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Abstract
Device drivers typically execute in supervisor mode and thus must be fully trusted. This paper describes how to move them out of the trusted computing base, by running them without supervisor privileges and constraining their interactions with hardware devices. An implementation of this approach in the Nexus operating system executes drivers in user space, leveraging hardware isolation and checking their behavior against a safety specification. These Nexus drivers have performance comparable to in-kernel, trusted drivers, with a level of CPU overhead acceptable for most applications. For example, the monitored driver for an Intel e1000 Ethernet card has throughput comparable to a trusted driver for the same hardware under Linux. And a monitored driver for the Intel i810 sound card provides continuous playback. Drivers for a disk and a USB mouse have also been moved successfully to operate in user space with safety specifications.

1 Introduction
Device drivers constitute over half of the source code of many operating system kernels, with a bug rate up to seven times higher than other kernel code [10]. They are often written by outside developers, and they are less rigorously examined and tested than the rest of the kernel code. Yet device drivers are part of the trusted computing base (TCB) of every application, because the monolithic architecture of mainstream operating systems forces device drivers to be executed inside the kernel, with high privilege. Some microkernels and other research operating systems [2, 9, 21, 24] run device drivers in user space to isolate the operating system from accidental driver faults, but these drivers retain sufficient I/O privileges that they must still be trusted.

This paper introduces a practical mechanism for executing device drivers in user space and without privilege. Specifically, device drivers are isolated using hardware protection boundaries. Each device driver is given access only to the minimum resources and operations necessary to support the devices it controls (least privilege), thereby shrinking the TCB. A system in which device drivers have minimal privileges is easier to audit and less susceptible to Trojans in third-party device drivers.

Even in user space, device drivers execute hardware I/O operations and handle interrupts. These operations can cause device behavior that compromises the integrity or availability of the kernel or other programs. Therefore, our driver architecture introduces a global, trusted reference validation mechanism (RVM) [3] that mediates all interaction between device drivers and devices. The RVM invokes a device-specific reference monitor to validate interactions between a driver and its associated device, thereby ensuring the driver conforms to a device safety specification (DSS), which defines allowed and, by extension, prohibited behaviors.

The DSS is expressed in a domain-specific language and defines a state machine that accepts permissible transitions by a monitored device driver. We provide a compiler to translate a DSS into a reference monitor that implements the state machine. Every operation by the device driver is vetted by the reference monitor, and operations that would cause an illegal transition are blocked. The entire architecture is depicted in Figure 1.

The RVM protects the integrity, confidentiality, and availability of the system, by preventing:

- Illegal reads and writes: Drivers cannot read or modify memory they do not own.
Figure 1: Safe user-space device driver architecture.

- **Priority escalation**: Drivers cannot escalate their scheduling priority.
- **Processor starvation**: Drivers cannot hold the CPU for more than a pre-specified number of time slices.
- **Device-specific attacks**: Drivers cannot exhaust device resources or cause physical damage to devices.

In addition, given a suitable DSS, an RVM can enforce site-specific policies to govern how devices are used. For example, administrators at confidentiality-sensitive organizations might wish to disallow the use of attached microphones or cameras; or administrators of trusted networks might wish to disallow promiscuous (sniffing) mode on network cards.

One alternative to our approach for monitoring and constraining device driver behavior is to use hardware capable of blocking illegal operations. Hardware-based approaches, however, are necessarily limited to policies expressed in terms of hardware events and abstractions. An IOMMU [1, 4, 14, 23], for example, can limit the ability of devices to perform DMA transfers to or from physical addresses the associated drivers cannot read or write directly. IOMMUs, however, do not mediate aspects of driver and system safety that go beyond the memory access interface [7]; for example, an IOMMU cannot prevent interrupt livelock, limit excessively long interrupt processing, protect devices from physical harm by drivers, or enforce site-specific policies. As IOMMUs become prevalent, our approach could leverage them as hardware accelerators for memory protection.

In sum, this paper shows how to augment common memory protection techniques with device-specific reference monitors to execute drivers with limited privilege and in user space. The requisite infrastructure is small, easy to audit, and shared across all devices. Our prototype implementation demonstrates that this approach can defend against malicious drivers and that the performance costs of this enhanced security are not prohibitive.

## 2 Device I/O Model

Device drivers send commands to devices, check device status using registers, receive notification of status changes through interrupts, and initiate bulk data transfers using direct memory access (DMA). How they do so constitutes a platform’s I/O model. Our work is targeted to the x86 architecture and PCI buses; what follows is a brief overview of the I/O model on that platform. Similar features are found on other processors and buses.

Modern buses implement device enumeration and endpoint identification. Each device on a PCI bus is identified by a 16-bit vendor identifier and a 16-bit model number; the resulting 32-bit device identifier identifies the device. Some devices with different model numbers may nonetheless be similar enough to share a single driver and a single DSS. **Device enumeration** is a process for identifying all devices attached to a bus; **endpoint identification** is the process of querying a device for its type, capabilities, and resource requirements.

Device enumeration and endpoint identification typically occur at boot time. Interrupt lines and I/O registers are assigned, according to device requests, to all devices discovered. Device identifiers govern which device drivers to load. Unrecognized devices, for which no DSS is available, are ignored and are not available to drivers.

Devices have **registers**, which are read and written by drivers to get status, send commands, and transfer data. The registers comprise I/O ports (accessed using instructions like `inb` and `outb`), memory-mapped I/O, and PCI-configuration registers. Each register is identified by a **type** and an **address**. Contiguous sets of registers constitute a **range**, identified by type, base address, and limit (the number of addresses in the range). For all register types, accesses are parameterized by an address, a size, and, for writes, a value of the given size. Write operations elicit no response; read operations produce a value of the given size as a response. Both operations can cause side effects on a device.

Devices that transfer large amounts of data typically employ DMA rather than requiring a device driver to transfer each word of data individually through device registers. Before initiating a DMA transfer, the device driver typically sets a control register on the device to point to a buffer in memory. Some devices can perform DMA to or from multiple memory locations; in this case, a control register might contain a pointer to a list, ring,
or tree structure with pointers to many buffers. Device drivers using DMA transfers must first obtain from the kernel one or more memory regions with known, fixed, physical addresses.

