27 RE</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2- (DBCP)</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromoethane, 1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane, 1,2- (CFC 12)</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, 1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, 1,3-</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorobenzene, 1,4-</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CF2Cl2)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethane, 1,1- (1,1-DCA)</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethane, 1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethene, 1,1- (1,1-DCE)</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloropropane, 1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloropropane, cis-1,3-</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloropropane, trans-1,3-</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxane, 1,4-</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>25 RE</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>&lt;2.5</td>
<td>&lt;5 RE</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>6 RE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyltoluene, 4-</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.3 RE</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptane, n-</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1800 RE E</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE C.2.3: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE ANALYSIS PROGRAM CONFIRMATION SAMPLES**  
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025  
Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>Field Sample ID:</th>
<th>Sample Location ID:</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>Matrix:</th>
<th>Pressure Condition</th>
<th>Sample Collection Date/Time:</th>
<th>Analytical Method (units):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUILDING 1533</td>
<td>INDOOR-1-BL</td>
<td>IA-2</td>
<td>Indoor air from center of western bay; sample collected 5 min after SUV in bay was started briefly</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>9/19/2012 11:15</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IA-2</td>
<td>Center of western bay</td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>9/19/2012 14:16</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IA-2</td>
<td>Center of western bay; sample collected after truck in bay started briefly</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>9/19/2012 16:43</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AA-1</td>
<td>Outdoors, west of building</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>9/19/2012 11:10</td>
<td>TO-15 (ug/m³)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>INDOOR-1-PP (RE)</th>
<th>INDOOR-1-NP</th>
<th>AMBIENT-1-BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane, n-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>10 RE</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanone, 2-</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limonene, d-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19 RE</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>&lt;2.5</td>
<td>&lt;5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-2-pentanone, 4-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6 RE</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9.7 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.7 RE</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonane, n-</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3.7 RE</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octane, n-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinene, alpha-</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propanol, 2- (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>&lt;25 RE</td>
<td>&lt;65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.4 RE</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylbenzene, n-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene, 1,1,2,2-</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.57 RE</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>410 D</td>
<td>18 RE</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethane, 1,1,1-</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene, 1,1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>54 RE</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2 RE</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane, 1,1,2-</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>13 RE</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.8 RE</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl acetate</td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>&lt;25 RE</td>
<td>&lt;65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl chloride</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 RE</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylene, o-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.2 RE</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylenes, m,p-</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>21 RE</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. VOC analysis of vapor samples by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, California. Radon analysis by University of Southern California.
2. Samples collected as grab (i.e., without flow controller). Samples for VOC analysis were collected in 6-L Summa canisters. Samples for Radon in 1-L Tedlar bags.
3. Pressure Condition: BL = baseline (normal operating conditions); NP = negative pressure (building depressurized); PP = positive pressure (Building pressurized).
4. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol (“<”) = analyte not found at indicated limit; Dash (“-”) indicates compound not analyzed.
5. INDOOR-1-PP Summa canister sample was re-analyzed to report lower concentrations. This was done by re-running the sample with a large
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Date/Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Benzene ug/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 8:39</td>
<td>Center of garage</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1.1 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 8:52</td>
<td>Outside, near Summa</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.23 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 9:07</td>
<td>Center of west wall</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 9:17</td>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 9:32</td>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 9:56</td>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 10:10</td>
<td>Outdoors near AA-1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.25 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 11:52</td>
<td>Corner near office</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 13:47</td>
<td>Screening SS-1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 13:59</td>
<td>Screening SS-2</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 14:10</td>
<td>Screening SS-3</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/2012 14:49</td>
<td>Repeat SS-3 bag</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 8:55</td>
<td>AA-1 west of building</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>1.2 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 9:05</td>
<td>IA-1 southwest corner</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 9:16</td>
<td>Tedlar SS-2</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 9:27</td>
<td>Repeat IA-1</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 9:38</td>
<td>At refrigerator opposite corner</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 9:49</td>
<td>Room with SS-2</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 9:59</td>
<td>Bathroom door cracked</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 10:10</td>
<td>Shop near used oil/workbench</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 11:12</td>
<td>Center of shop after vehicle started briefly</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>141 JE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 11:35</td>
<td>Tedlar SS-1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 11:45</td>
<td>IA-2/Shop (near lift)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 11:56</td>
<td>Tedlar SS-3</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 12:06</td>
<td>IA-2/Shop (near lift)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 13:12</td>
<td>Repeat IA-2</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 13:25</td>
<td>Inside store room with SS-2</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 13:36</td>
<td>In front of fan</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 13:47</td>
<td>Near fridge. Repeat 014</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 14:00</td>
<td>Outside AA-1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.38 J</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE C.2.4: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE GC/MS ANALYSIS  
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025  
Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Date/Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Benzene ug/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 14:13</td>
<td>IA-2</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 14:27</td>
<td>IA2</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 14:46</td>
<td>IA2</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 15:00</td>
<td>IA2</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 15:31</td>
<td>IA2</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 15:48</td>
<td>Across room at fridge</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 16:01</td>
<td>Above SS-2 room indoor air</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 16:12</td>
<td>IA2</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/2012 16:24</td>
<td>IA2</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>422 JE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Samples analyzed using an Inficon HAPSITE ER portable GC/MS instrument. Calibration curve 9/19/2012.
2. Samples are sorted chronologically.
3. J = estimated (result less than lower calibration limit); JE = estimated (result higher than upper calibration limit); U = not detected.
4. Matrix: Al = Indoor air; AA = Ambient (outdoor) air; SS = Sub-slab
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Figure C.2.1: Site Map

Note: Only monitoring wells sampled for the demonstration are shown.
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Figure C.2.2: Building 1533 Floorplan

Note: Figure illustrates sample locations for off-site laboratory analysis. HAPSITE sample locations are not shown.
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# TABLE C.3.1: RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025
Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida

| Location ID: | GROUNDWATER | | | |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| Field Sample ID: | MW-5 | MW-20s | | |
| Sample Location ID: | SA-150-MW-5 | 264/280-MW-20s | | |
| Description: | North of Building 156 | South of Building 219 | | |
| Matrix: | GW | GW | | |
| Sample Type: | N | N | | |
| Sample Collection Date/Time: | 2008 | 2010 | | |
| Analytical Method (units): | 8260 (ug/L) | 8260 (ug/L) | | |

**Key Analyte for VI Evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>South</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Reported Compounds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>South</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethene, 1,1- (1,1-DCE)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl chloride</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. Groundwater samples were collected as part of normal site investigation/monitoring (i.e., not part of ESTCP VI Study).
2. Bold font = detected result
### TABLE C.3.1: RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM

**ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025**  
Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 156 (HANGER)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>156-SS-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>1-SS-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Paired with IA-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix:</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>2/21/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key Analyte for VI Evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Trichloroethene</th>
<th>Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-</th>
<th>Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-</th>
<th>Tetrachloroethene</th>
<th>Vinyl chloride</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TO-15 SIM</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-15 SIM</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.032</td>
<td>&lt;0.032</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-15 SIM</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>&lt;0.034</td>
<td>&lt;0.034</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>&lt;0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-15 SIM</td>
<td>&lt;0.036</td>
<td>&lt;0.036</td>
<td>&lt;0.036</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>&lt;0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-15 SIM</td>
<td>&lt;0.046</td>
<td>&lt;0.046</td>
<td>&lt;0.046</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-15 SIM</td>
<td>&lt;0.041</td>
<td>&lt;0.041</td>
<td>&lt;0.041</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>&lt;0.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Reported Compounds**

- Dichloroethene, 1,1- (1,1-DCE)
- Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-
- Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-
- Tetrachloroethene
- Vinyl chloride

**Notes:**

1. Vapor samples analyzed by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, California using USEPA Method TO-15 SIM.
2. Sub-slab soil gas collected as grab samples (without flow controller). Indoor and outdoor air sample collected with 8-hour flow controller.
3. All samples collected in 6-L Summa canisters.
4. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol ("<") = analyte not found at indicated limit.
5. Ambient air sample 219-AA-1 used for Building 156 and 219.
### TABLE C.3.1: RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM

**ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025**

**Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 219 (OFFICE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>219-SS-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>2-SS-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location Description:</td>
<td>Paired with IA-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix:</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>2/21/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Key Analyte for VI Evaluation | 0.083 | 0.31 | 1.3 | 0.086 | 0.087 | <0.039 |
| Other Reported Compounds | | | | | | |
| Trichloroethene | 0.032 | <0.13 | <0.063 | <0.039 | <0.041 | <0.039 |
| Dichloroethene, cis-1,2- | 0.032 | <0.13 | <0.063 | <0.039 | <0.041 | <0.039 |
| Dichloroethene, trans-1,2- | 0.14 | 0.41 | <0.063 | <0.039 | <0.041 | <0.039 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 4.5 | 7.5 | 0.97 | 0.048 | <0.041 | <0.039 |
| Vinyl chloride | <0.032 | <0.13 | <0.063 | <0.039 | <0.041 | <0.039 |

**Notes:**
1. Vapor samples analyzed by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, California using USEPA Method TO-15 SIM.
2. Sub-slab soil gas collected as grab samples (without flow controller). Indoor and outdoor air sample collected with 8-hour flow controller.
3. All samples collected in 6-L Summa canisters.
4. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol ("<") = analyte not found at indicated limit.
5. Ambient air sample 219-AA-1 used for Building 156 and 219.
### TABLE C.3.2: RESULTS FROM ISOTOPE PROGRAM
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025
Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 156 (HANGER)</th>
<th>BUILDING 219 (OFFICE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>MW-5 156-SS-3</td>
<td>MW-20s 219-SS-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>MW-5 1-SS-3</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>North of Building 156</td>
<td>South of building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix:</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>GW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td>2/22/2013 12:10</td>
<td>2/21/2013 12:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TCE C/Cl (per mil)</td>
<td>TCE C/Cl (per mil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d13C TCE</td>
<td>13.8 H</td>
<td>-18.4 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d37Cl TCE</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sample Type: | N | N |
| Sample Location ID: | 219-IA-3-P2 | 219-IA-3 |
| Description: | Paired with IA-3 | Northern half of building in janitor closet (planted source) |
| Matrix: | IA | IA |
| Sample Collection Date/Time: | 2/21/2013 8:00 | 2/21/2013 8:00 |
| Analytical Method (units): | TCE C/Cl (per mil) | TCE C/Cl (per mil) |
| d13C TCE | -9.6 H | -1.9 H |
| d37Cl TCE | 6.3 H | 6.3 H |

Notes:
1. Isotope analysis was completed by the University of Oklahoma.
2. Bold font = detected result
   
   H = samples analyzed outside of validated holding time period of 2 weeks
3. Indoor air TCE concentrations were too low in Building 156 and 219 to allow collection of sufficient mass for isotope analysis. An indoor VOC source was planted in Building 219 for evaluation in ESTCP Project ER-201025.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID: Building 156 (Hanger)</th>
<th>156-IA-4</th>
<th>156-IA-4</th>
<th>156-IA-5</th>
<th>156-AA-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description: Small room adjacent to wood shop</td>
<td>Small room adjacent to wood shop</td>
<td>Small room adjacent to wood shop</td>
<td>Outdoors, north of Building 156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID: 156-IA-4-BL</td>
<td>156-IA-4-NP</td>
<td>156-IA-5-NP</td>
<td>156-AA-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Condition: BL</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>BL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type: N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time: 2/22/13 8:04</td>
<td>2/21/13 16:05</td>
<td>2/21/13 16:05</td>
<td>2/21/13 16:05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units): TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Analyte for VI Evaluation</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>Dichloroethene, 1,1- (1,1-DCE)</td>
<td>Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-</td>
<td>Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting Limits (&lt;0.032 &lt;0.031 &lt;0.033)</td>
<td>Reporting Limits (&lt;0.032 &lt;0.031 &lt;0.033)</td>
<td>Reporting Limits (&lt;0.032 &lt;0.031 &lt;0.033)</td>
<td>Reporting Limits (&lt;0.032 &lt;0.031 &lt;0.033)</td>
<td>Reporting Limits (&lt;0.032 &lt;0.031 &lt;0.033)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. VOC analysis by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, California using USEPA Method TO-15 SIM.
2. Samples for VOC analysis were collected in 6-L Summa canisters without flow controllers.
3. Radon analysis by the University of Southern California.
4. Samples for radon analysis were collected in 1-L Tedlar bags.
5. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol ("<") = analyte not found at indicated limit.
6. BL = Baseline (uncontrolled) conditions; NP = Negative Pressure induced in building.
### TABLE C.3.4: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE GC/MS ANALYSIS
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025  
Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Date/Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>TCE (ug/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCREENING SAMPLES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2013 11:03</td>
<td>Building 246 Tedlar bag screening sample (indoor air)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.21 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2013 11:11</td>
<td>Building 258 Tedlar bag screening sample (indoor air)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.32 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2013 11:20</td>
<td>Building 522 Tedlar bag screening sample (indoor air)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.19 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2013 11:30</td>
<td>Building 560 Tedlar bag screening sample (indoor air)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUILDING 156 (HANGER)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2013 10:37</td>
<td>Building 156 north end, Tedlar bag screening sample (indoor air)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.19 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2013 10:46</td>
<td>Building 156 south end, Tedlar bag screening sample (indoor air)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/2013 13:47</td>
<td>Building 156 NW work shop</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.2 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/2013 13:57</td>
<td>Building 156 floor grate, N of NW workshop</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.11 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/2013 14:06</td>
<td>Building 156 wood shop</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.15 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/2013 14:15</td>
<td>Building 156 painting room</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.11 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 9:11</td>
<td>Building 156 small room adjacent to wood shop</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 10:32</td>
<td>Building 156 156-SS-3</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 10:40</td>
<td>Building 156 156-SS-2</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 10:48</td>
<td>Building 156 156-SS-1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.6 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 14:27</td>
<td>Building 156 small room adjacent to wood shop</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 14:35</td>
<td>Building 156 small room adjacent to wood shop</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.14 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 15:09</td>
<td>Building 156 painting room</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.081 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 15:17</td>
<td>Building 156 small room adjacent to wood shop</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 15:37</td>
<td>Building 156 small room adjacent to wood shop</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.086 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 15:47</td>
<td>Building 156 painting room</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.086 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 15:56</td>
<td>Building 156 small room adjacent to wood shop</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUILDING 219</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/2013 10:54</td>
<td>Building 219 Tedlar bag screening sample (indoor air)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.18 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/2013 9:21</td>
<td>Building 219 hallway, south end</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.26 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/2013 9:31</td>
<td>Building 219 hallway, center</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.14 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/2013 9:40</td>
<td>Building 219 hallway, north end</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.12 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/2013 10:02</td>
<td>Building 219 south end of hallway, under the door to secure area</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.38 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 7:55</td>
<td>Building 219 Outside front door of building</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>0.18 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 8:07</td>
<td>Building 219 Intersection of front door hallway and main hallway</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.34 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 8:15</td>
<td>Building 219 Hallway, in front of janitor's closet</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1 J</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE C.3.4: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE GC/MS ANALYSIS**  
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025  
Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Date/Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>TCE ug/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 8:23</td>
<td>Building 219 with tube, beneath door of janitor's closet</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 8:33</td>
<td>Building 219 main hallway, around corner of janitor's closer</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.81 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 14:45</td>
<td>Building 219 South end of building, 219-SS-1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.27 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 14:53</td>
<td>Building 219 Building Center, 219-SS-2</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.54 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2013 15:01</td>
<td>Building 219 Janitor's closet at north end, 219-SS-3</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
2. Samples are grouped by building, and sorted chronologically.
3. J = estimated (result less than lower calibration limit); U = not detected.
4. Matrix: AI = Indoor air; AA = Ambient (outdoor) air; SS = Sub-slab
Figure C.3.1: Site Map

Note: Only monitoring wells sampled for the demonstration are shown.
Figure C.3.2: Building 156 Floorplan

Note: Figure illustrates sample locations for off-site laboratory analysis. HAPSITE sample locations are not shown.
Figure C.3.3: Building 219 Floorplan

Note: Figure illustrates sample locations for off-site laboratory analysis. HAPSITE sample locations are not shown.
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### TABLE C.4.1 RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025
Former Raritan Arsenal Site, New Jersey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>Field Sample ID:</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>Matrix:</th>
<th>Sample Type:</th>
<th>Sample Collection Date:</th>
<th>Analytical Method (units):</th>
<th>Key Analyte for VI Evaluation</th>
<th>Other Reported Compounds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW-CP-IV-1</td>
<td>Well located north of CP4 building</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5/23/2012</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>Dichloroethene, 1,1- (1,1-DCE) &lt;0.09 1.5 0.13 0.71 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW-139</td>
<td>Well located west of CP4 building</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5/23/2012</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dichloroethene, cis-1,2- &lt;0.18 91 0.79 J &lt;0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW-136</td>
<td>Well located north of Building 209</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5/22/2012</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene 0.71 J 5.7 &lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW-156</td>
<td>Well located northeast of Building 209</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5/22/2012</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vinyl chloride &lt;0.14 24 &lt;0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. Bold font = detected result; "<" = not detected above detection limit
2. J = The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
3. Results from May 2012 groundwater monitoring event were provided by site personnel. VOC analysis of groundwater samples was not conducted as part of the ESTCP VI study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING 209</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>2-SS-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Permanent point in Room L306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix:</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key Analyte for VI Evaluation

| Trichloroethene | 8.1 | 0.55 | <0.05 | 0.064 | 0.017 J |

Other Reported Compounds

| Dichloroethene, 1,1- (1,1-DCE) | 0.05 J | 0.028 J | 0.063 J | <0.0053 | <0.0051 |
| Dichloroethene, cis-1,2- | <0.07 | <0.014 | <0.084 | <0.017 | <0.016 |
| Dichloroethene, trans-1,2- | <0.079 | <0.016 | <0.094 | <0.019 | <0.018 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 6.4 | 13 | 0.073 J | 0.058 | 0.042 |
| Vinyl chloride | <0.018 | <0.0036 | <0.021 | <0.0043 | <0.0041 |

Notes:
1. "<" = not detected above method detection limit
2. J = The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
3. D = The reported result is from a dilution.
TABLE C.4.1 RESULTS FROM CONVENTIONAL VAPOR INTRUSION PROGRAM
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025
Former Raritan Arsenal Site, New Jersey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>BUILDING CP4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>1-SS-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Permanent point in Warehouse 1 on west side closest to offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix:</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key Analyte for VI Evaluation
- Trichloroethene: 15 | 93 | D | 1.3 | 2.1 | 0.057

Other Reported Compounds
- Dichloroethene, 1,1- (1,1-DCE): <0.0042 | <0.0042 | <0.0055 | <0.0044 | <0.005
- Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-: 0.014 J | 1.1 | <0.017 | <0.014 | <0.016
- Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-: 0.023 J | 0.3 | <0.019 | 0.018 J | <0.018
- Tetrachloroethene: 7.3 | 12 | 0.3 | 0.27 | 0.096
- Vinyl chloride: <0.0034 | <0.0034 | <0.0044 | <0.0036 | <0.004

Notes:
1. "<" = not detected above method detection limit
2. J = The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
3. D = The reported result is from a dilution.
### TABLE C.4.2: RESULTS FROM ISOTOPE PROGRAM

**ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025**

Former Raritan Arsenal Site, New Jersey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID: CAMPUS PLAZA 4</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>MW-139</th>
<th>MW-CP-IV-1</th>
<th>Permanent point; Warehouse 1 on west side closest to offices.</th>
<th>In 1st conference room wall behind ethernet outlet</th>
<th>In kitchen between conference rooms</th>
<th>In kitchen between conference rooms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td>MW-139</td>
<td>MW-CP-IV-1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>IA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>FD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td></td>
<td>TCE C/Cl</td>
<td>TCE C/Cl</td>
<td>TCE C/Cl</td>
<td>TCE C/Cl</td>
<td>TCE C/Cl</td>
<td>TCE C/Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(per mil)</td>
<td>(per mil)</td>
<td>(per mil)</td>
<td>(per mil)</td>
<td>(per mil)</td>
<td>(per mil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyte</td>
<td></td>
<td>-16.5</td>
<td>-20.9</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
<td>-31.2</td>
<td>-30.5</td>
<td>-30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d13C TCE</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d37Cl TCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID: BUILDING 209</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>MW-136</th>
<th>MW-156</th>
<th>Permanent point; in Room L306 Organic Prep/TCLP Extraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Date/Time:</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/28/2013</td>
<td>3/28/2013</td>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td></td>
<td>TCE C/Cl</td>
<td>TCE C/Cl</td>
<td>TCE C/Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(per mil)</td>
<td>(per mil)</td>
<td>(per mil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyte</td>
<td></td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>-25.3</td>
<td>-10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d13C TCE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Isotope analysis was completed by the University of Oklahoma.
2. Bold font = detected result.
### TABLE C.4.3: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE ANALYSIS PROGRAM CONFIRMATION SAMPLES

**ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025**  
**Former Raritan Arsenal Site, New Jersey**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location ID:</th>
<th>CP4-IA-3</th>
<th>CP4-IA-5-BL</th>
<th>CP4-IA-5-NP</th>
<th>CP4-IA-5-NP</th>
<th>CP1-AA-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field Sample ID:</td>
<td>1-IA-3</td>
<td>1-IA-5</td>
<td>1-IA-5</td>
<td>1-IA-5</td>
<td>1-AA-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Location ID:</td>
<td>1-IA-3</td>
<td>1-IA-5</td>
<td>1-IA-5</td>
<td>1-IA-5</td>
<td>1-AA-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>In 1st conference room wall behind ethernet outlet</td>
<td>Warehouse 1</td>
<td>Warehouse 1</td>
<td>Warehouse 1</td>
<td>Behind warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix:</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Condition:</td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>BL</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Method (units):</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
<td>TO-15 SIM (ug/m3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Analyte for VI Evaluation</td>
<td>Trichloroethylene</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Reported Compounds</td>
<td>Dichloroethene, 1,1- (1,1-DCE)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0039</td>
<td>&lt;0.0037</td>
<td>&lt;0.019</td>
<td>&lt;0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;0.012</td>
<td>&lt;0.012</td>
<td>&lt;0.081</td>
<td>&lt;0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-</td>
<td>&lt;0.014</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>&lt;0.069</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetrachioroethene</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.097 J</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vinyl chloride</td>
<td>&lt;0.0032</td>
<td>&lt;0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.016</td>
<td>&lt;0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radon (pCi/L)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. VOC analysis of vapor samples by ALS/Columbia Analytical Services, Simi Valley, California. Radon analysis by University of Southern California.
2. Samples collected as grab (i.e., without flow controller). Samples for VOC analysis were collected in 6-L Summa canisters. Samples for Radon analysis were collected in 1-L Tedlar bags.
3. Pressure Condition: BL = baseline (uncontrolled); NP = negative pressure (building depressurized).
4. Bold font = detected result; Less-than symbol ("<") = analyte not found at indicated limit; J-flag ("J") indicates the result is an estimated concentration that is less than the method reporting limit but greater than or equal to the method detection limit. Dash ("-") indicates compound not analyzed.
### TABLE C.4.4: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE GC/MS ANALYSIS
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025
Former Raritan Arsenal Site, New Jersey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Date/Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>PCE ug/m³</th>
<th>TCE ug/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCREENING SAMPLES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 8:59</td>
<td>274 Raritan (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.26 J</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 9:08</td>
<td>280 Raritan (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.24 J</td>
<td>0.81 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 9:32</td>
<td>278/284 Raritan (bag). Odors in building (equipment cleaned recently?)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.26 J</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 9:59</td>
<td>Re-run 280 Raritan bag (duplicate)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.29 J</td>
<td>1.1 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 10:27</td>
<td>Bldg 209 Bay A (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.25 J</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 10:35</td>
<td>Bldg 209 Bay B (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.24 J</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 10:43</td>
<td>Bldg 209 Bay C (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.48 J</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 11:35</td>
<td>Bldg 209 Bay D (bag) - retry</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.37 J</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 11:43</td>
<td>Bldg 209 Bay E (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.37 J</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 11:51</td>
<td>Bldg 209 Bay F (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.25 J</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUILDING CP4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 8:21</td>
<td>300 Raritan CPIV conference room</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.34 J</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 8:52</td>
<td>Repeat 300 Raritan CPIV conference room. Sampled with probe</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.2 J</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 9:16</td>
<td>300 Raritan Warehouse (bag), sample collected near spray cans</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.24 J</td>
<td>0.52 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 9:24</td>
<td>300 Raritan Warehouse 2 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.25 J</td>
<td>0.86 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 10:11</td>
<td>repeat 300 Raritan CPIV conference room. Sampled with probe</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.24 J</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 11:01</td>
<td>CPIV conference room air, repeat</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.26 J</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2013 11:27</td>
<td>Repeat conference room (after restart, autotune, conc cleanout)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.23 J</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 8:30</td>
<td>conference room air, sampled with probe</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.22 J</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 9:12</td>
<td>280 Raritan (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.18 J</td>
<td>0.97 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 9:20</td>
<td>280 Raritan Subsiab (CP4-SG-3)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 9:49</td>
<td>conference room</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.24 J</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 9:58</td>
<td>300-1 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.39 J</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 10:06</td>
<td>300-2 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.29 J</td>
<td>2.3 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 10:14</td>
<td>300-3 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.35 J</td>
<td>2 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 10:48</td>
<td>conference room (after reboot)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.24 J</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 10:56</td>
<td>retry 300-4 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.26 J</td>
<td>2.4 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 11:06</td>
<td>300-5 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.38 J</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 11:14</td>
<td>300-6 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.24 J</td>
<td>1.1 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 11:25</td>
<td>300-7 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.31 J</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 11:33</td>
<td>300-8 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.26 J</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 11:42</td>
<td>conference room air, sampled with probe</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.23 J</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 11:59</td>
<td>Outdoor air at AA-1 (bag)</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 12:13</td>
<td>conference room kitchen (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.28 J</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 12:26</td>
<td>janitorial closet (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.32 J</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 12:34</td>
<td>mail room 1 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.3 J</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 12:42</td>
<td>mail room 2 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.29 J</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 13:07</td>
<td>Conference room, sampled with probe</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.25 J</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 14:03</td>
<td>Conference room, before reboot</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.27 J</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 14:21</td>
<td>Repeat conference room after reboot</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.26 J</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 14:29</td>
<td>Men's room off central hallway (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.29 J</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 14:38</td>
<td>Women's room off central hallway (bag). Strong perfume/air freshener odors.</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.29 J</td>
<td>2.6 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 14:58</td>
<td>Hallway outside conference room</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.27 J</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 15:10</td>
<td>300-7 location sampled with probe (M/W restroom near conference rooms)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.26 J</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 15:18</td>
<td>300-9 pass-through hall between conference room 1 and mailroom. Sampled with probe.</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.26 J</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 15:26</td>
<td>Upstairs composite (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.28 J</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 15:39</td>
<td>Vent in ceiling of conference room (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.35 J</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 15:47</td>
<td>Warehouse 1 (bag)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.29 J</td>
<td>1.7 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 15:56</td>
<td>In wall, behind ethernet/outlet cover. Sampled with probe.</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.25 J</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 16:09</td>
<td>Plumbing wall gap under bathroom sink by 300-7</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.27 J</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 16:17</td>
<td>Wall outlet near 300-1</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.28 J</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 16:25</td>
<td>Wall outlet outside Conference Room 1</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.26 J</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 16:33</td>
<td>resample ethernet/wall outlet (same as run 38 location). Collected after Summa/grab sample CP4-IA-3.</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.27 J</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE C.4.4: RESULTS FROM ON-SITE GC/MS ANALYSIS
ESTCP Project ER-201119 and ER-201025
Former Raritan Arsenal Site, New Jersey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Date/Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>PCE (ug/m³)</th>
<th>TCE (ug/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 17:23</td>
<td>CP4-SG-2 screening (bag)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>2.3 J</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/26/2013 17:57</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6 (bag)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 8:16</td>
<td>BL: warehouse near Omniguard</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.86 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 8:25</td>
<td>Center of Warehouse 2</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1.1 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 8:32</td>
<td>Warehouse 1 north end, near building materials storage</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.91 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 8:44</td>
<td>End of BL: Warehouse 1, paired with CP4-IA-5-BL summa and radon</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.81 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 9:11</td>
<td>NP: Repeat Run 4 location, fan on 10 minutes</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.75 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 9:18</td>
<td>NP: Inside hallway leading to offices; fan on 15 minutes</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.54 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 9:28</td>
<td>NP: Run 4 location; sample collected after bay door opened and closed for delivery</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.49 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 9:39</td>
<td>NP: Warehouse 1 center (same location as Run 7)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.48 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 9:47</td>
<td>NP: inside doorhall (same as Run 9 location)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.5 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 10:16</td>
<td>NP: Warehouse 1 at Run 4 location. Fan on 70 min.</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.49 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 10:24</td>
<td>NP: resample Warehouse 2 run 5 location</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.54 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 10:34</td>
<td>NP: sub-slab, sampled with 3/8&quot; tubing inserted in gap at expansion joint</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.81 J</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 10:42</td>
<td>NP: indoor air above crack sampled in run 16</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.45 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 10:52</td>
<td>NP: slab expansion joint sampled through tubing</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.22 J</td>
<td>1.4 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 11:03</td>
<td>NP: last NP sample, paired with summa/tedlar and dups CP4-IA-5-NP and DUP-1</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.59 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 11:13</td>
<td>Conference room kitchen (bag). Sample collected into Tedlar bag approx 10:00</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.88 J</td>
<td>2.2 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 11:21</td>
<td>BL: Repeat run 4 location</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.46 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 11:59</td>
<td>BL: resample crack (run 16 location)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1.2 J</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 12:07</td>
<td>BL: resample indoor air above crack</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.75 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/28/2013 12:16</td>
<td>Outdoors behind warehouse</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.45 J</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### BUILDING 209

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Date/Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>PCE (ug/m³)</th>
<th>TCE (ug/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 8:31</td>
<td>Hall outside EPA/ESAT Balance and Drying Oven Lab</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 8:46</td>
<td>In hall by copy machine (across from Summa canister 209-IA-10)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 8:59</td>
<td>Store room on south end</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 9:09</td>
<td>Outside, between Building 209 and 207</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 9:19</td>
<td>By 209-IA-09</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 9:26</td>
<td>In lab washroom</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 9:47</td>
<td>Resample run 011 location (by 209-IA-09)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 9:56</td>
<td>Near entrance of organic prep/TCLP extraction lab (room with IA/SG-09 point)</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 10:05</td>
<td>Warehouse IA above subslab probe 209-SG-06</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.24 J</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 10:16</td>
<td>209-SG-09 (bag)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 10:44</td>
<td>Retry run 018 location.</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 10:53</td>
<td>209-SG-06 (middle point)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2013 11:23</td>
<td>209-SG-04 southeastern most point (bag)</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
2. Samples are grouped by building, and sorted chronologically.
3. J = estimated (result less than lower calibration limit); JE = estimated (result higher than upper calibration limit); U = not detected.
4. Matrix: AI = Indoor air; AA = Ambient (outdoor) air; SS = Sub-slab
Note: Only monitoring wells sampled for the demonstration are shown.
Figure C.4.2: Building CP4 Floorplan

Note: Figure illustrates sample locations for off-site laboratory analysis. HAPSITE sample locations are not shown.
Figure C.4.3: Building 209 Floorplan

Note: Figure illustrates sample locations for off-site laboratory analysis. HAPSITE sample locations are not shown.
Appendix D: Data Quality Review and Laboratory Reports

Use of Compound-Specific Stable Isotope Analysis to Distinguish between Vapor Intrusion and Indoor Sources of VOCs

Appendix D.1 Data Quality Review
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Appendix D.1: Data Quality Review

TABLES

Table D.1.1 Holding Time Evaluation
Table D.1.2 Field Duplicate Evaluation
Table D.1.3 Sorbent Tube Trip Blanks
### TABLE D.1.1: HOLDING TIME EVALUATION
ESTCP Project ER-201025

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demonstration Site</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Sample Collection Date</th>
<th>Run Number</th>
<th>Date Analyzed</th>
<th>original tube #</th>
<th>Individual Tube Result (per mil)</th>
<th>Initial Results (per mil)</th>
<th>Average of all runs (per mil)</th>
<th>Difference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>d13C TCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord (OU #613)</td>
<td>1-IA-1-CSI</td>
<td>7/24/2012</td>
<td>8959</td>
<td>8/27/2012</td>
<td>C16_K08436</td>
<td>-25.9</td>
<td>-25.9</td>
<td>-26.1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9071</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>C16_J07242</td>
<td>peak coelutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9480</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>C16_J03141</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9483</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>C16_J03141</td>
<td>-26.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord (OU #613)</td>
<td>1-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>7/25/2012</td>
<td>8957</td>
<td>8/27/2012</td>
<td>C16_K08430</td>
<td>-18.2</td>
<td>-18.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9690</td>
<td>8/27/2012</td>
<td>C16_J06979</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9069</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>C16_J07342</td>
<td>no peak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord (OU #613)</td>
<td>3-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>7/25/2012</td>
<td>8958</td>
<td>8/27/2012</td>
<td>C16_J03697</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td>-19.0</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9068</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>C16_J03553</td>
<td>-20.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9481</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>C16_J03553</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9077</td>
<td>10/23/2012</td>
<td>C16_J08448</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9485</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>C16_K08457</td>
<td>-31.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9486</td>
<td>4/18/2013</td>
<td>C16_J03146</td>
<td>-31.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge (OU #631)</td>
<td>Indoor-1</td>
<td>9/18/2012</td>
<td>9072</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>C16_K08440</td>
<td>-32.5</td>
<td>-32.6</td>
<td>-32.2</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9077</td>
<td>10/23/2012</td>
<td>C16_K08448</td>
<td>-31.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9485</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>C16_K08457</td>
<td>-31.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge (OU #631)</td>
<td>SS-2 HIGH</td>
<td>9/18/2012</td>
<td>9085</td>
<td>10/21/2012</td>
<td>C16_J03770</td>
<td>-25.2</td>
<td>-25.5</td>
<td>-25.2</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9066</td>
<td>10/27/2012</td>
<td>C16_J03770</td>
<td>-25.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9484</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>C16_J03756</td>
<td>-24.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d37Cl TCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall (OU #677)</td>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>2/21/2013</td>
<td>3298</td>
<td>3/20/2013</td>
<td>M17818 (via C16_M1)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3302</td>
<td>3/20/2013</td>
<td>M17818 (via C16_M1)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3583</td>
<td>5/22/2013</td>
<td>C16_M17853</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall (OU #677)</td>
<td>219-IA-3 Pump 1</td>
<td>2/21/2013</td>
<td>3289</td>
<td>3/20/2013</td>
<td>M17877 (via C16_M1)</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3305</td>
<td>3/20/2013</td>
<td>M17877 (via C16_M1)</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3585</td>
<td>5/22/2013</td>
<td>M17877 (via C16_M1)</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall (OU #677)</td>
<td>219-IA-3 Pump 2</td>
<td>2/21/2013</td>
<td>3291</td>
<td>3/20/2013</td>
<td>M17888 (via C16_M1)</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>-3.15</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3302</td>
<td>3/20/2013</td>
<td>M17888 (via C16_M1)</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3586</td>
<td>5/22/2013</td>
<td>M17888 (via C16_M1)</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d13C Benzene</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge (OU #631)</td>
<td>Indoor-1</td>
<td>9/18/2012</td>
<td>9042</td>
<td>10/16/2012</td>
<td>C16_K08448</td>
<td>-29.1</td>
<td>-29.0</td>
<td>-28.9</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9038</td>
<td>10/15/2012</td>
<td>C16_K08440</td>
<td>-28.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9498</td>
<td>4/24/2013</td>
<td>C16_K08421</td>
<td>-28.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9500</td>
<td>4/24/2013</td>
<td>C16_K08421</td>
<td>-28.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge (OU #631)</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>9/19/2012</td>
<td>9023</td>
<td>10/10/2012</td>
<td>C16_J03973</td>
<td>-29.9</td>
<td>-29.8</td>
<td>-29.8</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9030</td>
<td>10/11/2012</td>
<td>C16_J03796</td>
<td>-29.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9491</td>
<td>4/19/2013</td>
<td>C16_K08431</td>
<td>-29.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge (OU #631)</td>
<td>SS-2 1 hr</td>
<td>9/19/2012</td>
<td>9024</td>
<td>10/10/2012</td>
<td>C16_K08430</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9498</td>
<td>4/23/2013</td>
<td>C16_J03150</td>
<td>-29.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge (OU #631)</td>
<td>SS-2 Low</td>
<td>9/19/2012</td>
<td>9020</td>
<td>10/9/2012</td>
<td>C16_J04853</td>
<td>-28.9</td>
<td>-28.9</td>
<td>-30.2</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9492</td>
<td>4/19/2013</td>
<td>C16_J07661</td>
<td>-30.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:**
1. Only 10-20 ng of benzene on "SS-2 low". Possible problems caused by low level carryover or adsorbent pyrolysis byproduct.
2. Difference calculated as the absolute value of (initial result minus average) / initial result.
### TABLE D.1.2: FIELD DUPLICATE EVALUATION
ESTCP Project ER-201025

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LocID</th>
<th>Sample Location Description</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Normal Sample ID</th>
<th>Result (per mil)</th>
<th>Duplicate ID</th>
<th>Dup Result (per mil)</th>
<th>Precision (per mil)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air/Vapor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord 9669</td>
<td>middle, near 1-IA-1</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>d13C TCE</td>
<td>1-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>-18.5 H</td>
<td>3-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>-18.8 H</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d37Cl TCE</td>
<td>1-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>5.8 H</td>
<td>3-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>5.5 H</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge 1533</td>
<td>Inside storeroom</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>d13C Benzene</td>
<td>SS-2 Low</td>
<td>-28.9 JH</td>
<td>SS-2 1 Hour</td>
<td>-29.4 H</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d13C PCE</td>
<td>SS-2 Low</td>
<td>-25.7 H</td>
<td>SS-2 1 Hour</td>
<td>-25.3 H</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall 219</td>
<td>Northern half of building in janitor closet</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>d13C TCE</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P1</td>
<td>-29 H</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P2</td>
<td>-28.8 H</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d37Cl TCE</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P1</td>
<td>-3.5 H</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P2</td>
<td>-3.2 H</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raritan CP4</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4 In kitchen between conference rooms</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>d13C TCE</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>-30.5</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4</td>
<td>-30.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d37Cl TCE</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord 9669</td>
<td>near Building 9669</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>d13C TCE</td>
<td>LC-18</td>
<td>-23.3 H</td>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>-23.6 H</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d37Cl TCE</td>
<td>LC-18</td>
<td>2.5 H</td>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>2.4 H</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Indoor Air (IA)/sub-slab (SS) vapor samples collected onto sorbent tubes. Groundwater (GW) samples collected in VOA vials.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Submitted to Lab</th>
<th>Date Analyzed</th>
<th>Key Analyte</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lewis-McChord</td>
<td>7/25/2012</td>
<td>1/10-11/2013</td>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>0 ng</td>
<td>two sorbent tubes analyzed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selfridge</td>
<td>2/20/2012</td>
<td>1/10-11/2013</td>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>0 - 0.2 ng</td>
<td>three tubes analyzed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>0.4 - 1.2 ng</td>
<td>three tubes analyzed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyndall</td>
<td>2/22/2013</td>
<td>3/22/2013</td>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>0 ng</td>
<td>two sorbent tubes analyzed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raritan</td>
<td>3/28/2013</td>
<td>4/15/2013</td>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>0.1 - 1.3 ng</td>
<td>three tubes analyzed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
1. Trip blanks collected per QAPP for ER-201025
Appendix D.2: Laboratory Analytical Reports
Laboratory Analytical Reports

Use of Compound-Specific Stable Isotope Analysis to Distinguish between Vapor Intrusion and Indoor Sources of VOCs
ER-201025

Use of On-Site GC/MS Analysis to Distinguish between Vapor Intrusion and Indoor Sources of VOCs
ER-201119
Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington
LABORATORY REPORT

August 10, 2012

Tom McHugh
GSI Environmental Inc.
2211 Norfolk, Suite 1000
Houston, TX 77098

RE: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

Dear Tom:

Enclosed are the results of the samples submitted to our laboratory on July 27, 2012. For your reference, these analyses have been assigned our service request number P1203080.

