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The objective is to understand the role that epigenetics, specifically methylation, plays in antiestrogen resistant breast cancer. The goal of this study is to identify genes differentially methylated between acquired tamoxifen resistant cells (TMX2-28 and TMX2-11) and their parent strain (MCF-7) through the use of the Illumina HumanMethylation450 BeadChip. The most significant finding was that three genes found differentially methylated on the HumanMethylation450 BeadChip in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 as compared to MCF-7 had changes in expression when treated with 5-aza-2\'-deoxycytidine. Additionally, treatment with 5-aza-2\'-deoxycytidine affected the growth rate of the ER-negative Tamoxifen-resistant line, TMX2-28, but not MCF-7 or the ER-positive Tamoxifen-resistant line, TMX2-11.
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Introduction:

The objective is to understand the role that epigenetics, specifically methylation, plays in antiestrogen resistant breast cancer. I hypothesize that both hyper- and hypomethylation of DNA plays a major role in the etiology of antiestrogen resistant breast cancer. The goal of this study is to identify genes differentially methylated between acquired Tamoxifen resistant cells (ER-negative TMX2-28, ER-positive TMX2-11, and TMX2-4) and their parent strain (MCF-7) through the use of the Illumina HumanMethylation450 BeadChip. I also aim to determine whether treatment using methylases or demethylases reverses the methylation profiles in cells, potentially indicating its therapeutic value in Tamoxifen resistant breast cancers. Furthermore, I will use breast cancer tissue specimens to determine whether genes found differentially methylated in breast cancer cell lines and believed to be involved in antiestrogen resistance occur in vivo. Results from this study are expected to show that the epigenetic profiles of Tamoxifen resistant and sensitive cells differ and that this molecular mechanism will make a good therapeutic target for women with Tamoxifen resistant breast cancer.

Body:

Tasks 2 & 3: Of the 3,130 genes that had an average b-value of >0.3 in TMX2-11 and TMX2-28, a >2-fold change in methylation in both TMX2-11 and TMX 2-28 as compared to the parental line MCF-7, and a detection p-value of <0.01 on the HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (HM450BC), I selected a panel of 14 genes that had at least 2 hypermethylated CpG sites in either the TSS200 or TSS1500 region to analyze by qRT-PCR for changes in expression (Table 1). The genes selected were previously shown to be involved in metastasis, cell proliferation, transcriptional repression, and apoptosis. Seven of the fourteen genes were previously shown to have expression affected by methylation in cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypermethylated Gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AKAP12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col29A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFI1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL17F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LZTS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGED1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REG1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RORA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCF12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZNF350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MCF-7, TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 cells were treated with 2.5 mM of the demethylating agent, 5-Aza-2’deoxycytidine (5-Aza), or the vehicle control, 0.1% DMSO, and RNA and DNA were purified for analysis by qRT-PCR and pyrosequencing.

Of the 14 genes selected above, only one, BMP2, showed a significant increase in expression in TMX2-28 as compared to MCF-7, but not in TMX2-11 (p=0.0001) (Figure 1). Expression of the gene was not significantly increased after treatment with 5-Aza (p=0.7229) (Figure 1). Two genes, ZNF350 and MAGED1 had decreases in expression in TMX2-11 and TMX2-28, however neither was significant (Figure 2A & B, Williams et al. 2014). Treatment with 5-Aza significantly increased expression of both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28, 2-fold and 5.6 fold respectively, as compared with the control treated sample in ZNF350 (p=<0.05) and in TMX2-28, 442-fold, in MAGED1 (p=<0.05)(Figure 2A). Five of the fourteen genes, AKAP12, COL29A1, TAC1, HDAC9, and REG1A, had no expression in MCF-7, TMX2-11 or TMX2-28 and were not analyzed in 5-Aza treated cells. I selected 2 genes, ZNF350 and MAGED1 to analyze by pyrosequencing based on the results from both the control and 5-Aza treated samples.

Figure 1. BMP2 is overexpressed in TMX2-28 and MDA-MB-231 cells. Relative mRNA expression of BMP2 was determined by qRT-PCR analysis. Cell cultures were treated with 5-Aza or a vehicle control for 4 days. ER-negative TMX2-28 has a significant increase in expression in the control sample as compared with the control MCF-7 (p=0.0001). No significant increase was seen between the control and 5-Aza treated sample (p=0.263). MDA-MB-231 also showed an increase in expression of BMP2 over the control MCF-7 (p=0.0002) and treatment with 5-Aza increased expression levels further (p=0.0037). Student’s unpaired T-test was used to calculate significance on triplicate biological replicates.
Figure 2. Comparison of gene expression and promoter methylation in ZNF350 and MAGED1. Relative mRNA levels measured by qRT-PCR and average percent methylation of the TSS200 regions measured by pyrosequencing of A) ZNF350 and B) MAGED1 in control cultures and cultures treated with 5-Aza for four days. A) Treatment with 5-Aza resulted in significantly increased expression of ZNF350 in TMX2-11, TMX2-28 and MDA-MB-23 1; however, only TMX2-28 showed a corresponding significant decrease in promoter methylation. B) Treatment with 5-Aza resulted in a significant increase in expression of MAGED1 in TMX2-28, however a significant decrease in methylation was observed in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 (see Williams et al 2014). Comparisons were made on results from triplicate biological samples using an unpaired student's T-test; * = p < 0.05 and ** = p < 0.01. Two independent experiments were conducted nine months apart with similar results; results from experiment 1 are shown.
Pyrosequencing primers were designed to span a region of the promoter where the HM450BC showed hypermethylation in at least two CpG sites in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28. Analysis of 7 CpGs in the TSS200 region of ZNF350, 4 of which were included on the HM450BC, showed a significant methylation decrease (23%) in TMX2-28, but not in TMX2-11 (Figure 2A). MAGED1 pyrosequencing analysis of 4 CpG sites in the TSS200 region, showed a significant decrease in methylation in both TMX2-11 (10%) and TMX2-28 (31%) (Figure 2B). A pyrosequencing primer set was attempted for BMP2, however no primer set could be designed due to the overwhelming number of CpG sites in the promoter region.

MCF-7, TMX2-11, TMX2-28 cells were treated with 5-Aza, Tamoxifen, a combination of 5-Aza and Tamoxifen or the vehicle control and analyzed by MTS assay for cell proliferation. The data show that TMX2-28 is affected by treatment with 5-Aza, which reduces proliferation by 50% of control, however 5-Aza has no effect on TMX2-11 (Figure 5). Treatment with Tamoxifen has no effect on either TMX2-11 or TMX2-28, however the combination of 5-Aza and Tamoxifen does not show an additive effect in TMX2-28 (50%) (Figure 5). Additionally, Tamoxifen treated MCF-7 proliferate more rapidly than the control (Figure 5). This could be a consequence of using low Estrogen media to culture the cells, so further investigation of this is needed to determine if treating the cells with Estrogen prior to 5-Aza and Tamoxifen treatment reduces cell proliferation.

