EVOLUTION OF FRACTOGRAPH DURING FATIGUE AND STRESS CORROSION CRACKING

by

E. U. Lee
C. Lei
H. C. Sanders
R. Taylor

23 February 2004
EVOLUTION OF FRACTOGRAPH DURING FATIGUE AND
STRESS CORROSION CRACKING

by

E. U. Lee
C. Lei
H. C. Sanders
R. Taylor

RELEASED BY:

DALE MOORE / AIR-4.3.4 / DATE
Head, Materials Competency
Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division
Evolution of Fractograph during Fatigue and Stress Corrosion Cracking

E. U. Lee
C. Lei
H. C. Sanders
R. Taylor

Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division
22347 Cedar Point Road, Unit #6
Patuxent River, Maryland 20670-1161

Naval Air Systems Command
47123 Buse Road Unit IPT
Patuxent River, Maryland 20670-1547

14. ABSTRACT

Fatigue and stress corrosion cracking (SCC) behaviors were studied for three landing gear steels, AerMet 100, 300M, and 4340. The three steels were subjected to identical fatigue tests in vacuum, air, and 3.5% NaCl solution and identical stress corrosion cracking tests in 3.5% NaCl solution. The variation of fatigue crack growth rate with stress intensity range and maximum stress intensity was evaluated for different stress ratios and environments. Also, the variation of SCC rate with stress intensity was investigated. Subsequently, the fatigue- and SCC-induced crack surface morphologies were examined, and the fractographic evolution was defined with respect to the stress ratio, crack growth rate, stress intensity, and environment.
SUMMARY

The effects of stress ratio and environment on fatigue crack growth in AerMet 100, 300M, and 4340 steels were investigated. The employed stress ratios were 0.1 and 0.9, and the environments were vacuum, air, and 3.5% NaCl solution. In addition, the Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC) behavior in 3.5% NaCl solution was studied to find the crack growth rate and threshold stress intensity. Then, the fatigue- and SCC-induced crack surface morphologies were examined with the aid of a scanning electron microscope. The change in fractographic features with stress ratio, crack growth rate, stress intensity, and environment was characterized, and the fractographic evolution was established.
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INTRODUCTION

Aircraft landing gear and other fracture critical components demand strong and tough materials to achieve high performance and great reliability. For such components, 4340, 300M, and AerMet 100 steels have been widely used. 4340 steel, developed in the 1940's, is a low alloy steel with good hardenability because of its appreciable content of C, Ni, Cr, and Mo. Its quench and temper heat treatment can induce high strength uniformly over a wide range of section sizes. This characteristic makes the steel attractive for many applications at -129 to 482°C (−200 to 900°F), particularly in heavy sections. Some of the current applications include aircraft landing gear, gear, pinion, crankshaft, piston rod, and fastener. In the 1950's, this steel was modified to 300M steel, having somewhat higher strength along with better toughness. The modification, consisting of increasing contents of Si and Mo, improved the hardenability and resistance to softening during tempering. Its typical applications include aircraft landing gear, airframe part, fastener, gear, and shaft. However, its fracture toughness $K_{IC}$ is only 57 MPa√m (52 ksi√in.), which is not sufficient for fracture critical components, and a need of a steel with better damage tolerance and reliability was arisen. A new steel, designated as AF1410, was developed to have a higher $K_{IC} = 181$ MPa√m (165 ksi√in.) (reference 1). This steel, however, left much to be desired with regard to tensile strength. Its ultimate tensile strength is only 1,669 MPa (242 ksi), which is not suitable for highly stressed structural components, requiring high strength to weight ratio. In the early 1990's, a new Co-Ni alloy steel, strengthened with C, Cr, and Mo, AerMet 100 steel, was developed (reference 2). It has an outstanding combination of high ultimate tensile strength, 1,979 MPa (287 ksi), and high $K_{IC}$, exceeding 110 MPa√m (100 ksi√in.). Therefore, AerMet 100 steel has been used more and more for aircraft components, such as landing gear, arresting gear shank, horizontal stabilizer spindle, wingfold transmission, and wing pivot pin.

