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During the administrative funding period of this grant we have developed a system that permits three-dimensional reconstruction of intraductal tumors (DCIS) from physical tissue sections. The system reduces the interaction required for low-resolution imaging of H&E stained sections, registration of images of consecutive sections and annotation of tissue structures -i.e. morphologically normal ducts and intraductal tumors- in the images. In addition, we have developed fully automatic tools for image registration and annotation that are now being integrated in our system and used in the reconstruction of the latest tissue specimens. Complementing morphological H&E based reconstruction, our system can be used for morphologically driven acquisition of high-resolution images from immunostained intermediate sections, both using fluorescence and brightfield microscopy. We have used our system to characterize the cellular differences between malignant transformed cells and morphologically normal cells of ducts either in continuum or in the proximity of DCIS tumors. We have looked at the distributions following markers: ER and PR status, Ki67 (proliferation). Also, software developed for this projects have been used to study the correlation between genomic instability and telomere length in breast cancer progression.
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INTRODUCTION

When a cancerous lump is detected early in the breast, the patient may elect to undergo the less traumatic treatment of lumpectomy. The treatment involves removing the cancerous lesion while leaving most of the breast intact. Although the surfaces of the excised tissue are normally checked for signs of cancer to ensure that the lesion was completely removed, approximately 1 in 5 of these patients suffer from recurrence of the disease. Therefore, lumpectomy alone frequently does not render the patient disease free. We hypothesize that diseased cells exist outside the histologically identifiable border of the biopsied lesion, most likely in the form of individual or small groups of cells that have extended from the primary lesion. These cells could eventually create new secondary cancer foci. The alternative hypothesis is that the disease is really multicentric and exists as a system of independent non-connected (neither physically nor genetically) foci. This project uses 3D digital microscopy for analyzing tissue structure at multiple scales and in situ genetic analysis to recognize normal from diseased cells on an individual basis. By combining these two techniques, we will be able to measure the spatial distribution of genetically aberrant cells versus normal appearing cells beyond the leading edge of the intraductal lesions. These cancer cells lying in the lumen of morphologically normal ducts could be easily overlooked using traditional histology staining. The distribution of cancer cells will help us understand the spreading mechanism. Answering this question may help us to predict before surgery which patients will suffer recurrence, which patients need additional treatment following lumpectomy to avoid recurrence, and provide valuable information in the search for new treatments.

In this project we will use computerized microscopy to locate a lesion inside a duct and to trace in 3 dimensions the ducts branching from it. Then, using the technique described above we will detect the abnormal cells in the normal looking ducts extending from the lesion. Analysis of the spatial pattern of abnormal cells will tell us if isolated or small groups of cancer cells are present or if some other spreading mechanism is taking place, and how far the spreading is from the lesion.
BODY

Our accomplishments for the entire funding period (08/01/00-07/31/04) will be described following the Tasks enumerated in the approved proposal.

As mentioned in last year's "final" report, the technology developments required for this grant were done under the join budget of this and another grant, "Three-dimensional computer-based mammary gland reconstruction for measurement of the patterns of hormone receptor expression during mammary development" (DAMD17-00-1-0306). That is why the technology accomplishments reported here (mainly Tasks 1 and 2) are similar to those described in the other grant's report.

Note: this report corresponding to the one-year no cost extension requested last year has been written by completing the "final" report of the grant sent in August, 2003. We have kept the statements relative to the accomplishments done during the first three years, adding those obtained during the one-year no-cost extension.

Task 1. (Months 1-12) Modify an existing microscopic imaging system for acquiring low magnification (1 pixel= 5 μm) images of entire tissue sections and for tracing in 3D the ducts in the tissue specimen from a series of images of adjacent sections.

1. Complete the existing JAVA based software for interactive marking and 3D virtual rendering of ducts so that it allows any branching pattern. (Months 1-6)

2. Interface the existing acquisition and registration software with the JAVA application to allow revisiting of acquired slides for inspection and high-resolution acquisition of areas of interest. (Months 6-12)

At the end of the entire funding period, we can present R3D2, a robust JAVA based software that can be used to semi-automatically image and reconstruct tissue structures from serially sectioned thin (5 μm) tissue sections. This system controls a fully automated scanning microscope and can automatically acquire entire sections stained for either fluorescence (e.g. DAPI) or bright field (e.g. H&E) microscopy. The system acquires and tiles together the multiple single field-of-view images that cover the entire extent of the section, adjusting the focus plane of the microscope, when required to maintain optimum contrast of the acquired images. All the related sections that make up a tissue block are stored following a predefined directory structure and can be loaded and browsed easily. To allow real-time loading and browsing of these extremely large
images (some of them can reach 70Mb) we have used memory mapping techniques that permit accessing the large image files without loading the entire image pointer in the computer memory. Visualization of the entire section is done by displaying reduced version of the original imaged, subsampled to fit the size of the visualization window. Then, zooming in areas of the section is done by retrieving the image data directly from the image file, based on image coordinates selected in the subsampled version of the image of the entire section.

Image acquisition can be done in grayscale using the 12 bit CCD camera attached to the microscope, or in color by sequentially acquiring grayscale images using the proper excitation/emission filters (fluorescence) or an RGB tunable liquid crystal filter (brightfield). Visualization of 12 bit images in a 8 bit per color depth display can be done by linear compression or truncation of the image data. In addition, images can be linearly stretched to enhance low contrast images. To complement its tissue imaging capabilities, R3D2 provides interactive tools for registering images of consecutive sections, which is necessary to faithfully render in three dimensions tissue structures traversing multiple sections. This is critical, due to the significant missregistration between sections, consequence of the entire manual sectioning process. In fact, besides linear effects (shifting and rotation), there are frequent non-linear effects such as tissue folding, shrinking, stretching or tearing which make registration extremely difficult. Within the scope of this grant, we have solved and automated (see below) the linear registration problem, but correcting non-linear effects is one of the future tasks that we would like to address in the near future.

R3D2 is equipped with annotation tools (active pencil, selection, grouping, splitting, etc) that can be used to manually delineate and connect (within and between sections) tissue structures (in our application normal ducts and lobulo-alveolar structures, DCIS tumors, areas of invasive carcinoma, etc), that can be rendered in 3D using a modified Delaunay triangulation and the surface rendering OpenGL-based toolkit embedded in Java3D. As shown, Java ensures seamless continuity between image acquisition, annotation and reconstruction (rendering). The 3D rendering of the tissue is interactive, in that the entire tissue “scene” can be seen from different view points, at the distance and angle of the user’s choice. Also, specific tissue “volumes” can be selected to retrieve information.

Finally, R3D2 allows revisiting the original tissue slides from both the images of the entire sections or from the 3D reconstruction of the tissue. Revisiting can be uses to
visually inspect the slide under the same of different optical conditions (i.e. lense magnification, light-filtering, etc) although is normally used in to acquire multiple-color areas of interest at high magnification.

A detailed description of the system, extending what has been summarized above, has been published in the journal "Microscopy Research and Technique" (Bibliography J1 –Appendix 1-), and before publishing, presented in International conferences (Bibliography P1, A1, A2). Some news agencies covered and distributed new releases that were published in several newspapers and online news services (Bibliography O1, O2, O3, O4).

Following the described developments, and in the process of applying R3D2 to the reconstruction entire tissue biopsies, it became clear that new technical developments were necessary to increase the throughput of the system, since the time required to reconstruct one case using the existing mostly manual tools was in the order of two months. The two main bottlenecks in the process described above are the manual registration and annotation of the sections. Therefore we developed automated tools for both tasks, which have been integrated in the system and are currently being used, providing substantial time saving.

We developed a multiscale, multiresolution registration algorithm based on gradient correlation between consecutive image sections. See Appendix 3 (Bibliography P3) for a full description of the algorithm. What follows is a brief description of it. The algorithm calculates the optimum rigid body transformation (rotation plus translation) between each two consecutive images. To reduce the computational cost, we start using heavily subsampled images, and refining the registration using decreasingly subsampled images. At each iteration level, the registration is calculated as follows: first, the gradient of both images (reference and registering) is calculated and thresholded using an adaptive threshold. Then the distance transform of the images is obtained, that contains the distance of each point to the nearest gradient area (i.e. boundary). Finally the distance transform corresponding to the image being registered is scanned over that of the reference image, for different rotations and translations, and the optimum registration is defined as the absolute minimum of the product of both images, which ideally corresponds to 0, i.e., to perfect overlap between both sections. This method has been presented at an international conference (Bibliography A3) and accepted for platform presentation at another (Bibliography P3).
To address the second bottleneck, which is the annotation of histological structures we used partial differential equation (PDE) morphologically driven flows (i.e. Level Set methods). See Appendix 2 (Bibliography J3) for a full description of the method, or read the summary in the following paragraphs.

A description of the Level Set (LS) methodology is out of the scope of this report. Therefore, a very succinct user-focused is provided next. In a nutshell, the LS approach considers the image as a force or energy field determined by one or a combination of selected image features (e.g. intensity, gradient, object curvature, distance...). Then the segmentation of objects is done by letting some initial seeds manually placed on the original image evolve under the driving force of a velocity function that depends on the energy field. This way, assumed that the right energy field is selected, the curves (surfaces in 3D) that define boundaries of the seeds will converge in or near the boundaries of the objects that one wants to extract.

The initial curve is represented here as the zero level set of a higher dimensional function, and the motion of the curve is embedded within the motion of that higher dimensional function. The speed of that motion is defined based on the characteristics of the image to be segmented. In our case the speed is adjusted so that when the interface is on top of areas with a low gradient it expands quickly, whereas when the gradient is large (indicating the location of an edge in the image) the curve is slowed down. In addition, a surface tension term is included in the speed function to slightly retard or accelerate the contour depending on its curvature, thereby preserving the smoothness of the advancing front. This approach offers several advantages. First, the zero level set of the higher dimensional function is allowed to change topology and form sharp corners. Second, geometric quantities such as normal and curvature are easy to extract from the hypersurface. Finally, everything expands directly to three dimensions if we embed the advancing three-dimensional surface as the zero level set of a four-dimensional function.

However, changing an n-dimensional problem into one in n+1 dimensions increases the computational cost associated with the method. The narrow-band approach accelerates the level set flow by updating the position of the curve only in a narrow vicinity of its current location. But in our experience, the narrow band technique does not reduce the computational cost to a reasonable limit, due to the large size of the images of the sections. Thus, we propose to use the fast marching method, a numerical technique to solve the equation that drives the movement of the curve by combining an efficient -constrained- solution to the equation of the movement of the front, narrow-
band level set methods and a min-heap data structure. This method is only used for monotonically advancing fronts (speed always positive or negative), providing a result very fast, albeit not as accurate as the one obtained by using the level set algorithm. This result is then used as the initial condition for the slower but more accurate level set segmentation.

We have used this approach to segment histological structures on H&E and fluorescent (counterstained) sections (See Appendix 2, Figs. 5,6,7,10 for some results). The results have been published this year in the peer reviewed conference proceedings of the SPIE Biomedical Optics Conference in San Jose, CA, (Bibliography P2) and a full paper describing the method and results has been published in a special issue on Breast Cancer of the Journal of Biomedical Optics. (Bibliography J3 -Appendix 2-).

New tools have been also developed during this last year, that have to do with the annotation of high-resolution images of areas of immunostained sections and with the spatial analysis of cellular events in tissue sections. On one hand, new interactive tools have been incorporated to R3D2 to allow annotation of molecular status in images of immunostained cells. The tools allow annotating each individual nucleus of an image, assigning them a level of protein expression (Negative, Low, High) relative to one or more than one (when using double or triple immunostaining) protein. There are other tools to delete the annotations, change them, etc, along with tools to mask and classify areas of the images. We have used these tools (see below) to classify epithelial areas of the mammary gland of mice, as being growing end buds, characteristic of pubertal gland development, small or large ducts or alveolar structures. After classifying the areas, a comparative analysis of the expression of proteins can be done, to compare the expression in different, morphologically distinct areas of the gland. (see below). In addition, tools have been added to study the spatial statistics of cellular distribution (and pattern of protein expression) in the high resolution areas. These areas will be used to determine patterns of expression (grouping, rejection, etc) between cells expressing specific proteins, or between cells expressing or not several proteins (when using multiple immunostaining). See Appendix 4 (Bibliography P4) for a detailed description of the spatial analysis. Our method has been accepted for platform presentation at an international conference (Bibliography P4), and will be submitted shortly to a peer-reviewed journal.

Finally, we have done work to improve the results of the registration. As it has already been mentioned, the manual tissue sectioning can produce non-linear
deformations in the tissue, such as folding, stretching, tearing. Occasionally, due to the tissue conditions or improper maintenance of the microtome, some sections are damaged beyond recovery and are disposed, introducing gaps in the sequence of sections that make up the case. All these effects may cause large misalignment between areas of the section that cannot be corrected for solely by applying the global affine transformation previously described. Many non-rigid registration methods have been already proposed in the literature. Specific solutions based on complex transformations, such as elastic registration or piecewise registration, are too expensive in computation time given the dimensions of the images of our histological sections. Therefore we opted for a local registration solution that can produce accurate results in a reasonable time.

Our local registration algorithm divides the reference image in sub-images and calculates a correction vector for each sub-image. The correction vector is calculated using the cross-correlation between the sub-image in the reference image and the correspondent sub-image in the target image. This target sub-image is defined from the rigid registration parameters, if a previous rigid-body registration has been previously applied to the image. After calculating the correlation, every pixel in each sub-image is applied the appropriate translation in the x- and y- axis defined by the correction vector.

The correlation between sub-images can be efficiently calculated in the frequency domain. The result is two new images: modulus and phase. The modulus of the correlation has a peak located a distance that corresponds to the translation of one of the images that would cause the best alignment or similarity with the other image. Therefore, the shift vector is obtained by calculating the difference between the coordinates of the center of the image and the coordinates of the brightest peak in the modulus image. A graphical description of this process is shown in Figure 3.

Since the dimensions of the sub-images are fixed, parts of one or more structures of interest can belong to different sub-images, causing less accurate results than if the entire structures were inside the same sub-images. Therefore the algorithm makes use of two different window sizes: the sub-image size and the correlation area size. The correlation area is the part of the image centered in the sub-image that is used to calculate the correction vector. Its size must be bigger than the sub-image size in order to avoid the previously mentioned problem. Indeed, this size should equal the estimated maximum error produced during the rigid registration in order to correct the misalignment introduced in that process.
As in the rigid registration algorithm, the local registration algorithm can be applied to the gray scale images or to the binary image containing only the segmented contours. Some problems due to the quality of the images such as improper focusing of areas in the image, different luminosity, or even foreign bodies in the tissue could affect the value of the correlation. Therefore, once the list of correction vectors is obtained, filtering is applied to the vectors to eliminate erroneous vectors. At the end of this process there will be a correction vector and a correlation coefficient for every sub-image. The first filter recalculates the vectors whose correlation coefficient lies under a threshold value, which is obtained using the auto-threshold function over the coefficient list. These correction vectors presenting a low correlation coefficient will not be taken into account. The second and last filter to be applied to the list of vectors consists in a mean filter, also with a 3x3 kernel, that will allow smoothing the vector field and reducing the effect of the possible noise. The result of applying the vectors to the coordinates before rendering the objects in 3D is more smooth reconstructions, free from errors due to non-linear effects.
Task 2. (Months 1-30) Using invasive cancer specimens with intraductal extension of DCIS, identify DNA loci that are amplified in a high proportion of the cells of the invasive lesion using CGH.

1. Select 9 mastectomy specimens following the criteria described in the Methods section of the Proposal body (Months 1-6)

2. Section and H&E stain mastectomy specimens (Months 6-12, 2 specimens; Months 12-24, 5 specimens; Months 24-30, 2 specimens)

3. Acquire sections using our registration software (Months 12-24 6 specimens; Months 24-30, 3 specimens)

4. Reconstruct the mammary ducts and identify the leading edge of the intraductal component (Months 12-24, 5 specimens; Months 24-30, 4 specimens)

As it was mentioned in the report written at the end of the first year, the mastectomy tissue originally reserved for this study was not preserved properly. Due to this fact, we looked for a new source of tissue that was found at the UCSF Comprehensive Cancer Center’s tissue repository. However, instead of full mastectomies where the first task would have been to look macroscopically for areas likely to show intraductal extension of DCIS, we were given access to paraffin-embedded archived material. We received specimens four (UCSF1, UCSF2, UCSF3, UCSF4), consisting on one isolated tissue block per case, with the corresponding histological evaluation and Her2 status, done on the top slide of the block. Although none of the blocks showed a transition from DCIS to normal tissue on the top slide, they all had areas of normal tissue and DCIS. This led us to think that they could have had the desired transition. In fact, none of them had it. Fig 1 and 2 show examples of reconstructions of two of the cases (UCSF1 and UCSF2).

