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Summary

Within a program designed to develop experimental techniques for measuring the trajectory and structure of vortices trailing from the tips of rotor blades, the present preliminary study focuses on a method for quantifying the trajectory of the trailing vortex during descent flight conditions. This study also presents rotor loads and blade surface pressures for a range of tip-path plane angles and Mach numbers. Blade pressures near the leading edge and along the outer radius are compared with data obtained on the same model rotor, but in open jet facilities. A triangulation procedure based on two directable laser-light sheets, each containing an embedded reference, proved effective in defining the spatial coordinates of the trailing vortex. When interrogating a cross section of the flow that contains several trailing vortices, the greatest clarity was found to result when the flow is uniformly seeded. Surface pressure responses during blade-vortex interactions appeared equally sensitive near the leading edge and along the outer portion of the blade, but diminished rapidly as the distance along the blade chord increased. The pressure response was virtually independent of whether the tip-path plane angle was obtained through shaft tilt or cyclic pitch. Although the shape and frequency of the pressure perturbations on the advancing blade during blade-vortex interaction are similar to those obtained in open-jet facilities, the angle of the tip-path plane may need to be lower than the range covered in this study.

Nomenclature

\( a \) speed of sound
\( A_1 \) longitudinal cyclic pitch angle
\( B_1 \) lateral cyclic pitch angle
\( c \) blade chord
\( C_p \) pressure coefficient, \( (p - p_t)/q_\infty \)
\( \Delta C_p \) differential pressure coefficient, \( (p_u - p_l)/q_\infty \)
\( C_T \) thrust coefficient, \( thrust/\rho \pi \Omega^2 R^4 \)
\( M \) Mach number, \( (r\Omega + V_\infty \sin \psi)/a \)
\( M_{\text{st}} \) advancing tip Mach number, \( (R\Omega + V_\infty)/a \)
\( M_h \) hover tip Mach number, \( R\Omega/a \)
\( p \) blade pressure (either \( p_u \) or \( p_l \))
\( p_t \) blade pressure on lower surface
\( p_s \) freestream static pressure
\( p_u \) blade pressure on upper surface
\( q \) freestream dynamic pressure, \( pV_\infty^2/2 \)
\( q_l \) local blade dynamic pressure, \( 1/2\rho(r\Omega + V_\infty \sin \psi)^2 \)
\( r \) local radius along blade
\( R \) blade radius at tip
\( Re \) Reynolds number, \( cV_\infty/a \)
\( V_\infty \) advancing tip velocity, \( R\Omega + V_\infty \)
\( V_w \) freestream velocity
\( x \) chordwise distance from leading edge of blade
\( \alpha_{\text{opp}} \) angle between freestream and tip-path plane
\( \theta \) blade pitch angle, \( \theta = \theta_0 + A_1 \sin \psi + B_1 \cos \psi \)
\( \theta_0 \) collective pitch angle
\( \mu \) advance ratio, \( V_\infty/\Omega \)
\( v \) fluid kinematic viscosity
\( \rho \) fluid density
\( \psi \) rotor blade azimuth
\( \Omega \) rotational speed of rotor

Introduction

Although the current civil helicopter has been used extensively because of its excellent hover and low-speed forward flight capability, the fleet continues to exhibit noise levels that are too high for widespread community acceptance. A major source of helicopter noise originates from the rotor blade cutting through its own wake. This phenomenon is known as blade-vortex interaction (BVI) noise and is most prominent when descending for a landing.
Many experimental studies have been performed over the
last decade to investigate the BVI phenomenon. The
experiments have ranged from full-scale flight tests
(refs. 1 and 2) to model-scale tests (refs. 3-5). At lower
advance ratios (μ < 0.2), it was found that BVI noise can
be scaled (refs. 6 and 7), suggesting that expensive flight
tests can often be simulated by model rotor tests.

BVI events are highly impulsive. Specifically, rotor-blade
acoustics are a function of surface-pressure fluctuations,
which in turn are influenced by the geometry and composi-
tion of the trailing vortices within the rotor wake and
with which the blades interact. Analytical methods for
calculating rotor acoustics are dependent on an accurate
description of the vortex wake (refs. 8 and 9). Reliable
and detailed information of this sort is normally derived
from experiments; however, reports of these measure-
ments are rather sparse.

