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Lymphedema is a common complication of primary breast cancer therapy. It is a chronic, insidiously progressive, and potentially devastating condition. Radiation increases patients' lymphedema risk as conventional fields encompass functioning lymphatics. Fusion imaging technologies that combine anatomical and physiological data may identify these lymphatics and allow tailoring of radiation fields to minimize radiation exposure while preserving regional tumor control. This study uses SPECT scanning to localize lymphatics critical for arm drainage after surgical removal of axillary lymph nodes. The study has established the feasibility of using SPECT images to identify critical lymph nodes on high resolution CT scans used in radiation simulation. Furthermore the study has demonstrated that this technique allows precise quantification of radiation dosimetry delivered to critical lymph nodes for arm drainage. The study will test the hypothesis that increased arm volume correlates with high levels of radiation dosimetry delivered to critical lymph nodes. The fact that higher doses of radiation and larger radiation ports are associated with an increased incidence of lymphedema (volume $\uparrow > 150\text{ml}$), particularly severe lymphedema (volume $\uparrow > 400\text{ml}$), supports this hypothesis. The proposed study realizes the BCRP goals by elucidating a novel means of refining breast cancer treatment to minimize patients' risk of developing the most prevalent and dreaded complication of conventional therapy, lymphedema.
**Introduction:**

This aim of this award involves completion of a prospective cohort study to determine whether radiation dosimetry delivered to lymphatics essential for arm drainage correlates with increased arm volume. Lymphedema is the number one survivorship issue in breast cancer (American Cancer Society). Affected patients experience diminished quality of life and are more likely to develop social, vocational, psychological and functional decline (Maunsell, Passik). Current imaging approaches, e.g. SPECT scanning, may permit the precise localization of lymphatics critical for arm draining after axillary surgery (Czerniecki, Joensuu, Witte). Fusion of SPECT images with CT scans used in radiation simulation offers the potential to precisely quantify radiation dosimetry to lymphatics (Chao). Quantification allows testing of the hypothesis that increased radiation exposure will correlate with increased arm volume (Liljegren, Meek). Testing of this hypothesis and establishing the feasibility of SPECT-CT fusions are requisite initial steps in the development of radiation planning techniques that exclude lymphatics critical for arm drainage, thereby reducing lymphedema risk.

This research project combines funding from two Department of Defense awards: DAMD17-00-1-0649 and DAMD17-03-1-0622. Completion of the proposed work was interrupted by Dr. Cheville’s transition from the University of Pennsylvania Health System to the Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, and by her pregnancy. Currently, data collection continues at the University of Pennsylvania Health System as described in the report. As outlined in the previous Annual Report, the research team encountered unanticipated difficulty recruiting patients and fusing SPECT/CT images with the radiation planning CT scans due to DICOM software incompatibility. Nonetheless, the team was able to manually determine spatial coordinates of lymph nodes critical for arm drainage on SPECT/CT scans and apply these coordinates to the radiation planning CT scans. Identification of these lymph nodes permitted precise quantification of their radiation exposure. While this achievement is novel with important treatment implications, it fell short of the study’s original goals.

Dr. Cheville’s transition to the Mayo Clinic has created exciting opportunities not only to realize the study’s initial goals, but to expand them. The availability of facilities, technology, and personnel at the Mayo Clinic can work concertedly to bring the study’s results closer to widespread clinical implementation. Technological progress has occurred since the project’s inception with important implications for this work. A new generation of SPECT/CT scanners and enhanced radiation treatment capacity can be incorporated into continuation of the work at the Mayo Clinic thereby potentiating the projects’ goal of substantially reducing breast cancer patients’ risk of developing lymphedema. Relevant Mayo Clinic-based assets include;

1. Enhanced DICOM software which will allow computer-based fusion of SPECT/CT scans and CT scans generated for radiation simulation.
2. A new generation Phillips Precedence SPECT/CT scanner which produces high resolution anatomic images that allow precise localization of physiologically important structures, e.g. lymph nodes.

3. Commitment by the clinical staff within the Department of Radiation Oncology for intensive and coordinated patient recruitment.

4. Availability of dedicated treatment planning workstations and 10% effort of a radiation physicist in the Department of Radiation Oncology to develop SPECT/CT-informed radiation treatments.

These unique assets will allow the rapid generation of actionable data and clinical deliverables. In order to take full advantage of these circumstances and to make up for the disappointing recruitment rates at the University of Pennsylvania Health System, Dr. Cheville has obtained approval to recruit additional breast cancer patients at the Mayo Clinic into the protocol outlined in her original proposal. Significantly more up-to-date imaging techniques and radiation planning capacity will be utilized. The proposed project will collect critical data which was inaccessible at University of Pennsylvania Health System due to logistical and technological barriers that were unforeseeable during the project’s early planning stages, namely:

1. The inability to fuse SPECT/CT and XRT simulation images because of DICOM software incompatibility between the Departments of Nuclear Medicine and Radiation Oncology.
2. Resistance from Radiation Oncologists to approach patients for potential study participation.
3. The sole availability of a low resolution General Electric Hawkeye SPECT/CT scanner.
4. Lack of dedicated resources to quantify alterations in lymph node dosimetry achievable through SPECT/CT-informed planning.

By expanding the research initiated at the University of Pennsylvania Health System through additional subject recruitment at the Mayo Clinic the following goals can be realized:

1. Refinement of the imaging techniques required for optimal software-based fusion
2. Demonstration of the presence of infrastructure and processes required for successful execution of a large-scale interventional trial.
3. Precise quantification of the reduction in lymph node radiation exposure achievable through SPECT/CT-based alteration of both standard radiation tangents and IMRT treatment plans
4. Characterization of subject recruitment success and formulation of recruitment strategies targeting minorities and other potentially under-represented subgroups.
**Body:**

**Task 1.** Conduct a prospective cohort study to estimate the risk of lymphedema associated with radiation dosimetry to lymph node critical for arm drainage. (Months 1-36)

*a. Subject enrollment*

Thirty seven subjects have enrolled in the study. This is 13 subjects less than the initial recruitment goal of 50 subjects. Subject recruitment was delayed by the need for the approval of three regulatory bodies; the USAMRMC Review Board, the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Review Board, and the Abramson Family Cancer Institute Clinical Trials Committee. Recruitment was further delayed by the need to determine the optimal amount of radiolabeled tracer for subdermal injection, upper extremity injection sites, and interval between tracer injection and SPECT scanning. Recruitment was further impeded by the reluctance of Radiation Oncologists at the University of Pennsylvania Health System to refer all patients for protocol evaluation.

An interim power analysis with updated variance data indicates that with 37 subjects and a two-sided $\alpha$ of .05, we can detect a 4.7% change in inter-limb volume discrepancy with 80% power, a 5.5% discrepancy with 90% power, and a 7.4% discrepancy with 99% power. Each of these limb volume discrepancies is far smaller than the 15% difference which is generally considered clinically significant. Therefore, we are adequately powered with 37 subjects to address the specific aims outlined in the initial proposal. Given the cost to subjects of time and energy, in the face of little associated personal benefit, no additional subject recruitment is necessary or ethically defensible.

