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FOREWORD

The Strategic Studies Institute (SSI) of the U.S. Army War College (USAWC) has maintained close and positive professional ties with our colleagues at the Africa Center for Strategic Studies (ACSS) in Washington, DC, since ACSS’s founding in 1999. The Africa Center is the preeminent U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) institution for strategic security studies, research, and outreach in Africa.

I am pleased that SSI and ACSS are once more able to collaborate on this publication, the fourth SSI monograph authored by David E. Brown during his tenure as Senior Diplomatic Advisor at ACSS. Mr. Brown brings unique economic analysis and foreign policy perspectives to the important topic of this monograph: Africa’s Booming Oil and Natural Gas Exploration and Production: National Security Implications for the United States and China. In September 2012, SSI published this author’s monograph entitled Hidden Dragon, Crouching Lion: How China’s Advance in Africa is Underestimated and Africa’s Potential Underappreciated. In that monograph, the focus was on China’s advance in Africa, while Africa’s development was a sub-theme. This monograph reverses this emphasis, with the primary focus being Africa, specifically its oil and natural gas exploration and production, while China is a sub-theme, specifically the investment of its national oil companies (NOCs) in Africa and the implications of African energy developments for Beijing’s national security and military strategy. Importantly, this present monograph is also different in that it includes a discussion of U.S. energy firms in Africa and the importance of the continent to America’s energy security and national interests.
This monograph on Africa’s energy future describes how the frenetic search for hydrocarbons in Africa has become so intense and wide ranging that there is planned or ongoing oil and gas exploration in at least 51 of the continent’s 54 countries. Knowledge about Africa’s geology is improving rapidly, generating great optimism about Africa’s future growth and strategic position in the global economy. Because of a domestic boom in shale oil and gas in the United States, however, our nation’s energy imports from Africa have been falling rapidly in recent years, raising the key strategic issue of whether Africa matters as much to U.S. energy security as it once did. Around 2030, North America is forecast to become a net oil exporter and will have little dependence on Africa for its energy needs. As Mr. Brown points out, the answer may be that, while Africa may be becoming less important for U.S. energy security, it is becoming more important for broader U.S. national security. He shows how this is so for a variety of reasons, such as the extraordinary trade and investment opportunities that this rapidly growing continent represents, including the need for $2.1 trillion in oil and gas sector investments between now and 2035 to realize its potential. Already, U.S. investments in African oil and gas in at least 22 countries on the continent are enormous, with prospects for future rapid growth.

At the same time, the United States is also an exception. As this monograph explains, for most major consuming regions, notably our Western allies and China, Africa has already become a crucial element in their energy diversification strategies. Africa is playing an increasing—not decreasing—role for them as an energy supplier. Africa’s importance for Beijing’s energy security continues to rise because China, despite shale
discoveries of its own, will increasingly depend on energy imports until well past 2040. Examining also China’s military white paper, Mr. Brown makes the key strategic prediction that, as superpower rivals in the 21st century, Washington’s increasing energy security will make Beijing’s own increasing energy insecurity be felt even more acutely, pushing the People’s Liberation Army to accelerate adoption of a “two ocean” military strategy that includes an enduring presence in the Indian Ocean as well as in the Pacific Ocean.

At the end of this monograph, taking up almost two-thirds of its length, is an appendix with a series of country profiles on oil and gas exploration and production in 51 of 54 African nations and the disputed territory of the Western Sahara. The profiles focus on U.S. and Chinese energy companies, but also discuss those from other developed and emerging nations.

SSI is pleased to offer this monograph to assist U.S. Army and DoD senior leaders and strategic thinkers in understanding the key issues of the day.

DOUGLAS C. LOVELACE, JR.
Director
Strategic Studies Institute and
U.S. Army War College Press
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SUMMARY

The frenetic search for hydrocarbons in Africa has become so intense and wide ranging that there is planned or ongoing oil and gas exploration in at least 51 of the continent’s 54 countries. Knowledge about Africa’s geology is improving rapidly, generating great optimism about the continent’s energy future. Onshore and offshore rifts and basins created when the African continent separated from the Americas and Eurasia 150 million years ago are now recognized as some of the most promising hydrocarbon provinces in the world. Offshore Angola and Brazil, Namibia and Brazil, Ghana and French Guyana, Morocco and Mexico, Somalia and Yemen, and Mozambique and Madagascar are just a few of the geological analogues where large oil fields have been discovered or are believed to lie. One optimistic but quite credible scenario is that future discoveries in Africa will be around five times their current level based on what remains unexplored on the continent versus currently known sub-soil assets. If proven true, this could have a profoundly positive impact on Africa’s future growth and strategic position in the global economy.

Africa had proven oil reserves of 132.4 billion barrels at the end of 2011, an increase of 154 percent over the 1980 figure of 53.4 billion barrels. Because of definitional issues of what constitutes “proven reserves,” however, this figure likely grossly underestimates Africa’s oil and gas potential and does not include likely future reserves in the Mauritania Basin in the country of the same name (discovered in 2001); the Albertine Basin in Uganda, which straddles the Democratic Republic of the Condo (DRC) and the Kenyan part of the East African Rift (2006 for Uganda, 2012 for Kenya);
the Tano Basin in Ghana (2007); the Rovuma Basin in Mozambique and Tanzania (2010 in both countries); and the Sierra Leone/Liberia Basin in both countries (2010 for Sierra Leone, 2012 for Liberia). Moreover, no unconventional reserves have been added to these “proven reserve” estimates despite the fact that the continent has substantial, proven heavy oil/bitumen in several countries including Congo (Brazzaville), Nigeria, and Madagascar, and potential shale gas, most notably in South Africa, Algeria, Libya, Tunisia, and Ethiopia.

Africa has already become a crucial element in the energy diversification strategies being adopted in major consuming regions, notably China, and offers relative freedom for international oil companies (IOCs) to invest and operate. Africa will be the world’s fourth most important region for oil production from 2010 to 2035, after the Middle East and Europe/Eurasia, not far behind North America, and ahead of Latin America and East Asia/Australia. Africa is also starting to play a more prominent role in international markets for natural gas, which is currently characterized by disparate regional markets but is slowly moving toward a more interconnected, global gas market. One reason for this is the Panama Canal expansion, which will allow much larger PANAMAX LNG tankers to unite the Atlantic Basin and the Pacific Basin — much as the advent of oil supertankers fostered a global market in oil. Africa’s total natural gas production will increase rapidly over the next 2 decades, from 188.1 million tons of oil equivalent (TOE) in 2010 to 257.2 million TOE in 2020 and 356.8 million TOE in 2030.

Because of a domestic boom in shale oil and gas in the United States, our nation’s energy imports from Africa have been falling rapidly in recent years, rais-
ing the key strategic issue of whether Africa matters as much to U.S. energy security as it once did. Around 2030, North America is forecast to become a net oil exporter—an outcome that also means the United States will enjoy an order of magnitude greater energy security in 20 years than it does at present, and will have little dependence on Africa for its energy needs. The answer may be that, while Africa may be becoming less important for U.S. energy security, it is becoming more important for broader U.S. national security. This is so for a variety of reasons, such as the extraordinary trade and investment opportunities that this rapidly growing continent represents, including the need for $2.1 trillion in oil and gas sector investments between now and 2035 to realize its potential. Already, U.S. investments in African oil and gas in at least 22 countries on the continent are enormous, with prospects for future rapid growth.

Africa is also playing an increasing—not decreasing—role as an energy supplier to our Western allies and China. Africa’s natural resources, above all oil and gas, are already China’s top national security interest in the continent and will remain so in the coming decades. In December 2012, China overtook the United States as the world’s largest oil importer. Africa’s importance for Beijing’s energy security continues to rise because China, despite shale discoveries of its own, will increasingly depend on energy imports until well past 2040. As superpower rivals in the 21st century, Washington’s increasing energy security will make Beijing’s own increasing energy insecurity felt even more acutely, pushing the People’s Liberation Army to accelerate adoption of a “two ocean” military strategy that includes an enduring presence in the Indian Ocean as well as the Pacific Ocean.
Up to now, China’s “oil diplomacy” in Africa has been most successful in niche markets such as Angola (due to corruption and to its $14 billion in infrastructure-for-oil deals), and in other African countries, such as Sudan and Niger, with fields that have been ignored as politically sensitive or too marginal by major Western companies. Chinese oil companies are rapidly increasing their energy investments in several other African nations, however, and already have a significant presence in three major oil and gas producing countries—Algeria, Angola, and Nigeria—and in four smaller producers—Cameroon, Chad, Gabon, and Sudan.

At the end of this monograph, taking up almost two-thirds of its length, is an appendix with a series of country profiles on oil and gas exploration and production in 51 of 54 African nations and the disputed territory of the Western Sahara. The profiles focus on U.S. and Chinese energy companies and discuss those from other developed and emerging nations.
GLOSSARY

bbbl  billion barrels of oil
bbl   oil barrel
bcf/d billion cubic feet per day
bcf/y billion cubic feet per year
bcm   billion cubic meters
BOE   barrels of oil equivalent
BOE/D barrels of oil equivalent per day
bn    billion
bpd   barrels per day
btu   British thermal unit
cf/d  cubic feet per day
EEZ   Exclusive Economic Zone
EIA   U.S. Energy Information Administration
EITI  Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative
EOR   enhanced oil recovery
E&P   exploration and production
GIP   gas in place
IEA   International Energy Agency
IOC   International oil company
JDZ   Joint Development Zone
LNG   liquefied natural gas
mmscf/d million standard cubic feet per day
mmt/y million metric tons per year
mt/y  metric tons per year
mpta  million tons per annum
m3/d  cubic meters per day
NOC   National Oil Company
OECD  Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OOIP  original oil in place
OPEC  Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>Production Sharing Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSC</td>
<td>Production Sharing Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOE</td>
<td>tons of oil equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tcf</td>
<td>trillion cubic feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tcm</td>
<td>trillion cubic meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS</td>
<td>United States Geological Survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AFRICA’S BOOMING OIL AND NATURAL GAS EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION: NATIONAL SECURITY IMPLICATIONS FOR THE UNITED STATES AND CHINA

INTRODUCTION

In September 2012, the Strategic Studies Institute (SSI) of the U.S. Army War College (USAWC) published this author’s monograph entitled Hidden Dragon, Crouching Lion: How China’s Advance in Africa is Underestimated and Africa’s Potential Underappreciated. In that monograph, the focus was on China’s advance in Africa, while Africa’s development was a sub-theme. This monograph reverses this emphasis, with the primary focus being Africa, specifically its oil and natural gas exploration and production (E&P), while China is a sub-theme, specifically the investment of its national oil companies (NOCs) in Africa and the implications of African energy developments for Beijing’s national security and military strategy. Importantly, this present monograph is also different in that it includes a discussion of U.S. energy firms in Africa and the importance of the continent to America’s energy security and national interests.

Reasons for Optimism about Africa Oil and Gas Potential.

About 75 percent of the undiscovered technically recoverable oil outside of the United States is in four other areas of the globe: Africa, the Middle East, South America and the Caribbean, and the Arctic provinces of North America.\(^1\) The author has intentionally listed Africa first among these regions—even ahead of the
Middle East—because its enormous long-term potential is significantly underestimated in conventional analyses, which do not look beyond 2040. Why such optimism about Africa’s long-term leadership role in the global energy market?

First, the research for this paper, based on over 1,000 source documents, revealed an extraordinary picture of momentous changes in Africa, both in understanding of the continent’s geology and of the frenetic pace of ongoing oil and gas exploration. The hunt for hydrocarbons in Africa has become so intense and wide ranging that the author could find no evidence of planned or ongoing oil and gas exploration in only three of the continent’s 54 countries: Burkina Faso, a smaller country in inland West Africa; and Lesotho and Swaziland, two small nations that are, respectively, wholly or partially surrounded by the Republic of South Africa. With few exceptions—such as established producers Algeria and Nigeria, where short-sighted government policies have discouraged Western oil producers from expanding investment—Africa has been the site of a veritable exploration boom. In country after country, one reads of how a well has been drilled for the first time in “X” number of years, sometimes well over 20 years. Even the continent’s “ultra-frontier” countries are being explored. Just one example is the extensive, ongoing exploration efforts in troubled Somalia—a country whose central government dissolved into chaos in 1991 and is still plagued by terrorist group Al Shabaab. This exploration boom in Africa has already led to a series of new oil and gas fields and is laying the foundation for future large discoveries. The 2007 discovery of oil in Ghana in West Africa, for example, has led oil companies to pursue offshore drilling all along the continent’s At-
lantic coast. The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has estimated that West Africa alone also contains 120 billion barrels of oil equivalent (BOE) of undiscovered petroleum resources.

Second, despite this accelerating oil and gas exploration, the continent is enormous and remains largely underexplored for hydrocarbons. While Africa today holds 8 percent of proven global oil and gas reserves, it accounts for 20.4 percent of the world’s land mass (and 22.4 percent when Antarctica is excluded). It also has a coastline of 16,000 miles (26,000 kilometers [km]) with Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) extending 200 miles offshore and seven island nations with their own large EEZs. There were only 1,000 wells drilled onshore and offshore in Africa in 2011 compared with 18,500 drilled in Alberta, Canada, in 2005. While cumulatively, some 20,000 wells have been sunk in North Africa and 15,000 wells have been drilled in West Africa, only 500 have been drilled to date in East Africa. Even with the modest number of wells drilled in East Africa, the last 5 years have seen the discovery of major oil and gas reserves in Uganda, Kenya, Mozambique, and Tanzania—proving that several of Africa’s remaining unexplored sedimentary basins promise to contribute an even larger share of global production and reserves in the future. One less conventional view supported by a recent Ernst and Young report and scholar Paul Collier is that the scale of what is likely to happen in Africa is not widely appreciated and that:

future discoveries and resulting exports of resources (including oil and gas) will be around five times their current level (based on what remains unexplored in Africa versus currently known sub-soil assets), and that this will have a profoundly positive impact on
Africa’s future growth and strategic positioning in the global economy.\textsuperscript{10}

**Good Governance in the African Energy Sector and Avoidance of the Resource Curse.**

While this monograph is primarily about oil and gas exploration and production, the author will take a moment to stress that good governance in Africa’s oil and gas industry will play a critical role—indeed arguably the **central** role—in how much the continent and its people will benefit from this bonanza of energy wealth.\textsuperscript{11} In this regard, the author’s home institution, the Africa Center for Strategic Studies, held a conference in Abuja, Nigeria, in 2005 about the interplay between Africa’s energy future and human security. The key conclusions of this conference are still valid:

Increased [oil and gas] wealth without adequate constitutional, legal, regulatory, and policy reforms could exacerbate human security challenges [in Africa and] include an overreliance on oil and gas revenues, weak political and economic governance, corruption, relative poverty, and resource tensions. The complex linkages among human security, weak governance, and the oil and gas sectors highlight the primacy of internal threats in African countries including community grievances, sabotage, theft, and militant groups.\textsuperscript{12}

This monograph discusses good governance mainly in the context of the need to attract foreign investment and reduce risk. While its central focus is not on good governance and human security, this is only because of the practical need to limit the scope of this paper to the already expansive issue of African oil and gas exploration and production.
I. IN THE BEGINNING:
AFRICAN OIL AND CONTINENTAL DRIFT
THEORY

Perhaps the most important geological concept for
the layman to grasp Africa’s bright future in oil and
gas is the theory of continental drift. In paleogeogra-
phy, the study of historical geography, Gondwana is
the name given to the more southerly of two supercon-
tinents (the other being Laurasia) that existed from ap-
proximately 180 to 510 million years ago. Gondwana,
also called Gondwanaland, incorporated present-day
South America, Africa, Madagascar, Saudi Arabia, In-
dia, Australia, and Antarctica. Under this theory, the
waters off much of the Americas today are a mirror
image of the rich oil deposits off the western side of
Africa, and vice versa. Geological evidence suggests,
for example, that Morocco, where there is now fever-
ish offshore exploration, was attached to present-day
Mexico, whose rich petroleum resources have been
known for decades; Ghana, where the huge Jubilee oil
field was discovered in 2007, was attached to present-
day French Guyana, where an over one billion-barrel
field was discovered in 2011; and Angola, where the
first offshore field was discovered in 1966, was at-
tached to Brazil, where exploration began in 1968, but
the huge offshore oil fields at Campos were discov-
ered more recently.13

Two geological vocabulary terms that are impor-
tant to understanding African oil and gas exploration
are rifts and grabens. A rift is a linear zone where the
Earth’s crust and its outermost shell, or lithosphere,14
are being pulled apart. Rifts are important because the
sedimentary rocks associated with continental rifts
often host important deposits of hydrocarbons. A graben is a depressed block of land bordered by parallel faults. Grabens are also commonly associated with the presence of hydrocarbons. The East Africa Rift Valley, for example, is rich in grabens and, not coincidentally, is also increasingly recognized in the oil industry as a highly promising “petroleum province.” A third geological vocabulary term important for Africa is what is called a pre-salt layer, which is a geological formation regularly found on the continental shelves off the coast of Africa and the Americas. Pre-salt layers are important because they often hold significant petrochemical resources. For the Atlantic, oil and natural gas tend to lie below an approximately 2,000-meter (m) deep layer of salt, itself below an approximately 2,000-m deep layer of rock under 2,000-3,000-m of water.

Much of the oil and gas found offshore in Africa and the Americas has been in pre-salt layers, for which seismic imaging has improved considerably in recent years. The following statement from U.S. firm Cobalt International captures the essence of the importance of continental drift theory for the entire western or Atlantic side of Africa from Morocco to South Africa:

The pre-salt geology of offshore West Africa has many similarities to that of offshore Brazil, the location of a number of recent super-giant discoveries. Existing on-shore and near-shore pre-salt fields in West Africa provide additional support for the existence of deep-water pre-salt opportunities. Over the past 150 million years, rifting and separation of South America from Africa has occurred along much of the Atlantic Margin. The pre-rift geology below the salt [layer] remains largely unaltered and is consistent from one continent to the other. This has resulted in a series of analogous
basins on both sides of the Atlantic. Each of these basins contains a world-class oil-prone source rock and an extensive salt layer, which acts as a regionally extensive seal.\textsuperscript{18}

The eastern, or Red Sea/Indian Ocean, side of Africa has a similar geological story, albeit more complex. The East African Rift System is the largest continental rift system on the Earth's surface and actually extends from the Jordan in the Middle East to Mozambique in southern Africa. Within the East African Rift System are two branches of note: the East Rift Valley (often called the Great Rift Valley) and the Western Rift Valley, both in central-eastern Africa. The East Rift Valley System is increasingly felt to also include a third, southwesterly branch ending in Namibia. The northern part of East Africa was also part of the Gondwana mega-continent and drifted apart from the modern day Arabian Peninsula. Since the Red Sea Basin has had several large oil and gas discoveries in Egypt, Sudan, and Saudi Arabia, oil and gas prospectors have recently noted with great excitement the geological similarities between the Darin and Nogul Basins in Somalia and the Masila and Marib-Shabwa Basins in oil-rich Yemen. Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil used the discovery of oil in Uganda in 2006 to look for rift valley analogies in Kenya and Ethiopia. This same theory of continental drift has also been applied to oil and gas found along the coast of Madagascar since that island nation shares similar geological patterns with Kenya, Tanzania, and Mozambique, to which it was connected 145 million years ago. The Seychelles is also thought to have hydrocarbon potential because it can also be reconstructed to a position adjacent to Somalia and as a northern extension of Madagascar.
II. A GEOLOGICAL MAP OF AFRICA

Most readers interested in Africa have some familiarity with the political division of the continent into regional economic communities in West, Central, South, East, and North Africa, such as the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS). This monograph, however, eschews the traditional political map of Africa in favor of a geological map. The author has chosen this approach because he is convinced that a geological map will enable readers to more clearly understand and visualize both the interconnected nature of oil and gas fields straddling countries, and the political imperative in many cases for future cross-border cooperation in jointly developing related resources and infrastructure.


The six geological sub-regions of Africa presented here are:

1. The North African Margin, geologically includes northern Morocco roughly east of the Strait of Gibraltar, which is part of the North Africa Margin. It also includes Algeria, Tunisia, Libya, and Egypt.

2. The Atlantic Transform Margin runs geologically via from Morocco roughly west of the Strait of Gibraltar, all along the western coast of Africa to western South Africa. Also included are the countries of Mauritania, Senegal, Gambia, Cape Verde, Guinea Bissau, Guinea, Sierra Leone, Liberia, Cote d’Ivoire, Ghana, Togo, Benin, Nigeria, Sao Tome and Principe, Cameroon, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Congo (Braz-
zaville), the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), Angola, and western Namibia. (The former Spanish colony and currently disputed territory of Western Sahara is also included in this sub-region.)

3. The East Rift Coastal\textsuperscript{21} is a branch of the larger East African Rift System and runs geologically from the Red Sea coast of Egypt, Sudan, Eritrea, and Djibouti southward to Somalia, Ethiopia, eastern Kenya, eastern Tanzania, eastern Mozambique, western Madagascar, eastern South Africa, and the island nations of the Comoros and Seychelles. (Juan de Nova, which is part of the French Southern and Antarctic Lands, is discussed jointly with Madagascar;\textsuperscript{22} Mauritius is at best distantly related to this System, but is grouped here for the sake of simplicity.)

4. The West Rift Valley, a second branch of the East African Rift System, runs geologically from southeastern Sudan, the country of South Sudan, Uganda, eastern DRC, Rwanda, Burundi, western Tanzania, Zambia, Malawi, and western Mozambique.

5. The Central Africa Rift System\textsuperscript{23} includes a series of basins running through northern Cameroon, southern Chad, and northern Central African Republic, intersecting basins such as Jongolei and Melut that also extend into South Sudan. Three other countries in inland West and Central Africa do not fit our model, but are included in this section for the sake of simplicity: Mali, which has basins extending into its neighbors, Mauritania, Algeria, and Niger; Niger, whose basins extend into Mali, Algeria, Libya, Chad, and Nigeria; and Burkina Faso, which has no known hydrocarbons.

6. Southern Africa is at the end of both the Atlantic Transform Margin in the west to the East African Rift in the east. The Southern Africa geological region also has such complexities such as the Karoo Basin shale
oil in its west and coal-bed methane in its northeast. Included here are eastern Namibia, Botswana, South Africa, Zambia, Zimbabwe, and eastern Mozambique. There are no known hydrocarbons in Swaziland and Lesotho.

For these six geological regions, the author summarizes here the highlights of information found in the country profiles in Appendix 1.

1. The North Africa Margin—Historical Leader in Oil Production, but Shaken by Political Instability.

Geologically speaking, the North Africa Margin could be taken roughly to include Algeria, Tunisia, Libya, and Egypt. Cumulatively, the countries of North Africa, here excluding Morocco, have historically been the single most important oil and gas region in Africa. In 2010, Libya, Algeria, and Egypt were, respectively, the third, fourth, and fifth largest oil producers in Africa after Nigeria and Angola. Algeria, Egypt, and Libya were even more dominant in natural gas production, occupying the number one, two, and four positions in 2009 (with Nigeria third and Equatorial Guinea fifth). North Africa has been primarily an onshore “conventional play,” but increased offshore exploration across the sub-region (including eastern Morocco) promises significant new discoveries. The sub-region has broadly remained open to IOCs, with decades-long history of activity by both foreign investors and NOCs, but with bouts of resource nationalism that have periodically dampened the enthusiasm of foreign investors.

At present, North Africa is beset with political and economic/demographic challenges. Politically, the Arab Spring set off regime changes in Tunisia, Egypt,
and Libya that have made the sub-region more democratic, but less stable in the short-term. The current political uncertainty in North Africa, including the terrorist attack by al-Qaeda in the Islamic Maghreb (AQIM) at the Amenas gas project in Algeria in January 2013 and ongoing, low-intensity fighting between militia groups in Libya, is having significant implications for the region’s oil and gas industry, both in terms of disrupted operations and postponed investment. The decision by U.S. firm ConocoPhillips in December 2012 to sell its Algerian assets, and the decisions by Anglo-Dutch Shell and U.S. firm Chevron to abandon drilling and exploration in several blocks in Libya, reflect deep concern about the risk-return calculus in North Africa. Algeria, Tunisia, Libya, and Egypt face similar economic/demographic challenges that have also caused domestic demand for oil and gas to soar: heavily subsidized fuel prices, rapidly rising populations, and greatly increasing car use. This increased demand for oil and gas has, in turn, caused the amount available for export to decline, thereby also sharply reducing government revenues.

In Algeria, the unfavorable 2005 hydrocarbon law and a 2006 presidential decree have dampened the enthusiasm among IOCs for investment in the country’s oil and gas sector, contributing to a 20 percent drop in hydrocarbon production over the last 5 years. In January 2013, Algeria’s parliament approved amendments to the law, but some observers remain pessimistic that these changes will turn around declining foreign investment. One apocalyptic analysis even predicted that Algeria could run out of available oil to export after 2023 because of soaring domestic demand and falling production.
In Libya, political volatility and a tenuous security situation following the 2011 civil war have also dampened foreign investment, which had been on the resurgence in the mid-2000s after the United Nations (UN) and United States lifted sanctions. For the next few years, output in Libya is expected to stay near the 1.7 million barrels per day (bpd) produced in 2010. After this, output may resume a slow upward path if new fields come into production to replace existing fields entering their decline phases. One reason for longer-term optimism about Libya’s energy export potential is that, with proven oil reserves of 47.1 billion barrels, the largest in Africa, the country is simply too important to ignore.

Post-Arab Spring, the oil and gas sectors of Tunisia and Egypt have fared better. Tunisia had a modest upstream industry to begin with, with declining production for much of the last 20 years and no exports, but with new exploration that could potentially reverse this decline. Political unrest has not directly affected foreign oil investors in Egypt, as the top producing regions—the offshore Nile Delta and the Western Desert—are far from population centers. Overall, Egyptian oil production has been declining, but new discoveries have continued and promise hope to reverse this decline. Since 2000, oil output in the Western Desert has doubled, for example, and now accounts for around 28-30 percent of Egypt’s total oil production.

2. Atlantic Transform Margin – Common Offshore Geology with Latin America Highly Promising.

The Atlantic Transform Margin runs from Morocco roughly west of the Strait of Gibraltar, all along the western coast of Africa via the Gulf of Guinea, to western South Africa. (The DRC is addressed later in the section on the Central Africa Rift System.)
This geological sub-region is dominated by one of the old lions (Nigeria) and one of the new lions (Angola). The outlook for Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) member Nigeria, Africa’s largest oil producer, hinges on resolving a number of major issues, including civil conflict in producing regions; improving the transportation infrastructure, whose deteriorated state has led to sporadic disruptions to supply; the inability of the Nigerian National Petroleum Company (NNPC) to fund its share of investment in joint ventures with international companies; and delays in agreeing to a new Petroleum Industry Bill, which has paralyzed investment in recent years. ConocoPhillips’ pessimistic view of Nigeria’s investment environment was behind the $1.79 billion sale in January 2013 of its Nigeria operations.

Although crude oil production in Angola, Africa’s second largest oil producer and an emerging major gas supplier, slipped to 1.79 million barrels per day (bpd) in 2011, the government is targeting 2 million bpd production levels by 2014. Successful exploration in Angola’s pre-salt formations continues to drive optimistic oil production forecasts for the country and hopes that new deepwater discoveries will yield more than enough oil to offset declines at existing fields.

South-Central Africa: Namibia to Sao Tome and Principe. South of Angola, Namibia attracted investment by major IOCs in 2012 on the bet that its coastal shelf may mirror that of Brazil across the Atlantic Ocean, where the Tupi discovery in 2007 was the biggest find in the Americas in 3 decades. North of Angola, Congo (Brazzaville) was still Africa’s seventh largest oil producer in 2010, although its oil production is in decline and potential gas development is limited by a lack of infrastructure. Oil and gas production
could increase, however, if the country’s deepwater offshore potential is realized. Congo also has a pilot oil sands project and has agreed to jointly exploit the Lianzi cross-border oil field with Angola.

Crude oil production in Equatorial Guinea, Africa’s eighth largest producer in 2010, has been declining as a result of maturing oil fields, but with the recent start-up of the Aseng oil and gas-condensate field and the anticipated start-up of the Alen gas-condensate field in late-2013, the development of these new fields are projected to revive liquids production in the near term. Oil output in Gabon has dropped about 40 percent from its peak of 370,000 bpd in 1997, causing Gabon to fall to the ninth largest oil producer in Africa. In the long run, Gabon’s oil production will depend on the success of new exploration, particularly of deepwater, pre-salt fields, interest in which has been piqued by recent offshore Brazil pre-salt discoveries.

While Cameroon’s oil production has been declining since 1985, the government announced that it expected production would rise about 59 percent by the end of 2012 because of the development of new fields. There have been significant recent discoveries of oil and gas condensates in Cameroon’s two main basins. The northern Bakassi region is considered to be rich in oil and gas, but had little exploration until recently due to lingering concerns about a territorial dispute with Nigeria, which was adjudicated in Cameroon’s favor in the mid-2000s. To date, there have not been any major commercial oil or gas finds in Sao Tome and Principe, but there is guarded optimism about future discoveries. Three oil and gas stories for Sao Tome and Principe concern 1) exploration and production (E&P) in the Joint Development Zone (JDZ) with Nigeria; 2) E&P in Sao Tome’s EEZ; and 3) plans to build a tank
farm and oil transshipment port for international use firms operating in the Gulf of Guinea sub-region.

Ghana Discoveries Bring Hope from Benin to Morocco. The 2007 discovery of Ghana’s Jubilee Field is transforming the country’s oil and gas industry and estimations of oil and gas potential all along Africa’s Atlantic coast. Jubilee and other successes in adjacent fields bode particularly well for neighboring offshore developments in the Atlantic Transform Margin from Benin and Togo to the west, to Cote d’Ivoire, Liberia, Sierra Leone, and Guinea to the east. To date, Benin had limited production at its Seme offshore field, which may reopen in the future. In June 2012, oil was discovered in the Dahomey Basin in Togo, which is part of the Atlantic Transform Margin extending from the Keta Basin in eastern offshore Ghana eastward through Togo and Benin to the Mont Okitipupa Basin in Nigeria.

Cote d’Ivoire has proven reserves of only 100 million barrels of oil and 1.1 trillion cubic feet (tcf) of natural gas, but oil and gas finds in neighboring Ghana are spurring additional exploration and have already led to a number of new discoveries since 2010. In Liberia, a new offshore oil discovery in February 2013 boosted the already considerable optimism about the possibility of a new offshore hydrocarbon sub-province known as the West Africa Transform Margin. Recent oil discoveries in Sierra Leone confirmed that the main reservoir and source elements in the Jubilee field in Ghana are present in the Sierra Leone/Liberia deepwater basin. Exploration remains at an early stage, but prospects look good for Sierra Leone to become a new frontier for oil and gas.

In Guinea, IOCs are teaming up to “apply their successful exploration experience on the Atlantic
Margin . . . particularly the [West Africa] Transform Margin play that is present in the Guinea acreage.”

There have been recent oil discoveries in both Guinea-Bissau’s offshore blocks and its JDZ with Senegal, but the country’s reputation as a target for foreign investment has been severely damaged by perceptions that it had devolved into a narco-state under the influence of Latin American drug cartels.

The main oil and gas E&P areas in Senegal are in offshore blocks in Senegal’s territorial waters or EEZ, and in Senegal’s JDZ with Guinea-Bissau. As with Mauritania, in pre-rift time, Senegal was considered to be adjacent to Mexico before the African and American continents pulled apart. Tullow is optimistic about Senegal because an exploratory well drilled in 2011 had the same cretaceous turbidite play that led Tullow to discover the Jubilee Field in Ghana. There are no known oil or gas reserves in the Gambia, but the country has good prospectivity for hydrocarbons since the area marks the northern extent of the Casamance-Bissau sub-basin, which forms part of the Mauritania-Senegal-Gambia-Guinea Bissau Coast Basin, also known in the industry as the “MSGBC” Basin, which itself is part of the geological sub-region known as the North-West Africa Atlantic Margin.

Mauritania is a frontier exploration country where the limited oil and gas production has been disappointing so far, but where promising offshore and onshore prospects have attracted Asian, Australian, European, and U.S. oil firms. Mauritania has the same geological source rocks as found in Ghanaian oil fields. Some experts have suggested that oil and gas reserves discovered in neighboring Mauritania could stretch as far as Cape Verde, but there has been only limited exploration so far in this island nation and its huge EEZ.
Compared to its prolific eastern neighbor Algeria, Morocco has had a modest upstream industry. However, most sedimentary basins in Morocco onshore and offshore are still largely unexplored, with IOCs attracted to the country’s considerable potential and the government’s favorable tax regime. Both Morocco and the Saharawi Arab Democratic Republic (SADR) have granted exploration licenses to IOCs in Western Sahara despite a UN resolution against such deals until the issue of the territory’s sovereignty has been resolved. However, no OICs have been carrying out drilling in this disputed territory.


The East Rift Coastal region is a branch of the East African Rift System, which runs geologically on the continent from the Red Sea coast of Egypt to eastern South Africa.

Sudan/South Sudan: Continued Conflict, but Bound Together by Pipeline. After a decade of war, Sudan and South Sudan signed a peace agreement in 2005 after a referendum that led to the independence of South Sudan in July 2011. Sudan and South Sudan, which, when aggregated together were the sixth largest oil producer in Africa in 2010, saw average daily production in 2011 fall to 425,000 barrels, a significant decline from 470,000 bpd in January 2011. A dispute over pipeline and export transit fees lingered post-independence, leading South Sudan to halt exports for several months, until March 2013. South Sudan is eager to export its oil via Kenya to reduce its dependence on Sudan.

Eritrea, Djibouti, and Somalia: Geological Links to Oil-Rich Yemen. Eritrea’s great potential over the long term as an oil and gas producer—with geologi-
cal analogues both in Uganda’s rich petroleum basin and with Yemen across the Red Sea—has not been realized, in part due to political instability, including wars with Ethiopia and an autocratic regime that was subjected recently to UN sanctions that are still ongoing. The Red Sea Basin, of which Djibouti is part, has had several discoveries in its northern area in Sudan, Egypt, and Saudi Arabia, and there are also analogues with the large Jurassic oil fields located nearby in Yemen. Djibouti’s Guban Basin extends into Somalia’s northern province of Somaliland, where there have been oil seeps and recovery of oil from wells drilled in previous decades.

Estimates of onshore and offshore reserves of Somalia vary greatly, but run as high as 110 billion barrels of oil—a staggering figure that, if proven true, would give this country the continent’s largest reserves. Independent international E&P companies are presently investing in Puntland and Somaliland despite the legal and political uncertainties. Parts of Puntland are geological extensions of basins in oil-rich Yemen, while Somaliland contains geological basins similar to both Yemen and Uganda, where millions of barrels of oil reserves have been discovered.

Ethiopia and Kenya: Crossroads of Several Geological Basins. There are five sedimentary basins in Ethiopia with prospects for hydrocarbons. Some geologists believe that there are several tcf of gas condensate in Ogaden, which they see as just one of a large number of promising East African coastal basins. Ethiopia is also in part of the Turkana Rift Basin, shared with Kenya. This basin is similar in geology to the Lake Albert Rift Basin, where large amounts of oil have been discovered in Uganda. Ethiopia also has oil deposits on the border with Eritrea to the north and Sudan to the west.
Major oil discoveries in Uganda in 2006 and natural gas discoveries in Mozambique and Tanzania in 2010 have created expectations that Kenya is part of a new regional hydrocarbon province. Kenya has four large sedimentary basins offshore and onshore. These are found in southeast Kenya, which has similar geology to Madagascar, and in the Tertiary Rift Basin in western Kenya, which borders Sudan and Ethiopia to the north, Uganda to the east, and Tanzania to the south.\textsuperscript{47}

In 2012, discoveries were made of oil in the Lochikar onshore sub-basin\textsuperscript{48} and of natural gas offshore.\textsuperscript{49}

Tanzania and Mozambique: A New Hydrocarbon Frontier. Recent, large discoveries of offshore natural gas in Tanzania and Mozambique have led observers to dub these two countries as Africa’s “newest lion cubs,” and led to soaring expectations about East Africa’s potential as a new hydrocarbon frontier. Recent discoveries in Tanzania have boosted its natural gas reserves to over 34 tcf, leading to hopes for the country’s first multitrain liquefied natural gas (LNG) development.\textsuperscript{50} In February 2013, the government announced that it would soon issue a license to an IOC to explore in Lake Tanganyika, an area that is also currently claimed by Malawi.

Mozambique has two main sedimentary basins: the Rovuma Basin in the northeast adjacent to the Tanzanian border and the Mozambique Basin in the south.\textsuperscript{51} Rovuma Area 1 has up to 100 tcf of natural gas in place, making it among the world’s most significant hydrocarbon finds in the last decade.\textsuperscript{52} Rovuma Area 4 has an estimated 75 tcf of natural gas in place. Several oil companies are also carrying out exploration activities in the Urema Graben in central Mozambique, which is in the southern part of the East African Rift System.\textsuperscript{53} In Mozambique, U.S. firm Anadarko and Italy’s ENI
are jointly working to advance an LNG park drawing upon Areas 1 and 4’s gas to produce approximately 50 million tons of LNG per year—enough to elevate the country to one of the world’s largest LNG exporters.54

Island Nations and Territory: Madagascar, Comoros, Seychelles, Mauritius, and Juan de Nova. The island nation of Madagascar has five sedimentary basins.55 The onshore part of the Morondava Basin is a proven petroleum province with onshore discoveries of oil sands and subsurface heavy oil deposits exceeding 20 billion barrels. The offshore portion of the basin is considered to be part of the same petroleum system, but extending into a deeper geologic setting that may yield lighter oil discoveries.56 Political instability has been a serious impediment to foreign investment in Madagascar’s oil and gas industry and only made worse by threats by the transitional government to expropriate major oil fields.57

In the Mozambique Channel between Mozambique and Madagascar lie the Juan de Nova islands, which are controlled by France and where exploration is occurring east of the gas-rich Rovuma Basin in Mozambique. The island nation of the Comoros awarded its first oil exploration license in March 2012 for acreage adjacent to offshore Area 1 and Area 4 of Mozambique’s Rovuma Delta. Global interest in the waters off the island nation of the Seychelles has also been sparked following recent East African successes in Mozambique and Tanzania.58 Nearly 70 percent of the population of the Indian Ocean island nation of Mauritius is of Indian origin. The Indian oil company ONGC has sought oil and gas exploration rights in Mauritius, which has no known hydrocarbons.59

The West Rift Valley is a second branch of the East African Rift System, running geologically from Sudan, South Sudan, Uganda, eastern DRC, Rwanda, Burundi, western Tanzania, northeastern Zambia, Malawi, and western Mozambique. Only Uganda, the DRC, Rwanda, Burundi, and Malawi are addressed here.

Uganda is highly attractive to investors because the country’s oil discovery rate is an extraordinary 90 percent. Estimates of Uganda’s oil reserves have varied widely, with the major IOCs indicating 1 billion barrels and the government claiming 3.5 billion barrels. First, oil production has been repeatedly delayed because of disagreements between the government and IOCs; the current earliest start date is 2017, with full capacity unlikely to be reached before 2020. While there are some oil and gas fields in the narrow coastal strip of offshore DRC, the future of oil exploration for this troubled giant, Africa’s second largest nation, lies principally in the east, in the Albertine Graben, where oil has already been discovered in significant quantities across the border in Uganda. Unfortunately, the DRC’s government has mishandled the allocation of exploration blocks in eastern DRC, awarding some blocks as many as three times to different companies.

Rwanda has no oil production, but does have methane gas reserves along its borders with the DRC and Tanzania. Exploration is ongoing in the East Kivu Graben, located beneath Lake Kivu, which is part of the southern extension of the Albertine Graben in Uganda. Burundi announced in September 2012 that hydrocarbons had been found in Lake Tanganyika and the Rusizi plain. Some experts view the lake’s geology as analogous to the tertiary rift system at Lake Albert to the north between the DRC and Uganda.
Malawi currently has no oil or gas production, but is part of the East African rift system, which is a proven exploration province with prolific oil discoveries in Sudan, Uganda, and Kenya. Until a long-standing border dispute with Tanzania can be resolved, exploration efforts on the contested section of Lake Malawi may be delayed.


The Central Africa Rift System includes a series of basins running from Cameroon through southern Chad, northern Central African Republic (CAR), and Sudan, intersecting basins such as the Jongolei and Melut Basins that also extend into South Sudan. Also addressed in this section are two other countries in inland Africa, Niger, and Mali, which do not fit our model.