The most dominant feature characterizing the rotor wake
is the tangle of vortices trailing from the tips of the rotor
blades. Attempts to visualize the rotor wake in wind
tunnels typically fall into two groups. One group depends
on density variations in the flow that result from the low
pressure near the center of the vortex. Schlieren and
shadowgraph techniques (refs. 10-15) can image the
resulting variation in air density, and therefore the vortex
gometry. The other group relies on the induced flow
around the vortex to entrain the particles that are
deliberately injected into the stream. The particles are
redistributed in a characteristic pattern that reveals the
location of the vortex when illuminated with a laser light
sheet (refs. 16-19). The wake geometry presented in
reference 20, which features the locations of vortex
segments at discrete azimuths, is a good example of wake
data obtained in this fashion.

This present experimental study was undertaken to
establish a technique for defining the wake geometry and
structure of the trailing vortex, and to explore the possi-
bility of complementing the acoustic measurements on
model rotors performed in other facilities. The bridge
between a wake study in one facility and an acoustic
study in another facility would be the correlation of blade
pressures in the two experiments. A model rotor experi-
ment performed in another facility, which focused on
acoustics and included blade pressure but lacked
information about the wake geometry, was reported in
reference 21. That test was conducted at high forward
speed and for several BVI conditions on a model rotor in
an open jet, anechoic test facility. In the present study a
test is performed on the same model rotor, but in a closed
test section and with the angle of the tip-path plane
adjusted to replicate one of the BVI conditions in the
open jet test. Aside from exploring the efficacy of
matching open and closed jet results, the long-range
benefit from this effort is the development of techniques
for measuring model rotor loads, wake geometry, blade
deformation, and trailing vortex structure (core size and
strength) during a BVI (descent) condition. This report
contains the results from the first phase of this study
(identified as LoTu5) and focuses on the rotor loads and
the technique for measuring wake geometry.

Description of Experiment

Facility and Model

The test was conducted in the 7- by 10-Foot Wind Tunnel
at Ames Research Center, using a 1/7-scale model of the
AH-1/OLS rotor. The rotor consists of two blades, with a
chord dimension of 4.09 in. and a span of 37.7 in. meas-
ured from the axis of rotation to the blade tip (fig. 1). The
rotor disk was located approximately in the center of the
test section, with the rotor diameter spanning about 63%
of the width of the test section (fig. 2). Beginning at 25%
radius, the twist of each blade was −0.27°/in., so that the
total variation in geometric twist along the span was
7.55°. Collective pitch settings were referenced to the
geometric pitch angle at 75% radius. Therefore, a
collective pitch setting of zero means that the blade pitch
was about 5.0° at the root (held fixed for locations < R/4)
and −2.5° at the tip. The rotor hub has a built-in blade
precone of 1.3°.

The blades were instrumented with surface pressure
transducers and safety-of-flight gages. One blade
contained 28 absolute pressure transducers (14 were
located on the upper surface and 14 on the lower surface)
and the other contained 18 differential pressure trans-
ducers (fig. 3). Calibrations were performed by applying a
vacuum to each transducer, either individually for the
differential transducers or collectively (using a cylindrical
cuff) for the absolute transducers. Information related to
flight safety was obtained by flap- and chord-bending
gages mounted on the blade (fig. 4). The drag link used to
adjust the blade sweep was instrumented with a strain
gage that responded to the aerodynamic drag on the rotor,
and as such, offered a redundant measurement of chord-
wise bending. Depending on the sweep of the blade and
the location of the center of mass of the blade, this gage
could also be loaded by a centrifugal force induced
moment. Strain gages were placed on the pitch horns that
transmitted commands to the rotor blades. Reactions to
these commands resulted in bending loads on these
elements that were proportional to the pitching moments
on the blades. A transducer (called the teeter pot) for
measuring the angular tilt of the rotor was mounted on top
of the hub. The rotor operator monitored the signals from each of these gages.

The excitation and signal wires from the safety gages and pressure transducers were passed down through the shaft (fig. 5) and the junctions were secured with tape and string (fig. 6). The swashplate and related pitch controls are shown in figure 7. The rotor hub was mounted on the VSB-54 force and moment balance, and the rotor stand was attached to a plate to achieve a range of tip-path planes based on shaft tilt (fig. 8). The entire rotor assembly could be positioned in the streamwise direction (fig. 9) so that the rotor wake would be in the most optimum position for viewing through the test section windows (location established during model installation).