*b. Data collection*

Complete 12-month data has been collected on 31 subjects. It is anticipated that data collection will be complete by 05/01/08.

c. *Institutional Review Board approval*

Approvals for the study have been obtained and appropriately renewed from the USAMRMC Review Board, the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Review Board, and the Abramson Family Cancer Institute Clinical Trials Committee.

d. *Data Entry*
A Microsoft Access database has been constructed which includes subjects’ sociodemographic and cancer treatment-related variables. The database contains missing values which will require further chart extraction to remedy. Complete data will be entered by 05/01/08.

e. Data Analysis
Descriptive statistics of cancer treatment-related, SPECT scan and dosimetry results have been calculated for preparation of platform and poster presentations. Almost 50% (18) of the enrolled subjects had sentinel lymph node dissections alone, while the other 50% underwent ≥ 2-level surgical axillary clearing. Sixteen subjects (53%) had right-sided breast cancer. Thirteen subjects (43%) underwent modified radical mastectomies, while seventeen (57%) elected for breast conservation therapy. Thirteen patients (43%), a slightly different subgroup, received radiation to breast tangents while the remaining subjects received four field) irradiation tangents, posterior axillary boost, and supraclavicular fields).

The number of lymph nodes (LNs) visualized ranged from 1-10 with a mean of 3 LNs/patient distributed through out axillary and supraclavicular LN beds. No LNs were visualized in 3 patients (8.1%). We suspect this may reflect a technical error rather than true absence of LNs. None of the three patients experienced arm, breast, or axillary swelling. Level I nodes were visualized in the lateral axilla in 62.5% of cases and in the medial axilla in 68.8% of cases. Level II/III nodes were detected in 50% of patients. Supraclavicular lymph nodes were visualized in 56.3% of cases.

Dosimetry measurements in the 22 subjects that have been carefully analyzed indicate that the LNs draining the arm frequently receive the full prescribed radiation isodose (46 – 50 Gy) irrespective of location. Sixty seven LNs were identified among 22 subjects, for a mean of 3.05 LN per subject. The mean radiation dose per LN was 28.47 (SD 22.01). The distribution of LN dosimetry is graphically illustrated (Figure 1) by radiation treatment groups. LNs in subjects undergoing 4-field (35.72 Gray) versus breast tangent (19.54 Gray) radiation, on average, received significantly greater dosimetry (p=0.0001, ttest). However, in 63.3% of subjects treated with breast tangents, at least 1 LN

![Figure 1](image-url)
Subjects who had undergone two-level axillary dissections were more likely to have >4 LNs identified on CT-SPECT (p = 0.006, $X^2$). This finding is physiologically relevant. It has been long appreciated that roughly 40% of breast cancer patients who undergo aggressive treatment, e.g. modified radical mastectomy, full surgical axillary LN clearing, and four-field irradiation, do not develop lymphedema. The mechanism by which the lymphatic system compensates for extensive lymph node loss has remained obscure. Our results suggest that collateral drainage pathways involving multiple LNs develop after surgical removal of the LNs congenitally ‘assigned’ to drain the arm. This finding is clinically relevant since it supports the need to develop clinical strategies to enhance lymphatic collateralization during and immediately following primary breast cancer treatment.

**Figure 2**
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*f. Manuscript Preparation*

The results of this study are relevant to audiences from different medical disciplines including nuclear medicine, radiation physics and oncology, and lymphology. For this reason three manuscripts with separate emphases have been prepared. The first describing the lymph node mapping and SPECT scanning techniques has been submitted to the Clinical Nuclear Medicine (Appendix A). The second manuscript describes the SPECT and simulation CT image fusion strategy used for quantification of radiation dosimetry for a radiation oncology audience. This paper reports radiation doses delivered to LN critical for arm drainage following breast cancer surgeries. It is the first report to describe delivery of potentially harmful radiation doses to essential normal tissues in LN negative breast cancer patients. The second manuscript has been submitted to the International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics. The third manuscript describes how our findings support current unsubstantiated beliefs regarding the collateralization of lymph pathways following surgical resection of axillary nodes. This manuscript is in preparation.
**Task 2.** Conduct a prospective cohort study to quantify the reduction in dosimetry delivered to critical lymph nodes using SPECT/CT-informed radiation treatment planning. (Months 55-67)

**g. Subject enrollment**
Subject enrollment will begin on 05/01/08 and continue for 9 months given an anticipated recruitment rate of 4 subjects per month.

**h. Data collection**
Data collection forms have been constructed. Data collection will begin with study recruitment on 05/01/08.

**i. Institutional Review Board approval**
Approvals for the study have been obtained and appropriately renewed from the USAMRMC Review Board, the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board, and the Mayo Clinic Cancer Center Protocol Committee.

**j. Data Entry**
A designated data base has constructed. Data entry will start when subject recruitment begins on 05/01/08 and remain ongoing until trial completion.

**k. Data Analysis**
Not yet initiated

**l. Manuscript Preparation**
Not yet initiated.
**Key Research Accomplishments**

1. Development of mapping strategy to identify LN essential for arm drainage after surgical axillary LN removal for primary breast cancer.

2. Precise anatomic localization of LNs draining the arm using eINTEGRA SPECT scanning.

3. Accurate quantification of radiation dosimetry delivered to LN essential for arm drainage following surgical manipulation of the axillary LN bed (e.g. sentinel LN biopsy or 2-level axillary clearing).

4. Construction of individually tailored fields that minimize radiation exposure to the LNs draining the arm using conventional intensity modulated radiation therapy techniques.

5. Discovery of the evidence supporting lymphatic collateralization following removal of LNs congenitally predisposed to drain the arm.
Reportable Outcomes


2. Presentation of Grand Rounds to the Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation at the Medical College of Wisconsin. June, 2006


4. Poster presentation at the European Society of Therapeutic Radiation Oncology meeting in October, 2006.


Conclusion

Work to date has established that LNs draining the arm after surgical manipulation of the axilla in the context of primary breast cancer can be localized using eINTGRA SPECT scanning. The radiation dose delivered to LNs can be quantified by fusing eINTGRA SPECT images with radiation simulation CT scan images. This work creates the possibility of constructing radiation fields that minimize dosimetry to LNs draining the arm and reduces patients’ risk of developing lymphedema. Customized radiation fields may be considered for patients with ‘low risk’ breast cancers (e.g. small tumor, hormone receptor positive, benign histopathological characteristics, and negative sentinel LNs). At this point the association between reduced LN dosimetry and reduced lymphedema risk remains theoretical. Complete data collection will allow empiric evaluation of the proposed association. The fact that more LNs were visualized in patients who underwent ≥2-level axillary clearing suggests that lymph collateralization is an important means of re-establishing lymphatic homeostasis. This finding justifies the development of techniques to enhance this endogenous compensatory mechanisms.