Although the mechanical and metallurgical properties have been improved much, the three steels, AerMet 100, 300M, and 4340, are still susceptible to cracking under static and repetitive loading. Determining the cause of cracking is vital in preventing a recurrence. One of the most important sources of information relating to the cracking is the crack surface itself. A crack surface morphology is a detailed record of the cracking history and contains the evidence of loading history, environmental effect, and material quality. The objective of this study is to characterize the fatigue and Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC) behaviors of the three steels and clarify the related crack surface morphology or fractograph. Furthermore, it attempts to understand the evolution of fractograph in inert and corrosive environments and establish the cracking mechanisms in respective environments.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

MATERIAL AND SPECIMEN

As the specimen materials, slabs of AerMet 100, 300M, and 4340 steels were used. Their nominal chemical compositions are shown in table 1.
Table 1: Chemical Composition (wt %) of Three Steels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>AerMet 100</th>
<th>300M</th>
<th>4340</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.38-0.43</td>
<td>0.38-0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.60-0.90</td>
<td>0.60-0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.45-1.80</td>
<td>0.15-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>~ 0.010</td>
<td>~ 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>~ 0.010</td>
<td>~ 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>0.70-0.95</td>
<td>0.70-0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>1.65-2.00</td>
<td>1.65-2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.30-0.50</td>
<td>0.20-0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>~ 0.35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These slabs were subjected to the following heat treatments, respectively.

AerMet 100 steel: preheating at 593°C (1,100°F) for 1.25 hr in vacuum, solution treating at 885°C (1,625°F) for 1.25 hr in vacuum and cooling in nitrogen atmosphere, freezing in dry-ice and alcohol (-73°C or -99°F) for 2 hr, and aging at 482°C (900°F) for 5 hr in air.

300M steel: solution treating at 871°C (1,600°F) for 5 hr in vacuum, oil quenching to below 71°C (160°F), and double tempering at 301°C (575°F) for 2 hr in vacuum.

4340 steel: solution treating at 843°C (1,550°F) for 1 hr, oil quenching to about 66°C (150°F), tempering at 232°C (450°F) for 3 hr, and air cooling.

The postheat treatment mechanical properties of the three steels are shown in table 2.

Table 2: Mechanical Properties of Three Steels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>AerMet 100</th>
<th>300M</th>
<th>4340</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YS (Mpa)</td>
<td>1,724</td>
<td>1,703</td>
<td>1,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTS (Mpa)</td>
<td>1,979</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>1,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIC (MPa√m)</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the heat treatment, the microstructure of each steel was examined with an optical microscope. Subsequently, the slabs were machined to compact tension specimens, 38.1 mm (1.5 in.) wide and 4.8 mm (3/16 in.) thick, in the L-T crack plane orientation, employing an electro discharge machine. Before the fatigue and SCC tests, the specimen was precracked under constant amplitude loading of frequency 10 Hz at the test stress ratio of 0.1 or 0.9 in the test environment, vacuum, air, or 3.5% NaCl solution.
FATIGUE TEST

Two closed-loop servo-hydraulic mechanical test machines were used for the fatigue test. One was a 490 KN vertical Materials Testing System (MTS) machine for the fatigue test in vacuum and air and the other a 45 KN horizontal test machine for the fatigue test in liquid. The fatigue test was conducted under stress control in tension-tension cycling of frequency 10 Hz with a sinusoidal waveform and stress ratios, 0.1 and 0.9, in vacuum of 2 x 10^4 torr, air of 60% relative humidity, and 3.5% NaCl solution of pH 7.3 at room temperature. The fatigue loading procedure was K-decreasing or load shedding with K-gradient parameter C = -0.08 mm^-1 (-2 in.^-1) in the near-threshold fatigue crack growth regime (Regime A) and K-increasing in the Paris and rapid unstable crack growth regimes (Regimes B and C). Using compliance technique, the fatigue crack length was continuously monitored with a laboratory computer system, interfaced with the MTS machine.

STRESS CORROSION CRACKING TEST

Two test methods were employed. One was Static Loading Test for the determination of crack growth rate da/dt and examination of fractograph at the final stage of SCC, and the other was Four-Point Bending Test for the examination of fractograph at the initial stage of SCC.

