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OBJECTIVES

This study was part of the first phase of ONR’s Mine Burial Prediction program (Coastal Geosciences, Code 321). The original objectives of this study were to:

- Develop a numerical procedure for modeling mine burial as a time-dependent process with a focus on sub-seabed liquefaction and sediment mechanics.
- Collect necessary material parameters, including pore pressure generation characteristics under cyclic loading conditions, from planned field and laboratory tests.
- Integrate findings from this study with parallel modeling efforts underway as part of ONR’s Mine Burial Program (MBP), which is investigating burial processes due to bedform migration and local scour.

Funding for the project was terminated after the first two years of an anticipated 5-year program due to a drastic reduction in funds for the overall Mine Burial Prediction program, requiring that some projects be dropped. Therefore, substantial progress was only achieved on the first objective and the laboratory component of the second one. No major field experiments had been completed by the time funding for this project was ended.

OUTCOMES

1. Research.
   A methodology and an associate numerical code (MINE-B) were developed for the analysis of liquefaction in shallow sediments due to cyclic loading by surface water waves. In addition, a laboratory testing program was conducted on specimens collected from the Tampa Bay experiment site to develop a more accurate non-linear cyclic stress-strain model for use in MINE-B or other similar numerical codes. Scientific achievements are described in the publications listed below and more recent findings are highlighted in this report.


   Shentang Wang – Ph.D. Programming and constitutive modeling. Mr. Wang is expected to complete his dissertation work in 2005. Title: OpenSees model development for static and cyclic soil-structure interaction modeling.
Jason Seidman – B.S. Assisted with equipment development and laboratory testing of field specimens. Mr. Seidman is expected to continue with graduate studies upon graduation in 2005.

4. Equipment.
A direct simple shear system was acquired with funds from this project and a matching contribution from the University of Hawaii. This major piece of instrumentation enabled us to carry out cyclic laboratory tests to simulate loading from surface water waves. Results from these tests are presented by Brandes et al. (2005) and are briefly discussed in this report. The equipment adds a unique state-of-the-art laboratory testing capability to the geotechnical laboratory at the University of Hawaii. It continues to be used extensively.

5. Research Meetings & Presentations.
ONR Mine Burial Meeting, March 5, 2002 – Phoenix.


Coastal Processes Driving Mine Burial Workshop, January 30-February 1, 2001 – St. Petersburg.

NUMERICAL AND CONSTITUTIVE MODELING

A finite element code based on Biot’s physically consistent theory of consolidation for poroelastic media was developed in an effort to predict time-dependent mine burial due to the effects of surface water wave propagation. Initially, a linear elastic constitutive sediment model was implemented for comparison of 2D stress and strain predictions with closed form solutions (Yamamoto et al., 1978; Madsen, 1978). A simple case study was selected for this purpose. It consisted of a uniform seabed of linearly elastic sand with a single sinusoidal surface water wave propagating along the sea surface. Linear wave theory was assumed. Predictions obtained with MINE-B for a level seabed agree quite well with theory (Figures 1-2; Brandes & Riggs, 2002).

Although this problem is overly simplistic, it does allow for model verification and can be used for preparing preliminary liquefaction charts for estimating the extent of momentary liquefaction as a function of water depth and wave characteristics. The depth to which liquefaction is predicted may correlate with mine burial, since by definition a liquefied seabed cannot support any object whose weight is larger than that of the sediment. An example of such a chart is shown in Figure 3, which can be used to predict the depth of momentary liquefaction below the seabed that occurs under the crest of single linear waves. The conventional criteria for the onset of liquefaction proposed by Seed and Lee (1966) is used here:

\[
\frac{p}{\gamma'z} \geq 1.0
\] (1)

In other words, this criteria assumes that liquefaction will occur when the ratio of excess pore pressure \( p \) exceeds the effective overburden stress \( \gamma'z \). Here we have assumed that \( \gamma'=10 \text{ kN/m}^3 \) and \( z \) is the distance below seabed.
Figure 1. Excess pore pressure in 2-D seabed deposit after 150 wave cycles (wave length=48 m, period=6 seconds, wave amplitude=2.4 m)

Figure 2. Calculated versus predicted pore pressure ratio below crest of wave.
A linear elastic constitutive model is not adequate when strains and stresses induced in the seabed exceed a certain level and the material begins behaving in a non-linear and irreversible, i.e. plastic, fashion. In order to account for such effects, work was begun on implementing a more robust non-linear cyclic constitutive model (Brandes and Wang, 2004). This model takes into account gradual stiffness and strength degradation with repetitive cycles of loading and allows for pore pressure dissipation due to pore fluid diffusion. An example of cyclic shear stress and pore pressure predictions using this model is shown in Figure 4. It is clearly seen that liquefaction develops near the end of the test, resulting in very large strains and hence failure. The computations used a set of reasonable but assumed material parameters. In order to adapt the model for use with sediments from the field, a laboratory testing program was conducted on specimens from the Tampa Bay field experiment site. This is discussed in the next section.
LABORATORY TESTING

The laboratory testing program was designed to provide material parameters for the constitutive model. It was conducted on fine carbonate sand collected during the Mine Burial program's Tampa Bay field experiment. A summary of tests conducted to date is shown Table 1 and further details on results and analysis are given in Brandes et al. (2005). An example of the shear stress-strain response is illustrated in Figure 5. Note the stiffness degradation that occurs as the test progresses, much as the model predicts (Figure 4, top graph). One important difference between model and test results is that permanent shear strains accumulate toward the end of the test as the material approaches liquefaction.
It is therefore evident that the constitutive model needs to be modified to allow for irreversible deformations. On the other hand, the model predictions in Figure 4 do account for the dual stiffening and softening behavior within a single cycle that are apparent toward the end of the test.

Bender elements were used during testing to determine stiffness at very low strains, which complement the stiffness data at larger strains from the cyclic testing. An example of the results is shown in Figure 6 and more details are presented in Brandes et al. (2005).

Table 1. Cyclic simple shear test program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test designation</th>
<th>Normal stress (kPa)</th>
<th>Density (gm/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Cyclic stress amplitude (kPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SS-TB-LD-1</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-TB-LD-2</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-TB-LD-3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-TB-LD-4</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-TB-HD-1</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-TB-HD-2</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-TB-HD-3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-TB-HD-4</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Cyclic stress-strain behavior for test SS-TB-HD-4.

SUMMARY

Significant progress has been made in understanding the cyclic stress-strain behavior of granular calcareous sediments through laboratory testing to guide the development of an appropriate constitutive model. A specific model has been developed to the point where it is now ready for implementation in a numerical code. Once this is achieved, burial of objects into the seafloor can be computed in the conventional way by creating 2D or 3D mine-seabed finite element geometries and conducting a time-dependent analysis.
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