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Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18
AlumiPlate, Inc.

- **Minneapolis, MN**
  - Established 1995
  - Original technology acquired from Siemens
  - Focus on hi-value / hi-performance since 2002

- **Racking/Fixturing**
  - Need contact
  - (2) 21”x31”x22” chambers
    25” Diam. max

- **Intricate Geometries**
  - Throwing Power
  - Supplementary anodes
  - Uniformity (Pulse Plating)

- **Does not degrade parts**
  - Low process temp. (100°C)
  - Short plating times (1-2 hrs)

- **Well Established specification**
  - MIL-DTL-83488
Electroplated AlumiPlate® Aluminum Qualified on Present Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM</th>
<th>APPLICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-1 Lancer</td>
<td>- Raytheon Radar Arrays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH-1 Super Cobra</td>
<td>- M50 High Strength Steel Rotor Hub Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M119A Howitzer</td>
<td>- HSS Eyebolts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RQ-4 Global Hawk</td>
<td>- 6061 Al Mirrors for Targeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5 Galaxy</td>
<td>- Aircraft Wheel Fuse Plugs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Electroplated AlumiPlate® Aluminum Qualified on Present Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM</th>
<th>APPLICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F-16 Fighting Falcon</td>
<td>- Copper Grounding Straps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Stainless Steel Fuel Mesh Screens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-18 Hornet</td>
<td>- Raytheon Radar Arrays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F-22 Raptor</strong></td>
<td>- AlBeMet Electronics Backplane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- HSS Structural Applications - LG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F-35 Lightning II</strong></td>
<td>- HSS Structural Applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Joint Strike Fighter</strong></td>
<td>- Landing Gear Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Electrical Connectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Composite Electronic Enclosures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- AlBeMet Being Qualified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Electroplated AlumiPlate® Aluminum

Application
- Electrical Enclosures & Connectors

Requirements
- Corrosion Protection,
  HAZMAT Elimination, Conductivity,
  EMI Shielding, Plate on Composites

Solution Alternatives
- Cd, Ni, Ni-Teflon, Zn-Ni,
  Flame Spray Al, PVD Al,
  AlumiPlate

AlumiPlate Competitive Advantages
- Best Technical Performance
- Enabling Technology for Significant Weight Savings (20-40%)
- MIL-DTL-38999 - Class P, LMA010
Electroplated AlumiPlate® Aluminum

Application
- Aero Landing Gear / High Strength Steels

Requirements
- Cost, Corrosion Protection, HAZMAT, Shorten Manufacturing Cycle Time

Solution Alternatives
- Ti-Cd, Sermetel, AlumiPlate

AlumiPlate Competitive Advantages
- Best Corrosion Performance – 336+ hrs SO₂
- No Hazardous Material
- Eliminate 23 hour HE Relief Bake
- Direct Drop-in Replacement
- Non-embrittling (HE & EAC) & at High Temp
- LGPS1105, LMA010
Implementation Requirements for “Cutting-In” AlumiPlate as a Cadmium Alternative Technology

**Technology Performance**
- Needs to meet / exceed program requirements & existing technology

**NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation - $**
- Often ^ $ for new technology – amortizing to URF too expensive

**Unit Recurring Flyaway (URF) & MRO Cost - $**
- Must be equal or less than existing technology

**Supply Chain Availability**
- Can the technology be deployed at a DoD FRC & ALC
- Can the technology be broadly implemented

(Eliminate Cadmium)
Implementation Requirements for “Cutting-In” AlumiPlate as a Cadmium Alternative Technology

Technology Performance
- Proven – Test data - Lockheed, Goodrich, JCAT, DoD DLA

NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation

Unit Recurring Flyaway (URF) & MRO Cost

Supply Chain Availability
Technology Performance

**Corrosion** - Goodrich, Lockheed, NAVAIR, DOD/DLA

- Salt Fog: 6000+ hrs (Cd is ~2000 hrs)
- \( \text{SO}_2 \): 336+ hrs
- Ground Runway Deicers (Potassium Formate)

**Hydrogen Embrittlement** - Goodrich, Boeing, JG-PP JCAT

- Outperforms all Cd alternatives & Ti-Cd baseline – incl. hi-temp events
- Eliminate 23 hour HE Relief Bake (no nickel)

**Fatigue, Adhesion, Reparability** – Goodrich, JG-PP JCAT

- No Fatigue knock down
- Adhesion passes ASTM 571
- Repair is same as IVD Al – passes all scribe & repair tests

**General** – Goodrich, Lockheed

- Dimensional Drop-in equivalent to cadmium
- No Hazardous Material
- Preferred technical solution
- Matching AlumiPlate corrosion with HVOF wear performance = significant cost of ownership reduction – fewer MRO cycles
- Distinguishing Values

- **Meets F-35 NAVAIR requirements** for 336 hr ASTM G85 Appendix 4 $SO_2$ corrosion protection including use of **RoHs compliant** (non-Cr$^{+6}$) conversion coat.

