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ABSTRACT

Modeling and simulation (M&S) needs high-performance computing resources, but conventional supercomputers are both expensive and not necessarily well suited to M&S tasks. Discrete Event Simulation (DES) often involves repeated, independent runs of the same models with different input parameters. A system which is able to run many replications quickly is more useful than one in which a single monolithic application runs quickly. A loosely coupled parallel system is indicated.

Inexpensive commodity hardware, high speed local area networking, and open source software have created the potential to create just such loosely coupled parallel systems. These systems are constructed from Linux-based computers and are called Beowulf clusters.

This thesis presents an analysis of clusters in high-performance computing and establishes a testbed implementation at the MOVES Institute. It describes the steps necessary to create a cluster, factors to consider in selecting hardware and software, and describes the process of creating applications that can run on the cluster. Monitoring the running cluster and system administration are also addressed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. PROBLEM OVERVIEW

Everyone wants faster computers, and as soon as they arrive still faster ones are demanded. A great deal of money and effort has been expended to build very fast, specialized supercomputers. While fast, these machines are often optimized for specific tasks, are expensive, have unique operating systems and application software that require personnel trained for that specific platform, and raise issues regarding reliance on vendors that are often small and economically fragile.

Over the last decade huge advances have been made in the personal computer industry. CPUs have become faster and cheaper, and the hardware industry has become commoditized, with vendors engaging in cutthroat competition on price. In addition, open source and free operating systems like Linux have driven down the marginal cost of software infrastructure to near zero.

A solution to the problem of getting faster computers is to create clusters using commodity hardware and free operating systems. This can create a high-performance computing platform for certain classes of applications for a very reasonable price while avoiding vendor reliance issues.

B. MOTIVATION

Commodity PC hardware combined with commodity, open source, free operating systems has the potential to create low-cost, high-performance computing clusters that can be applied to several domains of interest to the MOVES Institute, particularly in modeling and simulation

C. PROBLEM STATEMENT

In recent years, the fields of meteorology, oceanography, molecular biology, mechanical engineering, physics, graphics and many others have taken increasingly computational and simulation-based approaches to problem solving. These disciplines run simulation models with a considerable number of calculations, and these simulations need fast computers on which to run. Hardware and software are each driving advances in the other-faster computers enable more sophisticated simulations, and more sophisti-
cated simulations create a demand for faster hardware. The term *High-performance Computing* (HPC) describes the hardware and software designed to solve major computational problems in these fields.

Some supercomputer architectures are designed to address specific problems in a domain; the hardware is optimized to solve a problem in a particular field. This approach has some drawbacks, with cost and heat production being the main concerns. Custom hardware architectures don’t benefit from the computer industry’s economies of scale. Usually only a small group of users demand the HPC hardware features specific to a particular domain, which leads to small production runs and higher costs. In the semiconductor industry high-volume hardware production runs result in dramatically lower costs on a per-unit basis.

**D. THESIS ORGANIZATION**

This thesis is composed of eight chapters. The current chapter provides the problem overview, motivation and problem statement. Chapter II provides the background and the related work. Chapter III discusses the implementation of Linux clusters for high-performance computing. Chapter IV describes the installation of the NPS Linux Cluster. Chapter V describes the performance measurements procedures for the cluster. Chapter VI gives an idea of how the applications can run on the cluster. Chapter VII discusses the potential future development of the project. Chapter VIII provides the conclusions, summary, and recommendations for future research.
II. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

A. BACKGROUND

With the evolution of the inexpensive yet powerful desktop computers a new idea emerged, that of the cluster. The concept is simple: connect many commodity computers with a fast network, well-tuned operating systems, some supporting software, and have all the computers work together as a single machine. Commodity hardware has low prices due to massive production runs, and market competition has created high-performance general-purpose CPUs. Clusters take advantage of this by combining many low-cost, relatively high-performance processors into one virtual computer. In effect, the general computing market is funding the development and availability of HPC capabilities.

A cluster has been defined as “a set of independent computers, combined into a unified system through software and networking”[39]. Each element of the cluster is a computer with its own operating system. Work is distributed throughout the cluster by the means of software and networking hardware designed for the task.

Clusters have proven useful in three broad categories: scalable performance, high availability, and resource access. [41]

Scalable performance means that the installation of the system may change without major impacts to the functionality. Nodes can be added or removed. Typically more processors mean more performance. In order to achieve scalable performance for the Beowulf systems, commodity hardware and open-source software are necessary.

The concept of multiple nodes is creating the high availability. A number of nodes may be out of the cluster for any reason, but the system will always be available due to the other nodes. The system understands if a node is out and proceeds with the next available node. Whenever a node comes into the system again, the system just adds it to the available nodes and utilizes it.
Resource access is related to the availability of the cluster to the users so that they can run their applications. The application by themselves that are available under a mass computing power and the ability of the cluster administrator to manage the system creates the easily accessed resources concept.

B. RELATED WORK

There is a vast amount of effort spent in this direction. All this is under the term High-performance Computing and Cluster Computing. There are several types of implementation according to the meaning that every one provides to the abovementioned term.

First there is the academia area where a number of ready to use implementations can be found. The National Partnership for Advanced Computational Infrastructure (NPACI) and the San Diego Supercomputing Center (SDSC) distribute the Rocks cluster package. [15] This is the one that is used for the implementation of the experimental prototype in this thesis. Another resource is the Open-source Cluster Application Resources (OSCAR) [16].

The vendor area is a very rich one providing a variety of architectures in hardware, software and networking. Scyld is a commercial cluster product based on the open-source Linux operating system, but with additional value-added commercial software [34].
III. LINUX CLUSTERS FOR HPC

A. BACKGROUND

1. Introduction

In this chapter the concepts regarding a Linux Cluster are examined. Also the technologies used in the implementation are reviewed. The symmetric multiprocessing concept is mentioned, along with the actual techniques like the scheduler that make such a system run and execute programs. An approach for classifying cluster systems is attempted.

The operating system issues and the architectures are examined also. The hardware characteristics is a significant factor, this is why an extend reference to the several different parts that consist a computer, is given. Also an overview on supercomputer statistics is given in order to understand how the community is utilizing these systems.

2. Clusters Defined

A cluster built from Commercial-off-the-Self (COTS) inexpensive computer systems, using LINUX or another open-source operating system whose main purpose is to achieve computational power, is called a Beowulf cluster. The name Beowulf is a reference from the earliest surviving English poem of a great hero defeating a monster called Grendel. It is the same old story repeated throughout history. Just as in the story the hero Beowulf defeats the monster Grendel, Beowulf clusters defeat the monster called cost. Beowulf clusters are a type of scalable performance cluster.

The term Beowulf cluster describes a concept rather than a specific collection of hardware and software. There is no single Beowulf cluster software package or hardware configuration. Radajewski and Eadline describe Beowulf as “a multi-computer architecture which can be used for parallel computations. It is a system which usually consists of one server node, and one or more client nodes connected together via Ethernet or some other network. It is a system built using commodity hardware components, like any PC capable of running Linux, standard Ethernet adapters, and switches. It does not contain any custom hardware components and is trivially reproducible” [14].
There is no single Beowulf brand software package. There are, however, several available software packages that can be combined and used to build a Beowulf. They include MPI, PVM (Parallel Virtual Machine), schedulers, the Linux kernel, and others. There are also several prefabricated software distributions that gather together the software mentioned above and so can be used to create complete Beowulf clusters.

In one popular Beowulf cluster architecture, one of the computers plays the role of the coordinator (*master node or frontend*) and the others offer their CPU cycles (*slave or compute nodes*). The master usually has at least two network interfaces, one devoted an internal network that interconnects the compute nodes and one to the external network from which the external users access the frontend. Each machine is a distinct, separate computer working in coordination with others. The cluster can have one or many nodes. Nodes can be added or removed from the cluster during its operation.

Figure 1 illustrates this simple approach to construct a cluster consisting of one master and two slave nodes.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1** A simple approach to construct a cluster consisting of one master and two slave nodes. The master node provides two NIC for the private and external network of the system.
This is one of the simplest designs and is close to the lower limit of complexity. The upper limit on the number of slave nodes is determined by practical considerations. It may be limited by network bandwidth, by physical limits such as power or cooling, or by physical size.

The master node is visible to the external network while the slave nodes are not. Traffic on the internal network which may be quite high when the slave nodes are exchanging information does not place a load on the external network. Hiding the slave nodes behind the frontend also simplifies security issues, since the slave nodes cannot be reached from the outside world without first going through the frontend. Thus the frontend often includes security barriers such as user authentication or a firewall.

It is possible to build clusters using many operating systems, but generally Linux is preferred. There are no per-node license fees for Linux since it is a free operating system, and this is an important consideration when building large clusters. Also, the open-source Linux environment allows users to make software changes and optimizations to the operating system itself if needed. Although not often necessary this software-kernel flexibility can be important if dissimilar hardware or network interfaces are used.

3. **Symmetric Multiprocessing and Clusters**

Some computers have multiple processors but are not clusters. The distinction is worth exploring.

According to Stallings [10], a traditional computer system has been viewed as sequential machines. In other words, they execute commands one after another. This provided the basis for hardware and software designers for some time. However, with the evolution of technology and the drop in the cost of hardware, new concepts emerged. The most popular are Symmetric multiprocessing (SMP) and clusters. These are two different approaches. A comparison of the clusters and SMP shows that SMP is easier to manage and configure, requiring less space and drawing less power. Clusters however are better for incremental and absolute scalability, and are superior in terms of availability.

In a standalone computer, it is possible to achieve high efficiency with multiple processors as these processors share the same memory and I/O facilities, inter process communication is easily established, and all processors perform the same functions.
Parallel processing consists of a large set of techniques that are used to provide concurrent data possessing. There are several types of parallel processing relating to the processor. However, it is necessary to distinguish the following.

First, it is essential to consider that the normal operation of a computer is to “fetch” instructions from the memory and to execute them. The sequence of instructions read from the memory is called the instruction stream. The set of operations performed on the data is called a data stream.

The classification of architectures according to Flynn [5] is the following:

- Single instruction stream, simple data stream (SISD)
- Single instruction stream, multiple data stream (SIMD)
- Multiple instruction stream, simple data stream (MISD)
- Multiple instruction stream, multiple data stream (MIMD)

SIMD represents a computer that has many processing units, under the supervision of a common control unit. All processors receive the same instruction but work on different data.

MIMD signifies the implementation on systems capable of processing several programs with different data at the same time. Even though the discussion currently concerns processors, it is possible to consider that clusters can be classified in this category from a more abstract point of view.
4. **Primary Benefits**

According to Brewer [1] and from a more general point of view, some of the benefits of the clusters are:

- Absolute scalability. It is possible to have dozens of machines, each of which is a multiprocessor.

- Incremental scalability. The cluster is configured in such a way that it is possible to add new systems in small increments. Thus, a cluster can begin with a small system and increase its number of nodes without having to replace a system with another newer system.

- High availability. The failure of one node does not mean the loss of service.

- Superior price/performance. The cluster is constructed from commodity hardware. Therefore, a cluster can have equal or greater computing power than a single large machine at a lower cost.

5. **Applications**

How a program is executed by a cluster depends on the nature of the application and the cluster. Some types of applications can be solved with a “divide and conquer”
approach, with part of the problem solved on each of the compute nodes. These are called parallel applications. Other applications run only on one host, and do not communicate with other hosts during execution or share computation with other machines. When solving a particular problem if the application must be run many times and each run of the application does not depend on any other run, the problem is sometimes called “embarrassingly parallel.” Each run of the application can be handed off to a different machine, typically with slightly different input parameters for each run. In this thesis programs that fit this description are called parametric applications.

Both of these two basic approaches can be accommodated by the cluster’s supporting software.

Parametric applications can be supported by the job scheduler, a system that allows the master node to submit jobs to the slave nodes whenever they are available. Typically users submit a series of jobs to the front end, which uses the scheduler to parcel out jobs to the slave nodes. Once the job is on a slave node it runs without communicating with other slave nodes in the cluster.

Inter-process communication among parallel applications can be accommodated with the Message Passing Interface (MPI), the name for a set of libraries that assists in the building and operation of parallel programs. A scheduler again submits jobs to slave nodes. Once submitted to a slave node, an MPI job typically cooperates with other slave nodes to solve a problem by passing messages amongst themselves.

6. Process Scheduler

If a program needs to run many times with different parameter values every time then the actual software may need only minor modifications to run on a cluster. In this case MPI is no needed but it is necessary to write the scripts and the supporting files for the scheduler to work. The Scheduler approach is shown in the next diagram.
Figure 3  The Scheduler Functionality with the Submission of the Same Job with Different Parameters Every Time.

7. Message Passing Interface (MPI)

Parallel Virtual Machine (PVM) and MPI are software systems that allow writing message-passing parallel programs that run on a cluster, such as in FORTRAN and C. PVM used to be the de facto standard until MPI appeared. However, PVM is still widely used. MPI is a de facto standard for portable message-passing parallel programs standardized by the MPI Forum [45] and available on all massively parallel supercomputers.

The design and the use of message passing resulted from the need to implement the client/server functionality in a network. A client process requires some service, such as reading a file and sends the request to the server process with a message. The server
A process sends a message to the client process stating whether or not the request was completed. A message passing module is used to accomplish this communication. All the related functions and data are passed as parameters to the module.
Partition Manager Daemons (PMDs) are the messages spawn from the system to the nodes.

Another issue is the compiler used to compile the program. Many vendors make compilers, some of which are capable of automatically parallelizing programs.

Compiled code is typically incompatible with code compiled by (and for) a different system.

B. CLUSTER CLASSIFICATIONS

A cluster can be classified in a number of different ways. Some classifications reflect managerial or administrative viewpoint, while some others are technical.

1. Classification by Type of Hardware

The most common classification of a cluster results from the parts used to build it. There are no distinct categories for these clusters. Instead there is a range of possibilities. At one extreme there are the systems built completely from hardware and software products that can be found in any computer store. At the other extreme, there are special products designed and manufactured especially for their deployment and implementation in a cluster. One obvious difference between the left and the right extreme in how the user goes about acquiring the cluster. This concept appears in the following figure.

![Cluster classification by the type of hardware](image)

Figure 6 Cluster classification by the type of hardware. There are no distinct categories for these clusters. Instead there is a range of possibilities. At one extreme there are the systems built completely from hardware and software products that can be found in any computer store. At the other extreme, there are special products designed and manufactured especially for their deployment and implementation in a cluster.

The left side shows the simpler approaches to the cluster design with commodity parts. For example experimental clusters from X-box playing machines have been built.
Laptop computers are used in some cases for experimental or instructive reasons. Sometimes a number of common desktop computers (tower boxes) are stacked on the floor. These machines are connected with a single common Keyboard Video Mouse (KVM) switch composed of a keyboard, display and mouse, which is necessary to troubleshoot the nodes. The master node has its own peripherals.

At the other end of the continuum the parts for the cluster are acquired from a specific vendor and the system is intended to do a specific experimental or business task. The blade systems appear at this end.

For the ad hoc efforts best solution can usually be found somewhere in the middle. However, this is a naïve approach because of the phenomenon of the “sliding middle”, and this middle always slides to the right. This distinction can appear in many different ways.

Cost is a consideration as well as the complexity of the implementation, the performance, and the reliability of the vendor. All these factors vary from little to more. Also, all these can be considered advantages and disadvantages for a specific implementation. The following figure demonstrates that a direct connection does exist between all of them.

![Graph pointing the relation between different metrics in a cluster system. The more special design and implementation for one system the more increased the cost, the complexity, the performance and the reliance on the vendor.](image)
The decision rests with the user. Actually, this decision relates to the use of the cluster, the applications used and the expected gain from the potential investment.

2. **Classification by Network Technology**

The categorization is defined by the network technology used to connect the hosts in a cluster. There are three main ways to connect the nodes in the cluster which are briefly mentioned below. The next chapter further elaborates on these methods concerning the actual build.

- Gigabit Ethernet. It is inexpensive, provides good bandwidth, high latency.
- Myrinet (optical). It is expensive, high bandwidth, low latency.
- Infiniband. It is expensive, high bandwidth, very low latency.

3. **Classification by Size**

The number of nodes is another way to classify a cluster. A node is usually defined as one computer enclosure. A cluster can be said to have 10 or 100 nodes. However, what if each node in the cluster has two or more processors? Thus, it sometimes may be more accurate to refer to a cluster class according to its number of processors.

A collection of processors or nodes is sometimes referred to as a farm. Thus, it is possible to have a “farm” of 500 processors named with a specific name with the DNS and a specific IP address. This is, of course, the outer network IP address, because in most of the cases, the inner cluster network uses the IP address from the private IP space. (10.*** for class A, 176.16.*** up to 176.21.*** for class B and 192.168.*** for class C).

Clusters can be categorized from small to large based on the number of nodes.

- A mini cluster consists of up to 20 nodes.
- A mid-size cluster consists of up to 50 nodes.
- A full cluster consists of more than 50 nodes.

The website www.top500.org provides a better understanding of supercomputers. Many global supercomputer manufacturers and researchers publish the existence of their systems on this website according to the number of GFlops the cluster achieved in specific benchmarks. The fastest current supercomputer has 5,120 CPUs at 500 MHz in 640 nodes (8 CPU per node) according to this website. The overall performance of the sys-
This is the Earth Simulator (ES) in Yokohama, Japan built in 2002. The main purpose of the system is to run tera-scale simulations for variability studies on the atmosphere, oceans, and the structure and dynamics of the Earth's interior. The following figure shows the interior of one of the nodes of the ES.

The last one on the list is the “Retailer B” computer in the United States that has 184 CPUs at 1.5 Ghz and a performance of 1.1 Tflop/s.

A widely accepted community benchmark program called Linpack measures this performance. The chapter on benchmarking discusses the benchmarking process in greater detail.

4. Classification by Shared Resources

Before discussing the software components, another possible classification of a cluster exists, which according to Stallings [10], is whether the computers in the cluster share resources in the same disks. The following figures illustrates the two different categories. Figure 9 show that the first category is a two-node cluster connected only via a high-speed message link for coordinating the cluster activity. This message link is the cluster’s LAN.
The following figure shows the second category of shared disks. In this case, along with the message link, there is a disk subsystem connected to all the nodes within the cluster. The use of a Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks (RAID) or other disk technology ensures the increased availability of the system. There are multiple disks instead of one (single point of failure). These are also called a storage area networks, (SAN).
5. Classification by Cluster Architecture

Another classification, again according to Stallings [10], for the clusters is the distinction of separate servers, shared nothing and shared disks according to a more functional point of view.

In separate servers, each computer is a separate server with no shared disks among the servers. This approach has high availability but requires some management or scheduling of software to regulate traffic. If one computer fails, another one takes over and completes the task. This availability is achieved by constantly copying the data between the servers. This, of course, means that performance is decreased.

To reduce this communication traffic, the servers are connected to common disks. This is called “shared nothing.” The common disks are partitioned in volumes and each volume is used by one computer. If a computer fails, another computer obtains ownership of the volume and the cluster continues to work.

The third approach is to have multiple computers share the same disks at the same time so each computer has access to all the volumes of all the other computers. This approach is called “shared disks” and requires a mechanism to ensure that the data are accessed by only one (locking) process.

C. CLUSTER OPERATING SYSTEM

It is clear that the operating system of the cluster must be specific for this special hardware configuration. Stallings [10] raises the following issues.

1. Failure Management

Resolution of failure management usually follows one of two approaches. The first is a highly available cluster offering a high probability that all resources will be in service. If a failure occurs to one node then another one takes over. All the queries and processes that are in progress are lost in the failed system and there is no guarantee concerning the state of partially executed transactions. This must be taken care of at the application or scheduler level.

A fault-tolerant cluster ensures that all resources are always available by using redundant shared disks and mechanisms that maintain the state of the system so that it can be easily retrieved in case of failure and continued from the point of failure.
This has to do with the one of the three primary purposes of clusters the “high availability” as it was presented previously in this chapter.

2. **Load Balancing**

This is used for the cluster’s coordinate traffic. When a new computer is added to the cluster, the load-balancing facility needs to automatically include this computer in scheduling applications.

3. **Parallelizing Computation**

At times, it is necessary to execute an application in parallel. According to Kapp [8][8] there are three different approaches.

   a. **Parallelizing Compiler**

   This compiler determines which part of the applications is to be executed in parallel, which is done in compile time. These parts are then split to be assigned to different computers in the cluster. The performance, of course, depends on the compiler and how effectively it performs its task.

   b. **Parallelized Application**

   In this case, the programmer builds the application in such a way that it can be executed in a cluster. The programmer uses the message assign interface, a set of functions and methods to implement the message passing for the demands of the application. This is the best approach and provides maximum performance, but, of course, makes the programming phase more difficult.

   c. **Parametric Computing**

   This is different from the two aforementioned categories. It involves an application that must run some pieces of code many times with different parameters each time. This refers mostly to simulations that have to repeat different scenarios in order to return more precise statistical data. For this approach to be effective, extra tools for the organization and management of the separate jobs are needed, as shown in Figure 4.

D. **CLUSTER ARCHITECTURE**

The following figure shows typical cluster architecture. There is a connection with a high speed LAN and each computer is able to operate independently.

An extra module of software is installed in every computer that enables that computer to operate in the cluster operation. This is the cluster middleware. The middleware
provides the single system image, ensures availability, individual processor and includes software tools for enabling the efficient execution of programs that are capable of parallel execution. This design concept is according to Buyya [2].

As a specific example, the Cluster Single System Image (SSI) middleware provides the following services and functions, according to Hwang [7]:

- Single entry point. A user logs onto the cluster rather than in every node.
- Single file hierarchy. The user sees one file directory system under the root directory.
- Single control point. The management and control is done by a specific node into the cluster.
- Single virtual network. There may be many physical networks connecting the nodes, but the user (and the cluster) sees only one network within all the nodes, and are connected and function.
- Single memory space. Distributed shared memory enables multiple programs to share variables.

Figure 11    Cluster Architecture (After Stallings W, Operating Systems, 2001, p. 595).
• Single job-management system. Under the cluster job scheduler, the user can submit a job without determining which node to execute the job.

• Single user interface. All users have one interface, regardless of the workstation with which they enter the cluster.

• Single I/O space. Any node can access any I/O without knowing its physical location within the cluster resulting in enhanced availability.

• Single process space. A uniform process-identification scheme is used resulting in enhanced availability.

• Check pointing. This keeps track of the current state of the cluster to ensure recovery after failure resulting in enhanced availability.

• Process migration. This function enables load balancing resulting in enhanced availability.

This is the “ideal” SSI. Implementations may or may not provide all the functionalities mentioned above. For example the NPS exemplar cluster does not use a single memory space. The SSI is a whole technology and can be found for Linux clusters in [64].

E. DIFFERENT CLUSTER INSTALLATIONS

Some of the possible cluster implementations with several characteristics and design issues according to Stallings [10] are mentioned below.

1. Windows Cluster Service

Formerly known as “Wolfpack”, the Windows Cluster Service uses the following concepts [55]:

• Cluster Service. The collection of the software on each node that manages all the cluster-specific activity.

• Resource. An item is managed by the cluster service.

• Online. A resource is online at a node when it is providing service on that specific node.

• Group. It is a collection of resources managed as a single unit.

2. Sun Cluster

It is based on the Solaris UNIX OS and is a set of extensions to it. It provides the cluster with a single-system image and the cluster appears to the end user as a single system running the Solaris OS [56].
The major components are:

- Object and communication support
- Process management
- Networking
- Global distributed file system

![Sun Cluster Architecture](image)

Figure 12  Sun Cluster Architecture. (After Stallings W, Operating Systems, 2001, p. 598).

3. **Beowulf and Linux Clusters**

PC-based clusters began in 1994 when NASA sponsored the project for “Beowulf” clusters under the High-performance Computing and Communications (HPCC) project, conducted by Dr. Thomas Sterling and Dr. Donald Becker, with the ultimate goal of reducing the cost of computing power [12] [13]. The [http://www.beowulf.org](http://www.beowulf.org) is the web site providing relevant information.
The key features of Beowulf clusters include:

- Mass market commodity components
- Dedicated processors (rather than scavenging cycles from idle workstations which was an approach used up to that point)
- A dedicated, private network (LAN or WAN or internet-worked combination)
- No custom components
- Easy replication from multiple vendors
- Scalable I/O
- Freely available software base

The most common implementation of a Beowulf occurs with Linux as the operating system. This research typically only found discussions about Beowulf clusters with Linux as an OS and in one case FreeBSD. There are other clusters, with other operating systems, but Beowulf clusters have only open-source UNIX and derivative operating systems. After all, one of the primary reasons for low cost is the free OS. Of some interest is, that Gordon Bell from Microsoft Research Center claims that there is a Beowulf with Windows 2000 as an OS. From “The History of Super Computing” [49] “the Beowulf’s have been the enabler of do-it-yourself cluster computing using commodity microprocessors, the Linux O/S with Gnu Tools and most recently Windows 2000 O/S, tools that have evolved from the MPP research community, and a single platform standard that finally allow applications to be written that will run on more than one computer.”

