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ABSTRACT

Consider a system of two millimeter wave transceiver nodes A and B. We assume that each node is equipped with a circular array antenna that has beamforming capability. We are interested in using the beamforming capability to find the best possible directions for transmission and reception such that the communication link between two nodes provides maximal SNR at the receiver. A protocol that in most cases achieves the optimal solution is developed and simulation results are provided to show the effectiveness of the algorithm.

1. INTRODUCTION

Millimeter wave technology is becoming increasingly important in many military and commercial applications. Remote sensing, radio astronomy, plasma diagnosis, passive imaging (e.g. imaging to display hidden contraband, weapons and nonmetal objects), radar and gigabit data rate communication are among these applications. To establish a high data rate communication link between two mobile nodes, acceptable Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) at the receiver is required. However, due to the high propagation loss and signal attenuation that is associated with the millimeter wave signal, the communication range will be extremely limited.

Array antennas are an effective tool to manage the spatial transmission (or reception) of signals between two nodes. Using such array antennas, one would be able to increase the transmission range of millimeter wave systems (Huang et al., 2006). An important property of array antennas for millimeter wave transceivers is its small physical size. For example, at 60 GHz carrier frequency, an 8-element cylindrical array antenna requires a radius of only 3.3 mm (Ramanathan, et al., 2001). This property makes array antennas an attractive practical solution for establishing high range and reliable communication links between nodes in a network.

Utilizing appropriate signal processing techniques (such as beamforming), an antenna array will enable a transceiver to point its main lobe toward a desired direction. By focusing the radiation (or reception) pattern of an array antenna, higher communication range can be achieved. Alternatively, for a given communication range, lower power consumption can be expected. Also, chances of eavesdropping are significantly reduced (low probability of intercept) since information is not broadcast in all unnecessary directions.

The collection of all these benefits, points to using smart array antennas as a tool to control the spatial transmission and reception of signals between nodes. In this paper, we are interested in using this beamforming capability to find the best possible directions for transmission and reception such that the communication link between two nodes provides maximal SNR at the receiver. To achieve this, an intelligent algorithm is required in order to choose the best (i.e. optimal) directions for the receiver-transmitter pair. For example, in urban or indoor environments where the radio line of sight is not necessarily the best propagation path between the two communicating nodes, this intelligent algorithm has to be able to simultaneously find the optimal directions for transmission and reception of the information. Similar techniques have been used for indoor mobile positioning and source direction estimation (Sayrafian et al., 2006a, 2006b).

Knowledge of the characteristics of the propagation channel could also be exploited in order to select the best strategy for steering the beam pattern of the array antennas at the receiver and transmitter nodes (Sayrafian et al., 2006).

Problem description and formulation will be described in section 2. In section 3, we will outline an algorithm that finds a solution for the stated problem. Simulation results are provided in section 4. Finally,
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conclusions and future work will be discussed in Section 5.

2. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION AND FORMULATION

Consider a system of two transceiver nodes A and B. We assume that each node is equipped with a circular array antenna that has beamforming capability. In other words, it is able to electronically steer its main lobe in the two-dimensional space (i.e. azimuth) toward any desired direction (see Fig. 1). In addition, assume that each antenna is also capable of generating an omni-directional gain pattern as well.

The Received Signal Strength (RSS) is clearly a function of the directions where the main lobes of both antennas are pointing at. The directions that maximize the RSS are functions of both antenna patterns and the channel response (i.e. the environment). For environments with severe multipath propagation, it is important to locate these optimal directions in order to maximize the signal to noise ratio at the receiver. This is especially interesting in scenarios where the line of sight path has been blocked by an object with large attenuation.

Assume that \( x(\theta, \alpha) \) denotes the transmitter’s antenna gain at angle \( \theta \) when the main lobe is pointing at direction \( \alpha \). Similarly, \( y(\phi, \beta) \) denotes the receiver’s antenna gain at angle \( \phi \) when the main lobe is pointing at direction \( \beta \). If \( h(\theta, \phi) \) is the angular channel response for a path that starts from angle \( \theta \) of the transmitter’s antenna and ends at angle \( \phi \) of the receiver’s antenna, then the received signal \( R \) can be expressed by:

\[
R(\alpha, \beta) = \iint_{\theta, \phi} x(\theta, \alpha) h(\theta, \phi) y(\phi, \beta) d\theta d\phi
\]

