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Improved Ultrasonic Imaging of the Breast
William F. Walker, Ph.D.

Technical Abstract:
Ultrasonic imaging has become an increasingly important tool for the diagnosis of breast cancer. While x-ray mammography remains the standard for screening, ultrasound is widely used to differentiate fluid filled cysts from solid masses, to guide invasive biopsy procedures, and even to differentiate between benign and malignant lesions. Ultrasound is an attractive choice for these applications because it exposes the patient to no ionizing radiation, requires no uncomfortable breast compression, produces images in real-time, and can successfully image young women with radiographically dense breasts. Although it offers many advantages, ultrasound is limited by the fact that certain soft tissues may appear only subtly different and that microcalcifications (MCs) are not reliably visualized. The inability to reliably image MCs is particularly troublesome because these small calcium crystals are an important mammographic indicator of breast cancer.

This grant would support career development by reducing teaching load to provide time for expanded research efforts and clinical training. If funded, my teaching load would fall from three courses per academic year to one course per academic year. I have been supported by the University of Virginia by provision of excellent laboratory space within the Biomedical Engineering Department, Medical Research Building 4, and occasionally in the Department of Radiology. This laboratory space is well equipped with test equipment, an ophthalmic ultrasound system, a custom ultrasound system, and a GE Logiq 700 ultrasonic imaging system with research interface. The custom system has precise timing control, making it an excellent platform for development of new imaging and flow estimation algorithms. The GE system is coupled to an extended research interface which allows acquisition of up to 32 MB of raw ultrasound echo data. This system is also supported by custom research software, allowing control of aperture geometry and a variety of other system parameters.

The main goals of this grant are:

1. Research in angular scatter imaging using the translating apertures algorithm.

The first aim will apply the Translating Apertures Algorithm (TAA) to form images of the angular scatter parameters of tissue. Since the TAA observes the same speckle pattern with angle of interrogation (for omnidirectional scatterers), it eliminates the statistical variability which plagued earlier techniques. This property makes it possible for the TAA to acquire independent, statistically reliable angular scatter profiles at every location in tissue. The specific aims of this research are:
1. Determine bias and variance of angular scatter measurements performed with the TAA.
2. Form novel images using angular scatter data from multiple angles.
3. Implement angular scatter imaging in combination with spatial compounding.

Each of these aims will be performed using experimental phantom data, experimental human tissue data, and computer simulations. All experiments will be performed on a GE Logiq 700 imaging system with a special research interface. The images obtained in aims 2 and 3 will be formed offline using experimental data obtained from the GE Logic 700 system described above.

The techniques developed under this grant will likely improve the visibility of MCs and should also enhance the contrast of soft tissue lesions. Since tumors are known to have different concentrations of extracellular matrix proteins they would be expected to exhibit angular scatter responses different from that of normal tissue. Since MCs have a much higher mass density that their surrounding tissue, they would be expected to exhibit a much greater angular scatter variation than soft tissues.
We have already begun development of one method of angular scatter imaging. In this method we form two separate images of tissue; one which highlights the component of scattering which is uniform with angle (c-weighted image), and a second which highlights the scattering component which varies with angle (d-weighted image). Simulation results indicate that c- and d-weighted images may offer significant new information about soft tissue, and that they will almost certainly improve the detectability of MCs with ultrasound.

The techniques developed here should allow detection of previously invisible tumors, especially in women with radiodense breasts. Furthermore they should improve the accuracy of image guided biopsy procedures by enhancing the visibility of MCs.

I believe that it is my job to develop new technologies which can be implemented to improve patient care. While my knowledge of engineering continues to evolve, I have become increasingly aware of limitations in my knowledge of clinical medicine. If this proposal is funded I will invest a significant amount of time to educate myself in the clinical methods of breast cancer diagnosis and treatment. I will observe breast imaging, biopsy, and surgical procedures to gain first hand knowledge of the strengths and weaknesses of existing methods. I believe that these observations will illuminate new directions of research.
Narrative:

The main goal of this project is the validation of angular scatter ultrasonic imaging as a useful method for improving the detection of microcalcifications and the differentiation of benign and malignant breast tissues. In the first year of support we successfully implemented the Translating Apertures Algorithm (TAA) on the GE Logiq 700MR imaging system located in the PI’s laboratory. We also showed that angular scatter imaging obtains information about both phantoms and human tissues that is not available through existing methods. In phantom experiments we showed that angular scatter imaging improved the contrast of microcalcification mimicking phantom materials by as much as a factor of 5.

Unfortunately our early in vivo work did not show the impressive results we expected. In the past year we have identified a number of problems that limited in vivo performance. Our spatial resolution has been limited because a relative small available aperture requires us to employ a small imaging aperture, and thus achieve poor spatial resolution. We attempted to circumvent this problem by increasing the effective aperture size, but found that as the aperture size increased (increasing spatial resolution) our ability to resolve variations in angular scatter was lost. Thus we identified a fundamental limit of angular scatter imaging with conventional methods: It is impossible to simultaneously achieve high spatial resolution and high angular resolution. In addition, our imaging system was not able to apply apodization so large sidelobes greatly degraded image contrast. Finally, throughout most of this second year we were plagued by phase errors between different scattering angles that were induced by hidden parameters within the GE system.

Much of the second year of this grant has been devoted to correcting for GE system effects and to developing techniques to simultaneously improve spatial resolution and angular resolution. The GE system effects were finally completely accounted for in August after extensive collaboration with K. Wayne Rigby at the GE Global Research Center. Dr. Rigby worked with the PI to identify and correct for a number of hidden system effects. In addition to the effects that Dr. Rigby identified, there were a few other effects that could only be corrected by empirical study. We have now completed a series of control experiments and confirmed that the there are no significant residual system effects.

To simultaneously optimize spatial resolution, image contrast, and angular resolution we have developed a novel synthetic aperture imaging method for angular scatter imaging. We have implemented this technique on the GE system and performed initial experiments indicating that we are in fact able to obtain excellent spatial and angular resolution. Unfortunately this approach has a requirement on spatial array sampling which is twice as restrictive as that seen for conventional beamforming. We have followed two strategies to address this problem. In the first, we modify our transmit pulse to utilize lower frequency components and thereby reduce the magnitude of grating lobes that would result from imaging at the typical frequencies for our arrays. In our second approach we slightly reduce the angular resolution to further reduce grating lobe magnitudes. So far these methods have combined to reduce grating lobe magnitudes by roughly 40 dB, or a factor of 100. Our final testing and implementation of this technique will be complete in the next few weeks. The developed synthetic aperture methods will allow us to form control images that rival those of the best ultrasound imaging systems available today. However, we will also be able to obtain angular scatter images with similar contrast and resolution. Once this method is functional we will repeat our phantom experiments and continue in vivo experiments.

In the coming year we will continue our experimental work and test many of our signal processing methods experimentally. We will modify the operation of the GE system to enhance image resolution while maintaining angular scatter resolution. We will also develop tools for angular scatter imaging on the Philips
SONOS 5500 imaging system now in our laboratory. The development of these tools is being led by an Electrical Engineering graduate student, Greg Yukl, who is supported by an NSF major research instrumentation grant for the development of such tools. We believe that the greater flexibility and signal fidelity of the SONOS system will improve angular scatter results and allow more general experimentation.

During 2003 we have initiated some new research directions. Jake Mann, a graduate student under the PI's direction, completed an M.S. thesis titled “A Constrained Adaptive Beamformer for Medical Ultrasound.” This thesis described a number of new methods that have great potential to improve the contrast and resolution of breast ultrasound. Mr. Mann presented early results at an international meeting and we have since received notice from the Army BCRP that an Idea Grant proposal based on this work has been recommended for funding. In addition to the constrained adaptive beamforming work we have also initiated the research on synthetic aperture methods described above.

The past year has seen a significant change in the makeup of our research team. Throughout the lifetime of this project laboratory work has been performed by doctoral candidate M. Jason McAllister. Unfortunately Mr. McAllister’s progress has not proceeded at the rate that the PI expected or required. As a result, at the end of 2002, Mr. McAllister was presented with a detailed timeline describing expected progress. When he deviated failed to meet this timeline in Spring of 2003 his financial support was removed. This was not a step I took lightly or relished, but I felt it was necessary to move the project along. I have since recruited a new student to the project, Mr. Drake Guenther. Mr. Guenther has made excellent progress this fall, leading implementation and testing of the synthetic aperture methods described above.
Key Research Accomplishments:

a) Determine bias and variance of angular scatter measurements performed with the TAA.
   Simulate bias and variance
   Underway, initial results described in the following publications:
   “A Novel Beamformer Design Method for Medical Ultrasound: Part II: Simulation Results”
   “Novel Aperture Design Method for Improved Depth of Field in Ultrasound Imag.”
   “A Novel Aperture Design Method in Ultrasound Imaging”
   “Minimum Sum Squared Error (MSSE) Beamformer Design Techn.: Initial Results”
   “Angular Scatter Imaging: Clinical Results and Novel Processing Methods”

Measure bias and variance in phantoms
   Underway, initial results described in the following publications:
   “Angular Scatter Imaging: Clinical Results and Novel Processing Methods”

Develop and test methods for angle dependent weightings to compensate for limited element angular response
   Underway, initial results described in the following publications:
   “A Novel Beamformer Design Method for Medical Ultrasound: Part I: Theory”
   “A Novel Beamformer Design Method for Medical Ultrasound: Part II: Simulation Results”
   “Novel Aperture Design Method for Improved Depth of Field in Ultrasound Imag.”
   “A Novel Aperture Design Method in Ultrasound Imaging”
   “Minimum Sum Squared Error (MSSE) Beamformer Design Techn.: Initial Results”
   “Angular Scatter Imaging: Clinical Results and Novel Processing Methods”

Develop and test apodization schemes to compensate for apparent apodization due to element angular response
   Underway, initial results described in the following publications:
   “A Novel Beamformer Design Method for Medical Ultrasound: Part I: Theory”
   “A Novel Beamformer Design Method for Medical Ultrasound: Part II: Simulation Results”
   “Novel Aperture Design Method for Improved Depth of Field in Ultrasound Imag.”
   “A Novel Aperture Design Method in Ultrasound Imaging”
   “Minimum Sum Squared Error (MSSE) Beamformer Design Techn.: Initial Results”
   “Angular Scatter Imaging: Clinical Results and Novel Processing Methods”
Develop expressions relating correlation to variance

We are no longer working towards this original goal. Rather than comparing correlation and variance we have focused on the relationship between correlation and sum squared error. Sum squared error provides a more useful metric as it can form the basis of algorithms to improve system performance and contains the effects of both bias and variance. In the following initial papers we have considered the impact of improved sum squared error on correlation:

“A Novel Beamformer Design Method for Medical Ultrasound: Part II: Simulation Results”
“Novel Aperture Design Method for Improved Depth of Field in Ultrasound Imag.”
“A Novel Aperture Design Method in Ultrasound Imaging”
“Minimum Sum Squared Error (MSSE) Beamformer Design Techn.: Initial Results”
“Angular Scatter Imaging: Clinical Results and Novel Processing Methods”

b) Form novel images using angular scatter data from multiple angles.

(Using Rayleigh, Faran, and other models) Months 13-48

Although we have initiated work on this aim, we are not yet ready to report results.

Acquire data from tissue mimicking phantoms Months 7-30

Underway, initial results described in the following publications:

“A Constrained Adaptive Beamformer for Medical Ultrasound: Initial Results”
“Angular Scatter Imaging: Clinical Results and Novel Processing Methods”

Acquire data from human breast tissue Months 13-36

We are currently preparing to start work on this aim.

Form parametric images using polynomial fits Months 16-39

Although we have initiated work on this aim, we are not yet ready to report results.

Adapt Haider’s method to angular scatter imaging Months 19-42

We have not yet initiated work on this aim.

Image variance of angular scatter Months 16-39

Although we have initiated work on this aim, we are not yet ready to report results.

Develop other imaging methods Months 22-48

Although we have initiated work on this aim, we are not yet ready to report results.
Test methods to improve depth of field

Underway, initial results described in the following publications:
“A Novel Beamformer Design Method for Medical Ultrasound: Part II: Simulation Results”
“Novel Aperture Design Method for Improved Depth of Field in Ultrasound Imag.”
“A Novel Aperture Design Method in Ultrasound Imaging”
“Minimum Sum Squared Error (MSSE) Beamformer Design Techn.: Initial Results”
“Angular Scatter Imaging: Clinical Results and Novel Processing Methods”

Develop and test methods to detect specular reflectors

We have not yet initiated work on this aim.

Months 1-24

Implement angular scatter imaging in combination with spatial compounding.

We have not yet initiated work on this aim.

Implement TAA and spatial compounding on the GE

We have not yet initiated work on this aim.

Months 25-36

Develop methods to reduce the impact of limited element angular response

Underway, initial results described in the following publications:
“A Novel Beamformer Design Method for Medical Ultrasound: Part I: Theory”
“A Novel Beamformer Design Method for Medical Ultrasound: Part II: Simulation Results”
“Novel Aperture Design Method for Improved Depth of Field in Ultrasound Imag.”
“A Novel Aperture Design Method in Ultrasound Imaging”
“Minimum Sum Squared Error (MSSE) Beamformer Design Techn.: Initial Results”
“A Constrained Adaptive Beamformer for Medical Ultrasound: Initial Results”
“Angular Scatter Imaging: Clinical Results and Novel Processing Methods”

Test compounding with the TAA on phantoms

We have not yet initiated work on this aim.

Test compounding with the TAA on tissues

We have not yet initiated work on this aim.

Months 31-48

Months 37-48
Reportable Outcomes:
(Note that student authors are underlined.)

Refereed Publications:


Conference Presentations with Paper:


Conference Presentations with Abstract:

Presentations:


Oct., 2002 Philips Research Center, Paris France “Medical Ultrasound Research at UVA”
Mar., 2002  Phillips Medical Sys., Bothell, WA  
“Radiation Force, Angular Scatter, & Novel Beamforming”
Feb., 2002  IBM Watson Research Center, White Plains, NY  
“Compute & Storage Intensive Ultrasound Research”

**Patents:**


**New Funding Applications:**

“Angular Scatter Ultrasound Imaging in the Breast”, the National Institutes of Health, $1,794,454, funding requested from December 1, 2003 to November 30, 2008 (20% effort). (Proposal was scored at 186 but not funded. We will resubmit in 2004.)

“Constrained Adaptive Beamforming for Improved Contrast in Breast Ultrasound,” Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program, $429,488, funding requested from January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2006 (10% effort). (Proposal was scored at 1.5 and recommended for funding.)

“Shear Elastography for Breast Cancer Detection and Diagnosis,” Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program, $442,745, funding requested from January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2006 (10% effort). (Proposal was scored at 2.6 but not recommended for funding.)

“Ultrasonic Angular Scatter Imaging Using Synthetic Aperture Methods for Breast Imaging,” Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program, $442,745, funding requested from January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2006 (10% effort). (Proposal was scored at 1.5 but not recommended for funding.)
Conclusions:

Angular scatter imaging with ultrasound shows more promise now than it did at the initiation of this grant. Our experimental results indicate that angular scatter variations are significant in both phantoms and human tissues, and that they offer a source of contrast that is distinct from traditional backscatter. Unfortunately, it appears that the application of conventional beamforming methods may obscure angular scatter information by effectively trading angular resolution for spatial resolution. To circumvent this tradeoff we have invented novel synthetic aperture methods that achieve both high spatial resolution and high angular resolution. We have implemented these methods and are in early stages of testing them experimentally. In the coming year we will complete these tests, expand to phantom testing, and extend in vivo testing.
A Novel Beamformer Design Method for Medical Ultrasound. Part I: Theory
Karthik Ranganathan and William F. Walker, Member, IEEE

Abstract—The design of transmit and receive aperture weightings is a critical step in the development of ultrasound imaging systems. Current design methods are generally iterative, and consequently time consuming and ineffectual. We describe a new and general ultrasound beamforming design method, the minimum sum squared error (MSSE) technique. The MSSE technique enables aperture design for arbitrary beam patterns (within fundamental limitations imposed by diffraction). It uses a linear algebra formulation to describe the system point spread function (psf) as a function of the aperture weightings. The sum squared error (SSE) between the system psf and the desired or goal psf is minimized, yielding the optimal aperture weightings. We present detailed analysis for continuous wave (CW) and broadband systems. We also discuss several possible applications of the technique, such as the design of aperture weightings that improve the system depth of field, generate limited diffraction transmit beams, and improve the correlation depth of field in translated aperture system geometries. Simulation results are presented in an accompanying paper.

I. INTRODUCTION

ULTRASONIC imaging is an important medical diagnostic tool that entails four critical steps. An ultrasound waveform is first generated and transmitted by exciting a piezoelectric transducer with a suitable electric signal; usually a finite duration pulse. This transmitted ultrasound beam propagates through tissue, undergoing diffraction and attenuation as well as scattering and reflection at tissue interfaces. Next, the reflected or scattered echoes propagate back to the transducer where they are received and converted to electric signals. These received echoes are then processed and mapped to form an image. Simple ultrasound systems use a single large piezoelectric transducer to generate and receive ultrasound. However, state of the art systems use phased array technology similar to that used in contemporary radio and sonar. These systems use transducer arrays comprising a multitude of small transducer elements. Subsets of these elements form the active transducer aperture that is used for transmission and reception. The prime reason for the use of arrays is the fact that the independent operation of each element enables more control over the transmission and reception processes. On transmit, the application of distinct time delays [1] to the pulses used to excite each element focuses the transmitted ultrasound beam to a specific point. These delays are calculated using elementary geometry to compensate for differences between the path lengths from each element to the point of interest. In addition to these time delays, magnitude weights [1] may be applied to the elements (apodization) to control the shape of the ultrasonic beam. These weights are usually determined iteratively or adapted from windowing functions described in signal processing literature. These magnitude and phase weights play a critical role in determining system resolution and contrast. More advanced medical ultrasound systems enable not only transmit focusing and apodization, but also the generation of arbitrary transmit waveforms for each element.

On the receive side, state of the art systems change their focus dynamically as a function of time after transmit [1]. This means that the system is ideally focused at the point of origin of the echoes received at any time. In addition to dynamic focusing, these systems also implement dynamic apodization [1] to maintain a constant system f-number within the physical constraints of the transducer. The f-number is the ratio of the range being interrogated to the aperture size. Keeping the f-number constant throughout acquisition results in a more spatially invariant system response with range. Some systems have Finite Impulse Response (FIR) filters on each receive channel to apply focal time delay increments that are smaller than the system sampling interval. These filters usually have a few taps and fixed coefficients. Among other topics, this paper considers what might be possible if flexible dynamic FIR filters were placed on each channel. As we will show, such an alteration would enable tremendous control over the system response.

Ultrasound beam characteristics fundamentally affect image quality and the quality of data acquired for signal processing. Because of this significance, much of system design is dedicated to optimizing beam parameters. The two most important beam parameters are mainlobe width and sidelobe levels. Mainlobe width determines the system point resolution, and sidelobe levels determine the system contrast. As mentioned previously, these and other beam parameters can be adjusted by changing the magnitude and phase (or time delay) of the weightings applied to the active elements. These parameters are also influenced by the size of the active aperture (the number of active elements) and the frequency of the ultrasound pulse.
Magnitude weightings, phase weightings, aperture size, and operating frequency can each be adjusted to manipulate beam parameters. Unfortunately, these beamforming parameters do not act independently; altering one changes the impact of each of the others. Thus, beamformer design is a complicated multiparameter optimization problem. Because of this complexity, beamformer parameters are typically determined using a combination of ad hoc methods, simplified theory, and iterative simulation and experimentation. Although these methods are effective, they are time consuming and provide no guarantee that the optimal solution has been found. Therefore, there is a fundamental need to develop a design method that simultaneously considers the impact of all the controllable beamformer parameters in a straightforward and rigorous way.

We propose a general aperture design method, supported by rigorous theory, that can be applied in arbitrary system geometries to design apertures that optimize beam parameters. Our technique utilizes a linear algebra formulation of the sum squared error (SSE) between the system point spread function (psf) and the desired or goal psf. Minimization of this error yields unique aperture weightings that maximize the system psf's resemblance to the desired psf. A strength of our approach is that it utilizes system characteristics that may be obtained through theory, simulation, or experiments. Simulation results are presented in another paper [2].

Our method is similar to the technique used by Ebbini and Cain [3] to generate specialized beam patterns for hyperthermia, and by Li et al. [4] for the compensation of blocked elements. However, there are significant differences between these methods and the technique we describe. Chief among these is the fact that our technique is more general. It describes a method for the design of optimized apertures for any application, and enables the design of arbitrary beam patterns. Such patterns are, of course, fundamentally constrained by wavelength and aperture size. The designed beam simply will be that which most closely approximates the desired beam given the limitations of the physics. The differences between the MSSE technique and the techniques described in [3] and [4] are discussed in more detail later in this manuscript. This paper outlines the theoretical description of the MSSE technique for narrowband and broadband systems, describes a modified technique for reduced computational cost, and discusses a few examples of applications. Simulation results for these examples are described in [2].

II. THEORY

We present one-way and two-way continuous wave (CW) and broadband formulations of the MSSE technique. Please note that all operators and variables in the CW formulations are complex valued, and operators and variables in the broadband formulations are real valued.

A. Continuous Wave Formulation

1. One-Way Analysis: The phase and magnitude of the ultrasonic field at a point in space generated by an ultrasound transducer element depend upon several factors. These include the Euclidean distance between the point and the element, the orientation of the element relative to the point, the frequency of the emitted wave, and frequency-dependent attenuation of the medium. Assuming linear propagation, the complex ultrasonic field $p(x_i)$ at a point in space $x_i$ can be expressed as:

$$p(x_i) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(x_i; x) W(x) dx,$$  

(1)

where $x$ represents position in the aperture plane, $W(x)$ is the complex aperture weighting function, and $S(x_i; x)$ is a propagation function that incorporates any or all of the factors mentioned above. $S(x_i; x)$ determines the complex field at $x_i$ due to the aperture weighting at $x$. This propagation function may be determined through theory, simulations, or experiments. A simple propagation function can be formulated based on the Rayleigh-Sommerfeld diffraction equation, which is derived in ([5], pp. 46–50). The propagation function may also include limited element angular response using the formulation derived in [6], as well as other such complicating factors.

The formulation for the ultrasonic field can readily be discretized because the transducer aperture comprises a finite number of elements. Therefore, the field $p_j$ at a point $j$ due to an aperture of $N$ elements can be expressed as:

$$p_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i,j} w_i,$$  

(2)

where $s_{i,j}$ is the propagation function that determines the field at the point $j$ due to the $i$th element, and $w_i$ is the weighting applied to the $i$th element. Therefore, $p_j$ is the sum of the contribution of each element to the field at $j$. Note that this formalism makes no assumptions about the geometry or other characteristics of the transducer elements. Eq. (2) can be expressed in a matrix formulation as follows:

$$P_j = \begin{bmatrix} s_{1,j} & s_{2,j} & s_{3,j} & \cdots & s_{N,j} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ \vdots \\ w_N \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(3)

Therefore, the one-way $M$-point lateral psf at the range $z$ can be represented as:

$$P_z = \begin{bmatrix} s_{1,1} & s_{2,1} & \cdots & s_{N,1} \\ s_{1,2} & s_{2,2} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ s_{1,M} & \cdots & s_{N,M} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_N \end{bmatrix} = S_z W,$$  

(4)
where $S_z$ is an $M \times N$ matrix of propagation functions with $s_{i,j}$ denoting the propagation function that determines the field at the point $j$ due to the $i^{th}$ element, $W$ is an $N \times 1$ vector of aperture weightings, and the resulting psf $P_T$ is an $M \times 1$ vector. Note that our field point/column notation differs from the conventional row/column ordering. We have chosen this ordering for purposes of clarity and consistency within the manuscript, as will become more clear in the broadband formulation below. As mentioned previously, this formulation permits analysis with complicated propagation functions that may include limited element angular response, frequency dependent attenuation, and other factors that are difficult to model. Using the formulation in (4), the transmit and receive psfs at the range $z$ can be expressed as:

$$P_T = S_z T$$

and

$$P_R = S_z R,$$

respectively, where $T$ and $R$ are the transmit and receive aperture weightings, respectively.

