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Molecular Basis of Genomic Instability in Breast Cancer: Regulation of the Centrosome Duplication Cycle

This award (DAMD 17-02-1-0344) supports the study of genomic instability in breast cancer cells from the June 1st, 2002 to the May 31st, 2005. The hypothesis that centrosome abnormality may induce genomic instability was studied focusing on a mitotic kinases: Aurora kinases, which overexpression induces centrosome amplification, cellular transformation and aneuploidy. Two experimental approaches were taken: one was a genetic screen looking for its protein partners using two-hybrid screen performed in S. cerevisiae (annual report 2003 and 2005). Aurora-A interacting protein NM23-H1 and astrin were identified and characterized biochemically and genetically. The second proteomic approach was taken to purify and identify the Aurora-A protein complex (annual report 2004 and 2005). p160ROCK were characterized as an Aurora-A kinase substrate and a genetic "suppressor, which the interaction promotes the genomic instability in cancer cell lines. The results are published in Nuclei Acid Research (2002), PNAS (2004), and submitted (see key research accomplishment1-3), as well as reported in various scientific meetings (reportable outcomes 1-5).
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Introduction

Genomic instability is a hallmark of tumor progression, including in the breast cancers. Almost all solid tumors show genomic instability, which includes chromosome number abnormality (aneuploidy), chromosome structure abnormality (deletion, insertion, and translocation), as well as single nucleotide mutations on chromosome. Many cellular mechanisms can induce genomic instability in cancer, such as mitotic apparatus defects, DNA damage, cell cycle check point defects, as well as cytokinesis failure or cell fusion. In mitosis, microtubule spindles play critical roles in condensed chromosome partition into daughter cells. It is conceivable that any defects in mitotic spindles will affect the chromosome segregation, which in turn leads to aneuploidy. This award focus on centrosome abnormality induced aneuploidy, one type of genomic instabilities in breast cancer cells, caused by a mitotic kinase: Aurora-A.

The eukaryotic centrosome is the microtubule organizing center and is composed of two perpendicularly positioned centrioles and surrounding amorphous pericentriolar materials (reviews (Zheng et al., 1991), (Hinchcliffe and Sluder, 2001), (Bornens, 2002) (Rieder et al., 2001) (Doxsey, 2001) (Stearns, 2001)). Four groups of protein kinases are found to associate with and regulate the centrosome replication cycle: cyclin-dependent kinases (Hinchcliffe et al., 1999) (Matsumoto et al., 1999) (Meraldi et al., 1999); Polo-like kinases (do Carmo Avides et al., 2001); NIMA kinases (Fry, 2002); and Aurora kinases. In mammalian cells, the Aurora kinase family has three members: Aurora-A, -B, and –C. Alterations in the expression and activity of Aurora-A/STK15 affect genomic stability, disrupt the fidelity of centrosome duplication, and induce cellular transformation (Zhou et al., 1998) (Tanaka et al., 1999) (Miyoshi et al., 2001) (Sen et al., 2002). Aurora-A is regulated on protein expression level by ubiquitin pathways and protein modifications, such as phosphorylation (Farruggio et al., 1999) (Walter et al., 2000) (Honda et al., 2000) (Katayama et al., 2001), as well as by interacting partners, such as TPX2 (Kufer et al., 2002) (Garrett et al., 2002) (Tsai et al., 2003). Eg5 (a kinesin related protein), CPEB (cytoplasmique polyadenylation element binding protein), and TACC3 (Transforming acidic coiled coil protein number 3) have
been found to be substrates of Aurora-A (Giet et al., 1999) (Mendez et al., 2000) (Groisman et al., 2000) (Giet et al., 2002).

This award (DAMD 17-02-1-0344) supports the study from the June 1\textsuperscript{st}, 2002 to the May 31\textsuperscript{st}, 2005 and focus on the genomic instability phenotypes in breast cancer cells. The hypothesis that centrosome abnormality may induce genomic instability was tested out focusing on a mitotic kinases: Aurora kinases, which overexpression induces centrosome amplification, cellular transformation and aneuploidy. Two approaches were taken: one was a genetic screen looking for its protein partners using two-hybrid screen performed in S. cerevisiae (annual report 2003 and 2005). We identified NM23-H1 (a putative tumor suppressor) and astrin (a microtubule spindle-associated protein) as the partner and further characterized the biochemical and genetic interactions between them. The results are published in *Nuclei Acid Research* (2002) and submitted (see key research accomplishment 1 and 3). The second approach was taken through proteomic approaches to purify and identify the Aurora-A protein complex (annual report 2004 and 2005). Seven components in the Aurora-A/STK15 complex were identified and among them, p160ROCK were characterized as an Aurora-A kinase substrate and a genetic “suppressor, which interaction between Aurora-A kinase p160ROCK promotes the genomic instability in cancer cell lines. The results are published in *PNAS* (2004) (see key research accomplishment 2).

**Body**

In the effort to elucidate how Aurora-A overexpression inducing centrosome amplification, cellular transformation, and genomic instability, we performed a genetic screen to identify the interacting proteins of Aurora-A (Task 5-6). NM23-H1 was identified to interact with Aurora-A in a 2-hybrid screen. Association of the two proteins in human cells was confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation from cell lysates. Biochemical purification also indicates Aurora-A and NM23-H1 forms a stable, physical complex. Both Aurora-A and NM23-H1 colocalize to centrosome throughout the cell cycle (see
In the effort to identify the Aurora-A substrate (Task 1-4), we purified the Aurora-A protein complex and found 7 components specifically associated with Aurora-A. One important component is p160ROCK, a Serine/Threonine kinase involved in several cellular functions downstream of Rho, such as smooth muscle contraction, stress fiber formation, and cytokinesis. Suppression of Aurora-A by siRNA in HeLa cells blocks the ability of centrosomes to organize normal mitotic spindles, induces G2/M cell cycle arrest and promotes accumulation of tetraploid cells. In many cases, one outcome of such abnormalities is apoptosis. Introduction of a second genetic lesion: suppression of p160ROCK by RNAi, can rescue abnormal mitotic spindle formation, release the G2/M cell cycle arrest, and alleviate apoptosis, leading to a greater accumulation of aneuploid cells. These results suggest that Aurora-A and p160ROCK act in a common genetic pathway that promotes and monitors progression through G2/M (see the reportable outcomes 2 and annual report 2004).

