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ABSTRACT

Therapy of seizure activity following exposure to the nerve agent soman (GD) includes treatment with the anticonvulsant diazepam (DZP), an allosteric modulator of γ-aminobutyric acid A (GABAA) receptors. However, seizure activity itself causes the endocytosis of GABAA receptors and diminishes the inhibitory effects of GABA, thereby reducing the efficacy of DZP. Treatment with an N-methyl-D-aspartic acid (NMDA) receptor antagonist prevents this reduction in GABAergic inhibition. We examined the efficacy of the NMDA receptor antagonist caramiphen edisylate (CED; 20 mg/kg, im) and DZP (10 mg/kg, sc), administered both separately and in combination, at 10, 20 or 30 min following seizure onset for attenuation of the deleterious effects associated with GD exposure (1.2 LD50; 132 μg/kg, sc) in rats. Outcomes evaluated were seizure duration, neuropathology, acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity, body weight, and temperature. We also examined the use of the reversible AChE inhibitor physostigmine (PHY; 0.2 mg/kg, im) as a therapy for GD exposure. We found that the combination of CED and DZP yielded a synergistic effect, shortening seizure durations and reducing neuropathology compared to DZP alone, when treatment was delayed 20–30 min after seizure onset. PHY reduced the number of animals that developed seizures, protected a fraction of AChE from GD inhibition, and attenuated post-exposure body weight and temperature loss independent of CED and/or DZP treatment. We conclude that: 1) CED and DZP treatment offers considerable protection against the effects of GD and 2) PHY is a potential therapeutic option following GD exposure, albeit with a limited window of opportunity.

Introduction

Soman (GD) is an organophosphorus (OP) compound that inhibits the cholinesterase (ChE) family of enzymes including acetylcholinesterase (AChE), butyrylcholinesterase (BChE) (Junge and Krisch, 1975). The toxicity of GD is due to inhibition of AChE, the enzyme responsible for hydrolyzing the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (ACh) (Mcdonough and Shih, 1993). Excess ACh at synapses and neuromuscular junctions results in the prolonged stimulation of both muscarinic and nicotinic receptors leading to miosis, hypersecretions, fasciculations, respiratory distress, cardiac dysfunction, and seizures that can rapidly progress to self-sustained seizures (status epilepticus, SE) and result in extensive neuropathology as seen in rats (de Araujo Furtado et al., 2009, 2010) and guinea pigs (Mcdonough and Shih, 1997).

The current US Army-fielded treatment strategy against nerve agent-induced toxicity includes the antimuscarinic atropine, the oxime 2-pralidoxime (2-PAM), and the benzodiazepine diazepam (reviewed in Cannard, 2006; Taylor, 2001). Pretreatment with the reversible ChE inhibitor pyridostigmine or physostigmine is also used in the United States and the United Kingdom, respectively, to prevent the nerve agent from binding to a fraction of the enzyme (Eckert et al., 2007; Gordon et al., 1978; Shih et al., 1991; Wetherell et al., 2006, 2007). While these treatments protect against nerve agent-induced lethality, complete protection is not provided in terms of epileptiform activity, neuropathology, and cognitive deficits (de Araujo Furtado et al., 2009, 2010; Langston et al., 2011; McDonough and Shih, 1997; Moffett et al., 2011; Raveh et al., 2003; Shih et al., 1991, 2003).

A review by McDonough and Shih (1997) postulated a triphasic model of seizure progression following nerve agent exposure. Phase 1 is characterized by cholinergic hyperactivity and lasts about 5 min after seizure onset. Phase 2 is a transitional phase characterized by both cholinergic and glutamatergic hyperactivity and lasts another 5 to 40 min. Phase 3 is predominantly characterized by glutamatergic hyperactivity, and it is the activation of N-methyl-D-aspartic acid (NMDA) receptors and the subsequent accumulation of intracellular calcium (Ca2+) in this phase that is associated with nerve agent-induced neuropathology. In the later phases, glutamatergic activation sustains the seizure even when the cholinergic system has been antagonized. Drugs with both anticholinergic and antiglutamatergic properties have been shown to be beneficial adjunct treatments for nerve agent exposure (reviewed in Weissman and Raveh, 2008).
Intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) accumulation following the prolonged stimulation of NMDA receptors during seizure activity activates Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive protein kinases (Churm and DeLorenzo, 1998), which modulate the trafficking of both NMDA (Lan et al., 2001) and \(\gamma\)-aminobutyric acid A (GABA\(_A\)) receptors (Jovanovic et al., 2004). GABA\(_A\) receptor-mediated inhibition is diminished during SE (Kapur and Coulter, 1995), which may reduce the effectiveness of anticonvulsants such as diazepam that act on this receptor. Similarly, epileptic activity has been shown to cause the endocytosis of GABA\(_A\) receptors (Blair et al., 2004; Naylor et al., 2005; Wasterlain and Chen, 2008). The administration of NMDA receptor antagonists such as ketamine or MK-801, however, prevents the seizure-induced reduction of GABAergic inhibition in experimental SE (Kapur and Lothman, 1990; Wasterlain and Chen, 2008). It remains to be determined whether similar effects occur following nerve agent-induced seizures.

