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Motivation for this Work

We are interested in constructing numerical methods for constructing non-hydrostatic mesoscale and global atmospheric models (for NWP applications); this is a unified model. The reason for this is economics - one (production) model is cheaper to support.

Currently, in the U.S. there is a movement to construct one NWP model (NWS, Navy, and Air Force). This National Board (NUOPC=National Unified Operational Prediction Capability) aims to develop a new model that is:

1. Highly scalable on current and future computer architectures
2. Global model that is valid at the meso-scale (i.e., non-hydrostatic)
3. Applicable to medium-range NWP
4. Applicable to decadal time-scales

The following talk outlines a model development effort to meet these needs…
Talk Summary

• Governing Equations
• Spatial Discretization
• Preliminary (Validation) Results
• Parallel Implementation
• Closing Remarks
Governing Equations
(compressible Euler equations)

\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \]  
(Mass)

\[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P = -2 \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{u} - \nabla \phi_A \]  
(Momentum)

\[ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta = 0 \]  
(Energy)

\[ \mathbf{u} = (u,v,w)^T, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x,y,z)^T, \quad \nabla = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^T \]

\[ P = P_A \left( \frac{\rho R \theta}{P_A} \right)^\gamma \]  
(Equation of State)

\[ \theta = \frac{T}{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi = \left( \frac{P}{P_A} \right)^{R/c_p} \]
Spatial Discretization

- **Primitive Equations:**
  \[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = S(q) \]

- **Approximate the solution as:**
  \[ q_N = \sum_{i=1}^{M_N} \psi_i q_i \quad \mathbf{F}_N = \mathbf{F}(q_N) \quad S_N = S(q_N) \]
  - **Interpolation O(N)**

- **Write Primitive Equations as:**
  \[ R(q_N) \equiv \frac{\partial q_N}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_N - S_N = \varepsilon \]

- **Weak Problem Statement: Find**
  \[ q_N \in \Sigma(\Omega) \forall \psi \in \Sigma \]
  \[ \Sigma = \left\{ \psi \in H^1(\Omega) : \psi \in P_N(\Omega_e) \forall \Omega_e \right\} \quad \text{(CG)} \]
  \[ \Sigma = \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\Omega) : \psi \in P_N(\Omega_e) \forall \Omega_e \right\} \quad \text{(DG)} \]
  - such that
  - **Integration O(2N)**
  \[ \int_{\Omega/\Omega_e} \psi R(q_N) d\Omega = 0 \]
Spatial Discretization
(Comparison of CG/DG Methods)

Continuous Galerkin Methods

- High order accurate yet local construction (via DSS)
- Simple to construct efficient semi-implicit time-integrators
- In high-order mode, primarily used with quads and inexact integration (e.g., using Lobatto points avoids non-diagonal mass matrix with slight error since integration is $O(2N-1)$)
- No analog of Lobatto points exist on the triangle so costly to use
- Excellent scalability on MPP

Discontinuous Galerkin Methods

- High order accurate and completely local in nature (no DSS required as in CG)
- High order generalization of the FV (but with compact support)
- Upwinding and BCs implemented naturally (via Riemann solvers)
- Not so easy to construct efficient semi-implicit time-integrators, due to the difficulty in extracting the Schur complement
- Since matrices are all local, using quads or triangles is straightforward and one need not worry as much about exact vs. inexact integration
- Excellent scalability on MPP
Preliminary Results
(Model Description)

- Basis functions: 3D tensor products of Lobatto-Gauss-Legendre (LGL) points. Elements are hexahedra (Triangular prisms coming soon).
- Time-Integrators are: explicit SSP-RK, IMEX-BDF2 (Schur and No Schur), Fully-Implicit BDF2 (JFNK), IMEX-RK (currently, No Schur only)
- Mesoscale (limited area) and Global (spherical domain) options
Preliminary Results
(Linear Hydrostatic Ridge and Mountain)

- Flow of $U=20$ m/s in an isothermal atmosphere.
- LH Ridge: Witch of Agnesi ridge: Mountain height = 1 m with radius 10 km.
- LH Mountain: Solid of revolution of Witch of Agnesi: Mountain height = 1 m with radius 10 km.
- Absorbing (sponge) boundary condition implemented on lateral and top boundaries.
Linear Hydrostatic Ridge

![Graphs showing fluid dynamics over time and depth.](image)
Linear Hydrostatic Isolated Mountain
(Grid Resolution: 2400 x 480 meters)
Preliminary Scaling Experiments
(Performed on Ranger TACC)

32x32x32 elements with 4\textsuperscript{th} Order Polynomials
(2 Million Grid Points)

48x48x48 elements with 4\textsuperscript{th} Order Polynomials
(7 Million Grid Points)
A Multitude of Challenges Remain

- Further dry physics validation is necessary (e.g., Baroclinic Instability problems).
- Simple moisture has been tested in 2D (manuscript almost finished) and now implementing it in 3D.
- Full sub-grid scale parameterization needs to be included (can compare against older hydrostatic version called NSEAM).
- Interesting question is: how will the NH and H models compare in terms of both solution quality and cost?
- Adaptivity will, eventually, be included (as in A. Müller) but I envision only using triangular prisms.
- Explicit scalability is great but must improve on Semi-Implicit performance (different time-integrators and new approaches for DG such as in M. Restelli’s talk on hybridized DG).