Devices can be synchronous or asynchronous. Drivers must poll synchronous devices for completed operations or changes in status. In contrast, when a driver submits an operation to an asynchronous device, the driver yields the CPU until the device later signals its response (or other status change) by interrupting the processor. When that interrupt occurs, the operating system invokes code specified by the driver. In most cases, an interrupt must be acknowledged by a driver, or the device will continue to send the same interrupt. Interrupts can be prioritized relative to each other, but they generally occur with a high priority, preempting most other tasks.

Each device signals interrupts using a pre-assigned interrupt line. On some architectures, including the x86, interrupt lines can be shared by multiple devices. Drivers must read status registers for each of these devices to determine which specific device caused the interrupt.

Devices are assumed to be in an unknown state when an operating system boots or when a driver is loaded or reloaded. When a driver is unloaded, it unregisters its interrupt handler and releases its DMA memory. At that point, the device must be placed in a state that does not generate interrupts or use DMA.

Devices are typically forgiving about device driver timing, and device drivers are similarly forgiving about device timing. This flexibility is a necessity, because a modern multitasking operating system might be heavily loaded, implement arbitrary scheduling policies, or at times execute with interrupts disabled. In addition, devices and their drivers typically work with several processor generations, which differ in execution speed. Device registers and interrupts, rather than precise timing, are used to implement synchronization between the device and its driver so that devices and drivers behave safely and predictably despite uncertain delays.

Some drivers are divided into components or hierarchies. For example, SCSI, ATA, and USB each have a controller driver plus additional drivers for peripherals, like disks, mice, keyboards, etc. In the general case, any driver in such a driver hierarchy can issue requests and handle interrupts. Applying a reference monitor in such a driver hierarchy requires the reference monitor to securely identify attached devices, demultiplex the commands passing through the controller, and recognize the protocol used with each device—all feasible with our current language. However, in all driver hierarchies we have examined, only the device driver for the controller performs low-level I/O operations, handles interrupts, or initiates DMA transfers, and drivers for peripherals communicate with their devices through the controller driver. Hence, all communication is visible to a single reference monitor, which suffices to validate the operations of all drivers in the hierarchy.

Some devices, particularly high-performance network cards and 3-D graphics cards, support loading and executing programs (e.g., for TCP offload or vertex shading) on a processor on the device. Other devices may support loading firmware, either ephemerally or permanently. Such programs and firmware change the way the device behaves; thus, they must be trustworthy. Programs and firmware are loaded through I/O operations or DMA, both of which can be monitored. In principle, then, an RVM could authenticate device programs or firmware using signatures or other analysis. Our current DSSes do not implement these checks. Doing so would be straightforward, though designing an analysis algorithm for such updates might not be.

3 Unprivileged Driver Architecture

In our user-space driver architecture, drivers, like any other user process, are loaded from a filesystem; once loaded, they execute and can be unloaded and restarted at any time. When a driver is first loaded, it executes a system call to find a compatible device. As part of this system call execution, the RVM identifies an appropriate device and reference monitor and returns to the driver a structure describing the device ID and I/O-resource assignments. The driver then uses driver system calls (described in Section 4.3) to perform I/O operations and receive interrupts. Subsequent uses of those calls cause the RVM to invoke the reference monitor.

Reference monitors are instantiated immediately after endpoint enumeration, based on device IDs. Reference monitors persist, even if corresponding drivers are unloaded and restarted.

3.1 Security properties

Drivers are not trusted, but the RVM, reference monitors, and devices are. Moreover, reference monitors are compiled from DSSes, so DSSes and the DSS compiler must be trusted.

Some DSSes will be written by hardware manufacturers; others will be written by independent experts, including security firms or OS distributors. But independent of the source, a DSS ought to be small and declarative. Further, because they describe devices, not drivers,
there need only be one DSS per device. Hence, they are conducive to auditing.

We assume devices behave safely if given sufficiently restricted inputs. Such an assumption is inescapable, because devices can access any memory, generate arbitrary interrupts, and starve hardware buses directly.

The two sources of driver misbehavior we consider are drivers designed by malicious authors (Trojans), and drivers with bugs that can be subverted by users or remote attackers. Both are dealt with by our RVM.

The RVM prevents drivers from performing invalid reads and writes using hardware isolation and by checking driver accesses to DMA control registers.

- Hardware isolation works as with other user processes, giving each driver process direct access only to its own memory space.
- By checking that every DMA address sent to the device is allocated to the driver, the RVM prevents a device driver from using DMA for illegal reads and writes.

The RVM must also defend against a device driver that attempts to escalate its execution priority or that starves other processes and the kernel by causing large numbers of interrupts or by spending too much time in high-priority interrupt handlers. A timer driver might set too high a timer frequency, or a sound card driver might set too small a DMA buffer for playback, causing frequent notifications to be generated when the buffer becomes empty. Some of these unacceptable behaviors can be prevented when the driver is setting up the device—for example, by a reference monitor imposing a lower bound on the sound card DMA buffer size—but RVMs provide three additional protection measures. First, the RVM limits the frequency at which a driver can receive interrupts, with different limits for different types of devices. Second, the RVM limits the length of time that an interrupt handler runs. Third, the RVM ensures that each interrupt handler acknowledges every interrupt, to prevent devices from issuing additional interrupts for the same event. (The details of monitoring interrupt handlers in our Nexus implementation are described in Section 4.1.)

Finally, an RVM must prevent invocations of operations known or suspected to harm devices. Examples include: overclocking processors, sending a monitor an out-of-range refresh rate, instructing a disk to seek to an invalid location, or writing invalid data to non-volatile configuration registers. Other attacks against devices involve exhausting finite resources, such as wearing out flash memory with excessive writes or wasting battery power on mobile devices. The RVM prevents many such attacks by allowing only well-defined operations at rates presumed to be safe.