Dr. Cheville’s transition to the Mayo Clinic creates the opportunity to expand this research by recruiting 30 additional subjects who can be scanned using an enhanced Phillips Precedence SPECT/CT scanner. Further, the availability of DICOM software compatibility creates the possibility of performing computer-based fusion of SPECT/CT and radiation planning CTs. Computer-based fusion with dramatically enhance the rapidity and accuracy of creating SPECT/CT-informed standard treatment and IMRT plans.
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**ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** Arm lymphedema, a frequent complication of breast cancer treatment, may be prevented by minimizing the irradiation of critical lymph nodes (LN). Conventional imaging techniques cannot localize LN.
with sufficient precision for image-guided radiation planning. However, SPECT/CT may have this capacity, allowing for contoured, LN sparing-radiation with minimal lymphedema risk.

**Methods:** Prior to radiation therapy, thirty-two consecutive patients with breast cancer underwent scanning with a hybrid camera which combined a dual-head SPECT camera and a low-dose, single slice CT scanner, (GE Hawkeye®) after injection of 0.5 mCi of filtered 99mTc-sulfur colloid into their hands and forearms. The number of visualized LNs, LN locations (e.g. lateral/medial axilla, or supraclavicular area), and each LN’s maximum counts and total uptake were recorded. SPECT/CT derived coordinates were used to map LN locations onto the 3D radiation treatment planning system to quantify radiation dose.

**Results:** A mean of 3.4 (SD 2.0) lymph nodes were detected on 32 scans. More lateral axillary LNs were detected following sentinel lymph node biopsy, and more supraclavicular nodes were detected following axillary clearing (p < 0.001). SPECT-CT derived LN coordinates were successfully mapped onto radiation simulation CT scans to quantify LN dosimetry. Fifty six percent of subjects with LN negative cancers received >40Gy to ≥ 1 LN, while 25% of these subjects received >40 Gy to all visualized LNs.

**Conclusions:** SPECT/CT fusion images precisely localize the LNs crucial for arm drainage and can be utilized in image-guided radiation planning to minimize LN irradiation and lymphedema risk.
**INTRODUCTION**

Localization of lymph nodes (LN) draining the arm may prevent lymphedema by sparing functionally vital tissues during radiation therapy for breast cancer. The benefits of LN mapping are evident in the improved outcomes achieved with sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) procedures. LN mapping in this context permits identification of patients whose LNs are free of metastases and do not require further axillary surgery. Unfortunately, the LNs spared by SLNB procedures may be aggressively irradiated and rendered dysfunctional by conventional radiation therapy. Developing the capacity to localize LNs that drain critical territories (e.g. the upper extremity and truncal quadrant) represents an essential first step if these nodes are to be spared during radiation for primary breast cancer.

Current LN imaging techniques offer insufficient information to reliably guide radiation planning. Gamma camera-based lymphoscintigraphy images lymph vessels and nodes, and provides information about their drainage territories. However, scintigraphic images lack anatomical landmarks and therefore cannot be used to accurately determine LN locations. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging, on the other hand, provide exquisite detail of LNs’ anatomical surroundings, but offer no information about their drainage territories or physiological relevance. Thus, lymphoscintigraphy provides functional information that compliments the structural detail of CT and MR scans. Fusion imaging, e.g. SPECT-CT, combines the advantages of both anatomical and functional imaging in a single examination such that structures with functional or pathophysiological relevance can be placed in their anatomic context. Fusion imaging can therefore be of incremental value in refining patient management to a degree not possible with the use of lymphoscintigraphy or CT/MRI alone.

Radiation therapy plays a pivotal role in the combined modality treatment of breast cancer. Similar to SLNB procedures, radiation treatments should ideally spare aesthetically- and functionally-important structures to improve outcomes and patients’ quality of life. Advances in image-guided precision radiotherapy [e.g. intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT)] have made significant strides towards this goal. Such approaches offer sufficient precision to maximally spare normal tissue while delivering adequate dosimetry to disease sites. Image-guided precision radiotherapy has remained limitedly integrated into breast cancer treatment. However, SPECT-CT and other fusion techniques may offer incentive to move in this direction by supplying the information needed to create contoured radiation fields that spare LNs and other vital normal tissues. Such LN sparing would represent a meaningful advance in the prevention of lymphedema, a highly morbid, chronic and common sequelae of breast cancer treatment.
To explore the potential contribution of fusion imaging to radiation planning, we investigated a novel approach which combines the output of a dual-head SPECT camera and a low-dose, single slice CT scanner, (GE Hawkeye®). This prospective study was designed to establish proof of concept that SPECT/CT fusion scanning can localize LNs draining the arm and generate images of sufficient precision to allow lymphatic sparing during radiation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Population**

Patients with histologically confirmed breast cancer who were candidates for external beam radiation therapy were screened for study enrollment. Patients with prior histories of breast cancer, ductal carcinoma in situ, Stage IV breast cancer, positive pregnancy tests, renal insufficiency, and lymphedema were excluded. Patients with localized disease were deemed eligible irrespective of the stage of the disease. All eligible patients had undergone either modified radical mastectomy (MRM) or lumpectomy, and sentinel lymph node biopsy and/or surgical axillary LN clearing. Prior chemo- or hormonal therapy was not considered an exclusion criterion. Eligible subjects were approached within one month prior to radiation simulation, with the majority of subjects being approached the day of simulation. Among the 215 subjects screened for participation, 59 (27.4%) were ineligible, 120 (55.8%) declined to participate, and 36 (16.7%) were enrolled. Study participants did not differ in demographic or cancer-related variables from non-participants. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) and was HIPPA compliant. Prior to enrollment, all participating subjects carefully reviewed and signed the IRB-approved consent form. The study results did not influence subjects’ planned radiation treatment as SPECT/CT results were reviewed following the completion of radiation therapy in all cases.

**Image Acquisition**

On the day of the study, patients underwent intradermal injection of $^{99m}$Tc-sulfur colloid (CIS-US, Bedford, MA) at two sites by an experienced nuclear medicine technologist. Radiopharmaceutical preparation took place under a strict protocol using a 0.22 micron filter to ensure small particle size. Patients received 2 injections of 18.5 MBq (0.5 mCi) in 0.25mL in the upper extremity ipsilateral to their breast cancer. The first injection was made into the 2nd dorsal inter-digital webspace, and the second into the medial epicondyle of the humerus. Subjects were given no direction regarding upper extremity activity during the interval between tracer injection and the imaging procedure. The injection-to-imaging time interval varied from 5 to 8.5 hours depending upon logistics and patient convenience.
In order to conform integration of SPECT/CT scans to the radiation planning simulation CT scans, patients were positioned with their shoulders abducted to 135° and externally rotated to 90° during image acquisition. Positioning of patients’ shoulders and arms during SPECT/CT scanning closely approximated that used during radiation treatments.

SPECT/CT images were obtained by using a dual-head Millennium VG gamma camera (GE Healthcare, Waukesha WI) with Hawkeye single-slice CT. For the SPECT acquisition, counts from a 20% wide energy window centered at 140 keV were acquired into a 128 x 128 matrix (pixel size, 4.42 x 4.42 mm). Camera heads were separated by 180° and 120 18-s frames were acquired in step and shoot mode at 3° per step angular sampling over 360° (60x2). The camera heads were equipped with high-resolution low-energy parallel-hole collimators (VPC-45). The CT scanning parameters included 140 kVp (range 120 – 140 kVp), a 13.6-s rotation time, and a 1x10 mm collimation. The tube current was 2.5 mA (the maximum available) for CT scanning.