A Beowulf cluster consists of a number of nodes and each one may have a different hardware configuration. Most run Linux as an OS. Secondary storage may be available at each workstation for distributed access. Commodity type networking connects cluster nodes. Ethernet is the most common used with a number of switches connecting the nodes in a private network.

Each node runs its own copy of the Linux kernel. To implement the cluster functionality and to allow the nodes to participate, extensions have been made to the kernel. In addition, other software is added. The following picture depicts a cluster.
Figure 13  A Beowulf Cluster with Master and Slave Nodes and Network Connections. The master node with its two NIC is in this case the gateway between the two networks the internal and the external. The slave nodes are connected to the master node with a switch in the internal (private) network.

F. HARDWARE CONSIDERATIONS

In order to understand better how the different parts of hardware participate in the overall performance of such a system, it is necessary to examine all these parts separately. All the material mentioned in this chapter is referenced from Groop et al. [6] and from the “Node Hardware” relevant chapter. Due to the actual implementation of the cluster examined in the following chapters, the author discerned that knowledge about the hardware sometimes provided answers to configuration problems, mainly in the area of constructing the nodes.

Several reasons preclude definitive statements in this area. One is that the technology and the market are changing rapidly and the possible cases to explore are excessive.

Another reason is that different vendors seem to have a greater understanding of what is appropriate for each application after receiving customer feedback. Therefore, they build a knowledge database of what is or is not working efficiently. The concept is that in all the areas using HPC, such as academia, research and others, it is a waste of time to reinvent the wheel and start the mystifying areas of hardware design from scratch.
A better approach is to ask a number of vendors for quotes and afterwards try to decide the best quote (quality over price) consisting of a number of questions concerning the technical characteristics on which these quotes differ.

Nevertheless, the actual application environment will be that which results from the selection of parts.

1. **CPU**

The nodes’ CPUs execute the application in the cluster. The microprocessor *instruction set architecture* (ISA) dictate the lowest level binary encoding of the instructions and the actions they perform. The most common ISA is the IA32 or x86. Each processor has a clock rate that sets the frequency of the execution of the instructions. Note that the clock rate is not a direct measure of performance. The processor has a theoretical peak rate, which is determined by the ISA, the clock rate and components and technologies included in the processor. One theoretical peak rate can be measured in flops, floating point operations per second.

The processor constantly retrieves instructions and data with Random Access Memory (RAM). RAM also has a speed that determines the rate at which the bytes are moving in and out. The RAM’s speed is also much lower than the processor’s since it often waits for memory. Thus, the overall speed at which a program is executed depends on the speed of the processor and memory. The processor, in order to overcome this problem, has an internal fast memory, called cache (L1, L2 or L3 type), and for most applications, the larger the cache, the better the performance of the processor.

This concept is better understood by examining how the processor works in more detail, as demonstrated in the following figure.
In the both figures, the instruction stream and a data stream are entering and the result stream is leaving. It is, therefore, possible to state that the instruction stream is composed of different types of operations and the data stream consists of the data on which those operations operate.

The data stream is moving to registers in the processor as well as memory locations. This infrastructure is called a bus. According to the bit-width of these elements, it is possible to define the processor as 32-bit or 64-bit.

In 32-bit computing, generally four sets of bytes (32-bits) forms a word, defined as a unit of data that can be addressed and moved between the computer processor and the storage area. A word may contain a general computer instruction, a storage address, or any type of data to be manipulated.

Usually, the defined bit-length of a word is equivalent to the width of the computer's data bus so that single operation can move a word from the storage to the processor registers. Thus, a 64-bit microprocessor has a 64-bit word size.

The presence of these higher-capacity registers translates into more data processing capability and significantly larger amounts of memory access. The 32-bit processors are capable of addressing up to 4 GB of memory while their 64-bit counterparts can reach up to 18 billion GB.
However, note that these figures concern the quantity of accessible memory and not outright data processing speeds. Thus, it does not imply that 64-bit processors are twice as faster as the 32-bit processors.

Also, the 64-bit boost can only be obtained while running a 64-bit application under a 64-bit environment (operating system). In the 32-bit mode, it will operate as a 32-bit processor and according to the performance boost obtained, due to other architectural changes such as higher FSB (front side bus) or more L2 cache.

The term “64-bit code”, designates instructions that operate on 64-bit data. The evolution of the processor technology now provides processors with 64-bit technology, or the so-called 64-bit computing.

There is a drawback in the 64-bit computing though. The 64-bit pointers that are used to refer to the 64-bit addressing scheme fill the L1 and L2 cache of the processor in a larger degree than the 32-bit pointers, and this has an impact in the performance of the processor.

A description of several processors appears below:

Pentium 4: Intel’s IA32 processor. It produces less computing power per clock cycle but it is suitable for extremely high frequencies. It implements the SS2 instruction set and hyper threading. Currently, according to www.top500.org, [35] out of 500 supercomputers (thus 57.4 %), 287 use Intel’s processors. Some are 64-bit ISA as discussed later.

Athlon: AMD’s IA32 processor is similar to Pentium 4. The performance can be faster than Pentium 4 but ultimately depends on the application. Currently, according to www.top500.org, [35] out of 500 supercomputers 34 (8.8 %) use AMD’s processors. Some of them are 64-bit ISA as discussed later.

Power PC G5: This is an IBM product used for IBM and Apple. The G5 is a 64-bit architecture, running at 2 GHz. Apple uses G5 in Macs and some clusters use PowerPC as processors. Mac OS X (a UNIX-like operating system) is the operating system used. Currently, according to www.top500.org, [35] out of 500 supercomputers, three use PowerPC processors.
IA64 and Itanium. This is the Intel’s 64-bit architecture and employs the Explicitly Parallel Instruction Computing (EPIC) design concerned with impressive results. The processor, however, produced a larger amount of heat, which created a new problem with heat management. [This chapter discusses heat management in a subsequent section.] Intel released the Itanium 2 to compete directly with AMD's Opteron processor. The Itanium 2 is a true 64-bit processor that offers larger memory addressable space for the needs of large memory jobs. Competition has driven down the prices of these processors, which are Itanions at 900 MHz, 1.0, 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5 GHz with L3 cache up to 6 MB.

HP Alpha 21264: This is true 64-bit architecture. For years, Alphas were considered the fastest, and subsequently were used in supercomputers such as the Cray and Compaq family. Currently, according to www.top500.org, [35] 16 out of 500 supercomputers use Alpha processors. Alpha uses the Reduced Instruction Set Computer (RISC) architecture, and therefore, Alphas are often referred to as RISC processors.

Opteron: This is AMD’s new design in 64-bit architecture, and can support both a 32-bit instruction set along with a 64-bit extension, allowing users to use their 32-bit applications. Opteron uses three links with the “Hyper Transport” technology. Hyper Transport technology is AMD’s new technology to facilitate high speed communication between the CPU and the system’s other circuitry. Many options exist. For example, Opteron 240 has a 1.4 GHz clock, Opteron 242 has a 1.6 GHz clock, Opteron 244 has a 1.8 GHz clock, Opteron 246 has a 2.0 Ghz clock while Opteron 248 has a 2.2 GHz clock.

Athlon 64 FX is no more than an enhanced version of the AMD Opteron aimed at desktop computers.

2. Memory Capabilities, Bandwidth and Latency

This is the temporary storage for instructions and data. The speed of the memory’s Front Side Bus (FSB) varies from 100 MHz up to 1 GHz. Therefore, memory is the largest obstacle to achieving maximum peak performance. Two characteristics of the FSB concern performance. The first is the peak memory bandwidth, the burst rate at which the bytes are copied between the CPU and the memory chips. FSB must be fast enough to support this rate. The second is memory latency, the amount of time that it takes to move
bytes between the CPU and the memory chips. The same bottlenecks are observed, as in the case when memory must copy bytes to and from another peripheral, such as a permanent storage or network controller.

It is obvious that whenever it is necessary to move data to and from memory, a reduction in performance occurs. A good solution is, of course, to have all the data sets of this application stored in memory at all times. For this reason, the amount of memory is significant to the node of the cluster. Unfortunately many HPC problems of interest require more memory per processor than is generally available.

A rule of thumb in cluster design is that for every floating point operation per second, a byte of memory is necessary. For example, a 1 GHz processor, capable of 200 Mflops, must have 200 Mbytes of memory. Memory can be as little as 64 MB per machine to 8 GB per machine of memory depending upon the requirements. A good choice is to use Error Correcting (ECC) Memory. Although slightly more expensive (10% to 15% more than non-ECC memory), ECC memory ensures that computations are free of any fixable computing errors.

Several benchmarks count memory performance and calculate the amount of memory needed for the cluster, which a later chapter examines.

3. I/O Channels

These are buses that connect peripherals to the main memory. All motherboards have a bridge mechanism that connects these buses to the main memory. This is the PCI chipset. Descriptions of the different bus types appear below.

a. PCI and PCI-X

This is the most common bus type in commodity computers. Newer versions are 64 bit, with a data rate at 66 MHZ or higher. Some good implementations provide up to 500 MB/s, while the PCI-X that runs at 133 MHz, provides data rates up to 900 MB/s.

b. AGP

Accelerated Graphics Port is used for high-speed graphics adapters and can access data directly from the main memory. AGP is not a bus like PCI because it can support only one device with a data rate up to 2.1 GB/s to the main memory (AGP 3.0).
c. **Legacy Buses**

ISA is 8 or 16 bit bus, VESA is 24 bit, while EISA is an extension to ISA, all of which are now obsolete.

4. **Motherboard**

After selecting the processor, the most important decision in the design of the node is the choice of motherboard. It defines the functionality of the node, the range of performance and the number of subsystems that can be connected. Motherboard manufacturers include Gigabyte, Intel, Shuttle, Tyan, Asus, Supermicro, Tyan and many others.

a. **Chipsets**

These implement the functionality of the motherboard. They are bridges that interconnect the processor, the main memory, the AGP port and the PCI bus (IDE controller, USB controller).

b. **BIOS**

BIOS is the software that initializes all system hardware into a state such that the operating system can boot. Each motherboard has its own BIOS. The BIOS runs the Power on Self Test (POST) at startup time, in order to locate a drive from which to boot.

c. **PXE (Pre-Execution Environment)**

This is a system that allows the nodes to boot from on a network-provided configuration and boot image, which is necessary for the cluster. The system utilizes two common network services, DHCP and tftp. The functionality of this PXE system, which appears to work as a protocol as described in the following figure.

The PXE is a characteristic of the motherboard. It must be in the BIOS setup when the machine starts its operation in order to utilize it by pressing the appropriate key (usually del or F1) and then navigating to the menu option to choose the location from which the machine boots. Instead of choosing “floppy” or something similar, “PXE” is chosen. In actuality, this only occurs with motherboards having integrated Ethernet controllers. On the motherboards to which are added Ethernet controllers in the PCI slots, it is necessary to refer to the NIC manufacturer manual to ascertain if and how
it is possible to make it work with the “on-card device initialization code.” The results of the experiments showed that it did not work, and therefore, it was necessary to find another way to boot the nodes. The next chapter examines this situation.

Figure 15 Pre-execution environment (PXE) diagram. The system boots and after a DHCP request receives from the server the data necessary for the start up process.

d. Linux BIOS

This is another version of a proprietary BIOS based on the LINUX kernel. It supports only LINUX and the Windows 2000 operating systems and the greatest benefit is the boot time, which is considerably better than the ordinary BIOS.

5. Storage

The hard disk is used in the node for storage, and depends on the cluster system utilized as to whether or not a disk is absolutely necessary. The possibility of having “two servers two disks” or “two server same disk” was mentioned previously. The decision
depends on the design. Some slave nodes can be net-booted and do not need a hard disk at all. Another popular choice is to use a RAID for storing the applications and the data as well as having a disk in every node for storing only the operating system.

The main characteristics of the disks are capacity, bandwidth, rotations per minute and latency. The faster the rotation is the higher the bandwidth and the lower the latency. Another important characteristic is the time between failures.

a. Local Hard Disks

The three most commonly used buses for hard disks currently are IDE (EIDE or ATA), SCSI and serial ATA.

(1) Integrated Drive Electronics (IDE). These disks are the most common with the disk controller integrated in the motherboard. The controller provides two interfaces and up to four (4) IDE disks can be connected to them (two devices per interface). The data rate is up to 133 MB/s with the use of Ultra DMA 133 (UDMA 133). The majority of CD or DVD drives are currently IDE. If it is necessary to place more disks in a computer, another controller (with two more interfaces) is required and must be inserted in an empty PCI slot. It is possible to add four (4) additional disks to this controller. However, this is disadvantageous because the controller must also be identified and initialized, which delays the booting of the computer.

These drives are best suited for situations dealing with small files less than 2 MB. IDE drives are also ideal when transferring many different small files in small bursts. For most people involved in a cluster computing or stand alone workstation environment, IDE drives are the better choice.

(2) SCSI. These disks are used in servers. One difference between IDE and SCSI is that it is possible to add up to 15 disks to a computer. Another is that they are more expensive and faster. The data rate is up to 350 MB/s.

SCSI hard drives are ideal for transferring large files when transfer rate needs to be sustained. Clusters typically do not need the sustained speed of SCSI hard drives because the limiting factor will always be the network connection. Even if the cluster is equipped with the fastest possible drive, more than likely it does not reach the full benefits due to the network bottleneck.
(3) Serial ATA or SATA. These are an enhanced ATA technology with a rate up to 150 MB/s.

b. RAID
Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks are used to aggregate the individual disks. The RAID disks appear as a single large disk and consist of RAID0 (striping), RAID1 (mirroring) and RAID5 (striping with parity). RAID is usually used with clusters, and more typically, on front ends for data storage, but not as often on compute nodes.

c. Non Local Storage
Network File System (NFS) is a protocol and the most popular way to access remote file systems. File systems are “mounted” via NFS.

Parallel Virtual File System (PVFS) is another file system designed for use in high-performance space for parallel applications.

The elaboration of all the relevant UNIX – Linux commands are necessary to use these file systems. The next chapter examines Linux.

6. Video
Most video adaptors in a commodity computer are connected through the AGP, and some older models through PCI. In most servers, the video adaptor is built into the motherboard.

Obtaining a Linux-compatible video card can be problematic. Surprisingly, the 32 MB AGP version of this card is costs roughly the same as the 16 MB card.

For slave nodes not requiring a monitor, a good solution is to use a inexpensive 8 MB video card, which costs less than $20, to ensure proper boot up and ease of maintenance. Many believe that they do not need a video card for a cluster node. The truth is that most PCs need a video card in order to boot up. Turning on a machine without video card only results in annoying beeping. The video card also assists in the maintenance of the PC. In the case of a problem, it is only necessary to attach a monitor in order to diagnose the problem. Monitor switches are helpful for racks of “headless” compute nodes.

7. Peripherals
Universal serial Bus (USB) and Firewire are peripheral buses. The most useful is the USB for connecting the keyboard-mouse in the case of a node debug.
8. Case

This is the box that contains all the aforementioned items. The kind of box used depends mainly on the investment made. The following choices appear below.

a. Desktop Cases

The first clusters consisted of desktop cases. These boxes provide an economic solution, are mostly low performance, and used for experimental reasons and by academia students working on related projects. They are usually stacked on the floor or in shelves, connected with KVM switches, make considerable noise, draw much power and produce a lot of heat, and are currently considered satisfactory for experiments.

A standard midi tower case is ideal if plenty of space is available and the budget is limited. With a power supply of 230W (standard for Intel single processor machines) or 300W (standard for AMD Athlon Thunderbird or dual processor Intel machines), the standard midi tower cases provide plenty of reliability and flexibility.

Figure 16 A Typical Diagram of a Cluster System with Mini Tower Cases. There is a hierarchy in the switching scheme, while some computer, not between the nodes have different roles. This is due to easy administration.
b. **Rack-Mounted Cases**

Rack-mounted cases are those that can be mounted on a rack in the computer room. They are 1 U or more wide. One U (Unit) is 1.75 inches in the rack. These kinds of systems provide high density and good serviceability. Cooling is a considerable problem with high density. Most come with rack mount rails to assist in the maintenance of the cluster. The most common format is the 19” rack mount compact size.

The following figure demonstrates the possible configuration of such a system. The monitor and the keyboard occasionally are incorporated in a separate rack-able module, when a slideable monitor and a keyboard are available and it is connected to all the nodes in the rack. With the push of a button, it is possible to see a display and keyboard of a specific node.

A more simplistic, inexpensive solution though is to have a screen and a keyboard mouse on a wheeled table and connect it at any time to a specific node. Most of these boxes have display connectors on the front, along with USB connectors for the keyboard. It is important to note that this is necessary only for debugging reasons since the normal use of the system is achieved through a SSH network connection.

![Cluster with rack able cases](image)

**Figure 17** Cluster with rack able cases. All the representing parts are shown in this figure, even though some of them may exist in different rack. The keyboard and the monitor are most of the times necessary for troubleshooting.
One possible advantage of the rack-mounted systems is that it can be taken from the cluster and used in another after reconfiguration. Thus, it is considered an excellent solution for experimental use and in academic environments where research may demand a change in the use of hardware and funding constraints are always a consideration.

c. **Blade Servers**

Blade servers are the last option. These are actual motherboards mounted in a module that can be inserted in a case such as a drawer. The front side contains some connectors (USB) and some LEDs indicating the status, and sometimes contain a hard disk and/or a power supply. There is an extremely high density of processors per space unit with this implementation. They are used for a specific blade server and cannot be used elsewhere as separate computers.

9. **Network**

A network is among the most important components of a cluster. The performance of the network results from the selections of network technology, components and topology. Of course, cost is the main factor that drives all these issues. The TCP/IP protocol is used to implement the internal network of the Beowulf clusters. One size taken into consideration is latency. Latency is the amount of time that a message takes to travel through the network from the sender to the receiver. It can vary typically from 100 to 1 microsecond. As a result, some technical approaches attempt to provide a solution to the latency problem. A more different metrics is bandwidth, from 100 Mbps to 4 Gbps.

The possible technologies for the network can be the following.

a. **10/100/1000 Base T Ethernet**

The 1000 Mbps is the Gigabit Ethernet. This is the most commonly used network technology.

b. **Myrinet**

Myrinet is a high-speed local area networking system designed by Myricom. Myrinet has much less protocol overhead than standards such as Ethernet, and therefore, provides much better throughput and less latency. Although it is possible to use it as a traditional networking system, Myrinet is often used directly by programs that “know” about it, thereby bypassing a call into the operating system.
Myrinet physically consists of two fiber optic cables, upstream and downstream, connected to the host computers with a single connector. Machines are connected together via low-overhead routers and switches, as opposed to connecting one machine directly to another. The first generation provided 512 Mbit/s data rates in both directions, and later versions supported 1.28 Gbit/s and 2 Gbit/s.

Myrinet's throughput is close to the theoretical maximum of the physical layer. On the latest 2.0 Gbit/s links, Myranet often runs at 1.98 Gbit/s of sustained throughput, considerably better than what Ethernet offers, from 0.6 and 1.9 Gbit/s depending on load. [51]

c. **Dolphin Wulfkit**

This is based on a different standard. It is a relatively economical solution. The Network Interface Card (NIC) is a PCI with two interfaces that allows special cables to interconnect in either ring or switched topologies. The bandwidth is measured up to 326 Mbps with 1.4 microseconds latency, the lowest in the market. Its theoretical link speed is 667 Mbps and 1.333 Gbps in the bi-directional mode.

The Scalable Coherent Interface (SCI) standard achieves this performance, and bypasses the time-consuming operating system functionalities and protocol software for the establishment of the networking.

d. **Infiniband**

InfiniBand is a high-performance, multi-purpose network architecture based on a switch design often called a “switched fabric.” InfiniBand is designed for use in I/O networks such as storage area networks (SAN) or in cluster networks. InfiniBand supports network bandwidth between 2.5 Gbps and 30 Gbps.

Specifications for the InfiniBand architecture span multiple layers of the OSI model. InfiniBand features physical and data-link layer hardware such as Ethernet and ATM, although with more advanced technology. [50]


Since many machines are connected in a single space, it is necessary to consider the power consumption and the heat they produce.
### a. Power Consumption

The power supply of a computer can support a specific number of watts. A Watt is the measure of power \( P = V \times I \) that is \( \text{Watt} = \text{Volt} \times \text{Amperes} \), which is consumed by parts of the computer. The power supply provides the appropriate voltage for each component. The table below indicates how much power each component needs [68][69].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Typical Power Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGP Video Card</td>
<td>20 – 50W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average PCI Card</td>
<td>5 – 10W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/100 NIC</td>
<td>4W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCSI Controller PCI Card</td>
<td>20W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floppy Drive</td>
<td>5W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVD-ROM / CD-RW</td>
<td>10 – 25W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7200 rpm IDE Hard Drive</td>
<td>5 – 20W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000 rpm SCSI Drive</td>
<td>10 – 40W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case/CPU Fans</td>
<td>3W (ea.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motherboard (without CPU or RAM)</td>
<td>25 – 40W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAM</td>
<td>8W per 128 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentium III Processor 550 MHz</td>
<td>30 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentium III Processor 733 MHz</td>
<td>23.5 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentium III Processor</td>
<td>38W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentium 4 Processor</td>
<td>70W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMD Athlon Processor</td>
<td>60W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMD Opteron</td>
<td>55 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intel XEON</td>
<td>77 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intel Itanium</td>
<td>65 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1   Typical Power Consumption Levels for Computer Parts.
It is worth noting that a 400-watt switching power supply will not necessarily use more power than a 250-watt supply. A larger supply may be needed if every available slot on the motherboard or every available drive bay in the computer case is used. It is not a good idea to have a 250-watt supply if all the devices total 250 watts since the supply must not be loaded to 100 percent of its capacity. Computer vendors usually equip machines economically with the appropriate power supplies and simply try to cover only the threshold of power consumption needs.

A rule of thumb for estimating the overall power supply wattage is to add the requirement for each device in the system and then multiply by 1.8. The power supplies are more efficient and reliable when loaded to 30% - 70% of maximum capacity. All the other components such as switches and disk arrays also produce power consumption.

Some new computer systems, particularly those designed for use as servers, provide redundant power supplies. In other words, there are two or more power supplies in the system, with one providing power and the other acting as a backup. The backup supply immediately takes over in the event of a failure by the primary supply. Then, the primary supply can be exchanged while the other power supply is in use [52] [53].

The loss of power is one of the main reasons for data loss. For this reason, every rack also needs to use an Uninterrupted Power Supply (UPS). The characteristic of the UPS is the power (in VA) that it can support. It is usually a 3000 KVA and must be enough for seven nodes and the switch when plugged into a 20 AMP (ordinary) circuit.

One characteristic of the UPS’s is the ability they have to shut down the server that they are connected to. The UPS is connected to the server with a serial or USB cable to the relevant ports. As soon as the UPS discovers that there is a power failure, then signals the server to commit a graceful shut down. For this of course a running daemon in the UNIX system must run. This software is accompanying the UPS hardware and it must be installed by the system administrator to the server. For the verification that the system works with out problem a number of tests must be conducted.
b. **Thermal Management**

All these parts, in addition to consuming electrical power, produce heat. One of the common troubleshooting complaints was that if a personal computer did not respond well and the programs seemed to be running in an irregular way, then the problem might be a malfunctioning CPU fan. This type of overheating causes the CPU to work chaotically. Thus, a CPU with larger power consumption produces a considerable amount of heat. For this reason, it is necessary to install blowers.

All new processors have a overheating protection. When the temperature reaches a certain point, all execution in the processor is stopped, until the user resets the system. For example, the Itanium at 1.5 GHz works from 5 – 85 degrees Celsius.

The computer room housing the clusters must maintain a constant temperature of around 70 degrees F, usually achievable with air-conditioning.