All analyses were performed according to our laboratory’s NELAP and DoD-ELAP-approved quality assurance program. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP and DoD-ELAP standards, where applicable, and except as noted in the laboratory case narrative provided. For a specific list of NELAP and DoD-ELAP-accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section at www.caslab.com. Results are intended to be considered in their entirety and apply only to the samples analyzed and reported herein.

Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. dba ALS Environmental (ALS) is certified by the California Department of Health Services, NELAP Laboratory Certificate No. 02115CA; Arizona Department of Health Services, Certificate No. AZ0694; Florida Department of Health, NELAP Certification E871020; New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, NELAP Laboratory Certification ID #CA009; New York State Department of Health, NELAP NY Lab ID No: 11221; Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program, NELAP ID: CA200007; The American Industrial Hygiene Association, Laboratory #101661; United States Department of Defense Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (DoD-ELAP), Certificate No. L11-203; Pennsylvania Registration No. 68-03307; TX Commission of Environmental Quality, NELAP ID T104704413-12-3; Minnesota Department of Health, NELAP Certificate No. 362188; Washington State Department of Ecology, ELAP Lab ID: C946, State of Utah Department of Health, NELAP Certificate No. CA01527Z012-Z; Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety, Approval No: TA00001. Each of the certifications listed above have an explicit Scope of Accreditation that applies to specific matrices/methods/analytes; therefore, please contact me for information corresponding to a particular certification.

If you have any questions, please call me at (805) 526-7161.

Respectfully submitted,

ALS | Environmental

Sue Anderson
Project Manager
CASE NARRATIVE

The samples were received intact under chain of custody on July 27, 2012 and were stored in accordance with the analytical method requirements. Please refer to the sample acceptance check form for additional information. The results reported herein are applicable only to the condition of the samples at the time of sample receipt.

Volatile Organic Compound Analysis

The samples were analyzed in SIM mode for selected volatile organic compounds in accordance with EPA Method TO-15 from the Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air, Second Edition (EPA/625/R-96/010b), January, 1999. The analytical system was comprised of a gas chromatograph / mass spectrometer (GC/MS) interfaced to a whole-air preconcentrator.

The results of analyses are given in the attached laboratory report. All results are intended to be considered in their entirety, and Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. dba ALS Environmental (ALS) is not responsible for utilization of less than the complete report.

Use of Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. dba ALS Environmental (ALS)’s Name. Client shall not use ALS’s name or trademark in any marketing or reporting materials, press releases or in any other manner (“Materials”) whatsoever and shall not attribute to AALS any test result, tolerance or specification derived from ALS’s data (“Attribution”) without ALS’s prior written consent, which may be withheld by ALS for any reason in its sole discretion. To request ALS’s consent, Client shall provide copies of the proposed Materials or Attribution and describe in writing Client’s proposed use of such Materials or Attribution. If ALS has not provided written approval of the Materials or Attribution within ten (10) days of receipt from Client, Client’s request to use ALS’s name or trademark in any Materials or Attribution shall be deemed denied. ALS may, in its discretion, reasonably charge Client for its time in reviewing Materials or Attribution requests. Client acknowledges and agrees that the unauthorized use of ALS’s name or trademark may cause ALS to incur irreparable harm for which the recovery of money damages will be inadequate. Accordingly, Client acknowledges and agrees that a violation shall justify preliminary injunctive relief. For questions contact the laboratory.
## DETAIL SUMMARY REPORT

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Project ID:** ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669  
**Date Received:** 7/27/2012  
**Time Received:** 09:45

### Client Sample ID, Lab Code, Matrix, Date Collected, Time Collected, Container ID, P1, P1 (psig), P1 (psig)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab Code</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Date Collected</th>
<th>Time Collected</th>
<th>Container ID</th>
<th>P1 (psig)</th>
<th>P1 (psig)</th>
<th>TO-15 - VOC SIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-AA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-001</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/24/2012</td>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>AC00717</td>
<td>-2.63</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-002</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/24/2012</td>
<td>15:57</td>
<td>AC01368</td>
<td>-2.17</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-2-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-003</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/24/2012</td>
<td>15:58</td>
<td>AC00081</td>
<td>-1.86</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-004</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/24/2012</td>
<td>10:46</td>
<td>AC01782</td>
<td>-3.38</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-2-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-005</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/24/2012</td>
<td>11:06</td>
<td>AC00480</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-3-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-006</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/24/2012</td>
<td>11:27</td>
<td>AC01637</td>
<td>-5.17</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-AA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-007</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/24/2012</td>
<td>15:25</td>
<td>AC00154</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-008</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/24/2012</td>
<td>15:21</td>
<td>AC01900</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-009</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/24/2012</td>
<td>14:49</td>
<td>AS00103</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-2-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-010</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/24/2012</td>
<td>15:05</td>
<td>AC01190</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-BL</td>
<td>P1203080-011</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/25/2012</td>
<td>08:53</td>
<td>AC00714</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-NP</td>
<td>P1203080-012</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/25/2012</td>
<td>09:57</td>
<td>AC00229</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-3-CON-Resample</td>
<td>P1203080-013</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/26/2012</td>
<td>08:08</td>
<td>AC01034</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-BL</td>
<td>P1203080-014</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/26/2012</td>
<td>08:36</td>
<td>AC00748</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-NP</td>
<td>P1203080-015</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/26/2012</td>
<td>10:15</td>
<td>AC01165</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>P1203080-016</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/26/2012</td>
<td>00:00</td>
<td>AC00822</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-NP</td>
<td>P1203080-017</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7/25/2012</td>
<td>11:06</td>
<td>AC01327</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Temp</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>EDD Required</th>
<th>EDD Required (Days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/13</td>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>72°F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/14</td>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>75°F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/15</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>72°F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>70°F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**
- Sample Preparation: InLub/Temp
- Analysis Method: Gas Chromatography
- Project Number: 87079
- Project Name: Temp/Lub
- Requested Turnaround Time: 5 Business Days

Air - Claim of Custody Record & Analytical Service Request
### Sample Acceptance Check Form

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Work order:** P1203080  
**Project:** ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

**Sample(s) received on:** 7/27/12  
**Date opened:** 7/27/12  
**by:** MZAMORA

**Note:** This form is used for all samples received by CAS. The use of this form for custody seals is strictly meant to indicate presence/absence and not as an indication of compliance or nonconformity. Thermal preservation and pH will only be evaluated either at the request of the client and/or as required by the method/SOP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Were sample containers properly marked with client sample ID?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did sample containers arrive in good condition?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were chain-of-custody papers used and filled out?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did sample container labels and/or tags agree with custody papers?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was sample volume received adequate for analysis?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are samples within specified holding times?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was proper temperature (thermal preservation) of cooler at receipt adhered to?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was a trip blank received?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were custody seals on outside of cooler/Box?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of seal(s)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were signature and date included?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were seals intact?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were custody seals on outside of sample container?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of seal(s)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were signature and date included?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were seals intact?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do containers have appropriate preservation, according to method/SOP or Client specified information?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a client indication that the submitted samples are pH preserved?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were VOA vials checked for presence/absence of air bubbles?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the client/method/SOP require that the analyst check the sample pH and if necessary alter it?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the tubes capped and intact?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do they contain moisture?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the badges properly capped and intact?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are dual bed badges separated and individually capped and intact?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lab Sample ID Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
<th>Container Description</th>
<th>Required pH *</th>
<th>Received pH</th>
<th>Adjusted pH</th>
<th>VOA Headspace (Presence/Absence)</th>
<th>Receipt / Preservation Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-001.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-002.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-003.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-004.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-005.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-006.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-007.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-008.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain any discrepancies: (include lab sample ID numbers): ____________________________________________

---

RSK - MEEPP, HCL (pH<2); RSK - CO2, (pH 5-8); Sulfur (pH>4)
**Sample Acceptance Check Form**

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Work order: P1203080  
Project: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669  
Sample(s) received on: 7/27/12  
Date opened: 7/27/12  
by: MZAMORA  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
<th>Container Description</th>
<th>Required pH</th>
<th>Received pH</th>
<th>Adjusted pH</th>
<th>VOA Headspace (Presence/Absence)</th>
<th>Receipt / Preservation Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-009.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-010.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-011.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-012.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-013.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-014.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-015.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-016.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203080-017.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain any discrepancies: (include lab sample ID numbers):

RSK - MEEPP, HCL (pH<2); RSK - CO2, (pH 5-8); Sulfur (pH>4)
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Sample ID: 1-AA-1-CON  
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669  

CAS Project ID: P1203080  
CAS Sample ID: P1203080-001  

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7  
Analyst: Wida Ang  
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister  
Test Notes:  
Container ID: AC00717  

Initial Pressure (psig): -2.63  
Final Pressure (psig): 3.55  
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.51

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td><strong>0.052</strong></td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td><strong>0.0077</strong></td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** 1-IA-1-CON  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

- **CAS Project ID:** P1203080  
- **CAS Sample ID:** P1203080-002

- **Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
- **Date Collected:** 7/24/12  
- **Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7  
- **Date Received:** 7/27/12

- **Analyst:** Wida Ang  
- **Date Analyzed:** 8/1/12  
- **Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
- **Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

- **Container ID:** AC01368  
- **Initial Pressure (psig):** -2.17  
- **Final Pressure (psig):** 3.63

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.46

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result $\mu g/m^3$</th>
<th>MRL $\mu g/m^3$</th>
<th>Result $ppbV$</th>
<th>MRL $ppbV$</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Sample ID: 1-IA-2-CON  
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669  

cas project id: P1203080  
cas sample id: P1203080-003  

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7  
Analyzer: Wida Ang  
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister  
Test Notes:  
Container ID: AC00081  
Initial Pressure (psig): -1.86  
Final Pressure (psig): 3.54  

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.42

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>µg/m³</td>
<td>µg/m³</td>
<td>ppbV</td>
<td>ppbV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 1-SS-1-CON
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

CAS Project ID: P1203080
CAS Sample ID: P1203080-004

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7
Analyzer: Wida Ang
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes: AC01782

Volume(s) Analyzed: 0.30 Liter(s)
Date Collected: 7/24/12
Date Received: 7/27/12
Date Analyzed: 8/1/12

Initial Pressure (psig): -3.38
Final Pressure (psig): 3.58
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.61

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 1-SS-2-CON
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

CAS Project ID: P1203080
CAS Sample ID: P1203080-005

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7
Analyzer: Wida Ang
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes: Container ID: AC00480

Date Collected: 7/24/12
Date Received: 7/27/12
Date Analyzed: 8/1/12
Volume(s) Analyzed: 0.060 Liter(s)

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.97
Final Pressure (psig): 3.56
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
 Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
 Client Sample ID: 1-SS-3-CON  
 Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669  
 Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM  
 Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7  
 Analyst: Wida Ang  
 Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister  
 Test Notes: Container ID: AC01637  
 Initial Pressure (psig): -5.17 Final Pressure (psig): 2.56  
 Canister Dilution Factor: 1.81

### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 2-AA-1-CON
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

Client Project ID: CAS Project ID: P1203080
CAS Sample ID: P1203080-007

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7
Analyzer: Wida Ang
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes: Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)
Container ID: AC01154

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.75          Final Pressure (psig): 3.52

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.

**Client Sample ID:** 2-IA-1-CON

**Client Project ID:** ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

**CAS Project ID:** P1203080

**CAS Sample ID:** P1203080-008

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM

**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7

**Analyst:** Wida Ang

**Date Collected:** 7/24/12

**Date Received:** 7/27/12

**Date Analyzed:** 8/1/12

**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister

**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Container ID:** AC01900

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -2.57  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.69

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.52

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result $\mu g/m^3$</th>
<th>MRL $\mu g/m^3$</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td><strong>0.072</strong></td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td><strong>0.013</strong></td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td><strong>0.24</strong></td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td><strong>0.035</strong></td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 2-SS-1-CON
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7
Analyst: Wida Ang
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes: Container ID: AS00103

Initial Pressure (psig): 0.93
Final Pressure (psig): 3.56

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

### Client:
**GSI Environmental Inc.**

### Client Sample ID:
2-SS-2-CON

### Client Project ID:
ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

### CAS Project ID:
P1203080

### CAS Sample ID:
P1203080-010

### Test Code:
EPA TO-15 SIM

### Instrument ID:
Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7

### Analyst:
Wida Ang

### Sampling Media:
6.0 L Summa Canister

### Date Collected:
7/24/12

### Date Received:
7/27/12

### Date Analyzed:
8/2/12

### Volume(s) Analyzed:
0.50 Liter(s)

### Initial Pressure (psig):
-0.21

### Final Pressure (psig):
3.55

### Canister Dilution Factor:
1.26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorethane</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 1-IA-3-BL
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7
Analyzer: #N/A
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Container ID: AC00714

Initial Pressure (psig): 0.33  Final Pressure (psig): 3.72
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.23

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
# RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

## Client:
GSI Environmental Inc.

### Client Sample ID:
1-IA-3-PP

### Client Project ID:
ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

### CAS Project ID: P1203080
### CAS Sample ID: P1203080-012

### Test Code:
EPA TO-15 SIM

### Instrument ID:
Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7

### Analyst:
Wida Ang

### Sampling Media:
6.0 L Summa Canister

### Date Collected:
7/25/12

### Date Received:
7/27/12

### Date Analyzed:
8/1/12

### Volume(s) Analyzed:
1.00 Liter(s)

### Initial Pressure (psig):
0.31

### Final Pressure (psig):
3.55

### Canister Dilution Factor:
1.22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

### Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
### Client Sample ID: 2-SS-3-CON-Resample
### Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

**CAS Project ID:** P1203080  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1203080-013

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Date Collected:** 7/26/12

**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7  
**Date Received:** 7/27/12

**Analyzer:** Wida Ang  
**Date Analyzed:** 8/1 - 8/2/12

**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Container ID:** AC01034  
**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.90  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.50

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.32

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.  
D = The reported result is from a dilution.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** 2-IA-1-BL  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1203080-014  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203080

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7  
**Date Collected:** 7/26/12  
**Date Received:** 7/27/12  
**Date Analyzed:** 8/1/12

**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Initial Pressure (psig):** 0.33  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.56  
**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.
**Client Sample ID:** 2-IA-1-NP
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7
**Analyst:** Wida Ang
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister
**Container ID:** AC01165

Initial Pressure (psig): 0.41
Final Pressure (psig): 3.56

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result (µg/m³)</th>
<th>MRL (µg/m³)</th>
<th>Result (ppbV)</th>
<th>MRL (ppbV)</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
- MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: DUP-1
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669
CAS Project ID: P1203080
CAS Sample ID: P1203080-016

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7
Date Collected: 7/26/12
Date Received: 7/27/12
Date Analyzed: 8/1/12

Analyst: Wida Ang
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Test Notes:
Container ID: AC00822
Initial Pressure (psig): 0.38
Final Pressure (psig): 3.75
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** 1-IA-3-NP  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7  
**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Container ID:** AC01327

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Sample ID: Method Blank  
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669  
CAS Project ID: P1203080  
CAS Sample ID: P120801-MB

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7  
Date Collected: NA  
Date Received: NA  
Date Analyzed: 8/1/12  
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister  
Test Notes:

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result (µg/m³)</th>
<th>MRL (µg/m³)</th>
<th>Result (ppbV)</th>
<th>MRL (ppbV)</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669
CAS Project ID: P1203080
CAS Sample ID: P120802-MB

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7
Date Received: NA

Analyst: Wida Ang
Date Analyzed: 8/2/12

Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERY RESULTS**

Client: **GSI Environmental Inc.**
Client Project ID: **ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669**
CAS Project ID: **P1203080**

Test Code: **EPA TO-15 SIM**
Instrument ID: **Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7**
Analyst: **Wida Ang**
Sampling Media: **6.0 L Summa Canister(s)**

### Test Notes:
- Surrogate percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result.
- Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly from the on-column percent recovery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>1,2-Dichloroethane-d4</th>
<th>Toluene-d8</th>
<th>Bromofluorobenzene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAP Sample ID</td>
<td>% Recovered</td>
<td>% Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>P120801-MB</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>P120802-MB</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P120801-LCS</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P120802-LCS</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-AA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-001</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-002</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-2-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-003</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-004</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-2-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-005</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-3-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-006</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-AA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-007</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-008</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-008DUP</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-009</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-2-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-010</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-2-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-010DUP</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-BL</td>
<td>P1203080-011</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-PP</td>
<td>P1203080-012</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-3-CON-Resample</td>
<td>P1203080-013</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-BL</td>
<td>P1203080-014</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-NP</td>
<td>P1203080-015</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>P1203080-016</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-NP</td>
<td>P1203080-017</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Lab Control Sample  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7  
**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister

**Test Notes:**
Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount µg/m³</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>56-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>59-131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>60-128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>62-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>51-140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>57-132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>51-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>58-134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

CAS Project ID: P1203080
CAS Sample ID: P120802-LCS

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7
Analyst: Wida Ang
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Date Collected: NA
Date Received: NA
Date Analyzed: 8/02/12
Volume(s) Analyzed: 0.125 Liter(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount µg/m³</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>56-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>59-131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>60-128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>62-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>51-140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>57-132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>51-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>58-134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.
### LABORATORY DUPLICATE SUMMARY RESULTS

#### Client:

**GSI Environmental Inc.**

**Client Sample ID:** 2-IA-1-CON  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669

**CAS Project ID:** P1203080  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1203080-008DUP

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Date Collected:** 7/24/12

**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7  
**Date Received:** 7/27/12

**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Date Analyzed:** 8/1/12

**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Container ID:** AC01900

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -2.57  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.69

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.52

#### Test Notes:

- **Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM
- **Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7
- **Analyst:** Wida Ang
- **Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister
- **Container ID:** AC01900

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Sample Result</th>
<th>Duplicate Sample Result</th>
<th>Average µg/m³</th>
<th>% RPD</th>
<th>RPD Limit</th>
<th>Data Qualifer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0391</td>
<td>0.03965</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.0715</td>
<td>0.0133</td>
<td>0.0133</td>
<td>0.0133</td>
<td>0.07145</td>
<td><strong>0.1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.0355</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.0390</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** 2-SS-2-CON  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669  
**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7  
**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Container ID:** AC01190  

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.21  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.55  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Sample Result µg/m³</th>
<th>ppmV</th>
<th>Duplicate Sample Result µg/m³</th>
<th>ppmV</th>
<th>Average µg/m³</th>
<th>% RPD</th>
<th>RPD Limit</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.0753</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.0743</td>
<td>0.3025</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>1.775</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>47.05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669
CAS Project ID: P1203080

### Method Blank Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code</th>
<th>Instrument ID</th>
<th>Lab File ID</th>
<th>Date Analyzed</th>
<th>Time Analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPA TO-15 SIM</td>
<td>Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7</td>
<td>08011203.D</td>
<td>8/01/12</td>
<td>08:17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab File ID</th>
<th>Time Analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P120801-LCS</td>
<td>08011204.D</td>
<td>08:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-004</td>
<td>08011208.D</td>
<td>11:47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-2-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-005</td>
<td>08011209.D</td>
<td>12:16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-3-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-006</td>
<td>08011210.D</td>
<td>12:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-AA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-001</td>
<td>08011211.D</td>
<td>13:35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-002</td>
<td>08011212.D</td>
<td>14:07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-2-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-003</td>
<td>08011213.D</td>
<td>14:38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-AA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-007</td>
<td>08011214.D</td>
<td>15:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-008</td>
<td>08011215.D</td>
<td>16:01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-CON (Lab Duplicate)</td>
<td>P1203080-008DUP</td>
<td>08011216.D</td>
<td>16:33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-BL</td>
<td>P1203080-011</td>
<td>08011218.D</td>
<td>17:32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-PP</td>
<td>P1203080-012</td>
<td>08011219.D</td>
<td>18:04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-3-CON-Resample</td>
<td>P1203080-013</td>
<td>08011220.D</td>
<td>18:36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-BL</td>
<td>P1203080-014</td>
<td>08011221.D</td>
<td>19:08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-NP</td>
<td>P1203080-015</td>
<td>08011222.D</td>
<td>19:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>P1203080-016</td>
<td>08011223.D</td>
<td>20:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-NP</td>
<td>P1203080-017</td>
<td>08011224.D</td>
<td>20:44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669
CAS Project ID: P1203080

Method Blank Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code:</th>
<th>EPA TO-15 SIM</th>
<th>Instrument ID:</th>
<th>Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5973N/HP6890A/MS7</th>
<th>Lab File ID: 08021204.D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analyst:</td>
<td>Wida Ang</td>
<td>Sampling Media:</td>
<td>6.0 L Summa Canister(s)</td>
<td>Date Analyzed: 8/02/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Analyzed:</td>
<td>07:58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time Analyzed: 07:58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab File ID</th>
<th>Time Analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P120802-LCS</td>
<td>08021205.D</td>
<td>08:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-3-CON-Resample (Dilution)</td>
<td>P1203080-013</td>
<td>08021207.D</td>
<td>11:46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-1-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-009</td>
<td>08021208.D</td>
<td>12:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-2-CON</td>
<td>P1203080-010</td>
<td>08021209.D</td>
<td>12:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-SS-2-CON (Lab Duplicate)</td>
<td>P1203080-010DUP</td>
<td>08021212.D</td>
<td>15:43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669
CAS Project ID: P1203080

Internal Standard Area and RT Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IS1 (BCM)</th>
<th></th>
<th>IS2 (DFB)</th>
<th></th>
<th>IS3 (CBZ)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hour Standard</td>
<td>29278</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>127514</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>28816</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
<td>40989</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>178520</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>40342</td>
<td>13.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>17567</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>76508</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>17290</td>
<td>13.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Client Sample ID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>RT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>30845</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>123583</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>29703</td>
<td>13.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>29936</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>130325</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>28507</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>1-SS-1-CON</td>
<td>31782</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>143134</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>36766</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>1-SS-2-CON</td>
<td>29743</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>129710</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>31527</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>1-SS-3-CON</td>
<td>28554</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>126421</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>31108</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>1-AA-1-CON</td>
<td>32709</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>147941</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>33323</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>1-IA-1-CON</td>
<td>32046</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>142804</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>34896</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>1-IA-2-CON</td>
<td>32257</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>144534</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>34788</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>2-AA-1-CON</td>
<td>33601</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>151329</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>34469</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2-IA-1-CON</td>
<td>31687</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>144397</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>34845</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2-IA-1-CON (Lab Duplicate)</td>
<td>31234</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>144338</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>34069</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1-IA-3-BL</td>
<td>31724</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>143826</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>36880</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1-IA-3-PP</td>
<td>33066</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>149053</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>37242</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2-SS-3-CON-Resample</td>
<td>32821</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>147700</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>36898</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2-IA-1-BL</td>
<td>32378</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>146693</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>36271</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2-IA-1-NP</td>
<td>31576</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>142003</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>32886</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>32252</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>143939</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>34688</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1-IA-3-NP</td>
<td>32639</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>147075</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>36089</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IS1 (BCM) = Bromochloromethane
IS2 (DFB) = 1,4-Difluorobenzene
IS3 (CBZ) = Chlorobenzene-d5

AREA UPPER LIMIT = 140% of internal standard area
AREA LOWER LIMIT = 60% of internal standard area
RT UPPER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT
RT LOWER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an I.
I = Internal standard not within the specified limits. See case narrative.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP / JBLM Long Center / G-3585 / 3669
CAS Project ID: P1203080

Internal Standard Area and RT Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IS1 (BCM)</th>
<th>IS2 (DFB)</th>
<th>IS3 (CBZ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
<td>AREA #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hour Standard</td>
<td>31051</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>138795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
<td>43471</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>194313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>18631</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>83277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Client Sample ID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>AREA #</th>
<th>RT #</th>
<th>AREA #</th>
<th>RT #</th>
<th>AREA #</th>
<th>RT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>30396</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>127198</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>29705</td>
<td>13.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>31152</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>138167</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>31140</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>2-SS-3-CON-Resample (Dilution)</td>
<td>29546</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>125838</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>29193</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>2-SS-1-CON</td>
<td>30276</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>130727</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>34933</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>2-SS-2-CON</td>
<td>30419</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>137865</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>33676</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>2-SS-2-CON (Lab Duplicate)</td>
<td>33994</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>154635</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>37520</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IS1 (BCM) = Bromochloromethane
IS2 (DFB) = 1,4-Difluorobenzene
IS3 (CBZ) = Chlorobenzene-d5

AREA UPPER LIMIT = 140% of internal standard area
AREA LOWER LIMIT = 60% of internal standard area
RT UPPER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT
RT LOWER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an I.
I = Internal standard not within the specified limits. See case narrative.
Method: J:\Ms07\METHODS\X7071612.M (RTI Integrator)
Title: EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
Last Update: Tue Jul 17 11:58:51 2012
Response via: Initial Calibration

Calibration Files
1000 =07161209.D  2500 =07161210.D  9999 =07161211.D  20K =07161212.D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2500</th>
<th>9999</th>
<th>20K</th>
<th>Avg</th>
<th>%RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17) S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22) I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Out of Range) Number of calibration levels exceeded format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2500</th>
<th>9999</th>
<th>20K</th>
<th>Avg</th>
<th>%RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29) T 1,1,2-Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>13.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30) S Toluene-d8 (SS2)</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>1.143</td>
<td>1.135</td>
<td>1.110</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>1.059</td>
<td>1.048</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31) T Toluene</td>
<td>1.599</td>
<td>1.319</td>
<td>1.639</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>1.193</td>
<td>1.205</td>
<td>1.417</td>
<td>1.374</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.382</td>
<td>11.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32) T 1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>14.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33) T Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>14.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34) I Chlorobenzene-d5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.748</td>
<td>14.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38) T o-Xylene</td>
<td>5.022</td>
<td>4.241</td>
<td>4.017</td>
<td>4.970</td>
<td>5.077</td>
<td>4.836</td>
<td>5.094</td>
<td>6.137</td>
<td>5.540</td>
<td>4.993</td>
<td>12.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39) T 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>2.897</td>
<td>2.661</td>
<td>2.409</td>
<td>3.057</td>
<td>2.697</td>
<td>2.441</td>
<td>2.457</td>
<td>2.949</td>
<td>2.690</td>
<td>2.695</td>
<td>8.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40) S Bromofluorobenzene</td>
<td>1.682</td>
<td>1.762</td>
<td>1.818</td>
<td>1.826</td>
<td>1.923</td>
<td>1.948</td>
<td>1.863</td>
<td>1.838</td>
<td>1.760</td>
<td>1.825</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41) T 1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>3.633</td>
<td>2.580</td>
<td>2.518</td>
<td>3.055</td>
<td>2.837</td>
<td>2.615</td>
<td>2.665</td>
<td>3.203</td>
<td>2.861</td>
<td>2.885</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42) T 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>3.859</td>
<td>2.481</td>
<td>2.438</td>
<td>2.997</td>
<td>2.777</td>
<td>2.573</td>
<td>2.655</td>
<td>3.220</td>
<td>2.882</td>
<td>2.876</td>
<td>15.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43) T 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>3.609</td>
<td>2.480</td>
<td>2.387</td>
<td>2.900</td>
<td>2.721</td>
<td>2.511</td>
<td>2.560</td>
<td>3.101</td>
<td>2.753</td>
<td>2.780</td>
<td>13.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44) T 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.529</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.544</td>
<td>1.543</td>
<td>1.504</td>
<td>1.612</td>
<td>1.978</td>
<td>1.822</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.629</td>
<td>10.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46) T Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>1.264</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>1.132</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>1.113</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>10.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(# = Out of Range  ### Number of calibration levels exceeded format ###
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path: J:\Ms07\DATA\2012_08\01\nData File: 08011202.D
Acq On: 1 Aug 2012  7:28
Operator: WA
Sample: 500pg TO-15 SIM CCV STD (125mL)
Misc: S25-07131201/S25-07131206
ALS Vial: 16  Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Aug 01 10:29:36 2012
Quant Method: J:\Ms07\METHODS\X7071612.M
Quant Title: EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update: Tue Jul 17 11:58:51 2012
Response via: Initial Calibration

Min. RRF: 0.000  Min. Rel. Area: 50%  Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev: 30%  Max. Rel. Area: 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev Area% Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 I Bromochloromethane (IS1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0  118  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 T Dichlorodifluoromethane (CF)</td>
<td>3.352</td>
<td>2.886</td>
<td>13.9  103  0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 T Chloromethane</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>12.6  104  0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 T Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>2.567</td>
<td>2.186</td>
<td>14.8  104  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 T Bromomethane</td>
<td>1.406</td>
<td>1.223</td>
<td>13.0  107  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 T Chloroethene</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>1.115</td>
<td>13.5  106  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 T Acetone</td>
<td>1.257</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>6.3   115  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 T Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>2.708</td>
<td>2.355</td>
<td>13.0  104  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.279</td>
<td>1.119</td>
<td>12.5  107  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 T Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>1.517</td>
<td>1.322</td>
<td>12.9  103  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 T Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>1.134</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>13.8  104  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 T trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.423</td>
<td>1.234</td>
<td>13.3  107  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 T 1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>3.010</td>
<td>2.563</td>
<td>14.9  102  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 T Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>3.939</td>
<td>3.489</td>
<td>11.4  112  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 T cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.444</td>
<td>1.264</td>
<td>12.5  107  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 T Chloroform</td>
<td>2.684</td>
<td>2.315</td>
<td>13.7  107  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 S 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (SS1)</td>
<td>1.855</td>
<td>1.835</td>
<td>1.1   117  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 T 1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>2.258</td>
<td>1.951</td>
<td>13.6  104  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 T 1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>2.217</td>
<td>1.932</td>
<td>12.9  104  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 T Benzene</td>
<td>6.307</td>
<td>5.309</td>
<td>15.8  105  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 T Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>1.681</td>
<td>1.441</td>
<td>14.3  104  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 I 1,4-Difluorobenzene (IS2)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0   122  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 T 1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>16.4  105  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 T Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>17.6  103  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 T Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>14.8  107  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 T 1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>11.4  118  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 T cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>14.5  110  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 T trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>16.7  110  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 T 1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>18.5  103  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 S Toluene-d8 (SS2)</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>0.2   125  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 T Toluene</td>
<td>1.382</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>14.8  110  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 T 1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>18.4  106  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 T Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>15.1  108  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 I Chlorobenzene-d5 (IS3)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0   122  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 T Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>3.748</td>
<td>3.251</td>
<td>13.3  109  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 T Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>5.920</td>
<td>5.324</td>
<td>10.1  117  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 T m,p-Xylene</td>
<td>4.624</td>
<td>4.194</td>
<td>9.3   114  0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Path : J:\Ms07\DATA\2012_08\01\nData File : 08011202.D
Acq On : 1 Aug 2012  7:28
Operator : WA
Sample : 500pg TO-15 SIM CCV STD (125mL)
Misc : S25-07131201/S25-07131206
ALS Vial : 16  Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Aug 01 10:29:36 2012
Quant Method : J:\Ms07\METHODS\X7071612.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-T015 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Tue Jul 17 11:58:51 2012
Response via : Initial Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000  Min. Rel. Area : 50%  Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev : 30%  Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev</th>
<th>Area% Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38 T o-Xylene</td>
<td>4.993</td>
<td>4.569</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>110 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 T 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>2.695</td>
<td>2.289</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>104 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 S Bromofluorobenzene (SS3)</td>
<td>1.825</td>
<td>1.904</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>121 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 T 1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>2.885</td>
<td>2.502</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>108 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 T 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>2.876</td>
<td>2.462</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>108 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 T 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>2.780</td>
<td>2.405</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>108 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 T 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.629</td>
<td>1.455</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>115 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 T Naphthalene</td>
<td>5.669</td>
<td>5.612</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>139 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 T Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>110 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(#) = Out of Range
SPCC's out = 0  CCC's out = 0
### Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path: J:\Ms07\DATA\2012_08\02\n
Data File: 08021203.D

Acq On: 2 Aug 2012 7:27

Operator: WA

Sample: 500pg TO-15 SIM CCV STD (125mL)

Misc: S25-07131201/S25-07131206

ALS Vial: 16 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Aug 02 11:07:37 2012

Quant Method: J:\Ms07\METHODS\X7071612.M

Quant Title: EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-T015 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)

QLast Update: Tue Jul 17 11:58:51 2012

Response via: Initial Calibration

---

**Min. RRF**: 0.000  **Min. Rel. Area**: 50%  **Max. R.T. Dev**: 0.33min

Max. RRF Dev: 30%  Max. Rel. Area: 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev</th>
<th>Area% Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 I Bromochloromethane (IS1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 T Dichlorodifluoromethane (CF)</td>
<td>3.352</td>
<td>2.915</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 T Chloromethane</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 T Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>2.567</td>
<td>2.191</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 T Bromomethane</td>
<td>1.406</td>
<td>1.219</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 T Chloroethane</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>1.113</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 T Acetone</td>
<td>1.257</td>
<td>1.196</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 T Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>2.708</td>
<td>2.360</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.279</td>
<td>1.135</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 T Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>1.517</td>
<td>1.319</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 T Trichlorotrifluoromethane</td>
<td>1.134</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 T trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.423</td>
<td>1.235</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 T 1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>3.010</td>
<td>2.583</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 T Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>3.939</td>
<td>3.618</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 T cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.444</td>
<td>1.265</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 T Chloroform</td>
<td>2.684</td>
<td>2.310</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 S 1,2-Dichloroethene-d4 (SS1)</td>
<td>1.855</td>
<td>1.847</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 T 1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>2.258</td>
<td>1.937</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 T 1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>2.217</td>
<td>1.928</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 T Benzene</td>
<td>6.307</td>
<td>5.280</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 T Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>1.681</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 I 1,4-Difluorobenzene (IS2)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 T 1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 T Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 T Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 T 1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 T cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 T trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 T 1,1,2-Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 S Toluene-d8 (SS2)</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>1.099</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 T Toluene</td>
<td>1.382</td>
<td>1.171</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 T 1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 T Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 I Chlorobenzene-d5 (IS3)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 T Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>3.748</td>
<td>3.185</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 T Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>5.920</td>
<td>5.286</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 T m,p-Xylene</td>
<td>4.624</td>
<td>4.179</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

X7071612.M Thu Aug 02 11:07:54 2012
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path : J:\Ms07\DATA\2012_08\02\nData File : 08021203.D
Acq On : 2 Aug 2012 7:27
Operator : WA
Sample : 500pg TO-15 SIM CCV STD (125mL)
Misc : S25-07131201/S25-07131206
ALS Vial : 16 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Aug 02 11:07:37 2012
Quant Method : J:\Ms07\METHODS\X7071612.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Tue Jul 17 11:58:51 2012
Response via : Initial Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev</th>
<th>Area%</th>
<th>Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38 T o-Xylene</td>
<td>4.993</td>
<td>4.534</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 T 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>2.695</td>
<td>2.213</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 S Bromofluorobenzene (SS3)</td>
<td>1.825</td>
<td>1.881</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 T 1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>2.885</td>
<td>2.432</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 T 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>2.876</td>
<td>2.419</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 T 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>2.780</td>
<td>2.345</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 T 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.629</td>
<td>1.433</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 T Naphthalene</td>
<td>5.669</td>
<td>5.671</td>
<td>-0.0</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 T Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(#{}) = Out of Range

SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0
### Radon Analysis (EPA Method G5: Grab Sample/Scintillation Cell counting)

**For GSI Environmental**  
Client Project Number: G-3589, 3589  
Sample Sections:  
Date: Thurmont, MD  
2012-07-27  
Sample container: Teal bag  
Sample Size:  
Analysis: Bob Hammond  
Based on an elevation of 235 ft  
Phone: 319-696-7358  
Target background (Bq/L)  

### Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Collection Time</th>
<th>Analysis Time</th>
<th>Lab Duplicate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/25/12</td>
<td>10:15 (PDT)</td>
<td>10:37 (PDT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/12</td>
<td>8:45 (PDT)</td>
<td>17:02 (PDT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results corrected to in situ pressure as noted above.

### Raw Data, Calculation factors, and Analytical Details

#### Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Collection Date/Time</th>
<th>Count Rate (cpm)</th>
<th>Decay Correction</th>
<th>Decay Factor</th>
<th>Decay Concentration (pCi/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/25/12 10:15 (PDT)</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7/26/12 8:45 (PDT)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Notes

- Results are reported based on in situ radon concentration assuming an elevation of 235 ft.
- Decay corrections based on Rn decay constant of 0.1813 per day.
- Conversion from pCi/L based on 0.4186 (Bq/L) per pCi/L.