When glutamatergic antagonists are used alone (i.e., without anticonvulsant or anticholinergic treatments), complete protection from OP toxicity is not achieved (Lallement et al., 1999; Myhrer et al., 2008; Shih et al., 1991). However, protection is improved when anticholinergics are combined with anticholinergics (Myhrer et al., 2008; Shih et al., 1991; Sparenborg et al., 1990). The combination of the NMDA receptor antagonist ketamine (50 mg/kg) and diazepam (20 mg/kg) has been shown to terminate pilocarpine-induced SE when the individual administration of these same doses was ineffective (Martin and Kapur, 2007). Our laboratory recently observed that the combination of ketamine and diazepam reduced seizure duration, cognitive impairment, and neuropathology in rats exposed to GD (Lumley et al., 2009). Taken together, these studies demonstrate the effectiveness of NMDA receptor antagonism as an adjunct therapy to benzodiazepine in the treatment of nerve agent exposure.

**Caramiphen**

Caramiphen, an anticholinergic that acts both as a muscarinic (Hudkins and DeHaven-Hudkins, 1991) and nicotinic antagonist (Gao et al., 1998), has been shown to have anticonvulsant effects in rats (Apland and Braithman, 1990; Diana et al., 1993; Sparenborg et al., 1990; Szekely et al., 1994; Tortella et al., 1988). In addition, caramiphen binds to the zinc binding site on the NMDA receptor ion channel (Raveh et al., 1999) and attenuates NMDA-evoked currents (Figueiredo et al., 2011; Fletcher et al., 1995). Though it antagonizes the NMDA receptor, CED is unable to terminate NMDA-induced epileptiform activity, which indicates that the anticonvulsant function of CED may be attributed to its anticholinergic properties (Apland and Braithman, 1990). Recently, Figueiredo et al. (2011) demonstrated that caramiphen facilitates GABA-evoked currents at 100 and 300 \(\mu\)M concentrations, but reduces currents at 1 mM, which suggests the possibility of a high affinity binding site that potentiates currents through the GABA\(_A\) channel and a low affinity site that has the opposite effect. Additionally, caramiphen has been shown to antagonize voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (Church and Fletcher, 1995; Fletcher et al., 1995).

In a rat model of nerve agent exposure, caramiphen was more effective than the antimuscarinic scopolamine as an adjunct treatment to oxime (TMB4) and atropine therapy for sarin exposure in terms of reduced signs of cholinergic toxicity, reduced brain damage, and the reversal of spatial learning impairments in the Morris water maze (Raveh et al., 2008). Caramiphen was also shown to prevent the GD-induced downregulation of the \(\alpha\)-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptor site involved in learning and memory (Raveh et al., 2002), which likely contributed to the cognitive preservation in rats treated with caramiphen either prior to or following exposure to nerve agent (Raveh et al., 2002, 2008).

Caramiphen pretreatment of GD exposure by microinfusion into area tempestas (located deep in the piriform cortex) of rats was shown to delay the onset of epileptiform activity and convulsions longer than pretreatment with other drugs with similar pharmacological profiles (benzodiazepine and trihexyphenidyl) (Myhrer et al., 2008). In a second experiment in this study, drugs with only anticholinergic activity (atropine and scopolamine) or only antigu glutamatergic activity (ketamine and MK-801) were used as pretreatments for GD exposure. Only the anticholinergic drugs were able to antagonize GD-induced seizures, supporting the suggestion that the anticonvulsant property of caramiphen is a result of anticholinergic rather than antigu glutamatergic activity.

