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Abstract

Simulations are conducted with a cloud-resolving numerical model to examine the transformation of a weak incipient mid-level cyclonic vortex into a tropical cyclone. Results demonstrate that two distinct pathways are possible and that development along a particular pathway is sensitive to model physics and initial conditions. One pathway involves a steady increase of the surface winds to tropical cyclone strength as the radius of maximum winds gradually decreases. A notable feature of this evolution is the creation of small-scale cyclonic vorticity anomalies by deep convective towers and subsequent merger and convergence by the low-level secondary circulation. The second pathway also begins with a strengthening low-level circulation, but eventually a significantly stronger mid-level circulation develops. Cyclogenesis subsequently occurs when a small-scale surface concentrated vortex abruptly forms near the center of the larger-scale circulation. The small-scale vortex is warm core throughout the troposphere and results in a local surface pressure fall of a few millibars. It usually develops rapidly undergoing a modest growth to form a small tropical cyclone. Many of the simulated systems approach or
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reach tropical cyclone strength prior to a prominent mid-level vortex developing so that
the subsequent formation of a strong small-scale surface concentrated vortex in these
cases could be considered intensification rather than genesis.

Experiments are performed to investigate the dependence on the inclusion of the ice
phase, radiation, the size and strength of the incipient mid-level vortex, the amount of
moisture present in the initial vortex, and the sea surface temperature. Notably, as the sea
surface temperature is raised the likelihood of development along the second pathway is
increased. This appears to be related to an increased production of ice. The sensitivity of
the pathway taken to model physics and initial conditions revealed by these experiments
raise the possibility that the solution to this initial value problem is near a bifurcation
point. Future improvements to model parameterizations and more accurate observations
of the transformation of disturbances to tropical cyclones should clarify the conditions
that favor a particular pathway when starting from a middle level vortex.
1 Introduction

The problem of how a tropical disturbance transforms into a tropical cyclone (TC) has been an active field of investigation for over fifty years. Numerical modeling has become an increasingly useful research tool, as more powerful computer processing and the development of advanced cloud models enable more accurate simulation of microphysical processes, air-sea interaction and radiative exchange. Relatively recent studies that have attempted to resolve clouds, rather than parameterize their effects, show significant ability to reproduce observed characteristics of mature TCs (Liu et al. 1997; Braun 2002; Rogers et al. 2003).

Hendricks et al. (2004, hereafter H04) extended the genesis study of Davis and Bosart (2001) by analyzing a high-resolution (3 km horizontal grid spacing) near-cloud-resolving numerical simulation of Hurricane Diana (1984) with the fifth generation Pennsylvania State University-National Center for Atmospheric Research (PSU-NCAR) Mesoscale Model (MM5). The numerical model was initialized with an analysis of observed data at a stage when the system that would evolve into Hurricane Diana was a subtropical disturbance. Results suggested that convective plumes with intense vertical vorticity in their cores were the preferred convective structures. The term Vortical Hot Tower (VHT) was coined to describe these structures. H04 suggested that VHT’s play an important role in a two-stage evolutionary process: (1) preconditioning of the local environment via diabatic production of multiple small-scale lower tropospheric cyclonic potential vorticity (PV) anomalies, and (2) multiple mergers and axisymmeterization of these low-level PV anomalies. In addition to the organizational process of the PV anomalies, the cyclogenesis is enhanced by the aggregate diabatic heating associated with
the VHT’s, which produce a net influx of low-level mean angular momentum throughout the genesis.

Similar results to H04 were obtained in a study by Montgomery et al. (2006, hereafter M06). Unlike H04, an idealized model initialization was used based on observations from the 1990s that indicated that mesoscale convective vortices (MCVs) forming in the stratiform region near mid-levels of the troposphere in the trough region of tropical disturbances are often precursors to TC genesis in the deep tropics (e.g. Zehr 1992; Mapes and Houze 1995; Harr and Elsberry 1996; Harr et al. 1996; Bister and Emanuel 1997; Ritchie and Holland 1997; Raymond et al. 1998; Reasor et al. 2005). They used the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (RAMS) to explore the formation of the TC surface circulation through a series of idealized simulations of convection initiated within a preexisting MCV. For the majority of experiments the maximum wind speed of the initial vortex was 6.6 m s\(^{-1}\) at a radius of 75 km and a height of 4 km. The mean Atlantic hurricane season sounding from Jordan (1958) was used to initialize the model thermodynamic structure. The center of the vortex was moistened at low levels and the sea surface temperature was set to a constant value of 29 °C for most of the experiments. The microphysics scheme included the ice phase and a radiation scheme was used for all the simulations conducted. An important ramification of this study, was that it provided support for the view that a relatively weak mid-level MCV in a favorable thermodynamic environment, over a warm ocean, would gradually undergo a metamorphosis into a TC in the absence of other influences, such as large scale forcing, or merger of two or more MCV’s (e.g. Simpson et al. 1997; Sippel et al 2006). Factors such as large-scale forcing and merger of MCV’s may increase the likelihood of genesis in some situations.
However, the M06 study suggested the possibility that an embryonic vortex in an environment devoid of hostile influences, such as strong vertical wind shear or dry air intrusion, may gradually undergo a transformation into a TC.

Recent work by Dunkerton et al. (2009), broadened the earlier investigation of M06 to include synoptic and sub-synoptic-scale influences associated with easterly waves and their embedded critical layers and cyclonically recirculating flow within. Based on their examination of 55 developing cases in the Atlantic and eastern Pacific sectors during the peak of four consecutive hurricane seasons, Dunkerton et al. (2009) developed the new “marsupial paradigm” for tropical cyclogenesis that explicitly recognizes the intrinsic multi-scale nature of the problem. The critical layer of a tropical easterly wave, or “wave pouch”, was hypothesized to be important to TC formation in three distinct ways: (1) wave breaking or roll-up of cyclonic vorticity and lower-tropospheric moisture near the critical surface in the lower troposphere provides a favorable environment for the aggregation of vorticity seedlings for TC formation; (2) the cat’s eye is a region of approximately closed circulation, where air is repeatedly moistened by deep moist convection and protected to some degree from dry air intrusions; (3) the parent wave is maintained and possibly enhanced by diabatically amplified mesoscale vortices within the wave. The new cyclogenesis model is supported in part by observations of a developing Pacific easterly wave (Montgomery et al. 2010, Raymond and Lopez 2011) and high resolution cloud-representing numerical simulations in both real-case and idealized configurations (Zhang et al. 2010, Montgomery et al. 2010, Wang et al. 2010, Montgomery et al. 2011). Despite these encouraging scientific results, questions remain about the nature of the convective organization process within the wave pouch in the
early stages of a tropical disturbance. Some of the issues are exemplified by the two
different cyclogenesis pathways reported in M06 and Nolan (2007, hereafter N07).
Another open issue concerns the thermodynamic nature of tropical cyclogenesis
(Raymond et al. 2011, Smith and Montgomery 2012, Montgomery and Smith 2012).

Some of the specific issues arising with the two studies of M06 and N07 can be
summarized as follows: Although, M06 found a strengthening of the mid-level
circulation often occurred in their simulations (e.g. Fig. 4c of M06), this mid-level
strengthening did not appear to play a crucial role in the genesis process. In contrast, N07
found a significantly different pathway to tropical cyclogenesis occurred in simulations
conducted with the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model, one in which a
mid-level vortex was suggested to play an important role. Some of the experiments in
N07 were initialized with a mid-level vortex, similar to M06. The maximum strength of
this initial vortex was stronger with a maximum wind speed of 10 m s\(^{-1}\) at a radius of 100
km and a height of 3.72 km. Unlike M06, the initial vortex was not moistened above that
of the surrounding environment and radiation was not included. After approximately
three days simulation time, a strengthening, and contraction of the mid-level circulation
occurred with azimuthally averaged winds reaching about 12 m s\(^{-1}\) at a radius of 65 km
and at a height of 5 km. Low level winds increased also to approximately 8 m s\(^{-1}\). At this
time, a small surface concentrated vortex (SSCV) formed suddenly near the center of the
large scale circulation, with a radius of about 7 km, and subsequently the system rapidly
developed. *N07 emphasized that genesis did not occur until the inner core had achieved
depth near-saturation and the mid-level vortex had elevated, contracted, and intensified.*

In the hours before genesis, the intensification of the mid-level vortex was shown to lead
to a large increase in the (axisymmetric) efficiency of the conversion of latent heat energy
to the kinetic energy of the cyclonic wind field, in accord with the theory of Schubert and
Hack (1980) and Hack and Schubert (1986). In some respects, this description of the
evolution resembles the conceptual model proposed by Bister and Emanuel (1997) who
also postulate a crucial role for the mid-level vortex in TC genesis.

The current study presents results of simulations conducted with a newer version of
RAMS than used by M06. Unlike the previous version of RAMS, the simulations with
the newer version show more of a tendency to produce a prominent mid-level vortex.
Additionally, the newer version sometimes shows the subsequent formation of a SSCV
very reminiscent of the results of N07. In this study we will refer to the pathway
described by M06 as pathway one and the pathway described by N07 as pathway two.
Experiments are performed to examine the sensitivity of the pathway taken to model
physics and initial conditions. We summarize the distinctive features of these different
pathways as follows:

**Pathway One:** Pathway one proceeds by spin up of cyclonic surface winds that become
greater than those of the initial weak mid-level vortex and remain stronger or of
comparable strength as the winds aloft, until they become large enough that they reach
tropical depression strength (defined herein as approximately 12 m s$^{-1}$). Development is
characterized by a gradual decrease of the radius of maximum surface winds. Vorticity is
concentrated in numerous deep convective towers that collectively drive a system-scale
inflow. Vorticity gradually builds in the center as the system-scale inflow produces
increasing cyclonic vorticity and as small-scale cyclonic vorticity anomalies are
converged at low levels and undergo aggregation. The foregoing process is usually a stochastic process with no prominent event leading to a sudden fall in the minimum surface pressure.