As described in the report corresponding to the second year, we continued looking for tissue having the desired normal epithelium to DCIS transition. We asked Dr. Alexander Borowsky from the UC Davis Pathology Department and Center for Comparative Medicine, who gladly identified several tissue specimens from his Department, and helped us identifying those areas. We are very grateful to him, since we finally have access to the tissue needed for this grant. We have received and processed one case (UCD1).
Task 3. (Months 1-30) Use FISH with probes to the loci identified by CGH

1. Do CGH on the selected mastectomy specimens (Months 1-6)

2. Do FISH to the two most amplified regions and a normal part of the genome (for control purposes) on intermediate sections to those used for the reconstruction of the ductal system (Months 6-12, 2 specimens; Months 12-24, 5 specimens; Months 24-30, 2 specimens)

The reason for using CGH was to be able to identify an amplified chromosomal locus that could be used to track pre-malignant, but otherwise morphologically normal cells that could move ahead of the leading edge of the intraductal invasion. That option, which would had been possible due to the abundance of tissue, had we used the original mastectomy specimens, was not feasible with the single paraffin-embedded tissue blocks provided by the UCSF Cancer Center Tissue Repository, therefore all the chosen cases were selected being Her2+, and we used the amplification of that oncogen as a possible marker to detect isolated, premalignant cells. FISH to the Her2 gene, located in chromosome 17, and a centromeric probe for ctr. 17 (control) was done in cases UCSF1, UCSF2 and UCSF3.

UCD1 and UCSF4, due to reasons that will be explained below, was immunostained for the following proteins: Ki67 (proliferation), Estrogen Receptor, Progesterone Receptor.
Task 4. (Months 18-36) Use high magnification (1 pixel= 0.5 μm) fluorescence microscopy, look for individual cells with the same amplified loci in the ducts emanating from the DCIS lesions. Assuming that we see genetically aberrant cells, measure the spatial relationship of these cells to the surrounding cells in order to characterize the pattern of aberrant cells and thus to provide information about the spreading mechanism of the disease.

1. Automatically enumerate FISH spots and measure the spatial distribution of aberrant cells (if found) in the histologically normal ducts starting at the very front of the intraductal tumor expansion. (Months 18-24, 4 specimens; Months 24-36, 5 specimens)

We proposed to use FISH to an amplified chromosomal locus on the tissue blocks, and use the amplification as a marker of malignancy that could be tracked in normal ducts connected to the DCIS lesions. We hypothesized that some abnormal isolated cells could be the front of the advancing DCIS front. These isolated cells would be easily missed by routine pathological analysis of the tissue. An alternative hypothesis, the genetic transformation of the cell could be the consequence of a field-effect, and could precede any morphological transformation. As described in Task 2, the transition was not found in any of the first four cases received (UCSF1, UCSF2, UCSF3, UCSF4). However, we considered the quantification of the amplified gene worth doing, to compare the number of copies of the gene in DCIS areas with those of nearby normal ducts since, although unlikely those areas might be in fact connected in the rest of the tissue, outside the block.

After analyzing the tissue we did not find abnormal, amplified cells in normal ducts and alveoli located near DCIS tumors. This works against our hypothesis, except for the fact that we don’t know for certain that both types of tissue are not connected outside the limits of the block. Another consideration to mention here is that the efficiency of the hybridization seems to depend on the type of tissue, and it is very difficult to optimize it to work well for both normal and tumor areas. The Cytogenetics Core of the UCSF Cancer Center, which performed the hybridization, provided staining that was optimized for tumor areas. In consequence some normal areas of all tissue blocks presented no signals.
Another argument against the use of FISH to a single amplified gene as a marker of transformation is that genetic markers found in a malignant cancer are not necessarily present in preneoplastic lesions, as a consequence of the clonal progression of the disease, which evolves by replacing complete populations of cells by new, mutated cells with proliferate advantage.

With that same aim of determining the events that drive and/or accompany breast cancer progression, we have maintained a collaboration with Prof. Joe W. Gray's laboratory at the UCSF Cancer Center. The goal of this collaboration was to quantify genomic instability in the progression from preneoplasia to breast cancer, and correlate it with the process of critical telomere shortening known as telomere crisis. For this, we developed software tools for the segmentation of nuclei in 2D and 3D as well as tools for quantification of FISH hybridizations. All these tools are also available now through R3D2. This collaboration is synergic with this project, without overlapping between the goals of both projects, because this collaboration looks at differences between tissue classified as normal, hyperplastic, DCIS or IC but taken from different tissue blocks instead of looking for morphological connections between them. In addition, this collaboration looked at the process of genomic instability and telomere shortening, which was not the goal of our project.

The results of this collaboration, recently published in Nature Genetics (Bibliography J4 –Appendix 5-) are indeed relevant for this project and are included here because we obtained in part using the tools developed under this project.

The reader is referred to the actual paper for a complete description of the results, that we can summarize as follows: We used two and three dimensional image analysis tools to quantify genomic instability and telomere length cell by cell in situ, i.e. in thick tissue blocks. We compared both events in normal, hyperplastic (usual ductal hyperplasia –UDH-), in situ carcinomas (DCIS) and invasive carcinomas (IC). The results of our analysis show that an important increase in genomic instability occurs in the transition from UDH to DCIS, which coincides with critical telomere shortening. Therefore, DCIS would be a low-probability successful passage through telomere crisis mediated by reactivated telomerase. We showed this trend both in tissue and in vitro, by using cell lines that closely mimic both the process of genomic disarray and chromosome end shortening.

On a different collaborative study (Biography J6), transgenic mice expressing SV40 or HPV16 oncogenes that elicit carcinomas in pancreas and skin, respectively, were
rendered telomerase-deficient. Absence of telomerase had minimal impact on tumorigenesis, exhibiting shortened telomeres and phenotypic abnormalities in multiple organs. Analyses of chromosomal aberrations were not indicative of telomere dysfunction nor increased genomic instability in tumors. Using our quantitative nuclear and telomere segmentation tools we compared telomere intensity (i.e. relative telomere length) in biopsies of skin hyperplasia, dysplasia and carcinoma. Our study revealed that telomere numbers and relative lengths were maintained during progression, implicating a means for preserving telomere repeats and functionality in the absence of telomerase.

Encouraged by the results obtained in J5, we decided to look at changes in the expression of markers between normal, preneoplastic and neoplastic neighboring areas using the two cases left to analyze in this project (UCSF4 and UCD1). Due to the problems already mentioned, we used a different approach that takes advantage of the high number of consecutive sections to use several markers, instead of limiting ourselves to a single marker. The markers that we used are not genetic but epigenetic and therefore not related to a particular genetic event. This way we can still look at preneoplastic changes in the normal areas connected of surrounding the DCIS tumors, by combining the expression of several markers. We used markers of proliferation (Ki67) and two markers of differentiation and hormonal responsiveness (PR, ERα). What follows is a description of the way the tissue was processed and analyzed.

The tissue was cut in 5μm-thick sections using a microtome. Each odd-numbered section was stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and mounted on a silane-coated glass slide. Each even-numbered slide was antibody-stained for the presence of ER-α, PR or Ki67.

Accurate rendition of the architecture of the tumors and surrounding normal tissue requires the identification and tracking of all epithelial structures in serial sections followed by computer-based rendering. Using R3D2, we acquired low-resolution image scans of all the H&E and immunostained sections. For the low magnification scans we used a 10X 0.5 NA Zeiss Fluar objective, which provided acceptably low spherical aberration of the field of views and enough optical resolution to resolve all relevant epithelial structures in the images. However, due to the size of the sections at full resolution, the images were subsampled by a factor of 4 in both X and Y directions, for an effective 2.5X (25 times when considering the magnification of the microscope tube) magnification. All the images of the H&E and immunostained sections were saved in ICS format and stored as a case.
The number of sections per case was approximately 200 sections, each section using between 20 and 100MB of memory. Due to the large image size, which prevents from efficiently loading them into the memory of the computer, the image files were mapped into the computer memory, thus actually loading in the memory the parts of the images being displayed at full resolution.

A topologically accurate rendition of the epithelial structures (e.g. ducts, TDLU’s, tumors) of a case requires that all the images of the sections be correctly registered (i.e. aligned), to ensure the continuity of structures crossing several sections. R3D2 provides an automatic registration tool that calculates the best matching between each pair of sections after rigid-body transforming one of them with respect to the other. This linear rigid-body transformation (i.e. rotation + translation) is accurate enough for a correct global alignment of the sections and therefore to create a topologically correct rendition of the structures. However, the manual sectioning process introduces non-linear effects (tissue tearing, stretching, folding, local artifacts, etc), which are not addressed in this study, where we are not as interested in the smoothness of the final reconstruction as we are in its topological accuracy. Thus we used R3D2’s linear rigid body transformation tool to automatically align all the sections of the case. The algorithm works in batch mode for the entire case, and does not require any human interaction.

After registration, selected epithelial areas (ducts, TDLU’s, tumors), were manually delineated on the images of the H&E stained sections and parts belonging to the same structures that lie in different sections were manually joined to set the continuity of the structures. This process of grouping identifies each object as a 3D volume, which can then be rendered in 3D using R3D2’s triangulation algorithm.

The work of the pathologist requires revisiting areas of the tissue at different magnification and relating areas from H&E stained and immunostained sections. When more than one slide is involved, this requires reloading the corresponding slide(s) and looking for the areas that want to be revisited. Given the complexity of the tissue and the difference in magnification, which often requires switching back and forth between a dry and an oil-immersion objective lens, this can be rather cumbersome and time consuming.

Our system preserves the spatial correspondence between the pixels of the low magnification images and the actual x/y coordinates of slides in the microscope stage. This greatly simplifies the process of revisiting the sections, which only requires clicking on the areas of the low magnification images that need to be revisited. R3D2 then
calculates the appropriate microscope movement that places it in the desired area(s). This can be used to visually revisit the tissue or to acquire new images at higher/magnification (see below) or using a different color filter for multi-color image acquisition. This way, since the areas are identified at the computer on the acquired images and not on the actual slides, no switching between lenses is required, very much streamlining the revisiting process.

Using this feature, we revisited at high magnification (40X, Plan Neo, 1.39 NA) all the areas selected for rendering on the H&E sections. However, instead of visiting the H&E sections, we revisit the contiguous –properly registered- immunostained sections, to image the expression of the markers (ER, PR, Ki67) in the epithelium of the selected areas.

All areas were imaged in color by consecutively imaging three black and white images after inserting the appropriate color filter in the light path of the microscope. To avoid manual interaction we used a crystal tunable RGB filter controlled by R3D2. When the areas were larger than a field of view of the microscope at 40X, image scans were taken, the way it was done for the low magnification images, although in color.

All the images of the areas were stored in the case, related to their corresponding sections and selected structures. The images can be easily displayed by clicking in the corresponding areas of the low-resolution images. This action opens a new window that displays the high-resolution image and provides access to the analysis tools described below.

R3D2's image analysis tools were used to quantify the presence and distribution of molecular markers in all the high magnification images of the areas of the case. Based on DAB staining or fluorescence immunostaining, the luminal cells stained for a ER-α, PR or Ki67 were interactively classified as negative or positive. If the areas contained more than one type of structure, masks were used to classify them separately. This way, all the epithelial fragments of the areas were classified under one of the following categories: large duct, small duct, terminal ductal lobular unit (TDLU), ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), invasive carcinoma (IC) or abnormal variants (hyperplasia) or the normal tissue structures. After masking, the numbers of positive cells for each receptor was calculated for each type of structure separately. Figures 5 and 7 show some examples of the different types of structures selected on cases UCSF4 and UCD1 respectively.
Figure 4 shows the results of the analysis of UCSF4, where only a subset of the above mentioned categories was found, and therefore used for the analysis. The graph shows a five-fold difference in the expression of ER between large, mature ducts (4.8%) and TDLU’s (24.9%), that can be explained because the lobular areas are subject to periodic cycles of proliferation that don’t affect the epithelium of mature ducts. TDLU’s also areas of potential tissue remodeling (upon pregnancy or involution), which could explain the high receptor levels, although during periods that don’t involve remodeling the actual levels of ERα mediated proliferation are lower than those in large ducts, as shown by the fact that the levels of proliferation, as expressed by the number of Ki67 positive cells (3.2%) is higher in large ducts than in TDLU’s (0.4%).

The comparison between the normal epithelium and shows both increased proliferation (10.7% vs. 3.2%) and ERα expression (28% vs. 4.8%) in areas with intraepithelial tumor expansion (See Fig. 5a, top, right area of the duct), as seems normal due to the higher proliferative activity of the tumor cells.

Finally, we compared two DCIS areas, one of them that still preserves some resemblance of the pre-tumor morphology (Fig. 5c) vs. another, more advanced where the original morphology has been completely overridden by the expansion of the tumor. Both the levels of proliferation (10.9% vs. 14.3%) and ERα expression (13.1% vs. 33.9%) are lower in the former than in the latter, indicating that the process of clonal replacement has not been completed in this area.

The levels of PR are consistently low for all structure types, except for high expressing TDLU’s. Although not statistically significant due to the low numbers, losing PR expression seems to be the trend that accompanies clonal replacement (see abnormal large ducts vs. normal large ducts and DCIS in TDLU vs. pure DCIS). The phenotype of the DCIS cells therefore is one of relatively high ERα expression and no PR expression, as opposed to normal structures that show correlation (the degree depending on the structure type) between ERα and PR.

Figure 6 shows the results for case UCD1, which contained most of the tissue categories listed before (See Fig. 7 for tissue histology).

The comparison between the expression levels of the normal large ducts (Fig 7a) and the large hyperplastic ducts (Fig 7b) shows drastic increase of ERα (8.8% vs. 77.6%) and PR (11.5% vs. 39.51%) expression and a relatively large, statistically significant increase in cellular proliferation (1.7% vs. 6.8%) due to progressive filling of the duct with cells dominantly positive for both hormone receptors. A similar trend can be
seen when comparing small and hyperplastic ducts, although in this case both types have similar levels of proliferation. This trend is reverted when comparing normal vs. hyperplastic TDLU, since the receptor levels are lower in the hyperplastic TDLU than in the normal ones (15.9% vs. 29.9% ERα, 10.8% vs. 24.6% PR), implying that in this structure the replacing cells that fill the lumens are preferentially hormone receptor negative. Finally, the comparison between DCIS and Invasive areas seem to indicate that the phenotype of these cells, at least in what has to do with hormone receptor status and proliferation, is very similar (see similar levels of ERα, PR, Ki67 in Fig. 6), and different from the phenotype of the hyperplastic areas, that have slightly higher levels of ERα, and significantly lower levels of PR. This observation is strikingly different from what we saw in UCSF4, where there was a complete loss of PR in the advanced —neoplastic—stage (DCIS).
KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The main accomplishments achieved from this project are listed below. They will be completed during the one-year extension requested for this project, justified in page 2 of this report.

- We have developed a system that allows semi-automatic 3D reconstruction of tissue samples from fully sectioned tissue blocks. The system allows acquisition of entire tissue sections at low magnification, both in bright field and fluorescence microscope, registration of images of consecutive sections and annotation and 3D rendering of tissue structures (epithelial, endothelial, etc). The system allows revisiting of areas of the tissue at higher magnification from both the 3D reconstruction of the tissue as well as from the low resolution images of the sections. (Bibliography J1 –Appendix 1-, P1, A1, A2, A3, O1, O2, O3, O4)

- To solve the bottlenecks of tissue imaging and reconstruction, we have developed tools that automatically register consecutive sections (Bibliography A4) and for the unmanned segmentation of histological structures using geometrically driven image flows (Bibliography P2 –Appendix 2-, J3 –Appendix 3-)

- We have developed software that can segment counterstained nuclei and quantify FISH signals or gene expression from the fluorescent high-magnification areas of interest.