While the RVM approach is general enough to enforce rich safety properties, we do not anticipate that RVMs will be used to enforce driver semantics expected by applications. Our reference monitor implementations do not, for example, ensure that network drivers only send legal TCP packets. They also do not prevent a malicious driver from providing incorrect or incomplete access to a device (i.e. denial of service). Such protections concern end-to-end properties, hence we believe that they are best implemented above the driver level.

### 3.2 Device safety specifications (DSS)

Each DSS describes the states and transitions for a state machine and is compiled to create a reference monitor. Inputs to the reference monitor—operations executed by a driver and events from the corresponding device—are delivered serially to the reference monitor by the RVM. When an input does not correspond to an allowable transition, then the reference monitor deems it illegal, the RVM terminates the driver for the corresponding device, and the device is reset.

The state of a DSS state machine records interesting aspects of the history of operations and events. This state is defined in terms of state variables, and it often correlates with the state of the I/O device itself. Some of these state variables are explicitly defined by the program; others are implicitly defined by the RVM.

Implicitly defined state variables are given values by the RVM as a result of registration events (see Section 4.1). The implicit variables $PORTIO[i]$, $MMIO[i]$, $PCIREG[i]$, and $INTR[i]$ identify I/O registers and interrupt lines set during endpoint identification. And $MONITORED[]$ and $UNMONITORED[]$ describe two types of memory regions allocated by the driver, both of which may be used for DMA transfers. Access to a monitored memory location generates an input to the reference monitor, similar to device registers; this form of memory is used to store commands or pointers to other DMA regions. Access to an unmonitored memory location is not visible to the RVM, making unmonitored memory suitable only for DMA buffers containing data irrelevant to the DSS, such as audio samples from a sound card. Unmonitored reads and writes are considerably faster than monitored reads and writes.

Each state machine transition is specified with a predicate $P_i$ and an action $A_i$, $P_i$ is a boolean expression over events and state variables. $A_i$ is a program fragment that
modifies state variables to produce the new state. A transition that pairs a predicate \( P_i \) and an action \( A_i \) is written using the syntax \( P_i \{ A_i \}. \)

Any operation or event—though this is most useful for interrupts—can be assigned a rate limit as part of a DSS. Rate limits can be manually incorporated into transitions using counters and timers. As a convenience, the notation \( P_i < \text{rate}, \text{max}, \text{start}> \{ A_i \} \) compiles to a transition with a leaky bucket expressing a rate limit. So, the associated transition can occur at most \( \text{rate} \) times per second; bursts are allowed beyond this rate, up to \( \text{max} \) occurrences at once; when the driver starts, it has \( \text{start} \) initial capacity.

As an example, an abridged version of our DSS for the Intel i810 audio device appears in the Appendix.

4 Implementation

We instantiated our user-level device driver architecture in the Nexus trusted operating system [28], which has many similarities to traditional microkernels, including hardware-implemented process isolation. Other operating systems that support process isolation (e.g., Linux or Windows) could also host an RVM.

Our implementation of user-space, unprivileged device drivers in Nexus includes the RVM, an event interface between the RVM and the reference monitor, a system call interface by which drivers can request services from the RVM, and a mechanism for limiting driver execution time and the frequency of events. We discuss each of these below and report on our experience porting Linux kernel device drivers to Nexus user space.

4.1 Reference monitor interface in Nexus

Reference monitors define functions that the RVM calls to initialize implicit state variables and to deliver inputs to be checked. These inputs are sent in response to driver system calls and device events. Each I/O operation and event described in Section 2 causes a distinct input.

**State-variable setup.** After device enumeration and endpoint identification occur, Nexus initializes one reference monitor for each device. The implicit state variables are arrays. The RVM populates them based on the results of endpoint enumeration by calling the function `register_region` to set up I/O ports, memory-mapped I/O, and PCI configuration registers and the function `register_intr` to set up an interrupt line.

**Driver and device events.** Device drivers affect the state of the system and the reference monitor in three ways: by performing I/O, by allocating memory, or by exiting. When the driver reads or writes a register or a monitored memory location, the RVM sends `read` or `write` events to the reference monitor. After a `read` operation, the device responds with a value, generating a `read_response` event. The `read` operation can be blocked if it would cause a disallowed side effect. The `read_response` event is never blocked, and the value it conveys can be used to change state variables.

A driver can allocate memory to use for DMA, which causes the RVM to send `register_region` events with a region type of `MONITORED` or `UNMONITORED`. Finally, if the driver exits or executes an operation not permitted by the DSS, the RVM sends a `reset` event.

Devices affect reference monitor state when sending interrupts, which generate `intr` events. When an interrupt occurs, the reference monitor sets an interrupt `status` flag (each reference monitor maintains one such flag per interrupt line) to `pending`, and the RVM schedules the driver with high execution priority. The driver then has a configurable amount of time to respond to the interrupt, by checking if the interrupt was from its device, and if so, acknowledging it so the device does not generate more interrupts for the same device event. This check and acknowledgment are implemented with I/O device `read` and `write` operations; reference monitors recognize them as transitions and reset the interrupt `status` flag to `idle`. Then, the RVM lowers the driver's execution priority to its default level. If the driver does not check and acknowledge the interrupt before the allowed time has elapsed, \(^5\) the RVM infers a starvation attack, terminates the driver, and resets the device.

When an interrupt occurs on a shared line, the RVM notifies all drivers on that line. The RVM monitors the handlers to ensure that each driver checks its device’s interrupt status and acknowledges the interrupt if necessary. This approach correctly handles merged interrupts, where two or more devices generate an interrupt at the same time, as well as spurious interrupts.