![Graph showing cell proliferation](image)

**Figure 5.** 5-Aza-2’deoxycytidine treatment decreased cell proliferation in TMX2-28

Cells were treated with 2.5 mm 5-Aza for 4 days and cell proliferation was assessed by MTS assay. 5-Aza results are represented as percent of control. TMX2-28 cell proliferation was decreased by 36% (SE=10%) in 5-Aza treated samples and 49% (SE=4%) in 5-Aza + Tamoxifen treated samples compared with the control. The change in proliferation was non-significant between the two treatments (p=0.36). MCF-7 Tamoxifen treated samples had a 31% (SE=7%) increase in cell proliferation compared with the control. Samples were run in triplicate and Student’s unpaired T-test was used to calculate significance between treatments.
MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with the methylating agent, S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) using the protocol described in Pakneshan et al (2004) in an attempt to replicate the data shown in the paper. My results showed no significant decrease in expression (p=0.45) or increase in methylation (p=0.44) of the uPA gene after 6 days of treatment with the drug (Figure 6A & B). Upon further investigation, New England Biolabs does not suggest using SAM to treat cells in vitro as it is unlikely to be in an active form when enters the cell due to it being water and neutral pH labile. Therefore, this experiment was not completed using MCF-7, TMX2-11 and TMX2-28.

I also analyzed MCF-7, TMX2-11, TMX2-28 and MDA-MB-231 cells for ERa expression changes after treatment with 5-Aza and found no change in expression indicating that methylation is not controlling the expression of ERa-negative cell lines (Figure 7).
**Figure 7.** ERα is not re-expressed in TMX2-28 or MDA-MB-231 cells after treatment with 5-Aza. TMX2-11 has a significant increase in expression of ERα as compared with MCF-7 (p=0.0008). TMX2-28 (p=0.0004) and MDA-MB-231 (p=0.0004) have a significant decrease in expression as compared with MCF-7. Treatment with 2.5 μM 5-Aza for 4 days does not cause re-expression of the gene in either cell line. Average percent methylation, measured in the TSS1500 region of the promoter, is low in all control cells and therefore 5-Aza treated samples were not analyzed. Comparisons were made on results from triplicate biological samples using a Student’s unpaired T-test.

**Task 4:** IRB approval was obtained from Baystate Medical Center with the help of Dr. Christopher Otis, pathologist, and Dr. Rahul Jawale, pathology resident. Dr. Jawale collected information and blocks from 68 primary and recurrent tumors. An additional 10 non-recurrent ER+ control tumors were also collected. Tissues sections were stained for H&E and ER and Drs. Otis and Jawale confirmed tumor and ER-status for each case. Of the 68 primary and recurrent tumors, 18 were ER+ primary recurring as ER+, 7 were ER- primary recurring as ER-, and 7 were ER+ primary recurring as ER-. An additional 4 samples had 2nd recurrences available (Table 2).

**Task 5:** Samples were macrodissected using the H&E slide marked by Dr. Jawale for tumor boundaries as a guide. DNA was purified and samples were sent to University of Southern California core facility for analysis by HM450BC. This method was selected over pyrosequencing due to the high number of CpG sites that it interrogates across the genome. Of the 78 tumor samples sent for analysis, 68 passed QC (60 primary and recurrent tumors and 8 non-recurrent tumors). Analysis of the data is underway to determine genes and pathways affected by Tamoxifen. These data will be compared to the HM450BC data from Mcf-7, TMX2-11, and TMX2-28.
**Task 6:** A paper entitled “High-density array analysis of DNA methylation in Tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cell lines” was published in Epigenetics. My dissertation and a manuscript based on the Tamoxifen-resistant tumors are currently in preparation and will be completed this Spring.

**Key Research Accomplishments:**

**Training accomplishments:**
- Continued collaboration with **Dr. Sallie Smith-Schneider**, Pioneer Valley Life Sciences Institute; **Dr. Douglas Anderton**, University of South Carolina; **Dr. Brian Pentecost**, New York State Department of Health; and **Dr. Christopher Otis**, director of Surgical Pathology Baystate Medical Center, **Dr. Maxwell Lee**, National Institutes of Health. I also began collaboration with **Dr. Rahul Jawale**, pathology resident Baystate Medical Center and **Dr. Mark Sherman**, chief of Breast and Gynecologic Cancer Research Group National Institutes of Health on the breast tumor study.
- Current and active member of AACR
- Weekly meetings with my mentor Dr. Kathleen Arcaro about my research and progress

**Research accomplishments:**
- Analyzed the expression genes found to be hypermethylated in TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 as compared to MCF-7.
- Treated MCF-7, TMX2-11, TMX2-28 and MDA-MB-231 cells with 5-Aza and purified RNA and DNA.
- Analyzed gene expression in 5-Aza treated samples and compared with vehicle control samples.
- Ran pyrosequencing analysis of genes found differentially expressed in TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 as compared to MCF-7.
- Treated cell lines with 5-Aza, Tamoxifen, or a combination of 5-Aza and Tamoxifen for proliferation analysis.
- Treated MDA-MB-231 with the methylating agent, SAM and purified RNA and DNA for expression and methylation analysis.
- Examined ERα expression in the cell lines with and without 5-Aza treatment.
- Collected and purified DNA from 68 primary and recurrent breast tumors and 10 non-recurrent tumors for HM450BC analysis.
- Published a journal article entitled “High-density array analysis of DNA methylation in Tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cell lines” in Epigenetics.

**Reportable Outcomes:**

Out of 14 differentially methylated genes analyzed only 3 genes were found to have expression changes, BMP2, MAGED1, and ZNF350. BMP2 had an increase in expression in TMX2-28, but not in TMX2-11 as compared to MCF-7.
Treatment with 5-Aza did not affect expression in TMX2-11 or TMX2-28. MAGED1 and ZNF350 showed a slight, but non-significant decrease in expression in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28. In ZNF350 5-Aza treatment led to a significant increase in expression in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 and a significant decrease in methylation. However, in MAGED1, 5-Aza treatment resulted in an increase in expression in TMX2-28 and a significant decrease in methylation in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28. Treatment of TMX2-28 with 5-Aza was shown to have an affect on the growth rate of the cells with a 50% decrease in proliferation over the control. No effect was seen on the other cell lines.

A manuscript entitled "High-density array analysis of DNA methylation in Tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cell lines" was published in Epigenetics. Using data published from work done on this training grant, Dr. Otis and Dr. Jawale received funding from Baystate Medical Center to analyze the breast tumor samples by HM450BC and IHC. An abstract on the breast tumor study was accepted to the AACR Annual Meeting 2014 and a poster will be presented at the conference in April.

Conclusion:

Approximately 33% of women given Tamoxifen as a treatment for breast cancer acquire resistance to the drug and many of those women die from the disease. The mechanism of this resistance is not well characterized, however few studies have examined DNA methylation as a possible cause. DNA methylation is reversible using a demethylating agent and therefore it is a potential therapeutic target for women diagnosed with Tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer.

