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1. Foreword

This final report describes results obtained with support from ARO grant DAAH04-96-I-0096 between 05/01/96 and 04/30/00. This grant has made considerable impact in that it has enabled us to expand and diversify our research program. The principal thrust of work supported by this grant focused on molecular mechanisms of chemoreception in the mammalian vomeronasal organ. We characterized the transduction pathway for the recognition of pheromones in the vomeronasal organ and also characterized subpopulations of olfactory neurons expressing different axonal G proteins in the main and accessory olfactory projections. These observations bear directly on the formation of chemotopic sensory maps in the brain. These studies were recently extended with a characterization of genetically modified mice deficient in the α subunit of the G-protein, Go, which show profound anosmia. These studies resulted in publications in Endocrinology and Brain Research and have also been reported in abstract form at several national and international meetings. This work was performed with the involvement of postdoctoral research associate, Dr. Kennedy S. Wekese, currently an Assistant Professor at Alabama State University, Montgomery, AL. This work is currently being continued with support from the W. M. Keck Foundation.

In addition to studies on the mammalian olfactory system, grant DAAH04-96-I-0096 enabled us to expand work on the genomic architecture of olfactory behavior in Drosophila melanogaster, which is currently continued with support from a grant from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences. This work led to the discovery of several new gene products that are essential for processing olfactory information, including a sodium channel, a novel dual specificity tyrosine phosphorylation regulated kinase (Dyrk2), a postsynaptic density protein (Scribble), an olfactory receptor, and an odorant binding protein, the latter two interacting with the repellent odorant, benzaldehyde. These studies have been published in Genetics and documented in abstract form.

Finally, we were able to continue work on olfactomedin. Olfactomedin was originally identified as the major mucus component of the olfactory neuroepithelium. Subsequently, other investigators identified an olfactomedin homologue that is expressed as different splice variants throughout the rat brain. These proteins became especially important when an olfactomedin-related protein was discovered in the anterior segment of the eye and found to be closely associated with the pathogenesis of glaucoma (the trabecular meshwork inducible glucocorticoid response protein, TIGR). Our laboratory has shown that olfactomedin-related proteins occur ubiquitously from C. elegans to humans and that they have conserved C-terminal domains with characteristic sequence motifs. Moreover, we showed that a family of olfactomedin-related proteins is encoded in the human genome with, thus far, at least five members. These olfactomedin-related proteins appear to be members of a diverse family of tissue-specific extracellular matrix components. The link between TIGR and ocular hypertension and the expression of several of these proteins in mucus-lined tissues suggest that they play an important role in regulating physical properties of the extracellular environment. Our recent discovery of an olfactomedin homologue in Drosophila melanogaster will facilitate studies on the functions of olfactomedin-related proteins and their interactions. Work on olfactomedin was, in part, performed by postdoctoral research associate, Dr. Christa Karavanich, currently a faculty member at Richland College, Dallas, TX. This work has been published in Molecular Biology and Evolution, in Genetical Research and as several abstracts, and is currently supported by the Glaucoma Research Foundation.
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4. Body of Report

A. Statement of the Problems Studied

(i) Molecular and cellular mechanisms of chemoreception in the mammalian olfactory system

Odor recognition is essential for the survival and procreation of most animals. Chemical information transferred via olfactory neurons to the olfactory bulb is transformed into a chemotopic map (Joerges et al., 1997; Friedrich and Korsching, 1997 and 1998; Bozza and Kauer, 1998; Rubin and Katz, 1999). This map is represented by modular neural arrays, glomeruli, which in the mouse number approximately 1,800 (Pomeroy et al., 1990) and each of which represents the convergent projection of neurons of similar chemosensory specificity (reviewed by Hildebrand and Shepherd, 1997).

The olfactory system contains two interacting components: the main olfactory system is dedicated to general odorant discrimination, whereas the accessory olfactory system primarily processes chemical cues that guide social behaviors (reviewed by Meredith, 1998). In the main olfactory system odor recognition is mediated by receptors, which belong to the superfamily of G-protein coupled receptors and are encoded by as many as 1,000 different genes (Buck and Axel, 1991; Levy et al., 1991; Strotmann et al., 1994; Axel, 1995; Buck, 1996; Sullivan et al, 1995 and 1996). Two distinct families of G protein coupled receptors have also been identified as putative pheromone receptors in chemosensory neurons of the vomeronasal organ (VNO), the chemosensory organ of the accessory olfactory system (Dulac and Axel, 1995; Matsunami and Buck, 1997; Herrada and Dulac, 1997; Ryba and Tirindelli, 1997).

In the main olfactory system each olfactory neuron expresses a single odorant receptor from the large repertoire of receptors encoded in the genome (Chess et al., 1994). Thus, binding of an odorant to its receptor translates directly into a distinct pattern of neural activity that encodes its structure. Receptor activation results in stimulation of adenylate cyclase (Pace et al., 1985; Sklar et al., 1986; reviewed by Anholt, 1993), followed by the opening of cyclic nucleotide-gated channels that carry the generator current (Nakamura and Gold, 1987; Firestein et al. 1991). Calcium, entering through these channels (Frings et al., 1995), amplifies the response by opening calcium-gated chloride channels (Kleene and Gesteland, 1991; Kurahashi and Yau, 1993; Reuter et al., 1998) and, after binding to calmodulin, limits the response by lowering the affinity of the cyclic nucleotide-activated channel for cyclic AMP (Chen and Yau, 1994; Kurahashi and Menini, 1997). Although inositol-1,4,5,-triphosphate also has been implicated as second messenger in olfaction (Boekhoff et al., 1990; Ronnett et al., 1993), knock-out mice deficient in the subunit of the cyclic nucleotide-gated channel were found to be generally anosmic (Brunet et al., 1996) as were mice deficient in G (Belluscio et al., 1998), the G protein that links odorant receptor activation to stimulation of adenylyl cyclase (Jones and Reed, 1989).

Whereas cyclic AMP appears to be the primary second messenger in olfactory transduction, inositol-1,4,5,-triphosphate has been implicated in signal transduction in the VNO (Jiang et al., 1990; Luo et al., 1994; Taniguchi et al., 1995; Wekesa and Anholt, 1997), although a role for cyclic AMP has also been suggested in this system (Jiang et al., 1990; Luo et al., 1994; Okamoto et al., 1996).
Our laboratory played a major role in elucidating the second messenger pathway that operates in the VNO by obtaining direct measurements of second messengers in membrane preparations from porcine VNO and demonstrating dose-dependent, tissue-specific and sex-dependent generation of inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate in VNO membranes from prepubertal female pigs in response to seminal fluid and boar urine (Wekesa and Anholt, 1997). This generation of inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate is GTP-dependent and is thought to be mediated, at least in part, through a $G_{q/11}$-related G-protein (Wekesa and Anholt, 1997).

The dendritic and axonal compartments of olfactory neurons fulfill distinct functions for the acquisition of chemosensory information. Whereas dendritic specializations mediate odorant recognition and chemosensory transduction, the axonal compartment regulates signal propagation, axon sorting and target innervation. The roles of G proteins in chemosensory transduction at the dendritic compartments of chemosensory neurons have been studied extensively, but the functions of axonal G proteins have not been investigated in detail.

An important role for axonal G proteins in mammalian chemoreception is implicated by the differential distribution of $G_2$ and $G_6$ in the accessory olfactory system. The apical layer of the VNO expresses a distinct family of putative pheromone receptors (V1N receptors; Dulac and Axel, 1995), whereas VNO neurons in the basal layer express a different family of receptors (V2N receptors) that resemble metabotropic glutamate receptors (Matsunami and Buck, 1997; Herrada and Dulac, 1997; Ryba and Tirindelli, 1997). In situ hybridization studies in the murine VNO (Berghard and Buck, 1996) and immunohistochemical studies in opossum (Halpern et al., 1995) showed that neurons in the apical layer of the VNO express $G_6$ and project to the rostral region of the accessory olfactory bulb (AOB), whereas neurons in the basal layer express $G_6$ and project to the caudal region of the AOB. Our laboratory has demonstrated that differential expression patterns of $G_2$ and $G_6$ are not unique to the AOB, but also occur in the main olfactory bulb (MOB; Wekesa and Anholt, 1999).

(ii) **Functional genomics of odor-guided behavior**

Behavior supports the stage on which the interplay between the environment and the genome guides evolution. Behavioral adaptations to environmental cues determine survival and reproductive success. Among those environmental cues none are more important than chemical signals. Indeed, odor-guided behavior is absolutely essential for most organisms for food localization, avoidance of environmental toxins or predators, oviposition site selection, kin recognition, species recognition and mate selection, and reproduction. The importance of molecular recognition of environmental chemicals is underscored by the discovery that an unusually large percentage of the genome is dedicated to olfaction: in the mammalian genome, odor-recognition alone is mediated by a multigene family of about 1,000 odorant receptors (Buck and Axel, 1991), that has undergone rapid evolution through gene duplication and diversification (Hughes and Hughes, 1993; Ben-Arie et al., 1993; Issel-Tarver and Rine, 1997; Rouquier et al., 1998). Initial chemosensory recognition events, however, are only the first step in a series of complex processes, that involves processing of chemosensory information in the central nervous system, evaluating the nature of the chemosensory perception, and initiating and executing an appropriate behavioral response. It is intuitively evident that this process involves a vast ensemble of genes and that variation in the expression of any of these genes can
generate individual variation in olfactory responsiveness within a natural population. Thus, odor-guided behavior is a quantitative trait and understanding its complex genetic architecture requires quantitative genetic, statistical, and genomic analyses.

We have chosen olfactory avoidance behavior of *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model system for studies on the genetic architecture of odor-guided behavior. *D. melanogaster* is a genetic model system *par excellence*, because its generation time is short, there is no recombination in males, and the availability of balancer chromosomes allows mutations to be stably propagated and enables the manipulation of entire chromosomes to construct “designer genotypes”. Furthermore, highly inbred lines can be readily generated, which eliminates genetic variance and greatly facilitates the analysis of complex traits. In addition, extensive resources are available for genomic studies on *Drosophila*, including deficiency lines and lines that carry transposable element insertions at different defined locations in the genome; these resources are available through large national stock centers. Sequencing of the entire genome has recently been completed (Adams *et al.*, 2000) and a large genomic database is available (http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu/).

There are additional reasons that make *Drosophila* eminently suited for studies on olfactory behavior, as described in more detail below. The functional organization of its olfactory system is similar to that of the vertebrate olfactory system, suggesting similar principles of odor coding, but it is more tractable since it contains about 10,000-100,000 times fewer neurons and 30 times fewer glomeruli (Laisiue *et al.*, 1999). Furthermore, *D. melanogaster* is the only insect species to date in which odorant receptors have been identified (Clyne *et al.*, 1999a; Vosshall *et al.*, 1999). Finally - and most importantly - the onset of avoidance responses to repellent odorants can be precisely controlled and a reproducible statistical behavioral assay has been devised to accurately measure small olfactory impairments quantitatively (Anholt *et al.*, 1996).

(iii) Characterization of olfactomedin-related proteins

Olfactomedin was originally identified as the major mucus component of the olfactory neuroepithelium (Snyder *et al.*, 1991; Yokoe and Anholt, 1993). Subsequently, other investigators identified an olfactomedin homologue that is expressed as different splice variants throughout the rat brain (Danielson *et al.*, 1994). These proteins became especially important when an olfactomedin-related protein was discovered in the anterior segment of the eye and found to be closely associated with the pathogenesis of glaucoma (the trabecular meshwork inducible glucocorticoid response protein, TIGR; Stone *et al.*, 1997; Polanski *et al.*, 1997; Kubota *et al.*, 1997). We characterized the family of olfactomedin-related proteins both in the human genome and in *Drosophila* to set the stage for studies aimed at determining their functions. These studies involved a collaboration with Dr. William Aitchley from the Department of Genetics and with Dr. Teresa Borras from the Department of Ophthalmology at Duke University Medical Center.
B. Summary of the Most Important Results

(i) Molecular and cellular mechanisms of chemoreception in the mammalian olfactory system

After many years of previous research on signal transduction in the main olfactory system, we turned our attention to pheromonal signal transduction in the vomeronasal organ (VNO), a chemosensory organ specialized for the perception of social chemical signals. We identified the pig as a good model system for biochemical studies because of the large size of its VNOs. We developed a membrane preparation enriched in microvillar membranes from chemosensory vomeronasal neurons. These preparations allowed us to measure for the first time directly pheromone-regulated increases in the second messenger, inositol trisphosphate, in the mammalian VNO. We demonstrated in membranes from prepubertal female animals sex-specific, dose-dependent and G-protein mediated increases in inositol trisphosphate in response to boar urine and seminal fluid. Furthermore, our studies implicated $\gamma_{11}$ as one of the G-proteins likely to link pheromone receptor activation to stimulation of phospholipase C. These experiments provided for the first time a biochemical assay for the activity of mammalian pheromones and consolidated through direct measurements the notion that the main and accessory olfactory systems use different signal transduction mechanisms to evoke neural activation (Wekesa and Anholt, 1997). Thus, in contrast to the main olfactory system where cyclic AMP is the principal neurotransmitter, inositol trisphosphate is the major neurotransmitter in the VNO, where it most likely mediates influx of calcium via the opening of a recently identified VNO-specific TRP2 ion channel.

Whereas odorant recognition and chemosensory transduction occur at the dendrites of olfactory neurons, signal propagation, axon sorting and target innervation are functions of their axons. Previous studies by other investigators had shown that in the accessory olfactory system vomeronasal neurons, whose cell bodies are located in the superficial layers of the vomeronasal organ, send their axons to the anterior region of the accessory olfactory bulb and express $G_{12}$, whereas neurons in the basal layer of the vomeronasal neuroepithelium send their axons to the posterior accessory olfactory bulb and express $G_{o}$ (Halpern et al., 1995; Berghard and Buck, 1996). We showed that differential expression of these G proteins is not unique to the accessory olfactory system, but also occurs in the main olfactory projection. Whereas in the main olfactory projection all neurons express $G_{o}$, a subpopulation expresses $G_{12}$. We showed that the projection of $G_{12}$-expressing neurons originates from the dorsomedial region of the nasal cavity and fans out across the medial aspect of the main olfactory bulb, sparing the penetration corridor of the vomeronasal nerve and extending sparsely to scattered glomeruli in the lateral olfactory bulb. These results are important in that olfactory neurons expressing the same odorant receptor have been shown to converge on two glomeruli (spherical synaptic neural output modules) in the olfactory bulb, one medial and one lateral. Thus, the olfactory projection forms two chemotopic representations in each olfactory bulb, one lateral map and one medial map. Our results suggest that $G_{12}$ may play a role in signal processing or axonal targeting to the medial chemotopic map. In collaboration with Dr. John Vandenbergh, we are using homologous recombinant mice that lack the $\alpha$ subunit of $G_{o}$ (Valenzuela et al., 1997) to further explore the functions of these axonal G-proteins in the olfactory projection. The original knock-out mice were generously provided by Dr. Eva Neer from Harvard University.
as C57Bl/129 chimeras. We found that intercrossing heterozygotes of these mice generated few homozygous G<sub>o</sub>-/- offspring, presumably due to inbreeding depression. Therefore, we backcrossed these mice into the CD-1 outbred genetic background. This increased the number of homozygous knock-out offspring and their viability. Homozygous knock-outs are slightly smaller in body weight compared to heterozygous littermates at birth, but appear otherwise normal, as reported by Neer and colleagues (Valenzuela et al., 1997). Whereas virtually all of the heterozygotes and wild-type progeny were able to locate a buried food pellet after an overnight starvation period, the G<sub>o</sub>-/- mice were less successful in localizing the pellet within the 4 min assay period. Olfactory ability of the G<sub>o</sub>-/- mice was further assessed by an olfactory habituation-dishabituation test. When control heterozygous mice are presented with an unfamiliar odor on a cotton wool swab protruding from the cage lid, they rear up to investigate the odor. The number of rearings and total rearing time abates as they habituate to the odor, but can be elicited de novo by introducing a different odor. The number of rearings in response to sequential odor exposures was markedly lower or virtually absent in G<sub>o</sub>-/- mice, although their mobility was not impaired. Preliminary studies further indicate that absence of G<sub>o</sub> may be accompanied by overexpression of G<sub>o</sub>. Although upon superficial examination olfactory bulbs in the knock-out animals appear intact, closer examination indicates that the packing and shape of glomeruli in these animals may be altered. Dr. Peter Mombaerts from Rockefeller University has agreed to provide us with his P2-ires-tau-lacZ knock-in mice, in which the convergent projection of olfactory neurons expressing the P2 odorant receptor can be readily visualized by staining for β-galactosidase (Mombaerts et al., 1996). Introducing the P2-ires-tau-lacZ knock-in construct into our G<sub>o</sub>-/- mice will enable us to assess directly whether the pattern of olfactory projections in these mice has been altered. In the future we will continue to investigate the impact of deletion of G<sub>o</sub> on the formation of chemotopic projections and/or compensatory expression of other G-proteins.

(ii) Functional genomics of odor-guided behavior

1. Behavioral assay

Despite considerable advances in our understanding of the functional organization of the olfactory system of Drosophila, the link between odor perception at the molecular and cellular level and odor-guided behavior at the level of the organism remains to be explored. When exposed to a strong repellent odorant, flies will immediately migrate away from the odor source. This ability to avoid odor sources associated with toxic compounds in the environment obviously has important survival value. To investigate the genetic basis for variation in odor-guided behavior, we made use of this natural avoidance response and developed a behavioral paradigm that is rapid, simple and readily quantifiable (Anholt et al., 1996). Moreover, the use of isogenic strains of flies eliminates within-strain genetic variance. All observed variation in measurements between individuals of the same strain is attributable to environmental variation. Controlling the background genotype precisely increases the statistical power to detect differences in behavior between different genotypes.

