Towards a Minimal Architecture for a Printable, Modular, and Robust Sensing Skin

*Austin Buchan
Jonathan Bachrach
Ronald S. Fearing*

Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences
University of California at Berkeley

Technical Report No. UCB/EECS-2014-31

<http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/Pubs/TechRpts/2014/EECS-2014-31.html>

April 27, 2014

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 27 APR 2014		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2014 to 00-00-2014	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Towards a Minimal Architecture for a Printable, Modular, and Robust Sensing Skin				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of California at Berkeley,Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences,Berkeley,CA,94720				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Copyright © 2014, by the author(s).
All rights reserved.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission.

Towards a Minimal Architecture for a Printable, Modular, and Robust Sensing Skin

A. Buchan, J. Bachrach, and R.S. Fearing

I. INTRODUCTION

The ability to sense and react to the environment is what separates robotic systems from simple machines. Surface interaction sensing is a vital component for robots to adapt to unstructured human and natural environments [1]. However, there is not yet to see a convenient method for covering curved and complex surfaces common to today's research robots in tactile sensors. The problem of gathering this information from transducers distributed on the surface of a robot is key to a viable sensing solution.

Here we present an adaptation of sensitive robotic skin to the domain of rapidly prototyped systems, with the long-term goal of integrating sensing and networking with the manufacturing process using low-cost printed flexible-substrate semiconductors. In addition, this skin will inform the robot as to the shape and arrangement of the sensors on the surface, as well as recovering from and reporting changes in this topology if damaged. By exploring this approach to whole-body multi-modal sensing, we expect to improve the ability to localize and navigate in unstructured environments via detection of obstacles, targets, and environmental conditions. The shape sensing feature will allow the robots to modify their behavior and continue functioning as long as possible despite destructive modifications of the robot. For example, a legged robot with such a skin integrated throughout its body will be able to detect a leg loss, and modify its gait accordingly to continue locomotion. As a first step, we consider how the design constraints of such a sensing skin motivate the design parameters of a sensor network, and explore a particular network architecture that achieves the desired data integrity with simple underlying logic. Ultimately we want to answer the question: what are the minimum necessary conditions for constructing a serviceable, highly configurable sensor skin that is compatible with low-performance printed electronics?

Figure 1(a) shows an idealized design flow from a printed sensor grid to a walking robot form. The arrows represent an automatically generated dataflow path on the surface of the skin that is discovered after shaping. The only configuration necessary by a user is the selection of one or more uplink points from the grid to a supervisory controller. By designing the skin architecture such that the internal connections and

Fig. 1: Mechanical integration of sensor cell array with SCM process

data validity are monitored in a distributed manner, a reported error in transmission allows the master uplink to re-initialize the grid after a failure, which can reroute data around damaged sections. This architecture is designed for robust network configuration and error recovery, and provides a serial communication interface to digital sensors. As Figure 1(b) illustrates, a generic sensing skin could be augmented with different surface features that specialize the local sensing. By preparing a network architecture that will service any developed sensing technologies, this work promises to be applicable in many domains of sensing, including human interface devices, ubiquitous home environmental sensing, and prosthetics.

II. PRIOR WORK

A. Robotic Sensing Skins

Prior work on robotic sensing skins include exploiting bulk resistive skin properties [2], using row-column addressing schemes to multiplex the readout of sensors [3] [4] [5], or using local processors to compress data before transmission [6]. Still others do away entirely with conventional wires to use optical [7], radio frequency [8], or ad-hoc communication methods [9]. Also considered are Tapped Delay Lines (TDLs), which use passive time delay components to time sequence sensor output on a single analog

This work was supported by the United States Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency under the Maximum Mobility and Manipulation (M3) program, contract W911NF1110089.

[abuchan, jrb, ronf] at eecs.berkeley.edu Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

line, and standard addressable bus communication networks such as I²C. Finally, recent work [10] in modular sensing units uses a Cellular Finite State Automata (CFSFA) model of computation and data transmission in a redundantly wired surface area network.