Based on microphone and blade pressure measurements collected in earlier tests (refs. 3 and 7), appreciable BVI noise was found to occur at several descent conditions (ref. 8). Considering one of these test conditions, $M_e = 0.664$ and $\mu = 0.164$ (Deutch-Niederlandischer Windkanal (DNW) case number 10014), and given a freestream temperature of 58°F in the present test, the required tunnel and rotor speeds for the present test were calculated to be $V_\infty = 122$ fps ($q = 0.122$ psi) and $\Omega = 2250$ rpm. The corresponding advancing tip Mach and Reynolds numbers are $M_{at} = 0.77$ and $Re = 1.88 \times 10^6$ (advancing tip speed $V_{at} = 862$ fps).

Since the center of mass of each rotor blade was located aft of the feathering axis (aligned with the quarter chord), it was necessary to sweep the blades forward 1.2° to minimize steady chordwise bending due to centripetal force. The blades were strobbed at 2rev to verify that the blades were tracking. A blade sweep of 1.2° corresponds to a 0.19° movement of the tip. Stand accelerometers indicated any imbalance in the rotor system and lead weights were added as needed to the blade retention bolt.

### Data Acquisition

Blade related instrumentation consisted of gages measuring load reactions (flap and chord bending) and gages measuring local surface pressure. These dynamic signals were conditionally sampled based on an encoder attached to the transmission and having a resolution of 1024 per revolution. A limited number of pressure transducers were selected based on their present working condition, the existence of archived electronic files from the DNW test, and presentations of their response during BVI in figures contained in references 8 and 22. These criteria resulted in the selection of 19 transducers (see table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>x/c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1U</td>
<td>Absolute 0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3L</td>
<td>Absolute 0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4L</td>
<td>Absolute 0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2U</td>
<td>Absolute 0.955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3U</td>
<td>Absolute 0.955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5U</td>
<td>Absolute 0.955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8U</td>
<td>Absolute 0.955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12U</td>
<td>Absolute 0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9L</td>
<td>Absolute 0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10L</td>
<td>Absolute 0.869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16L</td>
<td>Absolute 0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Differential 0.955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Differential 0.955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6D</td>
<td>Differential 0.864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15D</td>
<td>Differential 0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9D</td>
<td>Differential 0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10D</td>
<td>Differential 0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16D</td>
<td>Differential 0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17D</td>
<td>Differential 0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wind tunnel related data consisting of freestream temperature and freestream static and dynamic pressures were recorded separately and later used in the calculation of dimensionless quantities such as Mach number, thrust coefficient, and pressure coefficient. Values for the longitudinal and lateral cyclic pitch, collective pitch, and shaft tilt were also recorded as part of the parameter set identifying the test conditions.

A strain gage bridge mounted on the flex coupling located between the transmission and the balance measured torque. The remainder of the rotor loads was obtained with the temperature compensated VSB-54 force balance. The allowable load limits are given in table 2.
Table 2. Allowable loads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gage</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Max. allowable</th>
<th>Max. alternating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500 lb</td>
<td>200 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>500 lb</td>
<td>200 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>500 lb</td>
<td>200 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side 2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>500 lb</td>
<td>200 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>600 lb</td>
<td>240 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1400 lb</td>
<td>560 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque</td>
<td></td>
<td>510 ft-lb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To account for interactions between the various balance gages, each force was calculated according to:

\[ F_i = (V_i - Z_i) C_{q_i} \]

Where \( F, V, Z, \) and \( C \) represent the resultant gage force, load voltage, zero-load voltage, and calibration constant, respectively. Each of the balance gages is referenced by the subscript "i" and the matrix of calibration constants is given in table 3.

The most important quantity measured by the rotor balance was the axial force. With this measurement the thrust coefficient was calculated and displayed in real time so that the collective pitch could be set to yield \( C_T = 0.0054 \) (the condition matching the DNW data). The procedure used to match the targeted DNW condition is outlined in figure 10. Although data were taken at lower rotor speeds, the DNW matching quantities were fixed at \( M = 0.664 \) (\( M_{in} = 0.77 \)), \( \mu = 0.164 \), and \( C_T = 0.0054 \).