We have used benzaldehyde, a standard repellent odorant, to measure olfactory responses in flies. We have also used structurally unrelated odorants, such as 2-isobutylthiazole and 2-n-propylpyrazine, to test whether olfactory defects of lines with aberrant olfactory behavior are specific to benzaldehyde. Prior to measuring behavioral responses to odorants, single sex groups of five
individuals are placed in test vials without food for 2 hours. The test vials are divided into two compartments by placing a mark on the wall 3 cm from the bottom of the vial. The animals are then exposed to an aqueous solution containing the desired concentration of benzaldehyde. The odorant is introduced into the vial on a cotton swab and the number of flies migrating to a compartment remote from the odor source is measured at 5 second intervals, from 15 seconds to 60 seconds after introduction of the odor source (Fig. 1). Distilled water is used as a control. The “avoidance score” of the replicate is the average of these 10 counts, giving a possible range of avoidance scores between 0 (maximal attraction to the odor source) and 5 (all flies are in the compartment away from the odor source for the entire assay period, i.e. a maximal repellent response). Many replicate assays are done for each line, each consisting of 5 males and 5 females. The elimination of genetic variance through the use of an isogenic genetic background together with our ability to rapidly accumulate large data sets for each line through repeated measurements provides us with the statistical power to reproducibly resolve not only large phenotypic effects, but also small smell impairments (Anholt et al., 1996).

2. Responding to chemosensory information: Odor-guided behavior as a quantitative trait

Can variation in odor-guided behavior in a natural population be resolved and quantified? If so, how much of this variation is due to genetic variance and how much is accounted for by environmental variance? How is genetic variation for olfactory behavior maintained? What is the relationship between variation in odor-guided behavior and variation in fitness? To address these questions, chromosomes were extracted from a natural population and substituted into a common inbred genetic background. The avoidance responses to benzaldehyde were quantified for 43 X chromosome substitution lines and 35 third chromosome substitution lines (Mackay et al., 1996). There was significant genetic variation in avoidance scores in this sample of chromosomes. Estimates of quantitative genetic parameters showed that heritabilities of olfactory avoidance behavior were low (averaged for both sexes $h^2 = 0.084$ for the X chromosome and $h^2 = 0.134$ for the third chromosome), whereas coefficients of genetic ($CV_G$) and environmental variance ($CV_E$) were large, as is characteristic for fitness traits.

Competitive fitness estimates were made for the Chromosome 3 substitution lines, estimating viability and fertility, against a competitor strain using the balancer equilibrium technique described by Sved (1975). There was significant variation in fitness among these lines. However, no significant correlations were observed between odor-guided behavior and fitness estimates. This suggests that the number of loci causing variation in olfactory avoidance behavior may be small relative to the number of loci affecting variation in fitness. Alternatively, the controlled laboratory environment, which does not require flies to make food selection or oviposition site choices or to avoid environmental toxins, may not be suitable for evaluating the relationship between variation in responsiveness to repellent odorants and fitness.

Intriguingly, the genetic correlations between the sexes for olfactory avoidance behavior were extremely low (Fig. 2), suggesting that different genes contribute to variation in avoidance scores in males and females (Mackay et al., 1996). This is intuitively easy to understand, since odor-guided behavior subserves different functions in males and females, e.g. females only must select or reject oviposition sites. The lack of genetic correlation of avoidance scores between the sexes has,
however, profound evolutionary implications. Because the genetic architecture for odor-guided behavior appears sexually dimorphic, it is clear that the olfactory subgenome in males and females may evolve along different evolutionary trajectories, resulting in sexual dimorphism for olfactory behavior. However, the low genetic correlation between the sexes (the sex environment x genotype interaction) may facilitate the maintenance of genetic variation for olfactory behavior. Maintaining variation in the trait ensures survival of at least some members of the species under diverse environmental conditions encountered over evolutionary time. At the same time selection pressure is maintained at each generation, since no single genotype can satisfy optimal fitness requirements for both sexes.

3. Transposon tagging and the identification of smell impaired genes

Early studies on olfaction in *D. melanogaster* led to the identification of several mutants, primarily located on the X-chromosome (Rodrigues and Siddiqi, 1978; Aceves-Piña and Quinn, 1979; Lilly and Carlson, 1989; Helfand and Carlson, 1989; McKenna et al., 1989; Ayer and Carlson, 1992; Woodard et al., 1992; Lilly et al., 1994). These genes were discovered using either larval assays, in which mutant larvae were identified based on their inability to locate an attractant odor source (Aceves-Piña and Quinn, 1979; Rodrigues, 1980; Monte et al., 1989), or using an olfactory jump assay, in which flies of the Canton-S strain failed to jump in response to benzaldehyde (Helfand and Carlson, 1989; McKenna et al., 1989). One of these *acj* (abnormal chemosensory jump) mutations, *acj6*, encodes a POU-domain transcription factor, which controls the expression of a subpopulation of putative odorant receptors (Clyne et al., 1999b). The identification of *acj6* (Clyne et al., 1999b), several genes encoding transduction proteins involved in chemosensory transduction (Woodard et al., 1992; Riesgo-Escovar et al., 1995; Talluri et al., 1995; Störtebeker et al., 1999), genes encoding odorant binding proteins (Pikelny et al., 1994; McKenna et al., 1994; Kim et al., 1998), the *smellblind* (*sbl*) locus, an allele of *paralytic* (*para*) that encodes a voltage gated sodium channel (Lilly and Carlson, 1989; Lilly et al., 1994), an array of genes that mediate olfactory learning (reviewed by DeZazzo and Tully, 1995), and, finally, members of at least one family of putative odorant receptors (Clyne et al., 1999a; Vosshall et al., 1999), revealed important components that participate in chemosensory pathways in *D. melanogaster*.

A comprehensive understanding of the genetic architecture of odor-guided behavior will ultimately require the identification of all the genes that contribute to this trait and characterization of their interactions. One strategy that can, in principle, accomplish this daunting task is the use of *P*-element insertional mutagenesis, which enables phenotypic effects to be linked directly to gene expression (Cooley et al., 1988; Bellen et al., 1989). Introduction of a transposon in the genome of *D. melanogaster* can result in gene disruption at or near the site of insertion of the transposable element. Introduction of a reporter gene in genetically engineered transposable element constructs (e.g. *P*[ArB]), which can be driven by promoter/enhancer elements near the insertion site can reveal expression patterns of the affected gene (enhancer trap). Furthermore, the use of a cloning vector, such as pBluescript, in the construct can facilitate cloning of flanking sequences adjacent to the site of *P*-element insertion. An enhancer trap study that surveyed 6,400 lines containing different *P*-element insertion sites showed that about 45% of these lines displayed expression of the *lacZ* reporter gene in the third antennal segment or maxillary palps, and several of these lines showed
specific, non-uniform staining patterns (Riesgo-Escobar et al., 1992). This study, however, did not report whether any of the P-element insertions affected odor-guided behavior.

To address this question, we introduced the P[ArB] construct at random locations into the autosomal genome of a highly inbred line (Anholt et al., 1996). The co-isogenic background of the resulting P[ArB] insertion lines together with the statistical behavioral assay, described above, provided sufficient resolution to identify not only mutations of large effect, but also small impairments of smaller effect that might have been missed with conventional mutant screens. We discovered 14 novel olfactory genes by screening a relatively small number (379) of P-element insert lines (Anholt et al., 1996). The frequency with which smell impaired (smi) genes were discovered was about 4%, suggesting that at least 4% of the genome is essential in mediating the simple avoidance response to benzaldehyde. P-element insertion sites can be readily determined through in situ hybridization to larval salivary gland chromosomes or by cloning of flanking sequences and comparing these sequences to the genomic data base. Candidate genes can then be identified for each of the P-element insertion sites (Table 1). Conclusive evidence that a candidate smi gene is indeed the locus affected by the transposon and that reduction in the expression of its gene product is causal to observed aberrations in the olfactory avoidance response requires extensive further experimentation. First, the P-element can be mobilized and phenotypic revertants generated to demonstrate that the P[ArB] insertion, rather than an unrelated mutation, is responsible for the smell-impaired phenotype. Second, olfactory behavior in flies carrying different alleles in the same candidate gene must be evaluated and complementation tests between the original P[ArB] insertion line and flies that contain deficiencies or other P-element insertions in the region of interest must be performed to provide further genetic evidence that the transposon-tagged candidate gene is associated with the impaired phenotype. Third, the expression of the encoded gene product must be quantified, either by Northern blots, quantitative PCR, or Western blots (if antibodies are available) to show that the smi gene message or SMI protein is reduced in mutant flies as compared to wild-type or phenotypic revertants. Fourth, in situ hybridization can be used to show that expression patterns in wild-type flies resemble reporter gene expression patterns in the smi mutant. Finally, introduction of the wild-type gene into the mutant background is expected to rescue the mutant phenotype if the candidate gene indeed is responsible for the observed deficiency in odor-guided behavior.

4. Epistasis among smi genes

The availability of smell impaired lines in a co-isogenic genetic background provides a unique opportunity to investigate epistasis among loci that all affect the same phenotype. Small epistatic effects would not be resolvable in the presence of large genetic variance in diverse genetic backgrounds where such effects would be confounded by segregation of unknown modifiers of the phenotype. However, the absence of genetic variation in our inbred P-element host strain and the sensitivity of our behavioral assay provided enough statistical power to identify enhancer and suppressor effects among smi loci. Double heterozygous hybrids were constructed among 12 independent smi mutations in a classic diallel cross design (Griffing, 1956) and avoidance responses were scored for these transheterozygotes (Fedorowicz et al., 1998). Heterozygous effects and epistasis could be separated by determining the General Combining Ability (GCA) and Specific
Combining Ability (SCA) for each smi mutant. The GCA is defined as the average avoidance score of a smi mutant as a transheterozygote in combination with all other smi mutations. The SCA is defined for each transheterozygous genotype as the difference between the observed avoidance score of each specific genotype from that expected from the sum of the GCAs of each smi parent. Statistical analyses of the data revealed significant epistatic interactions for nine transheterozygous genotypes involving 10 of the 12 smi loci. An ensemble of eight of these loci could be represented as an epistatic interaction diagram (Fig. 3; Fedorowicz et al., 1998).

The detection of epistasis in this unique set of smi mutants which share a common genetic background vividly illustrates the power of quantitative genetic analyses to detect subtle phenotypic effects. These observations also point to an extensive network of epistatic interactions among genes in the olfactory subgenome, of which the set of genes analyzed by Fedorowicz et al. (1998) represents only a small fraction. Since the genes that constitute the interaction diagram shown in Fig. 3 are all tagged with the P/IARB transposon they can be characterized at the molecular level, and epistasis at the level of phenotype can then be evaluated within the context of the functions of their gene products.

5. Understanding the genetic architecture of behavior: Linking genetic variation to phenotype

One of the best understood complex traits in terms of its underlying genetic architecture is mechanosensory bristle number in Drosophila (reviewed by Mackay, 1996). Although a vast number of genes are involved in determining the number of sternopleural or abdominal bristles, a smaller number accounts for most of the variation in bristle number (Mackay, 1996). These genes include many neurogenic genes, such as Delta, daughterless, extramacrochaetae, Hairless, Enhancer-of-split, hairy, scabrous and the achaete-scute complex. Variation in bristle number could be correlated with polymorphisms in Delta (Long et al., 1998; Lyman and Mackay, 1998), scabrous (Lai et al., 1994; Lyman et al., 1999) and achaete-scute (Mackay and Langley, 1990; Long et al., 2000) and the extent to which alleles of each of these loci contribute to variation in bristle number in natural populations could be estimated.

Similar to studies on the contributions of bristle genes to variation in bristle number, the extent to which variation in smi loci contributes to naturally occurring variation in olfactory behavior can be assessed by linkage disequilibrium mapping (Lander and Schork, 1994; Neumann-Sorensen and Robertson, 1961; Risch and Merikangas, 1996). Linkage disequilibrium between polymorphic loci is expected to diminish over time as recombination occurs between them. Over a long period of time, only very closely linked polymorphisms will remain in linkage disequilibrium. Therefore, if a polymorphic site at a smi gene is associated with differences in odor-guided behavior, that site is, or is closely linked to, the causal site. The use of linkage disequilibrium mapping requires (1) identification of a candidate smi gene that is associated with naturally occurring variation in odor-guided behavior; (2) identification of molecular polymorphisms in that smi gene that are segregating in a natural population (either insertion/deletion or single nucleotide polymorphisms); and, (3) assessment whether alternative molecular variants are associated with variation in odor-guided behavior.

The genetic architecture of behavior is likely to increase in complexity when compared to that of morphological traits. Thus, the number of genes that contribute prominently to variation in
olfactory avoidance behavior is likely to be far greater than the ensemble that contributes to variation in bristle number.

The first layer of complexity is at the developmental level and may involve several of the same proneural and neurogenic genes that regulate bristle number. For example, lozenge (lz) mutants fail to generate basiconic sensilla (Stocker and Gendre, 1988). Variation in the number of chemosensory sensilla among individuals in natural populations has not been investigated and could contribute to variation in olfactory discrimination and responsiveness to odorants.

The next level of complexity is the perception of odorants, and involves odorant binding proteins (Pikelny et al., 1994; McKenna et al., 1994; Kim et al., 1998) and odorant receptors (Clyne et al., 1999a; Vosshall et al., 1999). Mutations in the LUSH odorant binding protein resulted in a specific olfactory impairment, responsiveness to ethanol, propanol and butanol (Kim et al., 1998). Deficits in odorant receptors are likely to be odorant-specific and the magnitude of smell impairment will depend on the redundancy of recognition of the odorant by other receptors. The diversity and relatively small size of the Drosophila odorant receptor family suggests that this system has less redundancy in odorant recognition than its mammalian counterpart. Nonetheless, variations in the expression of single odorant receptors may contribute less phenotypic variation in natural populations than polymorphisms in genes that encode proteins common to the perception of all odorants. The best documented example of a specific olfactory deficit due to absence of an odorant receptor has been described for Caenorhabditis elegans, where a null mutation in the odr-10 gene, which encodes an odorant receptor for diacetyl, results in impaired chemotaxis of mutant nematodes to diacetyl (Sengupta et al., 1996). To what extent variation in the expression of the odorant receptor repertoire contributes to variation in olfactory behavior in natural populations, however, remains to be investigated.

Genes encoding odorant binding proteins and odorant receptors confer specificity to the behavior. To perform the behavior, however, several additional processes must occur: signal transduction, transfer of the signal from the periphery to the central nervous system, signal integration and the generation of a behavioral response. These processes are mediated via gene products that are not unique to olfaction and phenotypes resulting from defects in these genes are likely to be pleiotropic. For example, mutations in the retinal degeneration B (rdgB) gene, which encodes a phosphatidylinositol transfer protein, and in the norpA gene, which encodes a phospholipase C, result in both visual and olfactory impairments (Smith et al., 1991; Vihetelc et al., 1993; Woodard et al., 1992; Riesgo-Escovar et al., 1994 and 1995).

P-element insertional mutagenesis can, in principle, identify genes from all these categories. Candidate genes for previously identified smi lines are listed in Table 1. Preliminary characterization of several of these transposon tagged genes implicate genes that mediate signal propagation, including a voltage-gated sodium channel (Kulkarni, Mackay and Anholt, unpublished observations) and a protein containing multiple leucine rich repeats and PDZ domains likely to be involved in postsynaptic organization in the olfactory pathway (I. Ganguly, personal communication). Several novel genes of unknown function have also been implicated, including a novel tyrosine regulated protein kinase (G. Fedorowicz, personal communication). Of greatest interest is our recent realization that the smi21F insertion tags a gene encoding a novel putative pheromone binding protein. Previous reporter gene expression showed differential expression in the proximal dorsal region of the antenna as well as specificity in smell impairment to some, but not all odors (Anholt
et al., 1996). In addition, the smi45E insertion appears to disrupt the function of a nearby gene encoding an olfactory receptor. Specificity of the smell impairment suggests that this receptor has affinity for benzaldehyde. The characterization of these candidate genes is in progress and will be completed in the near future. The discovery and characterization of novel gene products that have major, hitherto unappreciated effects on olfactory behavior will provide new insights in the generation and regulation of odor-guided behavior. A complete understanding of the genetic architecture of odor-guided behavior, ultimately, requires identification of all the genes involved, characterization of their interactions in shaping the phenotype at the genetic level, and identification of polymorphisms that generate phenotypic variation at the population level. Although this seems a monumental enterprise, ongoing improvements in genomic technologies are bringing the realization of this goal within reach.

(iii) Characterization of olfactomedin-related proteins

Studies on the molecular evolution of olfactomedin-related proteins showed that olfactomedin homology domains are highly conserved across species (Karavanich and Anholt, 1998). Olfactomedin homologues were found in many tissues, including the brain (Danielson et al., 1994), and in species ranging from Caenorhabditis elegans to Homo sapiens (Karavanich and Anholt, 1998). These observations led to the hypothesis that olfactomedin homology domains may mediate homophilic protein-protein interactions. We sought to provide evidence for this hypothesis in the eye, where the glaucoma-associated TIGR/myocilin protein, an olfactomedin-related protein, may interact with other olfactomedin-related proteins. Elucidating such interactions would also contribute to enhancing our understanding of the pathogenesis of glaucoma.