In the final year of this study, I continued my training through interactions with my mentor and collaborators, who are pathologists, epidemiologists, and biostatisticians. I have also completed methylation analysis of Tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cells and treated the cells with a demethylating agent to further understand the role methylation plays in Tamoxifen-resistance. Analysis of the HM450BC of the breast tumor samples to determine the effect Tamoxifen has on methylation is currently being completed. Future work includes treating MCF-7, TMX2-11, and TMX2-28 with 5-Aza, Tamoxifen and 17-b-estradiol to determine if a combination of these drugs restores sensitivity of TMX2-28 to Tamoxifen. Lastly, I have published manuscript and am currently in the process of preparing another one along with my dissertation.
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Introduction

Estrogen receptor-α (ERα) status remains one of the most important breast cancer diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers. Roughly 70% of all breast cancers are ERα-positive and can be treated with an antihormone such as Tamoxifen. However, a meta-analysis of 150,000 women from 200 randomized trials found that 33% of women receiving Tamoxifen for five years had recurrence (acquired resistance) within 15 y and 26% died.¹ In addition to this acquired antiestrogen resistance, de novo- or intrinsic-resistance occurs primarily in ERα-negative tumors but also occasionally in ERα-positive tumors.² Studies of endocrine resistance and global gene expression in Tamoxifen-resistant cell cultures and human tumors have detected alterations in numerous pathways including ER-signaling, growth factor receptor, and cytoplasmic signaling, cell cycle, apoptosis and cell survival signaling.³ A recent proteomics analysis of an ERα-negative, Tamoxifen-resistant MCF-7 derivative showed changes in expression of genes involved in metastasis, tumorigenesis, and ER-signaling pathways.⁴ However, knowledge of the specific molecular mechanisms that cause these changes and determine the endocrine-resistance is far from complete.

DNA promoter methylation is a major epigenetic mechanism by which gene expression is altered in cancer. DNA methyltransferases (DMNTs) are responsible for the addition of methyl groups to the cytosine of a CpG site.⁵ In normal adult tissue, CpG islands remain largely unmethylated; however, in the case of cancer, hypermethylation of normally unmethylated cytosines in promoter CpG islands frequently results in gene silencing, while hypomethylation of normally methylated cytosines in CpGs outside promoter regions leads to genetic instability.⁶ Suppression of genes involved in cell cycle control, DNA repair, apoptosis and cell survival, and toxicant metabolism is thought to play a major role in the etiology and progression of cancer.

To date, few studies have examined promoter methylation and Tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. Of the studies conducted, one detected drug-specific promoter methylation and gene expression profiles in an ERα-positive, Tamoxifen-resistant cell line.
MCF-7 derivative cell line. Another study demonstrated that promoter hypermethylation was not the cause of decreased progesterone receptor expression in a Tamoxifen-resistant but estrogen-dependent MCF-7 derived clone. However, methylation analysis of both ERα-positive and ERα-negative Tamoxifen-resistant cell lines derived from a single parental line have not been reported until now.

In the present study, we examine DNA methylation in two Tamoxifen-resistant clones of MCF-7, TMX2-11, and TMX2-28. TMX2-11 retained expression of ERα, while TMX2-28 lost expression of the gene. We found that prolonged treatment with Tamoxifen induced hypermethylation and hypomethylation throughout the genome. Analysis of methylation and expression of two genes with promoter methylation in both Tamoxifen-resistant cell lines demonstrated cell line-specific responses to treatment with 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine.

**Results**

Tamoxifen-selection results in extensive changes in DNA methylation

To compare DNA methylation among the Tamoxifen-selected cell lines, the 17β-estradiol (E2)-treated cells and the non-treated parental cell line, we used Multidimensional Scaling (MDS) to analyze results from the HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (HM450 BeadChip). Beta values of the top 1000 CpG sites that varied most among samples were plotted using the Minfi package for R. As illustrated in the MDS plot, one of the two Tamoxifen-selected cell lines and the E2-treated MCF-7 do not deviate from the parental MCF-7 on Dimension 1 (Fig. 1). These three groups all have a value of ~7.5 relative units (RUs) on the y-axis (Dimension 1), and all are positive for ERα. In contrast, the Tamoxifen-selected, ERα-negative cell line, TMX2-28, falls about 28 RUs from the other samples. The deviation in Dimension 1 was restricted to the ERα-negative cell line suggesting that the methylation in this Dimension may be secondary to the loss of ERα and not a direct consequence of Tamoxifen-selection. In contrast, the deviation in Dimension 2, while significantly less than that of Dimension 1, may reflect methylation changes directly related to the E2 and Tamoxifen treatments. Both of the Tamoxifen-selected cell lines show a modest deviation in the same direction on Dimension 2. TMX2-11 is roughly 4 RUs from MCF-7, while TMX2-28 is one RU from the parent cell line. We treated MCF-7 cells with E2 for 14 d to examine the overall effect that short-term treatment with a known ERα agonist had on methylation. Interestingly, the E2-treated MCF-7 cells deviate by 1.5 RUs from the untreated MCF-7 but in the opposite direction as the two Tamoxifen-selected cell lines.

Differentially methylated CpG (dmCpG) sites in the Tamoxifen-selected lines are primarily hypermethylated

To further assess the effects of prolonged Tamoxifen treatment on DNA methylation we prepared scatter plots comparing all CpG sites among the Tamoxifen-selected cell lines and the parental line (Fig. 2). The areas outlined in blue on each of the scatterplots in Figure 2 include data points for dmCpG sites that show a 2-fold change and have average β values >0.3. The β value cut-off point of 0.3 was chosen based on previous literature demonstrating significant changes in CpG site methylation between Tamoxifen-resistant and parental cell lines. The scatter plots confirm and expand the results illustrated in the MDS plots; prolonged treatment with Tamoxifen results in methylation changes that are more pronounced in the cell line that lost expression of ERα (Fig. 2A and B). Additionally, for both cell lines the majority of dmCpGs are hypermethylated. Roughly eight times more CpG sites are hypermethylated in TMX2-28 as compared with TMX2-11 (33752 vs. 4309; Table 1). While hypomethylation was less common, there are twice as many hypomethylated CpG sites in TMX2-28 as compared with TMX2-11 (5252 vs. 2593; Table 1). The methylation patterns of TMX2-11 and control MCF-7 (Fig. 2A) are more similar than those of the two Tamoxifen-resistant lines TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 (Fig. 2C). In contrast to prolonged Tamoxifen treatment, 14 d of treatment with E2 resulted in few dmCpGs, and these are primarily hypomethylation changes (Fig. 2D; Table 1).

To assess the effects of Tamoxifen on DNA methylation while limiting the potential bias due to loss of ERα in TMX2-28, we restricted the next set of analyses to CpG sites with methylation changes in similar directions (both hyper- or hypo-methylated) in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 as compared with the parental cell line, MCF-7. The Tamoxifen-selected cell lines share roughly 3000 hypermethylated (>0.3 average β value and >2-fold change from MCF-7) and 200 hypomethylated (<0.3 average β value and <2-fold change from MCF-7) CpG sites (Table 1).
dmCpG sites are found primarily in the intergenic, body and promoter regions.