- We have successfully imaged, reconstructed and revisited at high resolution five biopsy of tissue from a patient with Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS) of the breast. (UCSF1, UCSF2, UCSF3, UCSF4, UCD1). The tissue was fully sectioned and alternatively stained with H&E and a nuclear fluorescent counterstain (DAPI) plus FISH with a probe against the DNA locus of the erb-b2 producing gene (UCSF1, UCSF2, UCSF3). UCD1, which presents several areas of transition normal-DCIS was also alternatively stained with H&E and immunostained for the following markers (ki67, ER, PR). UCSF4 was stained as UCD1.
The analysis of UCSF1, UCSF2, UCSF3 did not reveal the existence of transformed cells within the epithelium near DCIS areas. However, as already explained, it is likely that there is no physical connection between the normal ducts and DCIS tumors, in which case we would not expect to see a transformation.

Using tools developed for this project, we established or continued successful collaborations with finding synergistic to those of this project. This way we were able to quantify in situ the levels of genomic instability and map a sharp increase of instability in the transition from usual ductal hyperplasia (UDH) to DCIS. We also located telomere crisis at that exact point the progression of the disease, proving the DCIS is a low probability transition of one or a few cells that are able to survive with unstable genome by means of telomerase reactivation. This study has been recently published in a high impact scientific journal (Bibliography J4 – Appendix 5-)

Analysis of the last two cases, UCSF4 and UCD, shows clear clonal evolution in the transition from normal to hyperplasia and from that to neoplasia (DCIS and IC), associated with changes in the expression of the receptors and levels of proliferation. However interesting these differences are, which will be used as preliminary data for future projects, the number of samples used doesn’t allow us to extract general consequences.
REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

Manuscripts (published or accepted):


- "Absence of telomerase has minimal effects in mouse models of skin and pancreatic tumorigenesis caused by viral oncogenes: evidence for telomere stabilization in short telomere, telomerase-deficient carcinomas". David Argilla, Koei Chin, Mallika Singh, Graeme Hodgson, Marcus Bosenberg, Carlos Ortiz de Solórzano, Steven Lockett, Ronald A. DePinho, Joe Gray, and Douglas Hanahan. Accepted for publication at *Cancer Cell*.


Manuscripts (in preparation):

Conference Proceedings:


Presentations:

- "Automatic Registration of Mammary Gland Section Images" Arganda-Carreras I., Fernández-González R., Ortiz de Solórzano C. First International Meeting on Applied Physics (APHYS 2003), Badajoz, Spain, October 13th-18th, 2003

Informatics:
• As described in the Body of the report and in the Reportable Outcomes sections, we have developed and integrated new methods to automatically extract histological information from tissue sections, as well as morphological and molecular information at the cellular level.

Funding obtained:
• Characterization of Adult Stem Cell Involvement in Mammary Gland Development. PI: Dr. Carlos Ortiz de Solorzano Funded by: LBNL Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program (LDRD). Period Oct 2002-Sept 2004
• Three-dimensional Modeling of breast cancer progression. PI: Dr. Carlos Ortiz de Solorzano. Funded by: University of California, Breast Cancer Research Program Grant Number – 8WB-0150
• NASA NSCOR Program Project. PI. Mary Helen Barcellos-Hoff, Ph.D.

Funding applied:
• "An automated system for three-dimensional Histopathology". NIH R21/R33 Phase Innovator Award, for the PAR: "Technology developments for Biomedical Applications" PI. Carlos Ortiz de Solórzano, Ph.D.
• "Biological Basis and Functional Phenotypes of Breast Density". NIH Program Project. PI: Thea Tlsty, Ph.D.
Employment or Research:

- Due to the successful performance of the PI as a Scientist during the first two years of the project, in 2002 he was promoted to a Staff Scientist Position at the Life Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory of the University of California.

- This grant has partially supported Mr. Rodrigo Fernández-González, a Ph.D. candidate in the joint UC Berkeley-UC San Francisco Program in Bioengineering. Rodrigo continues working with me part time as a Graduate Student Research Assistant. On January 2003 he was granted a DOD-BCRP predoctoral fellowship "Characterization of label-retaining cells and their niche in the mouse mammary gland" that will support him until the end of his graduate work.

- Half way through the reporting period (in January 2002), Dr. Umesh Adiga, a Ph.D. in Computer Sciences, joined my lab as a postdoctoral fellow to work on the image analysis required for the automatic segmentation of nuclei and FISH signals, as well to other image analysis and processing tools required for this project. He left the laboratory in January 2003. Unfortunately, his performance was lower than expected, based on his previous work and references.

- In October 2002 Dr. Ouahiba Laribi, a Ph.D. in Molecular and Cell Biology joined the lab. She has been a phenomenal help in the last two years.

- Mr. Adam Idica, an Integrated Biology undergraduate student at UC Berkeley worked in this project for three years. He graduated from UC Berkeley in May 2003 and has continued working in our lab as Technical Assistant until July 2004, when he continued his studies towards a Medical Degree.

- Two other undergrad students, Ms. Abbey Hartland and Mr. Shamroze Khan, from Shasta College (Redding, CA) and UC Berkeley, have worked in my lab as undergraduate technical assistants and have greatly contributed to produce the quantitative results of this project.
• CONCLUSIONS

In summary, during the administrative length of the project, we have developed the computer and microscopy platform that we proposed to develop. The developments have been slower than expected due to the realization of the need for automating some of the time consuming tasks, namely the registration and annotation of the images of the sections. The developments have been or are in the process of being published in peer-reviewed journals and have been successfully presented in international conferences. We believe that this advanced computerized microscopy platform, developed thanks to the funding support of this grant, will be of use in many future studies that required looking at molecular events at cellular level within the tissue context where they occur.

Due to the above mentioned delay in the technical developments, which was accompanied by other factors listed in pg.2 of this report, the analysis, which aims at locating signs of preneoplastic transformation in normal epithelium connected to DCIS lesions, suffered a significant delay. Out of the 9 proposed samples, we have processed 5 tissue samples. We did not find any signs of preneoplastic transformations in the normal epithelium of three of the five cases processed. Due to the reasons described in the description of Task4, we modified the original plan, and in the two last cases we will looked at multiple phenotypic markers instead of using a single genetic marker (amplification of Her2). Using markers for hormone receptor status (Era, PR) and proliferation (Ki67) we found phenotypic changes in different morphological areas. However interesting these differences are, which will be used as preliminary data for future projects, the number of samples used doesn't allow us to extract general consequences.
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Figure 1. Reconstruction of case UCSF1. A) Low resolution (2.5X) image of an H&E stained section. B) 3D reconstruction of selected tumor bodies and normal areas. C) Reduced version of A, where red lines indicate the structures rendered in B. D) High resolution (40X) Area of a consecutive section, counterstained with DAPI. E) Same areas in D, hybridized with a FITC labeled centromeric probe of chr. 17. F) Same area as in D,E, hybridized with a CY3 labeled probe to the Her2 (erbb2) gene in chromosome 17.
Figure 2. Reconstruction of case UCSF2. A) Low resolution (2.5X) image of an H&E stained section. B) 3D reconstruction of selected tumor bodies and normal areas. C) Reduced version of A, where red lines indicate the structures rendered in B. D) High resolution (40X) Area of a consecutive section, counterstained with DAPI. E) Same areas in D, hybridized with a FITC labeled centromeric probe of chr. 17. F) Same area as in D,E, hybridized with a CY3 labeled probe to the Her2 (erb2) gene in chromosome 17.
Figure 3: These set of images describe the calculus of the correction vector in the frequency domain. Figures A and B represent exactly the same part of a tissue section image but B is shifted respect to A. Figure C is the modulus image from applying the correlation function to image A (both entries to the function are image A, i.e. this is the calculus of autocorrelation). Figure D shows the correlation result between A and B. Finally, E shows in yellow the correction vector, obtained by subtracting the coordinates of the brightest point of D and the brightest point on.
Figure 4. Results of the analysis of protein expression (Ki67, ER, PR) in the UCSF4 DCIS case.
Figure 5. Examples of histological areas in the UCSF4 case. A) Normal large duct with intraepithelial invasion (upper, right part of the duct). B) Normal TDLU. C) Area of DCIS invading a TDLU. D) Areas of advanced DCIS.
Figure 6. Results of the analysis of protein expression (Ki67, ER, PR) in the UCD1 DCIS case.
Figure 7. Examples of histological areas in the UCD1 case. A) Normal large duct with. B) Large, hyperplastic duct. C) Normal TDLU. D) Hyperplastic TDLU. E) Distended TDLU. F) Area of DCIS.
System for Combined Three-Dimensional Morphological and Molecular Analysis of Thick Tissue Specimens
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ABSTRACT We present a new system for simultaneous morphological and molecular analysis of thick tissue samples. The system is composed of a computer-assisted microscope and a JAVA-based image display, analysis, and visualization program that allows acquisition, annotation, meaningful storage, three-dimensional reconstruction, and analysis of structures of interest in thick sectioned tissue specimens. We describe the system in detail and illustrate its use by imaging, reconstructing, and analyzing two complete tissue blocks that were differently processed and stained. One block was obtained from a ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) lumpectomy specimen and stained alternatively with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E), and with a counterstain and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) to the ERB-B2 gene. The second block contained a fully sectioned mammary gland of a mouse, stained for histology with H&E. We show how the system greatly reduces the amount of interaction required for the acquisition and analysis and is, therefore, suitable for studies that require morphologically driven, wide-scale (e.g., whole gland) analysis of complex tissue samples or cultures. Microsc. Res. Tech. 59:522-530, 2002. Published 2002 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

INTRODUCTION Understanding complex biological systems requires tissue-level integration of information from multiple sources such as molecular, physiological, anatomical, and so on. However, none of the existing analytical methods in biology provides the required level of integration, in that they either do not account for intercellular variation (RFLP, Southern blots, microarray technologies, etc.), or they do it at the expense of tissue integrity (e.g., flow cytometry).

Image-based cytometry (IC) can provide molecular or genetic information (e.g., by using fluorescence in situ hybridization [FISH] or immunohistochemistry [IHQ]), in fixed cells within their native morphological tissue context. Volumetric, 3D morphological information can be obtained using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). However, only relatively thin tissue sections (<100 μm) can be studied, due to light scattering and refractive index mismatch problems that occur when imaging deeper in the tissue, and because of practical limitations of effectively staining thicker sections by IHQ or FISH.

When analysis and integration of molecular and morphological information at high resolution is aimed on a bigger scale (e.g., tissue or a small gland), the only existing approach requires sectioning the tissue, followed by both histological and molecular staining of consecutive tissue sections. The analysis is normally performed by visual inspection of the sections under the microscope. This approach greatly limits the extent and accuracy of the analysis, due to the difficulty that our visual system finds when composing (extrapolating) meaningful 3D information from a series of 2D sections. Since only a few colors (2-4) can be discriminated, both in bright field and fluorescence microscopy, along with other practical problems related to multicolor IHQ or (F)ISH, we have to do the histological and the molecular staining on different, alternative sections. This further complicates the visual analysis and integration of molecular and morphological information.

To overcome these problems, we have developed a three-dimensional microscopy system that integrates computer analysis and visualization tools. These tools automate or greatly reduce the amount of interaction required for the acquisition, reconstruction, and morphologically directed analysis of thick tissue samples. Our system can be used to reconstruct tissue structures and to quantitatively measure the presence and spatial distribution of different molecular elements (e.g., genes, RNAs, proteins) in their intact cellular environment. This tool is currently being used to study breast cancer, where heterogeneity and three-dimensionality are at the very base of both disease initiation and clonal progression.

Our system encapsulates a three-dimensional visualization system and an image analysis system. The application was developed using a distributed architecture (client-server model), and Java for writing the graphical user interface (GUI) so that it can run remotely on any computer platform. The system allows
acquiring and registering low magnification (e.g., 1 pixel = 5 μm) conventional (bright field or fluorescence) images of entire tissue sections. It can also create a virtual 3D reconstruction of the tissue structures, from which new areas of interest can be revisited or measured at high resolution (e.g., 1 pixel = 0.5 μm) and automatically analyzed.

Although there are existing commercial and non-commercial software packages that can separately provide some of those functions, the integration of all of them (multiresolution, multicolor acquisition of multi-field fluorescence microscopy images; reconstruction of structures on interest in 3D; molecular and morphological 3D analyses; content-based image and data storage and retrieval system through the use of "Cases"; or a series of consecutive images and data belonging to a given tissue) on a single platform makes our system a very powerful tool.

A comparison to similar systems shows that many of them offer a set of independent programs running under different interfaces (IMOD, Boulder Laboratory for 3-Dimensional Fine Structure), but not a common platform that integrates all of them. Most of these systems are not designed to run on multipleplatform environments (VIDA, University of Iowa; Trace, Boston University), some others have special hardware requirements for real time rendering (VoxBlast, Vaytek Inc.) and many lack a proper image management system (Imaris, Bitplane AG). In this review, we describe our system and we illustrate its use by presenting the reconstruction of a mouse mammary gland and a tumor biopsy of a breast. used as an alternative format when the user does not lack a proper image management system (Imaris, Bitplane AG). In this review, we describe our system and we illustrate its use by presenting the reconstruction of a mouse mammary gland and a tumor biopsy of a breast.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

System Description

The system is controlled by a client-server application, as shown in Figure 1. The server is a C language application that runs on a computer (Dell Inspiron, running Solaris 7 for Intel) connected to an Axiosplan (Zeiss Inc., Germany) microscope. The server actuates all the moving parts of the microscope: motorized scanning stage, excitation filter wheel, and arc-lamp blocking shutter (Ludl Electronic Products Ltd., Hawthorne, NY). It controls the CCD Microlmager camera (Xillix Technologies Corp., Richmond, British Columbia, Canada) as well. The server can perform basic operations, such as acquiring and storing images, setting the exposure time of the CCD, moving the stage, and operating the filter wheel. In addition, it has been programmed to offer more complex functions, such as automatically focusing the microscope or acquiring multiple field-of-view images. To do this, the server receives each order and divides it into a set of simple actions. For instance, to acquire a multiple field-of-view image, the server asks for the coordinates of the vertices of the area to be acquired and then automatically performs the required sequence of stage movements and camera acquisitions. The output is a mosaic-like image of the area. The server can do multi-color acquisition in fluorescence and bright field, by performing consecutive acquisition using different excitation filters and multi-band emission filters.

Description of the Client Application. The client (R3D2) is connected to the server through UNIX sockets, which are the standard for Internet-based communications. It can send requests to the server from any computer connected to the Internet. To obtain the maximum benefit from this, R3D2 has been written in JAVA (v.1.2), so that it can be executed on many different computer platforms.

Figure 2 shows the R3D2's complete Graphical User Interface (GUI). The interface is divided in two distinguishable parts. One (rightmost vertical panel in Fig. 2) provides connections to the server and allows the user to request its services through a user-friendly interface. The available actions can be classified in two groups.

Basic control operations. These include all the simple, atomic, operations provided by the server, such as setting the objective lens, changing the excitation filter (fluorescence), setting the exposure time of the camera, moving the stage to the absolute origin of coordinates relative to which all measurements are taken, opening/closing the arc lamp blocking shutter, and acquiring a single image using the current microscope settings. When R3D2 receives the image from the server and displays it, both complete (zoomed out), as well as partially (in its original resolution). Only a small part of the full-resolution image can be displayed at full resolution. The zoomed area can be interactively selected by moving a window on the complete version of the image (Fig. 3). Images can be saved both in ICS (Dean et al., 1990) and JPEG format. When images are saved as ICS, all the acquisition parameters (objective, filter, location of the image on the slide are, etc.) are stored in the ICS header file. JPEG format, compressed or not, can be used as an alternative format when the user does not plan any future analysis of the images, and images are stored for exchange, document creation, or web publishing.

Complex operations. These operations combine multiple atomic operations to provide the following functionality.

- Autofocus: Automatically focuses the microscope by taking a series of images at different positions in the Z axis (step size = 0.50 μm for low magnification images, 0.25 μm for high magnification images) and determining the best-focused image of the series.
- Blur, due to out-of-focus light, reduces image contrast, which can be detected using several functions. Based on several comparisons described in the literature (Firestone et al., 1991; Groen et al., 1985; Santos et al., 1997), we selected an autocorrelation-based function introduced by Vollath (1987).
- Scan: Acquires multiple field of view images. The system displays a dialogue-panel where the user can specify the filter(s) to be used (in fluorescence microscopy), exposure time(s) and the limits of the area to acquire. The limits can be defined by its coordinates (when known) or manually, by moving the microscope to the upper, lower, rightmost, and leftmost points of the area.
- Revisit Point: When the user clicks on a point of the image of a previously acquired complete or partial tissue section, the server moves the stage to that location on the slide and takes an image using the current values of objective, filter, and exposure time.
- Revisit Area: When using this option, the user is
asked to draw a rectangle on one image of a previously acquired section. The selected area will be then acquired with the microscope settings provided by the user. Multicolor area acquisition is an option as it is for scanning complete sections.