The air-conditioning measurement is in British Thermal Units (BTUs.) One BTU is the amount of heat required to raise one pound of water one degree Fahrenheit at one atmosphere pressure. Cooling can be a factor of heat, space, and amount of heat generating equipment in a room. Air conditioning equipment is usually expressed in tons, i.e., a one ton air conditioner. One ton of air conditioning is equal to 12,000 BTU's.

The following table [54] provides an idea on what to expect concerning this issue, and indicates the BTUs needed for a given area in the server room with an average workload.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computer room area feet²</th>
<th>Typical BTUs per hour needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 to 250</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 to 350</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350 to 450</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 to 550</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 to 700</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700 to 1000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Cooling Requirements for Computer Room.
The above amounts must consider the following:

- If the room is heavily shaded / sunny, reduce/increase capacity by 10%.
- If more than two people regularly occupy the room, add 600 Btu/Hr for each additional person.
- For each server add 1200-1500 BTU's.
- For each UPS add 800-1000 BTU's.

Technical solutions exist for almost any topic. Even when it is necessary to move the computer equipment from one place to another, there are portable systems.

In addition, when in the position to design the hardware for a cluster, it is then essential to consider the use of the absolutely necessary power supply wattage in case each machine has its own power supply. In order to reduce power consumption and heat production, as with blade systems, there can be one power supply for a number of nodes in the rack. This power supply has many outputs with all the needed power.

Also, consider not using high end graphics cards with 3D processors, extra hard disks, and anything else that might increase the system’s heat.

G. LINUX OPERATING SYSTEM

It is the author’s opinion that for a cluster to be a Beowulf, Linux is required. The Linux open-source operating system provides stability, maturity, and straightforward design. By using Linux, “you are not alone.” The knowledge pool is enormous. Many types of processors are supported. The kernel of the operating system can be manipulated according to the needs and can “be made small” to fit [6]. [1]

Linux is a clone of the original UNIX operating systems, released in October 1991 by Linus Torvalds, and is one of the most popular operating systems in the world.

The term Linux applies to the UNIX-like kernel. Hence, some vendor companies incorporated the kernel with a series of supporting software, such as an X Window system environment, an installer and several other programs and services. This is a Linux distribution packed in CD’s or DVD’s and is available for purchase with some manuals. The following table shows some distribution companies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Distribution company</strong></th>
<th><strong>Comments</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turbolinux</td>
<td><a href="http://www.turbolinux.com">www.turbolinux.com</a> support in Japanese and Chinese (double byte characters)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandrake</td>
<td><a href="http://www.mandrake.org">www.mandrake.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debian</td>
<td><a href="http://www.debian.org">www.debian.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuSE</td>
<td><a href="http://www.suse.com">www.suse.com</a>. Good support in German</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slackware</td>
<td><a href="http://www.slackware.com">www.slackware.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  Different Linux Distributions Available.

Linus Torvalds and some core developers maintain the right to make the Linux kernel releases public, after input received from the Linux community. All improvements, or at least some sent by the global programmers, are incorporated into a “development” kernel, which have an odd number such as 2.1 or 2.5. After a period of testing and debugging, the “development” kernel becomes a “stable” kernel with an even number such as 2.2 or 2.4.11. The distribution companies on the other hand maintain their own numbering scheme. They incorporate a given stable kernel with the most recent supportive software and make public the release number, thus, creating Red Hat 8.0 and the later 9.0 version.

H. SUPERCOMPUTER STATISTICS

Currently, based on www.top500.org, it is possible to obtain a considerable amount of information concerning the top 500 computer systems in the world such as architecture, processor generation, family and architecture, manufacturer, location of the cluster in countries and continents and system models. This thesis presents a variety of summaries information. The majority of the installation types are for industry, followed by academia.
Figure 18    Supercomputer statistics. The majority of installations are for the industry followed by research and academia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Where</th>
<th>number</th>
<th>GFlops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classified</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All</strong></td>
<td><strong>500</strong></td>
<td><strong>100 %</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4    HPC Usage

The United States possesses the greatest number of supercomputers, with Europe in second place.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southern Africa</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Europe</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia and New Zealand</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central - South America</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western - Southern Asia</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continent</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Asia</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western - Southern Europe</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5  HPC Locations

Figure 19  Graph of HPC locations. About half of the systems are in North America and the other half in the rest of the world.
IV. INSTALLATION OF THE NPS LINUX CLUSTER

A. INTRODUCTION

This chapter explains the installation of the hardware and software necessary to implement the Beowulf cluster considered as a part of this thesis. This chapter also describes the problems encountered while building the cluster.

The application software used in the cluster is what drives the hardware and overall software specifications. In this case and since an investigation and experiment is being conducted, the specifications on exactly which software to run were not completely designed in the beginning.

B. OPEN-SOURCE CLUSTER SOFTWARE

1. Choosing the Software

The first problem is the identifying the software needed to implement the cluster. Linux is the operating system used in most clusters, but additional software is needed to tie the computers together into a unified whole. The software necessary to create a cluster exists in many forms and is available from many sources. Some cluster distributions are commercial products, and some are free implementations put together by various organizations. This section discusses some of the more popular options.

a. Rocks

The National Partnership for Advanced Computational Infrastructure (NPACI) and the San Diego Supercomputing Center (SDSC) distribute the Rocks cluster package. Rocks is based on Redhat Linux and is distributed under open-source BSD license. It is available for download from the web [14]. A manual describes the installation process step by step, providing screen shots and help files. The most helpful support feature is the mailing list in which Rocks cluster users help each other with installation, configuration, and operational problems.

The goal of the Rocks distribution is to make clusters easy to deploy, manage, upgrade, and scale. Many operations are automated, and the install process includes all the software needed to run a cluster. Installation of a cluster can be as easy as booting all the machines that will be in the cluster off of a CD. Rocks uses the concept of a “disposable compute node.” Since installation of a compute node is as simple as boot-
ing from an install CD, the easiest way to manage compute nodes is to simply re-install the operating system if there is ever any doubt about the node’s state. The concept of “disposable compute nodes” is becoming increasingly popular in large scale clusters because it is unrealistic to maintain or manually re-install operating system image in large installations.

The Rocks cluster package includes many of the most popular cluster software packages including an MPI implementation, Portable Batch System (PBS) and the Ganglia cluster status program. It includes optional add-on “rolls” for other software packages, such as Java and Globus.

b. OSCAR

Another solution is the Open-source Cluster Application Resources (OSCAR) [16]. Like Rocks, the OSCAR cluster package release offers a series of downloadable CDs used to install the cluster. OSCAR requires that the user first install Red Hat Linux on the front end node before proceeding with the installation of the add-on cluster software. Once the OSCAR package is installed on the front end disk images for the compute nodes can be created. As with Rocks, the images are used to install the cluster nodes, though usually over the network rather than from CD. Typically the compute nodes are configured to use PXE and boot from the network. The front end receives the PXE boot request and downloads a system image to the compute node.

One of the main packages included with OSCAR is LAM-MPI, a popular implementation of the MPI parallel programming paradigm. Another useful program is the Portable Batch System (PBS), which can be used for scheduling parallel jobs. A MPI (the MPICH) and a PBS is included in the Rocks package as well, which the next chapters will examine in more detail. In Rocks, the Red Hat Linux is incorporated in the CD and is installed “as a part” of the cluster software.

c. Scyld

Scyld is a commercial cluster product based on the open-source Linux operating system, but with additional value-added commercial software [34]. Scyld license fees are based on the front end, plus a fee for each compute node in the cluster, and a variable fee depending on the type of network interconnection used. Scyld also offers a full range of professional services, from planning to installation to support and operation.
Scyld does its best to present a single system image of the entire cluster. Many standard Unix utilities have been reworked to make it appear as if all the jobs in the cluster are running in a single process space on the front end. Running the Unix “ps” command on the front end, for example, shows all jobs running on all the compute nodes in the cluster, rather than only the jobs running on the front end.

As with Rocks and OSCAR, the front end can be used to install operating systems and the necessary software on the compute nodes. Scyld uses a lightweight compute node kernel that can be rapidly re-installed from the front end. Like OSCAR, it typically relies on PXE on the compute nodes. The PXE boot process installs the kernel on the compute nodes.

2. Cluster Software Selection

The Rocks package was selected for this thesis. Rocks have an impressive degree of automation. Installation can be as simple as booting several machines off CD. The installation of the front end is somewhat simpler than is the case with the OSCAR distribution. Scyld’s single system image was appealing, but the system requires licensing fees, which is a significant drawback in academic and military environments.

C. SELECTING AND EQUIPPING THE HARDWARE

This thesis research uses the following configuration. Computers for other uses were available, which received some modifications for use in building the system. These include one DELL, 2.4 GHZ Pentium with 1 GB RAM for the front-end, one DELL, 2.4 GHZ Pentium, similar to the front-end, with 1 GB RAM for one node, and two 700 MHz “no name” Pentiums with two processors each, with 1 GB RAM for two other nodes. A one Gigabit Ethernet switch will be the internal network switch. At this point, the choice of Gigabit Ethernet resulted for the simple reason that it is inexpensive and performs well for the needs of this thesis.

Notice that there is a variety of configurations among the nodes, not only in the processor speed, but also in the number of processors. This is a good and challenging opportunity to ascertain how adequately a cluster with different configurations works.

In order to match the specifications, it was necessary to add some components to the two original equipped manufacturer (OEM), “no name” nodes. These nodes before
the beginning of the project were used for other purposes with different configurations. In order to add them in the cluster, some modifications were required. First, a capable size hard disk was added to hold the operating system (Linux) along with the swap partition. Second, a gigabit Ethernet NIC was added for the internal network in a PCI slot. Third, a CD ROM drive was added because this is helpful to install the Rocks system.

For the CD to be recognized by the system, it was necessary to modify the BIOS settings. \textit{set-up} was set to “auto” and 32bit=on. These two little essential adjustments, among the several combinations possible, were enough to provide one of the first little frustrations that occurred at the beginning of the project. Also, it was necessary to set the BIOS boot sequence on the CD ROM to be the first step and the hard drive as the second step. In addition, another required step was verifying that the “boot without keyboard” selection is checked for the nodes.

The following table shows the hardware configuration:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Front-End &amp; compute-0-3</th>
<th>compute-0-1 &amp; compute-0-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
<td>DELL PowerEdge 650, 1 U</td>
<td>A no name black rack able box, 2 U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power supply</strong></td>
<td>230 W power supply, 100-240V</td>
<td>300 W power supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Processor</strong></td>
<td>One (1) Intel® Pentium® 4 processor at 2.4 GHz, bus speed 533 MHz, and Level 2 Cache 512 KB</td>
<td>Two (2) Intel® Pentium® 3 Coppermine processors at 701 MHz, and Level 2 Cache 256 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Memory</strong></td>
<td>1024 MB ECC DDR (2 modules 512 each)</td>
<td>1024 MB ECC DDR (4 modules 256 each)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Video</strong></td>
<td>Video memory 8 MB SDRM (Video adapter on board)</td>
<td>Video PCI adapter, with memory 4 MB SDRM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HDD</strong></td>
<td>40 GB Hard disk drive, Seagate Barracuda, Model # ST</td>
<td>65 GB Hard disk drive, MAXTOR model # 98196H8 (16383 Cyl, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Front-End &amp; compute-0-3</td>
<td>compute-0-1 &amp; compute-0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drives</strong></td>
<td>CD ROM drive, Floppy</td>
<td>CD ROM drive, Floppy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NIC</strong></td>
<td>Two (2) Gigabit Ethernet network adapters (one for private and one for public network) model # 82546EB for compute-0-3 only one is used.</td>
<td>One (1) 10/100/1000 Mbps PCI Ethernet network adapter, DLINK, GDE-5005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6   The Hardware Configuration of NPS Cluster.

The internal network switch is the SD 2008 DLINK gigabit Ethernet switch.

Figure 20   A view of a dual processor computer (2nd and 3rd Nodes).

The Network card did not behave as expected during the installation of the software. It was initially necessary to return to the 100 Mbps NIC to make the cluster work. After a long period of troubleshooting and trial and error techniques, the system was able to make use of gigabit Ethernet.
D. INSTALLING THE SYSTEM

1. Download the Software

The software is downloaded from the Rocks web page [14] which contains a current “stable release” version of the software. As of this writing the current version is 3.2.0, code-named Shasta. Users may download and print the “Rocks Base” user’s guide manual as a reference to use while installing. The release notes and errata sections list any late-breaking news or known problems with the release. The Rocks system also has add-on rolls. Each roll is a collection of files needed for a particular additional task that may be useful in the cluster environment.

The Rocks web site provides installation files appropriate for each of the major hardware architectures including the x86 (Pentium and Athlon), x86_64 (AMD Opteron) and ia64 (Itanium). The hardware used in this thesis was Pentium-based, so that distribution was selected.

The software that it is downloaded from the Rocks web page is specific for only one architecture. So there is not the case that in a Rocks cluster coexist different architectures.

An .iso file contains a CDROM disk image, which is an exact copy of the bits on a CDROM. The file is saved to disk on a local computer and then burned to read/write CDROM drive. The .iso file must be installed on the CDROM using the appropriate software, such as MyDVD, to ensure that the contents of the .iso file are laid out correctly on the CDROM rather than copied onto the CDROM as a file.

The Rocks website also displays an MD5 hash along with the .iso. This is a mechanism to check the integrity of the software and ensures that no changes have been made to the software since the checksum algorithm has run. The following description explains how to check MD5 hashes on an .iso Image.

MD5 hashes are 32 byte character strings resulting from running the MD5 algorithm against a particular file. Any change to a file results in a different MD5 hash when the algorithm is run again. If an MD5 hash on the downloaded file matches that displayed on the web site, then there is a high confidence that the file has not been modified or corrupted.
MD5 checksum programs are available for Linux distributions. However, for this thesis the checksum was run on Windows. This program is not installed as a part of Windows but it can be downloaded from www.md5summer.org. The following figure provides the shows the MD5 hash for the “Area51 Roll” using the md5summer program.

Figure 21 The results of generating md5summ for Area51 roll. All the file information is available. This number can be used to be checked against the number that the vendor provides for the particular piece of software.

It is then necessary to compare the number with the number provided on the web site:

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roll</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>MD5 Hash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area51 Roll</td>
<td>Contains system security related services and utilities</td>
<td>6f3e9eb5b9d8f96200d83b103540b6899df81d2874b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 22 The given md5summ for Area51 roll form the download site. This number can be checked against the one that the md5summ program is going to generate for the specific software.

If the two numbers are identical the file has not been corrupted in the download process and installers can be reasonably confident that the file has not been modified.

The following software packages and rolls were installed. The Rocks base and HPC roll are required for a functioning cluster. The other rolls may be installed if needed.

1. **Rocks Base**

The file name is rocks-disk1-3.2.0.i386.iso. This file provides the basic infrastructure of the cluster.
b. **HPC Roll**

The file is named roll-hpc-3.2.0.i386.iso. This package provides MPI software libraries.

c. **Grid Engine Roll**

This contains the Grid Engine job queuing system. The Grid Engine is a Load Management System (LMS) that allocates resources such as processors, memory, disk-space, and computing time. The Grid Engine, enables transparent load sharing, controls the sharing of resources, and also implements utilization and site policies. It has many characteristics including batch queuing and load balancing, as well as providing users the ability to suspend/resume jobs and check the status of their jobs. There are several commands for using the grid engine such as qconf, qdel, qhost, qmod, qmon, qstat, but the most commonly used is the qsub, which is the user interface for submitting a job to the Grid Engine [17].

The Grid Roll contains the Globus Toolkit, described as follows:

d. **Globus Toolkit**

The Globus Toolkit is a software collection of useful components that can be used either independently or together to develop useful grid applications and programming tools. For each component, an application programmer interface (API) written in the C programming language is provided for use by software developers. Command line tools are also provided for most components, and Java classes are provided for the most important ones. Some APIs make use of Globus servers running on computing resources. Globus Alliance [19] developed the Globus toolkit. The Globus Alliance is a research and development project focused on enabling the application of Grid concepts to scientific and engineering computing.

The Grid refers to an infrastructure that enables the integrated, collaborative use of high-end computers, networks, databases, and scientific instruments owned and managed by multiple organizations. Grid applications often involve large amounts of data and/or computing and often require secure resource sharing across organizational boundaries, and are thus, not easily handled by today’s Internet and Web infrastructures [19].
A large number of individuals, organizations, and projects have developed higher-level services, application frameworks, and scientific/engineering applications using the Globus Toolkit. For example, the Condor-G software provides an excellent framework for high-throughput computing (e.g., parametric studies) using the Globus Toolkit for inter-organizational resource management, data movement, and security.

e. **Intel Roll**

The Intel Roll contains the Intel compiler and MPICH built with the Intel compiler. The MPICH is the Message Passing Interface “Chameleon.” This is a freely available edition of the MPI, the standard for message-passing libraries, contained in Rocks. [18]

f. **Area51 Roll**

This contains system security-related services and utilities. This is software packed by the Rocks team for security issues. As with all rolls, it contains a series of RPMs, each of which is a different program to be installed and contains tripwire. Tripwire is security software that verifies changes to the system. The program monitors key attributes of files that might not change, including binary signature, size, expected change of size, etc. [20]

g. **SCE Roll**

SCE Roll contains the Scalable Cluster Environment. Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand developed this SCE. They built an easy to use integrated software tool for the cluster user community. These software tools, called SCE (Scalable Computing Environment), consist of a cluster builder tool, a complex system management tool (SCMS), scalable real-time monitoring, web-based monitoring software (KCAP), a parallel Unix command, and a batch scheduler. This software runs on top of the cluster middleware that provides cluster-wide process control and many services. MPICH are also included. [21]

h. **Java Roll**

This contains a Java Virtual Machine (JVM). This roll is optional and installed when the administrator needs the JVM running in the system. The software is installed in the `/usr/java/j2sdk1.4.2_02` directory. This Rocks release includes the 1.4.2 SDK with all the tools and libraries needed to compile and run JAVA programs.
The installation process is invisible to the user. Once the CD is inserted to the drive the system just copies the relevant files in the /usr/java directory and runs the JVM in the frontend and to the nodes. There were no problems during the installation and the use of the JAVA environment.

i. **PBS Roll**

This contains the Portable Batch System. [22] It is a batch queuing system, a mechanism for submitting batch job requests on or across multiple platforms. It is portable across different UNIX systems such as CRAY’s Unicos, IBM’s AIX, SGI’s Irix, and so on. It provides the same functionality as the Grid engine. The core of the software collection is the q-type commands. The PBS is just an initiative by NASA and the grid engine by Sun. It allows scheduling of job requests among available queues on a given system according to available system resources and job requirements.

j. **Condor Roll**

This provides tools for distributed high-throughput computing. Condor is a specialized workload management system for compute-intensive jobs, such as other full-featured batch systems. Users submit their serial or parallel jobs to Condor, which then places them into a queue, chooses when and where to run the jobs based upon a policy, carefully monitors their progress, and ultimately informs the user upon completion. [23]

Only a part of this software is required, but for this experiment, all rolls were installed in order to test different tools to do the same job.

The .iso files on the local disk are burned onto CDs as image files after download. Thus, a series of CD’s are ready for the installation.

The Rocks naming convention for the computer nodes are: **front-end** for the master node and **compute-0-1**, **compute-0-2** and so forth, for the slave nodes. Adding a second rack with nodes causes the names to be **compute-1-1**, **compute-1-2** and so forth, which are the names used henceforth.
2. Installation

The installation of the cluster required a long period (many weeks) of troubleshooting. Most of the delays occurred because of problems with the old machines. Thus, the following points were discerned after this phase of the installation:

There is no such thing as an easily installed cluster.

The newer and more modern parts are better.

It is generally preferable to have a single, consistent type of computer to use for all the compute nodes. Hardware differences can lead to hard-to-diagnose problems or incompatibilities.

In case of an error in the installation not recoverable with the reinstallation of the front-end or the nodes, the solution is to perform a clean “from the beginning” installation of Red Hat Linux. This installation will erase all partitions from the cluster and will format the disk again. The next step is to do a new install from the Rocks CD.

The installation uses the default configuration. However, the manual contains an alternative option for almost every other step of the installation process. This thesis used the default options.

The most frustrating error was the one that kept the nodes from being installed (the two “no name” machines). Instead of continuing the installation, the node returned a screen with a prompt to …

Select the installation language,

… and afterwards, the process came to a halt. After several days of troubleshooting, the solution was to reformat the disk.

The following diagram provides an overall idea of the installation process. The diamonds are the troublesome points.
One monitor, keyboard and mouse connected to the front-end and one second set connected to the node to which it was installed was used for the installation. This is only necessary for troubleshooting. Afterwards, the second set was switched to the next node.
and so forth. In the end, and after placing the machines into the rack, a monitor, keyboard, mouse connected to the front-end remained beside the rack, and the plugs changed whenever it was necessary to manipulate a node.

![Image](image1.png)

Figure 24 The working area for the MOVES cluster. The nodes are off the rack during the hardware configuration. A keyboard and a monitor were used for troubleshooting.

The following information is required from the network administrator before proceeding with the installation for this cluster.

- IP address for the external and internal network interfaces and the net masks. This is 131.120.5.50 for the net mask, 255.255.252.0 for the external network and 10.1.1.1 for the internal network with net mask 255.0.0.0. Note that in Linux, the NICs are named eth0 for the internal (private) and eth1 for the external (public).

- Name server IP address. This is 131.120.18.40. or .42. This will be the secondary DNS server as the primary will be 127.0.0.1.

- Host name used. This is cluster.cs.nps.navy.mil.

- Local Gateway IP address. This is 131.120.4.1.

- Time Server IP address. The time server is time1.nps.navy.mil with the IP 131.120.254.107. The time server is a minor issue. The IP address might be used instead of the FQDN.

The following figure shows all the networking information.
Figure 25  The NPS cluster with all network details (cluster.cs.nps.navy.mil). The host name of the nodes with the relevant IP addresses for the internal (private) cluster network along with the external network data are shown in this figure.

The DHCP server from the front end was used for the internal (private network). All others IPs are static.

The installation of the front end is straightforward. The Rocks Base CD is inserted into the drive, boots the machine and starts the system. Quickly type the following in the boot screen:

```
frontend
```

The process takes some time. During the installation, it is necessary to insert the aforementioned network information in several screens along with the partition and password input. The user’s manual that was previously downloaded and printed describes the installation process step by step in detail.

Enter MOVES for the cluster name, Enter N36.3 W 53.3 for Monterey for the Latitude Longitude.

Choose “Autopartition” for the partition part and the system disk is formatted.

Choose “Activate on boot” for both NICs and enter the IP information.
The password is the root password used to log into the system later.

At the end, it will ask whether to install a roll. The answer is yes and the CD drive ejects the CD to insert the HPC roll. The installation continues with all the other rolls. Note that it is necessary to insert the rolls in the order previously mentioned.

After the installation of the front-end, it is now possible to install the nodes of the cluster.

The first step is to log on into the front-end as root. The insert-ethers program is run to install and add a node. Next, select compute from the menu and wait.

Then, the first node is booted with the same Rocks base CD ROM in the drive. In the front-end, the “discovered new appliance” and the MAC address of the node appears and the installation continues from the network (front-end to node). At some point, the installation finishes and the node reboots. Next, it is essential to remove the Rocks Base CD ROM from the nodes drive. Not removing it performs a second installation resulting in innumerable problems.

Every time a node is added to the cluster, a series of files are updated with relevant information. In the previous version of Rocks (3.1.0 named Matterhorn), the /etc/hosts file had the entries of the nodes with the IP addresses. This does not happen with the 3.2.0 version.

Note that the DHCP server in Linux, running in the front-end to release IP addresses to clients, starts the release from the end of the pool. Thus, the first DHCP client will receive 10.255.255.254, the second 10.255.255.253 and so forth. The Microsoft DHCP server starts from the beginning of the pool.

To remove a node, for instance, the node compute-0-2 from the cluster in the front-end, as root user enters:

```
#insert-ether -remove="compute-0-2"
```

In the front-end and after the installation of the system, because the X Windows environment is not working, it is necessary to use the redhat-config-Xfree86 tool for the system to recognize the VGA adaptor and the resolution. Simply enter:
To start the X-Window environment, enter startx. It is necessary to remove the screen saver in order to not receive an annoying message about it. It is now possible to configure two items from the Windows environment. The first is the time server whose settings are located at Date/time. Insert the data. The daemon responsible for this is the NTP. The second is the firewall. The generic Linux firewall is used for the first settings located at the security level. Enable the firewall to eth1.