In the two-hybrid screen, Astrin/DEEPEST, a microtubule-associated protein, was also identified as an Aurora-A interacting protein (Task 5,6). Microtubules are composed of heterodimers of α and β-tubulins. The mitotic spindle, composed primarily of microtubules and associated proteins, is responsible for attaching to the condensed sister chromatids at the kinetochore and accurately segregating them to two daughter cells (Compton, 2000). Microtubule-associated proteins have two groups: motor proteins and nonmotor proteins. Motor proteins participate in microtubule sliding, chromosomes movement along spindle microtubules, and microtubule assembly dynamics. These include cytoplasmic dynein/dynactin and the kinesin family proteins (e.g. Kar3/NCD proteins), which are minus end directed motors (Verde et al., 1991) (Heald, 2000) (Sharp et al., 2000) (Mountain V, 1999) (Endow et al., 1994), and kinesin-like protein Eg5, which is a plus end-directed protein (Wilson et al., 1997) (Blangy et al., 1995). Non-motor proteins include microtubule-stabilizing factors that work by increasing microtubule growth rate, including XMAP230 and related
proteins (Andersen et al., 1994) (Charrasse et al., 1998), and microtubule-destabilizing factors and facilitate the disassembly of microtubules, such as OP18/Stathmin and katanin (Belmont and Mitchison, 1996) (McNally et al., 1996). Other structural proteins, like NuMA, TPX2, TACC, and Orbit (Compton and Cleveland, 1994) (Merdes A, 1996) (Wittmann et al., 2000) (Gergely et al., 2000) (Inoue et al., 2000), may act as scaffolds in centrosome and spindle dynamics.

Human astrin/DEEPEST is a mitotic spindle-associated non-motor protein that localized to spindle microtubules from prophase through anaphase (Chang et al., 2001) (Mack and Compton, 2001) (Gruber et al., 2002). Astrin is a 134 kDa protein with two coiled-coil domains at the C-terminus. Silencing of astrin in HeLa cells by RNA interference resulted in a growth arrest, multipolar formation and highly disordered spindles (Gruber et al., 2002). In the absence of astrin, condensed chromosomes could not align to the spindle equator, ultimately leading to apoptosis (Gruber et al., 2002). Astrin was found to be phosphorylated by p34cdc2 kinase in vitro (Chang et al., 2001). (Chang et al., 2003)

Astrin/DEEPEST was identified as an Aurora-A interacting protein by a two-hybrid screen (Fig.1). Astrin and Aurora-A are co-expressed at mitosis and co-localize to mitotic spindles and to the outer kinetochore (Fig. 2). RNAi-mediated depletion of astrin abolishes the localization of Aurora-A on mitotic spindles, however depletion of Aurora-A does not affect astrin localization (Fig.2, 3). Astrin silencing leads to a moderate mitotic cell cycle delay, that is correlated with de-localization of cyclin B1-CDK1 from the centrosomes and spindles and resembles the mitotic arrest phenotypes
in siAurora-A treated cells (Fig. 4). Co-depletion of both astrin and Aurora-A causes a mitotic arrest phenotype similar to depletion of siAurora-A alone, suggesting that astrin acts upstream of Aurora-A (Fig. 4). Depletion of astrin, which interacts with α-tubulin, also induces Eg5 dependent multipolar spindles from de novo synthesized centrosomes without recruiting centrin 2 (Fig. 5). The results is summarized in the recent manuscript submitted for publication (see reportable outcome 3 and annual report 2004).

We completed the proposal task 1 to 5 in the study. During the time periods of the project, we modified the course of the proposal design and experiments according to the project progression and time frame (see the annual report 2003 and 2004). Although I worked on the screening using the hpRNA library and tested out the suppressor screen of siAurora-A in Hela cells to fulfill task 6 aiming at identifying the
genetic suppressors for Aurora-A inactivation in mammalian system using the laboratory validated hpRNA library screening as proposed in the 2004 annual report, the results was not reportable due to the time incompatibility (see annual report 2004).

Research Accomplishment:

- In a two-hybrid screen looking for STK15 interacting proteins using full length STK15 as a bait, NM23-H1 was identified and characterized as an Aurora-A interacting partner associated in a protein complex at centrosome throughout the cell cycle (Task 5, 6) (Jian Du, Gregory J. Hannon (2002). The centrosome kinase Asurora-A/STK15 interacts with a putative tumor suppressor NM23-H1. Nucleic Acids Research, 20:5465-5475)

• Identified and characterized the mitotic spindle-associated Astrin, which regulates the Aurora-A mitotic spindle localization and functions upstream of Aurora-A (Task 5,6) (Jian Du, Sandra Jablonski, Tim Yen, and Gregory J. Hannon. Depletion of astrin delocalizes Aurora-A/STK15 on induced multipolar spindles, submitted)

**Reportable Outcomes:**


Du J and Hannon, GJ. “Molecular genetic study of Aurora-A: from centrosome to mitotic spindle” (mini-symposium presentation) 2005 Era of Hope Annual meeting, June 8-11, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

List of personnel: Jian Du, Gregory J. Hannon

Conclusion:

We completed the proposal tasks 1 to 5 in the study. For task 6, Although I worked on the screening using the hpRNA library and tested out the suppressor screen of siAurora-A in Hela cells aiming at identifying the genetic suppressors for Aurora-A inactivation in mammalian system using the laboratory validated hpRNA library screening as proposed in the 2004 annual report, the results was not reportable.
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The centrosomal kinase Aurora-A/STK15 interacts with a putative tumor suppressor NM23-H1
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ABSTRACT

Alterations in the activity of the centrosomal kinase, Aurora-A/STK15, have been implicated in centrosome amplification, genome instability and cellular transformation. How STK15 participates in all of these processes remains largely mysterious. The activity of STK15 is regulated by phosphorylation and ubiquitin-mediated degradation, and physically interacts with protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) and CDC20. However, the precise roles of these modifications and interactions have yet to be fully appreciated. Here we show that STK15 associates with a putative tumor and metastasis suppressor, NM23-H1. STK15 and NM23 were initially found to interact in yeast in a two-hybrid assay. Association of these proteins in human cells was confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation from cell lysates and biochemical fractionation indicating that STK15 and NM23-H1 are present in a stable, physical complex. Notably, STK15 and NM23 both localize to centrosomes throughout the cell cycle irrespective of the integrity of the microtubule network in normal human fibroblasts.

INTRODUCTION

Correct partitioning of the genome during mitosis depends upon the tightly regulated function of the mitotic spindle, which is composed of centrosomes, microtubules, molecular motors, chromosomes and kinetochores. The centrosome is the major microtubule-organizing center in mammalian cells and the counterpart to the spindle pole body of the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The centrosome in mammalian cells is composed of two perpendicularly positioned centrioles and the surrounding amorphous pericentriolar material. γ-Tubulin and pericentrins are constitutive components of the centrosome, while other proteins, such as p53, pRB, BRCA1, BRCA2 and CDK2, accumulate at centrosomes in a cell cycle-dependent manner (1–4).