**Physostigmine**

Unlike pyridostigmine, the carbamate physostigmine crosses the blood brain barrier (Gordon et al., 1978) and thereby protects a fraction of AChE in both the peripheral and central nervous systems from being inhibited by nerve agent (Wetherell et al., 2007). A combination of physostigmine, HI-6 (an oxime that has been shown to be more effective against GD toxicity than 2-PAM Kassa and Fusek, 2002), and scopolamine protected against the lethal and incapacitating effects of the nerve agents GD, sarin, cyclosarin, VX, and tabun when administered 1 min after 5.0 LD\(_{50}\) in guinea pigs (Wetherell et al., 2006, 2007). However, a potential drawback of post-exposure treatment with carba mates is that the additive effect of AChE inhibition from both the nerve agent and the carbamate can potentiate the cholinergic crisis. Recently, it has been shown that physostigmine given 1 min after GD exposure (in combination with obidoxime and atropine) reduced the occurrence and duration of seizures in guinea pigs at 0.1 and 0.3 mg/kg; however, a higher dose (0.8 mg/kg) increased mortality (Joosen et al., 2011).

In the current study, we evaluated combination therapies with caramiphen, diazepam, and physostigmine as adjunct treatments of atropine and HI-6, for alleviation of the deleterious effects of GD exposure in rats. We used outcomes of seizure duration, neuropathology, AChE activity in whole blood, body weight, and body temperature to determine the most effective treatment combination. We hypothesized that the NMDA receptor antagonism of caramiphen would yield a synergistic effect in combination with the allosteric GABA\(_A\) modulation of diazepam and would terminate GD-induced seizures earlier than treatment with diazepam or caramiphen alone. The expected result was that the anticholinergic property of caramiphen would antagonize seizures in the early phase of seizure generation and that the NMDA receptor antagonist property of caramiphen would potentiate the anticonvulsant effect of diazepam when the seizure progressed to the point where it was refractory to anticholinergic treatment. Based on recent research involving physostigmine, we hypothesized that physostigmine would attenuate the toxic effects of GD when administered 1 min after exposure.

**Methods**

**Subjects.** Male Sprague–Dawley rats (250–300 g) were individually housed and maintained on a reverse light–dark cycle (lights on 2100–0900) with food and water available ad libitum. The rats were surgically implanted with telemetry transmitters (F40-EET, Data Sciences International [DSI], Inc., St. Paul, MN, USA) for the continuous monitoring and collection of electroencephalographic (EEG) activity, body temperature, and general motor activity. Rats were weighed daily, and treatment groups were counterbalanced according to pre-exposure weight.

**Chemicals.** GD (pinacolyl methylphosphonofluoridate) was obtained from the US Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center (Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, USA). Atropine sulfate (ATR) and physostigmine (PHY) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). HI-6 dimethanesulfonate salt was prepared by Sterkenci Associates (Buffalo, NY, USA) under contract to the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (Silver Spring, MD, USA). Caramiphen edisylate (CED) was a generous gift from Dr. James Apland at USAMRICD and was originally synthesized by Sigma Aldrich. Nuclear magnetic resonance analyses conducted by...
Dr. Benedict Capacio’s laboratory demonstrated that the sample of CED was >97% pure. Other chemicals were purchased as follows: 1) diazepam (DZP; United States Pharmacopeia, USP) from Hospira Inc. (Lake Forrest, IL, USA), 2) buprenorphine hydrochloride from Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Richmond, VA, USA), 3) bacitracin from Perrigo (Allegan, MI, USA), 4) Vetbond™ from 3 M Animal Care Products (St. Paul, MN, USA), and 5) isoflurane (USP) from Minrad Inc. (Bethlehem, PA, USA). Chemicals used in transcardial perfusion (saline and 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer) as well as 20% sucrose were purchased from FD Neurotechnologies (Catonsville, MD, USA).

Surgery. For the implantation of telemetry devices, rats were administered isoflurane (3% induction, 1.5–2% maintenance) and placed in a Kopf stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA, USA). Cortical stainless steel screw electrodes were implanted on the skull 2 mm bilaterally to the midline and 1.6 mm anterior and 4 mm posterior to bregma. Stainless steel wires from the F40-EET transmitters were implanted subcutaneously, wrapped around the electrodes, and secured in place using dental acrylic. The incision sites were sutured, treated with topical bacitracin, and sealed with Vetbond™. Rats were given one week to recover prior to GD exposure.

