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Abstract

The project goal is the development of novel damage assessment devices for carbon fiber-reinforced composite structures utilizing carbon-fibers as self-sensing sensors. Two key components of this project are the fabrications of electrodes required for electrical inputs/outputs through the composites, and the development of data-processing scheme to diagnose the damage state. The former takes the advantage of new technology based on the thermal spray to directly deposit onto composite laminate surfaces to fabricate network of electrodes. Several conditions were tested and optimal process was identified. The latter task utilized simulations to develop the post-processing approach and preliminary results were promising.
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Fig. 1. Molten copper is plasma sprayed directly onto composite laminate over a mask to fabricate electrodes.

Fig. 2. Copper particles are plasma sprayed over an aluminum mask which covers composite laminate and electrodes are directly fabricated through open holes.

Fig. 3. Adhesion of electrodes on composite is tested with various spray passes. Some Cu electrodes are self-delaminated due large thermal stress as shown.

Fig. 4. Composite surface were pretreated under different conditions. The electrodes were plasma sprayed. After wiring was soldered, resistances with neighboring electrodes were measured.

Fig. 5. Some of plasma deposited electrodes. If the bonding strength is low, they were self-delaminated during cooling. They were wired to measured resistances.

Fig. 6. Masks with different patterns were used to make electrodes (spraying through masks).

Fig. 7. A 0.5” (12 mm) diameter hole was drilled to measure its influence on electrical resistances among electrodes.

Fig. 8. Grid of electrodes on composite laminate fabricated by plasma spray. Magnified view of an electrode is also shown.

Fig. 9. Raw resistance measurements of four separate laminate, unidirectional and cross-ply panels with for twin-wire arc and plasma spray made electrodes. Each value represents the average resistance between the electrode to base electrodes.

Fig. 10. Resistances between the central electrode G and the neighboring electrodes. As measured and adjusted with estimated contact resistances are shown.

Fig. 11. Changes in resistances due to damage (12mm diameter hole) between electrode pairs are illustrated with arrow thickness. For clarity, the measurements from 4 electrode and 9 electrode grids are shown separately.

Fig. 12. Square composite model with 4 electrodes on the front surface containing damage on the back surface. The coordinate and the damage parameters are noted.
Statement of Problem

The present project was focused on developing an innovative health monitoring system based on self-sensing fibers of composites, whose inherent heterogeneous microstructures make detections of potential damage difficult. The proposed system utilizes recently developed thermal spray technology to synthesize electrodes. Currently available technologies require complex processes and are not suitable to fabricate numerous electrodes necessary for large-scale sensor systems.

In our efforts, two issues critical to fabricating reliable TS electrodes were closely studied. They are the good electrical conductance as well as the good adhesion strength between TS deposited electrodes and composite laminates. In order to achieve optimal fabrication process to establish reliable electrodes, electrodes were deposited under various TS conditions and also different surface pre-treatment. For each condition, the electrodes were tested for

- Electrical resistance with neighboring electrodes
- Adhesion strength with composite laminates.

The lower “contact resistance” at the interface of electrodes and carbon fibers is needed to process measured data and reduce variability among different electrodes. The second is needed to fabricate structurally sound electrodes during service. These two conditions are influenced by the thermal spray process as well as pre-surface treatment.

Robust damage monitoring system also requires accurate data interpretation schemes. As resistance changes are measured at various pairs of electrodes, they must be processed and the state of potential damage must be estimated. The current project uses numerical analysis to generate simulated conditions and use the resulting resistances to re-construct damage state. Since the actual damage state is known, the accuracy of estimated state can be evaluated. The procedure is consisted of;

- Generate simulated resistance measurements from finite element model with damage.
- Process the indirect measurements via inverse analyses to estimate damage.

The simulation study to predict and tailor the damage monitoring system is critical in this project. Without references to estimated resistances, it would be impossible to interpret the measurements in actual samples. The computational results are also necessary in developing a suitable data processing scheme since the resistance change due to damage can be small.
Summary of Results

Thermal Spray Electrode Fabrication Processes

In the projects, electrodes are fabricated onto composite laminate surface using the following three thermal spray techniques.

1. **Twin-wire arc** spray with zinc and copper layers.
2. High Velocity Oxygen Fuel (**HVOF**) with copper powder.
3. Atmospheric **Plasma Spray** with copper powder.