To obtain a better understanding of the effect of Tamoxifen on breast cancer cells, differential methylation of TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 as compared with MCF-7 was examined over the entire genome. Figure 3A shows the number of CpG sites included on the HM450 BeadChip in each of five regions: promoter (TSS200 and TSS1500 regions; 29%), 5′UTR/1st Exon (12%), body (31%), 3′UTR (3%), and intergenic (areas not included in the previous four regions; 25%). The functional genomic distribution of dmCpGs in the Tamoxifen-selected lines is shown in Figure 3B and C. In general the distribution of hyper- and hypomethylated CpG sites reflects their representation on the BeadChip. Thirty-two percent of CpG sites with hypermethylation are found in intergenic regions followed closely by the body (30%) and promoter regions (21%; Fig. 3B). Results are similar for hypomethylated CpG sites with 32% located in the body, 30% in the promoter, and 22% in intergenic regions (Fig. 3C). A single CpG site may be counted several times if there are multiple transcripts or gene-overlap, so that the total number of methylated CpG sites in Figure 3B and C do not add up to those in Table 1.

Figure 3D summarizes neighborhood location of all CpG sites on the HM450 BeadChip as described in the GenomeStudio Methylation Module user guide (Illumina); shores (23%) are located 0–2 kb and shelves (10%) are 2–4 kb from the canonical CpG islands, while the remainder of the sequence is defined as open sea (36%; Fig. 3D). The relationship of shared hyper- and hypomethylated CpG sites in the Tamoxifen-selected lines to the canonical CpG islands is shown in Figure 3E and F. The pattern of hypermethylated sites deviates from their representation on the HM450 BeadChip. Only 10% of hypermethylated CpG sites lie within the CpG islands, while 31% of the CpGs included on the BeadChip are within an island (Fig. 3D and E). The open sea region has the greatest number of hypermethylated sites (68%) of all hypermethylated CpGs and deviates the greatest from the representation on the BeadChip (36% of all CpG sites). In contrast, the pattern of the hypomethylated genes reflects their representation on the BeadChip.
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Figure 2. Scatter plots indicate genome-wide methylation changes in Tamoxifen-resistant lines compared with the parental. TMX2-11 (A), TMX2-28 (B) and MCF-7 treated with 10⁻⁶ M E₂ for 14 d (D) were compared with the parental line. MCF-7 and the Tamoxifen-resistant clones TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 (C) were compared against each other using GenomeStudio to determine the overall changes in methylation. Dashed lines mark the average β cut-off value of 0.3 for each sample; center red line represents equal β values in the two samples; outer red lines mark the 2-fold change in average β values for each sample; blue boxes enclose all CpG sites with average β values >0.3 and a >2-fold change in methylation.

| Table 1. CpG methylation changes in Tamoxifen-resistant cell lines as compared with the parental line |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                 | TMX2-11/MCF-7 | TMX2-28/MCF-7  | MCF-7E/MCF-7  | TMX2-11 and TMX2-28/MCF-7 |
| Increased Methylation*          | 4039          | 33752          | 128           | 3130           |
| Decreased Methylation**         | 2593          | 5252           | 1698          | 203            |
| No Change in Methylation        | 472 153       | 436 113        | 479 003       | 431 909        |

*Increased methylation: >2-fold change, >0.3 β-value in TMX2-11, TMX2-28, or E₂ treated MCF-7. **Decreased methylation: >2-fold change, >0.3 β-value in MCF-7. No change in methylation: <2-fold change in all lines. Detection P value of <0.01 was used to distinguish statistically significant methylation changes.
Sensory perception is among the top pathways affected by Tamoxifen selection

Pathway analyses were conducted on genes with dmCpG sites in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 as compared with MCF-7. The first DAVID analysis separately examined genes with either hyper- or hypomethylated sites occurring anywhere in the gene. The top 20 pathways with hypermethylated genes, out of an extensive list of statistically significant pathways, and the top 5 statistically significant pathways with hypomethylated genes are shown in Table 2. The hypermethylated pathway with the highest statistical significance is sensory perception of smell, which includes 100 olfactory receptor genes (Table S1). This is followed closely by the cell surface linked signal transduction pathway, which includes many of the same olfactory receptor genes as described above, as well as genes involved in the WNT and TGFβ signaling pathways. Sixty-four genes in the cell adhesion pathway have increased methylation and the majority of these genes are involved in ECM-receptor interaction pathways (Table 2). The hypomethylated gene list was less associated with any specific pathway, presumably due to the small number of hypomethylated dmCpGs.

Next we conducted DAVID analyses restricted to hypermethylated genes in either the promoter or the body regions. The top pathways with promoter hypermethylated genes are sensory perception of smell and sensory perception of chemical stimulus (70 and 72 genes respectively) with the majority being olfactory receptor genes (Table S2). In comparison, the top pathways with body hypermethylated genes are ion and metal ion transport (51 and 34 genes respectively), followed by cell adhesion (42 genes; Table S3). The promoter and body regions share only six out of the top 20 hypermethylated gene pathways (Table 3).

**Promoter methylation of ZNF350 and MAGED1**

Given that promoter methylation (TSS200 and TSS1500 regions; Fig. 4A) can alter gene expression in cancer, we wanted to further examine the role of promoter methylation in the Tamoxifen selected cell lines. We selected two genes with at least two dmCpG sites that had β values above 0.3 and a >2-fold change in the promoter region in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 as compared with MCF-7 from the HM450 BeadChip. Expression of both genes has been shown to be downregulated in breast cancer, yet DNA promoter methylation has not been suggested as a potential mechanism of decreased expression. The first gene, zinc finger protein 350 (ZNF350), a DNA damage response protein, has increased methylation in 7 out of 10 promoter CpG sites represented on the HM450 BeadChip in TMX2-11 and in 8 out of 10 in TMX2-28 (Fig. 4B). The second gene, melanoma antigen family D1 (MAGED1), a tumor antigen and putative regulator of p53 transcription has five CpG promoter sites in transcript variant 3 represented on the BeadChip. Of these five sites, all are hypermethylated in TMX2-28 and four are hypermethylated in TMX2-11 (Fig. 4C). There were 120 additional genes that also displayed hypermethylation in at least
### Table 2. Hyper- and Hypomethylated Pathways shared by TMX2–11 and TMX2–28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensory perception of smell</td>
<td>2.13E-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell surface receptor linked signal transduction</td>
<td>4.28E-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological system process</td>
<td>1.34E-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory perception of chemical stimulus</td>
<td>1.72E-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway</td>
<td>5.72E-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td>2.95E-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory perception</td>
<td>6.98E-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion transport</td>
<td>5.82E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell-cell signaling</td>
<td>4.29E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission of nerve impulse</td>
<td>2.94E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptic transmission</td>
<td>1.13E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuron differentiation</td>
<td>1.83E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal ion transport</td>
<td>2.07E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior</td>
<td>5.32E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell motion</td>
<td>1.41E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation of system process</td>
<td>2.78E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell adhesion</td>
<td>3.77E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological adhesion</td>
<td>3.91E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuron projection development</td>
<td>4.75E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium ion transport</td>
<td>5.98E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fear response</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell morphogenesis involved in differentiation</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuron development</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicellular organism response to stress</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuron differentiation</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Top 20 hypermethylated pathways; **top 5 hypomethylated pathways.