4.2 Rate limiting in Nexus

A device managed by a well-behaved driver should not exceed rate limits enforced by the reference monitor. Drivers can call `driver_get_rate_limits` to learn such rate limits and can manage interrupts using a throttling mechanism provided by the device or by disabling interrupt-generating acts by the device when an interrupt would be disallowed.
The RVM could impose rate limits on uncooperative drivers directly or by terminating a driver when its associated device exceeds the limit. We implement the latter in Nexus. If an RVM can mask interrupts from each device independently (e.g., as with non-shared interrupts or edge- or message-signaled interrupts), then the RVM could limit the interrupt rate by masking interrupts that would exceed a rate limit. However, for shared, level-triggered interrupt lines, this approach delays interrupts for all drivers sharing the line. Since limits cannot be enforced by masking these interrupts, the driver associated with a device that violates rate limits must be terminated.

To ensure that rate limits are applied fairly to interrupts on shared lines, only acknowledged interrupts are counted. The RVM determines from reference monitor state how each driver handled an interrupt—by deciding it was for a different driver, or by acknowledging it.

### 4.3 System calls in Nexus

Nexus implements system calls for drivers to find a device, allocate memory, and perform I/O operations:

- **driver_init_pci(pci_ids[], &device)** is the main initialization routine. A device driver calls it to find devices and to find their I/O registers and interrupt lines. The first parameter is a list of PCI IDs the driver can manage. The `device` parameter returns a structure describing the I/O registers and interrupt lines for the driver to communicate with the device.
- **driver_allocate_memory(size, is_monitored, &v_addr, &p_addr)** allocates kernel memory for DMA buffers and returns the virtual and physical addresses to the device driver. The `is_monitored` parameter indicates if reads and writes should be checked by the reference monitor. If the allocated region is unmonitored, then the reference monitor will not allow pointers to that region to be written to registers that require monitored memory, such as DMA indices and command buffers.
- **driver_wait_for_intr(intr)** blocks the calling thread in the device driver until an interrupt arrives on the specified interrupt line. Normally, one thread in a driver runs a loop that executes this system call and runs an interrupt handler when the call returns.
- **driver_get_rate_limits()** returns rate limits for all transitions as an array of leaky bucket definitions. A driver can use this information to delay operations and interrupts so that no behavior exceeds rate limits.
- **driver_read(region, addr, len)** and **driver_write(region, addr, len, val)** read and write port I/O, memory-mapped I/O, PCI configuration registers, and monitored DMA memory.

### 4.4 Driver source compatibility

Rather than write new drivers for Nexus, we used drivers from Linux 2.4.22. Our original goal was source compatibility between these Linux drivers and Nexus user space drivers. However, the Linux drivers did not provide some of the information necessary to enforce a DSS efficiently. Moreover, small changes to driver source code promised to reduce our overall effort in porting Linux drivers to Nexus and to make the resulting Nexus drivers more efficient. So we used a hybrid approach, implementing general-purpose compatibility functions for Linux drivers and also changing Linux driver code to work better with an RVM. The compatibility functions provide user-space equivalents of global variables and functions in the Linux kernel that Linux drivers would normally access directly.

**Linux I/O operations.** Linux drivers use functions and macros for most I/O operations. Port I/O and MMIO are implemented by macros for reading and writing each valid word size. PCI register I/O is implemented using functions. For our Nexus port, we redefined these macros and functions to call **driver_read** and **driver_write**.

Linux drivers read and write DMA memory by dereferencing pointers or by calling functions like `memcpy`. We map monitored DMA memory to invalid pages so that accessing it causes page faults. A trap handler redirects these page faults to **driver_read** and **driver_write** system calls. System calls are faster than page faults (see Section 5.1), so programmers may change monitored DMA memory operations to explicit system calls wherever performance is critical.

**Linux memory allocation.** The Linux kernel provides a variety of memory allocation functions, which we redefine to call **driver_allocate_memory**, which implements the subset of memory allocation functionality needed by our drivers. The **driverAllocateMemory** call provides contiguous memory with known addresses appropriate for DMA. Memory without DMA or concurrency requirements is
allocated from the user-space heap. To provide allocation in an interrupt context without deadlocking, we implemented pre-allocated memory pools.

Memory used for DMA operations must be pinned: it must have a fixed physical address and cannot be paged to the disk. Pinned memory is more expensive to maintain and has a stricter quota than normal heap memory. While a driver can allocate DMA memory at any time, that memory is only freed when the driver exits. To allow an active driver to free DMA memory, the RVM would need to ensure the device will not access the memory in the future. Freeing DMA memory also leads to fragmentation, which makes all subsequent checks of pointers to DMA memory more expensive. We chose to allow freeing DMA memory upon driver exit (after the device has been reset) for simplicity and performance. Fortunately, in practice, all the Linux drivers we ported except the USB controller driver already behave this way; we easily modified the USB driver to do the same.

**Mutual exclusion.** Linux drivers synchronize concurrent invocations from clients using locks, which Nexus also provides. However, Linux drivers typically synchronize with devices by disabling interrupts. While interrupts are disabled, the driver cannot be interrupted by other drivers or by the kernel. But making this same functionality available for untrusted user-space drivers allows starvation attacks.

Fortunately, typical drivers need only non-reentrant code sections, which we implement by deferring the driver’s interrupts and pausing its other threads. When a driver thread enters a non-reentrant section, the Nexus scheduler marks all other threads associated with the driver as not runnable; the kernel and other processes are unaffected. Interrupts for this driver are delayed until it finishes the non-reentrant section, as they would be with interrupts disabled in hardware. In this approach, the driver does not have exclusive control of the CPU, but it avoids being called in a reentrant manner by concurrent invocations or by interrupts.

Our implementation of deferred interrupts may cause problems for drivers that require precise timing. For example, the Linux i810 sound card driver calibrates playback speed by measuring playback progress over a fixed-length period during initiation. Such precise scheduling can be viewed as a privilege that drivers do not need. We rewrote the sound driver to measure the interval over which its calibration routine ran rather than using a fixed-length period; precisely measuring time in user space requires no special privileges.

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Driver</th>
<th>Linux LoC</th>
<th>Lines changed</th>
<th>Lines added</th>
<th>DSS LoC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i810</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e1000</td>
<td>11,849</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USB UHCI</td>
<td>13,328</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USB mouse</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USB disk</td>
<td>19,767</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Lines of code in each ported Linux driver and DSS. USB mouse and disk drivers are monitored by the UHCI DSS.