- Protects against new **ground runway deicer fluids**.

- **Shorter processing times** (no 24 hr HE relief bake requirement) due to nonembrittling process chemistry.

- **Less processing steps and handling** due to ability to do final HVOF surface finish grinding after plating (no masking / baking required).

- **Allows lighter weight composites** to be used in place of heavier metallic substrates.

- **Lower lifetime costs** due to higher corrosion performance matched with HVOF wear performance (fewer MRO cycles).
Design Considerations for AlumiPlate Process

F-22 work was done to prove plating process – F-35 work has been done to prove out thru full assembly – final full assembly environmental and performance testing is in progress

Our hot solvent electrolyte doesn’t allow typical plating maskants – selective strip is preferred

Typical plating uniformity is 4 to 1 in thickness variance – critical surfaces can be controlled to +/- 0.0002”

Current density variation (sup anodes w faces & bores) is alleviated by breaking sharp corners

AlumiPlate is more dense and less ‘squishy’ / porous than cadmium: critical surfaces need tolerance callouts - primer needs to be ‘wipe’ vs. ‘fill & drain’ at interfaces

Threads and sliding members need lubrication for gaging and assembly

Developed a best practice for HVOF & plate
Implementation Requirements for “Cutting-In” AlumiPlate as a Cadmium Alternative Technology

Technology Performance

NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation
- 2003 DoD funded process validation on F22 MLG piston & pins
- 2007 / 2008 AFRL contract for NRE on F35 LG

Unit Recurring Flyaway (URF) & MRO Cost

Supply Chain Availability
NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation
2007-2008 DoD AFRL Funded Contract

F35 LG PNs using AlumiPlate –(5) now flying on A1 side by side with equivalent cadmium parts

2649A4103-101
TORQUE ARM, MACHINED, LOWER, SHOCK STRUT – LH MLG

2648M2121-1
PIN, APEX, TORQUE ARM - NLG

2648A2206-101
SPINDLE, MACHINED, LOWER, DRAG BRACE ASSY - NLG

2648M2209-1
PIN, ECCENTRIC, DRAG BRACE - NLG

2648M2675-1
BRACKET, TAXI LIGHT, BACK

3/4/2008
www.alumiplate.com
Present Applications – 2649A4103 Torque Arm
F35 Main Landing Gear

Side by Side with Cadmium

Cadmium Upper

AlumiPlate Lower
NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation
2007-2008 DoD AFRL Funded Contract
F35 STOVL LG PNs TARGETED for AlumiPlate as Cadmium Alternative

Nose Landing Gear - NLG
50 Total Part Numbers (15 are bushings)
61 Total Pieces per Ship Set

Main Landing Gear - MLG
72 Total Part Numbers (41 are bushings)
99 Total Pieces per Ship Set

TOTAL Landing Gear SHIP SET
122 Total Part Numbers (56 are bushings)
160 Total Pieces per Ship Set
NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation

2007-2008 DoD AFRL Funded Contract

Generic Tooling – Plating Validation Categories

**Wireform/Hooks** – Generic Tooling
- 65 Total Part Numbers (mostly bushings)

**ID Pins** – Generic Tooling – 26xxx2209 Style
- 18 Total Part Numbers

**Solid Pins** - Generic Tooling -26xxx2121 Style
- 9 Total Part Numbers

**Small Sup Anode** - Generic Tooling -26xxx2206 Style
- 3 Total Part Numbers

**Large Sup Anode** - Generic Tooling -26xxx4103 Style
- 2 Total Part Numbers

**Custom Design** – Specific Tooling
- 25 Total Part Numbers
Tooling Category

**ID Pins** - Generic Tooling
- 26xxx2209 Style

16 Total Part Numbers on F35 STOVL

Several potential cost down parts on F22
Tooling Category

Solid Pins - Generic
Tooling -26xxx2121
Style

9 Total Part Numbers
on F35 STOVL
Several potential cost
down parts on F22
NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation

2007-2008 DoD AFRL Funded Contract

Tooling Category

**Small Sup Anode** –

**Generic Tooling** -

**26xxx2206 Style**

5 Total Part Numbers

on F35 STOVL

3/4/2008

www.alumiplate.com
NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation
2007-2008 DoD AFRL Funded Contract