To confirm the methylation observed with the BeadChip and evaluate the TSS200 region (flanking region upstream of the TSS) in greater depth, we designed pyrosequencing assays to interrogate CpG sites in both ZNF350 and MAGED1. The pyrosequencing assay for ZNF350 examines seven CpG sites, four of which were represented on the HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (Fig. 4B, orange box). The pyrosequencing assay for MAGED1 examines four CpG sites, one of which was included on the BeadChip (Fig. 4C, orange box). Results obtained from pyrosequencing of bisulfite-modified DNA (percent methylated) confirm the increased promoter methylation discovered on the BeadChip for both ZNF350 and MAGED1 (Table 4).

### Table 3. Hypermethylated pathways in the promoter and body regions shared by TMX2–11 and TMX2–28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensory perception of smell</td>
<td>6.50E-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion transport</td>
<td>7.35E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory perception of chemical stimulus</td>
<td>8.96E-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal ion transport</td>
<td>4.77E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway</td>
<td>5.19E-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell adhesion</td>
<td>2.95E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological system process</td>
<td>2.31E-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological adhesion</td>
<td>3.02E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell-cell signaling</td>
<td>6.40E-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell-cell signaling</td>
<td>1.78E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cation transport</td>
<td>1.96E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicellular organism response to stress</td>
<td>7.55E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission of nerve impulse</td>
<td>8.78E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological system process</td>
<td>1.01E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendage development</td>
<td>1.10E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limb development</td>
<td>1.10E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium ion transport</td>
<td>1.33E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell surface receptor linked signal transduction</td>
<td>1.35E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to pain</td>
<td>1.60E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuron differentiation</td>
<td>1.66E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle organ development</td>
<td>2.15E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell motion</td>
<td>2.50E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation of system process</td>
<td>3.11E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-, tri-valent inorganic cation transport</td>
<td>3.26E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptic transmission</td>
<td>5.24E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Top 20 hypermethylated pathways.

For ZNF350, the percent methylation in MCF-7 cells is remarkably similar to β values for all four CpG sites examined with both methods. Likewise, the percent methylation in ZNF350 in the Tamoxifen-selected cell lines is highly comparable to the β values. A similar trend is observed for MAGED1. A strong correlation is seen between HM450 BeadChip β values and pyrosequencing values for all CpG sites assayed (Pearson r = 0.931, P ≤ 0.0001; Fig. S1).
Figure 5 shows the detailed pyrosequencing results for MCF-7 and the Tamoxifen-selected cell lines. For both ZNF350 and MAGED1 the CpG-site specific pattern is highly reproducible in DNA isolated nine months apart. Pyrosequencing across all sites confirm greater mean methylation in TMX2-11 (30% increase) and TMX2-28 (17% increase) as compared with MCF-7 for ZNF350 (Fig. 5A). Results for MAGED1 also confirm greater mean methylation, TMX2-11 (3% increase) and TMX2-28 (30% increase; Fig. 5B).

Treatment with 5-aza-2’deoxycytidine reverses DNA methylation in TMX2-28
To assess whether promoter methylation of ZNF350 and MAGED1 could be reversed to the levels of MCF-7, cell cultures were treated with 2.5 μM of 5-aza-2’deoxycytidine (5-Aza) or vehicle control for 4 d. Pyrosequencing of CpG sites in the TSS200 region of ZNF350 reveals a significant, 23% decrease in methylation (from 27 to 20) in TMX2-28 treated with 5-Aza ($P = 0.0066$; Fig. 6A). Likewise, a 31% decrease in methylation is observed in the promoter of MAGED1 in TMX2-28 ($P = 0.0002$; Fig. 6B). A small (10%) but significant decrease in methylation is also observed in TMX2-11 cells (Fig. 6B).

Decreasing methylation results in increased expression of ZNF350 and MAGED1 in TMX2-28
After determining that treatment with 5-Aza decreased promoter methylation, we asked whether the 5-Aza treatment also increases mRNA expression levels. We compared mRNA levels of ZNF350 and MAGED1 in treated and control cell lines. Treatment with 5-Aza significantly increases the expression of ZNF350 in TMX2-28 (5.6-fold) as compared with the untreated cell cultures (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, the expression of ZNF350 also increases in TMX2-11 (2-fold) even though there is no change in promoter methylation (Fig. 6A). In TMX2-11, ZNF350 expression levels are equivalent to those of MCF-7, while in the TMX2-28 cells ZNF350 is significantly overexpressed (TMX2-28 5-Aza vs. MCF-7 Control: $P = 0.04$; Fig. 6A).

Treatment with 5-Aza increases expression of MAGED1 in TMX2-28 (442-fold) to a level significantly above that of MCF-7 ($P = 0.028$; Fig. 6B). In contrast, the expression of MAGED1 is
not increased in TMX2-11, despite the significant decrease in methylation (Fig. 6B).

Analysis of the ERα-negative line, MDA-MB-231 is included for comparison with TMX2-28. Treatment with 5-Aza increases the expression of ZNF350 in MDA-MB-231 (P = 0.008) to levels similar to TMX2-28, but has no effect on MAGED1. Promoter methylation of ZNF350 and MAGED1 is low in MDA-MB-231 and not altered by 5-Aza treatment.

**Discussion**

Acquired Tamoxifen resistance occurs in approximately 33% of all women who are given the drug for 5 y.1 The mechanism of this acquired resistance by the cells is largely unknown, however DNA methylation has been shown to differ between Tamoxifen-resistant and Tamoxifen-sensitive cell lines.7,8 Past studies examined methylation changes in ERα-positive, Tamoxifen-resistant cell lines. Here we present methylation data on both ERα-positive and ERα-negative Tamoxifen-resistant cell lines derived concurrently from the parental cell line, MCF-7.

We found substantial overall changes in methylation, suggesting that DNA methylation is contributing to Tamoxifen resistance in both ERα-positive and -negative cell lines. Interestingly, the loss of ERα expression in TMX2-28 does not appear to be controlled by changes in methylation. TMX2-28 ERα has an average of 3% methylation in the promoter region as analyzed by pyrosequencing and treatment with 5-Aza does not cause re-expression (Williams, unpublished data). Further studies examining histone modifications and other epigenetic changes will likely provide insight into the loss of ERα expression in TMX2-28.