STATIC LOADING TEST WITH C(T) SPECIMEN

Before the test, a wedge was inserted into the notch of a precracked C(T) specimen to make a displacement, measurable with a clip-on displacement gage. Then, the crack tip was dipped in 3.5% NaCl solution of pH 7.3, and the crack length was measured with a binocular microscope, less frequently as the crack grew. The test was continued with crack length increasing and stress intensity, K_I, decreasing, and the threshold stress intensity for SCC, K_ISCC, was defined as the K_I at crack arrest. The K_I was calculated with the following equations (references 3 and 4).

\[ K_I = \left(\frac{P}{B \sqrt{W}}\right) \left[\frac{(2 + \alpha)/(1 - \alpha)^{3/2}}{0.886 + 4.64\alpha - 13.32\alpha^2 + 14.72\alpha^3 - 5.6\alpha^4}\right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ BE\nu/\rho = \left[1 + (0.25/\alpha)\right]/\left[1 + (0.25/\alpha)\right] \left[1.61369 + 12.6778\alpha - 14.23110\alpha^2 - 16.6102\alpha^3 + 35.0499\alpha^4 - 14.49432\alpha^5\right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where P is the load, B the specimen thickness, W the specimen width, \( \alpha = a/W \), a the crack length, E the modulus of elasticity, and \( \nu \) the displacement at front face of specimen. The data were reduced to crack length, a, versus time, t, and crack growth rate, da/dt, versus K_I plots.

FOUR-POINT BENDING TEST WITH NOTCHED SQUARE BAR SPECIMEN

The specimen was a square bar specimen of 10 x 10 x 70 mm with a Charpy notch at the mid-length. It was precracked to ½ thickness under cyclic three-point bending in air, and then step-loaded in four-point bending under constant displacement control in 3.5% NaCl solution of pH 7.3 without applying any external potential. The load was increased at 2% of the ultimate
bending strength each hour until the load drops, corresponding to the threshold crack growth or the initial stage of SCC. The $K_I$ was calculated, using the following equation (reference 5).

$$K_I = \sigma \sqrt{\pi a} F(\alpha)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$F(\alpha) = 1.122 - 1.40\alpha + 7.33\alpha^2 - 13.08\alpha^3 + 14.0\alpha^4$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\sigma$ is the gross stress = $6M/BW^2$, $M$ the bending moment = $Px$, and $x$ the moment arm length.

**FRACTOGRAPHY**

For the fatigue test, the crack surface morphology was examined at several sites, corresponding to various crack growth rates, employing a scanning electron microscope, JEOL JSM-5800LV, operating at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV.

Since the Static Loading Test of SCC took many days and most of the crack surface was corroded severely in 3.5% NaCl solution, the fractographic examination was done only for the later stage of SCC with little corrosion. The fractographic examination for the initial stage of SCC was done with the Four-Point Bending Test specimen, which was corroded little.

**RESULTS**

**MICROSTRUCTURE**

The optical micrographs of the three steels exhibit tempered martensite, figure A-1. The diameters of prior austenite grain are 6 $\mu$m for the AerMet 100 steel, 14 $\mu$m for the 4340 steel, and 20 $\mu$m for the 300M steel.

**VARIATION OF FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH/CYCLE, $da/dN$, WITH STRESS INTENSITY RANGE, $\Delta K$**

A sketch of typical $da/dN$ versus $\Delta K$ curve with three regimes of fatigue crack growth is shown in figure A-2. This report follows the Suresh’s regime designation of A for the near-threshold crack growth regime, B for the Paris regime, and C for the rapid unstable crack growth regime (reference 6).

**STRESS RATIO EFFECT**

Figure A-3 shows the $da/dN$ versus $\Delta K$ curves, indicating the stress ratio effect, for the three steels. Raising stress ratio, $R$, from 0.1 to 0.9, moves the $da/dN$ versus $\Delta K$ curve to the left, increasing the $da/dN$ and reducing the threshold stress intensity range for fatigue crack growth, $\Delta K_{th}$. At $R = 0.9$ in 3.5% NaCl solution, the curve levels off or $da/dN$ is independent of $\Delta K$ in a region of $\Delta K$. 


ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT

Figure A-4 indicates the environmental effect on the da/dN variation with ΔK for the three steels. (1) AerMet 100 steel: At R = 0.1, in Regime A, the da/dN is greater in air than in 3.5% NaCl solution and vacuum, and it is similar in the latter two environments. In Regime B, the da/dN is still greater in air, intermediate in 3.5% NaCl solution, and least in vacuum. In Regime C, the da/dN is similar in air and 3.5% NaCl solution, but it is greater than that in vacuum. At R = 0.9, in Regime A, the da/dN is greatest in 3.5% NaCl solution, intermediate in air, and smallest in vacuum. In Regime B, the da/dN curve levels off in 3.5% NaCl solution and crosses over the da/dN curve in air, and it is lower in vacuum. In Regime C, the three da/dN versus ΔK curves tend to converge. (2) 300M steel: At R = 0.1, in Regime A, the da/dN is slightly greater in 3.5% NaCl solution than in air. However, it is similar in Regimes B and C in air and 3.5% NaCl solution. The da/dN is lowest in vacuum in Regimes A and B. The three da/dN versus ΔK curves merge in Regime C. At R = 0.9, the da/dN is greatest in 3.5% NaCl solution, intermediate in air, and least in vacuum in Regimes A and B. The da/dN versus ΔK curve levels off in 3.5% NaCl solution, and the curves merge in Regime C in air and vacuum. (3) 4340 steel: The main features of da/dN variation with ΔK are similar to those for the 300M steel in the respective environments.

FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH RESISTANCES OF THREE STEELS

Figure A-5 compares the resistances of the three steels to fatigue crack growth, indicated by da/dN, in the three environments. (1) Vacuum: At R = 0.1, in Regime A, da/dN is greatest for 300M steel, intermediate for AerMet 100 steel, and least for 4340 steel. In Regime B, da/dN is similar for 300M and AerMet 100 steel and lowest for 4340 steel. In Regime C, the three curves tend to merge. At R = 0.9, in Regime A, the three da/dN versus ΔK curves overlap each other. In Regimes B and C, da/dN is similar for 300M and 4340 steels, whereas that of AerMet 100 steel is least. (2) Air: At R = 0.1, in Regime A, da/dN is greatest for 4340 steel, intermediate for 300M steel, and least for AerMet 100 steel. However, in Regimes B and C, the three da/dN versus ΔK curves overlap each other, indicating similar da/dN. At R = 0.9, in Regime A, the three da/dN versus ΔK curves nearly overlap each other. In Regimes B and C, the da/dN versus ΔK curves of 300M and 4340 steels nearly overlap each other, and da/dN is lowest for AerMet 100 steel. (3) 3.5% NaCl solution: Throughout the three regimes, da/dN is greatest for 4340 steel, intermediate for 300M steel, and least for AerMet 100 steel, except the da/dN for 300M steel is partly close to those for 4340 and AerMet 100 steels. At R = 0.9, the three da/dN versus ΔK curves level off, and the level is highest for 4340 steel, intermediate for 300M steel, and lowest for AerMet 100 steel. This observation evidences that the resistance to corrosion fatigue crack growth is greatest for AerMet 100 steel, intermediate for 300M steel, and least for 4340 steel in 3.5% NaCl solution.

VARIATION OF FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH/TIME, da/dt, WITH MAXIMUM STRESS INTENSITY, K_{max}

For the fatigue test in 3.5% NaCl solution, the fatigue crack growth per cycle, da/dN, was converted to the corresponding crack growth per unit time, da/dt, following the relationship da/dt
= f(da/dN), where f is the loading frequency. The da/dt is plotted against the maximum stress intensity, $K_{\text{max}}$, in figure A-6. Also, the SCC growth rate, da/dt, in 3.5% NaCl is plotted against the applied stress intensity, $K_t$, in this figure. [The SCC plots of 300M and 4340 steels were determined in this study and that of AerMet 100 steel by Oehlert and Atrens (reference 7).]

The upper portion of the da/dt versus $K_{\text{max}}$ curve is in the region of $K_{\text{max}} > K_{\text{ISC}}$, more at $R = 0.9$ than at $R = 0.1$.

FRACTOGRAPHIC FEATURES

The following fractographic features are observable for the three steels.