The centrosome normally duplicates once per cell cycle. This process is initiated during G1 after cells pass the restriction point and is completed during G2. Centrosomes separate at G2/M, migrating to opposite poles of the cell to establish the microtubule network that is required to separate condensed chromosomes during M phase. Centrosomes play a vital role in establishing spindle bipolarity, in assembling spindle microtubules and in determining the plane of cytokinesis (for reviews see 5–10). More recently, accumulating evidence suggests a more direct role than had previously been appreciated for the centrosome in cytokinesis and cell cycle progression during the following G1 and S phases (11–13).

Centrosome abnormalities, including morphological alterations, supernumerary centrosomes and acentriolar centrosomes, have been demonstrated in most human cancer cells, including those derived from breast, prostate, lung, colon and brain (for reviews see 14,15; see also 2,16–18). Several oncogenes, tumor suppressor genes, cell division cycle and mitotic checkpoint genes are required for or involved in centrosome duplication, such as Ras, BRCA1 and BRCA2, CDK2, cyclin A and ATR (3,19–23). Like other cellular processes, protein kinases play critical roles in the centrosome duplication process. Among the centrosome-associated kinases, Aurora-A/AIK1/BTAK/STK15 kinase has been identified as a candidate oncogene with connections to the centrosome cycle (24–27). Aurora-A/STK15 is overexpressed at both the mRNA and protein levels in a number of cancer cell lines, including breast, ovarian and prostate (25,27), and also in breast cancer tissues (28–30). Its kinase activity peaks at the G2/M phase of the cell cycle (25). A mutant, kinase-inactive STK15 (Stk15 K162M) abolishes the oncogenic activity of STK15 in Rat1 fibroblasts, while a mutation conferring constitutive activation (Stk15 T288D) increases the kinase activity and enhances transforming potential (25). These results strongly suggest that the kinase activity of STK15 is essential for STK15 function in vivo. STK15 is regulated by phosphorylation and ubiquitin-mediated degradation and interacts with CDC20 and protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) (31–35).

As a putative tumor suppressor, the nm23 gene was discovered on the basis of its reduced expression in highly metastatic cell lines (36). Several studies have shown that NM23 overexpression can reduce the metastatic potential of melanoma and breast carcinoma cells in vivo (37–39). Furthermore, nm23 expression, at both the protein and mRNA levels, inversely correlates with high metastastic potential in numerous human cancers, including breast, gastric, cervical and ovarian carcinoma and melanoma (for a review see 40).
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The nm23 genes encode a protein family with eight subfamilies in human (41). The well characterized biochemical activities of these proteins include NDP kinase (42), protein histidine kinase, histidine-dependent protein phosphotransferase (43–45) and serine autophosphorylation (46,47). Data suggest that it is the level of autophosphorylation that correlates with tumor suppression by NM23 in melanoma cells (46). NM23 associates with the cytoskeleton through an interaction with β-tubulin (48). Awd, the fly homolog of NM23, co-localizes with microtubules in Drosophila cells (49) and more recent data have indicated that NM23 may also be a component of the centrosome (50).

Despite the strong evidence that STK15/Aurora-A/BTAK regulates centrosome duplication, cellular transformation and aneuploidy, little evidence directly connects this kinase with known oncogenes or tumor suppressors. Here we provide the data that STK15 interacts with the tumor suppressor NM23, both in yeast and in normal human fibroblasts. NM23 and STK15 co-fractionate in a high molecular weight complex and co-localize at centrosomes throughout the cell cycle.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids and primers

Full-length STK15 was obtained by PCR from plasmid pcDNA3-STK15/BTAK (a kind gift from Dr S. Sen; 27) using the primers STK15-CHIS5 (CCGGATCCCGGG-GGATGGACGATCTAAAGAAACTGC) and STK15-3XhoI (CCGCTCGAGCTAAGACTGGTTGCTAGCTGACTCTC). STK15-GBT8 was constructed by cloning into the BamHI and XhoI sites of pGBT8. A HeLa cDNA two-hybrid library was used in the two-hybrid screen (51). Full-length nm23-H1 cDNA was obtained by PCR of EST clones ordered from Genome Systems Inc. (EST clones 590228 and 3454119). nm23-H1 cDNA was obtained by PCR of EST clones ordered from Genome Systems Inc. (EST clones 590228 and 3454119). Full-length nm23-H1 cDNA was obtained by PCR of EST clones ordered from Genome Systems Inc. (EST clones 590228 and 3454119). Full-length nm23-H1 cDNA was obtained by PCR of EST clones ordered from Genome Systems Inc. (EST clones 590228 and 3454119). Full-length nm23-H1 cDNA was obtained by PCR of EST clones ordered from Genome Systems Inc. (EST clones 590228 and 3454119). Full-length nm23-H1 cDNA was obtained by PCR of EST clones ordered from Genome Systems Inc. (EST clones 590228 and 3454119).

Cell culture

Human IMR90 cells were purchased from ATCC (CCL-186). These were immortalized by infection with a hTERT retrovirus at passage 25 (52). The cells were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator in Dulbecco’s modified medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 10% (v/v) non-essential amino acids (NEAA) and penicillin/streptomycin (100 IU/ml and 100 μg/ml, respectively). Nocodazole treatment was done by addition of 10 μg/ml nocodazole to the culture medium followed by incubation for 10 min at 37°C.

Two-hybrid screening

To identify proteins interacting with STK15, we screened a plasmid library of fusions between the GAL4 activation domain (GAD, residues 768–881) and HeLa cell cDNA fragments. The GAL4 DNA-binding domain (GBD) is fused with STK15 in the pSTK15-GBT8 construct. The screen was done in a S.cerevisiae reporter strain (pJ64-4a, W303MATa trp9-901, 112 ura3-52 his3-200 gal4 gal80 GAL2-ADE2 LYS2::GAL1-HIS3 met2::GAL7-LacZ, a kind gift from R. Rothstein). A total of 1.2 × 106 transformants were assayed on synthetic drop-out medium (without leucine, histidine and tryptophan, SC−HLW) plates. A total of 104 colonies turned blue on X-gal plates, and the plasmids were recovered from 54 colonies. Retransformation of the plasmids into the test strain confirmed that 20 plasmids retained the ability to activate the β-galactosidase reporter. Sequencing analysis revealed four plasmids contained coding sequences from nm23-H1 genes. The four plasmids were derived from two independent cDNAs comprising nucleotides 25–330 and 4–159 of the nm23-H1 coding region.