If desired, it is possible to give the NIC cards a nickname. Do the following network device control -> configure and assign the:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interface</th>
<th>Nickname</th>
<th>IP addr</th>
<th>SM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eth1</td>
<td>External</td>
<td>131.120.5.50</td>
<td>255.255.252.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eth0</td>
<td>internal</td>
<td>10.1.1.1</td>
<td>255.0.0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7  Front end NIC configuration.

When finished, the following configuration appears.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hostname</th>
<th>IP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLUSTER.CS.NPS.NAVY.MIL</td>
<td>PUBLIC: 131.120.5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRIVATE: 10.1.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPUTE-0-1</td>
<td>10.255.255.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPUTE-0-2</td>
<td>10.255.255.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPUTE-0-3</td>
<td>10.255.255.252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8  Private Cluster Network Configuration.

During the installation process of the nodes, the following table was often used to check network functionality, and whether the ping was done with IP’s or with FQDN as a result of the unexpected network performance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>front-end</th>
<th>Compute-0-1</th>
<th>Compute-0-2</th>
<th>Compute-0-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>front-end</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>OK IP, OK name</td>
<td>OK IP, OK name</td>
<td>OK IP, OK name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compute-0-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>OK IP, OK name</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>OK IP, OK name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compute-0-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>OK IP, OK name</td>
<td>OK IP, OK name</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>OK IP, OK name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compute-0-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>OK IP, OK name</td>
<td>OK IP, OK name</td>
<td>OK IP, OK name</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9  Checklist for Private Cluster Network.

E. RUNNING THE SYSTEM

The front-end keyboard is used to log onto the cluster once the aforementioned software is installed. Use the useradd command to add users once logged on as root.

It is also possible to log into the cluster using the secure shell besides using the front-end console. Since a MS Windows computer is mostly used, it is necessary to install the SSH version for Windows, obtainable from www.ssh.org.

Figure 26  The SSH for MS Windows ready to connect to the cluster. After connect is selected the next screen is asking for the password.
Below is the root logged in the front-end.

```
Last login: Wed Jul 14 01:16:08 2004 from ras32.ras.nps.navy.mil
Rocks 3.2.0 (Shasta)
Profile built 22:06 04-May-2004
Kickstarted 22:07 04-May-2004
Rocks Frontend Node
[root@frontend-0 root]#
```

The `ifconfig` command provides information about the network interfaces.

```
[root@frontend-0 root]# ifconfig
eth0  Link encap:Ethernet  HWaddr 00:04:23:5F:7B:50
       inet addr:10.1.1.1  Bcast:10.255.255.255  Mask:255.0.0.0
       ... Interrupt:10 Base address:0xdc00 Memory:fcfa0000-fcfc0000
eth1  Link encap:Ethernet  HWaddr 00:04:23:5F:7B:51
       inet addr:131.120.5.50  Bcast:131.120.7.255  Mask:255.255.252.0
       ... Interrupt:7 Base address:0xdc80 Memory:fcf80000-fcfa0000
lo    Link encap:Local Loopback
       inet addr:127.0.0.1  Mask:255.0.0.0
       ...
[root@frontend-0 root]#
```

The `cluster-fork` command helps to send the command in every node. In the text below, `ps` is executed for the front-end, and afterwards for all nodes, and the following response appears.

```
[root@frontend-0 root]# ps
PID TTY          TIME CMD
13415 pts/1    00:00:00 bash
13510 pts/1    00:00:00 ps
[root@frontend-0 root]# cluster-fork ps
compute-0-1:
    PID TTY          TIME CMD
       1 ?        00:00:19 init
       2 ?        00:00:00 migration/0
       ...
    2501 ?        00:04:12 sge_commd
    20876 ?        00:00:00 sshd
    20878 ?        00:00:00 ps
compute-0-2:
    PID TTY          TIME CMD
       1 ?        00:00:19 init
       2 ?        00:00:00 migration/0
       ...
    3046 ?        00:03:57 sge_commd
    21407 ?        00:00:00 sshd
    21409 ?        00:00:00 ps
compute-0-3:
    PID TTY          TIME CMD
       1 ?        00:00:04 init
       2 ?        00:00:00 keventd
       ...
    3230 ?        00:00:00 sge_commd
    24096 ?        00:00:00 sshd
```
To see the procedure for creating a user in the cluster, a user named `clusteruser` is created. 411 is a information service that is used securely distributes users and groups configuration files and password files. It simplifies the administration just as the Network Information Service (NIS). For this reason, the `/var/411` is in the output of the `useradd` command.

```
[root@frontend-0 root]# useradd clusteruser
Creating user: clusteruser
make: Entering directory `/var/411'
/opt/rocks/bin/411put --comment="#" /etc/auto.home
411 Wrote: /etc/411.d/etc.auto..home
Size: 676/327 bytes (encrypted/plain)
Alert: sent on channel 239.2.11.71 with master 10.1.1.1

/opt/rocks/bin/411put --comment="#" /etc/passwd
411 Wrote: /etc/411.d/etc.passwd
Size: 2662/1797 bytes (encrypted/plain)
Alert: sent on channel 239.2.11.71 with master 10.1.1.1

/opt/rocks/bin/411put --comment="#" /etc/shadow
411 Wrote: /etc/411.d/etc.shadow
Size: 1941/1257 bytes (encrypted/plain)
Alert: sent on channel 239.2.11.71 with master 10.1.1.1

/opt/rocks/bin/411put --comment="#" /etc/group
411 Wrote: /etc/411.d/etc.group
Size: 1215/725 bytes (encrypted/plain)
Alert: sent on channel 239.2.11.71 with master 10.1.1.1

make: Leaving directory `/var/411'
[root@frontend-0 root]#
```

The “channel 239.2.11.71” is within the multicasting IP space.

Next, set the password for this new user.

```
[root@frontend-0 root]# passwd clusteruser
Changing password for user clusteruser.
New password: 
Retype new password: 
passwd: all authentication tokens updated successfully.
[root@frontend-0 root]#
```

Then connect to a node to see “if the tokens updated successfully”, SSH to the node `compute-0-1`, and execute `ps`.

```
[root@frontend-0 root]# ssh compute-0-1
Rocks Compute Node
[root@compute-0-1 root]# ps
PID TTY TIME CMD
20904 pts/0 00:00:00 bash
20959 pts/0 00:00:00 ps
```
Navigate to the etc directory where the password file is located. This file contains information about the users.

```
[root@compute-0-1 root]\# cd /
[root@compute-0-1 /]\# cd etc
[root@compute-0-1 etc]\# cat passwd
# $411id: /etc/passwd$
# Retrieved: 29-Jul-2004 05:54
# Master server: 10.1.1.1
# Owner: 0.0
# Name: etc.passwd
# Mode: 0100644
root:x:0:0:root:/root:/bin/bash
bin:x:1:1:bin:/bin:/sbin/nologin
... 
clusteruser:x:504:504::/home/clusteruser:/bin/bash
[root@compute-0-1 etc]\#
```

Note that at the end, `clusteruser` appears with user Id 504 and group Id 504.

Next, close and return to the front end. Never forget to close the connections because the process that was initiated for the connection will always be in the memory of the client system. When there is no other process related to a forever ongoing process, then this is an orphan or zombie process.

There is the relation between parent and child process. A zombie process is created when the messaging between parent and child processes fails and the system obtains a list with “defunct” inactive processes. In fact the zombie process is already dead and so it cannot be killed with the kill command. Thus it does not use any CPU time or memory. In order to eliminate the zombie processes from the ps command output list a good idea is to kill the related (parent) process.

```
[root@compute-0-1 etc]\# exit
```

Switch to clusteruser to see what occurs with the SSH keys.

```
Connection to compute-0-1 closed.
[root@frontend-0 root]\# su clusteruser

It doesn't appear that you have set up your ssh key.
This process will make the files:
/home/clusteruser/.ssh/identity.pub
/home/clusteruser/.ssh/identity
/home/clusteruser/.ssh/authorized_keys

Generating public/private rsa1 key pair.
Enter file in which to save the key
(/home/clusteruser/.ssh/identity):

Simply hit enter for the file.

Created directory '/home/clusteruser/.ssh'.
```
Simply hit enter for the passphrase.

Note that the key has been created and saved to the profile files.

From this point, it is possible to SSH to another node, for example, compute-0-2.

To identify the location of the MPI files:

Under the ./opt/mpich, a whole structure of files and directories exist with the different implementations of MPI. Linux is now used from this point forward. It is necessary to master the Linux commands and know how to find help in every step, using the man page or the -help switch to start working with the cluster.
A web server runs in the cluster, which is the Apache web server. Opening an internet browser and entering the cluster Fully Qualified Domain Name (FQDN) in the address bar returns a page full of utilities for monitoring the cluster. Some of these utilities are not available from a remote system, but only from the front-end keyboard, for security reasons, with the following options.

1. **Cluster Database**

   From the front-end console, it is possible to manipulate the database of the cluster and add or remove nodes. Rocks use this database to store data about its configuration, and information about the nodes in this cluster. Every node in the cluster is described in the database. The schema of the database can be found in the manual, in UML notation with descriptions of the data fields and types. MySQL is a freely available Relational Database Management System (RDBMS) used by Rocks to maintain the database [15].

2. **Cluster Status (Ganglia)**

   The popular tool made it possible to see much information about the cluster such as CPU usage, network, hard disks, and configuration. The items often used were the load for the last week or day.

   The CPU Usage parameter shows the percentage of CPU that currently is not in the idle state. For example,

   \[
   \text{CPU Usage} = \left(\frac{(\text{CPU Used by Users} + \text{CPU Used by System} + \text{CPU Nice})}{(\text{CPU Idle} + \text{CPU Used by Users} + \text{CPU Used by System} + \text{CPU Nice})}\right) \times 100
   \]

3. **Cluster Top (Process Viewer)**

   This allows a graphical view of information concerning the processes running on the system, the users that submitted the processes, and the CPU usage among others.

4. **Proc filesystem**

   It is not possible to see this through the network by default. From the front-end console, it is possible to manipulate the /proc. This is a virtual directory containing information for every process on the Linux system.

5. **Cluster Distribution**

   From the front-end console, it is possible to manipulate the distribution and have access to the /install directory.
6. **Kickstart Graph**

This will present a graph with the functioning concept of the cluster from the beginning and the direction of the logical volumes of functions.

7. **Roll Call**

It is possible to see the installed rolls. The response obtained is:

These rolls have been installed on your Rocks Cluster:

- sge 3.2.0 i386
- java 3.2.0 i386
- intel 3.2.0 i386
- grid 3.2.0 i386
- hpc 3.2.0 i386

The Rocks Users Guide, Get a License for your Intel Compilers, Make Labels, and Register Your Cluster, are straightforward options.

The following figure shows the Ganglia monitor with the nodes executing several programs. This is from a later experiment. Each node, according to its color, is more or less working. Red means a great deal of work, while green is less. In any case, notice the CPUs total 6, Nodes up 4 and Nodes Down 0 to the left in the monitor.
Thus, to conclude what a cluster is and what extra software a cluster must have, these computers need their own operating system. In addition, the following are more or less necessary:

- A batch system. (grid engine).
- An MPI API. (mpich, etc.).
- A series of compilers for both the above. (intel, etc.).
- A tool to monitor the system. (ganglia and iozone for the graphs.)
- Some benchmarks to measure the performance of the system. (hpl, iperf and stream).
- Tools to implement system specific tasks and communication (rocks containing the 411 get and put commands for implementing the interconnection between the nodes).
- A series of tools to utilize the globus-grid, a more extended notion of a cluster through the Internet. (nmi and gpt).
In order to demonstrate this, a little navigation into the relevant directories to see the `globus-job-run` command and some of the output are presented. It appears to have the same syntax and parameters as the `npirun`:

```
[root@frontend-0 bin]# pwd
/opt/nmi/bin
[root@frontend-0 bin]# ls
...  
globus-job-get-output
globus-job-run
globus-job-status
globus-job-submit
globus-makefile-header
globus-domainname
globusrun
globus-job-cancel
globus-job-clean
[root@frontend-0 bin]# ./globus-hostname
frontend-0.public
[root@frontend-0 bin]# globus-job-run -help
... ...
host-clause syntax:
  { contact string                   only the hostname is required.
     [-np             N]              number of processing elements
     [-count          N]              same as -np
     [-host-count     nodes]          number of SMP nodes (IBM SP)
... ...
The working directory of the submitted job defaults to $HOME.
... ...
[root@frontend-0 bin]#
```

All the above are in the `/opt` directory in the Rocks cluster, shown in the following figure.

![opt directory contents from NPS cluster](image)

Figure 28 The `opt` directory contents from NPS cluster. All the necessary pieces of software are residing in this directory for the Rocks Beowulf cluster implementation.
G. PROPOSAL FOR A NEW SYSTEM

After some consideration of the possible needs, a point was reached when it was necessary to propose a new system as a second cluster across campus, to be interconnected via IPv4 and IPv6. The composition of the proposed system is as follows:

- 1 computer system, 1U, with 2 NICs as a front-end system. This will have 2 AMD opteron 64-bit processors, 4 GB of SDRAM and 200 GB of disk space.
- 6 computer systems, 1U, with 1 NIC as nodes. These will have 2 AMD opteron 64-bit processors at 2.0 GHz clock (this is the Opteron 246), 1 GB of SDRAM and 100 GB of disk space.
- 1 8 port Gigabit Ethernet switch rack able 1U.
- 1 console rack able 1U.
- 1 UPS capable on supporting the above systems, rack able 2U.
- 1 power distribution unit with the miscellaneous power cabling.
- All the necessary networking cabling.
- All the necessary mount assemblies, for the systems to fit in the rack.
- 1 rack 16U, on wheels.

The space in the rack (U’s) is to be distributed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>U’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>front-end</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nodes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>switch</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>console</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPS</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disk array (future)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10  Cluster Components in the Rack.
Note that no disk array is required in the presence state, and it is assumed that the storage in each computer is more than adequate. However, the 2 U in the rack is preserved, in case this estimation is no longer valid due to future condition changes.

There are a number of vendors:

- www.dell.com provides a rich variety of systems and government pricing.
- www.tyan.com has many systems similar to the needs of this thesis research.
- www.infinicon.com provides more information about clusters profile and knowledge.
- www.linuxnetworx.com specializes in clusters.
- www.penguincomputing.com specializes in Linux systems.
- www.appro.com has more blades that rack able computers.
- www.RLX.com has a small interesting set of blades for a cluster.

The pricing is different for every vendor. Only Dell provides an indication of the price and estimates are possible. A general estimate is close to $10,000.00 for the aforementioned system.

The estimated performance of the system proposed is 48 Gflop. This result is the output on the registration page on the Rocks cluster system. In this case, it is used as a “calculator” to provide an estimation of a system with a number of processors of a given architecture and a given clock rate. As shown in the next chapter, the performance of a system is an issue requiring further investigation.

Tyan Corporation maintains a repository of specification papers [65] about hardware configurations.
V. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

A. INTRODUCTION

Clusters are used to obtain better performance from programs, but in actuality, better performance is difficult to optimize. Many aspects of a system affect performance. Some programs will benefit most from a faster CPU, some from a faster network, and some from better memory I/O.

Benchmarking is the process of characterizing the system as a whole or its various subsystems in order to understand either its actual or potential performance.

B. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS OVERVIEW

Benchmarking is used, generally speaking, for three purposes: measuring overall system performance in order to rank-order systems, measuring subsystem performance in order to make better optimization choices, and before-and-after comparisons to determine if changes have improved the performance of the system.

1. Benchmarking for Overall System Performance

There are many possible ways to measure the performance of a system. Usually the best way is to run the actual applications on it in order to see how fast they run. This is not always possible for a variety of reasons. For example, the programs intended to run on the system might not yet have been written, or the applications are too numerous to test. In this situation, even an imperfect measure of expected performance is better than none at all.

The High-Performance Linpack (HPL) benchmark is often used to characterize systems. As mentioned in a previous chapter, the top of the list of the top 500 project is the Earth Simulator supercomputer in Japan. With its Linpack benchmark performance of 35.86 Tflops (trillions of floating-point operations per second), its performance beats that of the number two machine, the ASCI Q machine at Los Alamos National Laboratory, at 13.88 Tflops by a significant margin.

The number 499 supercomputer is located at the McGill University in Canada. It is “self-made” with Athlons at 1.6 Ghz and Myrinet and achieves 406 Gflops. This the same performance of the 500th computer at MTU Aero Engines in Germany, built from
Dell computers with P4 Xeons at 2.4 Ghz and Myrinet. The HPL benchmark is being used to rank-order systems from the most to least powerful. Of course, for any particular application other than HPL, systems may perform faster or slower. However, the benchmark provides an idea of system performance for a broad class of applications.

It is possible to make comparisons with other systems knowing the overall system performance results, and to see and understand how other implementations are used in the international community as appeared in the top 500 project. In order to post a supercomputer there, according to its performance, administrators have to follow a certain procedure and perform a particular benchmark.

The system for this thesis research has 2.4 GHz and 700 MHz processors, and the network is Gigabit Ethernet. There are six processors total.

2. Benchmarking Subsystems for Measuring Subsystem Performance

There is a lot of argument about the “one number result” in the benchmarking process because this number cannot represent the exact picture of all the subsystems of the system. For this reason, the special benchmarking software is used to measure the performance of some of the independent assets in the system. These can be:

- I/O (hard disk) performance
- Memory performance
- General network performance
- Processor, Processes – times performance
- Context switching – times performance
- Communication latencies performance
- File and VM system latencies performance
- Communication bandwidths performance
- Memory latencies performance.

The subsystem performance can be used in the next context of benchmarking for incremental performance improvements. In this manner, administrators have a way to fine tune a cluster system.
3. Benchmarking for Incremental Performance Improvements

In a benchmark, a measurement of the ability of the machine to execute a series of tests is performed. Next, the result is recorded and used as a reference. Each time an improvement or a change in the configuration in hardware and software in the system is made, the benchmarking is again completed and a detailed conclusion provided if the change was effective or not. In the case of an improvement, it is checked against the amount of money spent to invest in new assets.

For example, a system administrator may keep records of system performance by running benchmarks before and after each change to a system component. Thus, there are historical data on the changes to the system, a fact that creates a great deal of experience and knowledge. This knowledge can be used in different ways, but is beyond the scope of this thesis. A table with the general idea will be provided as an example demonstrating the “one number result” from the overall benchmark.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Benchmark Results</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1/2004</td>
<td>Primary installation</td>
<td>100 Gflop</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Application running</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3/2004</td>
<td>Memory upgrade</td>
<td>110 Gflop</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4/2004</td>
<td>New switch</td>
<td>112Gflop</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>Rather useless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/5/2004</td>
<td>Application moduleX redesign</td>
<td>100 Gflops</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>Who ordered this??</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11 Example of Benchmark Logging.

Another point of view is the desire to not benchmark the system at all. The target application running on it is the actual referee. It is necessary, of course, to fine tune the application in order for it to run as optimized as possible. Consider [24] the case of cluster A that runs some benchmark faster than cluster B, but cluster B runs the application faster than Cluster A. Cluster B will be chosen, all other things being equal.

The benchmarking can be classified as a number of levels [25]. These levels start from the lower to the upper level are:
• The node level whose goal is to measure the node characteristics such as CPU, memory and disk bandwidth and access and other tests.
• The system level benchmarking is the one across the cluster and measures the MPI.
• The application level benchmarking measures the application in the cluster performance.
• The workflow level performance measures a series of applications executed.

The overall performance is traced for a period of time, from one to several days, to make it clear when and where a potential bottleneck occurs.

The specific benchmarking of the authors is the one written and run for their specific needs.

Thus, the levels are additive. In other words, level 2 benchmarking contains level 1 and level 3, for example, contains level 1 and 2. [26]

C. BENCHMARKS

Many benchmarks can be found for clusters. The focus of this thesis will be identifying a benchmark with the following characteristics:

• Open source
• Free download
• Easy to install and execute
• Widely recognized by the community

The benchmarks used to conduct the performance measurements are described as follows.

HPL, the High-Performance Linpack benchmark, is the most widely recognized in the HPC community. The results generated by HPL are expressed in Gflops, and are widely regarded as the “official” performance benchmark of clusters. In the categorization system above, it corresponds to a system level benchmark.

The HPL benchmark will be conducted in several ways. Step one will execute the original HPL written in FORTRAN. Step two will execute the HPL in Java in order to understand the differences between the two approaches. The result will demonstrate the overhead of the JAVA.
Step three will execute the PBS. Beowulf Performance Suite is a series of common UNIX benchmarks for several different areas of performance such as memory and disk access. PBS can be categorized in the first low level in the benchmarking categorization (node level).

D. HIGH-PERFORMANCE LINPACK

1. Background

Linpack is a benchmark that solves a random, dense linear system of equations in double-precision arithmetic of the form $A \, x = b$ in parallel. The algorithm is described in [27].

The benchmark was developed at the Innovative Computing Laboratory at the University of Tennessee, and is freely available and documented. HPL provides a testing and timing program to quantify the accuracy of the obtained solution and the time required to compute it.

The software was obtained from netlib. The Netlib [28] is a repository containing freely available software, documents, and databases of interest to the numerical, scientific computing, and other communities. Versions for C and a JAVA are also available.

2. Configuration

HPL can be configured in numerous ways so that a maximum performance result can be achieved on a variety of computer architectures. HPL requires either the Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms (BLAS) or the Vector Signal Image Processing Library (VSIPL), and the Message Passing Interface (MPI) for communications among distributed-memory nodes. Rocks, as with almost every other cluster implementation, includes all the necessary files and libraries, and linpack is ready to run. Two files are needed for this test. The configuration of HPL requires the creation of a file named `machines` in the local directory that includes the names of the clusters nodes.

The `machines` file contains the nodes that will participate in the run. Note that the front-end (the master node) is not included in the machines file. The parameter –nolocal in the mpirun command (the command used to run the test) states that it is not necessary for the front-end to participate in the run. If –nolocal is omitted, then the front-end will contribute in the run with the CPU cycles.
There is a difference in the way that the nodes appear in the machines file. Note that in the cluster for this research, compute-0-1 and compute-0-2 have two processors each.

For example, a machines file such as the following will take all the nodes for the run.

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$ vi machines
compute-0-1.local
compute-0-2.local
compute-0-1.local
compute-0-2.local
compute-0-3.local
~
```

Note the order that the nodes appear in the file. They are actually entered as processors. The above file is different from the following, and has better behavior than the following file.

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$ vi machines
compute-0-1.local
compute-0-2.local
compute-0-3.local
~
```

For example, the following file will enable only one processor from the nodes compute-0-1 and compute-0-2.