Given the freestream temperature in the present test, the necessary rotor rpm and freestream velocity were calculated. Assuming the tip-path plane to be the only variable to be adjusted to account for the difference between an open and closed jet test, the angle of the tip-path plane was arbitrarily set (but within a few degrees of \( 1^\circ \)) and some value for the collective pitch was selected. If the tip-path plane was to be established by shaft tilt (rather than with cyclic pitch), then the cyclic pitch was adjusted until the flapping was nulled. The axial force was examined to determine if the correct thrust coefficient had been achieved. If not, a new value for the collective pitch was set. Once the thrust coefficient had been matched, blade pressure data were recorded and compared with the DNW results. If the pressure did not match, a new value for the tip-path plane was set, and the procedure was repeated.

The procedure for quantifying the wake geometry was based on flow visualization. The technique depended on the flow being properly seeded and illuminated, and the image accurately controlled to coincide with particular rotor azimuths. Liquid particles (primarily propylene glycol) were released into the flow downstream of the test section. This location was chosen to take advantage of the mixing action of the tunnel fan, and the distance before entering the test section, to establish a relatively uniform spatial distribution of particles in the flow approaching the rotor. Given the correct size and composition of the particles, and the right temperature and humidity of the air, the core of the vortex was comparatively void of particles. This provided a means of distinguishing the vortex from the surrounding flow in the rotor wake.

Table 3. Calibration matrix for VSB-54 balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J = 1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I = 1</td>
<td>0.00161</td>
<td>-0.01829</td>
<td>-1.1E-05</td>
<td>2.85E-05</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.061664</td>
<td>0.061696</td>
<td>-4.1E-05</td>
<td>-5.8E-05</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.6E-05</td>
<td>3.36E-05</td>
<td>0.001629</td>
<td>-0.01849</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.64E-05</td>
<td>-1.6E-05</td>
<td>0.062126</td>
<td>0.062422</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000769</td>
<td>-1.6E-06</td>
<td>0.00071</td>
<td>0.001902</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.000351</td>
<td>0.000373</td>
<td>0.00036</td>
<td>0.000386</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An argon laser beam was formed into two continuous, thin light sheets. Each light sheet was partially nulled and reflected off mirrors that directed them down onto the rotor wake. The mirrors were located 56 in. apart and were configured to rotate in the same plane. The plane defined by the light sheets could be rotated to any azimuth about an axis parallel to the rotor (when at zero shaft tilt), and could be translated in the streamwise direction. Either light sheet could be used to scan the flow for a feature of interest (in this case, the trailing vortex). Since the flow was unsteady, the image was "frozen" using an intensified, gated (about 0.0005 sec in this test) camera. By aiming the nulled region of each light sheet at the center of the vortex, the coordinates of the vortex segment in view could then be determined by triangulation.

A schematic of the setup for visualizing the flow is shown in figure 11. Elements of the light-sheet system appear in figure 12 above the model rotor. A detailed view of the two directable light sheets, along with embedded reference lines that precisely locate the target, are shown in figure 13. This unique targeting system is referred to as LLS-ER (laser light-sheet system with embedded references).

**Discussion of Results**

**Control Settings**

Two methods were used for setting the angle of the rotor tip-path plane, \( \alpha_{\text{app}} \). The first method involved setting the shaft tilt of the rotor stand to a given angle and then adjusting the cyclic pitch to eliminate blade flap. In the second method, the shaft tilt angle of the rotor stand was fixed at 0° and the cyclic pitch was adjusted to give the desired angle for the tip-path plane. Balance and blade pressure data were obtained for a range of rotor speeds and tip-path plane angles using both methods. The run numbers corresponding to these different conditions are given in tables 4 and 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tip-path plane, ( \alpha_{\text{app}} )</th>
<th>Rotor, RPM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Run numbers for \( \alpha_{\text{app}} \) based on shaft tilt and zero blade flap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tip-path plane, ( \alpha_{\text{app}} )</th>
<th>Rotor, RPM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Run numbers for \( \alpha_{\text{app}} \) based on blade flap and zero shaft tilt
The collective and cyclic pitch settings required in this test are shown in figure 14. Blade bending loads are presented in figure 15 for the case when the tip-path plane angle is obtained by tilting the shaft while maintaining zero blade flap. For $1^\circ \leq \alpha_{app} \leq 5^\circ$, the steady component of the flap-bending moment increased slightly with RPM as well as with increases in the angle of the tip-path plane, but was always less than 25 in-lb in magnitude. The oscillatory component generally decreased with RPM from around 85 in-lb to 50 in-lb.