B. Flexible Electronics

While the idea of incorporating electronics into flexible substrates has been studied for quite some time [11], several exciting recent advances in flexible semiconductor technology show promise for integration into SCM for use in a sensing skin architecture. The primary approaches include using thin silicon islands [12] with flexible interconnects [13], organic polymers [14], and Single Wall Carbon Nanotubes (SWNT) [15]. These devices can be implemented on a variety of flexible and stretchable substrates including polyimide, paper [16], silk [17], and silicone [18]. Already there are examples of medium scale integration of these devices into useful circuits [19], including work on a Carbon Nanotube ADC [20]. Several sensing modalities have been shown with flexible semiconductors including tactile [21], thermal [22], optical, and chemical [23]. Vital to our application is the ability of these semiconductors to be constructed with rapid prototyping manufacturing processes such as screen and ink-jet printing [24]. We expect that continued advances in flextronics will allow implementation of sensing network logic with our SCM process in the near future.

III. DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

We have rapidly prototyped low-cost, biologically-inspired mobile autonomous systems [25]. Figure 2 shows the Smart Composite Manufacturing (SCM) process [26] pioneered for these robots. Patterns for rigid and flexible sections of the body are laser-cut, laminated, and folded into functional kinematic forms. This enables radically different mechanical designs to be realized in a matter of hours, and at a cost which can be orders of magnitude lower than that of comparable systems.

Through collaboration with flexible-substrate semiconductor research groups [21], we are exploring the integration of sensing electronics into the mechanical substrate of the SCM process such that the physical configuration of the composite sheet determines the sensor network behavior, and allows seamless integration from the start of the design process. As flexible semiconductors are an active research area, the yield of such processes is expected to be low for initial work. This fact drives the motivation to keep the electronics for printed sensing skin as simple as possible in terms of logic and interconnection wires.

We estimate that covering the lateral area of a 10cm by 4cm robot in 100 sensors with 8 bits of resolution and a full skin update rate of 10Hz will be sufficient to make headway on basic navigation applications (wall following, obstacle avoidance). A final design should have sensing units $< 40\text{mm}^2$, with an update rate of 10Hz for the entire skin. The skin should weigh no more than 10g (100mg/sensor), consume a total of $< 100\text{mW}$ (1mW/sensor), and use as few

Fig. 2: SCM process

connection wires as possible. For detection of damage, and ability to route over flexures, the minimum dimension of a sensing element should be $< 6\text{mm}$.

IV. APPROACH

The Ad-Hoc network approach with diffusive coupling [9] shows good resiliency to individual node failure, but does not offer the ability to extract topology information from neighbor connections. The fact that each node must be individually addressed also complicates initial configuration, and storage of the hop list limits the total size of the network. The HEX-O-SKIN cell network design [10] shows the greatest promise for implementing a modular sensing grid. The ability to reroute data around damaged cells discussed in the HEX-O-SKIN design after the detection of failure will be key to the design explored in this work. The complexity, weight, size, and power consumption of the HEX-O-SKIN cells prohibit a direct implementation for millirobots, but a similar design is used for proof-of-concept in this paper. The primary augmentation of the HEX-O-SKIN framework is the addition of a topology phase, which allows the actual connectivity of a grid to be reconstructed with minimal connections.

A. Sensor Grid and Cell Architecture

The top-level organization of the sensing skin is a regular, tessellating arrangement of identical sensing cells. Different shapes can impart different properties to the grid. The basic case of a triangular tessellation will have the fewest bits of state required to store edge information, but has the lowest edge redundancy factor. In contrast, a hexagonal cell grid has the highest redundancy and cell-packing density, but as such will have more idle logic for average arrays on surfaces. Each sensor cell unit consists of a computational logic core connected to global power bus, with bidirectional communication links to each of its neighbors in the grid. A controller needs only to connect to power and the signal line of any cell. Multiple uplinks between the grid and a supervisory controller are allowed since the choice of a parent isolates a cell to a particular master.