### 5 Results

We implemented user-space device drivers for the i810 sound card, e1000 network card, USB UHCI controllers, USB mice, and USB disks in Nexus. Here, we quantify the performance, robustness, and complexity of these drivers, their DSSes, and the Nexus RVM.

We quantify the ease of driver porting and the auditability of DSSes by counting the number of lines of code in each DSS and the number of lines changed to port each Linux driver to Nexus. These counts are given in Figure 2. We distinguish between lines we modified in the Linux driver files and lines we added in new files. The number of changed and added lines was small, and as expected, each DSS is dramatically smaller than the corresponding driver. Our DSSes are similar in size to descriptions of network devices in Devil [25] and to the safety annotations applied to drivers in Spec# [8].

We wrote each DSS by referring to the manufacturer’s documentation about device behavior and to existing drivers. The DSS for USB UHCI was derived entirely from the documentation. The i810 and e1000 DSSes are based on documentation that describes features our drivers actually use; other features are disallowed by the DSS. Writing a DSS based on documentation that describes features our drivers actually use; other features are disallowed by the DSS. Writing a DSS based on an existing driver is tempting, but risks disqualifying other drivers that attempt different (but safe) behavior. Writing a DSS based on all features described in published documentation is more time-consuming, but in theory, it admits any legal driver. Based on our experience, we estimate the time to develop a DSS, given a working driver, manufacturer’s documentation, and familiarity with the DSS language but not with the device, as one to five days.

#### 5.1 Driver performance

To gain insight into the performance of our user-space device drivers, we tested each at idle and under load. Our test system was a 3.0 GHz Pentium 4 system dual-booting Nexus and Linux 2.4.22. For network tests, the remote host was a 2.4 GHz Athlon 64 X2 system running
Linux 2.6.22, connected over a switched, lightly loaded 1 Gbps network.

To obtain a detailed breakdown of the sources of overhead, we instrumented several versions of the e1000 network driver and the i810 sound driver:

- **Linux**: An in-kernel Linux driver.
- **Kernel**: An in-kernel Nexus driver.
- **Unsafe**: A Nexus user-space driver, but with no reference monitor. This driver has direct access to I/O and DMA.
- **Nullspec**: A monitored Nexus user-space driver but with the trivial reference monitor, which is satisfied by any sequence of events.
- **Safe**: A driver with a full reference monitor.

These driver versions specifically quantify the costs of running under Nexus (Kernel), running in user space (Unsafe), monitoring I/O and DMA operations (Nullspec), and checking operations against a specification (Safe). Overall, these drivers permit us to apportion the costs of safe user-space drivers to the various mechanisms needed to support them.

The Unsafe, Nullspec, and Safe drivers for the e1000 include some simple optimizations:

- We changed monitored DMA memory accesses from dereferences (i.e., page faults) to explicit system calls.
- We combined sequences of unconditional reads or writes into a single system call. The driver writes between 8 and 2,048 bytes in a logical operation. Normally, these are written 4 bytes at a time; we added a system call to handle a sequence as one operation.
- We stored in the driver the result of reads from a status register. The driver reads the register repeatedly to check several bits. It does not need (and is not expecting) fresh values each time. Thus, we combined several nearby reads into a single system call.

We determined where to apply these techniques by identifying code in the driver that most often called read and write system calls and caused page faults. We changed 39 lines of driver code (in less than half a day), with dramatic results: we nearly doubled the receive bandwidth and nearly tripled the packet processing rate. Figure 3 shows the effect of the optimizations when receiving 1470-byte packets. All of the measurements below also include these optimizations.

To test bulk data throughput of the e1000 driver, we sent UDP packets at 1 Gbps to and from a Linux host running Iperf [32]. We varied the size of each packet from 100 bytes to 1470, in order to find the limits of packet-processing rate and data rate. Figures 4 and 5 show the performance, in Mbps and in thousands of packets per second, for all versions of the e1000 driver. All five versions of the e1000 driver performed identically when receiving packets. The three user-space drivers—Unsafe, Nullspec, and Safe—show somewhat degraded performance when sending packets smaller than 800 bytes. The user-space drivers take longer to handle interrupts, and sending generates more interrupts than receiving because the e1000 driver receives (but does not send) many packets per interrupt under heavy load.

To measure interrupt handling times, we instrumented the interrupt handler for the i810 driver. This test uses the CPU cycle counter for nanosecond timing, with instrumentation added to the kernel’s trap function (where an interrupt is first visible to software) and to the exit point of the interrupt handler. Average interrupt processing time, over 120 samples, was 5.3 ± 0.2µs for Linux, 8.5 ± 0.2µs for Kernel, 22.1 ± 1.5µs for Unsafe, 37.9 ± 2.4µs for Nullspec, and 46.9 ± 3.8µs for Safe. So, the user-space interrupt handlers took three to five times as long as the in-kernel Nexus drivers. This slowdown is not unexpected, because user-space handlers require a scheduler invocation and two or more context switches.

A macrobenchmark for network round-trip time, which includes driver response time, is the ping command, which sends an ICMP echo request packet and receives an ICMP echo reply packet in return. The replies are normally generated by the remote kernel, resulting in low latencies. The elapsed time between sending the request and receiving the reply is the network round-trip time plus the time required for the remote host to process the request. We measured ping times from a Linux box to a Nexus box running each of the four test e1000 drivers. The average round-trip time, over 100 packets, was 103 ± 35µs for Kernel, 139 ± 41µs for Unsafe, 158 ± 55µs for Nullspec, and 156 ± 54µs for Safe.