Let $\hat{P}_T$ represent the desired one-way psf for the application of interest. We can then characterize the degree of similarity of the desired psf, $\hat{P}_T$, and the actual system psf, $P_T$, by the sum squared error between them. Minimizing this SSE would yield a system psf optimally similar to the goal psf. Therefore, beamformer design is simply the selection of transmit aperture weightings such that the SSE between the desired and actual system psfs is minimized. Using (5), the SSE can be expressed as follows:

$$SSE = \left( P_T - \hat{P}_T \right)^H \left( P_T - \hat{P}_T \right),$$

where the superscript $H$ denotes a conjugate transpose operation.

The formulation in (7) is common in signal processing, and substantial literature is devoted to the solution to (7) with the minimum SSE (least squares solution). Drawing upon [7], the optimal transmit aperture weightings are given by:

$$T = (S_z^H S_z)^{-1} S_z^H \hat{P}_T = S_z^\# \hat{P}_T,$$

where the superscripts $^{-1}$ and $^\#$ denote a matrix inverse and a pseudoinverse operation, respectively. Therefore, $S_z^\#$ is the pseudoinverse of $S_z$.

Eq. (8) provides a simple method for the calculation of the transmit weightings that yield the system psf at the range $z$ that is optimally similar to the goal or desired psf.

2. One-Way Analysis with Weighting Function: In certain applications, the psf characteristics at some lateral positions may be more critical than at others because the width of the mainlobe of the psf determines system point resolution and the magnitudes of the side-lobes determine the system contrast. In a given application, it may be more important to enforce low sidelobe levels than to precisely control the mainlobe. In such cases, we can incorporate a weighting function, $F$, that emphasizes or de-emphasizes selected features in the psf during the MSSE design process. The SSE (7) can be rewritten with the weighting function as:

$$SSE = \left( F_T S_z T - F_T \hat{P}_T \right)^H \left( F_T S_z T - F_T \hat{P}_T \right),$$

where $F_T$ is a diagonalized $M \times M$ matrix with the elements of $F$ along its $0^{th}$ diagonal. These elements should have a large value where a close match between the goal and designed psf is required. Smaller values can be used in regions where a close match is less critical. The solution for the receive weightings, as drawn from [7] is:

$$T = \left( (F_T S_z)^H F_T S_z \right)^{-1} (F_T S_z)^H F_T \hat{P}_T$$

$$= (S_z^H F_T^H F_T S_z)^{-1} S_z^H F_T^H F_T \hat{P}_T$$

$$= (F_T S_z)^\# F_T \hat{P}_T,$$

where $(F_T S_z)^\#$ is the pseudoinverse of $F_T S_z$.

3. Two-Way Analysis: In most ultrasonic imaging applications, the two-way impulse response is of greater interest than the one-way response. The two-way response can be readily determined by applying the radar equation [8]. It states that, for continuous wave applications, the two-way response is simply the product of the transmit and receive responses. Applying this knowledge to our linear algebra formulation yields the following two-way response:

$$P_{TR} = P_T \cdot P_R = (S_z T) \cdot (S_z R),$$

can be rewritten as:

$$P_{TR} = P_{Trd} P_R = P_{Trd} S_z R = P_{Trd} S_z R,$$

where $P_{Trd}$ is a diagonal $M \times M$ matrix with the elements of $P_T$ along its $0^{th}$ diagonal, and $P_{Trd} = P_{Trd} S_z$. This changes the point multiplication operation to a regular matrix multiplication operation.

Similar to the one-way analysis, if the goal two-way psf for the application of interest is $\hat{P}_{TR}$, the SSE can be expressed as:

$$SSE = \left( P_{TR} - \hat{P}_{TR} \right)^H \left( P_{TR} - \hat{P}_{TR} \right).$$

Applying (12), (13) can be rewritten and solved using [7] as shown below, yielding the optimum receive weightings:

$$SSE = \left( P_{Tsd} R - \hat{P}_{TR} \right)^H \left( P_{Tsd} R - \hat{P}_{TR} \right),$$

$$R = \left( P_{Tsd}^H P_{Tsd} \right)^{-1} P_{Tsd}^H \hat{P}_{TR} = P_{Tsd}^\# \hat{P}_{TR},$$

where $P_{Tsd}^\#$ is the pseudoinverse of $P_{Tsd}$.
Eq. (15) specifies the complex weightings to be applied to the transducer elements constituting the receive aperture to obtain a system psf, \( P_{TRz} \), at the range \( z \), that optimally resembles the desired or goal psf, \( \bar{P}_{TRz} \).

4. Two-Way Analysis with Weighting Function: As with the one-way analysis, a weighting function \( F \) can be incorporated in the SSE formulation to selectively emphasize or de-emphasize features in the psf during the minimization operation. Rewriting the SSE (14) after including the weightings matrix, the resulting receive weightings can be solved for in a manner similar to that used in (9) and (10).

\[
R = (F_d P_{TzdS})^H F_d F_{TzdS}^{-1} (F_d P_{TzdS})^H F_d \bar{P}_{TRz}
= (F_d P_{TzdS})^H F_d \bar{P}_{TRz} \quad \text{(16)}
\]

where \( F_d \) is again a diagonalized \( M \times M \) matrix with the elements of \( F \) along its 0th diagonal, and \( (F_d P_{TzdS})^H \) is the pseudo-inverse of \( F_d P_{TzdS} \).

B. Broadband Formulation

1. One-Way Analysis: The formulations described in the previous section are CW formulations. Medical ultrasound systems use monochromatic (CW) excitation only for specific modalities such as CW Doppler. For the majority of applications, an ultrasound pulse with some finite bandwidth is used. The previously described CW formulation will have limited accuracy in this broadband scenario. The one-way psf at a specific range \( z \) can be represented as a function of time and lateral position as follows:

\[
P_z = \begin{bmatrix}
P_{1,1} & P_{1,2} & \cdots & P_{1,n_p} \\
P_{2,1} & P_{2,2} & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
P_{n_p,1} & \cdots & \cdots & P_{n_p,n_p}
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \text{(17)}
\]

where \( P_z \) is an \( n_p \times n_p \) matrix that is a two-dimensional function of position and time. It consists of the field at each of \( n_p \) lateral points in space, at each of \( n_p \) points in time. It comprises elements of the form \( p_{i,j} \), which is the field at lateral point \( i \) at time sample \( j \). Eq. (17) can be rewritten by reshaping the matrix as follows:

\[
P_z = \begin{bmatrix}
P_{1,1} \\
P_{1,2} \\
\cdots \\
P_{1,n_p} \\
P_{2,1} \\
P_{2,2} \\
\cdots \\
P_{n_p,1} \\
P_{n_p,2} \\
\cdots \\
P_{n_p,n_p}
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \text{(18)}
\]

where the first \( n_a \) elements represent the field at lateral point 1 at each of \( n_t \) time samples, the next \( n_a \) elements represent the field at lateral point 2 for the same \( n_t \) time samples, and so on until the last \( n_a \) time samples for the \( n_a \)th lateral point.

The field at a point in space over \( n_t \) time samples can be expressed as a function of a propagation matrix, \( A \), and a set of aperture weightings, \( T \). The propagation matrix depends upon the excitation pulse and the element impulse responses of the transmit aperture. It is a function of time and the spatial positions of the element and field point under consideration. It describes the contribution of each element at each field point as a function of time. The aperture weightings are also two-dimensional, being a function of the element number and time, and can be expressed for each of \( n_a \) elements over each of \( n_t \) time samples as:

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
t_{1,1} & t_{2,1} & \cdots & t_{n_a,1} \\
t_{1,2} & t_{2,2} & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
t_{1,n_a} & \cdots & \cdots & t_{n_a,n_a}
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \text{(19)}
\]

where \( t_{i,j} \) is the aperture weighting for element \( i \) at time \( j \). These weightings essentially form the coefficients of a FIR filter. Eq. (19) also can be reshaped as follows:

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
t_{1,1} \\
t_{1,2} \\
\cdots \\
t_{1,n_a} \\
t_{2,1} \\
t_{2,2} \\
\cdots \\
t_{n_a,n_a}
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \text{(20)}
\]

where the first \( n_a \) elements are the aperture weightings for element 1 at each of \( n_a \) time samples, the next \( n_a \) elements are the weightings for element 2 for the same \( n_a \) time samples, and so on until the last \( n_a \) elements for the \( n_a \)th element. Using (18) and (20), we can now write the complete one-way system psf \( P_z \) as (21) (see next page) or

\[
P_z = A_z T,
\quad \text{(22)}
\]

where \( A_z \) is an \((n_p \times n_{tp}) \times (n_a \times n_t)\) propagation matrix. Each element \( a_{i,j,k,l} \) determines the field due to the weighting at time sample \( j \) applied to element \( i \), at time sample \( l \) at lateral field point \( k \). The transmit and receive psfs at the range \( z \), therefore, can be expressed as follows:

\[
P_{Tz} = A_z T,
\quad \text{(23)}
\]

and

\[
P_{Rz} = A_z R,
\quad \text{(24)}
\]

respectively, where \( T \) and \( R \) are the \((n_a \times n_t) \times 1\) transmit and receive aperture weightings, respectively.
We can now derive the transmit weightings that force the one-way system psf to optimally resemble a specified goal psf, \( \bar{P}_{Tz} \). The SSE between the goal and system one-way psfs at range \( z \) can be expressed as:

\[
SSE = \left( P_{Tz} - \bar{P}_{Tz} \right)^T \left( P_{Tz} - \bar{P}_{Tz} \right),
\]

where the superscript \( T \) indicates a transpose operation. A conjugate operation is unnecessary because the weights are real valued. Substituting (23) in (25) yields:

\[
SSE = \left( A_s T - \bar{P}_{Tz} \right)^T \left( A_s T - \bar{P}_{Tz} \right).
\] (26)

As in the CW formulations, the transmit weightings that minimize the SSE can be determined using [7] and are given by:

\[
T = (A_s^T A_s)^{-1} A_s^T \bar{P}_{Tz} = A_s^w \bar{P}_{Tz},
\] (27)

where \( A_s^w \) is the pseudoinverse of \( A_s \).

2. One-Way Analysis with Weighting Function: As in the CW analysis, we can incorporate a weighting function \( F \) in the analysis. In the broadband case, however, \( F \) is an \((n_p \cdot n_{tp}) \times 1\) element weighting vector as shown below.

\[
F = \begin{bmatrix}
  f_{1,1} \\
  f_{1,2} \\
  \vdots \\
  f_{n_p,n_{tp}}
\end{bmatrix},
\] (28)

where \( F \) consists of the weighting to be applied to the field at each of \( n_p \) points in space, at each of \( n_{tp} \) points in time. It comprises elements of the form \( f_{ij} \), which is the weighting applied to the field at point \( i \) at time sample \( j \). The SSE (26) can be rewritten with the weighting function as:

\[
SSE = \left( F_d A_s T - F_d \bar{P}_{Tz} \right)^T \left( F_d A_s T - F_d \bar{P}_{Tz} \right),
\] (29)

The generation of a one-way psf is shown in Fig. 1. The transmit pulse applied to each element is convolved with a set of weights that is unique for each element. The results of these convolutions then are convolved with the respective element spatial impulse responses and finally summed in space to generate the beam pattern. Note that the broadband formulation in (22) describes the psf at a single range. Therefore, the formulation does not perform a convolution to determine the ultrasonic field at multiple range points. However, there is a convolution that describes the response at each lateral point of interest as a function of time in the formulation. The transmit weights that are calculated using the formulation are eventually convolved with the pulse (and the element response) to form the resultant beam pattern. This operation would impact the field at multiple ranges.
where \( F_d \) is a diagonalized \((n_p \cdot n_{t_p}) \times (n_p \cdot n_{t_p})\) matrix with the elements of \( F \) along its \( 0^{th} \) diagonal. The solution for the transmit weightings, therefore, is:

\[
T = \left( (F_d A_z)^T F_d A_z \right)^{-1} (F_d A_z)^T F_d \tilde{P}_{Tz} \\
= \left( A_{zz}^T F_d A_z \right)^{-1} A_{zz}^T F_d \tilde{P}_{Tz} \\
= (F_d A_z)^* F_d \tilde{P}_{Tz},
\]

(30)

where \((F_d A_z)^*\) is the pseudoinverse of \( F_d A_z \).

3. Two-Way Analysis: The two-way pulse-echo psf can also be expressed as a linear algebra formulation in a similar fashion to the one-way psf:

\[
P_{TRz} = A_{zz} R_z,
\]

(31)

where \( A_{zz} \) is the propagation function, and \( R_z \) is the \((n_p \cdot n_{t_p}) \times 1\) weighting vector for each of \( n_p \) receive elements at each of \( n_{t_p} \) time samples. \( A_{zz} \) is a function of the transmit aperture weights, the excitation pulse, and the element impulse responses of the transmit and receive apertures. The SSE between the goal and system pulse-echo psfs at range \( z \) is:

\[
SSE = \left( P_{TRz} - \tilde{P}_{TRz} \right)^T \left( P_{TRz} - \tilde{P}_{TRz} \right),
\]

(32)

where \( \tilde{P}_{TRz} \) is the goal or desired pulse-echo psf at range \( z \). We can substitute (31) in (32) and solve for the receive weightings to be applied using [7]:

\[
SSE = \left( A_{zz} R_z - \tilde{P}_{TRz} \right)^T \left( A_{zz} R_z - \tilde{P}_{TRz} \right),
\]

(33)

\[
R = \left( A_{zz}^T A_{zz} \right)^{-1} A_{zz}^T \tilde{P}_{TRz} = A_{zz}^* \tilde{P}_{TRz},
\]

(34)

where \( A_{zz}^* \) is the pseudoinverse of \( A_{zz} \).

4. Two-Way Analysis with Weighting Function: We again can include a weighting function \( F \), which is an \((n_p \cdot n_{t_p}) \times 1\) element weighting vector. Solving after application of the weighting function yields the following receive weightings:

\[
R = \left( (F_d A_{zz})^T F_d A_{zz} \right)^{-1} (F_d A_{zz})^T F_d \tilde{P}_{TRz} \\
= \left( A_{zz}^T F_d A_{zz} \right)^{-1} A_{zz}^T F_d \tilde{P}_{TRz} \\
= (F_d A_{zz})^* F_d \tilde{P}_{TRz},
\]

(35)

where \( F_d \) is again a diagonalized \((n_p \cdot n_{t_p}) \times (n_p \cdot n_{t_p})\) matrix with the elements of \( F \) along its \( 0^{th} \) diagonal, and \((F_d A_{zz})^*\) is the pseudoinverse of \( F_d A_{zz} \).

5. Reduced Computational Cost Through Symmetry Relations: The computation of aperture weights in the MSSE technique requires significant resources, due to the pseudoinverse operation and the large propagation matrices required. Note that the application of the weights has a much lower computational cost than the design of the weights. In order to reduce the computational complexity of our broadband formulation, we take advantage of the symmetry present in the system. We first use the lateral symmetry of the psf. This symmetry means that we can, if we choose, use just half of both the goal and system psfs for the calculation of the optimal weightings. The one-way psf is then:

\[
P_x = \begin{bmatrix}
p_1,1 & p_{1,2} & \ldots & p_{n_p/2,1} \\
p_{1,2} & p_{2,2} & \ldots & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
p_{1,n_{t_p}} & \ldots & \ldots & p_{n_p/2,n_{t_p}}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(36)

where \( P_x \) is an \((n_p/2) \times (n_{t_p})\) matrix, consisting of the field at each of only \( n_p/2 \) points in space at each of \( n_{t_p} \) points in time. Eq. (36) can be rewritten as:

\[
P_x = \begin{bmatrix}
p_{1,1} \\
p_{1,2} \\
\vdots \\
p_{1,n_{t_p}} \\
p_{n_p/2,1} \\
p_{n_p/2,2} \\
\vdots \\
p_{n_p/2,n_{t_p}}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(37)

where \( P_x \) is an \((n_p/2 \cdot n_{t_p}) \times 1\) vector. The goal psf also must be rewritten in a similar fashion.

The symmetry of the transmit and receive apertures is another property that can be exploited to reduce computational cost. As shown in Fig. 2, pairs of elements can be generated by grouping elements on either side that are at the same distance from the center axis, because these elements will have the same weightings, assuming no beamsteering. Assuming that the aperture comprises an even number of elements, the transmit weightings then can be expressed as:

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
t_{1,n_{a},1} & t_{2,n_{a}-1,1} & \ldots & t_{n_{a}/2,n_{a}/2+1,1} \\
t_{1,n_{a},2} & t_{2,n_{a}-1,2} & \ldots & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
t_{1,n_{a},n_{a}} & \ldots & \ldots & t_{n_{a}/2,n_{a}/2+1,n_{a}}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(38)

where \( t_{i,j,k} \) is the aperture weighting for elements \( i \) and \( j \).
III. APPLICATIONS

The MSSE technique described above is extremely general and can be applied in wide-ranging scenarios. A few possible applications are described.

A. Enhanced Depth of Field

The DOF of an ultrasound imaging system is generally defined as the axial region over which the system is in focus, or more rigorously, the axial region over which the system response satisfies some chosen criterion. It is generally desired that the system psf remains similar to the psf at the focus for as large an axial span as possible.

Current techniques to improve DOF include transmit apodization, dynamic receive apodization, and dynamic receive focusing [1]. However, effective the above techniques are, their implementation is typically ad hoc and lacks formal theory describing their effectiveness in improving DOF. If the MSSE technique is implemented for every range under consideration, with the goal of the psf being the psf obtained at the focus, we can derive formally the receive apodization weightings that force the psf at each specific range of interrogation to be maximally similar by minimizing the SSE. These weightings can then be used to implement dynamic apodization and maximize the DOF.

As demonstrated in (11) and (12), we can express the pulse-echo two-way psf at a range \( z \) as the point-by-point multiplication of the one-way transmit and receive psfs at range \( z \):

\[
\begin{align*}
PT_{Rz} &= PT_x \cdot PR_x = (S_x T) \cdot (S_x R) \quad (41) \\
PR_{Rz} &= PT_xd PR_x = PT_xd S_x R = PT_xd S_x R. \\
\end{align*}
\]

The transmit psf, \( PT_x \), is fixed at each range of interrogation because we consider each transmit focus separately. From (18), the receive weightings at a range \( z \) that minimize the SSE between the psf at the focus and the psf at \( z \), and therefore maximize the DOF are:

\[
R = (PT_xd)\cdot (PR_x)\cdot (PT_xd)\cdot (PR_x) = PT_xd PT_xd PT_xd PT_xd,
\]

where \( P_{Tfdis} \) is the psf at the focus.

B. Limited Diffraction Transmit Beams

Modern ultrasound systems use dynamic receive focusing and dynamic apodization to expand their useful DOF. Ultimately, however, the DOF is limited by the use of a fixed transmit focus. Thus, multiple transmissions with different focal ranges must be performed along each image line in order to obtain a high-quality image. This slows image acquisition significantly.

Limited-diffraction beams [9] have been suggested as a way to enhance DOF without requiring multiple transmissions. The MSSE technique described here can be readily
applied to design such beams. We present CW analysis to generate limited diffraction beams through the application of appropriate transmit weights.

If $P_1, P_2, P_3, \ldots, P_Q$ are CW transmit (one-way) psfs at $Q$ different ranges of interest, and we are interested in maintaining the transmit beam profile through these ranges, the objective is to derive a set of transmit aperture weightings that would minimize the SSE between the system and goal psfs at each of these ranges. We can express the one-way psfs at all ranges of interest as follows:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
P_1 \\
P_2 \\
\vdots \\
P_Q
\end{bmatrix} = P_{TQ} =
\begin{bmatrix}
S_1 \\
S_2 \\
\vdots \\
S_Q
\end{bmatrix} [T] = S_Q T,
$$

(44)

where $P_{TQ}$ is a $(M \cdot Q) \times 1$ vector made up of $Q$ vertically tiled $M$-point psfs at the $Q$ ranges of interest, $S_Q$ is an $(M \cdot Q) \times N$ matrix made up of $Q$ vertically tiled $M \times N$ propagation matrices, one for each of the $Q$ ranges of interest, and $T$ is the $N \times 1$ vector of transmit aperture weightings. The SSE then can be expressed as follows:

$$
SSE = (P_{TQ} - \tilde{P}_{TQ})^H (P_{TQ} - \tilde{P}_{TQ}),
$$

(45)

where

$$
\tilde{P}_{TQ} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{P}_1 \\
\tilde{P}_2 \\
\vdots \\
\tilde{P}_Q
\end{bmatrix},
$$

is an $(M \cdot Q) \times 1$ vector consisting of $Q$ vertically tiled $M \times 1$ goal or desired psfs, one for each range. Note that $\tilde{P}_{TQ}$ cannot be constructed by simply replicating the same psf $Q$ times, because constructing one-way psfs with the same phase at each range is impossible. In CW implementation, an appropriate phase term that accounts for propagation must be applied to the goal psf at each range. This phase term is a function of the range under consideration and the wave propagation speed. In broadband implementation, appropriate time delays need to be applied. Using (44), (45) can be rewritten and solved to obtain the transmit weightings that minimize the SSE:

$$
SSE = (S_Q T - \tilde{P}_{TQ})^H (S_Q T - \tilde{P}_{TQ}),
$$

(46)

$$
T = (S_Q^H S_Q)^{-1} S_Q^H \tilde{P}_{TQ} = S_Q^H \tilde{P}_{TQ},
$$

(47)

where $S_Q^H$ is the pseudoinverse of $S_Q$.

Eq. (47) specifies the complex weightings to be applied to the transmit aperture to obtain one-way transmit psfs at the specified ranges that optimally resemble the goal psfs. Therefore, these transmit weightings will result in a limited diffraction transmit beam, which will have a beneficial impact on DOF.

![Fig. 3. Reduced DOF in translated aperture geometries. (a) depicts the angular scatter geometry, and (b) shows the backscatter geometry. The reduced depth of field is due to the limited region of overlap of the transmit and receive beams in (a), as compared to the completely coincident transmit and receive beams in (b).](image)

C. Increased Correlation Depth of Field in Translated Aperture Geometries

Biological tissues are known to exhibit variations in angular scattering [10]–[12]. That is, the scattered echo magnitude and phase depend upon the angle between the propagation vectors of the incident and observing waves. It has long been hypothesized that this parameter might offer valuable diagnostic information. We have proposed using the translating apertures algorithm (TAA), as the foundation of angular scatter imaging methods [13]. Previous methods that were used to make angular scatter measurements [14], [15] have entailed the use of pistons that were mechanically rotated to measure the average angular scatter over some area at a single frequency. Imaging systems also have been developed to make images at a single scattering angle other than $180^\circ$ [16], [17]. However, in all of these methods the speckle pattern that was obtained varied with angle, and images obtained at different angles could not be processed coherently to obtain accurate complex angular scatter information. The reason for the change in the speckle pattern was a rotation of the system psf with a change in the relative position of the transmit and receive apertures. In the TAA, the transmit and receive apertures are translated by an equal distance in opposite directions. This enables the acquisition of accurate angular scatter data without the confounding influence of system psf rotation.