1. Identification and characterization of a new family of olfactomedin-related proteins in the human genome.

The prevalence of olfactomedin-related proteins among species and their identification in different tissues prompted us to investigate whether a gene family exists within a species, specifically Homo sapiens. A GenBank search indeed revealed an entire human gene family of olfactomedin-related proteins with at least five members, designated hOlfA through hOlfD, and the TIGR/myocilin protein (Kulkarni et al., 2000). hOlfA corresponds to the previously described rat neuronal AMZ protein (Danielson et al., 1994). Phylogenetic analyses of 18 olfactomedin-related sequences resolved four distinct subfamilies (Fig. 4). Among the human proteins, hOlfA and hOlfC, both expressed in brain, are most closely related. Northern blot analyses of 16 human tissues demonstrated highly specific expression patterns: hOlfA is expressed in brain, hOlfB in pancreas and prostate, hOlfC in cerebellum, hOlfD in colon, small intestine and prostate, and TIGR/myocilin in heart and skeletal muscle (Fig. 5). The link between TIGR/myocilin and ocular hypertension and the expression of several of these proteins in mucus-lined tissues suggest that they play an important role in regulating physical properties of the extracellular environment. These observations led to the obvious question of whether any of these olfactomedin-related proteins are co-expressed with TIGR/myocilin.
2. Expression of multiple olfactomedin-related proteins by trabecular meshwork cells.

The identification of additional olfactomedin-related proteins enabled us to design primers for PCR amplification and to assess whether messages for these proteins are produced in trabecular meshwork cells. Indeed, messages that encode hOlfa and hOlfb, but not hOlfc, could be readily amplified from a cDNA library from trabecular meshwork cells. Sequencing of the amplification products confirmed that they indeed represent hOlfa and hOlfb. Since the expression of TIGR/myocilin is induced by glucocorticoids, we investigated whether glucocorticoids would also upregulate expression of hOlfa and hOlfb. Northern blots of control eyes and eyes exposed to dexamethasone showed dramatic upregulation of TIGR/myocilin, but did not show differences in the constitutive expression levels of hOlfa and hOlfb. These observations suggest a possible novel mode of action for TIGR/myocilin in the pathogenesis of glaucoma. In this model hOlfa and hOlfb form an extracellular matrix in the intraocular environment similar to the way in which olfactomedin polymers constitute the scaffold of the lower mucus layer that covers the olfactory neuroepithelium. Upregulation of TIGR/myocilin would disrupt or expand this matrix through interactions between the olfactomedin domains of TIGR/myocilin and those of hOlfa and hOlfb. It is this alteration in molecular partners between different intraocular olfactomedins, that would alter the flow characteristics of the intraocular fluid and, hence, increase the intraocular pressure. The first step toward verifying that such molecular interactions actually occur is to assess by double immunofluorescence whether or not hOlfa, hOlfb and TIGR/myocilin co-localize in the anterior segment of the eye. The production of antibodies against these three proteins is in progress.

3. Identification of olfactomedin in Drosophila melanogaster

We used a signature motif of the olfactomedin homology domain to search the Drosophila genome data base for homologues. In contrast to Caenorhabditis elegans, which has two olfactomedin-related proteins (Karavanich and Anholt, 1998), Drosophila melanogaster contains a single gene that expresses a protein containing an olfactomedin homology domain. This is the CG6867 gene product located on the X-chromosome at cytological location 16F1. It encodes a protein of 935 amino acids that contains a coiled coil region, a collagen-like domain, two immunoglobulin-like C2 domains, and a large, well-defined olfactomedin homology domain at its C-terminus. One of the closest mammalian homologues of the CG6867 gene product is the TIGR/myocilin protein. The CG6867 gene product is clearly an extracellular matrix protein designed to engage in multiple protein-protein interactions, a feature it appears to share with all other members of the family of olfactomedin-related proteins. Identification of olfactomedin in Drosophila is likely to facilitate studies on its function.
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Pheromone Regulated Production of Inositol-(1, 4, 5)-Trisphosphate in the Mammalian Vomeronasal Organ*
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ABSTRACT
Social behaviors of most mammals are profoundly affected by chemical signals, pheromones, exchanged between conspecifics. Pheromones interact with dendritic microvilli of bipolar neurons in the vomeronasal organ (VNO). To investigate vomeronasal signal transduction pathways, microvillar membranes from porcine VNO were prepared. Incubation of such membranes from prepupal female rats with boar seminal fluid or urine results in an increase in production of inositol(1, 4, 5)-trisphosphate (IP₃). The dose response for IP₃ production is biphasic with a GTP-dependent component at low stimulus concentrations and a non-specific increase in IP₃ at higher stimulus concentrations. The GTP-dependent stimulation is mimicked by GTPγS and blocked by GDPβS. Furthermore, the GTP-dependent component of the stimulation of IP₃ production is sex specific and tissue dependent. Studies with monospecific antibodies reveal a Gα₂/₁₁-related protein in vomeronasal neurons, concentrated at their microvilli. Our observations indicate that pheromones in boar secretions act on vomeronasal neurons in the female VNO via a receptor mediated, G protein-dependent increase in IP₃. These observations set the stage for further investigations on the regulation of stimulus excitation coupling in vomeronasal neurons. The pheromone-induced IP₃ response also provides an assay for future purification of mammalian reproductive pheromones. (Endocrinology 138: 3497–3504, 1997)

MOST MAMMALS use pheromones to coordinate reproduction (1). These chemical signals can be classified into two categories: those with short-term effects on the behavior of the recipient (signaling pheromones), and those with long-term effects on the physiology of the recipient (priming pheromones) (1). For example, signaling pheromones in urine or glandular secretions play a role in the initiation of copulatory behavior (2), whereas priming pheromones are responsible for puberty acceleration (3–8) and reproductive activation (9, 10). Although the majority of studies on reproductive pheromones have been done on rodents, pheromone-dependent effects on reproduction have been documented also for sheep (11), cattle (12), and pigs (5, 13, 14).

One of the most extensively studied pheromonal effects is the acceleration of puberty in the female house mouse (3, 4, 6, 7). The presence of an adult male, or his urine, accelerates the onset of puberty in prepubertal female mice as evident from a rapid and dramatic increase in uterine weight (3, 4, 6, 7). The induction of the puberty accelerating pheromone is androgen dependent because urine from prepubertal males, castrated adult males, or adult females fails to accelerate puberty (4). Pheromone-dependent puberty acceleration is not unique to rodents but occurs also in pigs (5, 13), sheep (11), and cows (12).

Whereas the perception of signaling pheromones may be mediated by the main olfactory system, the physiological effects of most priming pheromones are initiated in the vomeronasal organ (VNO; 1, 15–17). The VNOs are paired, cartilage-encased elongated organs associated with the vomer bone in the rostral nasal cavity. The VNO contains a lumen that communicates via a duct with the oral (most mammals, including pigs) or nasal (e.g. horses) cavity (15, 16). Chemical stimuli in urine and glandular secretions of conspecifics act upon the dendritic microvilli of bipolar chemosensory neurons in the VNO. The VNO is the chemosensory organ of the accessory olfactory system, which is functionally and anatomically distinct from the main olfactory system (16, 18, 19). The main olfactory bulb sends projections to the primary olfactory cortex, the nucleus of the lateral olfactory tract, the olfactory tubercle, and the periamygdaloid region, while afferent neurons from the VNO project to the accessory olfactory bulb, from which secondary neurons extend into the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, the medial amygdala, and the hypothalamus, enabling pheromones to influence reproductive physiology and behavior (16, 18). Removal of the VNO or the accessory olfactory bulb impairs reproductive behavior, whereas lesions of the main olfactory epithelium or main olfactory bulb that result in anosmia do not impair pheromonal effects (17, 19, 20). Thus, whereas the main olfactory bulb analyses odors in the environment, the accessory olfactory bulb is specialized to detect conspecific chemical signals that activate stereotypic instinctive behaviors via the neuroendocrine system.

In the main olfactory system, odorants bind to heptahelial, G protein-coupled receptors on the ciliated dendrites of olfactory neurons (21). These odorant receptors are encoded by a diverse array of about 1,000 genes (21, 22). Binding of an odorant to its receptor activates a heterotrimeric G protein (Gαᵦ₂₃), which leads to activation of adenylyl cyclase (22, 24). The resulting increase in cAMP elicits the generator potential by directly opening cyclic nucleotide-gated channels in the ciliary plasma membrane (22, 24–26).
The VNO and olfactory epithelium both derive from the olfactory placode. Both the VNO and main olfactory epithelium possess bipolar neurons that are functionally replaced from neurogenic precursor cells throughout life (27). In addition, in both systems primary chemosensory neurons form convergent projections onto the main olfactory bulb or accessory olfactory bulb. Similarities in embryonic development, anatomical organization, and chemosensory function initially suggested that transduction mechanisms in the VNO would resemble those in the olfactory epithelium. However, screens of complementary DNA (cDNA) libraries from murine VNO failed to yield cDNAs encoding Goαi, adenylate cyclase type III, and the α-subunit of the olfactory cyclic nucleotide-gated channel (28, 29). Studies in rat also indicate that the olfactory epithelium and VNO differ in signal transduction pathways (30). Electrophysiological characterization of chemosensory neurons from the murine VNO failed to detect functional cyclic nucleotide-gated channels in vomeronasal neurons (31, 32). Finally, a family of putative pheromone receptors has been identified in the VNO (33). Although these belong to the superfamily of heptahelical receptors, they do not share motifs characteristic of the family of odorant receptors (21). These studies all support the notion that chemosensory neurons of the main olfactory system and the accessory olfactory system use different signal recognition and transduction pathways (32).

Functional biochemical studies on the VNO that would complement molecular biological approaches have been hampered by the small size of the VNO in most common laboratory animals. To eliminate this problem, we selected the domestic pig (Sus scrofa) as our model system. Pigs have large VNOs that are well separated from the main olfactory system, and their reproducive physiology and behavior, like that of rodents, is regulated by pheromones (5, 13, 14). We have developed a procedure for the preparation of a VNO membrane fraction enriched in dendritic microvillar membranes that allows routine measurements of second messengers. Here we report that pheromones contained in seminal fluid and boar urine stimulate the production of inositol-(1, 4, 5)-trisphosphate (IP3) when applied to microvillar VNO membranes from female juvenile pigs (gilt). This stimulation is dose dependent, GTP dependent, sex dependent, and tissue specific. We show further that this microvillar membrane preparation contains a G protein of the Goαi class, which commonly mediates receptor-activated increases in IP3 (34). Finally, immunohistochemical studies show prominent Goαq/11 immunoreactivity concentrated at the microvilli of vomeronasal neurons, supporting the notion that pheromones in seminal fluid and boar urine stimulate vomeronasal neurons of female pigs via a receptor mediated Goαq/11 coupled IP3 pathway.

**Materials and Methods**

**Membrane preparations**

Freshly collected boar urine, gilt urine, and seminal fluid were provided by Dr. W. L. Flowers from the Animal Science Department at North Carolina State University. The seminal fluid was centrifuged to remove cells. The seminal fluid, boar urine and gilt urine were stored as aliquots under argon at -80°C until used. Pigs were made available immediately following euthanasia by Drs. R. A. Argenzio, N. A. Monteiro-Riviere, and R. A. Abdullahi, from the College of Veterinary Medicine at North Carolina State University. VNOs from gilts, up to six months old, were dissected from their crevices in the nasal cavity, removed from the cartilaginous capsule, and frozen on dry ice. The tissues were then minced and crushed with a razor blade and subjected to sonication for 2-5 min in ice-cold PBS in a Branson bath sonicator. The resulting suspension was layered on a 45% (wt/wt) sucrose cushion and centrifuged at 4°C for 30 min at 40,000 rpm in a Beckman SW55Ti rotor. The membrane fraction on top of the sucrose was collected and centrifuged as before for 15 min to pellet the membranes. The membranes were resuspended in 100 µl of ice-cold PBS. Protein was then determined according to the method of Lowry et al. (35), using BSA as standard. Membranes from olfactory tissue were prepared according to the same procedure. Membranes from liver, brain, kidney, and lung were prepared by homogenizing the tissue in PBS with a Teflon homogenizer. Membranes were collected by centrifugation, washed once, and resuspended in PBS.

**Second messenger assays**

Forskolin and nucleotides were purchased from Boehringer Mannheim (Indianapolis, IN). [3H]cAMP and [35S]-α-ATP were from Amersham Radiochemical Corporation (Arlington Heights, IL). Adenylate cyclase activity was measured according to the method of Salomón et al. (36), in the presence of 10 µM forskolin, boar urine or 10 µM guanosine 5'-O-(3-thiotriphosphate (GTPγS). For IP3 assays, reactions were incubated for 1 min at 37°C in 25 mM Tris-acetate buffer, pH 7.2, 5 mM Mg-acetate, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5 mM ATP, 0.1 mM CaCl2, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 10 µM GTP, and 20 µg VNO membrane protein. Reactions were terminated by adding 1 M trichloroacetic acid. IP3 was measured with a kit from New England Nuclear, Inc. (Boston, MA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and is based on displacement of [3H] IP3 from a specific IP3 binding protein. Differences between experimental and control animals were analyzed using the Student's t test.

**Western Blotting**

VNO membrane samples were subjected to electrophoresis on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, followed by electrophoretic transfer onto a nitrocellulose membrane. Strips of the membrane, containing approximately 10 µg protein were probed with a 1,000-fold dilution of normal rabbit serum or 1,000-fold dilutions of rabbit antiserum against specific G protein subunits (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA). Bound antibody was visualized using a biotinylated goat-antirabbit secondary antibody complexed with avidin and biotinylated horseradish peroxidase, using Amersham's chemiluminescent ECL detection system. Migration distances were calibrated with biotinylated low range molecular weight markers (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded, 5-µm thick, coronal sections through the VNO were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated through graded alcohols. Sections were blocked with 0.5% casein for 1 h at room temperature. They were then incubated with a 200-fold dilution of either normal rabbit serum or anti-Goαq/11 antiserum in PBS, 0.05% Triton X-100. This was followed by several washes and incubation for 1 h at room temperature with biotinylated goat antirabbit IgG in PBS supplemented with 0.05% Triton X-100 and 1% normal rabbit serum. At this stage, endogenous peroxidase activity was abolished by exposing the sections for 10 min to 0.3% hydrogen peroxide. After addition of streptavidin-biotinylated horseradish peroxidase complex (Zymed Laboratories, Inc., San Francisco, CA), bound antibody was visualized as brown immunoprecipitates using 3,3′-diaminobenzidine as chromogenic substrate. Sections were then counterstained with hematoxylin, and viewed and photographed under a Zeiss Axiosplan microscope.
Results

Dose-dependent and GTP-dependent increases in IP$_3$ levels induced by boar seminal fluid and urine in VNO membranes from gilts

To study transduction pathways activated by pheromonal stimuli from the male, we developed a preparation enriched in microvillar membranes from VNOs of gilts. The tissue is subjected to sonication to detach microvilli from the vomeronasal neuroepithelial surface, and the resulting membranes are then collected by centrifugation on a sucrose cushion. The yield of these membranes is 141 ± 9 μg protein/VNO (n = 11) and this membrane fraction is approximately 3-fold, enriched in both the specific activities of adenylate cyclase and phospholipase C. The basal activity of adenylate cyclase (68 ± 12 pmol/min·mg n = 5) can be readily activated by the nonhydrolyzable GTP analogue, GTPγS, and forskolin (117 ± 13 and 228 ± 38 pmol/min·mg, respectively, n = 5), but we could not detect stimulation with pheromonal stimuli from either seminal fluid, boar urine, or the known boar pheromone, 5α-androst-16-en-3-one (Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO). Vomeronasal adenylate cyclase activity is approximately 50-fold lower than activities observed in olfactory cilia preparations (37, 38) and is not affected by calcium/calmodulin (38). This is in line with observations by Berghard and Buck which indicate that the vomeronasal adenylate cyclase is the calmodulin-insensitive type II isoform rather than the adenylate cyclase type III found in olfactory receptor cells (29).

In contrast to the vomeronasal adenylate cyclase, incubation of microvillar membranes from gilts with seminal fluid results in a robust, dose-dependent increase in IP$_3$ (Fig. 1). The dose-response curve is biphasic. At lower stimulus concentrations a component of the response saturates at the level of stimulation observed with GTPγS. At higher stimulus, concentrations an additional nonsaturable, nonspecific increase in IP$_3$ is observed. The response seen at low concentrations of seminal fluid (up to 1.5% vol/vol) is mimicked by GTPγS and blocked by GDPβS (Fig. 2). Similar responses are elicited with urine from the boar, but here higher stimulus concentrations (up to 3% vol/vol) are required to resolve the GTP-dependent component of the IP$_3$ response. No increases in IP$_3$ were observed in response to 5α-androst-16-en-3-one up to concentrations of 100 mm. This is consistent with previous studies that suggest that androstenone is a signaling pheromone which mediates its effects via the main olfactory rather than the accessory olfactory system (39). We conclude from these observations that female VNO membranes respond to stimuli in boar seminal fluid and urine with an increase in IP$_3$ via a G protein coupled pathway.

Sex specificity and tissue specificity of the IP$_3$ response

Relatively little information is available about the chemical nature of mammalian pheromones. Because the active components in boar seminal fluid and urine have not been identified, we deemed it important to establish whether the observed IP$_3$ responses were physiologically relevant. Previous studies on rodents have shown that production of reproductive pheromones by the male is androgen dependent (4). We reasoned, therefore, that only boar urine, but not urine from prepubertal females, should elicit an increase in IP$_3$ in VNO membranes from gilts. The results presented in Fig. 3 demonstrate that this prediction is correct. Whereas boar urine elicits a robust increase in IP$_3$, experiments using urine samples from gilts failed to activate IP$_3$ production above the basal level. To further document specificity of the observed IP$_3$ response, we investigated the tissue specificity of seminal fluid-induced increases in IP$_3$ by testing the effect of 1.5% seminal fluid side-by-side on microvillar VNO membranes and membranes obtained from olfactory tissue, brain, lung, liver, and kidney. Basal activity of phospholipase C was detected in all samples and was particularly high in membranes from olfactory tissue (Fig. 4). However, whereas seminal fluid caused a 2-fold increase in IP$_3$ production in VNO membranes.
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**Fig. 1.** Dose dependence of the production of IP$_3$ by seminal fluid in female VNO membranes. The dose-response curve for activation of IP$_3$ is biphasic with a specific GTP-dependent component at lower concentrations of seminal fluid and a nonspecific GTP-independent component at higher concentrations. Each data point represents the mean of four to six independent experiments, each consisting of duplicate measurements. S.E.M are within 18% of the mean for all measurements.
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**Fig. 2.** GTP dependence of the production of IP$_3$ by seminal fluid in female VNO membranes. Reactions were performed without stimulus, in the presence of 1.5% seminal fluid (vol/vol), 10 μM GTPγS, or 1.5% seminal fluid together with 100 μM GDPβS. Significant stimulation compared with basal activity is observed in the presence of seminal fluid and GTPγS (*) P < 0.05) and not in the presence of seminal fluid together with GDPβS.
membranes, no significant stimulation above the basal level was observed in membranes from olfactory tissue, liver, lung, and kidney. Besides the VNO, significant increases in the level of IP$_3$ upon exposure to seminal fluid were observed only in membranes from brain. We attribute this stimulation to the presence of neuroactive substances in seminal fluid.