**Pathway Two:** For pathway two a mid-level vortex develops in excess of 10 m s\(^{-1}\) that is considerably stronger than the typical MCV associated with the stratiform region of a Mesoscale Convective System (MCS). Its size is usually smaller than the vortex used to initialize the model, with a radius of maximum winds ranging from approximately 25 to 100 km, for the experiments discussed herein. Although the surface winds may strengthen prior to the development of the strong mid-level vortex, with a concomitant decrease in the radius of maximum tangential winds similar to pathway one, the intensification of the surface winds ends once the strong mid-level vortex is established. The system development is then followed by the sudden formation of a SSCV near the center of the larger-scale circulation. The formation of the SSCV is accompanied by a local decrease of surface pressure of a few millibars. Once formed, the SSCV becomes the focus of a strengthening cyclonic circulation that grows in size, and often develops rapidly into a small TC.

Although the newer version of RAMS behaves differently than the older version in that it is more likely to show development along pathway two, we should be careful not to draw definitive conclusions at this stage because results demonstrate a significant sensitivity to model physics and initial conditions. Further refinements to model parameterizations could lead to a different picture of the likelihood of a particular
pathway being favored. The solution to this initial value problem appears to have similarities to a bifurcation in that small changes to parameters seem to lead to a sudden qualitative change in the behavior. The strength of the mid-level circulation just prior to genesis for systems developing along pathway two in the simulations of N07 and those discussed in this paper are notably large, often in excess of 12 m s$^{-1}$. Observations of MCVs that would support the existence of such strong wind speeds prior to genesis are limited. Furthermore, we are unaware of any observed cases that clearly show the abrupt formation of a SSCV.

As far as we are aware, the rather remarkable transformations that take place along pathway two have been only demonstrated so far by N07 and the results presented in this modeling study. Other numerical modeling studies by H04, M06, Wang et al. (2010) and Braun et al. (2010), do not show development along pathway two. The initial conditions used in the studies by N07 and herein, are idealized; Large scale forcing, complex interactions between multiple mesoscale vortices, vertical wind shear, and development within a tropical wave could all have significant influences on the pathway taken to tropical cyclogensis. Nevertheless, it is interesting that there are two distinct pathways to tropical cyclogensis that are found in numerical modeling simulations even with simple idealized initial conditions, and it is important to understand why this occurs.

As discussed by Montgomery and Smith (2010), the results of N07, raises several questions: What processes lead to the intensification and contraction of the mid-level vortex? Although saturation of the inner core and strengthening of the mid-level vortex occur prior to the formations of the SSCV, are there other factors that influence its development? The small scale vortex subsequently intensifies and grows into the primary
circulation, but how this happens requires explanation. N07 considers the formation of the SSCV to be the time of tropical cyclogenesis. Yet, given its small-scale feature, and given the weak larger-scale surface circulation, the classification of the system as a TC at this stage seems questionable to us. Moreover, the metamorphosis process described by N07, which is in sharp contrast to the one found in the earlier studies by H04 and M06, may not be the typical pathway along which most TCs form. For our simulations discussed in this paper that evolve along pathway two, the simulated TC usually remains very small, which suggests that it is unlikely to be the way that most TCs form. Nevertheless, it may turn out to be an important mechanism for the formation of small TCs, which are hard to forecast. Also, it is important for hurricane modelers and forecasters to be aware that such a pathway may exist, so that they recognize it if it occurs in their simulations or observations. This exploratory study seeks to further our understanding of why a particular pathway may be favored and elucidate some of the factors responsible for evolution along pathway two.

An outline of the remaining paper is as follows: In section 2, we discuss the numerical model, and the initial conditions used for the experiments. In section 3, results are presented. Simple statistics are compiled for the experiments so that their general behavior can be compared and contrasted. Individual cases are then analyzed in more detail. This is followed by an examination of the transformations that take place during pathway two, in particular the processes that appear to be important in the development of a prominent mid-level vortex and subsequently the abrupt formation of a SSCV. Results are discussed in section 4 and conclusions are presented in section 5.
2 Model description and initial conditions

2.1 Cloud model

The model is a more recent version of the one used by M06. It is very similar in construct although numerous small changes were made to the code. The main features are that it is a nonhydrostatic numerical modeling system comprising time-dependent equations for velocity, non-dimensional pressure perturbation, ice-liquid water potential temperature (Tripoli and Cotton 1981), total water mixing ratio and cloud microphysics. The microphysics scheme has categories for cloud droplets, rain, pristine ice crystals, snow, aggregates and hail (Walko 1995). The surface parameterization of heat, vapor and momentum fluxes is based on the Louis (1979) scheme. The roughness length over water is dependent on the surface wind speed according to the relation derived by Charnock (1955). It includes the longwave and shortwave scheme developed by Harrington (1997), which is employed for most of the experiments. A simpler scheme developed by Chen and Cotton (1987) is an option in RAMS and is used for a sensitivity experiment. Both schemes allow for interactions of the radiation with cloud hydrometeors. A standard first-order sub-grid scale turbulence scheme developed by Smagorinsky (1963) is used with modifications by Lilly (1962) and Hill (1974) that enhance diffusion in unstable conditions and reduces diffusion in stable conditions. RAMS utilizes the two-way interactive multiple nested grid scheme developed by Clark and Farley (1984). The radiative boundary condition described by Klemp and Wilhelmson (1978) is used at the lateral boundary of the coarse grid. A Rayleigh friction layer is included at upper levels.
The values of the model parameters employed remain the same as in the previous study (see the appendix of M06), except that the value of Charnocks constant is reduced from 0.018 to 0.016.

For all the numerical experiments three grids are used. The horizontal grid increments are 24, 6 and 2 km, with \((x,y,z)\) dimensions of \(101\times101\times33\), \(110\times110\times33\), \(161\times161\times33\), respectively for the small vortex simulations and \(101\times101\times33\), \(110\times110\times33\), \(201\times201\times33\), respectively for the large vortex simulations. Each grid is centered within the next coarsest grid. The vertical grid increment is 200 m and gradually stretched with height to the top of the domain at \(z=23.4\) km. The depth of the Rayleigh friction layer is 6 km.

### 2.2 Initial environmental temperature and moisture profiles

Similarly to M06, the temperature structure is the mean Atlantic hurricane season sounding of Jordan (1958). The initial moisture profile is the same as used for the reduced CAPE experiments in M06, referred to as B2 and B3 in that study. This profile has low level moisture reduced from the Jordan sounding by a maximum of 2 g kg\(^{-1}\) at the surface. The reduced moisture of this profile may be more representative of the environment surrounding a TC, which would be expected to have a moister core. It also has the advantage of producing a more focused development, so that there is less convective activity in the coarser grids that only poorly resolve convective scales.

### 2.3 Experiments
The details of the procedure used to initialize the MCV, is discussed by M06. Maximum tangential winds for all the experiments are at a height of 4 km above sea level. Experiments are broadly grouped into the following categories: (1) \textit{Radiation versus no radiation} Simulations are conducted either with the Harrington scheme activated or with it deactivated. (2) \textit{Moist versus dry}. For most experiments the initial vortex is moistened below 8 km and for a radii less than the radius of maximum winds (RMW), to 85\% of saturation with respect to liquid. This moisture anomaly is linearly reduced to environmental values from the RMW to a radius of 25 km beyond the RMW. For the dry simulations there is no vortex moistening and the moisture is horizontally homogeneous at environmental values. (3) \textit{Small versus large vortices}. Experiments are conducted for two vortex sizes; One having a RMW of 75 km, and one with a RMW of 125 km. (4) \textit{Weak versus strong}. Most of the experiments are initialized with a relatively weak vortex having a maximum wind speed of 8 m s\(^{-1}\) at a height of 4 km above the surface, and a maximum surface wind speed of 4 m s\(^{-1}\). A few experiments were carried out with a stronger vortex having a maximum wind speed of 12 m s\(^{-1}\) at a height of 4 km above the surface, and a maximum surface wind speed of 6 m s\(^{-1}\). (5) \textit{Sea surface temperature} (SST). The majority of experiments used an SST of 29 \(^{\circ}\)C. Some simulations were performed with SSTs of 28 \(^{\circ}\)C and 27 \(^{\circ}\)C.

As an example of an initial vortex Figure 1 shows the tangential wind speed, potential temperature perturbation and water vapor mixing ratio for a small weak vortex that has a moisture anomaly. The maximum wind speeds are at a height of 4 km and a radius of 75 km. The potential temperature anomaly is a maximum at \(z=6\) km and a minimum at \(z=2\) km at the center of the vortex. Moistening to 85\% of saturation below 8 km within the
vortex increases the water vapor mixing ratio by approximately 2 g kg\(^{-1}\) relative to the environment. The effects of the moisture anomaly are included in the buoyancy and virtual potential temperature used to specify the initial vortex (M06), so that it remains well balanced. These changes are reflected in the potential temperature perturbation field particularly evident where the horizontal gradient of water vapor is strong between 75-100 km. The saturated vapor pressure is a function of temperature that is perturbed in the vortex resulting in a water vapor mixing ratio field that is not completely flat in regions that are 85% of saturation.

Table 1 shows 15 experiments numbered 2 to 16 conducted using the grouping discussed above. All these experiments have ice microphysics. Experiment 1 that is not included in this table is the only simulation that does not have ice microphysics. This simpler microphysics case is discussed first. It is identical to Experiment 2 except it only has cloud water and rain microphysical categories. Experiments 2 to 7 do not have radiation. These simpler physics cases are discussed prior to experiments 8 to 16 that include radiation. Additionally, one more simulation, Experiment 17, was conducted using the Chen-Cotton radiations scheme instead of the Harrington scheme.