The second part of the interface (two left vertical panels in Fig. 2) expands the system capabilities, by allowing creating and handling sets of related images, which we call Cases. A Case is a sequence of low-magnification images of complete tissue sections taken from a tissue block, along with all the areas revisited on them at higher resolution and with different filters, plus the results of analysis performed on them if any. The image files that make up a Case are specially labeled for convenience. The user can:

- **Annotate the Cases**, by marking and/or delineating structures of interest and linking them within and between consecutive sections. The user can add textural annotations (Text), ductal structure identifiers (with a unique number that identifies them within the section, Duct) and forms that delineate irregularly shaped ducts or other structures (Shapes). In addition to this, ductal marks can be connected within the same section or in different sections (Connected Ducts), and corresponding shapes in different sections can be grouped (Groups).
- **Register acquired sections**. Before reconstructing a Case in 3D, all its sections must be registered to ensure proper alignment of the elements that will be later reconstructed. For that, we calculate the Rigid-Body Transform that provides the optimum rotation and translation between each pair of sections. The Transform is calculated from three pairs of points interactively marked by the user on each pair of images to be registered. Once the points have been marked, the software calculates the rotation and translation \((\theta, t_x, t_y)\) needed to minimize the sum of the squared distances between all three pairs of corresponding points, thus aligning both images. The results are stored in the second image. This method is very accurate when the pairs of points are spread all along the sections. Reasons for small errors are imprecise mouse interaction, stretching and/or compression of the tissue, and the fact that some structures used to select pairs of corresponding points might not be perpendicular to the sections.
- **Reconstruct Cases**. Our system reconstructs the tissue structures by rendering the user annotations in 3D. Besides the obvious advantage of volumetric tissue structure visualization, the 3D rendering is linked to the microscope for revisiting, and to the original images and their analysis for display of the images and analysis results, as will be described later. The 3D reconstruction part of the software has been developed using Java3D (v.1.2.1). This is an application-programming interface (API) for 3D in Java. After asking the user for the range of sections to render, the system converts the coordinates of all the markings in that range of sections from two-dimensional to three-dimensional values. The section number and thickness, along with the distance between sections, determines the depth-coordinate. Then a 3D scene is built using several geometric shapes to represent the different markings. Duct markings are rendered as spheres and connected with lines within and between sections. Contour shapes are rendered as volumes after applying a refined Delaunay triangulation, using the Nuages reconstruction software (INRIA, France, http://www-sop.inria.fr/prisme/) (Boissonnat et Geiger, 1993). The 3D rendition of the Case is displayed in a new window where the mouse can rotate the scene, zoom in or out or translate the scene in the X and/or Y directions. The 3D window includes a tool bar with options to select elements. By just clicking on one element of the 3D scene, the user can get information about it (location, size, etc.), load the image(s) that contain that element, images are displayed in a new image panel, or move the microscope to its location.
on the slide for re-imaging. Volume selection is handled by JAVA 3D. The selection is performed by tracing a "virtual ray" from the user's point of view (defined when rendering the Case, normally at a point corresponding approximately to the position of the user's eye) and the point where the user clicks on the screen. The selected volume will be the first object intersected by the ray within the 3D scene. The user can also hide or show all the different elements of the scene, reset it to the default view and change the scale in any of the three dimensions.

- Analyze Cases. All areas selected based on the 3D morphological reconstruction, can be batch processed upon a user request. This way, only those areas selected based on a particular morphological feature are analyzed, and not all the tissue sections, thus reducing the amount of work required. The analysis is done by streamlining the selected images to a new process running custom-made image analysis routines built on a commercial image processing software (Scilimage, TNO, The Netherlands). At the moment, the image analysis routines can segment counterstained nuclei and detect and quantify FISH probes or punctuate-patterned expressed proteins. The image analysis algorithms for nuclei and signal segmentation have been described elsewhere (Malpica et al., 1997, Ortiz de Solorzano et al., 1998, Malpica et al., 1999). The results of the analysis can be displayed from the 3D rendering window, and global measurements can be performed after selecting the volumes.

An important feature of R3D2 is that all Case-handling and marking functions can be used in parallel to the functions that request microscope actions. Therefore, acquiring a new section can be done in parallel to any other Case related function (e.g., registering already acquired sections or annotating the images). Our implementation of this feature uses Solaris threads. Threads permit executing multiple parallel copies of a program without multiplying resource use. Each thread shares memory and other resources with other threads. R3D2 runs on a main thread and when a microscope-based operation is selected, it launches a new thread that runs on the same memory space as the main one. This scheme guarantees that, in the case of a microscope failure or a socket error, the system will not die abruptly, as only the thread working on the microscope will be affected.

RESULTS
We will now illustrate the use of our system by showing how two different tissue blocks were imaged and reconstructed. The first one (HB) is a tissue block from the mammary gland of a patient with ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast (DCIS). The second block (MB) is a normal mammary gland of a nuliparus mouse.

Tissue Source

**HB.** The tissue was part of a breast lumpectomy specimen. After surgery, the specimen was fixed in an alcoholic-formalin solution, and embedded in paraffin per routine at the California Pacific Medical Center in 1981. The tissue was originally staged as a T1N0M0

**MB.** The tissue was part of a breast lumpectomy specimen. After surgery, the specimen was fixed in an alcoholic-formalin solution, and embedded in paraffin per routine at the California Pacific Medical Center in 1981. The tissue was originally staged as a T1N0M0
(Stage 1). Several blocks of approximately 1" × 1" × 3 mm were taken from the tissue. The block we used contained DCIS and benign ducts; there was no invasive tumor present. The tumor was positive for ERBB2 by immunohistochemistry performed on a 4-μm section taken from the top of the block.

**MB.** Female BALB/c mice were obtained from Simonson (Gilroy, CA) and housed 4 per cage with chow and water ad libitum in a temperature- and light-controlled facility. Carbon dioxide inhalation was used to kill the animals in accordance with the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care guidelines and institutional review and approval. The 4th inguinal glands were removed for histology and whole mounts at 10 weeks. The tissue was formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded.

### Tissue Preparation

**HB.** The human block was sectioned at 4 μm thickness. Every 5th section was collected onto a plus (treated) slide, for a total of 66 sections. From this set, every other section was collected and stained with H&E (33 sections, 40 μm apart). The rest of collected sections were sent to the UCSF Cytogenetics core for FISH (33 sections, 40 μm apart), with a probe for the ERBB2 gene, which is amplified in 30% of carcinomas of the breast. The ERBB2 probe was RMC17P077, a P1 probe. The DNA was extracted and labeled by Nick translation with red CY3 dUTP fluorochrome. In summary, the odd-numbered sections were H&E stained and the even-numbered sections were DAPI counterstained and processed for FISH.

**MB.** The mouse gland was paraffin-embedded, H&E stained, and sectioned at 4 μm. We starting collecting every fifth section (20 μm apart); 80 μm into the tissue, we switched and collected all remaining sections (4 μm apart), for a total of 24 sections. The collected sections were all placed on glass slides for microscopy and imaging.

### Imaging of Tissue Sections

**HB.** Full tissue sections were imaged in bright field (odd-numbered sections) (Fig. 4A), or fluorescence (even-numbered sections) (Fig. 4B), using R3D2's Scan DAPI (B). Microscope focusing and image acquisition is completely automated, as described in the text. In the individual images, the top contains the entire section, the bottom contains a zoomed sub-area of it.
option. Fluorescent sections were imaged using a single-band filter block (360 nm excitation) for DAPI.

**MB.** All sections were imaged in bright field (Fig. 4C).

In both blocks, sections were imaged at 10× with a Fluor (0.5 n.a.) objective lens (Zeiss, Wetzlar, Germany). In order to optimize memory use while keeping enough resolution for histology, images were reduced by a factor of 4 in both X and Y directions, which gave us an effective 2.5× magnification, i.e., a sixteen-fold reduction in image size. After image compression, the average image size of the sections was 25 Mbytes in the human block and 10 Mbytes in the mouse. The compression was necessary to speed up image transmission and display.

**Creation of Cases**

Two Cases were created, initially empty. The acquired sections were added with a number equal to its section number within the tissue. All sections were manually registered as previously described to ensure proper alignment of the to-be-done annotations. Each section was registered to its previous section, thus aligning all sections with the first H&E section of the block.

**Tissue Annotation**

**HB.** All H&E sections were manually annotated. We marked the centers of the ducts by placing a circular mark (R3D2's Duct tool) in the lumen, when the duct was sectioned longitudinally. Then we connected the markings within and between consecutive sections using the Connect Ducts option. We also delineated tumor areas using R3D2's Shapes option and grouped their consecutive sections using the Group option (Fig. 5).

**MB.** The same procedure was followed for the mouse except for the Shapes, which were not used to delineate tumor areas, but the lymph nodes (Fig. 6).

**3D Reconstruction**

The reconstruction of the Cases, based on the H&E sections, is shown in Figures 7(HB) and 8(MB). All the user markings are interactive, in that by clicking on them the user can (1) obtain positional information, (2) load the part of the original image section(s) containing that marking, or (3) revisit the selected marking under the microscope. For the latter, the microscope automatically moves to the position of the marking in the tissue, provided that the right slide is on the microscope. In situ tumors and lymph nodes were rendered as volumes in 3D, and ducts as lines.
Fig. 7. Tissue reconstruction (human case). 3D reconstruction of the manually annotated DCIS Case. A: Tumor volumes have been surface-rendered to show their three-dimensional shapes. Ducts are identified by spheres and connected by lines within and between sections. Yellow rectangles identify areas that were re-acquired at higher magnification. B: Close up view of a set of connected markings corresponding to the same duct. The 3D reconstruction is fully interactive. It can be rotated, zoomed, and all the elements can be selected to retrieve information about the element, display the original image that contains the element, or automatically move the microscope to the selected point.

Fig. 8. Tissue reconstruction (mouse Case). 3D reconstruction of the H&E stained, manually annotated mouse Case used to test our system. Lymph node volumes have been surface-rendered to show their three-dimensional shapes. Ducts are identified by spheres and connected by lines within and between sections.
**3D RECONSTRUCTION FROM SECTIONS**

Fig. 9. Revisiting and analysis of areas of interest. Revisited area of the Human DCIS tissue block used to test the system. A: DAPI image of counterstained nuclei; B: CY3 images of FISH with a probe to the erb-b2 gene. Areas can be acquired and loaded from the images or the sections they belong to or directly from the 3D reconstruction of the tissue. C: Results of the segmentation of nuclei based on the counterstained channel. Each segmented nucleus is colored differently. (Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.)

**Revisit of Areas of Interest**

**HB.** Several areas were revisited at high-resolution (40×), with a Plan-Neofluar (1.3 na) oil immersion lens (Zeiss, Wetzlar, Germany). Areas were manually selected having either morphologically normal or abnormal (DCIS) areas. Areas were double-scanned using a Pinkel filter set (Chroma Technologies, Brattleboro, VT), by consecutively imaging while exciting the sample at 360 (DAPI emission from the nuclei) and 572 nm (CY3 emission from ERBB2 gene). All areas were acquired at full resolution and then compressed by a factor of 2 in both X and Y directions for an effective 20× magnification. Areas were manually selected by drawing rectangles on the low-resolution images of the whole fluorescent sections, although they could have been selected from the 3D reconstruction as well. To ensure proper alignment between the areas and the sections, the images of the sections were previously aligned with the microscope slide by calculating the shift between the current location of the slide and its location when the image of the section was originally acquired. This is done by calculating the rigid body transform between three points manually selected in the image of the section and the corresponding points under the microscope. Figure 7 shows the 3D reconstruction of the human Case, incorporating the high-resolution acquired areas, displayed as rectangles. As all other elements in the reconstruction model, the areas can be loaded or revisited by clicking on them (Fig. 9). The total number of areas imaged was 160.

**Analysis of Areas of Interest**

**HB.** All 160 areas were segmented overnight using the Analyze Case function. In this particular case, DAPI counterstained nuclei were segmented using a two-step algorithm that first uses an adaptive threshold to separate DNA areas from the background and then applies a Hough-transform + Watershed algorithm to separate clusters of nuclei resulting of the adaptive thresholding. FISH signals were segmented using a TOP-HAT morphological algorithm followed by morphological reconstruction. The FISH segmentation algorithm was applied only to those areas identified as nuclei on the DAPI channel. A detailed description of these methods is out of the scope of this study and can be found in the literature (Malpica et al., 1997, Ortiz de Solorzano et al., 1998, Malpica et al., 1999).

The total number of nuclei segmented was above 200,000. The results of the nuclear and FISH segmentation can be displayed for every area (Fig. 9) from the images of the sections they belong to or directly from the 3D rendering window.

**DISCUSSION**

We have presented a new and powerful computer-based system that allows automation of the acquisition, storage, and analysis of thick sectioned tissue specimens. The system has been described and demonstrated by reconstructing tissue blocks from two tissue sources, processed using different staining and microscopy protocols.

By integrating information from different types of staining, both histological (e.g., H&E) and molecular (e.g., IHQ or FISH), we allow simultaneous morphological and molecular analysis of the specimens. The molecular analysis is not only simultaneous, but in fact driven by the morphology as the areas acquired and analyzed can be selected directly from the 3D recon-
struction of the structures marked on the low-resolution images. This way, the labor-intensive task of acquiring and analyzing the images is done semi-automatically—the slides still need to be manually placed on the microscope and the areas to be acquired drawn on the images of the sections—enormously reducing the time and labor. In fact, large-scale analysis can be done (e.g., whole gland analysis), which would be unthinkable otherwise.

A classical problem of fluorescence microscopy, which limits the number of labeled elements to the number of fluorochromes that can be discriminated from, can be overcome by using single or dual color staining on several adjacent sections, provided that the distance between histological (e.g., H&E) sections enclosing them allows detection of continuity between the structures of interest.

Future work will involve adding new functionality to the system by augmenting the number of analysis functions provided (e.g., detection of cytoplasmic or extra cellular proteins), and automating the detection of the structures of interest, which at this point is the most time-consuming task when reconstructing a case. Interaction could be further reduced by using an automatic slide feeder attached to the microscope, which would eliminate the manual loading of slides for revisiting or acquisition of areas.
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Abstract. Real-time three-dimensional (3-D) reconstruction of epithelial structures in human mammary gland tissue blocks mapped with selected markers would be an extremely helpful tool for diagnosing breast cancer and planning treatment. Besides its clear clinical application, this tool could also shed a great deal of light on the molecular basis of the initiation and progression of breast cancer. We present a framework for real-time segmentation of epithelial structures in two-dimensional (2-D) images of sections of normal and neoplastic mammary gland tissue blocks. Complete 3-D rendering of the tissue can then be done by surface rendering of the structures detected in consecutive sections of the blocks. Paraffin-embedded or frozen tissue blocks are first sliced and sections are stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The sections are then imaged using conventional bright-field microscopy and their background corrected using a phantom image. We then use the fast-marching algorithm to roughly extract the contours of the different morphological structures in the images. The result is then refined with the level-set method, which converges to an accurate (subpixel) solution for the segmentation problem. Finally, our system stacks together the 2-D results obtained in order to reconstruct a 3-D representation of the entire tissue block under study. Our method is illustrated with results from the segmentation of human and mouse mammary gland tissue samples.
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1 Introduction

The mature mammary gland is a tree-like organ made up of three different levels of branching ducts converging at the nipple. The ducts are lined by epithelial cells and end in secretory lobuloalveolar structures, which are the sites of milk production during lactation. In cancer, this hierarchical organization is disrupted by uncontrolled growth of the epithelium, and sometimes by the subsequent invasion of the surrounding tissue. These morphological or structural changes are accompanied by other genetic and epigenetic changes at the cellular level (see Fig. 1). An example of this is seen in ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), which is a preinvasive form of cancer. In DCIS, the molecular changes common in breast cancer can be observed together with well-defined morphological patterns, such as comedo or cribriform ones, with or without central necrosis.

