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Abstract  Downlink beamforming refers to the problem of using an array of antennas at a particular node (e.g., a basestation) in a wireless network to communicate simultaneously with multiple co-channel users. The users in the network may have a single antenna, and hence no ability for spatial discrimination, or they may have multiple antennas and the ability to perform some type of interference suppression. The primary issue is how to balance the need for high, received signal power for each user against the interference produced by the signal at other points in the network. In this presentation, we describe several approaches to this problem: channel inversion, regularized channel inversion, channel block diagonalization, coordinated transmit/receive beamforming, and dirty-paper coding. While the basic idea behind these algorithms is the same, namely the use of channel information at the transmitter to predict and then counteract the interference produced at each node in the network, each of the algorithms is based on achieving a different performance objective. Typical performance criteria include zero-interference transmission, minimum transmit power subject to a minimum signal-to-interference plus noise ratio at each receiver, or maximum throughput subject to a given transmit power constraint. We compare the various goals of the above algorithms, and detail their respective advantages and disadvantages in terms of computational complexity, required transmit power, network throughput, and assumed receiver capabilities. The results of several simulation studies are presented to quantify these comparisons.
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Single-User, Point-to-Point MIMO

- Receive processing is centralized, assume CSI at receiver (rCSI)
- Under ideal conditions (e.g., independent Rayleigh fading)
  - capacity grows linearly with min$(N,M)$
  - capacity growth is independent of CSI at the transmitter (xCSI)
- If channel is rank deficient, xCSI is much more important
Multi-User MIMO Downlink

- Receive processing is distributed, only local rCSI is available
- Without xCSI, no capacity growth at high SNR due to interference, even in the ideal case
MU-MIMO Capacity Example

8x8 Single User
8 Transmit Antennas
8 Receive Antennas

8x{4,4} Multi-User
8 Transmit Antennas
8 Total Receive Antennas

One User
- Uninformed Transmit
- Full CSI: Water-Filling

Two Users
- Uninformed Transmit
- Full CSI: Block Diagonal

Capacity vs. SNR (dB)
Assume $P$ users, each with $m$ antennas, $d$ data streams transmitted to each user ($m \geq d$).

Signal received by user 1:

$$x_1 = H_1 T_1 s_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{P} H_k T_k s_k + n_1$$

Assume each user’s data stream is scaled so that $\|s_i\| = 1$.

System equation:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  x_P
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  H_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  H_P
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  T_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  T_P
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  s_P
\end{bmatrix}
+ 
\begin{bmatrix}
  n_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  n_P
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Total # of receive antennas: $M = mP$

$$= HTs + n$$
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Special Case: Single Antenna Users

Assume each user has \( m = 1 \) antenna, and \( N \geq M = P \).

Channel Inversion

Transmitter “pre-inverts” the channel. Transmit beamformers are columns of the pseudo-inverse:

\[
T_{ci} = \gamma H^* (HH^*)^{-1}
\]

To maintain fixed transmit power \( \rho \), must scale signal:

\[
\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{s^* (HH^*)^{-1} s}}
\]

Ideally, channel inversion eliminates all inter-user interference:

\[
x = HT_{ci} s + n = \gamma HH^* (HH^*)^{-1} s + n = \gamma s + n
\]

- problems obviously arise when channel is (nearly) rank deficient
- problem isn’t noise amplification, instead it is signal attenuation due to power constraints
- what about in the “ideal” case, e.g., with independent Rayleigh fading?
Assume elements of $H$ are independent, Rayleigh with unit variance, $N = P = M$ and $s \sim \mathcal{Q}(0, I)$.

A bad sign:

$$\lambda = s^* (HH^*)^{-1} s$$

is distributed as

$$p(\lambda) = N \frac{\lambda^{N-1}}{(1 + \lambda)^{N+1}}$$

and

$$E(\lambda) = \infty$$

**Capacity for large $N$:**

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} C_{ci} = \frac{p}{\sigma^2} \log_2(e)$$

$$\implies$$

No capacity growth with # of users/antennas


** Peel, Hochwald & Swindlehurst, *Proc. 41st Allerton Conf.*, October 2003
Regularized Channel Inversion

A simple fix is to regularize the inverse:

\[ T_{rci} = \gamma H' \left( HH' + \alpha I \right)^{-1} \]

Linear growth with \( N \) is recovered:

(To maximize SINR at the receivers, choose*

\[ \alpha = \frac{N \sigma^2}{\rho} \]


---
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What’s the real issue?