The experiments described above demonstrate a GTP-dependent and dose-dependent increase in the formation of IP$_3$, but not cAMP, in female VNO membranes upon exposure to boar seminal fluid or urine. This stimulation shows tissue specificity and sex dependence. Together, these observations indicate that pheromones from the male activate the production of IP$_3$ in the female VNO via specific G protein-coupled receptors.

**Identification of a $G_{q/11}$ related $G$ protein on the microvillar surface of the VNO**

Previously, immunohistochemical studies identified $\alpha$-subunits of $G_{12}$ and $G_{13}$ in distinct subpopulations of vome-
ronal neurons. Although we cannot exclude that either of these two G proteins could mediate the observed stimulation of phospholipase C, we decided to investigate whether our VNO membrane preparation contains a G protein of the Gi class, known to cause activation of at least the β1-isof orm of phospholipase C (34). Using subunit-specific rabbit antisera against unique peptide sequences of Gαω, Gαi3/Gαo2, Gαi2, Gαi3/Gαi4, Gα12, Gα11, and Gβ, we confirmed in our microvillar membrane preparation the presence of Gαω and Gαiω, as reported previously (29, 40; Fig. 5). In addition, we observe Gαω and a single β-subunit at 35 kDa. A Gαi3/Gαi2 specific antiserum sometimes reveals a doublet of immuno reactive bands, suggesting the presence of both Gαi2 and Gαiω. Of greatest interest, however, is the observation of a prominent, previously unreported, immunoreactivity revealed by an antiserum against the Gαi11 protein (Fig. 5).

We investigated whether the Gαi11 protein is localized to the microvillar surface of the VNO. The apical region of porcine vomeronasal neurons contains a dense group of microvilli in contrast to supporting cells that carry smaller groups of microvilli (41). Immunohistochemical staining of coronal sections through the VNO with the Gαi11 antiserum reveals intense staining of microvillar tufts at the surface of the vomeronasal lumen (Fig. 6A). Cell bodies of vomeronasal neurons and dendritic processes are also stained, but the staining here is lighter, and it is obvious that immunoreactivity is concentrated primarily at the microvillar surface. To verify the specificity of this staining, adjacent sections were incubated either without the primary antibody or with normal rabbit serum at the same concentration. Under these conditions, no staining was detected (Fig. 6B). Thus, Gαi11.

![Fig. 5. Identification of G protein subunits in vomeronasal membranes. Each strip contained 10 μg of VNO membrane protein and was probed either with 1,000-fold dilution of normal rabbit serum (NRS) or monospecific rabbit antiserum against subunits of G proteins, as indicated. The arrow indicates the 45-kDa Gαω subunit, identified by the Gαω antiserum. A single β-subunit is detected which migrates at 35 kDa. The immunoreactive bands identified by antisera against Gαi3 and Gαi2/Gαo2 can sometimes be resolved as doublets, suggesting the presence of both Gαi2 and Gαo2. Note also the presence of Gαi2 and the prominent presence of a polypeptide immunoreactive with anti-Gαi11.](image)

**Discussion**

Our results suggest that in the porcine VNO IP₃ is the principal second messenger that mediates pheromonal signal transduction. This is in contrast to the main olfactory system, where cAMP appears to be the dominant second messenger that regulates olfactory transduction (22, 24–26). Although we cannot exclude a role for cAMP in vomeronasal signal transduction, we were unable to detect effects of either boar urine or boar seminal fluid on vomeronasal adeny late cyclase. Failure to detect message for Gα₃/₅ adeny late cyclase type III and the α-subunit of the olfactory cyclic nucleotide gated channel in cDNA libraries from VNO (29, 30) further accentuates the differences between chemo sensory transdu ction in olfactory and vomeronasal neurons.

Involvement of IP₃ has also been implicated in signal transduction in the reptilian VNO. In garter snakes, a polypeptide purified from secretions of earthworms, the snake's prey,
induces a G protein-dependent increase in IP₃ and a decrease in cAMP, suggesting interactions between both signal transduction pathways (42, 43). Furthermore, patch-clamp studies on vomeronasal neurons from the turtle have shown that intracellular injection of IP₃ elicits a membrane conductance (44), although effects of cAMP have also been reported in this system (45). Thus, the role of IP₃ in vomeronasal signal transduction may be universal among vertebrates, whereas the influence of cAMP in vomeronasal signaling remains to be evaluated further.

Despite behavioral, electrophysiological, and molecular biological studies on the mammalian VNO, no direct measurements of dose-dependent, GTP-dependent increases in second messengers upon exposure to pheromones have been reported until now. Evaluation of the dose dependence of vomeronasal stimulation is especially important in light of the large nonspecific component we observed. In light of the previously observed diversity of putative pheromone receptors (33), it may seem puzzling that in our case specific G protein-dependent production of IP₃ in response to boar seminal fluid approaches the level of IP₃ production observed with GTPylls. However, this observation can be explained, if we assume that boar seminal fluid contains a cocktail of pheromones and that the high stimulus concentrations used in our study activate the vast majority of pheromone receptors, coupled to the IP₃ pathway. Although the chemical nature of the pheromonal stimuli in boar urine and boar seminal fluid are not known, we believe that the IP₃ responses we report reflect the physiological response of the vomeronasal neuron to pheromones because: 1) the response shows a saturable dose-dependent component; 2) this component is GTP dependent, indicating the involvement of a G protein, and by inference, G protein-coupled receptors (33); 3) the response is sex dependent, as expected from pheromones produced only by the male and intended to affect the female; 4) the response shows tissue-specificity, in agreement with the notion that it is mediated via receptors expressed selectively in the VNO; and 5) a Ga₁₁/11 type G protein, classically involved with receptor-mediated activation of phospholipase C (34), is expressed in vomeronasal neurons and concentrated on their chemosensory microvilli.

The procedure used for the preparation of microvillar membranes is modeled after well established methods for harvesting olfactory cilia from olfactory neuropilothemium (46, 47). Sonication of olfactory membranes results not only in the detachment of olfactory cilia but also in the detachment of microvilli from sustentacular cells and plasma membrane fragments from other components of the neuropilothemium (46, 47). Electron microscopic examination of these preparations revealed membrane vesicles, axonemal structures devoid of a plasma membrane, and axonemal structures associated with membrane fragments (47). The membrane preparation we refer to as "microvillar membranes" is, therefore, likely to contain contaminants derived from other components of the VNO, including microvillar membranes from supporting cells, and it is difficult to estimate the purity of this preparation precisely. However, our preparation appears to be sufficiently enriched in chemosensory mem-

branes for the purpose of our studies. This assessment is based on the fact that the observed pheromone induced responses are tissue specific, sex dependent, and G protein mediated. It is further supported by the notion that the prominent expression of Ga₁₁/11 immunoreactivity at the microvillar surface of the neuroepithelium (Fig. 6) mirrors the prominent visualization of Ga₁₁/11 immunoreactivity observed in the microvillar membrane preparation on Western blots (Fig. 5).

Immunohistochemical studies on opossum (40) and in situ hybridization studies in mouse (29) revealed that a population of neurons in the apical layer of the vomeronasal neuroepithelium expresses Ga₁₂. These neurons project to the anterior region of the accessory olfactory bulb (40). In contrast, a population of neurons located in the base of the vomeronasal neuroepithelium expresses Ga₁₃ (29) and projects to the posterior region of the accessory olfactory bulb (40). Both α-subunits of these G proteins are also detected in the VNO of the pig. It remains to be determined whether these G proteins play roles directly in pheromonal transduction or in signaling processes that coordinate the growth and differentiation of vomeronasal neurons. In an extensive screen of a rat VNO cDNA library, Berghard and Buck (29) detected several cDNAs encoding Ga₁₁. It seems, therefore, reasonable to presume that the Ga₁₁/11 immunoreactivity we detect most likely represents Ga₁₁. Because the frequency of clones encoding Ga₁₁ in the library screened by Berghard and Buck (29) was low relative to cDNAs encoding Ga₁₀ and Ga₁₄, it appears that low levels of message are produced for the Ga₁₁ protein, which may reflect a slower turnover than Ga₁₀ and Ga₁₄. Although a direct link between pheromone detection and activation of Ga₁₁ must still be documented, the uniform presence of this G protein on all microvillar tufts suggests a role for this G protein in pheromonal signaling in all mature vomeronasal neurons. Localization of Ga₁₁ to the neuronal compartment of the VNO is supported by the observation that neuronal cell bodies of the vomeronasal epithelium also stain and is in agreement with immunohistochemical observations at the electron microscopic level by Menco et al. (48), who reported presence of Ga₁₁ immunoreactivity on axons of vomeronasal neurons.

Pheromone-induced increases in IP₃ imply a role for calcium in vomeronasal signal transduction (49). Although the mechanisms that underlie transduction-excitation coupling in the VNO remain to be elucidated, several lines of circumstantial evidence support a role for calcium in this process. High levels of three calcium binding proteins, calretinin, calbindin-D₂₈k, and parvalbumin are found in vomeronasal neurons (50, 51). In addition, patch-clamp studies identified both an L-type and T-type calcium current in rat vomeronasal neurons, indicating a role for calcium in neuronal excitation (31). Electron microscopic studies show that, in all species thus far examined, including pigs, the apical dendritic domes of vomeronasal neurons are densely populated with intracellular vesicles (41). It is tempting to speculate that these vesicles may serve as calcium storage depots, from where calcium can be released by IP₃. It will be of interest to determine in future studies whether IP₃ receptors are located
on these vesicular membranes. In addition, we have observed that incubation of microvillar membranes with phorbolesters results in protein phosphorylation (data not shown). Thus diacylglycerol formed together with IP\textsubscript{3} may also play a role in pheromonal signaling through activation of protein kinase C.

Although behavioral and physiological effects of pheromones have been well documented, mostly in rodents (1–4, 6–10), but also in pigs (5, 13, 14), unambiguous identification of pheromones from urine has been problematic. Several laboratories have reported the identification of putative pheromones (6, 7), but independent confirmation of these claims has been notoriously lacking. Hitherto, identification of pheromones has depended on laborious and time-consuming bioassays that involve many animals maintained under controlled environmental conditions to limit individual variation. This renders systematic isolation of pheromones extremely difficult and virtually impossible if the biological response depends on a blend of pheromones, of which individual components may separate during fractionation. In addition to setting the stage for further biochemical studies on regulation of pheromonal signal transduction pathways and transduction-excitation coupling in the VNO, our experiments provide a biochemical assay, i.e., a robust GTP-dependent increase in IP\textsubscript{3}, for the future identification of mammalian pheromones.
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ABSTRACT

Odor-guided behavior is a polygenic trait determined by the concerted expression of multiple loci. Previously, P-element mutagenesis was used to identify single $P[{	ext{Ar}}B]$ insertions, in a common isogenic background, with homozygous effects on olfactory behavior. Here, we have crossed 12 lines with these *smell impaired* (*smi*) mutations in a half-diallel design (excluding homozygous parental genotypes and reciprocal crosses) to produce all possible 66 doubly heterozygous hybrids with $P[{	ext{Ar}}B]$ insertions at two distinct locations. The olfactory behavior of the transheterozygous progeny was measured using an assay that quantified the avoidance response to the repellent odorant benzaldehyde. There was significant variation in general combining abilities of avoidance scores among the *smi* mutants, indicating variation in heterozygous effects. Further, there was significant variation among specific combining abilities of each cross, indicating dependencies of heterozygous effects on the *smi* locus genotypes, i.e., epistasis. Significant epistatic interactions were identified for nine transheterozygote genotypes, involving 10 of the 12 *smi* loci. Eight of these loci form an interacting ensemble of genes that modulate expression of the behavioral phenotype. These observations illustrate the power of quantitative genetic analyses to detect subtle phenotypic effects and point to an extensive network of epistatic interactions among genes in the olfactory subgenome.

THE fundamental goal of quantitative genetics is to understand how complex traits are shaped through the interactions of multiple genes in different genetic backgrounds and under varying environmental conditions. Perhaps the most complex category of polygenic traits is represented by various forms of animal behavior. *Drosophila melanogaster* presents an ideal model system to study the genetic basis of behavioral quantitative traits, because mutations in highly inbred strains can be easily generated, allowing control over the segregation of many individual loci that contribute to the trait and enabling the effect of each locus to be studied independently. We have used odor-guided behavior in *D. melanogaster* as a model system to study the quantitative genetics of behavior.

Odor-guided behavior is of special interest, because the ability of an organism to respond to chemical signals from its environment is essential for its survival and, often, its procreation. Thus, olfactory behavior contributes to individual fitness (Mackay et al. 1996). In recent years, considerable progress has been made in elucidating the molecular mechanisms that underlie odor recognition, olfactory transduction, and neural coding of olfactory information both in vertebrates (reviewed by Anholt 1993; Axel 1995; Buck 1996) and in invertebrate model systems, such as *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Troemel et al. 1996; Sengupta et al. 1996) and lobster (Fadool and Acne 1992). However, the genetic basis of variation in olfactory responsiveness and the genetic mechanisms that shape behavioral responses to odors are still poorly understood.

Chemical mutagenesis has been used to induce mutations affecting olfactory behavior in *D. melanogaster*, mostly on the X chromosome (Rodrigues and Siddiqui 1978; Aceves-Piña and Quinn 1979; Helfand and Carlson 1989; Lilly and Carlson 1989; McKenna et al. 1989; Ager and Carlson 1992; Woodard et al. 1992; Lilly et al. 1994a,b). This resulted in the characterization of a number of genes that encode proteins likely to participate in olfactory signal transduction in Drosophila, such as *smellblind* (an allele of *paralytic*), which encodes a voltage-gated sodium channel (Rodrigues and Siddiqui 1978; Aceves-Piña and Quinn 1979; Lilly and Carlson 1989; Lilly et al. 1994a,b), *norpa*, which encodes a phospholipase C (Woodard et al. 1992; Riesgo-Escovar et al. 1995), and *rdgB*, which encodes a phosphatidyl inositol transfer protein (Vihtelic et al. 1993). Although mutations in any of these genes cause extensive impairment of olfactory behavior, it is not clear how these genes contribute quantitatively to variation in olfactory responsiveness and how they function in the context of the genetic background, i.e., the entire olfactory subgenome. Recently, we have identified 14 loci that contribute to olfactory behavior by P-element insertional mutagenesis.

*Corresponding author: Trudy F. C. Mackay, Department of Genetics, Box 7614, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-7614. E-mail: trudy_mackay@ncsu.edu*
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in an isogenic strain (ANHOLT et al. 1996). Identification of these loci, designated sni impaired (sni), was achieved using statistical and quantitative genetic analysis of measurements of olfactory behavior. These analyses are capable of detecting small phenotypic effects with a resolution limited only by sample size.

As the sni loci have similar phenotypes, they are likely to be functionally related and participate in common physiological and/or developmental pathways that shape olfactory responsiveness. One genetic method for identifying and ordering genes in functionally interacting groups is to screen for mutations at unlinked loci that enhance or suppress the mutant effects of a known member of the pathway (GARCÍA-BELLIDO 1981). Epistatic interactions between such genes can be deduced by examining the phenotypes of the one- and two-locus genotypes. For independent loci, the phenotypes of the two-locus genotypes are the sum of the single-locus phenotypes; i.e., the loci act additively. Departures from strict additivity indicate epistatic, or interacting, loci. A simple test for interaction that can be used for recessive mutations with large, qualitative effects that have similar loss-of-function phenotypes and that therefore affect a common process, is to examine the phenotypes of double mutant heterozygotes. Epistasis is evident when the double heterozygote has the same loss-of-function phenotype as the single homozygous mutations as a result of combined haploinsufficiency of function. This approach and variants of it have been used to identify epistatic interactions and to identify new loci that modify mutant phenotypes of other loci (BOTAS et al. 1982; BELOTE et al. 1985; KENNISON and RUSSELL 1987; HOMYK and EMERSON 1988; TRICOIRE 1988; DAMBLY-CHAUDIÈRE et al. 1988).

Detecting interactions between mutations with quantitative effects is more difficult, because the mutations are not usually completely recessive (MACKAY et al. 1992; LYMAN et al. 1996). Further, the background genotype needs to be controlled to enable small phenotypic effects to be perceived and to ensure any interactions are due to epistasis between the mutations of interest, and are not confounding nonadditive interactions among alleles segregating between the background genotypes in which the mutations were induced. The sni mutations are in a common isogenic background and therefore can be used to detect epistasis. We have generated all possible double heterozygous hybrids among 12 independent sni mutations that appear amenable to molecular characterization in a diallel cross design (GRIFFING 1956), which is the quantitative genetic analogue of the transheterozygote test for epistasis. This approach is based on the assumption that reduced expression of two independent P[ArB] tagged sni genes in double heterozygotic offspring may result in quantitative failure to complement (MACKAY and FRY 1996; LONG et al. 1996) if these genes interact.