Experiment 2 is similar to the reduced CAPE simulations B2 and B3 of M06 except that the form of the moist anomaly is different and radiation is not included. Experiment 3 is identical to Experiment 2 except the initial vortex is dry. Experiment 4 is similar to 2 except the initial vortex is large. Experiments 5 and 6 have a lower SST of 28 °C for a small and large vortex, respectively. Experiment 7 is identical to experiment 2 except the SST is reduced from 29 to 27 °C. Experiment 8 is identical to Experiment 2 except that radiation is activated. Experiment 9 is identical to 8 except the initial vortex is dry.
Experiments 10 and 11 are stronger vortex cases for moist and dry small vortices respectively. Experiment 12 is identical to 8 except the vortex is large. Experiment 13 is identical to experiment 12 except the vortex is strong. Experiments 14-16 examine the effects of reducing SST when radiation is activated. Finally, Experiment 17 is identical to Experiment 8 except that the Chen-Cotton radiation scheme is employed. Clearly, many more combinations are possible. The experiments that have been chosen should give a fairly comprehensive view of the affects of changing some of the major parameters on the pathway taken to tropical cyclogenesis.

3 Experimental results

3.1 Discussion of the general behavior

Table 2 shows some general statistics for the experiments that include the time at which the maximum averaged tangential wind at the grid point adjacent to the surface (z=98m) reaches 12 m s\(^{-1}\), and the RMW at this time. For systems developing along pathway one, this wind speed is a reasonable criteria for concluding that tropical cyclogenesis has occurred. For systems developing along pathway two that form an SSCV this wind speed is probably not a good indicator of genesis since the larger scale circulation at the surface is still weak. Also shown is the pathway taken to genesis. Designation as pathway two requires the development of a prominent mid-level vortex and the subsequent abrupt formation of a resilient SSCV that becomes the focus of a developing TC. Experiments 11 and 13 actually developed a small-scale vortex but it was
not resilient and instead merged with the larger scale circulation. These cases are therefore designated as developing along pathway one. Two experiments, 14 and 16, first developed into TC’s along pathway one, but subsequently weakened and later underwent a second genesis along pathway two. These are therefore designated 1/2 in the table. Also shown for the pathway two cases is the maximum averaged tangential wind at the lowest model level that occurs in the period before the SSCV forms. The next columns give the time at which the TC develops into a tropical storm ($T_{TS}$) using the criteria that the average tangential winds at the lowest model level reach $17.4 \, \text{m} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, the RMW at this time, the time at which the TC reaches hurricane strength ($T_{H}$) using a criteria of $33 \, \text{m} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ and the RMW at this time.

From this table the following conclusions can be drawn: (1) Both pathways are about equally represented in this set of experiments. (2) The moist cases develop much more rapidly than the dry cases given that the other parameters are the same. (3) Radiation tends to produce faster development. (4) Pathway one is favored if the initial vortex is strong or if the sea surface temperatures are lower. (5) A significant fraction of the systems that develop along pathway two reach wind speeds of approximately $10 \, \text{m} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ near the surface prior to an SSCV forming, so they are already a borderline tropical depression. (5) The SSCV’s that form for systems developing along pathway two have a very small RMW and they typically develop very rapidly into tropical storms and hurricanes undergoing a modest growth during this period. On the other hand, systems developing along pathway one tend to have a larger RMW and undergo a contraction as they develop. Some of these cases develop rapidly also. (6) Not unexpectedly, larger
initial vortices tend to produce larger TCs. (7) Unexpectedly, lower SSTs do not always result in a slower rate of development.

For systems that develop along pathway two, Table 3 shows properties of the “second mid-level vortex” at the time of formation of the SSCV and the maximum wind speeds and radius of maximum winds at the surface. For these RAMS simulations, we refer to the strong mid-level vortex that forms as the “second mid-level vortex” since the original MCV became less evident as the low level winds increased during the early evolution of the system. The second mid-level vortex develops winds between 12-16 m s\(^{-1}\) for most cases with the exception of Experiment 12 that has winds of 19.1 m s\(^{-1}\). The RMW of the mid-level vortex tends to be relatively large for the dry cases and the large initial vortex cases, ranging from 47 to 91 km. The experiments with small initial vortices and lower SST’s develop notably smaller second mid-level vortices. They are centered at a height of approximately 4.3-5.4 km. Comparing Table 2 with Table 3 it can be seen that the SSCV’s attain a tangential wind speed of 12 m s\(^{-1}\) within a few hours of forming. Surface winds tend to decrease from their maximum values shown in Table 2 as the mid-level vortex develops. Surface winds at the time the SSCV forms for the dry cases are particularly weak. The RMW of the surface winds at this time tends to be significantly larger than the RMW of the mid-level vortex. It is particularly large for the dry cases.

3.2 Discussion of individual cases

Experiment 1: This experiment has the simplest microphysics with only the cloud water and rain categories activated. There is no radiation scheme and the initial vortex is small,
weak and has a moisture anomaly. Figure 2 a, b and c show time series of the minimum surface pressure, the maximum azimuthally-averaged tangential wind and the height it occurs, and the maximum azimuthally averaged tangential wind at the model level adjacent to the surface (z=98 m) and the radius it occurs, respectively. The minimum surface pressure shows a gradual decrease during the first 35 h followed by a substantial fall during the next 15 h. Genesis occurs at approximately t=39 h. The height of the maximum tangential winds gradually falls after 12 h becoming close to the surface at the time of genesis. Near-surface winds started to increase significantly after 30 h. There is a significant contraction of the radius of maximum winds after 30 h to approximately 15 km at genesis. It remains fairly constant during the next 12 h while the winds rapidly increase to hurricane strength. For this case a second mid-level vortex did not form. The system clearly developed into a tropical cyclone along pathway one.

Experiment 2: This case is identical to experiment 1 except that ice physics is included. The rate of development was considerably slower. Figure 3 shows that during the first twenty four hours the maximum winds shifted to near the surface with speeds reaching in excess of 10 m s\(^{-1}\). A second prominent midlevel vortex developed after 60 h. The sudden formation of a SSCV with a radius of 5 km is evident in Fig. 3c at t=80 h, that was concurrent with a surface pressure fall of \(\sim 2\) mb. Near-surface tangential winds of the SSCV reach 12 m s\(^{-1}\) a couple of hours later. The small vortex increased in size fairly soon after forming although the system remained relatively small. Figure 4a and b, show vertical cross sections of the averaged tangential component of the horizontal velocity at t=79 and 83 h, respectively. Just prior to the formation of the small vortex the midlevel vortex is centered at approximately 5 km above the surface and the maximum
wind speed is ~ 12 m s\(^{-1}\) at a radius of ~35 km. The strongest surface winds are at a radius of ~60 km. A few hours later a SSCV has formed with near surface wind speeds in excess of 12 m s\(^{-1}\). During this period and afterwards the midlevel vortex continued to strengthen as shown in Fig. 3b. At ~92 h the near surface winds become stronger than the winds aloft. By this time they are already at tropical storm strength. This experiment clearly demonstrates the importance of the ice phase in the development of a second stronger mid-level vortex and the subsequent formation of a SSCV.

Experiment 3: This case is the same as Experiment 2 except there is no initial moisture anomaly. It is similar to an experiment conducted by N07 that also had no moisture anomaly or radiation. In this experiment the environment has less low level moisture than used in the experiments conducted by N07 since the Jordan sounding was modified as discussed in section 2.2. Figure 5 shows that the rate of development was extremely slow for this case. A SSCV formed at t=147 h and a pressure fall of ~3 mb occurred in the next few hours. However, the fall in minimum surface pressure was only temporary. Also, the near surface wind speeds decreased to less than 10 m s\(^{-1}\). Sustained development did not occur until after 160 h. The midlevel vortex was considerably larger than for Experiment 2 and appears to be related to the more widespread distribution of deep convection for this case, whereas the presence of an initial vortex moisture anomaly for Experiment 2 resulted in convection being more focused in the center. Figure 6 shows the average relative humidity for a circular area with radius of 100 km from the vortex center at \(z=2.6, 4.9\) and 7.3 km. The vortex moistens at low levels first and then more gradually at upper levels due to vertical transport of moisture in deep convective towers. At approximately \(t=110\) h all three levels show moistening to about 85\% of saturation.
Subsequently, the moistening increases at \( z = 7.3 \) km, remains approximately constant at \( z = 4.9 \) km and decreases slightly at 2.6 km. It appears that deep moistening was an important prerequisite for genesis, however it is interesting that genesis did not occur until a considerable period of time after high values of relative humidity had been reached at these levels. This case also showed less spin up of low-level winds prior to the development of a second midlevel vortex than Experiment 2. This is consistent with the results of N07 who found low-level winds did not increase significantly for his experiments that did not include an initial low-level moisture anomaly.

Experiment 4: This case is similar to Experiment 2 except that the size of the initial vortex and moisture anomaly is larger. Development was similar in many respects to Experiment 2, but slightly slower. Additionally, it eventually developed a significantly larger tropical storm and hurricane than for Experiment 2. Although a SSCV formed, it grew substantially in size as the system intensified (Table 2). Why this system developed into a larger hurricane than other systems developing along pathway two is not certain, but it did develop quite a significant large-scale surface circulation prior to the SSCV forming. Convergence of this large-scale low-level cyclonic vorticity may have been a factor influencing the ultimate size of the circulation at hurricane strength.

Experiment 5: This case is similar to Experiment 2 except that the SST is reduced from 29 to 28°C. Figure 7 shows genesis occurring at approximately \( t = 95 \) h. The pathway to genesis is different than for Experiment 2. A significant second midlevel vortex still forms although it is smaller since early convection is more focused near the center for this case (not shown). There is a more gradual decrease in the radius of maximum winds near the surface rather than a clear jump as occurred in Experiment 2.
when the very small vortex formed. When the radius of maximum winds near the surface reach \(\sim 15\) km, wind speeds reach tropical cyclone strength. This is in contrast to Experiments 2, 3 and 4, which show the sudden formation of a very small vortex with a radius of 5-6 km prior to genesis. Although genesis occurs along pathway one for this case it is clearly very close to a transition point whereby a small increase in SST would likely result in development along pathway two.