Tooling Category

**Large Sup Anode**

Generic Tooling -

26xxx4103 Style

2 Total Part Numbers

on F35 STOVL
NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation
2007-2008 DoD AFRL Funded Contract

Tooling Category
Custom Design – Specific Tooling

25 Total Part Numbers on F35 STOVL

F35 MLG

Internal anode tooling for plating inside diameter
NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation
2007-2008 DoD AFRL Funded Contract

F-35 GLG PNs TARGETED for AlumiPlate as Cadmium Alternative

TOTAL Landing Gear SHIP SET
122 Total Part Numbers  (56 are bushings)
160 Total Pieces per Ship Set

Plating Validation – completed as parts are received from GLG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Parts Req’d for Plating Validation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wireform/Hook</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Pins</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.D Pins</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Sup Anodes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lrg Sup Anodes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom Tooling</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26 - TOTAL Parts to Essentially Complete AlumiPlate Tooling & Validation for F-35 STOVL LG
- Implementation Requirements for “Cutting-In” AlumiPlate as a Cadmium Alternative Technology

Technology Performance

NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation

Unit Recurring Flyaway (URF) & MRO Cost

- Trade studies show competitive URF
  (DoD DLA – C130, B52) (GLG F16, F22, F35 Pending)
  (NG – Composite enclosures)
- Operation costs similar to Cd
- Early performance data indicates potential significant MRO savings

Supply Chain Availability
Cost & Capacity Considerations

- Compared to other Cadmium alternatives, AlumiPlate is less expensive on average.
- Capacity to do future high volume work is needed.
- Capacity to do future large parts is needed.
- Capacity addition is capital intensive.
Challenges / Issues in “Cutting-In” AlumiPlate as a Cadmium Alternative Technology

- Technology Performance
- NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation
- Unit Recurring Flyaway (URF) & MRO Cost

Supply Chain Availability

- DoD ESOH concerns with chemistry at MRO sites
Al Electroplating Process

Automated Material Handling
ENCLOSED GLOVEBOX

Inert Gas Atmosphere (N₂)

Airlock 125 °F

Activation Intermediate Rinse Rinse 1 Rinse 2 Rinse 3 Outlet Rinse OLR Chamber A 212 °F Chamber B 212 °F

Cascading Rinses

Computer controlled environment & process

Airlock entry is at this end

Glovebox with overhead transport

Plating tanks are below glove box

Racked parts ready to enter airlock

In this photo, parts enter the airlock at the far end and go thru the process being moved toward you by the automated transport
Equipment and ESOH

- Twelve Year Safety Record
- ESOH / IH Review
  - Reviewed by DoD AFRL, NAVAIR, LMA
    - Considering DemVal Project
  - Well below all permissible exposure limits (PEL)
  - Closed Loop Systems
    - Enclosed process glovebox
    - Point source control – no hazmat in field or at MRO (NO - Ni, Cr+6, Cd)
    - Blended fuels disposal of chemistry
  - Coating is 100% RoHS compliant
- Challenges / Issues in “Cutting-In” AlumiPlate as a Cadmium Alternative Technology

Technology Performance

NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation

Unit Recurring Flyaway (URF) & MRO Cost

**Supply Chain Availability**

DoD ESOH concerns with chemistry at MRO sites

2nd plating line is capital intensive

→ DoD prime contractor’s hesitancy adopting a new material available at only one location, coupled with the new material provider’s lack of orders to drive expansion of a 2nd fabrication capability; a so-called chicken-versus-egg problem (which comes 1st?)
Future Planning

- Implement program wide on F-35
  - When we have the data we are gathering
  - When Lockheed says, “No more Cadmium”
  - May need to use without chromate
    - Alternate conversion coats such as Cerium Oxide?
- Implement on F/A-22 for cost savings
  - On those parts where it is cheaper
  - Give us leverage against other cadmium alternatives
- **Summary of AlumiPlate as a Cadmium Alternative Technology on F22 / F35**

**Technology Performance**

Well established – better than cad and any cad alternative

**NRE Tooling & Part Process Validation**

Most difficult applications successfully addressed
F35 LG NRE nearing completion

**Unit Recurring Flyaway (URF) & MRO Cost**

Trade studies show equal or less cost than cadmium
Potential MRO Lifetime Cost Savings

**Supply Chain Availability**

ESOH / IH concerns addressed
Potential DemVal Project
2nd facility discussions progressing - chicken & egg biz issue still difficult