Chad was Africa’s 10th largest oil producer in 2010. It shares with its neighbors three sedimentary basins known for oil and gas potential: 1) the Koufra–Erdis Basin in the north shared with Libya; 2) the Chad Basin in the center-west shared with Niger, northern Nigeria, and Cameroon; and 3) the Sud Basin in the south shared with CAR and Sudan. Since 2003, Chad has been exporting oil via a 1,000-km pipeline through neighboring Cameroon to the port of Kribi, on the Gulf of Guinea. In collaboration with Sudanese partners, China National Petroleum Corporation (CNPC) restarted oil exploration in 2011 in northeastern CAR near the border with Chad. Despite prospects for oil discovery, petroleum development and production in the CAR will likely be held back by the country’s political instability.
Niger negotiated an agreement with Chad in July 2012 to use Chad’s pipeline to export some of its future production. Niger has three distinct areas of oil and gas potential: Agadem, bordering Chad to the east; Tenere, with similar oil-producing basins as found in Libya, Chad, and Sudan; and Kafra, a continuation of a rift basin in northern Niger extending up to the Algerian border. Mali, to the west of Niger, is largely unexplored for hydrocarbons. Around one-half of the country overlies sedimentary basin, but only five exploration wells have ever been drilled. Further exploration in northern Mali is unlikely until the country’s political situation stabilizes in the aftermath of a recent coup and attempted takeover of the north by a terrorist and secessionist groups.


Southern Africa is at the end of the Atlantic Transform Margin in the west, the West Rift Valley in south-central Africa, and the East African Coastal Rift in the east. The complexities of this geological sub-region also include the Karoo Basin shale oil in its west and coal-bed methane in its northeast. South Africa’s oil and gas reserves are currently small, but could increase dramatically in the coming years. Offshore exploration in South Africa is intensifying, spurred by: 1) massive gas discoveries to the east in Mozambique in 2010, 2) modest discoveries in the Bredasdorp Basin to the south, and 3) encouraging results in the Orange Basin in the east, south of Namibia. Onshore, large IOCs are betting that the geological strata running southward from Uganda and the Great Lakes extend to South African territory.
Neither Botswana nor Zimbabwe has any proven oil or gas reserves, but both have potential to become producers of coal-bed methane gas, the latter only if its government creates a more hospitable environment for foreign investment. Zambia has no proven oil or gas reserves, but with oil discovered in Uganda and coal-bed methane in Botswana, Zambia is hopeful that commercially viable hydrocarbon deposits will be found. While Lesotho is in the Karoo Basin, geological analysis suggests that it is unlikely to be the site of commercially viable shale gas. Swaziland also has no proven hydrocarbons.

III. AFRICAN OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION AND RESERVES

Major African Producers in a Larger Global Context.

Africa is already a huge energy exporter, and its 570 million TOE exports represent 43 percent by dollar value of the continent’s total exports. As shown in Table 1, five countries—in order of decreasing output—accounted for 82.3 percent of the continent’s oil production in 2010: Nigeria, Angola, Libya, Algeria, and Egypt. Other smaller oil-producing countries are Cameroon, Chad, Congo, Cote d’Ivoire, the DRC, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Ghana, Sudan/South Sudan, and Tunisia, with a limited number of other countries such as Senegal and South Africa with daily production that is negligible. In 2009, the major African natural gas producers were, in order of decreasing importance, Algeria, Egypt, Nigeria, and Libya. These figures for natural gas are dated, however, and in the last 4 years, Nigeria has started to monetize significantly much more of its natural gas production, while
Angola started exports in 2013 at its first LNG train after repeated delays.61

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oil: 2010</th>
<th>(000 bpd)</th>
<th>Gas: 2009</th>
<th>(bcf/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2,065</td>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>7.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>1,790</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>6.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>Cote d/Ivoire</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8,982</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 1. Ten Largest African Producers of Oil and Natural Gas.

With 54 countries on the African continent, along with the disputed area of Western Sahara, there are various metaphors that could be used to represent the rise of new oil producer nations in Africa. One author referred to long-established oil and gas producers such as Algeria, Libya, and Nigeria as “old lions,” newer producers such as Angola as a “young
lion,” and new, emerging producers such as Ghana as “new cubs.” Another industry observer focused on regional agglomerations of producer nations to describe three new “clubs”: (1) Emerging West Africa—Angola deepwater (pre-salt), Ghana deepwater, Mauritania deepwater, Ivory Coast deepwater, Gabon deepwater; (2) East Africa—Mozambique deepwater, Tanzania deepwater, Kenya onshore and deepwater; and, (3) Onshore Central Africa—Chad, Uganda, Niger, Sudan, and South Sudan.62

This observer noted that several other countries that are not part of these clubs yet, such as Liberia, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Africa, and Morocco, have seen new, successful basin tests and/or discoveries, but that these remaining countries have proven reserves that are of insufficient scale, with cumulative Africa totaling 600 million BOE, or just 1 percent of the total for Africa.63 (The classification of these newly emerging producer nations such as Sierra Leone, and indeed perhaps two dozen of the countries described previously, thus enters into definitional issues of different categories of “reserves,” an issue addressed in Appendix 2.)

**Africa Boosting Oil and Gas Reserves.**

As shown in Table 2, Africa had proven oil reserves of 132.4 billion barrels at the end of 2011, equivalent to 41.2 years of current production. This was an increase of 154 percent over the 1980 figure of 53.4 billion.64 During the period from 2000 to November 2012, cumulative discoveries in Africa totaled 60-70 billion BOE (2P) or almost 10 percent of the total global additions of over 800 billion BOE, of which 380 billion BOE
and 420 billion BOE, respectively, were conventional and unconventional resources. During this same period, new discoveries in emerging West Africa were 19.2-22 billion BOE, in East Africa 12.5-20 billion BOE, and in onshore Central Africa 3.2-4 billion BOE. More than half of Africa’s new discoveries were added since 2007, with a large part being gas. Oil explorers in Africa had strong years in 2010 and 2011, when they found the equivalent of five billion barrels of oil each year, with 2012 being an even stronger year, with volumes approaching 10 billion barrels.65

It is also notable that the sources of these new reserves have become more geographically spread within Africa over time and that this trend toward increased geographical diversification should continue in the future. Recent discoveries in Africa have been made in all settings—onshore, below lakes, in shallow water, deepwater, in new countries and/or basins with little or no exploration history. Excluding new discoveries in North Africa, there were two major waves of exploration successes in the last 25 years. Nigeria was a leader in the 1990s in a series of deepwater discoveries, along with Angola, in proving 16 billion barrels of oil. A more recent wave, which is not reflected in Table 2, likely because of issues related to the definition of “proven reserves,” can be dated to the 2000s and involves a new series of basins elsewhere in sub-Saharan Africa, including the Mauritanian Basin in the country of the same name (2001), the Albertine Basin in Uganda, which straddles the DRC and the Kenyan part of the East African Rift (2006 for Uganda, 2012 for Kenya), the Tano Basin in Ghana (2007), the Rovuma Basin in Mozambique and Tanzania (2010 in both countries), and the Sierra Leone/Liberia Basin in both countries (2010 for Sierra Leone, 2012 for Liberia).66
Moreover, no unconventional reserves have been added to Table 2 despite the fact that the continent has substantial, proven heavy oil/bitumen in several countries, including Congo (Brazzaville), Nigeria, and Madagascar, and potential shale gas, most notably in South Africa, Algeria, Libya, Tunisia, and Ethiopia.67

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Billion Tons</th>
<th>Billion Barrels</th>
<th>Percent Share of Total</th>
<th>Production 1,000 bpd</th>
<th>Reserves to Production Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1,729</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1,746</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo (Brazzaville)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2,457</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan and South Sudan</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Africa</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa Percent of World</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2. Oil-Proven Reserves by Leading African Country.
Although Africa’s current oil reserves are only 8 percent of the world’s total, the continent continues to play an outsized role “as a pillar of global energy security . . . providing a major source of diverse oil and gas supply.” While Africa has little excess capacity and thus cannot be considered a swing supplier per se, the continent’s critical importance becomes most apparent when supply shocks take its production off the market, leading to immediate and large spikes in world oil prices. This occurred in 2008 after the Movement for the Emancipation of the Niger Delta (MEND) carried out attacks in Nigeria, and in 2011 during civil strife in Libya. Africa is also an important supplier region because it offers high quality oil, and a large number of producers not bound by OPEC quotas. Additionally, Africa offers two other advantages that are highly relevant for the future: a region with relative freedom for IOCs to invest and operate, and a high proportion of “new oil” projected to be discovered over the next 2 decades compared to other regions. With NOCs now managing roughly 80 percent of the world’s oil supply—compared to the 1970s, when the IOCs controlled 85 percent of the world’s oil reserves—the competition of Western, Chinese, and other emerging market oil companies in Africa is all the more intense; not surprisingly, with high oil prices and limited amounts of acreage available for exploration in other parts of the world, previously ignored African oil fields are attracting great interest from IOCs. Moreover, much of the production in Africa is offshore. Although offshore oil is more expensive to develop, it is also less susceptible to the political instability that often affects onshore production on the continent and less vulnerable to potential sabotage. Offshore E&P also requires high technical
capabilities—a strength of U.S. oil and gas companies. Not surprisingly, Africa has been a crucial element in the energy diversification strategies adopted in major consuming regions, notably Europe and China. Until the recent shale oil and gas boom, Africa had also been a major part of U.S. energy diversification, with U.S. oil imports from Africa for the first time surpassing those from the Middle East in 2007.

According to 2012 estimates by BP and the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) in Tables 3 and 4, Africa will be the world’s fourth most important region for oil and gas production to 2035, after the Middle East and Europe/Eurasia, not far behind North America, and ahead of Latin America and East Asia/Australia. (Africa is likely to become an even more important region post-2035, but current projections are not usually made more than 25-30 years into the future.) BP predicts that from 2010 to 2030, there will be large increases in oil production in the Middle East, North America, and South/Central America, modest increases in Africa, and production declines in Europe/Eurasia, and Asia Pacific. In the case of Africa, BP predicts that African oil production will increase to 512.4 million tons of oil equivalent (TOE) in 2020, up from 478.2 million TOE, but then fall back to 497.6 million TOE in 2030. For African natural gas, the picture is unambiguously positive, with total production increasing from 188.1 million TOE to 257.2 million TOE in 2020 and 356.8 million TOE in 2030. Similarly, EIA predicted in 2012 that Africa oil production would rise to 11.45 million bpd in 2025, up from 10.75 million bpd in 2010, and then fall to 11.21 million bpd in 2035. The EIA’s estimates for 2013 are much more optimistic about African oil, with production in 2035 now projected to climb to 12.09 million bpd in 2035,
and to 12.64 million bpd in 2040—the latter representing an 18.5 percent increase in daily production over the period 2010-2030.77

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Million Tons of Oil Equivalent</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>648.2</td>
<td>700.1</td>
<td>736.9</td>
<td>757.7</td>
<td>788.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/Central Asia</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>395.5</td>
<td>426.5</td>
<td>450.2</td>
<td>477.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe and Asia</td>
<td>853.3</td>
<td>836.7</td>
<td>823.6</td>
<td>800.8</td>
<td>790.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>1,184.6</td>
<td>1,285</td>
<td>1,379.8</td>
<td>1,540.5</td>
<td>1,646.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>478.2</td>
<td>475.1</td>
<td>512.4</td>
<td>504.9</td>
<td>497.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Pacific</td>
<td>399.4</td>
<td>397.1</td>
<td>383.5</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>311.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Oil Production</td>
<td>1,913.7</td>
<td>4,089.5</td>
<td>4,262.7</td>
<td>4,398.1</td>
<td>4,511.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Million Tons of Natural Gas Equivalent</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>750.4</td>
<td>795.7</td>
<td>852.7</td>
<td>875.6</td>
<td>906.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/Central America</td>
<td>145.1</td>
<td>175.1</td>
<td>191.8</td>
<td>233.9</td>
<td>270.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe and Eurasia</td>
<td>938.8</td>
<td>1,016.5</td>
<td>1,047.9</td>
<td>1,092.5</td>
<td>1,161.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>414.6</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>655.9</td>
<td>741.8</td>
<td>821.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>188.1</td>
<td>213.4</td>
<td>257.2</td>
<td>298.6</td>
<td>356.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Pacific</td>
<td>433.9</td>
<td>545.6</td>
<td>706.9</td>
<td>770.1</td>
<td>811.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Natural Gas Production</td>
<td>2,880.9</td>
<td>3,325.3</td>
<td>3,712.4</td>
<td>4,012.5</td>
<td>4,328.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Table 3. Global Oil and Gas Production (2010-2030).**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2035</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEC</td>
<td>23.43</td>
<td>25.46</td>
<td>29.77</td>
<td>33.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-OPEC</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>25.01</td>
<td>26.89</td>
<td>30.95</td>
<td>34.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>10.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>14.267</td>
<td>13.93</td>
<td>13.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe/Eurasia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>10.14</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>12.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD Europe</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Europe and Eurasia</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>17.72</td>
<td>17.41</td>
<td>18.58</td>
<td>19.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEC North Africa</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEC West Africa</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-OPEC Africa</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>11.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEC South America</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Central/South America</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>8.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. International Oil Supply by Region, 2010-2035 (in Millions of Barrels Per Day).
Note: Figures based on reference price for 2015, 2025, and 2035, which is between the “low oil price” and “high oil price” scenarios.


**Table 4. International Oil Supply by Region, 2010-2035 (in Millions of Barrels Per Day).** (Cont.)

While these figures suggest only a modest increase in African oil and gas production until 2035, the author is encouraged about Africa’s energy future because a deeper analysis of EIA’s oil production estimates for Africa from 2010-2035 suggests that the continent’s oil production could be far higher than current forecasts. Table 4 indicates that non-OPEC Africa (i.e., Africa’s 50 countries other than the four OPEC members, Algeria, Angola, Libya, and Nigeria) oil production in 2010 was only 2.41 million bpd, or 22.4 percent of Africa’s total, and will only climb to 2.68 million bpd in 2035, or 23.9 percent of the predicted African total. Given
Africa’s large number of sedimentary basins outside of its four OPEC member-states, several of which are proven hydrocarbon provinces, and given the extremely low level of exploration in the remaining 50 African nations compared to these four “lions,” it appears likely that EIA’s estimates are highly conservative and mainly extrapolate from the present.

**Africa’s Competitive Landscape Becomes More Crowded—Asian NOCs, FrontierIndependents Join Traditional Supermajors.**

What other evidence does the author have to corroborate his more optimistic views on future African oil and gas reserves? One leading indicator is that the competitive landscape for oil and gas exploration in Africa has become much more crowded over the last 10 years with the addition of Asian NOCs, smaller international independents, the creation of new NOCs in some African countries, and the rise of regional energy companies from Africa such as Nigeria’s Oranto and South Africa’s Sasol. For decades, the Western “supermajors” such as the U.S. firm Chevron, the United Kingdom’s (UK) BP, and France’s Total explored onshore acreage across the continent, taking advantage of tax and royalty concessions. In the 1990s, they started investing in deepwater, which at the time was considered anything deeper than 1,000 feet. They have been joined, however, by Russian NOC Gazprom and Asian NOCs from China (CNPC, the China National Offshore Oil Corporation [CNOOC], the China Petroleum and Chemical Corporation [Sinopec], and Sinopec’s subsidiary Addax), India (ONGC), Indonesia (Pertamina), Korea (KNOC and its subsidiary
Dana Petroleum), Malaysia (Petronas), and Thailand (PTTEP), plus a limited number of Russian and Asian independent firms. Most of the growing and vibrant group of small-to-medium-sized independent firms is from Europe and the United States. These include Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil, France’s Maurel et Prom and the U.S. firms, Anadarko and Kosmos. At least two dozen smaller independent E&P companies, some of which are privately held, have become active in Africa’s so-called frontier countries, often where there had been little past exploration activity or where such activity dated to the 1960s or 1970s. These smaller players, some of which also come from the United States, are important because they have a narrowly focused exploration-led business model (in contrast to supermajors, which manage across the value chain from exploration to retailing), usually have much higher risk tolerance than supermajors, and are willing to develop smaller hydrocarbon deposits that may be uneconomic to bigger companies. Examples of such firms include Canada’s Africa Oil, and Australia’s African Petroleum.

IV. GLOBALIZATION OF THE WORLD NATURAL GAS MARKET AND AFRICA’S ROLE IN IT

Up to this point, this monograph has focused mainly on oil production and reserves. However, natural gas will play a much bigger role in Africa’s energy future than it does now, so it merits the following separate discussion.

At present, the global gas market is characterized by disparate regional markets. At present, the price of natural gas is much lower in the Atlantic Basin than it
is in the Pacific Basin. At its lowest point in 2012, natural gas in the United States traded at around one-fifth of import prices in Europe and one-eighth of those in Japan. Major suppliers, including Russia and Norway, have historically maintained high natural gas prices by limiting pipeline volumes into Europe, and, in the case of Qatar, by restricting spot LNG volumes to Asian buyers.

Recent events, particularly since 2010, however, are slowly creating a more competitive and interconnected global gas market. For example, more gas-related infrastructure development is enabling greater connectivity within and between regions, such as U.S. ports that can export LNG. Already, a limited amount of U.S. natural gas has been sold in Europe, and volumes could increase significantly if the U.S. Government grants more export licenses. The Panama Canal expansion, which will allow much larger PANAMAX LNG tankers, could also route U.S. LNG to Asian markets less expensively. Going forward, price differentials between regional gas markets will diminish as new supplier nations, many African, come on stream. LNG trade will become more competitive and contract terms will evolve, meaning that changes in one part of the world will be felt more quickly elsewhere. Some argue that once the Panama Canal is expanded, LNG supertankers will bring the Atlantic Basin and the Pacific Basin into one global market—much as the advent of oil supertankers fostered a global market in oil. In any event, West and Central African gas exports to Europe and Asia will help shrink the contract premiums significantly by 2020—providing a real boon to East Asian economies. Additional gas supplies coming online in East Africa starting after 2018 will further compress the Pacific-Atlantic price differential.
The Present: Africa’s Natural Gas Production and Reserves Focused on North and West Africa.

African gas production reached about 203 billion cubic meters (bcm) in 2011, with production by Algeria, Egypt, and Nigeria, collectively, accounting for more than 88 percent of the continent’s total. Gas production in Africa since 2000 has been growing by about 4 percent per year. Africa accounted for 6.4 percent of global natural gas production in 2010, but its share is expected to rise to 8.6 percent by 2035. As shown in Table 5, African gas production is projected to accelerate to 277 bcm in 2020 and 428 bcm in 2035.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2035</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>1,178</td>
<td>1,239</td>
<td>1,328</td>
<td>1,360</td>
<td>1,395</td>
<td>1,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>1,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Oceania</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-OECD</td>
<td>1,178</td>
<td>2,106</td>
<td>2,377</td>
<td>2,616</td>
<td>2,908</td>
<td>3,215</td>
<td>3,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Europe/Eurasia</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>1,057</td>
<td>1,136</td>
<td>1,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>809</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Global Natural Gas Production by Region.
As shown in Table 6, the largest natural gas producing countries in Africa in 2010, in descending order, were Algeria, Egypt, Nigeria, and Libya. Africa’s proven reserves of natural gas were estimated at 14 trillion cubic meters (tcm) as of January 1, 2012, or about 7.5 percent of the world’s total. (Technically recoverable reserves of natural gas in Africa were estimated to be substantially higher, about 74 tcm, or almost 10 percent of the world’s total.) The countries with the biggest reserves were, in descending order, Nigeria, Algeria, Egypt, and Libya, with these four countries accounting for more than 92 percent of the continent’s total.\(^{85}\)

Table 5. Global Natural Gas Production by Region. (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2035</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>2,059</td>
<td>3,284</td>
<td>3,616</td>
<td>3,943</td>
<td>4,268</td>
<td>4,610</td>
<td>4,955</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: *World Energy Outlook 2012*, IEA, Table 4.5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Reserves at January 1, 2012</th>
<th>2010 Demand</th>
<th>2010 Production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>4,452</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>83.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo (Brazzaville)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo-Kinshasa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>2,162</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>60.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cote d’Ivoire</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>1,478</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>16.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>5,053</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>28.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14,264</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>206.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: 2011 production figures (bcm) for certain countries available as follows: Algeria (78.0), Egypt (61.3), Libya (4.1), Nigeria (39.9), and other Africa (19.4). Source: BP Statistical Review of World Energy, June 2012.

Source: “National Gas in Africa: The Frontiers of the Golden Age,” Ernst & Young.

Table 6. African Natural Gas Production by Country (in Billions of Cubic Meters).
Historically, **North Africa** was the primary source of the continent’s natural gas exports. Algeria has long been a major player in global gas markets and has been the second-largest gas supplier to Europe after Russia. Libya’s natural gas is still relatively underdeveloped compared to oil, but the country is also an important supplier to Europe via the Greenstream pipeline to Italy. Recent growth in Africa’s natural gas supplies has come from **West Africa** through the huge associated gas developments that have accompanied an offshore oil boom led by Nigeria and Angola. Additionally, West Africa, aided by the World Bank’s Flaring Reduction Initiative, has become a focal point for capturing natural gas for export as LNG instead of being flared. While West Africa’s growth in natural gas will continue as flaring is reduced and local gas infrastructure is developed, the big future for African gas lies in the massive offshore gas discoveries in **East Africa**, particularly in Mozambique and Tanzania. Over time, the relative share of Africa’s “big four” suppliers will decline as natural gas production in other countries such as Mozambique and Tanzania, comes online and as their reserves become reclassified as “proven.”

**East Africa and East Asia: A New “Golden Age” for Global Trade in Natural Gas?**

Interest in the recent, large discoveries of natural gas in East Africa has been boosted by their convenient geographical position for LNG exports to Asian markets. The Asian appetite for East African natural gas is also understandable because, as one analyst put it, “It’s very important for Asian customers to secure gas
free of the Strait of Hormuz risk.”90 Some analysts believe that final investment decisions to develop these East African gas reserves will not be reached, however, “without some long-term contracts attached, at least covering half or two-thirds of production.”91

The ease of access to Asian markets and a break-even point that is substantially lower than rival Australia could help East Africa natural gas exports, particularly from Mozambique and Tanzania, according to consultant Wood Mackenzie.92 The firm estimation as of August 2012 is that 100 trillion cubic feet (tcf) of gas has been discovered in Mozambique and Tanzania to date—enough for 16 LNG “trains” (as liquefaction facilities are called)—and that yet-to-be-found reserves were as much as 80 tcf in Mozambique and 15 tcf in Tanzania.93 (The average discovery size in Tanzania has been much smaller, around 2 tcf, compared to Mozambique, which is over 7 tcf. Discoveries in Tanzania are also more spread out, so developing them will be more expensive than those in Mozambique because additional infrastructure will be required;94 100 tcf is enough to meet U.S. demand for 4 years.)95

Future Wild Card: Africa’s Unconventional Gas Supplies.

Over the longer term, Africa’s unconventional natural gas could also add substantially to the continent’s potential supply.96 In South Africa, the government recently lifted the moratorium on exploration and extraction of shale gas identified in three formations in the Karoo Basin.97 Relatively new, “unconventional” supplies of natural gas in several African countries—including shale gas, tight gas, and coal-bed methane (also known as coal seam gas)—could add significant
new supplies to the world’s energy markets. One analyst wrote that:

So far, shale and other unconventional gas searches in Africa have been focused mostly in South Africa, but there is evidence of coalbed methane deposits in West Africa and some tight gas sands along West Africa’s coast. Not without its environmental controversies, [Italian oil major] ENI’s planned launch of a pilot project with an estimated 2.5 billion barrels of recoverable oil sands in the Republic of Congo, is the clearest sign yet that Africa north of South Africa and south of the Sahara may have more robust unconventional gas prospects.

Tables 7 and 8 show, respectively, current and future planned LNG facilities in Africa, and current LNG export facilities under construction worldwide. The fact that there are far more planned African LNG facilities than current production facilities is consistent with rising expectations for Africa as a global supplier of natural gas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Start*</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Operator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>Arzew (3 trains)</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Sonatrach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skikda (4 trains)</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Sonatrach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bethioua (12 trains)</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>Sonatrach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Damietta (1 train)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>ENI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELNG (2 trains)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>BG Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>Marsa El Brega (2 trains)</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Sirte Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>NLNG (6 trains)</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>NNPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>Puna Eur (1 train)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Marathon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>Angola LNG (1 train)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Chevron</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Current African LNG Production Capacity.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Start*</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Operator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>Arzew GL 3Z</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Sonatrach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skikda LNG</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Sonatrach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>Marsa El Brega T3</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Sirte Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Progress FLNG</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>NNPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>Kribi LNG</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>GDF Suez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Damietta T2</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>ENI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>Punta Eur T2</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Marathon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>Mozambique T2</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Anadarko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Brass LNG T1</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>NNPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>Tanzania LNG T1</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>BG Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>Mozambique T2</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Anadarko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Brass LNG T2</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>NNPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NLNG T7</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>NNPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NLNG T8</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>NNPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OK LNG</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>NNPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>Mamba</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>ENI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For existing projects, start date is date for first train; for planned/possible projects, start dates are nominal and subject to delay/cancellation.


Table 7. Current African LNG Production Capacity. (Cont.)
It may seem provocative to ask the question, “Is Africa still relevant to U.S. energy security?” but it is a strategic question that must be posed. The short answer is that Africa is still of major importance to U.S. energy security, but that past projections of U.S. oil and gas imports from Africa are proving far too high.

Notes: *coal-bed methane based; **floating LNG project.


Table 8. LNG Export Projects Under Construction Worldwide (July 2012).

V. IS AFRICA STILL RELEVANT TO U.S. ENERGY SECURITY?
Africa had provided up to 22 percent of U.S. oil imports in recent years, and the countries of the Gulf of Guinea alone had been projected by the U.S. National Intelligence Council to be the source of 25 percent of U.S. oil imports by 2015. The new reality, however, is that the United States has been so successful in shale exploration and production in the last several years that it has been dramatically reducing its oil and gas imports from Africa, with 2012 U.S. oil imports from Africa being only 40 percent of their peak in 2007. Table 9 shows the long-term trend toward declining U.S. oil imports from Africa, which peaked in 2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Algeria</th>
<th>Angola</th>
<th>Libya</th>
<th>Nigeria</th>
<th>Congo-Brazzaville</th>
<th>Congo-Kinshasa</th>
<th>Equatorial Guinea</th>
<th>Gabon</th>
<th>Ghana</th>
<th>Ivory Coast</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>40,992</td>
<td>132,349</td>
<td>303,617</td>
<td>9,755</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>21,452</td>
<td>47,670</td>
<td>1,368</td>
<td>557,901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>78,719</td>
<td>112,018</td>
<td>6,724</td>
<td>394,560</td>
<td>2,918</td>
<td>5,101</td>
<td>2,212</td>
<td>52,061</td>
<td>1,840</td>
<td>678,153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>83,359</td>
<td>166,404</td>
<td>15,934</td>
<td>393,038</td>
<td>9,216</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>24,734</td>
<td>46,515</td>
<td>7,238</td>
<td>747,126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>131,981</td>
<td>187,325</td>
<td>23,949</td>
<td>378,670</td>
<td>9,753</td>
<td>20,894</td>
<td>21,773</td>
<td>1,630</td>
<td>775,975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>161,770</td>
<td>181,813</td>
<td>30,794</td>
<td>395,554</td>
<td>23,123</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>20,070</td>
<td>22,897</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>836,660</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>114,112</td>
<td>184,460</td>
<td>24,791</td>
<td>337,359</td>
<td>24,673</td>
<td>27,159</td>
<td>21,268</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>734,452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>102,559</td>
<td>163,604</td>
<td>22,354</td>
<td>283,091</td>
<td>23,500</td>
<td>3,412</td>
<td>32,310</td>
<td>22,926</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>654,703</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>119,579</td>
<td>139,736</td>
<td>15,608</td>
<td>358,924</td>
<td>25,694</td>
<td>3,225</td>
<td>18,225</td>
<td>17,022</td>
<td>3,130</td>
<td>701,143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>64,816</td>
<td>122,210</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>280,079</td>
<td>19,192</td>
<td>3,999</td>
<td>6,934</td>
<td>12,532</td>
<td>1,303</td>
<td>517,347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>43,891</td>
<td>80,945</td>
<td>20,358</td>
<td>148,353</td>
<td>10,710</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>15,100</td>
<td>15,462</td>
<td>1,394</td>
<td>336,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


One reason for declining U.S. oil imports from Africa is that there has been a substitution in the U.S. import mix from African oil to oil produced in the Americas, including South America, Mexico, and Can-
ada. Most importantly, however, there has also been a sharp absolute decline in U.S. oil imports from all source countries, primarily due to increased domestic production of nonconventional fuels, including shale oil and gas. The Washington Post analyzed this shift in oil imports in a January 2013 article, which stated that U.S. east coast refineries continued to import mainly African oil, but that refineries in the Midwest were stopping West African imports:

Over the past few years, U.S. refineries in the Midwest and elsewhere have spent billions upgrading their facilities in order to be able to process heavy, sour crude—the stuff that comes from the tar sands of Canada, or from Venezuela and Mexico. In order to recoup their investment, those refineries are likely to continue importing heavy oil from those three countries in the years ahead. By contrast, the light, sweet crude that’s now pouring out of shale formations in North Dakota and Texas will mainly displace oil from Africa: Since July 2010, the U.S. has cut its Nigerian imports by half, from more than 1 million barrels a day, to 543,000 as of October 2012, the most recent data available through the EIA. Imports from Angola have dipped below 200,000 daily barrels, from an average of 513,000 in 2008. . . . By the second quarter of [2013], we will stop importing West African light, sweet crude into the Gulf.

As shown in Table 10, there were only three African nations among the top 15 source countries for U.S. oil imports during the period January-November 2012: Nigeria (#5), Algeria (#10), and Angola (#11). These three countries cumulatively accounted for only 8 percent of U.S. imports. The United States imported about 40 percent less from Nigeria in 2012 than in 2011. For the 9 years to 2011, the United States imported between 9 and 11 percent of its crude oil
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from Nigeria; in the first half of 2012, this figure fell to 5 percent. U.S. **natural gas** imports have mainly been coming from Trinidad and Tobago, Qatar, and Algeria. Chevron has been forced to look at non-U.S. buyers, many in Europe, for its new natural gas production in Angola.

Table 10. Total Imports of Petroleum into the United States by Top 15 Source Countries from January to November 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By Region</th>
<th>Thousand Barrels/Day</th>
<th>Percent of Total Imports</th>
<th>By Country</th>
<th>Thousand Barrels/Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2,938</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>1,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>1,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>1,390</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alergia</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Alergia</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Equador</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. imports of African oil and gas are likely to decline further in the coming years as well. The International Energy Agency (IEA) predicts that oil production in the United States will peak in 2020 at 11.1 million barrels a day, with U.S. oil imports falling within the next decade to four million bpd from the current rate of 10 million bpd. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) predicts that there will be only modest growth in demand for energy in the United States over the next 25 years, with an annual rate of growth in annual energy consumption of only 0.3 percent from 2010 to 2035. The United States is not projected to return to the levels of energy demand growth experienced in the 20 years prior to the 2008-09 recession because of more moderate projected economic growth and population growth, coupled with increasing levels of energy efficiency, according to ExxonMobil. Around 2030, North America is forecast to become a net oil exporter—an outcome that also means the United States will enjoy an order of magnitude greater energy security in 20 years than it does at present, and will have little dependence on Africa for its energy needs.

Despite declining U.S. oil and gas imports, Africa is still of major importance to U.S. energy security—indeed to U.S. national security—for several reasons. First, although the United States will become significantly more energy independent in the years to come, it is still energy insecure for now, and Africa is thus still critical to a diverse supply of oil to the United States. Second, most of our Western allies, including Europe and Japan, will remain energy insecure for the foreseeable future, and will increasingly—not decreasingly—depend on oil and gas from Africa. Europe, for example, faced with declining oil and gas production
in the North Sea and the unpredictability of Russia as an energy supplier, has increased its dependence on Africa, particularly on Algeria, Angola, Libya, and Nigeria for oil, and on Algeria and Libya for natural gas. Third, the commercial stakes for U.S. investors in African oil and gas are already enormous and growing rapidly. U.S. strength, and ultimately national security, depends on a strong economic foundation that creates jobs and wealth through exports and successful foreign investment, including to Africa.

Table 11, a product of the author’s country-by-country research found in Appendix 1, is a comprehensive but not exhaustive listing of current oil and gas exploration and production in Africa by U.S. companies. American firms have upstream activities in at least 22 countries on the continent, sometimes as the top first or second IOC in production. All but three of the 22 countries where U.S. firms are active in Africa are littoral or island nations. With the exception of the North African nations of Algeria, Libya, and Egypt, the large majority of active licenses held by U.S. firms involve offshore blocks, often deepwater. Outside of North Africa, sub-Saharan African countries with major U.S. oil and gas upstream investments include Nigeria, Angola, Equatorial Guinea, Chad, Ghana, and Mozambique. Other sub-Saharan countries with important U.S. upstream investments include Cameroon, Congo, Gabon, Kenya, Liberia, Madagascar, Morocco, Sierra Leone, South Africa, and Tanzania.
Anadarko | Hess
---|---
Largest foreign producer with 500,000 bpd | Algiers

**Gassi El Agreb Redevelopment Project**—investing to boost production in three long-standing oilfields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ConocoPhillips</td>
<td>Sidi El Agreb, Block 1</td>
<td>10 percent interest in Blocks 31 and 32.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gassi El Agreb Redevelopment</td>
<td>30 percent operator interests in two deepwater offshore Blocks 36 and 37.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Operator rights to Angola’s offshore blocks nearshore 9, and deepwater 20 and 21.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>Sido Maritime, Block 17</td>
<td>100 percent operated bpd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marathon</td>
<td></td>
<td>394,300 operated bpd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt International</td>
<td></td>
<td>344,000 operated bpd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosmos</td>
<td></td>
<td>36.4 percent share.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 percent operated bpd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 percent operated bpd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100 percent operated bpd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 percent operated bpd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 percent operator interests in the offshore YoiYoi mining concession and Tilapia exploration block.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy Oil</td>
<td></td>
<td>50 percent operator interests in the offshore YoiYoi mining concession and Tilapia exploration block.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad-Cameroon Pipeline one of the largest U.S. investments in sub-Saharan Africa, majority interest held by Exxon Mobil and Chevron.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Production peaked at 126,000 barrels per day in 2010, and averaged 115,000 bpd in 2011. Exporting oil via 1,000-km pipeline to Cameroon’s Kribi port.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td></td>
<td>Operator of offshore blocks, Mer Proponde Nord (100 percent share) and Mer Proponde Sud (50 percent share).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERIC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Operator of offshore blocks, Mer Proponde Nord (100 percent share) and Mer Proponde Sud (50 percent share).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seseic studies of part of Comoros’ territorial waters (probably only contractor, not investor).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy Oil</td>
<td></td>
<td>30 percent, non-operator share in the deepwater Mer-Tres Proponde Sud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td></td>
<td>In joint Angola-Congo Lianza cross-border oil field, with tie-back to BBLT platform in Angola’s Block 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 11. Investment by U.S. Energy Companies in Upstream Assets in Africa.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cote d’Ivoire</td>
<td>PanAtlantic (formerly Vanco)</td>
<td>Non-operator in Block CI-401 (28.34 percent), block CI-524 (30 percent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC)</td>
<td>EnerGulf</td>
<td>Operator of the Lotshi Block in the onshore salt Coastal Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chevron</td>
<td>17.72 percent, non-operator share in 12 onshore and offshore fields south of Angola’s Cabinda and north of Angola’s Soyo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Apache</td>
<td>Largest producer of liquid hydrocarbons and natural gas in Western Desert, third largest in Egypt. In 2011, produced daily 217,000 bpd of crude oil and 865 mcf of natural gas (including joint venture partner-shares).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>71.25 percent interest in Block B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hess</td>
<td>80.75 percent operator interest in the Ceiba Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noble Energy</td>
<td>38 percent operator of block I, including the 120,000 bpd Aseng oil field, and block O (45 percent operator), including the Alen gas-condensate field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marathon Oil</td>
<td>63 percent production sharing contract (PSC) for block A-12 offshore Bioko 60 percent interest in an LNG facility on Bioko Island and 40 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PanAtlantic</td>
<td>80 percent operator Block K—Corisco Deep in Rio Muni, partial share of Block W, Block EG-02 offshore Bioko Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brenham Oil &amp; Gas (subsidiary of American International Industries)</td>
<td>15 percent participating interest for Block Y - offshore Rio Muni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Murphy Oil</td>
<td>Operator Block W, offshore Rio Muni; in negotiations with government about acquiring interests in offshore Blocks J-14, J-15, K-14 and K-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Marathon</td>
<td>20 percent, non-operator share in license in Turkana Rift Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>VAALCO energy</td>
<td>50 percent operator in onshore Mutamba-Ibou exploration license</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marathon</td>
<td>21.25 percent, non-operator in offshore Diaba license G4-223; 28.1 percent interests in exploration acreage in the Etame Marine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Harvest Natural Resources</td>
<td>66.67 percent operator interest in Dussafu Marin Block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cobalt International</td>
<td>Diaba Block (share, operator status unclear)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAMAC energy</td>
<td>100 percent operator interests in two offshore blocks: A2 and A5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Investment by U.S. Energy Companies in Upstream Assets in Africa. (Cont.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Kosmos Energy</td>
<td>30.87 percent operator of Deepwater West Cape Three Points block. 18 percent non-operator in Deepwater Tano Basin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anadarko</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.87 percent non-operator of Deepwater West Cape Three Points block. 18 percent non-operator in Deepwater Tano Basin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hess</td>
<td></td>
<td>47.22 percent operator interest in Deepwater Tano/Cape Three Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PanAtlantic</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.34 percent, non-operator interest in Cape Three Points Deepwater block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lushann International</td>
<td>Lushann-Eternit Energy has 55 percent share in Saltpond Field, whose current production is about 600 bpd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>Hyperdynamics</td>
<td>Holds one of the largest offshore exploration acreage positions in West Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Marathon</td>
<td>50 percent interest in Block 9 and a 15 percent interest in Block 12A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERHC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Block 11A in northwestern Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apache</td>
<td></td>
<td>50 percent operator in offshore Block L8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancontinental</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 percent, non-operator Mbawa-1 exploration well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anadarko</td>
<td></td>
<td>50 percent operator of Blocks L5, L7, L11A, L11B and L12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMAC Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 percent operator of Blocks L1B, L16, L27 and L28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>Chevron</td>
<td>Acquired three offshore blocks, LB-11, LB-12, and LB-14, in which it currently has a 45 percent operator interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anadarko</td>
<td></td>
<td>47.5 percent operator shares in Blocks LB-15, LB-16, and LB-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td></td>
<td>80 percent operator share offshore in Block LB-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>ConocoPhillips (16.33 percent), Hess (8.13 percent), and Marathon (16.33 percent)</td>
<td>In the Sirte Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hess</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 percent operator of Area 54 offshore in Sirte Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occidental Petroleum</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown share of Zueitina Field in Sirte Basin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Investment by U.S. Energy Companies in Upstream Assets in Africa. (Cont.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Investment Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>Madagascar Oil</td>
<td>100 percent of Blocks 3104-3107; Steam Flood Pilot project at Tsimiroro; 40 percent non-operator of Block 3102 (Bemolanga)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>70 percent interest in the offshore Ampasindava and Ambilobe Blocks in the northwest, and a 50 percent interest in the west-central offshore Majunga Block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAREX</td>
<td>10 percent, non-operator interest in Deepwater Belo Profond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>Kosmos</td>
<td>90 percent operator interest in three offshore blocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Chevron</td>
<td>75 percent of three offshore areas: Cap Rhir Deep, Cap Cantin Deep, and Cap Walidia Deep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kosmos</td>
<td>Operator shares in four offshore blocks, three in the Agadir Basin, and the fourth in the Aaoun Basin. 56.25 percent participating interest in Foum Assaka Block, 75 percent interest in Essaouira Block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plains Exploration and Production</td>
<td>52 percent operator interest in the Mazagan permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>Anadarko</td>
<td>Discovered natural gas at its offshore Windjammer well in the Rovuma Basin, followed by a total of 10 successful exploration/appraisal wells also in the offshore block, “Area 1.” Lead operator (35.7 percent) of Rovuma Onshore on the Tanzanian land border adjacent to the Indian Ocean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>EnerGulf Resources</td>
<td>Exploring for oil and gas offshore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duma Energy, Frontier Resources, and HydroCarb</td>
<td>Have encountered promising signs of hydrocarbons in the Owambo (Etosha) Basin. Frontier Resources has Blocks 1717 and 1817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria*</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>ExxonMobil is 2nd largest oil producer in Nigeria. Erha North offshore project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chevron</td>
<td>Chevron is 3rd largest oil producer in Nigeria; 40 percent interest in 13 concessions with NNPC (NOC), and 18 to 100 percent shares in 10 deepwater blocks. Major shareholder, operator of Escravos Gasto-Liquids facility and West African Gas Pipeline (WASP). CAMAC has an interest in a PSC for OML 120 with operator ENI of Italy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAMAC (Exp. of several smaller U.S. independents in Nigeria)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>Fortesa</td>
<td>70 percent operator of Gadiaga Field; also has a license to explore the Tamna (Zone G) onshore block</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Investment by U.S. Energy Companies in Upstream Assets in Africa. (Cont.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>Anadarko</td>
<td>Recent discoveries, including 4 wells successfully drilled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kosmos</td>
<td>Block 4A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chevron/Noble Energy</td>
<td>Blocks 8a and 8b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PanAtlantic</td>
<td>21 percent, non-operator in block in SL-5-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Anadarko</td>
<td>Exploration in Orange Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>75 percent operator interest in exploration rights in the Tugela south and three other offshore blocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chevron</td>
<td>Seek unconventional exploration opportunities in Karoo Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>35 percent, non-operator interest in Block 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>Frontier Resources</td>
<td>Exploration Block 34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Internet Research by author, primarily from January-April 2013. See country profiles for more details.