### Definitions

- **Calibrated**: Counting cell and chambers used
- **Gas decay**: Uncertainty (% T. gas) in dose based on counting statistics
- **He/Ne**: Count rate correction for excess counting gas activity
- **He/Ne uncertainty**: Uncertainty in helium activity correction factor
- **Pressure factor**: Correction to in situ pressure based on collection altitude
- **He/Ne**: Calibration factor for decay correction to analysis
- **He/Ne uncertainty**: Uncertainty in observed baseline correction factor

### Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Collection Date/Time</th>
<th>Count Rate (cpm)</th>
<th>Decay Correction</th>
<th>Decay Factor</th>
<th>Decay Concentration (pCi/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/25/12 10:15 (PDT)</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7/26/12 8:45 (PDT)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Decay corrections based on Rn decay constant of 0.1813 per day.

### Conversion from pCi/L based on 0.4186 (Bq/L) per pCi/L.
### Air - Chain of Custody Record & Analytical Service Request

**Requested Turnaround Time in Business Days (Surcharges) please circle**
- 1 Day (100%)
- 2 Day (75%)
- 3 Day (50%)
- 4 Day (35%)
- 5 Day (25%)
- 10 Day-Standard

**CAS-Project-No.:** 1234

**Project Name:** 25EP

**Project Number:** G - 3469

**P.O. # / Billing Information:** G - 3469

**Sampler (Print & Sign):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>Laboratory ID Number</th>
<th>Date Collected</th>
<th>Time Collected</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Canister ID</th>
<th>Flow Control (Bar Code #/PC #)</th>
<th>Sample Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-8L</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/25/12</td>
<td>0851</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>L-LCD</td>
<td>500 mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-NP</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/25/12</td>
<td>0853</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td></td>
<td>500 mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-3-PP</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/25/12</td>
<td>0925</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td></td>
<td>500 mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-AA-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/25/12</td>
<td>0925</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td></td>
<td>500 mL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Report Tier Levels - please select**
- Tier I (Results/Default if not specified)
- Tier II (Results + QC)
- Tier III (Data Validation Package) 10% Surcharge
- Tier V (client specified)
- EDD required Yes / No

**EDD Units:** CFT

**Project Requirements (MRLs, QAPPS)**

**Relinquished by:** (Signature) 7/25/12
- Time: 1700

**Relinquished by:** (Signature) 7/26/12
- Time: 1000

**Relinquished by:** (Signature)
- Date:
- Time:
- Received by: (Signature)
- Date:
- Time:
- Temp: -

**Comments:**
- Actual Preservative or specific instruction

### Column Analytic Services
2655 Park Center Drive, Suite A
Simi Valley, California 93065
Phone: (805) 526-7161
Fax: (805) 526-7270
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>Laboratory ID Number</th>
<th>Date Collected</th>
<th>Time Collected</th>
<th>Container ID (Bar code # - AC, BC, etc.)</th>
<th>Flow Container ID (Bar code # - FC #)</th>
<th>Canister Start Pressure</th>
<th>Canister End Pressure</th>
<th>Sample Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-1-NP</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/24/12</td>
<td>10:15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dup-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-IA-2-BL</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/24/12</td>
<td>08:30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-AA-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/24/12</td>
<td>08:15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report Tier Levels - please select
Tier I (Results) Default if not specified
Tier II (Results + QC & Calibrations) EDD required: Yes / No
Tier III (Data Validation Package) 16% Surcharge Project Requirements (MRLs, QAPP)

Requst Turnaround Time in Business Days (Surcharge) please circle
1 Day (100%) 2 Day (75%) 3 Day (50%) 4 Day (35%) 5 Day (25%) 10 Day-Standard

Colum Analytical Services
2455 Park Center Drive, Suite A
Sunny Valley, California 9065
Phone (805) 526-7181
Fax (805) 526-7270

Company Name & Address (Reporting Information)
GSI Environmental Inc
2311 Norfolk, Suite 1000
Houston, TX 77018

Project Name
JBLM IA Investigation

Project Number
3585

P.O. # / Billing Information
3585

Sample (Print & Sign)
TJ Ta

Raden

Sample Size (L)

Comments
Preservative or specific instructions
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### Summary: Averages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ TCE (VPDB)</th>
<th>$\delta^{37}Cl$ TCE (SMOC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC-18</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC-48</td>
<td>-23.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT-1</td>
<td>-22.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>-23.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-IA-1-CSI</td>
<td>-25.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>-18.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Replicates and standards

#### Water samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>volume (ul)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ TCE (VPDB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6415</td>
<td>LC-18</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6416</td>
<td>LC-48</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>-23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6420</td>
<td>LC-48</td>
<td>2363</td>
<td>-23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6417</td>
<td>MT-1</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>-22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6419</td>
<td>MT-1</td>
<td>5629</td>
<td>-23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6418</td>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-23.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Standard ID</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ TCE (VPDB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6414</td>
<td>Aqueous TCE</td>
<td>-30.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6422</td>
<td>Aqueous TCE</td>
<td>-30.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stdev</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### $\delta^{37}Cl$ TCE (SMOC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>$\delta^{37}Cl$ TCE (SMOC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2910</td>
<td>LC-18</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2909</td>
<td>LC-48</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2911</td>
<td>LC-48</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2908</td>
<td>MT-1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2912</td>
<td>MT-1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2907</td>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>$\delta^{37}Cl$ TCE (SMOC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2897</td>
<td>Aqueous TCE</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2898</td>
<td>Aqueous TCE</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2900</td>
<td>Aqueous TCE</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2905</td>
<td>Aqueous TCE</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2913</td>
<td>Aqueous TCE</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stdev</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vapor samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Tube #</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C TCE (VPDB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8959</td>
<td>1-IA-1-CSI</td>
<td>C16_K08436</td>
<td>-25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8957</td>
<td>1-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>C16_K08430</td>
<td>-18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8960</td>
<td>1-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>C16_J06979</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8958</td>
<td>3-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>C16_J03697</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run # Standard ID  Tube #  $\delta^{13}$C TCE (VPDB)
8956 Vapor TCE   C16_K08457 -31.0
8961 Vapor TCE   C16_K08440 -30.6
8955 Vapor TCE   C16_J03150 -30.9

stdev 0.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Tube #</th>
<th>$\delta^{37}$Cl TCE (SMOC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2926</td>
<td>1-IA-1-CSI</td>
<td>C16_K08451</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2923</td>
<td>1-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>C16_K08411</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2924</td>
<td>3-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>C16_J03143</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2928</td>
<td>3-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>C16_J06465</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run # Standard ID  Tube #  $\delta^{37}$Cl TCE (SMOC)
2922 STD         C16_J06695 3.1
2922 STD         C16_J04853 3.3
2927 STD         C16_J03770 3.8
2929 STD         C16_J03146 3.2
2930 STD         C16_J07356 3.1

stdev 0.3

Note 1: limited coelution, the reported value is biased by 1-2 permil (i.e., the reported number is more negative than a true number)
Received by GSI, 3 May 2013

Results of additional analyses of JBLM samples:

**OU#613 TCE, C CSIA**
Dup = split of the sample recollected on Cx1016
all tube numbers refer to the original samples collected in the field
analytical uncertainty defined by the standards: Aug-12 ± 0.4 (2 stdevs at n=4); Oct-12 ± 0.6 (2 stdevs at n=7); April-13 ± 0.4 (2 stdevs at n=10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>run #</th>
<th>date analyzed</th>
<th>sample ID</th>
<th>original airtube #</th>
<th>del TCE VPDB</th>
<th>remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8959</td>
<td>8/27/2012</td>
<td>1-IA-1-Csi</td>
<td>C16_K08436</td>
<td>-25.9</td>
<td>limited coelution, the reported number may be biased by 1-2 permil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9071</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>1-IA-1-Csi</td>
<td>C16_J07242</td>
<td></td>
<td>peak coelutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9480</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>1-IA-1-Csi</td>
<td>C16_J03141</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9483</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>Dup 1-IA-1-Csi</td>
<td>C16_J03141</td>
<td>-26.4</td>
<td>split of run #9480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8957</td>
<td>8/27/2012</td>
<td>1-SS-2-Csi</td>
<td>C16_K08430</td>
<td>-18.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8960</td>
<td>8/27/2012</td>
<td>1-SS-2-Csi</td>
<td>C16_J06979</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9069</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>1-SS-2-Csi</td>
<td>C16_J07342</td>
<td>no peak</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9482</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>Dup 1-SS-2-Csi</td>
<td>C16_J07342</td>
<td>no peak</td>
<td>split of run #9069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8958</td>
<td>8/27/2012</td>
<td>3-SS-2-Csi</td>
<td>C16_J03697</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9068</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>3-SS-2-Csi</td>
<td>C16_J03553</td>
<td>-19.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9481</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>Dup 3-SS-2-Csi</td>
<td>C16_J03553</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td>split of run #9068</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan
LABORATORY REPORT

October 11, 2012

Tom McHugh
GSI Environmental Inc.
2211 Norfolk, Suite 1000
Houston, TX 77098

RE: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Dear Tom:

Your CAS report number P1203938 has been amended for the samples submitted to our laboratory on September 25, 2012. Sample Indoor-1-PP (P1203938-007) was re-run and a larger volume injected and the data has been added to the original report. The additional data pages have been indicated by the “Added Page” footer located at the bottom right of the page.

All analyses were performed according to our laboratory’s NELAP and DoD-ELAP-approved quality assurance program. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP and DoD-ELAP standards, where applicable, and except as noted in the laboratory case narrative provided. For a specific list of NELAP and DoD-ELAP-accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section at www.caslab.com. Results are intended to be considered in their entirety and apply only to the samples analyzed and reported herein.

Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. dba ALS Environmental (ALS) is certified by the California Department of Health Services, NELAP Laboratory Certificate No. 02115CA; Arizona Department of Health Services, Certificate No. AZ0694; Florida Department of Health, NELAP Certification E871020; New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, NELAP Laboratory Certification ID #CA009; New York State Department of Health, NELAP NY Lab ID No: 11221; Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program, NELAP ID: CA200007; The American Industrial Hygiene Association, Laboratory #101661; United States Department of Defense Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (DoD-ELAP), Certificate No. L11-203; Pennsylvania Registration No. 68-03307; TX Commission of Environmental Quality, NELAP ID T104704413-12-3; Minnesota Department of Health, NELAP Certificate No. 362188; Washington State Department of Ecology, ELAP Lab ID: C946, State of Utah Department of Health, NELAP Certificate No. CA01527Z012-Z; Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety, Approval No: TA00001. Each of the certifications listed above have an explicit Scope of Accreditation that applies to specific matrices/methods/analytes; therefore, please contact me for information corresponding to a particular certification.

If you have any questions, please call me at (805) 526-7161.

Respectfully submitted,

ALS | Environmental

Sue Anderson
Project Manager
CASE NARRATIVE

The samples were received intact under chain of custody on September 25, 2012 and were stored in accordance with the analytical method requirements. Please refer to the sample acceptance check form for additional information. The results reported herein are applicable only to the condition of the samples at the time of sample receipt.

Volatile Organic Compound Analysis

The samples were analyzed for volatile organic compounds in accordance with EPA Method TO-15 from the Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air, Second Edition (EPA/625/R-96/010b), January, 1999. The analytical system was comprised of a gas chromatograph / mass spectrometer (GC/MS) interfaced to a whole-air preconcentrator.

The results of analyses are given in the attached laboratory report. All results are intended to be considered in their entirety, and Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. dba ALS Environmental (ALS) is not responsible for utilization of less than the complete report.

Use of Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. dba ALS Environmental (ALS)’s Name. Client shall not use ALS’s name or trademark in any marketing or reporting materials, press releases or in any other manner (“Materials”) whatsoever and shall not attribute to ALS any test result, tolerance or specification derived from ALS’s data (“Attribution”) without ALS’s prior written consent, which may be withheld by ALS for any reason in its sole discretion. To request ALS’s consent, Client shall provide copies of the proposed Materials or Attribution and describe in writing Client’s proposed use of such Materials or Attribution. If ALS has not provided written approval of the Materials or Attribution within ten (10) days of receipt from Client, Client’s request to use ALS’s name or trademark in any Materials or Attribution shall be deemed denied. ALS may, in its discretion, reasonably charge Client for its time in reviewing Materials or Attribution requests. Client acknowledges and agrees that the unauthorized use of ALS’s name or trademark may cause ALS to incur irreparable harm for which the recovery of money damages will be inadequate. Accordingly, Client acknowledges and agrees that a violation shall justify preliminary injunctive relief. For questions contact the laboratory.
## DETAIL SUMMARY REPORT

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**Service Request:** P1203938  
**Date Received:** 9/25/2012  
**Time Received:** 09:35

### Client Sample ID | Lab Code | Matrix | Date Collected | Time Collected | Container ID | P1 (psig) | P2 (psig) | TO-15 - VOC Cans
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
Indoor-C1 | P1203938-001 | Air | 9/18/2012 | 16:30 | AS00243 | -3.20 | 3.58 | X
Outdoor-C1 | P1203938-002 | Air | 9/18/2012 | 16:30 | AC01931 | -2.16 | 3.60 | X
SS-1C | P1203938-003 | Air | 9/18/2012 | 13:20 | AC00942 | -0.73 | 3.53 | X
SS-2C | P1203938-004 | Air | 9/18/2012 | 13:40 | AC00977 | -0.30 | 3.54 | X
SS-3C | P1203938-005 | Air | 9/18/2012 | 13:55 | AC01198 | -1.53 | 3.50 | X
Indoor-1-BL | P1203938-006 | Air | 9/19/2012 | 11:12 | AS00228 | 0.02 | 3.61 | X
Indoor-1-PP | P1203938-007 | Air | 9/19/2012 | 14:13 | AC00376 | -0.05 | 3.51 | X
Indoor-1-NP | P1203938-008 | Air | 9/19/2012 | 16:40 | AC01877 | -0.02 | 4.36 | X
Dup 1 | P1203938-009 | Air | 9/19/2012 | 00:00 | AC00745 | -0.03 | 3.59 | X
Sample Acceptance Check Form

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  Work order: P1203938
Project: ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669
Sample(s) received on: 9/25/12  Date opened: 9/25/12  by: MZAMORA

Note: This form is used for all samples received by CAS. The use of this form for custody seals is strictly meant to indicate presence/absence and not as an indication of compliance or nonconformity. Thermal preservation and pH will only be evaluated either at the request of the client and/or as required by the method/SOP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Were sample containers properly marked with client sample ID?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Container(s) supplied by CAS?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Did sample containers arrive in good condition?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Were chain-of-custody papers used and filled out?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Did sample container labels and/or tags agree with custody papers?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Was sample volume received adequate for analysis?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Are samples within specified holding times?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Was proper temperature (thermal preservation) of cooler at receipt adhered to?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Was a trip blank received?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Were custody seals on outside of cooler/Box?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location of seal(s)?</td>
<td>Sealing Lid?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Were signature and date included?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Were seals intact?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Were custody seals on outside of sample container?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location of seal(s)?</td>
<td>Sealing Lid?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Were signature and date included?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Were seals intact?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Do containers have appropriate preservation, according to method/SOP or Client specified information?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is there a client indication that the submitted samples are pH preserved?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Were VOA vials checked for presence/absence of air bubbles?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does the client/method/SOP require that the analyst check the sample pH and if necessary alter it?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Tubes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Are the tubes capped and intact?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do they contain moisture?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Badges:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Are the badges properly capped and intact?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Are dual bed badges separated and individually capped and intact?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
<th>Container Description</th>
<th>Required pH *</th>
<th>Received pH</th>
<th>Adjusted pH</th>
<th>VOA Headspace (Presence/Absence)</th>
<th>Receipt / Preservation Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1203938-001.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203938-002.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203938-003.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203938-004.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203938-005.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203938-006.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203938-007.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203938-008.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain any discrepancies: (include lab sample ID numbers):

Sample -002 has an ID of (Outdoor-C1) on the COC, and (Ambient-C1) on the canister tag.

RSK - MEEPP, HCL (pH-2); RSK - CO2, (pH 5-8); Sulfur (pH-4)
### Sample Acceptance Check Form

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Work order: P1203938  
Project: ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
Sample(s) received on: 9/25/12  
Date opened: 9/25/12  
by: MZAMORA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
<th>Container Description</th>
<th>Required pH</th>
<th>Received pH</th>
<th>Adjusted pH</th>
<th>VOA Headspace (Presence/Absence)</th>
<th>Receipt / Preservation Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1203938-009.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1203938-010.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain any discrepancies: (include lab sample ID numbers):

---

RSK - MEEPP, HCL (pH<2); RSK - CO2, (pH 5-8); Sulfur (pH>4)
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.

**Client Sample ID:** Indoor-C1

**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

**CAS Project ID:** P1203938

**CAS Sample ID:** P1203938-001

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15

**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/6890N/MS16

**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan

**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister

**Date Collected:** 9/18/12

**Date Received:** 9/25/12

**Date Analyzed:** 9/28/12

**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.014 Liter(s)

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -3.20  **Final Pressure (psig):** 3.58

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.59

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: Indoor-C1
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Test Notes:

Container ID: AS00243

Initial Pressure (psig): -3.20  Final Pressure (psig): 3.58
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.59

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5,700</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Sample ID: Indoor-C1  
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan  
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister  
Test Notes:  
Container ID: AS00243

Initial Pressure (psig): -3.20  
Final Pressure (psig): 3.58

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.59

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
client sample ID: Outdoor-C1
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975C inert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister

date collected: 9/18/12
date received: 9/25/12
volume(s) analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Initial Pressure (psig): -2.16
Final Pressure (psig): 3.60
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.46

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>µg/m³</td>
<td>µg/m³</td>
<td>ppbV</td>
<td>ppbV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-9</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Outdoor-C1  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1203938-002

**Test Code:**  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)  
**Date Collected:** 9/18/12  
**Date Received:** 9/25/12  
**Date Analyzed:** 9/28/12

**Container ID:** AC01931  
**Initial Pressure (psig):** -2.16  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.60

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.46

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### Results of Analysis

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Outdoor-C1  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Date Collected:** 9/18/12  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Date Received:** 9/25/12  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Date Analyzed:** 9/28/12  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Container ID:** AC01931  
**Initial Pressure (psig):** -2.16  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.60

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.46

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td><strong>0.52</strong></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td><strong>0.077</strong></td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** SS-1C  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.014 Liter(s)

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.73  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.53

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-17-3</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.**  
**MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.**
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: SS-1C
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes:
Container ID: AC00942

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.73 Final Pressure (psig): 3.53
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** SS-1C  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Container ID:** AC00942

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.73  
Final Pressure (psig): 3.53

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.30

**ND =** Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
**MRL =** Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: SS-2C
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes:
Container ID: AC00977

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.30  Final Pressure (psig): 3.54
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene ( Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.

Client Sample ID: SS-2C  
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code:</th>
<th>EPA TO-15</th>
<th>Date Collected: 9/18/12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument ID:</td>
<td>Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/6890N/MS16</td>
<td>Date Received: 9/25/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyst:</td>
<td>Lusine Hakobyan</td>
<td>Date Analyzed: 9/28/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Media:</td>
<td>6.0 L Summa Canister</td>
<td>Volume(s) Analyzed: 0.020 Liter(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container ID:</td>
<td>AC00977</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.30  
Final Pressure (psig): 3.54

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** SS-2C  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1203938-004

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Date Collected:** 9/18/12

**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Date Received:** 9/25/12

**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Date Analyzed:** 9/28/12

**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.020 Liter(s)

**Container ID:** AC00977

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.30  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.54

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: SS-3C
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes:

Initial Pressure (psig): -1.53  Final Pressure (psig): 3.50
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.38

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>µg/m³</td>
<td>µg/m³</td>
<td>ppbV</td>
<td>ppbV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-9</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: SS-3C
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyzer: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes: 0.10 Liter(s)
Container ID: AC01198

Initial Pressure (psig): -1.53  Final Pressure (psig): 3.50
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.38

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result (\mu g/m^3)</th>
<th>MRL (\mu g/m^3)</th>
<th>Result (ppbV)</th>
<th>MRL (ppbV)</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Sample ID: SS-3C  
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/6890 N/MS16  
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan  
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister  
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Initial Pressure (psig): -1.53  
Final Pressure (psig): 3.50  
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.38

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
D = The reported result is from a dilution.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Indoor-1-BL  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

- **Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
- **Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975C/Agilent 6890N/MS16  
- **Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
- **Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
- **Test Notes:**  
  - Volume(s) Analyzed: 0.50 Liter(s)  
  - 0.050 Liter(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.
**Client Sample ID:** Indoor-1-BL
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Test Notes:**  
**Container ID:** AS00228

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlororthane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
D = The reported result is from a dilution.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**Client Sample ID:** Indoor-1-BL  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1203938-006  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Date Collected:** 9/19/12  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Date Received:** 9/25/12  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Date Analyzed:** 9/28/12  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.50 Liter(s)  
**Container ID:** AS00228

**Initial Pressure (psig):** 0.02  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.61

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
# RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Indoor-1-PP  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code</th>
<th>EPA TO-15</th>
<th>Date Collected: 9/19/12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument ID</td>
<td>Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/6890N/MS16</td>
<td>Date Received: 9/26/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyst</td>
<td>Lusine Hakobyan</td>
<td>Date Analyzed: 9/28/12 &amp; 10/1/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Media</td>
<td>6.0 L Summa Canister</td>
<td>Volume(s) Analyzed: 0.040 Liter(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.020 Liter(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container ID</td>
<td>AC00376</td>
<td>Initial Pressure (psig): -0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Final Pressure (psig): 3.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CAS #**  
**Compound**  
**Result**  
**MRL**  
**Result**  
**MRL**  
**Data**  
**Qualifier**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-9</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.  
D = The reported result is from a dilution.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS
Page 2 of 3

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: Indoor-1-PP
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes:
Container ID: AC00376

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.05  Final Pressure (psig): 3.51
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Sample ID: Indoor-1-PP  
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>CAS Project ID: P1203938</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID: P1203938-007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Test Code: EPA TO-15  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/6890N/MS16  
Test Notes: Volume(s) Analyzed: 0.040 Liter(s)  
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
Date Collected: 9/19/12  
Date Received: 9/26/12  
Date Analyzed: 9/28/12 & 10/1/12  
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan  
Container ID: AC00376  

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.05  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.51  
**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
# RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Indoor-1-NP  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>µg/m³</td>
<td>µg/m³</td>
<td>ppbV</td>
<td>ppbV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>9,400</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.  
D = The reported result is from a dilution.
**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Indoor-1-NP  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1203938-008  
**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Date Collected:** 9/19/12  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Date Received:** 9/25/12  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Date Analyzed:** 9/28/12  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.10 Liter(s)  
**Container ID:** AC01877  
**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.02  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 4.36

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Indoor-1-NP  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code</th>
<th>EPA TO-15</th>
<th>Date Collected: 9/19/12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument ID</td>
<td>Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16</td>
<td>Date Received: 9/25/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyst</td>
<td>Lusine Hakobyan</td>
<td>Date Analyzed: 9/28/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Media</td>
<td>6.0 L Summa Canister</td>
<td>Volume(s) Analyzed: 0.10 Liter(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container ID</td>
<td>AC01877</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.02  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 4.36  
**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Dup 1  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1203938-009  

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Test Notes:**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Container ID:</th>
<th>AC00745</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Date Collected:** 9/19/12  
**Date Received:** 9/26/12  
**Date Analyzed:** 9/28/12 & 10/1/12  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.040 Liter(s) & 0.020 Liter(s)  

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.03  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.59  

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-9</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>9,800</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.  
**D** = The reported result is from a dilution.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Dup 1  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Container ID:** AC00745  
**Date Collected:** 9/19/12  
**Date Received:** 9/26/12  
**Date Analyzed:** 9/28/12 & 10/1/12  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.040 Liter(s)  
**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.03  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.59  
**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.25

#### CAS #  
### Compound  
### Result  
### MRL  
### Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Sample ID: Dup 1  
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Sample ID: Method Blank  
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
Cas Sample ID: P120928-MB  
Cas Project ID: P1203938

Test Code: EPA TO-15  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan  
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister  
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)  
Date Collected: NA  
Date Received: NA  
Date Analyzed: 9/28/12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene (CFC 12)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Method Blank  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**CAS Sample ID:** P120928-MB

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Date Collected:** NA  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Date Received:** NA  
**Analyzer:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Date Analyzed:** 9/28/12  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Test Notes:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**Client Sample ID:** Method Blank  
**CAS Sample ID:** P120928-MB  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

### Client:
GSI Environmental Inc.

### Client Sample ID:
Method Blank

### Client Project ID:
ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

### CAS Project ID:
P1203938

### CAS Sample ID:
P121001-MB

### Test Code:
EPA TO-15

### Instrument ID:
Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16

### Analyst:
Lusine Hakobyan

### Date Collected:
NA

### Date Received:
NA

### Date Analyzed:
10/1/12

### Volume(s) Analyzed:
1.00 Liter(s)

### Sampling Media:
6.0 L Summa Canister

### Test Notes:

### Canister Dilution Factor: 1.00

### CAS # | Compound | Result µg/m³ | MRL µg/m³ | Result ppbV | MRL ppbV | Data Qualifier
---|---|---|---|---|---|---
115-07-1 | Propene | ND | 0.50 | ND | 0.29 |
75-71-8 | Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12) | ND | 0.50 | ND | 0.10 |
74-87-3 | Chloromethane | ND | 0.20 | ND | 0.097 |
76-14-2 | 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114) | ND | 0.50 | ND | 0.072 |
75-01-4 | Vinyl Chloride | ND | 0.10 | ND | 0.039 |
106-99-0 | 1,3-Butadiene | ND | 0.20 | ND | 0.090 |
74-83-9 | Bromomethane | ND | 0.10 | ND | 0.026 |
75-00-3 | Chloroethane | ND | 0.10 | ND | 0.038 |
64-17-5 | Ethanol | ND | 5.0 | ND | 2.7 |
75-05-8 | Acetonitrile | ND | 0.50 | ND | 0.30 |
107-02-8 | Acrolein | ND | 2.0 | ND | 0.87 |
67-64-1 | Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ND | 2.1 |
75-69-4 | Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 0.10 | ND | 0.018 |
67-63-0 | 2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol) | ND | 5.0 | ND | 2.0 |
107-13-1 | Acrylonitrile | ND | 0.50 | ND | 0.23 |
75-35-4 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 0.10 | ND | 0.025 |
75-09-2 | Methylene Chloride | ND | 0.50 | ND | 0.14 |
107-05-1 | 3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride) | ND | 0.10 | ND | 0.032 |
76-13-1 | Trichlorotrifluoroethane | ND | 0.10 | ND | 0.013 |
75-15-0 | Carbon Disulfide | ND | 5.0 | ND | 1.6 |
156-60-5 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 0.10 | ND | 0.025 |
75-34-3 | 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.10 | ND | 0.025 |
1634-04-4 | Methyl tert-Butyl Ether | ND | 0.10 | ND | 0.028 |
108-05-4 | Vinyl Acetate | ND | 5.0 | ND | 1.4 |
78-93-3 | 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND | 5.0 | ND | 1.7 |

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Method Blank  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**CAS Sample ID:** P121001-MB

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Date Collected:** NA  
**Date Received:** NA  
**Date Analyzed:** 10/1/12  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.00

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERY RESULTS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669
CAS Project ID: P1203938

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister(s)
Date(s) Collected: 9/18 - 9/19/12
Date(s) Received: 9/25 - 9/26/12
Date(s) Analyzed: 9/28 - 10/1/12

### Client Sample ID | CAS Sample ID | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | Bromofluorobenzene
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Method Blank | P120928-MB | 103 | 96 | 102 | 70-130 | Qualifier
Method Blank | P121001-MB | 105 | 101 | 104 | 70-130
Lab Control Sample | P120928-LCS | 109 | 105 | 101 | 70-130
Lab Control Sample | P121001-LCS | 102 | 90 | 95 | 70-130
Indoor-C1 | P1203938-001 | 102 | 97 | 111 | 70-130
Outdoor-C1 | P1203938-002 | 107 | 95 | 106 | 70-130
SS-1C | P1203938-003 | 97 | 95 | 104 | 70-130
SS-2C | P1203938-004 | 98 | 96 | 103 | 70-130
SS-2C | P1203938-004DUP | 106 | 95 | 105 | 70-130
SS-3C | P1203938-005 | 105 | 96 | 103 | 70-130
Indoor-1-BL | P1203938-006 | 104 | 98 | 100 | 70-130
Indoor-1-PP | P1203938-007 | 104 | 100 | 102 | 70-130
Indoor-1-NP | P1203938-008 | 111 | 97 | 104 | 70-130
Dup 1 | P1203938-009 | 99 | 99 | 104 | 70-130

Surrogate percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly from the on-column percent recovery.
### Laboratory Control Sample Summary

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Lab Control Sample  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**CAS Sample ID:** P120928-LCS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount µg/m³</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>59-137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>63-115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>59-124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>65-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>59-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>60-138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>69-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>60-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>58-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>64-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>54-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>59-114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>66-108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>50-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>72-135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>70-117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>61-108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>70-131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>70-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>65-112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>71-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>71-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>59-142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>68-125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.
### LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount µg/m³</th>
<th>Result % Recovery µg/m³</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>69-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>63-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>57-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>69-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>57-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>70-118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>73-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>66-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>74-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>70-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>69-118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>75-124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>73-115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>71-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>72-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>68-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>71-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>69-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>76-133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>73-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>67-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>75-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>73-122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>68-132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.
## Laboratory Control Sample Summary

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Lab Control Sample  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**CAS Sample ID:** P120928-LCS  
**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Analyzer:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Date Collected:** NA  
**Date Received:** NA  
**Date Analyzed:** 9/28/12  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.125 Liter(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount µg/m³</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>68-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>67-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>69-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>71-117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>70-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>69-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>71-125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>70-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>68-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>70-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>70-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>71-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>71-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>71-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>71-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>73-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>65-137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>68-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>65-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>67-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>67-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>72-133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>62-133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>56-138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>60-128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.
# LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY

## Client: GSI Environmental Inc.

**Client Sample ID:** Lab Control Sample  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Sample ID:** P121001-LCS

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Date Collected:** NA  
**Date Received:** NA  
**Date Analyzed:** 10/01/12  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.125 Liter(s)

## Test Notes:

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount µg/m³</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>59-137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>63-115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>59-124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>65-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>59-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60-138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>69-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>58-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>64-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>54-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>59-114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>66-108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>50-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>72-135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>70-117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>61-108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>70-131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>70-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>65-112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>71-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>71-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>67-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>59-142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>68-125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.
### LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Lab Control Sample  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**CAS Sample ID:** P121001-LCS  
**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Date Collected:** NA  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Date Received:** NA  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Date Analyzed:** 10/01/12  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.125 Liter(s)

### Test Notes:

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount µg/m³</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>69-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>63-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>57-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>69-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>57-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>70-118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>73-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>66-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>74-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>70-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>69-118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>75-124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>73-115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>71-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>72-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>68-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>71-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>69-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>76-133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>73-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>67-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>70-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>75-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>73-122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>68-132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY

### Client:
GSI Environmental Inc.

### Client Sample ID:
Lab Control Sample

### Client Project ID:
ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

### CAS Project ID:
P1203938

### CAS Sample ID:
P121001-LCS

### Test Code:
EPA TO-15

### Instrument ID:
Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16

### Analyst:
Lusine Hakobyan

### Sampling Media:
6.0 L Summa Canister

### Date Collected:
NA

### Date Received:
NA

### Date Analyzed:
10/01/12

### Volume(s) Analyzed:
0.125 Liter(s)

### Test Notes:
Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount µg/m³</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>68-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>67-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>69-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>71-117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>70-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>69-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>71-125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>70-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>68-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>70-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>70-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>71-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>71-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>71-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>71-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>73-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>65-137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>68-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>65-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>67-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>67-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>72-133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>62-133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>56-138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>60-128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: SS-2C
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes:

Container ID: AC00977

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.30 Final Pressure (psig): 3.54
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Sample Result µg/m³</th>
<th>Sample Result ppbV</th>
<th>Duplicate Sample Result µg/m³</th>
<th>Duplicate Sample Result ppbV</th>
<th>Average µg/m³</th>
<th>% RPD</th>
<th>RPD Limit</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>3,330</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>3,570</td>
<td>1,510</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
### LABORATORY DUPLICATE SUMMARY RESULTS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** SS-2C  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975C/inert/6890N/MS16  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Container ID:** AC00977  
**Date Collected:** 9/18/12  
**Date Received:** 9/25/12  
**Date Analyzed:** 9/28/12  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.020 Liter(s)

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.30  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.54  
**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Sample Result</th>
<th>Duplicate Result</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>% RPD</th>
<th>RPD Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>µg/m³ ppbV</td>
<td>µg/m³ ppbV</td>
<td>µg/m³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>1,220</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>1,270</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>1245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>56.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>53.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND =** Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: SS-2C
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.30
Final Pressure (psig): 3.54
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Sample Result</th>
<th>Duplicate Sample Result</th>
<th>Average µg/m³</th>
<th>% RPD</th>
<th>RPD Limit</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>µg/m³</td>
<td>ppbV</td>
<td>µg/m³</td>
<td>ppbV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>208.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>5,030</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>4,840</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>4935</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>787.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>137.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>227.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzy1 Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938

## Method Blank Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab File ID</th>
<th>Time Analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P120928-LCS</td>
<td>09281204.D</td>
<td>11:08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-C1</td>
<td>P1203938-001</td>
<td>09281207.D</td>
<td>12:57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-2C</td>
<td>P1203938-004</td>
<td>09281209.D</td>
<td>14:06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor-C1</td>
<td>P1203938-002</td>
<td>09281210.D</td>
<td>14:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-2C (Lab Duplicate)</td>
<td>P1203938-004DUP</td>
<td>09281212.D</td>
<td>16:02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-1C</td>
<td>P1203938-003</td>
<td>09281214.D</td>
<td>17:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-3C</td>
<td>P1203938-005</td>
<td>09281215.D</td>
<td>17:48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-3C (Dilution)</td>
<td>P1203938-005</td>
<td>09281216.D</td>
<td>18:22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-1-BL</td>
<td>P1203938-006</td>
<td>09281217.D</td>
<td>18:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-1-BL (Dilution)</td>
<td>P1203938-006</td>
<td>09281218.D</td>
<td>19:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-1-PP</td>
<td>P1203938-007</td>
<td>09281219.D</td>
<td>20:03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-1-NP</td>
<td>P1203938-008</td>
<td>09281220.D</td>
<td>20:36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-1-NP (Dilution)</td>
<td>P1203938-008</td>
<td>09281221.D</td>
<td>21:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dup 1</td>
<td>P1203938-009</td>
<td>09281222.D</td>
<td>21:44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
CAS Project ID: P1203938

Method Blank Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code:</th>
<th>EPA TO-15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument ID:</td>
<td>Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyst:</td>
<td>Lusine Hakobyan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Media:</td>
<td>6.0 L Summa Canister(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab File ID:</td>
<td>10011203.D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Analyzed:</td>
<td>10/01/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Analyzed:</td>
<td>10:06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab File ID</th>
<th>Time Analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P121001-LCS</td>
<td>10011204.D</td>
<td>10:48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dup 1 (Dilution)</td>
<td>P1203938-009</td>
<td>10011207.D</td>
<td>12:33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-1-PP (Dilution)</td>
<td>P1203938-007</td>
<td>10011209.D</td>
<td>14:02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
CAS Project ID: P1203938

Internal Standard Area and RT Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IS1 (BCM)</th>
<th></th>
<th>IS2 (DFB)</th>
<th></th>
<th>IS3 (CBZ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
<td>AREA #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hour Standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
<td>175739</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>771015</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>328997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>246035</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>1079421</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>460596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105443</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>462609</td>
<td>13.18</td>
<td>197398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IS1 (BCM) = Bromochloromethane  
IS2 (DFB) = 1,4-Difluorobenzene  
IS3 (CBZ) = Chlorobenzene-d5

AREA UPPER LIMIT = 140% of internal standard area  
AREA LOWER LIMIT = 60% of internal standard area  
RT UPPER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT  
RT LOWER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an I.  
I = Internal standard not within the specified limits.