Another important driver performance metric is the CPU time spent in drivers while performing a high-level task. To quantify this, we streamed video (with audio) over HTTP and played it using mplayer. The video averaged 1071 Kbps and lasted for 30 seconds. The re-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optimizations</th>
<th>Packets/sec</th>
<th>Throughput</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Page faults</td>
<td>43,203</td>
<td>511.6 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syscalls</td>
<td>65,074</td>
<td>753.5 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syscalls+batching+caching</td>
<td>123,328</td>
<td>947.7 Mbps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Performance effects of replacing page faults with system calls, then batching and caching groups of operations.
resulting CPU time spent in the network driver, the audio driver, and the kernel is shown in Figure 6. The CPU time spent in the Safe driver was about 2.5x the CPU time spent in the Kernel driver, which could be a limiting factor on more heavily loaded systems. A fair comparison of the CPU time of the Linux and Nexus kernel drivers was not possible, because TCP/IP time is included in the kernel in Linux and in a library in Nexus and cannot be factored out in either case. We believe that the CPU cost in Linux, ignoring the cost of TCP/IP, would be comparable to the CPU cost in the Nexus kernel.

We measured how often each driver executes basic operations and what each basic operation costs. The frequencies of memory, port I/O, MMIO, and interrupts are shown for each driver in Figure 7. All figures are the average rate per second when the driver is idle or under load, as indicated. For this test, the network load was a flood ping. Counting unmonitored memory operations (by making them monitored) makes the e1000 too slow for our tests. Hence, we estimated the rate of unmonitored memory operations for the e1000 by measuring a heavily instrumented driver under partial load, scaling its results up to what they would have been given full load. Unmonitored memory operations are anywhere from two to 100 times more frequent than monitored memory operations, depending on the driver. We measured the average cost, over 100,000 tests, of an unmonitored memory operation as 0.59ns, a monitored memory operation executed as a system call as 0.84µs, and a monitored memory operation that causes a page fault as 1.53µs. Page faults are more expensive because they must save more state and because the page fault handler must disassemble and interpret the faulting instruction.

The cost of each basic I/O operation varies relatively little. However, the cost of checking operations against
the reference monitor can vary dramatically. Figure 8 shows the cost of checking USB port I/O operations (for disk I/O) against the reference monitor. We found that 80% of the time, the cost is under 2µs. The other 20% of the time, the cost is 190µs or more. The expensive operation is a safety check, required when the value read from a certain register changes (“value changed” in Figure 8), which happens once per millisecond. Without significant optimization, this level of overhead is likely to be too high for EHCI (high-speed USB 2.0) devices, which support nominal data rates 40 times higher than UHCI.

5.2 Driver robustness

Accepted quantitative metrics for the security of a system do not exist. Nevertheless, to establish the security of our RVM and reference monitors, we used two approaches others have used. First, we simulated unanticipated malicious drivers by randomly perturbing the interactions between drivers and the RVM, resulting in potentially invalid operations being submitted to the reference monitor and possibly to the device. Second, we built specific drivers that perpetrate known attacks on the kernel using interrupt and DMA capabilities.

We simulated unanticipated malicious drivers by changing operations and operands in a layer interposed between a legal driver and the RVM. This layer modified each operation according to an independent probability of 1 in 16,384. Each operation was a read or a write; our modifications involved replacing either the address, the length, or the value (at random) with another value in the appropriate range. So, a write to an I/O port was replaced with a write to a port in the same range, a write of a different length, or a write of another value. Reads were perturbed similarly. Note, this approach does not produce repeatable experiments, because driver behavior depends on external factors like the OS scheduler and the arrival times of packets, which are not under our control.

This perturbation testing is similar to fuzz testing [26, 31], except that our code perturbed only I/O operations—not source or machine code. Fuzz testing emphasizes isolation properties, whereas we tested only properties enforced by the RVM and the reference monitor.

We applied perturbation testing to the e1000 driver. When the modifications were benign, the driver showed no apparent failures. Sometimes, the driver itself detected an error (e.g., a status register read failed a sanity check) and exited cleanly. Often, the reference monitor detected an illegal operation, and the RVM terminated the driver. Finally, our perturbations sometimes caused the driver to get out of sync with the device, after which no further packets were sent or received. This does not compromise the integrity or availability of the kernel or the device, so the RVM has no obligation here. Figure 9 summarizes the different cases encountered in our experiments. The Nullspec driver completed more tests with no apparent failure than the Safe driver did, because the reference monitor used for the Safe driver blocks all unknown behavior—even if it might be benign.

We hoped the perturbed Nullspec driver would cause kernel livelock, starvation, or a crash. In practice, how-

---

### Table: Average rate (per second) of read and write operations during steady-state operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Audio (playback)</th>
<th>Network (idle)</th>
<th>Network (load)</th>
<th>USB (idle)</th>
<th>USB (mouse)</th>
<th>USB (disk)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unmonitored mem</td>
<td>8018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>457811</td>
<td>8535</td>
<td>19159</td>
<td>223346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitored mem</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>42459</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>103374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port I/O</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interrupts</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2079</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMIO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>10586</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Figure 7: Average rate (per second) of read and write operations during steady-state operation. (* estimated result)

---

### Figure 8: Cost of executing and checking USB disk port I/O operations.

---

### Figure 9: Perturbation testing results: how the Nullspec and Safe drivers failed, if at all, in repeated tests. Nullspec testing was aborted when it damaged the device.
ever, the likelihood of causing driver crashes and stalls is much higher. The 31st run of the Nullspec test rendered the device unusable: neither the Linux nor the Nexus driver could thereafter initialize the card.\textsuperscript{10} We replaced the card, but we do not plan further perturbation testing.

In addition to perturbation testing, we wrote several malicious drivers to execute specific attacks on the kernel using the e1000’s interrupt and DMA capabilities:

- **Livelock**: The driver never acknowledges interrupts, resulting in a flood of interrupt activity and starvation for all other processes.
- **DMA kernel crash**: The driver uses the device to read to kernel memory, resulting in a system crash.
- **DMA kernel read**: The driver sends a sensitive page (e.g., containing a secret key) to a remote host.
- **Direct kernel read/write**: The driver constructs a pointer and reads or writes sensitive data directly.
- **DMA kernel code injection**: The driver points a DMA buffer pointer at system call code, then pings a remote machine with attack code.\textsuperscript{11} The response is written over the target system call implementation. The attacking driver then invokes the system call to gain control of the kernel.
- **DMA read/write to other device**: The driver uses a ping to overwrite video memory, resulting in an image appearing on the screen.