An interesting problem is the quantification of these genetic or molecular changes in the context of the tissue environment where they occur. In this way, by looking at cancer as an organ that is inherently heterogeneous and dynamic, we believe that we will obtain a better understanding of the events that drive the progression of the disease. Therefore, since the normal mammary gland and its neoplastic variants are neither flat nor homogeneous, the approach to this problem should be three-dimensional as well, and take into account the heterogeneity of the gland. However, most classic methods in biology focus on only a single type of abnormality (molecular or morphological) and/or neglect three-dimensionality and heterogeneity.

Although imaging of both tissue structure and function in vivo would be extremely desirable, the existing in vivo imag-
tissue samples. Automatic segmentation of the structures of around the zero level set. However, in our experience, the much user interaction to be useful for reconstructing large considering for computations only a narrow band of pixels sections have also been used," but they still involve too alsteinsson and approaches to segmentation of features of interest in histologi- sociated with the method. The narrow-band approach of Ad- tions to the problem increases the computational cost as-

order to build the 3-D reconstruction of the block, we initially corners. Second, geometric quantities such as normal and cur-

has been used before to delineate histological interest on the H&E-stained sections. Manual segmentation eration process drives the curve toward the desired shape. where the contour is defined. That energy function approaches terms related to the pixel values of the area of the image where the contour is defined. That energy function approaches a minimum near the object’s boundary, and thus the minimi-

ing methods (x-ray, magnetic resonance imaging, optical to- mography, etc.) do not provide the necessary resolution for cell-level molecular analysis. In addition, these methods pro-

curse of the tissue. Consequently, ex vivo microscopic analysis of the tissue from flat fixed sections is the routine method in histopathology. However, the limited ability of the human eye to extrapolate and visualize 3-D structures from sequences of 2-D scenes renders this method unsuitable for quantitative 3-D tissue characterization. To overcome these issues, we have developed a system for simultaneous morphological and molecular analysis of thick tissue samples. The system consists of a computer-assisted microscope and a JAVA-based image display, analysis, and visualization program (R3D2). R3D2 allows semiautomatic acquisition, annotation, storage, 3-D reconstruction, and analysis of histological structures (intraductal tumors, normal ducts, blood vessels, etc.) from thick tissue specimens. For this purpose the tissue needs to be embedded in a permanent or semi-
rigid medium after collection. The tissue is then fully sectioned, and the resulting sections are stained in a way that visually highlights the desired structures. In histopathology, hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) is the most common combina-
tion of dyes used to observe the morphology of the tissue. In order to image not only structure, but also molecular events, we alternate H&E staining with fluorescent staining (immuno-

ex vivo hybridization) of proteins motion of the curve is embedded within the motion of that function is allowed to change topology and form sharp corners. Second, geometric quantities such as normal and curv-

complex tissue structures. In these models, the curve and surface models evolve under an implicit speed law containing two terms, one that attracts it to the object’s boundary and another that is closely related to the regularity of the shape. Specifically, the proposal is to use the level-set approach of Osher and Sethian.14 This is an interface propagation technique used for a variety of applications, in-

This paper focuses on the annotation of the structures of interest on the H&E-stained sections. Manual segmentation has been used before to delineate histological structures. In order to build the 3-D reconstruction of the block, we initially annotated each of the interesting features of the tissue on each section by using manually drawn contours. This step constituted a bottleneck in the study of samples. Semiautomatic approaches to segmentation of features of interest in histological sections have also been used, but they still involve too much user interaction to be useful for reconstructing large tissue samples. Automatic segmentation of the structures of interest is the answer to this problem. We propose an automatic method followed by interactive correction that greatly reduces the amount of interaction required, thus allowing us to use our system for imaging and reconstruction of large, complex tissue structures.

Automatic extraction of contours in 2-D images is usually done with active contour models, originally presented by Kass et al.16 These methods are based on deforming an initial con-
tour (polygon) toward the boundary of the desired object to extract in an image. The deformation is achieved by minimizing a certain energy function, which is computed by integrat-
ing along the contour, terms related to its continuity, and terms related to the pixel values of the area of the image where the contour is defined. That energy function approaches a minimum near the object’s boundary, and thus the minimi-

As an alternative, implicit surface evolution models have been introduced by Malladi et al.11,12 and Caselles et al.13 In these models, the curve and surface models evolve under an implicit speed law containing two terms, one that attracts it to the object’s boundary and another that is closely related to the regularity of the shape. Specifically, the proposal is to use the level-set approach of Osher and Sethian. This is an interface propagation technique used for a variety of applications, in-

Fig. 1 Morphological and genetic alterations in breast cancer. The images show two optical sections of human mammary gland tissue acquired using a confocal laser scanning microscope; nuclei are displayed in red; a probe for a certain DNA sequence in chromosome 17 is shown in green. (a) Normal tissue; as expected, each nucleus contains up to two green signals (up to two copies of chromosome 17 per nucleus in a single optical section). (b) Neoplastic lesion; not only do some nuclei contain more than two copies of the probe, but they also have distinct morphological changes that can be observed in this section.
Fig. 2 Protocol followed on tissue blocks. The different steps (sectioning, annotation, reconstruction, high-magnification acquisition, and molecular analysis) are illustrated. Samples are fully sectioned at 5 μm (step 1). The odd sections are stained with H&E, the even ones with some kind of fluorescence technique (application dependent). Images are acquired of all the sections (step 2), and the structures of interest are delineated in the H&E-stained ones (step 3). A 3-D reconstruction of the specimen is created from these markings (step 4). From the 3-D reconstruction of the tissue, different areas can be selected for molecular analysis (step 5). The system will take high-magnification images of those areas on the corresponding fluorescent sections (step 6). Image analysis tools can then be used to quantify the presence and distribution of molecular markers in the high-magnification images (step 7).

narrow-band technique does not reduce the computational cost to a reasonable limit, owing to the large size of the images of the sections.

Thus we propose to use the fast-marching method. This method considers monotonically advancing fronts (speed always positive or negative), providing a result very quickly, albeit one that is not as accurate as the one obtained by using the level-set algorithm. Malladi and Sethian showed that the fast-marching method can be used as the initial condition for the slower but more accurate level-set segmentation, obtaining real-time delineation of the structures of interest. A combination of these tools is the framework we use to reconstruct normal and cancerous ducts in mammary gland tissue sections of DCIS samples.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the general tissue handling and image acquisition protocols that we use, as well as the theoretical basis of the segmentation scheme; Sec. 3 shows the results of applying our method to histological tissue sections; and Sec. 4 discusses the results and suggests some future developments and improvements to our approach.

2 Methodology

2.1 Tissue Processing and Imaging

The tissue processing and staining protocol used is illustrated in Fig. 2. Tissue blocks of 4- to 5-mm thickness were sliced into 5 μm (thin) sections (step 1). The odd sections were stained with H&E to obtain morphological information at both the cytological (single cell) and architectural (organ) levels. The even sections were stained using some fluorescence technique (e.g., immunocytochemistry, fluorescence in situ hybridization), depending on the molecular phenomena that we wanted to study. Describing the acquisition and analysis of the fluorescent images is out of the scope of this paper, which focuses on the segmentation of epithelial structures in the H&E-stained sections. Therefore the rest of this section describes only the protocol used for the H&E-stained sections.

Low magnification (2.5×), panoramic images of all the sections were automatically acquired using a motorized Zeiss Axioplan microscope coupled with a monochrome Xillix Microimager CCD camera (step 2). To create these large panoramic images, the system scanned the entire section, taking single-field-of-view snapshots and tiling them together into single, whole-view images of the sections. The required sequence of microscope movements and camera operations is produced by an application running on the Sun Ultra 10 workstation that controls the camera and all moving parts of the microscope.

Next we annotated the structures of interest (ducts, lymph nodes, tumors) in the images of the H&E-stained sections (step 3). These annotated structures were used to produce a three-dimensional model of each tissue block (step 4).

These four initial steps are the focus of this paper. However, to better understand the rationale for the process, we briefly describe the final three steps, which are out of the intended scope for this paper. The user can choose to revisit areas in the three-dimensional rendition of the organ, based on their morphology. This can be done on the H&E sections or on the intermediate fluorescent sections. To do so, the system requires the user to acquire high-magnification (40 to 100X) images of the corresponding section(s) (steps 5 and 6). If the high-magnification images are taken from the intermediate
immunofluorescent sections, quantification routines can be run on these new images (step 7).

Manually annotating all the relevant morphological structures in all the sections of fully sectioned tissue blocks is feasible but, for all purposes, impractical because of the tremendous human effort needed. Although it might be the most accurate and reliable approach, manual annotation is not possible except when reconstructing small, very simple tissue volumes. As a result, we have developed automatic methods that eliminate or greatly reduce human interaction, thus making the reconstruction of complex systems possible. The following discussion describes our approach.

2.2 Segmentation

2.2.1 Background removal

The algorithm that we use to acquire an image of an entire section creates a mosaic from a set of snapshots (one per field of view). This approach gives rise to a background pattern across the image [Fig. 3(a)] involving relatively large gradients in between elements of the mosaic. The objects of interest often span several fields of view, and since our segmentation approach depends largely on the gradients of the image, we need to eliminate the background pattern in order to obtain good segmentation results. This can be done by performing a set of arithmetic operations on the "mosaic" image, known as background compensation.

First we need a phantom, that is, an image of an empty field of view taken under the same illumination conditions and microscope configuration that we used to acquire the initial image. Since most of the images that we acquire have an empty frame in the upper left corner, it is simple to choose that frame as our phantom for the corresponding section. After normalizing the pixel values in the phantom, and for each grid point (ij) in 4-connectedness, we designate \{A_1, A_2\} as the two couples of opposite neighbors so that

\[ U(A_1) < U(A_2), U(B_1) < U(B_2) \]

Since we have \( u < U(B_1) - U(A_1) \), we can derive

\[ [u - U(A_1)]^2 + [u - U(B_1)]^2 = \frac{1}{F_{ij}}. \]  

The key to solving this equation rapidly is to observe that the information propagates from smaller values of \( U \) to larger values in the upwind difference structure in Eq. (3). The idea is to construct the time surface, one piece at a time, by only considering the "frontier" points; we detail the fast-marching method in Table 1.

Note that in solving Eq. (3), only alive points are considered. This means that for each point, the calculation is made using the current values of \( U \) at the neighbors, and not estimates at other trial points. Considering the neighbors of the grid point \((i,j)\) in 4-connectedness, we designate \{A_1, A_2\} and \{B_1, B_2\} as the two couples of opposite neighbors so that we get the ordering \( U(A_1) < U(A_2), U(B_1) < U(B_2) \), and \( U(A_1) < U(B_1) \). Since we have \( u < U(B_1) \), we can derive

\[ [u - U(A_1)]^2 + [u - U(B_1)]^2 = \frac{1}{F_{ij}}. \]  

Computing the discriminant (\( \Delta \)) of Eq. (4), we complete the steps described in Table 2. Thus the algorithm needs only one
time, the trial points are stored in a min-heap data structure.17 operations that we just described in the minimum amount of Once we have obtained a good approximation of the shape of pass over the image to find a solution. To execute all the

Specifically, the trial points are stored in a min-heap data structure. Once we have obtained a good approximation of the shape of

2.2.3 Final segmentation: level-set method
Once we have obtained a good approximation of the shape of the object using the fast-marching algorithm, we can afford to use the more computationally expensive level-set method to improve the result of the segmentation. The essential idea here is to embed our marching front as the zero level set of a higher dimensional function. In our case, we take that function to be \( \phi(x) = \pm d \), where \( d \) is the signed distance from \( x \) to the front (see Fig. 4), assigning negative distances for pixels inside the evolving curve, and positive distances for pixels outside it.

Following the arguments in Ref. 14, we obtain the following evolution equation:

\[
\phi_t + F(x,y) \nabla \phi = 0, \tag{6}
\]

where \( F \) is again the speed in the normal direction. This is an initial-value partial differential equation, since it describes the evolution of the solution on the basis of an initial condition defined as \( \phi(x, t = 0) = \phi_0 \). As pointed out earlier, the level-set approach offers several advantages:

- The zero level set of the function can change topology and form sharp corners.

This table summarizes the fast-marching algorithm.

Table 1 Fast-marching algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm for 2-D Fast Marching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definitions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive set: all grid points where the action value ( U ) has been reached and will not be changed;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial set: next grid points (4-connectedness neighbors) to be examined. An estimate ( U ) of ( U ) has been computed using Eq. (3) from Alive points only (i.e., from ( U ));</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far set: all other grid points, where there is no estimate for ( U ) yet;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initialization:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive set: reduced to the starting point ( p_0 ), with ( U(p_0) = U_{min} ), is frozen;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial set: reduced to the four neighbors ( p ) of ( p_0 ) with initial value ( U(p) = 1/F(p) ) ( (U(p) = \infty) );</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far set: all other grid points, with ( U = \infty );</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loop:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Let ( p = (i_{min}, j_{min}) ) be the trial point with the smallest action ( U ):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move it from the trial to the alive set (i.e., ( U(p) = U_{min} ) is frozen);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For each neighbor ((i, j)) (4-connectedness in 2-D) of ((i_{min}, j_{min})):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If ((i, j)) is far, add it to the trial set and compute ( U_{i,j} ) using Eq. (3);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If ((i, j)) is trial, update the action ( U_{i,j} ) using Eq. (3);</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pass over the image to find a solution. To execute all the
operations that we just described in the minimum amount of
time, the trial points are stored in a min-heap data structure.17
Since the complexity of changing the value of one element of
the heap is bounded by a worst-case processing time of
\([O(\log_2 N)]\), the total algorithm has a complexity of
\(O(N \log_2 N)\) on a grid with \( N \) nodes.

Finally, we define the speed of propagation in the normal
direction as a decreasing function of the gradient \( |\nabla I(x)| \),
that is, a function that is very small near large gradients (i.e., possible edges) and large when the brightness level is constant:

\[
F(x) = \exp\left( -\alpha |\nabla I(x)| \right), \quad \alpha > 0, \tag{5}
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the edge strength, or the weight that we give to the presence of a gradient in order to slow down the front. Depending on this value, the speed function falls to zero more or less rapidly, and thus it could stop a few grid points away from the real edge. Also, variations in the gradient along the boundary can cause inaccurate results. False gradients caused by noise can be avoided using an edge-preserving smoothing scheme on the image as a preprocessing step; see Ref. 19.

The user can run the fast-marching method from a given set of initial points (mouse clicks on the background of the images). Alternatively, the user can decide to segment only the structures within a manually defined rectangular region of interest. If the region is too big, subsampling can be used so that the segmentation process is not too slow. However, this option must be used carefully, since subsampling smooths the boundaries of the objects present in the image and can completely obliterate smaller structures. The resulting contour (or contours if we are segmenting several objects at the same time) will provide an excellent initial condition for the level-set method.

Putting all of these elements together, we are able to obtain a good approximation of the shape of the object that we are trying to segment (Fig. 5). In order to improve the final result, we propose to run a few iterations of the level-set method using the result of the fast-marching method as the initial condition.
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A discrete grid can be used together with finite differences to approximate the solution.

Intrinsic geometric quantities such as normal and curvature can be easily extracted from the higher dimensional function.

Everything extends directly to 3-D.

To mold the initial condition (in our case the result of the fast-marching method) into the desired shape, we use two force terms. By substituting these two terms in the motion equation, we get:

\[ \phi_t - g(1 - \epsilon \kappa)|\nabla \phi| - \beta \nabla g \times \nabla \phi = 0, \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad \beta > 0, \quad (7) \]

where \( g \) is an edge indicator function defined by the speed of the front [Eq. (5)] in the eikonal Eq. (2); \( \kappa \) is the curvature of the expanding front, and \( \phi_t \) is the unknown that we are trying to compute. As before, the image \( I(x) \) can be preprocessed using an edge-preserving smoothing scheme.

The second term of the equation has two components. The first one slows the surface in the neighborhood of high gradients (edges), while the second one (motion by curvature) provides regularity to the curve. The parameter \( \epsilon \) is the weight of the motion by curvature term, and determines the strength of its regulatory effect: the bigger we make \( \epsilon \), the more we limit the possibility of obtaining sharp corners and irregular contours. In practice, an intermediate value of \( \epsilon \) provides a good trade off between contour smoothing and accuracy.

Finally, the last term of the equation attracts the front to the object's boundaries. It aligns all the level sets with the ideal gradient, which would be a step function centered at the point of maximum gradient in the original image. \( \beta \) is the weight of the advection of the front by the edge vector field \( \nabla g \). It determines the strength of the attraction of the front to the edges.