Telemetry equipment. The home cage was placed on a DSI physiotel receiver model RPC-1 in the colony room for EEG acquisition. Data were digitized at 250 Hz, 60 Hz notch filter, 0.1 Hz hi-pass filter, 1 kHz low-pass filter and recorded using Dataquest ART 4.1 (Acquisition software, Data Systems International — DSI, St. Paul, MN, USA). Body temperature was recorded continuously moving averages. As the transmitter was implanted subcutaneously throughout the experiment as average temperature per minute. Recordings were normalized to the time of exposure and reduced to 1-h moving averages. As the transmitter was implanted subcutaneously the temperature values were not a measure of core body temperature. However, our laboratory has observed similar temperature values between subcutaneous transmitter implants and those implanted within the abdominal cavity (unpublished data).

Exposure. After a week of recovery from surgery, the rats were exposed to 1.2 LD50 GD (132 μg/kg, sc; in saline, 0.5 ml/kg) followed 1 min later by administration of HI-6 (93.6 mg/kg, im) and ATR (2 mg/kg, im) in the same injection (sterile water, 0.5 ml/kg) (Fig. 1). This dose of GD was selected to maximize survival while still allowing neuropathology to occur (Moffett et al., 2011). PHY (0.2 mg/kg, im) was administered in the same injection as HI-6 and ATR 1 min after GD exposure. CED (20 mg/kg, im; in sterile water, 0.5 ml/kg, based on Raveh et al. (2008)) and/or DZP (10 mg/kg, sc; 2 ml/kg) were administered 10, 20 or 30 min after seizure onset. Rats were given a wet mash of food, water and sugar for 3 days following exposure. Experimental groups are described in Table 1. Rats that did not seize were excluded from statistical analyses unless otherwise noted. One GD-exposed rat died prior to treatment with diazepam, and a second died within 24 h, and were omitted from analysis, with the exception of analysis of PHY effects on preventing seizure onset.

Electroencephalograph (EEG) scoring. EEG data were collected continuously for 3 days prior to and 3 days following GD exposure. Electrographic seizure activity was determined as rhythmic high-amplitude spikes that lasted at least 10 s (D’Ambrosio et al., 2009; de Araujo Furtado et al., 2009), and seizures were considered terminated when the EEG no longer displayed this rhythmic high-amplitude spiking. The time points for initial seizure onset and termination were recorded, and seizure duration was calculated as the difference between onset and termination. For statistical analyses, seizures lasting longer than 24 h after onset were given a maximal duration of 1440 min.

Body weight. Rats were weighed daily between 0800 and 0830. Any rat that lost more than 10 g from the previous day’s weight was treated with 3.0 ml saline (0.9% saline, sc). The change in body weight following GD exposure was converted to a percentage of baseline body weight.

Neuropathology assessment. Rats were deeply anesthetized (sodium pentobarbital; 75 mg/kg, ip) and euthanized by exsanguination 72 h after exposure (i.e., post-exposure day [PED] 3). Whole blood was collected via cardiac puncture for the WR AIR Che assay. Rats were perfused via the ascending aorta with saline followed by 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 4% paraformaldehyde. The brains were then post-fixed in the same fixative for 6 h at 4 °C. After cryoprotection in 0.1 M phosphate buffer containing 20% sucrose for 72 h at 4 °C, brains were rapidly frozen and stored at −75 °C until processed. Brains were sectioned (50 μm, coronal) and processed by FD Neurotechnologies, Inc. using a proprietary silver stain (FD NeuroSilver™) and Fluoro-Jade B for the assessment of neuropathological damage, as well as cresyl violet for the identification of brain structures.

For the silver stain, each brain region of interest (piriform cortex, thalamus, amygdala, hippocampus, and fiber tracts) at coronal section bregma −3.0 mm was qualitatively scored for neuronal degeneration using a rank scale of 0–4 by an observer blind to treatment groups (de Araujo Furtado et al., 2009, 2010; McDonough and Shih, 1997; Moffett et al., 2011; Shih et al., 2003). For Fluoro-Jade B, fluorescing profiles indicating degenerating neurons were quantified per region, and then divided by the area of the region to obtain a profile density score. Brain regions were determined using The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic

---

Fig. 1. Exposure outline. Rats were exposed to GD and then treated 1 min later with ATR/H6 or ATR/H6/PHY. At three different times after seizure onset (10, 20 or 30 min), rats were treated with CED, DZP or a combination of both drugs.
Cholinesterase data previously analyzed from un-exposed historical controls were used for comparison to experimental GD-exposed groups. 