Reconstruction was performed iteratively on an eNTEGRA workstation (GE Healthcare, Waukesha WI) using ordered-subsets expectation maximization (OSEM) with 2 iterations and 10 subsets and CT-based attenuation correction. Images were then smoothed with a 3D postfilter (Hanning 0.5, 10).

Image Data Analysis
The SPECT/CT scans were reviewed interactively at a viewing station in 3D-scroll-through mode by a nuclear medicine physician experienced in lymph node mapping, a medical physicist from radiation oncology, and a physiatrist expert in upper extremity lymph drainage pathways. Each SPECT/CT scan was evaluated for the total number of visualized LNs and their locations. LNs were localized to the lateral axilla, medial axilla, or supraclavicular bed by comparing their positional relationship to the coracoid process, sternum and clavicle.

Calculation of LN coordinates for radiation dosimetry
The DICOM format exported by the SPECT/CT system could not be used directly in the Oncentra treatment planning system (Nucletron, Veenendaal, Netherlands), so image fusion and co-registration was not directly possible in this analysis. Instead, each visible lymph node in the SPECT/CT image was numbered and its x, y, and z coordinates were recorded. The SPECT/CT-derived coordinates were then used to map LN locations onto our 3D treatment planning system utilizing CT-CT image fusion (CT from radiation therapy simulation and CT from SPECT/CT). Calculation of LN coordinates was generally performed following completion of radiation. Radiation dosimetry was not altered on the basis of SPECT/CT data. Patients treatment plans were retrospectively utilized to estimate the radiation dose to each LN based on the treatment technique; number of
treatment fields: 2-fields (tangential breast fields), 3-fields (tangents + supraclavicular field), or 4-fields (3-fields + posterior axillary boost); beam energy; wedges; beam weights and other parameters used for treatment planning.

**Statistical Analysis**

A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant and all tests were 2-tailed. T-tests and χ² tests were used for continuous and binary variables, respectively. A nonparametric equality of medians test was used to analyze LN counts between groups. Subgroup analysis of progressive decrease or increase in the number of LNs across LN sites (e.g. lateral axilla, medial axilla, and supraclavicular LN bed) was performed with a nonparametric test for trend across ordered groups. Linear regression analyses were performed to determine whether subject body mass index (BMI) [body weight (kg) divided by height (m²)] or the time elapsed between tracer injection and SPECT/CT scanning was associated with total LN activity or the number of LNs visualized on SPECT/CT images. Skewness was detected in the distribution of both number of surgically resected and SPECT/CT imaged LNs. Logarithmic transformations were performed prior to performing regression analyses. Analyses were adjusted for number of LNs removed and type of axillary surgery. All analyses were performed using STATA v9.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station Texas; www.stata.com).

**RESULTS**

Subject demographic and cancer treatment-related variables are listed in Table 1. Mean subject age was 54.4 (SD 15.4) years. Fifty-two percent of patients were Caucasian, 39% Afro-American, and 9% Hispanic. Mean subject BMI was 28.3 (SD 5.4). Prior to SPECT/CT scanning a majority of the cohort underwent lumpectomies (58.3%) and the remainder underwent MRM (41.7%). Forty-seven percent of the subjects underwent SLNB alone, and the rest of the patients underwent surgical axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) of levels I-II or I-III. A mean of 8.7 (SD 7.5) axillary LNs were resected per subject. Significantly fewer LNs were removed in subjects who underwent SLNB alone than ALND, mean 2.8 (SD 1.5) versus 14.6 (SD 6.3) (p<0.0001).

Of the 32 patients scanned, a mean of 3.4 (SD 2.0) LNs were identified per subject. SPECT/CT images from 2 subjects are presented in Figures 1 and 2. Significant intra-subject variation was noted in LN number and location. Table 2 details LN locations in the entire cohort and among subgroups defined by axillary surgical technique. Subjects who underwent SLNB had fewer visualized LNs (mean 2.9, SD 1.5) than subjects who underwent ALND (mean 3.8, SD 2.4), however this difference did not reach statistical significance. The absolute number and percentage of total LNs located at the axillary and supraclavicular sites was influenced by axillary surgery. Table 2 lists the percentage of total LNs and absolute number of LNs by anatomic site for the entire cohort, as well as for subgroups based on axillary surgery. A statistically significant trend was detected
with greater numbers of lateral axillary LNs being noted among SLNB subjects and greater number of supraclavicular LNs among ALND subjects (p < 0.001). Analyses failed to demonstrate significant associations between subjects’ BMI or injection-SPECT/CT scanning interval and LN number or location.

Mapping of SPECT/CT-derived LN spatial coordinates onto the CT scans utilized for radiation simulation permitted quantification of dosimetry. As illustrated in Figure 3, LN radiation dose displayed a bimodal pattern with 70% of LNs receiving either greater than 45 Gy or less than 5 Gy. Mean radiation exposure did not significantly differ between locations; lateral axilla 26.2 (SD 22.8) Gy, medial axilla 30.0 (SD 20.4) Gy, and supraclavicular bed 26.7 (SD 23.0) Gy. Table 3 groups radiation dosimetry into 10 Gy intervals and lists the number of LNs and subjects that fall within each interval. Nine of 16 subjects with LN negative breast cancer (56%) received over 40Gy to at least one LN. In 4 of these subjects all visualized LNs received over 40Gy, a dose associated with lymphatic compromise. Among the entire study cohort, 11 of 32 subjects (34%) received 40 Gy to all visualized LNs. A post-treatment simulated IMRT planning process in patients with negative LNs allowed significant radiation dose reduction to visualized LNs while ensuring adequate treatment of the tumor bed.

**DISCUSSION**

Although various methods have been employed to image LNs, this study, to our knowledge, represents the first attempt to utilize SPECT/CT fusion imaging to localize LNs draining the arm after surgery for primary breast cancer. Our findings indicate that SPECT/CT images afford sufficient precision to allow determination of LN spatial coordinates for integration into radiation treatment planning. Further, this approach can be utilized to distinguish LNs draining the arm from those at risk for harboring occult metastases. This distinction is critical if the former group is to be spared while preserving loco-regional control rates. SPECT/CT also permits precise quantification of LN activity. Inferences based on LN activity may help to define the relative importance of specific LNs for arm drainage and thereby prioritize them for sparing.