At times, for very small objects, it is possible to use the level-set method from the initial point. However, for any type of morphological structure, we can use the result of the eikonal Eq. (2), \( U(x,y) \), as the initial condition for the level-set algorithm: \( \phi(x,y; t = 0) = U(x,y) \). Then by solving Eq. (7) for a few time steps using the narrow-band approach, we obtain an accurate, real-time segmentation of the desired object (see Fig. 5).

3 Results

In this section we consider the problem of reconstructing DCIS areas in a tissue biopsy of a cancerous human breast, as well as a group of normal ducts through an entire mouse mammary gland. The tissue samples were sliced for a total of 55 sections in the human tissue and 206 sections in the mouse one. We used all of the sections for the reconstruction of the human case and 40 sections for reconstruction of the mouse case. Manually delineating all the structures in every section is an extremely time-consuming process. To automatically segment those structures using the framework described in Sec. 2, we begin by defining a region-of-interest (ROI) where we will run the segmentation algorithm. This ROI can be extended to cover the entire section, but considering the size of the images, it is wise to use subsampling in order to run the algorithm in real time. The level of subsampling can be determined by the user; greater subsampling can be used on large ROIs without compromising the resolution and accuracy of the final segmentation.

After defining the ROI, we have to tune the different parameters of the segmentation process, particularly \( \alpha \) [Eq. (5)]
and $\epsilon$ [Eq. (7)]. This is done by the user based on the default values provided by the algorithm and the type of object that he or she is trying to segment. However, we have observed that a particular set of parameters is frequently good enough to segment similar structures (i.e., all the tumors, all the ducts, all the lymph nodes) throughout all the sections of a particular tissue block. Thus, the user only needs to modify the parameters the first time he or she tries to segment a new type of morphological element in the tissue.

After selecting the parameters of the flow, initial points are defined inside (to find the internal contour) or outside (to find the external contour) the structures of interest. In most cases one computer mouse click is enough, although large images may require several evenly distributed clicks. The value of $U(x)$ at these points is set to zero as in Eq. (2), and the fast-marching algorithm of Table 1 is executed. When this method finishes, the final $U(x)$ function is passed as the initial condition to the level-set motion Eq. (7). We then iterate this equation for a few steps. This segmentation scheme provides a result in less than 1 s for images whose size (after subsampling, if any) is around 2 kbytes (e.g., $512 \times 512$ pixels) running on a Sun Ultra 10 workstation with 1 Gbytes of RAM.

3.1 Human Case Segmentation

Figure 6 displays the result obtained with the combination of the fast-marching and the level-set methods in a tissue biopsy from a patient with an intraductal carcinoma. Figure 6(b) shows the segmentation of a tumor mass in a human tissue block. The results of the segmentation can be edited and removed with the interactive tools provided by our system [see Fig. 6(c)]. For this segmentation, an area was selected around the structures of interest and no subsampling was used. The initial contours are represented by blue points in Fig. 6(a).

3.2 Mouse Case Segmentation

In Fig. 7 we can see the segmentation of the external contours of several ducts in a particular area of a mouse mammary gland. In this case we also run the algorithm on an ROI on one of the sections with no subsampling factor. Figure 7(a) displays the points where we initialized the contours. Figures 7(b) and 7(c) show the results of the segmentation before and after interactive correction of the results, respectively.

3.3 3-D Reconstruction

Finally the segmented shapes are connected (manually) between sections, and 3-D reconstructions of the samples are built. Figure 8 shows a reconstruction of the tumors contained in the human tissue block, including the tumor shown in Fig. 6. Increasing the "motion by curvature" term, as described in Sec. 2, can reduce surface noise. In Fig. 9 we can see the reconstruction of the normal ducts segmented in the images of the mouse mammary gland (see one of the corresponding 2-D segmentations in Fig. 7).

Fig. 6 Segmentation of a DCIS tumor in human mammary gland tissue. (a) Segmentation using just the level set method. The blue dot represents the initial contour. (b) Results of the full segmentation with blue lines delineating tumor masses. (c) Results after editing using the interactive tools provided by the system.

Fig. 7 Segmentation of normal ducts in a mouse mammary gland tissue sample. (a) Initial data. (b) Results of the segmentation (red lines delineate normal ducts). (c) Results after editing using the interactive tools provided by the system.
Automatic segmentation of histological structures.

3-D reconstruction of tumors in a human mammary gland tissue block. Tumor masses are rendered as gray volumes. The scene was stretched ten times in the z direction to obtain a better view.

Fig. 8

3-D reconstruction of normal ducts in a mouse mammary gland. The ducts are rendered as gray volumes. A single duct and its branches can be traced throughout the gland. The z direction was not stretched in this case.

Fig. 9

3.4 Further Examples

Figures 10 and 11 show two more examples of the results that can be obtained with our segmentation approach. In both cases the initial ROI was subsampled by a factor of 2 in both the x and y directions. The same segmentation parameters ($\alpha$ and $\epsilon$) were used for both examples.

Figure 10(a) shows a DCIS lesion together with a normal duct in a human tissue section. Both structures were segmented at the same time using a single initial point, as can be seen in Fig. 10(b). Figure 10(c) shows the results incorporated to the full resolution image.

In Fig. 11(a), a terminal ductal lobular unit (TDLU) can be observed. These are lobuloalveolar structures where milk is produced during lactation in the human breast. The multiple alveoli that form the TDLU, together with the presence of a ductal part, make automatic segmentation of this type of structure a difficult task. However, after subsampling the image, our algorithm is able to find a contour that surrounds the entire structure [Figs. 11(b) and 11(c)], thus allowing its 3-D reconstruction.

Fig. 10 Segmentation of a different DCIS tumor in human mammary gland tissue. (a) The duct on the left contains a DCIS lesion with a necrotic center; the one on the right is normal. (b) The ROI was subsampled by a factor of 2 in both the x and y directions; the blue dot represents the initial seed. (c) Results of the segmentation on the full-resolution image.

Fig. 10

4 Discussion

We have developed a microscopy system that semiautomatically reconstructs histological structures from fully sectioned tissue samples. However, the interaction required to operate the system is quite intensive, limiting the scope of its application to small tissue volumes or to studies not requiring high throughput analysis of the samples. In this paper we have presented a method that reduces the time and interaction needed to build the 3-D reconstruction of a tissue block, thus increasing the potential throughput of the system and therefore allowing us to use this approach for the reconstruction of large, complex specimens. To achieve this goal we have combined image processing techniques and two well-established schemes for interface propagation: the fast-marching method and the level-set method.

Our approach starts by correcting the background of the images. This is an important step, since the background pattern generated during image acquisition modifies the gradient
Fig. 11 Segmentation of a terminal ductal lobular unit in a human mammary gland. (a) The duct on the left contains a section of a TDLU, one of the sites of milk production in the human breast. (b) The ROI was subsampled by a factor of 2 in both the x and y directions; the blue dot represents the initial seed. (c) Results of the segmentation on the full-resolution image.

of the image, and the speed function that we use for interface propagation depends on that gradient. Once the background has been corrected, we run the fast-marching method. This technique provides a good approximation of the boundaries of the objects that we are trying to segment in a very short time, since it assumes monotonic speed functions (always positive or always negative). We then use the approximation provided by the fast-marching method as the initial condition for the level-set method. This more computationally expensive algorithm is run for just a few steps, enough to fit the front to the contours of the structures of interest, but not as many as to make the segmentation too time-consuming.

Though this approach is very useful, it can still be improved. The most accurate segmentations (and reconstructions) are obtained on full-resolution images. However, for some large structures, such as lymph nodes, or when trying to delineate multiple elements at the same time (for example, a group of ducts), segmentation on a full-resolution image is not real time, and can take up to 1 min. Using subsampling takes the segmentation execution time back to real time at the expense of some accuracy loss. Also, in areas with a lot of texture in the tissue around the ducts (stroma), tuning the parameters of the algorithm (\(\alpha\) and \(\epsilon\)) becomes more difficult. At times this process can take a few trials, since the expanding front tends to get trapped in high gradients that do not correspond to the boundaries of the feature that we are trying to segment, but to the texture of the stroma. Finally, once all the structures of interest have been segmented, the user still needs to manually connect them between sections. This constitutes a new bottleneck in the tissue analysis process.

For these reasons, we are currently working on a time step-independent scheme that is expected to be faster than the current one. To improve the accuracy of the results, we have developed an edge-preserving smoothing algorithm based on the Beltrami flow,\(^\text{19}\) which can replace the Gaussian smoothing currently used before executing the segmentation methods. This algorithm eliminates false gradients that are due to noise, while enhancing gradients that are due to the object’s boundaries, thus allowing the front to fit the boundaries of the object more accurately. Finally, the level-set approach is readily extensible to 3-D. The ability to segment 3-D structures of interest versus 2-D ones would save the process of connecting the segmented 2-D contours from section to section, thus improving the analysis time. Also, since geometric properties can be easily extracted from the higher dimensional function used in the level-set algorithm, we could readily obtain some information about the extracted volume from the segmentation algorithm itself. We are also working on a fully interactive segmentation method based on the model of the intelligent scissors,\(^\text{21}\) because the selection of the seed points for segmentation is something that cannot be automated, owing to the high variability in the shapes of object’s to be segmented.

In conclusion, we have presented a real-time method for automatic segmentation of morphological structures in mammary gland tissue sections. It is precisely the delineation of those structures that required the heaviest user interaction in our sample analysis protocol. Therefore, the automatic approach to segmentation that we describe here represents a first step toward real-time reconstruction and analysis of mammary gland samples. Achieving that goal would allow us to accelerate our studies on the biological basis of human breast cancer. Moreover, obtaining real-time reconstruction and analysis of samples from our system would be useful for pathological diagnosis in a clinical environment; 3-D renderings of all the morphological structures in a mammary gland biopsy could be mapped with the distribution of particular markers of breast cancer within a few hours of extracting the tissue from the patient. From an intrasurgical point of view, the renderings would prove—tissue processing and stain permitting—an important tool in the evaluation of breast tumors and their margins.
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**Abstract**—We present two new methods for automatic registration of microscope images of consecutive tissue sections. Both methods are based on the images' gradient correlation, but the first one makes use of the entire image information whereas the second one starts from the segmentation result. They represent two possibilities for the first step in the 3-D reconstruction of histological structures from serially sectioned tissue blocks. The aim lies in aligning the sections in order to place every relevant shape contained in each image in front of its corresponding shape in the following section. This is accomplished by finding the best rigid body transformation (translation and rotation) of the image being registered, by maximizing a matching function. To reduce computing time, we use a multiresolution pyramid approach that seeks the best registration transformation in increasing resolution steps. In each step, a subsampled version of the images is used. The gradient of the images is computed using a Sobel operator. Then, the gradient image is binarized using an automatic threshold and the distance-transform of the binary image is computed. A proximity function is then calculated between the distance image of the image being registered and that of the reference image. The transformation providing a maximum of the proximity function is then used as the starting point of the following step. This is iterated until the error is below a minimum value.

**Keywords**—Automatic registration, Image processing, Biotechnology

**I. INTRODUCTION**

A correct visualization of the morphology and functionality of the mammary gland, as similar as possible to the specimen living conditions, is basic in the study of normal mammary gland biology and its neoplastic variants (i.e. breast cancer). With this aim, we reconstruct mammary gland epithelial structures from fully sectioned paraffin tissue blocks, after it is stained with histological and protein or genetic markers. Our lab has developed a software microscopy system \(^1\) that handles a microscope, scans sections of mammary gland tissues, and processes these images and reconstructs the morphology of the gland using the section information. As a starting point for the 3-D reconstruction of the histological structures, the system employs the section contours found by using our 2-D segmentation tool. However, as a first step towards an accurate 3-D reconstruction, a proper alignment of the images of sections is needed, in order to place every relevant 2-D structure in one section in front of its correspondent 2-D structure in the following section.

A perfect image alignment, also called registration, appears impossible due to human interaction in the section creation process (manual sectioning can cause non-linear distorting effects, such as deformations, folds, tears or cuts in the tissue), as well as to the natural differences between sections caused by the cut distance.

This first step of the registration process aims at achieving the best rigid body-transform for each pair of images for consecutive sections. This can be easily reached with a manual process, marking alternatively three points in every image and calculating the rigid body-transform (i.e. rotation plus translation) that minimizes the lineal quadratic error between every pair of points. However, manual registration of hundred of sections, as required when working with complete cases, could become very slow and tedious.

Although a perfect registration is not reachable, an accurate approach is usually enough, either for consolidating the tri-dimensional reconstruction, or for obtaining a base for following non-rigid registrations. Therefore, a rigid affine transformation will allow us to solve the problem of the registration. While this paper explains the solution for our mammary gland tissue sections alignment, it can be applied to many other different kind of images.

**II. METHODOLOGY**

Hematoxylin and Eosin were used to stain 5 micron serial sections from paraffin tissue blocks. The tissue was either normal mouse mammary gland or human tissue from biopsies diagnosed with ductal carcinoma in situ in the breast (DCIS). Every section image was taken in grayscale at low resolution (2.5X magnifications), and registered with the previous one in the case following the algorithm exposed in the next paragraphs.

As in all automatic registration methods, this system requires that the matching function addressing the algorithm measures the degree of similarity between the image to be aligned (or target image) with respect to the reference...
image, in order to achieve the best transformation. The criterion selected here is the Hierarchical Chamfer Matching Algorithm for registering [2].

In this method, a contour is projected in different positions on a distance image. The reference image is transformed in a distance image, meaning that the edges of the structures appearing in the image receive a value of white (the highest one) and the rest of the pixels present gradually smaller values depending on the distance to the edges (whiter as closer to the edges). Once the distance image is calculated, the system attempts to match it with the contour image, a binary image obtained by applying an automatic threshold function over the gradient of the image to be aligned. Before going on with the matching function, the contour image is transformed with three degrees of freedom: translations in the in x- and y- directions and rotation around the x-axis. Since the proximity function is calculated as the sum of the scalar product (pixel by pixel) of the contour, the distance images and the edges presenting white values, a perfect matching between images should then provide the biggest value as function result. Therefore, when this sum is maximized, the best transformation - and consequently alignment - is achieved.

Considering the huge size of the images being processed - usually around 6000x6000 pixels and 35 Megabytes each - the system will proceed over the highest resolution images. Therefore, the first step in the algorithm must be a subsampling of both target and reference images, which will produce an important reduction in the dimension of the images, and consequently in the computational time. The search for the local maxima starts in two reduced images generated from the original ones with as low a resolution as possible. The best match(ing) between the images applying all possible rotations and translations to the target will be calculated. Namely, the system uses all possible rotations from 0° to 350° in steps of 10° and all possible translations in different steps depending on the image size and guaranteeing at least 50% of image overlapping. This way this brute-force first level allows us to find an initial registration that can be used as a starting point for the following step, where the rank of translations and rotations will be reduced to the neighborhood of the previous result, and rotation and translation steps decreased in order to better refine the registration. So the problem is approximated step by step, and the result of every step is the starting point for the following one. That means that the algorithm presents a pyramidal organization, and uses different degrees of resolution and variation ranks in the rigid transformations at every pyramid level. Usually, the bottom of the pyramid is the less subsampled image (if the scale factor is 2, one pixel in level n corresponds to four pixels in level n - 1).

Since the system decreases gradually the subsampling factor in every level, lower levels involve more image information, providing more accurate results. A complete description of one level process is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1: Flow chart describing the level process. The three first steps (subsampling with blur, gradient and threshold) are equal for both images. At the fourth step the target image suffers the rigid body transformation and the reference is converted in a distance image. Same steps are applied in every level modifying only the subsampling parameters and the ranks in the affine transformation.

The second registration method proposed in this paper is a natural extension of the method already exposed. Taking advantage of the automatic segmentation tool developed in our lab [3], a new algorithm can be built starting from the segmentation results (Fig. 2). The segmentation produces in every image a list of contours, which can be reused in order to create a new binary image (black background and white contours). It seems obvious that this binary image could substitute the thresholded images appearing in the previous method, improving the final result, given the fact that the contours obtained in this segmentation process resemble
more accurately the section information needed by the expert.

Notice here that the frequent user of the segmentation tool is a mammary gland biologist, whose experience allows setting up the tool parameters in order to distinguish the noise from the relevant information, an experience that was not supplied to the previous automatic registration method (let us call it standard method). Another advantage in this second method (let us call it shape registration) is the reduction in the complexity of the image preprocess, i.e., only the two contour images need to be created (considering the subsampling factor of the correspondent pyramid level) and then the distance transform of the contour image corresponding to the reference image is calculated.