Here, for simplicity, we are also assuming that the propagation process is stationary. Our objective in this paper is to find the optimal directions \((\alpha^*, \beta^*)\) that maximize the received power as defined below:

\[
(\alpha^*, \beta^*) = \text{Arg max}_{\alpha, \beta} ||R(\alpha, \beta)||^2
\]

A simple method to find the solution of this problem is to conduct an exhaustive search over the space of all possible \((\alpha, \beta)\). However, depending on the resolution of this space, this methodology might not be feasible for practical purposes. In this paper, we propose a two step approach to find a sub-optimal value for the pair \((\alpha, \beta)\). In the next sections, we will outline our approach and investigate its performance with simulations for different scenarios.

3. PROTOCOL DESCRIPTION

Step 1: Node A is in the transmit mode with an omni-directional gain pattern. This means that ideally, the transmitter’s antenna gain for all azimuth angles is \( C \) where \( C \) is a constant. At the same time, node B is in the receive mode with beamforming capability. Initially, the main lobe of the receiver’s antenna pattern is pointing toward a starting angle e.g. \( \beta_0 \). Now, the beamformer rotates the main lobe around the 360 degrees field of view with a step size of \( \Delta_B \) (i.e. \( \beta_j = \beta_0 + j\Delta_B \)). At each step, the receiver calculates the received signal strength and finds the direction \( \beta_j \) where the RSS is maximal. If \( \beta_j^* \) denotes this angle, mathematically this step can be expressed by the following equations.

\[
R(\beta_j) = 2\pi C \iint_{\theta, \phi} x(\theta) h(\theta, \phi) y(\phi, \beta_j) d\theta d\phi
\]

\[
\beta_j^* = \text{Arg max}_{\beta_j} ||R(\beta_j)||^2
\]

Step 2: Now that \( \beta_j^* \) has been obtained, node B goes into transmit mode and notifies node A that it has found its desired direction. Then, node A turns into a receiver with beamforming capability and the main lobe toward the starting angle \( \alpha_0 \). Next, the beamformer at node A rotates the main lobe around the 360 degrees field of view with a step size of \( \Delta_A \) (i.e. \( \alpha_i = \alpha_0 + i\Delta_A \)). At each step, the receiver at node A calculates the received signal strength and finds the direction \( \alpha_i \) where the RSS is maximal. If \( \alpha_i^* \) denotes this angle, then mathematically this step can be expressed by the following equations.
\[ R(\alpha_i) = \int_{\phi} \int_{\theta} x(\theta, \alpha_i) h'(\theta, \phi) y(\phi, \beta_i) d\theta d\phi \]
\[ \alpha_i^* = \arg \max_{\alpha_i} \| R(\alpha_i) \| \]

If the beamwidths of the main lobes created by the array antennas at Nodes A and B are \( \gamma_A \) and \( \gamma_B \) respectively, then the rotation step size in the above protocol should obey the following equations
\[ \Delta_A \leq \gamma_A \]
\[ \Delta_B \leq \gamma_B \]

The beamwidths \( \gamma_A \) and \( \gamma_B \) depend on the size (i.e. number of elements) of the array antennas at the Nodes A and B. The choices for \( \Delta_A \) and \( \Delta_B \) clearly affect the speed with which the algorithm runs. Therefore, other hardware implementation issues might need to be taken into account.

In the next section, we provide simulations of this algorithm and show the effectiveness of the results.

4- SIMULATION RESULTS

To investigate the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm, a simulation platform was implemented. The main difficulty in simulating a wireless channel is the strong dependence of the received signal on the surrounding environment (e.g. multipath channel). In particular, all obstacles such as walls, that affect the propagation of RF waves, will directly impact the signal strength and more importantly the directions from which RF signal energy is received. Empirical, statistical and deterministic models have been used to describe the behavior of such multipath channels (Hashemi 1993; Spencer et al., 2000; Ertel et al., 1998). In this study, we have elected to use a sophisticated ray-tracing tool to accurately predict the received signal strength in a multipath RF channel. Wireless System Engineering (WiSE) is a ray-tracing tool that has been developed and verified by Bell Laboratories (Fortune et al., 1995; Valenzuela et al., 1997). We realize that even such models have limitations in their accuracy and are also subject to errors when there are changes in the environment, however, this approach will give us the opportunity to simulate the performance of the proposed protocol in a multipath channel and at the same time provide a geometric interpretation of the results.