Antibodies

A polyclonal antiserum against STK15 (anti-STK15) was raised in rabbits by presenting a KLH-conjugated nine amino acid peptide (synthesized by Research Genetics, Huntsville, AL) from the C-terminus of STK15 (NKEASASKQS). The serum was affinity purified using a resin prepared from the synthesized peptide (53). The antibody recognizes a 46 kDa band in whole cell lysates. For some studies, the affinity-purified antibody was labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 dye (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), for example for examination of cells triple labeled by anti-β-tubulin-FITC, anti-NM23-H1-TRITC and anti-STK15-AF647 antibodies. Affinity-purified anti-NM23 antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA) (rabbit polyclonal sc-343 and sc-343-TRITC for immunofluorescence and mouse monoclonal sc-465 for immunoprecipitation). Mouse monoclonal anti-centrin2 antibody was a kind gift from Dr J. L. Salisbury (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN). Monoclonal anti-γ-tubulin (T-3195) and FITC-conjugated mouse monoclonal anti-β-tubulin were obtained from Sigma (St Louis, MO). Secondary antibodies were obtained from Pierce (Rockford, IL), Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratory Inc. (West Grove, PA) and Molecular Probes Inc. (Eugene, OR).

Immunoblotting, immunoprecipitation and fluorescence microscopy

IMR90 cells were lysed in IP buffer [125 mM NaCl, 1 mM Mg(OAc)2, 1 mM CaCl2, 5 mM EGTA, 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 1% (v/v) NP-40, with freshly dissolved 2 mM DTT, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and protease inhibitors (Roche, Mannheim, Germany)] on ice for 10–15 min. Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad). A total of 10–50 μg protein was analyzed by 10 or 12% (v/v) SDS–PAGE (54).

Immunoprecipitation was performed as described (55) with the following modifications. IMR90 cells were lysed in IP buffer at 4°C for 10 min. The cell lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 10 000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was pre-cleared by incubation for 30 min with agarose–protein A or agarose–protein G beads (Pierce). The supernatant was further incubated with 5 μg antibodies for 3–4 h at 4°C with agitation, after which agarose–protein A or A/G mixture (pre-blocked by incubation with 5% BSA) was added for 1 h at 4°C. The beads were then washed three times with IP buffer. For release of STK15 and associated proteins, beads were incubated with 1 mg/ml synthetic antigen in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 1 h at 4°C with agitation.
For indirect immunofluorescence, cells were grown on acid-washed 13 mm square glass coverslips (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Cells were first fixed with 0.5% (v/v) formaldehyde in PBS (pH 7.2) for 15 min at room temperature and then washed four times with PBS. Fixed cells were permeabilized by 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 in PBS with 1% (v/v) normal goat serum (NGS) and then washed with PBS with 1% (v/v) NGS (Gibco-BRL). The primary antibodies used were anti-STK15 1:100, anti-NM23 1:200, anti-centrin2 1:200 and anti-β-tubulin-FITC 1:25, at room temperature for 1 h. Secondary antibodies were diluted in the blocking buffer at 1:100 or 1:200 and incubated for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. The first wash after secondary antibody included 1 mg/ml Hoechst 33342 (Sigma) for 15 min at room temperature. For triple labeling, the primary antibodies used were as follows: anti-β-tubulin-FITC 1:25, anti-NM23-TRITC 1:50 and anti-STK15-AF647 1:50. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides with mounting medium. Microscopy was carried out on Nikon or Zeiss microscopes with 63× or 100× oil immersion lens. The images were captured with Openlab (Lexington, MA) software and saved as TIFF files.

Biochemical fractionation of STK15 and NM23

For fractionation of STK15 and NM23, IMR90 cells were lysed as above and the lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 10 000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatants were cleared by passage through a 0.2 µm filter and fractionated through three chromatographic steps, Mono S, Mono Q and Superose 6 HR10/30 (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ), in an AKAT FPLC system. The cell lysates were loaded first on Mono S and eluted with a linear gradient of from 100 to 1000 mM potassium chloride in MonoS buffer [20 mM HEPEC (pH 7.6), 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, 0.01% (v/v) NP40]. Fractions were collected (0.5 ml) and those containing the peak of STK15 1.5 ml (from 280 to 370 mM) were collected and dialyzed against MonoQ buffer [20 mM HEPEC (pH 8.0), 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, 0.01% (v/v) NP40, containing 100 mM NaCl]. The dialyzed eluate was then loaded onto Mono Q and was eluted with a linear gradient from 0 to 1000 mM potassium chloride in MonoQ buffer. The peak fractions for STK15 (from 350 to 450 mM) were collected and pooled before 0.5 ml was loaded onto Superose 6. The column was run at 0.4 ml/min with Super6 buffer [20 mM HEPEC (pH 7.6), 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, 0.01% NP40 and 300 mM NaCl]. Fractions of 1 ml were collected and proteins were precipitated with TCA. The pellets were then re-dissolved in 0.1 N NaOH and loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels for immunoblot analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

NM23 and STK15 interact

To search for proteins that interact with STK15 we conducted a yeast two-hybrid screen using the full-length STK15 coding sequence as a bait (see Materials and Methods). Among the positives, we found four plasmids containing the human nm23-H1 coding sequence. Two different fusions were represented, which cover a common N-terminal region of NM23-H1 (three represented fusions of Gal4 to amino acids 9–110, and another represented amino acids 2–53). This suggests that the region of NM23-H1 between amino acids 2 and 53 binds directly to STK15. We inserted the full-length nm23-H1 coding sequence into pGADGH (pGADGH-NM23-H1) and confirmed that this fusion protein also interacts specifically with STK15 (Fig. 1). Only in combination with STK15 did colonies carrying NM23-H1 show significant β-galactosidase expression. Neither NM23-H1 alone (lane 2), normal mouse IgG (lane 3) and anti-NM23-H1 (lane 4) induced β-galactosidase expression. However, at least in yeast, the degree of X-gal staining indicated that the interaction between STK15 and Nm-23H1 was relatively weak, as compared to the positive control (pGBT8-Ras and pGADGH-Raf in this case). It was therefore essential to
Figure 3. STK15 and NM23-H1 co-fractionate. Protein lysates from immortalized IMR90 cells were fractionated on Mono S, Mono Q and Superose 6 columns. Fractions 8–25 of 1 ml volume were collected after the final Superose 6 gel filtration column and constituent proteins were separated by SDS–PAGE for western blotting. STK15 and NM23-H1 are indicated by arrows.
investigate whether these proteins physically interacted in mammalian cells.