**Table 11. Investment by U.S. Energy Companies in Upstream Assets in Africa. (Cont.)**
Aside from exploration and production, commercial opportunities for future U.S. trade and investment in Africa’s energy sector are extraordinary. IEA expects that almost $2.1 trillion will need to be invested in African oil and natural gas supply infrastructure over the period 2010-35—an average of more than $83 billion per year and more than will be invested in the Middle East, Latin America, or Asia over the same period. One author predicted in 2009 that just the gross deepwater capital expenditure in West Africa alone between 2008 and 2015 would exceed that spent in Latin America, the Gulf of Mexico, the North Atlantic and Asia-Pacific. Over the next five years alone, at least $230 billion will be required in Africa to develop LNG capacity and $22.6 billion to develop gas-to-power projects. Much of this will be invested by U.S. operators including, for example, Chevron in Angola and Anadarko in Mozambique. U.S. oil services providers and industrial firms producing oil, gas, and power-sector equipment have traditionally been internationally competitive and, as such, Africa is a future bonanza that cannot be ignored.

In the text above, the author asserts that, for now, Africa will remain important to U.S. “energy security,” but that its importance will decline over the next 20 years due to greater oil and gas production in the United States and the rest of North America. What exactly do we mean here by “energy security”? Energy security has three components: reliability (or regular, uninterrupted access to energy), affordability, and environmental friendliness. At present, the United States enjoys a relatively high and improving level of energy security primarily related to reliability of supply. The U.S. energy security is high not just because of booming shale oil and gas production, however, but also due to better mechanisms for dealing with sup-
ply challenges than in the past. These include the existence of a Strategic Petroleum Reserve started in 1975, and the International Energy Agency created in 1994 to make possible timely collective responses to politically inspired disruptions in oil supplies.

U.S. energy security has also been enhanced by greater oil and gas imports from Canada, which is tied by pipeline to the U.S. energy economy. The United States is also less vulnerable to disruptions in the global oil market in part because U.S. refiners have more refining capacity that is able to refine lower-quality, heavier crude, which means that they are not stuck with having to purchase higher-grade, lighter crude. (In contrast, the Chinese economy is structured around its crude oil production in Daqing, which produces relatively light, sweet, waxy crude. Chinese refineries are equipped to process this kind of crude and, since they have not converted many of their refineries to process heavier crude, they import significant amounts of light crudes into China.)

Although the United States enjoys a relatively high level of energy security in 2013, plenty of risk remains. First, although U.S. dependence on oil imports is declining, our security vulnerabilities do not arise solely from the amount of oil we import. Affordability is also an issue. Oil is a strategic commodity traded on a global market. Therefore, supply disruptions cause spikes in price, which then increase dramatically the U.S. import bill for oil, even though oil is still available to U.S. buyers. Second, for the United States, oil’s strategic status does not stem from its use for electricity because only 1 percent of U.S electricity is generated from oil (other than in the strategic location of Hawaii, where the U.S. Pacific Fleet is headquartered, and where the large majority of electricity in that state is generated from imported fuel oil, about 70 percent of it from East Asia and Australia). Instead, oil’s strategic status stems from its virtual monopoly over the U.S. transportation fuel. In the United States, 98 percent of transportation energy is petroleum-based.
How can the United States reduce its dependence on petroleum-based transportation energy? One way the United States has already reduced this dependence is to add more ethanol to our fuel mix. Another solution is to produce more vehicles that run on natural gas, thereby helping to monetize the price difference that exists today between oil and natural gas. This is already happening for U.S. truck fleets, especially those that constantly run the same routes because fueling stations are profitable to construct. Eighteen-wheel trucks running on natural gas save well over $150,000 in fuel costs over their 6-year expected life. Oil industry veteran T. Boone Pickens said in 2012 that converting U.S. truck fleets to natural gas could “knock out” 70 percent of U.S. imports from OPEC countries, and recalled that it took 6 years for the U.S. truck fleet to convert from gasoline to diesel in the 1970s. Yet another option is to accelerate the move already started in the auto market toward hybrid gas-electric and all-electric vehicles. On a per-mile basis, electricity is much less expensive than oil.

Fortunately, U.S. demand for oil is already flattening off—and U.S. energy security already increasing—in significant part because we are already improving efficiencies of our transportation fleet. Over time, this push toward greater fuel mileage will also be helped immensely by the Barack Obama administration’s agreement in 2011 with 13 large automakers to increase fuel economy to 54.5 miles per gallon for cars and light trucks by 2025. By around 2020, the United States will start to see the impact of the latest CAFE fuel-efficiency measures in transport. The result will be a continued fall in U.S. oil imports, to the extent that North America becomes a net oil exporter around 2030.

This withdrawal of the United States as a major buyer in the global energy market (ex-North America) will also accelerate the switch in direction of international oil trade towards Asia, from Africa and the Middle East. The next two sections of this monograph will
focus on Africa’s importance to China as a source of energy, including how Beijing will likely build a blue-water navy whose core mission will include a presence in the Indian Ocean to protect oil and gas supply routes to its homeland.\textsuperscript{12}

Endnotes - Text Box

1. The case can be made that unconventional oil and natural gas have also increased, on net, the environmental component of energy security. While hydraulic fracking, for example, carries risks of water contamination, the greater use of natural gas for power generation has displaced the use of heavily pollution coal.

2. See \url{en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Strategic_Petroleum_Reserve_(United_States)}.


12. \textit{Ibid.}

\textbf{Box 1. What is Energy Security and How to Promote it in the United States?}
VI. AFRICA AND CHINA’S ENERGY SECURITY—AN INCREASINGLY IMPORTANT SOURCE OF DIVERSIFIED SUPPLY

Since the mid-1990s, Africa has played an increasingly strategic role in China’s energy security. China’s rapid economic development turned the country from a petroleum exporter to an importer by 1993—a significant milestone in its development, and an event that spurred China to adopt a new foreign policy in 1995 emphasizing greater economic ties with Africa.\textsuperscript{113} In recent years, the quality and availability of Africa’s oil also became more important to Beijing as a way to offset declines in the North Sea and other light sweet crude producers that had previously met Chinese demand for crude and refined products.\textsuperscript{114} As of 2009, Africa accounted for a significant share of the international equity production portfolio for China’s “big three” oil companies: CNPC (40 percent), Sinopec (22.6 percent), and CNOOC (45 percent).\textsuperscript{115} CNPC, the biggest of the three, also operates through its Great Wall Drilling subsidiary in eight African countries: Algeria, Chad, Kenya, Libya, Niger, Sudan, South Sudan, and Tunisia.

In 2009, China became the second largest net oil importer in the world behind the United States, with net total oil imports reaching 5.5 million bpd in 2011, versus 8.7 million bpd for the United States.\textsuperscript{116} More than half of China’s crude oil is imported, and about 33 percent of these imports came from Africa and 47 percent from the Middle East in 2010. Africa is a critical part of China’s energy security diversification strategy, which seeks above all to reduce its dependence on Middle East oil. In December 2012, China overtook the United States as the world’s largest net
importer of oil, a development that the *Financial Times* predicted would:

shake up the geopolitics of natural resources [since] the US has been the world’s largest net importer of oil since the mid-1970s, shaping Washington’s foreign policy towards energy-rich countries such as Saudi Arabia, Iraq and Venezuela.\(^\text{117}\)

U.S. net oil imports dropped to 5.98 million bpd in December 2012, according to provisional figures from the EIA. In the same month, China’s net oil imports surged to 6.12 million bpd, according to Chinese customs. By 2020, China will import about 65 percent of its crude oil.\(^\text{118}\) An increasingly large share of China’s imports will have to come from Africa.

Securing natural resources, most importantly oil and natural gas, is already Beijing’s top national security priority in Africa.\(^\text{119}\) While Africa’s strategic importance for U.S. energy security is in relative decline due to shale oil and gas discoveries in America, the continent’s importance continues to rise for China which, despite shale discoveries of its own, will increasingly depend on energy imports until well past 2040.\(^\text{120}\) The IEA predicts, for example, that global energy demand will grow by one-third over the period to 2035, with China, India, and the Middle East accounting for 60 percent of the increase. Similarly, Table 12 predicts that energy demand in China will climb from 102 quadrillion British thermal units (QBTUs) in 2010 to 138 QBTUs in 2040 (and in India from 28 QBTUs in 2010 to 61 QBTUs in 2040, in the Middle East from 30 QBTUs in 2010 to 51 QBTUs).
Table 12. World Energy Demand (2010-40).

As indicated in Table 13, China’s top three sources of oil in the world in 2010 were Saudi Arabia, Angola, and Iran, while its top three sources of oil in Africa were Angola, Sudan, and Libya.
Table 13. China’s Oil Imports in 2010, by Source Country (in 1,000 Barrels Per Day).

Data from China’s General Administration of Customs showed that Angola had become China’s largest source of crude imports in April 2010, surpassing Saudi Arabia. As shown in Table 14, five of China’s top seven trading partners in Africa in 2010 were oil exporters: Angola, Nigeria, Libya, Algeria, and Congo (Brazzaville).121

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Country</th>
<th>Imports (in 1,000 Barrels Per Day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>922</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

China’s strategy of using Africa to move away from the Middle East/Strait of Hormuz risk through diversification has not been without its own risks. Libya had accounted for 3.1 percent of Chinese imports in 2010, but Chinese oil imports from Libya were disrupted by civil war and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) intervention in March 2011. The feud between Sudan and the recently independent South Sudan over oil pipeline fees also led to a multi-month cutoff in China’s significant oil imports from those two countries. This being said, there is no doubt that, overall, China will enjoy much greater diversification of oil-source countries within Africa in the coming years due to ongoing exploration and production in multiple countries—both by Chinese and non-Chinese firms. This will enable China to be less
vulnerable to disruptions within Africa from, e.g., an oil industry strike in Nigeria, and to disruptions elsewhere, e.g., a conflict in the Middle East tied to Iran.

**China’s Success in Marginal or Politically Sensitive Oil Fields.**

Up to now, China’s “oil diplomacy” in Africa has been most successful in niche markets such as Angola (due to corruption and to its $14 billion in infrastructure-for-oil deals), and in other African countries with fields that have been ignored as too marginal or politically sensitive by major Western companies. Countries where China has invested in marginal fields include Nigeria, Chad, Congo, Niger, Gabon, Equatorial Guinea, and the DRC. In Niger, for example, China’s CNCP took over a license in 2003 from an ExxonMobil/Petronas joint venture in Agadem that was not renewed. Buttressing CNCP’s investment in Niger, the China-Africa Development Fund (CADF) has underwritten a 2,000-km pipeline to export oil via another pipeline originating in Chad. An example of a politically sensitive country is Sudan, where China’s “no strings attached” foreign policy supported Beijing’s oil diplomacy. In Sudan, both Chinese NOCs CNPC and Sinopec were able to take over projects from Western oil companies and ignore international sanctions. With the independence of South Sudan in July 2011, this new country became China’s second most important source of oil in Africa.
The Absolute and Relative Scale of China’s Investment in Africa’s Upstream Oil and Gas Industry.

Do oil-for-infrastructure in Angola and sanctions-busting in Sudan mean that the Chinese government’s oil diplomacy is assisting Chinese energy companies to unfairly edge out traditional Western majors and smaller independents in Africa? In June 2008 congressional testimony, two U.S. Deputy Assistant Secretaries of State for Africa and East Asia said “no”:

There are often exaggerated charges that Chinese firms’ activities or investment decisions are coordinated by the Chinese government as some sort of strategic gambit in the high-stakes game of global energy security. In reality, Chinese firms compete for profitable projects not only with more technically and politically savvy international firms, but also with each other.128

What do further analysis and the empirical data indicate? While Angola and Sudan may be exceptions, the author’s research suggests that the U.S. officials were correct in their testimony. The Chinese Ministry of Commerce has commercial counselors in 48 of its Embassies in Africa, and they undoubtedly engaged in commercial diplomacy to lobby host governments in support of their NOCs—bolstered by relatively frequent, high-level visits by Chinese government or party officials. It is no coincidence that in March 2013—a mere 4 months after assuming office—Chinese President Xi Jinping visited three African nations that are natural resource exporters: Congo (oil, with China as its biggest trading partner), Tanzania (an emerging natural gas exporter), and South Africa (a source of strategic metals and minerals).129 This commercial
diplomacy in no way implies, however, that the activities or investment decisions by Chinese NOCs in Africa are “coordinated by the Chinese government.”

In Angola, a Beijing-sponsored $14.5 billion oil-for-infrastructure deal and corruption may have facilitated the acquisition by Chinese NOCs of non-operator shares in oil and gas blocks; in Sudan, Chinese and other Asian oil companies did purchase the assets of departing Western oil companies; and in Chad, diplomatic competition between Beijing and Taipei ironically encouraged oil sector investment by both the People’s Republic of China (PRC) and Taiwan. Despite these three, however, there are no other countries in Africa where Beijing’s foreign policy priorities appear to have had a clear, determining influence on the activities or decisions of Chinese NOCs.

In any event, regardless of whether the Chinese government’s role has been more of advocacy or directive, Chinese oil companies are continuing to increase their investments in Africa rapidly, albeit from an initially modest base. Table 15, derived from the author’s detailed country-by-country research presented in Appendix 1, is a comprehensive, but not exhaustive, listing of Chinese investment in upstream oil and gas assets in Africa. This table outlines 20-plus countries where Chinese firms reportedly have an interest in exploration and production. A perusal of this chart reveals that Chinese firms have a significant presence in three major oil and gas producing countries—Algeria, Angola, and Nigeria—and in four smaller producers—Cameroon, Chad, Gabon, and Sudan. Chinese oil firms also made major investments in Uganda in 2012 and Mozambique in 2013, only once as an operator (of one project in Uganda). The presence of Chinese
oil companies in the remaining 11 African countries varies from as little as an office and rumored farm-in to new projects to, at best, exploration and limited production in others. If one compares the narratives in each country synopsis that follows and examines the maps of explorations blocks on the continent, the same picture of Chinese oil companies remains: growing significantly, but still dwarfed by the investments by Western majors and independents. (At the same time, and as becomes apparent in reading through the country-by-country profiles, it is notable that Chinese firms in Africa do have a relatively greater number of oil exploration and production licenses than firms from other, non-African developing countries, including the other BRIC countries of Brazil, Russia, and India.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Chinese Companies</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>CNOOC</td>
<td>CNOOC, along with partner Sonatrach and Thailand’s PTTEP, successfully discovered crude oil in September 2012 in the 4th and the 5th exploration wells in the Hassi Bir Rekaiz project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CNPC</td>
<td>Acquired the exploration licenses for Blocks 102a /112 in the Chelif Basin in northern Algeria (75 percent operator; 25 percent Sonatrach), Block 350 the northwestern Oued Mya Basin in the north of the Sahara Desert (75 percent operator; 25 percent Sonatrach), and Block 438b, also in the Oued Mya Basin (100 percent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>CNOOC</td>
<td>Non-operator shares in several offshore blocks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sinopec</td>
<td>Joint venture with China Sonangol known as Sonangol Sinopec International (SSI), which holds 50 percent participating interest in Block 18, operated by the UK’s BP. Equity production was 72,000 BOPD in 2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>China Sonangol</td>
<td>Besides JV with Sinopec, most important assets are 27.5 percent share in Block 17/06 and 20 percent share in Block 32. Also has non-operator interests in six other oil blocks in Angola (3/05, 3/05A, 15/06, 18/06, 31, and onshore Cabinda Block North).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>Sinopec (Addax subsidiary)</td>
<td>50 percent interest in Block 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>Sinopec (Addax subsidiary)</td>
<td>37.5 percent non-operator share in most prominent new field, Dissoni. Struck hydrocarbons in October 2012 estimated at 20 million barrels of oil and 200 bcf of natural gas in the Padouk-1X Permit in Rio del Rey Basin. Ngosso license area is in Rio del Rey Basin (60 percent operator). Iroko license area is located approximately 30 km offshore (100 percent operator). 40 percent operator interest in Lokele’s Mokoko Abana Field. 24.5 to 32.25 percent shares in eight fields in Lokele (2) and Rio del Ray (6) operated by Perenco.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sinopec</td>
<td>Bought 80 percent stake in Shell Oil’s Cameroon subsidiary in 2011; remaining 20 percent owned by NOC SNH. Purchase gave firm stakes in 12 offshore blocks in Cameroon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yang Chang Logone Development Holding</td>
<td>Acquired two blocks, Makary near Lake Chad, and Zina, about 20 km north of the Waza Park, in onshore northeast.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15. Exploration and Production Activities of Chinese Energy Firms in Africa.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>CNPC</td>
<td>In collaboration with Sudanese partners, restarted oil exploration in 2011 in northeastern CAR. Identified four promising sub-basins: Bagara (between CAR, Sudan, and South Sudan), Doseo (between CAR and Chad), Salamat (CAR), and Doba/Bangor (Chad).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>CNPC</td>
<td>Bought shares in Block H, in whose Bongor Basin the company subsequently made several commercial discoveries starting in 2006. In 2007, signed agreement with Chadian Ministry of Petroleum for the establishment of a 60-40 joint venture, 20,000-bpd refinery in Ndjamena, which started production in 2011. Construction of 311-km pipeline from fields to new refinery completed in 2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>CNOOC</td>
<td>Hired a ship to drill in its offshore block in 2013 1H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>CNOOC</td>
<td>Block S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CNPC</td>
<td>70 percent operator interest in Block M in the Rio Muni Basin through Santa Isabel Petroleum subsidiary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Xuan Energy (Hong Kong)</td>
<td>Granted license in December 2012 as operator of newly created Block Y, offshore Rio Muni, with a 15 percent interest for Brenham Oil &amp; Gas, a subsidiary of American International Industries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>Defba Oil Share Company, reportedly a joint venture between undisclosed Chinese company and Saudi-Bahraini Energy Alliance Company</td>
<td>Signed oil exploration agreements in 2008 for the two most northerly offshore blocks on the maritime border with Sudan—Bahri and Defnin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Sinopec</td>
<td>In 2007, the Ogaden rebel movement ONLF destroyed oil exploration facility outside Abole operated by Sinopec, killing 65 Ethiopians and nine Chinese.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Petro-Trans (Hong Kong)</td>
<td>Malaysia’s Petronas sold out its shares to China’s Hong Kong-based PetroTrans in 2010, whose facilities were also threatened with attack from an Ogaden-based rebel movement in August 2011. Ethiopian government cancelled five PSAs for 10 blocks in July 2012, claiming PetroTrans had failed to raise promised financing for new investments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15. Exploration and Production Activities of Chinese Energy Firms in Africa. (Cont.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Firm</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>CNOOC</td>
<td>25 percent share of offshore Blocks BC9 and BCD10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sinopec</td>
<td>Continued work on “several exploration wells” in Block G4-188.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sinopec (Addax subsidiary)</td>
<td>Sinopec’s Addax subsidiary had five production sharing agreements, two as onshore operator of Maghena and Panthere NZE, with five producing fields, two discoveries, and one under development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Sinopec</td>
<td>In July 2012, won $750 million contract to develop Ghana’s National Gas Processing Project, which involves transporting and marketing associated gas from the Jubilee Field. The Chinese government offered financing and agreed to accept repayment in the form of a long-term oil supply contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>China Sonangol</td>
<td>Officials in earlier Transitional government had awarded offshore acreage. However, democratically elected government of President Alpha Conde said he will review oil agreements made by previous regimes. China Sonangol’s website does not list any oil and gas operations in Guinea, but does list a Conakry office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>CNOOC</td>
<td>In 2010, plugged the Bhogal-1 exploration well on Block 9 in north-central Kenya after inconclusive results, returned its two licenses, and left the country.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>CNPC (Hong Kong-listed arm, PetroChina)</td>
<td>Australia’s African Petroleum made new offshore oil discovery in February 2013 when drilled Bee Eater-1 well. The company is finalizing negotiations with PetroChina for an investment of up to 20 percent in offshore Block LB-09.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>CNPC</td>
<td>Partial interest with Libya’s NOC in offshore Block 17-4 in the Pelagian Basin off the northwestern coast of Libya. Greatwall Drilling Company, a subsidiary, is also present in Libya Subsidiary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>CNPC</td>
<td>In 2006, found hydrocarbons in offshore Block 20, for which it has 65 percent operator interest, with Australia’s Baraka Petroleum holding 35 percent. Onshore, exploration continues in the Taoudeni Basin, in central-eastern Mauritania.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15. Exploration and Production Activities of Chinese Energy Firms in Africa. (Cont.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Firm</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>CNPC</td>
<td>Italy’s ENI reached an agreement in March 2013 to sell CNPC a 20 percent interest in offshore Area 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>CNPC</td>
<td>In Agadem, took over in 2003 100 percent share in Block Bilma and an 80 percent share in Block Tenere, along with Canadian oil company, TG World (20 percent).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>CNOOC</td>
<td>45 percent of Akpo Field (OML 130), operated by Total. 38 percent of Stubb Creek (OPL 229).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CNPC</td>
<td>4 blocks: 2 in Niger Delta, one onshore, the other offshore (OPL 298 and OPL 471), 2 in Chad Basin in Bomo Province in northern Nigeria (OPL 721 and OPL 732, the latter of which is a risk exploration block).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sinopec</td>
<td>Operatorship of NPDC’s OML 64 and 66. 20 percent stake in the Usan Field. 50 percent of OPL 124.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sinopec (Addax subsidiary)</td>
<td>Onshore, 100 percent operator of OML 124. Offshore, 100 percent operator of OML 123, 126, 137; 72/5 percent operator of OPL 291, non-operator of Okwok (12 percent share) and OPL 227 (40 percent share) Non-operator of Block 1 (42.37 percent share) in JDZ with Sao Tome and Principe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sao Tome and Principe</td>
<td>Sinopec</td>
<td>Operator in Block 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sinopec (Addax subsidiary)</td>
<td>Operates Blocks 3 and 4 in JDZ with Nigeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sinoangol (not China Sonangol)</td>
<td>Applying for exploration rights in Block 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan/South Sudan</td>
<td>CNCP</td>
<td>Shares in three consortia whose minority shareholder include Sudan NOC Sudapet and/or South Sudan NOC Nilepet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sinopec</td>
<td>Sinopec (6 percent) and Egypt/Kuwait’s Tri-Ocean Energy (5 percent) are minority shareholders in one of the three consortia, Dar Petroleum (Petrodar), which is based in South Sudan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>CNOOC</td>
<td>In February 2012, Tullow farmed out part of interests to CNOOC and France’s Total, with each taking a one-third share in Blocks EA-1, EA-2, and the former EA-3, now known as the Kanywataba and Kingfisher licenses, with each as operator of one of these three blocks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15. Exploration and Production Activities of Chinese Energy Firms in Africa. (Cont.)
Where does the disconnect between popular misperceptions in the mainstream press of large-scale Chinese investments of African equity oil come from? One reason may be an erroneous extrapolation from overall foreign trade and investment trends between China and Africa, which do, indeed, show an exponential growth in commercial ties over the last 30 years. While this burgeoning economic relationship between Beijing and African capitals is real, it is diversified and not just concentrated in the energy sector. And while the absolute size of Chinese investment in African oil and gas assets is both growing significantly and likely part of a longer-term trend, one recent figure indicated that Chinese oil companies accounted for just 8 percent of the combined commercial value of all international oil company investments in Africa. Why is this figure so low? One reason is because Western oil companies had a head start of several decades, making their cumulative investment much larger than that of China. Another reason is that these Western firms are not standing still, but instead investing huge amounts of capital into Africa’s promising oil and gas sector, outweighing recent investments by Chinese NOCs.

At the same time, while the relative importance of Chinese NOCs in Africa may not be rising rapidly, the absolute amount of their investments certainly is. Chinese oil and gas multinationals have been actively investing in African assets via “farm-ins” of existing consortia, bids on licenses, and the outright acquisitions of smaller global independents. CNOOC, along with France’s Total, purchased in 2012 for $2.9 billion a farm-in of acreage in Uganda’s Lake Albert Rift Basin controlled by Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil. This Tullow-Total-CNOOC partnership will spend between $10
and $12 billion by 2017 to develop around 3.5 billion barrels of oil resources in Uganda and export most of this to international markets. For its part, CNPC spent about $2.5 billion to buy a 20 percent stake in the Usan Field in Nigeria (Block OML 138) in November 2012\textsuperscript{134} and $4.21 billion in March 2013 to farm-in to 20 percent of ENI of Italy’s offshore Mozambique Area 4 gas fields.

Table 15 also provides multiple, recent examples of successful Chinese NOC bids on licenses. For example, in December 2012, the government of Equatorial Guinea granted Hong Kong-registered Xuan Energy a license as operator of newly created Block Y, offshore Rio Muni. Two examples of Chinese acquisitions of smaller independents with African ties are Sinopec’s purchase in 2009 for $7.2 billion of Canada’s Addax Petroleum (which had assets in several African countries) and CNOOC’s $15 billion buy-out in early 2013 of Canada’s Nexen (which had assets in Nigeria including in the Usan Field).\textsuperscript{135} Sinopec is also rumored to be interested in buying some of the Gabon assets of France’s Maurel et Prom, which account for 95 percent of the company’s production, or in making an outright acquisition of the company.\textsuperscript{136} Sinopec was also reported in February 2013 to be in talks with the UK’s Afren about buying about $1 billion in oil assets in Nigeria.\textsuperscript{137}

Is China’s Investment in Africa’s Oil and Gas Sectors Good or Bad?

Is rising Chinese investment in the Africa’s oil and gas sectors good or bad? There certainly can be negatives from Chinese investment in Africa, such as questionable business practices that use bribery to undermine good governance, abusive labor practices that
give preference to Chinese skilled and even unskilled labor, and lax compliance with international environmental norms and local laws. Another concern is the competitive advantage that Chinese companies have because they do not participate in the voluntary standards created by Western governments to foster improved governance, including environmental impacts and respect for human rights. Even for established oil producers like Nigeria, Angola, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, and Congo (Brazzaville), there is a strong presumption that rent-seeking members of these governments have been corrupted by Chinese firms (and other Asian NOCs), which are not bound by OECD anti-corruption measures.¹³⁸

Overall, however, the West should not be overly concerned about China’s investment in Africa’s energy sector. Why? For one, China’s three major oil firms are actually leaders among their national brethren in other sectors in modernizing their business practices. Even China Sonangol, a past poster child for corrupt Chinese business practices in Africa, appears to be making considerable effort to repair the damage of past alleged actions through, e.g., hiring Western CEO Alain Fanaie, the former head of infrastructure and commodities at France’s Credit Agricole,¹³⁹ and making its website, which now prominently touts the firm’s work on corporate social responsibility, more transparent.¹⁴⁰ China’s “big three” oil companies, while partially state-owned enterprises, are also traded on international exchanges, e.g., on the New York Stock Exchange as American Depositary Receipts (ADRs).¹⁴¹ As such, they face certain disclosure requirements under U.S. securities laws. These “big three” oil companies are much more concerned about reputational risk than most other Chinese firms, and this positively influences their behavior in Africa.
The global energy market is also highly competitive, and China’s three oil giants must compete with Western majors from a position of relative technological weakness. While China’s companies are developing oil fields in Africa, for now they are not nearly as technically proficient as Western firms, especially in offshore exploration and production. For these reasons, Chinese oil companies have tended to be followers in Africa, not leaders. Angola is a case in point. For all of the media attention to the way China was able to win certain oil blocks and production “off-take” agreements in Angola, Chinese firms had interests in a mere 12 oil blocks—all only as non-operators. U.S. firms, by contrast, acted as operators of 11 oil blocks in Angola, and were also present as non-operators for five other oil blocks.142

Moreover, China’s controversial use of nonmarket tools such as infrastructure-for-oil deals in Angola may be less unreasonable—and less repeatable in the future—than meets the eye. In Angola, China’s EXIM Bank pledged massive, oil-backed financing of some $14.5 billion for Luanda’s ambitious post-war reconstruction program, including over 100 projects in the areas of energy, water, health, education, telecommunications, fisheries, and public works. One Chinese academic asserted that Chinese government financing of infrastructure in Angola was not linked to deals by Chinese NOCs to win shares in oil blocks, but rather a means for Beijing to secure its loans to the government of Angola.143 This explanation is at least partially correct. “Oil-for-infrastructure” is arguably merely a creative form of project finance that, for Beijing, also has the dual benefit of securing oil supplies. Moreover, this model may not be used again, at least within Angola. Some Angolans have complained that the
infrastructure-for-oil deals with China have shackled their oil revenues to that of repaying Chinese loans.\textsuperscript{144} Angola is also moving beyond the post-reconstruction phase of its history. Consistent with this, the Angolan government has looked to limit its exposure to further oil-backed loans with the Chinese, and the Chinese have not been particularly successful in Luanda in bidding for new oil blocks.

Another often raised concern is that China (and to a lesser extent, India) is somehow trying to negotiate long-term supply contracts in Africa to ensure that it can import oil and gas in the event of a crisis or supply disruption. In the case of oil, this concern is overblown; in the case of natural gas, it is outright misplaced. Other than the special case of post-conflict Angola, China has not cornered a significant amount of African oil at this time through other production off-take agreements, and is unlikely to emphasize this strategy in future deals on the continent.\textsuperscript{145} Yes, there will be further infrastructure deals by China in the future, as there have been in the last 2 years in emerging oil and gas producers in Ghana and Tanzania, but these latest deals have not included oil off-take agreements. At the end of the day, much of the oil extracted by Chinese companies in Africa is not even exported back to China, but instead sold on the world market. Far from “cornering the market,” Chinese energy companies (and those from other emerging countries) will continue to use benign farm-ins to existing energy projects led by Western majors and independents as their primary market-entry strategy. As working partners in these deals, they will continue to be in “learning mode,” familiarizing themselves with the technology needed offshore for deepwater drilling and platform maintenance and skills needed onshore
for regulatory compliance with African host governments. Over time, Chinese firms will increasingly act as lead operators in future deals, but still will not act to corner Africa’s oil or gas markets.

Moreover, concerns about Chinese long-term supply contracts for natural gas are outright misplaced. In the case of natural gas, any long-term contracts supply contracts—assuming that they are arms-length, market-drive transactions not influenced by corruption—should be welcomed, not rejected, by African nations. Why? Any NOC and/or international consortia needs long-term purchase deals to reduce project risk and secure financing because major gas projects require huge capital investments in offshore and onshore infrastructure investments running into the tens of billions of dollars. This is precisely why, in March 2013, Italy’s ENI farmed in China’s CNPC into its Area 4 natural gas project in Mozambique, and why the U.S. firm Anadarko had earlier farmed in Japanese trading company Mitsui: to sew up long-term Asian customers and supply contracts that will make their projects feasible.

**Does Africa Benefit from Chinese Energy Investment?**

Again, from the African perspective, increased Chinese participation in Africa’s energy sector should be seen as a big positive—if on fair, market-based terms. Chinese (and other Asian) players have bid up prices for acreage, brought new risk capital, invested in energy-related infrastructure, and found Chinese (and other Asian) customers for their oil and gas. It is good news from Africa’s point of view that some Western oil industry participants have occasionally
complained about China overbidding for acreage on the continent. Some Western observers have criticized these acquisitions of exploration blocks, asserting that some of them are economically unattractive or even technically unviable to develop.\textsuperscript{146} A more logical explanation for investment by China’s NOCs in Africa is not overbidding, however, but rather that they are behaving as rational economic actors. What may seem like marginal exploration blocks or existing production fields to a Western major with sophisticated risk models, precisely calculated “costs of capital,” and disciplined capital budgeting processes may seem quite profitable to China NOCs, which enjoy lower personnel costs, lower cost of capital due to lending from state-owned banks, and thus lower “hurdle rates” for expected profitable projects.

The West, moreover, should also not be alarmed when Western oil firms sell their assets in Africa to Chinese (or other Asian) energy companies. Western firms, armed with the latest industry technology, are able to redeploy their capital on a global basis to exploit higher returns. At present, their most promising investments are as developers and operators of ultra-deepwater tracts in places like Angola and Ghana. This option is not currently available to Chinese NOCs, who still do not have the technical capacity to develop and operate ultra-deepwater offshore blocks, where much of the new oil and gas in Africa lies. Consequently, for now, Chinese NOCs have contented themselves to farming-in as non-operators in deepwater blocks and to bidding on onshore and shallower offshore blocks as operators. For example, in November 2011, China’s Sinopec bought an 80 percent stake in Shell Oil’s Cameroon subsidiary, with the 20 percent remainder owned by NOC SNH. This gave China
stakes in 12 offshore blocks in Cameroon. For its part, Shell will redeploy the capital from this sale within its global business to locations with a higher expected rate of return or return the capital to its shareholders.

**China and Rest of Asia: Biggest Winners from African Energy Expansion.**

Aside from the *microeconomic* issue of the degree of involvement of Chinese NOCs in Africa’s oil and gas sectors is the broader *macroeconomic* issue of how important Africa has been as the source continent for China’s imported oil and gas. As noted previously, Africa was the source of 33 percent of China’s oil imports in 2010, and this figure is likely to rise in the future. Even with the growth of its domestic *natural gas* production from shale and other nonconventional sources, China will still require over 130 bcm of natural gas imports by 2030. This is because while China’s shale gas growth will accelerate after 2020, China’s gas demand will increase from just over 150 bcm at present to more than 600 bcm in 2030. While much of China’s imported natural gas may come from Russia, Turkmenistan, Australia, and even North America, Chinese buyers will also seek diversified sources of natural gas from Africa, including from Gulf of Guinea countries such as Angola and Nigeria on the Atlantic side of the continent.

This monograph asserts that there has been a loose, inverse correlation between Africa’s declining importance for U.S. energy security and its increasing importance for China. China and the rest of Asia have benefited *indirectly* but significantly from the increased supplies of shale oil and gas in the United States in the form of lower global prices, and will ben-
efit directly the most from increased oil and gas supplies from Africa in the coming years. The changing energy security picture in North America has meant that new LNG projects originally targeting the U.S. market, such as that of Chevron in Angola, will be looking for Asian customers, including in China. IOC consortia leading natural gas projects in Mozambique and Tanzania have already started to accept Chinese and other Asian equity investment and are trying to lock in Chinese and other Asian customers. CNPC’s $4.21 billion farm-in of 20 percent of ENI of Italy’s offshore Mozambique Area 4 gas fields was the first such deal for China. Others will follow in the future.

One could argue that Japan will be the biggest beneficiary in Asia of these trends, because while its economy is only slightly smaller than that of China, its dependence on oil and gas imports is far higher. The author believes, however, that China will benefit even more over time than Japan from African energy because China’s economy will likely continue to grow much faster than that of Japan and, as noted previously, China’s dependence on energy imports will continue to increase both in absolute terms and relative to domestic supplies. Also, from a strategic point of view, the energy security of China’s superpower rival in the 21st century, the United States, has increased dramatically because of the shale oil and gas boom, and will move toward relative energy independence as North American supplies increase. When both China and the United States were big energy importers, they both felt vulnerable. In a few years, however, when the United States is more energy secure, China’s own energy insecurity will be felt even more acutely by Beijing because its perceived main rival is more secure. This is particularly so because
the PRC has an autocratic government that is proud of its country’s development, but also highly insecure and distrustful of the United States.\footnote{148} In this context, Africa will be not only a source of natural resources for China, but also an increasingly important source of \textit{strategic} resources—oil and gas.

\section*{VII. AFRICAN ENERGY AND CHINA’S EMERGING TWO-OCEAN MILITARY STRATEGY}

One academic team discussing African energy has asserted:

\begin{quote}
In the strategic policy communities of both the United States and China, there has been a knee-jerk blurring between competition in commerce between U.S. and Chinese energy \textit{firms} and the potential for strategic competition by one \textit{country} to deny resources to the other.\footnote{149} (emphasis added)
\end{quote}

Up to here, much of the author’s research in this monograph has been presented largely in terms of the competition and cooperation between IOCs and NOCs—i.e., private and state-owned \textit{companies}—from the United States, China, and other nations for exploration and production assets and licenses on the African continent. The strategic, country-level effort by China to secure its energy imports from Africa (and the Middle East) will now be examined.

An October 2012 Chatham House study referred to the oil trade with “Middle East exporters,” but more accurately should have substituted this language with “\textit{African and} Middle East exporters”: 

The axis of the oil market is shifting from the trade between the Middle East exporters and U.S. and European importers to one that links Asian developing markets to the Middle East, which no longer has sufficient oil to support these markets’ growing needs.

With this shift, the study then posed the questions:

Who will carry responsibility for the physical security of Middle East oil export now that these mostly go to Asian markets rather than the US or Europe? 

The short answer is, in the short- and medium-term, the U.S. Navy will continue to protect the global commons around the Middle East (and Africa) for the benefit of the United States, its allies, and other non-ally countries that “free ride” on the security, such as China. However, that academic team also wrote that while:

At present . . . China has to depend on the United States and its allies to guarantee security of the main international shipping lanes and major ‘choke points’ from the Middle East through which so much of its imported oil comes. . . . Beijing is attempting to reduce this dependence on United States military power by increasing access to oil and gas imports from other regions, developing alternative routes and increasing its naval capacity. 

Alternative land routes that China is developing to import African and Middle East oil and gas have been collectively dubbed the “New Silk Road.” This refers to China’s efforts to help construct a modern grid of overland pipelines, roads, and railways from China to Central Asia and the Middle East so that a portion of its energy supplies can circumvent naval
chokepoints and potential blockades or embargoes.\textsuperscript{152} Other maritime-and-land routes contemplated or under construction include an oil pipeline from Gwadar, Pakistan, to Xinjiang in western China (not likely due to political instability),\textsuperscript{153} an oil pipeline from the Bay of Bengal in Burma to China’s Yunnan Province (gas pipeline opened May 2013, an oil pipeline opening in 2014, road/rail links opening later),\textsuperscript{154} and a $20 billion or more canal or land bridge across the Isthmus of Kra in Thailand (feasible, but still in conceptual stage).

Over the longer term, a rising, wealthy, nationalistic and assertive China will also seek to increase its naval capabilities to secure its ever increasing energy imports from Africa and the Middle East and keep the Chinese Communist Party in power. Beijing’s April 2013 Defense White Paper was quite clear on the link-age between its navy and energy security: “It is an essential national development strategy to . . . build China into a maritime power . . . Security issues are increasingly prominent, involving overseas energy and resources . . .”\textsuperscript{155}

China has already been modernizing its navy and shifting its strategy from a “coastal defense” to a “far seas defense.”\textsuperscript{156} Military strategists have noted that China has developed a “two island chain” military doctrine in East Asia focused on its claims on Taiwan and the South China Sea. (See Map 1.) An ongoing evolution in this doctrine emphasizes not only the two island chains in the Pacific, but a “two oceans” strategy that includes the Indian Ocean.\textsuperscript{157} The rising importance of the Indian Ocean in China’s views of its national security derives from Beijing’s increasing concern about: 1) transportation chokepoints for energy and other trade in the Straits of Hormuz, Mandeb, and Malacca; and, 2) the rise of India, with which
it has had repeated border clashes. The “Malacca Dilemma”\textsuperscript{158} refers to the fact that roughly 80-85 percent of China’s oil imports traverse this key strait.\textsuperscript{159} In its worst-case-scenario, Beijing is concerned about the risk of a U.S. naval blockade of China’s energy imports should hostilities break out over Taiwan (or, even less likely, over the Senkaku/Diaoyutai Islands with Japan).\textsuperscript{160} Furthermore, Washington’s increasing energy security will make Beijing’s own increasing energy insecurity be felt even more acutely, pushing the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) to accelerate adoption of a “two ocean” military strategy that includes an enduring presence in the Indian Ocean as well as the Pacific.


Map 1. Two Island Chains in Military Doctrine of Peoples Republic of China.
What form will this “enduring presence” take? It is already clear that China is interested in building a regional support network of refueling and resupply ports in the Indian Ocean, sometimes referred to as a “string-of-pearls” strategy. This includes South Asian ports in littoral countries such as Pakistan (where Beijing has aided in constructing major port improvements at Gwadar), Sri Lanka (at Hambantota), Bangladesh (at Chittagong), and Burma, but also African ports, such as the Red Sea’s Port Sudan in Sudan, Djibouti, at the Strait of Mandeb, and the Seychelles, with which Beijing engaged in talks in December 2011 to establish a resupply facility, ostensibly to fight piracy. There is also debate within China about whether to establish military bases overseas with infrastructure in the Indian Ocean and permanently stationed personnel. While some argue that China is unlikely to seek to establish a regional base network in the Indian Ocean, given the PRC’s self-imposed policy against the “imperialist” basing of military forces in foreign countries, others (including the author) assert that this appears inevitable given China’s energy needs. In support of the latter viewpoint, one academic recently argued that:

While the Chinese government continues to proclaim its opposition to foreign bases, its practical actions and the words of its longest serving commander and the father of the modern [Chinese Navy] indicate otherwise.

