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Objective: Conceive, model, and assess approaches to dynamic re-targeting within a simulation framework that permits virtual experimentation.

Technical Approach:
• Develop simulation framework that integrates digital map data, sensor models, target models, networking, communication, and battlefield dynamics.
• Model FCS-compatible targeting sensors.
• Evaluate potential for new sensor capabilities to provide relevant and timely location information for indirect-fire extended range munitions.

Benefit: Permit trialing of notional networks of sensors and assessment of enabling capability of “one shot…at least one kill…”

Status:
• Developed initial simulation framework that integrates digital map data, RF sensor models, simple target models, and battlefield dynamics.
• Developed Graphical User Interfaces to define sensor and target configurations.
• Integrated two RF sensor models.
“One Shot, ...at Least One Kill”
- Extraordinary Demands on Sensors

- The Targets Must be Detected and Located,

- Ideally, Signature Data will be Uplinked Dynamically (or, In Flight).

- Distributed Micro-Sensors Helps Provide the Eyes and Ears for this Emerging System
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Key Attributes of Planned Effort

• Construct a dynamic environment capable of monitoring crucial issues such as battery life, tracking accuracy, and effectiveness of cross-cueing strategies.

• Determine the amount of militarily significant information available (i.e., Probability of detect, location accuracy, timeliness, etc.) from notional sensor networks.

• Use as a yardstick to judge the value of individual sensor technologies and their complexity.
(Just Some) Crucial Issues

• Sensor Deployment Concept
  – Loitering Micro-UAV
  – Unmanned Ground Sensors Deployed from Artillery, UAV, UGV, etc.

• Sensor Mobility/Relocatability

• Sensor Recoverability

• Individual Sensor Location Accuracy

• Individual Sensor Performance
  – Sensor Coverage Map and Near-Ground Propagation Effects
  – Resolution

• Autonomous Network Command, Control and Cueing
  – Self-organizing ad hoc networks

• Sensor Fusion
  – Within Class - Tracking and Beamforming
  – Between Class - Refined Detection, Tracking and Classification
Two Significant Capabilities Needed
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Initial Strategy

- Develop an Overarching Framework for Experimentation
  - Brigade-Sized Playing Field
  - Identify Variables to be Modeled and Monitored
- Concentrate on a Lucrative Sensor Concept and Construct Appropriate Sensor Performance Model
  - L-Band Multi-Function Sensor
- Place Sensors in a Faithful Battlespace Representation
  - Include Elevation and Feature Data Bases
- Exercise Dynamics of the Battlespace
- Assess Sensor Complexity Versus Military Utility of the Sensor Outputs
  - Monitored Outputs Compatible with WMRD Needs
Simulation Procedure

- Enter Digital Map Data
  - Terrain characteristics
- Deploy Individual Sensors
  - Performance characteristics/coverage map
- Define Cueing Strategy
  - Passive sensors “wake up” active sensors
- Develop Target Scenarios
  - Type, initial position, speed and path
- Monitor Sensor Performance Attributes
  - Target detected
  - Information dissemination
  - Battery life
- Playback and Assess

Detected targets and the sensors that detected them are depicted in red.
GUI for Custom Sensor Configuration

Currently concentrating on L-band RF sensors for target detection with acoustic and magnetic sensors for cueing.
Simulation of RF Sensors

Modular software package capable of performance predictions for variety of radar systems.

- step-frequency to chirped waveforms.
- stationary to airborne systems.
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GUI for Custom Target Configuration
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How is Simulation Used to Evaluate Performance?

- Perturb Sensor Model
  - Trial Various Levels of Sophistication
- Vary Deployment: On-road versus Random
- Evolve Cueing Strategies
  - Who Turns Who On When, and for What Reason
- Ascertain Overall System Performance
  - Potentially in a Monte-Carlo Fashion
Analysis of Detect
Probability of correct detection vs. search area

- Probability of detection
- ROC curve for generic seeker
- Assumption: 0.8 target detection probability
- 1 false alarms per km

False alarms per square kilometer

Area searched in kilometers
Probability of Detection vs. Probability of Engagement for a Moving Target

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search Area</th>
<th>Probability of Encounter*</th>
<th>False Alarms per Sq. Km</th>
<th>Effective Probability of Detect**</th>
<th>Cumulative Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100mx500m</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300mx500m</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500mx500m</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Probability of Encounter¹ for a 300 m/sec flight from 8 km
** Probability of Detection for one target = 0.8

¹ Patterson, Carolyn; Target Location Error for the Tank Extended Range Munition, ARL-TR-1433, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, September 1997.

The greatest improvement in performance can be realized by increasing Probability of Encounter through continuous re-targeting of the munition.
Summary

• ARL effort on MRAA ATD is concentrated on determining the timeliness and quality of targeting data
  – Initially focusing on networked micro-sensors (with an RF member)
  – In future, will examine re-targeting and providing real time updates to seeker head

• Program is designed to provide multiple layers of insight
  – At the highest level, pd and location accuracy, for instance
  – At the network level, virtual experimentation of cueing and fusion strategies
  – At the sensor level, the military effectiveness of adding performance versus cost

• By establishing additional battlespace awareness (through virtual experimentation), new sensor technologies and architectures can be better assessed