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analyses were conducted using PASW Statistics 17 (SPSS Inc., an IBM company, Chicago, IL, USA), and statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). Seizure duration within the first 24 h was estimated and compared among treatment groups using a Kaplan-Meier analysis. A Log Rank (Mantel-Cox) analysis was performed to compare each treatment group to the DZP group at each treatment time. Presence or absence of seizures in terms of PHY treatment was analyzed using a Fisher’s exact test. Percent of body weight change from baseline following exposure was analyzed using a four-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) with one repeated measure; the four factors were treatment group (CED, DZP, and CED/DZP), treatment time, presence or absence of PHY, and observation time (1 min after GD exposure). 

### Results

#### Seizure duration

As depicted in Fig. 2, at the 10-min treatment time, the following groups displayed shorter seizure durations compared to the DZP: CED, DZP, CED/DZP/PHY, CED/PHY, and CED/DZP. At the 20-min treatment time, the following groups had shorter seizure durations compared to the DZP: CED, DZP, CED/DZP/PHY, and DZP/PHY. The following groups had significantly greater seizure durations than the DZP: CED/DZP/PHY and CED. At the 30-minute treatment time, the following groups had shorter seizure durations compared to the DZP: CED, CED/DZP, CED/DZP/PHY, and DZP/PHY. The seizure duration of the CED/DZP/PHY group did not differ significantly from that of the DZP group. The CED group had greater seizure duration compared to the DZP group. Statistics are displayed in Suppl. Table 1.

Of the 80 animals that received PHY, 63 (78.7%) experienced seizure versus 67 (95.7%) of the 70 animals that did not receive PHY (Table 2). This difference in seizure incidence between the non-PHY and PHY groups was significant using a Fisher’s exact test (\( p < 0.01 \)).

**ACHE in whole blood**

Rats treated with PHY had 29.9% more AChE activity in whole blood at 72 h after GD exposure than rats not treated with PHY (\( F(1,110) = 9.075, p = 0.01 \)). No other significant effects were observed (data not shown). The mean AChE activity for PHY-treated rats was 55.3% of historical controls, compared to 43.7% for non-PHY-treated rats.

**Body temperature**

At the time of exposure, the mean body temperature was 36.3 ± 1.2 °C. Main effects of the repeated observation (hour), treatment, PHY, and treatment time are displayed in Suppl. Table 2A. In sum, there were significant main effects of hour, treatment, PHY and significant interactions between multiple factors (treatment × time; treatment × hour X time; PHY × hour). Suppl. Table 2B-D displays statistics for the analysis when stratified by treatment time (10, 20 and 30 min, respectively). All three time points show a transient temperature drop. There was no effect of treatment at 10 min (Fig. 3A; Suppl. Table 2B). Rats treated with CED at 20 min had significantly lower 

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10 min</th>
<th>20 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DZP</td>
<td>n = 6</td>
<td>n = 5</td>
<td>n = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZP/PHY</td>
<td>n = 6</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td>n = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CED</td>
<td>n = 8</td>
<td>n = 9</td>
<td>n = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CED/PHY</td>
<td>n = 8</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td>n = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CED/DZP</td>
<td>n = 8</td>
<td>n = 8</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CED/DZP/PHY</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Seizure</th>
<th>No seizure</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NonPHY</td>
<td>67 (95.7%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHY</td>
<td>63 (78.7%) ***</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** *** \( p < 0.001 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \), * \( p < 0.05 \).
temperature than DZP rats for a brief period 19 h following GD-exposure (Fig. 3B; Suppl. Table 2C). At 30 min, CED and CED/DZP treated rats had transient periods of lower temperature than DZP rats (Fig. 3C; Suppl. Table 2D). PHY treated rats had higher temperature than non-PHY treated rats from 4 to 24 h following GD-exposure (Figs. 3D–F; Suppl. Table 2E).