Figure 3 shows the organization of the major components in the individual cell architecture. A simple way to guarantee

Fig. 3: Sensor cell architecture

that a cell does not participate in communication if its perimeter is breached by a cut is by having the trace that supplies power to the cell encircle it. The FSM logic controls the operation of the cell based on the current state and edge communication, and monitors the time between transactions to detect timeout errors. The edge I/O logic is responsible for serially communicating data between edges. For the analysis below, data is assumed to be transmitted in atomic symbol units of fixed bit-width. Error detection circuitry is necessary to guarantee the correct operation of the grid, since cells depend on accurate signaling of end of transmission from other cells. Cyclic Redundancy Checks (CRCs) are a simple and logic-efficient manner to implement this feature. The design for the cellular architecture is agnostic to the type of sensor used, as long as the sensor data is a fixed bit width and can be clocked out on demand. Multimodal sensing can be achieved by putting multiple sensors in each cell and serializing the data during the sensor readout phase, or by having a regular arrangement of sensor types in the sensor grid pattern, and inferring the sensor type from the topology information. This work simulates and implements a proof of concept based on local synchrony within a cell, but could easily be extended to a fully asynchronous logic system given the appropriate synthesis toolchain.

B. Finite State Machine

Each cell implements an identical CSFA that maps its current state and inputs to the next state and outputs. Figure 4 shows a high-level description of the state transition model. Extracting data from a sensor grid progresses in three main phases: wavefront, topology, and sensor. The wavefront stage constructs a spanning tree on the sensor grid such that each cell chooses one of its neighbors as a parent, such that forwarding data along these links will eventually reach a master uplink. The topology phase serializes this connectivity information and reports it to the master, such that the topology of the grid can be determined. Finally, the sensor phase serializes the sensor data from each cell using the same spanning tree in a pipelined manner to maximize sensor data throughput. The state transitions are designed so that if a cell fails during a data forwarding phase, the cells behind the failure will converge to stable start state at the beginning of the phase within a deterministic time. This allows the grid

Fig. 4: Sensor cell FSM logic

to be re-initialized in a synchronized manner after a failure is detected.

The wavefront phase is a pattern of activation emanating from master uplinks that provides information to each cell about the direction to an uplink. A master initiates the wavefront on a cell grid by injecting a **Start** token. A cell chooses the first edge receiving a **Start** token as its parent. After receiving the token, a cell will acknowledge its chosen parent while forwarding the token to all of its neighbors that were not part of the wavefront in the previous cycle. This allows the parent cell to recognize which of its edges have active children. The master must wait long enough for the worst case of N_{cells} transaction periods before initializing the Topology phase by injecting another **Start** token.

The topology phase flattens the network spanning tree represented by the parent links to a symbol string that can be used to reconstruct the tree. If a cell has remaining children, it emits the direction of the next child while sending that child a **Start** symbol, otherwise a **Stop** symbol is emitted. The cell will then forward the stream of symbols from the activated child to its parent, with the exception of replacing a **Stop** symbol with a **Next** symbol. This ensures that a **Stop** symbol is only emitted when a cell's full stream terminates. This process is repeated until no children remain.

Serializing sensor information from the grid proceeds similarly to the topology step. First a cell sends its sensor data to its parent, while propagating the **Start** token to its first child. It then forwards the child stream of data until a **Stop** token is encountered. The cell discards the **Stop** token and starts forwarding from the next child until none remain, terminating its own stream with a **Stop** symbol. The stream of data from the sensor phase represents an in-order traversal of the network spanning tree. Since the grid is assumed to be regular, a simple recursive parser can determine the location in the grid of each sensor reading.