Significant epistatic interactions were identified for nine transheterozygote genotypes, involving 10 of the 12 sni loci. Interactions between eight of these loci show evidence of a web of mutually interactive genes, the coordinated expression of which modulates the behavioral phenotype. These findings illustrate the power of quantitative genetic analyses to detect subtle phenotypic effects and indicate that phenotypic determination of odor-guided behavior in D. melanogaster depends quantitatively on an extensive network of genetic interactions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generation of transheterozygous P[ArB] insert lines: The parental lines used to generate double mutant heterozygotes were 12 homozygous sni lines obtained by P element mutagenesis of the isogenic Samarkan; [y] strain: sni21F, sni26D, sni27E, sni28E, sni35A, sni45E, sni51A, sni60E, sni61A, sni65A, sni97B, and sni98B (ANHOLT et al. 1996). The mutations were named according to the cytological insertion sites of the P elements. The 12 sni lines were crossed in a half-diallel design (excluding homozygous parental lines and reciprocal crosses) to produce all 66 possible combinations of F1 transheterozygous offspring with two P elements at different loci. Crosses were initiated at a density of five females of sni line i and five males of sni line j (i ≠ j) in plastic culture vials. All animals were reared at 25° on agar-yeast-molasses medium.

Behavioral assay: To quantify odor-guided behavior we used the simple, rapid, and highly reproducible "diptick" assay, described previously (ANHOLT et al. 1996). This assay was chosen because it has several advantages over other commonly used assays. The Y-maze assay, developed by RODRIGUES and SIDDIQI (1978), is better suited for measurements of attraction and odor discrimination than repulsion and is laborious for large behavioral screens. The olfactory jump assay, described by McKENNA et al. (1989), was in our hands unreliable, because in contrast to Canton-S flies for which this assay was developed, flies of both our inbred Samarkan strain and of substitution lines containing chromosomes from natural populations (MACKAY et al. 1996) seldom jumped in response to repellent odors. The "diptick assay" used in this study and in previous studies (ANHOLT et al. 1996; MACKAY et al. 1996) is a simple, rapid, and highly reproducible statistical sampling assay that quantifies odor-guided behavior with a resolution limited only by sample size and, hence, can detect subtle olfactory impairments (ANHOLT et al. 1996). Previously, this assay has led to the identification of 14 novel sni loci. In addition, known mutants, such as snellblind (RODRIGUES and SIDDIQI 1978; AGEVES-PÍNA and QUINN 1979; LILLY and CARLSON 1989; LILLY et al. 1994a,b), are immediately apparent and readily quantifiable in this assay (ANHOLT et al. 1996).

After 2-4 hr of starvation, 2-10 day post-eclosion transheterozygous progeny were tested for responsiveness to benzaldehyde, a repellent odorant, exactly as described by ANHOLT et al. (1996). Briefly, one replicate assay consisted of a single-sex group of five individuals in a test vial. The animals were exposed to 1% benzaldehyde (v/v) introduced on a cotton wool swab, and the number of flies migrating to a compartment remote from the odor source was measured at 5-sec intervals, from 15 to 60 sec after introduction of the odor. The "avoidance score" of the replicate is the average of these 10 counts, giving a possible range of avoidance scores between 0 (all flies in the compartment near the odor source for the entire assay period) and 5 (all flies in the compartment away from the odor source for the entire assay period). For each of the 66 crosses, 10 replicate avoidance score estimates were obtained for each sex, for a total of 20 replicates (100
individual flies) per double heterozygote genotype, and a total sample size of 6600 animals.

**Statistical analyses:** The avoidance scores of transheterozygous genotypes were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with Genotype and Sex the fixed cross-classified main effects. Sums of squares were partitioned into sources (degrees of freedom) attributable to Genotype (65), Sex (1), Genotype × Sex interaction (65), and Error (1188). As this is a fixed effects model, the error mean square was used as the denominator for all F ratio tests of significance. To analyze epistatic effects between *smi* loci, we could not simply compare the responses of double heterozygotes with the single heterozygotes of *smi* lines with *Sum*, because the effect of *P[A/AB]* insert copy number (2 vs. 1) could be confounding. Rather, the correct control for this analysis is measurement of the deviation from the average of all other transheterozygotes with the two single inserts being compared. Thus, the general combining ability (GCA) of a mutation is its average avoidance score as a transheterozygote with all other mutations, expressed as the deviation from the overall mean (Sprague and Tatum 1942), and is an estimate of the average heterozygous effect of the mutation relative to the heterozygous effects of the other mutations. The specific combining ability (SCA) of a transheterozygous genotype is the difference between the observed avoidance score of the genotype, *x* (where *i* and *j* denote two different *smi* mutants), and the score expected from the sum of the corresponding GCAs of mutants *i* and *j*. The sums of squares due to Genotype and Genotype × Sex were further partitioned into sources of variation (degrees of freedom) attributable to GCA (11), SCA (54), GCA × Sex (11), and SCA × Sex (54). SCA effects are due to variation in heterozygous effects that depend on the genetic background with respect to other *smi* mutations and can only be caused by epistatic interactions.

This fixed effects half-diallel corresponds to Method 4, Model 1 of Griffing (1956). Consequently, the GCA for each *smi* mutant was estimated as

\[ \text{GCA} = \frac{T_i}{n - 2} - \frac{\Sigma T}{n(n - 2)} \]  

where *T* is the sum of mean avoidance score values (averaged over all replicates) of heterozygotes with the *i*th mutation, \( \Sigma T \) is twice the sum of mean avoidance score values of all heterozygotes, and *n* is the sum of mutant lines (see also Falconer and Mackay 1996). The SCA effects were computed using the method of Griffing (1956) for each heterozygous genotype

\[ \text{SCA}_i = x_i - \left( \frac{T_i + T_j}{n - 2} \right) + \frac{\Sigma T}{n(n - 1)(n - 2)} \, (2) \]

The significance of the overall GCA, SCA, GCA × Sex, and SCA × Sex effects was tested using an *F* variance ratio test statistic with the error mean square as the denominator. Standard errors of individual GCA and SCA effects were computed according to the formulae given by Griffing (1956). Analyses of variance and tests of significance were calculated using SAS procedures (SAS Institute, Inc. 1988), and GCA and SCA sums of squares were computed using the diallel cross analysis program of Schaffer and Usanis (1969).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The effects of 12 P-element insertion mutations with homozygous effects on olfactory behavior were evaluated in all possible double heterozygote combinations, in a half-diallel design. The mean avoidance responses to benzaldehyde, averaged over sexes, are shown for each of the 66 transheterozygote genotypes in Table 1.
The analysis of variance of these data is given in Table 2. The differences in mean avoidance responses among the heterozygous genotypes were highly significant ($P = 0.0001$). There was also significant sexual dimorphism in avoidance response to benzaldehyde, averaged over all genotypes ($P = 0.0009$), with a mean male avoidance score of 4.1 and a mean female score of 3.9. Sexual dimorphism for olfactory avoidance response has been observed previously for homozygous $P$-element insertional mutations (Anholt et al. 1996) and among a sample of inbred $X$ and third chromosomes extracted from a natural population and substituted into the same inbred strain used for $P$-element mutagenesis (Mackay et al. 1996). Interestingly, both the homozygous $P$-element insertions and the naturally occurring alleles affecting olfactory behavior had very large genotype $\times$ sex interaction effects, indicating that there was variation in the magnitude of the sex dimorphism of effects among the homozygous genotypes. However, the genotype $\times$ sex interaction was not significant for the double heterozygote genotypes; therefore, the sex-specific effects observed previously are on average recessive.

Variation among the transheterozygous genotypes can arise from two sources: variation in mean heterozygous effects of the different mutations, and variation from epistatic interactions. Because all $P$-element insertions are in the same inbred strain, all genetic variation among the genotypes is attributable to one of these two sources, with no confounding effects contributed by the background genotype. Classical diadel cross analysis enables us to separate heterozygous from epistatic effects by partitioning the variation among double heterozygous genotypes into their general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining abilities. As mentioned above, the GCA of a mutation is an estimate of its mean heterozygous effect in the background of each of the other mutations. Estimates of the GCA of each $smi$ mutation, expressed as deviations from the overall mean of the population of heterozygous genotypes, are given in Table 1. For comparison, also given in Table 1 are the mean avoidance scores of each $smi$ mutation, at the same concentration of odorant used to assess transheterozygote olfactory behavior (Hom; Anholt et al. 1996). All homozygous $smi$ mutations have reduced avoidance scores relative to the transheterozygotes. Therefore, negative GCA effects reflect lower mean heterozygous avoidance scores and a more mutant heterozygous phenotype; conversely, positive GCA effects reflect higher than average mean heterozygous scores and a more wild-type phenotype. This variation in GCA among the $smi$ mutations is highly significant ($P < 0.0001$, Table 3), and from this we can infer that all the $smi$ mutations are not completely recessive.

The overall mean avoidance score of the transheterozygous genotypes, $3.99 \pm 0.08$ (Table 1), is significantly higher than that of the Sany strain, $3.65 \pm 0.08$ (Anholt et al. 1996). Technically, this could be interpreted as overdominance for olfactory behavior. However, the selectable visible marker used in this system of $P$-element mutagenesis is $ry^+$, and there is a concern that this marker has a direct effect on fitness and other quantitative traits relative to the $ry^+$ mutant background of the control strain (Lyman et al. 1996). For this reason, we cannot use these data to estimate $d$, the value of the heterozygote expressed as a deviation from the mean mutant and control strain value (Falconer and Mackay 1996) for each $smi$ mutation. However, we can estimate the average degree of dominance of the $smi$ mutations from the slope of the regression $b$ of GCA on homozygous avoidance score of $smi$ mutations, where each homozygous score is expressed as a deviation from the overall homozygous mutant mean. The estimate of the average degree of dominance $k$ is $2(b - 0.5)$ (Mackay et al. 1992; Lyman et al. 1996), where $k$ ranges from $-1$ (completely recessive mutations) through $0$ (strict additivity) to $1$ (completely dominant mutations). For these data, $b = 0.197 (0.01 < P < 0.05)$ and $k = -0.605$. On average, the $smi$ mutations are partially recessive.

The SCA of a pair of mutations reflects the extent to which the mean avoidance score of the double heterozygote, expressed as a deviation from the mean of the total population of heterozygous genotypes, departs from that expected given the sum of the GCAs of the two mutant parents. Typically, diadel crosses are made among inbred lines that each vary at a number of loci affecting the measured trait, and significant SCA effects can only be attributed to nonadditive interactions in general, including dominance and epistasis (Falconer 1981). The SCA effects of the $smi$ mutations on the avoidance score are given in Table 3.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>110.917</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.983</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype $\times$ Sex</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>32.493</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.2457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>530.695</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.983</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>71.189</td>
<td>14.49</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>39.739</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCA $\times$ Sex</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.711</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCA $\times$ Sex</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>29.782</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>530.695</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 4
Estimates of specific combining abilities of transheterozygous smi lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parent 1</th>
<th>Parent 2</th>
<th>SCA</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>smi21F</td>
<td>smi26D</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smi21F</td>
<td>smi27E</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smi21F</td>
<td>smi45E</td>
<td>−0.474</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smi21F</td>
<td>smi97B</td>
<td>−0.369</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smi27E</td>
<td>smi26D</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smi28E</td>
<td>smi27E</td>
<td>−0.319</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smi51A</td>
<td>smi97B</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smi61A</td>
<td>smi60E</td>
<td>−0.429</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smi65A</td>
<td>smi51A</td>
<td>−0.296</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and Mackay 1996). However, in this experimental design the genetic background has been standardized, and SCA interactions can only result from epistasis, i.e., variation in heterozygous effects of a smi mutation depending on the genetic background with respect to other smi mutations.

We observed highly significant SCA effects (P = 0.0025, Table 3) for olfactory avoidance among the transheterozygote genotypes. This observation is not a scale effect. The effect of SCA was also highly significant if log, square root, and square transformations are applied to the data (data not shown). This suggests that epistatic interactions among loci affecting olfactory behavior are very common, because we have sampled only a small fraction of the total number of possible genotypes at 12 loci, each with two alleles (66/1728 = 3.8%). To determine which interacting mutations contributed to the overall variation in SCA, we determined for which transheterozygote lines SCA effects are significantly different from zero. The results are given in Table 4. Nine transheterozygous crosses reveal statistically significant epistatic interactions between smi loci. In addition, the smi98B/smi60E transheterozygote has an SCA value (−0.251) that is nearly formally significant (P = 0.063).

The observed epistatic effects are quite large; the mean of the absolute values of significant SCA effects is 0.34. This value is of the same magnitude as the mean of the absolute values of significant GCA effects (0.23), and is one-half of the environmental standard deviation. However, it is clear that these "large" quantitative effects are very subtle in absolute terms and cannot be discerned without quantitative genetic analysis of the phenotypes, or in variable genetic backgrounds. The magnitude of the epistatic effects are not necessarily correlated with the size of the homozygous mutant effects. smi loci with relatively small effects on olfactory behavior of homozygotes, e.g., smi21F and smi45E (Anholt et al. 1996), produce large effects in double heterozygous progeny. We cannot, however, determine to what extent each locus of an interacting pair of loci contributes to the observed epistatic effect. Furthermore, we do not know to what extent the P(AvB) insertion limits the expression of the gene it affects. We predict, therefore, that epistatic effects will be stronger in double heterozygotes that contain null mutations, such as deletions, at the smi loci.

The pattern of interactions observed is interesting. Of the 12 smi loci, 10 interact with at least one other. Epistatic interactions between eight smi loci can be represented in a simple interaction diagram (Figure 1). smi60E and smi61A interact, but are independent of the others. It is possible that smi98B interacts with smi60E (the P value of the SCA is on the borderline of formal statistical significance), which would place 11 of the
12 smi genes in two interacting groups. It is somewhat surprising that the mutation that interacts most extensively with other smi mutants, smi21F, has itself very weak homozygous effects. The mutant phenotype of this gene is only apparent at a low concentration of benzaldehyde and is strongly sexually dimorphic (only females display aberrant olfactory responses; males are not significantly different from wild type) (Ahnolt et al. 1996). Yet it elicits strong interactions in transheterozygotes with four of the smi mutations, and in both sexes.

These loci represent only a small sample of the genes that affect olfactory behavior. The frequency with which smi lines were detected in our previous P-element mutagenesis screen indicated that ~4% of the Drosophila genome participates in shaping odor-guided behavior (Ahnolt et al. 1996), which corresponds to a conservative estimate of about 400 genes. Most likely, the ensemble of genes illustrated in Figure 1 is integrated into a more extensive network of interactions within the olfactory genome. Thus, loci that appear noninteracting, i.e., smi35A and (possibly) smi98B, and loci that interact independently of the larger ensemble, i.e., smi60E and smi61A, may prove to be part of a wider network of interacting genes once more olfactory genes are identified. Such extensive epistatic interactions between smi loci indicate that they form a complex network of genes that together shape odor-guided behavior.

In recent years, other investigators have identified olfactory mutants in D. melanogaster, mostly with mutations located on the X chromosome (Vihetelic et al. 1993; Woodard et al. 1992; Riesgo-Escovar et al. 1995; Lilly and Carlson 1989; Lilly et al. 1994a,b), but epistatic interactions among them and their effects on phenotypic variation have not been assessed. Our observations suggest that olfactory genes identified on the X chromosome might also interact within functional genetic networks and these possible interactions could also include the smi loci described here. However, different genetic backgrounds may render the detection of such epistatic effects more difficult than detection of epistasis among smi genes in a cosogenic background.

Because each of the smi genes used in this study is tagged by a P-element, it will, in principle, be possible in future studies to characterize their expression products and to obtain an understanding of the molecular basis for the observed genetic interactions. Moreover, our ability to use cosogenic P[FLyAvB] insertion lines for the characterization of networks of interacting genes in the olfactory genome will enable the future identification of new olfactory genes by virtue of epistatic interactions with known smi genes. Thus, these experiments pave the road for the use of quantitative genetic analysis of subtle phenotypes as a tool for targeted gene discovery.

We thank Dr. Richard F. Lyman for assistance in analyzing the data and J. Brant Hackett for technical assistance. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (DC02485, GM45344, and GM45146) and the U.S. Army Research Office (DAAH04-96-1-0096).
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Olfactomedin is a secreted polymeric glycoprotein of unknown function, originally discovered at the mucociliary surface of the amphibian olfactory neuroepithelium and subsequently found throughout the mammalian brain. As a first step toward elucidating the function of olfactomedin, its phylogenetic history was examined to identify conserved structural motifs. Such conserved motifs may have functional significance and provide targets for future mutagenesis studies aimed at establishing the function of this protein. Previous studies revealed 33% amino acid sequence identity between rat and frog olfactomedins in their carboxyl terminal segments. Further analysis, however, reveals more extensive homologies throughout the molecule. Despite significant sequence divergence, cysteines essential for homopolymer formation such as the CXC motif near the amino terminus are conserved, as is the characteristic glycosylation pattern, suggesting that these posttranslational modifications are essential for function. Furthermore, evolutionary analysis of a region of 53 amino acids of fish, frog, rat, mouse, and human olfactomedins indicates that an ancestral olfactomedin gene arose before the evolution of terrestrial vertebrates and evolved independently in teleost, amphibian, and mammalian lineages. Indeed, a distant olfactomedin homolog was identified in Caenorhabditis elegans. Although the amino acid sequence of this invertebrate protein is longer and highly divergent compared with its vertebrate homologs, the protein from C. elegans shows remarkable similarities in terms of conserved motifs and posttranslational modification sites. Six universally conserved motifs were identified, and five of these are clustered in the carboxyl terminal half of the protein. Sequence comparisons indicate that evolution of the N-terminal half of the molecule involved extensive insertions and deletions; the C-terminal segment evolved mostly through point mutations, at least during vertebrate evolution. The widespread occurrence of olfactomedin among vertebrates and invertebrates underscores the notion that this protein has a function of universal importance. Furthermore, extensive modification of its N-terminal half and the acquisition of a C-terminal SDEL endoplasmic-reticulum-targeting sequence may have enabled olfactomedin to adopt new functions in the mammalian central nervous system.