Test Code: EPA TO-15  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan  
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister(s)  
Date Analyzed: 9/28/12  
Time Analyzed: 09:18

Test Notes:

IS1 (BCM) IS2 (DFB) IS3 (CBZ)
# RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

## Internal Standard Area and RT Summary

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975CInert/6890N/MS16  
**Lab File ID:** 10011201.D  
**Date Analyzed:** 10/1/12  
**Time Analyzed:** 08:50  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister(s)

### Internal Standard (IS) Area and Retention Time (RT) Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IS1 (BCM)</th>
<th></th>
<th>IS2 (DFB)</th>
<th></th>
<th>IS3 (CBZ)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AREA</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>AREA</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>AREA</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hour Standard</td>
<td>173895</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>701985</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>317585</td>
<td>17.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
<td>243453</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>982779</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>444619</td>
<td>17.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>104337</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>421191</td>
<td>13.18</td>
<td>190551</td>
<td>17.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Client Sample ID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Method Blank</th>
<th>Lab Control Sample</th>
<th>Dup 1 (Dilution)</th>
<th>Indoor-1-PP (Dilution)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>160822</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>716751</td>
<td>13.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>171059</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>678667</td>
<td>13.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>145877</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>593908</td>
<td>13.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>141213</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>580649</td>
<td>13.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IS1 (BCM) =** Bromochloromethane  
**IS2 (DFB) =** 1,4-Difluorobenzene  
**IS3 (CBZ) =** Chlorobenzene-d5

**AREA UPPER LIMIT =** 140% of internal standard area  
**AREA LOWER LIMIT =** 60% of internal standard area  
**RT UPPER LIMIT =** 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT  
**RT LOWER LIMIT =** 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an I.  
I = Internal standard not within the specified limits.
Response Factor Report GCMS-16

Method Path : J:\MS16\METHODS\ 
Method File : R16071312.M 
Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS) 
Last Update : Mon Jul 16 09:59:54 2012 
Response Via : Initial Calibration

Calibration Files
0.1 =07131207.D 0.2 =07131208.D 0.5 =07131209.D 1.0 =07131210.D 5.0 =07131211.D 25 =07131212.D 50 =07131213.D 100 =07131214.D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1.0</th>
<th>5.0</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>Avg</th>
<th>%RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) IR Bromochloromethane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) T Propene</td>
<td>1.696</td>
<td>1.340</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>1.508</td>
<td>1.517</td>
<td>1.872</td>
<td>1.492</td>
<td>1.343</td>
<td>1.554</td>
<td>17.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) T Dichlorodifluoro</td>
<td>3.068</td>
<td>2.698</td>
<td>2.539</td>
<td>2.079</td>
<td>2.094</td>
<td>2.482</td>
<td>1.979</td>
<td>1.839</td>
<td>2.347</td>
<td>20.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) T Chloromethane</td>
<td>2.235</td>
<td>1.947</td>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>1.418</td>
<td>1.428</td>
<td>1.736</td>
<td>1.401</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>1.646</td>
<td>16.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) T 1,2-Dichloro-1</td>
<td>1.641</td>
<td>1.480</td>
<td>1.428</td>
<td>1.148</td>
<td>1.163</td>
<td>1.337</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>1.026</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>15.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) T Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.999</td>
<td>1.722</td>
<td>1.697</td>
<td>1.389</td>
<td>1.431</td>
<td>1.695</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>1.311</td>
<td>1.576</td>
<td>15.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) T 1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>1.417</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>1.173</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>1.232</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) T Bromomethane</td>
<td>1.419</td>
<td>1.204</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>1.122</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>1.079</td>
<td>15.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) T Chloroethane</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>17.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) T Ethanol</td>
<td>1.321</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>27.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11) T Acetonitrile</td>
<td>2.423</td>
<td>1.952</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>1.455</td>
<td>1.841</td>
<td>1.529</td>
<td>1.461</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>18.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12) T Acrolein</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>16.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13) T Acetone</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>20.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14) T Trichlorofluor</td>
<td>2.806</td>
<td>2.335</td>
<td>2.378</td>
<td>1.866</td>
<td>1.902</td>
<td>2.237</td>
<td>1.816</td>
<td>1.702</td>
<td>2.130</td>
<td>17.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15) T 2-Propanol (Is)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16) T Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>1.159</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>1.346</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>14.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17) T 1,1-Dichloroet</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>1.158</td>
<td>1.118</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>13.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19) T Methylene Chloro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20) T 3-Chloro-1-pro</td>
<td>1.964</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>1.638</td>
<td>1.329</td>
<td>1.399</td>
<td>1.690</td>
<td>1.374</td>
<td>1.316</td>
<td>1.559</td>
<td>15.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21) T Trichlorotrifi</td>
<td>1.457</td>
<td>1.270</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>1.149</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>17.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23) T trans-1,2-Dichro</td>
<td>1.733</td>
<td>1.501</td>
<td>1.606</td>
<td>1.343</td>
<td>1.392</td>
<td>1.683</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>1.314</td>
<td>1.494</td>
<td>10.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24) T trans-1,2-Dichlo</td>
<td>2.716</td>
<td>2.180</td>
<td>2.126</td>
<td>1.718</td>
<td>1.746</td>
<td>2.071</td>
<td>1.685</td>
<td>1.592</td>
<td>1.979</td>
<td>18.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26) T Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>12.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27) T 2-Butanone (MBK)</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>17.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28) T cis-1,2-Dichlo</td>
<td>1.856</td>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>1.599</td>
<td>1.264</td>
<td>1.302</td>
<td>1.592</td>
<td>1.291</td>
<td>1.217</td>
<td>1.459</td>
<td>15.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29) T Diisopropyl Ether</td>
<td>1.153</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>18.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30) T Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31) T n-Hexane</td>
<td>2.503</td>
<td>2.061</td>
<td>2.003</td>
<td>1.616</td>
<td>1.603</td>
<td>1.880</td>
<td>1.509</td>
<td>1.399</td>
<td>1.822</td>
<td>19.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Path</td>
<td>Method File</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>32) T Chloroform</td>
<td>33) S 1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>34) T Tetrahydrofuran</td>
<td>35) T Ethyl tert-Butyl</td>
<td>36) T 1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>37) IR 1,4-Difluorobenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.590 2.190 2.049 1.627 1.661 1.979 1.601 1.501 1.900</td>
<td>1.293 1.293 1.298 1.309 1.306 1.298 1.297 1.291 1.298</td>
<td>0.832 0.621 0.598 0.681 0.556 0.524 0.635</td>
<td>1.782 1.419 1.379 1.125 1.138 1.376 1.124 1.050 1.299</td>
<td>1.823 1.593 1.571 1.286 1.275 1.554 1.258 1.176 1.442</td>
<td>0.596 0.468 0.462 0.375 0.375 0.466 0.372 0.347 0.433</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.196 0.179 0.167 0.138 0.141 0.176 0.141 0.134 0.159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.307 0.262 0.241 0.198 0.212 0.284 0.229 0.215 0.244</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.666 1.323 1.162 0.902 0.871 1.063 0.851 0.807 1.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.442 0.380 0.370 0.296 0.315 0.398 0.321 0.302 0.353</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.556 0.460 0.443 0.349 0.349 0.430 0.342 0.324 0.407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.959 0.888 0.828 0.671 0.687 0.854 0.687 0.650 0.778</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.359 0.301 0.291 0.236 0.235 0.290 0.234 0.224 0.271</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.449 0.389 0.386 0.311 0.325 0.405 0.324 0.308 0.362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.386 0.352 0.343 0.276 0.279 0.354 0.283 0.268 0.318</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.276 0.241 0.225 0.179 0.183 0.232 0.187 0.177 0.212</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.498 1.307 1.250 0.969 0.978 1.205 0.966 0.913 1.136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.145 0.122 0.121 0.096 0.102 0.128 0.103 0.098 0.114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.369 0.290 0.277 0.221 0.226 0.280 0.224 0.212 0.262</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.467 0.434 0.417 0.350 0.384 0.488 0.396 0.377 0.414</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.294 0.264 0.247 0.205 0.214 0.269 0.218 0.207 0.240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.373 0.352 0.361 0.311 0.350 0.455 0.369 0.353 0.365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.350 0.306 0.296 0.232 0.240 0.297 0.240 0.228 0.274</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.079 0.970 0.791 0.800 0.972 0.972 0.782 0.729 0.921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.363 1.391 1.023 1.119 1.379 1.113 1.040 1.255 1.677</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.831 0.767 0.746 0.625 0.662 0.826 0.671 0.629 0.720</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.908 0.762 0.744 0.610 0.643 0.794 0.639 0.601 0.713</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.763 1.549 1.525 1.230 1.330 1.678 1.394 1.344 1.477</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.700 0.617 0.590 0.468 0.477 0.567 0.455 0.426 0.538</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.244 1.079 0.970 0.791 0.800 0.972 0.782 0.729 0.921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.378 1.941 1.911 1.514 1.524 1.845 1.486 1.391 1.749</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.163 3.380 3.161 2.556 2.571 3.086 2.489 2.303 2.964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.266 2.687 2.524 1.999 2.020 2.438 1.965 1.822 2.340</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.794 0.716 0.712 0.596 0.655 0.842 0.684 0.650 0.706</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.309 1.852 1.860 1.497 1.586 1.941 1.572 1.474 1.761</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.478 2.791 2.674 2.087 2.130 2.558 2.051 1.913 2.460</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Response Factor Report GCMS-16

Method Path : J:\MS16\METHODS\Method File : R16071312.M

Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VQA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)

Page 2
### Response Factor Report GCMS-16

**Method Path:** J:\MS16\METHODS\  
**Method File:** R16071312.M  
**Title:** EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)

| 71 | T | n-Nonane     | 1.829 | 1.467 | 1.422 | 1.122 | 1.158 | 1.374 | 1.103 | 1.028 | 1.313 | 20.20 |
| 72 | T | 1,1,2,2-Tetra... | 1.471 | 1.264 | 1.247 | 0.975 | 1.024 | 1.248 | 1.005 | 0.943 | 1.147 | 16.33 |
| 73 | S | Bromofluoroben... | 1.181 | 1.192 | 1.193 | 1.209 | 1.192 | 1.176 | 1.198 | 1.187 | 1.191 | 0.85  |
| 75 | T | alpha-Pinene   | 2.024 | 1.722 | 1.661 | 1.325 | 1.370 | 1.643 | 1.331 | 1.247 | 1.541 | 17.30 |
| 77 | T | Title          | EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS) |
| 78 | T | 3-Ethyltoluene  | 3.796 | 3.263 | 3.263 | 2.631 | 2.696 | 3.211 | 2.718 | 2.387 | 2.995 | 15.46 |
| 80 | T | 1,3,5-Trimethyl... | 3.737 | 2.685 | 2.721 | 2.064 | 2.135 | 2.586 | 2.087 | 1.932 | 2.493 | 23.66 |
| 81 | T | alpha-Methylst... | 1.616 | 1.424 | 1.377 | 1.100 | 1.187 | 1.475 | 1.194 | 1.115 | 1.311 | 14.38 |
| 83 | T | 1,2,4-Trimethyl... | 3.895 | 3.059 | 2.678 | 2.129 | 2.197 | 2.670 | 2.149 | 1.979 | 2.595 | 24.69 |
| 84 | T | n-Decane        | 1.747 | 1.516 | 1.430 | 1.132 | 1.184 | 1.429 | 1.151 | 1.079 | 1.334 | 17.54 |
| 85 | T | Benzyl Chloride | 1.911 | 1.943 | 1.903 | 1.579 | 1.822 | 2.433 | 1.998 | 1.880 | 1.934 | 12.30 |
| 86 | T | 1,3-Dichloroben... | 2.106 | 1.761 | 1.641 | 1.310 | 1.360 | 1.674 | 1.347 | 1.258 | 1.557 | 18.76 |
| 87 | T | 1,4-Dichloroben... | 2.346 | 1.807 | 1.698 | 1.317 | 1.377 | 1.705 | 1.374 | 1.279 | 1.613 | 22.26 |
| 89 | T | 4-Isopropyltolu... | 4.363 | 3.725 | 3.526 | 2.810 | 2.946 | 3.576 | 2.857 | 2.596 | 3.300 | 18.09 |
| 90 | T | 1,2-Dichloroben... | 1.947 | 1.690 | 1.619 | 1.270 | 1.327 | 1.631 | 1.319 | 1.225 | 1.503 | 17.02 |
| 91 | T | d-Limonene      | 1.185 | 0.939 | 0.960 | 0.794 | 0.824 | 1.018 | 0.827 | 0.771 | 0.915 | 15.32 |
| 92 | T | 1,2-Dibromo-3-... | 0.657 | 0.582 | 0.567 | 0.446 | 0.512 | 0.663 | 0.538 | 0.505 | 0.559 | 13.45 |
| 93 | T | n-Undecane      | 1.801 | 1.459 | 1.390 | 1.101 | 1.227 | 1.484 | 1.194 | 1.103 | 1.345 | 17.71 |
| 94 | T | 1,2,4-Trichlorob... | 1.658 | 1.351 | 1.210 | 0.958 | 1.130 | 1.395 | 1.128 | 1.051 | 1.235 | 18.15 |
| 96 | T | n-Dodecane      | 1.537 | 1.273 | 1.216 | 0.987 | 1.272 | 1.519 | 1.238 | 1.152 | 1.274 | 14.27 |
| 97 | T | Hexachlorobuta... | 1.161 | 0.949 | 0.852 | 0.703 | 0.742 | 0.894 | 0.722 | 0.673 | 0.837 | 19.57 |
| 98 | T | Cyclohexanone   | 1.306 | 1.032 | 0.948 | 0.742 | 0.792 | 0.985 | 0.795 | 0.750 | 0.919 | 20.94 |
| 99 | T | tert-Butylbenzenes | 3.555 | 2.905 | 2.747 | 2.182 | 2.246 | 2.688 | 2.143 | 1.961 | 2.554 | 20.59 |
| 100| T | n-Butylbenzene  | 3.342 | 2.755 | 2.672 | 2.133 | 2.291 | 2.767 | 2.233 | 2.058 | 2.531 | 17.10 |

(§) = Out of Range
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path : J:\MS16\DATA\2012_09\28\nData File : 09281201.D
Acq On : 28 Sep 2012  9:18
Operator : LH
Sample : 25ng TO-15 CCV STD
Misc : S25-09261201/S25-08301203
ALS Vial : 2 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Sep 28 11:34:10 2012
Quant Method : J:\MS16\METHODS\R16071312.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Mon Jul 16 09:59:54 2012
Response via : Initial Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000  Min. Rel. Area : 50%  Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev : 30%  Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev Area% Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 IR Bromochloromethane (IS1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0  118 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 T Propene</td>
<td>1.554</td>
<td>1.456</td>
<td>6.3  92  0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 T Dichlorodifluoromethane (CF)</td>
<td>2.347</td>
<td>2.208</td>
<td>5.9  105 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 T Chloromethane</td>
<td>1.646</td>
<td>1.492</td>
<td>9.4  101 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 T 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>1.199</td>
<td>7.0  106 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 T Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.576</td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td>5.8  103 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 T 1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>-0.2 106 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 T Bromomethane</td>
<td>1.079</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>4.3  109 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 T Chloroethane</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>6.4  105 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 T Ethanol</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>8.6  109 -0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 T Acetonitrile</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>1.665</td>
<td>5.6  107 -0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 T Acrolein</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>7.1  102 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 T Acetone</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>7.9  105 -0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 T Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>2.130</td>
<td>2.054</td>
<td>3.6  108 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 T 2-Propanol (Isopropanol)</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.615</td>
<td>-7.7 125 -0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 T Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>-11.7 104 -0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>5.3  104 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 T 2-Methyl-2-Propanol (tert-B)</td>
<td>2.429</td>
<td>2.625</td>
<td>-8.1 220# -0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 T Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td>-1.7 110 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 T 3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl C)</td>
<td>1.559</td>
<td>1.516</td>
<td>2.8  106 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 T Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>1.080</td>
<td>2.4  111 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 T Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>4.044</td>
<td>3.921</td>
<td>3.0  110 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 T trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.494</td>
<td>1.490</td>
<td>0.3  104 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 T 1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>1.979</td>
<td>1.820</td>
<td>8.0  104 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 T Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>3.229</td>
<td>3.075</td>
<td>4.8  104 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 T Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>-15.0 106 -0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 T 2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>-5.3 106 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 T cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.459</td>
<td>1.426</td>
<td>2.3  106 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 T Diisopropyl Ether</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>8.3  103 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 T Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>-2.0 101 -0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 T n-Hexane</td>
<td>1.822</td>
<td>1.623</td>
<td>10.9 102 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 T Chloroform</td>
<td>1.900</td>
<td>1.815</td>
<td>4.5  108 -0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 S 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4(SSl)</td>
<td>1.298</td>
<td>1.407</td>
<td>-8.4 128 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 T Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>5.5  104 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 T Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>1.299</td>
<td>1.216</td>
<td>6.4  104 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 T 1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>1.433</td>
<td>0.6  109 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 IR 1,4-Difluorobenzene (IS2)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0  129 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 T 1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>11.1 107 -0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path: J:\MS16\DATA\2012_09\28\  
Data File: 09281201.D  
Acq On: 28 Sep 2012 9:18  
Operator: LH  
Sample: 25ng TO-15 CCV STD  
Misc: S25-09261201/S25-08301203  
ALS Vial: 2 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Sep 28 11:34:10 2012  
Quant Method: J:\MS16\METHODS\R16071312.M  
Quant Title: EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)  
QLast Update: Mon Jul 16 09:59:54 2012  
Response via: Initial Calibration

Min. RRF: 0.000  
Max. RRF Dev: 30%  
Min. Rel. Area: 50%  
Max. R.T. Dev: 0.33min  
Max. Rel. Area: 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev Area</th>
<th>% Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39 T Isopropyl Acetate</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 T 1-Butanol</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 T Benzene</td>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 T Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 T Cyclohexane</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 T tert- Amyl Methyl Ether</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 T 1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 T Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 T Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 T 1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 T 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane (Iso</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 T Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 T n-Heptane</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 T cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 T 4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 T trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 T 1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 IR Chlorobenzene-d5 (IS3)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 S Toluene-d8 (SS2)</td>
<td>2.309</td>
<td>2.289</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58 T Toluene</td>
<td>2.621</td>
<td>2.259</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 T 2-Hexanone</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>1.133</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 T Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 T 1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 T n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>1.477</td>
<td>1.357</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 T n-Octane</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 T Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 T Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.749</td>
<td>1.531</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 T Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>2.964</td>
<td>2.577</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 T m- &amp; p-Xylenes</td>
<td>2.340</td>
<td>2.066</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68 T Bromofluorobenzene (SS3)</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69 T Styrene</td>
<td>1.761</td>
<td>1.539</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 T o-Xylene</td>
<td>2.460</td>
<td>2.160</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 T n-Nonane</td>
<td>1.313</td>
<td>1.113</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 T 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>1.147</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73 S Bromofluorobenzene (SS3)</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74 T Cumene</td>
<td>3.298</td>
<td>2.871</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 T alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>1.541</td>
<td>1.275</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76 T n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>3.803</td>
<td>3.308</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path : J:\MS16\DATA\2012_09\28\nData File : 09281201.D
Acq On : 28 Sep 2012 9:18
Operator : LH
Sample : 25ng TO-15 CCV STD
Misc : S25-09261201/S25-08301203
ALS Vial : 2 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Sep 28 11:34:10 2012
Quant Method : J:\MS16\METHODS\R16071312.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Mon Jul 16 09:59:54 2012
Response via : Initial Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev</th>
<th>Area%</th>
<th>Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77 T 3-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>2.995</td>
<td>2.687</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78 T 4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>2.847</td>
<td>2.545</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79 T 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>2.493</td>
<td>2.157</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 T alpha-Methylstyrene</td>
<td>1.311</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 T 2-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>3.154</td>
<td>2.704</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82 T 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>2.595</td>
<td>2.272</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83 T n-Decane</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>1.168</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84 T Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.934</td>
<td>1.992</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 T 1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.557</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86 T 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.613</td>
<td>1.483</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87 T sec-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>3.339</td>
<td>2.989</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 T 4-Isopropyltoluene (p-Cymen</td>
<td>3.300</td>
<td>3.004</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89 T 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>2.539</td>
<td>2.314</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 T 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.503</td>
<td>1.382</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91 T d-Limonene</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92 T 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93 T n-Undecane</td>
<td>1.345</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94 T 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.235</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 T Naphthalene</td>
<td>3.967</td>
<td>3.603</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 T n-Dodecane</td>
<td>1.274</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97 T Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 T Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 T tert-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>2.554</td>
<td>2.277</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 T n-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>2.531</td>
<td>2.300</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(#) = Out of Range
SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path : J: \MS16 \DATA \2012_10 \01\ 
Data File : 10011201.D 
Acq On : 1 Oct 2012  8:50 
Operator : LH 
Sample : 25ng TO-15 CCV STD 
Misc : S25-09261201/S25-09211205 
ALS Vial : 2  Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time : Oct 01 10:15:40 2012
Quant Method : J:\MS16\METHODS\R16071312.M 
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS) 
QLast Update : Mon Jul 16 09:59:54 2012
Response via : Initial Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000  Min. Rel. Area : 50%  Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev : 30%  Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev</th>
<th>Area% Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 IR Bromochloromethane (IS1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>117 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 T Propene</td>
<td>1.554</td>
<td>1.304</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>81 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 T Dichlorodifluoromethane (CF)</td>
<td>2.347</td>
<td>2.128</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>100 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 T Chloromethane</td>
<td>1.646</td>
<td>1.469</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>99 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 T 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetraf</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>1.170</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>102 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 T Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.576</td>
<td>1.433</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>99 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 T 1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>1.062</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>101 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 T Bromomethane</td>
<td>1.079</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>102 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 T Chloroethane</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>98 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 T Ethanol</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>96 -0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 T Acetonitrile</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>1.447</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>92 -0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 T Acrolein</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>94 -0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 T Acetone</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>96 -0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 T Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>2.130</td>
<td>1.979</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>103 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 T 2-Propanol (Isopropanol)</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.642</td>
<td>-9.5</td>
<td>126 -0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 T Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>1.079</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>93 -0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>100 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 T 2-Methyl-2-Propanol (tert-B)</td>
<td>3.242</td>
<td>2.422</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>201 -0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 T Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>97 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 T 3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl C</td>
<td>1.559</td>
<td>1.337</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>92 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 T Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>100 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 T Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>4.044</td>
<td>3.597</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>100 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 T trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.494</td>
<td>1.433</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>99 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 T 1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>1.979</td>
<td>1.739</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>98 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 T Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>3.229</td>
<td>3.017</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>101 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 T Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>102 -0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 T 2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>102 -0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 T cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.459</td>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>99 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 T Diisopropyl Ether</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>100 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 T Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>98 -0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 T n-Hexane</td>
<td>1.822</td>
<td>1.564</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>97 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 T Chloroform</td>
<td>1.900</td>
<td>1.705</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>100 -0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 S 1,2-Dichloroethene-d4(SS1)</td>
<td>1.298</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>121 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 T Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>99 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 T Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>1.299</td>
<td>1.166</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>99 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 T 1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>103 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 IR 1,4-Difluorobenzene (IS2)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>118 -0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 T 1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>101 -0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path : J:\MS16\DATA\2012_10\01\nData File : 10011201.D
Acq On : 1 Oct 2012  8:50
Operator : LH
Sample : 25ng TO-15 CCV STD
Misc : S25-09261201/S25-09211205
ALS Vial : 2  Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Oct 01 10:15:40 2012
Quant Method : J:\MS16\METHOD\R16071312.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Mon Jul 16 09:59:54 2012
Response via : Initial Calibration

Min. RRF :  0.000  Min. Rel. Area :  50%  Max. R.T. Dev  0.33min
Max. RRF Dev :  30%  Max. Rel. Area :  200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev Area</th>
<th>%Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39 T  Isopropyl Acetate</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 T  1-Butanol</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 T  Benzene</td>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 T  Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 T  Cyclohexane</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 T  tert- Amyl Methyl Ether</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 T  1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 T  Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 T  Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 T  1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 T  2,2,4-Trimethylpentane ( Iso)</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 T  Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 T  n-Heptane</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 T  cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 T  4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 T  trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 T  1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 IR  Chlorobenzene-d5 ( IS3)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 S  Toluene-d8 ( SS2)</td>
<td>2.309</td>
<td>2.277</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58 T  Toluene</td>
<td>2.621</td>
<td>2.207</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 T  2-Hexanone</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 T  Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 T  1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 T  n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>1.477</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 T  n-Octane</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 T  Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 T  Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.749</td>
<td>1.546</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 T  Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>2.964</td>
<td>2.576</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 T  m- &amp; p-Xylene</td>
<td>2.340</td>
<td>2.032</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68 T  Bromoform</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69 T  Styrene</td>
<td>1.761</td>
<td>1.584</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 T  o-Xylene</td>
<td>2.460</td>
<td>2.121</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 T  n-Nonane</td>
<td>1.313</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 T  1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>1.147</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73 S  Bromofluorobenzene ( SS3)</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>1.262</td>
<td>-6.0</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74 T  Cumene</td>
<td>3.298</td>
<td>2.767</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 T  alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>1.541</td>
<td>1.338</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76 T  n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>3.803</td>
<td>3.326</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path : J:\MS16\DATA\2012_10\01\ 
Data File : 10011201.D 
Acq On : 1 Oct 2012 8:50 
Operator : LH 
Sample : 25ng TO-15 CCV STD 
Misc : S25-09261201/S25-09211205 
ALS Vial : 2 Sample Multiplier: 1 

Quant Time: Oct 01 10:15:40 2012 
Quant Method : J:\MS16\METHODS\R16071312.M 
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS) 
QLast Update : Mon Jul 16 09:59:54 2012 
Response via : Initial Calibration 

Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min 
Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 200% 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev</th>
<th>Area%</th>
<th>Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77 T 3-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>2.995</td>
<td>2.709</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78 T 4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>2.847</td>
<td>2.478</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79 T 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>2.493</td>
<td>2.130</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 T alpha-Methylstyrene</td>
<td>1.311</td>
<td>1.192</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 T 2-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>3.154</td>
<td>2.694</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82 T 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>2.595</td>
<td>2.257</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83 T n-Decane</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>1.153</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84 T Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.934</td>
<td>1.991</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 T 1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.557</td>
<td>1.403</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86 T 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.613</td>
<td>1.417</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87 T sec-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>3.339</td>
<td>2.995</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 T 4-Isopropyltoluene (p-Cymen)</td>
<td>3.300</td>
<td>3.006</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89 T 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>2.539</td>
<td>2.303</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 T 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.503</td>
<td>1.359</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91 T d-Limonene</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92 T 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93 T n-Decane</td>
<td>1.345</td>
<td>1.182</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94 T 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.235</td>
<td>1.150</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 T Naphthalene</td>
<td>3.967</td>
<td>3.554</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 T n-Dodecan</td>
<td>1.274</td>
<td>1.215</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97 T Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 T Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 T tert-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>2.554</td>
<td>2.266</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 T n-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>2.531</td>
<td>2.304</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(#)= Out of Range 
SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0 

Results of Analysis

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: Indoor-1-PP
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes:
Container ID: AC00376

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.05 Final Pressure (psig): 3.51
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
E = Estimated; concentration exceeded calibration range.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: Indoor-1-PP
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes: Container ID: AC00376

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.05   Final Pressure (psig): 3.51
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
E = Estimated; concentration exceeded calibration range.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: Indoor-1-PP
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes:
Container ID: AC00376

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.05  Final Pressure (psig): 3.51
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

CAS Project ID: P1203938
CAS Sample ID: P121009-MB

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Date Collected: NA
Date Received: NA
Date Analyzed: 10/9/12

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Method Blank  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**CAS Sample ID:** P121009-MB

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)  
**Date Collected:** NA  
**Date Received:** NA  
**Date Analyzed:** 10/9/12

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
# RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Method Blank  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1</td>
<td>m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2</td>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5</td>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8</td>
<td>Cumene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8</td>
<td>alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7</td>
<td>Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1</td>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7</td>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1</td>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5</td>
<td>d-Limonene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3</td>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3</td>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)  
**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.00

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERY RESULTS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669
CAS Project ID: P1203938

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975CInert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister(s)

Test Notes:

### Surrogate percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result.

Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly from the on-column percent recovery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 Percent Recovered</th>
<th>Toluene-d8 Percent Recovered</th>
<th>Bromofluorobenzene Percent Recovered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>P120928-MB</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>P121001-MB</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>P121009-MB</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P120928-LCS</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P121001-LCS</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P121009-LCS</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-C1</td>
<td>P1203938-001</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor-C1</td>
<td>P1203938-002</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-1C</td>
<td>P1203938-003</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-2C</td>
<td>P1203938-004</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-2C</td>
<td>P1203938-004DUP</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-3C</td>
<td>P1203938-005</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-1-BL</td>
<td>P1203938-006</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-1-PP</td>
<td>P1203938-007</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-1-NP</td>
<td>P1203938-008</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dup 1</td>
<td>P1203938-009</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surrogate percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly from the on-column percent recovery.
**LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.
**Client Sample ID:** Lab Control Sample
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938
**CAS Sample ID:** P121009-LCS

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister

**Test Notes:** Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount μg/m³</th>
<th>Result % Recovery μg/m³</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115-07-1</td>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>59-137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-71-8</td>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>63-115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-87-3</td>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>59-124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-14-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CFC 114)</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>65-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>59-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>60-138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74-83-9</td>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>69-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>60-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-17-5</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>58-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>64-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-02-8</td>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>54-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-64-1</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>59-114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-69-4</td>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>66-108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-63-0</td>
<td>2-Propanol (Isopropyl Alcohol)</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>50-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-13-1</td>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>72-135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>70-117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-09-2</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>61-108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-05-1</td>
<td>3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl Chloride)</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>70-131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-13-1</td>
<td>Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>70-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-15-0</td>
<td>Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>65-112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>71-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-34-3</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>71-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1634-04-4</td>
<td>Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>67-116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-05-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>59-142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-93-3</td>
<td>2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>68-125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Date Collected: NA
Date Received: NA
Date Analyzed: 10/09/12
Volume(s) Analyzed: 0.125 Liter(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount µg/m³</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>69-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-78-6</td>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>63-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-74-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>57-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-66-3</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>69-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109-99-9</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107-06-2</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>70-118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-55-6</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>73-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-43-2</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>66-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-23-5</td>
<td>Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>74-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>70-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78-87-5</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>69-118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-27-4</td>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>75-124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>73-115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-91-1</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>71-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-62-6</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>72-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142-82-5</td>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>68-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-01-5</td>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>71-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-10-1</td>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>69-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10061-02-6</td>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>76-133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-00-5</td>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>73-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>67-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591-78-6</td>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>70-123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124-48-1</td>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>75-129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-93-4</td>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>73-122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-86-4</td>
<td>n-Butyl Acetate</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>68-132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.
## LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Lab Control Sample  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1203938  
**CAS Sample ID:** P121009-LCS

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15  
**Date Collected:** NA  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16  
**Date Received:** NA  
**Analyst:** Lusine Hakobyan  
**Date Analyzed:** 10/09/12  
**Sampling Media:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.125 Liter(s)

### CAS # Compound  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spike Amount (µg/m³)</th>
<th>Result (µg/m³)</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111-65-9 n-Octane</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68-116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4 Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>67-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-90-7 Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>69-113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-41-4 Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>71-117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179601-23-1 m,p-Xylenes</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>70-116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-25-2 Bromoform</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>69-127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-42-5 Styrene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>71-125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-47-6 o-Xylene</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70-116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111-84-2 n-Nonane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>68-116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-34-5 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>70-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-82-8 Cumene</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>70-116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-56-8 alpha-Pinene</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>71-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-65-1 n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>71-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622-96-8 4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>71-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-67-8 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>71-121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-63-6 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>73-127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-44-7 Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>65-137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541-73-1 1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>68-123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106-46-7 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>65-120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-50-1 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>67-121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5989-27-5 d-Limonene</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>67-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-12-8 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>72-133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-82-1 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>62-133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-20-3 Naphthalene</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>56-138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-68-3 Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>60-128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / OSA Demonstration / 3585/3669
CAS Project ID: P1203938

Method Blank Summary

Test Code: EPA TO-15
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/6890N/MS16
Analyst: Lusine Hakobyan
Sampling Media: 6.0 L Summa Canister(s)
Lab File ID: 10091205.D
Date Analyzed: 10/09/12
Time Analyzed: 10:48

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab File ID</th>
<th>Time Analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P121009-LCS</td>
<td>10091207.D</td>
<td>12:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-1-PP</td>
<td>P1203938-007</td>
<td>10091227.D</td>
<td>23:35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Page 1 of 1

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Project ID: ESTCP CSIA / 0SA Demonstration / 3585/3669

## Internal Standard Area and RT Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IS1 (BCM)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>IS2 (DFB)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>IS3 (CBZ)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AREA</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td></td>
<td>AREA</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td></td>
<td>AREA</td>
<td>RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>24 Hour Standard</strong></td>
<td>157971</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>619977</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>294172</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper Limit</strong></td>
<td>221159</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>867968</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>411841</td>
<td>17.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lower Limit</strong></td>
<td>94783</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>371986</td>
<td>13.18</td>
<td>176503</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Client Sample ID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>Method Blank</th>
<th>Lab Control Sample</th>
<th>Indoor-1-PP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>146180</td>
<td>162919</td>
<td>132869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>608230</td>
<td>618051</td>
<td>538170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>277467</td>
<td>298465</td>
<td>264233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>17.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IS1 (BCM) = Bromochloromethane  
IS2 (DFB) = 1,4-Difluorobenzene  
IS3 (CBZ) = Chlorobenzene-d5  

AREA UPPER LIMIT = 140% of internal standard area  
AREA LOWER LIMIT = 60% of internal standard area  
RT UPPER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT  
RT LOWER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT  

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an I.  
I = Internal standard not within the specified limits.
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path : J:\MS16\DATA\2012_10\09\  
Data File : 10091201.D  
Acq On : 9 Oct 2012 8:35  
Operator : LH  
Sample : 25ng TO-15 CCV STD  
Misc : S25-09261201/S25-09211205  
ALS Vial : 2 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Method : J:\MS16\METHODS\R16071312.M  
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)  
Last Update : Mon Jul 16 09:59:54 2012  
Response via : Initial Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min  
Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev</th>
<th>Area%</th>
<th>Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 IR Bromochloromethane (IS1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 T Propene</td>
<td>1.554</td>
<td>1.258</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 T Dichlorodifluoromethane (CF)</td>
<td>2.347</td>
<td>2.042</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 T Chloromethane</td>
<td>1.646</td>
<td>1.313</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 T 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>1.098</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 T Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.576</td>
<td>1.299</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 T 1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 T Bromomethane</td>
<td>1.079</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 T Chloroethane</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 T Ethanol</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 T Acetonitrile</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>1.394</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 T Acrolein</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 T Acetone</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 T Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>2.130</td>
<td>1.973</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 T 2-Propanol (Isopropanol)</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.384</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 T Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>1.023</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 T 2-Methyl-2-Propanol (tert-B)</td>
<td>2.429</td>
<td>2.226</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 T Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 T 3-Chloro-1-propene (Allyl C)</td>
<td>1.559</td>
<td>1.258</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 T Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 T Carbon Disulfide</td>
<td>4.044</td>
<td>3.310</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 T trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.494</td>
<td>1.352</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.979</td>
<td>1.649</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 T Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>3.229</td>
<td>2.896</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 T Vinyl Acetate</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>-6.0</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 T 2-Butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 T cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.459</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 T Diisopropyl Ether</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 T Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 T n-Hexane</td>
<td>1.822</td>
<td>1.463</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 T Chloroform</td>
<td>1.900</td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 S 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4(SS1)</td>
<td>1.298</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>-7.3</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 T Tetrahydrofuran (THF)</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 T Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>1.299</td>
<td>1.146</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 T 1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>1.385</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path : J:\MS16\DATA\2012_10\09\nData File : 10091201.D
Acq On : 9 Oct 2012 8:35
Operator : LH
Sample : 25ng TO-15 CCV STD
Misc : S25-09261201/S25-09211205
ALS Vial : 2 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Method : J:\MS16\METHODS\R16071312.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Mon Jul 16 09:59:54 2012
Response via : Initial Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000  Min. Rel. Area : 50%  Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev : 30%  Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev</th>
<th>Area%</th>
<th>Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39 T Isopropyl Acetate</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 T 1-Butanol</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 T Benzene</td>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 T Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 T Cyclohexane</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 T tert-Amyl Methyl Ether</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 T 1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 T Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 T Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 T 1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 T 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane (Iso)</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 T Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 T n-Heptane</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 T cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 T 4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 T trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 T 1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

56 IR Chlorobenzene-d5 (IS3)     | 1.000 | 1.000| 0.0  | 110   | 0.00     |
57 S Toluene-d8 (SS2)            | 2.309 | 2.145| 7.1  | 103   | -0.01    |
58 T Toluene                     | 2.621 | 2.046| 21.9 | 84    | -0.01    |
59 T 2-Hexanone                  | 1.255 | 1.046| 16.7 | 83    | -0.02    |
60 T Dibromochloromethane        | 0.720 | 0.662| 8.1  | 88    | -0.01    |
61 T 1,2-Dibromoethane           | 0.713 | 0.626| 12.2 | 87    | -0.01    |
62 T n-Butyl Acetate             | 1.477 | 1.295| 12.3 | 85    | -0.01    |
63 T n-Octane                    | 0.538 | 0.431| 19.9 | 84    | -0.01    |
64 T Tetrachloroethene           | 0.921 | 0.785| 14.8 | 89    | 0.00     |
65 T Chlorobenzene               | 1.749 | 1.466| 16.2 | 87    | -0.01    |
66 T Ethylbenzene                | 2.964 | 2.373| 19.9 | 85    | 0.00     |
67 T m- & p-Xylenes              | 2.340 | 1.907| 18.5 | 86    | -0.01    |
68 T Bromoform                   | 0.706 | 0.673| 4.7  | 88    | -0.01    |
69 T Styrene                     | 1.761 | 1.535| 12.8 | 87    | -0.01    |
70 T o-Xylene                    | 2.460 | 2.083| 15.3 | 90    | 0.00     |
71 T n-Nonane                    | 1.313 | 1.048| 20.2 | 84    | -0.01    |
72 T 1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane     | 1.147 | 0.978| 14.7 | 86    | -0.01    |
73 S Bromofluorobenzene (SS3)    | 1.191 | 1.235| -3.7 | 115   | 0.00     |
74 T Cumene                      | 3.298 | 2.715| 17.7 | 89    | 0.00     |
75 T alpha-Pinene                | 1.541 | 1.214| 21.2 | 81    | 0.00     |
76 T n-Propylbenzene             | 3.803 | 3.013| 20.8 | 83    | 0.00     |
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Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data Path : J:\MS16\DATA\2012_10\09\ 
Data File : 10091201.D
Acq On : 9 Oct 2012  8:35
Operator : LH
Sample : 25ng TO-15 CCV STD
Misc : S25-09261201/S25-09211205
ALS Vial : 2  Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Method : J:\MS16\METHODS\R16071312.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Mon Jul 16 09:59:54 2012
Response via : Initial Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000  Min. Rel. Area : 50%  Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev : 30%  Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev Area</th>
<th>Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77 T 3-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>2.995</td>
<td>2.464</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78 T 4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>2.847</td>
<td>2.284</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79 T 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>2.493</td>
<td>1.979</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 T alpha-Methylstyrene</td>
<td>1.311</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 T 2-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>3.154</td>
<td>2.473</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82 T 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>2.595</td>
<td>2.077</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83 T n-Decane</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84 T Benzyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.934</td>
<td>1.828</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 T 1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.557</td>
<td>1.277</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86 T 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.613</td>
<td>1.299</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87 T sec-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>3.339</td>
<td>2.729</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 T 4-Isopropyltoluene (p-Cymene)</td>
<td>3.300</td>
<td>2.764</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89 T 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>2.539</td>
<td>2.114</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 T 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.503</td>
<td>1.251</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91 T d-Limonene</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92 T 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93 T n-Undecane</td>
<td>1.345</td>
<td>1.080</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94 T 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1.235</td>
<td>1.120</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 T Naphthalene</td>
<td>3.967</td>
<td>3.418</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 T n-Dodecane</td>
<td>1.274</td>
<td>1.145</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97 T Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 T Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 T tert-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>2.554</td>
<td>2.077</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 T n-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>2.531</td>
<td>2.129</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(#)= Out of Range

SPCC's out = 0  CCC's out = 0

R16071312.M Tue Oct 09 11:43:00 2012
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alpha Sample ID</th>
<th>Client ID</th>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>Collection Date/Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1216912-01</td>
<td>MW-16</td>
<td>SELFRIDGE BLD 1533</td>
<td>09/18/12 15:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case Narrative

The samples were received in accordance with the Chain of Custody and no significant deviations were encountered during the preparation or analysis unless otherwise noted. Sample Receipt, Container Information, and the Chain of Custody are located at the back of the report.