To test whether STK15 and NM23 also interact in vivo in human cells, proteins were immunoprecipitated from IMR90 cell lysates using anti-STK15 and anti-NM23 antibodies (see Materials and Methods). The presence of NM23 and STK15 in the precipitate was examined by western blotting (Fig. 2). Anti-STK15 specifically co-immunoprecipitates STK15 and NM23. Pre-incubation of the STK15 antibody with its cognate synthetic antigen coordinately abolished immunoprecipitation of both STK15 and NM23 (Fig. 2, lanes 1 and 2). The NM23 antiserum also immunoprecipitated NM23 and STK15, while normal mouse IgG did not bring down significant amounts of either NM23 or STK15 (Fig. 2, lanes 3 and 4). We did detect some non-specific binding of NM23 to protein A/G beads; however, this is not unexpected considering that NM23 is a relatively abundant protein (lane 3). The foregoing results indicate that STK15 and NM23 associate with each other in human cells. Comparing the total amount of NM23 present in the cell and the amount precipitated by anti-NM23-H1 antibody (Fig. 2, lane 2; both lanes 2 and 4 were from the same amount of cell lysate) suggests that a relatively small percentage of NM23-H1 exists in a stable, physical complex with STK15. In western blots of the anti-STK15 immunoprecipitations, we also detected another protein of ~54 kDa, in addition to the 46 kDa protein that is predicted to be an STK15 protein derived from an alternatively spliced mRNA. We have not definitively determined the identity of the 54 kDa protein; however, the human genome sequencing project has predicted an alternatively spliced STK15 transcript that is predicted to generate a protein of this size (accession no. XP_009546). In contrast, we have confirmed that the ~46 kDa band is STK15 by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.

To verify the interaction between STK15 and NM23-H1, protein extracts from IMR90 cells were fractionated by FPLC. Throughout the purification, we followed STK15 by western blotting. Western analysis of the purification through Mono S, Mono Q and Superose 6 columns revealed that STK15 is present in two distinct forms, a high molecular weight protein complex (Fig. 3, fractions 10 and 11, ~2 MDa) and a relatively low molecular weight complex (fractions 15–17, ~350 kDa). NM23-H1 also shows a peak around fractions 15–17, which
exactly co-migrates with STK15 in the ~350 kDa complex (Fig. 3). This result supports the notion that STK15 and NM23 coexist in a protein complex in vivo.

**STK15 and NM23 co-localize at centrosomes**

It has been shown that STK15 localizes to centrosomes in mitotic cells (25) (Fig. 4A). By fixing IMR90 cells in 0.5% (w/v) formaldehyde, we can also detect STK15 at centrosomes during interphase in immortalized human IMR90 cells. Centrosomes were visualized by simultaneous staining with an anti-centrin2 antiserum, which locates the two centrioles in the centrosome (Fig. 4). The amount of STK15 at centrosomes increases as cells move from interphase to prophase, and SKT15 also becomes apparent on the mitotic spindle. These results suggest that STK15 is a centrosome component throughout the cell cycle (Fig. 4A), but becomes enriched at centrosomes during mitosis. NM23-H1 also localizes to centrosomes in interphase cells (Fig. 4B) (50). At the beginning of prophase NM23-H1 accumulates at the centrosome, as judged from the increased intensity of staining (Fig. 4B, prometaphase) (50). The association of NM23 with centrosomes persists through the mitotic phase and NM23-H1 also distributes somewhat to the microtubule spindles adjacent to the centrosome from metaphase to telophase (Fig. 4B) (50). From late telophase to cytokinesis, NM23-H1 also accumulates at the newly forming midbody microtubules (Figs 4C and 5C in late telophase and cytokinesis cells). Interestingly, an identical distribution pattern at the midbody microtubules is also observed for STK15 (Figs 4C and 5B in late telophase and cytokinesis cells). The accumulation of NM23-H1 on centrosomes coincides with the enrichment of STK15 at the centrosome and with increased STK15 kinase activity at the beginning of mitosis (25).

In order to test whether STK15 and NM23 co-localize, we simultaneously labeled IMR90 cells with β-tubulin-FITC, NM23-TRITC and STK15-AF647 (Cy5) and visualized the localization of each protein (Fig. 4C). β-Tubulin immunofluorescence reveals interphase microtubule filaments and centrosomes. In mitotic phase, β-tubulin localizes both to the mitotic spindles and to the centrosomes (Fig. 4C). NM23 and
STK15 fluorescence is present together at the centrosome at all cell cycle phases (Fig. 4C, the right side merged lane). These results confirm that STK15 and at least some fraction of NM23 co-localize to centrosomes throughout the cell cycle.

**STK15 and NM23 centrosomal localization is microtubule-independent**

There is some evidence indicating that NM23-H1 is β-tubulin associated and localized through γ-tubulin to centrosomes (48,50). In order to clarify that STK15 and NM23 do not associate indirectly at centrosomes through their separate interactions with microtubules, we treated IMR90 cells with nocodazole (see Materials and Methods), which rapidly dissipates the microtubule network in cells (Fig. 5B and C, β-tubulin column).

There are several centrosome-associated proteins that localize to this structure in a spindle-dependent fashion. Of these, perhaps the most well studied is γ-tubulin (56). Upon nocodazole treatment of mammalian cells, centrosomal γ-tubulin is reduced by ~2-fold in interphase cells and by at least 4-fold in mitotic cells (56). Using β- and γ-tubulin antisera, we confirmed that our nocodazole treatment dissipates the microtubule network and dramatically reduces the amount of γ-tubulin associated with the centrosome (Fig. 5A–C). In such cells, STK15 and NM23-H1 still localize to the centrosome with the same pattern and intensity of staining seen in untreated cells (Fig. 5B and C). The result suggests that the interaction between STK15 and NM23 and their centrosome localization are microtubule-independent.

A previous study (57) showed that the centrosomal localization of a *Xenopus laevis* homolog of STK15, Aurora-A, is microtubule-dependent. This result was obtained using a construct consisting of the only N-terminal domain of Aurora-A (57). However, microtubule-independent localization of Aurora-A to the centrosome was observed using a full-length Aurora-A construct (57). Here, we also observed that the localization of full-length STK15 to the centrosome is not dependent on microtubules (Fig. 5B).

A previous study showed that STK15 associates with centrosomes only at onset of mitosis (25). However, our immunofluorescence studies indicate that STK15 associates with centrosomes throughout the cell cycle. This discrepancy...
could arise because of the different cell types used in these studies. Here we examined STK15 in normal or immortalized human fibroblasts cells while previous studies have used human cancer cells and rodent fibroblast cells. Furthermore, different antisera and fixation conditions were used in this and in previous studies. We do, however, observe an increase in the abundance of STK15 at centrosomes in mitotic cells.