The author’s own view is that China’s recent participation in anti-piracy operations in Horn of Africa, in due time, will be recognized as a historical stepping stone toward a more active, permanent Chinese naval presence in the Indian Ocean. (See Map 2.) This
presence may eventually include a naval base in close ally (and India arch-rival) Pakistan, but would mainly be comprised of a network of loose resupply arrangements in the Indian Ocean (including the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden) under the cover of supplying partner nations with either overall bilateral development assistance and/or more explicitly defense cooperation. In the future, these cooperative arrangements could be concluded with Sudan, Eritrea, Djibouti, the Seychelles, and Tanzania, for example. A January 2013 article in a Chinese state-run newspaper advised against building U.S.-style military bases, but advocated the establishment of a number of so-called “Overseas Strategic Support Bases.” According to an English-language translation, the article indicated that:

In the future, the Chinese Navy will establish its first batch of support bases in [the] Indian Ocean. . . . These bases can be divided into three levels: First ship fuel and material supply bases in peacetime such as the Port of Djibouti, Aden port of Yemen, and Salalah Port of Oman . . . the second is relatively fixed supply bases for warship berthing, fixed-wing reconnaissance aircraft, and the naval staff ashore rest, such as the ports of [the] Seychelles. China can build those bases by sign[ing] a short-term or medium-term agreement with [the] Seychelles; the third [are] fully-functional center[s] for replenishment, rest, and large warship weapons maintenance such as in Pakistan under medium- and long-term agreements.¹⁶⁴
Whatever form it takes, over the long term, Beijing seems destined to develop a more muscular security cooperation policy vis-à-vis the Indian Ocean to ensure the security of its energy imports from the Middle East, East Africa, and the Gulf of Guinea in West Africa. While likely not welcomed by Washington or New Delhi, such a naval presence would not necessarily be inimical to U.S. or Indian interests, and could
actually contribute to the protection of the global commons. This would particularly be the case if, some would say optimistically, China’s peaceful rise really does lead it to be more of a responsible stakeholder in global prosperity. Some academics also argue that China, the United States, and India should work together in a “strategic triangle” to “preclude rivalry and promote collaboration in the Indian Ocean” or seek “a peaceful transition from American unipolarity at sea . . . and towards an American-Indian-Chinese condominium of sorts.”
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APPENDIX I
AFRICAN OIL AND GAS EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION—COUNTRY PROFILES LISTED ALPHABETICALLY

Algeria.

Depending on information source, in 2010, Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) member Algeria was either the world’s 8th or 10th largest producer of natural gas and 16th largest producer of crude oil. The country accounted for 2.5 percent and 2.0 percent of world natural gas and crude oil output, respectively. In 2010, Algeria was Africa’s leading producer of natural gas and third or fourth-ranked producer of crude oil after Nigeria and Angola, and ahead or behind of Libya, depending on information source. Algeria held 159 trillion cubic meters (tcm) of proven natural gas reserves, 2.4 percent of the world’s total, the 10th largest reserves in the world, and second largest in Africa after Nigeria. Algeria had 12.2 billion barrels of proven crude oil reserves, or 0.9 percent of the world’s total. Reserves were the third largest in Africa behind Libya (47.1 billion barrels of oil [bbbl] and Nigeria (37.2 bbbl). In 2011, Algeria’s crude oil exports were 750,000 barrels per day (bpd), of which 40.5 percent went to North America, mainly to the United States.

Of Algeria’s current hydrocarbon output, 75 percent comes from two fields: Hassi Messaoud and Hassi R’Mel. Algeria’s NOC, Sonatrach, was responsible for 72 percent of hydrocarbon output; international oil companies (IOCs) working under Production Sharing Agreements (PSAs) were responsible for the remainder. These IOCs included U.S. firm Anadarko
(the largest foreign producer, with 500,000 bpd), a number of European IOCs, and other emerging market NOCs, including China’s CNOOC, CNPC, Russia’s Gazprom, and Thailand’s PTTEP. The U.S. firm ConocoPhillips announced in December 2012 that it would sell its Algerian operations to Indonesia NOC Pertamina for $1.75 billion.

Algeria’s unfavorable 2005 hydrocarbon law and a 2006 presidential decree have dampened the enthusiasm among IOCs for investment in the country’s oil and gas sector, contributing to a 20 percent drop in hydrocarbon production over the last 5 years. Because of meager interest, the Algerian government only awarded three of 10 permits offered in a 2010 round, including an award to Spain’s Repsol, and two of 10 in another round in 2011, with only France’s Total a winner among international majors. In January 2013, Algeria’s parliament approved amendments to the law that cancelled a windfall tax on foreign firms and replaced it by a “complementary tax on results.” It also offered incentives to foreign firms to invest in unconventional resources. The government announced that it plans a new round of bidding on permits in 2013, following the adoption of the new hydrocarbon amendments. Some observers, however, remain pessimistic that these amendments will be able to turn around declining foreign investment and production because of regulatory instability and Algeria’s low ranking—148 out of 183 nations—in the World Bank’s “Doing Business” index. A number of fields operated by Sonatrach are in steep decline, including Hassi Berine South (with Anadarko) and Rhourde Oulad Jemmah (with Australia’s BHP Billiton).

Another serious problem is that Algeria’s domestic demand for oil and gas is consuming an increas-
ing proportion of production. Domestic oil consumption rose from 26 percent of production in 2005 to 40 percent in 2010; domestic consumption of natural gas rose from 19 percent of production to 29 percent. A 1.5 percent annual increase in population, a rapid increase in the number of cars, and artificially low domestic prices were all factors behind this increased domestic demand. Without increased investment, these trends led a Chatham House study to warn that Algeria would have no oil available to export after 2023; a more recent study put this date between 2018 and 2020. Attracting foreign investment is thus a critically important issue for the Algerian government, which depended on the hydrocarbon sector accounting for 36 percent of the gross domestic product (GDP), 60 percent of budget revenues, and over 97 percent export earnings in 2010.

Nevertheless, other observers are more optimistic, noting new production projects. Sonatrach made 27 oil and gas discoveries in 2010, while Gazprom and Germany’s Ruhrgas made one discovery each. Thirteen discoveries were in the Berkine Basin in eastern Algeria, 10 in the Illizi Basin, 4 in the central region of the Amguid Massaoud Basin, and 2 in the Oued Mya Basin. At the end of 2012, Repsol announced a new gas find at the Tihalatine South well in the Illizi Basin, a new find at the Sud-Est Illizi block in April 2013, and plans four new wells. Repsol also has a major presence in Tinfouye, Tabenkort, and Tifernine. The Menzel Ledjmet East project led by Italy’s ENI started production in February 2013. The UK’s BP and Norway’s Statoil have a joint venture in two fields, including Salah Gas, which is expected to start production later in 2013. The U.S. firm Hess (49 percent partner with Sonatrach) is investing in the Gassi El Agreb
Redevelopment Project to boost production in three long-standing oil fields. Sonatrach is also banking on production of 100,000 bpd at the El Merk oil hub (with partner Anadarko and where production started in May 2013); on Bir Seba (PetroVietnam, 36,000 bpd); on Mezel Ledjmet (formerly ConocoPhillips, 300,000 bpd); and on the Illizi Basin in the southeast (Russia’s Rosneft, 38,000 bpd).

China’s CNOOC, along with partner Sonatrach and Thailand’s PTTEP, successfully discovered crude oil in September 2012 in the fourth and fifth exploration wells in the Hassi Bir Rekaiz project. China’s CNPC has acquired the exploration licenses for Blocks 102a/112 in the Chelif Basin in northern Algeria (75 percent operator; 25 percent Sonatrach), Block 350 of the northwestern Oued Mya Basin in the north of the Sahara Desert (75 percent operator; 25 percent Sonatrach), and Block 438b, also in the Oued Mya Basin (100 percent).

The Algerian government which has a 5-year, 2012-16 plan to invest $80 billion in its energy sector, has been constructing new liquefied natural gas (LNG) facilities and intends to increase its exports of natural gas to 100 bcm by 2015, up 60 percent from 2012 levels. Algeria is in the process of developing its Southwest Gas Project, which includes the 102 billion cubic feet per year (bcf/y) Reggane Nord fields led by Spain’s Repsol (expected production of 8 million cubic meters per day (m3/d) by mid-2016), the 56 bcf/y Timimoun project led by France’s Total (with production starting in 2016), and the 159 bcf/y Touat project led by France’s GDF Suez.

A Russian joint venture of Rosneft and Stroytransfaz (jointly holding 60 percent, with Sonatrach holding 40 percent) operates Block 245-S in southern Algeria. The partners are delineating the reservoir at
three previously made discoveries in east and west Takazet and north Tisselit.35

**Angola.**

Although Angola became an OPEC member in 1997, it has not always followed the organization’s production demands. In 2009, for example, Angola’s OPEC production target was 1.51 million bpd, but the country actually produced 1.8 million bpd. Technical and maintenance problems have plagued some of Angola’s deepwater fields for years and will continue to limit Angola’s crude oil production in the short term. Nonetheless, the International Energy Agency (IEA) still anticipates Angolan crude oil output to increase gradually over the next 2 years as new deepwater production more than offsets chronic maintenance-related declines.36 The government, which depended on oil exports for 98 percent of government revenues in 2011, plans to boost oil and natural gas production over the coming decade.37 Crude oil accounted for 46 percent of Angola’s GDP and 94 percent of exports in 2011. The United States and China are Angola’s main markets for crude oil.38

**Crude Oil.**

Angola had proved reserves of 9.5 billion barrels of crude oil, the second-largest in sub-Saharan Africa after Nigeria and 18th in the world. Recent exploration suggests that Angola’s reserves may be larger than originally estimated, and foreign investors are also beginning to consider that some onshore opportunities may be economically viable. BP put Angola’s recoverable reserves at 13.5 billion barrels at the end of 2011.39
LNG.

Angola had proved reserves of natural gas of 10.95 trillion cubic feet (tcf), the fifth-largest in Africa. While 91 percent of Angolan natural gas was re-injected into the country’s oil fields in 2011 to aid recovery—or simply flared off—Angola is making efforts to produce and market its natural gas, starting with the Chevron-led Soyo plant, which has a capacity of 5.2 million tons per year of LNG, with start-up planned for June 2013. While gas production had originally been scheduled for shipment to Mississippi, the shale gas boom in the United States has made this unfavorable, and Angola is now targeting consumers in Europe and Asia.

Angola has three main sedimentary basins: the Congo to the north, also straddling offshore and onshore the coasts of the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) and Congo (Brazzaville); Kwanza, straddling the central coastal region; and Namibe, straddling the coast in the south and extending into Namibia. All three basins have the pre-requisites for hydrocarbons, but Namibe has not yet yielded them in commercial quantities. In 1955, the first onshore commercial discovery was made at the Benfica Field in the Kwanza Basin. In 1966, the first offshore commercial discovery was made at the Limba Field in the Lower Congo Basin. During much of the 27-year civil war starting at independence from Portugal in 1975 and ending in 2002, exploration activity onshore was restricted. However, deepwater exploration began in 1993, leading in 1996 to the first deepwater commercial discovery, the huge Girassol Field in the Lower Congo Basin.
Successful exploration in Angola’s pre-salt formations continues to drive optimistic oil production forecasts for the country. Recently, there have been new discoveries made on several oil fields in Angola, including at offshore fields Greater Plutonia, PSVM, Girassol, Pazflor, CLOV, Chissonga, and onshore in Cabinda South. Oil exploration and production in Angola mainly occur offshore, divided into three bands: Band A, with shallow water Blocks 0-13; Band B with deepwater Blocks 14 to 30; and Band C with ultra-deepwater Blocks 31-40. For example, Italy’s ENI made its ninth discovery in deepwater Block 15/06 in March 2013. Oil majors spent $3.55 billion acquiring acreage in offshore Kwanza Basin in 2011. Spain’s Repsol has 15 licenses, three of which were awarded in December 2011 for Kwanza. This basin is said to hold the most potential because, 122 million years ago, it adjoined the Campos Basin, which is Brazil’s largest petroleum province.

Angola will remain the top destination in Africa and the Middle East for offshore drilling over the next few years. One consultancy estimated that expenditures on offshore drilling would rise to $6.67 billion in 2016, with Nigeria and Egypt a distant second and third at $2.26 billion and $1.52 billion respectively. Although crude oil production in Angola slipped to 1.79 million bpd in 2011, the government is targeting 2 million bpd production levels by 2014.

The large majority of current production is made offshore by three majors: France’s Total, with 648,200 operated bpd, U.S. firm Chevron with 434,000 operated bpd, and ExxonMobil with 394,300 operated bpd. Total’s production mainly comes from Blocks 0 (offshore Cabinda) and Block 17 (which has three producing zones, as well as Girassol, Dali, and Paz-
flo. Another field, CLOV, is expected to start in 2014 and reach production of 160,000 bpd. For Block 17, Total is operator with a 40 percent share along with Norway’s Statoil (23.33 percent), ExxonMobil (20 percent), and BP (16.67 percent).

Chevron operates Block 0 in the Congo Basin in Cabinda, where the mighty Congo River deposited huge amounts of sediment along with vegetative matter that eventually turned into oil over geological time. Although some offshore fields in Cabinda enclave are aging, others are coming online. In February 2013, Chevron approved the $5.6 billion Mafumeira Sul project in Block 0 (Cabinda), including 50 wells and 75 miles of subsea pipelines. The project, for which Chevron as operator will have a 39.2 percent share and partner with Sonagol, Total, and ENI, is expected to see first oil in 2015 and build toward peak total daily production of 110,000 bpd and 10,000 barrels of liquid petroleum gas (LPG) commercialized through the Angola LNG plant in Soyo. Mafumeira Norte, the initial, northern development of this field, achieved first oil in 2009 and currently produces more than 40,000 bpd. Other than at Block 0, Chevron has three other concessions in Angola: Block 2 offshore northwest Angola, Block 14 in deepwater, and onshore Fina Sonagol Texaco.

As noted earlier, China’s Sinopec and CNPC hold non-operator shares in several offshore blocks in Angola, the most important of which may be joint venture China Sonangol’s 27.5 percent share in Block 17/06 and 20 percent share in Block 32. China Sonangol also has non-operator interests in six other oil blocks in Angola (3/05, 3/05A, 15/06, 18/06, 18, and 31). U.S. firm Marathon owns a 10 percent interest in Blocks 31 and 32. U.S. firm ConocoPhillips acquired, in Janu-
ary 2012, 30 percent operator interests in two deep-water offshore licenses for Blocks 36 (with partners Sonagol, 50 percent, and China Sonagol, 20 percent) and 37 (partners Sonangol 50 percent and Spain’s Repsol 20 percent). ConocoPhillips expects first drilling in these blocks in 2013-14.63

A number of other smaller IOCs are also active in Angola. Denmark’s Maersk Oil made a discovery in offshore Congo Basin Block 16 in September 2012.64 U.S. firm Cobalt International has sought pre-salt properties in West Africa, and has acquired operator rights to Angola’s offshore blocks nearshore 9, and deepwater 20 and 21.65 Cobalt has a four-well exploratory well program starting in the Lontra Prospect in Block 20,66 with the first well to spud in 2013 2Q. Cobalt’s two exploratory wells in Block 21 confirmed the presence of a mound reservoir, but failed to flow oil.67

Onshore.

The government plans to open another licensing round in 2013 for the country’s onshore blocks in the pre-salt Kwanza Basin68 and the Lower Congo Basin.69 Fifteen blocks will reportedly be offered, all located within close distance of the Atlantic shore rather than far into the interior. Most of Angola’s earliest oil discoveries were made onshore in the 1950s, but remained lightly explored due to security concerns that decades later are finally receding. At present, Italy’s ENI plans to drill two exploration wells in Cabinda’s onshore North block starting in mid-2013.70
In March 2012, Angola and Congo (Brazzaville) signed an agreement to jointly exploit the Lianzi cross-border oil field on their mutual border and share profits equally. Following this, Chevron announced in July 2012 that it would proceed with five partners, including Angola and Congo National Oil Companies (NOCs), in the development of the Lianzi Field, via a tieback to its existing BBLT platform in Angola’s Block 14. The boundary dispute with the DRC is more intractable, but observers hope the Lianzi deal will inspire an agreement on shared development. In September 2012, the DRC Minister of Hydrocarbons predicted that the two countries would come to an agreement over the so-called Common Interest Zone in 6 months, but that shared production of any resources was still some time off.

**Benin.**

Benin’s estimated oil and gas reserves are a modest 4-8 million barrels, and current interest by Western majors remains limited. Sillenger Exploration, which has a contract with Benin’s government to attract foreign investment in natural resources, estimated in September 2011 that Benin had five billion barrels of oil—i.e., roughly 1,000 times greater than proven reserves. Benin’s offshore sedimentary basin, also known as the Dahomey Basin, is part of the larger Transform Margin of the Gulf of Guinea extending from the Keta Basin in eastern offshore Ghana eastward through Togo and Benin to the Mont Okitipupa Basin in Nigeria. The Dahomey Basin in Benin also
includes the Hihon-1 and Fifa-1 discoveries (drilled by Kerr-McGee, which was later acquired by Anadarko).

Benin’s government has designated 12 offshore blocks, but the only producing field, Seme, in Block 1, produced a maximum of 8,000 bpd in 1982 tapered down to 1,900 bpd,\textsuperscript{77} and was closed and restarted by multiple operators.\textsuperscript{78} The latest of these operators is Nigeria’s South Atlantic Petroleum (SAPETRO), which plans to drill three production wells in 2013 4Q to produce a peak of 6,000 bpd and drain the field.\textsuperscript{79} Addax Petroleum, a Canadian subsidiary of China’s Sinopec, has a 50 percent interest in Block 1.\textsuperscript{80} The UK’s Century International is attempting to farm out its interest in Block 2, citing estimates of four billion bbls OOIP in the Gbenonko East and West prospects.\textsuperscript{81} In 2005, the Korea National Oil Corporation had interests in two offshore blocks and drilled in Block 2. South Africa’s Signet acquired a 90 percent interest in Block 3 in 2011.\textsuperscript{82} Brazil’s Petrobras acquired in 2011 a 50 percent share of offshore block 4; the remainder is owned by Brazil’s Lusitania Petroleum. If 3D seismic confirms potential, this Brazilian joint venture plans three exploratory wells.\textsuperscript{83} After commissioning 3D seismic data in 2011, Nigeria’s Oranto is seeking an experienced deepwater operator to farm-in part of its 100 percent interest in deepwater Blocks 5 and 6. Oranto also has a 100 percent interest in Block 8 which, like Blocks 5 and 6, it acquired after direct negotiations with the Benin government. Onshore, a tar belt in Benin’s coastal region has been sourced to an offshore source of hydrocarbons, but is apparently not being actively explored.\textsuperscript{84}
Botswana.

Botswana has an unknown quantity of natural gas deposits in the Kalahari Karoo Basin and the Kgalagadi (Kalahari) Desert. Current exploration efforts largely focus on coal-bed methane. A joint venture between Australia’s Origin Energy and South Africa’s Sasol began in June 2012 the first part of a three-year program to explore for coal-bed methane in three licensed areas. The program also included an extensive drilling program. Origin’s CEO Grant King said that “The delineation of a large [coal-bed methane] resource in Botswana could be used for power generation and liquid fuels production in southern Africa.”

A second firm, Australia’s Sunbird Energy, has eight permits for exploration in the Kalahari Karoo Basin in northern Botswana, approximately 100 kilometers (km) south of the Wankie coalfield in Zimbabwe. The firm planned an aeromagnetic survey in 2012 followed by a two core-hole drilling program. Australian junior Tlou Energy set a stock listing in February 2013 to raise funds to a coal-bed methane appraisal program in Botswana. Australia’s Magnum Gas & Power has prospecting licenses in the Nata region over the Ngwasha Basin and the Central Project over the Mmashoro Basin. (See also sections on Mozambique, Namibia, South Africa, and Zimbabwe for more on coal-bed methane in southern Africa.)

Some oil exploration companies have started to make bets that the East Africa Rift System has a branch that travels through Zambia, Botswana, and northeastern Namibia. South Africa’s SacOil obtained three petroleum prospecting permits in Botswana, and Magnum Gas & Power has a petroleum and natural gas exploration license in the Ngamiland and Central districts.
Burkina Faso.

There are no known hydrocarbons in Burkina Faso.

Burundi.

Burundi’s Minister of Energy and Mines, Come Manirakiza, announced in September 2012 that hydrocarbons had been found in his nation based on “geochemical and petrochemical analyses of surface samples taken from Lake Tanganyika and the Rusizi plain.” Burundi has designated four blocks for oil exploration: onshore Block A in the Rusizu Basin, attributed to Nigeria’s A-Z Petroleum, and Blocks B-D running north-to-south in Burundian territorial waters on Lake Tanganyika. Blocks B and D are held by the UK’s Surestream Petroleum, while Block C is held by Nigeria’s Minergy, which in turn is 87.5 percent owned by South Africa’s Signet Petroleum. Burundi’s blocks are located in the western branch of the Tanganyika Graben that, in turn, is part of the larger East African Rift System.

Aeromagnetic studies in 1982 suggested that Burundi may have hydrocarbon resources. Some experts view Lake Tanganyika’s geology as analogous to the tertiary rift system at Lake Albert to the north between DRC and Uganda, where large oil discoveries have been made. Surestream plans to complete seismic studies in 2014-15, with drilling carried out afterwards.

Cameroon.

Cameroon has two main basins with proven hydrocarbons:
• offshore Rio de Rey, between the northwest edge of Cameroon and Equatorial Guinea’s Bioko Island to the south, where 89 percent of commercial oil deposits are located; and
• offshore and onshore in Douala/Kribi-Campo in the southwestern coast, where 11 percent of oil deposits are located.98

Rio del Rey is part of the southern margin of the larger Niger Delta Basin.99 Two other basins with possible hydrocarbons are onshore: the Mamfe Basin in the southwest,100 and the Logone-Birni Basin in northeast.101 There has been significant, recent exploration in both the Rio del Rey and the Douala/Kribi-Campo Basin, with discoveries made of oil and/or gas/condensate.102 In 2010, Cameroon’s proven oil reserves were a modest 200 million barrels; its proven natural gas reserves were 4.77 tcf.103

While Cameroon’s oil production has been declining since 1985, when production peaked at 181,000 bpd,104 the government announced in January 2012 that it expected production would rise about 59 percent by the end of 2012 because of the development of new fields. The Cameroon government also launched in January 2013 a new licensing round for five onshore and offshore blocks in three areas: Lungahe, Bomana, and Dissoni in the Rio del Rey Basin, Kombe-Nsepe in the Douala/Kribi-Campo Basin, and Manyu in the Mamfe Basin.105

A major player in Cameroon is Anglo-French Perenco, which purchased the local upstream assets of France’s Total in 2011. At offshore Ebome Marine, Perenco has offset the natural decline of these fields by an aggressive program of satellite developments. Similarly, Perenco is optimizing production at its Moudi
field with an active work-over program with ESP, gas lift, and sand control. Perenco is moving forward with its plans to develop the Dissoni Nord discovery made in 2005 and expects to stabilize operated production at 40,000 bpd\(^{106}\)—a large fraction of Cameroon’s average production of 63,000 bpd in 2012.\(^{107}\) The firm is also pursuing an ambitious exploration program in Kombe-Nsepe.\(^{108}\)

China’s Sinopec, through its Addax subsidiary, has a 37.5 percent share in Dissoni. Addax is also the 100 percent operator of the Iroko license area, located approximately 30 km offshore, the 60 percent operator of the Ngosso license area in Rio del Rey Basin, and the 40 percent operator in Lokele’s Mokoko Abana Field. The firm also has 24.5 to 32.25 percent shares in eight fields in Lokele (2) and Rio del Ray (6) operated by Perenco.\(^{109}\) China’s Sinopec bought in November 2011 an 80 percent stake in Shell Oil’s Cameroon subsidiary, with the 20 percent remainder owned by NOC SNH. This gave China stakes in 12 offshore blocks in Cameroon.\(^{110}\)

The UK’s Bowleven, acting as operator with a 75 percent share, and Vitol, a Dutch oil trader with a 25 percent share, have PSCs for three shallow offshore blocks, MLHP 5, 6, and 7, collectively known as the Etinde Permit, running northwest to southeast along the coast between the Rio del Rey and Douala Basins and bordering Equatorial Guinea’s Bioko Island. From January 2012\(^{111}\) to February 2013,\(^{112}\) Bowleven made a series of commercial discoveries during well drilling in MLPH 5 and 7.\(^{113}\) Bowleven also has 100 percent shares in OLHP 1 and 2, two onshore blocks near Mt. Cameroon in the Bomono Permit Area, which is an onshore extension of the Douala Basin,\(^{114}\) and was preparing for a first exploratory well in early-2013.
In March 2012, Bowleven was also engaged in discussions with the Cameroon government about the construction of a fertilizer plant using natural gas. Switzerland’s Glencore is the 90 percent operator of the Matanda offshore block and plans two exploratory wells for 2013. (Bermuda-based AFEX owns the remaining 10 percent.) Vietnam NOC PetroVietnam has a license for the Bomana offshore block.

In 2013 2Q, the U.S. firm Kosmos drilled its SIPO-1 exploratory well in the Liwenyi Prospect in its 100 percent operated Ndian River Block, which is part of the Niger River Delta abutting the border with Nigeria to the north and bordering the Rio del Rey Basin to the south. However, the firm found evidence of a working petroleum system, but did not encounter commercial reservoirs. The company’s second 100 percent operated onshore block, Fako, borders to the southeast of the Ndian River block and straddles the Rio del Rey and Douala/Kribi-Campo Basins. Kosmos acquired the second block in 2012 and will carry out a six-year program including one exploratory well.

The U.S. firm Murphy Oil has operator interests in two offshore blocks, 100 percent in Mer Profonde Nord and 50 percent in Mer Profonde Sud, the latter with the UK’s Sterling Energy holding the remaining 50 percent. Murphy drilled an unsuccessful well in Mer Profonde Nord in November 2012, but plans to drill up to two more wells in 2013. In 2011, Murphy also purchased a 50 percent operator interest from Sterling for the Ntem deepwater concession in the Southern Douala/Rio Muni Basin adjacent to the northern maritime border of the Rio Muni province of Equatorial Guinea. (Sterling retains the remaining 50 percent share.) Operations in the Ntem concession are currently suspended under the force majeure pro-
visions of the license owing to an overlapping maritime border claim between Cameroon and Equatorial Guinea, but both countries are working to resolve their dispute.\textsuperscript{123}

The U.S. firm Noble Energy has 50 percent operator interests in the offshore YoYo mining concession and Tilapia exploration block,\textsuperscript{124} both in the Douala/Kribi-Campo Basin bordering on the coast to the east and Equatorial Guinea’s maritime border to the west. (Malaysia’s Petronas holds the remaining 50 percent interest.\textsuperscript{125}) Noble said these Douala Basin interests gave it “upside potential;”\textsuperscript{126} nevertheless the firm drilled a dry hole in October 2012 in Tilapia.\textsuperscript{127}

\textit{Exploration in Contested Bakassi.}

Dana Petroleum, a subsidiary of the Korean National Oil Company (KNOC), is 55 percent share operator of the Bakassi West Block in the northern Rio Del Rey Basin, partnering with two Canadian firms, Madison Petrogas (35 percent share) and SoftRock Oil and Gas (10 percent).\textsuperscript{128} The Bakassi region is considered to be rich in oil and gas, but has had no modern exploration due to a past territorial dispute with Nigeria, which was settled in Cameroon’s favor by internationally sponsored treaties in 2002 and 2006.\textsuperscript{129} As part of the reconciliation between the two countries, the UN Special Envoy for West Africa, the Algerian Said Djininit, in 2011 called on both countries to jointly develop Bakassi’s oil reserves. A senior Nigerian official called on China’s Addax Petroleum to play a leading role in joint development since it already operates in both Nigeria and Cameroon,\textsuperscript{130} but it remains to be seen how interested Cameroon is in joint development.
Onshore Northeast Cameroon.

China’s Yang Chang Logone Development Holding Co. Ltd. acquired two blocks, Makary near Lake Chad, and Zina, about 20 km north of the Waza Park. In January 2013, this Chinese firm temporarily suspended its exploration operations in the blocks, which flank Chad’s southern oil wells in Doba, after devastating floods.

Monetizing Natural Gas.

Gas reserves in Cameroon are substantial, but had not been exploited previously because of a limited market. The Cameroon government, eager to monetize its 3.5 tcf in technical gas reserves, began a feasibility study for an LNG plant in 2009, with Gaz de France Suez, whose President predicted that Cameroon could become an important actor in commercial natural gas by 2018. The plant, which would be located 30 km south of Kribi and connected to the national gas pipeline network, would have an annual capacity of 3.5 million tons for its first train and use natural gas that is currently flared. The Cameroon government also wishes to build a second LNG plant at Douala. Perenco signed Cameroon’s first gas sales agreement, in which its offshore Sanaga field will supply gas to an onshore power plant. The United Kingdom’s (UK) Victoria Oil and Gas is working to commercialize the natural gas reserves on its Logbaba permit by signing approximately 20 gas sales agreements with industrial customers in Douala, including breweries and food processing plants, and anticipates daily sales volumes reaching 12 million standard cubic feet per day (mmscf/d) by December 2013, with an estimate
of total gas demand in excess of 100 mmScf/d within 5 years.\textsuperscript{139}

*Chad-Cameroon Pipeline.*

Chad and Cameroon have been exporting oil from the Doba crude oil fields in southern Chad via a 1,000-km pipeline through neighboring Cameroon to the port of Kribi, on the Gulf of Guinea. The pipeline is one of the largest U.S. investments in sub-Saharan Africa, estimated to cost $4.4 billion when it was constructed in 2000, and the majority interest is held by ExxonMobil and Chevron.\textsuperscript{140}

*Cape Verde.*

In 2006, Angola NOC Sonagol had expressed an interest in oil and gas exploration in Cape Verde’s archipelago and suggested that oil and gas reserves discovered in neighboring Mauritania could stretch as far as Cape Verde. Cape Verde’s Prime Minister Jose Maria Neves indicated in July 2010 that his country was hopeful that oil and gas might be found in deep-water pre-salt layers in its Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ).\textsuperscript{141} Following his signing of an agreement with visiting Brazilian President Luis Inacio Lula in 2010, Petrobras signed an accord for exploration offshore Cape Verde.\textsuperscript{142} Chinese and Senegalese entities also expressed an interest in exploration in Cape Verde.\textsuperscript{143}

*Central African Republic.*

In collaboration with Sudanese partners, China’s CNPC restarted oil exploration in April 2011 in five potential fields in Doba Dosea Salamat region in
northeastern Central African Republic (CAR) near the border with Chad. CAR reportedly has a proven oil potential of one billion barrels, with some possibility of five times this much.\textsuperscript{144} In 1993, Western Geophysical reported that there exists a common Chad-Central African Basin that straddles the frontier between CAR and Chad and is associated with the Central African Rift system. Oil was discovered in Chad in the 1960s, and the development of oil fields near the Chadian border with CAR started in 2000. CNPC identified four promising sub-basins: Bagara (between CAR, Sudan, and South Sudan), Doseo (between CAR and Chad), Salamat (CAR), and Doba/Bangor (Chad).\textsuperscript{145}

Despite prospects for oil discovery, petroleum development and production in the CAR will likely be held back by the country’s political instability. There have been a series of civilian and military governments since independence in 1960, and the government of the President Francois Bozize, who himself came to power in a military coup in 2003, did not fully control the countryside, particularly in the northern and central parts of the country, where several rebel groups and the Lord’s Resistance Army operate.\textsuperscript{146} Rebel groups drove Bozize from power on March 23, 2013,\textsuperscript{147} and elected self-proclaimed President Michel Djotodia as interim head of state.\textsuperscript{148}

**Chad.**

Today, Chad has proven reserves of 1.5 billion barrels with excellent prospects to increase this figure.\textsuperscript{149} Chad shares with its neighbors three sedimentary basins known for oil and gas potential:
1) the Koufra–Erdis Basin in the north shared with Libya;
2) the Chad Basin in the center-west shared with Niger, Northern Nigeria and Cameroon; and,
3) the Sud Basin in the south shared with CAR and South Sudan.

Oil exploration began in Chad in the 1950s and led to discoveries in the 1960s before developments were blocked by political instability. In the 1990s, discussions between the World Bank, the Chad and Cameroon governments, and a private consortium led to a decision to build simultaneously in 2000, the “Esso Chad Doba Exploitation” and the Doba-Kribi pipeline.\textsuperscript{150} Eighty-five percent of this pipeline is located in Cameroon.\textsuperscript{151} Since 2003, ExxonMobil, Chevron, and Malaysia’s Petronas, through two consortia in Chad and Cameroon, have been exporting oil from the Doba crude oil fields in southern Chad via a 1,000-km pipeline to Cameroon’s Kribi port, on the Gulf of Guinea.

Chad’s major oil fields are the Bolobo, Kome, and Miandou in the Doba Basin, which are estimated to contain reserves of 900 million barrels.\textsuperscript{152} ExxonMobil’s oil production in Chad peaked at 126,200 bpd in 2010,\textsuperscript{153} and averaged 115,000 bpd in 2011. (ExxonMobil’s operator share in the seven fields in Doba was 40 percent, with Petronas holding 35 percent and Chevron 25 percent.)\textsuperscript{155} The company drilled 69 additional production wells in 2011.\textsuperscript{156}

New companies, including China’s CNPC and Canada’s Griffiths Energy International (which is changing its name to Caracal), have also reached agreement with the Chad and Cameroon entities to use the pipeline to export oil from their fields—making the author wonder if there is not a risk of exceeding the pipe-
line’s current capacity. Griffiths hopes to complete as early as 2013 2Q a 111-km connection pipeline to link its Badila and Mangara fields to the export pipeline. Griffiths successfully drilled two exploratory wells—Badila-1 and -2 in May 2012 and January 2013, and commenced drilling of Mangara-4 in March 2013. Griffiths, which sold a 25 percent interest in the fields to Switzerland’s Glencore International in September 2012 to finance the development of Badila and Mangara, became embroiled in a bribery scandal in January 2013 when Griffiths pled guilty in Canada to having bribed Chadian officials in order to gain its production sharing contracts (PSCs) in 2011.

The U.S. firm ERHC won 100 percent shares in three blocks in 2011, two of which—BDS 2008 and the northern half of Chari Ouest III—fall on the north flank of the Doba/Doseo Basin in southern Chad, where ExxonMobil and others have discovered 1.29 billion BOE. The third block, known as Manga, is in northwest Chad, adjacent to the Niger border. The Canadian firm Simba Energy won 100 percent shares in three blocks in September 2012, two of which—Chari Sud I and the southern half of Chari Sud II, both bordering with the CAR—are also in the Doba/Doseo Basins south of Mangar and Badila, where their large proven reserves are located. The third block, Erdi III, is located in northeastern Chad near the Libya border. The Doba/Dosea Basins are part of the West and Central African Rift Systems that extend across central Africa from Nigeria to Kenya to Sudan.

Earlier, the Chadian government tried to boost the potential of other fields through diplomatic channels. While Chad was one of the last countries in Africa to keep diplomatic ties with Taiwan authorities (and not the Peoples’ Republic of China), Taipei’s
NOC, China Petroleum Corporation, actively engaged in oil exploration. Even today, this NOC retained an interest in two blocks, BCO III/BCS 11/BLT I,\textsuperscript{170} in Chad where it discovered oil in 2011. In 2003, CNPC bought out the shares of a Swiss company for Block H, in whose Bongor Basin the company subsequently made several commercial discoveries starting in 2006. In 2007, CNPC and the Chadian Ministry of Petroleum signed an agreement for the establishment of a 60-40 joint venture, 20,000-bpd refinery in N’djamena, which started production in 2011.\textsuperscript{171} CNPC completed in 2011 the construction of a 311-km pipeline from its fields to the new refinery.\textsuperscript{172} Based significantly on the growing weight of PRC investment in Chad, N’djamena re-established diplomatic relations with Beijing in October 2009.\textsuperscript{173}

**Comoros.**

The Comoros government awarded its first oil exploration license in March 2012 to the privately held Kenyan company Bahari Resources for an offshore region on the western boundary of the Comoros. The acreage is adjacent to offshore Area 1 and Area 4 of Mozambique’s Rovuma Delta, where the recent giant hydrocarbon discoveries were made by the U.S. firm Anadarko and Italian oil giant ENI. Under the agreement, Bahari will undertake a phased seismic and drilling program within the licensed area and will carry out for the government a regional study of the Comoros “entire territory.” The report will be used for the demarcation of blocks for a future licensing round.\textsuperscript{174} Bahari will also fund a government program to develop its internal expertise in upstream petroleum, including management and legislation. The
government hopes to send to Parliament by October 2013 a draft petroleum law that will govern all aspects of petroleum exploration and production.175

Unfortunately, the March 2012 license award has become mired in controversy as Luxemburg-based Boulle Mining claims that it had signed a prior, exclusive exploration and production agreement with the Comoros government in November 2011. Boulle’s subsidiary, Mozambique Channel Discovery, filed for arbitration at the International Chamber of Commerce in Paris in November 2012, contesting the license issued to Bahari.176 For its part, the Comoros government communicated to Boulle in May 2012 that its earlier agreement was invalid because it was signed by the Finance Minister in an acting capacity and did not receive approval by the Council of Ministers. Instead, the government asserts, only the Vice President and the Ministry for Energy, the Environment, and Industry are “the only mandated authorities in this matter.”177

As a sign of how feverish interest in Comoros territorial waters has become, former Directors of Cove Energy, which sold out its 10 percent stake in Rovuma Area 1 in Mozambique for $1.9 billion to Thailand’s PTTEP, formed a new company, Discover Exploration, specifically to raise capital and attempt to win an exploration license from the Comoros in March 2013.178 That same month, Discover announced that the Comoros had signed a PSC with Discover and Bahari for a block offshore Comoros adjacent to the block Cove Energy sold in Mozambique.179

The U.S. firm GX Technologies completed in May 2011 seismic studies of part of Comoros’ territorial waters, from the Tanzanian maritime border at Mtwarwa, southward to Tanzania’s Pemba, then to the island of Mayotte, which is part of France but claimed
by the Comoros. In July 2012, the Comoros government signed an agreement with the Norwegian firm TGS Nopec for (seismic) exploration of other zones in the country’s territorial waters. The UK’s Avana Petroleum has carried out “an initial analysis of the hydrocarbons prospectively of the waters around the Comoros Islands,” but this may mean that it purchased seismic data from GT Exploration or another contractor.

**Congo (Brazzaville).**

Congo is the fourth-largest oil producer in Africa that is not part of OPEC, after Egypt, the Sudans (counted jointly), and Equatorial Guinea. Congo had proven oil reserves of 1.94 billion barrels at the end of 2011. Congo produced 302,000 bpd in 2010, surpassing the previous peak of 292,000 bpd in 2000. In 2010, 49 percent of Congo’s oil exports went to the United States, and 31 percent went to China. Congo has produced oil since the 1960s, with production increasing until about 2000, and declining afterwards until 2008, when new offshore fields reversed this trend. Congo’s first deepwater field, Moho-Bilondo, has been the most important. This field, operated by France’s Total, came online in 2008 and reached production of 90,000 bpd in 2010. Total’s share in the field is 53.5 percent, along with partners Chevron with 31.5 percent, and Congo NOC SNPC with 15 percent. Total operates nine of the 22 active fields in Congo and has a non-operator share in two others. Total has decided to move forward with the $10 billion deepwater Mogo-Bilondo “Phase 1 bis” and Moho North joint development project, with production beginning, respectively in 2015 and 2016, and peak production of
140,000 bpd in 2017.\(^{187}\) Two appraisal wells drilled by Total in 2010 also confirm the growth potential of the southern part of the field.\(^{188}\) Italy’s ENI produced over one-quarter of Congo’s crude oil in 2010, with production hitting 98,000 bpd.\(^{189}\)

The U.S. firm Murphy Oil is the operator of two offshore blocks, Mer Profonde Nord (100 percent share) and Mer Profonde Sud (50 percent share), where it discovered oil in 2005 and started production in 2010.\(^{190}\) ExxonMobil tried to sell off its 30 percent, non-operator share in the deepwater Mer Tres Profonde Sud Block in 2010 that is operated by Total (40 percent) in partnership with ENI (30 percent).\(^{191}\) Anglo-French company Perenco operates four offshore fields with relatively small production.\(^{192}\) France’s Maurel et Prom operates and is exploring the Noumbi onshore field, which is adjacent to the producing M’Boundi onshore fields\(^{193}\) and shares the same sedimentary basin as Gabon.\(^{194}\) The UK’s SOCO International operates and is exploring the offshore Marine XI field in the North Congo Basin.\(^{195}\) (The presence of oil in Congo, as in Angola’s Cabinda enclave, is explained geologically by sediments carried by the Congo River.\(^{196}\) Foreign NOCs and IOCs with non-operator roles in Congo are China’s CNOOC, PetroVietnam,\(^{197}\) the Netherlands’ Vitol, Sweden’s PA Resources and Svenska Petroleum Exploration, and the UK’s Afran and Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil.\(^{198}\) CNOOC hired a ship to drill two wells with an option for a third in its offshore block in 2013 1H.\(^{199}\)

**Oil Sands.**

In 2008, the Congo government awarded ENI two exploration permits to launch a pilot oil sands project located in Tchiktanga and Tchikatanga-Makola\(^{200}\) in
southern Congo. Congo has estimated recoverable reserves from the project of between 500 million and 2.5 billion barrels. 201 The exploration phase includes collecting data from sounding holes in order to evaluate the quality, thickness, and distribution of the tar sand. The small-scale pilot project that might follow would “exclude open-cast mining and tailing ponds, which are considered to have a high-risk impact and both of which are used in oil sand operations in Canada.”202

Shared Development Areas.