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$ vi machines
compute-0-1.local
compute-0-2.local
compute-0-3.local
~
```

Notice that a two processor machine is using only the one processor by looking in the ganglia monitor.

The following figures show that for the period of a week, and for the two processor node compute-0-2, one CPU was used for some time.
Figure 29  One or two processor at work. This part from the Ganglia monitor shows how a compute node with two processors behaves. First, until 16:30 hours, only one processor is used. After that in a new program run starting at about 01:00 hours both processors are engaged. The normal situation for such a machine is to use both processors. The period that only one of them is used is due to insufficient parameters given to the specific program run.

Another file, HPL.dat, includes all the necessary parameters the program needs to run. The three most significant parameters able to be tuned are the problem size, N, the block size NB, and the process grid ratio, PxQ. It is possible to set the parameters as desired to maximize the performance for the cluster.

N refers to the order of the matrix of the system of linear equations being solved. Higher order matrices take more time and space to solve. Generally, the problem size should be small enough to fit in physical memory. Larger problems will cause disk swapping, and the benchmark will become a long-running measure of disk performance rather than computational performance. This parameter is the most important in determining the number of calculations performed in the benchmark, and large values may result in what appears to be a calculation that does not end.

P and Q refer to the process grid size, an abstraction that represents the number of independent processes working on the problem. For example, if there was a 64 node cluster, but P and Q are specified as 8 and 2, only 16 processors would work on the problem.
rather than all 64 that are available. Generally, the ratio of $P$ to $Q$ should be between one and three for maximum performance, with $Q$ as the larger value. The optimum ratio between the two depends in part on the networking topology used in the cluster, with switches getting better performance when the ratio between $P$ and $Q$ is close to one, and hubs or shared media having better results when the ratio is higher.

The block size, $NB$, is used for data distribution and for computational granularity. A small block size usually results in better load balancing. However, picking too small a block size increases communications overhead. The optimal values depend on balance between computational power and communications bandwidth on the system being tested. Good block sizes typically range from 32 to 256. A block size around 60 is good for fast Ethernet, and larger values are better for high bandwidth interconnects.

There are guidelines on how to set the parameters in order to succeed the best performance at [32].

The fully detailed explanation of the HPL.dat file, as obtained from netlib [29] is shown in APPENDIX A. Description of the HPL.dat File

The HPL.dat file listed below was used for one of the tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOVES institute, HPC team</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPL.inp</td>
<td>HPLinpack benchmark input file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPL.out</td>
<td>output file name (if any)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>file</td>
<td>device out (6=stdout,7=stderr,file)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td># of problems sizes (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500 3000 6000 10000</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td># of NBs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 60</td>
<td>NBs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td># of process grids (P x Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Qs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td># of panel fact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td>PFACTs (0=left, 1=Crout, 2=Right)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td># of recursive stopping criterion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NBMINs (&gt;= 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td># of panels in recursion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NDIVs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td># of recursive panel fact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RFACTs (0=left, 1=Crout, 2=Right)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td># of broadcast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BCASTs (0=1rg,1=1rM,2=2rg,3=2rM,4=Lng,5=LnM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td># of lookahead depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DEPTHS (&gt;=0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SWAP (0=bin-exch,1=long,2=mix)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>swapping threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>L1 in (0=transposed,1=no-transposed) form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>U in (0=transposed,1=no-transposed) form</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Test Run

The program is launched with mpirun. The command to run the benchmark in the cluster (front-end and the three nodes) is:

```
/home/mpich/gnu/bin/mpirun -np 4 -machinefile machines /home/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
```

The first part is the full path and the mpirun file.

The –np 4 means the number of processors to run.

The -machinefile machines is the parameter of the machines file that contains the nodes for the run.

The second part is the path and the actual benchmark file that is the xhpl.

A first taste of the mpirun can be seen by typing.

```
mpirun --help
```

The test and runs possesses many abilities, and during this experiment, some variations were attempted. However, for this thesis, the traditional run of HPL is used.

Issuing the following command provides an idea of what is being attempted.

```
pstree -a.
```

The output can indicate that an ssh connection is established with the nodes and the job is distributed among them. The PI14558 is a hidden, temporary file created for helping the run. p4pg and p4wd are switches to the xhpl.

```
| -gnome-terminal
|    | -bash
|    |   `-mpirun /opt/mpich/gnu/bin/mpirun -np 4 -machinefile machines /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
|    |   `-xhpl -p4pg /home/cdaillid/PI14558 -p4wd /home/cdaillid
```

```
```

Issuing the `ps` command also provides an idea of the processes running in a node:

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$ ps -a
PID TTY          TIME CMD
21182 tty2     00:00:00 bash
... 9076 pts/0    00:00:00 mpirun
9201 pts/0    01:04:32 xhpl
9202 pts/0    00:00:00 xhpl
9203 pts/0    00:00:00 ssh
10432 pts/1    00:00:00 ps
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$
```

Another interesting output obtained from the `ps` command occurs when issuing the command with some parameters as in the following sample, where the process id, the CPU usage, the memory size, the virtual memory size, the user who issued the process and the process name is queried. All are sorted by CPU usage.

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$ ps -eo pid,pcpu,sz,vsize,user,fname --sort=pcpu
PID %CPU    SZ   VSZ USER     COMMAND
1  0.0   380  1520 root     init
... 9076  0.0  1112  4448 cdaillid mpirun
9202  0.0  1869  7476 cdaillid xhpl
... 9201 97.9  3016 12064 cdaillid xhpl
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$
```

In another test, while the `--nolocal` switch was enabled, when the front end was not participating with its computational power, the result of the command `ps` was the following. Thus, a clear view of which processes are running in each node is available. The main part of the computing power, though, is dedicated to the application. Note that in the two processors nodes, there are four processes running, while in the one processor node, there are two.

The processes appear in bold.

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 etc]$ cluster-fork ps -eo pid,pcpu,command --sort=pcpu
compute-0-1:
PID %CPU COMMAND
1  0.0 init
2  0.0 [migration/0]
... 4615  0.0 sshd: cdaillid@notty
4623  0.0 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl compute-0-1 46261 4amslave -p4yourname comput
4624  0.0 ssh compute-0-2 -l cdaillid -n /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl compute-0-1 4626
4625  0.0 ssh compute-0-2 -l cdaillid -n /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl compute-0-1 4626
4626  0.0 ssh compute-0-3 -l cdaillid -n /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl compute-0-1 4626
4627  0.0 ssh compute-0-1 -l cdaillid -n /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl compute-0-1 4626
4638  0.0 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl compute-0-1 46261 4amslave -p4yourname comput
... 4616 99.9 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl compute-0-1 46261 4amslave -p4yourname comput
4631 99.9 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl compute-0-1 46261 4amslave -p4yourname comput
```
Of course, it is possible to view the node status with the command top.

The PIxxx file provides another way to see which machines participated in the run. From above, it is known that PI with a number (i.e., PI14558) is a hidden, temporary file created for helping the run. Samples of this file follow:

```
compute-0-1.local 1 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
compute-0-2.local 1 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
compute-0-1.local 1 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
compute-0-2.local 1 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
compute-0-3.local 1 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
```

The front end also works even when not using the –nolocal switch in the mpirun:

```
frontend-0.public 0 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
compute-0-1.local 1 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
compute-0-2.local 1 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
compute-0-1.local 1 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
compute-0-2.local 1 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
compute-0-3.local 1 /opt/hpl/gnu/bin/xhpl
```

4. Results

After running the benchmark, an output file named HPL.out is obtained, which is stored in the local directory. For another run, it is first necessary to rename this file to some identifiable name, because by the next run, the file will be overwritten. The contents of this file are similar to those appearing below.

```
HPLinpack 1.0 -- High-Performance Linpack benchmark -- September 27, 2000
Written by A. Petitet and R. Clint Whaley, Innovative Computing Labs., UTK
An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:
T/V : Wall time / encoded variant.
N  : The order of the coefficient matrix A.
NB : The partitioning blocking factor.
P  : The number of process rows.
Q  : The number of process columns.
Time : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
Gflops : Rate of execution for solving the linear system.
```
The following parameter values will be used:

N      :    1500     3000     6000    10000
NB     :      50       60
P      :       1
Q      :       1
PFACT  :    Left    Crout    Right
NBMIN  :       8
NDIV   :       2
RFECT  :    Right
BCAST  :  1ringM
DEPTH  :       1
SWAP   : Mix (threshold = 80)
L1     : transposed form
U      : transposed form
EQUIL  : yes
ALIGN  : 8 double precision words

- The matrix A is randomly generated for each test.
- The following scaled residual checks will be computed:
  1) ||Ax-b||_oo / (eps * ||A||_1 * N)
  2) ||Ax-b||_oo / (eps * ||A||_1 * ||x||_1)
  3) ||Ax-b||_oo / (eps * ||A||_oo * ||x||_oo)
- The relative machine precision (eps) is taken to be 1.110223e-16
- Computational tests pass if scaled residuals are less than 16.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/V</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Gflops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W11R2L8</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.531e+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ax-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>_oo / (eps *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ax-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>_oo / (eps *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ax-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>_oo / (eps *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/V</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Gflops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11R2R8</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>370.60</td>
<td>1.799e+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ax-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>_oo / (eps *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ax-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>_oo / (eps *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ax-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>_oo / (eps *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finished 24 tests with the following results:
24 tests completed and passed residual checks,
0 tests completed and failed residual checks,
0 tests skipped because of illegal input values.

End of Tests.

As can be seen from above, after each run, the relevant parameters are printed along with the time it took to complete the computations of the test and the performance in units of Gflops.

The case shown below illustrates an incomplete configuration in the cluster. This is the HPL.out obtained after the inadequate completion of the benchmark. This particular instance arose because of the big N size along with the 1x2 of PxQ.
HPLinpack 1.0 -- High-Performance Linpack benchmark -- September 27, 2000
Written by A. Petitet and R. Clint Whaley, Innovative Computing Labs., UTK

An explanation of the input/output parameters follows:
T/V    : Wall time / encoded variant.
N      : The order of the coefficient matrix A.
NB     : The partitioning blocking factor.
P      : The number of process rows.
Q      : The number of process columns.
Time   : Time in seconds to solve the linear system.
Gflops : Rate of execution for solving the linear system.

The following parameter values will be used:

N      :  1500  3000  6000  10000
NB     :   50   60
P      :   1
Q      :   2
PFACT  :  Left   Crout  Right
NBMIN  :   8
NDIV   :   2
RFACT  :  Right
BCAST  :  1ringM
DEPTH  :   1
SWAP   :  Mix (threshold = 80)
L1     : transposed form
U      : transposed form
EQUIL  :  yes
ALIGN  :  8 double precision words

- The matrix A is randomly generated for each test.
- The following scaled residual checks will be computed:
  1) ||Ax-b||_oo / ( eps * ||A||_1 * N       )
  2) ||Ax-b||_oo / ( eps * ||A||_1 * ||x||_1   )
  3) ||Ax-b||_oo / ( eps * ||A||_oo * ||x||_oo  )
- The relative machine precision (eps) is taken to be  1.110223e-16
- Computational tests pass if scaled residuals are less than  16.0

The following figure shows a view of the nodes while one of the tests was in progress. The different colors correspond to different loads on the nodes. The front end is working hard and the other nodes are used according to the parameters passed with the command to run the Linpack test. As seen from Figure 28, this test did not evenly distribute the load across all nodes.
Figure 30  All nodes are working unevenly. Due to insufficient parameters in the program run the nodes are not working as desired, regarding the CPU load. The front-end colored red in the left, is working more intensively while the two nodes in the middle (orange and yellow) are working less intensive. The blue colored node in the right (compute-0-1) is not engaged at all in the run.

Another way to see the processes running in the system is with the use of the command cluster-fork.

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$ cluster-fork ps
compute-0-1:
PID TTY TIME CMD
1831 ? 00:00:00 sshd
1833 ? 00:00:00 xhpl <defunct>
1841 ? 00:00:00 xhpl
3191 ? 00:00:00 sshd
3192 ? 00:00:00 ps
compute-0-2:
PID TTY TIME CMD
1713 ? 00:00:00 sshd
1715 ? 16:07:25 xhpl
1723 ? 00:00:00 xhpl
3118 ? 00:00:00 sshd
3119 ? 00:00:00 ps
```
The `xhpl` process with **pid 1833** in the compute-0-1 is `<defunct>`. For this reason, the node is not using the CPU power to contribute the cluster as shown in Figure 30. The `<defunct>` processes are created when one of the child or parent processes is terminated for some reason (probably kernel bug) and the other does not respond to fix it. This is called a **zombie process**, which is a process that has completed execution but has not yet been removed from the kernel’s process table.

A short discussion of the processes from the `ps` manual concerning the process state appears below:

- **D** uninterruptible sleep (usually IO)
- **R** runnable (on run queue)
- **S** sleeping
- **T** traced or stopped
- **Z** a defunct (“zombie”) process
- **W** has no resident pages
- **<** high-priority process
- **N** low-priority task
- **L** has pages locked into memory (for real-time and custom IO)

In Figure 31, the test had different parameters and the load balancing was more even. The actual difference is in the `machines` file and in the nodes entered there.
Figure 31  All Nodes are Working Evenly. Due to sufficient parameters in the program run the nodes are working as desired, regarding the CPU load. All the nodes are colored orange and are engaged with equal amount of CPU power in the run.

The results from several tests appear in Appendix B, which were performed in the experimental Beowulf Linux cluster.

Table 12 summarizes the results for the HPL benchmark with differing values for NB, the block size, and N, the problem size. The cluster achieves the maximum performance of $2.6983 \text{ Gflop}$ with a problem size of 10000 and block size of 80, and all of them are in a $1\times1$ process grid. Note that the above 10000 limit did not produce results due to inefficiency of the system to handle memory swapping. Also, the results for a grid more than $1\times1$ were low.

The following table was created upon completion of all the tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nb/N</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>1500</th>
<th>3000</th>
<th>6000</th>
<th>10000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2561</td>
<td>0.5217</td>
<td>0.8861</td>
<td>1.0317</td>
<td>1.5310</td>
<td>1.5293</td>
<td>1.4357</td>
<td>1.7093</td>
<td>1.7530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3104</td>
<td>0.5901</td>
<td>0.8612</td>
<td>1.0005</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2370</td>
<td>1.6117</td>
<td>1.7590</td>
<td>1.8010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5889</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.2883</td>
<td>1.4757</td>
<td>1.5675</td>
<td>1.7535</td>
<td>1.7907</td>
<td>1.8047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12  HPL Benchmark Results Table.
The following figure shows the results.

![Benchmark results graph](image)

**Figure 32** HPL benchmark results graph. The performance is shown for the specific hardware and software configuration and for different parameters in the benchmarking process.

The detailed results per test appear in Appendix B.

5. **Benchmarking Cluster with Only One Node**

Another experiment was completed to investigate the performance of one machine only. It was necessary to include only one machine in the machines file just to ensure that nothing unexpected might happen.

```
[test@frontend-0 test]$ vi machines
compute-0-3
```

The following appear in the HPL.dat.

```
[test@frontend-0 test]$ vi HPL.dat
...
1 # of problems sizes (N)
1000 Ns
1 # of NBs
64 NBs
1 # of process grids (P x Q)
1 Ps
1 Qs
```

The command to run the benchmark is the following:
The results are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/V</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Gflops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W11R2L8</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.375e+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average for compute-0-3 is 1.454 Gflops, for N=1000.

Now increase the problem size to 10000 Ns.

The results are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/V</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Gflops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W11R2L8</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>370.14</td>
<td>1.802e+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notice that the time is much greater the second time but that the performance is better. Also note that the compute-0-3 is a 2.4 GHz processor machine, which by itself, possesses approximately the same performance as the whole cluster.
It is then possible to conclude that the two 700 MHz machines do not contribute greatly to the computing power.

The problem size of 20000 was a disaster. The output is the following:

```
... N : 20000 NB : 80 P : 1 Q : 1 

HPL ERROR from process # 0, on line 170 of function HPL_pdtest:
>>> [0,0] Memory allocation failed for A, x and b. Skip. <<<

HPL ERROR from process # 0, on line 170 of function HPL_pdtest:
>>> [0,0] Memory allocation failed for A, x and b. Skip. <<<

HPL ERROR from process # 0, on line 170 of function HPL_pdtest:
>>> [0,0] Memory allocation failed for A, x and b. Skip. <<<

0 tests completed and passed residual checks,
0 tests completed and failed residual checks,
3 tests skipped because of illegal input values.
```

6. The Ganglia Meta Daemon

A ganglia monitor was used during all these tests to view the load in the cluster. This was possible because a process named gmetad was running on the compute nodes and reporting data back to the front end running Ganglia.

By issuing the following command, it is possible to see the purpose of the gmetad, which helped to complete the task.

```
#./gmetad --help
ganglia-monitor-core 2.5.5

Purpose:
The Ganglia Meta Daemon (gmetad) collects information from multiple gmond or gmetad data sources, saves the information to local round-robin databases, and exports XML which is the concatenation of all data sources

Usage: ganglia-monitor-core [OPTIONS]...
   -h   --help         Print help and exit
   -V   --version      Print version and exit
   -cSTRING --conf=STRING Location of gmetad configuration file (default='/etc/gmetad.conf')
   -dINT --debug=INT   Debug level. If greater than zero, daemon will stay in foreground. (default=0)
   [cdaillid@frontend-0 sbin]$
```
7. **To Enter the Top 500**

The table that holds the 500 most powerful commercially available known computer systems includes other data such as the manufacturer, location, the number of processors, and well as tracks the Rmax, which is the Maximal LINPACK performance achieved and the Nmax, which is the problem size for achieving Rmax.

E. **JAVA HIGH-PERFORMANCE LINPACK**

1. **Background**

The Java HPL implementation was obtained from netlib [31]. It is a command line utility with all graphical components removed.

Two more editions run as an applet. One is the “simple” and the second is the “optimized.”

Unfortunately, this test does not utilize MPI, and is run only on a single machine. To compare the performance under the two benchmarks, two comparisons will be made. The first directly compares the benchmark by running each on a single node, while the second estimates the maximum possible performance for the cluster running Java HPL, and compares this to the actual results achieved by the Fortran HPL benchmark.

2. **Test Run**

Assuming that cluster performance scales linearly with the performance of each node in the cluster, overall cluster performance is the sum of the performance of each node. Even though this approach is not correct, it will provide an estimate of the upper bound of performance.

This will be compared to the performance that the 2.4 GHz node compute-0-3 achieved in the previous section when running the Fortran HPL benchmark.

As with the Fortran HPL benchmark, the problem solved is a dense 500x500 system of linear equations with one right hand side, \(Ax=b\). The matrix is generated randomly and the right hand side is constructed so the solution has all components equal to one. The method of solution is based on Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting.

Mflop/s: for this problem there are \(2/3 \, n^3 + n^2\) floating point operations.

Time is the time in seconds to solve the problem, \(Ax=b\).
Norm Res: A check is made to show that the computed solution is correct.

The test is based on $\|Ax - b\| / (\|A\| \|x\| \text{eps})$ where eps is described below. The Norm Res should be about $O(1)$ in size. If this quantity is much larger than 1, the solution is probably incorrect.

Precision: it is the relative machine precision, which is usually the smallest positive number such that $fl(1.0 - \text{eps}) < 1.0$, where $fl$ denotes the computed value and eps is the relative machine precision.

After downloading the source code file Linpack.java, it is saved in the java-linpack local directory.

The compilation is done with:

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 java-linpack]$ javac -verbose Linpack.java
[parsing started Linpack.java]
parsing completed 81ms
[loading /usr/java/j2sdk1.4.2_02/jre/lib/rt.jar(java/lang/Object.class)]
[loading /usr/java/j2sdk1.4.2_02/jre/lib/rt.jar(java/lang/String.class)]
[checking Linpack]
[loading /usr/java/j2sdk1.4.2_02/jre/lib/rt.jar(java/lang/System.class)]
[loading /usr/java/j2sdk1.4.2_02/jre/lib/rt.jar(java/io/PrintStream.class)]
[loading /usr/java/j2sdk1.4.2_02/jre/lib/rt.jar(java/io/FilterOutputStream.class)]
[loading /usr/java/j2sdk1.4.2_02/jre/lib/rt.jar(java/io/OutputStream.class)]
[loading /usr/java/j2sdk1.4.2_02/jre/lib/rt.jar(java/lang/StringBuffer.class)]
wrote Linpack.class
[total 400ms]
[cdaillid@frontend-0 java-linpack]$ ls
Linpack.class  Linpack.java
```

The testing in the front-end has these results. The test is run 10 times, and the box below presents the first and last time of the run. This is done for all nodes.

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 java-linpack]$ java Linpack
Mflops/s: 76.296  Time: 0.01 secs  Norm Res: 1.43  Precision: 2.220446049250313E-16
... 
[cdaillid@frontend-0 java-linpack]$ java Linpack
Mflops/s: 85.833  Time: 0.01 secs  Norm Res: 1.43  Precision: 2.220446049250313E-16
[cdaillid@frontend-0 java-linpack]$ 
```

Next, it is necessary to connect to the first node to run the benchmark locally, and thus:

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 java-linpack]$ ssh compute-0-1
Rocks Compute Node
[cdaillid@compute-0-1 cdaillid]$ ls
java-linpack
[cdaillid@compute-0-1 cdaillid]$ cd java-linpack
[cdaillid@compute-0-1 java-linpack]$ java Linpack
```
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Then, connecting to node compute-0-2 results in:

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 java-linpack]$ ssh compute-0-2
Rocks Compute Node
[cdaillid@compute-0-2 cdaillid]$ cd java-linpack
[cdaillid@compute-0-2 java-linpack]$ java Linpack
Mflops/s: 29.855  Time: 0.02 secs  Norm Res: 1.43  Precision: 2.220446049250313E-16
...
[cdaillid@compute-0-2 java-linpack]$ java Linpack
Mflops/s: 31.212  Time: 0.02 secs  Norm Res: 1.43  Precision: 2.220446049250313E-16
[cdaillid@compute-0-2 java-linpack]$
```

For the node compute-0-3:

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 java-linpack]$ ssh compute-0-3
Rocks Compute Node
[cdaillid@compute-0-3 cdaillid]$ cd java-linpack
[cdaillid@compute-0-3 java-linpack]$ java Linpack
Mflops/s: 85.833  Time: 0.01 secs  Norm Res: 1.43  Precision: 2.220446049250313E-16
...
[cdaillid@compute-0-3 java-linpack]$ java Linpack
Mflops/s: 76.296  Time: 0.01 secs  Norm Res: 1.43  Precision: 2.220446049250313E-16
[cdaillid@compute-0-3 java-linpack]$
```

Since the Norm Res value is greater than 1, it is then possible to state that all the tests were correct, with the results from all the available nodes. It can be assumed that the computing power of the entire system is the summation of the computing power of the nodes, and therefore, the computer power of the cluster is derived, which is shown in the following table.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>front-end</th>
<th>-0-1</th>
<th>-0-2</th>
<th>-0-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st run</td>
<td>76.296</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>85.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd run</td>
<td>85.833</td>
<td>31.212</td>
<td>31.212</td>
<td>85.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd run</td>
<td>76.296</td>
<td>31.212</td>
<td>28.611</td>
<td>85.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th run</td>
<td>85.833</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>85.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th run</td>
<td>85.833</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>76.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th run</td>
<td>62.424</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>31.212</td>
<td>85.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th run</td>
<td>85.833</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>28.611</td>
<td>85.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th run</td>
<td>68.667</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>85.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th run</td>
<td>76.296</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>85.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th run</td>
<td>76.296</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>85.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th run</td>
<td>85.833</td>
<td>29.855</td>
<td>31.212</td>
<td>76.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average for each node</td>
<td>78.67636364</td>
<td>30.10172727</td>
<td>29.99890909</td>
<td>84.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>222.876</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13  JAVA Benchmark Results.

Directly comparing the performance of the node compute-0-3 under both the Fortran and Java benchmarks, the Java performance is much lower. Previously, it was 1.0317 Gflops, for N=500 for the FORTRAN linpack, but it is now 84.099 Mflops, for N=500, which is a big difference. However, the comparison cannot be considered precise because the JAVA linpack does not involve the NB factor.

It is possible to see the results from each run, the average for every node and the total of all nodes. The amount is 222.876 Mflop, which is much less than the 2.9 Gflop achieved by the FORTRAN linpack.

The conclusion reached is that it is not possible to have comparable results for these two tests.

3. JAVA Linpack Hall of Fame

There is a web page maintained by linpackjava@netlib.org in which the results are reported from different individuals conducting the test. The first and the last two machines from the list are listed below.

2147 Mflop/s; PowerPC 601 Mac OS PowerBooks G3/266; 3/10/04 dwy
999 Mflop/s; Other Other n0n3; 3/1/03 h?d3n20rN
... ...
0.27 Mflop/s; Intel PIII Windows2000; 10/25/02
0.22 Mflop/s; UltraSparc Solaris 2x; 8/15/00 Barbara
0.17 Mflop/s; UltraSparc Solaris 2x; 8/16/00
Last updated: Mon Jun 14 00:10:14 EDT 2004
F. BEOWULF PERFORMANCE SUITE (BPS)

1. Background

Now, a more simple approach is achieved by using the prepackaged performance suite from Beowulf, called the Beowulf Performance Suite (BPS). The precompiled software can be found at hpc-design [33]. This actually, according to the author of the explanatory paper, is not designed to be benchmark clusters. It is designed to be an analysis tool for measuring differences that occur when making a hardware or software change in the cluster.

As previously mentioned, the goal is to log all performance results in a table and rerun the BPS again after deciding in the future to do some upgrades. Next, the performance results are simply compared after and before the upgrade. It is possible to state that the upgrade has positive, negative, or no results at all in the configuration.

The BPS provides a text mode and graphical interface to run the tests. It also provides a tool for creating web pages with the results of the benchmark so that it is distributed easily in the net.

The BPS includes the following tools for the benchmarking:

bonnie++: that is a I/O (hard disk) performance
stream: for memory performance
netperf: for general network performance
netpipe: more detailed network performance
unixbench: general Unix benchmarks
LMbench: low level benchmarks
nas: NASA parallel benchmarks.