Not surprisingly, the livelock and DMA attacks succeed when run as Unsafe or Nullspec drivers, all the attacks succeed as Kernel drivers, and they are all are caught by the RVM when run in Safe mode. The livelock attack is prevented by the RVM terminating any driver that does not acknowledge the interrupt by reading the interrupt control register. The DMA attacks are prevented by the RVM terminating any driver that attempts to transmit or receive packets with any invalid addresses in the transmit or receive buffer lists. Finally, any direct attempt to read or write the memory of other drivers is blocked by hardware isolation in all modes except Kernel.

### 6 Related Work

Several existing operating systems implement device drivers in user space for isolation or modularity, but without monitoring I/O and DMA operations. Hence, these systems do not defend against malicious operations by drivers. The Michigan Terminal System\textsuperscript{9} on the IBM 360 architecture seems to be the earliest operating system to implement device drivers as user programs. Dijkstra’s THE multiprogramming system\textsuperscript{11} is organized into *levels*. Level 3 contains device drivers; level 0 implements a scheduler and the interrupt dispatch routine; level 2 implements semaphores, which are used to convey interrupts to device drivers. THE ran on hardware without memory protection, achieving modularity but not isolation. The SUE separation kernel\textsuperscript{27} organizes components, including device drivers, into isolated domains akin to hosts in a distributed system. SUE uses memory protection to restrict each driver’s access to I/O ports, but it provides no DMA or interrupt protection: DMA is excluded completely, and components are trusted to yield control after each interrupt or task switch.

L3\textsuperscript{24}, MINIX 3\textsuperscript{19}, and a modified Linux by Leslie et al.\textsuperscript{22} all implement at least some drivers in user space, allowing each driver access to a limited set of I/O ports. This approach protects against naive attacks and at least some bugs. However, all three systems allow DMA, meaning that drivers remain trusted. Leslie includes performance results, which are comparable to the throughput and CPU overhead of our Unsafe (unmonitored) drivers.

Nooks\textsuperscript{31} and Shadow Drivers\textsuperscript{30} provide hardware-based isolation and fail-over operation for drivers within the Linux kernel, to prevent accidental overwriting of kernel structures. Nooks protects against common bugs, like accidental writes to memory structures belonging to another kernel component. Program rewriting techniques, such as Software-based Fault Isolation (SFI) and its successors\textsuperscript{12, 13}, implement similar isolation properties in software. SafeDrive\textsuperscript{33} uses program annotations and lightweight run-time checks to enforce type safety and bounds checking, but is explicitly not designed to handle malicious drivers. None of these techniques restricts what I/O operations are sent to devices, though SFI could; we are pursuing this approach as future work.

Microdrivers\textsuperscript{16, 17} are a hybrid implementation of Linux device drivers, with up to 65% of the driver running in user space and only the most performance-sensitive code remaining in the kernel. Microdrivers handle network interrupts in the kernel, so they are not secure. Their performance is comparable to the performance of Nexus Unsafe drivers.

Some operating systems take steps to prevent malicious drivers from misusing I/O ports or DMA transfers. Mungi\textsuperscript{23} (on Alpha and Itanium platforms) and Scomp\textsuperscript{14} (on custom hardware) use an IOMMU for DMA protection. Singularity\textsuperscript{21, 29} enforces type-safe interactions between drivers and devices. Originally, this type safety meant unmediated access to a restricted set of ports and memory. Singularity now relies on IOMMUs to validate DMA operations, and it does not limit
interrupt rates. DROPS [18] anticipated the need for device-specific DMA monitoring prior to IOMMUs on commodity hardware.

Some safety properties can be checked statically, given rich enough rule sets or program annotations. SLAM [5] uses static rules to detect incorrect calls to the Windows driver API, but it does not enforce properties specific to any particular device. In contrast, Bierhoff and Hawblitzel extend Singularity to enforce stateful properties in SPEC# [8] much like the DMA checks in our DSSes. Static verification cannot enforce some properties a reference monitor can, especially timing properties.

Virtual machine monitors (VMMs) sometimes use drivers running in a guest operating system to control devices, instead of virtualizing all devices with drivers in the VMM. These pass-through drivers are inherently safe for some devices, such as USB peripherals, but not for other devices, such as disks or network cards. Xen [6, 15] puts some device drivers in driver domains, which are protected against most crashes but not against malicious behavior; hence, driver domains are trusted.

7 Conclusion

In traditional monolithic and microkernel operating systems, every flaw in a device driver is a potential security hole given the absence of mechanisms to contain the (mis)behavior of device drivers. We have applied the principle of least privilege to Nexus device drivers by creating an infrastructure to run these drivers in user space and by filtering their I/O operations through a reference validation mechanism (RVM). The RVM is independent of drivers and devices; device-specific information is gathered into a device safety specification (DSS) that we compile into a reference monitor. The RVM consults the reference monitor before allowing each I/O operation; any disallowed operation results in the offending driver being terminated.

An obvious question is whether or not the attacks our RVM prevents are realistic. We do not know of malicious drivers “in the wild” that use a device to escalate their privileges, although we have built several of them. The reason such drivers are not yet a real threat is probably that production systems run most drivers in the kernel and in the TCB, where violating security properties can be done directly. Systems with drivers in user space are increasingly common and will inspire attacks through devices. Our RVM and DSS can prevent these attacks.
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**Notes**

1. Some drivers, such as the clock, provide functionality needed for defining or enforcing security policies. These device drivers remain part of the TCB no matter where they execute.

2. In our experience, these identifiers are sufficient. Three additional PCI ID fields are available, but our DSS selection code does not depend on them.

3. As an extension to our work, we have considered a composite approach to writing DSSes: the composite DSS is derived from the controller DSS and an auxiliary DSS for each attached device.