We first consider a very simple layout and two transceiver nodes (A and B) as shown in Fig. 2. It is assumed that each node is equipped with a circular array antenna of a given size.

![Fig. 2: Simulation layout](image)

Having such an antenna with beamforming capability enables each node to steer the direction of its main beam toward any desired angle (i.e. 360-degree field of view). Sample beam patterns of such an antenna for various array sizes (i.e. number of elements) are shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3: Beam pattern of a circular array with (a) 8 elements (b) 32 elements](image)

In general, the strength of the received signal heavily depends on the environment layout, dielectric properties of the surrounding material, transmitter-receiver locations, operating frequency and array sizes of the receiver and transmitter antennas. For the example given in Fig. 2, the received power is a function of the azimuth angles of the main lobes of both transmitter and receiver. Fig. 4 displays this received power as a result of simulation with a pair of 32 element circular array antennas at the receiver-transmitter pair and a transmission frequency of 60GHz.
As observed, 12 different locations on this plot (i.e. pairs of \((\alpha, \beta)\)) exhibit received signal strength that are relatively stronger than other directions. These peaks correspond to the situations where the main lobes of the transmitter-receiver pair are positioned in a way to capture the line of sight, single-reflected and double-reflected signals respectively. Existence of a clear LOS path in this example signifies the strong RSS component that is visible at \(\alpha = 90^\circ\) and \(\beta = 270^\circ\). The link establishment algorithm proposed in the previous section achieves these optimal directions (i.e. \(\alpha = 90^\circ\) and \(\beta = 270^\circ\)) as symbolically shown in Fig. 5.

If this LOS path did not exist, for example due to an obstacle that blocks this path, then one of the propagation paths that contains a single-reflected signal will yield the best signal strength for the receiver. We also simulated this situation and Fig. 6 shows the resulting link that our proposed algorithm has chosen.

These are indeed the optimal directions for the main lobes of the transmitter and receiver nodes as the simulation shows that the highest value of the RSS occurs at \(\alpha = 40^\circ\) and \(\beta = 320^\circ\) (see Fig. 7).

The effectiveness of the link establishment algorithm was also investigated in a variety of other scenarios and some examples demonstrating the final direction, chosen for the main lobes of the antenna’s beam pattern, are shown in Fig. 8. These include single-reflected and double reflected paths as they created the highest signal strength at the receiver.
directions are not achieved by the proposed algorithm. However, in order to capitalize on the capability of such antenna arrays, it is important to have an algorithm that can quickly converge to optimal (or near optimal) directions. The speed of this convergence is even more important when one or both of the communicating nodes are mobile. An adaptive version of the proposed algorithm will be an attractive solution for applications that involve mobile nodes.

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 8**: Optimal directions of the transmitter/receiver main lobes (a) Single reflected path (b) Double reflected path

Once the link is established, a power control mechanism can be implemented to reduce transmission power in order to conserve energy and prolong battery lifetime for mobile nodes. Minimizing the necessary transmission power also contributes to lowering the interference on spectrally co-existing systems. Therefore, multiple nodes can have simultaneous communication without affecting the reliability of each other’s link.

Finally, in many military and defense-related applications, where low probability of intercept is of paramount importance, avoiding wireless transmission in all directions significantly reduces the chance of the RF signal to be detected by the enemy. This along with the fact that the large bandwidth available at millimeter wave frequencies results in very high data transmission rate; also helps to minimize the amount of time that a node needs to stay in transmission mode; and therefore, minimizes the possibility of its transmission being detected.

In this paper, we have only considered scenarios involving two nodes. In the future, we would like to investigate the extension of the algorithm when multiple nodes, equipped with multi-beam array antennas, are communicating. Further studies and more importantly experimental data are required to assess the performance of the link establishment algorithm in practice.

**REFERENCES**


K. Sayrafian, B. Neekzad, J. Perez, J. Baras, “Ray-Tracing Simulation of the NICT Channel Measurements”, *IEEE Standard 802.15.3c mm-Wave channel modeling subgroup doc. # IEEE 802.15-06-0326-00-003c*, July 2006.