Although offering several advantages, the TAA results in a significantly reduced DOF as the transmit and receive apertures are translated. This is due to the crossing of the transmit and receive beams, which results in interference over a reduced area as the apertures are translated. This is shown in Fig. 3. Our technique of optimizing dynamic receive aperture weightings can be applied to improve the correlation DOF between the backscatter (nontranslated) and angular scatter (translated) geometry psfs at a specific range. Note that the previously described symmetry technique for the broadband formulation cannot be completely
utilized in translated aperture geometries. This is due to a loss of symmetry that is caused by the translation of the apertures, which results in unique element weightings for each element. We can write the two-way CW psf for the backscatter geometry at range z as follows:

$$P_{TR0} = P_{Ta0} \cdot P_{R0} = (S_{Ta0} T_0) \cdot (S_{R0} R_0),$$

(48)

where $P_{Ta0}$ and $P_{R0}$ are the $M \times 1$ transmit and receive psfs, respectively; $S_{Ta0}$ and $S_{R0}$ are the $M \times N$ transmit and receive propagation functions, respectively, at range $z$; and $T_0$ and $R_0$ are the $N \times 1$ transmit and receive aperture weightings, respectively. The subscript ‘0’ denotes no translation (zero shift), or the backscatter geometry. Similarly, the two-way CW psf for the angular scatter geometry at the same range $z$ is:

$$P_{TR1} = P_{Ta1} \cdot P_{R1} = (S_{Ta1} T_1) \cdot (S_{R1} R_1),$$

(49)

where $P_{Ta1}$ and $P_{R1}$ are the $M \times 1$ transmit and receive psfs, respectively; $S_{Ta1}$ and $S_{R1}$ are the $M \times N$ transmit and receive propagation functions, respectively, at range $z$; $T_1$ and $R_1$ are the $N \times 1$ transmit and receive aperture weightings, respectively; and the subscript ‘1’ denotes the translated angular scatter geometry. Because the apertures are translated, the propagation functions are no longer the same for the transmit and receive apertures. Eq. (49) can be rewritten as:

$$P_{TR1} = P_{Ta1d} P_{R1} = P_{Ta1d} S_{R1} R_1 = P_{Ta1d} S_{R1} R_1,$$

(50)

where $P_{Ta1d}$ is a diagonalized $M \times M$ matrix with the elements of $P_{Ta1}$ along its $0^{th}$ diagonal, and

$$P_{Ta1d} = P_{Ta1d} S_{R1}.$$

(51)

Our objective is to maintain a constant system response as the apertures are translated. Again, this means maximizing the correlation between the system responses of the backscatter and angular scatter geometries, or minimizing the SSE between the two psfs. The SSE can be expressed as follows:

$$SSE = (P_{TR1} - P_{TR0})^H (P_{TR1} - P_{TR0}).$$

(52)

Using (50), (52) can be modified and solved to obtain the receive weightings that minimize the SSE as:

$$SSE = (P_{Ta1d} S_{R1} - P_{TR0})^H (P_{Ta1d} S_{R1} - P_{TR0}),$$

(53)

$$R_1 = (P_{Ta1d} S_{R1})^{-1} P_{Ta1d} P_{TR0} = P_{Ta1d}^H P_{TR0},$$

(54)

where $P_{Ta1d}^H$ is the pseudoinverse of $P_{Ta1d}$.

Eq. (54) specifies the complex weightings to be applied to the transducer elements that comprise the receive aperture after translating the apertures. Application of these weightings would generate a system psf $P_{TR1}$ at the range $z$ that optimally resembles the goal psf $P_{TR0}$, or the psf with no translation.

D. Optimal Receive Weighting for Harmonic Imaging

The previously described MSSE technique is not limited to aperture design assuming linear propagation. Conventional ultrasound imaging assumes linear propagation of the ultrasound pulse, and the receive process assumes that the received echoes have the same frequency content as that of the transmitted pulse. However, the propagation process is nonlinear and shifts some of the signal energy to harmonics of the fundamental frequency. Current state-of-the-art ultrasound systems have the capability of imaging echoes received at these higher harmonics (harmonic imaging), for improved image contrast and resolution [18]. Our technique of dynamic weighting can be adapted to design receive apertures for harmonic imaging. The transmit beam profile resulting from nonlinear processes can be determined analytically, experimentally, or through simulations, and be substituted into our formulation. The algorithm assumes linear propagation during the receive process. Eq. (15), which is rewritten below, describes the relationship between the optimum weightings and the analytically or experimentally determined goal psf.

$$R = (P_{Ta1d}^H P_{Ta1d})^{-1} P_{Ta1d}^H \tilde{P}_{TR} = P_{Ta1d}^H \tilde{P}_{TR}.$$

IV. DISCUSSION

The MSSE technique is a generalized technique for the design of arbitrary system responses, through the use of aperture weights. Analysis that illustrates the theory underlying the technique has been presented for both CW and broadband systems. The propagation functions that have been described can be determined through experiments and simulations or derived from theory.

The real-time implementation of the beamformer is conceptually simple. In the CW case, complex apodization would be used to implement the technique. The weights either can be stored and retrieved in a look-up table or calculated as required. For the broadband case, implementation is analogous to using an FIR filter on each channel. In the one-way design implementation, conventional FIR filters would be used; in the two-way design implementation, dynamic shift-variant FIR filters would be used. There are no restrictions on filter length; the algorithm uses the specified length to optimize performance. However, as in conventional FIR filters, performance depends upon the filter length, and it is advisable to have the maximum possible length that can be practically implemented. Some current ultrasound systems already have a crude FIR filter on each channel, and they conceivably could be extended to apply the MSSE technique by modifying them to be shift variant. Again, the weights can either be stored and retrieved in a look-up table system or calculated as required.
The design method described here is different and superior to the pseudoinverse based methods described in [3] and [4] for several reasons. The methods in [3] and [4] are limited to CW systems. We present analysis for CW as well as broadband systems. Our method is also more general as we describe a general method for the design of apertures for any application. Another important distinction is that the methods in [3] and [4] are limited to one-way analysis (i.e., either transmit or receive). Our technique is adaptable for one-way or two-way analysis. Another distinction is that in [3] and [4], a few control points were used in order to ensure an underdetermined system of equations and obtain an exact beam pattern at those few points; in our technique, the entire goal is to obtain the least squares solution of an overdetermined system of equations. This method enables excellent control of the system psf, and has a significant impact on aperture design for several applications such as improved DOF. Simulation results for these and other examples are described in an accompanying paper [2].

V. CONCLUSIONS

The MSSE technique is a general beamforming method that can be used to design apertures for specific applications. It enables the design of arbitrary beam profiles by calculating the appropriate optimum aperture weightings. The system performance is optimized because the calculated weightings minimize the SSE between the desired and achieved system responses. The algorithm can be implemented readily in both CW and broadband systems. In CW systems, the receive weights can be implemented through apodization and time delays or complex weights. In broadband systems, implementation is analogous to having a dynamic FIR filter on each channel.
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Abstract—In the first part of this work, we introduced the minimum sum squared error (MSSE) technique of ultrasound beamformer design. This technique enables the optimal design of apertures to achieve arbitrary system responses. In the MSSE technique, aperture weights are calculated and applied to minimize the sum squared error (SSE) between the desired and actual system responses. In this paper, we present the results of simulations performed to illustrate the implementation and validity of the MSSE technique. Continuous wave (CW) and broadband simulations are presented to demonstrate the application of the MSSE method to obtain arbitrary system responses (within fundamental physical limitations of the system). We also describe CW and broadband simulations that implement the MSSE method for improved conventional depth of field (DOF) and for improved correlation DOF in translated aperture geometries. Using the MSSE technique, we improved the conventional DOF by more than 200% in CW simulations and more than 100% in broadband simulations. The correlation DOF in translated aperture geometries was improved by more than 700% in both CW and broadband simulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

In an accompanying paper [1], we described the minimum sum squared error (MSSE) technique of aperture design. This technique enables the design of ultrasound systems for arbitrary system responses and beam profiles. This is done by calculating and applying the aperture weights that optimally produce these profiles within fundamental limits imposed by wavelength. In the MSSE design technique, the system point spread function (psf) is expressed in a linear algebra formulation as a function of aperture weights and a propagation function. This propagation function may be derived theoretically or determined by simulations or experiments. The sum squared error (SSE) between the desired (goal) psf and the realized psf is then minimized. A brief review of the major results derived in [1] for both continuous wave (CW) and broadband systems is provided below.

A. One-Way Continuous Wave Formulation

We can express the one-way transmit psf at some range z as a function of a propagation matrix $S_z$ and the transmit aperture weightings $T$. $S_z$ may include factors such as limited element angular response [2] and frequency dependent attenuation. This relationship is expressed in a linear algebra formulation as a matrix multiplication. Using [3], we can then derive the least squares solution for the transmit weightings that minimize the sum squared error (SSE) between the system psf and the desired or goal psf. Because the SSE is minimized, application of these transmit weightings results in the generation of a one-way psf that is optimally similar to the goal psf. The transmit weightings that minimize the SSE between the system psf and goal psf are given by:

$$T = (S_z^H S_z)^{-1} S_z^H \hat{P}_{Tz} = S_z^{\#} \hat{P}_{Tz},$$

(1)

where the superscripts $H$, $^{-1}$, and $\#$ denote the conjugate transpose, matrix inverse and pseudoinverse operations, respectively, and $\hat{P}_{Tz}$ represents the goal psf. $S_z^{\#}$ is the pseudoinverse of $S_z$.

B. Two-Way Continuous Wave Formulation

We also can derive the receive aperture weights that would minimize the SSE between the two-way system psf and a two-way desired or goal psf. The two-way psf can be expressed using the well-known radar equation [4]. The radar equation states that the two-way psf is the product of the one-way transmit and receive psfs. The receive psf is expressed as a function of a set of receive weights and a receive propagation matrix in a similar fashion to the transmit psf described in the previous section. The transmit psf is diagonalized to eliminate the point-by-point multiplication operation, and then combined with the receive propagation matrix. This process yields an expression that formulates the two-way psf in a linear algebra formulation as a function of the receive weights and the secondary function derived from the one-way transmit psf and the receive propagation matrix. The SSE between the two-way psf and the goal psf is minimized by the following receive weights:

$$R = (P_{TzdS} P_{TzdS})^{-1} P_{TzdS} \hat{P}_{TzdS} = P_{TzdS}^{\#} \hat{P}_{TzdS},$$

(2)

where $P_{TzdS}$ is the secondary function described above and is constructed by diagonalizing $P_{TzdS}$ with its elements.
along the 0th diagonal, and then multiplying the resulting matrix with the propagation function $S_z$. $P^\#_{TzS}$ is the pseudoinverse of $P_{TzS}$.

C. One-Way Broadband Formulation

The above CW formulations are of limited use in broadband ultrasound systems that utilize finite bandwidth pulses. Because CW ultrasound is used only for limited applications such as CW Doppler, we also derived one-way and two-way formulations that adapt the MSSE technique for broadband systems. The one-way psf can be expressed as the product of a propagation matrix $A_z$ with the transmit aperture weights $T$. The psf is a function of lateral position and time, and the aperture weights are a function of the element number and time. The propagation matrix depends upon the excitation pulse and the impulse responses of the elements that comprise the transmit aperture. Using [6], the transmit weights that minimize the SSE between the system psf and the goal psf can be solved for and are given by:

$$T = (A_z^T A_z)^{-1} A_z^T \hat{P}_T = A_z^\# \hat{P}_T,$$

where $\hat{P}_T$ is the goal or desired psf, and $A_z^\#$ is the pseudoinverse of $A_z$. Implementation of these weights is analogous to applying a dynamic Finite Impulse Response (FIR) filter to every channel and summing their outputs.

D. Two-Way Broadband Formulation

The two-way pulse-echo psf can be expressed as the product of a two-way propagation matrix $A_{zz}$ with the receive aperture weights $R$. $A_{zz}$ depends upon the excitation pulse, the transmit and receive aperture element impulse responses, and the transmit aperture weightings. The receive weightings that optimize the system psf are given by:

$$R = (A_{zz}^T A_{zz})^{-1} A_{zz}^T \hat{P}_{TR} = A_{zz}^\# \hat{P}_{TR},$$

where $\hat{P}_{TR}$ is the goal two-way psf and $A_{zz}^\#$ is the pseudoinverse of $A_{zz}$.

E. Reduced Computational Cost Through Symmetry Relations

The design of weights via the MSSE technique is computationally expensive because of the need to compute the pseudoinverse of the large propagation matrix during the calculation of the aperture weights. In order to reduce computational complexity, we exploit the lateral symmetry of the transducer apertures and the psfs [1]. Due to this symmetry, it is sufficient to compute only half of the weights, using just half of the psf. This results in the reduction of the computational complexity and enables more efficient computation of the aperture weights. Note that the application of the weights has a relatively low computational cost when compared to the design of the weights.

TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element pitch</td>
<td>135 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus</td>
<td>1.3 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral window over which the psf was calculated</td>
<td>180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psf window sampling interval</td>
<td>0.01°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic wave propagation speed</td>
<td>1540 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>10 MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element pitch</td>
<td>135 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus</td>
<td>1.3 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral window over which the psf was calculated</td>
<td>70 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psf window sampling interval</td>
<td>60 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic wave propagation speed</td>
<td>1540 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center frequency</td>
<td>10 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6 dB Bandwidth</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal sampling of psf</td>
<td>120 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal spacing of weights for each element</td>
<td>25 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The weights can either be computed and stored in a look-up table system or calculated as required. They can then be applied as conventional FIR filters in the one-way design case, or as dynamic shift variant filters in the two-way design case.

All the formulas presented above are comprehensively derived in [1]. This paper describes the results of simulations that were implemented to demonstrate the validity and flexibility of the MSSE design technique.

II. SIMULATIONS

We performed two sets of simulations to investigate the performance of the MSSE technique. The first set was intended to illustrate the implementation of the MSSE technique to obtain a predetermined system psf in CW and broadband systems. The second set was designed to implement the technique in some of the examples of application described in [1]. The default CW system parameters are described in Table I, and the default broadband system parameters are described in Table II. Unless stated otherwise, these parameters were used in all simulations. All simulations were performed in Matlab (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA). We used Field II, an ultrasound simulation package developed by Jensen [5], in all the broadband simulations.
The MSSE technique was implemented for analysis window sizes of ±15°, ±30°, ±45°, ±60°, ±75°, and ±90°, sampled every 0.01°. The system psf was then computed over a ±90° window using the calculated transmit weights.

Fig. 2(a) depicts the ideal psf used for a ±15° window of analysis. Fig. 2(b) illustrates the psf obtained after implementation of the MSSE algorithm, and Fig. 2(c) shows the obtained psf on a logarithmic scale after being normalized to the peak mainlobe level. Figs. 2(d)–2(f), 2(g)–2(i), 2(j)–2(l), 2(m)–2(o), and 2(p)–2(q) depict the same information for window analysis sizes of ±30°, ±45°, ±60°, ±75°, and ±90°, respectively. The extent of the window of analysis is shown by dotted lines in each plot.

Effect of errors in the assumed ultrasonic wave propagation speed. The adverse effects of errors in the assumed wave propagation speed on the response of an ultrasound system are well-known [8]. Because the MSSE technique uses dynamic shift-variant aperture weights, errors in the assumed wave speed are an important concern. Therefore, we implemented simulations in which the actual wave speed was underestimated by 25 m/s, 50 m/s, and 75 m/s, and then overestimated by 25 m/s, 50 m/s, and 75 m/s.

The goal psf was a 6° wide Hann window. The window of analysis was ±90°, and it was sampled every 0.01°. We compared the psfs obtained in these simulations to the psf obtained when the assumed speed was correct, which is shown in Fig. 3(a). Figs. 3(c), 3(g), and 3(k) depict the psfs obtained when the assumed sound speed was underestimated by 25 m/s, 50 m/s, and 75 m/s, respectively. Figs. 3(e), 3(i), and 3(m) display the psfs obtained when the assumed speed was overestimated by 25 m/s, 50 m/s, and 75 m/s, respectively. Fig. 3(b) depicts the obtained psf error magnitude when the assumed speed was correct. Figs. 3(d), 3(h), and 3(j) show the psf error magnitude when the speed was underestimated, and Figs. 3(f), 3(j), and 3(n) display the psf error magnitude when the speed was overestimated. The presented psfs were computed at the intended transmit foci, not the shifted foci. The objective of these simulations was to investigate the degradation in the performance of the MSSE algorithm that is produced by an incorrect, assumed propagation speed at a particular location of interest. Simulations were also performed at the shifted focus. Although the results are not presented here, they were qualitatively similar.

2. CW Two-Way Design Example (Transmit-Receive): A Hann window of width 4° was chosen to be the goal two-way psf. We implemented the MSSE technique by calculating and applying optimum receive aperture weights using (2). No apodization was used on the transmit aperture. Table I lists the parameters used in the simulation. We then computed the two-way system psf using the calculated receive weights. Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) depict the goal psf and the achieved system psf. Fig. 4(c) displays the magnitude of the error between the goal and system psfs. Figs. 4(d) and 4(e) display the magnitude and phase of the calculated receive aperture weights, respectively.
Fig. 1. One-way CW design example for goal psfs of width 32°, 16°, 8°, and 4°. The first column depicts the goal psfs; the second column shows the obtained psfs, both as a function of lateral position. Results for goal psf widths of 32°, 16°, 8°, and 4°, respectively, are shown from top to bottom. The third and fourth columns illustrate the magnitude and phase of the calculated transmit weights, respectively, as a function of element number. It can be seen that the obtained psfs are qualitatively similar to the goal psfs in all the simulations. The profile of the magnitude of the calculated weights shown in (c), (g), (k), and (o) expectedly becomes wider as the goal psf becomes narrower.
Fig. 2. Effects of the size of the window of analysis. The first column depicts the goal psf while the second column shows the obtained psfs, respectively, both as a function of lateral position, for different analysis window sizes. Results for analysis window sizes of ±15°, ±30°, ±45°, ±60°, ±75°, and ±90° are shown from top to bottom. The third column shows the obtained psfs on a logarithmic scale after being normalized to the peak mainlobe level. The edges of the window of analysis are indicated by the dotted lines in each plot. It can be seen clearly that the size of the analysis window plays a critical role in the performance of the MSSE algorithm. As can be seen from plots (b), (c), (e), and (f), the obtained psf has large grating lobes outside the analysis window if it is too small. An analysis window of at least ±45° is required.
Fig. 3. Effect of errors in the assumed wave propagation speed. The plots show the obtained psfs and psf errors when the assumed wave speed is correct, underestimated by 25 m/s, 50 m/s, and 75 m/s, and overestimated by 25 m/s, 50 m/s, and 75 m/s. (a) depicts the obtained psf with the correct wave speed, and (b) illustrates the obtained psf error. Below, the first and second columns depict the obtained psfs and psf error magnitudes as a function of angle when the speed was underestimated by 25 m/s, 50 m/s, and 75 m/s, respectively. The third and fourth columns depict the obtained psfs and psf error magnitudes as a function of angle when the speed was overestimated by 25 m/s, 50 m/s, and 75 m/s respectively. The performance of the MSSE technique was slightly degraded when the assumed wave propagation speed was incorrect, but the overall errors were reasonable, showing that the MSSE technique is stable.
2. Broadband One-Way Example (Transmit only): We used the ultrasound simulation package Field II, developed by Jensen [5], for all broadband simulations. All broadband simulations took advantage of system symmetry to reduce computational complexity [1], as previously described. The propagation matrix, $A_X$, was a four-dimensional function. For an aperture of $N$ elements, every term of $A_x$ was of the form $a_{x,N-i,j,k,l}$. It determined the field due to the weighting at time sample $j$ applied to elements $i$ and $N - i$, at time sample $l$ at lateral field point $k$. It was constructed using dual element spatial impulse responses that described the contributions of a selected pair of elements at each field point at each sampled time point. The dual element responses were determined by transmitting only on selected pairs of elements. These were then used to construct the propagation function. The goal psf was generated by axially weighting a sinusoidal signal by a Hann window, and multiplying the result by a lateral Hann window. Note that this goal psf is quite challenging because it lacks the wavefront curvature that would normally be expected.

In all broadband simulations, we downsampled the propagation matrix by a factor of 3. This had the effect of reducing the upper cut-off frequency in the frequency response of the FIR filter formed by the calculated weights. The temporal sampling rate of the psf was 120 MHz. The upper cut-off frequency, therefore, was reduced from half the temporal sampling rate of the psf (60 MHz) to 20 MHz. This rate still provided adequate sampling given an input pulse with a center frequency of 10 MHz and a $-6$ dB relative bandwidth of 78%. Table II lists the parameters used in the simulation.

We then calculated the optimum transmit weights using the goal psf and the downsampled propagation matrix. Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) show the goal and the achieved system psfs, respectively, both as a function of lateral position and time. We also envelope-detected the psfs using the Hilbert transform ([9, pp. 350–367]), and then peak-detected them in the time dimension to generate beam profiles. Figs. 5(c) and 5(d) show these goal and achieved system psf profiles as a function of lateral position. Figs. 5(e) and 5(f) display the calculated transmit weights as a function of the element number and time, and the magnitude of the error as a function of lateral position and time, respectively. The error was calculated by computing the difference between the goal and system psfs. Note that the weights do not include geometric focal delays. The delays were applied separately prior to the application of the weights. The result obtained by convolution of the calculated weights with the transmit pulse is presented in Fig. 5(g).

4. Broadband Two-Way Example (Transmit-Receive): The goal psf was constructed in a similar manner to the one-way example, except for a scaling factor that accounted for the reduction in magnitude due to two-way propagation. The propagation matrix $A_{xx}$, however, was different from the one-way case. We constructed the propagation functions by using the entire transmit aperture and receiving only on selected pairs of elements. Transmit focal delays were applied, but no transmit apodization was used. All the other parameters are listed in Table II. We then downsampled the resulting propagation matrix by a factor of 3 and used it to calculate the receive weights that minimize the SSE. Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) display the goal and achieved system psfs, respectively. As in the one-way case, we envelope-detected and peak-detected the psfs. The goal and achieved system psf profiles are displayed in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d), respectively. Figs. 6(e) and 6(f) show the calculated receive weights and the psf error magnitude respectively.

B. Applications

1. Enhanced Depth of Field: The DOF of an ultrasound system is the axial region within which the system is said
Fig. 5. One-way broadband design example. (a) depicts the goal psf as a function of lateral position and time, and (b) depicts the obtained psf as a function of lateral position and time. (c) shows the goal psf beam profile as a function of lateral position, and (d) shows the obtained psf beam profile as a function of lateral position. These profiles were obtained by envelope detection using the Hilbert transform [9, pp. 359–367], followed by peak detection of the psf along the time dimension. (e) shows the calculated receive weights as a function of element number and time, and (f) illustrates the error between the goal and the obtained psfs as a function of lateral position and time. (g) depicts the results obtained by convolving the calculated transmit weights with the transmit pulse. It can be seen from (a) and (b) that the goal and obtained psfs are very similar. The beam profile of the obtained psf in (d) shows high frequency errors, which are also noticeable in the error image in (f). These errors are caused by the inadequately low temporal sampling rate used in our simulations in Field II due to computational limitations, and should be eliminated with a higher sampling rate.
Fig. 6. Two-way broadband design example. (a) depicts the goal psf as a function of lateral position and time, and (b) depicts the obtained psf as a function of lateral position and time. (c) shows the goal psf beam profile as a function of lateral position, and (d) shows the obtained psf beam profile as a function of lateral position. These profiles were obtained by envelope detection using the Hilbert transform [9, pp. 350–357], followed by peak detection of the psf along the time dimension. (e) shows the calculated receive weights as a function of element number and time, and (f) illustrates the error between the goal and the obtained psf as a function of lateral position and time. It can be seen from (a) and (b) that the goal and obtained psf are very similar. As in the one-way simulations, the beam profile of the obtained psf in (d) shows high frequency errors, which are also noticeable in the error image in (f). These errors are caused by the inadequately low temporal sampling rate used in our simulations in Field II due to computational limitations, and should be eliminated with a higher sampling rate.
to be in focus, or the axial region within which the system psf satisfies a chosen criterion. The system psf should ideally remain similar to the psf at the focus for as large an axial range as possible. Application of the MSSE technique using the psf at the focus as the goal psf at each range should theoretically yield weights that minimize the SSE between the goal psf and the psf at the range of interest. This, in turn, should maximize the DOF. With this rationale, we applied the two-way MSSE technique in both CW and broadband simulations in an attempt to improve the DOF of the system. The technique was applied at every sampled axial range point. The goal or desired psf at each range point was the psf computed at the focus.

In both CW and broadband simulations, we generated the goal psf using 16-element transmit and receive apertures, while we used a 16-element transmit and 32-element receive aperture for the actual system psf. I.e. weights were calculated for 32 receive elements. The system was focused at 6.5 mm. A Hann window was used as a transmit apodization function in all simulations, and as receive apodization during the generation of the goal psf. We performed CW simulations to maximize the DOF over an axial window from 0.1 mm to 50 mm that was sampled every 0.1 mm. The lateral window over which the CW psf was calculated was sampled at 5 μm to enable accurate estimation of the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the mainlobe. We also implemented broadband simulations over an axial window from 0.5 mm to 32.5 mm that was sampled every 2 mm. All other parameters are listed in Tables I and II.