Results contained within this report relate only to the samples submitted under this Alpha Lab Number and meet all of the requirements of NELAC, for all NELAC accredited parameters. The data presented in this report is organized by parameter (i.e. VOC, SVOC, etc.). Sample specific Quality Control data (i.e. Surrogate Spike Recovery) is reported at the end of the target analyte list for each individual sample, followed by the Laboratory Batch Quality Control at the end of each parameter. If a sample was re-analyzed or re-extracted due to a required quality control corrective action and if both sets of data are reported, the Laboratory ID of the re-analysis or re-extraction is designated with an “R” or “RE”, respectively. When multiple Batch Quality Control elements are reported (e.g. more than one LCS), the associated samples for each element are noted in the grey shaded header line of each data table. Any Laboratory Batch, Sample Specific % recovery or RPD value that is outside the listed Acceptance Criteria is bolded in the report. Performance criteria for CAM and RCP methods allow for some LCS compound failures to occur and still be within method compliance. In these instances, the specific failures are not narrated but are noted in the associated QC table. This information is also incorporated in the Data Usability format for our Data Merger tool where it can be reviewed along with any associated usability implications. Soil/sediments, solids and tissues are reported on a dry weight basis unless otherwise noted. Definitions of all data qualifiers and acronyms used in this report are provided in the Glossary located at the back of the report.

In reference to questions H (CAM) or 4 (RCP) when “NO” is checked, the performance criteria for CAM and RCP methods allow for some quality control failures to occur and still be within method compliance. In these instances the specific failure is not narrated but noted in the associated QC table. The information is also incorporated in the Data Usability format of our Data Merger tool where it can be reviewed along with any associated usability implications.

Please see the associated ADEx data file for a comparison of laboratory reporting limits that were achieved with the regulatory Numerical Standards requested on the Chain of Custody.

HOLD POLICY
For samples submitted on hold, Alpha's policy is to hold samples free of charge for 30 days from the date the project is completed. After 30 days, we will dispose of all samples submitted including those put on hold unless you have contacted your Client Service Representative and made arrangements for Alpha to continue to hold the samples.

Please contact Client Services at 800-624-9220 with any questions.
Sample Receipt

Headspace was noted in the sample containers submitted for Volatile Organics. The analysis was performed at the client's request.

I, the undersigned, attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, to the best of my knowledge and belief and based upon my personal inquiry of those responsible for providing the information contained in this analytical report, such information is accurate and complete. This certificate of analysis is not complete unless this page accompanies any and all pages of this report.

Authorized Signature:  
Cynthia McQueen

Title:  Technical Director/Representative
Date:  09/27/12
VOLATILES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>Dilution Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methylene chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SAMPLE RESULTS

### Volatile Organics by GC/MS - Westborough Lab

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>Dilution Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methyl tert butyl ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p/m-Xylene</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobutane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3-Trichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Butanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tert-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Chlorotoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Chlorotoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropylbenzene</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Isopropyltoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SAMPLE RESULTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>Dilution Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Acceptance Criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surrogate</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Acceptance Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane-d4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene-d8</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Bromofluorobenzene</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromofluoromethane</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Method Blank Analysis
### Batch Quality Control

**Project Name:** G-3669  
**Project Number:** G-3669  
**Lab Number:** L1216912  
**Report Date:** 09/27/12

**Analytical Method:** 1,8260C  
**Analytical Date:** 09/26/12 11:36  
**Analyst:** PD

### Volatile Organics by GC/MS - Westborough Lab for sample(s): 01 Batch: WG563554-3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methylene chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Method Blank Analysis  
Batch Quality Control

Analytical Method: 1,8260C  
Analytical Date: 09/26/12 11:36  
Analyst: PD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methyl tert butyl ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p/m-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromomethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobutane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3-Trichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon disulfide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Butanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl acetate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl methacrylate</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromochloromethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butyrlbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tert-Butyrlbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Chlorotoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Chlorotoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Method Blank Analysis
Batch Quality Control

Analytical Method: 1,8260C
Analytical Date: 09/26/12 11:36
Analyst: PD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isopropylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Isopropyltoluene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl ether</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ug/l</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surrogate</th>
<th>%Recovery</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
<th>Acceptance Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane-d4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene-d8</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Bromofluorobenzene</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromofluoromethane</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>LCS %Recovery</td>
<td>LCSD %Recovery</td>
<td>%Recovery Limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene chloride</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>63-132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromochloromethane</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>63-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>75-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>62-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>67-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromoform</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>54-136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>67-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Lab Control Sample Analysis
#### Batch Quality Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>LCS %Recovery</th>
<th>Qual</th>
<th>LCSD %Recovery</th>
<th>Qual</th>
<th>%Recovery Limits</th>
<th>RPD</th>
<th>Qual</th>
<th>RPD Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloromethane</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td>64-130</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromomethane</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td>39-139</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl chloride</td>
<td>114</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>55-140</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td>55-138</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>61-145</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl tert butyl ether</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td>63-130</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p/m-Xylene</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromomethane</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobutane</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3-Trichloropropane</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>64-130</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>36-147</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td>58-148</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon disulfide</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>51-130</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>LCS % Recovery</td>
<td>Qual</td>
<td>LCSD % Recovery</td>
<td>Qual</td>
<td>% Recovery Limits</td>
<td>RPD</td>
<td>Qual</td>
<td>RPD Limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Butanone</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td>63-138</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinyl acetate</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Methyl-2-pentanone</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>59-130</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Hexanone</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>57-130</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl methacrylate</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromochloromethane</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>58-130</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td>63-133</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>64-130</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromobenzene</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td>53-136</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tert-Butylbenzene</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Chlorotoluene</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Chlorotoluene</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>41-144</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td>63-130</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropylbenzene</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Lab Control Sample Analysis
#### Batch Quality Control

**Project Name:** G-3669  
**Project Number:** G-3669  
**Lab Number:** L1216912  
**Report Date:** 09/27/12

**Volatile Organics by GC/MS - Westborough Lab**  
**Associated sample(s):** 01  
**Batch:** WG563554-1  
**WG563554-2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>LCS %Recovery</th>
<th>Qual</th>
<th>LCSD %Recovery</th>
<th>Qual</th>
<th>%Recovery Limits</th>
<th>RPD</th>
<th>Qual</th>
<th>RPD Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p-Isopropyltoluene</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>69-130</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td>64-130</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl ether</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>59-134</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Surrogate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surrogate</th>
<th>LCS %Recovery</th>
<th>Qual</th>
<th>LCSD %Recovery</th>
<th>Qual</th>
<th>Acceptance Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane-d4</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene-d8</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Bromofluorobenzene</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibromofluoromethane</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sample Receipt and Container Information

Were project specific reporting limits specified?  YES

Reagent H2O Preserved Vials Frozen on:  NA

Cooler Information  Custody Seal
Cooler
A  Absent

Container Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Container ID</th>
<th>Container Type</th>
<th>Cooler</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Temp deg C</th>
<th>Pres</th>
<th>Seal</th>
<th>Analysis(*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1216912-01A</td>
<td>Vial HCl preserved</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8260(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1216912-01B</td>
<td>Vial HCl preserved</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>8260(14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values in parentheses indicate holding time in days*
Glossary

**Acronyms**

- EPA: Environmental Protection Agency.
- LCS: Laboratory Control Sample: A sample matrix, free from the analytes of interest, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes or a material containing known and verified amounts of analytes.
- LCSD: Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate: Refer to LCS.
- LFB: Laboratory Fortified Blank: A sample matrix, free from the analytes of interest, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes or a material containing known and verified amounts of analytes.
- MDL: Method Detection Limit: This value represents the level to which target analyte concentrations are reported as estimated values, when those target analyte concentrations are quantified below the reporting limit (RL). The MDL includes any adjustments from dilutions, concentrations or moisture content, where applicable.
- MS: Matrix Spike Sample: A sample prepared by adding a known mass of target analyte to a specified amount of matrix sample for which an independent estimate of target analyte concentration is available.
- MSD: Matrix Spike Sample Duplicate: Refer to MS.
- NA: Not Applicable.
- NC: Not Calculated: Term is utilized when one or more of the results utilized in the calculation are non-detect at the parameter's reporting unit.
- NI: Not Ignitable.
- RL: Reporting Limit: The value at which an instrument can accurately measure an analyte at a specific concentration. The RL includes any adjustments from dilutions, concentrations or moisture content, where applicable.
- RPD: Relative Percent Difference: The results from matrix and/or matrix spike duplicates are primarily designed to assess the precision of analytical results in a given matrix and are expressed as relative percent difference (RPD). Values which are less than five times the reporting limit for any individual parameter are evaluated by utilizing the absolute difference between the values; although the RPD value will be provided in the report.
- SRM: Standard Reference Material: A reference sample of a known or certified value that is of the same or similar matrix as the associated field samples.

**Footnotes**

1. The reference for this analyte should be considered modified since this analyte is absent from the target analyte list of the original method.

**Terms**

Analytical Method: Both the document from which the method originates and the analytical reference method. (Example: EPA 8260B is shown as 1,8260B.) The codes for the reference method documents are provided in the References section of the Addendum.

**Data Qualifiers**

- A: Spectra identified as "Aldol Condensation Product".
- B: The analyte was detected above the reporting limit in the associated method blank. Flag only applies to associated field samples that have detectable concentrations of the analyte at less than five times (5x) the concentration found in the blank. For MCP-related projects, flag only applies to associated field samples that have detectable concentrations of the analyte at less than ten times (10x) the concentration found in the blank. For DOD-related projects, flag only applies to associated field samples that have detectable concentrations of the analyte at less than ten times (10x) the concentration found in the blank AND the analyte was detected above one-half the reporting limit (or above the reporting limit for common lab contaminants) in the associated method blank. For NJ-Air-related projects, flag only applies to associated field samples that have detectable concentrations of the analyte above the reporting limit.
- C: Co-elution: The target analyte co-elutes with a known lab standard (i.e. surrogate, internal standards, etc.) for co-extracted analyses.
- D: Concentration of analyte was quantified from diluted analysis. Flag only applies to field samples that have detectable concentrations of the analyte.
- E: Concentration of analyte exceeds the range of the calibration curve and/or linear range of the instrument.
- G: The concentration may be biased high due to matrix interferences (i.e., co-elution) with non-target compound(s). The result should be considered estimated.
- H: The analysis of pH was performed beyond the regulatory-required holding time of 15 minutes from the time of sample collection.
- I: The RPD between the results for the two columns exceeds the method-specified criteria; however, the lower value has been reported due to obvious interference.
- M: Reporting Limit (RL) exceeds the MCP CAM Reporting Limit for this analyte.
- NJ: Presumptive evidence of compound. This represents an estimated concentration for Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs), where the identification is based on a mass spectral library search.

**Report Format:** Data Usability Report
Data Qualifiers

P  - The RPD between the results for the two columns exceeds the method-specified criteria.
Q  - The quality control sample exceeds the associated acceptance criteria. For DOD-related projects, LCS and/or Continuing Calibration Standard exceedences are also qualified on all associated sample results. Note: This flag is not applicable for matrix spike recoveries when the sample concentration is greater than 4x the spike added or for batch duplicate RPD when the sample concentrations are less than 5x the RL. (Metals only.)
R  - Analytical results are from sample re-analysis.
RE - Analytical results are from sample re-extraction.
J  - Estimated value. This represents an estimated concentration for Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs).
ND - Not detected at the reporting limit (RL) for the sample.
REFERENCES


LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES

Alpha Analytical performs services with reasonable care and diligence normal to the analytical testing laboratory industry. In the event of an error, the sole and exclusive responsibility of Alpha Analytical shall be to re-perform the work at its own expense. In no event shall Alpha Analytical be held liable for any incidental, consequential or special damages, including but not limited to, damages in any way connected with the use of, interpretation of, information or analysis provided by Alpha Analytical.

We strongly urge our clients to comply with EPA protocol regarding sample volume, preservation, cooling, containers, sampling procedures, holding time and splitting of samples in the field.
Certificate/Approval Program Summary
Last revised August 3, 2012 – Mansfield Facility

The following list includes only those analytes/methods for which certification/approval is currently held. For a complete listing of analytes for the referenced methods, please contact your Alpha Customer Service Representative.


Wastewater/Non-Potable Water (Inorganic Parameters: pH, Turbidity, Conductivity, Alkalinity, Aluminum, Antimony, Arsenic, Barium, Beryllium, Boron, Cadmium, Calcium, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Iron, Lead, Magnesium, Manganese, Mercury, Molybdenum, Nickel, Potassium, Selenium, Silver, Sodium, Strontium, Thallium, Tin, Titanium, Vanadium, Zinc, Total Residue (Solids), Total Suspended Solids (non-filterable)).

Organic Parameters: PCBs, Organochlorine Pesticides, Technical Chlordane, Toxaphene, Acid Extractables, Benzodioxins, Phthalate Esters, Nitrosamines, Nitroaromatics & Isophorone, PAHs, Haloethers, Chlorinated Hydrocarbons, Volatile Organics.)


Florida Department of Health Certificate/Lab ID: E87814. NELAP Accredited.

Non-Potable Water (Inorganic Parameters: SM2320B, SM2540D, SM2540G.)


Air & Emissions (EPA TO-15.)

Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality Certificate/Lab ID: 03090. NELAP Accredited.


Air & Emissions (EPA TO-15.)

New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services Certificate/Lab ID: 2206. NELAP Accredited.


New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Certificate/Lab ID: MA015. NELAP Accredited.


Atmospheric Organic Parameters (EPA 3C, TO-15, TO-10A, TO-13A-SIM.)


Air & Emissions (EPA TO-15, TO-10A.)

Pennsylvania Certificate/Lab ID: 68-02089 NELAP Accredited


Rhode Island Department of Health Certificate/Lab ID: LAO00299. NELAP Accredited via NJ-DEP.

Refer to NJ-DEP Certificate for Non-Potable Water.

Texas Commission of Environmental Quality Certificate/Lab ID: T104704419-08-TX. NELAP Accredited.


Air (Organic Parameters: EPA TO-15)

Virginia Division of Consolidated Laboratory Services Certificate/Lab ID: 460194. NELAP Accredited.


U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Department of Defense, L-A-B Certificate/Lab ID: L2217.01.


Air & Emissions (EPA TO-15.)
Analytes Not Accredited by NELAP
Certification is not available by NELAP for the following analytes: **8270C**: Biphenyl. **TO-15**: Halothane, 2,4,4-Trimethyl-2-pentene, 2,4,4-Trimethyl-1-pentene, Thiophene, 2-Methylthiophene, 3-Methylthiophene, 2-Ethylthiophene, 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene, Indan, Indene, 1,2,4,5-Tetramethylbenzene, Benzothiophene, 2-Methylnaphthalene, 1-Methylnaphthalene.
Certificate/Approval Program Summary
Last revised August 16, 2012 - Westboro Facility

The following list includes only those analytes/methods for which certification/approval is currently held. For a complete listing of analytes for the referenced methods, please contact your Alpha Customer Service Representative.


Drinking Water (Inorganic Parameters: Color, pH, Turbidity, Conductivity, Alkalinity, Chloride, Free Residual Chlorine, Fluoride, Calcium Hardness, Sulfate, Nitrate, Nitrite, Aluminum, Antimony, Arsenic, Barium, Beryllium, Cadmium, Calcium, Chromium, Copper, Iron, Lead, Magnesium, Manganese, Mercury, Nickel, Selenium, Silver, Sodium, Thallium, Zinc, Total Dissolved Solids, Total Organic Carbon, Total Cyanide, Perchlorate. Organic Parameters: Volatile Organics 524.2, Total Trihalomethanes 524.2, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP) 504.1, Ethylene Dibromide (EDB) 504.1, 1,4-Dioxane (Mod 8270). Microbiology Parameters: Total Coliform-MF mEndo (SM9222B), Total Coliform – Colilert (SM9223, Enumeration and P/A), E. Coli – Colilert (SM9223, Enumeration and P/A), HPC – Pour Plate (SM9215B), Fecal Coliform – MF m-FC (SM9222D), Fecal Coliform-EC Medium (SM 9221E).


Solid Waste/Soil (Inorganic Parameters: pH, Sulfide, Aluminum, Antimony, Arsenic, Barium, Beryllium, Boron, Cadmium, Calcium, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Iron, Lead, Magnesium, Manganese, Mercury, Molybdenum, Nickel, Potassium, Selenium, Silver, Sodium, Thallium, Tin, Vanadium, Zinc, Total Cyanide, Ignitability, Phenolics, Corrosivity, TCLP Leach (1311), SPLP Leach (1312 metals only), Reactivity. Organic Parameters: PCBs, PCBS in Oil, Organochlorine Pesticides, Technical Chlordane, Toxaphene, Acid Extractables (Phenols), Benzidines, Phthalate Esters, Nitrosamines, Nitroaromatics & Isophorone, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Haloethers, Chlorinated Hydrocarbons, Volatile Organics, TPH (HEM/SGT), CT-Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (ETPH), MA-EPH, MA-VPH, Microbiology Parameters: Total Coliform – MF mEndo (SM9222B), Total Coliform – MTF (SM9221B), E. Coli – Colilert (SM9223 Enumeration), HPC – Pour Plate (SM9215B), Fecal Coliform – MF m-FC (SM9222D), Fecal Coliform – A-1 Broth (SM9221E), Enterococcus - Enterolert.

Maine Department of Human Services Certificate/Lab ID: 2009024.


Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Certificate/Lab ID: M-MA086.

Drinking Water (Inorganic Parameters: (EPA 200.8 for: Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Cu,Pb,Ni,Se,Ti) (EPA 200.7 for: Ba,Ba,Be,Ca,Cd,Co,Cu,Na,Se,Ni) 245.1, (300.0 for: Nitrate-N, Fluoride, Sulfate); (EPA 353.2 for: Nitrate-N, Nitrite-N); (SM4500NO3-F for: Nitrate-N and Nitrite-N); 4500C-F, 4500CN-CE, EPA 180.1, SM2130B, SM4500CI-D, 2320B, SM2540C, SM4500H-B. Organic Parameters (EPA 524.2 for: Trihalomethanes, Volatile Organics); (504.1 for: 1,2-Dibromoethane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropene), EPA 332. Microbiology Parameters: SM9215B; ENZ. SUB. SM9223, ColilertQT SM9223B; MF-SM9222D.)

Non-Potable Water (Inorganic Parameters: (EPA 200.8 for: Al,Sn,As,Be,Be,Cd,Cr,Cu,Pb,Mn,Ni,Se,Ti,Zn); (EPA 200.7 for: Ba,Ba,Be,Ca,Cd,Co,Cr,Cu,Na,Se,Ni) 245.1, (300.0 for: Nitrate-N, Fluoride, Sulfate); (EPA 353.2 for: Nitrate-N, Nitrite-N); (SM4500NO3-F for: Nitrate-N and Nitrite-N); 4500C-F, 4500CN-CE, EPA 180.1, SM2130B, SM4500CI-D, 2320B, SM2540C, SM4500H-B. Organic Parameters (EPA 524.2 for: Trihalomethanes, Volatile Organics); (504.1 for: 1,2-Dibromoethane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropene), EPA 332. Microbiology Parameters: SM9215B; ENZ. SUB. SM9223, ColilertQT SM9223B; MF-SM9222D.)

Drinking Water Program Certificate/Lab ID: 25700. (Inorganic Parameters: Chloride EPA 300.0. Organic Parameters: 524.2)


The following analytes are not included in our current NELAP/TNI Scope of Accreditation:

**EPA 8260B:** Freon-113, 1,2,4,5-Tetramethylbenzene, 4-Ethyltoluene.  
**EPA 8330A:** PETN, Picric Acid, Nitroglycerine, 2,6-DANT, 2,4-DANT.  
**EPA 8270C:** Methyl naphthalene, Dimethyl naphthalene, Total Methylene napthalenes, Total Dimethylnaphthalenes, 1,4-Diphenylhydrazine (Azobenzene).  
**EPA 625:** 4-Chloroaniline, 4-Methylphenol. Total Phosphorus in a soil matrix, Chloride in a soil matrix, TKN in a soil matrix, NO2 in a soil matrix, NO3 in a soil matrix, SO4 in a soil matrix.  
**EPA 9071:** Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Oil & Grease.
## Chain of Custody Record

**Project Name:** Selfridge Bid 1533

### Samplers (Signature)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station Location</th>
<th>No. of Containers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW-16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Requisition Details

- **Serial No.:** 09271214:23
- **Page: 28 of 29**

### Requisitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Requisition Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/19</td>
<td>16:30</td>
<td>Will Elcoate 6:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/20/12</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Will Elcoate/FedEx 9-19-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Remarks

- Fed Ex
### Raw Data, Calculation factors, and Analytical Details

#### Definitions:
- \( pCi/L \): Radon concentration in picoCuries per liter
- \( dpm/liter \): Radon concentration in disintigrations per minute per liter of sample
- \( \Delta t \): Time elapsed from sampling to analysis
- \( \text{Uncertainty (1sig)} \): Uncertainty (1 standard deviation) in dpm based on counting statistics
- \( \text{Corrected from } dpm \text{ based on } \) Counting cell and channel used
- \( \text{Correction for matrix counting gas density} \): Correction factor for decay from collection to analysis
- \( \text{Ambient real time} \): Real world time at which sample was analyzed
- \( \text{Corrected for } \Delta t \text{ by } \) Radon concentration in disintegrations per minute per liter of sample
- \( \text{Corrected for } \Delta t \text{ by } \) Radon concentration in picoCuries per liter

#### Details:
- Observed radon activity (disintegrations per minute) when analyzed
- Correction to in situ pressure based on collection altitude
- Correction for matrix counting gas density
- Count in cell/ch
- Count statistics:
- pCi/liter:
- dpm/liter:
- Decay factor:
- Decay T:
- Uncertainty in observed radon based on counting statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Collection</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Count in cell/ch</th>
<th>Vol run (cc)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Sig dpm</th>
<th>Lab Duplicates</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run 1</td>
<td>9/19/12</td>
<td>9/20/12</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 2</td>
<td>9/19/12</td>
<td>9/20/12</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 3</td>
<td>9/19/12</td>
<td>9/20/12</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 4</td>
<td>9/19/12</td>
<td>9/20/12</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run 5</td>
<td>9/19/12</td>
<td>9/20/12</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Radon concentration in pCi/L:

\[
\text{Radon Concentration (in pCi/L)} = \frac{(\text{obs dpm}) \times (\text{Press factor}) \times (\text{Sample vol}) \times (\text{Correction factor for decay from collection to analysis})}{(\text{Cell and counter efficiency using helium matrix}) \times \Delta t}.
\]

**Notes**: Results are reported based on standardization with NIST-traceable radon sources. The Lower Limit of Detection for Rn (95% confidence level as recommended by EPA 402-R-95-012, Oct. 97) is 0.14 pCi/liter.

Uncertainty given in pCi/liter is based on counting statistics for low activity samples. For high activity samples uncertainty is ±5%.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sta. No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>Grab</th>
<th>Station Location</th>
<th>No. of Containers</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amb-1-8L</td>
<td>9/19</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ind-1-8L</td>
<td>9/19</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Indoor-1-8L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ind-1-PP</td>
<td>9/19</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indoor-1-PP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ind-1-NP</td>
<td>9/19</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indoor-1-NP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results to:
Tom McHugh, mcmchugh@siuc.edu
Life Beely, beely@siuc.edu
Fax to 573-346-4476
reruns of OU#613 (the older sample set), analyzed in the week of October 22nd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>Date of Analysis</th>
<th>SAMPLE ID</th>
<th>AIRTUBE #</th>
<th>TCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9068</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>3-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>C16_J03553</td>
<td>-19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9069</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>1-SS-2-CSI</td>
<td>C16_J07342</td>
<td>peak coelutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OU#631 (the newer sample set)

Dup = split of the sample recollected on Cx1016

Benzene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>Date of Analysis</th>
<th>SAMPLE ID</th>
<th>AIRTUBE #</th>
<th>Benzene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9020</td>
<td>10/9/2012</td>
<td>SS-2 Low</td>
<td>C16_J04853</td>
<td>-28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9024</td>
<td>10/10/2012</td>
<td>SS-2 1 hr</td>
<td>C16_K08430</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9025</td>
<td>10/10/2012</td>
<td>SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J06645</td>
<td>-31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9029</td>
<td>10/11/2012</td>
<td>Dup of SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J03770</td>
<td>-31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9082</td>
<td>10/24/2012</td>
<td>Dup of SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J03770</td>
<td>-31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9030</td>
<td>10/11/2012</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>C16_J03738</td>
<td>-29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9023</td>
<td>10/10/2012</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>C16_J03973</td>
<td>-29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9038</td>
<td>10/15/2012</td>
<td>Dup of Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_K08440</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9042</td>
<td>10/16/2012</td>
<td>Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_K08448</td>
<td>-29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9043</td>
<td>10/16/2012</td>
<td>Indoor 1 overnight</td>
<td>C16_J03120</td>
<td>-29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9081</td>
<td>10/24/2012</td>
<td>Dup of Indoor 1 overnight</td>
<td>C16_K08412</td>
<td>-29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876</td>
<td>10/24/2012</td>
<td>ground water sample</td>
<td></td>
<td>-26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1878</td>
<td>10/24/2012</td>
<td>ground water sample</td>
<td></td>
<td>-26.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>Date of Analysis</th>
<th>SAMPLE ID</th>
<th>AIRTUBE #</th>
<th>TCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9076</td>
<td>10/23/2012</td>
<td>SS-2 1 hr</td>
<td>C16_J03150</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9065</td>
<td>10/21/2012</td>
<td>Dup of SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J03770</td>
<td>-25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9066</td>
<td>10/21/2012</td>
<td>Dup of SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J03770</td>
<td>-25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9074</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>Dup of SS-1</td>
<td>C16_J03738</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9072</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>Dup of Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_K08440</td>
<td>-32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9077</td>
<td>10/23/2012</td>
<td>Dup of Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_K08448</td>
<td>-32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9079</td>
<td>10/24/2012</td>
<td>Indoor 1 overnight</td>
<td>C16_K08412</td>
<td>-30.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

this number is likely 1-2 permil to peak was too tall, resulting with c may be rerun if there is spare mat
o heavy;
ombusion problem;
terial after PCE analysis.
Results of additional analyses of SANG samples:

**OU#631 benzene**

Dup = split of the sample recollected on Cx1016

all tube numbers refer to the original samples collected in the field

analytical uncertainty defined by the standards ± 0.2 (2 stdevs at n=13 in Oct-12, n=6 in April-13)

NOTE: Only 10-20 ng of benzene on "SS-2 low". Possible problems caused by low level carryover or adsorbent pyrolysis byproduct

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>run #</th>
<th>date analyzed</th>
<th>sample ID</th>
<th>original airtube #</th>
<th>del benzene VPDB</th>
<th>remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1876</td>
<td>10/16/2012</td>
<td>Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_K08448</td>
<td>-29.1</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1878</td>
<td>10/16/2012</td>
<td>Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_K08440</td>
<td>-29.0</td>
<td>split of an intact original tube, collected in April 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9042</td>
<td>10/16/2012</td>
<td>Dup Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_K08421</td>
<td>-28.9</td>
<td>split of run #9498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9081</td>
<td>10/24/2012</td>
<td>Dup Indoor 1 overnight</td>
<td>C16_J03120</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9023</td>
<td>10/10/2012</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>C16_J03973</td>
<td>-29.9</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9030</td>
<td>10/11/2012</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>C16_J03738</td>
<td>-29.8</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9493</td>
<td>4/19/2013</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>C16_K08431</td>
<td>-29.7</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9491</td>
<td>4/19/2013</td>
<td>Dup SS-1</td>
<td>C16_K08430</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
<td>split of run #9491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9024</td>
<td>10/10/2012</td>
<td>SS-2 1 hr</td>
<td>C16_J03150</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
<td>split of the original tube, collected in October 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9496</td>
<td>4/23/2013</td>
<td>SS-2 1 hr</td>
<td>C16_J03150</td>
<td>-29.3</td>
<td>split of run #9496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9499</td>
<td>4/24/2013</td>
<td>Dup SS-2 1 hr</td>
<td>C16_J04853</td>
<td>-28.9</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9092</td>
<td>4/19/2013</td>
<td>SS-2 Low</td>
<td>C16_J07661</td>
<td>-30.2</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9025</td>
<td>10/10/2012</td>
<td>SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J06645</td>
<td>-31.1</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9029</td>
<td>10/11/2012</td>
<td>Dup SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J03770</td>
<td>-31.0</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9082</td>
<td>10/24/2012</td>
<td>Dup SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J03770</td>
<td>-31.5</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results of additional analyses of SANG samples:

**OU9631 TCE**

Dup = split of the sample recollected on Cx1016

all tube numbers refer to the original samples collected in the field

analytical uncertainty defined by the standards: Oct-12 ± 0.6 (2 stdevs at n=7); April-13 ± 0.4 (2 stdevs at n=10)

**NOTE:** samples from Oct-2012 suffered from noisy background. Possible accuracy offsets by a few tenths of permil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>run #</th>
<th>date analyzed</th>
<th>sample ID</th>
<th>original airtube #</th>
<th>del TCE VPDB</th>
<th>remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9072</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>Dup Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_K08440</td>
<td>-32.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9077</td>
<td>10/23/2012</td>
<td>Dup Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_K08448</td>
<td>-32.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9485</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_K08457</td>
<td>-31.8</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9488</td>
<td>4/18/2013</td>
<td>Dup Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_J03146</td>
<td>-31.8</td>
<td>split of run #9485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9079</td>
<td>10/23/2012</td>
<td>Indoor 1 overnight</td>
<td>C16_K08412</td>
<td>-31.0</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9074</td>
<td>10/22/2012</td>
<td>Dup SS-1</td>
<td>C16_J03738</td>
<td>-18.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9076</td>
<td>10/23/2012</td>
<td>SS-2 1 hr</td>
<td>C16_J03150</td>
<td>-26.2</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9065</td>
<td>10/21/2012</td>
<td>Dup SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J03770</td>
<td>-25.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9066</td>
<td>10/21/2012</td>
<td>Dup SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J03770</td>
<td>-25.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9484</td>
<td>4/17/2013</td>
<td>SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J07356</td>
<td>-24.6</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results of additional analyses of SANG samples:

**OU#631 PCE**

Dup = split of the sample recollected on Cx1016

all tube numbers refer to the original samples collected in the field

analytical uncertainty defined by the standards: ± 0.3 (2 stdevs at n=8)

**NOTE:** the indoor samples likely affected by too low signal and proportionally high background noise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>run #</th>
<th>date analyzed</th>
<th>sample ID</th>
<th>original airtube #</th>
<th>del PCE VPDB</th>
<th>remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9421</td>
<td>4/1/2013</td>
<td>Indoor 1</td>
<td>C16_K08448</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
<td>split of an intact original tube, collected in Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9414</td>
<td>3/29/2013</td>
<td>Indoor 1 overnight</td>
<td>C16_J03120</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
<td>split of an intact original tube, collected in Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9434</td>
<td>4/4/2013</td>
<td>Indoor 1 overnight</td>
<td>C16_J07366</td>
<td>-26.3</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9436</td>
<td>4/5/2013</td>
<td>Indoor 1 overnight</td>
<td>C16_J07064</td>
<td>-26.2</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9427</td>
<td>4/3/2013</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>C16_J03738</td>
<td>-26.5</td>
<td>split of an intact original tube, collected in Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9429</td>
<td>4/3/2013</td>
<td>Dup SS-1</td>
<td>C16_J03703</td>
<td>-26.8</td>
<td>split of run #9427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9437</td>
<td>4/3/2013</td>
<td>SS-1</td>
<td>C16_M17689</td>
<td>-26.1</td>
<td>split of run #9429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9425</td>
<td>4/1/2013</td>
<td>SS-2 1 hr</td>
<td>C16_J03116</td>
<td>-25.3</td>
<td>split of an intact original tube, collected in April 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9433</td>
<td>4/4/2013</td>
<td>Dup SS-2 1 hr (#9425)</td>
<td>C16_J03116</td>
<td>-25.3</td>
<td>split of run #9425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9428</td>
<td>4/3/2013</td>
<td>SS-2 Low (#9415)</td>
<td>C16_J04342</td>
<td>-25.7</td>
<td>split of an intact original tube, collected in April 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9438</td>
<td>4/5/2013</td>
<td>SS-2 Low (NEW)</td>
<td>C16_J03146</td>
<td>-25.5</td>
<td>intact original tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9419</td>
<td>4/1/2013</td>
<td>SS-2 High</td>
<td>C16_J03770</td>
<td>-25.5</td>
<td>split of an intact original tube, collected in Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida
LABORATORY REPORT

March 13, 2013

Tom McHugh
CSI Environmental Inc.
2211 Norfolk, Suite 1000
Houston, TX 77098

RE: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

Dear Tom:

Enclosed are the results of the samples submitted to our laboratory on February 28, 2013. For your reference, these analyses have been assigned our service request number P1300816.

All analyses were performed according to our laboratory’s NELAP and DoD-ELAP-approved quality assurance program. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP and DoD-ELAP standards, where applicable, and except as noted in the laboratory case narrative provided. For a specific list of NELAP and DoD-ELAP-accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section at www.caslab.com. Results are intended to be considered in their entirety and apply only to the samples analyzed and reported herein.

If you have any questions, please call me at (805) 526-7161.

Respectfully submitted,

ALS | Environmental

Sue Anderson
Project Manager
CASE NARRATIVE

The samples were received intact under chain of custody on February 28, 2013 and were stored in accordance with the analytical method requirements. Please refer to the sample acceptance check form for additional information. The results reported herein are applicable only to the condition of the samples at the time of sample receipt.

Volatile Organic Compound Analysis

The samples were analyzed in SIM mode for selected volatile organic compounds in accordance with EPA Method TO-15 from the Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air, Second Edition (EPA/625/R-96/010b), January, 1999. The analytical system was comprised of a gas chromatograph / mass spectrometer (GC/MS) interfaced to a whole-air preconcentrator.

The response for the 3rd internal standard in samples 219-SS-2 (P1300816-013) and 219-SS-3 (P1300816-014) was outside control criteria because of suspected matrix interference. The samples were diluted in an attempt to eliminate the effects of the matrix interference. The results are reported from the dilution; therefore, the associated method reporting limits have been elevated accordingly.

The Summa canisters were cleaned, prior to sampling, down to the method reporting limit (MRL) reported for this project. Please note, projects which require reporting below the MRL could have results between the MRL and method detection limit (MDL) that are biased high.

The results of analyses are given in the attached laboratory report. All results are intended to be considered in their entirety, and Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. dba ALS Environmental (ALS) is not responsible for utilization of less than the complete report.

Use of Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. dba ALS Environmental (ALS)’s Name. Client shall not use ALS’s name or trademark in any marketing or reporting materials, press releases or in any other manner (“Materials”) whatsoever and shall not attribute to ALS any test result, tolerance or specification derived from ALS’s data (“Attribution”) without ALS’s prior written consent, which may be withheld by ALS for any reason in its sole discretion. To request ALS’s consent, Client shall provide copies of the proposed Materials or Attribution and describe in writing Client’s proposed use of such Materials or Attribution. If ALS has not provided written approval of the Materials or Attribution within ten (10) days of receipt from Client, Client’s request to use ALS’s name or trademark in any Materials or Attribution shall be deemed denied. ALS may, in its discretion, reasonably charge Client for its time in reviewing Materials or Attribution requests. Client acknowledges and agrees that the unauthorized use of ALS’s name or trademark may cause ALS to incur irreparable harm for which the recovery of money damages will be inadequate. Accordingly, Client acknowledges and agrees that a violation shall justify preliminary injunctive relief. For questions contact the laboratory.
Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. dba ALS Environmental – Simi Valley

Certifications, Accreditations, and Registrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Web Site</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIHA</td>
<td><a href="http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org">http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org</a></td>
<td>101661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona DHS</td>
<td><a href="http://www.azdhs.gov/lab/license/env.htm">http://www.azdhs.gov/lab/license/env.htm</a></td>
<td>AZ0694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD ELAP</td>
<td><a href="http://www.pjlabs.com/search-accredited-labs">http://www.pjlabs.com/search-accredited-labs</a></td>
<td>L11-203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida DOH (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.doh.state.fl.us/lab/EnvLabCert/WaterCert.htm">http://www.doh.state.fl.us/lab/EnvLabCert/WaterCert.htm</a></td>
<td>E871020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota DOH (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.health.state.mn.us/accreditation">http://www.health.state.mn.us/accreditation</a></td>
<td>494864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey DEP (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nj.gov/dep/oqa/">http://www.nj.gov/dep/oqa/</a></td>
<td>CA009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York DOH (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.wadsworth.org/labcert/elap/elap.html">http://www.wadsworth.org/labcert/elap/elap.html</a></td>
<td>11221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon PHD (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://public.health.oregon.gov/LaboratoryServices/EnvironmentalLaboratoryAccreditation/Pages/index.aspx">http://public.health.oregon.gov/LaboratoryServices/EnvironmentalLaboratoryAccreditation/Pages/index.aspx</a></td>
<td>CA200007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania DEP</td>
<td><a href="http://www.depweb.state.pa.us/labs">http://www.depweb.state.pa.us/labs</a></td>
<td>68-03307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas CEQ (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/env_lab_accreditation.html">http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/env_lab_accreditation.html</a></td>
<td>T104704413-12-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyses were performed according to our laboratory's NELAP and DoD-ELAP approved quality assurance program. A complete listing of specific NELAP and DoD-ELAP certified analytes can be found in the certifications section at www.caslab.com, www.alsglobal.com, or at the accreditation body's website.