4. Some predicates and actions are too complex to write in terms of the simple syntax currently supported by our DSS language, where user-defined state variables must be scalars, and predicates cannot be recursive. The DSS compiler therefore supports embedded blocks of $C$, coded as \texttt{C:{...}}, appearing in predicates and in actions. Within an embedded $C$ block, it is possible to nest an embedded block of DSS code, e.g., to use an identifier or an operator not available in $C$. Our syntax was inspired by $Java$ and $C$ nesting in Jeannie [20].

5. This timeout is the only input to the reference monitor that does not come from either the driver or the device. It comes from the kernel.

6. Linux 2.4.22, though not current, is the version on which parts of Nexus are based. We used drivers from this version of Linux to simplify implementation.

7. This technique would be both correct and efficient on multiprocessor systems, although Nexus does not yet run on multiprocessors.

8. We also tried higher and lower probabilities, resulting in more and fewer errors than reported here.

9. The RVM does not attempt to prevent incorrect or incomplete service (see Section 3.1).

10. Would the reference monitor have prevented the damage if it had been enabled for that test? We cannot be sure due to the inherent nondeterminism of peripheral devices, but we believe it would have. We ran 1200 reference-monitored tests with no damage to the device.

11. The e1000 can retrieve any physical memory location by DMA and send it as a network packet, or it can overwrite any physical memory location with the contents of incoming packets. It cannot directly transfer one memory page to another. To get around this, we use ping packets; most other hosts will echo a packet with arbitrary contents, which enables us to copy from one local memory location to another by way of a remote host.

**Appendix: DSS Example**

The following is an abridged version of our DSS for the Intel i810 audio device. It defines the device ID, followed by the state variables and a reset routine. A \texttt{NAMES} section then introduces labels for the various events associated with I/O register operations and interrupts. Finally, a \texttt{TRANSITIONS} section defines the allowed transitions for the state machine. By default, upon receipt of an input, all transitions are checked, and actions are applied (in unspecified order) for each satisfied predicate. Inside an \texttt{ordered} block, transitions are checked sequentially only until a predicate is matched; at most one action is applied inside the block. Several transitions in this DSS have empty actions—they accept an input without changing the state of the state machine.
```plaintext
hardware: “PCI:8086:24d5”;  // Define a monitored region to contain DMA descriptors.
monitored region SRING_DMA;
const SRING_LEN = 8 * 32;
var DMA_ENABLED = 0;  // Define a state variable: true when device DMA is active.
reset: C:{
// Restore device to state with no DMA or interrupts.
    outb(0, PORTIO[1].base + SCONTROL_OFFSET);  // Turn off playback DMA.
    while(inb(PORTIO[1].base + SCONTROL_OFFSET) != 0);  // Wait for acknowledgment.
    DMA_ENABLED = 0;
}

//**************** NAMES *******************
// Each line maps write, read, and read_response operations on a register (address, size) to a logical name.
// Syntax: <offset, length> -- write, read, read_response name;

names for PORTIO[1], MMIO[1]:
// Writes to base+0x10 with size=4 are known as write_playback_dma_base.
<0x10, 4> -- write_playback_dma_base($VAL), safe, safe;
<0x16, 1> -- write_status($VAL), safe, read_response_status($VAL);
<0x1b, 1> -- write_control($VAL), safe, safe;  // Reading the control register is always allowed.

names for SRING_DMA mod 8:
<0x00, 4> -- write_descriptor_base($ADDR, $VAL), safe, safe;
<0x04, 4> -- write_descriptor_len($ADDR, $VAL), safe, safe;  // offsets 4, 12, 20, ...

names for INTR[0]:
* -- i810_intr;  // The only interrupt is named i810_intr.

//**************** TRANSITIONS ******************
// Syntax: P_i { A_i }  // Modifying the DMA base register is only allowed if DMA is not running and the address points to monitored memory.
write_playback_dma_base(val) && DMA_ENABLED == 0 && exists($MONITORED[i]) suchthat
    range(val, SRING_LEN) in $MONITORED[i] { SRING_DMA = range(val, SRING_LEN); }

// Starting DMA is allowed only when the DMA base register points to 32 pointers to pinned, unmonitored memory.
write_control(val) && (val & 0x01) == 1 && SRING_DMA != null && (forall(k) = 0..31 (exists($UNMONITORED[j])
    suchthat range(fetch(SRING_DMA.base + 8*k, 4), fetch(SRING_DMA.base + 8*k+4, 2)) in $UNMONITORED[j]))
    { DMA_ENABLED = 1; }
write_control(val) && (val & 0x01) == 0 { DMA_ENABLED = 0; }

// Changing DMA descriptors is legal if DMA is inactive, or if the modified entry points to pinned, unmonitored memory.
write_descriptor_base(addr, val) && DMA_ENABLED == 0 {}  // In an “ordered” block, transitions are checked only until the first match.
write_descriptor_base(addr, val) && (DMA_ENABLED != 0) &&
    (exists($UNMONITORED[j]) suchthat range(val, fetch(addr + 4, 2)) in $UNMONITORED[j]);
write_descriptor_len(addr, val) && DMA_ENABLED == 0 {}  
write_descriptor_len(addr, val) && (DMA_ENABLED != 0) &&
    (exists($UNMONITORED[k]) suchthat range(fetch(addr - 4, 4), bits(val, 0..15)) in $UNMONITORED[k]);

// The i810 interrupt acknowledgment protocol: first, the driver checks if the interrupt came from i810 by reading status bits 2..4;
// then, if so, acknowledges it by writing status bits 2.4.  // i810 is not asserting an interrupt.
ordered {  
    read_response_status(val) && bits(val, 2..4) == 0 { $INTR[0].status = idle; }  
    read_response_status(val) {}  // Otherwise interrupt is still pending.
}
write_status(val) && bits(val, 2..4) != 0 { $INTR[0].status = idle; }  // Acknowledging interrupts is legal.

i810_intr <16, 1, 1> {}  // Interrupt is rate-limited to 16 per second, no bursts.
```