Current ultrasound systems attempt to improve the DOF by using dynamic apodization and dynamic receive focusing [10], and we used these in control simulations to establish a basis for comparison with the results obtained using the MSSE technique. We allowed the apodization profile to grow as a function of range assuming an infinitely large aperture, and used the central portion of the profile to implement dynamic apodization on the 32 receive elements.

In broadband simulations, the temporal sampling was 120 MHz, and the propagation matrix was downsampled by a factor of 3 to limit the frequency response of the calculated weights. In order to perform accurate analysis, we interpolated the broadband psfs by a factor of 10 in both the temporal and lateral spatial dimensions using cubic spline interpolation.

We calculated correlation coefficients to compare the psf at each range of interrogation with the psf at the focus. The correlation coefficients were computed for complex data in CW simulations and for real data in broadband simulations. We also calculated the FWHM of the mainlobe for each psf. Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) depict the correlation coefficients and the mainlobe FWHM obtained in CW simulations. The dotted lines indicate the transmit focus. These were obtained at each range of interrogation for the control case and the case when the MSSE technique was applied. Figs. 7(c) and 7(d) display the correlation coefficients and the mainlobe FWHM for broadband simulations of the control and the MSSE technique cases. A more qualitative assessment of the efficacy of the MSSE technique can be made using Figs. 7(e) and 7(f). Both these images were constructed by superimposing CW psfs at multiple ranges that were normalized to their peak mainlobe levels. The images are shown on a logarithmic scale and are a function of range and lateral position. Fig. 7(e) was constructed using psfs obtained in the control simulation. Fig. 7(f) was generated using psfs from the simulation involving the MSSE technique.

2. Increased Correlation Depth of Field in Translated Aperture Geometries: The translating apertures algorithm (TAA) is a technique that is used in phase aberration correction [11], [12] and to obtain accurate angular scatter data [13]. Data are acquired using two system geometries, the backscatter geometry in which the transmit and receive apertures are coincident, and the angular scatter geometry in which the apertures are translated by equal distances in opposite directions. Despite its many advantages, use of the TAA results in a dramatically reduced DOF. This is due to the separation of the transmit and receive apertures, which causes only a limited interference of the transmit and receive beams. In order to fully utilize the TAA, a high correlation between the psfs of the translated and the nontranslated geometries is required over an extended axial region.

We performed CW and broadband simulations in an effort to investigate the ability of the MSSE technique to improve the correlation DOF in the TAA. We applied the MSSE technique to a translated apertures system with the goal psf being that generated by the same system without any translation of the apertures at the same range. Both transmit and receive apertures comprised 16 elements. In the translated geometry case, the apertures were translated by 8 elements in opposite directions. The system was focused at 6.5 mm. As in the enhanced conventional DOF case, we performed CW simulations over an axial window from 0.1 mm to 50 mm that was sampled every 0.1 mm. We also implemented broadband simulations over an axial window from 0.5 mm to 32.5 mm that was sampled every 2 mm. A Hann window was used as apodization on the transmit apertures and on the receive aperture during the generation of the goal psf. The temporal sampling in broadband simulations was 120 MHz. We downsampled the broadband propagation matrix by a factor of 3, and interpolated the system psfs by a factor of 10 in both the time and lateral space dimensions prior to analysis. Other parameters were consistent with Tables I and II.

Correlation coefficients were calculated at each range by correlating the psf obtained after application of the MSSE technique in the translated geometry with the psf obtained using the nontranslated geometry. As a comparison, we also performed a control simulation in which we calculated correlation coefficients by correlating the translated and nontranslated geometry psfs, without the application of the MSSE technique. Figs. 8(a) and 8(b) show the correlation curves obtained in CW and broadband simulations, respectively. The dotted lines indicate the transmit focus.
Fig. 7. Application of the MSSE technique for enhanced depth of field (DOF). (a) shows CW correlation coefficients, calculated by correlating the psf at each range of interrogation with the psf at the focus, as a function of range. (b) shows the FWHM of the mainlobe obtained in CW simulations as a function of range. (c) shows broadband correlation coefficients, and (d) shows the FWHM of the mainlobe obtained in broadband simulations, both as a function of range. Dynamic apodization and dynamic receive focusing were used in the control simulations. The dotted lines indicate the transmit focus. (e) depicts CW psf images generated using control psfs, and (f) shows psfs obtained by applying the MSSE technique. Both images were formed from normalized and logarithmically compressed lateral psf at multiple ranges. Each column in the images consists of the psf at a single range. In both CW and broadband simulations, use of the MSSE technique resulted in an increased DOF. This is apparent from the correlation coefficients in (a) and (c), in which the coefficients obtained from the MSSE simulations are higher than those obtained from control simulations. It also can be seen from (b) and (d) that the mainlobe width over the span of interrogated axial ranges is maintained much better in MSSE simulations than in control simulations. A qualitative comparison between the MSSE technique and control simulations can be made from (e) and (f).
Fig. 8. Results of simulations for increased correlation depth of field (DOF) in translated aperture geometries. (a) depicts the correlation coefficients obtained in CW simulations, calculated by correlating the non-translated geometry psf at each range with the translated geometry psf at the same range, as a function of range. (b) shows the correlation coefficients obtained in broadband simulations as a function of range. The dotted lines indicate the transmit focus. At each range, the MSSE technique was applied in the translated geometry case with the non-translated geometry psf as the goal psf. In control simulations, the translated and non-translated geometry psfs were obtained without application of the MSSE technique. (c) depicts the goal psf (which is the psf obtained with the nontranslated geometry), the translated geometry control psf, and the translated geometry psf obtained using the MSSE technique at an axial range of 4 mm. The same information at the transmit focus (6.5 mm) and at 9 mm is depicted in (d) and (e), respectively. It is apparent that the correlation coefficients obtained from the MSSE simulations are higher than those obtained from control simulations, and the MSSE technique significantly improves the DOF. It can be seen from (c), (d), and (e) that the MSSE algorithm preferentially optimizes the mainlobe at the cost of increased sidelobe levels. If it is important to maintain low sidelobe levels, the weighted MSSE technique described in [1] can be used to achieve a compromise between optimizing the mainlobe and controlling sidelobe levels.

Fig. 8(c) depicts the goal psf (the psf obtained with the nontranslated geometry), the translated geometry control psf, and the translated geometry psf obtained using the MSSE technique at an axial range of 4 mm. Figs. 8(d) and 8(e) depict the same information at the transmit focus (6.5 mm), and at 9 mm.

III. DISCUSSION

Figs. 1(a)–1(d) demonstrate the use of the MSSE technique in the most basic system configuration that was simulated, i.e., the one-way CW system. The goal psf was a 32°-wide Hann window as shown in Fig. 1(a). It can be seen from Fig. 1(b) that the designed system psf closely approximates it. The magnitude and phase of the calculated transmit weights can be seen in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). We use two error metrics throughout this section. We use the ratio of the root mean square (rms) error between the psfs to the peak magnitude of the goal psf as a metric of the error in our desired psf, and refer to it as the relative rms error. We also use the ratio of the peak error magnitude to the peak magnitude of the goal psf, and refer to it as the relative peak error. The relative rms error in the one-way CW simulation was 0.029, and the relative peak error was 0.066.

Figs. 1(a)–1(p) illustrate the flexibility of the MSSE technique. In these simulations, the goal psf width was pro-
gressively reduced to 16°, 8°, and 4° in order to investigate the performance of the technique. The relative rms errors obtained in the three simulations were 0.005, 0.002, and 0.0095, and the relative peak errors were 0.012, 0.023, and 0.214, respectively. The error in obtaining the 16° and 8° wide goal psfs is much lower than the error for the 32° and 4° wide goal psfs. However, it can be seen from Fig. 1 that the goal and obtained psfs are qualitatively quite similar. An examination of the magnitude of the calculated weights in Figs. 1(a), 1(g), 1(k), and 1(o) shows that the apodization profile expectedly becomes wider as the goal psf becomes narrower.

The effects of varying the size of the window of analysis are shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen from Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) that, when a ±15° analysis window was used, the ultrasonic field outside the window was unstable and undesirable, exhibiting erratic behavior with very large grating lobes. The dotted lines indicate the extent of the window of analysis, and it can be seen that the grating lobes occur just outside the window. Results improved when the window size was progressively increased in steps of ±15° to ±90°, as can be seen from Figs. 2(d)–2(r). The grating lobe magnitudes were progressively reduced as the window size increased. Beyond a window size of ±45°, however, the improvement outside the analysis window was negligible. In the ±15° and ±30° window cases, it can be seen that the grating lobes occur immediately outside the window. These grating lobes, caused by inadequate analysis window size, disappear for a ±45° window of analysis. Therefore, it can be seen that the size of the window of analysis significantly impacts the obtained ultrasonic field, and it must be carefully chosen to suit the application. Ideally it would always cover ±90°, although computational and memory requirements may limit the practical range.

We also investigated the effect of errors in the assumed speed of sound. Simulation results are displayed in Fig. 3. Fig. 3(b) shows the psf error when the assumed speed of sound was correct. In this case, the relative rms error was 0.012 and the relative peak error was 0.054. As the wave speed was underestimated by 25 m/s, 50 m/s, and 75 m/s, the relative rms and peak errors rose to 0.022 and 0.062, respectively. This is shown in Figs. 3(d), 3(h), and 3(l). When the wave speed was overestimated by 25 m/s, 50 m/s, and 75 m/s, the relative rms and peak errors rose to 0.015 and 0.102, respectively, as can be seen in Figs. 3(f), 3(j), and 3(n). The observed errors were reasonable, despite the extremely wide range considered. Therefore, the MSSE algorithm is stable in the sense that small errors in the assumed wave propagation speed do not appear to result in a significant degradation in performance.

Fig. 4 demonstrates the use of the MSSE technique in the design of two-way system responses. It can be seen from Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) that the system psf obtained by the MSSE technique is very similar to the goal psf. The resulting relative rms error was 0.002, and the relative peak error was 0.022. Note that the errors obtained in the one-way and two-way simulations cannot be compared directly because the transmit psf was predetermined in the two-way simulations, and the two-way psf was optimized using only the receive weights. Therefore, there is inherently less flexibility available to the algorithm than in the one-way design procedure, and it generally performs more poorly than the one-way algorithm, except in a limited range of goal psf widths. The goal psf width for the two-way simulation presented in Fig. 4 falls within this range.

Fig. 5 depicts the results obtained when the MSSE algorithm was implemented in one-way broadband simulations. It can be seen from Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) that the goal and system psfs are qualitatively quite similar. The relative rms error was 0.003, and the relative peak error was 0.05.

Results from the two-way broadband simulation are shown in Fig. 6. The relative rms error was 0.001, and the relative peak error was 0.022.

The results obtained in the one- and two-way broadband design simulations (Figs. 5 and 6) must be interpreted with caution because the goal psfs used are difficult to realize using spherical waves, as can be seen in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). The goal psfs had flat wavefronts and would have been easy to generate using plane waves, but plane waves are an unrealistic model of the ultrasonic field emitted by transducer elements. Medical ultrasonic imaging is typically performed in the near field of the transducer. Because of this, both transmitted and received wavefronts should properly be considered as spherical wavefronts. In spite of the challenging goal psfs used here, there is a very good qualitative agreement between the goal and system psfs. Unlike in the CW simulations, the relative errors in the two-way broadband simulation are smaller than that obtained in the one-way simulation. We believe that this is due to the more realistic broadband simulations performed using Field II. Field II uses elements of finite spatial extent, as opposed to the ideal point sources used in the CW simulations. The use of such elements simulates the effects of nonuniform element angular response, which we hypothesize to be partly responsible for the lower two-way relative errors. The size of the window of analysis and the choice of spatial and temporal sampling rates also may play a significant role. However, in both one-way and two-way simulations, we were able to approximate a very challenging goal psf.

The ability of the MSSE technique to improve the DOF is illustrated in Fig. 7. The DOF was defined in terms of correlation coefficient as the axial region over which the coefficient remained above 0.99. In the CW case, the DOF in control simulations was 7.8 mm, and it increased by 249% to 27.2 mm when the MSSE technique was applied. In the broadband simulations, the DOF increased from 4.1 mm for control to 17.4 mm upon the application of the MSSE technique, an increase of 325%. The improvement in the DOF in CW simulations can be clearly seen in Fig. 7(a), and in broadband simulations in Fig. 7(c).

The DOF also was defined in terms of the FWHM of the mainlobe. Here we considered the DOF to be the region within which the FWHM stayed within ±25% of its value at the focus. The DOF calculated using the FWHM
criterion increased from 11 mm in control simulations to almost the entire range of interrogation, i.e., 50 mm. This represents a 935% increase in the DOF when the MSSE technique was applied. The CW control and MSSE technique FWHM results can be seen in Fig. 7(b). Fig. 7(d) displays the FWHM results for broadband simulations. In the broadband case, the DOF evaluated using the FWHM increased from 12 mm to about 25.4 mm upon application of the MSSE technique, an increase of about 112%. It can be seen clearly in Figs. 7(b) and 7(d) that the mainlobe FWHM at the focus was identical for the control and MSSE technique cases, but it varied more slowly away from the focus when the MSSE method was applied. Therefore, a significant improvement in the DOF was obtained in both CW and broadband simulations.

A more qualitative assessment of the efficacy of the MSSE technique can be made using Figs. 7(e) and 7(f). Fig. 7(e) shows the CW psfs obtained in control simulations and simulations that implemented the MSSE technique. The psfs are displayed as a function of range and lateral position. It can be seen that there is significant broadening of the psf mainlobe with range in the control simulation. Fig. 7(f) clearly demonstrates the dramatic improvement in the DOF obtained using the MSSE beamforming technique. This improvement, though, comes at the cost of slightly increased sidelobe levels. This is due to the fact that the MSSE algorithm minimizes the energy in the difference between the goal and system psfs. Because most of the energy is contained in the mainlobe, the algorithm preferentially optimizes mainlobe width at the cost of higher sidelobe levels. However, as described in [1], we can apply a weighting function to selectively emphasize sidelobes during the MSSE design process. The procedure involves the selection of an appropriate function that weights the sidelobes of the psf more than the mainlobe during the SSE minimization operation. Implementing the MSSE technique then would yield aperture weightings that preferentially maintain low sidelobe levels, at the expense of mainlobe width. This would enable a compromise between the overall performance of the algorithm and sidelobe levels. However, as can be seen from Fig. 7, the MSSE technique performs much better overall than the conventional techniques of dynamic apodization and dynamic receive focusing, and greatly enhances the depth of field.

Fig. 8 illustrates the use of the MSSE design method in the TAA. Fig. 8(a) shows the correlation coefficients obtained by correlating the nontranslated geometry psf with the translated geometry psf in CW simulations. As previously described, the correlation DOF was defined as the axial region within which the correlation coefficient remained over 0.99. The correlation DOF increased from 5.2 mm in control simulations to 46.9 mm upon the application of the MSSE technique. This represents an 802% increase in the DOF. Fig. 8(b) displays the correlation coefficients obtained in broadband simulations. The DOF increased from 1.0 mm to 8.8 mm in broadband simulations, an increase of 780%.

The goal, control, and MSSE technique psfs at axial ranges of 4 mm, 6.5 mm, and 9 mm are depicted in Figs. 8(c), 8(d), and 8(e), respectively. It can be seen clearly that the MSSE technique preferentially optimizes the mainlobe of the obtained psf, at the cost of higher sidelobes. If sidelobe levels are too high, the weighted MSSE technique described in [1] can be used to achieve a compromise between optimizing the mainlobe and controlling sidelobe levels.

The MSSE design method worked exceedingly well over the range of conditions considered in this paper, but we must exercise some caution in interpreting these results. The results shown in Fig. 2 clearly demonstrate that use of an appropriately large window of analysis is critical. Another concern is the effect of assuming an incorrect propagation model in the derivation of the optimum aperture weights. Errors such as a mismatch in the assumed and actual wave propagation speeds have been shown to have an adverse effect on the design method, although observed errors were small. Phase aberration will also adversely impact the performance of the MSSE algorithm, because it is unaccounted for in the propagation models used. Blocked or dead elements will also have an effect, because any assumed propagation functions for those elements will cause an undesired contribution to the ultrasound field. These effects remain to be investigated in detail, but the initial simulation results that have been presented suggest that the MSSE technique is a robust beamformer design tool.

The calculated weights do not lend themselves to intuition, but the MSSE algorithm may be considered to be analogous to the discrete Fourier transform [9] or wavelet transform ([9, pp. 500–502]). The discrete Fourier transform expresses a signal in terms of multiple narrowband signals with unique frequencies. We can process (weight) these narrowband signals, then use the inverse discrete Fourier transform to sum them and construct a new signal that we desire. Similarly, the wavelet transform decomposes a signal into a set of weighted wavelets that can be summed to construct a new desired signal. The MSSE algorithm is analogous because it decomposes the system response into the contributions of the individual elements. These individual element responses then are weighted and summed to construct the desired system response. The individual element responses are not necessarily orthogonal such as the kernel functions used in Fourier and wavelet decompositions, but the MSSE algorithm operates under the same principle.

Simulations have shown the MSSE beamformer design technique to be able to design apertures for applications that require arbitrary system responses. One such application is multidimensional blood velocity estimation as described in [14] and [15]. The specialized psfs required for the methods in [14] and [15] can be optimally generated using the MSSE technique. Because the MSSE technique derives aperture weights that minimize the SSE between the goal and system psfs, the calculated weights generate a system response that is optimally similar to the goal response. This eliminates the usual need for iteration to
obtain an adequate response. The technique has also been shown to be successful in developing apertures for common beamformer design problems such as limited depth of field. Overall, the MSSE technique has the potential to improve beamforming in general, with much better control of beam parameters than is possible with current beamforming techniques. The direct solutions provided by this approach also have the potential to save a great deal of time by obviating iterative design.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The MSSE technique has been shown to be effective in designing ultrasound systems that generate arbitrary desired system responses. Simulation results in one-way and two-way CW and broadband systems demonstrate that it is straightforward to implement and can be applied to a wide range of potential applications. Simulation results obtained by implementing the beamforming method in examples of application demonstrate the success of the technique in solving common problems that are encountered in ultrasound imaging, such as a restricted depth of field.

Therefore, the MSSE technique has been shown to have significant potential to improve ultrasound beamforming and can be applied in any ultrasound application in which better control of beam parameters is desired. Specifically, applications that require specialized pws are well suited for the technique. There is no iteration involved; therefore, design time is considerably reduced. Further investigation is required to examine the effects of phase aberration, blocked elements, and imposing constraints on the calculated aperture weights. But our simulations indicate that the MSSE technique consistently outperforms current beamforming techniques.
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Abstract - Traditional ultrasound systems measure backscatter in B-mode, capturing only the acoustic energy that is reflected directly from the target region to the transducer face. These systems fail to utilize the information in the echo field that is scattered in other directions and therefore cannot characterize the angular scattering behavior of the targets being observed. Since target-specific angular scattering has great potential as a source of increased contrast in biological tissues, it is desirable to modify the method of acquisition in order to obtain reliable information about this behavior. However, prior systems used to investigate this information have been clinically unwieldy and statistically inaccurate over small regions. We have implemented a method of acquisition that utilizes the translating apertures algorithm (TAA) to reliably separate target-specific angular scatter information from the effects of changing acquisition geometry. This acquisition method has been implemented in real-time on a clinical linear array system. Seven interrogation angles are acquired for each imaging line, and the TAA is implemented repeatedly across the array to yield per-pixel maps of angular scatter behavior. We present comparisons of per-pixel angular scatter behavior for a variety of target types, including correlation analysis of a Rayleigh-regime wire target phantom and comparative image analysis with phantoms containing targets of varying compressibility and density. It is shown that the TAA maintains a high per-pixel correlation level over a broad range of interrogation angles. Comparative angular scatter imaging is shown to yield relative contrast improvements on the order of 10-15dB in some targets.

I. INTRODUCTION

Traditional clinical ultrasound imaging methods yield information about the echogenicity of targets within the imaging field by processing only those echoes which are returned directly to the point of transmission (backscatter). Such systems do not consider portions of the returning echo field which are scattered in other directions, and thus cannot provide information about the angular scatter profile of insonified targets. The character of the angular scatter profile has been shown to contain significant information about the type of target being observed [1], so it is desirable to develop an imaging system which can process this type of information in a clinically useful manner.

In general, the amplitude of the echo field emitted by a Rayleigh scattering target will exhibit an angular dependence that is proportional to its background-relative compressibility and density:

\[
R = \frac{2\pi f a^2}{3rc} \left[ \frac{\kappa_t - \kappa}{\kappa} + \frac{3\rho_t - 3\rho}{2\rho_t - \rho} \cos(\theta_t) \right]
\]

(1)

where \(a\) is the scatterer radius, \(r\) is the target range, \(\kappa_t\) and \(\kappa\) are the target and background compressibilities, respectively, \(\rho_t\) and \(\rho\) are the target and background densities, respectively, and \(\theta_t\) is the scattering angle relative to backscatter (180°). Generated echoes consist of the summed contributions of an omni-directional wave caused by local compressibility variations and an angle-dependent (dipolar) wave caused by local variations in density, but this information cannot be separated with a conventional imaging geometry.

We have developed an imaging system using the translating apertures algorithm (TAA) [2] which allows for the reliable evaluation of the echo field at multiple interrogation angles. This allows for the omni-directional and angle-dependent components of the echo field to be evaluated separately, which introduces compressibility and density variations as a new source of potential image contrast. This imaging method is implemented in real-time on a GE Logiq 700MR clinical imaging system using a 7.5MHz linear array probe. We present the initial
evaluation of this system’s ability to isolate angular scatter information through analysis of a variety of target types.

II. METHODS

The advantage of the translating apertures algorithm over traditional angular scatter acquisition geometries [3] is the reliable isolation of target-specific echo information from other system effects. Moving the transmit and receive apertures in equal and opposite directions to increase interrogation angle provides a stable system point spread function through a broad angular range.

In order to implement the TAA on a linear array in a useful manner that can generate images, it is necessary to modify system behavior during transmission and reception such that a variety of angles can be interrogated for every point in lateral space along the array. Implementation of the TAA on the Logiq 700 system involves extensive modification to allow for precise control of the apertures being utilized for transmission and reception for every set of pulses that the system fires. Since linear array systems are designed to focus at multiple depths and (typically) fire one set of focused pulses per lateral image line per focus, modifications must be made to interrogate a single region in space at multiple angles.

Firstly, identical transmit/receive beamforming is implemented across multiple system focal zones, such that the system interrogates the same spatial region many times (according to the number of interrogation angles desired). It should be emphasized that though the system interprets each of these redundant firings as a different spatial focal zone, all the calculated time delays are identical for each zone. Additionally, dynamic receive focusing and transmit/receive apodization (which are depth dependent) are disabled. Aperture translation is achieved through pre-calculated electronic channel maps which can independently turn any array element on or off during transmit and receive operations. By using the same beamforming calculations for every “zone”, small angles can be interrogated by turning on transmit/receive elements near the center of the active aperture, and large angles can be interrogated by enabling elements near the edge. All imaging vectors are placed in line with the physical imaging elements to assure absolute symmetry as TAA is applied to separate the active transmit and receive apertures in equal and opposite directions. The firing order of the system for a four-angle acquisition thus looks like this:

![Figure 1: Multi-angle acquisition on a linear array](image)

This example demonstrates acquisition of a backscatter angle ($\theta_1$) and three other interrogation angles for two different imaging lines (A and B). The firing order for these angles would be $A\theta_1$, $A\theta_3$, $A\theta_5$, $B\theta_3$, $B\theta_5$, $B\theta_7$, $B\theta_9$. There are approximately 200 lateral spatial vectors per image frame, and up to seven interrogation angles can be interrogated per spatial imaging line.

Complex echo information is obtained in the form of summed IQ data that is offloaded from the Logiq 700 to a pc-based storage system, where it is then unpacked/de-interlaced and processed for the purposes of comparative evaluation.