Experiment 6: This case is a larger vortex case similar to Experiment 4 except that the SST is reduced to 28 \(^\circ\)C. This case underwent genesis at approximately \(t=112\) h as shown in Figure 8. At this time a very small region of strong vorticity developed near the center accompanied by a local pressure fall. This feature evident in Figure 8c was not persistent and only lasted about an hour. This case is therefore classified as developing along pathway one. The radius of maximum winds near the surface, when winds reach tropical cyclone strength, varies considerably with an average of \(\sim 40\) km. This case also developed a second midlevel vortex prior to genesis. This case is similar to Experiment 5 in that it is close to developing along pathway two.

Experiment 7: This case is similar to Experiment 5 except that the SST is reduced further to 27 \(^\circ\)C. Figure 9 shows that genesis occurs at approximately \(t=86\) h. This case did not develop a second midlevel vortex prior to genesis. Later as the system developed into a tropical storm it can be seen in Figure 9b that mid-level winds were often stronger than those near the surface. Even though the system developed along pathway one it still formed a very small hurricane comparable to many of those that developed along pathway two.
3.3 Simulations with a radiation scheme

In this section, we discuss simulations with the radiation scheme activated, in particular drawing attention to differences with the non-radiation cases discussed previously. Experiment 8 is similar to Experiment 2 except that the Harrington radiation scheme is included. As can be seen in table 2 this led to a significantly faster rate of genesis. This is true in general for simulations that include radiation compared to similar simulations that do not. The effects of radiation on tropical oceanic systems can broadly be classified as due to: (1) Clear sky infrared (IR) cooling (2) Direct radiation-convection interaction, and (3) cloud-cloud free radiation difference (Gray and Jacobson, 1977). Clear sky IR cooling is very evident in the environment of the simulations with the radiation scheme included. The strongest IR cooling occurs at 9-10 km above the surface, which is consistent with the results of Zhang and Chou (1999). This will increase the convective available potential energy (CAPE) over time promoting moist convection. This is probably a significant factor responsible for the faster rate of genesis for the cases with radiation. IR cooling causes also the environmental profile to become closer to saturation, which may promote also the earlier development of convection. Another aspect of the IR cooling is that it leads to an increase in the surface pressure in the environment and also in the core of the system early on when latent heating due to deep convection is relatively small. This is particularly evident in the dry simulations since it takes longer for deep convection to develop.

Experiment 15 is an interesting case since it develops along pathway two, whereas Experiment 6, which is identical except for the absence of radiation, develops along
pathway one. Figure 10 illustrates this development and includes time series of total liquid and ice water within a cylindrical volume with a radius of 100 km from the center, as well as clear sky short wave radiation at the surface. For the radiation case the minimum surface pressure increases initially due to IR cooling as discussed earlier, in contrast to the non-radiation case that exhibits a decrease. By \( t=80 \) h the radiation case has developed into a moderately strong hurricane, whereas the case without radiation does not undergo genesis until approximately \( t=112 \) h (Fig. 8). Fig. 10 d shows that the liquid and ice content is far larger for the radiation case. There are large pulses in convective activity that are clearly related to the nighttime absence of short wave radiation. A modeling study of the effects of radiation on tropical cyclones by Hobgood (1986) suggests that the diurnal cycle of net radiation at the cloud tops steepens the lapse rate at night and increases convection. During daylight hours, the absorption of solar radiation reduces the lapse rate, thus reducing convection. This direct radiation-convection interaction may be responsible for the diurnal cycles of convective activity that occur in Experiment 15, and also in the other radiation experiments conducted in this study. A detailed analysis of the interaction of radiation and convection is beyond the scope of this study, but these results indicate that they are likely to have an important impact on the rate of tropical cyclogenesis and possibly on the pathway taken for this particular posing of the genesis problem within an MCV embryo.

The second mid-level vortex in Experiment 15 develops during the first surge in convective activity at \( t=40 \) h. This second mid-level vortex is short lived relative to the non-radiation case (Fig. 10 d and Fig. 8 b). A SSCV forms only eight hours after the midlevel vortex develops, at approximately 9 am local time. It then undergoes sustained
development through the daytime hours. Although the total liquid and ice in the system core is at a minimum during this period it is still considerably larger than the non-radiation case. As the system develops the radius of maximum winds of the small vortex increases from ~5 km at $t=49$ h, to ~17 km at $t=90$ h (Fig. 10 c).

The three radiation simulations, that were initialized with a strong initial vortex, Experiments 10, 11, and 13, all developed quickly along pathway one and formed relatively large tropical cyclones. Experiment 10 underwent genesis at approximately $t=30$ h. After genesis between 34-45 h, the midlevel winds were generally stronger than at low levels although a well-defined secondary vortex did not form. During this same period the near surface winds increased significantly from 12 to 20 m s$^{-1}$. Experiment 11, that was identical to Experiment 10 except for the absence of an initial moisture anomaly, underwent genesis at approximately $t=45$ h. This case did form a well-defined second midlevel vortex after genesis at $t=47$ h. Also, a SSCV formed a few hours later at $t=52$ h. This was concurrent with a sudden jump in the radius of maximum winds that decreased to 7 km. However, this small vortex was not persistent and the radius of maximum winds increased to 17 km three hours later. Therefore, this case was classified as developing via pathway one. Experiment 13 underwent genesis at approximately $t=35$ h evolving along pathway one. Interestingly, a second mid-level vortex developed at $t=39$ h and a SSCV at $t=42$ h. This small vortex was weak with only a 2 mb decrease in surface pressure and there was not a concurrent jump in the radius of maximum winds when it formed. It decayed within a couple of hours.

Experiments 14 and 16 are similar to Experiments 5 and 7, respectively, except that radiation is included. These lower SST cases with radiation also developed along
pathway one initially, but subsequently weakened and then underwent genesis a second time along pathway two. The results of these experiments and Experiment 15 discussed previously suggest that inclusion of radiation may make development along pathway two more likely. However, Experiment 17 that uses the Chen-Cotton radiation scheme, which is identical to Experiment 8 otherwise, showed development along pathway one. The Chen-Cotton scheme is simpler than Harrington’s, having less realistic microphysical-radiation interactions. Moreover, comparing the clear sky IR cooling rates showed that they were significantly larger for the Chen-Cotton scheme than for the Harrington scheme, especially at lower levels. This apparently led to larger values of CAPE developing early on, as well as bringing the air closer to saturation which should favor stronger convective activity during the nighttime between t=9 to 21 hours. Genesis occurred during this first pulse in convective activity, so that by the early morning the system was developing rapidly and already undergoing intensification. A mid-level vortex did not develop for this case. Although results for the Chen-Cotton scheme are not likely to be as realistic as for the Harrington scheme, this experiment does provide additional evidence that radiation may have significant influence on the pathway taken to genesis.

3.4 The relation between sea surface temperature and ice production on the pathway taken to genesis

Comparison of Experiments 1 and 2 clearly demonstrate the importance of the ice phase in promoting development along pathway two. Furthermore, experiments show that
lowering SST when the ice phase is activated can cause genesis to occur along pathway one instead of two. Development along pathway two is favored by the formation of a strong mid-level vortex, which is related to a substantial stratiform ice layer aloft and a prominent mid-level inflow. Figure 11 shows the mixing ratio of ice and radial winds for Experiment two at 75 h. Between 30 to 40 km from the center there are high ice concentrations that were produced in deep convective towers. The base of the ice layer slopes upwards with distance from the center. Beneath this base, a mid-level inflow occurs with wind speeds of approximately 2 m s\(^{-1}\) at a height of 7 km. The Coriolis force acting on this inflow air appears to be mainly responsible for the spin-up of the mid-level circulation and the strong vortex evident in Figure 4.

Given the importance of a substantial ice layer for driving a mid-level inflow that produces a strong mid-level vortex it is a reasonable hypothesis that lower SST’s, that are likely to produce weaker convection and lesser amounts of ice, are more likely to favor development along pathway one. This hypothesis is further supported, by comparing the amount of ice and vertical mass transport for Experiment 2, which has an SST of 29 °C and Experiment 5 that is identical except the SST is 28 °C. Figures 12 a, b and c compare the total amount of ice in the fine grid domain, the total amount of ice for radius less than 100 km and the total vertical mass flux of air at the 7.35 km level for radius less than 100 km. For Experiment 2, that has a higher SST, there is considerably more ice in the fine grid domain. Also, the total amount of ice occurring in a radius less than 100 km is more for Experiment 2 although it is not so notable. There are large oscillations evident in the ice content particularly for Experiment 2 that have periods of approximately ten hours. The total vertical mass flux at the 7.35 km level for radius less than 100 km also
tends to be higher for Experiment 2, particularly early on. Again very large oscillations are evident that are discussed further in section 3f. These results indicate, as would be expected, that higher SST’s tend to cause stronger convective cells that produce more ice. The outflow layer aloft seen in Figure 11b advects ice away from the center of the system. As the ice falls into drier environmental air at mid levels, sublimation, melting and evaporation result in cooling that would contribute to driving a mid-level inflow, as will be discussed in section 4. After a surge in convective activity, a vertical heating profile more similar to the stratiform region of MCSs, which is characterized by latent heating in the upper troposphere and cooling in the lower troposphere (Houze 1982; Johnson and Young 1983), is likely to become more prevalent, even in the center of the system. A linear hydrostatic analytic model of the forced gravity wave response to a combination of both convective and stratiform heating profiles, was derived by Nicholls et al. (1991). The stratiform gravity wave mode resulted in a midlevel inflow and lower- and upper level outflows, even in the presence of the convective mode. The horizontal scale of these circulations expanded away from the heat source at a speed depending on the Brunt-Vaisalla frequency and the vertical wave number of the heating, which for this case would be approximately 20 m s\(^{-1}\).