Introduction

As genome-sequencing projects proceed at an unprecedented rate, increasing numbers of genes that encode proteins of unknown function are being discovered. These include a host of orphan receptors, transcription factors and proteins of which the functions are open to conjecture. Uncovering the functions of many of these novel gene products would increase our understanding and may change our current views of many cellular and intercellular processes. Evolutionary analysis is of value in this endeavor, since it can reveal conserved motifs throughout the phylogenetic history of a protein, thereby providing insights into its potential function or, at the very least, identifying domains as targets for future molecular studies, such as site-directed mutagenesis studies, to evaluate structure–function relationships. Here, we report a study on the molecular evolution of olfactomedin, an extracellular matrix protein of yet unknown function, thought to play an important role in olfaction, neurosecretion, and neural development.

The discovery of olfactomedin resulted from studies aimed at the identification of novel proteins involved in olfaction. A library of monoclonal antibodies was raised against chemosensory cilia from the frog (Rana catesbeiana) to find antigens uniquely associated with olfactory membranes (Anholt, Petro, and Rivers 1990). A group of polypeptides that met this criterion appeared to consist of monomeric, dimeric, and polymeric forms of the same protein, which was named "olfactomedin" (Snyder et al. 1991). Immunohistochemical studies showed that olfactomedin is synthesized in Bowman's glands and sustentacular cells of the olfactory epithelium and is secreted into the viscous lower mucus layer that surrounds the apical dendrites of olfactory neurons (Snyder et al. 1991; Bal and Anholt 1993). In the frog, olfactomedin immunoreactivity was not detected in olfactory nerve membranes, nonchemosensory cilia from respiratory epithelium, or membranes from brain, heart, kidney, or lung (Anholt, Petro, and Rivers 1990). Subsequently, molecular cloning of olfactomedin showed its amino acid sequence to have no homologies to any other known protein (Yokoe and Anholt 1993).

Based on the sequence of the mature polypeptide (448 amino acids), together with previous biochemical and immunohistochemical observations, a structural model was proposed in which cistenes at positions 160, 177, 283, and 290 form intramolecular disulfide bonds dividing the molecule into central "head" and "neck" loops and two "legs" of similar length. The N-terminal leg contains two nearby cistenes, each forming disulfide bonds with an adjacent olfactomedin molecule. An additional disulfide bond is made by cistene 377 on the C-terminal leg, creating large polymers that constitute the architecture of the extracellular matrix of the chemosensory interface of the olfactory epithelium (Yokoe and Anholt 1993). Olfactomedin contains six potential N-linked glycosylation sites scattered throughout its sequence, and all of them carry carbohydrate moieties (Bal and Anholt 1993).

Despite a wealth of structural information, the function of olfactomedin remains unknown. By analogy
to functions of other extracellular matrix proteins of the nervous system, it was proposed that olfactomedin might play a neurotropic role during development of the apical olfactory dendrite (Yokoe and Anholt 1993). Adult olfactory neurons undergo continuous replacement from a population of neurogenic stem cells (Graziadei and Monti-Graziadei 1978, 1979; Farbman 1990; Calof et al. 1996). Whereas questions about axon sorting during formation of the primary olfactory projection have received considerable interest (Ngai et al. 1993; Vassar et al. 1994; Mombaerts et al. 1996), little attention has been paid to neurotropic signals that induce differentiation of the apical dendrite to form a knob with chemosensory cilia that mediate odor recognition and olfactory transduction (reviewed by Firestein 1991; Anholt 1993; Axel 1995; Buck 1996). The existence of such signals is inferred from the necessity of a nascent dendrite in vivo to sense its arrival at the mucociliary surface and the failure of isolated olfactory neurons in long-term cell cultures to develop ciliated dendritic knobs (Calof and Chikaraishi 1988; Ronnett, Hester, and Snyder 1991; Calof et al., 1996). It has been estimated that olfactomedin makes up 5% of the total tissue protein in membrane homogenates from frog olfactory tissue (Bal and Anholt 1993). As a prominent component of the extracellular mucus matrix, olfactomedin would be in an ideal position to deliver such a dendritic differentiation signal.

The hypothesis that olfactomedin might play a role in neuronal growth and differentiation received support from the subsequent discovery of an olfactomedin homolog in the mammalian brain. In the rat central nervous system, Danielson et al. (1994) discovered four glycoproteins, splice variants encoded by a single gene, that are expressed throughout the brain and in neuroendocrine tissues such as the anterior pituitary and adrenal glands. Based on in situ hybridization patterns, they concluded that these glycoproteins are produced by neurons. They further observed that the longest of these glycoproteins (the AMZ protein) shares 33% sequence identity at its carboxy terminal end with the carboxy terminal half of frog olfactomedin. Using the rat AMZ protein as a probe, they identified an olfactomedin homolog from a mouse olfactory cDNA library. Examination of the GenBank database revealed additional homologs: an open reading frame from a cosmid (Wilson et al. 1994) of Caenorhabditis elegans, as well as partial cDNA sequences from fish pituitary and human brain libraries. To set the stage for studies aimed at elucidating the function of olfactomedin, sequences of all known olfactomedin homologs were examined to identify conserved motifs.

This paper reports that sequence homologies between the amphibian protein and its rodent counterparts are far more extensive than previously appreciated (Danielson et al. 1994) and not only cover the C-terminal region, but extend throughout the entire molecule. Furthermore, identification of a distant olfactomedin relative in C. elegans and sequence comparisons among vertebrate olfactomedins indicate one highly conserved motif near the amino terminus and five conserved domains in the carboxyl terminal half of the protein. Evolution of the N-terminal half of olfactomedin is characterized by large insertions of amino acids, in sharp contrast to the C-terminal half, which shows greater sequence conservation, suggesting that this extracellular protein may have acquired new functions during its evolution.

Methods

The following olfactomedin amino acid sequences were analyzed (GenBank accession numbers appear in parentheses): the sequence of frog (Rana catesbeiana) olfactomedin obtained from an olfactory cDNA clone (L13595; Yokoe and Anholt 1993); the sequence of the rat (Rattus norvegicus) AMZ protein, an olfactomedin homolog identified from brain cDNA (U03416; Danielson et al. 1994); the translated partial sequence of an olfactomedin homolog from halibut (Hippoglossus hippoglossus) pituitary (T23140, unpublished sequence, Zhiyuan Gong, National University of Singapore, 1994); the translated partial sequence of a human (Homo sapiens) olfactomedin homolog from an infant brain cDNA library (H10467; unpublished sequence, Hiller et al., the Washington University Merck EST Project, 1995); and the sequence of an olfactomedin homolog from C. elegans (Z81499; coding sequence in cosmid F11C3, Nematode Sequencing Project; Wilson et al. 1994).

A probe corresponding to the carboxyl terminal region of the rat AMZ protein, kindly donated by Dr. Patria Danielson (The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, Calif.), was used to screen a mouse olfactory cDNA library constructed in Lambda ZAPII (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.). Standard molecular biological procedures were performed as described by Sambrook, Fritsch, and Maniatis (1989). A clone with a full-length open reading frame was isolated and sequenced at the DNA Sequencing Facility of Iowa State University (Ames, Iowa).

Carboxyl terminal sequence homologies between amphibian and rodent olfactomedins were identified with the BLAST program (Altschul et al. 1990), and their alignment was verified by eye. To enable a comparison between vertebrate olfactomedins and a longer, distant homolog from C. elegans, sequences were scaled to 100% of their lengths. The relative positions of conserved sequence motifs and posttranslational modification sites were then placed along linear maps of the scaled sequences. Consensus glycosylation sites and leader sequences were verified with the PC/Genet program from IntelliGenetics, Inc. (Mountain View, Calif.). Aligned sequences were analyzed with the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis program, version 1.02 (Kumar, Tamura, and Nei 1993).

Results

Figure 1 shows the alignment of the complete amino acid sequences of frog olfactomedin and its rat homolog. Previously, Danielson et al. (1994) observed 33% sequence identity between the carboxyl terminal regions of frog olfactomedin and the rat AMZ protein. Reexamination of sequence homologies between these sequences indicates that homology between these pro-
Fig. 1.—Alignment of the amino acid sequences of frog and rat olfactomedin. Leader peptides are indicated in italics by the boxed areas. The leader peptide of frog olfactomedin was empirically determined (Yokoe and Anholt, 1993). The leader peptide of rat olfactomedin was assigned according to Von Heyne’s (1986) rules as reported previously (Danielson et al. 1994). The carboxy terminal SDEL endoplasmic reticulum targeting sequence in rat olfactomedin is in italics and underlined. Identical amino acids are highlighted in bold print.

The alignment shows significant conservation between the two species, with many residues aligning perfectly. The sequences are compared for amino acid identity and similarity, with the introduction of gaps in the sequences to maximize alignment. The overall similarity is high, indicating a close evolutionary relationship.

Examinations of the sequences reveal conserved cysteines that form disulfide bonds, critical for the tertiary structure of the molecule. These cysteines are essential for the proper folding and stability of the protein. The alignment also highlights several conserved motifs and regions that are likely involved in the function of olfactomedin, such as the SDEL motif near the amino terminus, which is conserved in both species.

The structure and function of olfactomedin are of interest in understanding the evolution and role of olfactory and related proteins.
the head region of olfactomedin back on itself across the central axis of the molecule would superimpose carbohydrate moiety 4 in figure 2A on moiety IV in figure 2B. Similarly, intertwining of the amino terminal and carboxyl terminal legs would make the positions of carbohydrate moieties I (fig. 1A) and VI and/or VII (fig. 1B) comparable. Thus, despite considerable sequence divergence between frog and rodent olfactomedins, posttranslational modifications that enable the formation of disulfide-linked polymers with distinct glycosylation patterns are accurately preserved. In contrast, intramolecular disulfides of frog olfactomedin that generate the head and neck domains are absent from the mammalian homolog, suggesting these disulfides are not essential, although they may help stabilize the conformation of amphibian olfactomedin.

To verify that olfactomedin in the rodent, as in the frog, is also expressed in olfactory tissue, a cDNA clone encoding olfactomedin was isolated from a mouse olfactory cDNA library. Sequence analysis of this clone revealed that it is closely related to the rat AMZ protein, showing 99.1% amino acid sequence identity. Of 40 nucleotide substitutions between rat and mouse, 36 are in silent third codon positions. Only four amino acids were different between rat and mouse olfactomedin, at positions 106, 183, 302, and 402 (using the numbering for the rat sequence shown in fig. 1). At these positions, the mouse sequence has threonine, serine, methionine, and arginine, respectively.

To extend our analysis of the evolution of olfactomedin, partial sequences from fish, human, rat, and frog olfactomedin were aligned. Sequence information about the region corresponding to residues 293 and 343 of frog olfactomedin (Yokoe and Anholt 1993) is available for all five species. Sequence alignments of this region are shown in figure 3, and the percentages of sequence identities between these sequences are presented in table 1. Within this region, seven invariant amino acid residues are evident, including hydrophobic residues leu295, leu306, and ala309 and a cluster of negatively charged residues between positions 324 and 331 forming the motif DXDXXXDE, in which X is apolar (numbering is according to the frog olfactomedin sequence). The occurrence of this invariant cluster of negatively charged residues in all four vertebrate species suggests that it may play an important structural and/or functional role.
Amino acid sequence alignments of overlapping segments from partial sequences of fish, frog, rat, mouse, and human olfactomedins. The sequences were aligned by eye to maximize amino acid identities. Identical amino acids are highlighted in bold print. Percentages of amino acid identities for these sequences are listed in table 1. Invariant amino acid residues are placed against a shaded background and indicated by arrowheads.

Fig. 3.—Amino acid sequence alignments of overlapping segments from partial sequences of fish, frog, rat, mouse, and human olfactomedins. The sequences were aligned by eye to maximize amino acid identities. Identical amino acids are highlighted in bold print. Percentages of amino acid identities for these sequences are listed in table 1. Invariant amino acid residues are placed against a shaded background and indicated by arrowheads.

Amino acid conservation between frog and fish is no greater than that between frog and rat, showing 24.5% and 32.7% sequence identities, respectively (table 1). In contrast, human and rat olfactomedins are closely related, showing 98.1% identity over this region and 96.8% identity when compared over a longer sequence including an additional 71 amino acids toward the carboxyl terminus. When nucleotide differences between rat and human olfactomedin cDNAs are analyzed, 51 base substitutions are found within a sequence of 373 nucleotides. Of these substitutions, 82% occur in third codon positions and do not alter the amino acid sequence.

Evolutionary distances at the DNA level were estimated for the sequences in figure 3 based on the two-parameter maximum-likelihood model of Kimura (1980), removing gap sites only in pairwise comparisons. Poisson-corrected amino acid substitutions were used to estimate evolutionary distances at the protein level. Rates of evolution calculated as nonsynonymous amino acid substitutions per site per 10^9 years are presented in table 2. At the amino acid level, there are no statistically significant differences between evolutionary rates between teleosts and amphibia or between teleosts and mammals. From Table 2, we calculate that the evolutionary rate between fish and frog is 0.004%/Myr, compared to 0.0015%/Myr for the evolutionary rate between rat and human. This suggests that evolution of the protein within the mammalian lineage has slowed during the last 80 Myr. However, it is not clear whether these differences in evolutionary rates are significant, since we are not able, at present, to distinguish between orthologous and paralogous relationships among olfactomedins.

Our data suggest that vertebrate olfactomedins evolved from an ancestral gene that predates the evolution of terrestrial vertebrates about 400 MYA (table 1). The analysis presented in table 1 led us to hypothesize that olfactomedin homologs may also occur in invertebrates. Indeed, a search through the GenBank database revealed a coding sequence of C. elegans that encodes a distant homolog of the vertebrate olfactomedins. This gene encodes a protein of 654 amino acids, 30% longer than the vertebrate olfactomedins. To identify conserved features between vertebrate olfactomedins and their nematode homolog, amino acid sequences of each species were scaled to 100%, and conserved motifs, sulfhydryls, and glycosylation sites were

Table 1
Sequence Identities Among Teleost, Amphibian, and Mammalian Olfactomedins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Fish</th>
<th>Frog</th>
<th>Rat</th>
<th>Mouse</th>
<th>Human</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frog</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Numbers in the table in the upper right matrix indicate the percentage of amino acid sequence identity calculated for the partial sequences shown in figure 3. Numbers in the lower left matrix indicate estimated times since divergence (MYA; Graybeal 1994).

Table 2
Rates of Nonsynonymous Substitutions During the Evolution of Olfactomedin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species Compared</th>
<th>Time Since Divergence (MYA)</th>
<th>Kimura Two-Parameter Model</th>
<th>Poisson-Corrected Amino Acid Substitutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish and frog</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and rat</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat and Human</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Evolutionary rates are calculated in units of substitutions/site per 10^9 years. Data presented are based on a comparison of 53 codons and are arithmetic means ± standard errors. Nonsynonymous nucleotide substitution rates were estimated using the model of Kimura (1980).
mapped to the scaled sequences (fig. 4). Six highly conserved sequence motifs were identified at similar relative locations. One conserved motif occurs near the N-terminus, and the other five are clustered in the C-terminal half of the protein. The DXDXXXDE motif described above is contained in conserved motif 3 and is also present in C. elegans. The C. elegans protein has 10 potential N-linked glycosylation sites, evenly spaced throughout the molecule as is the case for vertebrate olfactomedins. Glycosylation sites near motifs 2, 5, and 6 occur at similar relative locations in mammalian olfactomedins and the protein from C. elegans (fig. 4). Similarly, a single N-terminal CXC motif is apparent for the C. elegans homolog, as is a highly conserved sulfhydryl in conserved motif 5, proposed to participate in the formation of homopolymers (Yokoe and Anholt 1993). Thus, despite considerable sequence diversity and difference in polypeptide length, the structural organization of this invertebrate olfactomedin shows remarkable similarities to those of its vertebrate homologs.

**Discussion**

We investigated evolutionary relationships among vertebrate olfactomedins by comparing the sequences of fish, frog, rat, mouse, and human olfactomedins. Despite considerable amino acid sequence divergence between frog and rat, we identified several invariant motifs, including the CXC motif on the amino terminal leg and a cysteine on the C-terminal leg for the formation of homopolymers, and NX(T/S) consensus glycosylation sites that preserve a distinct pattern of glycosylation (figs. 1 and 2; Bal and Anholt 1993; Yokoe and Anholt 1993). The evolution of olfactomedin within the mammalian lineage appears to be subject to a strong evolutionary pressure toward conservation of primary structure. Since we cannot, at present, discriminate paralogous genes from orthologous genes, it is difficult to draw solid conclusions regarding evolutionary constraints from calculations of evolutionary rates. Nonetheless, a constant rate of substitutions would predict approximately 14% amino acid sequence divergence between human and ro-
dent olfactomodins. Instead, only 2% divergence is observed, with the vast majority of nucleotide substitutions occurring in silent third codon positions. Invariant structural motifs, such as the six motifs identified in figure 4, the CXC sequence, the conserved sulfhydryl in the carboxyl terminal leg, and the glycosylation pattern, are likely to be functionally important and can serve as foci for future studies exploring structure/function relationships.