**Fig. 3.** Body temperature. Body temperature (mean±SEM) following GD exposure grouped by treatment time (10 [A, D], 20 [B, E] or 30 [C, F] min), CED and/or DZP treatment (A–C) and PHY treatment (D–F). (Note: PHY treatment was given 1 min after GD.) PHY attenuated temperature loss following GD-exposure. CED and CED/DZP treatment resulted in increased temperature loss following GD exposure when administered at 30 min. (*p<0.05 CED ≠ DZP C *p<0.05 **p<0.01 CED ≠ DZP; +p<0.05, ++p<0.01 CED/CED ≠ DZP).
Statistics for body weight is presented in Suppl. Table 3. There were significant effects of repeated day, treatment, PHY, and treatment time on body weight, as well as interactions between factors (treatment × treatment time, day × PHY, and day × treatment × PHY; further investigation into these interactions are displayed in Suppl. Table 3B–D). In general, rats lost weight following GD-exposure. CED and CED/DZP reduced the amount of weight lost when treated at 10 min in comparison to DZP only rats (Fig. 4A); however, when delayed 20 and 30 min, attenuation of weight loss was only seen in rats treated with CED/DZP (Figs. 4B–C). PHY treatment had marginal success at attenuating weight loss following GD-exposure on day 1, but not when DZP and/or CED administration was delayed 30 min (Figs. 4D–F; Suppl. Table 3B–D).

Neuropathology assessments

For the silver stain (Figs. 5–6; Suppl. Table 4), there was significant effect of treatment, treatment time, and a significant interaction between treatment and treatment time on neuropathology. There was no overall effect of PHY and no interactions between PHY and time or treatment. At 10 min, there was a significant effect of CED and/or DZP treatment. CED and CED/DZP treatment resulted in lower neuropathology compared to DZP in all regions measured. At 20 min, there was a significant effect of CED and/or DZP treatment. CED/DZP treatment resulted in significantly lower neuropathology compared to DZP in all regions scored. At 30 min, there was a significant effect of CED and/or DZP treatment. CED/DZP treatment resulted in lower neuropathology in the fiber tracts, thalamus and amygdala.

A MANOVA was conducted within each treatment group to compare the effect of treatment time (Suppl. Table 4D). For each treatment, the earlier treatment time of 10 min was more protective than delayed treatment (Fig. 5). Within the DZP group, there was a significant effect of time. Treatment with DZP at 10 min resulted in lower neuropathology in fiber tracts and hippocampus when compared to DZP at 30 min. DZP at 20 min did not differ from DZP at 30 min. Within the CED group, there was a significant overall effect of time. CED treatment at 10 min resulted in lower neuropathology in all regions in comparison to 30 min. CED treatment at 20 min resulted in lower neuropathology in fiber tracts, thalamus and hippocampus in comparison to 30 min. Within the CED/DZP treatment, there was a significant effect of time. CED/DZP treatment at 10 min or 20 min resulted in lower neuropathology in all regions in comparison to 30 min.

A stepwise linear regression analysis between seizure duration and damage to all sub-regions revealed that damage to the hippocampus had a predictive relationship with seizure duration (R² = 0.463, F(1,124) = 106.122, p < 0.001; Hippocampus β = 0.681, p < 0.001, data not shown). Damage to other regions within this analysis did not yield significant predictive relationships with seizure duration. This suggests that the hippocampus may be more sensitive to longer seizures than other regions in terms of neuropathology determined via silver stain.

For Fluoro-Jade B stain (Figs. 7–8; Suppl. Table 5), there was a significant effect of treatment, time, and an interaction between treatment and time. There was no overall effect of PHY and no interactions...
between PHY and treatment or time (Fig. 7). At 10 min, both CED and CED/DZP resulted in lower neuropathology than DZP in all regions. At 20 min the CED/DZP group, but not the CED group, had lower neuropathology in the thalamus, amygdala, and piriform cortex. At 30 min the CED/DZP group, but not the CED group, had lower neuropathology than DZP in the amygdala.

A MANOVA was conducted within each treatment group to compare the effect of treatment time (Suppl. Table 5D). For DZP, there were no differences in profile density between different treatment time points. Treatment with CED at 10 min resulted in lower neuropathology in all regions compared to the 30 min. Treatment with CED at 20 min resulted in lower neuropathology in the thalamus and amygdala in comparison to the 30 min group. Treatment with CED/DZP at 10 or 20 min resulted in lower neuropathology in the thalamus, amygdala and piriform cortex in comparison to the 30 min group. These results support the findings using silver stain in that treatment with DZP is less time sensitive than CED or CED/DZP.