The first process uses a pair of electrically conductive wires ([www.vividinc.com/newSite/tsp-wirearc.shtml](http://www.vividinc.com/newSite/tsp-wirearc.shtml)) and produces denser coatings at lower temperature (~1,000°C) than the plasma spray. The second process (HVOF) relies on high flow of oxygen to generate high temperature and particle velocity ([www.gordonengland.co.uk/hvof.htm](http://www.gordonengland.co.uk/hvof.htm)). The last process, the plasma spray, produces the highest temperature (~3,000°C) with ionization via high voltage discharge between anode and cathode ([www.vividinc.com/newSite/tsp-plasma.shtml](http://www.vividinc.com/newSite/tsp-plasma.shtml)). All processes were conducted at the Center for Thermal Spray Research ([www.ctsr.sunysb.org](http://www.ctsr.sunysb.org)) at Stony Brook University. To ensure a good adhesion of coatings to laminate with the lower temperature, zinc was initially sprayed and then copper was deposited in the twin-wire arc process. Many previous tests have shown excellent bonding strength of zinc to any surfaces. The HVOF process was expected to produce dense Cu coatings with good adhesion. However, several test revealed the particle velocity is too high for composite laminate and damage the substrate. Particles also bounced off from the surface. The process will be further tuned in the future study to test its capability. As HVOF, with the plasma spray (APS) process, copper was directly sprayed onto the laminate using programmed robot as shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Molten copper is plasma sprayed directly onto composite laminate over a mask to fabricate electrodes.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Copper particles are plasma sprayed over an aluminum mask which covers composite laminate and electrodes are directly fabricated through open holes.](image2)
Fig. 1. It was expected that the high temperature of plasma removes or evaporate epoxy phase and generates good adhesion with carbon fibers. In all processes, copper was sprayed over a mask with holes for electrodes as shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2](image)

Fig. 3. Adhesion of electrodes on composite is tested with various spray passes. Some Cu electrodes are self-delaminated due large thermal stress as shown.

Two types of composite panels were prepared and cut to 12” by 9” dimensions. Both types were 8-ply with [0°]₈ and [0°/90°]₂s arrangements with ~2mm thickness. In all specimens, their surfaces were initially grit-blasted to remove relatively thick (~50µm) epoxy only layer.

Adhesion of electrodes to the substrate laminate is a major problem in TS electrodes. In order to determine an optimal process to obtain good bonding strength, several tests with various spray parameters; powder feed rate, particle temperature and velocity, stand-off distance, raster speed and number of spray passes. For an example, as shown in Fig. 3, many spray passes (10) caused large thermal stresses to develop during cool down and cause partial delamination while a few spray passes do not deposit sufficient thickness. Also a high particle temperature and a large rate of power feed resulted in complete delamination as shown. After several trials, optimized parameters were found and electrodes were fabricated as shown in Fig. 4.

The first process uses a pair of electrically conductive wires ([www.vividinc.com/newSite/tsp-wirearc.shtml](http://www.vividinc.com/newSite/tsp-wirearc.shtml)) and produces denser coatings at lower temperature (~1,000°C) than the plasma spray. The second process (HVOF) relies on high flow of oxygen to generate high temperature and particle velocity ([www.gordonengland.co.uk/hvof.htm](http://www.gordonengland.co.uk/hvof.htm)). The last process, the plasma spray, produces the highest temperature (~3,000°C) with ionization via high voltage discharge between anode and cathode ([www.vividinc.com/newSite/tsp-plasma.shtml](http://www.vividinc.com/newSite/tsp-plasma.shtml)). All processes were conducted at the Center for Thermal Spray Research ([www.ctsr.sunysb.org](http://www.ctsr.sunysb.org)) at Stony Brook University. To ensure a good adhesion of coatings to laminate with the lower temperature, zinc was initially sprayed and then copper was deposited in the twin-wire arc process. Many previous tests have shown excellent bonding strength of zinc to any surfaces. The HVOF process was expected to produce dense Cu coatings with good adhesion. However, several test revealed the particle velocity is too high for composite laminate and damage the substrate. Particles also bounced off from the surface. The process will be further tuned in the future study to test its capability.

**Various Surface Pre-Treatments**

Prior to TS electrode depositions, surfaces of composite laminated were pretreated under conditions. Optical micrographs of some of them are shown in Fig. 4.
In some, liquid epoxy remover was used to clean away residual epoxy fragments. However in most cases, the resulting contact resistances were worsened after the chemical liquid was used. It appears that the remover may form thin insulating layer on the carbon fibers upon its applications. Aside from grit blasting, the surface was also pretreated with sandpapers with various grits. These abrasives are used to remove the epoxy layer to essentially exposed carbon fibers. For grit blasting with alumina particles, the pressure level was also varied. At hard/high pressure, the particles not only removed the epoxy but also broke the carbon fibers as shown in Fig. 4. We have also performed combination of sandpaper and grit blasting to see the combined effects.