### 3.5 Formation of the small surface concentrated vortex for cases developing along pathway two

Nine of the experiments listed in Table 2 underwent genesis along pathway two, albeit two of these cases first developed along pathway one before weakening and then
strengthening again. In this section we examine the conditions existing prior to the formation of the SSCV and some of the prominent factors that appear important for this process. A detailed diagnosis of each of these simulations that produced the SSCV would be desirable, but is beyond the scope of this exploratory study.

Experiment 2 formed an SSCV at \( t=80 \) h with winds near the surface reaching 12 m s\(^{-1}\) two hours later. At \( t=79 \) h there was a prominent mid-level vortex (Fig. 4a) and high relative humidity throughout the troposphere in the core of the system. These conditions were identified by N07, as important precursors for the formation of the smaller-scale vortex. Figure 13 shows the azimuthally-averaged potential temperature perturbation at \( t=79 \) h. This shows a significant warm anomaly at upper levels in the core of the system. Beneath this warm anomaly there is a cooling between \( z=2-4.5 \) km. It is possible that this cooling by decreasing the low-level stability aided the development of convection at the center a short time later. It can also be seen that there is a mean cooling of the air near the surface at the center, which would not be conducive to the development of a convective cell if it was to ingest this air. During the next hour a convective cell occurred at the center and an SSCV formed. A narrow column of warm air extended through the cold layer and the surface pressure at the center decreased locally by \( \sim 3 \) mb.

The situation preceding the formation of the SSCV was quite complex. Seven hours earlier, at \( t=73 \) h, there was a peak of convective activity that is evident in the time series of ice content and vertical mass flux shown in Fig. 12. At this time there was considerable convective activity southwest of the center that created strong outflows and vorticity anomalies. By \( t=74 \) h a broad region of cold and dry air lay to the south of the center, that was advecting with the cyclonic flow. A strong cell had formed at the eastern
edge of this outflow 15 km southeast of the center and had formed a local region of significantly colder and drier air at the surface. Convection continued on the western edge of the broad cold and dry region and at t=75 h a strong cell had formed 15 km due south of the center. There were also active cells 50 km to the south of the center and cells 30 km southwest of the center. By this time the very cold air from the earlier southeast cell had advected nearer the center, and the broad region of cool and dry air at the surface was in the southeast quadrant. At t=76 h cold downdraft air from the cell that had been 15 km south of the center was contributing to form a pool of significantly colder and drier air extending from the center to the southeast within the existing broad cold air region. Also, at this time new development had occurred ~5 km south east of the location of the earlier cell, which was very active and also contributed to forming the cold air anomaly in this region. There was significant low-level positive vorticity in a broad region southwest of the center, presumably a result of the numerous convective cells that had occurred earlier. There was a local surface high pressure anomaly beneath the new active cell, but immediately to the west of it in the center of the low level positive vorticity anomaly a surface mesolow had formed. At t=77h the mesolow had broadened and was trailing the surface cold region, about 20 km southeast of the center. Convection in this region and throughout the system had declined by this time, as can be seen in Fig. 12. This decline in convective activity was probably primarily due to decreased Convective Available Potential Energy (CAPE), as the low level air was cooled and dried by convective downdrafts. The region of significantly colder air at the surface that was ahead of the low pressure region had moved closer to the center of the system and was merging with the cold air that had previously moved to the center from the earlier southeast cell present at
t=74 h. So the center had become significantly cooler than a few hours previously, and is the main reason for the near surface cool air anomaly seen in Fig. 13 at t=79 h.

Figure 14 a, b, c and d, shows horizontal sections of vertical vorticity, potential temperature, pressure, at z=98 m, and potential temperature, at z=7.35 km, for t= 78 h, respectively. The vorticity field shows a broad region of mainly positive vorticity anomalies in the core of the system. Numerous vorticity filaments can be identified. They often occur at boundaries between warm and cold air. The relatively cold air is primarily caused by convective-scale downdrafts. The low-level air near the center of the system tends to be colder than the air on the periphery. The mesolow is east of the center and is located on the edge of the surface cold air anomaly. It is a region of enhanced positive vorticity. Also notable, is that it is trailed by a long broad filament of positive vorticity that circles around the edge of the central cold pool. Fig. 14d shows that the warm core aloft is asymmetrical at this time. The position of the warm air aloft does not correlate well with the mesolow since the latter is a shallow feature. There is a warm air anomaly at low levels within the mesolow of ~1 K of between z=1 to 2 km. At this time the system is still in a state of lowered convective activity as can be seen in Fig. 12. There are a few deep cells evident by the high values of potential temperature aloft in Fig. 14d, at approximately 75 km from the center, but very little activity in the system core. During the next hour, the mesolow broadened and moved cyclonically to the northeast, approximately 15 km from the center, remaining on the edge of the coldest air near the center. The quiet period of convective activity had allowed the water vapor mixing ratio near the surface to increase to relatively high values outside the central cold pool. Consequently, convective activity in the system core was starting to become significant.
again. At this time there was a surface speed maxima approximately 10 km due north of the center located on the western side of the mesolow, with winds from the north having speeds of \( \sim 10 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) directed towards the central cold pool. It was at this location on the edge of the central cold pool that the cell developed that formed the SSCV an hour later.

Figure 15 a, b, c, d, and e, shows horizontal sections of vertical vorticity, potential temperature, water vapor mixing ratio, pressure, at \( z=98 \text{ m} \), and vertical velocity, at \( z=1.6 \text{ km} \), respectively, for \( t=80 \text{ h} \). The SSCV, which has just formed is at the center where there is a very small negative pressure anomaly. It is a region of strong positive vorticity and there is a moderately strong updraft. The cell ingested relatively warm and moist air from the northwest. The cold pool of air adjacent to the cell is responsible for the near-surface central cold anomaly seen in the azimuthally-averaged potential temperature field shown in Fig. 13. Low-level vorticity spiraled into the cell from the northwest during the next hour, which appeared to aid the intensification of the SSCV.

For Experiment 3, the origins of the SSCV could be traced back to the outflow from a strong convective cell centered 70 km to the east of the center, that collided with a pool of cold air just to the east of the center. This caused strong easterlies just to the east of the center, whereas just south of this were the southwesterlies of the cyclonic circulation. A VHT with a weak surface low formed in this region of positive low-level vertical vorticity, 15 km east of the center. It remained on the edge of the more central cold pool circling once around the center and within three hours had become an SSCV that was intensifying rapidly. By \( t=151 \text{ h} \) tangential wind speeds of the SSCV had reached 12 m s\(^{-1}\), but a cluster of cells to the north produced a strong outflow that shortly afterwards
swept across the center temporarily weakening the SSCV, as can be seen in the minimum surface pressure time series shown in Fig. 5.

For experiment 4, a cluster of cells approximately 20 km northwest of the center produced a surface cold pool, and a new cell formed on its southern edge where there was significant positive vertical vorticity. This VHT had a small surface low and during the next two hours moved cyclonically to 15 km southeast of the center intensifying into an SSCV. During this period a squall line formed west of the center that rapidly propagated southwards. The squall line did not impede the development of the SSCV, which fed on a narrow band of warm and moist air that was squeezed between the older cold pool to the north and the squall line outflow to the south. It is possible that the strong southwesterly surface winds just south of the cell caused by the squall line outflow may have contributed to the vorticity of the SSCV.

For Experiment 8, a strong isolated cell 20 km from the center created a cold pool of air at the surface. The cyclonic winds that were stronger aloft due to the strong mid-level vortex tilted the cell down shear, so that the rain shaft and low level downdraft was downstream of the updraft. At this time the outflow winds were strong and the cold and dry air spread out at the surface downstream of the cell. A small and weak surface low formed just upstream of the rain shaft and it was a region of notably stronger positive vertical vorticity. The cell trailed the cold pool around the center for the next three hours feeding on low-level air from its right rear quadrant. This air de-accelerated after entering the low-pressure region and fed the updraft that was just downstream of the low. As the cold pool moved closer to the center the cell moved cyclonically around it and intensified to form an SSCV 10 km from the center.
For Experiment 9, the evolution was significantly different than the other experiments with a relatively large SSCV forming having a radius of 11 km. A cluster of cells 80 km to the southwest of the center produced a broad region of cold outflow with strong southerly winds. A weak surface mesolow had developed west of the center with southerly flow on its eastern side from the cold pool outflow and northerly flow on its western side from the large scale cyclonic circulation. Subsequently, a large cluster with several cells developed explosively in this region 50 km west of the domain center. Three of the more intense cells that had formed within this region of pre-existing low-level vorticity were VHT’s with significant cyclonic circulation. An hour later, a raised mesoscale low pressure anomaly with a cyclonic circulation had been created between z=2-6 km. In the decaying phase of the short-lived MCS the raised vortex became stronger, which may have been associated with the development of local mid-level inflow as low level cooling due to melting and evaporation of hydrometeors became more prominent. At the surface a strong cold pool and a high pressure anomaly formed that generated strong outflow. The raised mesoscale vortex was a persistent feature that moved cyclonically and spiraled towards the center in the next few hours. There was still a significant circulation present between z=2-4 km when it was located 15 km southwest of the center. At this time a long line of deep convective cells had developed stretching from west to east through the center of the fine grid domain. Subsequently, the western line moved cyclonically to the southwest, and the eastern part of the line to the northeast while the mesoscale vortex propagated to the center. Then a cluster of convection at the center produced cooler air and a higher pressure at the surface while intensifying the mesoscale vortex aloft. An hour later, a large convective cluster explosively developed 30
km to the west that appeared to be associated with the earlier line of convection originally to the east that had moved cyclonically round the center. Low-level convergence at the edge of the spreading central cold air seems to have also promoted its development. Two hours later, a surface low had formed at the center. Outflow from the convection to the west was producing strong westerlies on its southern edge that may have aided the development of the cyclonic winds of the SSCV. The outflow also appeared responsible for initiating a convective line shortly afterwards just south of the center that rapidly propagated to the southeast. The outflow behind the convective line created strong southwesterlies on the south side of the SSCV that again may have aided its development. Transient multi-cellular convection occurred around the edge of the surface mesolow, rather than near its center as the SSCV intensified. It is possible that because there was a significant circulation present between z=2-4 km when the SSCV developed that convective downdrafts may have brought some of the cyclonic vorticity down to the surface. There were however significant pockets of low-level positive vertical vorticity near the surface prior to the SSCV forming, as well as the convective outflows that appear to favor its development, so it probably formed mainly from the bottom up.

