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Setting the Stage

- The need for “measuring” operational activities & their effectiveness
- Are we doing the right things?
- Are we using the right tools to measure?
- Are we measuring the right things?
Today’s Operating Environment

Rapid changes in technology and its application in a wide range of industries.

Introduction of many new systems, business processes, markets, risks, and enterprise approaches.

Many immature products and services being consumed by enterprises that themselves are in a state of change.
Challenges at Hand

How can you tell if you are doing a good job of managing these changes?

How best to monitor your progress on an ongoing basis?

How do you manage the interactions of systems and processes that are continually changing?

How do poor processes impact interoperability, safety, reliability, efficiency, and effectiveness?
Which tool should I use?

Your organization wants to know **SOMETHING** about your mission operation:

- How **EFFECTIVE** are we?
- Do we have the right **SKILLS** and **CAPABILITIES**?
- Do we have the right **TECHNOLOGIES**?
Observation

The development and use of maturity models in security, continuity, IT operations, & resilience space is increasing dramatically.
Do maturity models measure the right thing?

- May not measure what you think it measures
  - Practice maturity vs. organizational maturity?

- May give you inaccurate data on which to base decisions
  - Process performance vs. product performance?

- Can increase cost but reduce benefit
  - An improved process may not result in compliance

- May provide a false sense of confidence
  - A robust process may not stop all malware
ABCs of Maturity Models

• What are Maturity Models?
• Types of Maturity Models
• Examples of Maturity Models
Maturity Model Defined

An organized way to convey a path of experience, wisdom, perfection, or acculturation.

Depicts an evolutionary progression of an attribute, characteristic, pattern, or practice.

The subject of a maturity model can be objects or things, ways of doing something, characteristics of something, practices, controls, or processes.
Maturity Models Provide…

Means for assessing and benchmarking performance

Ability to assess how a set of characteristics have evolved

Expression of body knowledge of best practices

Identification of gaps and improvement plans

Roadmap for model-based improvement

Demonstrated results of improvement efforts

Common language or taxonomy
Key Components of a Maturity Model

Levels
- The measurement scale
- The transitional states

Domains
- Logical groupings of like attributes into areas of importance to the subject matter and intent of the model
- Logical groupings of like practices, processes, or good things to do

Attributes
- Core content of the model arranged by domains and levels
- Typically based on observed practices, standards, or expert knowledge

Diagnostic Methods
- For assessment, measurement, gap identification, benchmarking

Improvement Roadmaps
- To guide improvement efforts (e.g., Plan-Do-Check-Act)
Types of Maturity Models

There are three types of maturity models

- Progression Maturity Models
- Capability Maturity Models (CMM)
- Hybrid Maturity Models

One or more may be appropriate for your particular needs

Not all maturity models are CMMs
Progression Maturity Models

Simple progression or scaling of an attribute, characteristic, pattern, or practice

Levels describe higher states of achievement, advancement, completeness, or evolution

Levels can be arbitrary as agreed upon by users, industry, etc.
# Progression Maturity Models - Example

A Maturity Progression for Toy Building Bricks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lego Mindstorms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lego Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lego Technic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lego City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lego Duplo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Progression Maturity Models - Example

A Maturity Progression for Human Mobility

- Fly
- Sprint
- Run
- Jog
- Walk
- Crawl

A Maturity Progression for Authentication

- Three-factor authentication
- Two-factor authentication
- Addition of changing every 60 days
- Use of strong passwords
- Use of simple passwords

Progress does not necessarily equate to maturity
Progression Maturity Models - Example

Higher levels may be characterized as “tool-enabled”

Lower levels may be characterized as “primitive”

These characterizations are typically arbitrary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A Maturity Progression for Counting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abacus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pencil and paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sticks/Stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fingers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Progression Model Example: SGMM

Smart Grid Maturity Model

175 Characteristics: Features you would expect to see at each stage of the smart grid journey

Level 4: Optimizing
Level 2: Investing
Benefits and Limitations of Progression Models

**Benefits**

- Provides a transformative roadmap
- Simple to understand and adopt; low adoption cost
- Easy to recalibrate as technologies and practices advance

**Limitations**

- Levels are arbitrarily defined and may be meaningless
- Achieving higher levels does not necessarily translate into “maturity”
- Often confused with CMMs—thus users inaccurately project traits of CMMs on progression models
Capability Maturity Models (CMM)

A more complex instrument

Characterizes

- the maturity of processes
- the degree to which processes are institutionalized
- the degree to which the organization demonstrates process maturity
- the maturity of the culture of the organization

Levels reflect the degree to which a particular set of practices have been institutionalized

- Institutionalized processes are more likely to be retained during times of stress.
What do these organizations have in common?

Customer Happiness

Strong Culture

Customer Service

Chain of Command

Unit Cohesion

Regulations

Tradition

Protection
CMM Levels – An Example

Processes are acculturated, defined, measured, and governed

Practices are performed

Practices are incomplete

Higher degrees of institutionalization translate to more stable processes that
- are repeatable
- produce consistent results over time
- are retained during times of stress
## Examples of CMM Levels

### Example 1
- Optimized
- Quantitatively Managed
- Defined
- Managed
- Ad hoc

### Example 2
- Externally integrated
- Internally integrated
- Managed
- Performed
- Initiated

### Example 3
- Shared
- Defined
- Measured
- Managed
- Planned
- Performed but ad hoc
- Incomplete
Capability Maturity Model Example: CERT-RMM

Framework for managing and improving operational resilience

http://www.cert.org/resilience/

“…an extensive super-set of the things an organization could do to be more resilient.”

