

Submersed Aquatic Vegetation Modeling Output Online

By Yao Yin, Jim Rogala, John Sullivan, and Jason Rohweder

The ability to predict the distribution of submersed aquatic vegetation in the Upper Mississippi River on the basis of physical or chemical variables is useful to resource managers. Wildlife managers have a keen interest in advanced estimates of food quantity such as American wildcelery (*Vallisneria americana*) population status to give out more informed advisories to hunters before the fall hunting season. Predictions for distribution of submersed aquatic vegetation beds can potentially increase hunter observance of voluntary avoidance zones where foraging birds are left alone to feed undisturbed. In years when submersed aquatic vegetation is predicted to be scarce in important wildlife habitats, managers can get the message out to hunters well before the hunting season (Jim Nissen, Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife and Fish Refuge, La Crosse District Manager, La Crosse, Wisconsin, personal communication).

We developed a statistical model to predict the probability of occurrence of submersed aquatic vegetation in Pool 8 of the Upper Mississippi River on the basis of a few hydrological, physical, and geomorphic variables <http://www.umesc.usgs.gov/management/dss/sub_veg_model.html>. Our model takes into consideration flow velocity, wind fetch, bathymetry, growing-season daily water level, and light extinction coefficient in the river (fig. 1) and calculates the probability of submersed aquatic vegetation existence in Pool 8 in individual 5- x 5-m grid cells. The model was calibrated using the data collected in 1998 (516 sites), 1999 (595 sites), and 2000 (649 sites) using a stratified random sampling protocol (Yin and others, 2000b). To validate the model, we chose the data from the Long Term Resource Monitoring Program (LTRMP) transect sampling in backwater areas (Rogers and Owens 1995; Yin and others, 2000a) and ran the model for each 5- x 5-m grid cell in every growing season from 1991 to 2001. We tallied all the cells and came up with an annual average percent frequency of submersed aquatic vegetation occurrence and compared the results with actual LTRMP survey data (fig. 2). Both a paired Student's test ($P = 0.4620$) and a Wilcoxon's two-sample test ($P = 0.4738$) did not contradict our null hypothesis that the model prediction and the sampling data are statistically the same. We have not found an effective statistical test to compare model-predicted spatial pattern with aerial photography geographic information, but we are satisfied with the model's outcome on the basis of visual inspection (fig. 3).

A unique feature about this model is that a prediction can be made by the end of June each year; therefore, providing wildlife managers an assessment of current year vegetation growth condition 3 to 4 months ahead of the arrival of migrating waterfowl that feed on submersed aquatic vegetation. We are working with the LTRMP partnership to create a mechanism so that model predictions (fig. 4) <http://www.umesc.usgs.gov/management/dss/sub_veg_model.html> can be updated annually and the results posted on the LTRMP Web site. Our model underestimated




Figure 1. A conceptual expression of the submersed aquatic vegetation model.




Figure 2. Surveyed and predicted percent frequency of occurrence of submersed aquatic vegetation distribution in the transect sampling backwaters of Pool 8 of the Upper Mississippi River. Survey data were collected using a transect protocol (Yin et al. 2000b). Original data reported percent frequency on the basis of individual backwaters. The survey data used in the figure are the pooled means of all the backwaters on the basis of their respective acreages. LTRMP = Long Term Resource Monitoring Program.

the prevalence of vegetation from 2001 to 2004. We speculate that the summer water level reduction conducted in 2001 and 2002 triggered vegetation responses that are outside the model's domain. Future enhancement of the model will incorporate the summer water level drawdown effects as well as the effects of growth conditions in previous years.

Report Documentation Page

*Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE NOV 2005	2. REPORT TYPE N/A	3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Submersed Aquatic Vegetation Modeling Output Online		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
		5b. GRANT NUMBER	
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
		5e. TASK NUMBER	
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Department of the Interior 1849 C Street, NW Washington, DC 20240		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The original document contains color images.			
14. ABSTRACT			
15. SUBJECT TERMS			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	SAR
			18. NUMBER OF PAGES 2
			19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON




Figure 3. Surveyed (*left*) and predicted (*right*) distributions of submersed aquatic vegetation distribution in Pool 8 of the Upper Mississippi River in 1989. The survey data were from the land cover/land use geographic information created by the U.S. Geological Survey Upper Midwest Environmental Sciences Center on the basis of interpretation of aerial photography of 1989.

References

- Rogers, S J., and Owens, T.W., 1995, Long Term Resource Monitoring Program procedures: Vegetation monitoring: National Biological Service, Environmental Management Technical Center, Onalaska, Wisconsin, July 1995, LTRMP 95-P002-3, 10 p., Appendixes A-E
- Yin, Y., Langrehr, H., Nelson, J., Blackburn, T., Cook, T., and Winkelman, J., 2000a, 1998 annual status report: Status and trend of submersed and floating-leaved aquatic vegetation in thirty-two backwaters in Pools 4, 8, 13, and 26 and La Grange Pool of the Upper Mississippi River System: U.S. Geological Survey Upper Midwest Environmental Science Center, La Crosse, Wisconsin, June 2000, LTRMP 2000-P002, 19 p., Appendixes A-B, (NTIS #PB2000-107875).
- Yin, Y., Winkelman, J.S., and Langrehr H.A., 2000b, Long Term Resource Monitoring Program procedures: Aquatic vegetation monitoring: U.S. Geological Survey Upper Midwest Environmental Sciences Center, La Crosse, Wisconsin, April 2000, LTRMP 95-P002-7, 8 p., Appendixes A-C.



This report is a product of the Long Term Resource Monitoring Program.

For more information, please contact:

Yao Yin¹, Jim Rogala², and Jason Rohweder³
 USGS Upper Midwest Environmental Science Center
 2630 Fanta Reed Road
 La Crosse, WI 54603

John Sullivan⁴
 Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
 3550 Mormon Coulee Reed
 La Crosse, WI 54601

Tel: ¹(608)-781-6350
 E-mail: ¹yyin@usgs.gov




Figure 4. Annual updates of predicted and surveyed status of submersed aquatic vegetation of percent frequency of submersed aquatic vegetation in Pool 8 of the Upper Mississippi River. LTRMP = Long Term Resource Monitoring Program.