- The regularization “quick fix” helps, but there is still a significant performance gap.

- Ultimately, problems arise when \( s \) happens to lie in the direction of the “largest” singular vector of \((HH^*)^{-1}\).

- **IDEA:** could we perturb \( s \) to eliminate this possibility, i.e.,

\[
\min_{s_p} (s + s_p)^* (HH^*)^{-1} (s + s_p)
\]

and still decode \( s \) at the receivers, without knowledge of \( s_p \)?

- Yes, using a little “trick”: *modulo pre-coding*.


Vector Modulo Pre-coding

Use a perturbation of the form

\[ s_p = \tau c = \tau (a + jb) \]

where \( \tau \) is real and \( a, b \) are vectors of integers. For channel inversion:

\[
x = HT_{ci} (s + s_p) + n = \gamma HH^* \left( (HH^*)^{-1} (s + s_p) + n \right)
= \gamma s + \gamma \tau c + n
\]

Assuming receivers know \( \gamma \), perfect decoding is possible w/out noise using the mod-function:

\[
f_\tau (y) = y - \left[ \frac{y + \tau/2}{\tau} \right] \tau
\]

At receiver \( k \),

\[
f_\tau \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} x_k \right) = f_\tau (s_k + \tau a_k + \tau j b_k) = s_k
\]

\( \tau \) must be chosen large enough to avoid mod-function ambiguities:

\[
\tau = 2 (d_{\text{max}} + \Delta/2), \quad d_{\text{max}} = \text{constellation "size"}, \quad \Delta = \text{constellation "spacing"}
\]
Vector Modulo Pre-coding (cont.)

Choose $c$ to solve integer-lattice least-squares problem (sphere encoding):

$$\min_{a \in \mathbb{L}, b \in \mathbb{L}} (s + \tau a + \tau jb)^\top (HH^\top)^{-1} (s + \tau a + \tau jb)$$

- $\tau \to 0, \infty \Rightarrow$ standard ch. inversion
- can regularize this approach too
- provides linear capacity growth w/ $N$
- with outer turbo code, this approach gets within 3-4 dB of capacity
- to get closer, one must resort to “dirty paper” techniques, which lead to much more complex receivers

10 Tx antennas, 10 users (1 Rx each), 4QPSK.
An Alternative Based on Power Control (Interference Balancing)

With a single antenna at each receiver, the columns of $T = [t_1 \ldots t_P]$ represent the transmit beamformers for each user, and each channel is a row vector $H_i = h_i^*$:

$$x_i = h_i^* t_i s_i + \sum_{k \neq i} h_k^* t_k s_k + n_i$$

Interference from signals sent to other users

In the power control formulation, minimize total transmitted power subject to a certain QoS constraint, usually measured by SINR:

$$\min \sum_{k=1}^{P} t_k^* t_k \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \frac{t_i^* h_i h_i^* t_i}{\sum_{k \neq i} t_k^* h_k h_k^* t_k + \sigma^2} \geq \beta_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, P$$

Can be posed as a convex, semi-definite optimization & efficiently solved using (for example) interior-point methods. See
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Multiple-Antenna Users

Recall system equation for $P$ users with $m$ antennas each:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  x_P
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  H_1 & \cdots & T_P
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  s_P
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
  n_1 \\
  \vdots \\
  n_P
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
= HTs + n
$$

- If $N \geq M = mP$, we could diagonalize $HT$, but such an approach would be sub-optimal, since it would ignore spatial discrimination at the receivers.

- From the standpoint of capacity, it is better to block-diagonalize $HT$, and then use water-filling to allocate power to all available spatial channels*


- Disadvantage is that capacity may be achieved at the expense of weak users; e.g., 1-2 strong users may take a dominant share of available power.