For Experiment 12 the mid-level vortex was very strong prior to the SSCV forming with maximum winds of 19.1 m s\(^{-1}\) and a large radius of 71 km. The origins of the SSCV could be traced back to a small weak surface low with a positive vertical vorticity anomaly 25 km southwest of the center. The low was adjacent to a local cold pool and a large convective cell to its east. Rainfall from the convective cell was producing the cold pool. During the next two hours the small low moved cyclonically round the center trailing the cold pool showing similarities to Experiment 8. At t=53 h it was 15 km east
of the center. There was no significant convection present in this region, however a strong convective cell had developed 65 km to the northeast that was producing a strong outflow. At \( t=54 \) h, the pressure in the small low had fallen significantly and it had become an SSCV 25 km north of the center. A strong convective cell was adjacent to the low level vorticity center on its north west side. Outflow from the strong cell that had been to the northeast was reaching this location and enhancing the easterly flow on the north side of the SSCV. There was also significant positive vorticity anomalies on the outflow boundary of the cold pool that appeared to be merging into the SSCV, and may have contributed to its development.

For Experiment 14 genesis first occurred along pathway one at \( t=37 \) h, followed by weakening, and then redevelopment along pathway two, forming an SSCV at \( t=66 \) h. At \( t=57 \) h the cyclonic circulation at the surface was still quite strong with speeds of \(~7 \text{ m s}^{-1}\) between a radius of 25-70 km form the center. A mid-level vortex was present with winds of \(12 \text{ m s}^{-1}\) at a radius of 35 km and a height of 6 km. The central air at the surface was not very cold at this time and the water vapor mixing ratio was high. This promoted convective activity during the next few hours that produced a broad region of cold surface air at the center of the system. Although convection was widespread a significant amount was close to the center and this appeared to be responsible for increased low level convergence and spin up of the low level winds. Also, a considerable amount of ice was produced aloft and a notable mid-level inflow developed. The net result was that at \( t=63 \) h, there was a considerably stronger surface circulation with maximum mean wind speeds of \(~9 \text{ m s}^{-1}\) at a radius of 15 km and a smaller more intense mid-level vortex aloft. At \( t=65 \) h, a strong convective cell developed 10 km east of the center. A downdraft
occurred downstream on its northern side producing a local cold pool and a small surface pressure formed on its southern side. Strong cyclonic winds moved the small scale vorticity 10 km to the north in the next hour trailing the cold pool that was to its west, and it intensified into an SSCV. It was located just inside the wind speed maxima of the larger scale circulation that had a radius of 15 km. At $t=67$ h the small surface low pressure anomaly was situated 5 km to the south west of the center within the larger scale low pressure region and surface winds in the circulation that the SSCV was embedded in had reached genesis strength. During the next few hours, convection near the center converged the relatively strong low level circulation to form a small and intense vorticity center. Although an SSCV could be identified during the second time it underwent genesis, it did show some characteristics of development along pathway one, so this could be considered a marginal case.

For Experiment 15 a second mid-level vortex of only moderate size developed, with a radius of 47 km, even though the initial vortex was large. This appeared to be because convection tended to be more focused in the core of the system, possibly because the lower SST of 28 $^\circ$C led to a reduction of outer convection. At $t=46$ h a very active cluster was located 50 km to the east and shortly after a strong cell also developed 10 km north of the center. A convective downdraft from this cell contributed to the central cold region. At $t=48$ h the outflow from the eastern cluster that was cyclonically moving round the center produced strong easterlies at approximately 25 km north of the center that collided with the central cold pool. At this intersection, where there was strong surface horizontal wind shear a cell formed that quickly developed a small and intense low level positive vertical vorticity anomaly, with a significant surface pressure fall. By $t=49$ h, the
SSCV had moved to 10 km northwest of the center. It was located on the western edge of the central cold pool. The rain falling from an active cell that was leading the small vorticity maxima was evaporating at low levels and contributing to cooling the air downstream. The cell was feeding on low-level moist air coming from the northwest.

For Experiment 16, a small mid-level vortex formed with a radius of only 23 km. Surface winds were fairly strong, near the center of the system when the mid-level vortex formed because it had previously undergone genesis along pathway one, similarly to Experiment 14. At t=66 h there was a significant cold pool at the center produced by recent convective activity in this region. Surface winds were relatively light within the cold pool, but adjacent to its edge at a radius of 30 km there was a significant cyclonic circulation with wind speeds of ~9 m s\(^{-1}\). An almost circular filament of positive vertical vorticity ran around the edge of the central cold pool at this time. There was active convection within the vorticity filament that intensified during the next hour, causing it to become more asymmetrical. At t=69 h a strong cell had formed 15 km south of the center and a small surface low pressure was forming just to the west of it. The cell moved cyclonically trailed by the small low. It was inside the larger scale low level wind speed maxima that had a radius of ~30 km. There was a small but significant cold pool at the center. The small low propagated quickly around the center and at t=71 h was 10 km southwest of the center, with the cold pool to its northeast. A closed small-scale circulation had formed around it at the surface that included the air in the cold pool that had strong cyclonic winds around the low pressure center. At this stage it was an SSCCV with considerably stronger winds than the larger scale circulation that could still be identified at a radius of ~30 km.
3.6 Convective-scale downdrafts and oscillations in convective activity

Outflows from convective-scale downdrafts had a major influence on the formation of SSCV’s, as discussed in the previous section. The cooling and drying by these downdrafts was typically about -3 K and -3 g kg\(^{-1}\), respectively. This is slightly less than observations of boundary layer modification by strong tropical squall lines off the coast of west Africa (Zipser 1977; Fitzgarrald and Garstang 1981; Johnson and Nicholls 1983; Nicholls and Johnson 1984). One possible reason for this is because prior to genesis there is considerable moistening in the core of the system that would tend to reduce evaporative cooling.

Figure 16 shows time series of the average potential temperature and water vapor mixing ratio within a distance of 100 km of the center, at z=305 m, for Experiment 2. Comparing with Figure 12 that shows the ice content for this case, there is a close correlation especially for the water vapor mixing ratio. For instance, there are minima of the water vapor mixing ratio at t=47, 54, 66, 75 and 84 h, and maxima of the ice content at t=48, 56, 66, 74, and 86 h. This suggests that as convective downdrafts dry the boundary layer air, convective activity diminishes, leading to less ice production. There is a tendency for the potential temperature anomalies to lag the moisture anomalies. One factor that may contribute to this lag is that the core of the convective downdrafts at this level, are often warmer relative to the ambient air. This is possibly because precipitation drag is playing a significant role in forcing the downdraft in addition to evaporative cooling, so that it is negatively buoyant, in spite of being slightly warmer than the
surrounding air. This was much less notable at the lowest model level, at z=98 m, where convective downdrafts were almost always cooler than the surrounding air. Another factor is that light rainfall can cause cooling and moistening at low levels, rather than drying. Yet another factor, is that compensating subsidence associated with a convectively forced gravity wave can cause warming and drying (Nicholls et al. 1991; Nicholls and Pielke 2000).

All of the cases without radiation showed significant oscillations in convective activity, although some were much more regular than others. Periods varied, but were typically between 10-15 h. After a period of intense convective activity the boundary layer would gradually recover and Convective Available Potential Energy (CAPE) would increase. Convection would often begin fairly close to the center. Spreading outflows from this convection would tend to trigger new convection further from the center, and so convective activity would work its way outwards, sometimes as partial rings, or bands of convection, until CAPE was diminished again over a broad area. Most of the cases with radiation activated showed a very clear diurnal cycle of convective activity, as seen in Figure 10 d and e, for Experiment 15. Experiment 17, on the other hand, only showed one distinct diurnal oscillation at approximately t=70 h, followed by a steady rise in total condensate. A few of the cases showed small oscillations in between the diurnal cycle. Because of the large amplitude diurnal component in these simulations, the boundary layer recovery is generally prolonged. However, occasionally recovery can take place quickly enough that another oscillation can occur during the daytime.

An SSCV usually formed when convective activity in the system core was increasing, or near a peak. Exceptions are Experiments 4 and 12 that formed an SSCV during a
minimum in convective activity. In all the cases simulated, once the SSCV had formed it
developed tangential wind speeds of 12 m s\(^{-1}\) within 2-3 hours. For the cases with
radiation activated, intensification to tropical storm strength occurred only a few hours
later, regardless of the time of day that the SSCV formed. Therefore, development of the
SSCV did not appear to be significantly hindered during the daytime by shortwave
warming of the upper cloud layer.