III. RESULTS

Rayleigh-regime wire target

As it is essential that the TAA acquisition maintain a highly correlated system point spread function across all angles of interrogation, an initial experiment was performed using a 20\(\mu\)m diameter stainless steel wire (< 1/10, approximating a Rayleigh scattering target) in a deoxygenated water bath. Seven acquisition angles were acquired, from backscatter (180°) to 162° in 3-degree increments. Since transmit and receive apodization could not be controlled independently, no apodization was used for any of these experiments. Several parameters were varied to test their effect on psf correlation levels, including the size of the transmit/receive
apertures, the speed of sound used by the system to calculate time delays, and the distance the wire target was placed away from the transducer face. In general, varying the calculated speed of sound produced the same results as moving the transducer axially toward/away from the wire target, and larger transmit/receive apertures provided better correlation values (largely due to increased SNR). Using an 8 element (1.6 mm) aperture with the system focused at 12 mm, the following plot was generated to demonstrate correlation depth of field:

![Correlation Coefficient vs. Distance](image)

Figure 2. Correlation coefficient vs. distance from transducer face

The complex correlation coefficient \( \rho \) was calculated relative to the acquisition at 180° (note that the angle \( \theta \) on the legend corresponds to 180° - \( \theta \), it is more intuitive to refer to this angle since increasing \( \theta \) indicates an increased separation between transmit and receive apertures). Looking at the absolute value of the complex correlation coefficient it is clear that correlation drops when interrogation angle is increased, as well as when the transducer is moved farther from the focus at 12 mm. All angles exhibit high correlation levels at/near the focus, and correlation remains above 0.95 for approximately 5 mm for all angles of interrogation.

The simplest method of comparative imaging that can be employed to quantify differences in angular scatter is common- and difference- (c- and d-) weighted subtractive imaging [2]. A difference-weighted image is formed via the subtraction of the complex echoes acquired at an angle of interest from those acquired at the backscatter angle. This eliminates the portion of the echo field common to every acquisition angle and emphasizes those portions of the echo field which change significantly as the interrogation angle is increased. Subtracting the difference echoes from the echoes acquired at the separation angle of interest yields the common-weighted echo set. Common-weighted images emphasize targets dominated by local variations in compressibility, while difference-weighted images emphasize local variations in density.

To evaluate the potential efficacy of a straightforward subtraction image on the system, the normalized difference energies (DE) of all data sets were calculated as follows:

\[
DE = \left| \frac{A_0}{\sqrt{A_0 A_0^*}} - \frac{A_0}{\sqrt{A_0 A_0^*}} \right|^2
\]

Where \( A_0 \) is the complex backscatter echo data and \( A_0 \) is the complex echo data a higher angle of interrogation. Initial evaluation of this metric is shown in Fig. 3:

![Normalized Difference Energy vs. Distance](image)

Figure 3. Normalized difference energy vs. distance from focus

Results showed a higher than expected rise in difference energy at high interrogation angles, so in order to improve the depth of field over which C- and D- imaging could be successfully employed, receive time warping (RTW) was applied to align the data acquired at backscatter to the echoes acquired at other angles. RTW compensates for the relative difference in pathlength between the focus and other points in the imaging field when considering angular acquisition vs. backscatter. A complex phase rotation is applied to the angular acquisition data sets to make up for this difference (Fig. 4). RTW greatly enhanced the effective depth of field for C- and D-type image comparisons, offering an order of magnitude improvement at larger interrogation angles.
contrast between the wires and the background is nearly the same: 21.3dB, 21.9dB, and 23.8dB respectively for the steel, nylon, and cotton wires. Note that they are difficult to differentiate from the B-Mode image alone. In the C-weighted image we see contrast levels of 19.4dB, 20.5dB, and 25.3dB (note the enhanced contrast for the highly compressible cotton thread). In the D-weighted image we see contrast levels of 27.90dB, 22.50dB, and 17.07dB for the steel, nylon, and cotton threads, such that all three wire types are clearly differentiable in the image.

IV. CONCLUSION

Although there are a few considerable limitations currently involved with implementing TAA on a linear array system (most notably, the inability to independently apodize the transmit and receive apertures), it has been shown that there is great potential in this technique for providing new sources of image contrast from previously unobservable target characteristics. Further refinement of the technique should allow for the generation of higher quality overall image data, as well as the potential real-time display of comparative (C/D-type) images.
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A NOVEL APERTURE DESIGN METHOD FOR IMPROVED DEPTH OF FIELD IN ULTRASOUND IMAGING
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Abstract — Current aperture design techniques do not allow for the design of apertures that produce a beam pattern optimally similar to the desired pattern. A flexible beamforming technique that enables the optimal design of apertures for a desired system response is presented. This technique involves a linear algebra formulation of the Sum Squared Error (SSE) between the point spread function (psf) of the system, and an ideal or desired psf. Minimization of this SSE yields the optimum aperture weightings. A brief overview of the application of the technique for some common design objectives, along with simulation results is also presented.

I. INTRODUCTION

A common task in ultrasound imaging is the design of apertures for either a specific imaging application, or to improve performance in an existing application. This is usually performed in an iterative and ad-hoc manner. These techniques may result in a system response close to the desired response, but they do not guarantee optimization of ultrasound beam parameters such as main-lobe width and side-lobe levels. Also, given a desired beam pattern, it is not possible to design apertures that produce a beam pattern that optimally resembles the desired beam.

We propose a general aperture design method, with rigorous theory, that can be applied in arbitrary system geometries to design apertures optimizing beam parameters. Our technique involves a linear algebra formulation of the Sum Squared Error (SSE) between the system point spread function (psf) and the desired or ideal psf. Minimization of this error yields unique aperture weightings that force the system psf to resemble the desired psf. It is similar to the technique used by Ebbini [1], and by Ebbini and O'Donnell [2]. Our Minimized Sum Squared Error (MSSE) technique is more general, however, because it enables the use of arbitrary propagation functions.

Another distinction is that in [1] and [2], a few control points were used in order to ensure an underdetermined system of equations and obtain an exact beam pattern at those few points, while we use the entire ideal psf to obtain the least squares solution of an overdetermined system of equations. This method enables excellent control of the system psf, and has a significant impact on aperture design for several applications such as improved depth of field.

II. THEORY

The phase and amplitude of the ultrasonic field at a point in space due to a transducer element depends on several factors. These include the Euclidean distance between the point and the element, the orientation of the element relative to the point, the frequency of the emitted wave, and frequency dependent attenuation of the medium, assuming linear propagation. The complex field at the point under consideration can be written as the product of a propagation function, $s$, which incorporates any or all of the above mentioned factors, and the weighting (possibly complex) applied to the element, w. i.e. $sw$. The one-way $M$-point lateral point spread function (psf) at the range $z$ can be represented as,

$$
P_z = \begin{bmatrix}
s_{1,1} & s_{1,2} & \cdots & s_{1,N} \\
s_{2,1} & s_{2,2} & \cdots & s_{2,N} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
s_{M,1} & \cdots & s_{M,N} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
w_1 \\
w_2 \\
\vdots \\
w_M \\
\end{bmatrix} = S_z W \ (1)
$$

where $S_z$ is an $M \times N$ matrix of propagation functions in which each element $s_{i,j}$ is the propagation function that determines the field at a point $i$ due to element $j$, $W$ is an $N \times 1$ vector of aperture weightings in which each element $w_j$ is the
weighting applied to the $j^{th}$ element, and $P_z$ is the resulting psf, which is an $M \times 1$ vector. This formulation permits analysis with complicated propagation functions that may include limited element angular response, frequency dependent attenuation, and other difficult to model factors.

Using equation 1 and by applying the well-known Radar Equation, the two-way psf can be written as,

$$P_{TR} = P_T \cdot P_R = (S_z T) \cdot (S_z R)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $P_T$ and $P_R$ are the one-way transmit and receive psfs respectively, $T$ and $R$ are the transmit and receive aperture weightings, and $\cdot$ indicates point by point multiplication. The propagation function is the same on transmit and receive due to acoustic reciprocity. Equation 2 can be rewritten as,

$$P_{TR} = P_{Tds} \cdot P_R = P_{Tds} \cdot S_z \cdot R = P_{Tds} \cdot R,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $P_{Tds}$ is a diagonal $M \times M$ matrix with the elements of $P_T$ along its $0^{th}$ diagonal, and $P_{Tds} = P_{Tds} \cdot S_z$. This changes the point multiplication operation to a regular matrix multiplication operation. We can characterize the degree of similarity between the psf at some range $z$, and some ideal psf by the SSE between them. Using equation 3 the SSE is,

$$SSE = (P_{TR} - \bar{P}_{TR})^\dagger (P_{TR} - \bar{P}_{TR})$$

$$= (P_{Tds} \cdot R - \bar{P}_{TRi})^\dagger (P_{Tds} \cdot R - \bar{P}_{TRi})$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $\bar{P}_{TRi}$ is the ideal psf, and the superscript $\dagger$ denotes a complex conjugate operation.

This is formulation is well-known in signal processing, and using [3], we can obtain a set of receive weightings to be applied so that the SSE between the generated and ideal psfs is minimized.

$$R = (P_{Tds}^\dagger \cdot P_{Tds}^\dagger)^{-1} P_{Tds}^\dagger \cdot \bar{P}_{TRi} = P_{Tds}^\dagger \cdot \bar{P}_{TRi}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

where $P_{Tds}^\dagger$ is the pseudoinverse of $P_{Tds}$.

III. DISCUSSION

Equation 5 specifies the complex weightings to be applied to the transducer elements constituting the receive aperture to obtain a system psf, $P_{TR}$, at the range $z$, that optimally resembles the desired or ideal psf, $\bar{P}_{TRi}$. This aperture design method guarantees optimal beam patterns. We describe some common design objectives, and the application of our method to design apertures that achieve these objectives, to demonstrate the effectiveness of the MSSE technique.

Objective: Enhanced Depth of Field - The depth of field (DOF) of an ultrasound imaging system is generally defined as the axial region over which the system is in focus, or more rigorously, the axial region over which the system response is uniform within some predetermined limit. It is generally desired that the system psf remains similar to the psf at the focus for as large an axial range as possible. Current state of the art techniques to improve depth of field include transmit apodization, dynamic receive apodization and dynamic receive focusing.

However effective the above techniques are, they are ad-hoc and lack formal theory describing the effectiveness in improving depth of field. Our objective is to derive receive weightings that force the psf at each specific range of interrogation to be optimally similar to the psf at the focus, and use these weightings to implement dynamic weighting to maximize depth of field. This can be done easily by setting the ideal psf, $\bar{P}_{TRi}$, in equation 5 to be the psf at the focus. We will obtain receive weightings for the range of interest, $z$, that will generate a psf that is optimally similar to the psf at the focus.

Objective: Correlation Depth of Field in Translated Aperture Geometries – We have proposed using the Translating Apertures Algorithm (TAA) as the foundation of angular scatter imaging methods [4]. The TAA results in a considerably reduced depth of field as the transmit and receive apertures are translated. Our technique of dynamic receive-aperture weightings can be applied to improve the correlation between the backscatter (non-translated) and angular scatter (translated) psfs at each range of interest, and thereby increase the correlation depth of field. We can derive the receive weightings to be applied in the translated apertures geometry to maximize the correlation by minimizing the SSE between the two psfs. We can write the two-way psf for the translated geometry at range $z$ as follows.
\[ P_{Tid1} = P_{T11} \cdot P_{R11} = P_{T11} \cdot (S_{R1} R_1) \]
\[ = P_{Tid1} S_{R1} R_1 = P_{Tid1} S_{R1} \]

where \( P_{Tid1} \) is a diagonalized M x M matrix with the elements of \( P_{T11} \) along the 0th diagonal, the subscript “1” denotes the translated geometry and \( P_{Tid1} = P_{Tid} S_{R1} \). The SSE between the psfs for the backscatter and angular scatter geometries is,

\[ \text{SSE} = (P_{Tid1} - P_{Tid0})' (P_{Tid1} - P_{Tid0}) \]
\[ = (P_{Tid1} R_1 - P_{Tid0})' (P_{Tid1} R_1 - P_{Tid0}) \]  

(10)

The receive weightings to be applied to the angular scatter geometry that yield the optimum correlation depth of field are therefore [3],

\[ R_1 = (P_{Tid1} S_{Rid})^{-1} P_{Tid1} S_{Rid} P_{Tid1} = P_{Tid1} P_{Tid1} \]  

(11)

where \( P_{Tid1} \) is the pseudoinverse of \( P_{Tid1} \).

The above design objectives illustrate the flexibility of the MSSE technique. The method, however, is not limited to these examples and can be used to design apertures to obtain any arbitrary system response.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Simulations of the design method were performed by implementing code in Matlab. We used a discretized Rayleigh-Sommerfeld formulation to generate the propagation matrices. The control parameters are described in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of elements</th>
<th>32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Element spacing</td>
<td>200  microns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apodization</td>
<td>Hann window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus</td>
<td>1.2 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of operation</td>
<td>10 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of field points</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field point spacing</td>
<td>20  microns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Control parameters.

Enhanced Depth of Field – Figure 1 demonstrates the effectiveness of our technique in improving the depth of field. Every column in each of the three images corresponds to the lateral psf at a single axial range. This range was varied from 0.31 cm to 5 cm and was sampled every 100 \( \mu \)m. Figure 1a consists of the lateral psfs corresponding to the control case with no apodization. Figure 1b is made up of the psfs obtained when dynamic apodization and dynamic receive focusing were applied, along with a range dependent gain function. Figure 1c consists of the psfs obtained when our MSSE technique was applied. It can be seen that beam characteristics were maintained over a longer range for our technique, than when conventional beamforming techniques were applied.

Correlation Depth of Field in Translated Aperture Geometries – Figure 2 illustrates the application of the MSSE technique in translated aperture geometries. The correlation coefficients were obtained by correlating the translated aperture (shifted by 10 elements) psf with the non-translated aperture psf at the same range. It can be seen that the application of our technique results in a significantly higher correlation than the control case.

Although results are not shown, it is also possible to design transmit apertures that produce limited diffraction transmit beams and maintain transmit beam characteristics for a significantly larger range. This can be done by tiling the one-way psfs at the specific axial ranges over which the beam characteristics are to be maintained, and tiling the ideal psfs, one for each range of interest. The SSE between the tiled ideal and actual psfs can then be formulated using equation 1. Minimizing this SSE will yield the transmit weightings that produce the optimized limited diffraction transmit beam.

IV. CONCLUSION

The Minimum Sum Squared Error (MSSE) technique provides a general method for the design of aperture weightings that can be applied in arbitrary system geometries to design apertures in order to obtain a beam pattern optimally similar to the desired pattern. By applying the technique to some common design challenges in medical ultrasound, it has been shown to have the potential to significantly improve the performance of imaging systems.
Figure 1. Images obtained from lateral psfs at multiple ranges. Each column consists of the psf at a single range. 1a is the control case, 1b is dynamic apodization and dynamic receive focusing with range dependent gain, and 1c is our MSSE technique.

Figure 2. Correlation coefficients obtained by correlating the shifted aperture (10 element shift) psf with the non-shifted aperture psf at the same range.

IV. FUTURE WORK

The technique described above is implemented using a continuous wave (CW) formulation. We have also adapted the technique for broadband systems. We are currently experimenting with broadband simulations, and limited diffraction transmit beams.
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ABSTRACT

Conventional techniques used to design transducer apertures for medical ultrasound are generally iterative and ad-hoc. They do not guarantee optimization of parameters such as mainlobe width and sidelobe levels. We propose a dynamic aperture weighting technique, called the Minimum Sum Squared Error (MSSE) technique, that can be applied in arbitrary system geometries to design apertures optimizing these parameters. The MSSE technique utilizes a linear algebra formulation of the Sum Squared Error (SSE) between the point spread function (psf) of the system, and a goal or desired psf. We have developed a closed form expression for the aperture weightings that minimize this error and optimize the psf at any range. We present analysis for Continuous Wave (CW) and broadband systems, and present simulations that illustrate the flexibility of the technique.

Keywords: ultrasound, beamforming, aperture design, minimum sum squared error, SSE, MSSE

1. INTRODUCTION

In ultrasonic imaging, the characteristics of the ultrasound beam fundamentally affect the quality of the data obtained, and therefore need to be carefully adjusted to obtain the desired system response. Beam parameters such as the mainlobe width and sidelobe levels can be adjusted by changing the amplitude and phase (time delay) of the weightings applied to the active elements, and also by controlling the size of the active aperture (the number of active elements) and the frequency of operation.

These beamforming parameters do not act independently; altering one changes the impact of each of the others. Consequently, beamformer design is a complicated multiparameter optimization problem. Because of this complexity, beamformer parameters are typically determined using a combination of ad hoc methods, simplified theory, and iterative simulation and experimentation. While these methods are effective, they are time consuming and provide no guarantee that an optimized solution has been found.

We propose a general aperture design method that can be applied in arbitrary system geometries to design apertures that optimize beam parameters. Our technique utilizes a linear algebra formulation of the Sum Squared Error (SSE) between the system point spread function (psf) and the desired or goal psf. Minimization of this error yields unique aperture weightings that force the system psf to resemble the desired psf. It is similar to the technique used by Ebbini et al [1] to generate specialized beam patterns for hyperthermia, and by Li et al [2] for the compensation of blocked elements. There are several differences, however, between these methods and the technique we describe. The analysis in [1] and [2] uses only a few control points, while we use the entire system psf to form an overdetermined system of equations that we then solve. Another important distinction is that unlike [1] and [2], we present analysis for both CW and broadband systems. This paper outlines the theoretical description of the MSSE technique for narrowband and broadband systems and discusses a few examples of application. Simulation results for these examples are also described.

2. THEORY

2.1 One-way Continuous Wave (CW) formulation

The phase and amplitude of the ultrasonic field at a point in space due to an ultrasound transducer element depends on several factors. These include the Euclidean distance between the point and the element, the orientation of the element relative to the point, the frequency of the emitted wave, and frequency dependent attenuation of the medium. Assuming linear propagation, the one-way M-point lateral point spread function (psf) at the range $z$ can be represented as follows.
where $S_z$ is an $M \times N$ matrix of complex propagation functions comprising elements of the form $S_{i,j}$, which represents the propagation function that determines the field at a point $i$ due to element $j$. $W$ is an $N \times 1$ vector of aperture weightings in which each element $W_j$ is the weighting applied to the $j$th element. $P_z$ is the resulting $M \times 1$ psf. This formulation permits analysis with complicated propagation functions that may include limited element angular response and other such factors. The transmit psf at the range $z$ can therefore be expressed as follows,

$$P_T = S_z T \quad \text{(2)}$$

where $T$ comprises the transmit aperture weightings. Let us suppose that the desired one-way system transmit psf is $\tilde{P}_{Tz}$ for the application of interest. We can then characterize the degree of similarity between the goal psf $\tilde{P}_{Tz}$ and the actual system psf $P_{Tz}$ by the Sum Squared Error (SSE) between them.

$$\text{SSE} = (P_{Tz} - \tilde{P}_{Tz})^\dagger (P_{Tz} - \tilde{P}_{Tz}) = (S_z \cdot T - \tilde{P}_{Tz})^\dagger (S_z \cdot T - \tilde{P}_{Tz}) \quad \text{(3)}$$

where the superscript “$\dagger$” denotes a conjugate transpose operation. Minimizing the SSE yields the transmit weights that produce the system psf that is optimally similar to the goal psf. The formulation in equation 3 is common in signal processing, and significant literature exists on the solution to the equation with the minimum SSE. Using [3] the transmit aperture weightings that minimize the SSE are given by,

$$T = \left(S_z^\dagger \cdot S_z\right)^{-1} S_z^\dagger \cdot \tilde{P}_{Tz} = S_z^\# \cdot \tilde{P}_{Tz} \quad \text{(4)}$$

where $S_z^\#$ is the pseudoinverse of $S_z$. Equation 4 describes the calculation of the transmit weightings that yield the system psf at the range $z$ that is optimally similar to the goal psf.

### 2.2 Two-way Continuous Wave (CW) formulation

Using the analysis in the previous subsection and by applying the well-known RADAR equation [4], the two-way psf is,

$$P_{TRz} = P_{Tz} \cdot P_{Rz} = (S_z \cdot T) \cdot (S_z \cdot R) \quad \text{(5)}$$

where ‘$\cdot$’ indicates point multiplication. Equation 5 can be rewritten as,

$$P_{TRz} = P_{TdS} \cdot P_{Rs} = P_{TdS} \cdot S_z \cdot R = P_{TdS} \cdot R \quad \text{(6)}$$

where $P_{TdS}$ is a diagonal $M \times M$ matrix with the elements of $P_{Tz}$ along its 0th diagonal, and $P_{TdS} = P_{Tz} \cdot S_z$. If $\tilde{P}_{TRz}$ is the goal psf, the SSE between the system and goal psfs can be expressed in a similar fashion to the one-way formulation in equation 2. The receive aperture weights that minimize the SSE can then be determined as shown below.
Figure 1. Illustration of broadband formulation. The pulse applied to each element is convolved with a set of weights, which are distinct for each element. This operation is analogous to implementing an FIR filter on each element/channel.

\[ SSE = (P_{TRc} - \overline{P}_{TRc})^\dagger (P_{TRc} - \overline{P}_{TRc}) \]
\[ = (P_{TdS} R - \overline{P}_{TRc})^\dagger (P_{TdS} R - \overline{P}_{TRc}) \]
\[ R = (P_{TdS}^* P_{TdS})^{-1} P_{TdS}^* \overline{P}_{TRc} = P_{TdS}^* \overline{P}_{TRc} \]

where \( P_{TdS}^* \) is the pseudoinverse of \( P_{TdS} \). Equation 8 specifies the complex weightings to be applied to the transducer elements constituting the receive aperture in order to obtain a two-way system psf \( P_{TRc} \) at the range \( z \), that optimally resembles the desired or goal psf \( \overline{P}_{TRc} \).

2.3 One-way broadband formulation
The CW formulation described above will have limited accuracy in the analysis of broadband systems. For this case, we have developed a modified formulation. The one-way point spread function (psf) \( P_{Tc} \) at a specific range \( z \) is a function of lateral position and time, and can be represented as follows.

\[ P_{Tc} = A_z T \]

where \( A_z \) is a propagation matrix that depends on the excitation pulse and the impulse responses of the elements comprising the transmit aperture. It is a function of time and the spatial positions of the element and field point under consideration. It describes the contribution of each element at each field point as a function of time. The generation of a one-way psf is shown in Figure 1. The SSE between the system psf and the desired psf can be expressed as,
\[ SSE = (p_{Tz} \, - \, \overline{p}_{Tz})^T \, (p_{Tz} \, - \, \overline{p}_{Tz}) \]  

(10)

where the superscript "T" denotes a transpose operation. Using equation 9, we can solve for the transmit weightings that minimize the SSE in equation 10.

\[ T = (A_z^T \, A_z)^{-1} \, A_z^T \, \overline{p}_{Tz} = A_z^{\#} \, \overline{p}_{Tz} \]  

(11)

### 2.4 Two-way broadband formulation

Similar to the one-way psf, the two-way pulse-echo psf can also be expressed in a linear algebra formulation.

\[ p_{TRz} = A_{zz} \, R \]  

(12)

where \( A_{zz} \) is a function of the transmit aperture weights, the excitation pulse, and the transmit and receive aperture element impulse responses. If \( \overline{p}_{TRz} \) is the goal psf at range \( z \), the SSE between the goal and actual pulse-echo psfs can be expressed using equation 12, and minimized to obtain the optimum receive weights as shown below.

\[ SSE = (p_{TRz} \, - \, \overline{p}_{TRz})^T \, (p_{TRz} \, - \, \overline{p}_{TRz}) \]  

(13)

\[ R = (A_{zz}^T \, A_{zz})^{-1} \, A_{zz}^T \, \overline{p}_{TRz} = A_{zz}^{\#} \, \overline{p}_{TRz} \]  

(14)

where \( A_{zz}^{\#} \) is the pseudoinverse of \( A_{zz} \).

### 2.5 Modified broadband formulation for reduced computational complexity

The calculation of aperture weights in the MSSE technique requires significant computational resources, due to the pseudo-inverse operation and the large matrices involved. However, the lateral symmetry of the apertures and the psfs can be exploited in order to reduce the computational complexity of the broadband formulation. We can, if we choose, use just half of both the goal and actual psfs for the calculation of the optimal weightings. The symmetry of the transmit and receive apertures can also be used to reduce the size of the matrices involved. Pairs of elements can be considered by grouping elements that are on opposite sides and at the same distance from the center axis. The computational complexity is therefore reduced by a factor of 4. This concept is illustrated in figure 2.