A. Lee Swindlehurst

Electrical & Computer Engineering

Brigham Young University
Multiple-Antenna User Example

4 xmit antennas, 4 total rcv antennas, Rayleigh fading channel

Capacity CCDFS for $n_T = 4$ at 10 dB SNR

- Inversion
- Block Diag.
- Blind Tx
- 1 User

User A: $\{1,1,1,1\}$

User B: $\{2,2\} \times 4$
Joint Tx-Rx Design Problem:
1 Sub-Channel per User

It is unlikely that \( N \geq M = mP \). Can multiplex up to \( N \) data streams with \( N \) antennas. Assume 1 stream is sent to each of \( P \leq N \) users, who employ receive beamformers:

\[
\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix}
    x_1 \\
    \vdots \\
    x_P
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    w_1^* H_1 \\
    \vdots \\
    w_P^* H_P
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    t_1 \\
    \vdots \\
    t_P
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    s_1 \\
    \vdots \\
    s_P
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
    w_1^* n_1 \\
    \vdots \\
    w_P^* n_P
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Composite channel is from xmit antennas to the output of rcv beamformers.

**Problem:**
- Design of optimal xmit beamformer \( t_i \) requires knowledge of all rcv beamformers \( w_k \) (see previous slides)
- Design of rcv beamformer \( w_i \) requires knowledge of at least the xmit beamformer \( t_i \); for example:

\[
w_{\text{MMSE},i} = \left( \sum_{k \neq i} H_k t_k t_k^* H_k^* + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1} H_i t_i \quad \text{or} \quad w_{\text{MRC},i} = H_i t_i
\]
Joint Tx-Rx Beamformer Design: 1 Sub-Channel per User

\[ x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_P \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1^* H_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_P^* H_P \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ \vdots \\ t_P \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_P \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} w_1^* n_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_P^* n_P \end{bmatrix} \]

Consider the following iterative algorithm (at the transmitter):

1. Find an initial set of rcv weights \( w_1, \ldots, w_P \) (e.g., use singular vectors of the channel matrices).

2. Calculate xmit beamformers \( t_1, \ldots, t_P \) using desired “single-antenna” algorithm:
   - channel inversion
   - regularized inversion
   - vector precoding
   - power control

3. Calculate optimal receive beamformers using, e.g., MMSE or MRC criteria.

4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 until convergence.
Joint Tx-Rx Beamformer Design:
Multiple Sub-Channels per User

\[ x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_P \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} W_1^* H_1 \\ \vdots \\ W_P^* H_P \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & \cdots & T_P \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_P \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} W_1^* n_1 \\ \vdots \\ W_P^* n_P \end{bmatrix} \]

- Total # of sub-channels cannot be greater than # of xmit antennas
- Can employ same algorithms as in single sub-channel case
- Resource allocation is critical: *Who gets the sub-channels?* (multi-user diversity)
- Solution should be adaptive; avoid users with rank-deficient channels (simulations show fixed allocation strategies perform poorly)
- Larger question: How to group users that are spatially multiplexed?
Joint Tx-Rx Beamformer Example

Rayleigh fading channel

![Graph showing capacity distribution for different beamformer configurations](image-url)
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Experimental Results

- 10x10 MIMO channel measurements collected in BYU’s Clyde Building
- 2.43 Ghz carrier, 25 kHz bandwidth
- Circular transmit and receive arrays, 0.86 λ radius
- Transmit array is fixed, receive array is moving
- 29 10x10 channel samples obtained for every λ over 43m.
Experimental Results (cont.)

How close can 2 users be for spatial multiplexing to be possible?
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Summary

- In the multi-user MIMO downlink, must balance desire for high throughput to one user with interference experienced by other users

- Unlike the single-user case, CSI at the transmitter is crucial

- Focus on “closed-form” solutions, simple receiver structures (in general, achieving capacity requires complicated “dirty-paper” techniques and coding schemes)

- Two standard paradigms considered:
  - maximize throughput with zero interference for fixed xmit power
  - minimize xmit power s.t. desired QoS (e.g., rcv SINR) is achieved

- Presented several techniques: channel inversion, regularized channel inversion, vector modulo pre-coding, interference balancing, etc.

- Experimental results are promising
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