AERMET 100 STEEL

Figure A-7 shows the da/dN versus $\Delta K$ curves and the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) fractographs of AerMet 100 steel, fatigue-tested in vacuum. At $R = 0.1$, cleavagelike facets and scattered dimples are seen. With increasing da/dN, the cleavagelike facets become larger, and striations are visible on the cleavagelike facets. At $R = 0.9$, mixture of cleavagelike facets and dimples are visible at low da/dN, the number and size of dimple increase with increasing da/dN, and the crack surface is mostly covered by dimples at high da/dN.

Figure A-8 shows a series of changes in SEM fractograph with increasing da/dN for AerMet 100 steel, fatigue-tested at $R = 0.1$ in vacuum. Cleavagelike facets grow and striations on the facets are more clearly visible with increasing da/dN.

Figure A-9 shows the da/dN versus $\Delta K$ curves and the SEM fractographs of AerMet 100 steel, fatigue-tested in air. The fractographic features are similar to those of AerMet 100 steel, fatigue-tested in vacuum.

Figure A-10 shows the da/dt versus $K_{\text{max}}$ and $K_t$ curves and the SEM fractographs of AerMet 100 steel, fatigue- and SCC-tested in 3.5% NaCl solution. At $R = 0.1$ and $K_{\text{max}} < K_{\text{ISC}}$, cleavagelike facets and some dimples are seen at low da/dt. With increasing da/dt, the cleavagelike facet becomes larger. At $R = 0.9$ and low da/dt, mixed cleavagelike and intergranular facets are visible, whereas at high da/dt, mostly dimples are seen. At the initial stage of SCC, mixed cleavagelike and intergranular facets, secondary cracks, and some dimples are noticeable. At the later stage of SCC, intergranular facets, secondary cracks, and dimples are visible. Figure A-11 shows a series of changes in SEM fractograph with increasing da/dN for AerMet 100 steel, fatigue-tested at $R = 0.9$ in 3.5% NaCl solution. At low da/dN, mixed cleavagelike and intergranular facets are visible, striations on the facets and secondary cracks along facet-boundaries become more noticeable with increasing da/dN, and dimples cover the crack surface at high da/dN.
300M STEEL

Figure A-12 shows the $\text{da/dN}$ versus $\Delta K$ curves and the SEM fractographs of 300M steel, fatigue-tested in vacuum. At $\text{R} = 0.1$, cleavagelike facets and dimples are seen at low $\text{da/dN}$. With increasing $\text{da/dN}$, the cleavagelike facets become larger and dimples become more numerous. At high $\text{da/dN}$, the crack surface is mostly covered by dimples. At $\text{R} = 0.9$, mixture of large and disintegrating cleavagelike facets and dimples are visible at low $\text{da/dN}$, and the crack surface is completely covered by dimples at high $\text{da/dN}$.

Figure A-13 shows the $\text{da/dN}$ versus $\Delta K$ curves and the SEM fractographs of 300M steel, fatigue-tested in air. At $\text{R} = 0.1$, cleavagelike facets and dimples are seen at low $\text{da/dN}$. With increasing $\text{da/dN}$, the cleavagelike facets become larger and striations with secondary cracks are visible. At $\text{R} = 0.9$, larger cleavagelike facets and dimples are visible at low $\text{da/dN}$, and the cleavagelike facets are disintegrating and replaced by dimples at high $\text{da/dN}$.

Figure A-14 shows the $\text{da/dt}$ versus $K_{\text{max}}$ and $K_{\text{T}}$ curves and the SEM fractographs of 300M steel, fatigue- and SCC-tested in 3.5% NaCl solution. At $\text{R} = 0.1$, cleavagelike facets and some dimples are visible at low $\text{da/dt}$ and $K_{\text{max}} < K_{\text{ISC}}$. But large cleavagelike facets, striations, secondary cracks, and intergranular facets at higher $\text{da/dt}$ and $K_{\text{max}} > K_{\text{ISC}}$. At $\text{R} = 0.9$, mixed cleavagelike and intergranular facets are visible at low $\text{da/dt}$ and $K_{\text{max}} < K_{\text{ISC}}$ and mostly intergranular facets and secondary cracks along the facet boundaries at higher $\text{da/dt}$ and $K_{\text{max}} > K_{\text{ISC}}$. At the initial stage of SCC, intergranular facets and secondary cracks are mostly seen. At the later stage of SCC, intergranular facets, secondary cracks, and dimples are visible.