The significant differences in LN locations between patients who underwent SLNB versus ALND are in accordance with current theories of how lymphatic homeostasis is re-established following injury. Collateral drainage pathways, either latent, newly formed, or both, are thought to underlie the capacity of many patients who undergo extensive axillary disruption to remain free from lymphedema. Up to 50% of patients treated with full axillary clearing and aggressive irradiation never develop lymphedema. Our findings suggest that collateral drainage pathways reroute arm lymph via supraclavicular LNs following ALND.
Technical uncertainty persists regarding the optimal volume of radioactive tracer, number of injection sites, injection to imaging time interval, and approach to quantification of LN activity. The approach presented in this paper was based on practices used in analogous imaging situations and expert opinion. For example, 0.5 mCi of $^{99m}$Tc-sulfur colloid in 0.25 mL was injected per site based on the conventional approaches of lymphoscintigraphy and sentinel lymph node mapping.$^{32}$

Our purpose was not to characterize the physiologic status of the lymphatic system, but rather to identify all LNs contributing to arm drainage. Upper extremity lymphoscintigraphy typically involves the injection of tracer into the 1st and 2nd inter-digital webspaces of the hand.$^{33,34}$ We deviated from this practice in an effort to ensure that all relevant LNs would be visualized. Lymph vessels arise from discrete drainage territories on the arm (e.g. dorsal hand, volar forearm) which dictate their somatotopic positions as they converge, course proximally and terminate in axillary LNs.$^{35}$ Only LNs receiving lymph from tracer injection sites will be visualized on scintigraphic images.$^{35}$ The decision to inject multiple sites was prompted by concern that LNs draining the peri-cubital region and upper arm may not be visualized if tracer was solely injected in the hand. This concern is supported by the clinical observation that lymphedema is often confined to discrete upper extremity locations while other segments are entirely spared.$^{30}$ Presumably the spared regions have lymph drainage patterns which are distinct from the lymphedematous areas. It is possible that more LNs would have been visualized if a 3rd injection site on the upper arm had been utilized.

Our initial study design included a 2-hour interval prior to SPECT/CT scanning. LNs were inconsistently visualized in the initial pilot patients. Hence the interval was extended to ≥ 5 hours. The results from this study suggest that a 5 to 8.5 hour time interval after tracer injection is adequate to visualize all relevant LNs, however shorter intervals may suffice for some patients.

The accuracy of the estimated LN coordinates may be subject to skepticism given the non-diagnostic CT scans and, in some cases, the large size of intense LN. Despite the relatively low resolution of these non-diagnostic CT scans, osseous structures and visceral contours were clearly defined. Spatial coordinates were determined in the transverse, sagittal, and coronal planes and were based on the relationships of LNs to anatomical landmarks. The use of multiple clearly defined and visually distinct landmarks in three spatial planes afforded extensive data with which to estimate spatial coordinates, allowing a high level of precision. LNs were consistently identified on the high-resolution radiation simulation CTs at the sites indicated by the SPECT/CT-estimated coordinates suggesting that these LNs corresponded to the physiologically relevant LNs detected on SPECT/CT.
Our results suggest that reducing radiation exposure to the LNs critical for arm drainage with SPECT/CT-guided treatment planning may be warranted as more than half of the patients with LN negative disease received doses associated with lymphatic compromise.\textsuperscript{24} Patients with negative LNs have negligible risk of local recurrence, hence the decision to limit LN radiation is relatively straightforward in this cohort.\textsuperscript{37-39} The decision process becomes considerably more complex in LN positive patients. Failure to adequately irradiate these patients’ LNs may compromise loco-regional control and is an issue that requires further investigation.

Our study was exploratory and subjects were recruited without regard to their nodal status or recurrence risk. In the absence of preliminary data, the authors felt it was not defensible to alter their treatment plans. Criteria were therefore not developed to determine which patients would be eligible for LN sparing. Formulation of such criteria will be essential if SPECT/CT is to influence the radiation treatment of LN positive patients. An important next step will be determination of the achievable dose reduction using this approach in patient subgroups defined by tumor and LN characteristics. Ultimately, SPECT/CT may solely benefit LN negative patients. However since approximately 70% of patients currently present with LN negative breast cancer, the impact will still be significant.

Application of the technique described in this paper is not limited to breast cancer treatment and has potential utility in other cancer populations. LN irradiation is an integral part of the treatment of many cancers including head and neck, cervical, endometrial, prostate, and colon. Lymphedema complicates the post-treatment course of these malignancies and SPECT/CT-guided radiation has the potential to reduce survivors’ long-term morbidity. Additionally, the consequences of lymphatic injury extend well beyond lymphedema. Lymphostasis has been implicated in fibrosis, joint contractures and chronic pain.\textsuperscript{40} By mitigating these sequelae, LN sparing may offer substantial benefits unrelated to lymphedema. Conversely, localization of LNs draining discrete territories can potentially be used to target nodes suspected of harboring metastases.

The capacity to plan radiation treatments based on the locations of critical, disease-free LNs has important implications for image-guided precision radiotherapy approaches like IMRT. Various investigators have discussed the merits of IMRT in breast cancer treatment; however, none have looked into selectively modulating dose to LNs.\textsuperscript{41-46} Our results suggest that the SPECT/CT approach provides critical information for the application of IMRT to LN sparing, e.g. LN functional significance and location. Simulated IMRT-based plans for the study subjects corroborated that IMRT can achieve significant reductions in LN radiation dosimetry.

\textbf{CONCLUSION}
SPECT/CT fusion imaging provides a promising method of precisely localizing the axillary and supraclavicular LNs responsible for arm drainage following breast cancer surgery. This information can be integrated into radiation treatment planning to minimize irradiation of critical, normal LNs. Since radiation is a major contributor to the development of lymphedema, the SPECT/CT approach may offer meaningful benefit to breast cancer survivors by substantially reducing their lymphedema risk and enhancing their quality of life.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic and cancer treatment characteristics of the study cohort.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* 3 subjects received Adriamycin &amp; Cytoxan alone while 16 subjects received Adriamycin &amp; Cytoxan followed by a taxane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>† All 6 subjects were taking Arimidex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD, Standard Deviation; LN, Lymph Node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median number of LN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral axilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial axilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supraclavicular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of total LN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral axilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial axilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supraclavicular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of LN with greatest activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral axilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical axilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supraclavicular bed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Results of SPECT/CT scan analyses including LN number and LN location for all subjects, subjects post SLNB, and subject post ALND

* p values ≤ 0.1 were reported, otherwise they are listed as non-significant (NS)
† p values are for comparisons of subjects who underwent sentinel LN biopsy versus axillary clearing
‡ p value for a test for trend across ordered groups.
Figure 1. SPECT/CT images in transaxial, coronal, and sagittal planes of a breast cancer patient status post lumpectomy and SNLB with 2 visible LNs in the lateral axilla.
Figure 2. SPECT/CT images in transaxial, coronal, and sagittal planes of a breast cancer patient status post lumpectomy and level I and II axillary clearing with 5 visible LNs; 2 medial axillary and 3 supraclavicular.
Figure 3. Bimodal distribution of radiation dose, in Gy, delivered to lymph nodes draining the upper extremity following surgery for primary breast cancer.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiation Dose in Gy</th>
<th>LNs</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Number of LN per location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lat Ax 4, Med Ax 2, SC 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Lat Ax 17, Med Ax 16, SC 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lat Ax 0, Med Ax 2, SC 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lat Ax 0, Med Ax 2, SC 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lat Ax 2, Med Ax 0, SC 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Lat Ax 17, Med Ax 11, SC 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Number of lymph nodes, subjects, and lymph nodes by area grouped by radiation exposure in 10 Gy intervals.
Title: Use of lymphoscintigraphy in radiation treatment of primary breast cancer in the context of lymphedema risk reduction

Article Type: Full Length Article

Section/Category: Physics Contribution

Keywords: Breast cancer, Lymphedema, Scintigraphy, SPECT/CT, IMRT, Lymph nodes

Corresponding Author: Dr. Indra J. Das, PhD

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Pennsylvania

First Author: Indra J. Das, PhD

Order of Authors: Indra J. Das, PhD; Andrea Cheville, MD, MSCE; Joshua Scheuermann, MS; Shyam M Srinivas, MD; Abass Alavi, MD

Abstract: Purpose: A SPECT-CT scintigraphic device is investigated to map the lymphatic drainage in radiation treatment for evaluating lymphedema complication.