As noted previously in the section on Angola, Congo (Brazzaville) and Angola signed an agreement in March 2012 to jointly exploit the Lianzi cross-border oil field on their mutual border and share profits equally. Following this, Chevron announced in July 2012 that it would proceed with five partners, including Angola and Congo NOCs, in the development of the Lianzi Field, via a tieback to its existing BBLT platform in Angola’s Block 14.

Natural Gas.

Congo also has large reserves of associated gas—the fifth largest in sub-Saharan Africa at 3.2 tcf. The country produced 298 bcf of natural gas in 2010, although only 14 percent (43 bcf) was marketed. Sixty-five percent was re-injected, and the remaining 21 percent was flared or vented.203 ENI signed an agreement in 2008 to eliminate all gas flaring at its Congo operations by 2012 by constructing two electric power stations fueled by associated natural gas from the M’boundi Field and by re-injecting the remaining gas into the deposit.204
Cote d’Ivoire.

In 2010, crude oil production decreased by an estimated 24 percent to 16.4 million barrels.\textsuperscript{205} Cote d’Ivoire has proven reserves of 100 million barrels of oil and 1.1 tcf of natural gas, but a dramatic increase in exploration and drilling after oil and gas finds in neighboring Ghana are likely to significantly boost these figures in coming years. The producing Baobab Field, which came on stream in 2005, has an estimated 200 million barrels of oil and the producing Espoir Field, which came on stream in 2002, is estimated to contain a further 120 million barrels of oil.\textsuperscript{206} Baobab has been operated by Canadian Natural Resources (57.6 percent), along with Sweden’s Svenska Petroleum Exploration (27.4 percent) and Petroci (15 percent).\textsuperscript{207} The Foxtrot offshore gas field was discovered along with the Panthere gas and condensate field in 1993 and the Lion oilfield in 1994, both of which came on stream in 1995. UK firm Afren (47.96 percent share) operates the Lion and Panthere Fields, whose production averaged almost 5,000 bpd of oil equivalents, with SK Energy (12.96 percent share) and two Ivorian partners. In its 65 percent share of Block CI-01, which is on the maritime border with Ghana and west of the Jubilee Field, Afren is carrying out 3D seismic work. Block CI-01 has been the sight of 10 successful discoveries of oil and gas in the Ibex and Kudu Fields, while only gas was discovered in the Eland Field.\textsuperscript{208} Italy’s Edison International made a discovery in Block CI-24 in September 2010 in the Baobab Field, which it shares with Sweden’s Svenska Petroleum Exploration (20 percent) and Petroci.\textsuperscript{209} Russia’s Lukoil made an oil discovery in offshore Block CI-401 in De-
cember 2011. It operates the block with a 56.66 percent interest, along with U.S. firm PanAtlantic (formerly Vanco) with a 28.34 percent share, and Petroci with 15 percent.\textsuperscript{210} Lukoil, which also has a share in Block CI-101,\textsuperscript{211} signed a PSA with the Ivoirian government in October 2012 for a 60 percent share of offshore Block CI-524, along with PanAtlantic (30 percent) and Petroci (10 percent).\textsuperscript{212} In February 2013, Lukoil announced that it planned to spend $400 million in 2013 to drill more wells near the site of its discovery in Block CI-401, and carry out further exploration on 3-4 offshore blocks.\textsuperscript{213} Operator Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil discovered oil in exploration Block CI-103 (share 45 percent), which is east of the Espoir Field, along with partners Anadarko (40 percent) and Cote d’Ivoire NOC Petroci (15 percent share). In June 2012, Tullow’s Exploration Director Angus McCoss said: “The discovery of light oil in our first well in CI-103 extends the proven play for oil westwards from our successes in Ghana. . . . We look forward to further drilling in CI-103 during 2013.”\textsuperscript{214} In September 2012, Australia’s Rialto Energy estimated that the initial gas production from its Block CI-202 Gazelle Field 80 km west of Ghana’s huge Jubilee Field would produce 40 million cf of gas daily. Operator Rialto has an 85 percent interest in the block, shared with Petroci (15 percent).\textsuperscript{215}

France’s major, Total, discovered oil at its Ivoire 1X deepwater exploration well in May 2013. The well “confirms the extension into Block CI-100 of the already proved active petroleum system in the prolific Tano Basin, home to several fields, including Jubilee in Ghana.”\textsuperscript{216} In 2010, Total acquired a 60 percent interest in Block CI-100, with Yam’s Petroleum\textsuperscript{217} owning 25 percent and Petroci 15 percent.\textsuperscript{218} One observer believes that Cote d’Ivoire may be more willing to
settle a maritime boundary dispute with Ghana now that there is a find that is definitively on its territory and pressure from major oil companies.219 In February 2013, Total secured three new “ultra-deep” offshore licenses, as operator (54 percent share) of CI-514 with Canadian Natural Resources (36 percent) and Petroci (10 percent), and as operator (45 percent share) of CI-515 and CI-516 with Anadarko (45 percent) and Petroci (10 percent). Total’s Senior Vice President for Exploration, Marc Blaizot explained the acquisitions as follows:

The so-called Abrupt Margin theme that will be exploring in this acreage is . . . the same theme in exploration licenses in French Guyana, where a promising discovery has already been made, and in Mauritania.220

Australia’s African Petroleum operates 90 percent shares of Blocks CI-509 and CI-513 with Petroci (10 percent) and hopes to drill one well on each block in late 2013 or early 2014,221 while the Netherlands’ Vitol is operator (36 percent share) with Genel Energy (24 percent) and Petroci (40 percent) in offshore Block CI-508, where it plans a first exploratory well in 2014.222

The Democratic Republic of the Congo.

Exploration for oil and gas in the DRC from the 1960s to 1983 led to the discovery and development of five oil fields and one still-undeveloped gas field. Of these, the Mbale offshore field contains 48 percent of the Coastal Basin’s recoverable reserves.223 Nevertheless, the future of oil exploration for the DRC lies principally in the east, in the Albertine Graben, where oil has already been discovered in significant quantities
across the border in Uganda. The five blocks on the DRC side of Lake Albert are said to hold 800 million barrels of oil.224

Unfortunately, controversy has dogged onshore oil Blocks 1 and 2 in eastern DRC. Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil and the UK’s Heritage Oil225 were awarded the blocks in 2006 but the DRC government cancelled the licenses under controversial circumstances, claiming that the contract did not follow international standards. In 2008, South Africa’s Divine Inspiration Group signed a competing contract for Block 1. Tullow initially launched legal proceedings, but then abandoned them as unenforceable. In 2010, two other companies from the UK, Caprikat and Foxwhelp, obtained licenses for Blocks 1 and 2 after the government again cancelled the existing licenses.226 France’s Total purchased a now 66.67 percent operator share of Block III in eastern DRC, in the Albertine Graben, with CoHydro owning 15 percent, and South Africa’s SacOil 12.47 percent and Divine Inspiration Group 5.86 percent.227 Sweden’s PetroAfrican Resources presented in 2011 an extensive geological report of Block IV in the eastern Congolese Rift Zone, concluding that “the Block is a promising area for hydrocarbons.”228 The UK’s SOCO’s has encountered different challenges in exploiting its 85 percent operator share of Block V in onshore eastern DRC, adjacent to the border with Uganda, with DRC NOC CoHydro holding 15 percent. The block is 7500 km², encompassing a section of the mountain gorilla-rich Virunga National park, including part of Lake Albert,229 and its exploration has come under criticism by some international environmental groups, including the World Wide Fund for Nature.230 The European Commission plans to do an environmental study of the area covered by Blocks III (Total-
operated), IV (open), and V (SOCO-operated), including evaluating the political risk of the development of oil resources in an area that has seen numerous armed conflicts since the 1990s.\textsuperscript{231} Perhaps in part due to such difficulties, the DRC government announced in February 2013 that it planned to adopt as early as April 2013 a new law regulating its oil and gas sector, which would include a provision requiring all potential investors to go through a tender process.\textsuperscript{232}

Further south, Canada’s Alberta Oilsands concluded a farm-in deal with operator Pan African Oil to jointly develop the Klembe Block (Block 5) and the Fatuma Block (Block 6) in the Kalemie sub-basin on Lake Tanganyika (DRC side), in the heart of the Western Rift Valley of the East African Rift System. These blocks are adjacent to acreage held by Total (in Tanzania on the eastern side of the lake).\textsuperscript{233}

Further to the west, SOCO International undertook 2D seismic work at its 65 percent operated share in the Nganzi onshore block in the western Bas-Congo Region near the coast\textsuperscript{234} and was examining plans as of March 2013 to drill two wells in the block.\textsuperscript{235} Its partners were DRC NOC CoHydro (15 percent) and Japan’s INPEX (20 percent). The U.S. firm EnerGulf is the operator of the Lotshi Block in the onshore salt Coastal Basin, which is contiguous with the highly prospective Cabinda enclave of Angola to the north, and in trend with the M’Boundi field in the Republic of Congo in a similar geological graben. This basin also includes the East-Mibale and other fields operated by the Anglo-French Perenco Oil and contiguous to the east with a bitumen mining license with known surface oil seeps.\textsuperscript{236} EnerGulf had planned a three-well drilling program in summer 2011,\textsuperscript{237} but this was de-
layed and its DRC partner, CoHydro, now expects that at least one well will be drilled in 2013. A 2011 assessment by an outside consultant reached an estimate of 313 million barrel mean estimate of gross prospective oil resources in the EnerGulf’s seven prospects in the Lotshi Block. The Anglo-French company Perenco, currently the DRC’s sole oil producer at 28,000 bpd, operates 12 onshore and offshore fields south of Angola’s Cabinda and north of Angola’s Soyo, storing crude on board the Kalamu, a floating terminal. It has a 50 percent share, with partners INPEX (Teikoku) (32.28 percent) and Chevron (17.72 percent).

Negotiations with Angola.

In September 2012, the DRC Minister of Hydrocarbons predicted that in 6 months, the two countries would come to an agreement over the so-called Common Interest Zone created in 2004, but that shared production of any resources was still some time off. The DRC could receive royalties from production already underway in the Zone. In 2010, U.S. firm Chevron signed an agreement with the DRC government, allowing it to ship gas from Angola’s Cabinda enclave, cutting across the DRC’s narrow strip of Atlantic coast to its new Soyo LNG plant. The Soyo facility could also be used to process DRC gas, and Chevron has expressed an interest in looking at potential gas deposits in Congo, and in processing DRC gas that is currently flared.

Djibouti.

In 2011, the UK’s Oyster Oil and Gas won a 100 percent operator interest in three onshore blocks in the Guban Basin (Blocks 1 and 4), the Red Sea Basin
(Block 2), and one offshore in the Red Sea (Block 3).\textsuperscript{244} The Guban Basin extends from Djibouti into Somalia’s northern province of Somaliland where there have been oil seeps and recovery of oil from wells drilled in previous decades. The Red Sea Basin has had several discoveries in its northern area in Sudan, Egypt and Saudi Arabia, and there are also analogues with the large Jurassic oil fields located nearby in Yemen.\textsuperscript{245} Oyster is confident that oil-bearing basins were formed with the break-up of Gondwanaland in Guban Basin and the Red Sea. Block 1 is mainly onshore, but also extends into the Gulf of Aden Margin.\textsuperscript{246} After a July 2012 meeting with Djibouti’s Energy Minister, Oyster Chief Executive Officer Ravindra Shaji said that:

> Four months after the commencement of work on different sites in the north and south of Djibouti territory, we have come to report . . . the preliminary finding of this first phase of oil and gas exploration, which we can say are rather encouraging at several sites.\textsuperscript{247}

Egypt.

Egypt is the largest oil producer in Africa that is not an OPEC member, and the second largest natural gas producer on the continent after Algeria. Egypt is also the only African oil and gas producer besides Tunisia that consumes domestically all or a large fraction of its production. As of January 2012, Egypt’s proven oil reserves were 4.4 billion barrels. In 2011, Egypt’s total oil production averaged 710,000,\textsuperscript{248} of which approximately 560,000 was crude oil including lease condensates and the remainder natural gas liquids. Egypt’s production peaked at over 900,000 bpd in the 1990s. However ongoing successful exploration and enhanced oil recovery techniques in existing fields have
eased the decline at aging fields, and boosted proven oil reserves. In the second half of 2012, Egypt made 29 oil and natural gas discoveries; in the first quarter of 2013, there were 13 oil discoveries and four gas discoveries in the Western Desert, the Gulf of Suez, the Mediterranean, and Upper Egypt that added 12 million barrels of crude oil and condensates and 125 bcf of gas to Egypt’s reserves.

One of Egypt’s challenges has been to satisfy increased domestic demand despite falling domestic production. Domestic oil consumption grew over 30 percent over the last decade, from 550,000 bpd in 2000 to 815,000 bpd in 2011, outpacing production as of 2008. Political unrest from the “Arab spring” and its aftermath did not directly affect foreign oil investors in Egypt as the top producing regions—the offshore Nile Delta and the Western Desert—are far from population centers. Since 2000, oil output in the Western Desert has doubled, and it now accounts for around 28-30 percent of total oil production. Four other major producing areas in Egypt are the Eastern Desert, Mediterranean Sea (onshore and offshore), the Gulf of Suez, and the Sinai Peninsula.

Egypt’s natural gas sector has been expanding rapidly, with production more than tripling from 646 bcf in 2000 to 2.2 tcf in 2010. Egypt’s proven gas reserves registered at 77 tcf, a sharp increase from 2010 estimates of 58.5 tcf, and the third highest in Africa after Algeria and Nigeria. Three-fourths of Egypt’s natural gas production came from Mediterranean offshore blocks, where 78 percent of the country’s gas reserves are located. Dry natural gas exports began in 2003 and had been rising rapidly with the completion of the Arab Gas Pipeline in 2004 and the start-up of the first three LNG trains at Damietta in 2005. ENI operates
Damietta, and Spain’s Repsol is a partner. However, gas exports leveled off in 2006 and fell in 2010, in part due to low international prices and growing domestic demand, which led the government to enact a two-year moratorium on new gas export deals. Electricity shortages during summer 2010 also led to domestic pressure to reduce natural gas exports, which fell to 30 percent of contracted quantities in 2011, in part also due to a series of attacks on a pipeline supplying Israel and Jordan.

The Egyptian government encourages IOCs to participate in the oil and gas sector, and currently more than 50 are operating in Egypt. In April 2013, Egypt announced the award of eight new oil and gas blocks to six companies (out of 15 blocks offered): BP, Dana Gas, the UK-based subsidiary of the Korea National Oil Company, Italy’s Edison, Ireland’s Petroceltic International, Australia’s Pura Vida, and Canada’s Sea Dragon Energy. One possible policy change apparently being floated as a trial balloon by the Egyptian government is to change its licensing policy so that future oil and gas contracts have terms more similar to those offered by Algeria and Libya, with a higher take of production for the government—a strategy that risks backfiring as foreign investors are already alarmed about security in North Africa and a rising cost of doing business.

The U.S. firm Apache is the largest producer of liquid hydrocarbons and natural gas in the Western Desert and the third largest in Egypt. In 2011, the firm drilled 221 wells, and produced daily 217,000 bpd of crude oil and 865 mcf of natural gas (including joint venture partner-shares). Apache planned to drill 270 wells in 2013, of which 60 would be exploration wells. Eighty-two percent of its acreage is undevel-
oped, which includes seven new development leases in the Faghur Basin, providing significant future E&P opportunities. In May 2013, Apache announced finds in new discoveries in three concessions, each in different basins: North Ras Qattara, Siwa, and North Tarek. NOC Oil India has a 25 percent non-operator interest in offshore Blocks 3 (South Quseir in the Red Sea) and 4 (South Sinai, at junction Red Sea/Gulf of Suez).

The UK’s BP and its partners produce more than 35 percent of Egypt’s natural gas and almost 40 percent of its oil production. BP and Germany’s RWE announced a joint investment of $9 billion for the development of the North Alexandria and West Mediterranean deepwater gas fields, owned 60 percent by BP (operator) and 40 percent by RWE. Production at the project was to have started in 2014, but has been delayed by public protests. The project has the potential to unlock around 50 tcf of gas resources in the Nile Delta Basin, which would double Egypt’s current gas reserves. Another BP partner, Italy’s ENI, claims to be the “largest foreign energy player in the country,” and had oil and natural gas equity production in 2011 of 240,000 BOE/D. In February 2013, ENI made a new oil discovery in the Meleiha Concession in the Western Desert. Other major foreign partners of BP in Egypt are Malaysia’s Petronas, which shares equal 38 percent and 35.5 percent shares in two 3.6 million tons per annum (mpta) LNG trains and 30 and 50 percent shares in Nile Delta offshore fields; Italy’s Edison, which has a 20 percent share in a Nile Delta gas field; and Dana Petroleum, which has a 50 percent share in a Nile Delta offshore field. In 2012, Shell farmed in as operator of the Alam El Shawish West Gas Development Project (40 percent) in the Western Desert.
with partners Vegas (35 percent) and GSF Suez (25 percent), where 200 mcf/d in gas production is anticipated.\textsuperscript{271} The United Arab Emirates’ Dana Gas started gas production at two new wells in the Nile Delta in March 2013 and plans to start production at a third well there—all 2012 discoveries—in 2013 2Q, adding 20 million cubic feet daily.\textsuperscript{272}

\textit{Shale Oil.}

Oil shale resources were discovered in the Safaga-Quseir area of the Eastern Desert in the 1940s, where estimated reserve equivalents of in-place shale oil are 4.5 million barrels. In the Abu Tartour area of the Western Desert are estimated to have about 1.2 million barrels of in-place shale oil, while oil shale in the Red Sea area would be extracted by underground mining.\textsuperscript{273}

\textit{Equatorial Guinea.}

Equatorial Guinea produced an average of 252-282,000 barrels of crude per day in 2011 and 243 bcf of natural gas.\textsuperscript{274} The country has three major producing fields: the Zafiro oil field, which is the largest in the country and northwest of the island of Bioko; the Alba gas field, to the east of Bioko; and the Ceiba oil field in offshore Block G of the Rio Mini Basin.\textsuperscript{275} ExxonMobil has a 71.25 percent interest in Block B,\textsuperscript{276} whose Zafiro Field produced 15 percent less in 2011 than the prior year.\textsuperscript{277} The U.S. firm Hess has an 80.75 percent operator interest in the Ceiba Field with partners Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil\textsuperscript{278} and NOC GEPetrol,\textsuperscript{279} and reported a 21 percent decrease in production in 2011.\textsuperscript{280} Crude oil production has been declining as a result of matur-
ing oil fields, but the recent start-up of the Aseng oil and gas-condensate field and the anticipated start-up of the Alen gas-condensate field in late 2013 are projected to revive liquids production in the near term.\textsuperscript{281} At the end of 2011, Equatorial Guinea had proven reserves of 1.1-1.7 billion barrels,\textsuperscript{282} and 1.3 tcf of proven natural gas reserves—the majority of which are found offshore Bioko Island.\textsuperscript{283}

**Offshore.**

The offshore areas of petroleum exploration and development are in the shelf around Bioko Island, which is part of the Niger Basin surrounded by Nigeria, Cameroon, Sao Tome and Principe, and the shelf off Rio Muni, an enclave between Cameroon and Gabon.\textsuperscript{284}

Bioko. In September 2012, the UK’s Ophir announced that its sixth discovery alone in offshore Block R in the southeastern Niger Delta, southwest of Bioko Island, had added 1 tcf of recoverable gas (80 percent share, remainder with GE Petrol).\textsuperscript{285} The firm’s multi-well drilling campaign targeted sufficient resources to meet a two train LNG threshold of approximately 2.5 tcf.\textsuperscript{286} Southeast of Bioko Island, U.S. firm Noble Energy made a series of oil and gas discoveries in its Block I (38 percent operator),\textsuperscript{287} including the 120,000 bpd Aseng oil field,\textsuperscript{288} and Block O (45 percent operator), including the Alen gas-condensate field which included the firm’s adjacent Yoyo license in Cameroon, total 127 mmbl liquids and 1.25 tcf in natural gas. The firm is considering options for monetizing these finds (including possibly another LNG train).\textsuperscript{289} Noble’s primary foreign partner in Block I and O are Switzerland’s Glencore, with respective
shares of 23.8 percent and 25 percent. Glencore also has a 50 percent working share in Block V, southwest of Bioko Island on the maritime frontier with Sao Tome and Principe, partnering with Bermuda-based AFEX Global, which believes the block contains a south-westerly extension of the Cameroon Transgressive Zone that has produced oil and gas discoveries to the northeast. In January 2012, the government issued a press release in which it expressed the desire to see partners in Blocks I, O, and R work together to plan for LNG Train 2, doubling the 3.7 million metric tons per year (mmt/y) Punta Europa LNG Train 1, which started operations on Bioko Island in 2007. In June 2012, the government announced the signature of a production sharing contract for Block A-12 offshore Bioko with the U.S. firm Marathon. Marathon has a 63 percent share (65 percent with government carry interest in the Alba Field, a 52 percent interest in the Alba liquid petroleum gas (LPG) plant, a 45 percent interest in the Atlantic Methanol Production Company (AMPCO), and a 60 percent interest in an LNG facility on Bioko Island. Spain’s Repsol is the operator in exploratory Block C-1 next to Bioko Island.

Rio Muni. In the Rio Muni Basin, the UK’s White Rose Energy (46.31 percent operator) had planned to start a drilling campaign in 2012 4Q in Block H; U.S. firm PanAtlantic (80 percent operator) has seismic data and is preparing for drilling in Block K—Corisco Deep; China’s CNPC (70 percent operator) has been exploring in offshore Block M through its Santa Isabel Petroleum subsidiary. China’s CNOOC also has rights to Block S. The government also approached China’s Sinopec about constructing a 20,000 bpd refinery. In December 2012, the government granted
Hong Kong-registered Xuan Energy a license as operator of newly created Block Y, offshore Rio Muni, with a 15 percent participating interest for Brenham Oil & Gas, a subsidiary of American International Industries. Of the seven other production-sharing contracts announced at the same time, two others were given to U.S. firms: Block W, offshore Rio Muni, granted to U.S. firms Murphy Oil (operator) and Pan Atlantic Oil and Gas, with NOC GEPetrol as partner; and Block EG-02 (offshore Bioko Island), to Pan Atlantic Oil and Gas, Novamark International, Atlas Petroleum, and GEPetrol. Murphy Oil was also in negotiations with the government about acquiring interests in offshore Blocks J-14, J-15, K-14 and K-15, along with partners Vanco (US), Dana Petroleum (Korea), and NOC GEPetrol.

Onshore.

In November 2011, Vice Minister of Mines, Industry and Energy, Gabriel Lima, indicated that the government:

was also inviting companies to participate onshore. We have only one company, Total, which has drilled two wells onshore . . . if you look at the basins onshore in Cameroon and Gabon, that is where they have the majority of the oil.

Maritime Boundary Disputes.

Since mid-1999, there have been strained regional relations over maritime boundaries, particularly the Zafiro Field Block B, which Nigeria has claimed is part of the same structure as its Ekanga oil field, just 3.5 km north of Block B. After Equatorial Guinea’s
President Obiang accepted an equidistant median line defining territorial boundaries as stipulated under UNCLOS, Cameroon, Sao Tome and Principe, and Nigeria welcomed this decision. Equatorial Guinea and Gabon have disputed the ownership of three islands in the Gulf of Guinea since the 1970s, but agreed in 2004 to allow joint oil exploration in the disputed territories until a final resolution is worked out under UN mediation.306

Eritrea.

Eritrea has been underexplored for oil and gas in part due to political instability, including its war of independence from Ethiopia (1961-91), war with Ethiopia (1998-2000), and an autocratic regime that, more recently, was subjected to UN sanctions in December 2011 requiring that foreign mining (but not explicitly energy) companies ensure funds from the sector are not used to destabilize the region.307 This being said, there are strong signs that Eritrea has great potential over the long term as an oil and gas producer.308 The Eritrean government signed oil exploration agreements in 2008 for the two most northerly offshore blocks on the maritime border with Sudan—Bahri and Defnin—with Defba Oil Share Company, which was reportedly a joint venture between a Chinese company and the Energy Alliance Company, which reportedly had Saudi and Bahrain capital.309 Canada’s Centric Gas and Oil Company signed an exploration agreement with Eritrea in 2010 for a mixed onshore-offshore block in the Dahlak Block in the north-central Dismin region in northeastern Eritrea. Centric’s CEO Alec Robinson noted that, historically:
Eight . . . offshore wells had good oil or gas shows, and the widespread occurrence of seeps is further evidence of a working petroleum system. . . . In fact, one well, C1, drilled in 1969 by Mobil, suffered a massive gas blowout, and continued flowing for 55 days before stopping naturally. From the limited . . . data available, a number of prospects and leads have been identified in both the pre- and sub-salt formations of the Eritrean Red Sea.310

Eritrea has 12 oil blocks.311 There has been little public information about the Defba or Centric deals since they were announced, although one March 2013 news item claims that Eritrean President Isaias Afwerki told the Middle East newspaper “Asharq Al-Awsat” that Eritrea has “a large [oil] reserve,” but we should not talk about this without verifying all the angles.”312

The southern part of the Red Sea, where Eritrea is located, is a boundary area between the African and Arabia Plates. Uganda’s Basin, which is located in the same East African Basin, has had major oil discoveries in a sedimentary basin only one-quarter of the areal distribution of Eritrea’s. U.S. firm Anadarko did promising seismic work in the Zula Block in central offshore Eritrea, but swapped the property with Italy’s ENI. Just beyond Eritrea’s maritime border with Sudan, IPC’s Suakin-2, drilled in the mid-1990s, found gas. Anadarko also drilled three unsuccessful wells in the Edd Block, and withdrew with U.S. partner Burlington Resources from the country in 1999.313 The last evaluation well drilled in Eritrea, Chita-1,314 was by Anglo-French Perenco in 2005-06 and ended in a gas show, according to analysis by a Japanese geologist.315
Ethiopia.

Ethiopia is not currently producing oil and gas.\textsuperscript{316} The country does, however, have five sedimentary basins in Ethiopia with prospects for hydrocarbons: the Ogaden, Gambella (these first two are the most promising), Abbay, Omo Valley, and Mekele.

Ogaden.

The Ogaden, in the southeast bordering Somalia, has historically been destabilized as predominantly ethnic Somali rebels with the Ogaden National Liberation Front (ONLF) has stated that it would not allow the resources of the region to be exploited. In 2007, the ONLF destroyed an oil exploration facility outside Abole operated by China’s Sinopec, killing 65 Ethiopians and nine Chinese. Concerned by the security situation, Sweden’s Lundin Petroleum sold its Ogaden assets to Canada’s African Oil Corporation in 2009,\textsuperscript{317} and Malaysia’s Petronas sold out its shares to China’s Hong Kong-based PetroTrans in 2010,\textsuperscript{318} whose facilities were also threatened with attack from an Ogaden-based rebel movement.\textsuperscript{319} The Ethiopian government cancelled five production sharing agreements for 10 blocks with PetroTrans in July 2012, claiming PetroTrans had failed to raise promised financing for new investments.\textsuperscript{320}

Despite instability in the Ogaden region, the Ethiopian government had awarded exploration rights to 17 of 21 blocks in the Ogaden Basin as of 2011.\textsuperscript{321} African Oil has one project as 30 percent operator in the Ogaden Basin (Blocks 7 and 8), which it describes as “relatively unexplored with limited well and seismic data to constrain a petroleum system proved by the
Calub and Hilala gas condensate fields,” The fields were discovered by Tenneco in 1973 and 1974, respectively, but this U.S. firm was forced to withdraw by the 1974 Ethiopian revolution. African Oil’s partners in Blocks 7 and 8 and Adigala are New Age (African Global Energy), with a 40 percent share and the UK’s Afren with 30 percent, with the Ethiopian government retaining a 10 percent back-in right into successful exploration. Afren and its partners relinquished Blocks 2 and 6 in order to focus future exploration on Blocks 7 and 8. SouthWest Energy, which describes itself as an indigenous Ethiopian energy company, has won three concessions (Blocks 9, 9A, and 13) to explore for oil and gas in the “Jijiga” (Ogaden) Basin. The firm has sought a $100 million private placement of capital in order to fund drilling scheduled to begin in 2013 2Q. SouthWest, using gravity, aeromagnetic, and seismic data, has concluded that the Ogaden has a newly identified rift system that is Permo-Triassic and Karoo age and part of:

a prolific petroleum system in East Africa with billions of barrels of oil discovered in Madagascar, several trillion cubic feet . . . of gas condensate in the Ogaden Basin, and a large number of hydrocarbon shows all along the East African coastal basins.

The firm believes its three blocks have two structural traps with potential recoverable resources of over 500 million barrels of oil equivalent (BOE). It believes the Basin as a whole could contain between 1.5 and 6 billion barrels of oil, a “competent persons report” evaluating SouthWest Energy’s blocks concluded that the Gambella and Jijiga Basins have best estimate prospective resources of 1.56 billion barrels. Another source estimated that Ogaden could contain
5 tcf of gas deposits, which would give Ethiopia larger reserves than established gas producers such as Cameroon and Congo (Brazzaville). The Calub and Hil-lala fields alone are estimated to contain 3 tcf.

**Gambella.**

The Gambella Basin, in the southwest bordering South Sudan, is believed to be an extension of the pro-lific Melut Basin located in southern Sudan, where hydrocarbon reserves in excess of 600 million barrels have been discovered. The Gambella Basin is part of the Central African rift system that trend across Central Africa from the Benue Trough in Nigeria, through Chad, into South Sudan. In 2008, Canada’s Calvalley obtained a 100 percent operator license for the Gimbi Block in the northeastern flank of the Gambella Bas-in. In January 2012, SouthWest Energy signed a production sharing agreement for the Gambella Block, which at 17,000 km² is half the size of Belgium.

**Abbaj.**

In west-central Ethiopia, with South Sudan to the west, and the capital of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa at the southeast limit of the basin.

**Omo.**

Anglo-Irish Tullow found indications of hydro-carbons in the first of two exploratory wells drilled 2013 1Q on the South Omo Basin (operator, 50 percent share, with partners Africa Oil, 30 percent, Marathon, 20 percent), which is located in southwest Ethiopia, east of South Sudan and north of Kenya, bordering on
Lake Turkana. This was the first evidence of a working petroleum system in previously undrilled South Omo. Geologically, the Omo Basin is in the northern portion of the Tertiary East African Rift Trend, where Tullow has made two important discoveries in the Lokichar Basin of Kenya. Tullow’s six adjacent Kenya licenses, along with the Ethiopia license that it shares with African Oil Corp (30 percent) and the U.S. firm Marathon (20 percent), cover the Turkana Rift Basin, which is similar in geology to the Lake Albert Rift Basin and also a southeast extension of the geologically older Sudan Rift Basin trend. African Oil also has a 50 percent concession in the Rift Basin area north of the South Omo Block, which is an extension of the Tertiary-age East Africa Rift Trend in Ethiopia including South Omo and the Kenyan Blocks.

Mekele.

Mekele is located south of Eritrea. New Age (operator, 40 percent), Africa Oil (30 percent), and Afren (30 percent) share a concession in Adigala close to the borders with Djibouti and Somalia and hope to drill in the El Kuran Prospect in 2013 2Q. Regional geophysical data suggests a sedimentary basin on-trend with the Jurassic Basins of Somalia. In 2008, Calvalley obtained 100 percent operator rights to the Metema Block in the center of the Mekele Basin, which is also part of the Central African Rift System.

Not clearly identified as being in any of these basins is an 80 percent operator concession that Canada’s Epsilon Energy won in 2009 for a 82,500 km² trade of land in northwest Ethiopia for which it had carried out a high-resolution airborne gravity and magnetic survey as of 2011.
Shale Oil.

Ethiopia has an estimated 3.98 billion tons of oil shale (enough to produce about one trillion barrels of oil) in Tigray State, on the border with Eritrea to the north, and Sudan to the west, and 120 million tons of oil shale in the Delbi. As the result of a joint study conducted by SouthWest Energy and the Ethiopian government, the two negotiated a Production Sharing Agreement (PSA) to begin further exploration on a 13,000 km² block in the Jimma area, which has great potential for the development of oil shale operations.

Gabon.

Oil output in Gabon dropped about 40 percent from its peak of 370,000 bpd in 1997 to 2012, causing Gabon to fall to the third largest oil producer in Sub-Saharan Africa to the sixth largest, Nigeria, Angola, Sudan/South Sudan, Equatorial Guinea, and Congo (Brazzaville). In the short term, declines in production from larger fields will continue to be mitigated by smaller fields; nevertheless, in the long run, Gabon’s oil production will depend on the success of new exploration, particularly of deepwater, pre-salt fields, interest in which has been piqued by recent offshore Brazil pre-salt discoveries. Specifically, there has been a conjugation of Brazil pre-salt fields such as the Campos Basin with West African pre-salt formations in Gabon, Kwanza in lower Congo, and Cabinda in Angola.

Energy Minister Etienne Ngoubou announced in December 2012 that Gabon’s oil production was averaging 225,000 bpd, and was expecting output to in-
crease slightly in 2013 and 2014. Gabon had proven oil reserves of 3.68 billion barrels at the end of 2011 and proven gas reserves of 1 tcf. The country’s natural gas resources have not been exploited because Gabon lacks the infrastructure to utilize the natural gas for domestic industry or electricity generation. Nearly all the associated natural gas produced is vented and flared or re-injected. The country produces 73 billion cubic feet (bcf) of natural gas, and only 3 bcf is consumed locally, mainly in two power plants. There are no LNG terminals or projects in the country.

The Anglo-French company Perenco has current production totaling 65,000 bpd through several onshore and offshore licenses. In 2011, the company drilled the first of six new wells in the Oba Field and three exploratory wells in the Arouwe offshore block and the Fernan Vaz Lagoon. Anglo-Dutch Shell produced 65,000 bpd from five fields. It also reached a deal in July 2012 to farm out a 25 percent share of offshore Blocks BC9 and BCD10 to China’s CNOOC. China’s Sinopec continued work on “several exploration wells” in Block G4-188. Sinopec’s Addax subsidiary had five production sharing agreements, two as onshore operator of Maghena and Panthere NZE, with five producing fields, two discoveries, and one under development. Addax is enmeshed in a legal dispute soon to be in arbitration with Gabon over the government’s seizure of the Obangue oilfield in January 2013 over a dispute of more than $1 billion concerning customs duties and compliance with other laws.

France’s Total was operator of 26 fields and non-operator of 1 field, cumulatively producing 58,000 BOE in 2011. It carried out a redevelopment project on the Anguille Field in which 21 development wells
are to be drilled. Total was the 63.75 percent operator of the deep-offshore Diaba license and is currently analyzing 3D data on the field. In 2010, Total farmed into the onshore Mutamba-Itoru exploration license (50 percent) with the U.S. firm VAALCO energy and with Perenco for DE7 (30 percent) and Nziembou (20 percent), but relinquished the DE7 after negative exploratory drilling. Total is analyzing the other two fields and is carrying out seismic work on the Nziembou license area; Total expects to drill an exploration well on Mutamba-Itoru in 2012. Total also reached a farm out agreement with U.S. firm Marathon, which purchased a 21.25 percent working interest in the offshore Diaba license G4-223. VAALCO had a 28.1 percent interests in exploration acreage in the Etame Marine Block and development areas surround the Etame, Avouma, and South Tchibala oilfields. Vaalco successfully completed a new development well in the Avouma Field in April 2013, with a natural flow rate of 3,000 bpd, and will use the same rig to drill an exploratory well in Prospect Mu north of the Etame Field.

Other foreign oil companies active in Gabon include NOC Oil India Ltd., which has a 45 percent operator interest in onshore interior basin block Shakti (FT-2000) with Singapore Oil (45 percent) and Singapore’s Marvis (10 percent). Oil India, which began drilling in Shakti in November 2012, continued talks in February 2013 to acquire France’s Maurel et Prom assets in Gabon, which include 85-100 percent interests in five production permits and four exploration permits. Oil India has earmarked about 70 billion rupees ($1.28 billion) for overseas acquisitions to help the nation secure its energy supplies, but has been stymied by lengthy processes for the necessary govern-
ment approvals.369 In 2011, Brazil’s Petrobras entered the country through an agreement to buy a 50 percent stake from the UK’s Ophir Energy in the Ntsina Marin and Mbeli Marin Blocks, in the Coastal Basin, offshore northern Gabon.370

In November 2012, U.S. firm VAALCO made an oil discovery while drilling in the onshore Mutambo Iroru Concession. VAALCO has a 50 percent operator interest, while partner Total holds the remaining 50 percent, with the concession subject to an 18 percent Gabon government back-in interest in the event of development.371 In January 2013, U.S. firm Harvest Natural Resources struck oil at the pre-salt Gamba and Dentale formations offshore in the Dussafu Marin Block,372 where it has a 66.67 percent operator interest.373 This most recent discovery, along with three earlier ones in the block at Ruche, Walt Whitman, and Moubenga, brings the combined mean estimate for contingent resources in the block to 45 million barrels.374 The U.S. firm Cobalt International is pushing its own pre-salt program, partnering with Total, which drilled Gabon’s first one deepwater pre-salt well in the Diaba Block in early 2013,375 (Cobalt also plans for four pre-salt wells off Angola.)376

As part of a bid to attract more foreign investment and spur further increases in production, the Minister also announced new, investor-friendly regulations and a new deep offshore round of licensing in June 2013377 involving new offshore 42 blocks.378 In January 2013, Minister Ngoubou announced the awarding of an 80 percent interest in the offshore Nkembe Block to Australia’s Pura Vida.379 In March 2013, Pura Vida announced an oil discovery at the Loba M 1 well in Nkembe.380
Gambia.