A stepwise linear regression analysis between seizure duration and profile density in all subregions scored indicated that profile density in the hippocampus and amygdala had a significant predictive relationship with seizure duration ($R^2 = 0.397$, $F(1,124) = 40.878$, $p < 0.001$; amygdala $\beta = 0.435$, $t = 5.47$, $p < 0.001$; hippocampus $\beta = 0.292$, $t = 3.665$, $p < 0.001$). This data suggests that both the hippocampus and amygdala may be more sensitive to longer seizures than other regions in terms of neuropathology as determined via Fluoro-Jade-B staining (data not shown).
Discussion

The standard treatment regimen for nerve agent exposure includes an anticholinergic drug to counteract the acute cholinergic syndrome, an oxime to reactivate AChE, and an anticonvulsant to treat seizures and prevent neuropathology. Unfortunately, complete protection is not provided by these treatments. In fact, our laboratory recently showed that contextual and auditory fear conditioning is impaired in GD-exposed rats despite being administered the standard treatment regimen (Moffett et al., 2011). Moreover, moderate to severe neuropathology was observed in the amygdala, piriform cortex, and thalamus of these rats. Additional treatments to reduce the seizure duration, neuropathology and/or functional impairments that follow nerve agent exposure are needed. One class of potential adjunct therapies to current treatments includes drugs with both anticholinergic and antiglutamatergic properties, such as CED. Drugs with this pharmacological profile have the potential to 1) inhibit the propagation of epileptiform activity through antagonism of cholinergic receptors, 2) mitigate the excitotoxicity produced by acceleration of NMDA receptor stimulation and subsequent accumulation of intracellular Ca2+ (Weissman and Raveh, 2008) and 3) prevent the reduction of GABAergic currents through NMDA receptor inhibition and increase the efficacy of anticonvulsant treatments. The present study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of CED, with or without DZP, as an adjunct therapeutic against GD-induced seizures and neuropathology. We hypothesized that the co-administration of CED and DZP would terminate GD-induced seizures faster than DZP alone and thereby reduce/prevent neuropathology. The effectiveness of PHY as a therapeutic was also evaluated.

GD-exposed rats treated with DZP continued to have seizures for more than 3 h, which is consistent with previous studies in our laboratory (Langston et al., 2011; Moffett et al., 2011), regardless of when the treatment was administered. Body temperature in DZP-treated rats was reduced over the course of the first 8 h following exposure irrespective of treatment time. Meeter and Wolthuis (1968) observed a 4–6 °C drop in body temperature with GD-exposed rats; however, their rats did not receive any therapy. ATR has been shown to reduce nerve agent-induced hypothermia (Clement, 1993; Meeter and Wolthuis, 1968), which suggests that this effect is mediated by muscarinic receptors. Interestingly, DZP has been shown to dose-dependently decrease body temperature (Elliot and White, 2001) and to reduce stress-induced hyperthermia (Vinkers et al., 2009). DZP-treated rats also lost ~14% of their body weight within the first 24 h following exposure irrespective of treatment time.

GD-exposed rats treated with CED at 10 min had shorter seizure durations, less body weight loss, and reduced neuropathology compared to DZP-treated rats. Early body weight loss is an indicator of seizure severity and, by corollary extension, neuropathology following GD exposure in rats (Churchill et al., 1985). Neuropathology, specifically in the amygdala, piriform cortex, and thalamus, was observed in these rats, but the severity was reduced by earlier treatment with CED with or without DZP. Unfortunately, CED by itself was not an effective therapeutic at the later treatment times when GD-induced seizures are no longer solely controlled by cholinergic mechanisms. GD-exposed rats treated with CED at 20 or 30 min had seizures that lasted longer than those of DZP-treated rats and, in many cases, continued until the end of the EEG recording (72 h). In addition, there was no difference in terms of body weight or neuropathology between rats that received CED treatment alone or DZP-treatment alone at these treatment times. At 30 min, CED-treated rats experienced a greater drop in body temperature than did DZP-treated rats. Recently, a higher dose of CED
(100 mg/kg) was shown to reduce the behavioral signs of seizure associated with GD exposure, in the absence of DZP or another anticonvulsant treatment, when administered 30 or 60 min after seizure onset (Figueiredo et al., 2011). Seizures were abolished within 1–2 h or 4–4.5 h, respectively, and neuropathology was also reduced in these rats. Thus, it seems that a higher dose of CED than the one used in this study in the absence of diazepam would be required to achieve a superior anticonvulsant effect compared to DZP treatment. When co-administered with levetiracetam (Keppra®), an antiepileptic drug suspected of reducing glutamate release (Lynch et al., 2004), 40 min after seizure onset, CED (20 mg/kg ip) completely terminated 1.6 LD₅₀ GD-induced epileptiform activity in the absence of benzodiazepine treatment (Myhrer et al., 2011).