Since the ERα-negative TMX2-28 cells show significantly greater methylation changes than the ERα-positive TMX2-11 cells, it is likely that a large percent of the observed DNA methylation is secondary to the loss of ERα expression. To eliminate the bias due to ERα loss and to focus on pathways most relevant to Tamoxifen-resistance, we examined CpG sites similarly methylated in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 as compared with the parental MCF-7 line. The number of hypermethylated sites in both cell lines is greater than the number of hypomethylated and the dmCpGs are distributed across the gene regions. Because of the importance of promoter methylation in controlling gene expression,6,16 the HM450 BeadChip is enriched for CpG sites in the promoter region, with over 140 000 sites represented in the TSS200 and TSS1500 regions.13 Recent literature, however, suggests that body methylation may play an equally important role in controlling gene expression.17,18 Less than 1% of the promoter and body CpG sites represented on the BeadChip are hypermethylated in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 and of these dmCpGs, slightly more are in the body than in the promoter region (0.66% vs. 0.52%).

ZNF350 is frequently underexpressed in primary breast cancer.15 It functions as a transcriptional repressor by binding to its co-repressor, BRCA1, and silencing target genes involved in DNA damage response.15 Treatment with 5-Aza increased expression of ZNF350 in both Tamoxifen-resistant cell lines as well as MDA-MB-231, yet only in TMX2-28 was a significant decrease in promoter methylation observed. Expression of ZNF350 in TMX2-11 and MDA-MB-231 may be regulated by an upstream factor or by methylation outside of the CpGs examined. Published studies using 5-Aza to induce expression of genes downregulated in cancer indicate that multiple factors, such as location of CpG sites within the island regions, transcription factor promoter methylation and histone methylation play a role in controlling expression.19-23 A further investigation into gene expression using array-based methods may help elucidate the genes affected specifically by promoter methylation.

MAGED1 is an adaptor protein involved in regulation of various cellular processes altered in cancer including apoptosis, proliferation and cell growth.16,24 MAGED1 is downregulated in cancer and it has been reported that transfection of the gene into breast cancer cells lacking MAGED1 inhibits proliferation and invasion of the cells.16 Treatment with 5-Aza significantly decreases methylation of MAGED1 in both TMX2-11 and TMX2-28, but concomitant increased expression occurs only in TMX2-28. This suggests that methylation may be necessary, but not sufficient to re-express MAGED1 in TMX2-11 as the methylation decreases, but no change in expression is seen. No changes in either methylation or expression of MAGED1 were observed in MDA-MB-231. Cell line differences in response to 5-Aza highlight the difficulty of using agents which target methylation to treat breast cancer. TMX2-28 are more sensitive to the effects of 5-Aza and their appearance is notably altered (flatter, rounder, and larger in appearance) after four days of treatment (Williams, 2020).
unpublished observations). The differences among the cell lines are analogous to the differences among breast cancers in patients. Not all breast tumors will respond similarly to treatment with demethylating agents and future emphasis must be placed on identifying markers that accurately predict response to treatment.

**Conclusions**

We have shown that long-term treatment with Tamoxifen results in significant DNA methylation changes in both ERα-positive and -negative breast cancer cells. We found that 5-Aza treatment increased gene expression levels that did not always correlate with decreases in promoter methylation, indicating another epigenetic mechanism or gene region is controlling expression. Our data suggest DNA methylation occurring in regions other than the promoter are vast and need further investigation to determine their significance in Tamoxifen resistance.

**Materials and Methods**

Cell culture, RNA, and DNA purification

TMX2-11 and TMX2-28 were kindly provided by John Gierthy (Wadsworth Center Albany, NY). MCF-7 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cell lines were grown in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (without phenol red) supplemented with 5% cosmic calf serum (HyClone Cat. No. SH30087.03), 2.0 mM L-glutamine, 0.1 mM of nonessential amino acids, 10 ng/mL of insulin, 100 units/mL of penicillin, and 100 µg/mL of streptomycin. Cells were maintained at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator and media was changed every 2 d. MCF-7 cells were cultured with and without 10⁻¹⁰ M E₂ (Sigma-Aldrich Cat. No. E8875) added to the media for 14 d.

RNA was purified in triplicate for each cell line using TriReagent (Molecular Research Center, Inc. Cat. No. TR118) and DNA was purified using QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen Cat. No. 51304) as per manufacturer suggestion and protocols previously described. Purified RNA and DNA samples were quantified using a NanoDrop 8000 (Thermo Scientific).

**Illumina HumanMethylation450 BeadChip**

Samples purified from MCF-7, TMX2-11, TMX2-28, and short-term (14 d) 10⁻¹⁰ M E₂-treated MCF-7 using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen Cat. No. 51304) were sent to the core facility at Roswell Park Cancer Institute for HM450 BeadChip (Illumina Cat. No.WG-314-1003) analysis. Briefly, DNA sent to Roswell Park Cancer Institute was quantitated by PicoGreen (Molecular Probes Cat. No. P7589) prior to bisulfite treatment with the EZ DNA methylation kit (Zymo Cat. No. D5001). Bisulfite-treated DNA was amplified at 37 °C for 20–24 h after treatment with 0.1N NaOH. The DNA was then fragmented at 37 °C for 1 h using an enzymatic process and subsequently precipitated in 100% 2-propanol at 4 °C for 30 min followed by centrifugation at 3000 x g at 4 °C for 20 min. Dried pellets were resuspended in hybridization buffer, 48° C for 1 h followed by 95 °C for 20 min, then loaded onto the HM450 BeadChip and incubated at 48 °C for 16–24 h. Following hybridization of DNA to the primers on the BeadChip, unhybridized and non-specific DNA was removed using wash buffers to prepare the chip for staining. After a single base extension of the hybridized primers using labeled nucleotides, the BeadChip was stained with Cy-3 and Cy-5 fluorescent dyes and read using the Illumina iScan Reader. The image data were then analyzed using Illumina

![Figure 5. CpG site methylation of ZNF350 and MAGED1 in Tamoxifen-resistant and parental cell lines. CpG sites in the TSS200 region of (A) ZNF350 and (B) MAGED1 were analyzed by pyrosequencing. CpG sites present on the BeadChip are highlighted in orange. Two experiments conducted 9 mo apart demonstrate the permanence of methylation changed: Filled symbols indicate Experiment 1 (Exp1) and open symbols indicate Experiment 2 (Exp2). Each experiment consisted of three biological replicates for each cell line.](image-url)
Figure 6. Comparison of gene expression and promoter methylation in ZNF350 and MAGED1. Relative mRNA levels measured by qRT-PCR and average percent methylation of the TSS200 regions measured by pyrosequencing of (A) ZNF350 and (B) MAGED1 in control cultures and cultures treated with S-Aza for four days. (A) Treatment with S-Aza resulted in significantly increased expression of ZNF350 in TMX2–11, TMX2–28, and MDA–MB-231; however, only TMX2–28 showed a corresponding significant decrease in promoter methylation (see text). (B) Treatment with S-Aza resulted in a significant increase in expression of MAGED1 in TMX2–28, however a significant decrease in methylation was observed in both TMX2–11 and TMX2–28 (see text). Comparisons were made on results from triplicate biological samples using the unpaired Student t test; * = P < 0.05 and ** = P < 0.01. Two independent experiments were conducted nine months apart with similar results; results from experiment 1 are shown.