A cell will enter an error state if it reads an **Error** symbol, encounters corrupted data, or times out while waiting on a response from a child. Before returning to the initialization state, a cell will propagate the **Error** to all edges, guaranteeing that the entire grid will be re-initialized. If a cell encounters a failure rather than reading an error symbol, it will mark that edge as failed and not include it in subsequent wavefront initializations. This allows the grid to isolate failed

	Minimal	Midrange	Large
N_{edge}	3	4	6
N_{sense}	1	8	128
N_{check}	2	8	16
Throughput	20%	47%	88%
P(False Pos.)	0.25	3.9×10^{-3}	1.5×10^{-5}

TABLE I: Theoretical performance of selected designs

nodes given enough re-initializations.

C. Design Parameterization

Figure I shows how design parameter selection affects the total state required in the cell. N_{edge} is the number of cell neighbors in a particular grid implementation. N_{sense} is the number of bits of sensor information per cell. N_{check} is the number of bits in the checksum. Tradeoffs in the design can be made to favor a minimal state logic or higher likelihood to detect failures. Using the absolute minimum state for tokens and checksum information results in high likelihood that a failing cell will incorrectly pass a checksum. To counteract this larger checksums can be chosen, which will increase the total logic complexity and reduce sensor throughput. The final selection can be made to balance these effects given a specific application. Sensor throughput is calculated (with provision for start and stop bits) as

$$Throughput = \frac{N_{sense}}{N_{sense} + 2 + N_{check}} \quad (1)$$

The likelihood of a false positive continuation due a randomly failing neighbor cell will be

$$P(FalsePositive) = \frac{1}{2^{N_{check}}} \quad (2)$$

V. RESULTS

A. Algorithm Simulation

In order to estimate the complexity of implementing the state machine architecture using flexible-substrate semiconductors, we implemented the cell state machine in Register Transfer Logic (RTL) written in the Chisel hardware construction language [27]. Chisel produces both a high speed C++-based cycle-accurate software simulation and a low-level Verilog hardware description that maps to a standard ASIC flow for synthesis. After encoding the semantics of the state machine as described above, the simulation was used to verify that the architecture behaved as expected up to a 4 by 4 grid of midrange cells.

B. Register Transfer Logic Synthesis

We used the Synopsis Design Compiler to map the Chisel generated Verilog to the Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company (TSMC)'s 65-nm GPLUSTC CMOS standard cells. Table II shows the number of gates (standard cells) and flip-flops generated for the given number of edges. We can see that the synthesis state machine is well below the complexity of a full processor, which should allow

	Minimal	Midrange	Large
Entropy (flip-flops)	25	29	35
Gates	256	423	626
Area (μm^2)	752	1009	1628
Power (μW)	2.25	3.16	4.28

TABLE II: Performance of synthesized designs in 65nm Si Process

much higher cell yield with a given flextronic process. By way of comparison, we mapped an 8-bit 6502 processor¹ without memory to the GPLUSTC cells, which required 1479 gates and 145 flip-flops. In order to run the state machine, the device utilization would further increase since memory would be required for both instruction and scratch pad storage leading to many more cells. While these preliminary numbers reflect the performance of highly optimized silicon processes, the results verify that the desired state machine functionality can be achieved with very few bits of state, and thus total device elements, compared to a microcontroller implementation at each node.

C. Microcontroller Implementation

To simulate the minimal cell FSM, we constructed sensor cells with an 8-bit PIC16F1503 microcontroller, debugging LEDs, optical sensor, and 6 edges for connectivity of power and inter-cell communications. Based on the success of Hellard [28], Ohmura [29] and Mittendofer's [10] designs using the Sharp GP2S60 IR transceiver pair, it was chosen as a sensor to allow tactile and optical sensing. Thermal sensing can be achieved with the microcontroller's internal thermal sensor. Figure 5 shows several approaches used to prototype the microcontroller based implementation of the sensor grid. The hexagonal array of cells on the PCB substrate with DIP switches is used to exhaustively test the hardware implementation cells for correct recovery from disrupting communication on edges. The operational parameters of these cells are detailed in Table III.