Fig. 3: Flow chart describing one level of the registration process for the "shape registration" method. Extracting the segmentation information from the original images and rescaling the contours depending on the subsampling factor, the binary images are built. As before, the reference is transformed in a distance image and the target is rotated and translated before applying the matching function. For this example, same image as used in Fig. 2 was processed as reference image.

Running the application on a PC Pentium IV (2.66 GHz, with 512 Megabytes of RAM memory) under Linux, the standard method of automatic registration on two typical sections (around 35 Megabytes each) is accomplished in 4 or 5 minutes using 3 different levels of resolution. Due to less process charge, the second method exposed or shape registration, is even faster than the previous one and only takes around 2 minutes for the same pair of sections.

Usually two pyramid levels achieve the optimum registration parameters. For more complex images, three levels are strongly recommended.

Running the application on a PC Pentium IV (2.66 GHz, with 512 Megabytes of RAM memory) under Linux, the standard method of automatic registration on two typical sections (around 35 Megabytes each) is accomplished in 4 or 5 minutes using 3 different levels of resolution. Due to less process charge, the second method exposed or shape registration, is even faster than the previous one and only takes around 2 minutes for the same pair of sections.

Usually two pyramid levels achieve the optimum registration parameters. For more complex images, three levels are strongly recommended.
IV. DISCUSSION

As stated at the beginning of the paper, consecutive sections could present non-rigid deformations due to human interaction in the section elaboration process. Therefore, in these specific cases, an affine transformation could be insufficient for a perfect alignment and either local corrections in the rigid registration result or smooth filtering over the volume after the tri-dimensional reconstruction would then provide a more accurate approximation to the problem. Regardless, the rigid registration supplies a suitable result in most of our cases, and can be the first step towards a fully non-linear elastic registration.

The systematic search followed by our method, even if it is improved with a 50% of forced image overlapping and different rotations and translations steps depending on the image size and pyramid level, could be reviewed in order to find an optimization method that allows us to leave our current brute force method and decreases the computational time taken by the system.

V. CONCLUSION

We described a fully automatic algorithm for the registration of microscopy images of consecutive tissue sections, and a variant of the same method based on the previous segmentation of the images. The system is based on a multiresolution and pyramidal approach in order to calculate the optimum rigid transformation between Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) pairs of sections.
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Abstract—There are many problems in mammary gland biology where the spatial distribution of the cells may be playing a fundamental role. Thus, a tool that can quantitatively measure that distribution would be extremely helpful in order to solve some of the previously mentioned problems. Here we present a method for the spatial analysis of mammary epithelium based on a multiscale study of neighborhood relationships. A function to measure those relationships, M, is introduced. The refined Relative Neighborhood Graph is then presented as a method to establish vicinity relationships between epithelial cell nuclei in the mammary gland. Finally, the method is illustrated with two examples that show interactions within one population of epithelial cells and between two different populations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The spatial distribution of cells within epithelial tissues plays a fundamental role in development, function and regeneration of these tissues [1, 2]. For example, in mammary gland development, cap cells with invasive properties line the surface of the growing ducts, which extend through the fat pad that embeds the gland. In the alveolar units that cap the ducts of a mature gland, secretory epithelial cells line the lumen of the ducts. After pregnancy, this mature luminal epithelium secretes milk into the ducts. Myoepithelial cells are arranged around the ductal tree, as well as around the terminal alveolar units. Upon the appropriate stimulus they contract, thus forcing the milk through the ducts towards the nipple [3].

Many of these spatial phenomena have been previously described in a qualitative way but, due to the lack of appropriate tools, most of them have not been quantitatively studied. For example, the colocation in the patterns of expression of the estrogen (ER) and the progesterone (PR) receptors in luminal epithelial cells [4]; the fact that proliferation markers are not expressed by ER+ cells [5]; or the possible presence of a niche -a highly organized pattern of different cell types- around mammary stem cells [6] have never been assessed from a quantitative, spatial point of view.

In order to address these questions, we have developed a quantitative spatial analysis tool that we have integrated into our 3D microscopy system [7]. In this paper we introduce that tool and show two examples obtained on real data, thus demonstrating how we will use it to address some of the questions mentioned above.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Tissue processing

Mammary gland tissue blocks are sectioned at 5 µm, and the sections are immunostained for the appropriate antigens. A counterstain is used that allows us to study the morphology of the tissue (e.g.: DAPI). Low magnification (2.5X) images of the counterstaining of all the sections are then automatically acquired using a motorized Zeiss Axioplan I microscope coupled with a monochrome XilliX Microimager CCD camera. This is done automatically by scanning the area of the slide occupied by the tissue and tiling together all the individual snapshots into a single image of the entire section.

The next step consists of automatically annotating the distribution of cells across mammary tissue is not homogeneous: they can only be located at ducts, end buds and alveolar structures, but never in the fat pad surrounding the gland, which also shows up in the images. For that reason, we cannot do our spatial analysis...
with Ripley's $K$ function [10], which has traditionally been used in other fields for this task. We now assume a space with heterogeneous nuclei density. In this space, the total number of nuclei on an area measures the size of the set of possible locations for an epithelial nucleus in that area: any of those points could be occupied by a nucleus. Thus, we can measure the density of nuclei belonging to population $C$ as a ratio of nuclei numbers, and so, we define [11]:

$$M(r, C) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_C} n_{ir}}{N_C} \frac{N_C}{N} \tag{1}$$

The numerator of (1) computes the average density of neighbors belonging to population $C$ within distance $r$, and then compares that value to a benchmark: the density of nuclei belonging to population $C$ in the entire study area. Therefore, clustered patterns of nuclei will have $M(r, C) > 1$, with a peak at the cluster size. On the other hand, regular patterns will have $M(r, C) < 1$. Finally, random distributions will have $M(r, C) = 1$. In general, we can say that $M(r, C) = k$ implies that the density of nuclei belonging to population $C$ within distance $r$ is $k$ times that of the entire area under study.

To complete the univariate analysis we need to have a way to establish the significance of our measurements. For that reason, we run $m$ Monte Carlo simulations of the nuclei distribution within the area of interest. The simulations are set up by preserving the nuclei locations and randomly assigning the population where each nucleus belongs. We compute the $M$ function for each one of these simulations ($M(r, C_{i})$, $i = 1, ..., m$) and calculate $U(r, C)$ and $L(r, C)$:

$$U(r, C) = \max_{i=1, ..., m} (M(r, C_{i})) \tag{2}$$

$$L(r, C) = \min_{i=1, ..., m} (M(r, C_{i})) \tag{3}$$

Now we can plot $M(r, C)$, $U(r, C)$ and $L(r, C)$ in the same graph. Peaks of $M(r, C)$ above $U(r, C)$ are evidence of significant clustering (with confidence level $\alpha = 1/(m+1)$). Similarly, troughs below $L(r, C)$ represent significant regularity or dispersion. Any nuclei distribution with no significant peaks or troughs can be considered to be random.

B.3. Multiple-variable analysis

The $M$ function analysis described in the previous section can be used to study the distribution of cells within a single population. However, most of the problems introduced in section I involve two or more cell populations. In order to study this type of problems, we can modify (1) to get:

$$M(r, C_{1}, C_{2}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{C_{1}}} n_{ir} \cdot n_{r}}{N_{C_{1}}} \frac{N_{C_{1}}}{N} \tag{4}$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are the two populations under study, $N_{C_{1}}$ and $N_{C_{2}}$ are the number of nuclei in each one of those populations and $n_{r}$ is the number of nuclei belonging to population $C_{2}$ within distance $r$. It is easy to see how these equation could be extended to three or more populations.

Now $M$ values larger than 1 are indicative of attraction between populations $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ (a special case of this is *colocation*, i.e., attraction at distance $r = 0$); values smaller than 1 indicate repulsion; and $M(r, C_{1}, C_{2}) = 1$ shows independence of the spatial distributions of both populations at distance $r$. However, significant values of $M(r, C_{1}, C_{2})$ may due either to actual interactions between both populations or to the patterns of each one of them. For this reason, we set up our Monte Carlo simulations preserving the locations of the nuclei belonging to population $C_{1}$ and redistributing the location of population $C_{2}$. Thus, we control for the $C_{1}$ pattern. The same process is applied to $M(r, C_{2}, C_{1})$. Finally, significant interaction at distance $r$ is only accepted if both $M(r, C_{1}, C_{2})$ and $M(r, C_{2}, C_{1})$ are significantly different from randomness.

C. Refined RNG

In the previous section we have used the shortest Euclidean distance to measure how far apart two nuclei are. However, this is not the best way to represent vicinity. In fact, the shortest Euclidean distance is often obtained through luminal areas where nuclei cannot be located. This is in contrast with cell-to-cell signaling in the epithelium, which normally occurs through intermediate cells [12]. Therefore, we decided to model our tissue using a graph where the nodes are the different nuclei, edges represent neighborhood relationships and distances can be measured as the number of edges between two nuclei.
We start out by building a Delaunay triangulation using the nuclei markings as nodes. This provides a preliminary tessellation where we can already measure distances as number of edges. On top of this triangulation we can now build a Relative Neighborhood Graph (RNG). Here, we preserve an edge if an only if the two nuclei on its sides ($n_i$ and $n_j$) are relatively close [13], that is:

$$d(n_i, n_j) < \max(d(n_i, n_k), d(n_j, n_k))$$

$$\forall \ k = 1, ..., N, k \neq i, j$$

where $d(n_i, n_j)$ is the length of the edge between $n_i$ and $n_j$. In other words, what this definition states is that an edge in the Delaunay triangulation is preserved if the nuclei on its sides are at least as close to each other as they are to any other nucleus in the graph. With this we obtain the RNG. Finally, we do a refinement step where we get rid of all the edges which are too large and we force connections between nuclei which are too close (using the shortest Euclidean distance) to not to be neighbors. Now, using Floyd's or Dijkstra's algorithms [14], we can easily build a table with the shortest distance (measured as the number of edges) between each pair of nuclei in the graph.

### III. Results

We ran our spatial analysis tool on a set of synthetic images to test its accuracy at detecting interactions both within and between populations. Then we went on to the analysis of real tissue samples. In this section we describe two different examples. For the first one the tissue was obtained from a transgenic mouse overexpressing the HER2 gene, a growth factor receptor whose human counterpart is overexpressed in about 30% of breast cancers. Sections were taken from this sample and immunostained for HER2 using diaminobenzidine (brown precipitate). The nuclei were counterstained with hematoxylin (blue). High magnification images of certain areas in these sections were acquired, and the nuclei in those areas were manually annotated. Fig. 2 shows the spatial analysis of the HER2$^+$ population in one of those areas (inset). Positive nuclei are marked with red dots, negative ones are green. The analysis indicates the presence of clustering at small distances around the nuclei (at 2 to 8 nuclei of distance as measured by edges in the refined RNG), with a peak at distance $r = 2$. This peak reveals the presence of clusters of HER2$^+$ cells with a radius of 2 nuclei and a density of $M = 1.55$.

For the second example we obtained tissue from a wild type mouse which had been given a constant dose of BromodeoxyUridine (BrdU) for two weeks. BrdU is a thymidine analog that gets incorporated into the DNA of the cells that undergo mitosis. The tissue was sectioned, and double immunofluorescence staining was carried out on the sections. BrdU was detected using a secondary antibody labeled with Alexa 568, a red fluorochrome, while Alexa 488 (green) was used to detect ER$^+$ cells. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Once again, high magnification images of some areas were taken, and nuclei were manually annotated. Then, multiple-variable analysis of the interaction between ER$^+$ and BrdU$^+$ cells was carried out. Fig. 3 shows an example of this type of analysis ($M(r, \text{ER}^+, \text{BrdU}^+)$). Nuclei have been removed from the inset image for clarity. The graph for $M(r, \text{BrdU}^+, \text{ER}^+)$ is very similar to the one shown here. Thus, there seems to be repulsion between both populations at very small scales. Actually, the peak of this repulsive interaction is at distance $r = 0$ ($M = 0.15$), i.e., ER$^+$ and BrdU$^+$ cells do not colocalize most of the times, but do colocalize occasionally. This result, whose intensity and extent we can now quantify using the $M$ values, has previously been described in the literature.

### Discussion

The analysis of spatial phenomena is of great importance in biology in general, and particularly in mammary gland studies. In order to do this in a way that provides consistency and high
throughput, quantitative tools for the spatial analysis of samples are required. In this paper we have presented a method that automatically provides a measurement of the way cells interact within one population, as well as of the different types of interaction that might occur between the different cell populations present in a tissue.

Our approach is based on a multiscale analysis of the number of neighbors belonging to the population under study, followed by comparison to a benchmark, the total density of nuclei within that population in the entire study area. Thus, we define the $M$ function, which takes into account the heterogeneous distribution of the epithelium within mammary tissue. This function, together with the analysis scheme where it is embedded, allows for unsupervised analysis of large data sets in a reasonable time, since it does not include any complex calculation. The method provides comparability of concentration measurements across populations; remains unbiased concerning different scales; and can be modified depending on the desired significance level.

In order to define neighborhood in a way that takes into account the histology of the tissue as well as differences in cell size/image magnification, we create a refined RNG that has the nuclei markings as its nodes. The connections in this graph represent vicinity in a way that faithfully depicts what nuclei might be directly interacting with each other in the tissue.

In the near future we are planning on using this tool to address several problems, including colocation/interaction studies of ER$^+$, PR$^+$ and HER$^+$ populations in both wild type and transgenic mice, or characterization of the distribution of label-retaining cells (a population of cells likely to be enriched for mammary stem cells) with respect to other populations present in the mammary epithelium, thus trying to unveil the presence of a niche around stem cells similar to the ones observed in other organs. Since both of these problems are inherently three-dimensional, we are currently working on adding one more dimension to our analysis scheme. This extended functionality, together with the implementation of methods taken from the fields of pattern recognition and mathematical morphology on graphs, should help us explore in further detail the possible determinants of interaction both within and between cell populations.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have presented a method for the spatial analysis of mammary epithelium. Thus, we have created a tool to quantitatively measure what previously could only be qualitatively described. Our multiscale method is consistent, comparable across populations and allows for automatic, high-throughput analysis of large data sets. The use of this approach to study problems where interactions between cells are expected will greatly contribute to the detailed description of these phenomena.
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In situ analyses of genome instability in breast cancer
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Transition through telomere crisis is thought to be a crucial event in the development of most breast carcinomas. Our goal in this study was to determine where this occurs in the context of histologically defined breast cancer progression. To this end, we assessed genome instability (using fluorescence in situ hybridization) and other features associated with telomere crisis in normal ductal epithelium, usual ductal hyperplasia, ductal carcinoma in situ and invasive cancer. We modeled this process in vitro by measuring these same features in human mammary epithelial cell cultures during ZNF217-mediated transition through telomere crisis and immortalization. Taken together, the data suggest that transition through telomere crisis and immortalization in breast cancer occurs during progression from usual ductal hyperplasia to ductal carcinoma in situ.

The molecular events that enable normal epithelial cells to progress to invasive, metastatic disease are increasingly well understood1,2. Deregulation of the TP53 and RB1 pathways in most cancers enables extended proliferation. In breast cancer, deregulation of RB1 through inactivation of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A, also called p16 and INK4a) seems to be an early event3. Most epithelial cells

Figure 1 2D confocal YO-PRO-1 images and bivariate copy-number histograms of chromosome 1cen and 20q13.2 signals in 3D images. Open bars indicate the numbers of cells with copy numbers specified on the x and y axes. Filled bars indicate the number of cells with two copies each of 1cen and 20q13.2. (a) A 2D confocal YO-PRO-1 image of normal ductal epithelium taken midway through a 30-μm-thick tissue section. The white squares (100 μm x 100 μm) indicate regions for which 3D confocal images were acquired for copy-number analysis. (b) A bivariate copy-number frequency histogram of the number of copies of 1cen and 20q13.2 in the regions indicated in a. More than 90% of nuclei had two copies of 1cen and 20q13.2. Statistical analysis of measurements on 537 nuclei from several normal specimens indicated that 93 ± 2% of genuine FISH signals were detected and that there was a 4 ± 4% chance that a detected signal was spurious (Supplementary Table 1 online). (c) A 2D confocal YO-PRO-1 image of a UDH. (d) A bivariate frequency histogram of 1cen and 20q13.2 copy numbers measured for the regions indicated in c. Most cells had two copies of 20q13.2, but 22% of cells had only one copy of 1cen. (e) A 2D confocal YO-PRO-1 image of a DCIS showing an expanded duct filled with heterogeneous tumor cells. (f) A bivariate frequency histogram of 1cen and 20q13.2 copy numbers measured for the regions indicated in e showing substantial genomic instability. DNA content was associated with genome copy number measured using FISH in this sample (correlation coefficient = 0.83; 89 data pairs).
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lack active telomerase, and so extended proliferation leads to telomere erosion and, eventually, loss of telomere function\(^1\). These cells become geno-
mically unstable\(^2\) and are almost always eliminated by damage-
surveillance mechanisms\(^3\). Rarely, however, one or a few cells escape 
this protective mechanism by reactivating telomerase\(^6\) and develop 
additional cancer hallmarks\(^2\) through accumulation of multiple geno-
mic and epigenomic aberrations\(^8\). Thus, telomere crisis, defined in 
this paper as the events that occur when cells lose telomere function as a 
result of extended proliferation in the absence of telomerase, is a 
critical rate-limiting and promoting event\(^1\).