GenomeStudio to assess efficiency of the reaction. Methylation of the interrogated CpG loci were calculated as the ratio of the fluorescent signals of methylated to unmethylated sites (β values).

5-Aza-2’deoxycytidine treatment of cells
Cells were seeded into 6-well plates at varying concentrations (MCF-7 and TMX2-11: 150000 cells/well; TMX2-28 and MDA-MB-231: 100000 cells/well) and allowed to attach overnight at 37 °C and 5% CO2. Two experiments were completed 9 mo apart. Triplicate replicate wells were treated with either 0.1% DMSO (vehicle control) or 2.5 μM 5-aza-2’deoxycytidine (Sigma-Aldrich Cat. No. A3656) in 0.1% DMSO for 4 d, refreshing the media every other day. On the fourth day, DNA and RNA were purified from the cells as described above and concentration and quality were evaluated using the NanoDrop 8000 (Thermo Scientific).

Pyrosequencing
DNA (1 μg) was bisulfite treated using the EpiTect Bisulfite kit (Qiagen Cat. No. 59104) and PCR Primers were designed using the Pyromark Assay Design Software (Qiagen). One μL of bisulfite treated DNA was amplified using the Pyromark PCR kit (Qiagen Cat. No. 978730) in a BioRad MyCycler and the following gene specific primers designed to target CpG sites in the TSS200 promoter region of the gene analyzed by the BeadChip: ZNF350 (NM_021632) GRCh37 HG19 Map position (MAPINFO) Ch19 coordinates: 52490101, 52490120, 52490127, and 52490173; Primers for pyrosequencing: FWD 5’-TTGGTTTTG TTTAAAAAT TTGGTTAT-3’, REV 5’-ACACTAACCT CTATTTTCTC CAAATACACA A-3’, SEQ 5’- ACTCTACCTT CAAAATCTCTT-3’, MAGED1 (NM_001005332) MAPINFO ChX coordinate: 51546021; Primers for pyrosequencing: FWD 5’-GAGTTTGGAG TAAAGGGATT AAGATGA-3’, REV 5’-Biot-TACCCCTCC TCCACTT-3’, SEQ 5’- AGATGAAGG AGATATTTT-3’. Additional CpG sites not analyzed by the BeadChip were assessed in the pyrosequencing assay due to their proximity to the CpG sites of interest. Single stranded products were prepared for pyrosequencing by PyroMark vacuum prep tool (Biotage). Pyrosequencing reactions were performed using a PyroMark Q24 system (Biotage) and manufacturers protocol (Qiagen). Data were analyzed using
Pyromark Q24 Software for percent methylation at the CpG sites interrogated.

Quantitative Real Time Reverse Transcriptase-PCR (qRT-PCR)

Primers for qRT-PCR were designed using Primer-BLAST (NIH) and the UCSC RefGene Accession number associated with the CpG site of interest (MAGED1_NM_001005332, ZNF350_NM_021632) or as previously described:23 MAGED1 FWD 5'-CCTCTTCTCGT CAAGCCCCCA G-3', REV 5' AGGCAGCATT TGAGCCCTT-3'; ZNF350 FWD 5'-CCAGTTGGA TGCTGTTCT C-3', REV 5'-CCACTCTCC CAAGTGAAGT C-3'. qRT-PCR analysis was performed as previously described on a Roche LightCycler using the Qiagen OneStep RT-PCR kit (Qiagen Cat. No. 210212) and SYBR green I nucleic acid stain (Invitrogen Cat. No. S7567). Total RNA (75 ng) was combined with OneStep RT-PCR master mix, dNTPs, SYBR green (2x), and primers (25 µM each) described above in chilled capillaries (Roche Cat. No. 04929292001). RNA was reverse transcribed for 30 min at 50°C and subsequent amplification was assayed for 45 cycles using fluorescent generation by intercalating SYBR green dye into the resulting DNA product. Relative mRNA expression levels were normalized to hypoxanthine ribosyltransferase (HPRT) as described previously.24

Data analysis

Using the Minfi package for R,25 a β MDS was created from the β values of the top 1000 CpG sites that deviate the greatest most among the samples in the HM450 data files. GenomeStudio Methylation Module (v.1.9) was used to analyze the β values of the methylation data obtained from the HM450 BeadChip. CpG sites with detection P values of <0.01 were selected to ensure statistically significant CpG site data were analyzed. Average β value of >0.3 (range from 0–1) was used as a cutoff for hypermethylated CpG site divergence in the Tamoxifen resistant cell lines and an average β value of <0.3 for hypomethylated CpG sites. Lastly, to discern differences in the CpG site methylation data in Tamoxifen-resistant cell lines as compared with the parental line, MCF-7, a positive fold change was calculated as average β of Tamoxifen resistant cell line over parental cell line. A negative fold change was calculated as the parental cell line over the Tamoxifen resistant clone. GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc.) was used to analyze and graph the biological replicate statistical results from pyrosequencing and qRT-PCR and to calculate a Pearson correlation coefficient for HM450 BeadChip and pyrosequencing data. Unpaired Student t test with a P value of <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID; NIAID, NIH) was used to conduct pathway analysis from a list of genes associated with CpG sites described above as hyper- or hypomethylated.
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Epigenetic Changes in Breast Cancer Cells Associated With Acquired Tamoxifen-Resistance
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INTRODUCTION

- Roughly 75% of all breast cancers express estrogen receptor alpha (ERα) and are most sensitive to the anti-estrogen, Tamoxifen.
- Approximately 1/3 of all women treated with Tamoxifen develop recurrence within five years; a greater understanding of Tamoxifen-resistance is needed.
- Tamoxifen-selected derivatives of the MCF-7 breast cancer cell line: TMX2-11 and TMX2-28, have acquired resistance through prolonged exposure to the drug.
- Phenotypes of the Tamoxifen-resistant cell lines vary.
  - TAM2-28 are ERnegative, invasive and express basal-like cytokeratins.
  - TAM2-11 are ERpositive, non-invasive and non-malignant.

RESULTS

TAMoxifen-selection results in altered DNA methylation patterns

Figure 1. (a) To identify the molecular mechanisms of Tamoxifen-resistance in breast cancer, we are using Tamoxifen-selected derivatives of the MCF-7 breast cancer cell line: TMX2-11 and TMX2-28. (b) Despite their invasive behavior, TMX2-28 retains a morphology similar to the non-invasive MCF-7 cells.

HYPOTHESIS

- We hypothesized that promoter methylation plays a role in both ERpositive and ERnegative acquired Tamoxifen-resistance.