Figure 6(a) shows the construction of a proof-of-concept flexible sensing skin. The flexure layer was simulated using a copper and Kapton laminate which connects the edges of the separated PCM sensor cells. After laminating the 4 by 7 cell flexure layer between adhesive-backed cardboard, the composite was cut to a shape simulating a four bar linkage common in the construction of biologically inspired millirobots. Figure 6(b) shows a sequence of several features of the sensing architecture. First the uplink cell location is switched, and after re-initializing, the controller reads the same topology. Next, a partial cut is made on an edge that was included in the original network. As expected, the skin reports an error, and the controlling software re-initializes the grid. Afterwards the software recovers the same shape, but displays an updated spanning tree grid to reach the cells past the cut. Finally, a cut is made that severs cells from the end of the grid. After this reset, the updated shape of the grid is

¹ Arlet, 6502 Verilog Model, <http://ladybug.xs4all.nl/arlet/fpga/6502/>

Fig. 5: Sensor Cell Implementations: a) Top and b) bottom of PCB cell carrier. c) DIP-switch, d) cardboard laminate, e) ribbon cable, and f) copper-Kapton flex circuit grids.

displayed while continuing to read sensor information from the remaining cells.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Table III compares the features of modular sensor cell implementations, with a projection based on state of the art flexible electronic technologies. Estimated HEX-O-SKIN cell cost is based on off-the-shelf component costs at quantities of >1000 pieces. The projection to flexible electronics is based on the applying the flip-flop and gate counts for the midrange design synthesis to SWNT printable electronic techniques [15]. Complimentary logic using 18 transistors per flip-flop and 4 transistors per gate is assumed. Device parameters are $f=10\text{kHz}$, $L=20\mu\text{m}$, $W=50\mu\text{m}$, $V=10\text{V}$, activity factor $\alpha=0.5$, and a total area of $5000\mu\text{m}^2$ per transistor including wiring. This conservative estimate for total area per transistor also allows for ADC circuitry. Power per device is calculated with standard formulas for switching and quiescent draw: $P_{tot} = \alpha f CV^2 + VI_{off}$. The cost for the flextronics projection is based on the $\$0.17/\text{in}^2$ value quoted in [24]. Since the primary material cost is conductor wiring, this number should be valid for both organic and SWNT electronics. The weight assumes a $25.4\mu\text{m}$ PET substrate as the main contribution.

The comparison shows that a flextronics implementation with current technology could achieve the desired capabilities with reasonable cost, weight, data throughput, and sensor density. Meeting the power consumption constraint for our millirobots will require further progress in flextronics performance. The microcontroller implementation from this work would also require significant optimization in the data rate for use in an application, but successfully shows the functionality and robustness of the algorithm with a physical, flexible system.

The CFSA architecture for a surface-area sensor network described here accomplishes the functional goals of robust sensor data and topology information extraction. The design

(a) Construction

(b) Operation

Fig. 6: Proof-of-Concept sensor skin implementation

is uniquely suited to integration with the SCM process for constructing mobile robots using printable flexible electronics due to the very low complexity of digital logic required by the sensing cell units. Future work will include a full design space exploration for the logic implementation, including asynchronous models of inter-cell communication. Implementation of the logic on an FPGA will serve to characterize high-speed operation, but is unlikely to be used on our robots due to their large size, weight, and power consumption. Further, techniques will be analyzed for mitigating disruptive cell failure due to device non-idealities.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks Chuan Wang and Joe Corea for input on flextronics. Thanks to Colin Scott for guidance provided on network failure analysis, as well as Yunsup Lee and Huy Vo for help with Chisel synthesis and analysis.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Dahiya, G. Metta, M. Valle, and G. Sandini, "Tactile sensing-from humans to humanoid," *Robotics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 26, pp. 1–20, Feb. 2010.
- [2] M. Shimojo, T. Araki, A. Ming, and M. Ishikawa, "A high-speed mesh of tactile sensors fitting arbitrary surfaces," *Sensors Journal, IEEE*, vol. 10, pp. 822–830, Apr. 2010.
- [3] J. Ulmen and M. Cutkosky, "A robust, low-cost and low-noise artificial skin for human-friendly robots," in *Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2010 IEEE International Conference on*, pp. 4836–4841, May 2010.