### 2.6 Application to enhance Depth of Field (DOF)

The Minimum Sum Squared Error (MSSE) technique that is described above for CW and broadband systems is extremely general and can be applied in wide-ranging design scenarios. As an example, we describe the application of the technique for improved Depth of Field.

The Depth of Field (DOF) of an ultrasound imaging system is generally defined as the axial region over which the system is in focus, or more rigorously, the axial region over which the system response is uniform within some predetermined limit. It is usually desired that the system psf remains similar to the psf at the focus for as large an axial range as possible.

Currently, techniques that are used to improve the DOF include apodization and dynamic receive focusing. A static apodization function is generally applied to the transmit aperture, while dynamic apodization is implemented on the receive aperture. If the MSSE technique is implemented for every range under consideration with the goal psf being the psf obtained at the focus, we can formally derive receive apodization weightings that force the psf at each specific range of interrogation to be maximally similar by minimizing the SSE. These weightings can then be used to implement dynamic apodization and maximize the DOF.
3. SIMULATIONS

We have implemented two sets of simulations in order to illustrate the working of the MSSE technique. The first set was intended to illustrate the implementation of the MSSE technique to obtain predetermined system psfs in CW and broadband systems. The second set was designed to implement the technique to improve the system DOF in CW and broadband simulations. The default system parameters are described in table 1. Unless otherwise mentioned, these parameters were used in all simulations. All simulations were performed in Matlab. Field II, an ultrasound simulation package developed by Jensen [5], was used for all broadband simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element pitch</td>
<td>135 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus</td>
<td>1.3 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral window over which the psf was calculated</td>
<td>70 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psf window sampling interval</td>
<td>20 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic wave propagation speed</td>
<td>1540 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center frequency</td>
<td>10 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth (in broadband simulations)</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal sampling of psf (in broadband simulations)</td>
<td>84 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal spacing of weights for each element</td>
<td>36 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(in broadband simulations)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: List of default parameters used in the simulations, unless otherwise mentioned.

3.1 One-way CW design example

For all CW simulations, we adapted the propagation function from the Rayleigh-Sommerfeld equation described in [6]. The elements were treated as point sources. The parameters that were used are described in table 1. The goal psf was chosen to be a Hann window of width 7 mm. The optimum transmit weights were computed using equation 4, and the system psf was calculated after application of these weights. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show the goal psf and the resulting system psf respectively. No transmit apodization, other than the calculated weights, was used. Figures 3(c) and 3(d) display the calculated transmit aperture weights, and the magnitude of the error between the goal and resulting psfs respectively. The error is the difference between the goal and the obtained psfs.

3.2 Two-way CW design example

A Hann window of width 1 mm was chosen to be the goal two-way psf. The MSSE technique was implemented by calculating and applying the receive aperture weights that were obtained using equation 8. No apodization was applied to the transmit aperture. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) depict the goal psf and the psf generated by using the calculated weights respectively. Figures 4(c) and 4(d) display the calculated receive aperture weights, and the magnitude of the error between the goal and resulting psfs respectively.

3.3 One-way broadband design example

All broadband simulations took advantage of symmetry to reduce computational complexity, as previously described. The propagation matrix $A_x$ was constructed using dual element impulse responses. We used apodization to transmit only on selected pairs of elements, thus obtaining their contributions at each field point, at each time point under consideration. These were then used to form the propagation function. The ideal psf was generated by axially weighting a sinusoidal signal by a Hann window, and multiplying the result by a lateral Hann window.

In all broadband simulations, we downsampled the propagation matrix by a factor of 3. This had the effect of reducing the upper cut-off frequency in the frequency response of the FIR filter constructed using the weights from the
temporal sampling rate of the psf (84 MHz) to 28 MHz. This rate still provided adequate sampling since the input pulse had a center frequency of 10 MHz with a bandwidth of 75%.

Using equation 11, we calculated the optimum transmit weights and the resulting system psf. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the goal and the MSSE technique psfs respectively, both as a function of lateral position and time. Figures 5(c) and 5(d) depict the calculated transmit weights as a function of the element number and time, and the magnitude of the error as a function of lateral position and time respectively. The error was calculated by computing the difference between the goal and obtained psfs. We also envelope detected and peak detected the psfs to generate beam profiles. Figures 5(e) and 5(f) show the goal and system transmit beam profiles respectively.

3.4 Two-way broadband design example
We used the same goal psf as in the one-way example, except for a scaling factor that accounted for the reduction in magnitude due to two-way propagation. The propagation matrix \( A_{rr} \), however, was different from the one-way case. We generated the propagation functions by using the entire transmit aperture and receiving only on selected pairs of elements. No transmit apodization was used. All other parameters were consistent with table 1.
Figure 4. CW two-way design example showing (a) the goal psf as a function of lateral position, (b) the system psf obtained with the MSSE technique as a function of lateral position, (c) the calculated receive weights as a function of element number, and (d) the error between the goal and the MSSE technique psfs as a function of lateral position.

We then downsampled the resulting propagation matrix and used it to calculate the receive weights that minimize the SSE. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) display the goal psf and the system psf obtained with the MSSE technique respectively. Figures 6(c) and 6(d) depict the calculated receive weights and the psf error magnitude respectively. As in the one-way case, we envelope detected and peak detected the psfs. The goal and system psf profiles are displayed in figures 6(e) and 6(f) respectively.

3.5 Enhanced Depth of Field (DOF)
We implemented the MSSE technique to maximize the DOF in both CW and broadband simulations. We generated the goal psf, which was the psf at the focus, using 16 element transmit and receive apertures. We used a 16 element transmit and 32 element receive aperture for the actual system psf. i.e. weights were calculated for 32 receive elements. The system was focused at 6.5 mm. We performed CW simulations to maximize the DOF over an axial window from 0.1 mm to 50 mm that was sampled every 100 μm. The lateral window over which the CW psf was calculated was sampled at 5 μm, for more accurate computation of the Full Width Half Maximum (FWHM) of the mainlobe. We implemented broadband simulations over an axial window from 0.5 mm to 32.5 mm that was sampled every 2 mm. Current ultrasound systems attempt to improve the DOF by using dynamic apodization and dynamic receive focusing, and we used these in
Figure 5: Broadband one-way design example showing (a) the goal psf, and (b) the system psf obtained with the MSSE technique, both as a function of lateral position and time. The calculated transmit aperture weights are displayed in (c) as a function of element number and time, and (d) shows the error between the goal and the MSSE technique psfs as a function of lateral position and time. Subplots (e) and (f) display the envelope and peak detected goal and system psfs respectively, both as a function of lateral position.
Figure 6: Broadband two-way design example showing (a) the goal psf, and (b) the system psf obtained with the MSSE technique, both as a function of lateral position and time. The calculated receive aperture weights are displayed in (c) as a function of element number and time, and (d) shows the error between the goal and the MSSE technique psfs as a function of lateral position and time. Subplots (e) and (f) display the envelope and peak detected goal and system psfs respectively, both as a function of lateral position.
Figure 7: CW enhanced DOF simulation results showing (a) CW correlation curves, and (b) CW mainlobe FWHM curves in the top panel. The middle panel shows (c) broadband correlation curves, and (d) broadband mainlobe FWHM curves. The lower panel shows (e) the image obtained using control psfs, and (f) the image obtained using the psfs generated after application of the MSSE technique. The images in (e) and (f) were formed from lateral psfs at multiple ranges. Each column consists of the psf at a single range.
5. DISCUSSION

Figure 3 demonstrates the use of the MSSE technique in the most basic ultrasound system configuration that was simulated i.e. the one-way CW system. As shown in figures 3(a) and 3(b), the system psf closely approximates the goal psf. The mean magnitude of the error between the psfs in figure 3(d) was approximately 0.02% of the mean goal psf amplitude.

The results of implementing the MSSE technique in the design of two-way system responses is shown in figure 4. It can be seen that the system psf that was obtained after the application of the MSSE technique and the goal psf are quite similar. The resulting mean error magnitude shown in figure 4(b) was 5.1% of the mean goal psf magnitude. The error was much worse than in the one-way simulation because the goal psf was much narrower and therefore more difficult to generate.

Figures 5 illustrates the results obtained when the MSSE algorithm was implemented in one-way broadband simulations. Observation of figures 5(a), 5(b), 5(e), and 5(f) reveals that the resulting psf has a good qualitative similarity to the goal one-way psf. The mean error magnitude was 17% of the mean psf magnitude.

Results from the two-way broadband simulation are shown in figure 6. The mean error magnitude was 19.8% of the mean goal psf magnitude.

The errors in the broadband simulations are quite large, but it is worth noting from figures 5(a) and 6(a) that the goal psfs used are difficult to realize using spherical waves. They would have been easy to generate using plane waves, but plane waves are an unrealistic model of the ultrasonic field emitted by transducer elements. Field II uses spherical waves to form realistic element responses. In spite of the very challenging goal psf used here, there is a very good qualitative agreement between the goal and system psfs.

The effect of the MSSE technique in improving the Depth of Field (DOF) is illustrated in figure 7. The DOF was defined in terms of correlation coefficients as the axial region over which the coefficients remained over 0.95. In the CW case, the DOF in the control case was 13 mm, while it increased by 285% to almost the entire interrogated range of 50 mm when the MSSE technique was applied. In the broadband simulations, the DOF increased from 8.3 mm in control simulations to 26.7 mm upon the application of the MSSE technique, an increase of 222%. The improvement in the DOF in CW simulations can be clearly seen in figure 7(a), and in broadband simulations in figure 7(c).

The DOF was also defined in terms of the Full Width Half Maximum (FWHM) of the mainlobe. Here we considered the DOF to be the region within which the FWHM stayed within 25% of its value at the focus. The DOF calculated using the FWHM criterion increased from 11 mm in control simulations, to almost the entire range of interrogation i.e. 50 mm. This represents a 355% increase in the DOF when the MSSE technique was applied. The CW control case and MSSE technique case FWHM results can be seen in figure 7(b). Figure 7(d) displays the FWHM information for broadband simulations. In the broadband case, the DOF evaluated using the FWHM increased from 12 mm to around 27 mm upon application of the MSSE technique, an increase of around 125%. Therefore, a significant improvement in the DOF was obtained in both simulations.

A more qualitative assessment of the efficacy of the MSSE technique can be made using figures 7(e) and 7(f). Figure 7(e) shows the CW psfs obtained in control simulations, and 7(f) displays the psfs generated in CW simulations that implemented the MSSE technique. The psfs are displayed as a function of range and lateral position. It can be seen that there is significant broadening of the psf mainlobe with range in the control simulation. Figure 7(f) clearly demonstrates the dramatic improvement in the DOF obtained using the MSSE beamforming technique.
While the MSSE design method worked exceedingly well over the range of conditions considered here, we must exercise some caution in interpreting these results since we only observed the performance of the technique in a limited spatial window. We cannot predict with certainty what will happen outside this design window. Another concern is the effect of assuming a wrong propagation model in the derivation of the optimum aperture weights. Errors such as a mismatch in the assumed and actual wave propagation speeds may have an adverse effect on the design method. We are currently investigating these and other similar concerns.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The Minimum Sum Squared Error (MSSE) technique is a general beamforming method that can be used to design apertures for specific applications. It enables the design of arbitrary beam profiles by calculating the appropriate optimum aperture weightings. The system performance is optimized because the calculated weightings minimize the error between the actual and desired system responses. The algorithm can be readily implemented in both Continuous Wave and broadband systems. In CW systems, the receive weights can be implemented by way of apodization and time delays, or complex weights. In broadband systems, implementation is analogous to applying a dynamic FIR filter to each channel.

The MSSE technique has been shown to be effective in designing ultrasound systems that generate arbitrary desired system responses. Simulation results in one-way and two-way CW and broadband systems demonstrate that it is easy to implement and can be applied in a wide range of potential applications. These simulations indicate that the MSSE technique compares favorably with current techniques used in conventional beamforming, and has the potential to be applied in a variety of ultrasound system design problems.
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Abstract – The design of transmit and receive aperture weightings is a critical step in the development of ultrasound imaging systems. Current design methods are generally iterative, and consequently time-consuming and inexact. We have previously described a general ultrasound beamformer design method, the minimum sum squared error (MSSE) technique, that addresses these issues. We provide a brief review of the design method, and present results of simulations that investigate the performance of the technique. We also provide an example of application by applying the technique to improve the depth of field in CW and broadband ultrasound systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

We have previously introduced the minimum sum squared error (MSSE) beamforming technique [1], [2]. The MSSE technique can be applied in arbitrary system geometries to design apertures that optimize beam parameters. It utilizes a linear algebra formulation of the sum squared error (SSE) between the system point spread function (psf) and the desired or goal psf. Minimization of the SSE yields unique aperture weights that maximize the system psf's resemblance to the desired psf. We first provide a brief review of the technique and present a simple broadband design example. We also present the results of simulations that investigate the performance of the technique. Finally, we present an example of application that shows the ease of implementation of the technique to solve common design problems.

II. REVIEW OF THE MSSE TECHNIQUE

The phase and magnitude of the ultrasonic field at a point in space generated by an ultrasound transducer element depend upon several factors including the Euclidean distance between the point and the element, the orientation of the element relative to the point, the frequency of the emitted wave, and frequency dependent attenuation of the medium. The field can be expressed as a function of the aperture weighting (possibly complex), and a propagation function that includes the effects of the above factors. Therefore, the one-way transmit lateral psf at the range $z$ can be represented as,

$$
P_T = \begin{bmatrix}
S_{1,1} & S_{2,1} & \cdots & S_{N,1} \\
S_{1,2} & S_{2,2} & \cdots & S_{N,2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
S_{1,M} & S_{2,M} & \cdots & S_{N,M}
\end{bmatrix}
= S_z T
$$

(1)

where $S_z$ is an $M \times N$ matrix of propagation functions with $S_{i,j}$ denoting the propagation function that determines the field at the point $j$ due to the $i$th element, $T$ is an $N \times 1$ vector of aperture weightings, and the psf $P_T$ is an $M \times 1$ vector.

Let $P_T$ represent the desired one-way psf for the application of interest. We can then characterize the degree of similarity between $P_T$ and the actual system psf, $P_T$, by the SSE between them. Minimizing this SSE would yield a system psf optimally similar to the goal psf. Therefore, beamformer design is simply the selection of transmit aperture weightings such that the SSE between the desired and actual system psfs is minimized. Using equation 1, the SSE can be expressed as follows.

$$
SSE = (P_T - \bar{P}_T)^H (P_T - \bar{P}_T)
= (S_z T - \bar{P}_T)^H (S_z T - \bar{P}_T)
$$

(2)

where the superscript "$H$" denotes a conjugate transpose operation. From [3], the least squares solution for the optimal transmit aperture weights is,

$$
T = (S_z^H S_z)^{-1} S_z^H \bar{P}_T = S_z^* \bar{P}_T
$$

(3)
Table 1. Parameters used in simulations. The Cartesian coordinate system was used in the broadband design example and the depth of field simulations. The polar coordinate system was used in the simulations involving the effects of the design window and the wave propagation velocity error simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element pitch</td>
<td>135 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus</td>
<td>1.3 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral window over which the psf was calculated</td>
<td>70 mm / 180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psf window sampling interval</td>
<td>20 μm / 0.01°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic wave propagation speed</td>
<td>1540 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center frequency</td>
<td>10 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6 dB Bandwidth (broadband simulations)</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal sampling of psf (in broadband simulations)</td>
<td>120 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal spacing of weights for each element (in broadband simulations)</td>
<td>25 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The MSSE algorithm optimizes the system psf only within the design window. Effects occurring outside this window are ignored, introducing artifacts in the ultrasonic field generated outside the window. We performed simulations to investigate the effect of the design window size on the generated field. The goal psf was a 6° wide Hann window. The MSSE technique was implemented for design window sizes of ±15°, ±30°, and ±45°. The system psf was computed over a ±90° window using the calculated weights. Figure 2 shows the goal and generated psfs. The first column depicts the goal psfs while the second column shows the obtained psfs respectively. The design window edges are shown by dotted lines.

An incorrect estimate of the ultrasound wave propagation speed will degrade the performance of an ultrasound system. Since the MSSE technique uses dynamic shift-variant aperture weights, errors in the assumed wave speed are an important concern. Therefore, we implemented simulations in which the actual wave speed (1540 m/s) was underestimated and then overestimated by 25 m/s and 50 m/s. The goal psf was a 6° wide Hann window. The designed psf for each assumed velocity is shown in figure 3.

In order to provide a simple example of the application of the MSSE technique in a common design problem, we implemented the two-way MSSE technique in both CW and broadband ultrasound systems to improve the depth of field (DOF). The system psf should ideally remain similar to the psf at the focus for as large an axial range as possible for a large DOF. Therefore, the technique was applied at every sampled axial range point with the goal psf at each range point being the psf at the focus. In both CW and broadband simulations, we generated the goal psf using 16 element apertures, while we used a 16 element transmit and a 32 element receive aperture for the actual system psf. The focus was placed at 6.5 mm. A Hann window was used for transmit apodization, and for receive apodization during the generation of the goal psf. We performed control simulations that included dynamic apodization and dynamic receive focusing. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) depict CW and broadband correlation coefficients calculated by correlating the psf at each range with the psf at the focus. The dotted lines show the focus. Figures 4(c) and 4(d) depict images constructed using the control and MSSE technique CW psfs.
Figure 1. One-way broadband design example: 1(a) and 1(b) depict the goal and obtained psfs. 1(c) shows the calculated receive weights as a function of element number and time, and 1(d) illustrates the error between the goal and the obtained psfs.

Figure 2. Effects of the size of the design window. The first column depicts the goal psfs while the second column shows the obtained psfs, both as a function of lateral position, for analysis window sizes of ±15°, ±30°, and ±45° respectively.

Figure 3. Effect of errors in the assumed wave propagation speed. Figures show the obtained psfs when the assumed wave speed is correct (1540 m/s), underestimated by 25 m/s and 50 m/s, and overestimated by 25 m/s and 50 m/s.

Figure 4. Application of the MSSE technique for enhanced depth of field (DOF): 4(a) shows CW correlation coefficients, 4(b) shows broadband correlation coefficients, and 4(c) and 4(d) depict images of the control and MSSE technique designed CW psfs.
IV. DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the results obtained in the one-way broadband design example. It can be seen from figures 1(a) and 1(b) that the goal and system psfs are very similar. Note that this goal psf is quite challenging since it lacks the normal wavefront curvature. Despite the flat wavefront of the goal psf, we were still able to approximate it well.

The effects of varying the design window size are shown in figure 2. It can be seen that when a ±15° window was used, the ultrasonic field outside the window had large grating lobes that occurred just outside the design window (shown by dotted lines). However, the magnitude of the grating lobes decreased dramatically when the window size was progressively increased to ±45°. Therefore, it can be seen that the size of the design window significantly impacts the obtained ultrasonic field, and must be carefully chosen to suit the application. Ideally it would always cover ± 90°, although computational and memory requirements may limit the practical range.

The effect of errors in the assumed speed of sound are displayed in figure 3. It can be seen that the designed psf was not significantly altered from the psf observed with the correct speed (1540 m/s). Therefore, the MSSE algorithm is stable in the sense that small errors in the assumed wave propagation speed do not appear to result in a significant degradation of performance.

The ability of the MSSE technique to improve the depth of field (DOF) is shown in figure 4. The DOF was defined in terms of correlation coefficient as the axial region over which the coefficient was above 0.99. In CW and broadband simulations, the DOF increased by 249% and 325% respectively over control DOF on application of the MSSE technique. A qualitative assessment of the efficacy of the MSSE technique can be made using figures 4(c) and 4(d). It can be seen that applying the MSSE technique dramatically reduces the broadening of the psf mainlobe with range seen in the control simulation. This improvement, though, comes at the cost of slightly higher sidelobe levels. However, as described in [1], we can use a weighting function to selectively emphasize sidelobes in the MSSE design process.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The minimum sum squared error (MSSE) technique has been shown to be stable and useful in designing ultrasound systems with arbitrary system responses. It is efficient, since there is no iteration, and requires very little design time. One-way and two-way CW and broadband simulations demonstrate that it is easy to implement and can be applied to a wide range of applications. The MSSE technique has therefore been shown to have significant potential to improve ultrasound beamforming and can be implemented in any ultrasound application in which better control of beam parameters is desired.
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ABSTRACT

Adaptive beamforming has been widely used as a way to improve image quality in medical ultrasound applications by correcting phase and amplitude aberration errors resulting from tissue inhomogeneity. A less-studied concern in ultrasound beamforming is the deleterious contribution of bright off-axis targets. This paper describes a new approach, the constrained adaptive beamformer (CAB), which builds on classic array processing methods from radar and sonar. Given a desired frequency response for the mainlobe beam, the CAB reduces off-axis signals by imposing an optimal set of weights on the receive aperture. A linearly constrained adaptive filter dynamically adjusts the aperture weights in response to the incoming data. Initial results show a factor of two improvement in point target resolution and a 60% contrast improvement for low echogenicity cysts. The CAB could considerably improve cardiac and abdominal image quality. We address implementation issues and discuss future work.

1. INTRODUCTION

The ability of commercial ultrasound systems to image desired targets is often hindered by the presence of strong off-axis scattering. Echoes from such off-axis targets generate broad clutter which can overshadow the signal from desired targets, greatly reducing image contrast. In cardiac imaging, the ribs act as highly echoic undesired targets. In the abdomen strong echoes from the bladder reduce image contrast. A method to reduce side lobe levels and suppress clutter would improve diagnostic imaging in these situations.

Most adaptive imaging techniques used in medical ultrasound operate by correcting phase and amplitude aberration errors to improve image contrast and resolution [1,2]. We introduce a new approach to image enhancement, the Constrained Adaptive Beamformer (CAB). Unlike other adaptive beamformers, the CAB calculates beamformer coefficients to minimize the impact of bright off-axis targets, not to correct for inhomogeneities in the propagation path. Adaptive beamforming has been used in radar and sonar applications to reduce noise in beam side lobes [3], but this generally is done using recursive methods to converge upon a single ideal set of aperture weights for narrowband sources in the aperture far-field [3,4]. For diagnostic ultrasound, the ideal aperture weighting changes constantly because of the poor shift invariance in the aperture near-field. The CAB therefore calculates new weights dynamically for each receive focus.

A typical beamformer for diagnostic ultrasound receives an RF line from each channel of a transducer array and applies appropriate delays to each channel to focus the signal for a given number of focal ranges. Preset system apodization is often used to weight the RF lines coming from the center of the aperture more heavily than those from the edges. Finally, the channels are summed and envelope detected to yield a B-Mode image.

The CAB begins with the focal delays already applied, but replaces the system apodization with an adaptive set of aperture weights that are determined from incoming RF lines. The weights are selected to reduce the power coming from off-axis noise sources, which can be accomplished by modifying a classical constrained least mean squares (CLMS) algorithm [5].

2. THEORY

The CLMS problem optimal weights are found by

$$\min_w w^T R w$$

constrained subject to

$$C^T w = f$$

(1)

(2)
where $w$ is the set of weights imposed on the aperture, $R$ is the autocorrelation matrix for the input data, $C$ is the constraint matrix, and $f$ is the vector of coefficients which constrain the problem.

The CAB technique uses the ideal system frequency response as the constraint $f$ to preserve the desired signal. This is specified using a finite impulse response (FIR) filter of length $L$. The aperture weights for each range are calculated from a window of input data $L$ samples long, so the filter length strongly influences computation time for the CAB. Accordingly, choosing an appropriate filter is a tradeoff between computation time and precise frequency response. All results presented in this paper were obtained using a tenth-order FIR filter with the same center frequency as the transducer.

The input data vector for each set of aperture weight calculations, denoted $X$, is a concatenation of $L$ values for the $N$ input channels (i.e., the first sample for all channels, followed by the second sample for all channels, etc.). The constraint matrix $C$ serves as an index for the application of the constraint filter and is defined as

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Each column of the $M \times L$ matrix $C$ has $N$ rows of $L$ nonzero entries corresponding to the $N$ input channels. The dimension $M$ is thus the product of $N$ and the number of filter coefficients, $L$. This approach assures that a plane wave resulting from a focused target is subjected to the frequency response of the FIR filter.