2. BPS Installation

The aforementioned website provided the source code and the binaries in a RPM. RPM stands for Red Hat Package Manager

The files are:

bps-1.2-11.i386.rpm is the binaries
bps-1.2-11.src.rpm is the source code.

The step-by-step procedure for installing an RPM is described as follows.

First, it is necessary to navigate to the user’s home directory and issue the command to install the RPM.

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 ]$ cd /home/cdaillid
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$ ll
total 11100
-rw-r--r--  1 root     root      2738286 May  6 09:33 bps-1.2-11.i386.rpm
-rw-r--r--  1 root     root      5253075 May  6 09:34 bps-1.2-11.src.rpm
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$ rpm -ivh bps-1.2-11.i386.rpm
error: Failed dependencies:
    pygtk is needed by bps-1.2-11
    gnuplot is needed by bps-1.2-11
```

It can be ascertained from the above message that the pygtk and gnuplot are missing from this system and that they are required by the BPS. All these RPMs can be found at http://rpmfind.net/linux/RPM/. This is the repository for all RPMs.

The following command is issued to ensure that the RPMs are missing. The grep command (global regular expression pattern) is a useful find tool for the Unix Linux world.

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 ]$ rpm -qa | grep gnuplot
[cdaillid@frontend-0 ]$ rpm -qa | grep pygtk
```

The system responded that none of the desired RPMs are installed. It is first necessary to find the package gnuplot.

The current version is 4.0.0, with a build date of Fri Apr 16 15:59:34 2004 with the gnuplot-4.0.0-1 RPM for i386.

Gnuplot is a command-line driven, interactive function plotting program especially suited for scientific data representation. Gnuplot can be used to plot functions and data points in both two and three dimensions and in many different formats. The next step is to find the PyGTK. The current version is 0.6.9, with a build date of Apr 9 03:07:18 2002 with the pygtk-0.6.9-3 RPM for i386.

PyGTK is an extension module for Python that provides access to the GTK+ widget set. Almost anything that can be written in C with GTK+ can be written in Python
with PyGTK (within reason), but with all of Python's benefits. PyGTK provides an object-oriented interface at a slightly higher level than the C interface. It is necessary to install PyGTK to obtain the Python bindings for the GTK+ widget set.

Next, the command to install the PyGTK is issued.

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$ rpm -iv pygtk-0.6.9-3.i386.rpm
warning: pygtk-0.6.9-3.i386.rpm: V3 DSA signature: NOKEY, key ID db42a60e
error: Failed dependencies:
  imlib is needed by pygtk-0.6.9-3
  libgdk_imlib.so.1 is needed by pygtk-0.6.9-3
  libgdk_pixbuf.so.2 is needed by pygtk-0.6.9-3
[cdaillid@frontend-0 cdaillid]$
```

The attempt to install pygtk shows that it is necessary to find imlib. After searching again in the RPM repository, it is possible to see that the current version is 1.9.13, with a build date of Aug 14 04:54:52 2002 with the imlib-1.9.13-9 RPM for i386.

Imlib is a display depth independent image loading and rendering library. Imlib is designed to simplify and accelerate the process of loading images and obtaining X Window system drawables. Imlib provides many simple manipulation routines, which can be used for common operations.

Install imlib if it is necessary for an image loading and rendering library for X11R6, or if installing GNOME. It might also be desirable to install the imlib-cfgeditor package, which will help in configuring Imlib.

It is then run:

```
[root@frontend-0 cdaillid]# rpm -iv imlib-1.9.13-9.i386.rpm
warning: imlib-1.9.13-9.i386.rpm: V3 DSA signature: NOKEY, key ID db42a60e
Preparing packages for installation...
imlib-1.9.13-9
/sbin/ldconfig: File /opt/intel_fc_80/lib/libcprts.so is too small, not checked.
... ...
/sbin/ldconfig: File /opt/intel_cc_80/lib/libunwind.so is too small, not checked.
[root@frontend-0 cdaillid]#
```

Next, it is run in order to install PyGTK.

```
[root@frontend-0 cdaillid]# rpm -iv pygtk-0.6.9-3.i386.rpm
warning: pygtk-0.6.9-3.i386.rpm: V3 DSA signature: NOKEY, key ID db42a60e
error: Failed dependencies:
  libgdk_pixbuf.so.2 is needed by pygtk-0.6.9-3
[root@frontend-0 cdaillid]#
```

Now note that this libgdk_pixbuf is needed.
First, check to see if everything so far works, and that the RPM installed up to this point did not harm the system.

Figure 33 Checking the ganglia monitor, in every step of the process of installing the software, is necessary to be sure that everything works fine.

Check to see that everything works so far.

Go search for libgdk_pixbuf. The current version is 0.22.0 with a build date of Mar 04 22:23:26 2004 with the gdk-pixbuf-0.22.0-6.1.0 RPM for i386.

The gdk-pixbuf package contains an image loading library used with the GNOME GUI desktop environment. The GdkPixBuf library provides image loading facilities, the rendering of a GdkPixBuf into various formats (drawables or GdkRGB buffers), and a cache interface. Then, it is possible to issue the command to install the RPM.

```
[root@frontend-0 cdaillid]# rpm -iv gdk-pixbuf-0.22.0-6.1.0.i386.rpm
warning: gdk-pixbuf-0.22.0-6.1.0.i386.rpm: V3 DSA signature: NOKEY, key ID db42a60e
Preparing packages for installation...
gdk-pixbuf-0.22.0-6.1.0
```
Install the PyGTK RPM.

```
[root@frontend-0 cdaillid]# rpm -iv pygtk-0.6.9-3.i386.rpm
warning: pygtk-0.6.9-3.i386.rpm: V3 DSA signature: NOKEY, key ID db42a60e
Preparing packages for installation...
pygtk-0.6.9-3
[root@frontend-0 cdaillid]#
```

OK and with Python. Now, for the installation of the gnuplot rpm, the following is run.

```
[root@frontend-0 cdaillid]# rpm -iv gnuplot-4.0.0-1.i386.rpm
warning: gnuplot-4.0.0-1.i386.rpm: V3 DSA signature: NOKEY, key ID e01260f1
Preparing packages for installation...
 gnuplot-4.0.0-1
[root@frontend-0 cdaillid]#
```

OK and with gnuplot. Now, for the installation of the bps rpm, the following is run.

```
[root@frontend-0 cdaillid]# rpm -iv bps-1.2-11.i386.rpm
Preparing packages for installation...
 bps-1.2-11
[root@frontend-0 cdaillid]#
```

The files were found at the end. By the way, note that the home directory of the user (cdaillid up to this point) is also present in the ./export directory of the system. For this reason, the export directory is available through the network file system. Anything appearing in this directory can be seen from the nodes.

```
[root@frontend-0 /]# find . -name bps* -print
./export/home/cdaillid/bps-1.2-11.tar
./export/home/cdaillid/bps-1.2-11.i386.rpm
./export/home/cdaillid/bps-1.2-11.src.rpm
./etc/profile.d/bps.csh
./etc/profile.d/bps.sh
./root/daillidis/bps-1.2-11.tar
./usr/share/doc/bps-1.2
./usr/bps
./usr/bps/bin/bps-html
./usr/bps/bin/bps
./usr/bps/man/man1/bps.1
./home/cdaillid/bps-1.2-11.tar
./home/cdaillid/bps-1.2-11.i386.rpm
./home/cdaillid/bps-1.2-11.src.rpm
[root@frontend-0 /]# cd /usr/bps
[root@frontend-0 bps]# ls
bin man src
[root@frontend-0 bps]# cd bin
[root@frontend-0 bin]# ls
```
It must be mentioned that the packages needed in every instance may differ. This is one observation. When trying to make the same installation in the cluster that had the previous version of Rocks (3.1), that series of packages required was different from the one required for the second installation done with Rocks 3.2, because each release of Rocks implements different packages.

The packages needed for the first installation were:
pygtk2-1.99.14-4.i386.rpm
perl-5.8.0-88.i386.rpm
python-2.2.2-26.i386.rpm
gnuplot-3.7.3-2.i386.rpm
expect-5.38.0-88.i386.rpm

3. **BPS Run and Results**

BPS is installed in the directory /usr/bps. From there and from the folder /bin, the program is run, and with the appropriate parameter, it is possible to run it.

It is not possible to run the tests as root. It is necessary to switch to a user (here cdaillid) to run the BPS.

```
[root@frontend-0 bin]# su cdaillid
[cdaillid@frontend-0 bin]$
Usage: ./bps <OPTIONS>
```

Options:
- `b` bonnie++
- `s` stream
- `f <send node>,<receive node>` netperf to remote node
- `p <send node>,<receive node>` netpipe to remote node
- `n <compiler>,<#processors>,<test size>,<MPI>,<machine1,machine2,...>` NAS parallel benchmarks
  - `compiler={gnu,pgi,intel}`
  - `test size={A,B,C,dummy}`
  - `MPI={mpich, lam, mpipro}`
- `k` keep NAS directory when finished
- `u` unixbench
- `m` lmbench
- `-l <log_dir>` benchmark log directory
- `-w` preserve existing log directory
- `-i <mboard manufacturer>,<mboard model>,<memory>,<interconnect>,<linux ver>` machine information
- `-v` show version
Out of all the tests possible, the only tests completed are the stream (for memory), the unixbench and the lmbench (for almost everything within the system).

Documentation also does exist for the other tests.

Next, the tests are run.

All the results from the tests are stored in the user’s local directory and in a directory is created for this purpose named /bps-logs. It is possible to see the results either by viewing the relevant .out files (for example, the stream benchmark test creates a file named stream.log where it saves the results), or by running the ./bps-htmp command. In this case, the results can be displayed in a web-based form.

4. **Results in BPS**

After running the command:

The results are formatted in a series of web pages for readability. 

The index page has general information about the date and the machine and links for the individual test results. There is a row text output available from the program, for any desired use.

A sample is shown in the following figure.
The tests were conducted 12 times to derive the average, but the deviations between the tests results were insignificant, and note that the tests run only in the front-end.

The results of the tests are descriptive and detail the general idea of the performance, which will be discussed below. They are the results from the LMbench, the low level benchmarks. In every case, there is an indication of the number posted. The use of these numbers can only be compared to another source.

**G. LMBENCH 2.0 SUMMARY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host</th>
<th>OS Description</th>
<th>Mhz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frontend</td>
<td>Linux 2.4.21- i686-pc-linux-gnu</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14 Basic System Parameters.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host</th>
<th>OS</th>
<th>Mhz</th>
<th>null call</th>
<th>null I/O</th>
<th>stat</th>
<th>open close</th>
<th>select TCP</th>
<th>sig inst</th>
<th>sig hadl</th>
<th>fork proc</th>
<th>exec proc</th>
<th>sh proc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frontend-</td>
<td>Linux 2.4.21-</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>5.333</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>122.</td>
<td>501.</td>
<td>2813</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15   Processor, Processes - Times In Microseconds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host</th>
<th>OS</th>
<th>2p/0K</th>
<th>2p/16K</th>
<th>2p/64K</th>
<th>8p/16K</th>
<th>8p/64K</th>
<th>16p/16K</th>
<th>16p/64K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frontend-</td>
<td>Linux 2.4.21-</td>
<td>1.130</td>
<td>2.2600</td>
<td>4.8200</td>
<td>2.8700</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>6.23000</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16   Context Switching - Times In Microseconds - Smaller Is Better.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host</th>
<th>OS</th>
<th>0K File Create/Delete</th>
<th>10K File Create/Delete</th>
<th>Mmap Latency</th>
<th>Prot Fault</th>
<th>Page Fault</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frontend-</td>
<td>Linux 2.4.21-</td>
<td>30.3 / 6.1330</td>
<td>63.1 / 13.8</td>
<td>2150.0</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>4.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17   Local Communication Latencies In Microseconds - Smaller Is Better.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host</th>
<th>OS</th>
<th>Pipe</th>
<th>AF UNIX</th>
<th>TCP re-read</th>
<th>Mmap reread</th>
<th>Bcopy (libc)</th>
<th>Bcopy (hand)</th>
<th>Mem read</th>
<th>Mem write</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frontend-</td>
<td>Linux 2.4.21-</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>226.</td>
<td>1294.4</td>
<td>1647.3</td>
<td>564.0</td>
<td>572.0</td>
<td>1649</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 18   File & VM System Latencies In Microseconds - Smaller Is Better.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host</th>
<th>OS</th>
<th>Mhz</th>
<th>L1 $</th>
<th>L2 $</th>
<th>Main mem</th>
<th>Guesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frontend-</td>
<td>Linux 2.4.21-</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>7.6990</td>
<td>120.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19   Local Communication Bandwidths In MB/S - Bigger Is Better.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host</th>
<th>OS</th>
<th>Mhz</th>
<th>null call</th>
<th>null I/O</th>
<th>stat</th>
<th>open close</th>
<th>select TCP</th>
<th>sig inst</th>
<th>sig hadl</th>
<th>fork proc</th>
<th>exec proc</th>
<th>sh proc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frontend-</td>
<td>Linux 2.4.21-</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>5.333</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>122.</td>
<td>501.</td>
<td>2813</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 20   Memory Latencies In Nanoseconds - Smaller Is Better.

The following table presents the results of the stream, the memory performance benchmark. Note that the “total memory required” is the amount of memory used for the test, and not a result indicating that the system needs 45.8 MB of memory.
Stream Results Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Rate (MB/s)</th>
<th>RMS time</th>
<th>Min time</th>
<th>Max time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy</td>
<td>1008.0682</td>
<td>0.0321</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>0.0326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale</td>
<td>988.6601</td>
<td>0.0328</td>
<td>0.0324</td>
<td>0.0332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add</td>
<td>1220.1973</td>
<td>0.0395</td>
<td>0.0393</td>
<td>0.0399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triad</td>
<td>1222.8653</td>
<td>0.0394</td>
<td>0.0393</td>
<td>0.0397</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Array size = 2000000, Offset = 0  Total memory required = 45.8 MB.

Table 21  Stream Benchmark Results.

The following figure shows the memory effects the three times that the memory benchmark (stream) was run on a desktop computer. These actually are the comparisons that are possible to make. A run on a desktop computer was also made for some of the tests. The computer used was a 1.7 GHz Pentium 4 with 750 MB of RAM and a 200 GB hard disk, having a Fast Ethernet NIC, running Red Hat Linux 9.0.

Note that the cluster’s performance is twice than that of the single machine, in this benchmark.

Figure 35  Workload during benchmarking. In the system monitor on the right is shown how the CPU and the memory usage are increased during the tests.

The following box shows how to look at the contents of the stream.log file.
Running Stream...

This system uses 8 bytes per DOUBLE PRECISION word.

Array size = 2000000, Offset = 0
Total memory required = 45.8 MB.
Each test is run 10 times, but only
the *best* time for each is used.

Your clock granularity/precision appears to be 1 microseconds.
Each test below will take on the order of 28996 microseconds.
(= 28996 clock ticks)
Increase the size of the arrays if this shows that
you are not getting at least 20 clock ticks per test.

WARNING -- The above is only a rough guideline.
For best results, please be sure you know the
precision of your system timer.

Function Rate (MB/s)  RMS time    Min time    Max time
Copy:  951.5328     0.0338       0.0336       0.0342
Scale:  940.8721      0.0343       0.0340       0.0346
Add:  1046.7336       0.0464       0.0459       0.0505
Triad: 1047.5567       0.0459       0.0458       0.0461

The following table provides the results from unixbench, which are the general
Unix benchmarks. The “number” for the final score appears.

**UnixBench Results Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dhrystone 2 using register variables</td>
<td>116700.0</td>
<td>3690372.3</td>
<td>316.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-Precision Whetstone</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>718.7</td>
<td>130.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execl Throughput</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>1829.4</td>
<td>425.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Copy 1024 bufsize 2000 maxblocks</td>
<td>3960.0</td>
<td>343137.0</td>
<td>866.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Copy 256 bufsize 500 maxblocks</td>
<td>1655.0</td>
<td>142054.0</td>
<td>858.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Copy 4096 bufsize 8000 maxblocks</td>
<td>5800.0</td>
<td>458698.0</td>
<td>790.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe-based Context Switching</td>
<td>4000.0</td>
<td>194139.9</td>
<td>485.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Creation</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>7957.4</td>
<td>631.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell Scripts (8 concurrent)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>341.4</td>
<td>569.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Call Overhead</td>
<td>15000.0</td>
<td>391909.4</td>
<td>261.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FINAL SCORE**

| Final Score | 464.9 |

Table 22  Unix Benchmark Results.
The unixbench.log file in the /bps-logs directory provides a much better understanding of what happened, which allows a lot of granularity about the parameters and the results of the test. A small portion of this file is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BYTE UNIX Benchmarks (Version 4.1.0)</th>
<th>System -- Linux cluster.cs.nps.navy.mil 2.4.21-4.0.1.EL #1 Sat Nov 29 04:33:14 GMT 2003 i686 i686 i386 GNU/Linux</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start Benchmark Run: Wed Apr 21 17:49:31 PDT 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Creation</td>
<td>7957.4 lps (30 secs, 3 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execl Throughput</td>
<td>1829.4 lps (29 secs, 3 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic Test (type = short)</td>
<td>570241.1 lps (10 secs, 3 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic Test (type = double)</td>
<td>535249.2 lps (10 secs, 3 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithoh</td>
<td>11852738.4 lps (10 secs, 3 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Compiler Throughput</td>
<td>973.5 lpm (60 secs, 3 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc: sqrt(2) to 99 decimal places</td>
<td>73396.3 lpm (30 secs, 3 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recursion Test--Tower of Hanoi</td>
<td>54721.1 lps (20 secs, 3 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Call Overhead</td>
<td>15000.0 391909.4 261.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINAL SCORE</td>
<td>464.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The same test was run on a desktop computer, which was a 1.7 GHz Pentium 4 with 750 MB of RAM and a 200 GB hard disk, having a Fast Ethernet NIC, running Red Hat Linux 9.0.

Note that the cluster’s performance is almost five times better than the machine’s. The final score is 464.9 vs. 93.

Figure 36  The results from a desktop PC that it was used during the experiments for a comparison for the MOVES cluster.
VI. RUNNING APPLICATIONS ON THE CLUSTER

A. INTRODUCTION

After the performance evaluation of the system, the next step is to run applications. There are three ways to do this. The first is to use the Message Passing Interface (MPI), the second to use the scheduler with a series of batch files in the Linux environment and the third is to use a combination of the two.

These are other issues to consider such as the user, the connectivity between the nodes and the file and directory structure used for the saving the files with the results.

B. SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION AND ADDING NEW USERS

Adding a new user to the Rocks cluster to allow use of resources is straightforward. Rocks use software that makes typical system administration tasks easy.

Recall that the cluster is created with a front end, which is visible to the outside world, and compute nodes, which are hidden in a private network attached to the front end’s second network card. Running an application on a compute node requires that the person have an account on that node. However, it may be unworkable to add a new user manually to each of the nodes in a large cluster. New users must be added to the /etc/passwd files on every node in the cluster, and for large clusters, this may mean editing a hundred /etc/passwd files on a hundred different machines. Many other configuration files in the /etc directory also need to be manually maintained on each node. This may be contrary to the Rocks philosophy of having disposable compute nodes. Any manual configuration will be lost when the node was rebuilt.

Rocks use a system called “411” to avoid these problems. A system administrator can centrally add new users and centrally manage other files in the /etc directory. The 411 system is similar to the Network Information System (NIS), a popular Sun product widely used in managing UNIX systems, but 411 adds encryption for confidentiality.

To add a new user, the system administrator simply adds a user on the front-end. Rocks and the 411 system will automatically push a new /etc/password, /etc/shadow, and other necessary files out to all the compute nodes. Once this is done, the new user has access to all the compute nodes.
The user has a home directory created on the front-end. The compute nodes automatically NFS mount that home directory when the user logs on. In other words, the home directory is shared among all compute nodes plus the front-end. This is important to keep in mind. The shared home directory allows any data written to it to be shared among all compute nodes, but may also lead to data file collisions if two compute nodes attempt to write to a file with the same name.

The cluster administrator creates an account for the user. With this account, the user has a home directory, which stores the results of the different programs. Also, different environmental variables must be set in order for the path to the directories where the applications reside to be available to the users. This user must have an e-mail address available to receive e-mail notification when the submitted job is finished.

The user can logon to the front-end via ssh from the Internet. This is the access point of the system to all external users. Once logged onto the front end, the user can ssh into any of the compute nodes. Users cannot directly log onto compute nodes from a machine on the external network. The compute nodes use a private network in the non-routable 10.x.x.x IP range. IP numbers in this special range are discarded by routers, so an attempt to ssh to a 10.x IP number from anywhere other than the cluster front-end will not result in a connection.

As mentioned in previous chapters, there are two main methods to utilize a cluster and run programs on it: The MPI and the scheduler. A third method exists. It is a combination of the other two, and is a scheduler submitting MPI enabled jobs. These approaches will be examined individually.

C. MPI

MPI was used in benchmarking. The command to run MPI structured software is mpirun. The only thing that may vary is the implementation, or version, release of MPI held. The Rocks version used for this research contains the MPICH, which will be referred to throughout this thesis. The command, the compiler and all the libraries are from MPICH.
This approach requires a great burden exists in the software design and development. From the site http://www-unix.mcs.anl.gov/mpi/mpich/ it is possible to download, install and implement MPI enabled programs in the cluster. A fully detailed manual appears in [44].

No MPI application was used in this experimental cluster. However, in order to describe the functionality, an explanation is attempted with a simple MPI program, named mpihello.c. It is written in C and acquired from [44]. This cluster implementation contains several mpi versions.

The following steps were followed to complete this example.

Write the software in a programming language using the MPI (libraries, header files, commands etc.).

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include "mpi.h"
main(int argc, char** argv)
{
    int noprocs, nid;
    MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
    MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &noprocs);
    MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &nid);
    if (nid == 0)
        printf("Hello world! I'm node %i of %i \n", nid, noprocs);
    MPI_Finalize();
}
```

Compile the software with the mpi compiler. In these implementations with the MPICH, there are compilers for C (mpicc), C++ (mpiCC), FORTRAN 77 (mpif77) and FORTRAN 90 (mpif90).

```bash
[root@frontend-0 ~]# find . -name mpicc
./opt/mpich/gnu/bin/mpicc
./opt/mpich/myrinet/gnu/bin/mpicc
./opt/mpich/myrinet/intel/bin/mpicc
./opt/mpich/intel/bin/mpicc
./opt/mpich-mpd/gnu/bin/mpicc
./opt/mpich-mpd/intel/bin/mpicc
./opt/mpich2-mpd/gnu/bin/mpicc
```

111
The gnu release compiler is used, as seen from the path. The intel compiler does require a license. A message such as the following appears when trying to compile with the Intel compiler. The site from which to find information also appears. There is academic prizing, but there is also a download for “non commercial” use after following some steps for registration.

Create a file, usually named machines, with the entries of all nodes with the hostnames (just like the benchmark).

Make the run with the mpirun command. The command has a variety of parameters. The most usual is the the --np for the number of processors and the machine file.
The output of the program is the response of the nodes with the hello world expression.

```
$ /opt/mpich/gnu/bin/mpirun -np 4 -machinefile machines ./a.out
Hello world! I'm node 0 of 4
$ 
```

D. THE SCHEDULER

The term “scheduler” will no longer be used. The term “the batch system” is used instead, because the jobs are submitted with the aid of a batch file.

The Grid Engine Enterprise Edition is the toolkit enabled in the cluster. This includes a series of commands, each one with a large number of parameters for controlling the submission of jobs. It resides in the /opt/gridengine/bin/glinux in the front-end.

- qsub: the dominant command for the batch job submission to the Grid Engine.
- qstat: shows all the information about the submitted jobs.
- qdel: removes a job from the queue.
- qacct: for a report and account of Grid Engine usage
- qalter: to modify a pending batch job.
- qresub: to submit a copy of an existing job.
- qmod: enables users classified as owners of a workstation to modify the state of Grid Engines queues as well as the state of the jobs.
- qconf: allows the system administrator to add, delete, and modify the current Grid Engine configuration, including queue management, host management, complex management and user management.

The Portable Batch System (PBP) is another widely known, implementation, which is an open-source product. This is also a series of commands much the same as the Grid Engine.

All these commands appear in the following experiment conducted in the cluster.
Thus, in order to understand the concept of “running programs with Grid Engine” in a cluster, a step-bystep approach is followed by moving from the simple to the more complex.