4340 STEEL

Figure A-15 shows the $\text{da/dN}$ versus $\Delta K$ curves and the SEM fractographs of 4340 steel, fatigue-tested in vacuum. The fractographic features are mostly similar to those of 300M steel, fatigue-tested in vacuum.

Figure A-16 shows the $\text{da/dN}$ versus $\Delta K$ curves and the SEM fractographs of 4340 steel, fatigue-tested in air. The fractographic features are similar to those of 300M steel, fatigue-tested in air.

Figure A-17 shows the $\text{da/dt}$ versus $K_{\text{max}}$ and $K_{\text{T}}$ curves and the SEM fractographs of 4340 steel, fatigue- and SCC-tested in 3.5% NaCl solution. At $\text{R} = 0.1$, mostly intergranular facets and patches of dimples are seen at low and high $\text{da/dt}$ and $K_{\text{max}}$ below and above the $K_{\text{ISC}}$. More dimples are visible at greater $\text{da/dt}$. At $\text{R} = 0.9$, intergranular facets and secondary cracks are mostly seen at low and high $\text{da/dt}$. At the initial stage of SCC, only intergranular facets and secondary cracks are seen. At the later stage of SCC, intergranular facets, secondary cracks, and dimples are visible.
DISCUSSION

STRESS RATIO EFFECT

It was observed that da/dN increased and ΔK_{th} decreased with increasing stress ratio from 0.1 to 0.9 in the three environments for the three steels. This observation is similar to those for various steels and alloys reported by a number of investigators (references 8 through 22).

ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT

Compared to air, 3.5% NaCl solution enhanced the fatigue crack growth in AerMet 100 steel at R = 0.9 but retarded it at R = 0.1 in the near-threshold crack growth regime, figure A-4. Similar observations were reported for 2 1/4 Cr-1 Mo, rotor, and 4340 steels and attributed to oxide-induced crack closure (references 11, 12, 14, 23, 24). But such a crack growth retardation by 3.5% NaCl solution was not observed for 300M and 4340 steels at R = 0.1.

As figure A-6 shows, the lower portion of the da/dt versus K_{max} curve for the fatigue test at R = 0.1 in 3.5% NaCl solution is in the region of K_{max} < K_{ISC}, and no SCC is expected to occur under cyclic loading in this region. Yet the 3.5% NaCl solution can still influence the fatigue crack growth process and induce the true or environmental corrosion fatigue (reference 25). On the other hand, in the region of K_{max} > K_{ISC}, the stress corrosion crack growth is superimposed over the fatigue crack growth, resulting in stress corrosion fatigue (reference 25). The SCC contribution to fatigue crack growth has also been discussed by the other investigators (references 26 through 29).

Wei and Landes (reference 26) considered the fatigue crack growth in high-strength steels in an aggressive environment to be composed of two components, mechanical and environmental. They proposed that the da/dN in an aggressive environment, (da/dN)_{e}, could be expressed in terms of the da/dN in an inert reference environment, (da/dN)_{r}, and an environment component computed from sustained-load crack growth data. Gerberich, Birat, and Zackay (reference 27) proposed that the da/dN in a corrosive environment consisted of a fatigue contribution, (da/dN)_{f}, and a SCC contribution, (da/dN)_{SCC}. Wei (reference 26) redefined his previous model as the da/dN in an aggressive environment, (da/dN)_{r}, composing of three components, (da/dN)_{r} for pure fatigue in inert or reference environment, (da/dN)_{ef} for environmental contribution due to the synergistic interaction of fatigue and environmental attack, and (da/dN)_{SCC} for SCC at K > K_{ISC}. Pao, Wei, and Wei (reference 29) studied the fatigue crack growth behavior of 4340 steel in water vapor and pointed out the environmental contribution arising from SCC for K_{max} > K_{ISC} and from synergistic action of fatigue and environmental attack for K_{max} < K_{ISC}.