Materials and Methods: Thirty six patients were enrolled in a SPECT/CT lymphoscintigraphy study. A 0.5 mCi Sulphur-colloid tagged with 99mTc was injected in the ipsilateral arm. After 5-8 hrs post injection, the SPECT-CT scans were taken and analyzed on an ENTRGRA system. The SPEC-CT images were co-registered in the treatment planning system (TPS). The original treatment plan was recreated for nodal dosimetry. Intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) planning was performed for reducing lymph node dose that may be involved in the development of arm lymphedema.

Results: The number of lymph nodes varied from 0-10 with a mean value of 3.4±5.4 nodes. The location of nodes varied in the axillary, supraclavicular, and breast regions depending upon the surgical procedure and
the extent of the disease. The prescribed radiation dose to the breast varied from 45Gy-50.4Gy depending on the disease pattern in 32 evaluated patients having CT data. The dose to lymph nodes varied from 0-61.8Gy depending upon the location and the technique used. SPECT/CT feasibility study in conjunction with IMRT plan showed that it is possible to decrease nodal dose that could reduce the risk of development of arm lymphedema.

Conclusions: The SPEC-CT device provides a novel method to map the lymph nodes in the radiation treatment fields. Depending upon individual patient treatment planning requirements, the SPECT/CT method could be used to tailor the radiation dose using modern radiation treatment planning techniques.
Use of lymphoscintigraphy in radiation treatment of primary breast cancer in the context of lymphedema risk reduction

Indra J. Das, PhD, FACR1*, Andrea Cheville, MD, MSCE2,3, Joshua Scheuermann, MS3, Shyam M. Srinivas, MD3, Abass Alavi, MD4 Lawrence J. Solin, MD, FACR1

Departments of Radiation Oncology1, Rehabilitation Medicine2, Nuclear Medicine3, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA

Running title
Use of lymphoscintigraphy in breast cancer

*Corresponding Author
Email: Das@xrt.upenn.edu

*Present Address
Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905
Conflict of Interest: None

Acknowledgement

This study was supported in part from a DOD Grant No. DAMD17-03-1-0622
ABSTRACT

Purpose: A SPECT-CT scintigraphic device is investigated to map the lymphatic drainage in radiation treatment for evaluating lymphedema complication.

Materials and Methods: Thirty six patients were enrolled in a SPEC/CT lymphoscintigraphy study. A 0.5 mCi Sulphur-collidal tagged with $^{99m}$Tc was injected in the ipsilateral arm. After 5-8 hrs post injection, the SPECT-CT scans were taken and analyzed on an ENTRGRA system. The SPEC-CT images were co-registered in the treatment planning system (TPS). The original treatment plan was recreated for nodal dosimetry. Intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) planning was performed for reducing lymph node dose that may be involved in the development of arm lymphedema.

Results: The number of lymph nodes varied from 0-10 with a mean value of 3.4±5.4 nodes. The location of nodes varied in the axillary, supraclavicular, and breast regions depending upon the surgical procedure and the extent of the disease. The prescribed radiation dose to the breast varied from 45Gy-50.4Gy depending on the disease pattern in 32 evaluated patients having CT data. The dose to lymph nodes varied from 0-61.8Gy depending upon the location and the technique used. SPECT/CT feasibility study in conjunction with IMRT plan showed that it is possible to decrease nodal dose that could reduce the risk of development of arm lymphedema.

Conclusions: The SPEC-CT device provides a novel method to map the lymph nodes in the radiation treatment fields. Depending upon individual patient treatment planning requirements, the SPECT/CT method could be used to tailor the radiation dose using modern radiation treatment planning techniques.

Key Words: Breast cancer, Lymphedema, Scintigraphy, SPECT/CT, IMRT, Lymph nodes
INTRODUCTION

Radiation treatment is playing an increasingly critical role in the management of breast cancers (1, 2). However, cardiac toxicities, pneumonitis, plexopathy, breast seroma, fibrosis, neuropathy and edema are known potential complications of radiation treatment of breast cancer (3-13). Unfortunately, lymphedema is an incurable, insidiously progressive and debilitating complication of combined modality treatment that adversely affects quality of life. The factors that have been demonstrated to contribute to arm lymphedema are surgery, radiation, chemotherapy, obesity and age (8, 9, 14-16). The complication rate of lymphedema has dropped from the days of Halsted (62%) to a significantly lower rate (4-30%) with combined modality treatment (5-7, 10, 12, 17) in modern days.

A relatively smaller percentage (1-16%) of lymphedema is still attributed to the radiation treatments since conventional fields encompass residual functioning lymphatics. The ability to localize axillary lymph nodes is critical in reducing lymphedema related complications. Lymphatic drainage mapping has been attempted by various methods mainly with high resolution gamma camera with Au-198 colloids or Sb, Re sulfide colloids tagged with $^{99}$Tc (15, 18). Srimger et al. (19) provided an innovative approach using MRI to locate internal mammary vessels and then mapping the lymphatics based on anatomical patterns.

In general, the severity of lymphedema is a function of radiation dose, fraction size, treatment fields and technique (7). Various studies have indicated that tangential fields in general do not cover all of the level I and II axillary nodes with full dose (14, 20, 21). The efficacy of the posterior axillary boost fields have also been criticized (22). Typically, 2/3 of the level-I and ½ of the level-II lymph nodes are included in the tangential breast treatment. With the inclusion of supraclavicular and posterior axillary boost fields, the number of nodes included in the radiation treatment could be significantly large (23). Substantial fractions (13-44%) of patients who are irradiated with such techniques develop arm lymphedema (24). Goodman et al. (25) indicated that for adequate coverage of the target and sparing lymphatics, individualized CT scan and lymph drainage mapping should be performed; however, some lymphatics are hard to visualize on CT scan and a different approach needs to be taken.
The use of lymphoscintigraphy had been attempted for radiation treatment planning to include occult lymphatics in the radiation treatment fields (15, 26, 27) by manually mapping the lymphatics on 2D simulation film for treatment planning. The manual approaches are labor intensive and prone to error. Modern imaging technologies may identify these lymphatics and allow the construction of radiation fields that minimize their radiation exposure while preserving loco-regional tumor control. Dual modality imaging, SPECT-CT, has been used for cardiac imaging for quantitative measurement (28, 29) and now commonly used in breast cancer imaging (30-32) with high degree of specificity for sentinel node verifications (33). Using a hybrid camera combining a dual-head SPECT camera with a low-dose, single slice CT scanner, (Hawkeye®, GE Medical system) is attempted in this study. This device is widely available in cardiology community and has the potential to localize lymph nodes with specific drainage patterns after surgical removal of axillary lymph nodes.