Each of the certifications listed above have an explicit Scope of Accreditation that applies to specific matrices/methods/analytes; therefore, please contact the laboratory for information corresponding to a particular certification.
### DETAIL SUMMARY REPORT

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669  
**Service Request:** P1300816

**Date Received:** 2/28/2013  
**Time Received:** 09:05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab Code</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Date Collected</th>
<th>Time Collected</th>
<th>Container ID</th>
<th>P1 (psig)</th>
<th>P2 (psig)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 156-IA-1         | P1300816-001 | Air    | 2/20/2013      | 16:18          | AS00290      | -1.97     | 3.63      | X       
| 156-IA-2         | P1300816-002 | Air    | 2/20/2013      | 16:19          | AS00217      | -4.69     | 3.50      | X       
| 156-IA-3         | P1300816-003 | Air    | 2/20/2013      | 16:19          | AC01816      | -3.63     | 3.50      | X       
| 219-AA-1         | P1300816-004 | Air    | 2/20/2013      | 16:41          | AS00341      | -3.12     | 3.50      | X       
| 219-IA-1         | P1300816-005 | Air    | 2/20/2013      | 16:00          | AS00230      | -3.02     | 3.59      | X       
| 219-IA-3         | P1300816-006 | Air    | 2/20/2013      | 16:38          | AC01904      | -3.58     | 3.60      | X       
| 156-IA-4-NP      | P1300816-007 | Air    | 2/21/2013      | 15:57          | AS00216      | 0.18      | 3.60      | X       
| 156-IA-5-NP      | P1300816-008 | Air    | 2/21/2013      | 15:57          | AS00166      | -0.67     | 3.64      | X       
| 156-SS-1         | P1300816-009 | Air    | 2/21/2013      | 11:53          | AS00198      | -0.40     | 3.78      | X       
| 156-SS-2         | P1300816-010 | Air    | 2/21/2013      | 11:42          | AS00141      | -0.02     | 3.82      | X       
| 156-SS-3         | P1300816-011 | Air    | 2/21/2013      | 11:26          | AS00336      | -1.37     | 3.56      | X       
| 219-SS-1         | P1300816-012 | Air    | 2/21/2013      | 16:16          | AS00168      | -0.25     | 3.62      | X       
| 219-SS-2         | P1300816-013 | Air    | 2/21/2013      | 16:28          | AS00182      | 0.02      | 3.67      | X       
| 219-SS-3         | P1300816-014 | Air    | 2/21/2013      | 16:45          | AS00310      | 0.12      | 3.81      | X       
| 156-IA-4-BL      | P1300816-015 | Air    | 2/22/2013      | 08:04          | AS00199      | -0.03     | 3.75      | X       

TO-15 - VOC SIM
**Air - Chain of Custody Record & Analytical Service Request**

**Requested Turnaround Time in Business Days (Surcharges) please circle**

- 1 Day (100%)
- 2 Day (75%)
- 3 Day (50%)
- 4 Day (35%)
- 5 Day (25%)
- 10 Day-Standard

**Project Name**

E3TCP VE Study - Tyndall AFB

**Project Number**

3585/3669

**Company Name & Address (Reporting Information)**

GSI Environmental
2211 Norfolk St. 1000
Houston, TX 77489

**Email Address for Results Reporting**

lbeckley@gsi-net.com

**Client Sample ID**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory ID Number</th>
<th>Date Collected</th>
<th>Time Collected</th>
<th>Canister ID (Bar code # - AC, SC, etc.)</th>
<th>Flow Controller ID (Bar code # - FC #)</th>
<th>Canister Start Pressure (Hg)</th>
<th>Canister End Pressure (Hg)</th>
<th>Sample Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-1</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>9:18</td>
<td>AS00920</td>
<td>FCA00469</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-2</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>9:19</td>
<td>AS00217</td>
<td>FCA00389</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-3</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>9:19</td>
<td>AC01816</td>
<td>FCA00675</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-AA-1</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>9:22</td>
<td>AS00541</td>
<td>FCA00717</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-1</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>9:53</td>
<td>AS00220</td>
<td>FCA00575</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>9:49</td>
<td>AC01904</td>
<td>FCA00254</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-4-NP</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>15:57</td>
<td>AS00216</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-29.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-5-NP</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>15:57</td>
<td>AS00186</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-29.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-SS-1</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>11:37</td>
<td>AS00198</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-SS-2</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>11:43</td>
<td>AS00141</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>11:26</td>
<td>AS00386</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-SS-1</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>16:16</td>
<td>AS00186</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-SS-2</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>16:28</td>
<td>AS00386</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>2/24/2018</td>
<td>16:45</td>
<td>AS00310</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Report Tier Levels - please select**

Tier I (Results, Default if not specified) **☑**

Tier II (Results + QC & Calibration Summaries)

Tier III (Results + QC & Calibration Summaries)

Tier IV (Data Validation Package) 10% Surcharge **☑**

**Relinquished by: (Signature)**

S. Velasquez

**Date:** 2/24/18  **Time:** 16:50

**Received by: (Signature)**

V. S. Velasquez

**Date:** 2/24/18  **Time:** 16:50

**COC AIR REV 3-11**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P.O. #</th>
<th>Billing Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>P.O. 18138</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>15L. T-A-1-8-2C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watermark</th>
<th>7.3.5-02-5.0-05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sample ID | 1msec1@
s1-i+that.com |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Client Sample ID | 1msec1@
s1-i+that.com |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory ID Number</th>
<th>15L. T-A-1-8-2C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Collected</th>
<th>Sample Volume</th>
<th>Sample Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Collected</th>
<th>2021/04/10 07:45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>e.g. Actual or Preservative or Specific Instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Requirements (MRLs, OAPPs)</th>
<th>EDD Requested No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cooler (Blank Temperature)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report for Levels - please select</th>
<th>15L. T-A-1-8-2C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relinquished By (Signature)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiving By (Signature)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiving By (Signature)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sample Acceptance Check Form

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  Work order: P1300816
Project: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669
Sample(s) received on: 2/28/13  Date opened: 2/28/13  by: RMARTENIES

Note: This form is used for all samples received by ALS. The use of this form for custody seals is strictly meant to indicate presence/absence and not as an indication of compliance or nonconformity. Thermal preservation and pH will only be evaluated either at the request of the client and/or as required by the method/SOP.

1. Were **sample containers** properly marked with client sample ID?  
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

2. Did **sample containers** arrive in good condition?  
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

3. Were **chain-of-custody** papers used and filled out?  
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

4. Were **sample container labels** and/or tags agree with custody papers?  
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

5. Was **sample volume** received adequate for analysis?  
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

6. Are samples within specified holding times?  
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

7. Was proper **temperature** (thermal preservation) of cooler at receipt adhered to?  
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

8. Was a **trip blank** received?  
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

9. Were **custody seals** on outside of cooler/Box?  
   Location of seal(s)? ☒ Sealing Lid? ☐
   Were signature and date included? ☐
   Were seals intact? ☐
   Were custody seals on outside of sample container? ☒
   Location of seal(s)? ☒ Sealing Lid? ☒
   Were signature and date included? ☒
   Were seals intact? ☒

10. Do containers have appropriate **preservation**, according to method/SOP or Client specified information?  
    Is there a client indication that the submitted samples are **pH** preserved?  
    Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

11. Were **VOA vials** checked for presence/absence of air bubbles?  
    Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

12. **Tubes:**  
    Are the tubes capped and intact?  
    Do they contain moisture?  
    Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

13. **Badges:**  
    Are the badges properly capped and intact?  
    Are dual bed badges separated and individually capped and intact?  
    Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

---

### Lab Sample ID Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
<th>Container Description</th>
<th>Required pH *</th>
<th>Received pH</th>
<th>Adjusted pH</th>
<th>VOA Headspace (Presence/Absence)</th>
<th>Receipt / Preservation Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-001.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-002.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-003.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-004.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-005.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-006.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-007.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-008.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain any discrepancies: (include lab sample ID numbers):

---

RSK - MEEPP, HCL (pH<2); RSK - CO2, (pH 5-8); Sulfur (pH>4)
**Sample Acceptance Check Form**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Work order:** P1300816  
**Project:** ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669  
**Sample(s) received on:** 2/28/13  
**Date opened:** 2/28/13  
**by:** RMARTENIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
<th>Container Description</th>
<th>Required pH *</th>
<th>Received pH</th>
<th>Adjusted pH</th>
<th>VOA Headspace (Presence/Absence)</th>
<th>Receipt / Preservation Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-009.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-010.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-011.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-012.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-013.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-014.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-015.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-016.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-017.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-018.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-019.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1300816-020.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Explain any discrepancies: (include lab sample ID numbers):**

RSK - MEEPP, HCL (pH<2); RSK - CO2, (pH 5-8); Sulfur (pH>4)
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 156-IA-1
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinertert/7890A/MS19
 Analyst: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Initial Pressure (psig): -1.97
Final Pressure (psig): 3.63
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.44

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.

**Client Sample ID:** 156-IA-2

**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

**CAS Project ID:** P1300816

**CAS Sample ID:** P1300816-002

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM

**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19

**Analytic:** Wida Ang

**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister

**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Date Collected:** 2/20/13

**Date Received:** 2/28/13

**Date Analyzed:** 3/6/13

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -4.69

**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.50

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.82

---

**CAS #** | **Compound** | **Result** | **MRL** | **Result** | **MRL** | **Data Qualifier**
---|---|---|---|---|---|---
75-01-4 | Vinyl Chloride | ND | 0.046 | ND | 0.018 |
75-35-4 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 0.046 | ND | 0.011 |
156-60-5 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 0.046 | ND | 0.011 |
156-59-2 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 0.046 | ND | 0.011 |
79-01-6 | Trichloroethene | ND | 0.046 | ND | 0.0085 |
127-18-4 | Tetrachloroethene | **0.063** | 0.046 | **0.0092** | 0.0067 |

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 156-IA-3
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669
CAS Project ID: P1300816
CAS Sample ID: P1300816-003

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19
Analytic ID: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)
Container ID: AC01816

Initial Pressure (psig): -3.63
Final Pressure (psig): 3.50
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.64

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td><strong>0.60</strong></td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td><strong>0.088</strong></td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
# RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** 219-AA-1  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1300816  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1300816-004  
**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Date Collected:** 2/20/13  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Date Received:** 2/28/13  
**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Date Analyzed:** 3/6/13  
**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)  
**Container ID:** AS00341  

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -3.12  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.50  
**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.57

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 219-IA-1
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

CAS Project ID: P1300816

CAS Sample ID: P1300816-005

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19
Analyst: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Date Collected: 2/20/13
Date Received: 2/28/13
Date Analyzed: 3/6/13

Container ID: AS00230

Initial Pressure (psig): -3.02
Final Pressure (psig): 3.59

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.57

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 219-IA-3
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669
CAS Project ID: P1300816
CAS Sample ID: P1300816-006

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19
Date Collected: 2/20/13
Date Received: 2/28/13
Date Analyzed: 3/6/13

Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Analyst: Wida Ang
Date Analyzed: 3/6/13

Container ID: AC01904
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.65

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL (µg/m³)</th>
<th>Result (ppbV)</th>
<th>MRL (ppbV)</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

Page 1 of 1

Client:  GSI Environmental Inc.

Client Sample ID:  156-IA-4-NP

Client Project ID:  ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

Test Code:  EPA TO-15 SIM

Instrument ID:  Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19

Analyzer:  Wida Ang

Sample Type:  6.0 L Summa Canister

Date Collected:  2/21/13

Date Received:  2/28/13

Volume(s) Analyzed:  1.00 Liter(s)

Date Analyzed:  3/6/13

CAS Sample ID:  P1300816-007

CAS Project ID:  P1300816

Initial Pressure (psig):  0.18

Final Pressure (psig):  3.60

Canister Dilution Factor:  1.23

### CAS #  Compound  |  Result $\mu$g/m³  |  MRL $\mu$g/m³  |  Result ppbV  |  MRL ppbV  |  Data Qualifier
---|---|---|---|---|---
75-01-4  Vinyl Chloride  |  ND  |  0.031  |  ND  |  0.012
75-35-4  1,1-Dichloroethene  |  ND  |  0.031  |  ND  |  0.0078
156-60-5  trans-1,2-Dichloroethene  |  ND  |  0.031  |  ND  |  0.0078
156-59-2  cis-1,2-Dichloroethene  |  ND  |  0.031  |  ND  |  0.0078
79-01-6  Trichloroethene  |  ND  |  0.031  |  ND  |  0.0057
127-18-4  Tetrachloroethene  |  0.061  |  0.031  |  0.0090  |  0.0045

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.

Client Sample ID: 156-IA-5-NP

Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM

Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975C/inert/7890A/MS19

Date Collected: 2/21/13

Date Received: 2/28/13

Date Analyzed: 3/6/13

Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister

CAS Project ID: P1300816

CAS Sample ID: P1300816-008

Sample ID: P1300816-008

Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975C/inert/7890A/MS19

Date Received: 2/28/13

Analyst: Wida Ang

Date Analyzed: 3/6/13

Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Test Notes:

Container ID: AS00166

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.67

Final Pressure (psig): 3.64

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 156-SS-1
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

CAS Project ID: P1300816
CAS Sample ID: P1300816-009
Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19
Analyst: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes: 
Container ID: AS00198

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.40  Final Pressure (psig): 3.78

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.29

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Sample ID: 156-SS-2  
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669  

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19  
Test Notes:  
Container ID: AS00141

Initial Pressure (psig): -0.02  
Final Pressure (psig): 3.82  
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS
Page 1 of 1

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 156-SS-3    CAS Project ID: P1300816
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669    CAS Sample ID: P1300816-011

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM    Date Collected: 2/21/13
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19    Date Received: 2/28/13
Analyst: Wida Ang    Date Analyzed: 3/6/13
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister    Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Container ID: AS00336

Initial Pressure (psig): -1.37    Final Pressure (psig): 3.56

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

### Client: GSI Environmental Inc.

**Client Sample ID:** 219-SS-1  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

### Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Date Collected:** 2/21/13  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Date Received:** 2/28/13  
**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)  
**Date Analyzed:** 3/6/13  
**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Container ID:** AS00168

---

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.25  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.62  
**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** 219-SS-2  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1300816  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1300816-013  
**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Analyzer:** Wida Ang  
**Date Collected:** 2/21/13  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Date Received:** 2/28/13  
**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.25 Liter(s)  
**Test Notes:**  
**Container ID:** AS00182

**Initial Pressure (psig):** 0.02  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.67

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result (µg/m³)</th>
<th>MRL (µg/m³)</th>
<th>Result (ppbV)</th>
<th>MRL (ppbV)</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td><strong>0.41</strong></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td><strong>0.10</strong></td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td><strong>0.31</strong></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td><strong>0.057</strong></td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td><strong>7.5</strong></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td><strong>1.1</strong></td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
# RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Sample ID: 219-SS-3  
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669  
CAS Project ID: P1300816  
CAS Sample ID: P1300816-014  

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19  
Date Collected: 2/21/13  
Date Received: 2/28/13  
Date Analyzed: 3/7/13  

Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister  
Volume(s) Analyzed: 0.50 Liter(s)  

Analyst: Wida Ang  

Container ID: AS00310  
Initial Pressure (psig): 0.12  
Final Pressure (psig): 3.81  
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result (µg/m³)</th>
<th>MRL (µg/m³)</th>
<th>Result (ppbV)</th>
<th>MRL (ppbV)</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 156-IA-4-BL
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19
Analyst: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Container ID: AS00199
Initial Pressure (psig): -0.03  Final Pressure (psig): 3.75

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Method Blank  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1300816  
**CAS Sample ID:** P130305-MB

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975CInert/7890A/MS19  
**Date Collected:** NA  
**Date Received:** NA  
**Date Analyzed:** 3/5/13  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.00

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
Results of Analysis

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19
Analyst: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result μg/m³</th>
<th>MRL μg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERY RESULTS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669
**CAS Project ID:** P1300816

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19
**Date(s) Collected:** 2/20 - 2/22/13
**Test Notes:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>1,2-Dichloroethane-d4</th>
<th>Toluene-d8</th>
<th>Bromofluorobenzene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>% Recovered</td>
<td>% Recovered</td>
<td>% Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>P130305-MB</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>P130306-MB</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P130305-LCS</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P130306-LCS</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-1</td>
<td>P1300816-001</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-2</td>
<td>P1300816-002</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-3</td>
<td>P1300816-003</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-1</td>
<td>P1300816-004</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-1</td>
<td>P1300816-004DUP</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-1</td>
<td>P1300816-005</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>P1300816-006</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-4-NP</td>
<td>P1300816-007</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-5-NP</td>
<td>P1300816-008</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-SS-1</td>
<td>P1300816-009</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-SS-2</td>
<td>P1300816-010</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>P1300816-011</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-SS-1</td>
<td>P1300816-012</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-SS-2</td>
<td>P1300816-013</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>P1300816-014</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-4-BL</td>
<td>P1300816-015</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surrogate percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result.

Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly from the on-column percent recovery.
**Laboratory Control Sample Summary**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Lab Control Sample  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.125 Liter(s)

**Date Collected:** NA  
**Date Received:** NA  
**Date Analyzed:** 3/05/13

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount µg/m³</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>56-117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>62-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>61-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>63-112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>58-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>60-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.
**LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** Lab Control Sample  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1300816  
**CAS Sample ID:** P130306-LCS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>56-117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>62-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>61-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>63-112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethylene</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>58-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>60-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.
### LABORATORY DUPLICATE SUMMARY RESULTS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** 219-AA-1  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1300816  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1300816-004DUP

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Date Collected:** 2/20/13  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Date Received:** 2/28/13  
**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Date Analyzed:** 3/6/13  
**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Container ID:** AS00341

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -3.12  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.50

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.57

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Sample Result µg/m³</th>
<th>Duplicate Sample Result µg/m³</th>
<th>Average µg/m³</th>
<th>% RPD</th>
<th>RPD Limit</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS
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Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669
CAS Project ID: P1300816

Method Blank Summary

| Test Code: | EPA TO-15 SIM |
| Instrument ID: | Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19 |
| Lab File ID: | 03051334.D |
| Date Analyzed: | 3/05/13 |
| Time Analyzed: | 23:20 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab File ID</th>
<th>Time Analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P130305-LCS</td>
<td>03051335.D</td>
<td>23:52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-1</td>
<td>P1300816-001</td>
<td>03051340.D</td>
<td>07:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-2</td>
<td>P1300816-002</td>
<td>03051341.D</td>
<td>07:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-3</td>
<td>P1300816-003</td>
<td>03051342.D</td>
<td>08:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-AA-1</td>
<td>P1300816-004</td>
<td>03051343.D</td>
<td>08:47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-AA-1 (Lab Duplicate)</td>
<td>P1300816-004DUP</td>
<td>03051344.D</td>
<td>09:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-1</td>
<td>P1300816-005</td>
<td>03051345.D</td>
<td>09:51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>P1300816-006</td>
<td>03051346.D</td>
<td>10:24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-4-NP</td>
<td>P1300816-007</td>
<td>03051347.D</td>
<td>10:57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-5-NP</td>
<td>P1300816-008</td>
<td>03051348.D</td>
<td>11:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-SS-1</td>
<td>P1300816-009</td>
<td>03051349.D</td>
<td>12:01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-SS-2</td>
<td>P1300816-010</td>
<td>03051350.D</td>
<td>12:34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>P1300816-011</td>
<td>03051351.D</td>
<td>13:07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-SS-1</td>
<td>P1300816-012</td>
<td>03051352.D</td>
<td>13:39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-4-BL</td>
<td>P1300816-015</td>
<td>03051355.D</td>
<td>16:14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Client: GSI Environmental Inc.

Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669

CAS Project ID: P1300816

## Method Blank Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab File ID</th>
<th>Time Analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P130306-LCS</td>
<td>03061305.D</td>
<td>19:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>P1300816-014</td>
<td>03061316.D</td>
<td>08:09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-SS-2</td>
<td>P1300816-013</td>
<td>03061318.D</td>
<td>09:40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669  CAS Project ID: P1300816

Internal Standard Area and RT Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IS1 (BCM)</th>
<th>IS2 (DFB)</th>
<th>IS3 (CBZ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AREA  #</td>
<td>RT  #</td>
<td>AREA  #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hour Standard</td>
<td>59632</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>246745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
<td>83485</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td>345443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>35779</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>148047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Client Sample ID

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Method Blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>156-IA-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>156-IA-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>156-IA-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>219-AA-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>219-AA-1 (Lab Duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>219-AA-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>156-IA-4-NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>156-IA-5-NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>156-SS-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>156-SS-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>219-SS-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>156-IA-4-BL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IS1 (BCM) = Bromochloromethane
IS2 (DFB) = 1,4-Difluorobenzene
IS3 (CBZ) = Chlorobenzene-d5

AREA UPPER LIMIT = 140% of internal standard area
AREA LOWER LIMIT = 60% of internal standard area
RT UPPER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT
RT LOWER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an I.
I = Internal standard not within the specified limits. See case narrative.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Tyndall AFB / 3585/3669
CAS Project ID: P1300816

Internal Standard Area and RT Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IS1 (BCM)</th>
<th></th>
<th>IS2 (DFB)</th>
<th></th>
<th>IS3 (CBZ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
<td>AREA #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hour Standard</td>
<td>64786</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>276779</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>32082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
<td>90700</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td>387491</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>44915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>38872</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>166067</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>19249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Client Sample ID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>AREA #</th>
<th>RT #</th>
<th>AREA #</th>
<th>RT #</th>
<th>AREA #</th>
<th>RT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>64670</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>271639</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>31480</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>65455</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>274873</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>31913</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>66099</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>278647</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>43029</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>219-SS-2</td>
<td>65278</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>267574</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>39092</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IS1 (BCM) = Bromochloromethane
IS2 (DFB) = 1,4-Difluorobenzene
IS3 (CBZ) = Chlorobenzene-d5

AREA UPPER LIMIT = 140% of internal standard area
AREA LOWER LIMIT = 60% of internal standard area
RT UPPER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT
RT LOWER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an I.
I = Internal standard not within the specified limits. See case narrative.
Response Factor Report MS19

Method Path : J:\MS19\METHODS\ 
Method File : X19022213.M
Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
Last Update : Mon Feb 25 07:18:53 2013
Response Via : Initial Calibration

Calibration Files
2500=02221320.D 9999=02221321.D 20K =02221322.D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2500</th>
<th>9999</th>
<th>20K</th>
<th>Avg</th>
<th>%RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30) T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X19022213.M Wed Mar 06 06:08:30 2013
**Response Factor Report MS19**

**Method Path:** J:\MS19\METHODS\
**Method File:** X19022213.M

**Title:** EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Expected Retention Time (sec)</th>
<th>Measured Retention Time (sec)</th>
<th>Relative Standard Deviation (%)</th>
<th>Peak Area (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>1.505</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>1.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>0.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>0.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene-d5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>1.261</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>1.085</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>1.069</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>6.540</td>
<td>5.369</td>
<td>4.387</td>
<td>4.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>1.779</td>
<td>1.420</td>
<td>1.156</td>
<td>1.308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(#) = Out of Range
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data File: I:\MS19\DATA\2013_05\03051332.D
Acq On : 5 Mar 2013 22:14
Sample : 500pg TO-15SIM CCV STD
ALS Vial : 15 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Mar 06 06:07:52 2013
Quant Method : J:\MS19\METHODS\X19022213.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Mon Feb 25 07:18:53 2013
Response via : Initial Calibration
DataAcq Meth:TO15SIM2.M

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev Area</th>
<th>Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 I Bromochloromethane (IS1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>98 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 T Dichlorodifluoromethane (CF)</td>
<td>2.293</td>
<td>1.892</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>83 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 T Chloromethane</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>87 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 T Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.587</td>
<td>1.273</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>85 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 T Bromomethane</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>82 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 T Chloroethane</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>81 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 T Acetone</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>85 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 T Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>1.691</td>
<td>1.378</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>80 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.152</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>83 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 T Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>81 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 T Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>1.311</td>
<td>1.073</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>81 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 T trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.386</td>
<td>1.060</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>81 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>1.370</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>85 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 T Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>2.836</td>
<td>2.369</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>85 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 T cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>1.086</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>83 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 T Chloroform</td>
<td>1.821</td>
<td>1.487</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>82 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 S 1,2-Dichloroethene-d4 (SS1)</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>96 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 T 1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.268</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>82 -0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 T 1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>1.729</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>84 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 T Benzene</td>
<td>3.957</td>
<td>3.334</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>81 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 T Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>1.155</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>82 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 I 1,4-Difluorobenzene (IS2)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>95 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 T 1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>81 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 T Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>82 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 T Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>79 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 T 1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>81 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 T cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>84 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 T trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>87 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 T 1,1,2-Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>81 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 S Toluene-d8 (SS2)</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>96 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 T Toluene</td>
<td>1.159</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>82 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 T 1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>82 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 T Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>82 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 I Chlorobenzene-d5 (IS3)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>96 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 T Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>7.509</td>
<td>6.323</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>81 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 T Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>10.836</td>
<td>9.177</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>81 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 T m,p-Xylene</td>
<td>8.485</td>
<td>7.437</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>82 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 T o-Xylene</td>
<td>9.314</td>
<td>8.015</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>85 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data File: I:\MS19\DATA\2013_03\05\03051332.D
Acq On : 5 Mar 2013  22:14
Sample : 500pg TO-15SIM CCV STD
ALS Vial : 15  Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Mar 06 06:07:52 2013
Quant Method : J:\MS19\METHODS\X19022213.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Mon Feb 25 07:18:53 2013
Response via : Initial Calibration
DataAcq Meth:TO15SIM2.M

Min. RRF : 0.000  Min. Rel. Area : 50%  Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev : 30%  Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev</th>
<th>Area%</th>
<th>Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39 T 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>3.638</td>
<td>3.451</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 S Bromofluorobenzene (SS3)</td>
<td>4.712</td>
<td>5.114</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 T 1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>7.007</td>
<td>5.988</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 T 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>7.206</td>
<td>5.999</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 T 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>6.701</td>
<td>5.758</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 T 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>5.054</td>
<td>4.144</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 T Naphthalene</td>
<td>14.424</td>
<td>11.361</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 T Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>3.189</td>
<td>2.755</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(#) = Out of Range  SPCC's out = 0  CCC's out = 0
### Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

**Data File:** I:\MS19\DATA\2013.03.06\03061302.D  
**Acq On:** 6 Mar 2013 18:13  
**Sample:** 500pg TO-15SIM CCV STD  
**Misc:** S25-02221305/S25-02251303 (3/26)  
**ALS Vial:** 15 Sample Multiplier: 1  

**Quant Time:** Mar 07 06:20:06 2013  
**Quant Method:** J:\MS19\METHODS\X19022213.M  
**Quant Title:** EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)  
**QLast Update:** Mon Feb 25 07:18:53 2013  
**Response via:** Initial Calibration  
**DataAcq Meth:** TO15SIM2.M

#### Compound List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev</th>
<th>Area%</th>
<th>Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 I Bromochloromethane (IS1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 T Dichlorodifluoromethane (CF)</td>
<td>2.293</td>
<td>2.131</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 T Chloromethane</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 T Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.587</td>
<td>1.488</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 T Bromomethane</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 T Chloroethane</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 T Acetone</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 T Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>1.691</td>
<td>1.548</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.152</td>
<td>1.114</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 T Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>1.159</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 T Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>1.311</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 T trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.386</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>1.633</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 T Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>2.836</td>
<td>2.845</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 T cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>1.263</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 T Chloroform</td>
<td>1.821</td>
<td>1.722</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 S 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (SS1)</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>1.017</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 T 1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.268</td>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 T 1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>1.729</td>
<td>1.649</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 T Benzene</td>
<td>3.957</td>
<td>3.891</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 T Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 I 1,4-Difluorobenzene (IS2)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 T 1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 T Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 T Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 T 1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 T cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 T trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 T 1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 S Toluene-d8 (SS2)</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 T Toluene</td>
<td>1.159</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 T 1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 T Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**X19022213.M Thu Mar 07 06:20:40 2013**

Page: 1
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data File: I:\MS19\DATA\2013_03\06\03061302.D
Sample : 500pg TO-15SIM CCV STD  Inst : MS19
Misc : S25-02221305/S25-02251303 (3/26)
ALS Vial : 15 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Mar 07 06:20:06 2013
Quant Method : J:\MS19\METHODS\X19022213.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Mon Feb 25 07:18:53 2013
Response via : Initial Calibration
DataAcq Meth:TO15SIM2.M

Min. RRF : 0.000  Min. Rel. Area : 50%  Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev : 30%  Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev Area</th>
<th>%Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39 T 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>3.638</td>
<td>3.592</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 S Bromofluorobenzene (SS3)</td>
<td>4.712</td>
<td>4.695</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 T 1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>7.007</td>
<td>6.258</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 T 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>7.206</td>
<td>6.305</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 T 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>6.701</td>
<td>6.009</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 T 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>5.054</td>
<td>4.401</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 T Naphthalene</td>
<td>14.424</td>
<td>13.219</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 T Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>3.189</td>
<td>2.760</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(#)= Out of Range  SPCC's out = 0  CCC's out = 0
Radon Analysis (EPA Method GS: Grab Sample/Scintillation Cell counting)

For GSI Environmental  
Client Project Number: ESTCPVI Study - Tyndall AFB 3585/3669  

Samples collected by: T. McHugh/L. Beckley  
Sample containers: Tedlar bags w/ nylon fittings  

Site: Tyndall AFB  
Assumed Site Pressure: 1.00 atm  

Probes on air suspension: 10 M  

Media: 310-490-7656  

Sample Dates: 02/21/13  
Sample containers: Tedlar bags w/ nylon fittings  

Site: Tyndall AFB  
Assumed Site Pressure: 1.00 atm  

Analysts: Doug Hammond  

Phone: 310-490-7896  

Time Zone adjustment: add to decay time  

Email: dhammond@usc.edu  

3 hours  

Collect (EST)  
Run (PST)  

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Collection</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Lab Duplicates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Vol run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-1</td>
<td>2/21/13</td>
<td>16:05</td>
<td>83/33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/25/13</td>
<td>13:52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-4</td>
<td>2/21/13</td>
<td>16:05</td>
<td>82/32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/25/13</td>
<td>13:57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lab dupe</td>
<td>2/21/13</td>
<td>16:05</td>
<td>84/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-4-BL</td>
<td>2/22/13</td>
<td>8:04</td>
<td>81/31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Uncertainty given in piC/liter is based on counting statistics for low activity samples. For high activity samples uncertainty is ±5%.

Results are reported based on standardization with NIST-traceable radon sources.

These results are for application of naturally-occurring radon as a tracer of soil vapor intrusion, but are not intended for evaluation of radon hazards.

Results corrected to in situ pressure as noted above.

Note details:

This analysis had an observed dpm of 0.002, less than cell background for within counting uncertainty of zero. Result is below the detection limit and reported as observed dpm of 0.0001.

Uncertainties:

- ±1 sig = ±1 standard deviation
- ±1 ssd = ±1 standard score deviation

Decay corrections based on Rn decay constant of 0.1813 per day

Radon Conc = {(0.4504)(1000)(obs dpm)(decay factor)(Press factor)} / {(cc used)(He eff)(Air/He)}

Conversion from dpm based on 0.4504 pCi/dpm (in pCi/liter)

Blanks are negligible.

Definitions:

- Cell/ch: Counting cell and channel used
- sig dpm: Uncertainty of 1 std in dpm based on counting statistics
- He eff: Cell and counter efficiency using helium matrix
- Decay: Time elapsed from sampling to analysis
- Air/He: Correction for matrix counting gas density
- Decay factor: Correction factor for decay from collection to analysis
- Vol run: Volume analyzed (cc)
- Press factor: Correction factor for in situ pressure based on collection altitude
- Pitman: Normalized average decay factor for average well density
- obs dpm: Observed radon activity (disintigrations per minute) when analyzed
- decay factor: Radon concentration in disintigrations per minute per liter of sample
- piC/liter: Radon concentration in picoCuries per liter
- piC/liter: Radon concentration in pCi/liter
- Notes: Additional comments

Rich Data, Calculation factors, and Analytical Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Vol run</th>
<th>Press obs</th>
<th>piC/liter</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Vol run</td>
<td>Press obs</td>
<td>piC/liter</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-1</td>
<td>2/21/13</td>
<td>16:05</td>
<td>83/33</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/25/13</td>
<td>13:52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-4</td>
<td>2/21/13</td>
<td>16:05</td>
<td>82/32</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/25/13</td>
<td>13:57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lab dupe</td>
<td>2/21/13</td>
<td>16:05</td>
<td>84/11</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-IA-4-BL</td>
<td>2/22/13</td>
<td>8:04</td>
<td>81/31</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Decay corrections based on Rn decay constant of 0.1813 per day

Radon Conc = {(0.4504)(1000)(obs dpm)(decay factor)(Press factor)} / {(cc used)(He eff)(Air/He)}

Conversion from dpm based on 0.4504 pCi/dpm (in pCi/liter)

Blanks are negligible.

Definitions:

- Cell/ch: Counting cell and channel used
- sig dpm: Uncertainty of 1 std in dpm based on counting statistics
- He eff: Cell and counter efficiency using helium matrix
- Decay: Time elapsed from sampling to analysis
- Air/He: Correction for matrix counting gas density
- Decay factor: Correction factor for decay from collection to analysis
- Vol run: Volume analyzed (cc)
- Press factor: Correction factor for in situ pressure based on collection altitude
- Pitman: Normalized average decay factor for average well density
- obs dpm: Observed radon activity (disintigrations per minute) when analyzed
- decay factor: Radon concentration in disintigrations per minute per liter of sample
- piC/liter: Radon concentration in picoCuries per liter
- piC/liter: Radon concentration in pCi/liter
- Notes: Additional comments
### Air - Chain of Custody Record & Analytical Service Request

**Company Name & Address (Reporting Information)**
GSI Environmental
1211 Norfolk St.
Houston, TX 77002

**Project Manager**
T. McHugh / L. Beckley

**Phone**
713-867-4775

**Email Address for Result Reporting**
Lbeckley@gsi-net.com

---

**Requested Turnaround Time in Business Days (Surcharges) please circle**
- 1 Day (100%)
- 2 Day (75%)
- 3 Day (50%)
- 4 Day (35%)
- 5 Day (25%)

**Project Name**
ESTCP VI study-Tyndall AFB

**Project Number**
3585/3669

**CAS Project No.**

**Analysis Method**

---

**Comments**
e.g. Actual Preservative or specific instructions

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample IC</th>
<th>Laboratory ID Number</th>
<th>Date Collected</th>
<th>Time Collected</th>
<th>Canister ID (Bar code # - AC, SC, etc.)</th>
<th>Flow Controller ID (Bar code # - FC #)</th>
<th>Canister Start Pressure *Hg</th>
<th>Canister End Pressure *Hg/psi</th>
<th>Sample Volume</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156 - AA -1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/21/13</td>
<td>16:05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500mL</td>
<td>IL radion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156 - IA - 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/21/13</td>
<td>16:05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500mL</td>
<td>IL radion (NP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156 - IA - 4 BL</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/22/11</td>
<td>8:04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12 mL</td>
<td>IL radion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Report Tier Levels - please select**
- Tier I - Results (Default if not specified)
- Tier II (Results + QC Summaries)
- Tier III (Results + QC & Calibration Summaries)
- Tier IV (Data Validation Package) 10% Surcharge

**EDD required** Yes / No

**Project Requirements**
- MRLs, QAPP

**Relinquished by (Signature)**
[Signature]

**Date:** 2/25/13  **Time:** 10:00

**Cooler / Blank Temperature:** [°C]

---

**Relinquished by (Signature)**

**Date:** 2/25/13  **Time:** 10:00

---

**Received by (Signature)**

**Date:** 2/25/13  **Time:** 10:00
OU #677 and 677a
ER-201025, Tndall AFB

analyses completed:
C CSIA -- tubes 3/14/2013
C CSIA -- water 3/15/2013
Cl CSIA -- tubes 3/20/2013
Cl CSIA -- water 3/06/2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>average TCE δ13C</th>
<th>average TCE δ37Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>-9.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-3 P1</td>
<td>-29.0</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-3 P2</td>
<td>-28.8</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW-8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW-20S</td>
<td>-18.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: For Sample ID MW-8, the actual well sampled was MW-5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Tube #</th>
<th>Split X</th>
<th>TCE δ13C</th>
<th>notes</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>average TCE δ13C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9350</td>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>C16_M17855</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-9.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>-9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9352</td>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>C16_M16576</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>-9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9354</td>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>C16_M17784</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>peak too small</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P1</td>
<td>-29.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9355</td>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>C16_M17784 (via M17789)</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>MW-8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9363</td>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>C16_M17751</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>MW-20S</td>
<td>-18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9357</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P1</td>
<td>C16_M17686</td>
<td>1:25</td>
<td>peak too large</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9359</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P1</td>
<td>C16_M17877 (via M17860)</td>
<td>1:80</td>
<td>-28.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9362</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P1</td>
<td>C16_M17787 (via M17718)</td>
<td>1:80</td>
<td>-29.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9358</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P2</td>
<td>C16_M17822</td>
<td>1:25</td>
<td>peak too large</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9361</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P2</td>
<td>C16_M17688 (via M17856)</td>
<td>1:80</td>
<td>-28.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Water volume (mL)</th>
<th>Split X</th>
<th>TCE δ13C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9365</td>
<td>MW-8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9366</td>
<td>MW-20S</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9367</td>
<td>MW-20S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-18.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Tube #</th>
<th>Split X</th>
<th>TCE δ13C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9348</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_J03738</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9349</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_M17859</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9351</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_M17825</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9353</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_J03664</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9356</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_J03729</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9360</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_M16543</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9364</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9370</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

average -30.1
stdev 0.3

off-line δ13C of the stand. correction (x) -30.8

-0.7
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Tube #</th>
<th>Split X</th>
<th>TCE $\delta^{37}$Cl</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>average TCE $\delta^{37}$Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3298</td>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>C16-M17818 (via C16_M17758)</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3302</td>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>C16-M17818 (via C16_M17859)</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3293</td>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>C16_M17717</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>219-IA-3 P1</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3289</td>
<td>219-IA-3 Pump 1</td>
<td>C16_M17787 (via C16_M16587)</td>
<td>1:13</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>MW-8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3305</td>
<td>219-IA-3 Pump 1</td>
<td>C16_M17878 (via C16_M17857)</td>
<td>1:15</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>MW-205</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3291</td>
<td>219-IA-3 Pump 2</td>
<td>C16_M17688 (via C16_M17786)</td>
<td>1:14</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3292</td>
<td>219-IA-3 Pump 2</td>
<td>C16_M17688 (via C16_J03132)</td>
<td>1:14</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3306</td>
<td>219-IA-3 Pump 2</td>
<td>C16_M17688 (via C16_M17723)</td>
<td>1:15</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3274</td>
<td>MW8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3281</td>
<td>MW8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3283</td>
<td>MW8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3275</td>
<td>MW205</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3282</td>
<td>MW205</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #</td>
<td>Sample ID</td>
<td>Tube #</td>
<td>Split X</td>
<td>TCE $\delta^{37}$Cl</td>
<td>Sample ID</td>
<td>average TCE $\delta^{37}$Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3286</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>C16_J05145</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3287</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>C16_M17690</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3288</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>C16_M16587</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3290</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>C16_K08451</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3294</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>C16_M17783</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3295</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>C16_K08458</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3296</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>C16_K08449</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3301</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>C16_M17750</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3303</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>C16_J03150</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3304</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>C16_M17683</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3268</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3269</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3270</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3272</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3277</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3278</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3279</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3280</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3284</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3285</td>
<td>TCE stand 70 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

average 3.3
stdev 0.2
off-line $\delta^{37}$Cl of the stand. correction (x) 3.3

OU #677 and 677a
ER-201025, Tndall AFB

analyses completed:
C CSIA -- tubes 3/14/2013
C CSIA -- water 3/15/2013
Cl CSIA -- tubes 3/20/2013
Cl CSIA -- water 3/06/2013
reanalyzed Cl CSIA -- 5/23/2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>average TCE δ13C</th>
<th>average TCE δ37Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>156-SS-3</td>
<td>-9.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-SS-3</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-3 P1</td>
<td>-29.0</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219-IA-3 P2</td>
<td>-28.8</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW-8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW-20S</td>
<td>-18.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #</td>
<td>Sample ID</td>
<td>Tube #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9350</td>
<td>156-5S-3</td>
<td>C16_M17855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9352</td>
<td>156-5S-3</td>
<td>C16_M16576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9354</td>
<td>219-5S-3</td>
<td>C16_M17784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9355</td>
<td>219-5S-3</td>
<td>C16_M17784 (via M17789)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9363</td>
<td>219-5S-3</td>
<td>C16_M17751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9357</td>
<td>219-IA-3P1</td>
<td>C16_M17686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9359</td>
<td>219-IA-3P1</td>
<td>C16_M17787 (via M17860)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9362</td>
<td>219-IA-3P1</td>
<td>C16_M17787 (via M17718)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9358</td>
<td>219-IA-3P2</td>
<td>C16_M17822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9361</td>
<td>219-IA-3P2</td>
<td>C16_M17688 (via M17856)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9365</td>
<td>MW-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9366</td>
<td>MW-20S</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9367</td>
<td>MW-20S</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9348</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_J03738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9349</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_M17859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9351</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_M17825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9353</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_J03664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9356</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_J03729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9360</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_M16543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9364</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9370</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Tube #</th>
<th>Split X</th>
<th>TCE δ13C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9348</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_J03738</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9349</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_M17859</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9351</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_M17825</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9353</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_J03664</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9356</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_J03729</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9360</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>C16_M16543</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9364</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9370</td>
<td>TCE stand. 100 ng</td>
<td>aqueous by PT</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

average -30.1
stdev 0.3
off-line δ13C of the stand. correction (x) -30.8

-0.7
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Tube #</th>
<th>Split X</th>
<th>TCE δ&lt;sup&gt;37&lt;/sup&gt;Cl</th>
<th>remarks</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>average TCE δ&lt;sup&gt;37&lt;/sup&gt;Cl</th>
<th>averages with May 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3298</td>
<td>156-55-3</td>
<td>C16-M17853</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>156-55-3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3306</td>
<td>156-55-3</td>
<td>C16-M17853</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3584</td>
<td>156-55-3</td>
<td>C16-M17853</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3299</td>
<td>156-55-3</td>
<td>C16-M17853</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3408</td>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>C16-M17853</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3584</td>
<td>156-55-3</td>
<td>C16-M17853</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3408</td>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>C16-M17853</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3584</td>
<td>156-55-3</td>
<td>C16-M17853</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>219-IA-3</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Water volume (mL)</th>
<th>Split X</th>
<th>TCE δ&lt;sup&gt;37&lt;/sup&gt;Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3274</td>
<td>MW8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3281</td>
<td>MW8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3283</td>
<td>MW8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3275</td>
<td>MW8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3282</td>
<td>MW8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

average: 3.3
stdv: 0.2

off-line δ<sup>37</sup>Cl of the stand. correction (x): 3.3

average: 3.3
stdv: 0.2

off-line δ<sup>37</sup>Cl of the stand. correction (x): 3.3

average: 3.3
stdv: 0.2

off-line δ<sup>37</sup>Cl of the stand. correction (x): 3.3
Former Raritan Arsenal Site, New Jersey
LABORATORY REPORT

April 24, 2013

Lila Beckley
CSI Environmental Inc.
2211 Norfolk, Suite 1000
Houston, TX 77098

RE: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

Dear Lila:

Your report number P1301371 has been amended for the samples submitted to our laboratory on April 2, 2013. The results have been reported down to the Method Detection Limit (MDL) per client request. The revised pages have been indicated by the “Revised Page” footer located at the bottom right of the page.