In 2010, Australia’s African Petroleum entered into a farm-in agreement to acquire a 60 percent operator interest in two Gambian deepwater offshore exploration licenses, Block A1 and A4. The remaining 40 percent equity is held by the UK/Cyprus firm Buried Hill Energy. There are potential mean unrisked recoverable prospective undiscovered resources of approximately 500 million barrels. African Petroleum plans to drill the first well in the Gambia in 2013 2Q.\textsuperscript{381} There are no known oil or gas reserves in the Gambia, but the country has good prospectivity for hydrocarbons, as the area marks the northern extent of the Casamance-Bissau sub-basin, which forms part of the Mauritania-Senegal-Gambia-Guinea Bissau Coast Basin,\textsuperscript{382} also known in the industry as the “MSGBC” Basin, which itself is part of the North-West Africa Atlantic Margin. In this regard, Norway’s Bergen Oilfield Services won a contract in 2011 with the governments of Senegal, the Gambia, Guinea-Bissau, and Guinea to carry out a 15,000-km, 2D seismic survey from Senegal to Guinea.\textsuperscript{383} Oil discoveries in Ghana and later in Cote d’Ivoire and Sierra Leone have encouraged increased, early-stage exploration from Ghana to Guinea-Bissau, and the Gambia appears to have direct analogies to many of the regional exploration successes in West Africa.\textsuperscript{384}

In January 2012, the U.S. firm CAMAC energy won two offshore blocks in 600-1,000 meters of water, A2 and A5, which are east of African Petroleum’s Blocks A1 and A4. In 1979, Chevron drilled the Jammah-1 well on the basis of sparse 2D data and had gas shows.\textsuperscript{385} CAMAC Energy will be the operator with a 100 percent interest, with an option by the Gambia
National Petroleum Company to participate up to 15 percent following approval of a development plan.\textsuperscript{386} CAMAC believes that:

A fresh interpretation of . . . West Africa’s petroleum geology . . . raise[s] the prospect of a new oil province in the West Africa Transform Margin, an area between the two tectonic plates stretching from eastern Ghana, Cote d’Ivoire, Liberia and Sierra Leone,

with Gambia having similar geology.\textsuperscript{387}

\textbf{Ghana.}

Ghana produced only 8,880 bpd in 2010, but became Africa’s newest oil exporter in December of that year when the new Jubilee Field began shipping oil.\textsuperscript{388} Ghana’s oil production increased almost 10-fold in 2011, reaching 84,737 bpd by year-end. The partners were able to increase production at Jubilee to 105,000 bpd in December 2012 after remedial work and the start-up of the Jubilee Phase 1A development plan,\textsuperscript{389} including additional acid stimulation operations on some of the Phase 1 wells. All five Phase 1 production wells were expected to be online by 2013 1Q.\textsuperscript{390} The U.S. firm Kosmos expects Jubilee to produce an average of 105,000 to 115,000 bpd in 2013,\textsuperscript{391} and later to climb to 200,000 bpd. A Ghanaian government official said in February 2012 that Ghana hoped to boost crude oil output more than five-fold over the next 5 years, to about 500,000 bpd.\textsuperscript{392}

Estimates of Ghana’s oil reserves vary widely. A Ghana NOC official said in 2011 that Ghana’s oil reserves were at least 1.25 billion barrels.\textsuperscript{393} Jubilee alone is estimated to contain anywhere from 800 million to 1.8 billion barrels of oil,\textsuperscript{394} although Kosmos estimated the field at 600 million BOE to 1 billion barrels.\textsuperscript{395}
Ghana has five sedimentary basins: the inland Voltaian Basin, the offshore Accra-Keta Basin with an onshore extension, the offshore Saltpond (Central) Basin, the offshore Côte d’Ivoire-Tano Basin with an onshore extension, and the offshore Cape Three Points Basin (sometimes considered part of the Tano Basin).396

The government of Ghana has published a list of petroleum agreements executed between Ghana National Petroleum Corporation (GNPC) and various companies for petroleum exploration and production rights between 2002 and 2008 for 12 acreages awarded to 10 operator companies.397

1. U.S. firm Kosmos Energy, over the Deepwater West Cape Three Points Block. Kosmos (30.87 percent operator) made discoveries at Mahogany (2008), Kosmos made discoveries at Mahogany (2008), Teak (2011), Akasa (2011), and had a technical success at Banda (2012).398 Other partners are Anadarko (30.87 percent), Anglo-Irish Tullow (22.9 percent), GNPC (10 percent), the EO Group (3.5 percent), and Sabre Oil (1.86 percent).399 The consortium is in the pre-development plan phase for this cluster of fields known as MTAB (Mahogany, Teak, Akas, and Banda).

2. Tullow, over the Shallow Water Tano Fields, including the discovered North and South Tano Fields; and the West Tano Heavy Oil discovery.

3. Tullow, 49.95 percent operator, over the Deepwater Tano Basin, with partners Kosmos (18 percent), Anadarko (18 percent), Sabre (4.05 percent), and GNPC (10 percent). The world-class Jubilee Field was discovered in 2007 and reached first production in 2010—a record time. The field straddles both the deepwater Tano and West Cape Three Points Blocks.400 Tullow’s share is 34.71 percent, Anadarko and Kosmos each have 23.49 percent, GNPC has 13.75 percent, Sabre Oil
& Gas Holdings has 2.81 percent, and and E. O. Group has 1.75 percent.401

The Ghanaian government approved in May 2013 a development plan for a new cluster of fields in deepwater Tano known collectively as TEN (Tweneboa, discovered in 2009), Enyenra (2010), and Ntomme (2011).402 The capital expenditures for the TEN project are around $4.5 billion, which includes around 23 injection and production wells and excludes floating production, storage, and offloading (FPSO) lease costs—a figure so large that Tullow hopes to sell a piece of its interests in the project to raise financing. First production is expected within 36 months403 with peak production of 80,000 bpd.404 Kosmos also made a discovery at the Wawa-1 exploration field in deepwater Tano in August 2012, which may lead the firm eventually to co-develop a fourth cluster of fields in Ghana, along with Jubilee, MTAB, and TEN.405

4. The Netherlands’ Vitol, over the Offshore Cape Three Points area, east of the Kosmos acreage. ENI took over as operator (47.22 percent interest), with Vitol (37.78 percent) and GNPC (15 percent, with an option for an additional 5 percent).406 ENI discovered gas at both Sankofe East 1-X407 (in September 2012) and Gye Nyame 1 exploration wells, and is interested in fast-tracking the development of Sankofe,408 including by drilling other wells to delineate the size of the discovery and confirm commercial feasibility.409

5. Vitol, over the offshore Cape Three Points South. In 2009, Vitol made a discovery at Sankofa-1A.410 ENI took over as operator (47.22 percent interest), with Vitol (37.78 percent) and GNPC (15 percent).411

6. U.S. firm Hess, over Deepwater Tano/Cape Three Points (south of the Tullow and Kosmos Blocks), is a 55 percent operator, with Norway’s Statoil holding 35 percent interest and GNPC holding 10 percent.412
In 2011, oil and gas condensates were found at the Paradise-1 exploration well, with later discoveries at Almond (oil), Beech (oil), Hickory North (gas condensate), and, in December 2012, at Pecan-1 (oil). Based on the results of these wells and Hess’ experience in Equatorial Guinea, where the geology is similar, the firm plans to submit appraisal plans to the government by June 2013.

7. U.S. firm PanAtlantic (formerly Vanco), over the Cape Three Points Deepwater Block. Russia’s Lukoil farmed into a 56.66 percent interest, with PanAtlantic retaining a 28.34 percent interest, and GNPC with a 15 percent carried interest and the option to acquire up to a further 5 percent in any commercial discovery. In 2010, PanAtlantic made an oil and gas-condensate discovery at the Dzata-1 exploratory well. Lukoil subsequently drilled two wells with noncommercial hydrocarbon reserves and plans to drill another well in 2013 4Q, with the hope of cumulatively finding 150-200 million barrels of oil—enough to make the production profitable.

8. Norway’s Aker ASA, 85 percent operator of the Deepwater Tano South contract Area, which is the western part of the area relinquished by PanAtlantic. Partners are GNPC (10 percent) and Chemu Power, a local Ghanaian Company (5 percent). South Deepwater Tano is located further offshore in the Gulf of Guinea and at greater water depths than Tullow’s Jubilee field. PanAtlantic planned one exploration and 1-3 appraisal wells in 2012-2013.

9. India’s Gasop Oil, over Deepwater Saltpond Basin. Gasop is owned by OMEL, which in turn is a joint venture between India’s ONGC and Mittal.

10. Saltpond Offshore Producing Company Limited, over the existing Saltpond Field. This company is
a joint venture between the U.S. firm Lushann International, Nigeria’s Eternit, and GNPC. Lushann-Eternit Energy Ltd. has a 55 percent share, while GNPC has 45 percent. This company is Ghana’s oldest oil production company and the Saltpond Field has been in production intermittently for over 25 years. Daily production is currently about 600 bpd, down from a peak of 4,800 bpd. In 2000, GNPC had entered into an agreement with Lushann International for the rehabilitation of the Saltpond Oil Field.


12. Italy’s ENI, over the deepwater Keta Basin, with a 35 percent operator interest, with the UK’s Afren (35 percent), Japan’s Mitsui (20 percent), and GNPC (10 percent). ENI took over from Afren as operator in 2011. The Keta Block is in the offshore part of the Volta River Basin in eastern Ghana, next to the maritime boundary with Togo. The consortium failed to encounter hydrocarbons in Nunya-1X exploration well, but believes the basin retains a high potential and plans to drill another exploratory well in 2014.

Other Fields.

Two other exploration areas are offshore Accra and the onshore Voltaian (or Volta River) Basin. Australia’s Tap Oil has a 45 percent interest in offshore Accra acreage. In December 2012, the UK’s Ophir Energy (new operator), Rialto (12.5 percent share), and Vitol farmed-in to this acreage, which shows similarities to Jubilee, and planned to drill the Starfish-1 exploration well in 2013. Tap said the well had a P50 (50% probability) resource of 431 billion barrels and could be comparable in size to Jubilee. Canada’s Simba Oil has submitted an application for acreage in east-
central Ghana in the Voltaian Basin and hopes it could “represent a large gas play.”

*National Gas Processing Project.*

In July 2012, China’s Sinopec won a $750 million contract to develop Ghana’s National Gas Processing Project, which involves transporting and marketing associated gas from the Jubilee Field. The Chinese government offered financing and agreed to accept repayment in the form of a long-term oil supply contract. Chinese firms are involved in several other energy-related projects, including thermal power plants and the Bui hydroelectric project. Gas will be distributed from a central processing facility at Domunli; a 120-km onshore gas line will deliver dry gas to the Aboadze thermal power station, while another 75-km onshore line will connect to the mining center of Prestea. Sinopec, for example, is the contractor for a project to be completed by July 2013 to build a pipeline from the offshore Jubilee Field to deliver 150 million cf/d to the Aboadze plant.

*Territorial Dispute with Cote d’Ivoire: No Impact on Exploration and Production.*

Ghana has a long-standing maritime territorial dispute with Cote d’Ivoire that reignited in 2010 after Ghana started commercial oil production. Cote d’Ivoire has been claiming ownership of billions of barrels of oil and cubic feet of gas reserves found in deep waters near the coast of Ghana and covering portions of the Jubilee, Field, Tweneboa, Enyenra, and Owo discoveries and West Tano-1X find. Both countries had previously made submissions in 2009 to the UN Commission on the Limits of the Continental
Shelf. IOCs continue work in the disputed areas under the assumption that they belong to Ghana, given that the UN’s International Court of Justice has not issued an injunction against Ghana pending its adjudication of the dispute.

Guinea.

Guinea does not produce oil or gas, but there is ongoing offshore and onshore exploration. The U.S. firm Hyperdynamics holds one of the largest offshore exploration acreage positions in West Africa, at 25,000 km², with depths from 100 meters to 4,000 meters. It has acquired 17,800 km of 2D seismic and 3,635 km of 3D seismic data.

The company relinquished a portion of its original, 31,000-square-mile concession in 2009 under the then military government. According to the U.S. Foreign Commercial Service, Hyperdynamics relinquished 70 percent of its original concession to the Transitional government. Some speculated that officials in the Transitional government had awarded much of this offshore acreage to China Sonagol, a joint venture between the Angolan NOC and Duyuan International Development. However, the government of Alpha Conde, who, in December 2010, became the first democratically elected President in Guinea’s 50-year history, said it will review agreements made by previous regimes. China Sonangol’s website does not list any oil and gas operations in Guinea, but does list a Conakry office.

In January 2010, Hyperdynamics farmed out 23 percent of its concession to Dana Petroleum, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Korea National Oil Company; in February 2013, it completed the farm out of 40 percent to Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil. CEO Leonard said that:
We look forward to working with Tullow Oil as they apply their successful exploration experience on the Atlantic Margin . . . particularly the Transform Margin play that is present in the Guinea acreage.442

The partners intend to drill a deepwater well before April 2014.443 Earlier, in February 2012, Hyperdynamics announced that its exploratory well Sabu-1 had encountered hydrocarbons that its CEO Ray Leonard indicated “enhances the prospectivity of our . . . concession.”444

In 2011, Canada’s Simba Energy signed an agreement with Luxembourg-based Summa Energy for a 60 percent operator share in 12,000 km² in onshore Blocks 1 and 2 in Guinea’s Bove Basin,445 which has three known reservoir systems.446 During the firm’s initial exploration work in February 2013, Simba identified numerous oil seeps in both blocks.447 It plans to drill the first of two test wells later in 2013.448

The government of Guinea announced that it plans to put 22 new offshore blocks up for auction after it puts its new petroleum code in place, hopefully by June 2013.449

Guinea-Bissau.

While there is currently no oil or gas production in Guinea-Bissau, there have been oil discoveries in both the country’s offshore blocks and its Joint Development Zone (JDZ) with Senegal. The Zone, principally known by its French name, Agence de Gestion et de Co-operation entre la Guinee-Bissau et le Senegal (AGC), was established under the terms of a 1995 agreement that addressed a territorial dispute between the two coun-
tries and divided proceeds from activity in the joint exploration area between Guinea-Bissau and Senegal in a 15:85 ratio.\textsuperscript{450}

There has been intermittent exploration offshore in Guinea-Bissau since the 1960s, when Esso drilled six wells. In 1974, Guinea-Bissau gained its independence from Portugal. Since then, exploration has frequently been affected by civil unrest. Offshore exploration had also been hampered by a boundary dispute with Senegal, which was not resolved until 1993.\textsuperscript{451} In recent years, perceptions of Guinea-Bissau’s reputation as a target for foreign investment has been severely damaged by perceptions that it had devolved into a “narco-state” in which Latin American drug cartels had corrupted the weak central government and was using the country as a transit point for cocaine shipments from Latin American to Europe.\textsuperscript{452}

Despite this, there are at least three groups of foreign oil companies engaged in exploration in Guinea-Bissau and the AGC. The first group is led by Svenska Petroleum, a Swedish company that is 100 percent owned by Saudi Arabian/Ethiopian businessman Sheikh Mohammed H. al-Amoudi.\textsuperscript{453} Svenska is the operator of the Sinapa (Block 2) and Esperanca (Blocks 4A and 5A), along with Australian partner FAR (21.43 percent) and NOC Petroguin.\textsuperscript{454} These licenses lie on the continental shelf around 180 km off the Guinea-Bissau coast and west of the Bissau River estuary. Immediately to the north lies the billion-barrel Dome Flore discovery. The blocks have wide, shallow waters of an extended continental shelf, where the Sinapa oil discovery, made in 30 meters (m) of water, is estimated to have P50 STOOIP of 240 million barrels; several large untested prospects in the Sardinha Prospect are estimated to have unrisked P50 STOOIP of 219 million
barrels. Svenska plans to drill two wells in 2013.\textsuperscript{455} Qatar’s Sphere Petroleum, a now privately held company formerly traded on the Australian Stock Exchange, is the operator of offshore Blocks 1 and 5B.\textsuperscript{456}

AGC: The UK’s Ophir is the lead operator (44.2 percent) in deepwater Block AGC Profond, along with partners U.S. firm Noble (30 percent), L’Entreprise (AGC state entity; 12 percent),\textsuperscript{457} FAR (8.8 percent), and the U.S. firm Rocksource Energy (5 percent).\textsuperscript{458} Ophir drilled an unsuccessful well in June 2011 and has undertaken analysis of the wider implications for the prospectivity of the Casamance Sub-basin of the MSGBC Basin.\textsuperscript{459}

**Kenya.**

Major oil discoveries in Uganda in 2006 and natural gas discoveries in Mozambique and Tanzania in 2010 have created expectations that Kenya is part of a new regional hydrocarbon province, attracting new IOC exploration. Kenya has four large sedimentary basins:

- **Anza,** in north central Kenya, with its northern tip touching Ethiopia and running northwest-southeast, touching Somalia.\textsuperscript{460}
- **Lamu,** in onshore and offshore in southeast Kenya, may contain up to 5 billion barrels of oil. Geology similar to areas of Madagascar, the island that was joined to East Africa before splitting apart 145 million years ago and that may contain up to 24 billion barrels of heavy oil resources.\textsuperscript{461} At least 11 wells in Lamu have encountered oil and/or gas shows.\textsuperscript{462}
- **Mandera** in the northeast tip of Kenya, bordering Ethiopia to the north and Somalia to the east.
• The Tertiary Rift Basin in western Kenya, bordering Sudan and Ethiopia to the north, Uganda to the east, and Tanzania to the south.\textsuperscript{463} Includes several sub-basins, including Lochikar, where Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil made two oil discoveries in 2012.\textsuperscript{464} Kenya’s Great Rift Valley could yield 10 billion barrels of oil, Tullow estimates.\textsuperscript{465}

Until recently, only 28 exploration wells had been drilled in Kenya, averaging one well every 20,000 km\textsuperscript{2}, with the earliest being drilled in 1992. The rush is now on, however, and there are already at least 12 oil exploration companies in Kenya, including France’s Total, Italy’s ENI, and Norway’s Statoil.\textsuperscript{466} As of July 2012, the government had distributed 46 designated blocks, including nine offshore.\textsuperscript{467} In February 2013, the government announced that it would adopt an auction-style format for up to nine new onshore and offshore blocks. It had previously operated more on a first-come-first-served basis, but is demanding higher licensing fees and work program requirements now that Kenya has become an established area for hydrocarbons. Kenya’s production sharing contracts say that explorers must relinquish 25 percent of the acreage in a licensed area every 2 years. Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil and Anadarko reached this point in 2012 for seven exploration blocks, which the government now plans to re-demarcate into up to nine new blocks.\textsuperscript{468} The government has a $25 billion plan to construct a new port in the northern coastal town of Lamu that could be used for energy and other exports from Kenya, Uganda, Ethiopia, and South Sudan.\textsuperscript{469}
Onshore.

Tullow Oil and Africa Oil (50 percent each) found crude at two onshore wells in March 2012 in the northern Turkana region in Blocks 10 BB (Ngamia-1 exploratory well) and 13T (Twiga South-1), and now plan up to 11 more test wells in 2013. The IMF has already declared these Turkana finds as “commercial” and projects production of oil will start in “6-7 years,” while Tullow has been more cautious—perhaps in part to tamp down Kenyan government expectations and desire to accrue more benefits to itself through new legislation. Tullow described this area as in the South Lokichar Basin, one of seven sub-basins in the larger Kenya-Ethiopia Rift Basin. Each of the seven is similar in magnitude to the Lake Albert Rift Basin in Uganda. Tullow also has 50 percent operator interests in Blocks 10A and 10BA, a 65 percent operator interest in Block 12A, and an undisclosed farmed-in, working interest in Block 12B, where Australia’s Swala Energy has carried out an airborne gravity-magnetic survey and plans a 2D survey soon. In March 2013, Tullow found light, noncommercial hydrocarbons in Block 10A in the Anza Basin in northeast Kenya, with Canada’s Africa Oil (30 percent) and the UK’s Afren (20 percent). Canada’s Africa Oil also owned shares running from 20 percent to 50 percent of Blocks 9, 10BA, 10BB, 12A, and 13T, and agreed in September 2012 to sell to U.S. firm Marathon Oil a 50 percent interest in Block 9 and a 15 percent interest in Block 12A. As with Block 10A, the firm’s Block 9 is in the Anza Basin, along a northeast-southwest trend rift that runs from southern Sudan. These two blocks had prospective gross best estimates of 1.287 billion and 588 million barrels of oil, respectively. Afren has an 80 percent operator
interest in Block 1 in northeast Kenya along the border with Ethiopia and Somalia, along with Canada’s Taipan Resources, which also has a 100 percent interest in Block 2B, to the south bordering with Somalia. The Tarbaj oil seep in southwest Block 1 suggests an active petroleum system, while the northern portion is an extension of the Ogaden Basin in Ethiopia, which is estimated to contain approximately 4 tcf of natural gas and 715 million BOE. Block 2B is estimated to have 387 million BOE of unrisked prospective resources. Taipan expects to drill its first well on Block 2B in earlier 2014.

Canada’s Imara Energy acquired Block L2 onshore Lamu Basin in June 2012. Privately held Swiss Oil Holdings International owns the licenses to Blocks L4 and L13, which are both mainly onshore at Lamu (L4) and further southwest along the coast.

In July 2012, U.S. firm ERHC was awarded Block 11A in northwestern Kenya along the border with South Sudan, east of where Tullow made discoveries in 10BB. Block 11A has parts of both the Central Africa Rift System and the Tertiary East Africa Rift System; the firm says that the proximity and in-trend relationship between the Abu Gabra Rift Basin of southern Sudan and the Lotikipi Sub-basin in the East Africa Rift System suggest high oil and gas prospectivity. In May 2013, ERHC announced a letter of intent to farm out part of the block to an unnamed “integrated international oil and gas company” while it moves forward on a full tensor gravity gradiometry survey of the block.

Canada’s Simba Oil has a 100 percent share of Block 2A; the southwest corner of the block extends into the Anza Basin in central Kenya, moving north-easterly toward the southern tip of the Mandera Basin in northeastern Kenya bordering on Somalia.
expects to strike oil reserves of over one billion barrels in Wajir Region. In May 2013, Simba farmed out a 66 percent share and operatorship of the block to privately owned oil and gas company, APEX Exploration. Canada’s Vanoil has Blocks 3A and 3B due south of Block 2A, bordering with Somalia to the east. These blocks are at the confluence of the Anza, Lamu, and Mochesa Basins. The firm also later acquired shares in Blocks 9, 10A, 10 BA, and 10BB, the latter two containing most of Lake Turkana. The UK’s Bowleven farmed into a 50 percent interest in Block 11B, which borders on South Sudan and Ethiopia and is west of Lake Turkana. In 2010, CNOOC plugged the Bhogal-1 exploration well on Block 9 in north-central Kenya after inconclusive results showed hydrocarbon potential.

Offshore.

In September 2012, U.S. firm and 50 percent operator Apache found a major offshore discovery of gas in offshore Block L8 about 70 km east of Malindi at the Mbawa-1 exploration well, with Australia’s Origin Energy (20 percent), Australia’s Pancontinental (15 percent), and Tullow Oil (15 percent). Pancontinental says Mbawa has “world-class potential for oil and gas, with volumetric pressure easily exceeding one billion barrels of oil” and potentially exceeding 5.2 billion barrels and 809 bcf of gas.” U.S. firm Anadarko is the 50 percent operator of Blocks L5, L7, L11A, L11B, and L12, with partners Total of France (40 percent) and PTTEP of Thailand (10 percent). In May 2012, U.S. firm CAMAC Energy was named 100 percent operator of Blocks L1B, L16, L27, and L28, with the Kenyan government having the option to participate to
20 percent upon development, and began airborne gravity and magnetic surveys of Blocks L1B and L16 in April 2013. Australia’s FAR has a 60 percent operator interest in Lamu Basin offshore Block L6, where 3D data has led to an unrisked estimate assessment of as much as 3.96 billion barrels of oil or 10.69 tcf of gas, with drilling planned for mid-2013. FAR, which recently convinced the Kenya government to restore a large area in Block L-6 that had been previously relinquished, estimates that L-6 has prospective resources of 3.96 billion barrels of oil or 10.7 bcf of natural gas. FAR also holds a 30 percent interest in offshore Block L9, which is operated by the UK’s Ophir Energy. Ophir plans to drill a well in Block L9 in 2013. In the southern, offshore portion of the Lamu Basin, the UK’s BG Group is the 40 percent operator of Block L10A (with partners Thailand’s PTTEP, 25 percent; the UK’s Premier, 20 percent; and Pancontinental, 15 percent) and L10B (Premier, 25 percent; PTTEP, 15 percent; and Pancontinental, 15 percent). BG Group will drill at least one well in L10A/L10B in the second half of 2013. Afren has 100 percent interest in L17 and L18, both of which are in the offshore part of the Lamu Basin adjacent to the coast, with L18 further east offshore, and also abutting the maritime boundary with Tanzania, as well as Afren’s Tanga Block in northern onshore and offshore Tanzania. The company obtained 3D seismic in 2012 for L17/L18, prior to exploration drilling.

Lesotho.

There are no known hydrocarbons in Lesotho.
Liberia.

Offshore.

There is currently no oil or gas production in Liberia, but considerable optimism about the possibility of a new hydrocarbon province offshore known as the West Africa Transform Margin. In 2004, Spain’s Repsol acquired rights to offshore Blocks 16 and 17, the latter of which runs up to the maritime border with Sierra Leone. In 2010, U.S. major Chevron acquired three offshore blocks, LB-11, LB-12, and LB-14, in which it currently has a 45 percent operator interest, with Nigeria’s Oranto with 30 percent and Italy’s ENI with 25 percent. The U.S. firm Anadarko has 47.5 percent operator shares in Blocks LB-15, LB-16, and LB-17 in fields adjacent to Sierra Leone’s Mercury and Venus discoveries. Other partners are Repsol (27.5 percent) and Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil (25 percent). Anadarko drilled the unsuccessful Montserrado-1 well in Block LB-15 in 2011. Despite this disappointment, partner Tullow stressed that it remained optimistic about Liberia (and Sierra Leone) as part of an overall exploration program in West Africa.

Australia’s African Petroleum made a new offshore oil discovery in February 2013 when it drilled the Bee Eater-1 well on offshore Block LB-09. The company is finalizing negotiations with CNPC (through its Hong Kong-listed arm, PetroChina) for an investment of up to 20 percent equity in this block. The Bee Eater-1 well indicated that the oil play discovered while drilling Narina-1 in early 2012, located 9.5 km southeast, extends over a very large area. One recent estimate, which may have been revised upwards, is that
LB-09 contains 500 million barrels of oil. African Petroleum also owns a 100 percent share in block LB-08, which is east of LB-09 and northwest of Ghana’s Jubilee Field.

In March 2013, ExxonMobil purchased an 80 percent operator share offshore in Block LB-13 from Canadian Overseas Petroleum Ltd., which retained a 20 percent share. One report estimated that the block has 2.32 billion barrels of gross prospective recoverable resources. Norwegian seismic player TGS has commenced a 3D multi-client survey covering up to 7,800 km² of highly prospective acreage in the Harper Basin, offshore Liberia. TGS’s data processing will be available to clients before a 2013 4Q bid round by the Liberian government for new exploration blocks, including ultra-deepwater. Liberia currently has 17 offshore exploration blocks and plans to create 13 more deepwater blocks.

Onshore.

Canada’s Simba energy has a 90 percent share of the onshore reconnaissance license NR-001 covering 1,386 km² of onshore coastal strip of Liberia lying with the Roberts and Bassa Basins. In 2010, an exploration team carried out an extensive oil seep survey of most of the license area.

Libya.

In the mid-2000s, IOCs involvement in Libya experienced a resurgence as the United Nations (UN), and the United States and other nations lifted sanctions in 2003 and 2004, respectively. Libya was Africa’s second biggest oil producer, after Nigeria, and
produced an estimated 1.65 million bpd prior to the movement in February 2011 to oust long-time dictator Muammar Qadhafi. This was about 2 percent of global production. This was well below the three million bpd in the late 1960s, but an improvement over the 1.4 million bpd in 2000. Libya also produced an estimated 140,000 bpd of non-crude liquids, including condensate and natural gas plant liquids. Output exceeded Libya’s OPEC target of 1.47 billion bpd. Because Libyan oil and natural gas exports were almost totally disrupted during several months of internal fighting, the International Energy Agency coordinated a release of 60 million barrels of oil from member-state emergency stocks. Libya oil production started its recovery in September 2011, production was estimated to have recovered to 1.4 million bpd by May 2012 and was seen to be on track to reach pre-conflict levels of 1.6 million bpd by April 2013. In 2010, Libya had targeted production of 2.5 million bpd by 2015. Following the disruption in exploration and production, Libya has set a more modest target of 2.0 million bpd over the next 5 years. Libya’s domestic oil consumption is about 300,000 bpd.

Libya is the fourth ranked African country in terms of crude oil production after Nigeria, Algeria, and Angola. About two-thirds of oil production—and over 80 percent of reserves—are from fields in the eastern part of the country, in the Sirte Basin, followed by 25 percent in the southwest Murzuq Basin, and most of the remainder from the Pelangian Shelf Basin near Tripoli, with small fields in the western Ghadames Basin. Libya’s proven oil reserves of 47.1 billion barrels as of January 2012 were the largest in Africa, seventh ranked globally, and represented 3.4 percent of global reserves. The next five African countries in terms of reserves are Nigeria (37.2 billion), Algeria...
(12.2 billion), Angola (9.5 billion), Sudan/South Sudan (5 billion), and Egypt (4.4 billion). 532

Libya’s rank as a producer and reserve holder is less significant for natural gas than it is for oil. Libya produced 1,069 bcf of natural gas in 2010, of which 825 bcf was marketed, 120 bcf was re-injected, and roughly an equal amount was vented or flared. Domestic gas consumption was 242 bcf. Most production is exported, almost entirely via the Greenstream pipeline under the Mediterranean to Italy. Libya’s natural gas reserves in January 2012 were 52.8 tcf. IOCs are less active in natural gas, with ENI being an exception because of the large Western Libya Gas Project, which produces 1.0 bcf/d. Prior to 2011, the NOC had announced plans to increase the country’s natural gas production, including from the Faregh Field operated by Waha in the Sirte Basin and Lellitah’s offshore Bouri Field.

Libyan law requires foreign companies to have a local partner, either state owned or private, that owns a minimum of 35 percent in any joint venture. There are at least 28 IOCs active in Libya. 533 Among the more important joint ventures are:

1. Waha Oil Company: Consortium of U.S. firms ConocoPhillips (16.33 percent), Hess (8.13 percent), and Marathon (16.33 percent), in partnership with Libya’s NOC (59.16 percent). 534 Total capacity is 350,000 bpd, all from fields in the Sirte Basin. Three major growth projects under development by the co-venturers include Faregh II, North Gialo, and NC-98. 535 (Hess is also the 100 percent operator of Area 54 offshore in the Sirte Basin, where hydrocarbons were discovered in 2008.) 536

2. Akakus Oil Operations: Led by Spain’s Repsol, with 50 percent ownership by the NOC. Production capacity about 350,000 bpd; located in the Murzuq
Basin. Overall, Repsol has 9 blocks, of which 7 are exploratory and 2 are in operation. In January 2011, Repsol made a discovery in Block NC-115.  

3. Mellitah Oil & Gas: Led by Italy’s ENI; operations in Sirte, Murzuq, and Ghadames Basins. Near Zwara in western Libya. Production capacity of 300,000 bpd, of which 50,000 is condensate. Was attacked in May 2013 by militiamen, who stole weapons and military vehicles.  

4. Wintershall: This German company, with Russia’s Gazprom and the NOC. Production capacity of over 100,000 bpd. Wintershall is currently cooperating with the NOC and Libya’s AGOCO to build a new 55-km-long oil pipeline to connect the Wintershall concession C96 with the Amal field, from which it will be exported via the town of Ras Lanuf. (Gazprom obtained 49 percent shares in Blocks C96 and C97 from Wintershall. Gazprom also has Block 19 offshore, for which it is preparing drilling, and Block 64, which is 300 km south of Tripoli in Ghadames Basin.)  

5. Harouge Oil Operations: Led by Canada’s Suncor with a 49 percent interest, capacity of about 100,000 bpd.  

6. Mabrouk Oil Operations: Led by France’s Total and NOC, with Norway’s Statoil. Capacity of about 70,000 bpd. The NC 186 license in the Murzuq area is operated by Total and consists of seven fields (A, B, D, H, I/R, J, and K). (Total also has non-operator shares in production in five offshore and three onshore zones, and submitted a development plan for Block NC 191 in onshore Murzuk Basin (100 percent operator). For example, Total has a 20.25 percent stake in Block C 137, together with Mabruk Oil (73 percent operator) and Wintershall (6.75 percent).  

percent of Occidental’s Libya assets in 1985. In 2008, Occidental, OMV, and Libya NOC signed one of the biggest redevelopment projects worldwide for these mature fields.\textsuperscript{545} Capacity of about 60,000 bpd.

8. MedcoEnergi: The Nafusah Oil Operations joint venture between this Indonesian IOC (50 percent operator), NOC, and the Libyan Investment Authority (50 percent) for Area 47 is one of the few significant projects expected to come online over the next 4 years, starting in 2016 and peaking production at 50,000 bpd.\textsuperscript{546} Eighteen out of 20 exploration wells discovered oil/gas; gross contingent resources of 351.7 million BOE.\textsuperscript{547} Capacity to be from 50-100,000 bpd.

9. Other IOCs in Libya include Oil India, which has a 50 percent operator interest in onshore Blocks 86 and 102 (4) and a 25 percent working interest in onshore Area 95/96, Block 2/1, 2, and 4.\textsuperscript{548} India’s ONGC Videsh also has a 49 percent stake in exploration Block NC-189 (with 51 percent owned by Turkey’s NOC) and Contract Area 43 in the Cyrenaica offshore basin. China’s CNPC shares an interest with Libya’s NOC in offshore Block 17-4 in the Pelagian Basin off the northwestern coast of Libya, with a water depth of 200-400 meters.\textsuperscript{549} Its subsidiary, Greatwall Drilling Company (GWDC), is also present in Libya.\textsuperscript{550} U.S. major ExxonMobil returned to Libya in 2005 after a 25-year absence, winning a license to explore an area covering 2.5 million acres in the Cyrenaica Basin, one of the country’s largest energy fields.\textsuperscript{551}

10. UK/Netherlands major Shell said in November 2012 that it remained interested in oil and gas exploration opportunities in Libya after abandoning drilling in two blocks, LNGDA and area 89, earlier in the year.\textsuperscript{552} In 2010, the U.S. firm Chevron also did not extend its five-year oil and gas licenses in Libya.\textsuperscript{553} One major
concern for IOCs is the possibility of a terrorist attack on their facilities, with workers kidnapped or killed, such as happened in February 2013 at the Amenas gas facility in Algeria. A more likely security problem may be in-fighting between militia for influence within the government’s Petroleum Facilities Guard. Labor unrest and protests are other concerns, as protesters forced the closure of three major oil terminals in July 2012, and the Waha Field was blockaded for almost 3 weeks in March 2013 until the oil minister intervened to address the demands of 100 workers.

Madagascar/France’s Juan de Nova Islands.

Madagascar has five basins, the most important of which are the onshore basins of Ambilobe, Majunga, and Morondava along the western coast. The Ile St. Marie Basin on the northern end of the island and the Cap St. Marie Basin on the south coast have potential but unproven hydrocarbon systems. Political instability has been a serious impediment to foreign investment in Madagascar’s oil and gas industry and only made worse by threats from the transitional government to expropriate major oil fields. Madagascar has been rocked by political crisis since 2009, when Andry Rajoelina ousted President Marc Ravalomanana with the help of dissident soldiers. Nevertheless, there were 17 oil companies exploring the island as of 2012.

Onshore.

Madagascar’s largest oil and gas fields are the Bemolanga and Tsimiroro fields in the Morondava Basin. Rajoelina’s transitional government announced
in December 2010 that it was interested in acquiring Blocks 3104-3107, where these giant fields are located, from the U.S. firm Madagascar Oil at less than market prices. The resulting uproar among IOCs led the transitional government to delay a planned tender of 225 offshore blocks in March 2011. Later that month, Madagascar Oil declared force majeure to safeguard its rights under its PSCs, eventually forcing the government to back down, when it acknowledged the firm’s rights under the PSCs for Block 3104 in June 2011 and for Blocks 3105-3107 in April 2012. In September 2011, Madagascar Oil increased its estimates for contingent original-oil-in-place (OOIP) for Tsimiroro to 1.78 billion barrels. The firm has a Steam Flood Pilot project at Tsimiroro under which it will use injected steam into the ground to soften the oil, with production starting in 2013 1Q and peaking in 2013 4Q or 2014 1Q with 1,000 bpd. Full-scale commercial production at the Tsimiroro heavy oil field is expected to commence sometime after 2017 and eventually reach peak production of around 160,000 bpd. While Tsimiroro has potential for light oil and natural gas, Bemolanga is a field with ultra-heavy oil with potential resources of 16.6 billion barrels (about 9.8 billion barrels of recoverable reserves). France’s Total has a 60 percent operator interest for an exploration license in Block 3102 (Bemolanga), with partner Madagascar Oil (40 percent). Total now has the right to search for conventional oil as well; it expects first production of heavy oil by 2019.

The UK’s Afren has a 90 percent operator interest in Block 1101, which has net prospective resources of 631 million BOE. (Candax owns the remaining 10 percent.) The block is located in the eastern flank of the Ambilobe Basin in northern Madagascar, whose
southwestern portion is adjacent to Exxon’s Ampasindava Block.574 Australia’s Caravel Energy has a 25 percent interest in the onshore Behaza Oil Project (Block 3114) in the north, with the ability to increase its share to 80 percent. Two leads in the block are estimated to contain between 22.1 million and 2.48 billion barrels.575 (The 75 percent owner is reportedly Dr. Rsovoahangy, a Madagascan national.)576 The UK’s Avana holds a 100 percent interest in licenses in the Sambaina shale oil area of onshore Madagascar.577 Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil two onshore blocks in the southern Morondava Basin,578 Block 3109 (Mandabe license) and Block 3111 (Berentry license), for which the firm has acquired 2D seismic data and plans for at least one well in 2013.579 Mauritius-based, London-listed Essar Energy is 100 percent operator of three onshore blocks in the Morondava Basin.580

Offshore.

ExxonMobil operates three blocks inside Madagascar, although it reportedly declared force majeure in 2009 due to the political situation in the country following a nondemocratic change in government, but is considering resuming exploration there, including drilling a well in 2013.581 Exxon has a 70 percent interest in the offshore Ampasindava and Ambilobe Blocks in the northwest (with 30 percent owned by Sterling Energy) and a 50 percent interest in the west-central offshore Majunga Block (with 30 percent owned by BP, 10 percent by Korea’s SK Corporation, and 10 percent by NOC PetroVietnam.582 The large Sifaka Prospect in Ampasindava has been independently estimated to contain gross unrisked best estimate prospective recoverable resources of 1.2 billion barrels.583 Canada’s
Niko Resources has the offshore Grand Prix Block in west-central Madagascar in the Morondava Basin, which is south of Majunga. The onshore part of the basin is a proven petroleum province with onshore discoveries of oil sands and subsurface heavy oil deposits exceeding 20 billion barrels. The offshore portion of the basin is considered to be part of the same petroleum system but extending into a deeper geologic setting that may yield lighter oil discoveries. Nigeria’s South Atlantic Petroleum (SAPETRO) has a 90 percent operator interest in the Belo Profond PSC in deepwater Madagascar, in the Mozambique Channel, with U.S. partner MAREX owning the remaining 10 percent.

French Juan de Nova Islands.

In the Mozambique Channel between Mozambique and Madagascar lies the Juan de Nova islands, which is controlled by France as part of its TAAF or Terres Australes et Antarctique Francaises (French Southern and Antarctic Lands) administrative region. The islands, which are claimed by Madagascar, were not part of Madagascar when the country gained independence in 1960. The Juan de Nova permit, which is 75 percent owned by Nigeria’s South Atlantic Petroleum, is located east of the Rovuma Basin in Mozambique, where Anadarko and ENI have made major gas discoveries, and west of Madagascar’s Belo Profond permit. To the east of the Juan de Nova permit and west of Belo Profond is the Juan de Nova Est permit, which was issued by France to the UK’s Wessex (70 percent operator) and Australia’s Global Petroleum (30 percent). France has declared a continental shelf surrounding the islands and claims the energy
and mineral rights.\textsuperscript{589} The Juan de Nova Est permit lies 100 km northwest of the Tsimiroro and Bemolanga fields.\textsuperscript{590}

\textbf{Malawi.}

Malawi currently has no oil or gas production, but has considerable hydrocarbon prospectivity. The government awarded exploration Block 1 in December 2012 to South Africa’s SacOil.\textsuperscript{591} Block 1 is located in northwestern Malawi, bordering Tanzania to the north and Zambia to the west. The license is located on trend with the East African rift system, which is a proven exploration province with prolific oil discoveries in Sudan, Chad, Kenya and Uganda.\textsuperscript{592} Earlier and more controversially, Malawi awarded Blocks 2 and 3 on Lake Malawi to the UK’s Surestream Petroleum in 2011. Surestream believes its acreage lies along the western branch of the East African Rift System, including Uganda’s productive Albertine Basin\textsuperscript{593} and the Rukwa Block in Tanzania. Since the award, Surestream has been conducting an environmental survey to establish what impact drilling could have in this freshwater lake.\textsuperscript{594}

In 2012, in response to Malawi’s award of Blocks 2 and 3 to Surestream, Tanzania called on Malawi to stop exploring for oil and gas in Lake Malawi (known as Lake Nyasa in Tanzania) until a long-standing border dispute between the two countries can be resolved.\textsuperscript{595} Both nations agreed to have the African Forum mediate their dispute,\textsuperscript{596} but Malawi President Joyce Banda reportedly said in April 2013 that her country was ready to abandon mediation and take the boundary dispute to the International Court of Justice for arbitration.\textsuperscript{597} Malawi claims sovereignty over the entire
Lake based on the 1890 Anglo-German Hligoland Treaty, while Tanzania says it is entitled to 50 percent of it based on the 1982 UN Convention on the Law of the Sea—a battle reminiscent of the dispute between Uganda and the DRC following Uganda’s discovery of oil on the shores of Lake Albert. In January 2012, the Tanzanian government awarded the Kyela Block in the northern onshore area of Lake Malawi/Nyasa to the UK’s Heritage Oil & Gas, and the company continues to analyze 3D seismic data to select targets for a drilling program.

In July 2012, the government also awarded a 100 percent interest, exclusive prospecting license (EPL 0360/12) for shale gas and coal-bed methane to Australia’s NuEnergy covering 346 km² in southern Malawi near the Mozambique border. In May 2013, the firm launched its airborne geophysical survey of the depth and structure of sediments in the Mwanza River Basin.

Mali.