The aim of this study was to determine whether SPECT/CT scanning can be used to determine spatial coordinates for lymph nodes draining the arm after primary breast cancer surgery and whether this information could be incorporated into radiation treatment planning with SPECT-CT and CT fusion to quantify nodal dosimetry.

MATERIALS & METHODS

A dual imaging hybrid SPECT-CT system (Hawkeye, GE Medical Systems) is used to image lymphatic nodes. This device was mainly developed for quantitative imaging and provided unique correlations between spatial coordinates and anatomical structure (CT) with physiological information (SPECT). The co-registered image provides voxel-by-voxel space that is properly mapped with SPECT data to the CT data. Details of such system have been described by several investigators (29, 31, 34) and briefly described here.

Hybrid imaging SPECT system utilizes a GE Millennium dual-headed gamma camera (VG8) with Hawkeye low dose CT on a common single gantry. It provides corrections for collimator blurring, and attenuation corrections with an iterative reconstruction algorithm. For the SPECT acquisition, counts from the 15% energy windows at 140 keV are acquired into a 128 x 128 (pixel size, 4.6 x 4.6 mm). Sixty (30x2) frames are acquired at 6°/step angular sampling over 360° rotations. The camera head is equipped with a high-resolution
low-energy parallel-hole collimator. The CT detector consists of 384 crystals and photodiodes mounted on the gamma camera rotating module. The CT data is acquired in a single slice mode over 180° rotations. The CT device is a low powered low exposure system that takes 10 mm thick slice with 256x256 (1.5x1.5 mm) matrix size. It typically acquires 40 slices at the end of SPECT images by translating the table. The scanner parameters include, 140 kVp (range 120 – 140 kVp), tube current range 1.0 – 2.5 mA, for low radiation exposure. The entire process typically takes 30 minutes. Reconstruction is performed by back projection method using iterative filtered ordered subset expectation maximization (OSEM) technique. Images are smoothed with a 3D spatial Gaussian filter. The image fusion and processing of SPECT and CT data is performed on eNTEGRA or Xeleris system (GE Medical Systems). It analyzes and displays the images in three planes in axial, coronal and sagittal slices.

A total of 215 patients were approached and screened for this pilot study with Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval and 36 patients were recruited within 2 weeks prior to their radiation treatment for primary breast cancer. These patients were planned for radiation treatment in traditional method based on either 2D or 3D treatment planning with proper immobilization. The treatment planning was optimized based on beam energy, beam weight, and wedge (35). The dose was prescribed to an isodose line that covers entire breast tissue that has been described by Das et al. (36). The treatment fields were 2-fields (tangents), 3-fields (tangents + supraclavicular field), or 4-fields (3-fields + posterior axillary boost) to suit the need of the patients depending upon the disease status very similar to the existing programs that have been described in the literature (37).

In order to facilitate integration of SPECT/CT scans with the radiation planning simulator CT scan, patients were positioned with their shoulders abducted to 135° and externally rotated to 90° in their immobilization cast that was created for radiation simulation and treatment. The SPECT/CT position was very closely approximated with proper shoulder and arm configuration utilized during radiation therapy.

To identify lymph nodes draining the arm, 1mCi 99mTc- labeled sulfur colloid (2 injection of 0.mCi) was injected subdermally into the dorsal hand and medial cubit ipsilateral to primary breast cancer. The Hawkeye system was used for scintigraphic and CT imaging ≥ 5 hours
after sulfur colloid injection. These images permitted spatial location of the lymph nodes. The x, y, z coordinates of the lymph nodes were determined. The CT images from eNTEGRA were transferred to the radiation treatment planning system and fused with the CT-simulation images. The lymph node loci were drawn on the simulation images to quantify dosimetry. The SPECT/CT system provided quantitative imaging since CT and SPECT were integrated in a single gantry allowing the co-registration of the SPECT images with CT anatomy without fiducial marking or image fusion that has been reported in the literature for various disease site for the quantitative imaging (28, 31, 34).

The SPECT/CT data were not compatible with the DICOM-RT format and there was no easy method to transfer the fused images of SPECT/CT to the radiation treatment planning process. The CT data from SPECT-CT was fused with the simulation CT on the Oncentra (Nucletron Corp, Holland) radiation treatment planning system. This was carried out manually by recoding the location of lymph nodes on a SPECT/CT slices. Each lymph node was given a unique identifier and its x,y,z coordinate was recorded. The SPECT/CT data was transferred to our 3D radiation treatment planning system. Image fusion between simulation CT and SPECT/CT was carried out. Based on the recorded coordinates of the lymphatic system, these nodes were mapped to the treatment planning system.

Since most patients were already treated, their treatment plans were retrospectively transferred to the mapped lymphatic coordinates for the estimation of dose to each lymph node based on the radiation treatment techniques (2, 3 or 4-fields) beam energy, wedges, beam weights and other parameters for dosimetric evaluation and analysis. For a few select cases, IMRT planning was performed as a treatment planning exercise using 6-fields technique with 6 MV photon beam (38). The beams were distributed manually using beam’s eye view approach rather earlier published techniques of evenly distributed beams (39-41). Target volume was defined as the palpable breast tissue anterior to the chest wall to within 3 mm of skin surface. Lung and the lymph nodes were delineated as the organs at risk (OAR).

The IMRT optimization was carried out using inverse planning routine of the Oncentra treatment planning system (Nucletron, Columbia, MD) based on the ray-search algorithm. The dose-volume constraints were set with proper weight to provide adequate dose to full breast tissues and <10% dose to the lymph nodes.
RESULTS

Among 36 patients in this study, 32 were treated with 3-D conformal therapy with CT data. Prior to the SPECT/CT and radiation therapy, surgical treatment was that 58% of the patients underwent lumpectomy and the remaining 42% modified radical mastectomy. An average of 3.38 (SD 2.01) lymph nodes was identified among these patients with lymph node distribution of 0-10 nodes/patient. Hybrid SPECT/CT scan from one of the patients is presented in Figure 1. Significant intra-subject variation was noted in LN number and location. Patients who underwent sentinel lymph node (SLN) biopsy had fewer visualized lymph nodes (2.94± 1.53) than subjects who underwent axillary lymph node dissections (ALND) (3.81±2.37), however this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.22). The absolute number and percentage of total lymph nodes located at axillary and supraclavicular sites was influenced by axillary surgery. A statistically significant trend was detected with greater numbers of axillary lymph nodes being noted among SLN patients and greater number of supraclavicular lymph nodes among ALND subjects (p = 0.001). Neither body mass index, affected side (right vs. left), nor elapsed time between tracer injection and SPECT/CT scanning was significantly associated with either the number of visualized lymph nodes, LN locations, or total LN activity. A detailed analysis is presented elsewhere by Cheville et al.(42).