All analyses were performed according to our laboratory’s NELAP and DoD-ELAP-approved quality assurance program. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP and DoD-ELAP standards, where applicable, and except as noted in the laboratory case narrative provided. For a specific list of NELAP and DoD-ELAP-accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section at www.caslab.com. Results are intended to be considered in their entirety and apply only to the samples analyzed and reported herein.

If you have any questions, please call me at (805) 526-7161.

Respectfully submitted,

ALS | Environmental

Sue Anderson
Project Manager
CASE NARRATIVE

The samples were received intact under chain of custody on April 2, 2013 and were stored in accordance with the analytical method requirements. Please refer to the sample acceptance check form for additional information. The results reported herein are applicable only to the condition of the samples at the time of sample receipt.

Volatile Organic Compound Analysis

The samples were analyzed in SIM mode for selected volatile organic compounds in accordance with EPA Method TO-15 from the Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air, Second Edition (EPA/625/R-96/010b), January, 1999. The analytical system was comprised of a gas chromatograph / mass spectrometer (GC/MS) interfaced to a whole-air preconcentrator.

Samples 209-SG-09 (P1301371-008) and 209-IA-09 (P1301371-009) required dilution due to the presence of elevated levels of Methylene Chloride, a non-target analyte. The reporting limits have been adjusted to reflect the dilutions.

The responses for the #3 internal standard in sample CP4-IA-5-NP (P1301371-013) and DUP-1 (P1301371-014) were outside control criteria because of suspected matrix interference. The samples were diluted in an attempt to eliminate the effects of the matrix interference. The results have been reported from the dilutions; therefore, the associated method reporting limits have been elevated accordingly.

The Summa canisters were cleaned, prior to sampling, down to the method reporting limit (MRL) reported for this project. Please note, projects which require reporting below the MRL could have results between the MRL and method detection limit (MDL) that are biased high.

The results of analyses are given in the attached laboratory report. All results are intended to be considered in their entirety, and Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. dba ALS Environmental (ALS) is not responsible for utilization of less than the complete report.
### Certifications, Accreditations, and Registrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Web Site</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIHA</td>
<td><a href="http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org">http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org</a></td>
<td>101661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona DHS</td>
<td><a href="http://www.azdhs.gov/lab/license/env.htm">http://www.azdhs.gov/lab/license/env.htm</a></td>
<td>AZ0694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida DOH (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.doh.state.fl.us/lab/EnvLabCert/WaterCert.htm">http://www.doh.state.fl.us/lab/EnvLabCert/WaterCert.htm</a></td>
<td>E871020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota DOH (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.health.state.mn.us/accreditation">http://www.health.state.mn.us/accreditation</a></td>
<td>494864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey DEP (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nj.gov/dep/oqa/">http://www.nj.gov/dep/oqa/</a></td>
<td>CA009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York DOH (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.wadsworth.org/labcert/elap/elap.html">http://www.wadsworth.org/labcert/elap/elap.html</a></td>
<td>11221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon PHD (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://public.health.oregon.gov/LaboratoryServices/EnvironmentalLaboratoryAccreditation/Pages/index.aspx">http://public.health.oregon.gov/LaboratoryServices/EnvironmentalLaboratoryAccreditation/Pages/index.aspx</a></td>
<td>CA2000007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania DEP</td>
<td><a href="http://www.depweb.state.pa.us/labs">http://www.depweb.state.pa.us/labs</a></td>
<td>68-03307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas CEQ (NELAP)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/env_lab_accreditation.html">http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/env_lab_accreditation.html</a></td>
<td>T104704413-12-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyses were performed according to our laboratory’s NELAP and DoD-ELAP approved quality assurance program. A complete listing of specific NELAP and DoD-ELAP certified analytes can be found in the certifications section at [www.caslab.com](http://www.caslab.com), [www.alsglobal.com](http://www.alsglobal.com), or at the accreditation body’s website.

Each of the certifications listed above have an explicit Scope of Accreditation that applies to specific matrices/methods/analytes; therefore, please contact the laboratory for information corresponding to a particular certification.
## DETAIL SUMMARY REPORT

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669  
**Service Request:** P1301371

**Date Received:** 4/2/2013  
**Time Received:** 09:20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab Code</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Date Collected</th>
<th>Time Collected</th>
<th>Container ID</th>
<th>P1 (psig)</th>
<th>P1 (psig)</th>
<th>TO-15 - VOC SIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP4-AA-1</td>
<td>P1301371-001</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3/26/2013</td>
<td>16:42</td>
<td>AS00366</td>
<td>-3.23</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-1</td>
<td>P1301371-002</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3/26/2013</td>
<td>16:44</td>
<td>AC01464</td>
<td>-4.22</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-2</td>
<td>P1301371-003</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3/26/2013</td>
<td>16:45</td>
<td>AC01662</td>
<td>-1.75</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
<td>P1301371-004</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3/26/2013</td>
<td>16:30</td>
<td>AS00452</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>P1301371-005</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3/26/2013</td>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>AS00364</td>
<td>-1.37</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-SG-3</td>
<td>P1301371-006</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3/26/2013</td>
<td>09:00</td>
<td>AC01810</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>P1301371-008</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3/27/2013</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>AS00370</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-5-BL</td>
<td>P1301371-012</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3/28/2013</td>
<td>08:45</td>
<td>AC01855</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-5-NP</td>
<td>P1301371-013</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3/28/2013</td>
<td>11:05</td>
<td>AC00389</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>P1301371-014</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3/28/2013</td>
<td>00:00</td>
<td>AC01263</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sample Acceptance Check Form

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  Work order: P1301371
Project: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669
Sample(s) received on: 4/2/13  Date opened: 4/2/13  by: MZAMORA

Note: This form is used for all samples received by ALS. The use of this form for custody seals is strictly meant to indicate presence/absence and not as an indication of compliance or nonconformity. Thermal preservation and pH will only be evaluated either at the request of the client and/or as required by the method/SOP.

1  Were sample containers properly marked with client sample ID?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

2  Container(s) supplied by ALS?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

3  Did sample containers arrive in good condition?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

4  Were chain-of-custody papers used and filled out?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

5  Did sample container labels and/or tags agree with custody papers?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

6  Was sample volume received adequate for analysis?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

7  Are samples within specified holding times?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

8  Was proper temperature (thermal preservation) of cooler at receipt adhered to?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

9  Was a trip blank received?
   Yes ☐  No ☐  N/A ☐

10 Were custody seals on outside of cooler/Box?
   Location of seal(s)? Sealing Lid?
      Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐
   Were signature and date included?
      Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐
   Were seals intact?
      Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐
   Were custody seals on outside of sample container?
      Location of seal(s)? Sealing Lid?
         Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐
      Were signature and date included?
         Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐
      Were seals intact?
         Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

11 Do containers have appropriate preservation, according to method/SOP or Client specified information?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐
   Is there a client indication that the submitted samples are pH preserved?
      Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐
   Were VOA vials checked for presence/absence of air bubbles?
      Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐
   Does the client/method/SOP require that the analyst check the sample pH and if necessary alter it?
      Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

12 Tubes: Are the tubes capped and intact?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐
   Do they contain moisture?
      Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

13 Badges: Are the badges properly capped and intact?
   Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐
   Are dual bed badges separated and individually capped and intact?
      Yes ☒  No ☐  N/A ☐

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
<th>Container Description</th>
<th>Required pH *</th>
<th>Received pH</th>
<th>Adjusted pH</th>
<th>VOA Headspace (Presence/Absence)</th>
<th>Receipt / Preservation Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-001.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-002.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-003.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-004.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-005.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-006.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-007.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-008.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RSK - MEEPP, HCL (pH<2); RSK - CO2, (pH 5-8); Sulfur (pH>4)

Explain any discrepancies: (include lab sample ID numbers):
## Sample Acceptance Check Form

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Work order:** P1301371  
**Project:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669  
**Sample(s) received on:** 4/2/13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
<th>Container Description</th>
<th>Required pH *</th>
<th>Received pH</th>
<th>Adjusted pH</th>
<th>VOA Headspace (Presence/Absence)</th>
<th>Receipt / Preservation Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-009.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Silonite Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-010.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-011.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-012.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-013.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1301371-014.01</td>
<td>6.0 L Ambient Can</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain any discrepancies: (include lab sample ID numbers):

RSK - MEEPP, HCL (pH<2); RSK - CO2, (pH 5-8); Sulfur (pH>4)
Results of Analysis

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: CP4-AA-1
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

CAS Project ID: P1301371
CAS Sample ID: P1301371-001

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19
Analyst: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Date Collected: 3/26/13
Date Received: 4/2/13
Date Analyzed: 4/6/13

Container ID: AS00366
Initial Pressure (psig): -3.23
Final Pressure (psig): 3.73
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.61

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>MDL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>MDL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.00067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: CP4-IA-1
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669
Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Container ID: AC01464

Client Project ID: P1301371
CAS Sample ID: P1301371-002
Date Collected: 3/26/13
Date Received: 4/2/13
Date Analyzed: 4/6/13
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Initial Pressure (psig): -4.22
Final Pressure (psig): 3.72
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.76

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>MDL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>MDL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.00073</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

---

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** CP4-IA-2  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1301371  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1301371-003  

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Date Collected:** 3/26/13  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Date Received:** 4/2/13  
**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Date Analyzed:** 4/6/13  
**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)  
**Container ID:** AC01662  

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -1.75  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.69  

**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.42

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>MDL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>MDL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.**  
**MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.**  
**J = The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.**
## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** CP4-IA-3  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1301371  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1301371-004  
**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Date Collected:** 3/26/13  
**Date Received:** 4/2/13  
**Date Analyzed:** 4/6/13  
**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)  
**Test Notes:**  
**Container ID:** AS00452  
**Initial Pressure (psig):** -0.10  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.81  
**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.27  

### CAS #  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4 Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.00099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6 Trichloroethene</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4 Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.00052</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.  
**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** CP4-SG-6  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Container ID:** AS00364

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -1.37  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.58  
**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.  
**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.  
**J** = The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: CP4-SG-3
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code:</th>
<th>EPA TO-15 SIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument ID:</td>
<td>Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyst:</td>
<td>Wida Ang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>6.0 L Summa Canister</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Notes:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container ID:</td>
<td>AC01810</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Client Project ID: P1301371
CAS Sample ID: P1301371-006
Date Collected: 3/26/13
Date Received: 4/2/13
Date Analyzed: 4/6/13 & 4/8/13
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)
0.10 Liter(s)
Initial Pressure (psig): -1.27
Final Pressure (psig): 3.62

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>MDL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>MDL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.00056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
D = The reported result is from a dilution.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 209-SG-06
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19
Analyzer: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Initial Pressure (psig): -2.01 Final Pressure (psig): 3.61
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.44

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.00059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
J = The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 209-SG-09
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975C/inert/7890A/MS19
Analyst: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes:
Container ID: AS00370

Initial Pressure (psig): -1.85  Final Pressure (psig): 3.63

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.43

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result (\mu g/m^3)</th>
<th>MRL (\mu g/m^3)</th>
<th>MDL (\mu g/m^3)</th>
<th>Result (ppbV)</th>
<th>MRL (ppbV)</th>
<th>MDL (ppbV)</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
J = The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Sample ID:** 209-IA-09  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1301371  
**CAS Sample ID:** P1301371-009

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Date Collected:** 3/27/13  
**Instrument received:** 4/2/13  
**Date Analyzed:** 4/6/13  
**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.20 Liter(s)

**Initial Pressure (psig):** -3.92  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.69  
**Canister Dilution Factor:** 1.71

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result $\mu{g/m}^3$</th>
<th>MRL $\mu{g/m}^3$</th>
<th>MDL $\mu{g/m}^3$</th>
<th>Result $ppbV$</th>
<th>MRL $ppbV$</th>
<th>MDL $ppbV$</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND** = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.  
**MRL** = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.  
**J** = The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

### Client: GSI Environmental Inc.

**Client Sample ID:** 209-IA-10  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Date Collected:** 3/27/13

**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Date Received:** 4/2/13

**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Date Analyzed:** 4/6/13

**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister  
**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Container ID:** AC01788  
**Initial Pressure (psig):** -3.91  
**Final Pressure (psig):** 3.77

### CAS #  
**Compound**  
**Result**  
**MRL**  
**MDL**  
**Result**  
**MRL**  
**MDL**  
**Data**  
**Qualifier**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result (µg/m³)</th>
<th>MRL (µg/m³)</th>
<th>MDL (µg/m³)</th>
<th>Result (ppbV)</th>
<th>MRL (ppbV)</th>
<th>MDL (ppbV)</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.00071</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.  
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: 209-AA-1
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

CAS Project ID: P1301371
CAS Sample ID: P1301371-011

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975CInert/7890A/MS19
Analyzer: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Test Notes: Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)
Container ID: AC00791

Initial Pressure (psig): -3.42  
Final Pressure (psig): 3.76

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.64

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>MDL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>MDL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>0.00068</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
J = The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.

Client Sample ID: CP4-IA-5-BL

Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM

Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19

Analyst: Wida Ang

Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>MDL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>MDL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>0.00094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.00056</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.00050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: CP4-IA-5-NP
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19
Analyst: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Initial Pressure (psig): 0.11  Final Pressure (psig): 3.76
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>MDL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>MDL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
J = The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: DUP-1
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19
 Analyst: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Container ID: AC01263

Initial Pressure (psig): 0.44 Final Pressure (psig): 3.58
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>MDL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>MDL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.

**Client Sample ID:** Method Blank

**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

**CAS Project ID:** P1301371

**CAS Sample ID:** P130406-MB

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM

**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19

**Analyst:** Wida Ang

**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister

**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 1.00 Liter(s)

**Date Collected:** NA

**Date Received:** NA

**Date Analyzed:** 4/6/13

### CAS # | Compound | Result µg/m³ | MRL µg/m³ | MDL µg/m³ | Result ppbV | MRL ppbV | MDL ppbV | Data Qualifier |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.00098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.00078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>0.00041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19
Analyst: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister

Canister Dilution Factor: 1.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Result µg/m³</th>
<th>MRL µg/m³</th>
<th>MDL µg/m³</th>
<th>Result ppbV</th>
<th>MRL ppbV</th>
<th>MDL ppbV</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.00098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.00078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>0.00041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory detection limit.
MRL = Method Reporting Limit - The minimum quantity of a target analyte that can be confidently determined by the referenced method.
### Client: GSI Environmental Inc.

**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1301371

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM  
**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19  
**Date(s) Collected:** 3/26 - 3/28/13  
**Analyst:** Wida Ang  
**Date(s) Received:** 4/2/13  
**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister(s)  
**Date(s) Analyzed:** 4/6 - 4/8/13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>1,2-Dichloroethane-d$_4$</th>
<th>Toluene-d$_8$</th>
<th>Bromofluorobenzene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>% Recovered</td>
<td>% Recovered</td>
<td>% Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>P130406-MB</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>P130408-MB</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P130406-LCS</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P130408-LCS</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-AA-1</td>
<td>P1301371-001</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-1</td>
<td>P1301371-002</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-1</td>
<td>P1301371-002DUP</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-2</td>
<td>P1301371-003</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
<td>P1301371-004</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>P1301371-005</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-SG-3</td>
<td>P1301371-006</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209-SG-06</td>
<td>P1301371-007</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>P1301371-008</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209-AA-09</td>
<td>P1301371-009</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209-AA-10</td>
<td>P1301371-010</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209-AA-1</td>
<td>P1301371-011</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-5-BL</td>
<td>P1301371-012</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-5-NP</td>
<td>P1301371-013</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>P1301371-014</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surrogate percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result.  
Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly from the on-column percent recovery.
**LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE SUMMARY**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.

**Client Sample ID:** Lab Control Sample

**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

**CAS Project ID:** P1301371

**CAS Sample ID:** P130406-LCS

**Test Code:** EPA TO-15 SIM

**Instrument ID:** Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19

**Analyst:** Wida Ang

**Date Analyzed:** 4/06/13

**Sample Type:** 6.0 L Summa Canister

**Volume(s) Analyzed:** 0.125 Liter(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount (µg/m³)</th>
<th>Result (µg/m³)</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Acceptance Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>56-117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>62-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>61-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>63-112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>58-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>60-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.
Client: GSI Environmental Inc.  
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample  
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669  
CAS Project ID: P1301371  
CAS Sample ID: P130408-LCS

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM  
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19  
Analyst: Wida Ang  
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister  
Volume(s) Analyzed: 0.125 Liter(s)

**Laboratory Control Sample percent recovery is verified and accepted based on the on-column result. Reported results are shown in concentration units and as a result of the calculation, may vary slightly.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Spike Amount</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>CAS Limits</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>4.00 µg/m³</td>
<td>3.95 µg/m³</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>56-117</td>
<td>56-117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.36 µg/m³</td>
<td>3.88 µg/m³</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>62-113</td>
<td>62-113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.04 µg/m³</td>
<td>3.55 µg/m³</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>61-111</td>
<td>61-111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>4.28 µg/m³</td>
<td>3.81 µg/m³</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>63-112</td>
<td>63-112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>3.96 µg/m³</td>
<td>3.19 µg/m³</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>58-113</td>
<td>58-113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>3.80 µg/m³</td>
<td>2.93 µg/m³</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>60-111</td>
<td>60-111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LABORATORY DUPLICATE SUMMARY RESULTS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Sample ID: CP4-IA-1
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669

Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM
Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19
Analyst: Wida Ang
Sample Type: 6.0 L Summa Canister
Container ID: AC01464

Initial Pressure (psig): -4.22
Final Pressure (psig): 3.72
Canister Dilution Factor: 1.76
Volume(s) Analyzed: 1.00 Liter(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Sample Result µg/m³</th>
<th>Sample Result ppbV</th>
<th>Duplicate Sample Result µg/m³</th>
<th>Duplicate Sample Result ppbV</th>
<th>Average µg/m³</th>
<th>% RPD</th>
<th>RPD Limit</th>
<th>Data Qualifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-01-4</td>
<td>Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-35-4</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-60-5</td>
<td>trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156-59-2</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-01-6</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>1.355</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127-18-4</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.0446</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.0446</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Compound was analyzed for, but not detected above the laboratory reporting limit.
**RESULTS OF ANALYSIS**

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.

**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1301371

**Method Blank Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code:</th>
<th>EPA TO-15 SIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument ID:</td>
<td>Tekmar AUTO CAN/Agilent 5975 Cinert/7890A/MS19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyst:</td>
<td>Wida Ang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>6.0 L Summa Canister(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab File ID:</td>
<td>04061303.D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Analyzed:</td>
<td>4/06/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Analyzed:</td>
<td>02:34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab File ID</th>
<th>Time Analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P130406-LCS</td>
<td>04061304.D</td>
<td>03:07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-AA-1</td>
<td>P1301371-001</td>
<td>04061315.D</td>
<td>13:48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-1</td>
<td>P1301371-002</td>
<td>04061316.D</td>
<td>14:21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-1 (Lab Duplicate)</td>
<td>P1301371-002DUP</td>
<td>04061317.D</td>
<td>14:54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-2</td>
<td>P1301371-003</td>
<td>04061318.D</td>
<td>15:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
<td>P1301371-004</td>
<td>04061319.D</td>
<td>15:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>P1301371-005</td>
<td>04061320.D</td>
<td>16:32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-SG-3</td>
<td>P1301371-006</td>
<td>04061321.D</td>
<td>17:05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209-SG-06</td>
<td>P1301371-007</td>
<td>04061322.D</td>
<td>17:37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>P1301371-008</td>
<td>04061323.D</td>
<td>18:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209-IA-09</td>
<td>P1301371-009</td>
<td>04061324.D</td>
<td>18:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209-IA-10</td>
<td>P1301371-010</td>
<td>04061325.D</td>
<td>19:15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669
CAS Project ID: P1301371

Method Blank Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Notes: 6.0 L Summa Canister(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code: EPA TO-15 SIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument ID: Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyst: Wida Ang</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Type:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Notes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>CAS Sample ID</th>
<th>Lab File ID</th>
<th>Time Analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab Control Sample</td>
<td>P130408-LCS</td>
<td>04081304.D</td>
<td>09:12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-SG-3 (Dilution)</td>
<td>P1301371-006</td>
<td>04081306.D</td>
<td>10:31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-5-BL</td>
<td>P1301371-012</td>
<td>04081312.D</td>
<td>14:12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-5-NP</td>
<td>P1301371-013</td>
<td>04081317.D</td>
<td>17:09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUP-1</td>
<td>P1301371-014</td>
<td>04081318.D</td>
<td>17:41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Client: GSI Environmental Inc.
Client Project ID: ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669
CAS Project ID: P1301371

Internal Standard Area and RT Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IS1 (BCM)</th>
<th>IS2 (DFB)</th>
<th>IS3 (CBZ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
<td>AREA #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hour Standard</td>
<td>50217</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>220840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
<td>70304</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td>309176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>30130</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>132504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Client Sample ID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Area #</th>
<th>RT #</th>
<th>Area #</th>
<th>RT #</th>
<th>Area #</th>
<th>RT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Method Blank</td>
<td>49826</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>214849</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>30678</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Lab Control</td>
<td>49938</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>219672</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>30468</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>CP4-AA-1</td>
<td>50552</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>226086</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>31534</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>CP4-AA-1</td>
<td>50432</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>223569</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>33583</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>CP4-AA-1</td>
<td>50409</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>222053</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>32807</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>CP4-AA-2</td>
<td>50028</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>221254</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>34539</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>CP4-AA-3</td>
<td>50867</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>223592</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>34757</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>50559</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>223155</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>32601</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>CP4-SG-3</td>
<td>50604</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>223687</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>35978</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>209-SG-06</td>
<td>50840</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>230789</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>33313</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>50984</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>222513</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>31646</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>209-IA-09</td>
<td>50518</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>220404</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>30064</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>209-IA-10</td>
<td>49238</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>220213</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>30839</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>209-AA-1</td>
<td>49248</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>218196</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>29491</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IS1 (BCM) = Bromochloromethane
IS2 (DFB) = 1,4-Difluorobenzene
IS3 (CBZ) = Chlorobenzene-d5

AREA UPPER LIMIT = 140% of internal standard area
AREA LOWER LIMIT = 60% of internal standard area
RT UPPER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT
RT LOWER LIMIT = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an I.
I = Internal standard not within the specified limits. See case narrative.
### RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

**Client:** GSI Environmental Inc.  
**Client Project ID:** ESTCP VI Study - Raritan / 3585/3669  
**CAS Project ID:** P1301371

#### Internal Standard Area and RT Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code:</th>
<th>EPA TO-15 SIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument ID:</td>
<td>Tekmar AUTOCAN/Agilent 5975Cinert/7890A/MS19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyst:</td>
<td>Wida Ang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Type:</td>
<td>6.0 L Summa Canister(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Analyzed:</td>
<td>4/8/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Analyzed:</td>
<td>08:06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IS1 (BCM)</th>
<th>IS2 (DFB)</th>
<th>IS3 (CBZ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AREA #</strong></td>
<td><strong>RT #</strong></td>
<td><strong>AREA #</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hour Standard</td>
<td>50663</td>
<td>11.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
<td>70928</td>
<td>11.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>30398</td>
<td>11.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Client Sample ID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>01 Method Blank</th>
<th>02 Lab Control Sample</th>
<th>03 CP4-SG-3 (Dilution)</th>
<th>04 CP4-IA-5-BL</th>
<th>05 CP4-IA-5-NP</th>
<th>06 DUP-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
<td>AREA #</td>
<td>RT #</td>
<td>AREA #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49936</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>217389</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>28648</td>
<td>17.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51082</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>222836</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>29396</td>
<td>17.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51745</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>219682</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>31358</td>
<td>17.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53900</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>235852</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>37656</td>
<td>17.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51790</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>226392</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>37454</td>
<td>17.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49347</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>215068</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>36550</td>
<td>17.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IS1 (BCM)** = Bromochloromethane  
**IS2 (DFB)** = 1,4-Difluorobenzene  
**IS3 (CBZ)** = Chlorobenzene-d5

**AREA UPPER LIMIT** = 140% of internal standard area  
**AREA LOWER LIMIT** = 60% of internal standard area  
**RT UPPER LIMIT** = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT  
**RT LOWER LIMIT** = 0.33 minutes of internal standard RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an I.  
I = Internal standard not within the specified limits.  See case narrative.
### Response Factor Report MS19

**Method Path:** I:\MS19\METHODS\  
**Method File:** X19032813.M  
**Title:** EPA T0-15 per SOP VOA-T015 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)  
**Last Update:** Thu Mar 28 14:08:39 2013  
**Response Via:** Initial Calibration

#### Calibration Files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2500</th>
<th>9999</th>
<th>20K</th>
<th>Avg</th>
<th>%RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I 1,1,2-Trichlorodifeuoroethane</td>
<td>3.095</td>
<td>2.831</td>
<td>2.652</td>
<td>2.598</td>
<td>2.581</td>
<td>2.480</td>
<td>2.350</td>
<td>2.276</td>
<td>2.228</td>
<td>2.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>T Dichlorodifluoroethane</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>14.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>T Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.727</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>1.507</td>
<td>1.474</td>
<td>1.448</td>
<td>1.416</td>
<td>1.363</td>
<td>1.355</td>
<td>1.399</td>
<td>1.479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>T Bromomethane</td>
<td>1.165</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>15.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>T Chloroethane</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.611</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>T Acetone</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>T Methane</td>
<td>1.155</td>
<td>1.179</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>1.068</td>
<td>1.096</td>
<td>1.057</td>
<td>1.037</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>1.112</td>
<td>1.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>T 1,1-Dichloroethylene</td>
<td>1.653</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>1.217</td>
<td>1.152</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>16.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>1.574</td>
<td>1.432</td>
<td>1.359</td>
<td>1.287</td>
<td>1.240</td>
<td>1.171</td>
<td>1.140</td>
<td>1.111</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>T Chloroform</td>
<td>2.438</td>
<td>2.212</td>
<td>2.046</td>
<td>1.934</td>
<td>1.999</td>
<td>1.909</td>
<td>1.818</td>
<td>1.886</td>
<td>1.833</td>
<td>2.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>T 1,2-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>1.294</td>
<td>1.283</td>
<td>1.287</td>
<td>1.249</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>1.249</td>
<td>1.207</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>1.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>T 1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>2.304</td>
<td>2.125</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>1.905</td>
<td>1.905</td>
<td>1.831</td>
<td>1.797</td>
<td>1.850</td>
<td>1.848</td>
<td>1.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>T Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>1.628</td>
<td>1.582</td>
<td>1.520</td>
<td>1.493</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>1.515</td>
<td>1.504</td>
<td>1.570</td>
<td>1.582</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>T 1,4-Difluorobenzene</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>T Bromochloromethane</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>T Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>T 1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>T cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>T trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>T 1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>T Toluene-d8 (SS2)</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>T Toluene</td>
<td>1.381</td>
<td>1.412</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>1.061</td>
<td>1.037</td>
<td>1.075</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>1.129</td>
<td>10.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>T 1,2-Dibromoethane</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>T Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.427</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Response Factor Report MS19

**Method Path:** I:\MS19\METHODS

**Method File:** X19032813.M

**Title:** EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)

#### Method Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Retention Time</th>
<th>Response Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>7.131</td>
<td>6.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>2.830</td>
<td>2.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromofluorobenzene</td>
<td>3.827</td>
<td>3.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>6.005</td>
<td>5.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>6.869</td>
<td>5.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>5.771</td>
<td>5.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>4.941</td>
<td>3.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>1.424</td>
<td>1.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>2.649</td>
<td>2.441</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(#{}) = Out of Range
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data File: I:\MS19\DATA\2013_04\06\04061302.D
Acq On : 6 Apr 2013 2:01 am  Operator: WA/KR
Sample : 500pg TO-15SIM CCV STD  Inst : MS19
Misc : S25-03191301/S25-03221308 (4/20)
ALS Vial : 15  Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Apr 06 06:21:52 2013
Quant Method : I:\MS19\METHODS\X19032813.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Thu Mar 28 14:08:39 2013
Response via : Initial Calibration
DataAcq Meth:TO15SIM2.M

Min. RRF : 0.000  Min. Rel. Area : 50%  Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev : 30%  Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev Area</th>
<th>Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 I Bromochloromethane (IS1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0 96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 T Dichlorodifluoromethane (CF)</td>
<td>2.566</td>
<td>2.158</td>
<td>15.9 80</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 T Chloromethane</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>-9.2 107</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 T Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td>1.590</td>
<td>-7.5 105</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 T Bromomethane</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>-3.7 103</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 T Chloroethane</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>-17.3 119</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 T Acetone</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>-41.4 125</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 T Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>1.962</td>
<td>1.707</td>
<td>13.0 85</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>1.075</td>
<td>4.4 94</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 T Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>1.165</td>
<td>6.4 92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 T Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>1.275</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>16.5 82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 T trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>1.205</td>
<td>6.3 92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 T 1,1-Dichloroethane</td>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>1.765</td>
<td>1.9 95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 T Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>2.871</td>
<td>2.849</td>
<td>0.8 100</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 T cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.297</td>
<td>1.226</td>
<td>5.5 92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 T Chloroform</td>
<td>2.008</td>
<td>1.764</td>
<td>12.2 85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 T 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (SS1)</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>1.169</td>
<td>6.1 89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 T 1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.506</td>
<td>1.239</td>
<td>17.7 80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 T 1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>1.948</td>
<td>1.617</td>
<td>17.0 81</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 T Benzene</td>
<td>4.106</td>
<td>4.095</td>
<td>0.3 95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 T Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>16.1 81</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 T 1,4-Difluorobenzene (IS2)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0 101</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 T 1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>3.7 100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 T Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>18.3 85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 T Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>17.6 87</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 T 1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>2.8 102</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 T cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>7.0 96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 T trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>10.2 93</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 T 1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>11.4 92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 S Toluene-d8 (SS2)</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>-2.7 105</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 T Toluene</td>
<td>1.129</td>
<td>1.046</td>
<td>7.4 95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 T 1,2-Dibromoethene</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>13.7 90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 T Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>20.1 82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 T Chlorobenzene-d5 (IS3)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0 93</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 T Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>5.512</td>
<td>5.217</td>
<td>5.4 89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 T Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>7.840</td>
<td>8.211</td>
<td>-4.7 99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 T m,p-Xylene</td>
<td>6.155</td>
<td>6.544</td>
<td>-6.3 96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 T o-Xylene</td>
<td>6.773</td>
<td>7.042</td>
<td>-4.0 94</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 T 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>3.015</td>
<td>3.106</td>
<td>-3.0 92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 S Bromofluorobenzene (SS3)</td>
<td>3.891</td>
<td>3.709</td>
<td>4.7 86</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 T 1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>4.995</td>
<td>4.587</td>
<td>8.2 84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 T 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>5.211</td>
<td>4.587</td>
<td>12.0 84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 T 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>4.856</td>
<td>4.414</td>
<td>9.1 83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 T 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>3.710</td>
<td>3.080</td>
<td>17.0 81</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 T Naphthalene</td>
<td>11.050</td>
<td>10.314</td>
<td>6.7 90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 T Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>2.272</td>
<td>1.936</td>
<td>14.8 80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(##) = Out of Range  SPCC's out = 0  CCC's out = 0
Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data File: I:\MS19\DATA\2013_04\08\04081302.D
Acq On : 8 Apr 2013 8:06 am  Operator: WA
Sample : 500pg TO-15SIM CCV STD         Inst : MS19
Misc : S25-03191301/S25-03221308 (4/20)
ALS Vial : 15 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Apr 08 08:39:40 2013
Quant Method : I:\MS19\METHODS\X19032813.M
Quant Title : EPA TO-15 per SOP VOA-TO15 (CASS TO-15/GC-MS)
QLast Update : Thu Mar 28 14:08:39 2013
Response via : Initial Calibration
DataAcq Meth:TO15SIM2.M

Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.33min
Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 200%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>AvgRF</th>
<th>CCRF</th>
<th>%Dev</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%Dev(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 I Bromochloromethane (IS1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 T Dichlorodifluoromethane (CF)</td>
<td>2.566</td>
<td>2.104</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 T Chloromethane</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 T Vinyl Chloride</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td>1.552</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 T Bromomethane</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 T Chloroethane</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>-14.6</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 T Acetone</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>-39.8</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 T Trichlorofluoromethane</td>
<td>1.962</td>
<td>1.684</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 T Methylene Chloride</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>1.151</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 T Trichlorotrifluoroethane</td>
<td>1.275</td>
<td>1.086</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 T trans-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>1.202</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 T 1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>1.697</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 T Methyl tert-Butyl Ether</td>
<td>2.871</td>
<td>2.903</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 T cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.297</td>
<td>1.227</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 T Chloroform</td>
<td>2.008</td>
<td>1.751</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 S 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (SS1)</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>1.127</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 T 1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
<td>1.506</td>
<td>1.208</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 T 1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>1.948</td>
<td>1.613</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 T Benzene</td>
<td>4.106</td>
<td>4.108</td>
<td>-0.0</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 T Carbon Tetrachloride</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>1.284</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 I 1,4-Difluorobenzene (IS2)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 T 1,2-Dichloropropane</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 T Bromodichloromethane</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 T Trichloroethene</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 T 1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 T cis-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 T trans-1,3-Dichloropropene</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 T 1,1,2-Trichloroethane</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 S Toluene-d8 (SS2)</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 T Toluene</td>
<td>1.129</td>
<td>1.058</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 T 1,2-Dibromoethene</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 T Tetrachloroethene</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 I Chlorobenzene-d5 (IS3)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 T Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>5.512</td>
<td>5.508</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 T Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>7.840</td>
<td>8.638</td>
<td>-10.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 T m,p-Xylene</td>
<td>6.155</td>
<td>6.871</td>
<td>-11.6</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 T O-Xylene</td>
<td>6.773</td>
<td>7.384</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 T 1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane</td>
<td>3.075</td>
<td>3.231</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 S Bromofluorobenzene (SS3)</td>
<td>3.891</td>
<td>3.706</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 T 1,3-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>4.995</td>
<td>4.741</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 T 1,4-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>5.211</td>
<td>4.777</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 T 1,2-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>4.856</td>
<td>4.606</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 T 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene</td>
<td>3.710</td>
<td>3.239</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 T Naphthalene</td>
<td>11.050</td>
<td>10.656</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 T Hexachlorobutadiene</td>
<td>2.272</td>
<td>2.050</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(##) = Out of Range  SPCC's out = 0  CCC's out = 0

X19032813.M Mon Apr 08 12:46:01 2013
Radon Analysis (EPA Method GS: Grab Sample/Scintillation Cell counting)

For GSI  

Client Project Number: 3585/3669

Sample date(s): 3/28/13

Sampling: ESTCP VI Study, Raritan NJ  

Sample containers: Tedlar bags  

Assumed Site Pressure: 1.00 atm

Analytical: Doug Hammond  

based on an elevation of 125 ft

Phone: 310-490-7896

email: dhammond@usc.edu

Sample collected by: Lila Beckley

Sample Dates: 3/28/13

Site: ESTCP VI Study, Raritan NJ

Sample volume: Tedlar bags

Sample containers: Tedlar bags

Assumed Site Pressure: 1.00 atm

Analysts: Doug Hammond

based on an elevation of 125 ft

Phone: 310-490-7896

email: dhammond@usc.edu

3 hours Collect (EDT)

Run (PDT)

Uncertainty given in pCi/liter is based on counting statistics for low activity samples. For high activity samples uncertainty is ±5%.