The chordwise locations of the strain gages forming the bridge for measuring lead-lag bending were incorrectly selected. As a consequence, the bridge was dominated by the centrifugal force induced moment and was therefore disregarded. However, the chord bending moment can be calculated from the load on the drag link gage, which indicates a steady increase with RPM from around 100 in-lb to 310 in-lb. The oscillatory components from both chord bending and drag link gages show a relatively flat response for $\text{RPM} \leq 2000$, followed by a 60% increase at the highest RPM. In general, the chord bending moment decreased with increases in the angle of the tip-path plane.

Likewise, blade-bending loads are presented in figure 16 for the case when the tip-path plane angle was obtained by means of blade flap while maintaining zero shaft tilt. In this case the steady component of the flap-bending moment generally decreased with increases in RPM as well as with decreases in the angle of the tip-path plane. The oscillatory component decreased with increases in the angle of the tip-path plane. The response to changes in RPM was somewhat flatter than in the shaft tilt case. The steady component of chordwise bending (calculated from the load on the drag link) shows a steady increase with increases in RPM. Although generally lower in magnitude when compared with the shaft tilt case, the steady component of chordwise bending shows little sensitivity to the angle of the tip-path plane in both the shaft tilt and cyclic pitch cases. The oscillatory component generally decreases with increases in the angle of the tip-path plane. Although lower in magnitude than in the shaft tilt case, the trend with changes in RPM is similar.

### Balance Loads

Rotor balance loads are presented in figure 17 for tip-path plane angles obtained by tilting the shaft while maintaining zero blade flap. In all cases the thrust coefficient was held fixed at $C_T = 0.0054$ and $\mu = 0.164$. For $1^\circ \leq \alpha_{app} \leq 5^\circ$, the axial force correctly increases with the square of rotor RPM. Although more erratic, the torque exhibits a similar dependence on RPM. The torque decreases with increases in $\alpha_{app}$ because of the reduction in blade drag (induced and profile) that accompanies the decrease in collective pitch (recall fig. 14). The side and normal forces are due to the integrated effects of induced and profile drag, which also contribute to the rotor pitch and roll moments. Balance loads are given in figure 18 for tip-path plane angles obtained by cyclic pitch with zero shaft tilt. For the limited number of cases considered, the trends are similar to those for shaft tilt, except that the moments are smaller and less erratic.

### Blade Pressures

Among the blade pressure transducers listed in table 1, archived data (either an electronic file or a report figure) from two absolute pressures (1U and 2U) and two differential pressures (9D and 15D) were selected to compare with the present test data. All of the pressure data presented from the present test have been averaged over 14 rotor revolutions.

Even when the test parameters are duplicated, blade pressures may not match because of differences in the relative size of the model rotor to the wind tunnel jet. This was demonstrated with data taken from the DNW and CEPRA 19 facilities for the same test conditions of $\mu = 0.16$, $M_u = 0.77$ (or $M_u = 0.66$), and $C_r = 0.0054$ and using the same model rotor as in the present test. Both facilities are open jet, but they differ in shape and size. To match the blade pressure response that was obtained in the DNW for $\alpha_{app} = 1^\circ$, conditions in the CEPRA 19 were found to require $\alpha_{app} = 3.5^\circ$ (ref. 22). Although some differences are apparent (fig. 19), the pressure responses were considered sufficiently similar with regard to the resulting acoustic radiation.

Since earlier data sets for a given tip-path plane angle could have been obtained using either shaft tilt or cyclic pitch, both approaches were investigated and the signal from a differential pressure near the leading edge (15D) was used for comparison. The results for three tip-path plane angles ($\alpha_{app} = 0.5^\circ$, $1.0^\circ$, and $1.5^\circ$) are presented in figure 20. Based on the similarity between the pressure responses, the two approaches were considered equivalent.