STK15 is a centrosome-associated kinase, overexpression of which in rodent or human cancer cells causes improper centrosome duplication, aneuploidy and cellular transformation (25,27). The abundance of STK15 is controlled by the ubiquitin pathway (32,33) and has been linked to CDC20, an APC activator (31). STK15 kinase activity is also controlled by PP1, a protein phosphatase (34). Here we provide evidence that STK15 is associated with a putative tumor and metastasis suppressor protein, NM23-H1. These proteins physically interact and co-localize to centrosomes throughout the cell cycle in human IMR90 cells. NM23-H1 plays important roles in cell proliferation, differentiation, tumorigenesis and metastasis.

The interaction between NM23 and STK15 might potentially modulate either of their activities through a number of mechanisms, ranging from allosteric regulation to induced localization to enzymatic modification. We tested the latter possibility using STK15 and NM23-H1, purified from *Escherichia coli*. Purified STK15 was enzymatically active, as judged by its ability to phosphorylate a model substrate, MBP. NM23 also displayed activity as judged by autophosphorylation and NDP kinase activities (data not shown; 46,58). Neither of the purified proteins was capable of chemically modifying the other nor did mixing these proteins affect their activities towards model substrates in vitro. Therefore, the exact nature of the functional relationship between NM23 and SKT15 remains unknown.
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Alterations in the expression and activity of the centrosomal kinase, Aurora-A/serine/threonine kinase 15 (STK15), affect genomic stability, disrupt the fidelity of centrosome duplication, and induce cellular transformation. Here, we provide evidence that p160ROCK, a Rho-associate serine/threonine kinase, associates with Aurora-A in a protein complex with other STK15-associated factors. Suppression of Aurora-A by small interfering RNA in HeLa cells blocks the ability of centrosomes to organize normal mitotic spindles, induces G2/M cell cycle arrest, and promotes accumulation of tetraploid cells. In many cases, one outcome of such abnormalities is apoptosis. Introduction of a second genetic lesion, suppression of p160ROCK by RNA interference, can rescue abnormal mitotic spindle formation, release the G2/M cell cycle arrest, and alleviate apoptosis, leading to a greater accumulation of aneuploid cells. These results suggest that Aurora-A and p160ROCK act in a common genetic pathway that promotes and monitors progression through G2/M.

The eukaryotic centrosome is the microtubule-organizing center and is composed of two perpendicularly positioned centrioles and surrounding amorphous pericentriolar materials (for reviews, see refs. 1–6). Four groups of protein kinases are found to regulate the centrosome replication cycle: cyclin-dependent kinases (7–9), Polo-like kinases (10), NIMA kinases (11), and Aurora kinases. In mammalian cells, the Aurora kinase family has three members: Aurora-A, -B, and -C. Alterations in the expression and activity of Aurora-A/serine/threonine kinase 15 (STK15) affect genomic stability, disrupt the fidelity of centrosome duplication, and induce cellular transformation (12–15). Aurora-A is regulated by ubiquitin pathways and protein phosphorylation (16–19). A kinesin-related protein (Eg5), cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding protein (CPEB), and transforming acidic coiled-coil protein number 3 (TACC3) have been found to be substrates of Aurora-A (20–23).

Recently, p160ROCK has been identified as a centrosome component and functions in centrosome positioning and centrosome-dependent exit from mitosis (24). p160ROCK is a member of Rho-associated serine/threonine protein kinases. p160ROCK/Rho-kinase/ROK kinases are involved in various cellular functions downstream of Rho, such as smooth muscle contraction, stress fiber formation, and cytokinesis (25). p160ROCK phosphorylates LIM kinase and mDia, which then phosphorylates cofelin to regulate the Rho-induced reorganization of cytoskeleton (26). p160ROCK has also been reported to interact with CDC25A in transforming growth factor β-induced cell cycle arrest (27) and to be involved in tumorigenesis (28,29), and apoptosis (30). Here we provide biochemical and genetic evidence that Aurora-A and p160ROCK associate physically and functionally in a protein complex. These proteins interact genetically, with suppression of p160ROCK alleviating the G2/M cell cycle arrest induced by inactivation of Aurora-A.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture. Normal human foreskin fibroblast IMR90 cells were immortalized by hTERT expression, and early passage HeLa and LinXA (293Tt derivative as in ref. 31) were cultured as in ref. 32. To assess mitotic spindle reassembly capacity in vivo, microtubules were disassembled with nocodazole and cold treatment (32). The cells were incubated at 37°C for 10 min to promote spindle reassembly. This process was monitored by anti-β-tubulin indirect immunofluorescence. p160ROCK specific inhibitor Y27632 (Calbiochem) was used at 100 µM in Fig. 2b.

Antibodies. Affinity-purified anti-Aurora-A, anti-GST-Aurora-A sera (32), rabbit polyclonal anti-γ-tubulin, mouse monoclonal anti-α-tubulin, anti-β-tubulin (Sigma), rabbit anti-phospho-H3 (Ser-10, Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY), mouse monoclonal anti-BrdUrd (Amersham Pharmacia), rabbit polyclonal anti-MAD2 (Covance, Berkeley, CA), anti-p160ROCK serum (a kind gift from S. Taylor, Manchester, U.K.), anti-DDX3 and DDX6 (kind gifts from J. Sommerville, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews, Scotland and A. H. Patel, Medical Research Council, Institute of Virology, Glasgow, U.K.) were used. Another rabbit polyclonal anti-p160ROCK antibody against the C-terminal 9 aa (VKNTS-GKTS) of p160ROCK was affinity-purified as in ref. 32.

Immunoprecipitation, Immunofluorescence, Immunoblot, and Aurora-A Depletion Assay. Immunoprecipitation, immunofluorescence, and immunoblotting were as described in ref. 32. Denaturing immunoprecipitation was done as in ref. 33. To measure how much p160ROCK associates with Aurora-A in vivo, we used increasing amounts of anti-GST-Aurora-A serum, and found that Aurora-A in 1 × 10⁶ immortalized IMR90 cells can be 90% depleted by using 50 µl of anti-GST-Aurora-A serum. In Fig. 1c, the same amount of anti-Aurora-A antibody was used and images on immunoblot were captured with Alphaimager 2200 and quantified by CHEMILMAGER v5.5 program (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA).