		HEX-O-SKIN	This Work	Projected Flextronics
Per Cell	Size (mm ²)	510	112	11
	Edges	4	6	4
	Weight (g)	5	0.43	3.9×10^{-4}
	Power (mW)	47	7.4	1.9
	Data Rate (kBps)	86	0.01	1
	Cost (US\$)	>10.45	1.25	2.8×10^{-4}
Per 100cm ²	N_{cell}	20	89	910
	Weight (g)	100	38	0.35
	Power (mW)	940	660	1700
	Cost (US\$)	>210	110	2.6
Sensors	Optical	✓	✓	✓
	Tactile	✓	✓	✓
	Temperature	✓	✓	✓
	Inertial	✓		
	Vibration	✓		✓
	Strain			✓
	Chemical			✓
	Cuttable	✓	✓	✓
	Shape Reporting		✓	✓
	Printable			✓

TABLE III: Sensor Cell Implementation Characteristics

- [4] A. R. R.S. Fearing and T. Binford, "A tactile sensing finger tip for a dextrous hand," in *SPIE Conference on Intelligent Robotics and Computer Vision*, pp. 370 – 378, Oct 1986.
- [5] R. Boie, "Capacitive impedance readout tactile image sensor," in *Robotics and Automation. Proceedings. 1984 IEEE International Conference on*, vol. 1, pp. 370 – 378, Mar. 1984.
- [6] A. Iwashita and M. Shimojo, "Development of a mixed signal lsi for tactile data processing," in *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 2004 IEEE International Conference on*, vol. 5, pp. 4408 – 4413 vol.5, Oct. 2004.
- [7] K. Yamada, K. Goto, Y. Nakajima, N. Koshida, and H. Shinoda, "A sensor skin using wire-free tactile sensing elements based on optical connection," in *SICE 2002. Proceedings of the 41st SICE Annual Conference*, vol. 1, pp. 131 – 134 vol.1, Aug. 2002.
- [8] M. Hakoziaki, H. Oasa, and H. Shinoda, "Telemetric robot skin," in *Robotics and Automation, 1999. Proceedings. 1999 IEEE International Conference on*, vol. 2, pp. 957 – 961 vol.2, 1999.
- [9] H. Shinoda, N. Asamura, M. Hakoziaki, and X. Wang, "Two-dimensional signal transmission technology for robotics," in *Robotics and Automation, 2003. Proceedings. ICRA '03. IEEE International Conference on*, vol. 3, pp. 3207 – 3212 vol.3, Sep. 2003.
- [10] P. Mittendorf and G. Cheng, "Humanoid multimodal tactile-sensing modules," *Robotics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 27, pp. 401 – 410, Jun. 2011.
- [11] S. Jung, C. Lauterbach, M. Strasser, and W. Weber, "Enabling technologies for disappearing electronics in smart textiles," in *Solid-State Circuits Conference, 2003. Digest of Technical Papers. ISSCC. 2003 IEEE International*, pp. 386 – 387 vol.1, 2003.
- [12] P. Barth, S. Bernard, and J. Angell, "Monolithic silicon fabrication technology for flexible circuit and sensor arrays," in *Electron Devices Meeting, 1984 International*, vol. 30, pp. 217 – 219, 1984.
- [13] Y. Xu, Y.-C. Tai, A. Huang, and C.-M. Ho, "Ic-integrated flexible shear-stress sensor skin," *Microelectromechanical Systems, Journal of*, vol. 12, pp. 740 – 747, Oct. 2003.
- [14] Y. Xia, W. Zhang, M. Ha, J. H. Cho, M. J. Renn, C. H. Kim, and C. D. Frisbie, "Printed sub-2 v gel-electrolyte-gated polymer transistors and circuits," *Advanced Functional Materials*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 587–594, 2010.
- [15] C. Wang, J.-C. Chien, K. Takei, T. Takahashi, J. Nah, A. M. Niknejad, and A. Javey, "Extremely bendable, high-performance integrated circuits using semiconducting carbon nanotube networks for digital, analog, and radio-frequency applications," *Nano Letters*, 2012.