4 Discussion

For development along pathway two, the fundamental questions are: What principally
causes the strong mid-level vortex? Is it important to the formation of the SSCV and
why? These questions, cannot be definitively answered at this stage. Nevertheless, the
experiments that were conducted do shed some light on the processes that are likely to be
relevant, in addition to those proposed by N07. Experiments indicate that the ice phase is
important for producing a strong mid-level vortex and that a mid-level inflow at the base
of the stratiform ice layer precedes its development. The middle level inflow could be
explained by axisymmetric balance dynamics associated with the radial derivative of the
latent heating due to a “top-heavy” heating profile: latent heating at mid- to upper- levels
and cooling at low levels. The response can be considered a slow transverse overturning
circulation to the heating distribution. For a 12-h time average M06 found reasonable
agreement for the transverse circulation simulated by RAMS and Eliassen’s balanced
vortex model (Elissen 1951). Alternatively, since the mid-level inflow is likely to be the
principal cause of the strong mid-level vortex, and during its development there will be a
certain degree of imbalance, another perspective is provided by the simple linear hydrostatic model of thermally-forced gravity waves, that neglects the Coriolis force, developed by Nicholls et al. (1991). A solution was obtained for a combined convective and stratiform heating profile, represented by a half-sine wave between the surface and the tropopause and an inverse-sine wave, respectively. The transient response consisted of convective and stratiform forced gravity wave modes, with circulations that laterally expanded away from the heat source region, at a speed depending on the Brunt Vaisalla frequency and the vertical wave number of the heating. For the slower moving stratiform mode the speed would be approximately 20 m s⁻¹ for the tropical atmosphere. This mode is characterized by mid-level inflow and lower- and upper-level outflows. Interestingly, even though the combined heating profile had only a weak net low-level cooling, the stratiform mode that was superimposed with the convective mode still resulted in significant mid-level inflow.

Why the ice phase is important for the development of a mid-level inflow and why cases that produced more ice appear more likely to evolve along pathway two remains to be answered. The liquid phase of the RAMS model includes small cloud droplets and rain. There is no sedimentation of cloud droplets, whereas rain falls relatively quickly. On the other hand, there is sedimentation of all the ice categories with pristine ice, snow and aggregates generally having slower fall speeds than graupel and hail. With the ice phase included, the anvils of convective towers are composed mainly of ice, rather than cloud droplets, and can fall to lower levels and undergo sublimation and melting. This difference may be a significant factor making it more likely that a stratiform type of heating profile develops. Another possibly important consideration is that the inflow air
is relatively dry since it descends from high levels and also because some of it originates from the periphery of the system that has not been moistened so much by vertical convective moisture transport as air at the center. This would tend to increase sublimation and evaporation rates of hydrometeors falling into the inflow, possibly resulting in a positive feedback. Moreover, the inflow advects ice at the base of the stratiform layer towards the center of the system, which would tend to focus cooling near the center, which may aid in the contraction and spin up of the mid-level vortex. Another major difference when ice is included is the additional latent heat of fusion. This could result in enhanced warming aloft and cooling at low levels, increasing the tendency for mid-level convergence.

Additional factors that may influence the spin-up of the mid-level vortex, but are probably of secondary importance to stratiform heating, include: (1) Hydrometeor drag that could enhance mid-level convergence when hydrometeors are concentrated at lower levels. (2) Mid-level inflow on the convective scale during the later stage of convective cell development. At this time, latent heating is typically skewed towards upper levels, as melting and evaporation occur at low levels. The development of a convective scale downdraft at low levels with upward motion aloft will induce mid-level convergence. The SSCV typically formed near to, or at the center of the strong mid-level vortex. If it formed away from the center it would move cyclonically and spiral into the center of the larger scale circulation, usually within a few hours. The details of the SSCV formation showed considerable differences. A single intense VHT often played a role in its formation, but sometimes multi-cellular convection was present. For all the cases exhibiting a SSCV, cold pools produced by convective downdrafts played an important
role. Vertical vorticity was typically concentrated at the edge of cold pools and new convection that developed in these regions could converge and stretch this pre-existing low-level vorticity. Also, collisions of cold pools could produce convergence and regions of enhanced positive vertical vorticity where they intersected that could favor the formation of an SSCV. A notable factor, usually present, was a significant cold pool at the center of the circulation produced by previous convective activity. An SSCV would often form along its boundary where vertical vorticity was concentrated. In a significant number of cases a precursor to a SSCV formed approximately 20 km from the center of the circulation, when a strong convective cell developed above a region of pre-existing low-level vertical vorticity. Because of the stronger cyclonic circulation of the mid-level vortex aloft, the updraft became tilted and rain falling on the downstream side of the cell produced a cold pool. A small surface low pressure formed on the upstream side of the cell at the edge of the cold pool, with a prominent positive vertical vorticity anomaly. This could strengthen into an SSCV, or as in the case of Experiment 2, play a role in its formation later on. The small-scale low pressure anomaly would trail the cold pool, remaining on its edge as it spiraled in towards the center. Intensification of convection would often occur on the edge of the pressure low as it reached the center and this may have been facilitated by the cold air at mid-to-low levels at the center of the mid-level vortex, which would tend to decrease low-level static stability. The convection fed on a tongue of relatively moist and warm air spiraling towards the center and because of the reduced low-level static stability at the center would probably be in a region of increased low-level CAPE. The SSCV would then in most cases develop rapidly into a tropical cyclone. Therefore, it appears that the formation of a prominent mid-level vortex, in
addition to causing a large increase in the efficiency of the conversion of latent heat energy to the kinetic energy of the cyclonic wind field as found by N07, may play a role in favoring the development of an SSCV due to its vertical wind shear and decreased low-level static stability at its center.

A complementary interpretation as to why the simulated evolution does not continue to evolve along pathway one is that as the strong, second mid-level vortex develops, the low level cooling in the system core would produce a divergent tendency at low levels that might arrest the contraction of the surface wind speed maxima. The foregoing thermodynamic and dynamic interpretations need not be mutually exclusive and future work should quantify their relative importance.

Inclusion of active radiation is found to significantly increase the rate of genesis. This appears to be largely explained by clear sky cooling due to long wave radiation at upper levels causing CAPE to increase, as well as bringing the air closer to saturation. The Harrington radiation scheme slightly favored evolution along pathway two, probably because of cooling aloft promoting ice production; whereas, the Chen-Cotton scheme favored evolution along pathway one, apparently due to stronger longwave cooling, particularly at lower levels, causing the early convective activity to be intense enough to quickly spin up the low-level winds to tropical cyclone strength. Additionally, a strong diurnal oscillation of convective activity was present that is probably mainly due to daytime warming of the upper cloud layer causing an increase in stability aloft, as found by Hobgood (1986). However, even in the complete absence of radiation, large amplitude convective oscillations usually occurred with a shorter period, typically between 10-15 h, that were associated with boundary layer modification by convective downdrafts. When
radiation was included a smaller surge in convective activity sometimes occurred in the
daytime, between diurnal peaks. This indicates that the boundary layer recovery was
often significantly shorter than the diurnal cycle. Both diurnal and semi-diurnal cycles
have been found in the areal extent of the upper level cirrus deck of fully developed
tropical cyclones (Browner et al. 1977; Muramatsu 1983; Lajoie and Butterworth 1984;
Steranka et al. 1984; Kossin 2002). The results presented here for the early stage of a
developing system may be relevant to these previous studies, although the existence of
substantial convective downdrafts and cold pools in the core of developing tropical
cyclones has not yet been established definitively.

5 Conclusions

Results of idealized numerical simulations suggest that there are two canonical
pathways that can lead to tropical cyclone genesis from an initial weak mid-level vortex.
One pathway proceeds by spin up of surface winds that remain stronger than, or of
comparable magnitude to winds aloft until they become large enough that they reach
tropical depression strength, defined herein as wind speeds exceeding 12 m s$^{-1}$. Vorticity
is concentrated in numerous short-lived rotating deep convective towers that collectively
drive a system scale inflow at low levels. Vorticity gradually builds in the central region
as the system scale inflow produces increasing cyclonic vorticity and as small-scale
cyclonic vorticity anomalies generated by the VHTs are converged at low levels and
aggregate. This is usually a stochastic process with no prominent events leading to
sudden falls of the surface pressure. The characteristics of pathway one are consistent with the stochastic view of genesis as articulated by Ooyama (1982).

The second pathway at early times proceeds in the same manner as the first, but before surface winds reach tropical cyclone strength the mid-level winds increase significantly and become stronger than the near-surface tangential winds. The low-level air becomes relatively cold (between approximately 1.5-5 km) in the core as the second stronger mid-level vortex develops. This is then followed by the sudden formation of a smaller-scale vortex at the center of the larger scale circulation. The small vortex is warm core and produces a narrow column of warm air within the relatively colder low-level air associated with the mid-level vortex. It extends upwards into the larger-scale warm core aloft. Its rapid formation often leads to a sudden local decrease of the minimum surface pressure of a few millibars. Once formed it is resilient and becomes the focus of a strengthening and widening vorticity center. Sometimes the pressure continues to fall immediately following this event and the system intensifies rapidly. Evolution along pathway two has similarities to the conceptual model of Bister and Emanuel (1997; Fig. 13, section 8) that places emphasis on the role of the mid-level vortex. However, the development of low-level vorticity in these simulations does not appear to be primarily due to a top down process, as they envision. The surface wind speeds of some of the simulated systems evolving along pathway approach or reach tropical cyclone strength prior to a prominent mid-level vortex developing so that the subsequent formation of a SSCV in these cases could be considered intensification rather than genesis.

Systems that evolved along pathway two developed a second mid-level vortex that was considerably stronger than typically occurs in the stratiform region of an MCS, with
wind speeds in excess of 12 m s$^{-1}$. For the experiments conducted, its horizontal scale as measured by the radius of maximum tangential winds ranged from 23 to 91 km, at the time the SSCV formed. It was shown that the ice phase played an important role in producing a strong second mid-level vortex. Mid-level inflow that developed at the base of the ice layer appeared to be the main factor responsible for its formation. Results suggest that higher SST’s lead to more ice production, which favors the development of a strong mid-level vortex and evolution along pathway two.

There were significant differences in the way that the SSCV formed for cases that developed along pathway two. In all cases there appeared to be significant stretching of pre-existing low-level vertical vorticity anomalies by convective scale updrafts. Cold pools produced by convective scale downdrafts played important roles in the formation process. In some cases, the vertical wind shear associated with the strong midlevel vortex influenced the convective activity and development of a small low level vorticity anomaly that would later either evolve into the SSCV, or play a part in its formation.