These steps were also followed during the experiments in the cluster to evaluate two different programs. One is in Java and the other C++.

These steps are discussed below.

1. **Submit a Simple Job with the Use of the Command qsub**

The steps in the cluster appear below.

```
# whoami
cdaillid
  # find . -name qsub
  ...
  ./opt/gridengine/bin/glinux/qsub
  ...
  # qsub
```

The above is the path where all the relevant commands reside, and they are all the Grid Engine Enterprise Edition.

After typing qsub and pressing the enter key, the cursor goes to the next line and awaits the commands or the programs to execute.

The following are typed for the examples.

```
ls
hostname
echo finished
```

Next, in order to submit the job, type <Ctrl>d (The “Ctrl” key held down while pressing d). Ensure that the <Ctrl>d is executed on an empty line, (i.e., hit Enter after typing the last line above).

A job identifier number appears, take note of this number.

```
your job 1 ("STDIN") has been submitted
```

2. **See the Results of the Submitted Job**

The commands issued within the qsub provide some results. ls lists the current directory, hostname returns the name of the host stating that the job was submitted and finished is just an echo.

The results are in the file STDIN.o1 in the HOME directory.
-STDIN means Standard Input was used to write the script and PBS assigned that as the name of the job.

-The “o” stands for Output and the 1 is the job identifier seen when submitting the job with <Ctrl>d

-There is also a file named STDIN.e1, which contains any errors sent to the shell while the script executed.

Type:

```
# cat STDIN.o1
```

If the system is busy, the job may be in the queue for a while, and therefore, it will not be possible to see the output files until later.

```
# cat STDIN.o1
Warning: no access to tty (Bad file descriptor).
Thus no job control in this shell.
bps-files
bps-logs
dail
... compute-0-1.local
finished
$
```

The first two lines are just a warning from the Unix shell and do not affect the batch job in any way, and are ignored. The next lines are the results of the commands issued through the qsub.

Next, typing

```
# cat STDIN.e1
```

will probably take nothing for an answer since the file is of 0 size due to the lack of errors.

3. Creation of a Shell Script to Submit the Job

A file with vi is created.

```
# vi script1
# -S bin/sh
time
hostname
echo finished
~
“script1” 5L, 41C 3,1 All
```

The first line tells the script which Unix shell to use. The next lines query for the time that the next two commands are required to be executed.
Ensure the script has executed permissions by typing the following at a Unix prompt:

```
# chmod +x script1
```

Typing `./script1` runs the script in interactive mode, which will only be executed in the front-end, or in whatever node the users are logged into.

However, it must be running as a batch job. Again, the `qsub` command is used.

```
# qsub script1
```

Note that the output files are not named STDIN. They will have the name of the script used, i.e., `script1.o2` and `script1.e2`.

### 4. Submission of Multiple Jobs

Now that a script exists with the commands in it, it is possible to submit the job multiple times very easily. For this test, enter the `qsub script1` command and then press the up arrow key on the keyboard.

```
# qsub script1
your job 2 ("script1") has been submitted

$qsub script1
your job 3 ("script1") has been submitted

$qsub script1
your job 4 ("script1") has been submitted

$qsub script1
your job 5 ("script1") has been submitted
```

Each instance of a job will have a unique output and error file, and it is possible to see the numbers of the jobs in the output.

### 5. Check the Jobs Status

The command `qstat` is used to check the job status. Several kinds of information appear about a job.

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 tests]$ qstat
job-ID prior name     user  state submit/start at     queue master  ja-task-ID
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
 3  0  script1  cdaillid  pw   06/07/2004 22:27:25
 4  0  script1  cdaillid  t    06/07/2004 22:27:26  compute-0-1 MASTER
 5  0  script1  cdaillid  t    06/07/2004 22:27:27  compute-0-2 MASTER
 6  0  script1  cdaillid  r    06/07/2004 22:27:27  compute-0-3 MASTER
```

The state column is the status of whether the job is running or not. A “q” indicates the job is in the queue and waiting for resources to become available to run. An “r” indicates that the job is running and a “t” that it is stopped.
6. **Delete Jobs**

The `qdel` command is used to stop a job once it is started and delete it from the queue. For example, to delete job number 6, type:

```
[cdaillid@frontend-0 tests]$ qdel 6
```

7. **MOVES Cluster Experiment**

The following experiment was done to understand how the system works.

Two simple programs were written: one in JAVA and the other in C++. These programs are CPU intensive. In other words, the CPU experiences a lot of load for a limited amount of time.

The C++ program is as follows:

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main() {
    // declare the variables

    // The numbers for the test
    double num1=1 , num2=2;
    int cycles = 1000000000;
    cout << "Starting calculations"<< "\n";

    for (int i=1; i <= cycles; i++) {
        num1 = num1*2;
        num1 = num1/2;
        num1 = num1+1;
        num1 = num1-1;
    }
    cout << "last we have: " << num1 << "\n";
    return 0;
} // end main
```

To compile in Linux and to make the executable out of the source code, type

```
g++ -o executable inputfile.cpp:
```

The JAVA program is the following:
public class jb {
    public static void main (String args [])
    {
        double num1=1 , num2=2;
        int cycles =1000000000;
        System.out.println( "Starting calculations" );
        for (int i=1;  i< cycles; i++)
        {
            num1 = num1*2;
            num1 = num1/2;
            num1 = num1+1;
            num1 = num1-1;
            //System.out.println( num1);
        }
        System.out.println( "last we have: " + num1 );
        System.exit (0); //  terminate application
    } // end method main
}  // end class

Then, compile with the java compiler to obtain the jb.class file.

First, run the two programs to have an understanding of the two programming languages. In order to have an average result, run each program three times. The results are shown for C++:

The results are shown for JAVA:
Next, two batch files were created, one for each program. Thus, the vi, the cpptestscript, and the javatestscript were created in the same manner as script1 in the several steps previously. Do not forget to change the mode of these files to executables by using the `chmod` command.

The shell is set in these files and counts the time for each program and the remaining minor commands. The hostname and echo finished are queried as well, all of which appear below.

To complete the experiment, each batch file is executed five times to acquire the time and the node that the job was submitted.

The whole process appears below:
Now, issuing the qstat command will show that the processes are entering a queue. Every time the qstat command is issued, this queue is changed, and at the end, all the remaining processes are in the running state. When a process is finished (after the running state) the process is not shown in the queue and the result is saved to the users local directory. These results are shown below:

Unfortunately, the output does not show the compute node indicating that the program is executed, and in which node it was sent by the scheduler.

It is now possible to understand the status of the cpptestscript as the ‘qw’ state passes to ‘t’, from there to ‘r’, and from ‘r’ it is lost as done.

The contents of the output files are:

```bash
$ cat javatestscript.o66
Warning: no access to tty (Bad file descriptor).
Thus no job control in this shell.
0.120u 0.030s 0:00.19 78.9% 0+0k 0+0io 3385pf+0w
compute-0-3.local
finished
```
During the experiments, note is taken of the node that the job was submitted. The following pattern was discerned. Each number is a job number submitted sequentially from the front-end.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compute-0-1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compute-0-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compute-0-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Compute-0-1 | 22 | 23 | 28 | 29 | 33 | 34 | 38 | 39 | **18** |
| Compute-0-2 | 25 | 26 | 30 | 31 | 35 | 36 | 40 | 41 | **16** |
| Compute-0-3 | 24 | 27 | 32 | 37 |    |    | 42 | 10 |

Table 23  Jobs Submitted Per Node.

Thus, from the 42 jobs submitted, 18 were assigned to compute-0-1, 16 to compute-0-2 and 10 to compute-0-3. The following is considered regarding the times of execution:

The nodes are not of the same processing power (2.4GHz and 700MHz). Therefore, every time the program is executed in the fast processor node, it takes less time than when executed in the slow processor node.
Execution in the front-end only is considered in order to compare just the two instances of languages.

Consequently, for the simple program to be executed in the front-end memory and processor, the average time was 58.0588 seconds in JAVA, while the average was 62.0600 seconds in C++.

This is not an important result since it depends on the compiler, the parameters passed to the compiler to make an optimized work, the libraries coming with each language and so forth. However, it is just seen as a result of a “default” use of the two approaches.

8. More in Job Submission Scripts

The approach above is the simplest script possible. A lot of information can appear in a script. The most common is to add some parameters in the qsub command. The next line demonstrates such an example:

```
$ qsub -l ncpus=4,walltime=00:00:05 -N myjob -m abe -M youremailaddress
```

The “–l” line tells the batch system that four processors are needed for the job and the job needs five seconds to run.

These items help the batch system schedule the job as efficiently as possible among all the other jobs submitted. The more the walltime, the more efficient the system can be for everyone involved.

The “–N” line gives the job a name and specifies the name of the output files. This script will now produce the files: myjob.oJOBID# and myjob.eJOBID#.

The “–m” specifies which job information to email. The a sends an email if the job is aborted, the b sends an email when the job begins execution, and the e sends an email when the job terminates. The “–M” specifies the email address to which to send the notifications. Thus, an email is received when the job starts, and another when the job finishes. All these are available with the command:

```
$ man qsub
```

The Rocks contains a sample batch file in /opt/gridengine/examples/jobs named sge-qsub-test.sh.
E. THE COMBINATION OF BATCH SYSTEM AND MPI

No work has been done towards this task.

This is simply a batch file containing a command of the type for the line of execution.

```
mpirun -np 4 -machinefile machines our_MPI_application
```
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VII. FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

A. INTRODUCTION

This chapter examines a number of possible issues regarding the potential future expansion of the system was built for this thesis. The IPv6 protocol concerns the connectivity of the cluster with other clusters within the campus and beyond.

A more detailed examination is given on the Rocks cluster software bundle, the issue of the clusters and their usage in the database utilization. A general assessment of the potential military deployment of a cluster system ensues.

The security of the “default installation” is examined by conducting an experiment of the installation of the relevant software. Lastly, the important topic of Web services is studied via a small experiment in the MOVES cluster.

B. IPV6 PROTOCOL

One of the objectives of this project was to establish a network connection within the campus based on the IPv6 protocol. This part of the network was to connect the two computer clusters, one at the MOVES Institute in the Mechanical Engineering building and the other in the Information Systems Department’s “Gigabit Lab” in Root Hall.

A fiber optic connection was desired in order to ensure high network speed. Also, the IPIIPv6 protocol was to be used in order to explore the capabilities and possible drawbacks of this protocol. In the event, scheduling problems prevented timely installation of the fiber optic link. New fiber had to be run between the buildings, and this took longer than expected. Nonetheless, a solution can be outlined for future work.

The IPv6 implementation to the cluster consists of several steps.

Step one is the general approach used to connect the systems. According to information presented by Ericsson [59], there are two possible architectures. Figure 37 and Figure 38 show that the compute nodes are “dual stack”, meaning that they support both IPv4 and IPv6. The internal network switches that support the internal cluster traffic are also dual-stack. In Figure 37 there are two master nodes, each supporting a DNS server, one for IPv4 and one for IPv6. Incoming IPv4 traffic is routed to the IPv4 front end, and incoming IPv6 traffic is routed to the IPv6 server.
Figure 38 shows a second scenario. The front end is also dual-stack, and all incoming traffic, both IPv4 and IPv6, is sent to it. It runs a DNS server capable of resolving both IPv4 and IPv6.

Figure 37 Cluster architecture with each stack in separate server. In this approach each server is taking care of one protocol (IPv4 or IPv6) and routes the incoming traffic to the nodes. All nodes are dual stack.

Figure 38 Cluster architecture with both stacks in the same server. In this approach there is one dual stack server that is taking care of both protocols (IPv4 and IPv6) and routes the incoming traffic to the nodes. All nodes are dual stack.
The second step is to determine whether the operating systems used for both the front end and the compute nodes in the cluster are “IPv6 ready” and to what extent most of the operating systems today supports IPv6, including Linux kernel version 2.2 and above.

Reference [58] has a step by step guide for migration from IPv4 to IPv6 in Linux. It includes a series of check points that can be run to understand the current situation.

The third step is the installation of IPv6 on the local machine. What are the specific files and services enabled to support IPv6? What configuration files exist to edit in order to achieve the nodes “dual stack”, and the front end to be the IPv6 DNS server? The answer to this question will be provided first by experimentation and the “trial and error” method, and secondly, by the community and the mailing lists.

The following concerning the Linux distribution in Rocks was discovered after some investigation.

The kernel is IPv6 capable as discerned from /lib:

```
Binary file modules/2.4.21-9.0.1.EL/kernel/net/ipv6/...
```

The files, commands and directories are the following:

```
/bin/netstat
/etc/init.d/network
rc.d/rc6.d/
   sysconfig/network-scripts/
   sysconfig/network-functions-ipv6

/sbin/ arp
depmod
ifconfig
ifdown
ifup
insmod
insmod.static
ip
ip6tables
ip6tables-restore
ip6tables-save
ipmaddr
iptunnel
kallsyms
ksysms
lsmod
modinfo
modprobe
rmmod
route
tc
```

```
/usr/bin/dig
   host
nslookup
nsupdate
net-snmp-config
php
nmap
/var/lib/rpm/Providename
   lib/rpm/Packages
   lib/rpm/Requirename
   lib/rpm/Basenames
   lib/rpm/Name
   lib/rpm/Dirnames
   lib/rpm/Sigmd5
   lib/rpm/Files.md5s
   lib/slocate/slocate.db
```
C. CLUSTERS AND DATABASES

1. Overview

Many users, consultants, and even vendors are confused about the relationship between clusters and databases [60]. For instance, database clusters are regularly confused with other kinds of clusters, such as clusters for hardware and applications.

2. DBMS in a Cluster

It is necessary to make a distinction between the hardware and software cluster. The kind of cluster built for this thesis is a hardware cluster. This multiple CPU, highly available system fault tolerant system is a hardware cluster.

The software cluster from the other side is a mid-tier application server that can cluster multiple instances of an application. This is more a conceptual approach of the database industry, and thus, such a server may be a database cluster. Here, multiple database servers run instances of a single database. If one database server fails, another can serve queries and write transactions so that applications depending on the database do not go down. In this design, the database clusters usually only need two or three nodes. Some opinions tend to stress scalability as the main advantage while others focus on availability.

The way in which database clusters are implemented differs in each case. Using a Linux cluster to implement a database requires discussions with a database vendor. The vendor can tie together the cluster operating system, along with the DBMS (Database Management System). A great deal of effort and knowledge is involved for the DBMS to setup and manage.

Although Linux clusters are typically not used for database clustering, large vendors have been working on the problem for several years.

It is possible to drop a non-clustered database onto a hardware cluster, and the database gains some benefit from the redundant hardware. However, what is really desired is a database management system that is aware of the hardware cluster and takes full ad-
vantage of it. As a result, expect a true database cluster product from a database vendor to require a hardware cluster. This support (else certification) of one product to the other and vice versa must be investigated before the acquisition of each.

The entire installation and tune up procedure for the system seems to be difficult and demands well trained personnel.

In trying to remember the notion of “shared nothing”, it is then possible to see that, in this case, the database must be partitioned and each partition distributed to a different node. This process is extremely difficult even for an experienced Data Base Administrators (DBA).

In the “shared disk” approach, there is a single instance of a database. All data is in one database instance, which is contrary to most high availability best practices. It is a single point of failure with no redundancy for quick recovery of the database.

Thus, these two cases of a cluster do not fit in the database world. What it is done, therefore, is a mixture. There is an up-dated copy of the database on each node of the cluster. This is somehow hard to do, at least much harder than the solution available for many years, which is Replication technology. Replication servers are quite a common thing. As a matter of fact, the database clusters are often combined with a replication server. The issue has to do with the installation of a data center.

Oracle has a software product (named 10g) in the category Real Application Clusters (RAC) that provide scalability and availability by allowing users to run their data on a cluster of servers through a single database image. These products support all types of applications, from update-intensive online transaction processing to read-intensive data warehousing.

The following from the Oracle corporation, [61] is an example of such a cluster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform:</th>
<th>Dell PowerEdge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OS:</td>
<td>RedHat Linux REL 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDBMS version:</td>
<td>32-bit Oracle10g RAC (10.1.0.2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster Software:</td>
<td>Oracle CRS (Cluster Ready Services)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Server Nodes:</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared Storage Technology:</td>
<td>EMC Symmetrix DMX Series, Symmetrix 8000 Series and EMC CLARiiON CX Series</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The unfortunate problem with all the above is that the DBMS vendors require a lot of money to use the system, because they do their prizing according to the number of users that use the system. Thus, there is the issue of licensing.

3. MySql

MySql is a relational database management system that can be downloaded and installed for free. It has many features of other RDBMS and it is relatively easy to use.

The Rocks cluster has the Mysql installed by default. It maintains the database of the status of the system, keeping track of the nodes, hostnames and other information.

There is a series of commands to use to manage the database. With the Mysql RDBMS, it is possible to manage multiple databases in the system. In other words, it is possible to create a second database, and manipulate it, or moreover, create a series of active server pages with the proper connectivity to the Mysql database to manipulate through the net. Further investigation on this database concept was not conducted.

D. POTENTIAL MILITARY DEPLOYMENT

1. Overview

The question that must be answered in the first place is what kind of applications is needed to run in the field. Is this application necessary enough, stable and easy to use in order to travel along with the military forces?

2. Types of Installations

If the answer to the above question is positive then it is possible to state that there are two different ways of deploying such a system.

- Permanent installation, such as the one on a ship, or mounted in a vehicle, that carries C4I equipment, or in a decision support center on permanent premises.

- Non-permanent installation, meaning that the whole infrastructure has to be set up in a station, work for a period of time and then moved to another location.

There are numerous technical solutions for every case, which will be discussed below.
For the permanent installation, blades servers in a normal 19’’ rack can be used. The internal and external networks will be the same as in any other installation. Caution must be given to the noise levels since space restrictions may require personnel to work nearby.

For the non-permanent installation, a 18 U rack on wheels and capable of moving, can be used. During the movement, the racks door secures the system from damage. Blade servers are the most indicated solution. A UPS in a separate unit ensures that the main box with the nodes is not in a high heat environment. The external network is much more likely to be a wireless 802.11g based infrastructure. Bluetooth and infrared are not preferred due to low bandwidth. There is a grid of wireless access points from where the connection is established. The computers that the users may use to submit the jobs and administering the cluster are portable (laptops) with PCMCIA NIC. A potable air-conditioning unit, near the node’s rack, will ensure the necessary working temperature.

As always, there is a third solution. In other words, a system is permanently installed but there is the capability to move and work on it in another location. The following two figures demonstrate such a system working at its main site. There is the capability, however, to move and work on it at another location.

![Diagram of system on site](image39)

**Figure 39** System on site. The network is wired and all systems are connected to the cluster through this network.
Figure 40  System off site. Because the command was moved to a new position, the network for the system is wireless, and the users are scattered in a small area.

E.  SECURITY ISSUES

1. Overview

The approach of this thesis to the security issue is simply to investigate the vulnerabilities of the cluster. The tool provided for a general idea of what is happening is the firewall form of the Linux GUI.

2. Firewall

The use of the Linux firewall is straightforward. It can be found in the GUI environment of the Red Hat under the “System Settings” as “Security Level”. The tool is named “Security Level Configuration”. It is possible to set the firewall for the available protocols – services to the available interfaces. The following figure shows the configured firewall.
In this experiment, only the default behavior of the system is shown. The most straightforward way to discover the drawbacks in security is to use a vulnerability scanner.

3. **nmap**

The tool, nmap, is selected, which is a well-known tool available for all OS platforms from www.insecure.org. All the installation and run procedures will be presented step by step.

First, install the nmap tool directly from www.insecure.org. The most recent version is 3.50. It is necessary to install two files (RPM), as seen below while logged in as root. Next, issue the command:

```
[root@frontend-0 /]# rpm -vhU http://download.insecure.org/nmap/dist/nmap-3.50-1.i386.rpm
Retrieving http://download.insecure.org/nmap/dist/nmap-3.50-1.i386.rpm
Preparing...  .................................................................................................................... [100%]
l:nmap

[root@frontend-0 /]# rpm -vhU http://download.insecure.org/nmap/dist/nmap-frontend-3.50-1.i386.rpm
Retrieving http://download.insecure.org/nmap/dist/nmap-frontend-3.50-1.i386.rpm
Preparing...  .................................................................................................................... [100%]
l:nmap-frontend
```
The following command is issued to ascertain the location of the relevant files in the directory structure:

```
[root@frontend-0 /]# find . -name nmap -print
./usr/share/nmap
./usr/bin/nmap
```

Now, scan the external IP address: –v means Verbose, -sS means TCP SYN stealth port scan, -sU means UDP port scan. The results follow.

```
[root@frontend-0 /]# nmap -v -sS -sU 131.120.5.50
Starting nmap 3.50 ( http://www.insecure.org/nmap/ ) at 2004-05-13 11:57 PDT
Host cluster.cs.nps.navy.mil (131.120.5.50) appears to be up ... good.
Initiating SYN Stealth Scan against cluster.cs.nps.navy.mil (131.120.5.50) at 11:57

... ...

Interesting ports on cluster.cs.nps.navy.mil (131.120.5.50):
(The 3110 ports scanned but not shown below are in state: closed)
PORT      STATE SERVICE  
22/tcp    open  ssh
25/tcp    open  smtp
53/tcp    open  domain
53/udp    open  domain
67/udp    open  dhcpserver
69/udp    open  tftp
80/tcp    open  http
111/tcp   open  rpcbind
111/udp   open  rpcbind
123/udp   open  ntp
443/tcp   open  https
514/udp   open  syslog
535/tcp   open  iiopt
697/udp   open  unknown
700/tcp   open  unknown
716/udp   open  unknown
719/tcp   open  unknown
818/udp   open  unknown
2049/tcp  open  nfs
2049/udp  open  nfs
3000/tcp  open  ppp
3306/tcp  open  mysql
6000/tcp  open  X11
32768/udp open  omad
32771/tcp open  sometimes-rpc5
32771/udp open  sometimes-rpc6
32773/tcp open  sometimes-rpc9

Nmap run completed -- 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 11.611 seconds
```

```
[root@frontend-0 /]# nmap -v -sS -sU 10.1.1.1
Starting nmap 3.50 ( http://www.insecure.org/nmap/ ) at 2004-05-13 11:59 PDT
Host frontend-0.local (10.1.1.1) appears to be up ... good.
Initiating SYN Stealth Scan against frontend-0.local (10.1.1.1) at 11:59

... ...

Interesting ports on frontend-0.local (10.1.1.1):
(The 3110 ports scanned but not shown below are in state: closed)
PORT      STATE SERVICE  
22/tcp    open  ssh
25/tcp    open  smtp
... ...
32771/tcp open  sometimes-rpc5
```
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An explanation will be attempted as to why these ports are open, which will help clarify how the system works behind the scenes. From the “well known ports list”, [62] it is possible to discern the following.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Port number</th>
<th>service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22/tcp</td>
<td>SSH Remote Login Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/tcp</td>
<td>Simple Mail Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53/tcp</td>
<td>Domain Name Server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53/udp</td>
<td>Domain Name Server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67/udp</td>
<td>Bootstrap Protocol Server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69/udp</td>
<td>Trivial File Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80/tcp</td>
<td>HTTP server - client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111/tcp</td>
<td>SUN Remote Procedure Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111/udp</td>
<td>SUN Remote Procedure Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123/udp</td>
<td>Network Time Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>443/tcp</td>
<td>http protocol over TLS/SSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>514/udp</td>
<td>automatic authentication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535/tcp</td>
<td>iiop, see below for node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>697/udp</td>
<td>UUIDGEN generate a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700/tcp</td>
<td>Extensible Provisioning Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>716/udp, 719/tcp, 818/udp</td>
<td>713-728 &amp; 811-827 Unassigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2049/tcp</td>
<td>nfs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2049/udp</td>
<td>nfs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following entry records an unassigned but widespread use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Port number</th>
<th>service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3000/tcp</td>
<td>RemoteWare Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000/tcp</td>
<td>ppp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3306/tcp</td>
<td>mysql</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000/tcp</td>
<td>X Window System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32768/udp</td>
<td>omad *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32771/tcp, 32771/udp, 32773/tcp</td>
<td>remote procedure call</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 24  Ports Open in the System.