FRACTOGRAPHIC FEATURES

In vacuum and air, at R = 0.1, the fractographic features are similar for the three steels, cleavagelike facets at lower da/dN and enlarged cleavagelike facets and some dimples at higher da/dN. At R = 0.9, the number and size of dimple increase with increasing da/dN. This observation evidences that, in vacuum and air.
• The cleavage-like facet is enlarged with increasing da/dN and R.
• The number and size of dimple increase with increasing da/dN and R.
• The enlarged cleavage-like facets are disintegrated and replaced by dimples at higher da/dN and R.

In 3.5% NaCl solution, at R = 0.1, cleavage-like facets and some dimples are seen at lower da/dN (or da/dt) and enlarged cleavage-like facets at higher da/dN for the AerMet 100 steel. However, at R = 0.9, mixed cleavage-like and intergranular facets are visible at lower da/dN and mostly dimples at higher da/dN. More intergranular facets are present at higher da/dN and R = 0.1, and mixed cleavage-like and intergranular facets at lower da/dN and mostly intergranular facets at higher da/dN for the 300M steels. On the other hand, mostly intergranular facets are present at low and high da/dN and R = 0.1 and 0.9 for the 4340 steel. This observation evidences that, in 3.5% NaCl solution.

• Cleavage-like facets and dimples are still seen at lower R and da/dN in more corrosion fatigue resistant steel, such as the AerMet 100 steel. However, these fractographic features are replaced by mixed cleavage-like and intergranular facets at higher R and lower da/dN, and they are mostly replaced by dimples at higher da/dN.

• In lower corrosion fatigue resistance steels, such as the 300M and 4340 steels, more intergranular facets cover the crack surface at low and high da/dN and R.

At the beginning of SCC in 3.5% NaCl solution, the fractographic features are predominantly intergranular facets for the three steels. At the later stage of SCC, intergranular facets, secondary cracks, and dimples are visible.

These observations indicate that the susceptibility to intergranular cracking or grain boundary decohesion is greatest for the 4340 steel, somewhat less for the 300M steel, and least for the AerMet 100 steel during fatigue and SCC in 3.5% NaCl solution. Considering the relative values of $K_{\text{ISC}}$ of the three steels, the least for 4340 steel, slightly greater for 300M steel, and the greatest for AerMet 100 steel, the greater susceptibility to intergranular cracking corresponds to smaller $K_{\text{ISC}}$ or smaller SCC resistance.

**GRAIN SIZE EFFECT**

It has been known that, in the near-threshold regime of fatigue crack growth, an increase in the grain size generally results in a marked reduction in da/dN and an increase in $\Delta K_{\text{th}}$ (reference 6). However, such a grain size effect on fatigue crack growth is not noticeable in this study, especially in 3.5% NaCl solution. As figure A-5 shows, the AerMet 100 steel has the lowest da/dN and the greatest $\Delta K_{\text{th}}$ in 3.5% NaCl solution, though it has the smallest grain diameter of prior austenite (6 μm), compared to those (20 and 14 μm) of the 300M and 4340 steels. Apparently, the higher inherent resistance of the AerMet 100 steel to corrosion fatigue crack growth overwhelms the unfavorable grain size effect.
CONCLUSIONS

- \( \text{da/dN} \) increases and \( \Delta K_{th} \) decreases with increasing R in vacuum, air, and 3.5% NaCl solution.
- Crack growth is retarded at \( R = 0.1 \) in the near-threshold regime for the AerMet 100 steel in 3.5% NaCl solution. This is attributable to corrosion product induced crack closure.
- The main fractographic features of fatigue in vacuum and air are cleavagelike facets and some dimples at lower \( \text{da/dN} \) and R. The cleavagelike facets are enlarged, disintegrated, and replaced by increasing number of dimples with increasing \( \text{da/dN} \) and R.
- The key fractographic features of fatigue in 3.5% NaCl solution are mixed cleavagelike and intergranular facets at lower \( \text{da/dN} \) and R for the AerMet 100 steel. On the other hand, intergranular facets and secondary cracks are predominant features for the fatigue of the 300M and 4340 steels in 3.5% NaCl solution. These fractographic features of fatigue are similar to those of SCC in 3.5% NaCl solution.
- Resistance to fatigue and intergranular cracking in 3.5% NaCl solution is greatest for the AerMet 100 steel, intermediate for the 300M steel, and least for the 4340 steel.
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