After the surfaces were pretreated, electrodes were fabricated using plasma spray with mask as discussed in the previous report. Figure 5 shows some of deposited electrodes. If the bonding strength is low, some of electrodes delaminated due to residual stress developed by the thermal coefficient mismatch as shown. For un-delaminated electrodes, two wires were soldered to
perform 4-point-probe with a low current meter (Keithley, Inc.) which offsets instrumental contact resistances. These results are described detailed in Appendix.

**Optimal Electrode Fabrication Process**

After testing many differently prepared electrodes, one of optimal surface pre-treatment is that grit blasting with weak pressure for a few second (until the color on the surface is changed) and then rubbing 7 times along the fiber direction with sand paper #240. This process gives good adhesion strength as well as sufficient contact condition with carbon fibers. Alternately, short time grit blasting under high pressure also produces good strength as well as contact. At first glance, this appears to break so many carbon fibers, but the overall contact condition is good as shown by lower measured resistances between adjacent electrodes.

**Testing with Artificially General Damage**

As carried out earlier, a hole representing damage was drilled in some of composite plates with TS electrodes. For testing, three different composite laminates were acquired. Two cross-ply laminates with [0°/90°]_2s and [0°/90°]_4s. The thickness of the former type of plate is 1.6mm while the latter is 3.2mm. Also a laminate with unidirectional fiber [0°]_4s with 1.6mm plate thickness was also tested. We have also utilized different mask design as shown in Fig. 6 to measure remittances among different electrodes.
In each composite laminate, a 10 mm diameter hole was drilled through-thickness to resemble damage as shown in Fig. 7. The size and depth of the hole was chosen arbitrary but made large enough to detect resistance changes due to damage. Since relatively large errors and noises are expected in this preliminary test, the measurements need to be beyond estimated errors. Thus a through-thickness hole, unlike part-through damage as analyzed in the simulation study was selected. In subsequent study, various types of damage will be tested to assess the determination capability of the proposed system. The results are summarized in our published paper [1].

**Measurements - Contact Resistances**

Resistances between electrodes (Fig. 8) were measured using a low current meter (Keithley, Inc.) with four-point probe method, which offsets instrumental contact resistances. Each electrode is soldered with two wires as shown in Fig. 7. First, resistances between each electrode and base electrodes at the top and bottom (2 locations each) are measured to determine their variations. Since the effective distances from 4 wiring locations on the base electrodes are approximately same for each electrode, the averaged values should offer some information on the contact resistances between electrode and carbon fibers. It is expected the resistances between two electrodes to be comprised of the carbon fiber resistance and the interface resistance between carbon fibers and copper electrodes. Although the latter effects can be subtracted when the difference in resistances due to damage are computed, large contact resistances can increase measurement error or noise. Raw measurements of resistances among four separate panels are shown in Fig. 9. For the twin-wire arc electrodes, variations of more than 100mΩ are observed in both unidirectional and cross-ply panels. The resistance variations are about half as much in the plasma spray electrodes. In addition, the magnitudes of resistances of twin-wire arc were generally much higher than those of plasma spray. These results imply better contact conditions with the electrodes fabricated with plasma spray than those made with twin-wire arc. Much higher deposition temperature may have contributed the better bonding condition.
In order to test the consistency of fiber resistances, resistances between electrode grids were measured next. Here the central electrode was chosen and resistances between the central electrode and the neighboring electrodes were measured. Figure 10 shows the results obtained from the plasma spray electrodes on the cross-ply \([0^\circ/90^\circ]_{2s}\) panel. Here the central electrode is chosen as the one labeled G and pink bars correspond to “as measured” results. These values were adjusted with contact resistances estimated from the measurements shown in Fig. 9, and shown as green bars in Fig. 10. With the adjustment, more consistent results (e.g., similar resistances for GB, GJ, GD and GL pairs) were obtained. Although not shown here, the similar analyses of the other three panels were also conducted. In the unidirectional \([0^\circ]_8\) panel, there should be two low resistances and six approximately similar resistances. The as-measured data indeed showed such behavior with some inconsistency. The adjusted or calibrated results exhibited better agreements with the predicted results based on the fiber direction. A similar measurement is also made with the twin-wire arc cross-ply \([0^\circ/90^\circ]_{4s}\) panel. These results are
consistent with the ply-arrangement of these panels.