While holding $C_T = 0.0054$ and $\mu = 0.16$ constant, the pressure response at $r/R = 0.80$, $x/c = 0.03$ provides an indication of the sensitivity of blade pressure to variations in Mach number (fig. 21) and tip-path plane angle (fig. 22). With $\alpha_{app}$ fixed at $1^\circ$ (fig. 21), the primary consequence of reducing the Mach number (constant $\mu$ means that $\Omega$ and $V_u$ are simultaneously reduced) is a slight decrease in the magnitude of the pressure peaks and an advance in the time of occurrence of these peaks (to lower values of $\psi$). With $M_u$ fixed at $0.66$ (fig. 22),
a decrease in the tip-path plane angle induces a similar reduction in the magnitude of the pressure peaks; however, there appears to be no shift in the time of occurrence of these peaks.

Pressure responses at several radial (fig. 23) and chord (fig. 24) locations, all of which are for $C_T = 0.0054$, $\mu = 0.16$, and $M_b = 0.66$, indicate what positions on the surface of the blade are most sensitive to changes in the aerodynamic environment of the rotor. The results in figure 23 show that all of the pressures near the leading edge have equally large responses and contain numerous distinctive features that reflect the different interactions occurring with the trailing vortices that are present in the rotor wake. In contrast to these leading-edge responses, figure 24 shows a decrease in the pressure variation toward the aft portion of the blade chord. Although the data in these two figures are from both differential and absolute pressure transducers, the distinguishing difference in their response to BVI is due primarily to their location on the blade.

The tip-path plane was assumed to be the only significant parameter requiring adjustment to account for differences between the present closed test section conditions and those for the DNW test. The pressure response for three values of $\alpha_{opp}$ are compared with DNW data obtained for the same values of $C_T$, $\mu$, and $M_b$. The differential pressure (15D) results shown in figure 25 indicate that numerous features are exhibited by each of the $\alpha_{opp}$ curves that are similar to those found in the DNW data for $\alpha_{opp} = 1^\circ$. The shape, frequency, and amplitude of the perturbations in the pressure response on the advancing blade during $20^\circ < \psi < 130^\circ$ bear the greatest similarity to the DNW data; however, there are differences in both the absolute value and phase. Those differences appear to be insensitive to how the nondimensional groups are formed (that is, using a instead of $V_a$ serves only to emphasize the advancing side response relative to the retreating side). The CEPRA data also differed in absolute value on the advancing blade from the DNW data (recall fig. 19), but appear to show good agreement in the phase angles of these events.

Dynamic responses in terms of gage pressure, measured by an absolute transducer (2U) at $x/c = 0.03$ and $r/R = 0.955$, are compared with DNW gage pressure data in figure 26 to illustrate the effects of modest changes in $M_b$ and $\alpha_{opp}$. In all cases, the pressure perturbations on the advancing blade are similar and indicate that small changes in these two parameters have little effect on the advancing blade pressure. Although the number of perturbations during this interval is the same as in the DNW data, the amplitude of the perturbations is less. Another difference is the higher magnitude of the pressure in the LoTuS test; however, this is possibly due to a difference in air density between the two tests.

Rotor Wake

The fact that the rotor blade is always operating in its own wake is well illustrated in figure 27 by CAMRAD/IA (Comprehensive Analytical Model of Rotorcraft Aerodynamics and Dynamics/Johnson Associates) calculations of the tip-vortex trajectories. This particular wake geometry was calculated for $C_T = 0.0054$, $M_b = 0.77$, $\mu = 0.16$, and $\alpha_{opp} = 1^\circ$ at intervals of $\Delta \psi = 15^\circ$. Focusing on the principal source of high-speed BVI noise, the segment of vortex trajectory that is shed when the blade moves past an azimuth of $\psi = 135^\circ$ bears the greatest interest. Following this segment, marked with a square symbol in figure 28, as it moves downstream (primarily by freestream convection) shows that several classical types of interaction occur. Designating blade #1 as the source of the shed vortex to be tracked, the first classical interaction occurs at $\psi = 285^\circ$ as blade #2 moves past this vortex segment with a nearly perpendicular intersection. The second classical interaction occurs at $\psi = 435^\circ$ as blade #1 moves past its own vortex segment with an oblique intersection. The final classical interaction occurs at $\psi = 585^\circ$ as blade #2 moves past this vortex segment with a parallel intersection. This particular interaction is the most critical in terms of BVI noise.