- CERT-RMM adopter
## CMM Example: CERT-RMM

### CERT-RMM Process Areas (Domains)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th></th>
<th>Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access Management</td>
<td>Measurement and Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset Definition and Mgmt.</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>Organizational Process Focus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>Organizational Process Definition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls Management</td>
<td>Organizational Training &amp; Awareness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise Focus</td>
<td>People Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Control</td>
<td>Resilience Requirements Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Dependencies</td>
<td>Resilience Requirements Mgmt.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resource Management</td>
<td>Risk Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity Management</td>
<td>Service Continuity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident Management &amp; Control</td>
<td>Technology Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge &amp; Information Mgmt.</td>
<td>Vulnerability Analysis &amp; Resolution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CMM Example: CERT-RMM

Consider the **Incident Management and Control (IMC)** domain from CERT-RMM:

- Goal 1: Establish the IMC process
- Goal 2: Detect events
- Goal 3: Declare incidents
- Goal 4: Respond to and recover from incidents
- Goal 5: Establish incident learning
CMM Example: CERT-RMM

Level 0: Incomplete
“We don’t do (all of) the practices.”

Level 1: Performed
“We do the practices.”

Level 2: Managed
“We do the practices AND we plan and govern the process, resource it, train people to do it, monitor it, etc…”

Level 3: Defined
We do everything in level 2 AND we have a defined process and collect improvement information.

Institutionalization is cumulative
Benefits and Limitations of CMMs

**Benefits**

- Provides for measurement of core competencies
- Provides for rigorous measurement of capability—the ability to retain core competencies under times of stress
- Can provide a path to quantitative measurement

**Limitations**

- Sometimes difficult to understand and apply; high adoption cost
- “Maturity” may not translate into actual results
- Potential false sense of achievement: achieving high maturity in security practices may not mean the organization is “secure”
Compare: Progression vs CMM

**Progression Model**
- **Level 3**: Run
- **Level 2**: Jog
- **Level 1**: Walk
- **Level 0**: Crawl

**Capability Model**
- **Level 3**: Defined
- **Level 2**: Managed
- **Level 1**: Performed
- **Level 0**: Incomplete

Core practices

Distribution of core practices across levels

Distribution of institutionalizing features
Hybrid Maturity Model

Combines the best features of progression and capability maturity models

- Allows for measurement of evolution or achievement as in progression models
- Adds the ability to measure capability or institutionalization with the rigor of a CMM

Levels reflect both achievement and capability

Transitions between levels:

- Similar to a capability model (i.e., describe capability maturity)
- Architecturally use the characteristics, indicators, attributes, or patterns of a progression model
## Hybrid Maturity Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability or “maturity” levels</th>
<th>Domain 1</th>
<th>Domain 2</th>
<th>Domain 3</th>
<th>Domain 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Maturity levels:** Defined sets of characteristics and outcomes, *plus capability considerations*

**Domains:** Specific categories of attributes, characteristics, patterns, or practices that form the content of the model

**Model content:** Specific attributes, characteristics, patterns, or practices that represent *progression and capability*
Hybrid Model Example: ES-C2M2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity Indicator Levels</th>
<th>0 Not Performed</th>
<th>1 Initiated</th>
<th>2 Performed</th>
<th>3 Managed</th>
<th>X Reserved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1 Maturity Indicator Level that is reserved for future use

4 Maturity Indicator Levels: Defined progressions of practices

Each cell contains the defining practices for the domain at that maturity indicator level

10 Model Domains: Logical groupings of cybersecurity practices

Electricity Subsector Cybersecurity Capability Maturity Model (ES-C2M2)
# Benefits and Limitations of Hybrid Models

## Benefits

- Provides for easy measurement of core competencies as well as approximation of capability
- Can adapt easily to evolution of technologies and practices without sacrificing capability measurement
- Low adoption cost

## Limitations

- “Maturity” concept is approximated; not as rigorous as CMM
- Combination of attributes with institutionalizing features at each level can be arbitrary
Closing Thoughts

- A few cautions
- Determining when and which type to use
First and Foremost

Have a clear understanding of your business objectives for using any type of improvement model

- How the model will meet these objectives

Understand how this initiative fits with others that are mainstream for the organization (not a new add-on)

Have visible sponsorship of executives and senior leaders who are essential for success

Have well-defined outcome measures that are regularly reported and reviewed

Have a plan and committed resources
A Few Cautions

Progression models may be easier to adopt but may not be sustainable (aka sticky)

Definitions of levels can be arbitrary

Measuring process performance and maturity is useful but may not be sufficient

Exercise care when using maturity models for specific purposes
When Does It Make Sense to Use Maturity Models?

Requirement for a structured approach

Demonstrated, measurable results based on an established body of knowledge

A defined roadmap from a current state to a desired state

An ability to monitor and measure progress, particularly in the presence of change

• Response to a strategic improvement or new product/new market objective
Desire to answer these questions in a repeatable, predictable manner:

- How do I compare with my peers? (ability to benchmark)
- How can I determine how secure I am and if I am secure enough?
- How do I measure my current state? Characterize my desired state?
- What concrete actions do I need to take to improve? And in what order?
- How do I measure progress toward my desired state?
- How do I adapt to change?
Thank you for your attention…
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