The treatment planning process included 2-field (44%), 3-field (5%) or 4-field (51%) treatments. The node distribution through the scintigraphy study was distributed throughout breast, axillary and supraclavicular lymph node beds. Subjects receiving 4-field treatment were more likely to have >4 lymph nodes identified on SPECT/CT (p = 0.006). Dosimetric evaluation with SPECT/CT imaging, radiation fields and lymph node levels is shown in Figure 2 for 32 evaluated patients. It shows that 4-field technique was use more frequently with level III nodes with higher doses. The variation of combined dose from various fields varied from 0-61.8Gy among the patients. When analyzed further, a bimodal dose distribution was noted in most cases studied as shown in Figure 3 for supraclavicular and axillary nodes.

Figure 4 shows an IMRT plan where 2 nodes were located in the superior aspect of the breast near supraclavicular region. In traditional technique (tangential fields) these nodes received
full dose, however, IMRT provided selectively dose reduction in the nodes. Note that dose to breast is adequately covered and the node dose is reduced. The lung dose is also reduced as optimized. This feasibility of SPECT/CT incorporation into IMRT opens a new horizon in patient care where lymphedema can potentially be reduced if the lymphs are known.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was not to study the physiological status of the lymphatic system rather to identify lymph nodes that is involved in arm drainage that could affect lymphedema. Various methods, CT, MRI (19), lymphoscintigraphy (14, 15, 18, 26, 30) and PET (43) has been used to localize and help in treatment planning. This study demonstrated that hybrid SPECT/CT scanning following intradermal injection of 99mTc-sulfur colloid allows precise localization of the lymph nodes draining the arm. Inferences regarding the relative contributions and pathophysiological significance of visualized lymph nodes can be made by quantifying lymph node activity. SPECT/CT scans allow precise determination of each visualized lymph nodes’ spatial coordinates. The spatial coordinates can be integrated into radiation dosimetry planning in order to target or spare lymph nodes contingent on their clinical significance.

Typically lymphoscintigraphy of the arm is performed by injecting tracer into the 1st and 2nd inter-digital webspaces of the hand. We modified this injection technique in an effort to ensure that all relevant lymph nodes were radioactively labeled. Lymph collecting vessels arise from discrete drainage territories on the arm. The anatomic origins of lymph vessels’ dictate their positions relative to one another as they converge, course proximally, and terminate in axillary lymph nodes. Both the collecting vessels and lymph nodes are somatotopically organized. Due to this organization, only lymph nodes draining the territory where tracer has been injected will appear on scintigraphic images. The decision to inject multiple sites was prompted by concern that lymph nodes draining the peri-cubital region and upper arm would not be visualized if tracer was solely injected in the hand. This concern is supported by the clinical observation that lymphedema is generally confined to discrete portions of the upper extremity while other portions remain unaffected (44). Presumably the uninvolved sections have lymph drainage distinct from the lymphedematous areas.
Our results suggest that acquisition of SPECT/CT scans between 5 to 8.5 hours following tracer injection permits adequate LN visualization. Several factors were considered in selecting the post-injection interval. The interval must be long enough to allow tracer to reach all axillary and supraclavicular lymph nodes. Qualitatively and quantitatively, no gross differences were appreciated between subjects scanned at the either end of the 5-8.5 hour post-injection interval. However, the absence of repeat or serial scans makes it impossible to estimate the time-associated variance in LN visualization and activity within our cohort. It has been reported that sentinel lymph nodes in breast cancer patients can be localized equally well when tracer is injected ≥16 versus 2 hours prior to surgery (45). Longer post-injection intervals may offer substantial logistical and convenience benefits.

If clinical inferences and management decisions are to be based on LN activity, then refinement of the technique is needed and its reliability must be assessed. These include the interval between tracer injection and SPECT/CT scanning and the extent of subjects’ post-injection arm use. Upper extremity muscle recruitment can significantly increase lymph flow and thereby alter the rate of radioactive uptake in lymph nodes (46). The amount of tracer injected at each deposition site inevitably varies due to technical limitations. To adjust for inconsistent injectate volumes, we recommend that the difference in syringe activity pre- and post-injection be summed across sites. Activity per LN can be expressed as a percentage of this total to indicate the lymph nodes relative contribution to total drainage.

The accuracy of the estimated LN coordinates may be subject to skepticism given the non-diagnostic CT scans and in some cases the large size of intense LN. Despite the CT scans’ relatively low resolution, osseous structures and some visceral outlines were clearly defined. Spatial coordinates were based on lymph nodes’ relationships to the SPECT/CT slice and measured coordinates of each lymph node that were determined in multiple planes. The use of multiple clearly defined and visually distinct landmarks in three spatial planes afforded extensive data to estimate precise coordinates. Lymph nodes were consistently identified on the high-resolution radiation simulation CT at the site indicated by the estimated coordinates from SPECT/CT. Therefore these lymph nodes were determined to correspond to the physiologically relevant lymph nodes detected on SPECT/CT.
The SPECT/CT approach provides another venue to localize and provide method to reduce radiation dose to axillary and supraclavicular lymph nodes that may offer meaningful benefit to breast cancer survivors. It has been noted that surgery and radiation play a fine balance in lymphedema (7, 12, 47). Lymphedema has been identified as a primary concern since lymphedema can produce severe medical, social, and psychological morbidity. Radiation dose has been a major contributor to the development of lymphedema (10, 48). Hence, reduction in LN dose might afford substantial lymphedema risk reduction. This offers survivors the potential for improved health-related quality of life (HRQOL), and society the opportunity for substantial medico-economic savings.

The ability to localize lymph nodes draining the upper truncal quadrant and arm has important clinical applications in the management of the breast cancer. The benefits of lymphatic mapping in the breast cancer are evident in the widespread use of sentinel lymph node biopsy with limited surgical intervention allowing for combined modality treatment that has shown to produce superior outcome (49). Scintigraphic identification of sentinel nodes increases the probability that all nodal metastases will be respected, permits surgical sparing of uninvolved lymph nodes and reduces post-operative morbidity (50).

It has been observed that IMRT reduces radiation complications of the breast treatment (51, 52). Harsolia et al. (51) compared 3D conformal versus IMRT for breast cancer and observed that among other complications breast edema was significantly reduced 25% versus 1% respectively. If such an observation is true then by tailoring the radiation doses, lymph nodes mapping could reduce the risk of arm lymphedema altogether.

CONCLUSIONS

This study provides a feasibility of SPEC-CT imaging device that is used in cardiology for mapping lymphatics that could be used for patient treatment in radiation oncology. It shows that the dose in these lymph nodes is variable from 0-full prescribed dose in treatment fields. This dosimetric variability may have impact in the onset of lymphedema. Lymphoscintigraphy SPECT data provides unique information allowing radiation fields to be tailored for preservation of lymphatic structures draining the arm. A potential advantage in non-invasive mapping of the lymph nodes for the management of the breast cancer using radiation treatment and LN’s can be selectively included or excluded based on the clinical
indications. Radiation techniques such as IMRT could be used to modulate radiation dose to lymphatic system based on scintigraphic imaging.
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