_Measurements – Resistance Change due to Damage_

In an initial step toward monitoring damage in actual composite laminate, a 10 mm diameter hole was drilled through-thickness to resemble damage. The size and depth of the hole was chosen arbitrary but made large enough to detect resistance changes due to damage. Since relatively large errors and noises are expected in this preliminary test, the measurements need to be beyond estimated errors. Thus a through-thickness hole, unlike part-through damage as analyzed in the simulation study was selected. In subsequent study, various types of damage will be tested to assess the determination capability of the proposed system. Note that the post-process to estimate the damage was not carried out in this preliminary experiment since it would require additional information on the resistivity of each ply within the laminate which was available yet. Furthermore the data process also requires many computations to set up the reference/forward solutions. The aim is to simply verify the changes in resistances due to an existence of a hole in this preliminary phase.

In this experiment, plasma spray electrodes on the cross-ply [0°/90°]_4 panel was chosen. Prior to drilling, eleven electrode pairs in 3 by 3 electrode grid were selected and their resistances were measured (without damage). Their values range between 401~500 mΩ. Then

---

Fig. 10. Resistances between the central electrode G and the neighboring electrodes. As measured and adjusted with estimated contact resistances are shown.

Fig. 11. Changes in resistances due to damage (12mm diameter hole) between electrode pairs are illustrated with arrow thickness. For clarity, the measurements from 4 electrode and 9 electrode grids are shown separately.
the hole was drilled, and the resistance measurements were again made. Since the electrical flow is obstructed with the hole, the resistances between electrode increased by 4~32 mΩ. Note we estimate the measurement error bound to be 5~8 mΩ in these test. The changes in resistances are graphically shown in Fig. 11. As expected, large resistances were observed in electrode pairs whose paths cross or run near the drilled hole (BG, CF, BH, BK pairs). However some inconsistencies were also observed. For examples, the CJ and DF pairs showed only marginal increases even though their paths pass through the hole.

During the next phase, additional investigations will be made on the various thermal spray processes. Although statistical nature of resistances measurements can be accommodated in the data analysis, lower and more consistent contact resistance (between fibers and electrodes) will be ideal. Furthermore, an improve procedure to measure resistances to lower the error or noise will be developed.

**Data Interpretation Scheme**

Development on robust data processing was also carried out during the period. Here the inverse analysis to measured resistances was refined and the 3D finite element model to simulate and verify the procedure was also carried out. Damage was modeled as shown in Fig. 10 and 3D FE mesh containing 160,000 8-node elements was constructed. Here four damage parameters, \( d, \delta, x \) and \( y \), are used to define the state of damage. In the inverse analysis, reference solutions were constructed using the cubic Lagrangian interpolation functions. Suppose the electrical resistance value at \( \alpha \)th electrode pair, \( R_{\alpha}(x, y, d, \delta) \), is expressed as a continuous function of damage parameters as,

\[
R_{\alpha}(x, y, d, \delta) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \sum_{p=1}^{4} \sum_{q=1}^{4} R_{i j p q}(x_i, y_j, d_p, \delta_q) N_{ijpq}(x, y, d, \delta),
\]

where the interpolation function is defined as,

![Fig. 12. Square composite model with 4 electrodes on the front surface containing damage on the back surface. The coordinate and the damage parameters are noted.](image)
\[ N_{ijpq}(x, y, d, \delta) = \prod_{m=1}^{4} \frac{x - x_m}{x_i - x_m} \prod_{n=1}^{4} \frac{y - y_n}{y_I - y_n} \prod_{l=1}^{4} \frac{d - d_l}{d_p - d_l} \prod_{p=1}^{4} \frac{\delta - \delta_r}{\delta_q - \delta_r}. \]  (2)

In the above, \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) are \( i \)th and \( j \)th sample point within the range of damage location, and \( d_p \) and \( \delta_q \) is \( p \)th and \( q \)th sample point within the range of damage size, respectively. \( N_{ijpq} \) denotes the cubic Lagrangian interpolation function. To extract the most probable damage parameters, the difference between the measured electrical resistance and the estimated one calculated from estimated damage parameters is minimized. In this study, the error object function for \( n \) electrical potential measurements is introduced as,

\[ \varphi(x, y, d, \delta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \left( \frac{R_{\alpha}(x^est, y^est, d^est, \delta^est) - R_{\alpha}^{meas}}{R_{\alpha}^{est}} \right). \]  (3)

