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MAIN EUMS RESPONSIBILITIES

• Tasking from EU Military Committee (EUMC)

• In-house military expertise for Secretary-General/High Representative (SG/HR)

• Main operational functions (on FSC):
  • early warning
  • situation assessment
  • strategic planning
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• Conclusion
• Presence of skeleton staff
• Each EUMS Branch represented by at least one qualified officer
• EUMS has resources necessary to develop towards full staff capability (FSC)
• EUMS lacks full ITS, including intelligence systems, capabilities
• Staff not yet capable of providing fully effective military support to crisis management
EUMS personnel build up (4/4)

- EUMS ISC
- EUMS FSC
- IMS Phase 3
- IMS Phase 2
- IMS Phase 1

- Move to Kortenberg

- Mar 2000
- Jul 2000
- Dec 2001
- Jul 2001
Personal Establishment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generals</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF 5 / A 3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO / NCO</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma$</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFT</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
external coordination
internal coordination
H T F
EUMS design
iMS - TROOPS TO TASK (2/4)

CM procedures
exercises

external coordination
internal coordination
HTF
EUMS design

EUMS procedures
exercises

EUMS design
The EUMC is responsible for providing the PSC with military advice and recommendations on all military matters within the EU.

The EUMC exercises military direction of all military activities within the EU framework.
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the “players” in Brussels
Command and Control structure

Levels of Command
- Mil. Strategic
- Operational
- Tactical
Helsinki European Council

- EUMC/EUMS: only permanent military components
  - Operational EU command structure drawn from national / multinational sources or from NATO.
EU Command options

NATIONAL HQs

MULTINATIONAL HQs
EU Command options

EU-NATO consultations

Military Strategic

(DSACEUR / SHAPE)?

OC / OHQ

Theatre

CJTF's

FHQ

CC's

Forces
Command and Control requirements

Common requirements

Multinational → Combined

Multi-services → Joint

Core staff + augmentees...
Command and Control requirements

- OHQ
  Joint-Combined
  Command, planning, Communications

- FHQ
  Joint-Combined
  Deployable

- CCHQ
  Combined
  Deployable

Specific requirements
CHALLENGES

• Criteria for selection: No preselection/flexibility.
• Multinationalisation.
• Training and exercises.
• CIS and ITS support.
• Access to NATO’s planning capability.
• Autonomous operational planning.
• SOPs.
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• Force - offers by Defence Ministers
• Force - pool meets most Helsinki requirements
• By 2003, EU will be able to carry out Petersberg tasks
• Availability and capacity of units now needs to be checked
• Command chains need to be trained and tested
• Joint efforts needed to overcome existing shortfalls
HELSINKI PROGRESS CATALOGUE (HPC)

ANALYSIS

generation of military requirements

priorities and goals
> 2003

HFC 2000 +
projectlist

shortfalls

HHC

priorities and goals
2001 - 2003

E U M S
ISTAR
At the Helsinki European Council the Member States set themselves the headline goal of being able, by 2003, to deploy within 60 days and sustain for at least one year forces up to corps level (60,000 persons).

The Helsinki Headline Catalogue (HHC) identified the following ISTAR requirements for this goal:
Following on the CAPABILITIES COMMITMENT CONFERENCE, the Helsinki Force Catalogue (HFC) contains the following Member States’ ISTAR contributions.
In the subsequent shortfalls analysis:

- It was emphasised that the EU’s ISTAR requirements particularly “I” do not start with force deployment.

- The most demanding Petersberg Task scenario Separation of Parties by Force (SOPF) was the basis of the analysis.
The requirements were grouped into the following capabilities:

- Strategic IMINT collection;
- Strategic SIGINT collection;
- Strategic early and distant detection;
- Battle damage assessment;
- Theatre surveillance and reconnaissance - Air Picture;
- Theatre surveillance and reconnaissance - Ground Picture
POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCES OF THE SHORTFALLS INCLUDE:

- *Inadequate crisis management procedures and decisions*
- *Inadequate operational planning and decisions*
- *Risk of undetected hostile air operations*
- *Risk of surprise ground attack*
WAY AHEAD:

• Incorporation of any future ISTAR contributions into the Helsinki Progress Catalogue (HPC)
• Further coordination work with CIS
• Continued emphasis on the possible consequences of the ISTAR shortfalls
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Workplan
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ELEMENTS FOR EU OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY

- **structures**
  - military
  - civil
- **procedures**
  - crisis - management
- EU - NATO relations
- **troops**
  - HLG

2001

2003
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>military structures</th>
<th>drafted by iMS</th>
<th>agreed</th>
<th>I S C</th>
<th>F S C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>civil structures</td>
<td>drafted by CSG</td>
<td>agreed</td>
<td>I S C</td>
<td>F S C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM procedures</td>
<td>drafted by CSG</td>
<td>agreed</td>
<td>1st workshop</td>
<td>1st CME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU - NATO relations</td>
<td>ad hoc WG-s</td>
<td>drafted</td>
<td>full agreement</td>
<td>1st CME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLG</td>
<td>drafted by HTF</td>
<td>agreed</td>
<td>initial goal achieved</td>
<td>coll. cap achieved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHECKLIST FOR EU OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY

**Military Structures**
- Drafted by iMS: 05/’00
- Agreed: 01/’01
- IS C: 06/’01
- FSC: 11/’01

**Civil Structures**
- Drafted by CSG: 10/’00
- Agreed: 01/’01
- IS C: 09/’01
- FSC: 12/’01

**CM Procedures**
- Drafted by CSG: 09/’00
- Agreed: 06/’01

**EU - NATO Relations**
- Ad hoc WG-s: 07-09/’00
- Drafted: 06/’01

**HLG**
- Drafted by HTF: 07/’00
- Agreed: 11/’00
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