GD-exposed rats treated with CED/DZP had shorter seizure durations and reduced neuropathology compared to DZP-treated rats regardless of treatment time. CED/DZP-treated rats also had less body weight loss than DZP-treated rats. Although the initial drop in body temperature was similar at treatment time 30 min, CED/DZP-treated rats recovered at a faster rate from GD-induced mild hypothermia than did CED-treated rats. Thus, the combination of CED and DZP proved to be the most effective therapeutic strategy against GD-induced toxicity.

A possible mechanism for this synergistic action between CED and DZP would be the prevention of seizure-induced reduction of GABAergic inhibition through NMDA receptor antagonism. The influx and accumulation of intracellular Ca²⁺ through NMDA receptors during epileptiform activity may be responsible for the alteration of GABAergic

---

**Fig. 8.** Fluoro-Jade B neuropathology images. Representative images of Fluoro-Jade B-stained histological preparations from rats treated with DZP, CED or CED/DZP at 30 min (non-PHY) organized in rows. Left: half brain images, scale bar = 500 μm. Right: enlarged images of specific nuclei (in parentheses) within a larger region scored, scale bar = 40 μm. A: Thalamus (reuniens), B: Hippocampus (dentate gyrus), C: Piriform cortex (layer 2), D: Amygdala (basolateral).
the NMDA receptor-dependent alteration of GABAA receptor traffic. In addition, SE in rats has been shown to result in the mobilization of spare NMDA receptors to the cell surface (Wasterlain et al., 2002). It's possible that attenuation of NMDA receptor activation by CED reduced the NMDA receptor-dependent alteration of GABAergic inhibitory synapses (IPSC) (Wang et al., 2011). To provide an effective treatment for nerve agent exposure, treatment strategies must be developed to mitigate this reduction in GABAergic signaling, thereby restoring efficacy of benzodiazepine treatment. The results presented in this paper show that CED was able to increase the anticonvulsant efficacy of DZP and reduce neuropathology in the rat brain.

Post-exposure treatment with PHY reduced the percentage of rats developing seizures. Although PHY had no effect on seizure duration or neuropathology in animals that did develop seizures, PHY-treated rats did not experience as severe a drop in body temperature or lose as much body weight as non-PHY-treated rats. PHY-treated rats also had more AChE in whole blood at 72 h following exposure than did non-PHY-treated rats. Thus, we have shown that PHY treatment (under the conditions used herein) does not potentiate GD-induced seizure activity. However, it has recently been shown that a higher dose of PHY (0.8 mg/kg) can increase mortality following GD exposure (Jønssen et al., 2011), suggesting that the safety margin for PHY treatment is very small.

In conclusion, we determined that the combination of CED, DZP, and PHY along with ATR and HI-6 provided additional protection against GD exposure above that provided by just ATR, HI-6, and DZP. The anticonvulsant efficacy of CED was evident at the earliest treatment time, and the synergistic effect between CED and DZP allowed for shorter seizure durations and reduced neuropathology across all treatment times. PHY prevented seizures in a subset of GD-exposed rats and attenuated the hypothermia and body weight loss associated with GD exposure. In future studies, we intend to further examine the treatment combination of CED and DZP on the prevention of long-term cognitive consequences related to GD exposure.

Supplementary materials related to this article can be found online at doi:10.1016/j.taap.2012.01.017.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OP</td>
<td>organophosphorus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BuChE</td>
<td>butyrylcholinesterase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChE</td>
<td>cholinesterase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>status epilepticus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR</td>
<td>atropine sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-PAM</td>
<td>2-pralidoxime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDA</td>
<td>N-methyl-D-aspartic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPA</td>
<td>α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>electroencephalograph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PED</td>
<td>post-exposure day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSC</td>
<td>inhibitory post-synaptic currents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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