Tracking of the vortex segment depends on proper seeding of the flow as well as correct illumination of the cross section of interest. Introducing the seed material directly upstream of the rotor (in the settling chamber about 85 ft ahead of the rotor in this test) resulted in a dramatic display of the flow pattern induced by a particular trailing vortex (fig. 29a). Concentrated seeding has the advantage of emphasizing the gross features of the flow so that the location of the vortex can be readily identified. The disadvantage of concentrated seeding is that the particular features that are emphasized in the rotor wake are biased by the exact cross-stream position where the particles are introduced. A more uniform distribution of seed particles in the test section is achieved when the particles are released into the flow downstream of the rotor. This location allows more time for diffusion into the flow and also takes advantage of the mixing action of the tunnel fan. Increasing the proportion of particles released downstream, relative to those released directly upstream, lowers the biasing of the flow visualization and enables other features that might be present to be identified (fig. 29b). In this case there happens to be three vortices that are present in the flow. Vortex #2 was the only apparent vortex in figure 29a, whereas vortex #1 was the segment actually being tracked since its release at
$\psi = 135^\circ$. The most uniform distribution of seed particles in the test section is obtained when they are injected into the flow only at the downstream location (fig. 29c). The advantage offered by this condition is that real-time optical tracking of the vortex can be employed whenever meander is a problem. The disadvantage is that the population of seed particles reaching the test section may also be uniformly too small. In this case fewer particles will centrifuge away from the vortex core and the vortex will be less distinguishable from the remainder of the flow around it. This distinguishable change in the particle count is generally interpreted to be a reasonable estimate for the size of the vortex core.

To correctly map the spatial history of a given vortex segment requires tagging the small domain of fluid comprising that segment and following its subsequent excursion as part of the rotor wake. An equivalent experimental procedure would require briefly marking the fluid within the core of the vortex at the moment it is shed from the blade. Rather than marking the fluid and tracking the trajectory of the same segment of fluid as it moves through the wake, a hybrid approach was taken. The calculated streamwise location of the vortex segment for a given blade azimuth was used to determine the streamwise position of the plane of the light sheets. The reference line contained in each of the light sheets was then used to locate the position of the vortex within that plane (fig. 30). For example, if the plane of the light sheets was oriented perpendicular to the freestream direction, then aiming the light sheets at the center of the vortex would yield the crossflow location. Because of the flexibility in the present system for positioning the plane of the light sheets relative to the flow, several parameters must be known in order to determine the spatial location of the targeted region. These parameters are (1) the streamwise location of the light-sheet pivot, (2) the angle of the light-sheet plane relative to the flow, and (3) the angles defining the direction of each light sheet.

The measured trajectories of vortex segments shed at $\psi = 112^\circ$ and $\psi = 135^\circ$ are shown in figure 31. The results show both segments moving inboard and initially rising above the rotor disk as they are convected downstream. Included in the top view are small figures indicating the azimuthal age of the vortex segment, since being shed by the rotor blade. The segment associated with $\psi = 112^\circ$ was tracked for a greater distance and appears to be drawn downward over the aft portion of the rotor disk. The calculated trajectories using CAMRAD/JA for vortex segments shed when $\psi = 105^\circ$, $\psi = 120^\circ$, and $\psi = 135^\circ$ show the same trends as the measured results, although the magnitudes of the crossflow and vertical movements are different.

**Conclusions**

Blade surface pressure depends on the angle of the tip-path plane, but appears to be independent of whether this angle is obtained using shaft tilt or cyclic pitch. Surface pressures near the leading edge appear to be equally sensitive along the entire outer half of the blade, but exhibit a marked decrease in sensitivity as the distance along the chord increases.

The shape and number of pressure perturbations caused by blade-vortex interactions on the advancing blade are similar to those obtained in an earlier DNW test. However, the amplitude and phase of the perturbations were slightly different for the range of tip-path plane angles in this study.

Downstream injection of seed particles yields the most uniform seeding distribution in the test section. Comparatively close upstream injection of seed particles reveals selected gross features of the flow and is dependent on the lateral (or cross-stream) location of the injection point.