Evolutionary analysis indicated that an ancestral olfactomedin gene arose before the evolution of terrestrial vertebrates and proceeded to evolve independently in teleost, amphibian, and mammalian lineages. Indeed, a distant homolog of olfactomedin was identified in C. elegans. The overall amino acid sequence of this invertebrate protein was longer and highly divergent compared with its vertebrate homologs. Conserved motifs, however, could be identified and mapped relative to the length of the polypeptide (fig. 4). This analysis revealed that the protein from C. elegans shows remarkable similarities in terms of conserved motifs and posttranslational modification sites. Most of the conserved motifs occur in the carboxyl terminal half of the protein, in line with the strong homology observed in this region between frog and rat olfactomodins (Danielson et al. 1994). Thus, it appears that the N-terminal half of the molecule has undergone more rapid evolution, characterized by insertions and deletions, than the C-terminal region, which evolved slower, mostly through point mutations, at least during vertebrate evolution. As discussed below, it is conceivable that evolution of the N-terminal half of olfactomedin may have enabled the acquisition of new functions. The identification of an olfactomedin homolog in C. elegans predicts that a similar protein may also exist in Drosophila melanogaster. The identification of olfactomedin in model organisms that can be readily manipulated genetically can accelerate investigations of its function.

In addition to structural similarities, amphibian and mammalian olfactomodins also show differences. Cysteines at positions 283 and 290 of frog olfactomedin, which form intramolecular disulfides to create the proposed head and neck regions (Yokoe and Anholt 1993), are missing in its mammalian homolog. This indicates either that the proposed positions of intramolecular disulfides in the frog molecule have to be reevaluated or that these intramolecular disulfide bonds are not a priori essential for the functional conformation of olfactomedin. Furthermore, the endoplasmic reticulum targeting sequence SDEL at the carboxyl terminus of rat olfactomedin does not occur in the protein from frog. This suggests either that secretion of amphibian olfactomedin is controlled by different, as yet unidentified, targeting sequences within the molecule, or simply that the polypeptide is secreted via a default pathway which does not need to be specified by an intracellular trafficking signal.

Previous studies have shown that mammalian olfactomedin occurs throughout the central nervous system (CNS) and in neuroendocrine glands, such as the anterior pituitary and adrenal glands (Danielson et al. 1994). Sustentacular cells of the olfactory neuroepithelium and Bowman's glands, which are the source of frog olfactomedin (Snyder et al. 1991; Bal and Anholt 1993), are also derived from neural ectoderm. The close association of olfactomedin with chemosensory dendritic endings of olfactory neurons (Snyder et al. 1991; Bal and Anholt 1993), as mentioned earlier, suggests that olfactomedin exerts a neurotrophic or neurotropic effect on these neurons. It is of interest to note that, thus far, olfactomedin homologs have been found in CNS neurons only in mammalian species (Danielson et al. 1994). The fish homolog was identified from halibut pituitary cDNA, and frog olfactomedin has been found only in olfactory tissue (Anholt, Petro, and Rivers 1990; Snyder et al. 1991; Yokoe and Anholt 1993). The expression pattern of the olfactomedin homolog in C. elegans remains to be determined.

Recently, the trabecular-meshwork-inducible glucocorticoid response gene (TIGR) in the eye was shown to contain olfactomedin homology domains. Mutations in these olfactomedin-related domains of TIGR correlate closely with a large fraction of cases of primary open-angle glaucoma, a leading cause of blindness and a disease that strikes 1 out of every 100 individuals over the age of 40 years (Adam et al. 1997; Polanski et al. 1997; Stone et al. 1997). Like olfactomedin, this protein is associated with ciliary structures, i.e., the ciliary rootlet in the rod photoreceptor cell (Kubota et al. 1997). It is therefore possible that the conserved motifs which we identified (fig. 4) may occur in families of related proteins. A complete characterization of the many proteins that contain olfactomedin homology domains in the species examined in this paper will be needed to construct a more accurate phylogenetic history and to determine unambiguously whether the olfactomodins we analyzed are encoded by orthologous or, possibly, paralogous genes.

Failure of immunohistochemical studies to detect olfactomedin in frog brain tissue may be due to tissue-specific glycosylation and the fact that unique carbohydrate moieties dominate the immunogenicity of olfactomedin (Anholt, Petro, and Rivers 1990; Snyder et al. 1991). Olfactomedin is produced in vast quantities in frog olfactory tissue, representing approximately 5% of total tissue protein (Snyder et al. 1991). Thus, it is possible, although unlikely, that the failure to detect olfactomedin mRNA in frog brain previously by northern blotting (Yokoe and Anholt 1993) may have been due to insufficient exposure of the blots because of the great abundance and easy detection of olfactomedin message in olfactory tissue. A more plausible possibility, however, is that in teleosts and amphibia, olfactomedin might fulfill a function restricted only to neurosecretory tissues, but that during evolution of the mammalian brain, olfactomedin acquired a broader function, utilized also by the nervous system. The expression of olfactomedin in neurons would necessitate the acquisition of the SDEL intracellular trafficking signal, and consolidation of a new neural function would constrain its mutation rate. Preservation of the characteristic carbohydrate groups and the sulfhydryls that are essential for polymerization indicates that these posttranslational modifications are
essential for functional integrity. The functions of the six conserved motifs remain unknown. One possibility is that they may be necessary for protein–protein interactions either with other proteins or with adjacent olfactomedin molecules of the homooligomeric array.

The hypothesis that olfactomedin could perform multiple functions in neural and neuroendocrine tissues gains some support from the existence of splice variants (Danielson et al. 1994). In the rat brain, olfactomedin can be expressed either a full-length (Z) or a truncated (Y) form. Whereas each of these forms share the same core region (M), they can be coupled to either one of two different amino termini, mostly encoding leader peptide sequences (A and B). Thus, differential splicing gives rise to four splice variants (Danielson et al. 1994). Two different leader peptides have also been observed for frog olfactomedin (Yokoe and Anholt 1993). The significance of differences in leader peptide is not clear, but may be part on translational control or intracellular trafficking. Interestingly, in the rat pituitary gland, only the A form has been observed, whereas in the adrenal gland, only the B form is found (Danielson et al. 1994). The truncated forms of olfactomedin are especially intriguing in that they contain the CXC motif essential for the formation of olfactomedin dimers but lack several of the conserved motifs in the carboxyl terminal region of the molecule, including the sulphydryl group that mediates the formation of oligomers. The coexpression of truncated and full-length forms of olfactomedin in the mammalian brain lends credence to the notion that different functions could be associated with the amino terminal and carboxyl terminal segments of the protein.

First identified in frog olfactory mucus, it is now clear that olfactomedin occurs universally and is widely expressed in the mammalian brain. The evolutionary analysis presented in this paper has delineated several conserved motifs as targets for future functional studies on this intriguing newly discovered extracellular matrix protein.
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Abstract

Transmembrane signaling events at the dendrites and axons of olfactory receptor neurons mediate distinct functions. Whereas odorant recognition and chemosensory transduction occur at the dendritic membranes of olfactory neurons, signal propagation, axon sorting, and target innervation are functions of their axons. The roles of G proteins in transmembrane signaling at the dendrites have been studied extensively, but axonal G proteins have not been investigated in detail. We used immunohistochemistry to visualize expression of α subunits of Gα and G12 in the mouse olfactory system. Gα is expressed ubiquitously on axons of olfactory receptor neurons throughout the olfactory neuroepithelium and in virtually all glomeruli in the main olfactory bulb. In contrast, expression of G12 is restricted to a sub-population of olfactory neurons, along the dorsal septum and the dorsal recess of the nasal cavity, which projects primarily to medial regions of the olfactory bulb, with the exception of glomeruli adjacent to the pathway of the vomeronasal nerve. In contrast to the overlapping expression patterns of Gα and G12 in the main olfactory system, neurons expressing Gα and those expressing G12 in the accessory olfactory bulb are more clearly separated, in agreement with previous studies. Vomeronasal axons terminating in glomeruli in the rostral region of the accessory olfactory bulb express G12, whereas those projecting to the caudal region express Gα. Characterization of the expression patterns of Gα and G12 in the olfactory projection is essential for future studies aimed at relating transmembrane signaling events to signal propagation, axon sorting and target innervation. © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: G protein; Olfaction; Olfactory bulb; Accessory olfactory bulb; Olfactory neuron; Chemotopic projection

1. Introduction

Odor recognition is essential for the survival and procreation of most animals. Chemical information transferred via olfactory neurons to the olfactory bulb is transformed into a chemotopic map [5,10,11,19]. This map is represented by modular neural arrays, glomeruli, which in the mouse number approximately 1800 [31] and each of which represents the convergent projection of neurons of similar chemosensory specificity (see Ref. [16] for review).

The olfactory system contains two interacting components: the main olfactory system is dedicated to general odorant discrimination, whereas the accessory olfactory system primarily processes chemical cues that guide social behaviors (see Ref. [26] for review). In the main olfactory system, odor recognition is mediated by receptors, which belong to the superfamily of G protein coupled receptors and are encoded by as many as 1000 different genes [2,6,22,40–42]. Two distinct families of G protein coupled receptors have also been identified as putative pheromone receptors in chemosensory neurons of the vomeronasal organ (VNO), the chemosensory organ of the accessory olfactory system [8,15,25,34].

The dendritic and axonal compartments of olfactory neurons fulfill distinct functions for the acquisition of chemosensory information. Whereas dendritic specializations mediate odorant recognition and chemosensory transduction, the axonal compartment regulates signal propagation, axon sorting and target innervation. The roles of G proteins in chemosensory transduction at the dendritic compartments of chemosensory neurons have been studied extensively, but the functions of axonal G proteins have not been investigated in detail.

An important role for axonal G proteins in mammalian chemoreception is implicated by the differential distribution of G12 and Gα in the accessory olfactory system (see Ref. [18] for review). The apical layer of the VNO expresses a distinct family of about 100 putative pheromone receptors (VN1 receptors [8]), whereas VNO neurons in the basal layer express a different family of receptors (VN2 receptors) that resemble metabotropic glutamate receptors.
Receptors [15,25,34]. Previously, in situ hybridization studies in the murine VNO [4] and immunohistochemical studies in opossum, rat and mouse [13,14,18] showed that neurons in the apical layer of the VNO express G_{22} and project to the rostral region of the accessory olfactory bulb (AOB), whereas neurons in the basal layer express G_{6} and project to the caudal region of the AOB.

Here we confirm and extend these previous observations. We show that differential expression patterns of G_{22} and G_{6} are not unique to the AOB, but also occur in the main olfactory bulb (MOB). Characterization of the expression patterns of G_{22} and G_{6} in the main olfactory system is a prerequisite for any future studies aimed at delineating transmembrane signaling events at the axons of olfactory neurons and uncovering their relationships to signal propagation and/or odor coding.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

CD-1 mice were originally obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Kingston, NY) and maintained in the breeding colony of Dr. J.G. Vandenberg (Department of Zoology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC). Animals were housed in IACUC and USDA inspected and approved facilities and cared for according to the NIH Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (1997).

2.2. Antibodies

Antibodies against α subunits of G-proteins were obtained from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA). The antibody against the α subunit of G_{6} was raised against the C-terminal decapetide KNNLDCCGLF, which is also found in the α subunit of G_{11}. To distinguish G_{22} and G_{11}, an antibody against a G_{11}-specific internal peptide was used. The antibody against the α subunit of G_{6} was raised against the C-terminal peptide KNNLKECGLY of G_{13}, which shares the sequence CGLY with G_{6} and recognizes the α subunits of both G_{6} and G_{13}. To distinguish G_{6} and G_{13}, an antibody against a G_{13}-specific internal peptide was used. Antibodies reactive with G_{22} and G_{6} did not cross-react. Specificity of the antisera was confirmed by Western blotting.

2.3. Western blotting

Freshly dissected olfactory bulbs were homogenized in ice-cold 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.3 (PBS) with a Teflon homogenizer and membranes were collected by centrifugation, washed once, recentrifuged and resuspended in PBS. Protein was determined according to Lowry et al. [23] using bovine serum albumin as standard, and membranes were subjected to SDS-PAGE, followed by electrophoretic transfer onto a nitrocellulose membrane [1]. Membrane strips containing approximately 20 μg protein were incubated with a 1000-fold dilution of rabbit antiserum against G_{22} or G_{6}. Bound antibody was visualized with Amersham's chemiluminescent ECL detection system (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL). Migration distances were calibrated against Kaleidoscope prestained molecular weight markers (BioRad, Richmond, CA).

2.4. Tissue preparation

Mice were given a lethal injection of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused intracardially with
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Fig. 1. Specificity of antibodies. (A): Western blot showing immunoreactive bands (arrows) with antibodies against G_{22} and G_{6} in olfactory bulb membranes. (B)–(F): parasagittal sections through mouse olfactory bulbs stained with normal rabbit serum (B) or antisera against α subunits of G_{11} (C), G_{22} (D; crossreactive with G_{11}), G_{6} (E), and G_{13} (F; crossreactive with G_{13}). ONF: olfactory nerve fiber layer; GL, glomerular layer; EP, external plexiform layer; the arrowhead indicates the mitral cell body layer. Note the staining of glomeruli in panels D and F (arrows). The scale bar in B represents 500 μm and applies to B–F.
PBS, followed by extensive perfusion with 10% buffered neutral formalin. The olfactory bulbs and/or nasal cavities were dissected and fixed overnight in 10% buffered neutral formalin. Decalcification of nasal tissue was performed for 3 days at ambient temperature using the formic acid-sodium citrate method [24].

2.5. Immunohistochemistry

Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded, 5 μm thick sections through olfactory bulbs and nasal tissue were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated through graded alcohols. The sections were pretreated with 0.1% pepsin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in 0.01 M HCl, pH 2.3, for 20 min to facilitate epitope access. Following pepsin treatment, the sections were blocked for 30 min with BEAT blocking solutions A and B (Zymed Laboratories, San Francisco, CA). They were then incubated with a 250-fold dilution of normal rabbit serum or antisera in PBS, 0.05% Triton X-100 (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN) overnight at 4°C. Bound antibody was visualized using the Histomouse SP kit from Zymed Laboratories. Following incubation with the primary antibody, sections were washed extensively in PBS, 0.05% Triton X-100, and incubated for 10 min at ambient temperature with affinity purified biotinylated goat anti-rabbit antibody. Following 10 min incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin, antibody complexes were visualized using 3’-amino-9’-ethylcarbazole as chromogenic substrate. This generates a red deposit at the site of antibody binding. Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin, if desired, and viewed and photographed under a Zeiss Axiophot microscope.

3. Results

3.1. Specificity of antibodies

In pilot experiments, parasagittal sections through olfactory bulbs revealed staining with antisera against α subunits of G proteins (Fig. 1). Before proceeding with a
Fig. 3. Immunohistochemical localization of GABA in horizontal sections through the mouse olfactory bulb. Panels A, D and G show low magnification views of the entire olfactory bulb from a dorsal level (A) to progressively more ventral regions of the bulb (D and G). The scale bar in G represents 500 μm and applies also to panels A and D. Panels B and C show higher magnification views of the medial and lateral region of the olfactory bulb shown in panel A, respectively. Panels E and F show higher magnification views of the medial and lateral region of the olfactory bulb shown in panel D, respectively. Panels H and I show higher magnification views of the medial and lateral region of the olfactory bulb shown in panel G, respectively. The scale bars in panels H and I correspond to 40 μm and apply also to panels B, C, E and F. In panel D, ONF designates the olfactory nerve fiber layer, GL, the glomerular layer, EP, the external plexiform layer, and VN, the vomeronasal nerve. The arrowhead indicates the mitral cell body layer. The boxed areas in panels D and G indicate the AOB. Note the ubiquitous staining of the glomeruli at all three horizontal levels examined, both medially and laterally (arrows).
detailed characterization of staining patterns we assessed the specificity of our antisera. Western blotting with olfactory bulb homogenates reveals a single band with an apparent molecular weight of 41 kDa with the antiserum against Gα_{12} and a single band with an apparent molecular weight of 39 kDa with the antiserum against Gα_{13} (Fig. 1A). There is no evidence for non-specific staining when olfactory bulb sections are incubated with normal rabbit serum (Fig. 1B). To evaluate cross-reactivity of the antisera against Gα_{12} with Gα_{11}, adjacent sagittal sections were stained with antiserum specific for Gα_{11} (Fig. 1C) and with antiserum against Gα_{12} (Fig. 1D). No staining is observed with the Gα_{11}-specific antiserum, whereas staining in glomeruli is evident with the antiserum against Gα_{12}. The pattern of glomerular staining in this particular region is not uniform, with some glomeruli staining strongly, whereas others are not stained or show only faint staining (Fig. 1D). Staining within individual glomeruli, however, is uniform. To determine whether glomerular staining with the antibody against Gα_{0} indeed is due to Gα_{0} rather than Gα_{13} with which this antiserum cross-reacts, we stained adjacent parasagittal sections with an antiserum that is specific for Gα_{13} (Fig. 1E) and the antiserum against Gα_{0} (Fig. 1F). Antiserum raised against an internal peptide of Gα_{13} shows staining in the olfactory nerve fiber layer and faint staining in the external plexiform layer of the MOB, but does not stain the glomeruli. In contrast, antiserum against Gα_{0} stains both the olfactory nerve fiber layer and the glomeruli. Although different glomeruli do not stain with equal intensity, staining within individual glomeruli again is uniform (Fig. 1F). Thus, staining detected in MOB glomeruli with the antiserum against Gα_{0} indeed is due to the presence of Gα_{0}. The faint staining observed with the Gα_{13}-specific antiserum may reflect the presence of Gα_{13} on glia. These results instill confidence that our antisera against Gα_{12} and Gα_{0}

Fig. 4. Immunohistochemical localization of Gα_{12} in a coronal section through the mouse nasal cavity. The section shown in this figure is adjacent to the one shown in Fig. 2. S designates the septum and the turbinates are indicated with roman numerals. Note that staining with antibodies against Gα_{12} is apparent in axon bundles in the submucosa (arrowheads) along the dorsal septum and around the dorsal recess of the nasal cavity. The boxed area delineates the region in which axon bundles do not stain with antiserum against Gα_{12}, but stain with antiserum against Gα_{0} (see Fig. 2). The scale bar represents 200 μm.
specifically recognize the α subunits of G₁₂ and G₁₂, respectively, in MOB glomeruli.