35S-Labeling of Cells. IMR90 or cancer cell lines (2 × 10⁶ cells) were labeled with 35S-translabeling mixtures (Easy tag express [35S] protein-labeling mix, 1,175 Ci/mmol; NEN) for 4 h. The cells were lysed, and immunoprecipitations were as in ref. 32.

Peptide Sequencing, MS Analysis, and Sequence Identification. Preparative immunoprecipitates from 2.5 × 10⁸ IMR90 cells by using 1.5 mg of affinity-purified anti-Aurora-A antibody were resolved in 10% or 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and stained by Bio-Safe Coomassie G250 (Bio-Rad) or sequencing-grade Coomassie blue G250 (Sigma). Individual bands were excised and digested with trypsin, and peptides were fractionated by RP-HPLC. The MS sequencing was done in the Bio-medical Mass Spectrometry Facility at Washington University, St. Louis, as in ref. 34.
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To identify regulators and substrates of Aurora-A kinase, immortalized human IMR90 fibroblast cells were labeled with 35S methionine. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with affinity-purified anti-Aurora-A antibody (α-Aurora-A lane) or anti-Aurora-A plus cognate antigen polypeptide (α-Aurora-A / cognate polypeptide + lanes) and were resolved on SDS-10% polyacrylamide gel and subjected to autoradiography. SAFs with numbers indicate the apparent molecular mass on the gel, starting from the largest component (160 kDa). Molecular mass markers are indicated on the left. (b) Anti-p160ROCK, -SAF68, -SAF55, and -Aurora-A immunoblotting on anti-Aurora-A immunoprecipitates from IMR90 cells. (c) IMR90 cell lysates that were either titrated with anti-GST-Aurora-A to remove Aurora-A protein, or with same amount of preimmune sera as a control were subjected to immunoblotting with anti-p160ROCK and Aurora-A. Tubulin was used as a loading control. The integrity of STK15 complexes is compromised in various breast and prostate cancer cell lines tested. However, SAF160 appears in every cell line examined, pointing to the potential importance of its association with Aurora-A (Fig. 1a). To identify components of the Aurora-A complex, Aurora-A-associated protein bands were excised and analyzed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time-of-flight MS and electrospray ionization MS/MS. Mass fingerprinting indicated that the 46-kDa protein was Aurora-A and that SAF160 was p160ROCK. Immunoblotting Aurora-A immunoprecipitates showed that anti-Aurora-A specifically immunoprecipitated Aurora-A, and p160ROCK, SAF68, and SAF55 (also identified as DDX3 and DDX6 by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time-of-flight) (Fig. 1b). ImmunoBlot analysis demonstrated that γ-tubulin is not present at detectable levels in the SAF immunocomplex (data not shown). Therefore, it is unlikely that the purified SAF complex represents part of the centrosome that is indirectly associated with Aurora-A through γ-tubulin. TPX2 has been found to interact with Aurora-A by immunoprecipitation (38). However, we did not see a band of 100 kDa corresponding to TPX2 (Fig. 1a). Use of different anti-Aurora-A antibodies, cell lines, and labeling methods may account for this discrepancy in the immunoprecipitation results. To examine whether SAFs coexist with Aurora-A in a large multiprotein complex, IMR90 lysates were fractionated by FPLC. All the identified proteins cofractionated with Aurora-A on Mono S and Mono Q ion-exchange columns and on a third Superose 6 gel filtration column (Fig. 5a, which is supporting information on the PNAS web site). On Superose 6, Aurora-A split into two distinct peaks, indicating that Aurora-A exists in multiple protein complexes (Fig. 5b and ref. 32). Immunodepletion with anti-GST-Aurora-A antibody in IMR90 cell lysates suggested that roughly half of endogenous p160ROCK associates with Aurora-A (Fig. 1c), either at the centrosome or in the cytoplasm (24).

We used RNAi to investigate consequences of suppressing Aurora-A or p160ROCK in HeLa cells. siRNAs dramatically reduced the expression of targeted proteins (Fig. 2a). Immunofluorescence stains with anti-Aurora-A and -p160ROCK also confirmed the depletions. The depletion of Aurora-A also
significantly reduced the p160ROCK protein level (Fig. 2a), consistent with the notion that two proteins interact in a complex in vivo (39).

Twenty-four hours after transfection of siAurora-A, cells became rounder and grew more slowly than the control siGFP-transfected cells. Flow cytometry showed a significant increase in G2/M phase cells in comparison with that observed in the control siGFP- or siROCK-treated cells (Fig. 2b Right). Notably, this cell cycle phenotype could be alleviated by simultaneous cotransfection of siRNAs directed against Aurora-A and p160ROCK (Fig. 2b Right, siAurora-A+siROCK). Correspondingly, a ROCK kinase specific inhibitor, Y27632, also showed a dosage-dependent suppression of the G2/M arrest phenotype in siAurora-A-transfected cells (Fig. 2b Right, siAurora-A+Y27632). These results demonstrate an epistatic relationship between Aurora-A and p160ROCK and indicate that they function in the same genetic pathway.

The observed phenotypes were also confirmed by immunofluorescence staining of cells with anti-phospho-H3 antibody, a mitotic marker that specifically labels cells from the beginning of prophase to the end of anaphase (Fig. 2c). Quantitation of anti-phospho-H3 staining by flow cytometry supported interpretations from the immunofluorescence studies (data not presented).
Fig. 4. Depletion of Aurora-A, p160ROCK, or both induces genomic instability. HeLa cells were analyzed 24, 48, and 72 h after transfection with siRNAs for mitotic spindle (a), centrosome (b), and nuclear (c) morphologies. (a) Spindles that can organize arrays of extended microtubules were counted as normal, either with one or two spindles, or with multiple (>2) spindles. Disorganized, distinctively fewer, and shorter spindles were counted as weak spindles (either one or two, or multiple). (b) Centrosomes were grouped according to their numbers in either single or multiply (>2) nucleated cells. (c) Nuclei with free chromosome(s) (satellite nuclei), lagging chromosomes between two cells, string-like (abnormal chromosomes that were fragmented or unravelled), misplaced (positions in the cells dramatically deviated from wild-type controls), were counted as fragmented nuclei. The nuclei with perfectly round, smooth edges were counted as uniform nuclei. Cells with more than two nuclei were counted as cells with multiple nuclei. Three independent experiments were conducted and in each experiment, at least 100 mitotic (a) or 200 (b and c) cells were examined.