Here, \( x^est \) and \( y^est \) are estimated damage location in the \( x' \) and \( y' \) coordinates, and \( d^est \) and \( \delta^est \) mean estimated damage size and depth, respectively. To find the minimum value of the error objective function, the downhill simplex method is used. This method is known as one of the most popular methods for multi-dimensional optimization especially in case of derivatives of objective function are either unavailable or discontinuous. It was introduced by Nelder and Mead [2] and previously utilized to find the embedded delamination in composite [3]. The method is based on the concept of a simplex, which is a polytope of \( N+1 \) vertices in \( N \) dimensions. Since there are four unknown parameters in the present work, the value of \( N \) is four. 4. The detail can be found in [3].
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Appendix - Summary of various electrodes

Electrode TS Deposition Process

Pre-surface Treatments

1. Grit blast
   Surface roughness becomes higher but carbon fibers in the surface layer have to be damaged to exposed fibers.

2. Sand papers
   #120: It’s too rough because relatively deeper ditches are made on surface.
   #240: It is easier to exposed carbon fibers but surface roughness does not become higher.
   #400: In order to expose carbon fibers, it is necessary to rub more 10 times in the fiber direction. After rubbing, surface roughness becomes less than 1 μm.
   #800: It is difficult to expose carbon fibers.

3. Epoxy remover
   Contact resistances between electrode and carbon fibers were not improved. Most likely fibers are recovered with softened epoxy.

Best preparing:
   Grid blasting with weak pressure a few second (until the color on the surface is changed) and then rubbing 7 times along the fiber direction of the first layer with sand paper #240.

Thermal Spray

1. Arc spray
   Zn can be deposited under any surface conditions.
   When Cu is sprayed on Zn electrodes, contact resistances decrease more than 10%.
   However, absolute value of contact resistance is quite higher.

2. Plasma spray
   Cu electrodes can be made skillfully with spray parameters as shown below:
   Spray distance: 150 mm
   Ar: 60, H₂: 4
   Electrical current: 320 A
   Power voltage: 60 V
   Robot moving speed: 700 mm/s
   Pass: 7 passes after 1 preheating
   Feed: 10 rpm
   Carrier: 6 lpm
   Nozzle diameter: 8 mm
   Air cooling is from back side
   Number of passes:
     5 pass: There is no delamination but electrode layer is too thin.
     7 pass: Electrodes are finely deposited without delamination.
     10 pass: Electrodes are delaminated.
New parameters (Mar 2012)
Spray distance: 150 mm
Ar: 47.5, H₂: 3
Electrical current: 400 A
Power voltage: 65 V
Robot moving speed: 700 mm/s
Pass: 7 passes after 1 preheating
Feed: 10 rpm
Carrier: 6 lpm
Nozzle diameter: 8 mm
Air cooling is from back side

3. HVOF spray
   Surface is burned because of its high-power thermal spray. It is impossible to deposit electrodes on surface of CFRP by HVOF.

Best spray: Plasma spray

Electrode Arrangements
   Two long electrodes and 3 times 4 circle electrodes (conventional arrangement)
   Two long electrodes are used to estimate differences of contact resistance between circle electrodes. The mask size is 12” times 9”.

1. Circle electrodes for larger size panel
   Circle electrodes can be deposited on 12” times 18” size panels.

2. Long electrodes for larger size panel
   Long electrodes can be deposited on 12” times 18” size panels.

3. Three long electrodes and different size circle electrodes
   Differences of contact resistance between different size electrodes can be measured.

Actual Measurement of Resistances between Electrodes
   Resistances between electrodes on actual CFRP laminates are measured before and after damaged to obtain resistance changes. And also electrical resistances with the same model are measured after several days to check secular variations of contact resistances between electrode and carbon fibers. In summarized file (measured resistances and surface roughness before thermal spray can be seen. Here each tab name reflects plate name.

4-point probe resistivity measurements
   Two electrical wires are deposited on each electrode by soft solder. In general four point probe method, a current source is used to supply current through the outer two probes and the voltage across the inner two probes is measured to determine the resistivity between the two probes. In this study, however, measurement value is not changed even if the two wires on the same electrode are switched.
**Artificial Damage**

1. Drilling of circular hole.
   Any size holes can be made.

2. Grit blasting
   Surface damages and holes can be made. It is necessary to use a mask to determine damage size and location accurately. In this experiment, air pressure of grit blast is set at over 60 psi. Here, every 4 or 5 seconds, it is better to check the damage depth which can be measured by counting CFRP layers from the top surface on the verge of the damage. Also, all electric wires have to be secured with tape not to be damaged the other parts.