Mali is largely unexplored for hydrocarbons and has no established oil or gas reserves. Around one-half of the country overlies sedimentary basin, but only five exploration wells have been drilled—i.e., one well per 450,000 km² of basin. The Malian government has identified five promising basins: the Taoudeni Basin (which extends into Mauritania), the Nara Trough (or Nara Graben), the Chad-like Gao Graben, the Niger-like and Libya-like Lullemenden-Tamesna Basins, and the Algeria-Libya Active Oil and Gas Provinces.

Further exploration in northern Mali is unlikely until the country’s political situation stabilizes in the
aftermath of three events: a March 2012 coup overturning a series of democratically elected governments; the April 2012 takeover of the north by AQIM and its Toureg allies; and the January 2013 counterattacks by France and its African allies attempting to restore democratic, central government control. Reflecting concerns about political risk, Italy’s ENI handed back to Mali’s government, in January 2013, its licenses to explore the north, citing poor prospects. ENI had acquired five Mali licenses in partnership with Algerian NOC Sonatrach in 2006. Also directly impacted in the north are licenses held by Angola’s Petro Plus, Canada’s Simba, Qatar’s Sphere Petroleum (95 percent interest operator of Blocks 8 and 10), and Venezuela’s PDVSA.

This being said, it is possible that exploration work may continue cautiously in southern Mali, which has not been affected directly by the terrorist/secessionist groups. Illustrative of this in a different resource sector is Canadian gold company Iamgold, which said in January 2013 that its operations in southern Mali had been unaffected by recent political events, but that it would “reduce its exploration activity in the region at this time as a precautionary measure.” Two IOCs active in the south are Canada’s Petroma, which has Blocks 17 and 25 north of the capital Bamako, and Bermuda-based AFEX Global, which has carried out 2D seismic work in Block 13 north of Petroma’s concessions and confirmed that its Block overlies the promising Nara Graben, a series of Cretaceous rifts.

Mauritania.

Mauritania is a frontier exploration country where production has been disappointing so far, but where
promising offshore and onshore prospects have attracted Asian, Australian, European, and U.S. oil firms. The highest profile investor in Mauritania has been Malaysia’s Petronas, which bought out the 47.4 percent share in the offshore Chinguetti oil field owned by Australia’s Woodside Petroleum in 2007. Petronas is also the operator on the Banda (gas), Tiof (oil), and Tevet fields (oil and gas). Woodside discovered the Chinguetti offshore field in 2001 and started production in 2006 with an estimated reserve of 123 million barrels. Production climbed to 75,000 bpd by late 2006, but “geological difficulties” caused production to drop dramatically to 4,000 bpd in 2011. The Banda offshore field, discovered in 2002, was declared commercial in 2012, and will supply gas to fire an electrical power plant near Nouakchott. The Tiof Field, discovered in 2003, is expected to hold 350 million barrels of oil, while the Tevet Field, discovered in 2005, is believed to contain 50 to 100 million barrels of oil. In 2005, the Faucon Field (gas) was discovered in the south, not far from the maritime border with Senegal.

In 2006, China’s CNPC found hydrocarbons in offshore Block 20, for which it has a 65 percent operator interest, with Australia’s Baraka Petroleum holding 35 percent. Korea’s Dana Petroleum discovered natural gas at the Cormoran exploratory well in 2010 and validated the Pelican gas discovery made 2 km north in 2003, both in Block 7. Dana has a 36 percent share in Block 7, along with France’s GDF Suez (27.85 percent), Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil (16.20 percent), and two Australian firms, PC Mauritania and Roc Oil (15 percent and 4.95 percent, respectively). In 2011, Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil appraised the Pelican gas field and discovered yet another underlying field, Petronia. The
firm plans a four-well campaign in 2013, starting at the Fregate-Scorpion Prospect which could hold 294 million BOE.\textsuperscript{621}

U.S. firm Kosmos acquired a 90 percent interest in three offshore blocks in 2012, with the remaining 10 percent share held by SMH, Mauritania’s NOC. Kosmos, which will start drilling in late 2014, believes these blocks are promising because they reside in the proven offshore Mauritania salt basin and include cretaceous geological formations that have been productive in Ghana.\textsuperscript{622} Similarly, the UK’s Chariot Oil & Gas acquired a 90 percent share in another offshore block in 2012 where petroleum systems are proven and plans to drill in 2014. The firm notes that Mauritania lies in the Central Atlantic Margin, which opened up at same time as the Gulf of Mexico, and also stressed that they contain the same geological source rocks as found in Ghanaian oil fields.\textsuperscript{623} In 2012, France’s Total acquired deepwater Block C9, which geologically is in the Abrupt Margin in which the firm recently made major discoveries in French Guyana, and plans to drill in 2013 or 2014.\textsuperscript{624}

Onshore, exploration continues in the Taoudeni Basin, in central-eastern Mauritania by China’s CNPC,\textsuperscript{625} France’s Total, Germany’s RWE,\textsuperscript{626} and Spain’s Repsol.\textsuperscript{627} Total’s partners include Algeria NOC Sonatrach and Qatar Petroleum International.\textsuperscript{628} Even if commercial discoveries are made, however, the current political uncertainty caused by the presence of AQIM in neighboring Mali may deter onshore development, as adherents of this group have also carried out attacks in Mauritania.
Mauritius.

Mauritius has no known oil or gas deposits. The government has engaged in talks with other nations, including India in 2003 and 2007, about concluding Memoranda of Understanding for cooperation in offshore oil and gas exploration. Nearly 70 percent of the Mauritius population is of Indian origin, and the two nations have a special relationship because of this. Indian oil company ONGC has sought oil and gas exploration rights in Mauritius.

Morocco.

Compared to its prolific oil and gas producing eastern neighbor Algeria, Morocco has had a modest upstream industry. Morocco has a variety of liquid and dry accumulations “ranging from dry gas in the Gharb (also known as Rharb) Basin, condensate in Essaouira, light oil in Essaquira and Prefi, to heavy oil in Tarfaya.” The most important oil and gas fields currently in production are the Essaouira Basin on the coast, producing oil and natural gas, and the Gharb Basin in the north. Ireland’s Circle Oil is drilling six wells in Sebou and Lalla Mimouna, in the Gharb region south of Tangiers and near the Strait of Gibraltar. An important gas field was also discovered in recent years at Meskala, just north of Essaouira, where condensate has been produced since 1987. There are even unconventional oil shale formations being developed by Ireland’s San Leon Energy onshore in southwest Morocco at Tarfaya and at Tmahdit in the middle Atlas Mountains.

Despite the E&P, most sedimentary basins in Morocco onshore and offshore are still largely un-
explored. Several factors created enthusiasm among IOCs for Morocco’s oil and gas potential, particularly in 2012 and so far in 2013: the government’s stability, its competitive taxation regime, a supportive regulatory framework; and a capable NOC partner, ONHYM. Leveraging its deepwater expertise, U.S. giant Chevron acquired rights in January 2013 to 75 percent of three offshore areas in Morocco: Cap Rhir Deep, Cap Cantin Deep, and Cap Walidia Deep. Moroccan NOC ONHYM retained 25 percent shares for both the Pura Vida and Chevron deals. U.S. firm Kosmos has acquired operator shares in four offshore blocks, three in the Agadir Basin, and the fourth in the Aaoun Basin. It plans exploratory drilling as early as the second half of 2013. Kosmos increased its equity shares in two of these blocks and now has 56.25 percent participating interest in the Foum Assaka Block and a 75 percent interest in the Essaouira Block. In January 2013, U.S. firm Plains Exploration and Production (PXP) purchased a 52 percent operator interest in the Mazagan permit from Pura Vida. PXP expects to drill the first of five wells in 2014 in the Toubkal Prospect, which has a mean resource potential of 1.5 billion barrels.


Mozambique.

Mozambique has two main sedimentary basins: the Rovuma Basin in the northeast adjacent to the Tanzanian border and the Mozambique Basin in the south. Mozambique estimated that it may receive $50 billion in investment by IOCs to develop the country’s new gas fields, which are concentrated in Rovuma.

Offshore.

Since signing an oil and natural gas Exploration and Production Concession Contract (EPCC) with Mozambique in 2006 for Area 1 in the Rovuma Basin, Anadarko and its partners have safely drilled more than a dozen deepwater wells within the Offshore Area 1 Block, discovering an estimated 35 to 65+ tcf of recoverable natural gas (approaching 100 tcf of original gas in place [OGIP]). Six of the discovery wells in the Prosperidade and Golfino/Atum complexes (Windjammer, Barquentine, Lagosta, Camarão, Golfinho and Atum) were among the largest discoveries in all of Africa during 2010, 2011, and 2012, according to IHS Energy. Additionally Anadarko and its partners are now advancing a commercial LNG development that is initially expected to consist of four liquefaction trains (5 million tons per annum [mpta] each). Anadarko is now evaluating a third discovery in the block, Tubarao, and will drill an appraisal well there in 2013. Overall, Area 1 is among the world’s most significant hydrocarbon finds in the last decade.
Early in 2013, Anadarko and ENI reached an agreement as operators to conduct separate, yet coordinated offshore development activities, while jointly planning and constructing common onshore liquefaction facilities in the form of an LNG park in the Cabo Delgado province of northern Mozambique with a capacity of approximately 50 million tons of LNG per year—enough to elevate Mozambique to one of the world’s largest LNG exporters. Reflecting its need to seek massive financing to develop these fields and its share of the LNG park, Anadarko said that the firm was considering selling up to one-third of its interest in the block. NOC Oil India and India’s ONGC reportedly made a joint offer for a 20 percent stake in Offshore Area 1. In March 2013, Anadarko was also talking with potential Japanese off-take customers for its LNG production. Anadarko operates Area 1 (36.5 percent interest) with Japan’s Mitsui (20 percent), India’s BPRL Ventures and Videocon (10 percent each), Thailand’s PTTEP (8.5 percent), and Mozambique’s NOC ENH (10 percent). The presence of Mitsui, a Japanese general trading company (sogo shosha), as a partner makes it more likely that the consortium will ultimately find Japanese off-take customers, and that Anadarko will not sell out its share to a Chinese NOC.

Like Anadarko, Italy’s ENI has also enjoyed great success in the Rovuma Basin. ENI made a new discovery in December 2012 in the Mamba Complex of the Offshore Area 4, which is due east of Area 1, bringing its estimate for gas in place at 75 tcf. Its operator interest is 70 percent, with 10 percent each for Portugal’s Galp Energia, South Korea’s KOGAS, and ENH. In March 2013, ENI reached an agreement to sell Chinese NOC CNPC a 28.6 percent share of its ENI East Africa subsidiary for $4.21 billion, and there-
fore a 20 percent interest in Area 4. The presence of CNPC and KOGAS make it more likely that Asian off-take customers for this consortium’s gas production will be from China and South Korea, respectively.

Due south of offshore Areas 1 and 4 are offshore Areas 2 and 5, still in the Rovuma Basin, and operated by Norway’s Statoil (40 percent share) with Japan’s Inpex (25 percent), Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil (25 percent), and ENH (10 percent). The partners plan to drill two wells in 2013 2Q. In the author’s view, Statoil selected Inpex as a strategic partner, in April 2013, to facilitate long-term gas contracts with Japan. Due south of Areas 2 and 5 are Offshore Areas 3 and 6, operated by Malaysia’s Petronas (50 percent share), with French partner Total (40 percent) and ENH (10 percent). “The oil potential of the southern part of the Rovuma Basin might equal the gas potential of the northern part,” a Total spokesman has asserted, suggesting that Areas 2, 3, 5, and 6 could be the site of future large discoveries.

Onshore.

Mozambique currently has four onshore fields: Pande, Temane, and Buzi-Divinhe, all discovered in the 1960s, and Inhassoro, discovered by South Africa’s Sasol in 2003. Starting in 2004, Sasol exported most of its output through an 865-km pipeline to its South African chemical plants. It currently plans to increase production to supply its expanded synthetic fuels plant in South Africa and a new gas-fired power plant in Mozambique. Production of natural gas from the Pande and Temane fields, operated by Sasol, increased to about 3.1 bcm in 2010. In July 2012, Sasol was analyzing the feasibility of developing the con-
densate deposit, with a potential of 215 million barrels, which it recently discovered in Inhassoro.\textsuperscript{665} Anadarko is lead operator (35.7 percent) of Rovuma Onshore on the Tanzanian land border adjacent to the Indian Ocean, with France’s Maurel et Prom (27.7 percent), ENH (15 percent), the UK’s Wentworth (11.6 percent), and Thailand’s PTTEP (10 percent).\textsuperscript{666}

Further opportunities exist onshore in the provinces of Gaza, Inhambane, Sofala, Zambezia, Nampula, and Cabo Delgado.\textsuperscript{667} Several oil companies are also carrying out exploration activities in the Urema Graben in central Mozambique, which is the southern part of the East African Rift System.\textsuperscript{668}

\textit{Governance Challenges.}

Excluding onshore natural gas reserves and the potential for unconventionals such as coal-bed methane, Mozambique may already have 175 tcm of gas between the discoveries to date in Areas 1 and 4. By one estimate, Mozambique, which already grew by 7.9 percent annually from 2001 to 2011, may double or triple its GDP over the next 20-30 years. Yet, just as geological risk for Mozambique is declining, “the political, regulatory, and infrastructure risks are increasing,” likely leading to delays in the 2018 start-up for bringing new offshore gas fields to production.\textsuperscript{669} On the surface, Mozambique is politically quite stable, with the same ruling party, Frelimo, in power and winning by big margins at the polling place since independence from Portugal in 1975. However, a gas and coal boom has raised public expectations in a country where income inequality and poverty level have not improved despite rapid economic growth. There were two bouts of urban unrest in Maputo in 2008 and Tete
Province in 2012. Also problematic are regulatory gaps in existing oil and gas legislation. One academic felt that the Mozambique government:

> urgently needs to clarify its broader development agenda for the gas sector and make crucial decisions around infrastructure, local gas pricing, capital gains taxes, corporate and social responsibility standards, and social fund payments.  

In December 2012, the government was considering levying a 32 percent tax on future sales of local assets, which could discourage farm-outs to and risk-sharing with Asian IOCs. Also discouraging future farm-outs is a proposal to increase in the government’s share (though NOC ENH) in oil and gas blocks to a maximum of 40 percent, up from the current of 25 percent. The Mozambique government announced in May 2013 that it expected its new petroleum law to be ratified by year-end 2013 in time for a new licensing round to promote exploration in offshore areas 4, 5, and 6. The government also claimed that it was moving closer to developing a master plan for the development of its new natural gas discoveries, including asset development options, optimal locations, pricing structures, and social improvements. Besides the LNG park, options include fertilizer, petrochemical, gas-to-liquids, and power generation.

On a positive note, in December 2011, the Mozambique government did sign an agreement with Comoros and Tanzania, demarcating their land and maritime borders.
Namibia.

Offshore.

Namibia has attracted investment by major IOCs in 2012, including the UK’s BP, Portugal’s Galp, and Spain’s Repsol, on the bet that its coastal shelf may mirror that of Brazil across the Atlantic Ocean, where the Tupi discovery in 2007 was the biggest find in the Americas in 3 decades. Namibia and Brazil are on the opposite sides of the South Atlantic Margin and share geological history—leading one observer to assert boldly that “Namibia is due to become one of the largest energy producers in Africa [and] might be positioned to rival its neighbor Angola and Algeria in North Africa.”

There are four offshore basins in Namibia that are the focus of interest: the Namibe Basin in the north, which starts south of the Angolan border and part of the prolific West African “salt basin.” Prior to the Atlantic Ocean opening, the Namibe Basin lay adjacent to the Santos Basin of Brazil, where super-giant oil discoveries have been made. The central Luderitz and Walvis Basins are virtually unexplored, with only four wells drilled as of the end of 2012. To the south, the Orange Basin includes the large delta of the Orange River system and holds the Kudu gas field, which was discovered by Chevron in 1973 and whose production will be exported to South Africa or sold to NamPower, a Namibian utility. Significant new exploration is being undertaken in the Kudu field in a joint venture led by Anglo-Irish operator Tullow Oil, with Russia’s Gazprom, Japan’s trading house Itochu, and Namibia’s NOC. Brazil’s HRT Oil holds 10 offshore blocks in four licenses and drilled its first
exploratory well in License 23 offshore in Walvis Bay in March 2013 with a second planned for June 2013. Although HRT did not find oil in commercially viable quantities, the firm indicated that the well “confirms the oil potential of the basin” and “most thought Namibia would only be a [natural] gas potential area.” Other companies exploring for oil and gas offshore include Australia’s Global Petroleum and Pancontinental, Canada’s Eco Oil & Gas and Westbridge Energy, France’s Maurel et Prom, Nigeria’s Oranto, South Africa’s Signet Petroleum, the UK’s Serica Energy and Tower Resources, and U.S. firm EnerGulf Resources. Pancontinental announced that it had received an independent Mean Prospective Resource estimate for its EL0037 petroleum license of 8.2 billion barrels of oil. Westbridge, which has an 80 percent share in 1811B and is acquiring a 75 percent interest in adjacent 19-series blocks from Nambian private-sector company Ropat Petroleum Investments, believes that its licenses:

show similar geologic play concepts and trends to those observed in other prolific petroleum basins in Brazil and the deepwaters of Ghana, Angola, Liberia and Sierra Leone.

Global, which holds an 85 percent interest in PEL-29, has noted evidence of hydrocarbons, including oil slicks on the ocean floor, seabed cores, and 2D seismic, and is believed to have two prospects with greater than one billion barrels each of recoverable oil. In 2011, the Ministry of Mines and Energy announced that a study had identified the potential for some 44 billion barrels of oil offshore, and claimed
that 11 billion barrels in oil reserves had already been found.\textsuperscript{701} He predicted first production by 2015, a date that seems likely to slip in the light of three dry wells in 2012, one by Petrobras in the southern Orange Basin\textsuperscript{702} and two by Chariot, one in a southern block and the other in a northern block.\textsuperscript{703}

A major reason for a lack of exploration offshore has been the significant, expensive challenge of the depths at which prospective fields are thought to be located. In Angola, to the north of Namibia, initial offshore success was in much shallower waters and paved the way for majors to go after promising structures in deeper water.\textsuperscript{704} By contrast, in Namibia, there had only been 15 offshore wells ever drilled as of 2011 3Q, meaning that the geology is less well known. Chariot’s failed effort to drill in the northern block at the Tapir Prospect to 4,879 meters in 3,123 meters of water reportedly cost the company $65 million.\textsuperscript{705}

Onshore.

Three U.S. firms, Duma Energy,\textsuperscript{706} Frontier Resources,\textsuperscript{707} and HydroCarb, have encountered promising signs of hydrocarbons in the Owambo (Etosha) Basin in north-central Namibia bordering on Angola—one of the largest, unexplored onshore basins in Africa.\textsuperscript{708} HydroCarb’s COO believes that the “Owambo Basin has all the ingredients to become a major new hydrocarbon province.”\textsuperscript{709} Frontier Resources has Blocks 1717 and 1817 in the eastern sector of the Owambo Basin, where Occidental Petroleum found evidence of a petroleum system in 1993-94.\textsuperscript{710} Frontier believes that the East African Rift System has an extension into northeast Namibia.\textsuperscript{711} Smaller independent oil companies such as the UK’s Chariot Oil & Gas and Tower Resources, Brazil’s HRT, and Canada’s Eco Oil & Gas
have licenses with shale and coal-bed methane potential, particularly in the Nama/Karoo Basin,\textsuperscript{712} which extends into Botswana and South Africa.

**Niger.**

Niger has three distinct areas of oil and gas potential:

1. **Agadem Block:** Currently the country’s only producing block. It covers the southern half of the Termit-Tenere Rift, bordering Chad to the east. The Niger government estimates the proven oil reserves in Agadem at 324 million barrels, but has also reported that oil reserves could prove to be three times higher than this number.\textsuperscript{713}

2. **Tenere Block:** Comprises the northern part of the Termit-Tenere Rift, which is one of several rift basins that extend across north-central Africa with similar oil-producing basins found in Libya, Chad, and Sudan. Thought to possibly hold 1-3 billion barrels of oil.\textsuperscript{714}

3. **Kafra Block:** A continuation of the rift basin of the Tenere Block; situated in northern Niger up to the Algerian border.\textsuperscript{715} Algerian NOC Sonatrach is exploring in this block.\textsuperscript{716}

In Agadem, China’s CNCP took over in 2003 from an ExxonMobil/Petronas joint venture that was not renewed, taking a 100 percent share in Block Bilma and an 80 percent share in Block Tenere, along with a Canadian oil company, TG World, which took 20 percent. In 2008, Niger and CNCP signed an integrated upstream/downstream package concerning exploration and development of the Agadem Block, and construction and operation of both a long-distance
pipeline and a joint venture refinery. The integrated project became operational in 2011 with a 1 metric ton per year (mt/y) oilfield, a 1 mt/y (20,000 bpd) refinery near Zinder, and a 462.5-km oil pipeline to connect the oilfield and refinery. In an interesting example of warming cross-Straits economic ties, CNCP agreed in April 2013 to a joint exploration agreement for the Agadem Block with Taiwan’s NOC, CPC.

Instability in neighboring Mali to the west notwithstanding, IOCs are still interested in Niger, particularly in the eastern part of the country. In 2011, Russia’s Gazprom reportedly was going to undertake both mining and oil/gas exploration in the Agadez region. In November and December 2012, Australia’s International Petroleum and Canada’s Brandenburg Energy picked up four leases each.

**Chad-Cameroon Pipeline.**

In a move to ensure an export outlet, the Niger government signed an agreement with Chad in July 2012 to construct a 600-km pipeline linked to the Chad-Cameroon pipeline. Niger is expected to begin producing oil at four fields in its Agadem Block by early 2014, with production increased to 80,000 bpd, 20,000 of which would be processed at the refinery near Zinder and 60,000 bpd exported through the pipeline.

**Nigeria.**

The outlook for OPEC member Nigeria, which is both Africa’s largest oil producer and holder of its largest oil reserves, hinges on resolving a number of major issues, including civil conflict in producing regions; improving the transportation infrastructure,
whose deteriorated state has led to sporadic disruptions to supply; the inability of the Nigerian National Petroleum Company (NNPC) to fund its share of investment in joint ventures with IOCs; and delays in agreeing to a new Petroleum Industry Bill, which is holding back $40 billion in investments in the energy sector. ConocoPhillips’ pessimistic view of Nigeria’s investment environment was a significant factor behind the $1.79 billion sale of its Nigeria assets in January 2013 to Oando Energy Resources, a Nigerian company. Similarly, Chevron’s May 2013 decision to sell its 40 percent undeveloped stake on OMLs 83 and 85 has to be seen as a divestment vote of no confidence, given the firm has put off a decision to develop them despite estimates that two fields, Anyala (in OML 83) and Madu (in OML 85) are thought to have combined reserves of about 250 million barrels and 500 bcf of gas.

Despite new output from deepwater fields, Nigeria’s production declined slightly in 2012 from the previous year as increased oil theft and flooding cut crude oil production in the fourth quarter by about 200,000 bpd. Incidents of oil theft and pipeline vandalism continue to curb production in 2013. Crude oil output averaged 2.0 million bpd in the first quarter of 2013, which is 120,000 bpd lower than the same time period last year, despite new production coming online. This is also well below the country’s 3.0 million bpd capacity. EIA expects crude oil production to remain relatively flat in 2013 compared to the previous year, although one investment house predicted an increase to 2.3 million bpd. Nigeria’s oil industry is primarily located in the Niger Delta, where local groups seeking a share of the oil wealth often attack the oil infrastructure and staff. Current exploration is
mainly focused on deep and ultra-deep offshore. The Bonga Field (OML 118) is Nigeria’s first deepwater oil field; it began production in 2003 and is responsible for about 600,000 bpd out of the country’s 2.0 million bpd in production. Other major oil and gas fields include Akpo, located off the coast of Port Harcourt, and Agbami, located offshore in the central Niger Delta.

In 2011, Anglo-Dutch Shell had the largest daily production among IOCs (974,000 bpd), followed by ExxonMobil (800,000 bpd, of which 700,000 bpd is crude), Chevron (516,000 bpd), and Total (which produced 179,000 bpd before selling some of its interests to Chinese IOCs). By another calculation, Shell (and its joint venture partners, including NOC NNPC) accounted for 26 percent of Nigeria’s crude oil production in 2011, while the comparable figures for ExxonMobil were 25 percent, Chevron 22 percent, and Total 12 percent, all including the shares of their respective partners. The remaining unaffiliated firms only accounted for 15 percent of Nigeria’s oil production in 2011. One example of several smaller U.S. independents with operations in Nigeria is CAMAC Energy, which has an interest in a PSC for OML 120 with operator ENI of Italy.

China’s CNOOC and Sinopec have managed to acquire 209,000 bpd production in Nigeria by buying producing assets rather than participating in bid rounds, making China the fifth-largest IOC producer in the country after Shell, ExxonMobil, Chevron, and Total. CNOOC and Sinopec did this through a series of acquisitions starting in 2006 with CNOOC’s $2.268 billion purchase of 45 percent of OML 130, which is operated by Total, and CNOOC’s purchase of 38 percent of Stubb Creek (OPL 229), a 15 million barrel field owned by Nigeria’s Universal Energy. In 2008, Sinopec
took over the operatorship of NPDC’s OML 64 and 66, and in 2009 took over Addax, the largest international independent operating in Nigeria.\textsuperscript{735} As mentioned earlier, in November 2012, Sinopec also purchased a 20 percent stake from Total in the Usan Field (OML 138/OPL 222), which started production in 2012. CNCP used a different approach to enter Nigeria. In 2006, CNPC reached an oil and gas cooperation agreement with the government of Nigeria and won the tender of four blocks, namely OPL298, OPL471, OPL721, and OPL732. Block OPL298 and Block OPL471, of which one is onshore and the other offshore, are located in the Niger Delta. Block OPL721 and Block OPL732, the latter a risk exploration block, are located in the Chad Basin in Borno Province, northern Nigeria.\textsuperscript{736} As discussed previously, China also indirectly acquired Nigerian oil and gas assets through its acquisitions of two Canadian energy companies, Addax and Nexen. Among other BRIC countries, India’s ONGC Videsh had shares in deepwater Blocks OPL 279 and OPL 285 (45.5 and 64.33 percent operator shares) and a 13.5 percent non-operator share in the Nigeria-Sao Tome & Principle JDZ Block 2.\textsuperscript{737} Oil India had a 17.5 percent non-operator share in onshore OPL 205.\textsuperscript{738}

Nigeria is notable in having a significant number of indigenous oil companies, including SAPETRO and Oando, which also have operations in other African countries.\textsuperscript{739} Part of the reason for this phenomenon is the Nigerian government’s policy of encouraging foreign companies to establish partnerships with local Nigerian oil companies under the indigenous and marginal field programs. Canada’s Mart Resources is an example of a small IOC independent which has created an investment niche for itself in Nigeria under this program.\textsuperscript{740}
The following oil projects are planned to come online in the next few years, although several are likely to be delayed pending passage of the Petroleum Industry Bill and resolution of other regulatory issues:

Table A-I. Upcoming Oil Projects in Nigeria.

New output from deepwater fields could increase production in 2014, but this depends on unplanned disruptions, which could continue to offset new pro-
duction gains, and whether projects come on stream as scheduled. A group of IOCs have said that Nigeria’s plan to achieve 4 million bpd production and 40 billion barrels in reserves by 2020 is unrealistic under the new fiscal terms being proposed by the federal government in the draft Petroleum Industry Bill being considered by Parliament. One prediction by respected oil industry consultants Wood Mackenzie indicated that Nigeria’s oil production could fall to 1.84 million bpd by 2020 because of aging onshore fields, and insufficient investment in new offshore projects to compensate due to a negative investment climate. Despite this pessimism, ExxonMobil has decided to press on with its Erha North offshore project.

In addition to oil, Nigeria holds the largest natural gas reserves in Africa at 180 tcf (as of the end of 2011), but has limited infrastructure in place so far to develop the sector and only produced one tcf of dry natural gas in 2011. A major Nigerian government priority is to advance the Brass LNG project, the second LNG project after the NLNG project, which was started in 1999 and has Nigeria’s NOC as its operator. The government has been working for several years to end natural gas flaring, but the deadline to implement its 2009 Gas Master Plan has been delayed repeatedly, in significant part because security risks in the Niger Delta have made it difficult for IOCs to construct infrastructure that would support gas monetization.

A significant portion of Nigeria’s marketed natural gas is processed into LNG. In 2010, Nigeria exported 875 bcf of NLG, making it the fifth largest LNG exporter in the world. Nigeria also began to export some of its gas in 2010 via the West African Gas Pipeline to neighbors to the west: Benin, Togo, and Ghana. The pipeline is owned by Chevron (36.7 percent), Nige-
ria’s NOC (25 percent), and Shell (18 percent), with
the remainder held by state-owned shareholders from
Ghana, Togo, and Benin. Chevron also operates the
Escravos Gas-to-Liquids project, which is scheduled
to be operational by 2013.\textsuperscript{746}

\textit{Inland Offshore.}

The Nigeria National Petroleum Corporation
(NNPC) announced in September 2012 that it had dis-
covered oil in the Nigerian territorial waters of Lake
Chad, which it shares with neighbors Cameroon,
Chad, and Niger. USGS estimates that the region of
Lake Chad holds 2.32 billion barrels of oil and 369 bcm
of natural gas.\textsuperscript{747} NOC Oil India has a 25 percent share
in OML 142.\textsuperscript{748}

\textbf{Rwanda.}

Rwanda has no oil production,\textsuperscript{749} but does have
methane gas reserves along its borders with the DRC
and Tanzania that are being developed. Three oil and
gas developments in Rwanda of note are 1) ongoing
oil and gas exploration by Canada’s Vanoil, 2) an
under-construction methane-powered electricity
project at Lake Kivu undertaken by U.S. investment
firm Contour Global, and 3) an African Development
Bank-funded feasibility study that could lead to the
construction of a regional pipeline.

1. Exploration in Lake Kivu. Vanoil has a techni-
cal evaluation agreement with Rwanda’s government
covering approximately 1,631 km\textsuperscript{2} in the northwestern
part of Rwanda, best known as the East Kivu
Grabens, located beneath Lake Kivu. Under the agree-
ment, Vanoil must place its first two wells anywhere
within Blocks 3A and 3B by July 31, 2013. 750 The Kivu Graben area is part of the East African Rift System and is approximately 90 km wide and 200 km long. The graben straddles both Rwanda and the DRC and is the southern extension of the Albertine Graben in Uganda, where one billion barrels have been discovered by Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil and the UK’s Heritage Oil. Vanoil believes that Lake Kivu’s common origin (shared tectonic and sedimentary in-fill) with Lake Albert, Lake Edward, and Lake George make this a promising region for exploration. Vanoil, through contractors, has completed both an aerial survey of the earth’s gravity and magnetic fields over Lake Kivu and southwest Rwanda, and a 2D marine seismic survey. 751

2. Methane-Powered Electricity. Kivuwatt Ltd., a subsidiary of sustainable development-focused Contour Global of the United States, is building facilities in Rwanda to extract natural gas from Lake Kivu and pipe it to a new gas-fired power station. State-owned Kubuye Power already extracts methane gas from the lake, which is used to feed a 4.2 megawatt power station. 752 In 1936, naturally occurring methane was first discovered in Lake Kivu, which in 1976 was estimated to contain a 55-60 km³ field with an increasing quantity of trapped methane gas. In the project’s first phase, processed methane gas will produce approximately 25 megawatts (MW) of electricity; phase 2 is expected to add another 75 MW of capacity, all for the local Rwandan power grid. 753

3. Regional Pipeline. The East African Community (EAC) Secretariat has announced that it has funding for a pipeline south from Rwanda to Burundi, but needs ADB funding and support for a second, new pipeline linking Rwanda to an existing pipeline be-
between Kampala, Uganda, and Eldoret, Kenya. A feasibility study carried out in 2007 indicated that the Kigali-Kampala pipeline was commercially viable and would cost $193.6 million to build.\textsuperscript{754}

**Sao Tome and Principe.**

To date, there have not been any major commercial oil or gas finds in Sao Tome and Principe, but there is guarded optimism about future discoveries. Three oil and gas stories for Sao Tome and Principe concern 1) E&P in the Joint Development Zone (JDZ) with Nigeria; 2) E&P in Sao Tome’s EEZ; and 3) plans to build a tank farm and oil transshipment port for international use firms operating in the Gulf of Guinea sub-region.

**JDZ.**

Sao Tome and Nigeria signed a treaty in 2001 to create the JDZ, divided it into nine blocks in 2003, and solicited bids in a first licensing round in 2004. Sao Tome has a 40 percent share in the JDZ, and Nigeria has a 60 percent share. The Joint Development Authority, created under the treaty, awarded U.S. firms Chevron and ERHC exploration rights, respectively, for Block 1 in 2004 and Blocks 2 to 6 in 2005.\textsuperscript{755} (ERHC currently also has a 20 percent share in Block 9.) Block 1, located in 1,600 to 1,800 meters in water depth, is the most promising and is operated by France’s Total, which purchased Chevron’s 49.5 percent stake in 2010 in order to create cost and operational synergies between Block 1 and its stake in the adjacent Nigeria Block 248.\textsuperscript{756} Other shares in Block 1 are Chinese owned Addax, South Africa’s Sasol, Nigeria’s Dangote Energy, and UK-firm Afren/DEER. Obo-1, drilled in 2006
in Block 1, proved a working hydrocarbon system in the JDZ, but Total’s Obo-2, drilled in May 2012, was plugged and abandoned. Total continues to evaluate commercial viability of the Obo Field. “At all of the wells so far drilled in the Joint Zone, hydrocarbons have been found—either gas or oil—but the question is if these deposits do or do not have a commercial value,” the JDZ’s Executive Director said in August 2012. One interesting element of the JDZ has been the Asian presence of China’s Sinopec, which has operated Block 2: Addax, a firm bought out by Sinopec in 2009, which operates Blocks 3 and 4; and an Indian oil firm, ONGC Videsh, which has written off its 13.5 percent share in the up-to-now disappointing Block 2. Hong Kong-based private company Sinoangol (not to be confused with China Sonangol) has applied for exploration rights in Block 2.

EEZ.

The EEZ covers an area of 160,000 km² south and east of the JDZ. The STP government launched bidding for 9 of 17 blocks in its EEZ in 2010. Because of limited success in the JDZ, there were no major international oil companies who bid on the blocks, which were awarded, inter alia, to smaller African oil producers: Nigeria’s Oranto Petroleum, Angola’s Grupo Gema, South Africa’s Afex, and OG Engineering of STP. The STP government later awarded 100 percent interests to U.S. firm ERHC Energy (in Blocks 4 [zone A] and 11 [Zone B]) and UK firm Equator Exploration (in Blocks 5 [Zone A] and 12 [Zone B]). (Nigeria’s Oando Ltd. purchased a majority stake in Equator in 2011.) ERHC believes that the close proximity of STP’s offshore waters to the proven hydrocarbon sys-
tems in the adjacent territorial waters of Gabon, Cameroon, Equatorial Guinea, and Nigeria suggest the potential for hydrocarbons. The firm believes that the EEZ has “all the necessary components of a successful petroleum system.”

Tank Farm /Oil Transshipment Port.

Sao Tome plans to build a refined petroleum tank farm, financed by the Nigerian government. The farm would:

serve as a refined petroleum transfer center for Nigeria and other Gulf of Guinea ports which suffer from port backlogs. This would allow larger ocean-going vessels to offload at the tank far and for subsequent transfers to final West African destination by smaller vessels or barges.

The STP government signed a contract with Swiss firm Gunvor to construct a $200 million dollar port on the north side of the island of Sao Tome.

Senegal.

The main oil and gas E&P areas in Senegal are in offshore blocks in Senegal’s territorial waters or EEZ and in Senegal’s JDZ with Guinea-Bissau.

Offshore and Onshore Senegal.

Offshore exploration in Senegal started in the late 1970s, resulting in one field of heavy crude oil and one gas field (Daim Niadio 14). Senegal has 19 blocks, running from Block A (Saint Louis Offshore Deep in the north) to Block S (Casamance Onshore in the
south), of which 10 are offshore and 9 onshore. The only hydrocarbon production in Senegal at present is from the Gadiaga Field, about 60 northeast of Dakar, which is shared 70 percent by Houston-based Fortesa and 30 percent by NOC Petrosen. Fortesa also has a license to explore the Tamna (Zone G) onshore block. Other foreign investors in Senegal’s oil & gas industry include Nigeria’s Oranto, which has PSCs for three blocks. Senegal’s total annual crude oil production was 99,000 bbl in 2008, 249,000 bbl in 2009, and 398,000 bbl in 2010.

Anglo-Irish Tullow operates the 2,807 km² Saint Louis offshore block (Zone B) in the north with a 60 percent interest. Tullow is optimistic about further exploratory drilling in 2013 because of the results of a seismic survey carried out on the boundary between Senegal and Mauritania in 2008, and an exploratory well drilled in 2011 in the same Cretaceous turbidite play that led Tullow to discover the Jubilee Field in Ghana. This license covers the northern-most inshore section of the Saint Louis offshore area and adjoins Mauritanian Block 1, where Tullow also has nine contiguous licenses. The UK’s Cairn Energy (operator) and First Australian Resources (FAR) have a 35-65 percent interest in three central, offshore blocks—Rufisque (Zone K), Sangomar Deep (Zone Q), and Sango-mar (Zone O)—covering 7,490 km² over the Senegalese portion of the productive Mauritania-Senegal-Guinea Bissau Basin. FAR’s OOIP estimate ranges from 200 million bbl to greater than 1 billion bbl potential, in part because of parallels its block has to Mexico’s Cantarell super-giant field. In pre-rift time, Senegal was considered to be adjacent to Mexico before the African and American continents pulled apart. The UK’s Elenilto operates a 7,920 km² block in the Southern
Senegal Casamance offshore basin in the **south**, with Petrosen as a minority shareholder. Elenilto calculates that there are 500-800 million barrels in hydrocarbon content in this block, which is similar to other fields elsewhere in West Africa (Mauritania, Sierra Leone, Liberia, Cote d’Ivoire, and Ghana).

**JDZ with Guinea-Bissau.**

The UK’s Ophir Energy has a 79.2 percent operated interest in the Profond Block of Senegal’s JDZ with Guinea-Bissau. Although Ophir’s Kora-1 exploratory well was drilled and abandoned in 2011, Profond is estimated to contain 400 million barrels of oil.

**Seychelles.**

Although international oil companies have explored for oil and gas in the waters around the Seychelles since the 1970s, no commercially viable hydrocarbons had been found as of year-end 2012. Nevertheless, the government’s Seychelles Petroleum Company (Sepec) has aggressively sought foreign investment, including contracting for 20,000 km of seismic data in 2011, which it expected would “significantly expand . . . [the] interest in the hydrocarbon potential of the Seychelles.” Global interest in the waters off the coast of the Seychelles has also been sparked following recent success in the region, including Anadarko’s large gas discoveries off the Mozambique coast and BG’s promising gas discoveries in Tanzania. In November 2012, the Seychelles government delayed a bid round on 30 blocks to give itself time to seek advice from the International Monetary Fund on negotiations with potential investors.
including possibly increasing petroleum revenue royalties to 10 percent, from 5 percent.\textsuperscript{783} The government plans to revise its draft petroleum law by May 2013, after which the government will invite companies to negotiate on a one-on-one basis for exploration licenses.\textsuperscript{784}

There are currently two main foreign oil companies exploring in the Seychelles: Australia’s WHL Energy has a 100 percent interest in 20,476 km\textsuperscript{2} off the southern coast,\textsuperscript{785} and the UK’s Afren operates a 75 percent interest in approximately 14,319 km\textsuperscript{2} in Areas A and B, which are located in the mainly shallow water in the northern half of the Seychelles plateau. (The UK’s Avana Petroleum has a non-operator 25 percent interest.) WHL undertook extensive 2D seismic work and reprocessed existing seismic dates, rebuilding hydrocarbon basin models with reference to other hydrocarbon rich basins in East Africa in particular.\textsuperscript{786} The firm hired an independent consultant, which announced in November 2012 that WHL had a total unrisked mean prospective resource of 3.45 billion barrels for the 21 most highly ranked leads in its portfolio.\textsuperscript{787} WHL announced in April 2013 that it had signed a letter of intent with a top 100 Fortune Global 500 oil and gas company to farm into its frontier exploration effort.\textsuperscript{788}

Afren commenced a major 3D seismic program in Areas A and B in late 2012,\textsuperscript{789} and earlier had relinquished its license in Area C in order to focus on these first two areas.\textsuperscript{790} The firm believes that the tectonic evolution of the underwater Seychelles Plateau is related to three phases of rifting that isolated the now drowned micro-continent from the center of Gondwanaland.\textsuperscript{791} The Western Seychelles Margin, according to Afren, can be reconstructed to a position adjacent to Somalia and as a northern extension of Madagascar
prior to drifting from Africa. A second phase of rifting occurred when India and Seychelles separated from Madagascar. Plate reconstructions also show the geological closeness of the proven petroleum systems in both India and Madagascar.