Equations 1 and 2 can be solved using Lagrange multipliers in the manner described in [6] to yield the following equation for the optimal weight vector $\tilde{w}$:

$$
\tilde{w} = R^{-1}C^T R^{-1} C^T f.
$$

The $M \times M$ matrix $R$ is approximated by

$$
R = X^T X + \delta I,
$$

where $\delta$ scales an identity matrix to approximately 100 dB below the mean of the magnitude of $X$. This ensures a well-conditioned matrix for the inversion in Equation 4.

Finally, the weights are applied to the input data as follows:

$$
y = \tilde{w}^T X.
$$

Equation 6 yields the processed data for one range of the image. The CAB replaces the summing of channel data required in conventional ultrasound beamforming. Optimal weights and the resulting data are calculated for each range and each line of the input data to produce the processed image.

3. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

All experiments were performed using a Philips SONOS 5500 imaging system operating with a 10 MHz linear array. Conventional transmit focusing was employed, though system apodization was turned off for transmit. A single focal range (coincident with the depth of the imaged target) was selected for receive data. Aperture growth was disabled on receive to maintain constant aperture size throughout all ranges. Data was obtained from each of 128 channels in succession by controlling system apodization and using custom software developed by McKee Poland of Philips Medical Systems.

For wire target lateral resolution experiments, a 100 μm steel wire was imaged in a water tank at 20°C. The target was placed at a depth of 4 cm. Reverberation in the tank was reduced using sheets of NPL AntiFex F28 acoustic absorbing rubber from Precision Acoustics, Ltd.

Contrast improvement experiments were performed using a Gammaxx RMI 404 grayscale tissue mimicking phantom with graphite scatterers and low echogenicity cysts approximately 4 mm in diameter. To improve acoustic coupling, a water standoff was used between the transducer array and phantom surface.

4. EXPERIMENTS: POINT RESOLUTION

A conventional B-mode image of a 100 μm wire target is presented in Figure 1a; Figure 1b shows the image formed using the CAB. The control image is noisy with pronounced tails only slightly lower in intensity than the target itself. As Figure 2a demonstrates, the full width beamwidth at half maximum (FWHM) for the control data is nearly 1 mm, with side lobes impinging on the main lobe and less than 10 dB below the main lobe. The beam
Figure 1. Images of 100 μm wire targets log compressed with a dynamic range of 40 dB. (a) Conventionally beamformed image; (b) CAB image.

Figure 2. Lateral beam profiles obtained from a 100 μm wire at a depth of 4 cm. (a) Conventional beamforming image; (b) CAB image.

5. EXPERIMENTS: CONTRAST RESOLUTION

The magnitude of contrast between tissue features and background speckle has a profound impact on the utility of medical ultrasound images. By reducing the contribution of noise from directions other than the focal direction, the CAB greatly improves image contrast for regions lying in the focal direction. Figure 3 shows images of a 4 mm low echogenicity cyst phantom. The cyst is apparent in the center of the control image (Figure 3a), but the image is noisy and the contrast between background and cyst is poor. The CAB image of Figure 3b shows the cyst more clearly and is far less noisy. The contrast ratio for the unprocessed image, calculated as the ratio of average pixel values between the background speckle and the cyst, is 0.34. The processed image yields a contrast ratio of 0.55, a 60% improvement in contrast.
Use of the FIR filter alone (without the CAB algorithm) also improves cyst contrast, but only by 20%.

Figure 3. Images of low echogenicity cyst mimicking phantom, log compressed with a dynamic range of 40 dB. (a) Unprocessed image; (b) CAB-processed image.

6. FUTURE WORK

The CAB technique may have broad applications. Further experiments will include bovine and porcine tissue imaging and additional point resolution work. We are currently working to modify the SONOS 5500 to enable simultaneous capture of all 128 beamformer channels over a period of 1.6 seconds. Once this modification is in place, the CAB will be applied to human cardiac and abdominal imaging. A major constraint of the CAB is the high computational cost associated with its application. We are exploring ways to speed its execution.

The methods presented in this paper could be effectively paired with other beamforming and image-enhancing techniques such as phase aberration correction and angular scatter imaging [7,8].

7. CONCLUSION

Through reduction of off-axis noise, the Constrained Adaptive Beamformer substantially improves both target resolution and image contrast for wire targets and low echogenicity cyst phantoms.
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Human tissues exhibit variation in scattering magnitude as the angle between transmission and reception is changed. These angular scatter variations result from intrinsic acoustic properties and sub-resolution structure. We have developed a clinical imaging system that uses the translating apertures algorithm to obtain statistically reliable, local angular scatter measurements. The obtained data can be processed to yield novel images.

A significant problem with angular scatter imaging is limited depth of field (DOF). We describe a new method to improve DOF by applying shift variant filters to the data obtained at each angle. We show that this approach is optimal in a minimum sum squared error sense. The filter coefficients used in the technique can be determined via experiment or simulation. Unlike prior methods, this approach does not assume a model for the source of decorrelation, rather it includes all sources of decorrelation implicitly. We present simulation results showing the improvements in DOF obtained using this technique.

We present experimental angular scatter data from phantoms and human subjects. In one phantom, designed to mimic microcalcifications in soft tissue, experimental data shows the angular scatter from 500 μm glass spheres falling off by 50% over a 20 degree range of interrogation angles. In the same phantom the angular scatter from 50 μm sephadex spheres fell off by only 10% over the same range. In the human calf muscle, brightness fell off by 60% over 20 degrees, while tendon brightness dropped by only 20%. Interestingly, the brightest target in the phantom (glass spheres) exhibited the greatest angular scatter variation, while the brightest target in the calf (tendon) exhibited the least angular scatter variation. These results provide compelling evidence that angular scatter properties are uncorrelated to b-mode image brightness.

Introduction:
As early as the mid 1980’s, experimental data indicated that human tissues exhibit an intrinsic variation in angular scatter properties. (Note that the term angular scatter refers to the variations in scatter with the angle between the transmit incidence and received emission, not anisotropic scattering.) While angular scatter was extensively explored in ex vivo experiments, techniques used at the time were unable to make meaningful angular scatter measurements in vivo. We have recently described the use of the Translating Apertures Algorithm (TAA) for the acquisition of spatially localized, statistically robust angular scatter profiles [1].

We present initial results in phantoms and in vivo, showing that angular scatter variations are significant and are independent of b-mode image brightness. We also describe shift variant filters designed to improve depth of field (DOF) in angular scatter images. We present simulation results indicating the potential of these filters. Finally, we discuss directions for future work.

Experimental Methods:
The TAA was implemented on a General Electric Logiq 700MR Ultrasound system by developing custom scan software and employing a set of system software tools developed at the GE Global Research Center. Acquisition angle was varied for sequential transmit events by simulating system focal zone updates. The focal range was held steady for each focal zone while the transmit and receive apodization were modified to implement the TAA. The experiments presented here utilize an 8 element active aperture on both transmit and receive. Apodization and dynamic receive focusing were disabled for these experiments. Imaging was performed at roughly 6.9 MHz using a linear array probe with 205 μm element spacing. An active aperture of 8 elements was employed with shifts ranging from 0 to 9 elements (each way) over the range of
conditions explored. The system was focused at a range of 1.2 cm and at ~3.0 cm in elevation.

A tissue mimicking phantom was constructed to explore the potential contrast of angular scatter images. The phantom consisted of a background region of gelatin with 50 µm Sephadex added as a source of backscatter contrast. Glass spheres with 500 µm diameter were placed within the phantom to mimic the presence of microcalcifications. The glass spheres were suspended by placing them on the interface formed after one phantom layer had hardened, but before a second had been poured.

The myotendinous junction of a healthy adult female volunteer was also imaged to characterize its angular scatter properties.

**Experimental Results:**

Results from the glass sphere phantom are shown in figure 1. The left panel depicts B-Mode image and accompanying angular scatter plot from glass sphere phantom. Background region consists of 50 µm sephadex particles within a gelatin matrix. Highly echogenic 500 µm glass spheres are visible to the left of center. B-mode image shows boxed regions that were used to determine image brightness with acquisition angle.

**Figure 1:** B-Mode image and accompanying angular scatter plot from glass sphere phantom. Note that the brighter glass sphere region exhibits a more pronounced reduction in scatter with angle.

**Figure 2:** B-Mode image and accompanying angular scatter plot from the myotendinous junction of the gastrocnemius muscle of a healthy female volunteer.

Figure 2 depicts results from the myotendinous junction of the gastrocnemius muscle. The background region consists of skeletal muscle with the target region consisting of connective tissue. Data was acquired with the image plane perpendicular to the muscle/tendon fibers. These results
indicate that angular scatter properties vary among soft tissues. Furthermore, when compared with the results of figure 1, these results clearly indicate that angular scatter properties are independent of backscatter.

Our current experimental system obtains data over seven interrogation angles. While this data may be processed in a variety of ways, we have begun our investigations by examining difference-weighted images computed by envelope detecting the difference between the IQ data set obtained at angle \( \theta_1 \) and the IQ data set obtained at backscatter. An example d-weighted image for the previously described glass sphere phantom is shown below in figure 3. A control B-Mode image is provided for comparison. Both images are log compressed to 40 dB. Quantitative analysis shows that the glass sphere region appears with ~15 dB greater contrast in the d-weighted image.

Shift Variant Filtering:
While the TAA maintains a highly uniform system response with angle, it is subject to variations (especially at ranges far from the focus) that limit depth of field and reduce image quality. As we show below, the impact of these variations can be reduced by applying a shift variant filter.

We begin by considering two \( T \) sample temporal signals, \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \), received using different aperture geometries. These signals will be most useful for differentiating angular scatter information if they are identical for a medium with no angular scatter variations. We represent the signals received from such omnidirectional targets as \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \). We quantify the similarity of these signals using the mean squared error between them. Representing the signals \( \bar{r}_1 \) and \( \bar{r}_2 \) as column vectors, the expected value of the sum of squared error between them is:

\[
SSE = \mathbf{r} = \left( \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2 \right)^T \left( \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2 \right)
\]

We consider the signals to be the result of the interaction between a shift variant imaging system and a field of scatterers. This can be represented as the multiplication of a propagation matrix and a scattering vector:

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{r}_1 &= Ps \\
\bar{r}_2 &= Qs
\end{align*}
\]

Where \( s \) is the scattering vector of \( X \) samples and \( P \) and \( Q \) are \( T \) by \( X \) propagation matrices. The vector \( s \) contains the amplitude of the scattering function throughout space. The matrices \( P \) and \( Q \) represent the system sensitivity to scatterers at specific locations in space as a function of time. The product yields a time dependent received signal. To maximize the similarity between the signals we would like to design a shift-variant filter which can be applied to \( \bar{r}_2 \) to minimize the sum of squared error between \( \bar{r}_1 \) and \( \bar{r}_2 \). We represent this filter as a compensating matrix \( C \), of dimensions \( T \) by \( T \). Thus the compensated sum squared error is:

\[
SSE_C = \left( \bar{r}_1 - C \bar{r}_2 \right)^T \left( \bar{r}_1 - C \bar{r}_2 \right)
\]
substituting the definitions of \( \bar{r}_1 \) and \( \bar{r}_2 \) into this expression and regrouping terms yields:

\[
SSE_C = \left( s^t (P - CQ)^t (P - CQ) s \right)
\]

To simplify intermediate steps we let \( A = (P - CQ)^t (P - CQ) \) so that:

\[
SSE_C = \left( s^t A s \right)
\]

Changing this expression from matrix notation to summation notation yields:

\[
SSE_C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_i s_j A_{ij}
\]

Since \( A \) is deterministic, the expected value operator can be brought inside the summation to yield:

\[
SSE_C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ii}
\]

If we assume that the scattering function \( s \) is a white noise process then \( \langle ss^t \rangle = \sigma^2 I \).

Substituting this result in and assuming that \( \sigma^2 = 1 \) yields:

\[
SSE_C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ii}
\]

If we represent \( A \) as \( B^t B \) then the sum squared error is:

\[
SSE_C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{ij} B_{ji} \right)
\]

If we reshape \( B \) into a column vector \( b \) such that \( B_{ij} = b_{j+T(i-1)} \) then the sum squared error is simply:

\[
SSE_C = b^t b
\]

Following our earlier definition of \( B \),

\[
b_{j+T(i-1)} = P_i - \sum_{k=1}^{K} C_{ik} Q_{ij}.\]

Thus the sum squared error can be represented as:

\[
SSE_C = \left( s^t \right) \left( \begin{bmatrix}
Q' & 0 & 0 \\
0 & Q' & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Q'
\end{bmatrix} \right)^t \left( \begin{bmatrix}
P \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
0 \\
0 
\end{bmatrix} & 0 \\
0 & Q' & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Q'
\end{bmatrix} \right) c
\]

where \( c_{T(i-1)+j} = C_{ij} \) and \( p_{T(i-1)+j} = P_{ij} \). This equation is a standard least squares problem and can be readily solved for the compensating weights, expressed as the vector \( c \).

This problem can be further simplified by dividing it into a set of \( T \) independent least squares problems. We begin by considering the vectors \( p \) and \( c \) to be block vectors, each consisting of \( T \) column vectors denoted \( p_i \) through \( p_T \) and \( c_i \) through \( c_T \) respectively. Using this formalism the above expression can be rewritten as:

\[
SSE_C = \sum_{i=1}^{T} \left( \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{r}_i & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \hat{r}_i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \hat{r}_i
\end{bmatrix} \right)^t \left( \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{r}_i & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \hat{r}_i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \hat{r}_i
\end{bmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{bmatrix}
p_i \\
p_i \\
p_i
\end{bmatrix} \right)
\]

From this expression it is clear that the sum squared error between \( p_i \) and \( Q'c_i \) does not depend upon the other \( p_n \) or the other \( c_n \). Thus the sum squared error for any individual compensating vector \( c_n \) is given by:

\[
SSE_{c_n} = (p_n - Q'c_n)^t (p_n - Q'c_n)
\]

Determination of the weights needed to minimize this sum squared error is a well known problem with the solution equal to:

\[
\hat{c}_n = \left( QQ' \right)^{-1} Q p_n = (Q')^t p_n
\]

where \( (Q')^t \) is the pseudoinverse of the transposed propagation matrix \( Q' \). Using this method it is possible to determine the required filter coefficients to be used for each receive time of interest. Since this formalism has allowed for a shift variant filtering, the filter coefficients will need to be updated for each new output time.

**Simulation:**

The Field II program [2] was used to test the potential utility of the shift variant filtering method described above. We utilized
geometry and operating frequency matching that used in our experiments. We generated lateral-axial system responses at each time range by resampling the normal field space-time output.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 4:** Solid line depicts the correlation between the backscatter data and the angular interrogation with a shift of 2 elements. The dashed line indicates the correlation resulting from application of shift variant filters.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 5:** Solid line depicts the correlation between the backscatter data and the angular interrogation with a shift of 8 elements. The dashed line indicates the correlation resulting from application of shift variant filters.

Results of the application of the shift variant filters are shown with control curves in figures 4 and 5. The application of shift variant filters dramatically improves the depth of field of angular scatter imaging without the large computational cost associated with the advanced beamforming methods we have previously described \[3, 4\].

**Conclusion:**

The TAA can be successfully applied to the measurement of tissue and phantom angular scatter properties. Such properties provide a new source of image contrast. Shift variant filters should improve image depth of field and reduce artifacts.
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Abstract

Ultrasonic imaging plays a critical diagnostic role in a broad range of medical specialties; however, there continue to be areas of clinical medicine where the advantages of ultrasound cannot be brought to bear because the targets of most interest do not exhibit sufficient image contrast.

We have invented a novel imaging method that utilizes modified ultrasonic imaging equipment to interrogate a previously unexploited source of image contrast, namely ultrasonic angular scatter variations. This technique takes advantage of the fact that the ultrasonic scattering from tissue changes with the angle between the incident (transmitted) ultrasonic wave and the observed (received) ultrasonic wave. Angular scatter variations result from spatial variations in the intrinsic material properties of density and compressibility, as well as the geometry of the tissue microstructure.

We present experimental results from tissue mimicking phantoms and in vivo human tissues indicating that angular scatter differentiates targets that are indistinguishable in conventional ultrasound images. We also present cases where angular scatter images improve the contrast of interesting targets relative to conventional images. Early in vivo results from the myotendinous junction of the human gastrocnemius muscle indicate that different soft tissues have different angular scatter profiles and that these profiles can be used to discern between tissues.

1. Background:

1.1 Theory:

Medical ultrasound, like other coherent imaging modalities such as RADAR and SONAR, relies primarily on backscatter for image contrast. That is, ultrasonic images depict the echoes returned to the ultrasonic transmitter, while neglecting echoes propagating in other directions. Although conventional ultrasonic images have incredible diagnostic utility, there are numerous clinically relevant targets for which ultrasonic backscatter fails to provide adequate image contrast. In these cases it may be helpful to consider angular scatter.

Angular scatter refers to the variations in echogenicity that occur as the angle between the transmit and receive beams is altered. The standard geometry used for discussion of angular scatter is shown below in figure 1.

![Angular scatter geometry](image)

Figure 1: Angular scatter geometry. The angle \( \theta \) is known as the scattering angle. Backscatter occurs at a scattering angle of 180\(^\circ\).

Angular scatter variations are intrinsic to acoustic scattering, even for targets in the Rayleigh scattering regime. This occurs because the acoustic wave equation, unlike the electromagnetic wave equation, includes two material properties, the material density and compressibility. The impact of these properties can be seen clearly in the acoustic Rayleigh scattering equation [1]:

\[
p(r, \theta) = \frac{\kappa}{r^3} \delta^2 \left( \frac{k}{\kappa - \kappa_r} + \frac{3\omega}{2\rho_0} - \frac{3}{2\rho + \rho_c \cos \theta} \right)
\]

where \( p(r, \theta) \) is the pressure at a distance \( r \) and a scattering angle \( \theta \) relative to the target. In this expression \( k \) is the wavenumber \( (k = 2\pi/\lambda) \), \( a \) is the scatterer radius, \( \kappa \) and \( \kappa_r \) are the background and target compressibilities, \( \rho \) and \( \rho_c \) are the background and...
target densities, and \( A \) is an arbitrary constant. This expression shows that a target consisting of only a compressibility difference with respect to the background medium will exhibit no variation in angular scatter. Alternatively, a target varying only in density will exhibit an angular scatter profile following the cosine of the scattering angle.

As the size of the scatterer grows beyond the Rayleigh regime, the variations in angular scatter become much more significant. Known as the Fano scattering regime in acoustics, this realm is analogous to Mie scattering in electromagnetics. Although scattering in this size range is extremely difficult to predict analytically, targets in this range include microcalcifications, small calcium crystals associated with cancer and atherosclerotic plaques. Because these targets exhibit densities that are significantly different from soft tissue, we expect that they will exhibit strong variations in angular scatter. Such variations may prove to be a useful source of image contrast as these clinically important targets are generally poorly visualized in backscatter ultrasound images.

1.2 Prior Work:

The potential diagnostic utility of angular scatter has been recognized for some time. Beginning in the mid-1980s a number of researchers performed experiments with the goal of quantifying the intrinsic angular scatter properties of human tissues. To support this goal, these researchers measured the average angular scatter over a large area, at a single frequency [2, 3]. These systems rotated piston transducers about a target to interrogate different scattering angles. This approach was subject to rapid signal decorrelation with angle, even when interrogating omnidirectional scatterers [4]. This instability made the angular scatter profile measured at any given location highly variable, requiring averaging over many samples and spatial locations to obtain meaningful profiles. The high degree of required averaging, narrow bandwidth, and awkward construction of these systems made them unsuitable for clinical application.

Recognizing the diagnostic potential of angular scatter, other researchers developed clinical systems able to image at a single scattering angle other than backscatter [5, 6]. These approaches used one or more phased array transducers with the transmit aperture displaced physically from the receive aperture. By applying electronic focusing and beam steering, these systems were able to interrogate a 2-D region at high spatial resolution and with wide bandwidth. Once configured, the transmit and receive aperture locations were fixed, making it impossible to acquire angular scatter data at more than two angles (angular scatter and backscatter) in any given location. Acquired angular scatter images were displayed next to corresponding B-mode images, however direct comparison was difficult because each image presented a different speckle pattern. While these systems have yielded interesting results, their utility to coherently process data acquired at different scattering angles limits their sensitivity to angular scatter variations.

We have developed a new approach to angular scatter imaging that has the advantages of both prior approaches, without their significant limitations. Our method uses a clinical phased array imaging system to acquire angular scatter profiles throughout a plane, in real time [4]. By using broadband excitation and focusing we are able to maintain the high spatial resolution typical of standard B-mode images. The use of novel software and a phased array transducer allows us to acquire data at multiple scattering angles without physically moving the transducer. The key to acquiring stable angular scatter profiles is the translating apertures algorithm.

2 Methods:

2.1 The Translating Apertures Algorithm:

The translating apertures algorithm (TAA) is a method of data acquisition that allows interrogation at multiple angles without changing the system’s response to an omnidirectional scatterer. Such an approach is critical if one wishes to acquire angular scatter profiles with high fidelity. The TAA is implemented by maintaining a constant focal location while displacing the transmit and receive apertures by equal and opposite amounts. In its standard implementation the TAA utilizes a phased array so that all steering, focusing, and aperture translation can be performed electronically.

The performance of the TAA can be explained by examining figure 2. The first row depicts data acquisition using conventional backscatter geometry. The point spread function exhibits a flat phase front, which is consistent with the centered lateral frequency response of this geometry, shown in the third column. Traditional angular scatter geometry uses a shifted receive aperture, as shown in the second row. In this case the phase front of the point spread function is tilted and the lateral frequency response is shifted off center. This change in the system response would tend to overwhelm intrinsic variations in target angular scatter. Finally, in the third row we see the TAA. By shifting the transmit and receive apertures in equal and opposite directions the TAA maintains a flat phase response and a centered lateral frequency response, enabling angular scatter measurement with high fidelity.
Figure 2: Aperture geometries, point spread functions, and k-space representations for angular scatter data acquisition. The first row depicts conventional backscatter acquisition, the second depicts traditional angular scatter acquisition, and the third depicts angular scatter acquisition with the Translating Aperture Algorithm.

2.2 The Experimental System:

The TAA was implemented on a General Electric Logiq 700MR Ultrasound system by developing custom scan software and employing a set of system software tools developed at the GE Global Research Center. The acquisition angle was varied for sequential transmit events by simulating system focal zone updates. The focal range was held steady for each focal zone while the transmit and receive apodization were modified to implement the TAA. The experiments presented here utilize an 8 element active aperture on both transmit and receive. Apodization and dynamic receive focusing were disabled for these experiments. Imaging was performed at roughly 6.9 MHz using a linear array probe with 205 mm element spacing. An active aperture of 8 elements was employed with shifts ranging from 0 to 9 elements (each way) over the range of conditions explored. The system was focused at a range of 1.2 cm and at ~3.0 cm in elevation.

2.3 Phantoms:

Tissue mimicking phantoms were constructed to explore the contrast of angular scatter images. The first phantom, referred to here as the glass sphere phantom, consisted of a background region of gelatin with 50 um Sephadex added as a source of backscatter contrast. Glass spheres with 500 um diameter were placed within the phantom to mimic the presence of microcalcifications. The glass spheres were suspended by placing them on the interface formed after one phantom layer had hardened, but before a second had been poured.

The second phantom, referred to here as the three wire phantom, consisted of the same Sephadex/gelatin background with three 100 um diameter wires suspended perpendicular to the image plane. The wires were stainless steel, nylon monofilament, and a cotton/polyester thread. Each target was degassed prior to phantom construction to eliminate trapped gas.

2.4 Human Subjects:

The myotendinous junction of a healthy adult female volunteer was also imaged to characterize its angular scatter properties. Images were obtained perpendicular to the fiber orientation. This experiment was performed under a human investigations protocol approved by both the university Human Investigations Committee and the research sponsor.

2.5 D-Weighted Images:

A broad variety of angular scatter images may be formed using the local angular scatter profiles acquired by the TAA. One of the simplest image types, which we term difference-weighted (d-weighted) images, are formed by envelope detecting the complex data found by subtracting the echo data obtained at some scattering angle (other than backscatter) from the echo data obtained at backscatter. Prior to taking the difference between data sets we applied a range dependent phase rotation to compensate for path length differences between the backscatter and angular scatter aperture geometries. We expect that d-weighted images will highlight targets with significant variations in angular scatter, while suppressing targets with uniform angular scatter.