Several features of breast cancer suggest that telomere crisis occurs 
in breast cancer during transition from usual ductal hyperplasia 
(UDH) to ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS). First, the total number of 
aberrations typically is much higher in DCIS than in UDH\(^9\). 
This increase is reminiscent of the increase in genome aberrations in 
tumors that arise in late-generation telomerase-knockout mice\(^1\). 
Second, progressive shortening of telomeres\(^10\) and reactivation of 
telomerase occurs during transition from UDH to DCIS\(^11\). If 
transition through telomere crisis does occur during transition from 
UDH to DCIS, genome instability should increase substantially during 
this transition. We assessed this possibility by measuring genome 
instability (using fluorescence in situ hybridization, FISH), DNA 
content and telomere length at several histologically distinct stages 
of breast cancer progression. We compared these features with those 
oberved in cultured human mammary epithelial cells (HMECs) 
during transition through ZNF217-mediated telomere crisis and 
immortalization\(^12\).

We assessed instability by three-dimensional (3D) confocal micro-
scopic analysis of \(\sim 30\)-\(\mu\)m-thick tissue sections stained for copy 
number using dual-color FISH\(^13\) with probes for the centromere of 
chromosome 1 (1cen) and chromosome 20q13.2 and with the DNA-
specific dye YO-PRO-1. We analyzed three-color (DNA stain and two 
FISH probe labels), 3D images generated using confocal microscopy in 
regions of histological interest to determine the boundaries and 
volumes of intact nuclei and to enumerate dual-color FISH signals 
in (Supplementary Fig. 1 online). We used the standard devia-
tion of the number of the FISH signals \(\sigma_{\text{FISH}}\) as a quantitative 
measure of genome instability. We obtained histological information 
from YO-PRO-1 images and confirmed it in some cases by micro-
scopic analysis of adjacent thin sections stained with hematoxylin and 
eosin (Supplementary Fig. 2 online).

We measured genome instability and DNA content in normal 
human skin, normal breast epithelium and stroma, three UDH 
specimens, three DCIS specimens and four invasive cancer specimens 
(Figs. 1–3). One sample of normal epithelium had low instability 
\(\sigma_{\text{FISH}} = \sim 0.5\); Fig. 1a,b), as did one UDH specimen \(\sigma_{\text{FISH}} = \sim 0.6-0.7\); Fig. 1c,d). Most cells had two copies of 20q13.2, but a significant fraction (22%, \(P < 0.001\)) had only one copy of 1cen. Spatial
statistical analyses showed that the nuclei with one and two copies of 1cen were randomly mixed. The nuclear volumes in all regions of UDH were similar to those measured for normal epithelial cells. One DCIS specimen had significantly higher instability (ΔCN = 1–1.5; P < 0.01, Wilcoxon rank test; Fig. 1e,f). Cells in this sample varied substantially in genome composition and DNA content, and the number of copies of 1cen plus 20q13.2 was strongly correlated with DNA content (correlation coefficient = 0.83), indicating that the increase in DNA content was contemporaneous with the increase in genome instability. Spatial analyses of this and another specimen showed that cells with widely different chromosomal compositions were randomly mixed, indicating that most copy-number variation was due to high instability rather than clonal evolution. A third DCIS specimen had a near-normal level of instability, suggesting that it might be less far along in transition through telomere crisis. Increased copy number was the dominant feature; instability was low and the nuclear volume was near normal. One invasive cancer sample had high instability, but lower than that of the DCIS specimens (ΔCN = 0.67–1.28; Fig. 2), although the difference was not significant (P < 0.38, Wilcoxon rank test). Some areas (Fig. 2b) showed near-normal copy number and volume, whereas others (Fig. 2c,d) were highly variable in copy number and DNA content. Cells with widely different chromosomal composition were randomly mixed in most invasive cancers, although some diploid cells were spatially segregated from cells with genomic abnormalities.

We scored mitoses and anaphase bridges in thin sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin in UDH and DCIS cases adjacent to sections that were analyzed using thick-section FISH and in an additional 8 UDH cases, 12 atypical ductal hyperplasias and 11 DCIS specimens. Anaphase bridges, considered a hallmark of telomere crisis, were present in two atypical ductal hyperplasias and two DCIS specimens (Fig. 4a).

We assessed telomere length using FISH as described, except that we used dual-color FISH with probes to the centromeres and telomeres so that telomere length could be normalized to the centromeric hybridization intensity. The average telomere length was significantly shorter in the DCIS lesions than in normal tissue (P < 0.01; Fig. 5a), as expected, and was significantly shorter in invasive cancers than in DCIS (P < 0.01), even though telomerase had been reactivated. This is probably because telomere-length control acts in cis at each individual chromosome end, so that the critically short telomeres are stabilized but the others continue to erode.

We compared the genomic events that occurred during progression from UDH to invasive cancer with those that occurred in cultures of HMECs before, during and after ZNF217-mediated immortalization. In this model, ZNF217 transduction of HMECs with a finite lifespan allowed one or a few cells in each culture to activate telomerase, stabilize telomere length and develop resistance to transforming growth factor-β. These cells were effectively immortal and could be propagated indefinitely. In one culture, passage through

---

Figure 4 Anaphase bridges in human breast tumor specimens and nuclear bridges in ZNF217-immortalized HMECs. (a) Anaphase bridges in an atypical ductal hyperplasia specimen. Scale bar, 10 μm. (b) Left, nuclear bridges in DAPI-stained preparations of ZNF217-immortalized HMECs. Right, uneven centromeric hybridization intensity. The average telomere length was significantly shorter in the DCIS lesions than in normal tissue (P < 0.01, Wilcoxon rank test; Fig. 5a), as expected, and was significantly shorter in invasive cancers than in DCIS (P < 0.01), even though telomerase had been reactivated. This is probably because telomere-length control acts in cis at each individual chromosome end, so that the critically short telomeres are stabilized but the others continue to erode.

Figure 5 Relative lengths of telomeres in vivo and in vitro were assessed using dual-color FISH. (a) Relative lengths of telomeres in histologically defined stages of breast cancer progression: three samples of normal epithelium (NE), three samples of UDH, one sample of atypical ductal hyperplasia (ADH), five samples of DCIS and five samples of invasive cancer (IC). Error bars indicate the standard deviation of all images in each histological type. (b) Left, telomere length comparisons in three different passages in HMECs (prestasis finite lifespan 184, p5; post-selection finite lifespan 184, p12; and the p53-immortal line 184AA2, p24) determined using FISH (y axis) and the telomere restriction fragment (TRF) Southern-blot analysis (x axis) as described previously. Right, telomere restriction fragment assays for telomere length in these cultures.
Telomere crisis occurred at passage 22 (p22; Fig. 3b,c), as documented by maximal nuclear bridge frequency and reactivation of telomerase (Fig. 4). Instability was low before p22 ($\sigma_{\text{CN}} \sim 0.6$), maximal at p22 ($\sigma_{\text{CN}} \sim 1.5$) and significantly lower thereafter ($\sigma_{\text{CN}} \sim 0.75$; $P < 0.04$, Wilcoxon rank test; Fig. 3c). Genomic aberrations detected by array-CGH were not apparent before p22, increased substantially by p28 and changed slowly thereafter (Fig. 3b). Telomere length decreased during and after transition through telomere crisis, as observed in vivo (Fig. 5b). We obtained similar results for other cultures, but the time of passage through telomere crisis and the spectrum of genomic aberrations detected using comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) differed (data not shown).

The genomic events associated with transition through telomere crisis in ZNF217-transfected HMECs were remarkably similar to those observed in breast cancer during transition from UDH to DCIS (Fig. 6). Telomere length decreased steadily during and after transition through telomere crisis, as observed during progression from UDH to invasive cancer. Genome instability and the frequency of anaphase bridges were low before telomere crisis, as found for UDH; highest during crisis, as found for DCIS; and somewhat reduced thereafter, as found for invasive cancer. Few genome aberrations were apparent before transition, as observed in UDH; the number increased sharply after telomere crisis, as found for DCIS; and the number changed slowly thereafter, as found for invasive cancer. Many of the aberrations detected in post-crisis HMECs involved regions that are recurrently aberrant in primary tumors, suggesting that these result from active selection. Analyses of HMEC transitions through telomere crisis show that the probability of successful transition is extremely low. This may explain why the risk of developing invasive cancer in individuals with UDH is only modestly increased.

The low probability of transition through telomere crisis also suggests that the DCIS lesions that do form arise from single cells in which telomerase is reactivated and that carry aberrations that enable further progression toward malignancy. This post-transition immortal cell and its progeny would have the features ascribed to tumor stem cells, active telomerase and the ability to propagate indefinitely. In vitro and xenograft experiments (data not shown), however, suggest that the post-transition immortal cells remain somewhat unstable and so produce the aberrant progeny detected using FISH in invasive cancers. The fact that genomically unstable cell cultures, xenografts and invasive cancers evolve slowly, as measured using CGH, suggests that the aberrant progeny are at a proliferative disadvantage relative to cells that divide normally. This may explain why most apparently unstable primary and metastatic tumors evolve relatively few new genomic aberrations that can be detected using CGH.

Positioning of immortalization and telomere crisis between UDH and DCIS in breast cancer has important clinical implications. For example, assays that measure telomerase activity, genome instability and the presence of recurrent aberrations will identify lesions that have transitioned through this checkpoint and are at increased risk of further progression. In addition, agents that enhance damage surveillance, inhibit reactivation of telomerase or poison cells with active telomerase may be effective in preventing the rare UDH-to-DCIS transition and hence may be useful preventive agents.

**METHODS**

**Tissues and sections.** We cut frozen sections from three UDH cases, three DCIS specimens, four invasive cancers and two normal breast tissues, stained them with hematoxylin and eosin and examined them to identify regions of histological interest. We cut adjacent ~30-μm-thick sections attached to tape (Instrumedics), placed them on coated glass slides and attached them by polymerization through exposure to 380 nm ultraviolet irradiation for 60 s. We stored slides at ~80 °C until needed.

**FISH to thick tissue sections.** We carried out FISH to thick sections as described previously and in Supplementary Methods online. We mounted sections on slides, fixed them in acetone, digested them with pepsin, fixed them again in a methanol-acetone solution, air-dried them, denatured them and hybridized them for 2–3 d to denatured probes (a Cy3-labeled alpha-satellite probe, p28, by array CGH were not apparent before p22, increased substantially by sections on slides, fixed them in acetone, digested them with pepsin, fixed them in a methanol-acetone solution, air-dried them, denatured them and hybridized them for 2–3 d to denatured probes (a Cy3-labeled alpha-satellite probe (pUC1.77) for 1cen and an Alexa 568-labeled ~250-kb BAC probe containing ZNF217 at 20q13.3) and significantly lower thereafter (UCN = 0.75; $P < 0.04$, Wilcoxon rank test; Fig. 3c). Genomic aberrations detected by array-CGH were not apparent before p22, increased substantially by p28 and changed slowly thereafter (Fig. 3b). Telomere length decreased during and after transition through telomere crisis, as observed in vivo (Fig. 5b). We obtained similar results for other cultures, but the time of passage through telomere crisis and the spectrum of genomic aberrations detected using comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) differed (data not shown). The genomic events associated with transition through telomere crisis in ZNF217-transfected HMECs were remarkably similar to those observed in breast cancer during transition from UDH to DCIS (Fig. 6). Telomere length decreased steadily during and after transition through telomere crisis, as observed during progression from UDH to invasive cancer. Genome instability and the frequency of anaphase bridges were low before telomere crisis, as found for UDH; highest during crisis, as found for DCIS; and somewhat reduced thereafter, as found for invasive cancer. Few genome aberrations were apparent before transition, as observed in UDH; the number increased sharply after telomere crisis, as found for DCIS; and the number changed slowly thereafter, as found for invasive cancer. Many of the aberrations detected in post-crisis HMECs involved regions that are recurrently aberrant in primary tumors, suggesting that these result from active selection. Analyses of HMEC transitions through telomere crisis show that the probability of successful transition is extremely low. This may explain why the risk of developing invasive cancer in individuals with UDH is only modestly increased.

The low probability of transition through telomere crisis also suggests that the DCIS lesions that do form arise from single cells in which telomerase is reactivated and that carry aberrations that enable further progression toward malignancy. This post-transition immortal cell and its progeny would have the features ascribed to tumor stem cells, active telomerase and the ability to propagate indefinitely. In vitro and xenograft experiments (data not shown), however, suggest that the post-transition immortal cells remain somewhat unstable and so produce the aberrant progeny detected using FISH in invasive cancers. The fact that genomically unstable cell cultures, xenografts and invasive cancers evolve slowly, as measured using CGH, suggests that the aberrant progeny are at a proliferative disadvantage relative to cells that divide normally. This may explain why most apparently unstable primary and metastatic tumors evolve relatively few new genomic aberrations that can be detected using CGH.

Positioning of immortalization and telomere crisis between UDH and DCIS in breast cancer has important clinical implications. For example, assays that measure telomerase activity, genome instability and the presence of recurrent aberrations will identify lesions that have transitioned through this checkpoint and are at increased risk of further progression. In addition, agents that enhance damage surveillance, inhibit reactivation of telomerase or poison cells with active telomerase may be effective in preventing the rare UDH-to-DCIS transition and hence may be useful preventive agents.
nized tissues in 1M sodium thiocyanate at 80 °C for 8 min and denatured them in 70% formamide at 73 °C for 5 min. We then washed sections, stained them with DAPI and mounted them using anti-fade buffer. We acquired Cy3, fluorescein isothiocyanate and DAPI images using a scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM510). See Supplementary Methods online for additional details.

We used custom software to segment nuclei in regions of histological interest and to measure average centromere and telomere FISH intensities per nucleus. We estimated relative telomere length as the ratio of the total telomere signal intensity to the total centromere intensity. We analyzed at least 500 nuclei per section.

**Error assessment.** We assessed the accuracy of the copy number enumeration by fitting univariate copy number frequency histograms with the function

\[
\text{Prob}(x | m, p, n) = \frac{m^n}{n!} \left(1 - p\right)^{x-n} \times p^n \times \frac{m^{x-n}}{(x+n)!},
\]

where \(\text{Prob}(x | m, p, n)\) is the probability of detecting \(x\) signals in a nucleus, assuming that spurious spots were Poisson-distributed with mean \(m\), that the probability of a true genomic target being detected was \(p\), and that there were \(n\) genuine genomic targets per nucleus.

**Correlation of copy number with nuclear volume.** We used linear regression analysis to assess correlations between the number of copies of 1cen and the number of copies of 20q13.2, and between the sum of the number of copies of 1cen and 20q13.2 and nuclear volume.


We estimated relative telomere length as the ratio of the total centromere signal to the total telomere signal, and to measure average centromere and telomere FISH intensities per nucleus. We analyzed at least 500 nuclei 20. Kelland, L.R. Telomerase and phase I trials. Lancet Oncol. 2, 95-102 (2001).

We carried out dual-color FISH analyses of HMECs using probe combinations of 1cen/20q13.2 or 2p24/2q36 as described23. Probes in each pair were labeled with Alexa 488 and Cy3, respectively. We scored 200 nuclei for each analysis.

Note: Supplementary information is available on the Nature Genetics website.
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