REFERENCES & FUNDING SOURCES

- A subset of CpG sites (2.5K) was identified in which the two Tamoxifen-selected lines share a promoter methylation pattern distinct from the MCF-7 parent line.
- Further pathway analysis reveals the hypermethylated genes are involved in processes relevant to acquired Tamoxifen-resistance including cell signaling, adhesion, transcriptional activation and repression, differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis.
- ZNF350/ZBRK1 was shown to have expression changes in all but MCF-7 when treated with 5-Aza, indicating that methylation may be playing a role in expression of this gene.
- Pyrosequencing and real-time RT-PCR will be used in future studies to probe the role of the identified genes and pathways in Tamoxifen-resistant tumors.
- Clearly, greater knowledge of the molecular modifications accompanying Tamoxifen-resistance is needed, as it will lead to discovery of new therapeutic targets and improved treatment.

Figure 2. Dotenmogram comparing global methylation patterns of the parental MCF-7 cell line with Tamoxifen-selected cell lines and MCF-7 treated with 1,2-bis(3-chloro-4-methoxyphenyl)-1-propane (β). Greatest similarity is seen between 11, treated MCF-7 and untreated TAM2-11. MCF-7 is more similar to the ERpositive and TAM2-11 than to the ERnegative line, TAM2-28.

Figure 3. CpGs site methylation across the genome. Scatter plots compare average methylation (average beta) of MCF-7 with TAM2-11 and TAM2-28. The red line represents 2-fold change in methylation. Data show large differences in methylation between cell lines. Most changes in CpG site methylation in TAM2-28 are likely related to loss of ER.

Table 1. Genomewide CpG site methylation changes that occur similarly in both TAM2-11 and TAM2-28 compared to the parental line MCF-7. To eliminate noise by due to loss of ER, we selected genes that were similarly aberrantly methylated in both TAM2-28-selected cell lines. The majority of CpG sites remain unchanged in methylation between the Tamoxifen-selected and parental line. Change in methylation pattern reflects model for increased methylation: (#) for decreased methylation: frame 1, 2, 4, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 95, 100.

Table 2. Biological pathways and the genes affected by Tamoxifen selection. DAVID Bioinformatics Database (DAVID) was used to determine the biological pathways affected by the 11 hypermethylated and the 23 hypomethylated genes in the promoter region shared between TAM2-11 and TAM2-28. Number of genes represented in parenthesis. Overrepresented genes were hypermethylated in TAM2-11 and TAM2-28. Of these, 18 genes were hypermethylated in both cell lines, representing a 70% overlap.

Figure 4. Number of CpGs sites with A) increased, decreased and B) decreased methylation in the promoter region shared between TAM2-11 and TAM2-28. Number of genes represented in parenthesis. Overrepresented genes were hypermethylated in TAM2-11 and TAM2-28. Of these, 18 genes were hypermethylated in both cell lines, representing a 70% overlap.

Figure 5. Methylated and expression of transcriptional repressor ZNF350/ZBRK1. A) Representative methylation heat map reveals a change in average beta value between MCF-7 and Tamoxifen-selected cell lines, TAM2-11 and TAM2-28. B) The TSS200 and TSS1500 regions are the locations of potential promoter methylation in the gene. Average beta value of 0.5, if fully methylated, is represented in green and average beta value of 0.2, if fully methylated, is represented in red. Expression of ZNF350/ZBRK1 is upregulated in TAM2-11, TAM2-28 and the ERnegative, aggressive line MDAC-598.213 when treated with 5-Aza (p-value 0.01 for: TAM2-11 and TAM2-28; 0.02 for 211). Methylation of the TSS200 region of TAM2-28 is significantly decreased in 5-Aza treated sample (highlighted in red p-value <0.01), but unchanged in all other cell lines. This suggests that TSS200 may play a role in controlling expression of ZNF350/ZBRK1 in TAM2-28, but that another region or factor may control expression in other lines.

CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE DIRECTIONS

• A subset of CpG sites (2.5K) was identified in which the two Tamoxifen-selected lines share a promoter methylation pattern distinct from the MCF-7 parent line.
• Further pathway analysis reveals the hypermethylated genes are involved in processes relevant to acquired Tamoxifen-resistance including cell signaling, adhesion, transcriptional activation and repression, differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis.
• ZNF350/ZBRK1 was shown to have expression changes in all but MCF-7 when treated with 5-Aza, indicating that methylation may be playing a role in expression of this gene.
• Pyrosequencing and real-time RT-PCR will be used in future studies to probe the role of the identified genes and pathways in Tamoxifen-resistant tumors.
• Clearly, greater knowledge of the molecular modifications accompanying Tamoxifen-resistance is needed, as it will lead to discovery of new therapeutic targets and improved treatment.
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Abstract:
Women who receive adjuvant tamoxifen for estrogen receptor (ER) positive breast cancer may develop drug resistant tumors through several pathways. DNA methylation of CpG islands in gene promoters is a mechanism of gene silencing, which has been implicated in acquired tamoxifen resistance, but remains poorly understood. Accordingly, we evaluated DNA methylation and mRNA expression in tamoxifen resistant cell lines and clinical specimens to elucidate markers and mechanisms that may be associated with treatment failures.

DNA methylation was assessed in tamoxifen-sensitive MCF-7 cells and two derived cell lines (TMX2-11 and TMX2-28) rendered tamoxifen-resistant by treatment for 6 months with 10-6 M tamoxifen. Methylation profiling was performed using the Illumina HM450 BeadChip. We identified 3,000 CpG sites in which methylation levels of both ERα-positive TMX2-11 and ERα-negative TMX2-28 cells were significantly increased compared with the parental MCF-7 cell line. This analysis identified methylation of MAGED1, a tumor antigen and putative regulator of p53 transcription, as a candidate marker of acquired tamoxifen resistance.

Using the HM450 BeadChip (which includes 5 CpG promoter sites for MAGED1), DNA hypermethylation was found in all 5 CpGs in TMX2-28 cells and 4 CpGs in TMX2-11; which was confirmed by pyrosequencing. Treatment with 2.5 µM AZA for 96 hours decreased methylation levels by 10% in TMX2-11 and 31% in TMX2-28 and increased gene expression in TMX2-28 (>400-fold).

To assess whether DNA methylation of MAGED1 is associated with decreased expression in human tumors, we analyzed Illumina HM27 BeadChip methylation data and paired expression array data for 208 frozen primary breast cancers collected in the Polish Breast Cancer Study. Analysis of MAGED1 showed a significant negative correlation between expression and methylation in one of the two promoter CpG sites present on the BeadChip (cg17991347: rho = -0.199, p = 0.004). This correlation suggests the potential that promoter methylation may influence MAGED1 expression in breast cancers.

To determine whether treatment with tamoxifen results in increased methylation of MAGED1 in recurrent tumors, we prepared DNA from 33 primary and 36 recurrent paired FFPE breast tumors from patients at Baystate Medical Center (Springfield, MA) for HM450 BeadChip methylation analysis. Results from the primary and recurrent tumors from women who did and did not receive tamoxifen treatment is ongoing to assess the role of MAGED1 in tamoxifen-resistance. Functional studies in which MAGED1 is selectively silenced in MCF-7 cells and overexpressed in the tamoxifen-resistant lines are planned to evaluate the potential role of MAGED1 in tamoxifen acquired resistance.