3 Results:

3.1 Angular Scatter Profiles:

Figure 3 shows a B-mode image and accompanying normalized angular scatter profiles obtained from the glass sphere phantom. The bright region slightly to the left of the image center is the location of a cluster of glass spheres. Note that the angular scatter profile from this region falls rapidly as the angle of interrogation decreases. This is as expected since these scatterers have a significantly different density from the surrounding gelatin medium. The angular scatter profile from the corresponding speckle generating region shows a much slower change with angle. While the glass and speckle regions can be easily differentiated by their brightnesses at backscatter, the
different angular scatter behaviors of these targets could be used to differentiate them even if they had identical backscatter magnitudes.

Figure 3: B-Mode image and accompanying angular scatter plots from the glass sphere phantom. Note that the target region (500 μm glass spheres) exhibits rapid changes in scatter with angle while the background (50 μm Sephadex in gelatin) exhibits little change in scattering with angle. The cosine squared curve represents a possible bias due to the transducer's limited angular responses.

Figure 4 depicts a B-mode image and accompanying angular scatter plot from the myotendinous junction of the human gastrocnemius (calf) muscle. We hypothesize that the different protein concentrations and structure of the muscle and connective tissues should cause them to have different angular scatter profiles. The bright region to the left of center is a region of tendon within the muscle. The darker surrounding regions are skeletal muscle. The angular scatter plots on the right clearly indicate different angular scatter properties for these two tissue types.

Figure 4: B-Mode image and accompanying angular scatter plots from the in vivo human gastrocnemius muscle. The more echogenic tendon region exhibits a nearly flat angular scatter profile while the less echogenic skeletal muscle shows a rapid reduction in angular scatter. Note that this is the opposite of the behavior seen for the glass sphere phantom.

3.2 D-Weighted Images:

Figure 4 depicts a b-mode and accompanying d-weighted image from the glass sphere phantom. Both images were log compressed to a 40 dB dynamic range. In the b-mode image the glass sphere region is clearly visible in the left side of the image, however a membrane, formed during phantom construction, is also visible over the full width of the phantom. This environment may mimic the presence of connective tissue near a calcified tissue region. In the d-weighted image the contrast of the glass sphere region is significantly improved with respect to both the background and the membrane between layers.

Figure 5: B-Mode (upper panel) and d-weighted (lower panel) images of the glass sphere phantom. The contrast of the glass sphere region is increased by 15 dB in the d-weighted image when compared to a background region at the same range.

Figure 6 depicts a b-mode and accompanying d-weighted image from the three wire phantom. In the b-mode image the contrast of all three wire targets is roughly identical, making differentiation of these targets practically impossible. In the d-weighted image however the steel wire exhibits significantly greater contrast relative to the background, the cotton/polyester thread exhibits significantly lower contrast, and the nylon monofilament exhibits approximately the same contrast relative to the b-mode image. These results are summarized quantitatively in table 1. These results indicate clearly that angular scatter differentiates targets that are indistinguishable in conventional backscatter images.
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4 Conclusions:

The experimental results presented here indicate that angular scatter imaging offers new, potentially valuable information in both human tissues and in tissue mimicking phantoms. The techniques employed here might be readily adapted to other coherent imaging modalities such as RADAR and SONAR, where angular scatter may offer a new means of target classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel</th>
<th>Nylon</th>
<th>Cotton/Poly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-mode</td>
<td>21.3 dB</td>
<td>21.9 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-weighted</td>
<td>27.9 dB</td>
<td>22.5 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Target contrast in the three wire phantom. All contrast measurements are relative to a range matched background region. These results clearly show that d-weighted images differentiate targets which cannot be differentiated in conventional backscatter images.
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ABSTRACT

Adaptive beamforming has been widely used as a way to correct phase and amplitude aberration errors in medical ultrasound. A less-studied concern in ultrasound beamforming is the deleterious contribution of off-axis bright targets. We describe a new approach, the constrained adaptive beamformer (CAB), which builds on classic array processing methods. Given a desired frequency response in the focal direction, the CAB dynamically imposes an optimal set of time-dependent weights on the receive aperture, reducing signals from directions other than the focal direction. Two implementations of the CAB are presented which differ in their use of calculated weights to form an output image: the Single Iteration CAB and Multiple Iteration CAB.

We present results from experiments performed on a Philips SONOS 5500 imaging system operating with an 8.7 MHz linear array and contrast the performance of the two CAB implementations. Data was acquired from wire targets in a water tank and low echogenicity cysts in a grayscale tissue mimicking phantom. The desired system frequency response was specified by a FIR filter with the same center frequency as the transducer. Improvements in lateral resolution for wire targets and contrast for low echogenicity cysts are shown. Simulations are used to demonstrate limitations of the CAB.

Keywords: ultrasound, beamforming, resolution, constrained adaptive beamformer, CAB, CLMS

1. INTRODUCTION

The ability of commercial ultrasound systems to image desired targets is often hindered by the presence of strong off-axis scattering. Echoes from such off-axis targets generate broad clutter which can overshadow the signal from desired targets, greatly reducing image contrast. In cardiac imaging, the ribs act as highly echoic undesired targets. In the abdomen, strong echoes from the bladder and from bowel gas reduce image contrast. An effective method to reduce side lobe levels and suppress clutter would improve diagnostic imaging in these situations.

Most adaptive imaging techniques used in medical ultrasound operate by correcting phase and amplitude aberration errors to improve image contrast and resolution [1,2]. We introduce a new approach to image enhancement, the Constrained Adaptive Beamformer (CAB). Unlike other adaptive beamformers, the CAB calculates beamformer coefficients to minimize the impact of bright off-axis targets, not to correct for inhomogeneities in the propagation path. Adaptive beamforming of this sort has been used in radar and sonar applications to reduce noise in beam side lobes [3], but this generally is done using recursive methods to converge upon a single ideal set of aperture weights for narrowband sources in the aperture far-field [3,4]. For diagnostic ultrasound, the ideal aperture weighting changes constantly because of the poor shift invariance in the aperture near-field. The CAB therefore calculates new weights dynamically for each receive focus.

A typical receive beamformer for diagnostic ultrasound (shown in Figure 1a) obtains an RF line from each channel of a transducer array and applies appropriate delays to each channel to focus the signal for a given number of focal ranges. Preset system apodization is often used to reduce sidelobe levels by weighting the RF lines coming from the center of the aperture more heavily than those from the edges. Finally, the channels are summed and envelope detected to yield a B-Mode image.

The CAB (Figure 1b) begins with the focal delays already applied, but replaces the system apodization with an adaptive set of aperture weights that are determined from incoming RF data. The weights are selected to reduce the power
2. THEORY

2.1 Algorithm
The general CLMS approach minimizes the mean output power in a signal given a linear constraint. This is done through calculation of optimal weights found by

\[
\min_w \mathbf{R}_w
\]
constrained subject to

\[ C^T w = f \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( w \) is a set of weights imposed on the input array, \( R \) is the estimated autocorrelation matrix for the input data, \( C \) is the constraint matrix, and \( f \) is the vector of coefficients which constrain the problem. The CAB technique uses the ideal system frequency response as the constraint \( f \) to preserve the desired signal received by channels of an ultrasound transducer array. This frequency specification is delineated by a finite impulse response (FIR) filter of length \( L \).

The aperture weights for each range \( r \) are calculated for a window of input data \( L \) samples long \((r \leq r + L - 1)\), so the filter length strongly influences computation time for the CAB. Accordingly, choosing an appropriate filter is a tradeoff between computation time and precise frequency response. All results presented in this paper were obtained using a tenth-order FIR filter with the same center frequency and bandwidth as the transducer. Shorter filter lengths were unable to effectively approximate the desired frequency response, and higher orders filters significantly increased computation time without noticeable improvement in CAB output.

The input data vector for each set of aperture weight calculations, denoted \( X \), is a concatenation of \( L \) values for the \( N \) input channels (i.e. sample \( r \) for all channels, followed by sample \( r + 1 \) for all channels, etc.). The constraint matrix \( C \) serves as an index for the application of the constraint filter. Figure 2 shows a simple case of the matrix formulation in Equation 2. Each column of the \( NL \times L \) matrix \( C \) has \( N \) nonzero entries which align the aperture weights for one range sample to the corresponding filter coefficient. This approach ensures that a plane wave resulting from a focused target is subjected to the frequency response of the FIR filter, while noise signals arriving incoherently at the beamformer input are minimized.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
w_{11} \\
w_{21} \\
w_{12} \\
w_{22} \\
w_{13} \\
w_{23} \\
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
f_1 \\
f_2 \\
f_3 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Figure 2. Matrix formulation of \( C^T w = f \) for the case of \( N = 2 \) channels and filter length \( L = 3 \). Subscripts of \( w \) denote channel number and filter coefficient number, respectively.

Equations 1 and 2 can be solved using Lagrange multipliers in the manner described in [6] to yield the following equation for the optimal weight vector \( \tilde{w} \):

\[
\tilde{w} = R^{-1}C \left[ C^T R^{-1} C \right]^{-1} f . \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The \( NL \times NL \) matrix \( R \) is approximated by

\[
R = X^T X + \delta I , \hspace{1cm} (4)
\]

where \( \delta \) scales an identity matrix to a desired level. This regularization ensures a well conditioned matrix for the inversion in Equation 3. Large values of \( \delta \) can reduce output side lobe levels slightly [7], but also reduce the dependence of \( R \) on the input samples and therefore decrease the effectiveness of the CAB. Though the use of \( \delta \) values as high as 20 dB below the root mean squared of \( X \) does not noticeably affect the beamformer output, the results presented in this paper were obtained using the smallest \( \delta \) which allowed a well conditioned matrix, approximately 100 dB below the
mean of $X$. For Equation 4 to be a valid representation of the autocorrelation of input data, it is assumed that the input signals can be modeled effectively as zero-mean random processes.

Once the optimal weights have been calculated they are applied to the input data, and the resulting weighted data is used to form the output image. The method used to combine the weighted data differentiates the two beamformers discussed in this paper: the Single Iteration (SI-CAB) and Multiple Iteration (MI-CAB) Constrained Adaptive Beamformers.

2.2 Single Iteration CAB
The SI-CAB (presented in previous work simply as the CAB [8]) is named as such because each pixel of the output image is determined by one iteration of the algorithm. In this approach, the NL input samples are weighted and simultaneously summed across both channel and range through matrix multiplication. The single sample output for each iteration of the beamformer is then

$$y = \tilde{w}^T X.$$  \hfill (5)

Optimal weights and the resulting output are calculated for each range and each line of the input data, and the output samples are assembled without interaction to form an image. In this manner, the SI-CAB replaces the summing of channel data required in conventional ultrasound beamforming.

2.3 Multiple Iteration CAB
If the weighted samples are summed solely across channel, one iteration of the algorithm results in a vector of $L$ output samples, each given by

$$y_l = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \tilde{w}_{nl} \cdot x_{nl},$$  \hfill (6)

where $y_l$ is the $l$th sample of the output vector $y$ for one iteration, $n$ is the channel number, and ‘$\cdot$’ indicates scalar multiplication. The output segments can then be retained and combined by overlap-add after all iterations of the beamformer are complete. Each line of the output image is then constructed as shown pictorially in Figure 3.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
    \begin{array}{cccc}
    y_{1,1} & y_{1,2} & \cdots & y_{1,L} \\
    y_{2,1} & y_{2,2} & \cdots & y_{2,L} \\
    y_{3,1} & y_{3,2} & \cdots & y_{3,L} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    y_{M,1} & y_{M,2} & \cdots & y_{M,L}
    \end{array}
    \end{bmatrix}$$  Weighted samples for 1st iteration
$$+$$  Weighted samples for 2nd iteration
$$\vdots$$  Weighted samples for 3rd iteration
$$\vdots$$
$$\vdots$$  Weighted samples for $M$th iteration

$$\begin{bmatrix}
    Y_1 & Y_2 & Y_3 & \cdots & Y_{M-1} & Y_M
    \end{bmatrix}$$ Final output sum for all $M$ ranges

Figure 3. Representation of MI-CAB output for one full image line by overlap-add. Each row represents one iteration of the algorithm. $M$ is the total number of ranges in the input RF data.

Because every output pixel depends on $L$ iterations of the algorithm, this new approach is called the Multiple Iteration CAB, denoted MI-CAB in the remainder of the paper.
2.4 Frequency Space Considerations

The constraint specified in Equation 2 is designed to yield a desired frequency response in the focal direction. Exploration of the Fourier domain characteristics of the CAB imparts intuition about its performance. By forcing the sum of calculated aperture weights for each range to equal the FIR filter coefficients, the constraint forces the central axis frequency content of the weights to match the Fourier transform of the FIR filter. Consider the weights for a single iteration of the CAB before summing, given by

\[
\tilde{W} = \begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{w}_{11} & \tilde{w}_{12} & \cdots & \tilde{w}_{1L} \\
\tilde{w}_{21} & \tilde{w}_{22} & \cdots & \tilde{w}_{2L} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\tilde{w}_{N1} & \tilde{w}_{N2} & \cdots & \tilde{w}_{NL}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(6)

where \(\tilde{W}\) denotes the ideal aperture weights reshaped into an N \times L matrix, and subscripts indicate channel number and range/filter coefficient number, respectively.

The center row of the 2D Fourier transform of \(\tilde{W}\) corresponds to a signal with constant lateral frequency, i.e. a plane wave. Comparison of this center row to the Fourier transform of the FIR filter shows them to be equal. As a result, any portion of the output signal resulting from a plane wave input will have the same frequency content as the specified FIR filter. Noise signals arriving from off-axis are not retained by the CAB, and the overall frequency content of the final image will be nearly as desired. The extent to which this is true depends on the CAB implementation used. Since the pixels of an SI-CAB output image are calculated independently, the spectrum of the image is not guaranteed to be bandlimited. The MI-CAB, however, does consistently produce a bandlimited (in range) image due to the spatial-averaging characteristic of each output \(Y\).

3. POINT RESOLUTION

3.1 Experimental methods

All experiments were performed using a Philips SONOS 5500 imaging system operating with a 50% fractional bandwidth 8.7 MHz linear array. 128 channels were used for transmit with no system apodization on either transmit or receive. A single transmit focal range (coinciding with the depth of the imaged target) was selected. Conventional dynamic receive focusing was employed, though aperture growth was disabled to maintain constant aperture size throughout all ranges. Data was obtained at a sampling rate of 40 MHz from each of 128 channels in succession by controlling system apodization and using custom software developed by McKee Poland of Philips Medical Sytems.

For point target lateral resolution experiments, a 20 \(\mu\)m steel wire was imaged in a water tank at 20\(^\circ\)C. The target was placed at a depth of 4 cm. Sheets of NPL Aptiflex F28 acoustic absorbing rubber from Precision Acoustics, Ltd. were used to limit reflection from the sides of the tank, but no other efforts were made to reduce reverberation.

3.2 Results

A conventional B-mode image (obtained by simple summing across all 128 channels) of a 20\(\mu\)m wire is presented in Figure 4a, log compressed with a dynamic range of 40 dB. The background is noisy due to reverberation, and the point target is accompanied by pronounced tails only slightly lower in intensity than the target itself. Figure 4b shows the image formed for the same data using the SI-CAB implementation. The MI-CAB is less effective for wire target experiments and results using it are not presented here.

As Figure 4c illustrates, the full width beamwidth at half maximum (FWHM) for the control data is nearly 2 mm, with sidelobes less than 5 dB below the main lobe and hardly distinguishable from the main lobe. The beam profile for the SI-CAB data given in Figure 4d, however, reveals a FWHM beamwidth of less than 500 \(\mu\)m. The processed data also has more easily distinguishable side lobes which are suppressed to about 9 dB below the main lobe. The actual size and features of the wire target are more clearly depicted in the SI-CAB image of Figure 4b than in the control image. It is also interesting to note that the greatest reduction in clutter intensity occurs in the sidelobe tails of the point target, a
consistent feature of images produced with the SI-CAB. The wire was slightly angled from perpendicular to the transducer during imaging, which likely explains the asymmetry of the beam plots.

3.3 Effect of fewer input channels
While most commercial ultrasound systems can receive echoes on a maximum of 128 channels, certain transducers or modes of operation do not allow data to be acquired on all channels. In other cases, a smaller aperture size is desired (e.g. some elements obstructed by discontinuous acoustic windows [9]) or necessary (e.g. imaging between the ribs in cardiac applications). Differences in required image acquisition time and hard drive storage space also make the use of fewer channels an attractive option in certain experimental circumstances.

The behavior of the SI-CAB was investigated for input channel numbers $N = 64$ and $N = 32$. The same experimental data was used as in the above images, with RF samples from unused channels discarded. Figures 5a and 5b show point target images for standard beamforming and the SI-CAB, respectively, obtained from only the center 64 channels of the array. Figures 5c and 5d show the lateral beam profiles for each image. Using the center 32 channels for beamforming yields Figures 6a - 6d.
Figure 5. Results for 20 μm point target imaged at a depth of 4 cm using 64 channels. (a) Conventionally beamformed image; (b) SI-CAB image; (c) Lateral beam profile for conventional beamforming; (d) Beam profile for SI-CAB.

Comparison of the images in Figures 4-6 clearly shows that while using fewer channels degrades the control images, it greatly improves the SI-CAB processed images. Table 1 summarizes the lateral beamwidths for standard beamformer and SI-CAB processing of 128, 64, and 32 channel data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full Width at Half Maximum [μm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128 channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Beamformer</td>
<td>1570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Iteration CAB</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Lateral maximum amplitude beamwidths (in microns) for processed point target data.
Figure 6. Results for 20 μm point target imaged at a depth of 4 cm using 32 channels. (a) Conventionally beamformed image; (b) SI-CAB image; (c) Lateral beam profile for conventional beamforming; (d) Beam profile for SI-CAB.

4. CONTRAST RESOLUTION

4.1 Experimental methods
Contrast improvement experiments were performed using a Gammex RMI 404 grayscale tissue mimicking phantom with graphitic scatterers and low echogenicity cysts approximately 4 mm in diameter. To improve acoustic coupling, a small amount of water was used between the transducer array and phantom surface.

4.2 Experiments
The magnitude of contrast between tissue features and background speckle has a profound impact on the utility of medical ultrasound images. By reducing the contribution of noise from directions other than the focal direction, the CAB should improve image contrast for regions lying in the focal direction. Figure 7 shows images of a 4 mm low echogenicity cyst phantom. The cyst is apparent in the center of the control image (Figure 7a), but the image is cluttered and the contrast between background and cyst is poor. The SI-CAB image of Figure 7b shows the cyst more clearly and is far less noisy. Figure 7c displays the image obtained with the MI-CAB approach, which exhibits an even greater improvement in contrast. Though the number of channels used for processing does affect the beamformer output, the difference is not as obvious as for the point resolution experiments; the results are thus omitted from this paper.
The contrast ratio for the unprocessed image, calculated as the ratio of average pixel values between the background speckle and the cyst, is 1.82. The SI-CAB processed image yields a contrast ratio of 2.94, a 62% improvement in contrast. Processing the data by MI-CAB produces a 73% improvement in with a contrast ratio of 3.15. Visual inspection may suggest that the images in Figures 7b and 7c could be obtained strictly by applying the FIR filter alone (without the CAB algorithm), but this is not the case. Use of the filter alone does improve cyst contrast, but only by 20%. Close examination of the images also reveals that the CAB has a selective effect on the features in the control image, eliminating some bright speckles while better defining others. We suspect that speckles exhibiting improved definition are actually single bright scatterers. Further experiments using spherical scatterers such as Sephadex beads will be performed.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 CAB performance
The implementations of the beamformer considered in this paper each have strengths and weaknesses. As mentioned earlier, the MI-CAB offers a more stable bandwidth for output images due to the smoothing effect of multiple algorithm iterations. In fact, this approach is generally more robust. We believe that this explains its superior performance in contrast experiments, where features of interest are considerably larger than the resolution volume of the transducer. For point resolution, however, desired targets are small and produce echoes of much greater amplitude than their surroundings. Each SI-CAB output pixel’s relative independence allows it greater dynamic range in improving the resolution of such targets.

5.2 Limitations
The Constrained Adaptive Beamformer shows the potential to dramatically improve image resolution and contrast in many important applications. Unfortunately, even for the types of ultrasound data presented in this paper, the CAB is not entirely reliable. The SI-CAB is extremely effective for improving resolution, but is also sensitive to array imperfections. The MI-CAB responds well to individual element gain aberrations, but is not as powerful at reducing side lobe levels under ideal conditions as the SI-CAB.
Figure 8. Simulation results for processing of a zero-mean Gaussian plane wave echo. The left panel shows time domain signals for (a) one channel of the input signal; (c) SI-CAB output signal; (e) MI-CAB output signal. The x-axis is sample number and the y-axis indicates amplitude. The right panel shows the frequency content of the signals, where (b), (d), and (f), correspond to the Fourier transform of (a), (c), and (e), respectively. The x-axis is frequency in MHz, while the y-axis gives amplitude in dB.

To illustrate this, we constructed a zero-mean Gaussian plane wave echo with the same center frequency as the FIR filter (and therefore the transducer used to obtain experimental data) to simulate the echo from a focused point target. The input signal was specified as the broadband constructed pulse (shown in Figure 8a) duplicated across seven input channels. Figure 8c displays the time domain output signal from beamforming with the SI-CAB, and Figure 8e displays the MI-CAB output. The right panel of the figure shows the same signals in the Fourier domain.

The MI-CAB output signal in Figure 8f retains almost the same frequency content as the input signal. The time domain amplitude for Figure 8e is slightly lower than the input signal, but this can be corrected through simple scaling and is not believed to indicate poor performance. The SI-CAB output signal shown in Figure 8c is closer to maintaining the input amplitude, and the high frequency components of the input signal (above 10 MHz) are reduced significantly.

For the next simulation, one channel of the input array was scaled to 10% higher than the others, approximating an array gain imperfection. Figure 9a shows the input signal for one of the unaltered channels, and the right panel again presents Fourier domain signals. In this trial, the CAB performance is substantially degraded. The SI-CAB time domain output given in Figure 9c is a strongly modulated version of the input signal. The signal amplitude is orders of magnitude lower than that of the input signal (note the different scale of Figure 9c), which indicates a failure of the algorithm to retain the
Figure 9. Simulation results for processing of a plane wave echo with one aberrated channel. The left panel shows time domain signals for (a) one unaltered channel of the input signal; (c) SI-CAB output signal; (e) MI-CAB output signal. The x-axis is sample number and the y-axis indicates amplitude. The right panel shows the frequency content of the signals, where (b), (d), and (f), correspond to the Fourier transform of (a), (c), and (e), respectively. The x-axis is frequency in MHz, while the y-axis gives amplitude in dB.

input plane wave effectively. The Fourier domain SI-CAB output of Figure 9d shows modulated frequency content as well as amplified high frequency noise. This result is certainly problematic, as a 10% difference in amplitude on a single channel of experimental data could be expected from electronic noise. To explain this undesirable behavior, the method in which optimal weights are calculated must be revisited. When the SI-CAB receives a signal with large amplitude on one channel, it minimizes the output power while meeting the constraint by imposing a strong negative weight on that channel. This allows the weights across all channels to sum to the required filter coefficient, but does not allow the output sample to adequately reflect the presence of a plane wave signal.

The MI-CAB is more robust to this problem through the smoothing capability of its multiple iterations. Figure 9e shows that the time domain output of the beamformer retains the same general shape as the input signal. High frequency noise is added (see Figure 9f), but the Fourier domain signal remains smooth and unmodulated in the frequency band of interest. This result is encouraging, though future work with the CAB will be directed at further reducing its sensitivity to array imperfections and single channel noise. Initial results in which a constraint is placed on the maximum magnitude of any given weight have been promising.
6. CONCLUSION

Through reduction of off-axis noise, the Constrained Adaptive Beamformer substantially improves both target resolution and image contrast for wire targets and low echogenicity cyst phantoms. The Single Iteration CAB performs best for point resolution and has excellent dynamic capability. The SI-CAB is especially effective for RF data sets using fewer than 128 channels. The Multiple Iteration CAB is more robust than the SI-CAB and has proved to be most appropriate for enhancing contrast resolution.
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