The Lower Limit of Detection for Rn (95% confidence level as recommended by EPA 402-R-95-012, Oct. 97) is 0.14 pCi/liter.

Results are reported based on standardization with NIST-traceable radon sources.

These results are for application of naturally-occurring radon as a tracer of soil vapor intrusion, but are not intended for evaluation of radon hazards.

Results corrected to in situ pressure as noted above

---

### Raw Data, Calculation factors, and Analytical Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Vol run</th>
<th>Conc.</th>
<th>±1 sig</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>±1 SSD</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/28/13</td>
<td>8:50</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3/28/13</td>
<td>8:45</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3/28/13</td>
<td>11:05</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/28/13</td>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Definitions:

- **Cell/ch:** Counting cell and channel used
- **AR/He:** Correction for matrix counting gas density
- **Decay factor:** Correction factor for decay from collection to analysis
- **Press vol:** Volume analyzed (cc)
- **Press factor:** Correction to in situ pressure based on collection altitude
- **Radon Conc:** [Radon Concentration](https://example.com)
- **Rec dpm:** [Recovery](https://example.com)

---

For more detailed information, please refer to the original document.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sample ID</th>
<th>Laboratory ID Number</th>
<th>Date Collected</th>
<th>Time Collected</th>
<th>Canister ID (Bar code # - AC, SC, etc.)</th>
<th>Flow Controller ID (Bar code # - FC #)</th>
<th>Canister Start Pressure (Hg)</th>
<th>Canister End Pressure (Hg/psig)</th>
<th>Sample Volume</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP4-AA-BL</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/28/13</td>
<td>0850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>750 mL in 1L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-A-5BL</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/28/13</td>
<td>D845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-A-5NP</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/28/13</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low Volume?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNP-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/28/13</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Report Tier Levels - please select**

Tier I (Results (Default if not specified))

Tier II (Results + QC & Calibration Summaries)

Tier III (Results + QC & Calibration Summaries)

EDD required: Yes / No

Project Requirements (MRLs, QAPP)

Relinquished by: (Signature) Date: 3/28/13 Time: 1300

Relinquished by: (Signature) Date: 3/28/13 Time: 1300

Received by: (Signature) Date: 3/29/13 Time: 1300

Received by: (Signature) Date: 3/29/13 Time: 1300

Cooler / Blank Temperature: °C
Results of FRAS samples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE ID</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>-10.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
<td>-31.2</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-4</td>
<td>-30.9</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>-30.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW-136</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW-139</td>
<td>-16.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW-156</td>
<td>-25.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW-CP-IV-1</td>
<td>-20.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The linear equation is: $y = 0.3344x + 9.8804$.
Results of FRAS samples:

Notes

correction [X] accounts for the method bias, based on the external standard runs, see QAQC data:
"corrected $\delta=\delta+X$" should be used to compare data from the present sampling event with those from past or future sampling event date analyzed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>SAMPLE ID</th>
<th>AIRTUBE #</th>
<th>TCE del VPDB</th>
<th>AVERAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAMPLE ID</td>
<td>TCE del VPDB stdev</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAMPLE ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9452</td>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>-10.7</td>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9476</td>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>-10.4</td>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9446</td>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
<td>-31.1</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9456</td>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
<td>-31.3</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9447</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>-30.9</td>
<td>MW-136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9448</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>-30.5</td>
<td>MW-139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9449</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>MW-156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9461</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
<td>MW-CP-IV-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9474</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9475</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>CP4-SG-6</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9444</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_K08421</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9445</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_J03696</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9450</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_M16542</td>
<td>-30.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9451</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_M17687</td>
<td>-30.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9454</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_M17787</td>
<td>-30.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9473</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_M17715</td>
<td>-30.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9477</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_J07064</td>
<td>-29.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9478</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_M17821</td>
<td>-30.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>SAMPLE ID</th>
<th>volume (ul)</th>
<th>TCE del VPDB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9467</td>
<td>MW-136</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9465</td>
<td>MW-139</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>-16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9470</td>
<td>MW-139</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>-16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9469</td>
<td>MW-156</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9471</td>
<td>MW-156</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9468</td>
<td>MW-CP-IV-1</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>-20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9462</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9463</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9466</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9472</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-30.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes
correction (X) accounts for the method bias, based on the external standard runs, see QAQC data
“corrected δ=δ+X” should be used to compare data from the present sampling event with those from past or future sampling events
date analyzed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>SAMPLE ID</th>
<th>AIRTUBE</th>
<th>TCE del SMOC</th>
<th>AVERAGES</th>
<th>SAMPLE ID</th>
<th>TCE del SMOC</th>
<th>stdev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3389</td>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>C16_M17789</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>209-SG-09</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3385</td>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
<td>C16_M1784</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>CP4-IA-4</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3394</td>
<td>CP4-IA-3</td>
<td>C16_M17825</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3387</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4</td>
<td>C16_033738</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>CP4-IA-4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CP4-SG-4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3386</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>C16_M17817</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>MW-136</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3395</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>C16_M17687</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>MW-136</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3392</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>C16_M17840</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>MW-136</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3393</td>
<td>CP4-IA-4B</td>
<td>CP-IV-1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>MW-136</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3379</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_K08421</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3380</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_M17787</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3381</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_03146</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3384</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_M17857</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3388</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_M17722</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3390</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_036979</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3391</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_M17758</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3392</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_03116</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3393</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>C16_K08440</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>average</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>stdev</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>off-line δ37Cl of the stand.</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>correction (x)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>SAMPLE ID</th>
<th>volume (ul)</th>
<th>TCE del SMOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3361</td>
<td>MW-136</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3366</td>
<td>MW-136</td>
<td>4250</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3360</td>
<td>MW-139</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3359</td>
<td>MW-156</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3358</td>
<td>MW-156</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3362</td>
<td>MW-CP-IV-1</td>
<td>20500</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>SAMPLE ID</th>
<th>TCE del SMOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3350</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3354</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3355</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3363</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3364</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3367</td>
<td>TCE standard</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>stdev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>off-line δ37Cl of the stand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>correction (x)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplemental CSIA Results
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>SAMPLE ID</th>
<th>TUBE #</th>
<th>date analyzed</th>
<th>bzn (ng)</th>
<th>tce (ng)</th>
<th>pce (ng)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3244</td>
<td>BLANK CLEANED TUBE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3245</td>
<td>BLANK CLEANED TUBE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3246</td>
<td>BLANK CLEANED TUBE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3247</td>
<td>BLANK CLEANED TUBE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3252</td>
<td>613 TRIP BLANK</td>
<td>C16_K08449</td>
<td>Jan-10-2013</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3257</td>
<td>613 TRIP BLANK</td>
<td>C16_K08458</td>
<td>Jan-11-2013</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3251</td>
<td>631 TRIP BLANK</td>
<td>C16_J03703</td>
<td>Jan-10-2013</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3255</td>
<td>631 TRIP BLANK</td>
<td>C16_K08451</td>
<td>Jan-11-2013</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3256</td>
<td>631 TRIP BLANK</td>
<td>C16_J03115</td>
<td>Jan-11-2013</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3309</td>
<td>677 TRIP BLANK</td>
<td>C16_M16542</td>
<td>Mar-22-2013</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3311</td>
<td>677 TRIP BLANK</td>
<td>C16_M17854</td>
<td>Mar-22-2013</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3398</td>
<td>687 TRIP BLANK</td>
<td>C16_K08451</td>
<td>Apr-15-2013</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3401</td>
<td>687 TRIP BLANK</td>
<td>C16_M17860</td>
<td>Apr-15-2013</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3402</td>
<td>687 TRIP BLANK</td>
<td>C16_M16587</td>
<td>Apr-15-2013</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Analyses Completed:

**C CSIA – 5/23/2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Volume (ml)</th>
<th>Split X</th>
<th>Benzene δ13C</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Benzene δ13C</th>
<th>Stdev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9577</td>
<td>Houston Natural Gas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 : 9</td>
<td>-22.3</td>
<td>Houston Natural Gas</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9578</td>
<td>Houston Natural Gas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 : 4</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>Austin Natural Gas</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9580</td>
<td>Houston Natural Gas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 : 3</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9583</td>
<td>Austin Natural Gas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9584</td>
<td>Austin Natural Gas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-21.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Split X</th>
<th>Benzene δ13C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9575</td>
<td>BZ standard</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9576</td>
<td>BZ standard</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9581</td>
<td>BZ standard</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9582</td>
<td>BZ standard</td>
<td>splitless</td>
<td>-27.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average: -28.025
Stdev: 0.1

Off-line δ13C of the stand. correction (x): -28.1

Correction (x): -0.1
OU project #712a  
Client: GSI, Project ER-201025  
Two samples in Summa canisters  
Analyzed August 21-22, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>$\delta^{2}H$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7868</td>
<td>Austin Nat. Gas; 25 ML</td>
<td>-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7870</td>
<td>Houston Nat. Gas; 20 ML</td>
<td>-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7871</td>
<td>Houston Nat. Gas; 6 ML</td>
<td>-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7865</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7866</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>-78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7867</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7869</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7873</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>-78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

average $\delta$ of the standard: -75  
stdev: 5

off-line $\delta$ of the standard: -75
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## LIST OF ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%‰</td>
<td>Per mil (parts per thousand)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-DCE</td>
<td>1,1-Dichloroethene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,1-TCA</td>
<td>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-DCA (EDC)</td>
<td>1,2-Dichloroethane (Ethylene Dichloride)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Air Force Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bgs</td>
<td>Below ground surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,2-DCE</td>
<td>cis-1,2-Dichloroethene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COC</td>
<td>Constituent of concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIA</td>
<td>Compound-Specific Stable Isotope Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ</td>
<td>Delta, an Isotope Ratio Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft</td>
<td>Feet, foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC/MS</td>
<td>Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>thousand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTBE</td>
<td>Methyl tert butyl ether</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE</td>
<td>Tetrachloroethene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMOC</td>
<td>Standard Mean Ocean Chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGA</td>
<td>Trace Atmospheric Gas Analyzer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USEPA</td>
<td>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-PDB</td>
<td>Vienna - Pee Dee Belemnite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-SMOW</td>
<td>Vienna – Standard Mean Ocean Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Vapor Intrusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOA</td>
<td>Volatile Organic Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOCs</td>
<td>Volatile Organic Compounds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.0 INTRODUCTION

Compound-specific stable isotope analysis (CSIA) can be used as a building-specific vapor intrusion investigation tool to augment data from other investigation methods. The primary utility is to provide an independent line of evidence to distinguish between vapor intrusion and indoor sources of VOCs.

This CSIA protocol is not a standalone investigation approach. It involves collection of subsurface source (i.e., groundwater) and indoor air samples. Concentrations of target VOCs from these media must be known or estimated to develop CSIA sampling parameters (e.g., sample collection time).

This document i) describes the applicability of CSIA for vapor intrusion investigations (Section 2.0), ii) provides a step-by-step procedure for sample collection (Section 3.0), and iii) includes guidelines for data interpretation (Section 4.0). Additional background information on this investigation approach is available in the ESTCP Project ER-201025 Final Report (GSI, 2013a).

2.0 APPLICABILITY

2.1 Technology Background

Many elements, such as carbon, occur as different isotope species, differing in their number of neutrons present in the nucleus. For example, $^{12}\text{C}$, with 6 neutrons, is the most abundant form of carbon. $^{13}\text{C}$, with 7 neutrons, makes up a small fraction (~1%) of the carbon in the environment. Isotopic ratios ($^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$) of a specific compound (e.g., TCE) can vary as a result of differences in their source material or compound synthesis or due to transformation in the environment (USEPA, 2008). Differences in the isotopic ratio measured in organic contaminants present in environmental samples can be used to i) distinguish between different sources of the contaminants and ii) understand biodegradation and other transformation processes occurring in the environment.

CSIA measures the carbon, chlorine, and/or hydrogen isotope ratios for individual chemicals. The results, however, are not reported as direct ratios of the isotopes. In order to ensure inter-laboratory comparability and accuracy, the ratios are expressed relative to an international standard (typically V-PDB for carbon, SMOC for chlorine, and V-SMOW for hydrogen). Measured values are compared to the standard and reported as $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}$, and $\delta^{2}\text{H}$. Results are typically reported in parts per thousand (“per mil” [%o]).

As discussed in Section 3.4, groundwater samples are collected in standard VOA vials. Vapor samples are collected on sorbent tubes (Section 3.5) or in Summa canisters. In an evaluation of commercially-available sorbents, Carboxen 1016 was found to perform best under different sampling conditions (GSI, 2012). The validated sampling conditions are summarized in Table 1.
Table 1: Sampling Conditions for Fractionation-Free Performance with Carboxen 1016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Validated Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target VOCs/isotopes</td>
<td>benzene (C, H), TCE (C, Cl), PCE (C, Cl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Volume</td>
<td>≤100 L&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Collection Rate</td>
<td>≤100 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Humidity (at 23°C)</td>
<td>10% - 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target VOC mass: benzene</td>
<td>30 to 900 ng&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target VOC mass: TCE, PCE</td>
<td>100 to 2250 ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-target VOC mass</td>
<td>0 to 800 ug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Holding Time (at 4°C)&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Up to 4 weeks&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Holding Time (at -10°C)&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Up to 24 weeks&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory study showed an absence of fractionation for sample volumes up to 200L. However, a 100L sample volume limit is recommended as a conservative measure to ensure an absence of fractionation; <sup>2</sup> A higher minimum sample mass of 1000 ng is required to measure the hydrogen isotope ratio for benzene. Performance for up to 5000 ng was validated; <sup>3</sup> Storage of samples at room temperature is not recommended. Refrigerated tubes can be stored for at least 4 weeks prior to analysis (Klisch et al., 2012). It is recommended that tubes be frozen for holding time longer than 4 weeks, and analyzed within 6 months of collection (see GSI, 2013).

The methodology for determination of isotope ratios in VOCs present in air/vapor involves i) recovery and preconcentration of the target volatiles from air/vapor by sample processing by standard methods such as those described in USEPA Methods TO-15 or TO-17 (USEPA 1999a; USEPA 1999b); and ii) analysis of the collected samples for their isotope ratios, using CSIA adapted from the protocols used for analysis of the same VOCs present in groundwater samples (USEPA, 2008).

### 2.2 Application to Vapor Intrusion

Various processes can change the isotope ratios of a compound (so-called isotope fractionation). Molecular bonds containing the lighter isotopes are broken at slightly faster rates than those containing the heavier isotopes. As a result, the isotopic ratio for a compound can change over time as the compound is biodegraded in the subsurface. The parent compound (e.g., TCE) becomes relatively enriched in heavy isotopes (i.e., less negative δ<sup>13</sup>C and δ<sup>37</sup>Cl values), while transformation products (e.g., cis-1,2-DCE) end up with less of the heavy isotopes (i.e., more negative δ<sup>13</sup>C and δ<sup>37</sup>Cl values). While physical processes such as evaporation and sorption can also cause fractionation at contaminated sites, these processes are often too subtle to have a measurable effect on isotope ratios, except for hydrogen.

The CSIA approach involves i) determination of stable isotope ratios of the target VOCs present in the air (<sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C, <sup>37</sup>Cl/<sup>35</sup>Cl for PCE and TCE; <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C and <sup>2</sup>H/<sup>1</sup>H in the case of benzene) and ii) use of those ratios to differentiate between VOCs sourced from the subsurface (true vapor intrusion) and those sourced from miscellaneous household products. The conceptual basis for application of CSIA to vapor intrusion follows:

1. Isotope ratios for VOCs originating from different manufactured sources have isotope ratios within a defined range (Figure 1, Panel A). This range is small compared to the range of isotope ratios created by isotope fractionation effects that occur in the subsurface.

2. VOCs originating from subsurface sources commonly undergo biodegradation in groundwater and later in the unsaturated soil prior to entering indoor air. Individual
molecules that contain the lighter isotopes are often preferentially biodegraded, resulting in enrichment of the heavier isotope species in the undegraded residue (Figure 1, Panel B). This enrichment process is known as isotope fractionation.

3. The consequence of isotope fractionation is that isotope composition of VOCs originating from the subsurface is often clearly different than that of pristine (undegraded) manufactured products acting as indoor sources of the same VOCs (Figure 1, Panel C).

4. This difference allows the successful differentiation between VOCs from indoor sources and those from true vapor intrusion sources (Figure 1, Panel D).

**Figure 1: Conceptual Basis for Application of CSIA to Vapor Intrusion**

Interpretation of the origin of VOCs in indoor air based on CSIA results is relatively straightforward in comparison to traditional vapor intrusion investigation methods. The isotope ratios from VOCs in indoor air are directly compared to those from the subsurface source (groundwater) and those measured in a variety of available consumer products. Isotope ratios dissimilar from the subsurface source but similar to the values characteristic of, for example, TCE present in household products is a strong indication that the latter are responsible for the indoor air contamination (see Figure 1, Panel D, Example A). On the other hand, the isotope
ratios of TCE in indoor air can be similar to the subsurface sources and different from indoor sources, confirming the impact of vapor intrusion (Figure 1, Panel D, Example B).

2.3 Building-Specific Applicability

Building-specific investigations of vapor intrusion are typically required when VOCs have been detected above applicable screening concentrations within 30 to 100 feet of the buildings and the results of subsurface testing (i.e., groundwater or soil gas) indicate a potential vapor intrusion concern (USEPA, 2002; ITRC, 2007).

When a building-specific investigation is required, the CSIA investigation procedure is broadly applicable to a wide variety of building types and COCs. The investigation procedure will be most commonly applied in conjunction with other investigation methods. Specific considerations for the selection of this investigation procedure are discussed below.

2.3.1 Isotope Fingerprint of Subsurface Source

The CSIA procedure relies on differences in the isotope signature between the subsurface VOC source and potential indoor VOC sources in order to determine the origin of VOCs detected in indoor air. As a result, the method is most likely to provide clear results if the isotope fingerprint for the subsurface source is outside the range for potential indoor sources (see Figure 2 “A”). The method may also yield useful supporting evidence if the isotope ratios for the subsurface source are close to the heavy end of the indoor source range (see Figure 2 “B”). In this situation, an indoor air sample with isotope ratios that closely match the subsurface source would provide supporting evidence of vapor intrusion, but this result, alone, would not be definitive because of the potential contribution from indoor sources.

Biodegradation of VOCs in the subsurface commonly results in an isotope fractionation effect. Therefore, sites with evidence of biodegradation (e.g., detection of daughter products) are more likely to have subsurface sources with isotope signatures that are distinct from potential indoor sources. 50% biodegradation of TCE should commonly be sufficient for the subsurface source to be distinct from the range of indoor sources. However, for benzene, up to 90% biodegradation could be required and for PCE, more than 90% biodegradation could be required at some sites (GSI, 2012).

The isotope signature of the subsurface source should be measured before large scale application of the CSIA procedure at a site. Based on the results of initial isotope fingerprinting, the applicability of CSIA at the site for the evaluation of vapor intrusion should be determined as illustrated in Figure 2. The isotope signature of the subsurface source can be measured prior to the collection of any indoor air samples or in conjunction with the initial sampling of one or two buildings.
Figure 2: Site-Specific Applicability of CSIA for Vapor Intrusion Based on Isotope Ratios of Subsurface Source

Note: A) Isotope ratios for subsurface source are outside range for potential indoor sources, CSIA likely to provide strong evidence; B) Isotope ratios for subsurface source are near heavy end of range for potential indoor sources, CSIA may provide supporting evidence; C) Isotope ratios for subsurface source are within the range for potential indoor sources, CSIA unlikely to distinguish between indoor and subsurface sources.

2.3.2 Building-Specific Considerations

The application of CSIA to vapor intrusion requires the collection of at least one indoor air sample and at least one subsurface (i.e., groundwater) sample. As discussed in Section 3, the subsurface sample should be collected near the target building. Site-specific factors should also be considered when selecting sample locations. For example, collection of the indoor air sample can take up to 24 hours, depending on the concentration of the target VOC in indoor air. The CSIA procedure is applicable to any type of building provided that access can be obtained for placement and retrieval of the sample pumps.

2.3.3 Vapor Intrusion COCs

Accurate measurement of carbon or chlorine isotope ratios requires approximately 100 ng of the target chlorinated VOC. For a target petroleum VOC (i.e., benzene), the accurate measurement of carbon isotope ratios requires approximately 50 ng; accurate measurement of the hydrogen isotope ratio requires approximately 1000 ng. The required sample volume is equal to the required mass divided by the concentration in the source medium. For sample volumes of greater than 3L, use of an adsorbent tube and sample pump (per USEPA method TO-17) is the most practical sample collection method. The adsorbent tube sampling method has been validated for PCE, TCE, and benzene (Kuder et al., 2012). For other target VOCs, additional laboratory validation would be required to ensure that the sample collection method does not introduce a confounding fractionation effect. Recommended laboratory validation analyses are provided in Kuder et al., 2012.
2.4 Use of CSIA with Other Investigation Approaches

The CSIA procedure will most commonly be used in conjunction with other investigation methods such as conventional Summa canister sampling or on-site GC/MS analysis (GSI, 2013b). The CSIA procedure may be used i) as a supplemental tool during an initial investigation at buildings without prior vapor intrusion testing (provided that, at a minimum, screening-quality data are available to estimate target VOC concentrations) or ii) at buildings where preliminary testing of indoor air has identified VOC concentrations near or above regulatory screening values, and there is some uncertainty concerning the source of the VOCs.

3.0 INVESTIGATION PROTOCOL FOR APPLICATION OF CSIA TO VAPOR INTRUSION

3.1 PRE-SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

CSIA will most commonly be conducted as part of a larger vapor intrusion sampling program. As a result, the pre-sampling activities discussed here focus only on the additional planning steps required for the collection of samples for CSIA. Basic activities such as obtaining building access are not covered.

Pre-sampling, preparatory activities include:

1. **Identify Specific Structures for Sampling**: Select specific structures to be included in the CSIA program. If prior sampling results are available, this would include buildings with VOCs in indoor air near or above screening levels for which the source is uncertain. If no prior sampling results are available, then this may include all buildings with VI concerns or only the highest priority buildings.

2. **Determine Target VOCs**: Identify the VOCs for CSIA. The target VOCs should be the one to two vapor intrusion COCs of greatest concern based on consideration of subsurface concentrations, indoor air screening concentrations, and potential for indoor sources. The sorbent sample collection method has been validated for PCE, TCE, and benzene. Additional validation would be required for application of this sample collection method to other VOCs.

3. **Estimate Target VOC Concentrations**: The collection of indoor air samples for CSIA requires an estimate of the concentration of the target VOC at the sample point in order to determine the proper sample volume. VOC concentrations may be estimated based on results from previous sampling events. Uncertainty is accounted for by collecting additional sample mass (see Section 3.5.2 and 3.5.5). Groundwater concentrations must be estimated as well. Use of historic data is typically sufficient for this purpose.

4. **Necessary Equipment**: The collection of low concentration vapor samples for CSIA requires use of sorbent tubes and pumps as described in USEPA Method TO-17 (USEPA, 1999b). Higher concentration samples can be collected using a Summa canister (see Section 5.5). If water samples will be collected to characterize the subsurface source, then appropriate equipment will be required.
3.2 SUBSURFACE SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Groundwater samples are recommended for characterization of isotope ratios in the subsurface source. Results obtained during demonstration of the protocol indicate that isotope ratios in soil gas are more variable and, in some cases, less representative of vapors potentially entering the building. When possible, the groundwater sample should be collected in close proximity to the building of concern. If monitoring wells are not available close to the building, upgradient (not downgradient) wells should be selected for sampling (see Figure 3).

Although soil gas samples are less useful than groundwater samples for comparison to indoor air, measurement of isotope ratios in soil gas may provide insights into biodegradation processes occurring in the vadose zone (McHugh et al., 2011a).
### 3.3 INDOOR AIR SAMPLING LOCATIONS

For most buildings, indoor air can be characterized through the collection and analysis of a single indoor air sample from the area of the building most likely to be impacted by vapor intrusion (e.g., the lowest level of multi-level building). For larger buildings where the air may not be well mixed (e.g., buildings with multiple air handling systems), one sample from each area may be warranted. If indoor sources are considered to be more likely within specific portions of the building (e.g., the garage), then an additional sample may be collected from this area.
3.4 COLLECTION OF WATER SAMPLES

Water samples for CSIA can be collected using the same sampling procedures used to collect samples to measure VOC concentrations (e.g., in accordance with USEPA, 1996 or ASTM, 2002 for low flow sample collection). Two 40 mL volatile organic analysis (VOA) vials should be collected for analysis of each specific isotope ratio. For example, the analysis of carbon and chlorine isotope of PCE and TCE would require a total of eight 40 mL VOA vials (2 vials x 2 sets of ratios (carbon and chlorine) x 2 compounds (PCE and TCE)). Samples for the analysis of carbon or hydrogen isotopes should be preserved using hydrochloric acid. Samples for the analysis of chlorine isotopes should be preserved using sulfuric acid. Samples should be refrigerated for shipping and stored at 4°C prior to analysis.

3.5 COLLECTION OF VAPOR SAMPLES

Vapor samples for CSIA can be collected using Summa canisters or sorbent tubes. The appropriate sample method is determined based on the sample volume required.

3.5.1 Required Minimum Sample Volumes

The sample volume is determined by the minimum mass required for analysis and the sample concentration. The minimum mass required for analysis is provided in Table 2 (Kuder et al., 2012).

Table 2: Minimum Mass Required for a Single Isotope Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target VOC</th>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Minimum Mass Required for Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCE or TCE</td>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>100 ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE or TCE</td>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>100 ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>50 ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>1000 ng (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) In most cases, it will be impractical to collect enough sample volume to measure the hydrogen isotope ratio in indoor air.

The minimum sample volume is calculated using Equation 1:

Equation 1:

\[ \text{Sample Volume (L)} = \frac{\text{Minimum Mass (ng)}}{\text{Sample Concentration (ug/m}^3)} \times 1 \left( \frac{L}{ug} \right) \left( \frac{m^3}{ng} \right) \]

Where:

- Sample Volume = Minimum sample volume for CSIA (L)
- Minimum Mass = Minimum sample mass for CSIA (ng, see Table 2)
- Sample Concentration = Estimated or measured concentration of target VOC in sample (ug/m^3)
- \(1 \left( \frac{L}{ug} \right) \left( \frac{m^3}{ng} \right) = \text{Units conversion factor.} \left( \frac{1 \text{ ug}}{m^3} = 1 \text{ ng/L} \right)\)

3.5.2 Estimation of Sample Point Concentrations

Because CSIA requires a minimum sample mass, the sample point concentration must be estimated to determine the required sample volume. The sample point concentration may be estimated based on on-site analysis conducted on the same day as the CSIA sampling, analysis conducted prior the CSIA sampling, or based on information other than a direct measurement of
the target VOC concentration at the sample point. The uncertainty associated with the estimate will depend on the estimation method (see Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimation Method</th>
<th>Example Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-site Concentration Measurement on the Day of CSIA Sample Collection</td>
<td>&lt; 2x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration Measurement on a Prior Day</td>
<td>2 – 4 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Estimation Method</td>
<td>&gt; 5 – 10 x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When calculating the minimum sample volume using Equation 1, the uncertainty in the estimated sample point concentration should be considered in order to ensure that adequate sample mass is collected.

### 3.5.3 Recommended Samplers for Vapor Samples

The recommended sampler is based on the minimum sample volume as shown in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum Sample Volume</th>
<th>Recommended Sampler</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤250 mL</td>
<td>1L Summa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1.5 L</td>
<td>6L Summa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1.5 L</td>
<td>Sorbent Tube</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Summa canister larger than the minimum sample volume (i.e., a 6L Summa for a 1.5L minimum sample volume) is recommended because many laboratories cannot extract the full sample volume from the Summa canister. In addition, it is common practice to provide enough sample for at least two analyses. It is possible to use Summa canisters for somewhat higher minimum sample volumes by collecting two or more Summa canisters for each sample. Summa canisters are recommended for smaller sample volumes because they are easier to use than sorbent tubes. However, sorbent tubes may also be used for lower volume samples. For example, if sorbent tubes are being used at a site to collect samples requiring larger volumes, then the investigator may choose to also collect the lower volume samples using sorbent tubes (i.e., rather than using Summa canisters for some samples and sorbent tubes for others).

### 3.5.4 Collection of Samples Using Summa Canisters

When using a Summa canister to collect a vapor sample for CSIA, the sample can be collected as grab samples (i.e., without use of a flow controller). Otherwise, the sample collection should be conducted in accordance with typical guidance on the collection of Summa canister samples for measurement of VOC concentration (e.g., NDEP, 2001 or similar procedures available from analytical laboratory). Summa canister samples should be stored at room temperature prior to analysis.

### 3.5.5 Collection of Samples Using Sorbent Tubes

When using a sorbent tube to collect a vapor sample for CSIA, the sample should be collected in accordance with the procedures for the use of active sorbent samplers for measurement of VOC.
concentrations (e.g., USEPA, 1999b). A minimum of two sorbent tubes should be collected for each isotope analysis. However, as shown in Table 5, additional sorbent tubes are recommended for samples with higher uncertainty in the estimated sample concentration.

Table 5: Recommended Number of Sorbent Tubes for Each Isotope Analysis per Single COC and Single Isotope Ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncertainty in Estimated Concentration</th>
<th>Recommended Number of Sorbent Tubes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2x</td>
<td>Two tubes each with a target mass of 2 times the minimum required mass.²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – 4x</td>
<td>Two tubes each with a target mass of 2 times the minimum required mass AND two tubes each with a target mass of 4 times the minimum required mass.³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 4x</td>
<td>Three tubes each with a target mass of 3 times the minimum required mass AND two tubes each with a target mass of 10 times the minimum required mass.⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 1) Table provides the recommended number of tubes for each isotope analysis for each target VOC (e.g., carbon isotopes in TCE). An equal number of additional tubes is required for each additional isotope or target VOC. 2) Example: If target VOC is TCE and target isotope is carbon, then collect two tubes, each having 200 ng of sample (i.e., 100 ng x 2). 3) Example: If target VOC is TCE and target isotope is carbon, then collect four tubes total: two tubes, each having 200 ng of sample, plus two tubes, each having 400 ng of sample. 4) Example: If target VOC is TCE and target isotope is carbon, then collect 5 tubes total: three tubes, each having 300 ng of sample, plus two tubes, each having 1000 ng of sample.

The recommendations provided in Table 5 are intended to provide the greatest likelihood that reliable CSIA results will be obtained from each sample. If the actual VOC mass collected on the sample tube is close to (i.e., within 50%) the target mass and no analytical difficulties are encountered, then an accurate result can be obtained from a single tube. The collection of additional tubes is recommended to account for variations in the actual sample mass and analytical difficulties that occasionally result in sample loss. The typical analytical costs (Section 3.7, Table 6) are per sample (i.e., the cost covers the analysis of one or more tubes, as needed to obtain an accurate result). However, the laboratory requires an estimated mass of target analyte on each sample tube. When the sample mass cannot be estimated within 4x, an additional fee may apply to cover the cost of additional testing required to determine the sample mass.

The maximum sample volume of the sorbent tubes is 100L (in order to ensure that sample collection does not introduce an isotope fractionation effect). As a result, for samples with low estimated concentrations of the target VOC (or with high mass requirements [e.g., hydrogen isotope from benzene]), it may not be possible to collect sample tubes with target masses greater than the minimum required sample mass. A sampling plan for sample points with low estimated concentrations of the target VOC should be developed in coordination with the laboratory (see Section 3.7).

Sorbent tube samples should be refrigerated during shipping and stored at 4°C (or frozen) prior to analysis.

3.6 SAMPLE SHIPMENT AND ANALYSIS

Water and vapor samples should be stored and shipped in accordance with manufacturer and laboratory guidelines. Samples collected in sorbent tubes should be stored at 4°C and shipped to the laboratory ([University of Oklahoma]; see contact information in Section 3.7). Water samples
and gas samples collected in Summa canisters can be analyzed at the University of Oklahoma or at another qualified isotope laboratory.

### 3.7 ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES AND COST

Although a number of commercial laboratories provide isotope analysis for water sample or air samples, at present, the University of Oklahoma service laboratory is the only laboratory that can measure compound-specific isotope ratios of VOCs on adsorbent tube samples. Analytical costs are summarized in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Carbon</th>
<th>Chlorine</th>
<th>Hydrogen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adsorbent Tube Samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE/TCE</td>
<td>$400/sample</td>
<td>$400/sample</td>
<td>$350/sample (TCE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>$350/sample</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$350/sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE/TCE</td>
<td>$350/sample</td>
<td>$400/sample</td>
<td>$350/sample (TCE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>$350/sample</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$350/sample</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Laboratory requires estimated mass or concentration of target analyte in sample. An additional fee may apply if this information is not provided.

Information on the University of Oklahoma service laboratory can be obtained from:

University of Oklahoma, Geology Department
100 E. Boyd St; Room A710
Norman OK 73019
Attn: Dr. Paul Philp

Email: [pphilp@ou.edu](mailto:pphilp@ou.edu) Dr. Paul Philp
[tkuder@ou.edu](mailto:tkuder@ou.edu) Dr. Tomasz Kuder

Phone:
405-325-4469 (Dr. Paul Philp)
405-325-4453 (CSIA laboratory)
405-325-3253 (OU Geology Department, Front Desk)

### 4.0 DATA INTERPRETATION

The measured isotope ratios for the subsurface samples and for indoor air can be used to determine the likely source of the target VOC in indoor air, based on i) the similarity of the subsurface and indoor air results, and ii) comparison to isotopic signatures of indoor sources (e.g., manufactured products). The range of likely isotope ratios for indoor sources (Table 7) was determined through literature reviews and laboratory analysis of common consumer products (McHugh et al., 2011b, GSI, 2012).
Table 7: Likely Range of Isotope Ratios for Indoor Sources of PCE, TCE, and Benzene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>Carbon Isotope Ratio (‰)</th>
<th>Chlorine Isotope Ratio (‰)</th>
<th>Hydrogen Isotope Ratio (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCE</td>
<td>-37.4 to -24.0</td>
<td>-4.4 to 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>-34.0 to -23.0</td>
<td>-3.2 to 4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>-31.5 to -23.5</td>
<td>-82 to -37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential results and interpretations based on a single isotope are illustrated in Figure 4.

**Figure 4: Interpretation of CSIA Results for Single Isotope**

If two isotope ratios are analyzed, the data interpretation is as follows (Figure 5):

**Figure 5: Interpretation of CSIA Results for Two Isotopes**
For both Figures 4 and 5, data interpretation is based on pattern-matching as follows:

A) Strong evidence that an indoor source is the primary source of VOCs in indoor air;
B) Strong evidence that the subsurface source is the primary source of VOCs in indoor air;
C) Evidence of mixed subsurface and indoor air sources;
D) Evidence that the subsurface source is the primary source of VOCs in indoor air, additional enrichment in the heavy isotopes is likely occurring between the subsurface measurement point and the target building;
E) Supporting evidence that an indoor source is the primary source of VOCs in indoor air; and
F) Supporting evidence that the subsurface source is the primary source of VOCs in indoor air.

However, results are also potentially consistent with an indoor source, so the results should be interpreted within the context of other lines of evidence.

In addition, the strength of the overall conclusion should be weighted based on i) the number of samples used to characterize the indoor air and subsurface source (i.e., groundwater) and ii) the consistency of the results with other lines of evidence. Although one subsurface sample may be sufficient to characterize the isotope ratios for subsurface sources of VOCs, additional samples can strengthen the interpretation of the results by characterizing the variability in the subsurface source and thereby reducing the uncertainty concerning the apparent similarities or differences between the subsurface source and indoor air samples. Similarly, multiple indoor air samples can serve to characterize variability and reduce uncertainty.

In cases where the CSIA results identify an indoor source as the primary source of the VOC in indoor air, it is still possible that vapor intrusion may be a secondary source. In this situation, the indoor source may be found, removed, and the building retested to confirm that vapor intrusion is not a secondary source. Retesting, however, may not be needed if, for example i) the indoor air concentration is below or only slightly above the regulatory standard, ii) the indoor source cannot be removed without disrupting building operations, or iii) all parties involved are satisfied with the existing results.
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