Implementation of active radiation in the model via the Harrington scheme increased the tendency to develop along pathway two. In contrast, the Chen-Cotton radiation scheme increased the tendency to develop along pathway one. Both schemes led to an increase in the rate of genesis compared to non-radiation cases that were otherwise identical. Strong diurnal oscillations in convective activity occurred with radiation activated. SSCV’s that formed during the daytime when net convective activity was reduced did not appear to be significantly hindered from developing.

The RAMS results indicate that this initial value problem may be near a bifurcation point, so that changes to model physics could have considerable impact on the outcome
of experiments and the likelihood of development along a particular pathway. There are outstanding questions regarding the physical processes involved in the formation of the strong mid-level vortex and the SSCV, that occur during development along pathway two. In particular, the role of the mid-level vortex in the sudden formation of an SSCV needs to be further understood. At present, there is no conclusive observational evidence of a tropical cyclone undergoing genesis along pathway two, and so for the time being the existence of this pathway remains hypothetical, being based on the results of numerical modeling studies with WRF (N07) and with RAMS in this study. The uncertainty in the realism of the second pathway simulated in these idealized configurations and in N07 offer some motivation to examine recent observational data collected during the Tropical Cyclone Structure-2008 (TCS-08) and the Pre-Depression Investigation of Cloud Systems in the Tropics (PREDICT) experiments to examine if some TCs do indeed develop in the manner of pathway two.
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### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>no radiation</th>
<th>radiation</th>
<th>moist</th>
<th>dry</th>
<th>small</th>
<th>large</th>
<th>weak</th>
<th>strong</th>
<th>SST29</th>
<th>SST28</th>
<th>SST27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**TABLE 1**, Experiments 2-16 categorized by either: no radiation, or radiation included; moist, or dry; small, or large; weak, or strong; value of SST, either: 29, 28, or 27 °C.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>$T_{12}$</th>
<th>RMW$_{12}$</th>
<th>Path</th>
<th>$V_{\text{Max}}$ prior to SSCV (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$T_{TS}$</th>
<th>RMW$_{TS}$</th>
<th>$T_{H}$</th>
<th>RMW$_{H}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No ice, no radiation, moist, small, weak, SST29</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No radiation, moist, small, weak, SST29</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No radiation, dry, small, weak, SST 29</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No radiation, moist, large, weak, SST29</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>No radiation, moist, small, weak, SST29</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>No radiation, moist, large, weak, SST28</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>118</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>No radiation, moist, small, weak, SST27</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>126</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, small, weak, SST29</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Radiation, dry, small, weak, SST29</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, small, strong, SST29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Radiation, dry, small, strong, SST29</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, large, weak, SST29</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, large, strong, SST29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, small, weak, SST28</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, large, weak, SST28</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, small, weak, SST27</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Chen radiation, moist, small, weak, SST29</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2.** General statistics for the experiments: Shown are the time the maximum azimuthally-averaged tangential wind speeds near the surface reach 12 m s$^{-1}$; the radius of maximum winds (RMW) at this time; the pathway taken to genesis; the maximum surface tangential winds prior to the SSCV forming for systems that develop along pathway two; the time at which the system becomes a tropical storm; the RMW at this time; the time the system becomes a hurricane; the RWM at this time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>MLV $V_{\text{max}}$ (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>MLV RMW (km)</th>
<th>MLV Height (km)</th>
<th>SSCV $T_{\text{form}}$ (h)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{max}}$ at surface (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>RMW at surface (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No radiation, moist, small, weak, SST29</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No radiation, dry, small, weak, SST29</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No radiation, moist, large, weak, SST29</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, small, weak, SST29</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Radiation, dry, small, weak, SST29</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, large, weak, SST29</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, small, weak, SST28</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, large, weak, SST28</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Radiation, moist, small, weak, SST27</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3.** Properties of the prominent mid-level vortex (MLV) that forms for systems that develop along pathway two: Shown are the maximum azimuthally averaged tangential velocities of the MLV, its RMW and height at the time the SSCV forms; the time the SSCV forms; the maximum surface tangential winds and the RMW at the surface, at this time.
Figure Captions

Figure 1. Initial conditions for a small weak vortex with a moisture anomaly. (a) Tangential velocity. The contour interval is 1 m s⁻¹. (b) Potential temperature anomaly. The contour interval is 0.25 K. (c) Water vapor mixing ratio. The contour interval is 1.5 g kg⁻¹.

Figure 2. Time series for Experiment 1, the no ice case. (a) The minimum surface pressure. (b) The azimuthally-averaged maximum tangential velocity and the height it occurs. (c) The azimuthally-averaged tangential velocity at the lowest model level and the radius it occurs.

Figure 3. As in Figure 2, for Experiment 2.

Figure 4. Azimuthally-averaged tangential velocity \( V(r,z) \) for Experiment 2, at (a) \( t=79 \) h, and (b) \( t=83 \) h. The contour interval is 1 m s⁻¹.

Figure 5. As in Figure 2, for Experiment 3.

Figure 6. The average relative humidity for a circular area with radius of 100 km from the vortex center, at levels \( z=2.6, 4.9 \) and \( 7.3 \) km, for Experiment 3.

Figure 7. As in Figure 2, for Experiment 5.

Figure 8. As in Figure 2, for Experiment 6.

Figure 9. As in Figure 2, for Experiment 7.

Figure 10. Time series for Experiment 15. (a) Minimum surface pressure, including comparison with the non-radiation case. (b) The azimuthally-averaged maximum tangential velocity and the height it occurs. (c) The azimuthally-averaged tangential velocity at the lowest model level and the radius it occurs. (d) Total liquid and ice mass content in a cylindrical volume of radius 100 km from the center. (e) Clear sky short wave radiation at the surface.

Figure 11. Azimuthally-averaged fields of (a) Mixing ratio of ice (g kg⁻¹), and (b) radial component of wind velocity (m s⁻¹), at \( t=75 \) h, for Experiment 2.

Figure 12. Comparison of Experiments 2 and 5. (a) The total mass of ice in the fine grid domain. (b) The total mass of ice within a radius of 100 km of the center of the domain. (d) The vertical mass transport of air within a radius of 100 km of the center of the domain, at \( z=7.35 \) km.

Figure 13. The azimuthally-averaged potential temperature perturbation for Experiment 2, at \( t=79 \) h. The contour interval is 0.5 K.
Figure 14. Horizontal sections for Experiment 2, at t=78 h. (a) Vertical vorticity (x10^-4 rad s^-1), (b) potential temperature (K), (c) pressure (mb), at z=98 m, and (d) potential temperature (K), at z=7.35 km.

Figure 15. Horizontal sections for Experiment 2, at t=80 h. (a) Vertical vorticity (x10^-4 rad s^-1), (b) potential temperature (K), (c) water vapor mixing ratio (g kg^-1), (d) pressure (mb), at z=98 m, and (d) vertical velocity (m s^-1), at z=1.6 km.

Figure 16. Time series of the average potential temperature and water vapor mixing ratio within 100 km of the center, at z=305 m, for Experiment 2.
Figure 1. Initial conditions for a small weak vortex with a moisture anomaly. (a) Tangential velocity. The contour interval is 1 m s$^{-1}$. (b) Potential temperature anomaly. The contour interval is 0.25 K. (c) Water vapor mixing ratio. The contour interval is 1.5 g kg$^{-1}$. 
Figure 2. Time series for Experiment 1, the no ice case. (a) The minimum surface pressure. (b) The azimuthally-averaged maximum tangential velocity and the height it occurs. (c) The azimuthally-averaged tangential velocity at the lowest model level and the radius it occurs.
Figure 3. As in Figure 2, for Experiment 2.
Figure 4. Azimuthally-averaged tangential velocity $V(r,z)$ for Experiment 2, at (a) $t=79$ h, and (b) $t=83$ h. The contour interval is $1$ m s$^{-1}$. 
Figure 5. As in Figure 2, for Experiment 3.
Figure 6. The average relative humidity for a circular area with radius of 100 km from the vortex center, at levels $z=2.6$, $4.9$ and $7.3$ km, for Experiment 3.
Figure 7. As in Figure 2, for Experiment 5.
Figure 8. As in Figure 2, for Experiment 6.
Figure 9. As in Figure 2, for Experiment 7.
Figure 10. Time series for Experiment 15. (a) Minimum surface pressure, including comparison with the non-radiation case. (b) The azimuthally-averaged maximum tangential velocity and the height it occurs. (c) The azimuthally-averaged tangential velocity at the lowest model level and the radius it occurs. (d) Total liquid and ice mass content in a cylindrical volume of radius 100 km from the center. (e) Clear sky short wave radiation at the surface.
Figure 11. Azimuthally-averaged fields of (a) Mixing ratio of ice (g kg\(^{-1}\)), and (b) radial component of wind velocity (m s\(^{-1}\)), at t=75 h, for Experiment 2.
Figure 12. Comparison of Experiments 2 and 5. (a) The total mass of ice in the fine grid domain. (b) The total mass of ice within a radius of 100 km of the center of the domain. (c) The vertical mass transport of air within a radius of 100 km of the center of the domain, at z=7.35 km.
Figure. 13. The azimuthally-averaged potential temperature perturbation for Experiment 2, at $t=79$ h. The contour interval is 0.5 K.
Figure 14. Horizontal sections for Experiment 2, at t=78 h. (a) Vertical vorticity ($x10^{-4}$ rad s$^{-1}$), (b) potential temperature (K), (c) pressure (mb), at $z=98$ m, and (d) potential temperature (K), at $z=7.35$ km.
Figure 15. Horizontal sections for Experiment 2, at t=80 h. (a) Vertical vorticity ($10^{-4}$ rad s$^{-1}$), (b) potential temperature (K), (c) water vapor mixing ratio (g kg$^{-1}$), (d) pressure (mb), at $z=98$ m, and (d) vertical velocity (m s$^{-1}$), at $z=1.6$ km.
Figure 16. Time series of the average potential temperature and water vapor mixing ratio within 100 km of the center, at z=305 m, for Experiment 2.