Except for the 697-818 range with the unknown indication, the remaining are legitimate ports. This range of TCP and UDP ports is used by the Rocks implementation.
For the omad, it was not possible to find anything. The command netstat was used to resolve this issue, which demonstrates the open ports along with the PID that uses each port. For the 32768 port, note the presence of a remote procedure call.

```
[root@frontend-0 root]# netstat --inet -ap
Active Internet connections (servers and established)
Proto Recv-Q Send-Q Local Address Foreign Address State PID/Program name
tcp 0 0 *:32768        *:*          LISTEN    1441/rpc.statd
... ...
```

The aforementioned method was used to resolve any questions about ports that are open, and who is using them.

It is now possible to do the scan for the nodes after pinging to reveal the IP’s. The results show that all of them have TCP ports 22, 80, 111, 199, 443 and 535. No UDP port is open to the nodes.

The 22 port is used by the ssh, the 80 port by the httpd for the ganglia monitor, the 111 port for rcpbind, the connection for communication between the node and front end, the 199 port for unknown reasons, the 443 port for secure http, and the 535 port for iiop.

IIOP is the Internet Inter-ORB Protocol, a protocol developed by the industry consortium known as the Object Management Group (OMG) to implement COBRA solutions over the World Wide Web. IIOP enables browsers and servers to exchange integers, arrays, and more complex objects, unlike HTTP, which only supports the transmission of text. COBRA stands for Common Object Request Broker Architecture, an architecture that enables pieces of programs, called objects, to communicate with one another regardless of the programming language in which they were written or on which operating system they are running. CORBA was developed by an Object Management Group ( OMG).

The results for the sake of space are presented only for one node.

```
[root@frontend-0 /]# nmap -v -sS -sU 10.255.255.253
Starting nmap 3.50 ( http://www.insecure.org/nmap/ ) at 2004-05-13 12:03 PDT
Host compute-0-1.local (10.255.255.253) appears to be up ... good.
Initiating SYN Stealth Scan against compute-0-1.local (10.255.255.253) at 12:03
Adding open port 111/tcp
Adding open port 443/tcp
Adding open port 535/tcp
Adding open port 199/tcp
Adding open port 22/tcp
Adding open port 80/tcp
The SYN Stealth Scan took 2 seconds to scan 1659 ports.
Initiating UDP Scan against compute-0-1.local (10.255.255.253) at 12:04
Too many drops ... increasing senddelay to 50000
caught SIGINT signal, cleaning up
```
F. WEB SERVICES

The investigation to ascertain where web services are used in clusters did not provide many results. Some information about Microsoft clusters using Microsoft products such as MS SQL server was found, but little was discovered, in particular, about Beowulf clusters.

The concept is similar for the database server. The application is shared on the Internet, using a series of active server pages or other similar technology residing on the front-end. No easy way exists to partition it to take advantage of the computational power of the nodes.

Another approach was tried to find a site and ascertain its actual use, which is the application running on the system. The site with the web services application may provide resources for research. The www.500top.org, unfortunately, does not have specific uses. There is a general distinction between government or academia or industry but no details. Another similar site, http://clusters.top500.org/, was found. This is another site that tries to gather the 500 supercomputers. This site contains a more detailed description of the 260 computers currently registered. From a query in their database, it is possible to see that 73 supercomputers do not register their usage. As for the rest, none is used in a manner in which web services are implemented. Some sample uses are shown in the next table.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of computers</th>
<th>Application area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Academic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Artificial Intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Astrophysics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bioinformatics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Experimental Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Computational Chemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Meteorological Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Physics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Scientific Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 25 Use of Supercomputers.

Only one indicates commercial uses and nothing else.

In order to understand that the system is responding well to a possible web application, a small experiment was conducted. A series of XML pages were created where they were stored in var/www/xmp_test/. These are a project from [4] and concern a “refrigerator service office.”

The overall impression, as expected, is that the system responded well and worked with the application without problems. The results are shown in the following two figures where two different browsers are used to retrieve the web page. Mozilla fails to retrieve the results, while Microsoft Internet Explorer has better output.

![XML Results from Mozilla browser. The browser fails to translate the results.](image.png)
Figure 43  XML Results from IE browser. The browser translates the results in an efficient way.
A. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the experience gained in setting up this cluster, several conclusions can be drawn.

The installation of such a system requires significant Linux operating system expertise. An implementer should also be familiar the accompanying tools and utilities of the Linux OS. It is difficult for a person not experienced in UNIX to accomplish a completely correct installation. Solutions for problems are not always instantly available and time has to be spent searching several resources. The manuals do not cover all possible problems. Scripting in Linux is difficult for users that are not familiar with it. There are a lot of information, ideas, and tools to master.

The heterogeneity of our system resulted in a number of problems during the installation process. It is better to avoid using older or mixed configuration machines to build a cluster. Using only a limited number of standardized machines—preferably one type only—simplifies the installation.

When debugging a problem start from the simplest possible cause. For example, when you do not have connectivity between two nodes instead of using ethereal to check if the packages depart or arrive, check to see if the cable is securely plugged in.

In the beginning the thesis aspired to cover too many interesting topics. Limit the scope of the objectives to be achieved in new installations. It will take longer than you expect to accomplish what you want.

At least some background in programming is necessary to finish the project with satisfactory results.
B. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

1. IPv6 and the Grid

   This was one of the goals of our project but due to problems scheduling the installation of fiber optic cable it was not accomplished. The intent was to connect two NPS clusters within the campus network. The task could be decomposed into several steps. First is to establish a connection with native IPv6 traffic across the campus. Second is to install the dual protocol (IPv4/IPv6) stack on the cluster’s front end. Third is to investigate the grid software and to choose an appropriate tool distribution kit. This last one is a quite challenging task, because the grid by itself is a cutting-edge technology that requires up to date information and knowledge. While the Rocks cluster software includes the Globus Grid Toolkit, at least two connected clusters are needed to investigate the concept.

2. Acquisition of a New Rack Based System

   Many vendors are able to provide turn-key cluster systems. During the project an effort has been made to collect data about a possible system, and have had some contact with a contractor that installed another cluster on campus. Any new system to implement a cluster needs to be a rack-based system rather than a blade server.

   Rack-mounted units can be redeployed for other uses if the need arises. It is common for compute clusters to be refreshed with more modern CPUs every few years to maintain the cluster’s lead over other computing alternatives. When this happens the old units can be redeployed as web servers, firewalls, routers, fileservers, and other less demanding tasks. Or the rack-mounted units can be split into two clusters or moved between clusters. This flexibility is more difficult to achieve with blade servers.

   The students that will deal with this will find it easier to have a well known hardware platform rather than a blade server, which tends to be vendor-specific. (Though recently IBM and Intel recently announced an attempt to standardize blade server interfaces.) While in some situations the density of blade servers is an advantage, in a fast-changing academic environment the disadvantages tend to outweigh the advantages.
4. Simkit

Simkit is a Java toolkit for general purpose discrete event simulation. Simkit is fairly widespread in the academic environment and has been used in some military simulations, such as Combat XXI. During this thesis some small, example Simkit programs were run on the cluster.

More on this direction could be achieved. Discrete event simulation (DES) can be an “embarrassingly parallel” application that is well suited for clusters. Most DES experiments involve repeated runs with only parameter changes for random number generators, slight changes to parameter inputs, and so on. Each of these experimental runs can be performed on a different compute node of the cluster.

This is a significant application area for the MOVES Institute and for military simulations. It has the potential to be a very significant new capability in the military modeling and simulation application domain, and to open new horizons in modeling and simulation experiments. This project can be done to the current experimental Rocks cluster, or to the possible next acquired cluster.

5. MPI Programming

MPI is a message-passing API for parallel programming. MPI programming requires the programmer to have knowledge of the MPI classes and APIs, and to be aware of parallel programming concepts. Typically the programming can be done in Fortran, C or C++. MPI programming requires a significant investment in time to do achieve the level of competence needed to write significant applications.

6. Web Services Investigation

The web services on clusters are an emerging technology, and the best approach is probably to experiment with small applications. These could be the use of a “web enabled database”. The creation of such a project is possible with a number of ways. The use of XHTML for the web pages along to access to a PHP-enabled Web server such as the Apache. Apache is of course the web server running in our cluster. The Mysql could be enough for database environment. The use of XML is also possible.

But the main purpose is to investigate how the http requests from the clients to the front-end web server can be distributed to the nodes. So this system could take much
more simultaneous http requests and can process them in a more efficient way. Now in
the case that there is a database, this must reside in more than one node. This design is up
to the application and how the load must be distributed.

The web services concept is much more than the above. The possible implementa-
tion depends on a variety of areas of interest.

7. Database Use Investigation

As with web services this is an area that there is not a lot of information. What is
needed is the creation of knowledge with the use of small step by step experiments. This
project could be a part of the web services investigation for utilizing all the nodes of the
cluster to serve a database.

8. OSCAR Installation

Another easy task would be the installation of OSCAR, one of the other open
source software package for implementing a cluster. This could be done in parallel with
the installation of Rocks in a possible new system. OSCAR needs exactly the same
background as Rocks thus the cluster administrator must be proficient in Linux, and must
have a lot of patience.

9. Xj3D Offline Rendering and Physics Interactions

Xj3D is a project of the Web3D Consortium [66] focused on creating a toolkit for
VRML97 and X3D content written completely in Java. VRML stands for Virtual Reality
Modeling Language. Rendering is the result of interpretation of some code, e.g. VRML.
In computer graphics, rendering is the process of producing an image from more abstract
image information, such as 3D computer graphics information (typically consisting of
geometry, viewpoint, texture and lighting information). In order to move in this direction
to the cluster environment the parallel rendering scheme on distributed memory multi-
processors needs to be established. There is a number of sites that started investigating
this concept [67].
APPENDIX A. DESCRIPTION OF THE HPL.DAT FILE

The HPL.dat is the file used as an input file for the HPL benchmark. A sample HPL.dat file with a definition for each line follows. It is the same file from page 78 used in one of the experiments.

```
HPLinpack benchmark input file
MOVES institute, HPC team
HPL.out      output file name (if any)
file         device out (6=stdout,7=stderr,file)
4            # of problems sizes (N)
1500     3000     6000    10000 Ns
2            # of NBs
50 60 NBs
1            # of process grids (P x Q)
1 Ps
1 Qs
16.0         threshold
3            # of panel fact
0 1 2         PFACTs (0=left, 1=Crout, 2=Right)
1            # of recursive stopping criterium
8            NBMINs (>= 1)
1            # of panels in recursion
2            NDIVs
1            # of recursive panel fact.
2            RFACTs (0=left, 1=Crout, 2=Right)
1            # of broadcast
1            BCASTs (0=1rg,1=1rM,2=2rg,3=2rM,4=Lng,5=LnM)
1            # of lookahead depth
1            DEPTHS (>=0)
2            SWAP (0=bin-exch,1=long,2=mix)
80           swapping threshold
0            L1 in (0=transposed,1=no-transposed) form
0            U  in (0=transposed,1=no-transposed) form
1            Equilibration (0=no,1=yes)
8            memory alignment in double (> 0)
```

**Line 1:** (unused) Typically one may use this line for its own good. For example, it may be used to summarize the content of the input file. By default this line reads:

```
HPL Linpack benchmark input file
```

**Line 2:** (unused) same as line 1. By default this line reads:

```
MOVES institute, HPC team
```

**Line 3:** the user can choose where the output might be redirected to. In the case of a file, a name is necessary, and this is the line where one wants to specify it. Only the first name on this line is significant. By default, the line reads:

WeBWorK
HPL.out output file name (if any)

This means that if one chooses to redirect the output to a file, the file will be called “HPL.out.” The rest of the line is unused, and this space to put some informative comment on the meaning of this line.

**Line 4:** This line specifies where the output might go. The line is formatted, it must begin with a positive integer, the rest is unsignificant. 3 choices are possible for the positive integer, 6 means that the output will go the standard output, 7 means that the output will go to the standard error. Any other integer means that the output might be redirected to a file, which name has been specified in the line above. This line by default reads:

```
6        device out (6=stdout,7=stderr,file)
```

which means that the output generated by the executable might be redirected to the standard output.

**Line 5:** This line specifies the number of problem sizes to be executed. This number must be less than or equal to 20. The first integer is significant, the rest is ignored. If the line reads:

```
3        # of problems sizes (N)
```

this means that the user is willing to run 3 problem sizes that will be specified in the next line.

**Line 6:** This line specifies the problem sizes one wants to run. Assuming the line above started with 3, the 3 first positive integers are significant, the rest is ignored. For example:

```
3000 6000 10000    Ns
```

means that one wants xhpl to run 3 (specified in line 5) problem sizes, namely 3000, 6000 and 10000.

**Line 7:** This line specifies the number of block sizes to be run. This number must be less than or equal to 20. The first integer is significant, the rest is ignored. If the line reads:

```
5        # of NBs
```

this means that the user is willing to use 5 block sizes that will be specified in the next line.
**Line 8:** This line specifies the block sizes one wants to run. Assuming the line above started with 5, the 5 first positive integers are significant, the rest is ignored. For example:

```
80 100 120 140 160 NBs
```

means that one wants xhpl to use 5 (specified in line 7) block sizes, namely 80, 100, 120, 140 and 160.

**Line 9:** This line specifies how the MPI processes may be mapped onto the nodes of your platform. There are currently two possible mappings, namely row- and column-major. This feature is mainly useful when these nodes are themselves multi-processor computers. A row-major mapping is recommended.

**Line 10:** This line specifies the number of process grid to be runned. This number must be less than or equal to 20. The first integer is significant, the rest is ignored. If the line reads:

```
2  # of process grids (P x Q)
```

this means that you are willing to try 2 process grid sizes that will be specified in the next line.

**Line 11-12:** These two lines specify the number of process rows and columns of each grid you want to run on. Assuming the line above (10) started with 2, the 2 first positive integers of those two lines are significant, the rest is ignored. For example:

```
1 2  Ps
6 8  Qs
```

means that one wants to run xhpl on 2 process grids (line 10), namely 1-by-6 and 2-by-8. Note: In this example, it is required then to start xhpl on at least 16 nodes (max of P multiplied by Qi). The runs on the two grids will be consecutive. If one was starting xhpl on more than 16 nodes, say 52, only 6 would be used for the first grid (1x6) and then 16 (2x8) would be used for the second grid. The fact that you started the MPI job on 52 nodes, will not make HPL use all of them. In this example, only 16 would be used. If one wants to run xhpl with 52 processes one needs to specify a grid of 52 processes, for example the following lines would do the job:

```
4 2  Ps
13 8  Qs
```

**Line 13:** This line specifies the threshold to which the residuals should be compared with. The residuals should be or order 1, but are in practice slightly less than this,
typically 0.001. This line is made of a real number, the rest is not significant. For example:

16.0 threshold

In practice, a value of 16.0 will cover most cases. For various reasons, it is possible that some of the residuals become slightly larger, say for example 35.6. xhpl will flag those runs as failed, however they can be considered as correct. A run should be considered as failed if the residual is a few order of magnitude bigger than 1 for example $10^6$ or more. Note: if one was to specify a threshold of 0.0, all tests would be flagged as failed, even though the answer is likely to be correct. It is allowed to specify a negative value for this threshold, in which case the checks will be by-passed, no matter what the threshold value is, as soon as it is negative. This feature allows to save time when performing a lot of experiments, say for instance during the tuning phase. Example:

-16.0 threshold

The remaining lines allow to specifies algorithmic features. xhpl will run all possible combinations of those for each problem size, block size, process grid combination. This is handy when one looks for an “optimal” set of parameters. To understand a little bit better, let say first a few words about the algorithm implemented in HPL. Basically this is a right-looking version with row-partial pivoting. The panel factorization is matrix-matrix operation based and recursive, dividing the panel into NDIV sub panels at each step. This part of the panel factorization is denoted below by “recursive panel fact. (RFACT).” The recursion stops when the current panel is made of less than or equal to NBMIN columns. At that point, xhpl uses a matrix-vector operation based factorization denoted below by “PFACTs.” Classic recursion would then use NDIV=2, NBMIN=1. There are essentially 3 numerically equivalent LU factorization algorithm variants (left-looking, Crout and right-looking). In HPL, one can choose every one of those for the RFACT, as well as the PFACT. The following lines of HPL.dat allows you to set those parameters.

Lines 14-21: (Example 1)

3    # of panel fact
0 1 2 PFACTs (0=left, 1=Crout, 2=Right)
4    # of recursive stopping criterium
1 2 4 8 NBMINs (>= 1)
3    # of panels in recursion
2 3 4 NDIVs
3   # of recursive panel fact.

0 1 2   RFACTs (0=left, 1=Crout, 2=Right)

This example would try all variants of PFACT, 4 values for NBMIN, namely 1, 2, 4 and 8, 3 values for NDIV namely 2, 3 and 4, and all variants for RFACT.

Lines 14-21: (Example 2)

2   # of panel fact

2 0   PFACTs (0=left, 1=Crout, 2=Right)

2   # of recursive stopping criterium

4 8   NBMINs (>= 1)

1   # of panels in recursion

2   NDIVs

1   # of recursive panel fact.

2   RFACTs (0=left, 1=Crout, 2=Right)

This example would try 2 variants of PFACT namely right looking and left looking, 2 values for NBMIN, namely 4 and 8, 1 value for NDIV namely 2, and one variant for RFACT.

In the main loop of the algorithm, the current panel of column is broadcast in process rows using a virtual ring topology. HPL offers various choices and one most likely want to use the increasing ring modified encoded as 1. 3 and 4 are also good choices.

Lines 22-23: (Example 1)

1   # of broadcast

1   BCASTs (0=1rg,1=1rM,2=2rg,2=2rM,4=Lng,5=LnM)

This will cause HPL to broadcast the current panel using the increasing ring modified topology.

Lines 22-23: (Example 2)
This will cause HPL to broadcast the current panel using the increasing ring virtual topology and the long message algorithm.

**Lines 24-25** allow to specify the look-ahead depth used by HPL. A depth of 0 means that the next panel is factorized after the update by the current panel is completely finished. A depth of 1 means that the next panel is immediately factorized after being updated. The update by the current panel is then finished. A depth of k means that the k next panels are factorized immediately after being updated. The update by the current panel is then finished. It turns out that a depth of 1 seems to give the best results, but may need a large problem size before one can see the performance gain. So use 1, if you do not know better, otherwise you may want to try 0. Look-ahead of depths 3 and larger will probably not give you better results.

**Lines 24-25: (Example 1):**

1   # of lookahead depth

1   DEPTHS (>=0)

This will cause HPL to use a look-ahead of depth 1.

**Lines 24-25: (Example 2):**

2   # of lookahead depth

0 1   DEPTHS (>=0)

This will cause HPL to use a look-ahead of depths 0 and 1.

**Lines 26-27** allow specifying the swapping algorithm used by HPL for all tests. There are currently two swapping algorithms available, one based on “binary exchange” and the other one based on a “spread-roll” procedure (also called “long” below). For large problem sizes, this last one is likely to be more efficient. The user can also choose to mix both variants, that is “binary-exchange” for a number of columns less than a threshold value, and then the “spread-roll” algorithm. This threshold value is then specified on Line 27.

**Lines 26-27: (Example 1):**

1   SWAP (0=bin-exch,1=long,2=mix)

60   swapping threshold
This will cause HPL to use the “long” or “spread-roll” swapping algorithm. Note that a threshold is specified in that example but not used by HPL.

**Lines 26-27: (Example 2):**

2   SWAP (0=bin-exch,1=long,2=mix)

60  swapping threshold

This will cause HPL to use the “long” or “spread-roll” swapping algorithm as soon as there is more than 60 columns in the row panel. Otherwise, the “binary-exchange” algorithm will be used instead.

**Line 28** allows to specify whether the upper triangle of the panel of columns should be stored in no-transposed or transposed form. Example:

0   L1 in (0=transposed,1=no-transposed) form

**Line 29** allows to specify whether the panel of rows U should be stored in no-transposed or transposed form. Example:

0   U  in (0=transposed,1=no-transposed) form

**Line 30** enables / disables the equilibration phase. This option will not be used unless you selected 1 or 2 in Line 26. Example:

1   Equilibration (0=no,1=yes)

**Line 31** allows to specify the alignment in memory for the memory space allocated by HPL. On modern machines, one probably wants to use 4, 8 or 16. This may result in a tiny amount of memory wasted. Example:

8   memory alignment in double (> 0)
APPENDIX B. DETAILED RESULTS OF HIGH PERFORMANCE LINPACK (HPL)

The following table displays the results of the benchmark runs made to the MOVES cluster. For every run, an average is computed, and for every series of runs with the same parameters, a general average is computed as well.

The N is the problem size, the NB is the block size, and the P and Q are the process grids.

These results are presented graphically on page 87.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Average of all tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4760</td>
<td>0.5876</td>
<td>0.6359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5938</td>
<td>0.5995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6353</td>
<td>0.5880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4807</td>
<td>0.5772</td>
<td>0.6419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5917</td>
<td>0.6027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6443</td>
<td>0.5898 0.5889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2780</td>
<td>1.2830</td>
<td>1.2910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2960</td>
<td>1.2870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2950</td>
<td>1.2883 1.2883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3750</td>
<td>1.4940</td>
<td>1.4543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3850</td>
<td>1.4640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4680</td>
<td>1.4390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>RESULTS</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Average of all tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5280</td>
<td>1.5337</td>
<td>1.4757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5680</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5550</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5720</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5720</td>
<td>1.5675</td>
<td>1.5675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7420</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7420</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7960</td>
<td>1.7535</td>
<td>1.7535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7490</td>
<td>1.7480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7670</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7740</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7660</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7710</td>
<td>1.7643</td>
<td>1.7562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7910</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7940</td>
<td>1.7907</td>
<td>1.7907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8070</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7990</td>
<td>1.8027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8090</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7960</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7950</td>
<td>1.8050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>RESULTS</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Average of all tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8065</td>
<td>1.8047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2884</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3337</td>
<td>0.2600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3190</td>
<td>0.2522</td>
<td>0.2561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6810</td>
<td>0.4476</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5578</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5928</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6371</td>
<td>0.5959</td>
<td>0.5217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8703</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8667</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9212</td>
<td>0.8861</td>
<td>0.8861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0570</td>
<td>1.0317</td>
<td>1.0317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5290</td>
<td>1.5310</td>
<td>1.5310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5280</td>
<td>1.5293</td>
<td>1.5293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>RESULTS</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Average of all tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5570</td>
<td>1.4357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7140</td>
<td>1.7050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7090</td>
<td>1.7093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7540</td>
<td>1.7570</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7480</td>
<td>1.7530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7530</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3088</td>
<td>0.2982</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3415</td>
<td>0.3162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2962</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2912</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3262</td>
<td>0.3045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6054</td>
<td>0.6022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6027</td>
<td>0.6034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5676</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5671</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5953</td>
<td>0.5767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8512</td>
<td>0.8461</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8862</td>
<td>0.8612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8612</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9914</td>
<td>0.9912</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0190</td>
<td>1.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3070</td>
<td>1.3370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0670</td>
<td>1.2370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5200</td>
<td>1.6750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6400</td>
<td>1.6117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>RESULTS</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Average of all tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7650</td>
<td>1.7590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7540</td>
<td>1.7590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8040</td>
<td>1.8000</td>
<td>1.7990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8010</td>
<td>1.8010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.556</td>
<td>1.722</td>
<td>1.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.663</td>
<td>1.6630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.823</td>
<td>1.823</td>
<td>1.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8163</td>
<td>1.8163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.974</td>
<td>1.976</td>
<td>1.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9663</td>
<td>1.9663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.215</td>
<td>2.243</td>
<td>2.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.233</td>
<td>2.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.318</td>
<td>2.314</td>
<td>2.30367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3067</td>
<td>2.30367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.324</td>
<td>2.324</td>
<td>2.31867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.31867</td>
<td>2.2851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.472</td>
<td>2.477</td>
<td>2.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.473</td>
<td>2.4730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.544</td>
<td>2.551</td>
<td>2.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.54167</td>
<td>2.5417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.164</td>
<td>2.533</td>
<td>2.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.406</td>
<td>2.4060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>RESULTS</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Average of all tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.706</td>
<td>2.693</td>
<td>2.696 2.69833 2.6983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.605 2.63767 2.6377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.685</td>
<td>2.623</td>
<td>2.616 2.62 2.6200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.623</td>
<td>2.621</td>
<td>2.514 2.53567 2.5357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.548</td>
<td>2.545</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.605</td>
<td>2.63767</td>
<td>was never completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.605</td>
<td>2.63767</td>
<td>was never completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>0.0349</td>
<td>0.0346 0.0344 0.0344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0410</td>
<td>0.0407</td>
<td>0.0417 0.0411 0.0411</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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