Because Aurora-A is a centrosomal kinase, we next assessed the integrity of mitotic spindles and examined centrosome and nuclear morphologies in siAurora-A-transfected cells (Fig. 3). Most mitotic cells contained abnormal spindles with disorganized arrays of microtubules and fewer and shorter spindles than in normal control cells (Fig. 3b, anti-α-tubulin columns in siAurora-A images). In addition, comparison of the spindle lengths, intensities, and levels of the anti-α-tubulin stains in siAurora-A-treated cells with those of siGFP control cells showed that the spindle microtubule networks in Aurora-A-depleted cells did not extend to the condensed prometaphase chromosomes (Fig. 3a and b, anti-α-tubulin columns). Some of the abnormal spindles still apparently radiated from centrosomes (Fig. 3b). Others had multiple origins that did not always colocalize with centrosomes or concentrations of γ-tubulin (Fig. 3b, anti-α-tubulin stains indicated by triangles). The portion of cells with abnormal spindles increased dramatically over the time course of the experiment (Fig. 4a). This outcome is the most prominent defect in the siAurora-A-transfected cells. Anti-γ-tubulin staining showed that with abnormal mitotic spindles, multiple concentrated spots of γ-tubulin occurred in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3b, anti-γ-tubulin spots indicated with arrows). Suppression of Aurora-A also induced centrosome amplification in interphase cells (Fig. 4b). Although chromosomes condensed at prometaphase in arrested mitotic cells, virtually no normal metaphase and anaphase mitotic figures were found in these transfected cells, suggesting cells arrested at prometaphase (Fig. 3b). Live cell imaging over a 4-h time course showed no progression of the arrested cells to metaphase, whereas control cells progressed from prometaphase through anaphase in ~50 min (data not shown). siRNAs to Aurora-A also resulted in a significant accumulation of binucleated cells (Fig. 4c). Many nuclei had satellite micronuclei around the major interphase nucleus. In addition, many nuclei showed irregular undefined edges and shapes, a phenotype that often accompanies genomic instability and aneuploidy. The cell population with these unusual nuclear morphologies increased dramatically over the time course of the experiment (Figs. siAurora-A, 4C portion 2b Left, and 4e), indicating an increase in genomic instability of the cells. The mitotic spindle defects we observed here are closely resemble to those recently observed in Aurora-A-depleted HeLa cells (38, 40).

In siROCK-transfected cells, the number of cells with multiple mitotic spindles and the number of cells with apparent centrosome amplification in interphase cells increased significantly in comparison with siGFP control cells (Fig. 4a and b). A dramatic
increase in mitotic spindle reassembly capacity was also observed in siROCK-transfected cells (see Materials and Methods). Eighty percent of mitotic cells showed multiple, reassembled mitotic spindles after transient treatment with nocodazole (Fig. 3c, anti-α-tubulin columns in siROCK images), in comparison with 20% of control cells. The result showed the siROCK-transfected cells are highly prone to reassembling inappropriate mitotic spindles using nascent γ-tubulin spots. In accordance with this result, we observed an increase in aneuploid cells (Figs. siROCK, 4C portion 2b Left, and 4c). Also, siROCK transfection caused nuclear fragmentation (Fig. 4c). However, a dramatic increase did not occur in multinucleated cell populations (Fig. 4c). This result suggests that depletion of p160ROCK alone can give rise to aneuploid cells, although to a lesser degree than does loss of Aurora-A.

Again, we found the mitotic arrest caused by suppression of Aurora-A was alieviated by coincedent depletion of p160ROCK (Fig. 2 b Right, siAurora-A+siROCK, and c). Cotransfection of both siAurora-A and siROCK led to assembly of functional mitotic spindles (Fig. 3d, anti-α-tubulin columns in siAurora-A+siROCK images), completion of mitosis, regrouping of split centrosomes into two functional groups for organizing bipolar spindles (Fig. 3d, anti-γ-tubulin columns in siAurora-A+siROCK images), and greater accumulation of aneuploid cells (Figs. siAurora-A+siROCK, 4C portion 2b Left, and 4c).

The immunoprecipitated Aurora-A not only phosphorylated an artificial substrate, myelin basic protein, but also phosphorylated a p160 in the SAF complex (Fig. 6 a and b, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). This finding was confirmed by denaturing IP to be p160ROCK (Fig. 6c). Aurora-A also phosphorylated another protein, p82, in purified centrosomes (Fig. 6 a and b). Phosphorylation of p160ROCK in the immunoprecipitated Aurora-A complex can be spesifically increased in cells transfected with constructs encoding Aurora-A, but not a mutant Aurora-A K162M, which lacks kinase activity (41) (Fig. 6d). In fact, [32P]orthophosphate labeling indicated that phosphorylation of p160ROCK in the cells expressing a kinase dead Aurora-A K162M mutant appreciably decreased, suggesting that Aurora-A is responsible, at least in part, for p160ROCK phosphorylation in vivo (Fig. 6e, comparing P-p160ROCK band intensity in K162M lane with control lane). Phosphoamino acid analyses of excised [32P]-labeled p160ROCK band showed that only serine residue(s) are phosphorylated (Fig. 7a, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). In vitro kinase assays with various GST-p160ROCK fusion proteins as sub-

strates for Aurora-A (Fig. 7b, all fusion proteins started from N terminus of p160ROCK and ended at the indicated amino acids) showed GST-p160ROCKK1034 was significantly labeled, but not GST-p160ROCK726 or other fusion proteins (Fig. 7c), suggesting the phosphorylated serine residues are located between 726 and 1034 aa of p160ROCK.

A G2/M delay phenotype had been reported after overexpression of Aurora-A in HeLa cells (42). A similar mitotic defect is also observed on Aurora-A loss-of-function in Drosophila melanogaster and in S. cerevisiae (43, 44). This result together with our observation indicate that balance of Aurora-A protein in the cell is critical and that either down- or up-regulation of its protein level will compromise its function and lead to mitotic defects. Suppression of aurora-A (aurora-1) in Caenorhabditis elegans by RNAi does not affect centrosome separation, but mitotic spindle formation (45), similar to what we observed here in HeLa cells. However, in D. melanogaster synctial embryos, aurora mutations cause centrosome separation defects, which result in monopolar spindles and abnormal mitoses. The differences between these observed phenotypes could be due to cell type-specific functions of Aurora kinases. However, we favor the notion that different genetic lesions (e.g., point mutation versus nearly, but not complete suppression by RNAi versus null mutations) leads to different phenotypic outcomes. Although a causal relationship between phosphorylation of p160ROCK by Aurora-A and suppression of mitotic arrest has not been firmly established here, our results indicate that p160ROCK acts downstream of Aurora-A in a common genetic pathway and phosphorylation of p160ROCK by Aurora-A may mimic the depletion of p160ROCK to bypass the mitosis arrest caused by Aurora-A inactivation.
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