- [16] R. Martins and A. e. a. Nathan, "Complementary metal oxide semiconductor technology with and on paper," *Advanced Materials*, vol. 23, no. 39, pp. 4491–4496, 2011.
- [17] H. Tao, M. A. Brenckle, M. Yang, J. Zhang, M. Liu, S. M. Siebert, R. D. Averitt, M. S. Mannoer, M. C. McAlpine, J. A. Rogers, D. L. Kaplan, and F. G. Omenetto, "Silk-based conformal, adhesive, edible food sensors," *Advanced Materials*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1067–1072, 2012.
- [18] X. Hu, P. Krull, B. de Graff, K. Dowling, J. A. Rogers, and W. J. Arora, "Stretchable inorganic-semiconductor electronic systems," *Advanced Materials*, vol. 23, no. 26, pp. 2933–2936, 2011.
- [19] K. Ishida, T. Huang, T. Sekitani, M. Takamiya, T. Someya, and T. Sakurai, "Large-area flexible electronics with organic transistors," in *Circuits and Systems (MWSCAS), 2011 IEEE 54th International Midwest Symposium on*, pp. 1 – 4, Aug. 2011.
- [20] P. Moslehi-nejad, A. Shahhoseini, and B. Behtoe, "A 10-bit 1-GS/s current-steering DAC with Carbon Nanotube Field Effect transistor (CNFET)," in *Devices, Circuits and Systems (ICDCS), 2012 International Conference on*, pp. 1 – 4, Mar. 2012.
- [21] K. Takei, T. Takahashi, J. C. Ho, H. Ko, A. G. Gillies, R. S. Fearing, and A. Javey, "Nanowire active-matrix circuitry for low-voltage macroscale artificial skin," *Nature Materials*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 821–826, 2010.
- [22] T. Someya, Y. Kato, T. Sekitani, S. Iba, Y. Noguchi, Y. Murase, H. Kawaguchi, and T. Sakurai, "Conformable, flexible, large-area networks of pressure and thermal sensors with organic transistor active matrixes," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 102, no. 35, pp. 12321–12325, 2005.
- [23] M. C. McAlpine, H. Ahmad, D. Wang, and J. R. Heath, "Highly ordered nanowire arrays on plastic substrates for ultrasensitive flexible chemical sensors," *Nature Materials*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 1476–1122, 2007.
- [24] A. C. Arias, J. D. MacKenzie, I. McCulloch, J. Rivnay, and A. Salleo, "Materials and applications for large area electronics: solution-based approaches," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 3–24, 2010.
- [25] A. Hoover and R. Fearing, "Fast scale prototyping for folded millirobots," in *Robotics and Automation, 2008. ICRA 2008. IEEE International Conference on*, pp. 886 – 892, May 2008.
- [26] R. J. Wood, S. Avadhanula, R. Sahai, E. Steltz, and R. S. Fearing, "Microrobot design using fiber reinforced composites," *Journal of Mechanical Design*, vol. 130, no. 5, p. 052304, 2008.
- [27] J. Bachrach, H. Vo, B. Richards, Y. Lee, A. Waterman, R. Avizienis, K. Asanović, and J. Wawrzyniec, "Chisel: Constructing hardware in a scala embedded language," *Design Automation Conference (DAC)*, Jun. 2012.
- [28] G. Hellard, "A robust, sensitive and economical tactile sensor for a robot manipulator," in *Proc. 2002 Australasian Conference on Robotics and Automation, Auckland*, pp. 100–104, 2002.
- [29] Y. Ohmura, Y. Kuniyoshi, and A. Nagakubo, "Conformable and scalable tactile sensor skin for curved surfaces," in *Robotics and Automation, 2006. ICRA 2006. Proceedings 2006 IEEE International Conference on*, pp. 1348 – 1353, May 2006.