The diameter of the core region of the trailing vortex is approximately equal to the thickness of the blade at the tip. The laser light-sheet system with embedded references proved to be an accurate and simple means for ascertaining the spatial coordinates of the trailing vortex.

The measured trajectories of vortex segments shed from the rotor blade at particular azimuths on the advancing side show an inboard movement toward the rotor hub and an arched vertical movement that is positive in the second quadrant and negative in the first quadrant. These trends were found to be in agreement with CAMRAD/JA calculations, but the magnitudes were slightly different.
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Figure 1. Dimensions of model OLS rotor blade (modified BHT 540).

Figure 2. Installation of model rotor in 7- by 10-Foot Wind Tunnel.
Figure 3. Location of absolute and differential pressure transducers.

Figure 4. Rotor safety-of-flight gages.
Figure 5. Excitation and signal wires from safety gages and pressure transducers.

Figure 6. Instrumentation wires secured with tape and string.
Figure 7. Blade pitch controls.
Figure 8. Front view of rotor stand installation in 7- by 10-Foot Wind Tunnel.
Figure 9. Top view of rotor stand installation in 7- by 10-Foot Wind Tunnel.
$M_h = 0.664 \quad \mu = 0.164 \quad C_t = 0.0054$
Blade Pressures $\alpha_{tpp} = 1^\circ$ open tunnel

freestream temperature $\rightarrow$ sound speed $\rightarrow$ given

$\Omega = a M_h / R$ ....................................... rotor RPM $\rightarrow$ given

$V_{\infty} = \Omega R \mu$ ........................................ freestream velocity

$q = 0.5 \rho V_{\infty}^2$ ........................................ dynamic pressure

$\alpha_{tpp}$ .............................................. $-1^\circ, 1^\circ, 3^\circ, 5^\circ$

collective pitch $\rightarrow$ start with $6^\circ$

not zero

null flapping pot $\rightarrow$ balance

match thrust coefficient $\rightarrow$ given

record data $\rightarrow$ note cyclic $A_1$ & $B_1$ values

compare blade press $\rightarrow$ collective longitudinal lateral cyclic cyclic

Figure 10. Procedure for matching DNW test conditions.
Figure 11. Setup for flow visualization.
Figure 12. Elements of the light-sheet system.

Figure 13. Detailed view of directable light sheets with coincident reference lines.
Figure 14. Rotor-blade pitch settings required for various tip-path plane angles ($C_t=0.0054$, $\mu=0.164$, $M_b$ and $M_{st}$ varying).
Figure 15. Bending loads for various $\alpha_{\text{tip}}$ obtained by shaft tilt with zero blade flap.
Figure 16. Bending loads for various $\alpha_{pp}$ obtained by cyclic pitch with zero shaft tilt.
Figure 17. Balance loads for various $\alpha_{top}$ obtained by shaft tilt with zero blade flap.
Figure 18. Balance loads for various $\alpha_{pp}$ obtained by cyclic pitch with zero shaft tilt.
Figure 19. Blade pressures from tests in CEPRA-19 and DNW facilities (ref. 22).
Figure 20. Blade pressure using two methods for obtaining the same tip-path plane.
Figure 21. Periodic pressure response for range of Mach numbers ($\alpha_{pp}$ fixed).
Figure 22. Periodic pressure response for range of tip-path plane angles ($M_h$ fixed).
Figure 23. Periodic pressure response for range of radial stations (α_tpp and M_h fixed).
Figure 24. Periodic pressure response for range of chord stations ($\alpha_{tip}$ and $M_h$ fixed).
Figure 25. Blade pressure for several $\alpha_{tp}$ compared with DNW test results.
Figure 26. Pressure matching attempts at alternate values of $\alpha_{tpp}$ and $M_{at}$. 
Figure 27. Calculated wake geometry for $C_T = 0.0054$, $M_{st} = 0.77$, $\mu = 0.16$, and $\alpha_{pp} = 1^\circ$. 
Figure 28. Calculated wake geometry showing critical vortex segment interactions.
Figure 29. Wake visualizations with different concentrations of flow seeding. Particles introduced (a) upstream only, (b) both upstream and downstream, and (c) downstream only.
Figure 30. Laser-light sheet setup for determining coordinates of targeted region of flow.
Figure 31. Trajectories of specific vortex segments within the rotor wake.
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