**Sierra Leone.**

The discovery of Ghana’s Jubilee field in 2007 triggered the interest of international oil companies in exploring offshore West Africa in an area known as the West Africa Transform Margin, which stretches 1,500 km between two tectonic plates along the coasts of eastern Ghana, Cote d’Ivoire, Liberia, and Sierra Leone. A hydrocarbon assessment published by the U.S. Geological Survey in 2011 of an area of about 202,700 km² in offshore Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone estimate mean volumes of undiscovered hydrocarbon resources in this area to be 3.2 billion barrels of oil, 23.63 tcf of gas, and 721 million barrels of natural gas liquids. Recent discoveries, including oil discoveries drilled by U.S. firm Anadarko Petroleum (Venus in Block SL-06 in 2009, Mercury-1 in Block 07B-10 in 2010, and Jupiter-1 in 2012), indicate the likelihood of major oilfields being discovered offshore in Sierra Leone because they confirmed that the main reservoir and source elements in the Jubilee Field in Ghana are present in the Sierra Leone/Liberia deepwater basin. Exploration remains at an early stage, but prospects look good for Sierra Leone to become a new frontier for oil and gas. Assessments made in Sierra Leone suggest high potential and good possibility of large oil and gas field size (500-700 million barrels of oil and 4 tcf of gas).
Sierra Leone’s government awarded eight offshore oil blocks in July 2012, teaming various bidders together as forced partners for four of the blocks. U.S.-based Kosmos Energy and Australia’s African Petroleum were awarded Block 4A; South Africa’s Signet Petroleum and UK-firms Menexco Petroleum and Elinilto received Block 7a; and the U.S. firms Chevron (operator, with 55 percent interest) and Noble Energy (30 percent), as well as UK firm Odye (15 percent), were awarded Blocks 8a and 8b, which will become one concession.798

After earlier awards and farm-ins, Block SL-4b-10 is now operated by Canada’s Talisman (30 percent), with other shares owned by Russia’s Lukoil (25 percent), Malaysia’s Petronas (25 percent), and the UK’s Protinal (20 percent).799 Block 07B-11 is owned by Anadarko, Anglo-Irish Tullow, and Spain’s Repsol.800 Lukoil had announced a $100 million drilling program for its 49 percent stake in another block, SL-5-11, which is also co-owned by Nigeria’s Oranto (30 percent) and the U.S. firm PanAtlantic (21 percent).801 Lukoil and Vanco are partners in a number of blocks in West Africa’s frontier acreage, including in Ghana.802 Repsol acquired three offshore blocks in 2011, which the firm believes “could be a new oil area.”803

Somalia.

Estimates of onshore and offshore reserves in Somalia vary greatly, but run as high as 110 billion barrels of oil.804 The country is also known to have significant deposits of natural gas.805 Oil seeps were first identified by Italian and British geologists during the colonial era. Exploration activities had focused on northern Somalia, where several American firms including
Amoco, Chevron, ConocoPhillips held concessions, but were forced to declare force majeure following the collapse of the central government in 1991. Independent international E&P companies are presently investing in Puntland and Somaliland despite the legal and political uncertainties. Somalia’s federal government, which gained more international credibility following the successes of the African Union Mission in Somalia (AMISOM) in beating back the terrorist group Al-Shabaab, which had taken control of large swaths of south-central Somalia. The federal government has stated that it will recognize permits issued prior to 1991, but has been undecided on whether post-1991 concessions, including those in Puntland and Somaliland, would be recognized. In April 2013, Somalia Petroleum Corporation announced that the government intended to sign 30 PSCs by year-end, starting with firms that held acreage before the civil war. This will be complicated, and resolving the situation may take years, not least because several blocks have already been relicensed by Puntland and Somaliland.

Challenging the central government, in November 2012, Somaliland’s Minister for Mining, Energy, and Water Resources announced an “open door policy” for investors in his region.

Another uncertainty for oil and gas exploration in Somalia has been a dispute with Kenya over the two countries’ maritime boundary. The government of Somalia claims that the awards made by Kenya to ENI and Total are illegal because they lie in waters claimed by Somalia. Kenya’s position is that the maritime boundary should run due east from the land border, while Somalia says the boundary should extend perpendicular to the coastline.
**Puntland.**

These optimistic estimates of Somalia’s hydrocarbon potential are derived in part from geological analysis suggesting that Puntland’s Dharoor Valley in the north and the Nugaal Valley in the south are extensions, respectively, of the Masila and Marib-Shabwa Basins of Yemen, which currently produce 400,000 bpd. The northern part of East Africa was part of the much larger Gondwana mega-continent until Puntland was finally separated from the Arabian Peninsula during the opening of the Gulf of Somalia, at which point the Dharoor and Nugaal Basins were separated from their analogues in Yemen.  

Australia’s Range Resources estimated that the northern Puntland province had the potential to produce 5-10 billion barrels of oil. These two blocks cover an area of over 9.7 million acres. With only three wells drilled in the two block areas, this is one of the least explored areas in Africa. Signs of oil were confirmed in Dharoor in January 2012, when Canada’s Horn Petroleum drilled the first well in Somalia in 20 years in Dharoor, adding a second well in June 2012. News reports about the two wells have been contradictory, with one source indicating that the two wells are thought to contain 300 million barrels of oil each, while another indicated that commercial quantities of oil had yet to be found. Further testing is needed to determine best prospects, but Horn estimates that the Dharoor Field contains 6 billion barrels of oil and has made commitments to drill at least two more exploratory wells by 2015. Horn Petroleum’s share in its two blocks in Dharoor and Nugaal is 60 percent, with 20 percent each for Range Resources and Red Emperor. Red Emperor believes that Dharoor
and Nugaal have 19.9 billion barrels of oil in place. Range first entered Puntland in 2005 and has an unofficial role as an advisor to the Puntland administration on attracting international oil and gas investment. The CEO of Canada’s Africa Oil, which has a 45 percent equity interest in Horn Petroleum, stated in August 2012 that:

while we were disappointed that we were not able to flow oil from the first two exploration wells in our Puntland drilling campaign, we remain highly encouraged that all of the critical elements exist for oil accumulations, namely a working petroleum system, good quality reservoirs and thick seal rocks.

Somaliland.

The autonomous region of Somaliland contains geological basins similar to both Yemen and Uganda, where millions of barrels of oil reserves have been discovered. As with the rest of Somalia, these estimates are highly speculative, however, and only a cumulative total of 21 wells had been drilled in Somaliland as of the end of 2012. There may also be vast reserves of natural gas offshore, as appears increasingly to be the case for much of the coast of East Africa, running from Mozambique, Madagascar, Tanzania, and Kenya. The UK’s Genel Energy was awarded an exploration license for onshore Blocks SL-10-B and SL-13 in Somaliland, with a 75 percent interest in both (along with 25 percent share partner East Africa Resource Group), as well as farmed-in for a 50 percent share in the Odewayne PSA, which covers Blocks SL-6, 7, and 10A (along with 30 percent for Australia’s Jacka Resources and 20 percent for Petrosoma). Genel plans a 2D seismic campaign for its blocks in 2013, with the
first exploration well in the second half of 2014. Genel believes the block could contain one billion barrels of prospective resources, with 15 percent probability of success. The UK’s Ophir has a 75 percent operated interest in Berbera Blocks (SL 9 and SL 12), while Norway’s DNO International was awarded onshore Block SL 18.

**South Africa.**

South Africa’s proven oil reserves total a trifling 15 million barrels, but this figure could increase dramatically in the coming years. Besides the modest and declining reserves offshore Mossel Bay in eastern South Africa, the country’s only other current reserves are offshore in southern South Africa in the Bredasdorp Basin and in western South Africa, particularly in the Orange Basin adjacent to Namibia.

Offshore exploration in South Africa is intensifying, spurred by: 1) massive gas discoveries to the east in Mozambique in 2010, 2) modest discoveries in the Bredasdorp Basin to the south as the result of drilling since the 1980s, and 3) encouraging results in the Orange Basin in the east, south of Namibia. Onshore, much of the exploration is in unconventional oil and gas in the Karoo Basin in the west near Botswana, and in coal-bed methane in the northeast.

*Extending the East Africa Gas Boom.*

U.S supermajor ExxonMobil will be the operator for exploration in 2.8 million acres of South Africa’s east coast, hoping to expand the energy boom along Africa’s Indian Ocean flank that was set off by massive gas discoveries off Tanzania and immediate neighbor
Mozambique. In December 2012, Exxon acquired a 75 percent operator interest in exploration rights in the Tugela south blocks from the UK’s Impact Oil and Gas and acquired the right to buy a 75 percent interest in three other offshore blocks for which Impact currently holds technical cooperation permits. The U.S. giant also signed a technical cooperation agreement with the South African government to study oil and gas potential in the deepwater Durban Basin, which covers about 12 million acres of seabed. Sasol holds exploration rights in another large block east of the Tugela permit and exploration right areas, up to the border with Mozambique. Impact has sought a license for technical studies in the Transkei Permit area offshore East London, which is further west of the Tugela blocks. Even further west, in the area offshore of South Africa’s southernmost Cape Agulhas, PetroSA operates the small Sabel oil field, and several IOCs have technical cooperation permits and exploration rights, including France’s Total, Anadarko, and smaller oil and gas companies, or “minnows,” such as Impact, Singapore’s Silver Wave Energy, as well as South Africa’s PetroSA.

Offshore Oil, Gas-to-Liquid.

South Africa’s PetroSA operates the Oribi and Oryx fields, located in Block 9 off South Africa’s southern coast in the Bredasdorp Basin. The fields are 120 km southwest of Mossel Bay, where a gas-to-liquid refinery is located. These two fields currently only produce 1,800 bpd, with a declining reserve. PetroSA’s Project Ikhwezi involves tapping into gas reserves in the F-O field, which is 40 km from its current offshore production platform. First gas is scheduled to flow in May
2013, with production lasting 6 years and possibly to 2025 with development of other nearby gas fields.\textsuperscript{835}

\textit{Western Cape Oil & Gas E&P}.

The Orange Basin is believed to hold substantial oil and gas reserves, but exploration is in a preliminary stage and large-scale commercial production is years away, with first gas in 2016.\textsuperscript{836} Large international oil firms Anglo-Dutch Shell and the U.S. firm Anadarko, joined in other blocks by the UK’s Cairn India and Afren, Australia’s Sunbird and BHP, and South Africa’s PetroSA, Sasol, Thombo, and Sungu Sungu,\textsuperscript{837} are betting that the “geological strata running on land and under the sea southward from Uganda and the Great Lakes extend to South African territory.”\textsuperscript{838} In August 2012, Cairn India, owned by the UK’s Vedenta Group, acquired a 60 percent operator share of offshore Block 1 in the Orange Basin along the maritime border with Namibia, with PetroSA holding the remaining 40 percent.\textsuperscript{839} Sunbird Energy acquired a 76 percent share in the 870 bcf Ibhubesti Gas Project in Block 2A offshore, with PetroSA holding the remaining 24 percent. “Following the recent launch of PetroSA’s Ikhwesi Project, the Ibhubesi Gas Field is now the largest undeveloped gas discovery in South Africa.”\textsuperscript{840} Thombo is the operator for development of Block 2B, which is located in the Orange River Basin offshore shallow water area lying between the Ibhubesi gas field and the Namaqualand coast. Other partners include the UK’s Afren (25 percent share). Thombo started 3D seismic work 2013 1Q\textsuperscript{841} and exploration drilling is expected in 2014.\textsuperscript{842}
Karoo Unconventional Oil and Gas.

U.S. supermajor Chevron announced in December 2012 that it would team up with Ireland’s Falcon Oil and Gas to seek unconventional exploration opportunities in South Africa’s semi-desert Karoo Basin in central and southern South Africa. The EIA estimated in 2011 that there were 485 tcf of technically recoverable gas in the Karoo, which contains the world’s fifth largest shale gas reserves. Falcon currently has a Technical Cooperation Permit giving it exclusive rights to obtain an exploration permit in the Karoo Basin. Chevron’s move came only 3 months after South Africa lifted a temporary ban on shale gas exploration, or “fracking.” South Africa had awarded Shell a technical cooperation permit in 2009 to determine the Karoo’s natural gas potential, and the firm submitted exploration license requests for the Western, Eastern, and Northern Cape Provinces in 2010, but strong opposition by environmentalists led the South African government to initiate the temporary ban.

Coal-Bed Methane.

Australia’s Kinetiko has a 49 percent, operator share with South Africa’s Badimo Gas in a coal-bed methane project in eastern Transvaal, where coal mining has occurred for over 100 years. It expects to drill 7-8 exploration wells in its northern (56ER) and southern (38ER) Amersfoort project license areas by June 2013, allowing it to better estimate first gas reserves. Kinetiko has a 100 percent share in five other concessions, while Badimo has one concession. The U.S. consultancy Gustavson Associates calculates P50 Gas in Place (GIP) resource for Badimo’s Amersfoort
tenements of 1.7 tcf and P50 prospective resources of 1.12 tcf. Sunbird has four coal-bed methane projects in eastern South Africa (gas estimates in parentheses): Somkele, 200 km northeast of Durban (best estimate gas-in-place: 190 bcf), Ermelo, 200 km southeast of Johannesburg (800 bcf), Springbok Flats, 150 km northeast of Pretoria (620 bcf), and Mopane, 420 km northeast of Johannesburg (1.9 tcf).

The South African government also hopes that domestic offshore natural gas and onshore shale gas, coal-bed methane, as well as gas imports from bordering neighbors Namibia and Mozambique, will provide an alternative to carbon-intensive coal, particularly for electricity and synthetic fuels.

**Sudan/South Sudan.**

After decades of war, Sudan and South Sudan signed a peace agreement in 2005, leading after a referendum to the independence of South Sudan in July 2011. Although now independent, the two countries remain interdependent in terms of the oil industry because about 75 percent of oil production originates in the south, while the entire pipeline, refining, and export infrastructure is in the north. Oil fields in Blocks 1, 2, and 4, collectively known as the Greater Nile Oil Project, are split between the two countries, since it covers an area that straddles the North, South, and disputed Abyei region. A dispute over pipeline and export transit fees lingered post-independence, leading South Sudan to halt exports for several months to pressure the North to reach an accord on fees. A new peace deal was reached in September 2012, including a deal on fees, but implementation has been held up, *inter alia*, by renewed differences over border secu-
rity. South Sudan had discussed exporting as much as 200,000 bpd via the pipeline through Sudan, but proposed an interim measure in March 2013 of exporting its crude oil by road via Ethiopia to Djibouti.

Sudan and South Sudan averaged about 425,000 bpd production in 2011, a significant decline from 470,000 in January 2011, mainly due to labor shortages. The progressive shut off in oil exports via Sudan starting January 23, 2012, until at least early 2013 meant there was little production in 2012. In order of importance in 2011, Sudan and South Sudan oil exports went to China (66 percent), followed by Malaysia (9 percent), Japan (8 percent), United Arab Emirates (5 percent), India (4 percent), Singapore (4 percent), and others (4 percent). Sudan and South Sudan had reserves as of January 2012 variously estimated to be between 4.2 billion barrels (Wood Mackenzie) and 6.7 billion barrels (BP 2011 Statistical Review). Before the split, Sudan held 0.5 percent of global reserves and was considered a minor producer with 490,000 bpd in 2009. Most natural gas is flared or re-injected, despite known reserves of 3 tcf.

To strengthen its own independence, South Sudan—the world’s most oil-dependent state with 98 percent of revenues coming from crude—also initiated talks with several neighboring countries about alternative options for pipelines to ports in East Africa, and sought financing from China. As part of its immediate negotiating tactics with the north and to ensure future independence from Sudan’s pipeline/port infrastructure, South Sudan signed nonbinding memoranda with Kenya in January 2012 to build a port to the Kenyan city of Lamu and sought in February 2012 to strike a deal with Ethiopia to build a pipeline via Ethiopia to Djibouti’s port. The Kenya
option would cost $3 billion and take at least 3 years to build. Another option would be a pipeline to Uganda, potentially linking up with discoveries there, and further transporting the oil to a port in Kenya.\textsuperscript{859} Whatever new pipeline option(s) is/are chosen, the author believes that China, which up to now has been reticent to express its willingness to finance the pipeline out of fear of antagonizing the north, will eventually finance the new pipeline(s), both to benefit from the construction contracts, and to firm up its relations with (and access to oil from) South Sudan. In March 2013, Sudan and South Sudan signed an agreement, mediated by the African Union, which would allow the resumption of at least 350,000 barrels of oil exports from South Sudan.\textsuperscript{860} Barring political issues or technical difficulties that may delay the pace of the ramp up and restart at additional fields, EIA expects combined oil output in both countries to average 210,000 bpd in 2013 and 450,000 bpd in 2014.\textsuperscript{861}

Sudan signed a new deal with small Finnish company Fenno Caledonian in 2010 for an 85 percent share in Block 10 in the northeast, with Sudapet taking the remaining 15 percent. Fenno Caledonian, which also has a share in Block 14, believes that Block 10 could have oil or gas because Block 8 had gas showings and the block is part of the same sedimentary base as all other blocks in the southern part of Sudan.\textsuperscript{862} Khartoum launched bidding in early 2012 for six blocks clearly located in Sudan with the hope that new exploration could lead to production to replace the oil lost to South Sudan and to maturing fields in the north.\textsuperscript{863} In November 2012, Sudan’s Petroleum Minister visited Brazil to court oil cooperation, including participation by NOC Petrobras.\textsuperscript{864} Khartoum also inaugurated the new Hadida Field in South Kordofan State in
December 2012, with initial production of 10,000 bpd expected to grow to 60,000 bpd. Sudan at year-end 2012 was producing at 120,000 bpd. However, South Kordofan, which borders with South Sudan, has been the site of continued instability because of conflicts between rival tribal groups centered on the disputed town of Abyei that has led to the displacement of tens of thousands.

Following sanctions placed on Sudan, Western oil companies left Sudan, allowing foreign companies from Asia, such as the China’s CNPC, India’s ONGC, and Malaysia’s Petronas, to dominate Sudan and South Sudan’s oil sectors through various shares in three consortia whose minority shareholder include Sudan NOC Sudapet and/or South Sudan NOC Nilepet. China’s Sinopec (6 percent) and Egypt/Kuwait’s Tri-Ocean Energy (5 percent) are also minority shareholders in one of the three consortia, Dar Petroleum (Petrodar), which is based in South Sudan. ONGC Videsh’s has two projects in Sudan and two in South Sudan. France’s Total owns an interest in Block B in South Sudan and had been preparing to resume exploration activities when the South Sudanese government, exercising its right under new hydrocarbons legislation, sought to split the massive concession in November 2012 into three parts allowing Total to keep one part and offering the other two to ExxonMobil and Kuwait’s NOC Kufpec. Total preempted the move in June 2013 by bringing the two into its portion of the divided block itself.
Swaziland.

There are no known hydrocarbons in Swaziland.

Tanzania.

LNG Export Trains Possible.

Like Mozambique, Tanzania has also been the site of recent large-scale offshore natural gas discoveries. These new discoveries have boosted its natural gas reserves to over 34 tcf. While there have not yet been any commercial discoveries of oil in Tanzania, IOC interest is intense, and there were 16 multinational companies conducting petroleum E&P in Tanzania in 2012 under 23 PSAs. The UK’s BG Group, the 60 percent share operator of Blocks 1, 3, and 4, announced in December 2012 its seventh consecutive successful well, making the case that its discoveries in the Jodari Field in Block 1 could anchor Tanzania’s first multi-train LNG development. The firm’s 40 percent share partner, the UK’s Ophir, has targeted first gas in 2018, but given the technical, political, and financial challenges of such a mega-project, slippage in this date appears likely. Ophir announced in April 2013 that it had raised its estimate for the Jodari Field to 4.1 tcf of mean recoverable resources and to 12.6 tcf for blocks 1, 3, and 4. BG drilled the first successful Cretaceous deepwater discovery in May 2013 on Mzia-2, approximately 22 km north of Jodari and also in Block 1. In May 2013, partner Ophir raised its recoverable resource estimate for the Mzia field to 4.5 tcf.

Norway’s Statoil, the operator of offshore Block 2, announced five successful wells, including Zafarani, over a 13-month period ending March 2013 totaling
an estimated 15-17 tcf in natural gas. Statoil has a 65 percent share in Block 2, with ExxonMobil holding the remaining 35 percent. BG, Ophir, Statoil, and ExxonMobil may join forces to build an LNG plant, with BG planning to present proposed locations for a large LNG terminal to the Tanzanian government in the coming months. The UK’s Aminex and Solo Oil have, respectively, 75 and 25 percent shares in the 20 percent onshore, 80 percent offshore Rovuma PSA in southeast Tanzania, where they have successfully drilled two exploratory wells, including Ntoca-1 in the Mtwara Block that is estimated to hold 1.17 tcf of unrisked gas.

Following Statoil’s discovery of four more tcf in June 2012 in Block 2, Tanzanian Energy and Minerals Minister Sospeter Muhongo announced in late June that the government planned to introduce new legislation for the gas industry. The Minister stated:

Deepwater offshore oil and gas exploration is a very expensive undertaking. It is important to ensure this gas and oil exploration momentum continues, and the government will give a bigger push for companies to proceed.

Muhongo announced in July 2012 that royalties on gas production would rise from the current 12.5 percent, with the actual increase to be determined in conjunction with the new legislation. The Minister also announced an increase in signing fees and a review of existing contracts—the latter, he later stressed in September 2012, would only be carried out to avoid mistakes in negotiating future deals. Consistent with building momentum, Tanzania announced that it would soon offer nine new southeast blocks adjacent to the blocks where Anadarko and ENI made
large gas discoveries offshore Mozambique, in depths from 2,000 to 2,500 meters, possibly in 2013 4Q.\textsuperscript{888} Seven more blocks, further off the country’s Indian Ocean coast in depths of 3,000 meters, will be auctioned off at a later date.\textsuperscript{889}

The UK’s Afren has picked up a 74 percent interest in the Tanga Block from Petrol del Resources; the block is onshore and offshore in northeast Tanzania and adjacent to Afren’s L17/L18 blocks in southern Kenya.\textsuperscript{890} France’s Maurel et Prom owns a 60 percent exploration permit to the onshore Bigwa—Rufiji and Mafia Block, where “gas resources [are] to be developed.”\textsuperscript{891} Australia’s Swala Energy has two onshore licenses in the West Rift Valley for which it will carry out 2D seismic surveys in 2013 2Q, Kiloa-Kilombero in east-central Tanzania and Pangani running parallel to its northern border with Kenya.\textsuperscript{892} In 2011, Australia’s NuEnergy signed joint venture agreements with Tancoal and Tanzacoal for exploration for coal-bed methane in the two firms’ coal fields in southern Tanzania near the Malawi border. NuEnergy began scout exploration drilling during 2012 2H.\textsuperscript{893}

In February 2013, the government announced that it would soon issue a license to France’s Total to explore in Lake Tanganyika, on the border with DRC.\textsuperscript{894} In March 2013, Australia’s Jacka Resources signed a PSA with the government for the Ruhuhu Block onshore in southwest Tanzania. The Ruhuhu Basin is one of a chain of Karoo geological era basins across southern Africa and abuts the northeast shore of Lake Malawi (Nyasa).\textsuperscript{895}
The Tanzanian government also promoted the expansion of two major projects to monetize its natural gas resources:

1. Songo Songo: Orca currently supplies gas from the Songo Songo Field primarily for power generation to the Tanzania Electric Supply Company, Songas, and 38 industrial customers in the Dar Es Salaam area, including PTCC’s cement plant. It plans to increase its gas production capacity from 113 million cf/d to over 200 million cf/d in the coming month. Orca also has an ongoing drilling program to double its production from the Songo Songo Field.

2. Mnazi Bay: 60 percent operator Maurel et Prom and 40 percent partner Wentworth of the UK continue to develop the Mnazi Bay Concession in the Rovuma Basin and drilled a successful well in February 2012. Other than supplying one local power plant, however, this resource had been largely stranded since the field’s discovery in 2012. Tanzania’s government and these partners, however, are changing this. In October 2012, the government secured a $684 million loan from China Export-Import Bank to build a new 300 MW power plant at Mnazi Bay fed by 75 million cf/d in natural gas. In November 2012, the government officially started construction of the Mnazi Bay to Dar Es Salaam Gas Pipeline Project, with a gas allocation of 80 million cf/d, with an increase to 200 million cf/d as soon as practicable. Finally, Wentworth, with the support of the Tanzanian government, is investigating the construction of the Mnazi Bay Petrochemicals Project to produce ammonia/urea (e.g., for fertilizer) and methanol. In June 2012, the Tanzanian government concluded a deal with China for a $1.225 billion loan from the China Export-Import Bank for the construc-
tion of a gas pipeline from Mtwara to Songo Songo, where it would connect to another pipeline to Dar Es Salaam.900

**Border Dispute with Malawi over Hydrocarbons.**

As noted previously, Tanzania called on Malawi to stop exploring for oil and gas in Lake Malawi (known as Lake Nyasa in Tanzania) until a long-standing border dispute between the two countries can be resolved. Both nations have agreed to have the African Forum mediate their dispute. Malawi claims sovereignty over the entire lake, while Tanzania says it is entitled to 50 percent of it. Malawi awarded two blocks to explore the lake in 2011, while Tanzania awarded one block to explore its claim in 2012.

**Togo.**

Italian major ENI announced in June 2012 that geological studies and two offshore exploratory wells drilled 17 and 76 km offshore had confirmed the existence of oil in its 100 percent share PSA Blocks 1 (Oti 1) and 2 (Kara 1) in the Dahomey Basin. ENI plans two more wells to determine the quantity and quality of oil. The firm’s Togo representative was “optimistic [about commercial quantities of oil] to the extent that [Togo neighbors] Benin and Ghana have substantial oil reserves in the same zone.”901 Four U.S. oil companies had carried out seismic studies and drilling off Togo’s coast since the 1960s without finding commercial quantities of oil.902 ENI’s blocks are bordered to the west by the analogous Tano Basin in Ghana, where major discoveries had been made previously,903 including a find announced by ENI in September 2012.904
Unlike its oil-producing neighbors Algeria and Libya, Tunisia’s upstream industry is modest. Tunisia had only 425 million barrels in oil reserves at the end of 2011, equivalent to 15 years’ production at the current average of 77,600 barrels of crude per day in 2011. The country no longer exports crude oil, as domestic consumption has risen in recent years, and oil production has declined for most of the last 20 years.

Despite this modest current production, 57 IOCs and local companies conducted exploration and production activities in Tunisia as of 2011 and held 54 exploration licenses for crude oil and natural gas. These large and small IOCs include Spain’s Repsol, Australia’s Cooper Energy, Canada’s Chinook Energy and Sonde Resources, Norway’s DNO Resources, Sweden’s PA Resources, the UK’s Gulfsands, and Vietnam’s PetroVietnam.

Areas of hydrocarbon importance include the Gulf of Gabes and the Ghadames Basin in the southern part of the country. The UK’s BG Group is the main oil and gas producer in Tunisia and accounted for 13 percent of production in 2009, ahead of NOC ETAP with 12.3 percent and Italy’s ENI with 5.6 percent. BG’s main source of natural gas was the Miskar Field, in which it held a 100 percent share, but added the Hasdrubal Field in 2009 (50 percent each for BG and ETAP). In 2012, Chinook Energy successfully drilled four horizontal wells in the Sud Ramada field in the Ghadames Basin and plans to drill six more wells there in Bir Ben Tartar Concession in 2013; the firm also plans to undertake a 3D survey of its offshore Cosmos project in 2013, with its first well there in 2014.
Uganda.

Anglo-Irish Tullow Oil first discovered hydrocarbons in Uganda in 2006 with then UK partner Heritage Oil & Gas, whose share it subsequently bought out. In February 2012, Tullow farmed out part of its interests to China’s CNOOC and France’s Total, with each taking a one-third share in Blocks EA-1, EA-2, and the former EA-3, now known as the Kanywataba and Kingfisher licenses, and each as operator of one of these three blocks.

In contrast to the situation in Ghana, where Tullow has a smooth relationship with the host government, the firm and other IOCs have a more contentious relationship with the Ugandan government. The government claimed in 2010 that Heritage owed over $400 million in taxes because of the sale of its local assets to Tullow, but Heritage claims it owes no taxes; the two sides are in arbitration in London. In December 2012, the Ugandan government reportedly blocked the UK’s Ophir Energy from taking over Block 4B when it acquired Dominion Petroleum, claiming it was never notified of the transaction. Subsequently, Canada’s Octant Energy announced in March 2012 that it was entering into a letter of intent to farm-in on Block 4B, but it is uncertain whether this sale of a partial interest will be consummated. At issue is a tax dispute similar to the one between the government and Heritage Oil over its sale of its stake to Tullow. In a separate issue, Tullow filed a case in December 2012 with the World Bank-affiliated International Center for Settlement of Investment Disputes challenging the government’s 18 percent value-added tax on imported machinery and supplies.
Yet another contentious issue has been the Uganda government’s desire to build a domestic refinery. Uganda President Yoweri Museveni told the Ugandan parliament in December 2012 that one of the common mistakes of African countries was to lose jobs and oil by-products, and increase pipeline transit fees, by exporting crude oil instead of refining it domestically. Some third-party observers agreed with Museveni that a business case could be made for a large-scale refinery in Uganda. President Museveni estimated that Uganda would lose $40 per barrel by exporting crude for refining, in part because crude from Uganda is waxy and the 1,300-km pipeline to Mombasa, Kenya would have to be heated, which adds to the export bill. For their part, partners Tullow, Total, and CNOOC prefer to sell crude on the world market instead of to the refinery and are considering a $5 billion pipeline to the east African coast. In April 2013, the government announced that it and three partners agreed to “start with the refinery size of 30,000 bpd,” which implies that the refinery could be enlarged in the future. As of May 2013, the government and the firms were on the cusp of an agreement that would also layout the capacity of the export pipeline, although not include its planned route. One wildcard is the construction of a pipeline from Rwanda, through Burundi, to Uganda and the commercial impact that it might have on the potential feasibility of the Uganda Kenya pipeline. (See discussion in the section on Rwanda.)

Tullow’s founder and CEO Aldan Heavey told the Ugandan Chamber of Mines & Petroleum in October 2012 it would cost oil companies $12-14 billion to develop the Lake Albertine region and that it might “take 20 years to get that money back . . . if you only have
refinery, you have to say how much oil can we sell to the refinery?" To spur greater competition with existing IOCs in Uganda, President Museveni met with Russian President Vladimir Putin in Moscow on December 11, 2012, to discuss bilateral cooperation on energy and mining. Russia’s Lukoil has expressed interest in exploration, production, and refining of Uganda’s waxy oil, according to the Russian media. The new petroleum law passed by parliament in December 2012 set clear guidelines under which the oil sector is to operate, but there were also concerns about too much discretion given to the Energy Minister (and by implication to Uganda’s President) in granting and revoking oil licenses, negotiating PSCs, and in promoting or not promoting transparency in the energy sector.

Uganda has exploration blocks that run from the Sudan border in the north through Lake Albert on the western border with the DRC and south to Lake George. Only five blocks have been licensed; the government is considering a new licensing round with 13 blocks following parliament’s passage of a new petroleum law in December 2012. Lake Albert is part of the Albertine Graben, which stretches from the northern end of Lake Albert to the southern end of Lake Tanganyika.

Despite the government’s difficulties in working with IOCs, Uganda remains highly attractive to investors because the country’s oil discovery rate is an extraordinary 90 percent. By another estimate, only three of 71 wells drilled in the Lake Albert Graben since 2006 have not encountered hydrocarbons. The average cost, including seismic surveys and appraisal drilling to find commercial reserves in Uganda has been about $1 per barrel, compared to an average
worldwide cost of $5 to $25 per barrel. Moreover, less than 40 percent of the Lake Albert Graben with potential for oil and natural gas has been explored. This is in only one of five sedimentary basins in Uganda; the others have never been explored.

Estimates of Uganda’s oil reserves have varied widely, with Tullow’s website indicating one billion barrels and the government of Uganda revising upward reserves to 3.5 billion in September 2012 after appraisal activities on two blocks. The government appointed a panel in July 2012 to work with IOC partners on an overall development plan for the Lake Albertine rift basin, which is rich in biodiversity. Oil production from the basin is estimated at 200,000 bpd 36 months after the development plan is approved, with further increases in daily production possible. First oil production has been repeatedly delayed because of government inaction or disagreements with IOCs; Uganda is expected to begin small-scale oil production in 2014 or 2015. Full-scale commercial production is projected to start after 2017 with full capacity from 120,000-200,000 bpd unlikely to be reached before 2020.

**Western Sahara.**

IOCs have sought exploration licenses in Western Sahara despite a UN resolution against such deals until the issue of the territory’s sovereignty has been resolved. Western Sahara, a desert area bordering the Atlantic Ocean between Mauritania and Morocco that was formerly a colony of Spain, is claimed by Morocco and the Polisario, an independence movement based in Algeria that has proclaimed the Saharawi Arab Democratic Republic (SADR). While information is
sketchy, the SADR granted two petroleum exploration licenses to IOCs despite a UN legal notice questioning the legality of such exploration.\textsuperscript{934}

Morocco’s NOC, ONHYM, reportedly granted France’s Total in 2012 a huge block covering 100,926 km\(^2\) offshore southern Western Sahara under a reconnaissance contract. However, this leasing of acreage is illegal under UN rules.\textsuperscript{935} For its part, in 2006 the SADR granted a subsidiary of the UK’s Wessex Petroleum and two other UK companies a PSC covering the Bojador onshore block. In 2007, Wessex agreed with Comet Petroleum, the 100 percent holder of the Guet- ta offshore block, to cross-assignment of interests with the Bojador Block group. Wessex also has a 50 percent interest of the Imlili offshore block east of the Guelta Block, with Tower Resources holding the remaining 50 percent. (Tower purchased Comet in 2008.) Wessex’s intention is to:

\textit{commence an initial technical evaluation of the blocks using the existing dataset, and then, subject to the United Nations settlement of the issue of sovereignty of the Western Sahara, to find a substantial farm-in partner.}\textsuperscript{936}

The SADR granted UK’s Ophir Energy a 50 percent operated interest in four blocks with a gross area of 74,327 km\(^2\).\textsuperscript{937} The UK’s Premier Oil purchased a 35 percent share of the rights of the U.S. firm Fusion Oil & Gas in 2003 that had been granted by the SADR.\textsuperscript{938}

Zambia.

Zambia has no proven oil or gas reserves, but the presence of hydrocarbons has been confirmed in Chavuma and Zambezi, two districts in the Northwestern
Province.\textsuperscript{939} Zambian Minister of Mines and Mineral Development, Maxwell M. B. Mwale, indicated in 2010 that:

Exploration for oil and gas [in Zambia] is being conducted based on the understanding that oil and gas has been discovered in countries with . . . the same geology. Uganda discovered oil along the East African Rift System, which extends to . . . Lake Tanganyika—Mweru Wantipa Area, Luangwa, Luano–Lukusashi, Kafue and Zambezi Valleys. Botswana, on the other hand, discovered coal-bed-methane in the Okavango Basin, which stretches into the northwestern and western provinces of Zambia.\textsuperscript{940}

In 2009, the Zambia government launched a first bid round for 23 blocks and awarded 11 blocks. It awarded seven blocks to local Zambian companies Majetu (Blocks 3 and 11), Barotse Petroleum (20 and 21), and, Chat Milling (5, 16, and 19), and four to foreign companies, including the U.S. firm Glint Energy (Block 2, next to the Angolan border to the north), the UK’s Petrodel Resources (Block 17, next to the Angolan border to the west),\textsuperscript{941} the UK’s GB Petroleum (Block 22, in southwest Zambia), and Canada’s Exile Resources (Block 26, in the northeast, close to the Malawi border). (Exile was subsequently purchased by Nigeria’s Oando Petroleum.)

Zambia’s second round of bidding in 2010 did not attract any other foreign investors, and the government held off on awarding any new blocks to local companies.\textsuperscript{942} A third round of bidding was held in 2011, with the U.S. firm Frontier Resources winning Block 34, Exile being awarded a second block, and a Zambian company winning a third block.\textsuperscript{943} South Africa’s Rapid African Energy was awarded Block 31
in northern Zambia on the borders with the DRC, including Lake Mweru, and Tanzania, including Lake Tanganyika. The Zambian government plans a fourth round of bidding in 2013 and plans to offer 26 new blocks and six blocks that were offered in earlier rounds for which there was no suitable bidder.

In February 2013, Zambian Mines Minister Yamfwa Mukanga warned that companies holding exploration licenses in Zambia needed to move forward with their work or risk losing their licenses. One company that claims to have been active is Frontier Resources, whose Block 34 is 150 km southwest of the capital, Lusaka. Frontier believes its block may form part of the southwestern extension of the Western Rift Valley branch of the East African Rift System, has been acquiring 2D data near the block shot by an earlier operator, and is reviewing the acquisition of new aerogravity/aeromagnetic data.

Zimbabwe.

Zimbabwe has no proven oil or gas reserves, but has potential to become a producer of coal-bed methane gas. Minister of Mines and Mining Development Obert Mpofu said in 2011 that Zimbabwe had forged a deal with a[n undisclosed] foreign partner to explore and develop the “massive oil reserves” that the government believes are located in the Zambezi Valley. The Minister also stated that “There has been a lot of exploration along the Zambezi Valley for oil. We have another option to extract oil from coal, but . . . will only consider that when all other avenues have been exhausted.” The Minister acknowledged that exploration was still at the initial stage that involves the study of structural geology at the reservoir scale.
and sedimentary basin analysis. Another source claimed that Zimbabwe contained the largest reserves of methane gas in Africa, which could be true given Zimbabwe’s extensive coal beds. Consistent with this, Australia’s Sunbird Energy has eight permits for exploration in the Kalahari Karoo Basin in northern Botswana, approximately 100 km south of the Wankie coalfield in Zimbabwe.

Firms such as Sunbird may be choosing to invest in coal beds in neighboring countries such as Botswana, South Africa, and Zambia because of the current Zimbabwe government of Robert Mugabe’s hostile attitude toward foreign investment.
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APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF OIL AND GAS “RESERVES” AND QUALITIES OF CRUDE OIL

Reserves.

The most widely used definitions today of reserves are from the Petroleum Resources Management System of the American Society of Petroleum Engineers (SPE). According to SPE Guidelines, “reserves” are a subset of “resources,” representing the part of resources that are commercially recoverable and have been justified for development. Reserves can be subsequently divided into the following three categories, depending on certainty of recovery:

1. **Proved Reserves.** The highest valued category of reserves is “proved” reserves. Proved reserves have a “reasonable certainty” of being recovered, which means a high degree of confidence that volumes will be recovered. Reserves must have all commercial aspects addressed.

2. **“Probable” or “Possible” Reserves.** A lower category of reserves, commonly combined and referred to as “unproved reserves,” with decreasing levels of technical certainty. Probable reserves are volumes that are defined as less likely to be recovered than proved, but more certain to be recovered than “possible reserves.” Possible reserves are reserves which analysis of geological and engineering data suggests are less likely to be recoverable than probable reserves. “2P” is used to denote the sum of proved and probable reserves. The best estimate of recovery from committed projects is generally considered to be the 2P sum of proved and probable reserves.


3. **Resources.** Denotes less certainty than “reserves” because some significant commercial or technical hurdle must be overcome prior to there being confidence in the eventual production of the volumes. A sub-category is *contingent resources*, which are potentially recoverable but not yet considered mature enough for commercial development due to technological or business hurdles. Another sub-category is *prospective resources*. Estimated volumes associated with undiscovered accumulations. These represent quantities of petroleum that are estimated, as of a given date, to be potentially recoverable from oil and gas deposits identified on the basis of indirect evidence but which have not yet been drilled. This class represents a higher risk than contingent resources since the risk of discovery is also added.

**Crude Oil Qualities.**

1. **Density.** Oil density is generally expressed in degrees using an API scale. This is a specific gravity scale developed by the American Petroleum Institute (API), designed to measure the relative density of various petroleum liquids. The measure is expressed in degrees and most values fall between 10° and 70° API gravity. The specific gravity of oil is its relative density to water at 60° Fahrenheit.

2. **Light oil.** Otherwise known as “conventional oil,” or “sweet crude,” light oil has an API gravity of 22° or over. For example, the oil produced from Libyan fields is typically very “light,” and the country’s nine export grades have API gravities that range from 26-43.

3. **Heavy oil.** Dense, viscous oil with low API gravity. Definitions vary, but it is generally accepted that
the upper limit for heavy oils is 22° API. In Venezuela for example, the Bachaquero heavy crude oil has an API gravity of 17°. Heavy oils are usually not recoverable in their natural state through a well or by using ordinary production methods. Most need to be heated or diluted so that they can flow into a well or through a pipeline.

4. **Extra heavy oil.** Extra heavy oil has an API gravity of less than 10°.

5. **Bitumen.** Otherwise known as “oil sands,” bitumen shares many attributes of heavy oil, but is even more dense and viscous.
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