3.2. Expression of Ga₁₂ in the main olfactory projection

Immunohistochemical staining of coronal sections through the murine nasal cavity with an antiserum against Ga₁₂ shows extensive staining of axon bundles in the submucosa of the olfactory neuroepithelium (Fig. 2). Virtually all axon bundles along the septum and all five turbinates (I, Ib, II, IIb and III) reveal expression of this G protein.

As predicted from the extensive expression pattern of Ga₁₂ in the olfactory neuroepithelium, immunohistochemical localization of this G protein in the olfactory projection to the MOB is widespread. Fig. 3 shows immunohistochemical staining patterns for Ga₁₂ at three horizontal levels through the olfactory bulb with views of the entire bulb (A, D and G) and higher magnification views of the medial (B, E and H) and lateral (C, F and I) aspects of the bulb. At all three levels observed, from the most dorsal (A, B and C) to the most ventral (G, H and I), expression of Ga₁₂ appears extensive and uniform within the nerve fiber layer and glomeruli. Although staining for Ga₁₂ is particularly prominent in the glomeruli, staining is observed in many of the MOB laminae, especially the granule cell layer, with exception of the mitral cell body layer. This is not surprising given the prominence of G₁₂ as one of the major membrane proteins in the central nervous system [17]. Thus, Ga₁₂ appears to be universally expressed in olfactory axons that project to the MOB. In contrast, expression of Ga₁₂ in glomeruli of the AOB (boxed areas in Fig. 3D and G) is not uniform, but localized to the caudal region of the AOB (see below).

3.3. Expression of Ga₁₂ in the main olfactory projection

In contrast to the ubiquitous expression of Ga₁₂, immunohistochemical localization of Ga₁₂ in coronal sections through the murine nasal cavity shows a more restricted expression pattern (Fig. 4). The expression pattern of Ga₁₂ appears nested within the center of the expression pattern of its counterpart, Ga₁₂. Whereas virtually all axon bundles stain with antiserum against Ga₁₂ in the submucosa along the dorsal septum and around the dorsal recess of the nasal cavity, no expression of this G protein is observed in olfactory neurons originating from turbinates I, Ib, IIb and III and the most ventral region along the septum (Fig. 4). Thus, olfactory neurons in the more lateral regions of the nasal cavity express only Ga₁₂, but not Ga₁₂, as indicated by the boxed areas in Figs. 2 and 4.

In the MOB, the expression pattern of Ga₁₂ contrasts sharply with the uniform pattern of expression of Ga₁₂. Fig. 5 shows immunohistochemical staining patterns for Ga₁₂ at three horizontal levels through the olfactory bulb with views of the entire bulb (A, D and G) and higher magnification views of the medial (B, E and H) and lateral (C, F and I) aspects of the bulb. At the most dorsal horizontal level examined, only a subset of glomeruli expresses Ga₁₂. Whereas different glomeruli stain with different intensity, within a single glomerulus the staining intensity appears uniform. Many glomeruli immunoreactive with antiserum against Ga₁₂ are located in the medial region of the MOB, whereas in the lateral region of the bulb more unstained glomeruli are interspersed with those that express Ga₁₂ (Fig. 5B and C). Thus, the expression of Ga₁₂ appears biased toward the medial aspect of the MOB. This preponderance of the expression pattern of Ga₁₂ in the medial rather than the lateral region of the MOB is especially evident in more ventral layers of the bulb (Fig. 5H and I). Interestingly, virtually no expression of Ga₁₂ is observed in glomeruli that border the region through which the vomeronasal nerve penetrates toward the AOB (Fig. 5D and E). The vomeronasal nerve stains here prominently and projects to glomeruli in the anterior region of the AOB (Fig. 5D). Thus, the projection of Ga₁₂-expressing olfactory neurons from the dorsomedial region of the nasal cavity fans out across the medial aspect of the MOB, sparing the penetration corridor of the vomeronasal nerve and extending sparsely to scattered glomeruli in the lateral region of the MOB.

3.4. Expression of Ga₁₂ and Ga₁₂ in the accessory olfactory projection

Whereas in the MOB the expression of Ga₁₂ is more restricted than the distribution of Ga₁₂, the reverse pattern is evident in the AOB, where the expression pattern of
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Fig. 5. Immunohistochemical localization of Ga₁₂ in horizontal sections through the mouse olfactory bulb. Panels A, D and G show low magnification views of the entire olfactory bulb from a dorsal level (A) to progressively more ventral regions of the bulb (D and G). These panels represent sections adjacent to their counterparts shown in Fig. 3. The scale bar in G represents 500 μm and applies also to panels A and D. Panels B and C show higher magnification views of the medial and lateral region of the olfactory bulb shown in panel A, respectively. Panels E and F show higher magnification views of the medial and lateral region of the olfactory bulb shown in panel D, respectively. Panels H and I show higher magnification views of the medial and lateral region of the olfactory bulb shown in panel G, respectively. The scale bars in panels H and I correspond to 40 μm and apply also to panels B, C, E and F. In panel D, ONF designates the olfactory nerve fiber layer, GL, the glomerular layer, EP, the external plexiform layer, and VN, the vomeronasal nerve. The arrowhead indicates the mitral cell body layer. The boxed areas in panels D and G indicate the AOB. Note the heterogeneous staining of glomeruli. Ga₁₂ immunoreactivity is mostly found in medial glomeruli of the olfactory bulb (arrows in panels B and H), although some lateral glomeruli in the dorsal region of the bulb also stain (arrows in panel C). Note the virtual absence of expression of Ga₁₂ in panels D, E and F where the vomeronasal nerve (VN) travels along the medial aspect of the MOB toward the AOB (boxed area).
$\text{Go}_{12}$ appears more extensive than that of $\text{Go}_{13}$. This is especially evident in parasagittal sections through the AOB (Fig. 6A and B). The arrows in panels A and B of Fig. 6 indicate the level of the horizontal sections shown in panels C and D. These horizontal views are higher magnifications of the boxed areas of panels D in Figs. 3 and 5, respectively. The necklace glomeruli, a group of specialized glomeruli in the caudal region of the MOB bordering the AOB [37], appear to express $\text{Go}_{13}$, but not $\text{Go}_{12}$. Expression patterns in the AOB are similar to those previously observed in opossum, mouse and rat [13,14,18]. Neuronal projections expressing $\text{Go}_{12}$ and $\text{Go}_{13}$ are clearly demarcated in the murine AOB, with vomeronasal axons expressing $\text{Go}_{12}$ projecting to glomeruli in the rostral
region of the AOB and those expressing $G_{\alpha_{i}}$ being restricted to the caudal region of the AOB (Fig. 6B and D).

4. Discussion

4.1. Differential expression of $G$ proteins in chemosensory projections to the MOB and AOB

We have used immunohistochemistry to visualize the expression patterns of the $\alpha$ subunits of $G_{\alpha}$ and $G_{i2}$ in the mouse olfactory system. $G_{\alpha}$ is expressed ubiquitously in olfactory receptor neurons throughout the olfactory neuroepithelium and, consequently, is visualized in virtually all glomeruli in the MOB (Figs. 2 and 3). In contrast, the expression of $G_{i2}$ is restricted to a subset of olfactory neurons, located along the dorsal septum and around the dorsal recess of the nasal cavity and projecting primarily to medial regions of the MOB, with the exception of glomeruli in the immediate vicinity of the vomeronasal nerve (Figs. 4 and 5).

In contrast to the overlapping expression patterns of $G_{\alpha}$ and $G_{i2}$ in the main olfactory system, the projection fields of neurons expressing $G_{\alpha}$ and those expressing $G_{i2}$ in the AOB are more clearly demarcated, in agreement with previous studies (Fig. 6) (see Ref. [13] for review). In the AOB, vomeronasal axons terminating in glomeruli in the rostral region of the AOB express $G_{i2}$, whereas axons projecting to the caudal region of the AOB express $G_{\alpha}$.

Our results further indicate absence of $G_{i1}$ and $G_{i3}$ in primary olfactory afferents, although the latter is expressed in the olfactory nerve fiber layer of the MOB (Fig. 1). Previously, we localized $G_{\alpha/11}$ to chemosensory neurons in the porcine VNO, where it is likely involved in regulating inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate-mediated responses to VNO stimuli [45]. We did, however, not detect $G_{\alpha/11}$ immunoreactivity in the mouse MOB or AOB. An antisera against $G_{\alpha_{i}}$ showed only weak staining in MOB glomeruli and staining patterns observed with this antisera were inconclusive. Recently, homologous recombinant mice lacking the $\alpha$ subunit of $G_{af}$ [20] were shown to be anosmic [3]. However, they retained a morphologically intact olfactory neuroepithelium and MOB [3], suggesting that $G_{af}$ does not play a role in the formation of the olfactory projection.

The prominent presence of $G_{\alpha}$ and $G_{\alpha}$ in the MOB had been noted previously [38], but differential expression patterns of these $G$ proteins in populations of olfactory neurons throughout the MOB have not been characterized before. Since these $G$ proteins are expressed in different populations of neurons in the VNO and AOB [4,13,14,18], we decided to focus on the characterization of the expression patterns of these two transduction proteins. It should be noted, however, that we cannot exclude that some glomeruli may express different $G$ proteins that have escaped detection in this study.
4.2. What are the functions of G proteins on the axons of olfactory and vomeronasal neurons?

Segregation of lateral and medial olfactory projections has been noted in studies with monoclonal antibodies against surface antigens [12,28,35,36] and N-CAM isoforms [21]. Characterization of segregated populations of neurons expressing different G proteins is especially significant, since these proteins are essential components of signal transduction pathways. Thus, the presence of two distinct G proteins on afferent axons of olfactory neurons raises questions regarding the receptors these proteins are coupled to, the signals they transduce and the effector systems they activate.

G proteins on the axons of olfactory neurons could play a role either in modulation of signal propagation or in the assembly of convergent axonal projections during the formation and maintenance of the chemotopic map. In the main olfactory system, olfactory neurons expressing the same odorant receptor converge on two glomeruli in the MOB, one medial and one lateral [27,32]. Thus, the olfactory projection forms two chemotopic representations in each olfactory bulb, one lateral map and one medial map. It is tempting to speculate that, whereas $G_v$ may play a role in axonal targeting in all olfactory neurons in the MOB, expression of $G_o$ may direct a subpopulation of neurons to form a dorsomedial projection that may define, at least in part, the medial chemotopic map. Involvement of these G proteins in the formation of chemotopic projections is especially intriguing, since odorant receptors themselves may play a central role in forming convergent glomerular projections [27,44]. As odorant receptors are heptahelical receptors, axonal signaling via these receptors is expected to be mediated via G proteins. Furthermore, in the VNO neurons with VN1 receptors express $G_{12}$, whereas neurons with VN2 receptors express $G_o$ [15,25,34]. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that in the main olfactory projection $G_{12}$ and $G_o$ may be coupled to odorant receptors and play a role in the formation of the glomerular map.

This hypothesis implies that odorant receptors and putative pheromone receptors would change their coupling specificity from $G_{olf}$ on olfactory cilia [20] and $G_{iv/11}$ on VNO microvilli [45], respectively, to $G_{12}$ or $G_o$ on olfactory and vomeronasal axons. Such G protein switching appears plausible, since $G_{s}$-adrenergic receptors can switch their G protein specificity upon phosphorylation by cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase [7]. We are well aware, however, that the hypothesis that axonal odorant receptors may couple to $G_o$ or $G_{12}$ is at present highly speculative.

Duncan et al. [9] showed that small injections of an anterograde tracer in the frog ventral olfactory epithelium labeled predominantly the lateral portion of the MOB, whereas injections in the dorsal olfactory epithelium generated heavier labeling in the medial region. Mori provided evidence that neighboring glomeruli in the MOB receive inputs from olfactory neurons with related odorant response properties [30]. A recent fura-2 calcium imaging study in mouse showed that retrogradely labeled olfactory neurons projecting to defined dorsomedial or dorsolateral glomerular regions respond to different classes of odorants [5]. Dorsolaterally projecting neurons respond to structurally diverse odorants, including carvone, eugenol, cinnamaldehyde and acetophenone, whereas dorsomedially projecting neurons respond preferentially to organic acids [5]. These observations are consistent with earlier electrophysiological studies by Mori et al. [29], which showed that mitral and tufted cells in the dorsomedial region of the rabbit MOB respond preferentially to long chain carboxylic acids, but not to their corresponding alcohols. These findings suggest that the chemotopic glomerular map may comprise dorsolateral and dorsomedial projections of neurons expressing functionally distinct classes of odorant receptors.

As noted above, it is possible that the expression pattern of $G_{12}$, nested within the projection of $G_v$-expressing neurons, is important for the construction of lateral and medial chemosensory projection fields. The widespread expression of $G_v$ on axons of olfactory neurons is perhaps not surprising, since it has long been known that $G_v$ interacts with GAP43 on axonal growth cones and may play a role in linking transduction of extracellular signals to growth cone function [39]. However, homologous recombinant mice deficient in the $\alpha$ subunit of $G_v$ did not show gross behavioral or neurological disorders and their optic nerves were structurally indistinguishable from those of heterozygous litter mates [43]. Similarly, homologous recombinant mice deficient in the $\alpha$ subunit of $G_o$ are viable due to compensatory expression of related G proteins [33]. The functional integrity of the chemotopic maps and the ability of these animals to discriminate odorants or respond to pheromones, however, has not yet been investigated. More detailed studies on these mice could in the future yield important information about the function of these axonal G proteins in the olfactory system.
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Legends to Figures

Figure 1: Diagrammatic representation of the “dipstick” assay for measurements of olfactory avoidance responses. After a 15s recovery period, the number of flies in the compartment away from the odor source is counted at 5s intervals and the average of 10 consecutive measurements is recorded as the avoidance score. The example shows a typical wild-type avoidance response. Details of the assay are described in the text.

Figure 2: Variation for avoidance response to benzaldehyde among isogenic chromosome 1 (X; left panel) and chromosome 3 (right panel) substitution lines of Drosophila melanogaster. The male and female avoidance scores of each line are connected. Adapted from Mackay et al., 1996.

Figure 3: Interaction diagram of smi loci. The dotted and uninterrupted lines indicate epistatic effects that enhance and suppress the homozygous mutant phenotype, respectively. Two loci, smi60E and smi61A, form an independent pair with a positive epistatic effect (not shown). Adapted from Fedorowicz et al., 1998.

Figure 4: Unrooted neighbor-joining trees of 18 olfactomedin-related proteins (A) and their olfactomedin homology domains (B). The bootstrap values are coded such that a closed circle refers to a value of 95 - 100% and an open circle refers to values between 70 and 94%. GenBank accession numbers for these protein sequences are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Accession Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL2BA_Rat</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL3_Rat</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIAA0821_Human</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latrophilin1_Cow</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latrophilin1-precursor</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latrophilin2_Human</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latrophilin3_Cow</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olf_Frog</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olf1_Worm</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olf2_Worm</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OlfA_Human</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OlfA_Mouse</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OlfA_Rat</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OlfB_Human</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OlfC_Human</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OlfD_Human</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIGR/myocilin_Human</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancortin3_Mouse</td>
<td>gi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5: Tissue-specific expression of olfactomedin-related gene products. Non-crosshybridizing radiolabeled oligonucleotide probes were hybridized to a Northern blot containing samples of human heart (H), brain (B), placenta (Pl), lung (Lu), liver (Li), skeletal muscle (M), kidney (K), pancreas (Pa), spleen (S), thyroid (Th), prostate gland (P), testis (Ts), ovary (O), small intestine (Si), colon (C), and peripheral blood leukocyte (Pb). Two blots were hybridized sequentially to different probes and complete removal of the previous probe was verified between hybridizations. A faint band of hybridization is detected in pancreas with the TIGR/myocilin probe. This band is not an artifact due to incomplete erosion of the hOlfB probe, since hybridization with TIGR/myocilin was preceded by hybridization with hOlfA to this blot.
Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s):</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># flies:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avoidance score: 3.9
Olfactomedin homology domain

- 95 - 100%
- 70 - 95%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P$-element insertion</th>
<th>candidate genes at the cytological location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>smi21F</strong></td>
<td>CG5397 (carboxyl esterase); CG4523 (cell adhesion protein); Acap (adenyl cyclase-associated protein); CG4887 and CG4896 (RNA binding proteins); CG5001 (heat shock protein); CG5080 (cytoskeletal protein); CG5105 (phospholipase A2 activating protein); up to 18 unknown gene products, including a <strong>putative odorant binding protein</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>smi26D</strong></td>
<td>CG9493 (protein phosphatase); CG9499 and CG9501 (putative ion channels); CG9507 and CG9509 (endopeptidases); CG9506 (structural protein); Tig (Tigrin; extracellular matrix protein); CG9527 (acyl coenzyme A oxidase homologue); CG9508 (nephrilysin); Cpr (cytchosome P450 reductase); CG9490, CG11573 and CG9488 (protein kinases); CG9491 (cAMP-dependent Rap1 guanine nucleotide exchange factor); up to 16 unknown gene products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>smi27E</strong></td>
<td>CG4496 (zinc finger transcription factor); CG4675 (transport protein); Wnt4 (Wnt oncogene analog 4); up to 12 unknown gene products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>smi28E</strong></td>
<td>CG7219 (serpin); CG7221 (putative dehydrogenase enzyme); CG7367 (lipase homologue); CG7392 (calmodulin binding protein homologue); CG7424 (ribosomal protein); CG7466 (cell adhesion protein); CG7227 (lysosome membrane protein homologue); CG7356 ($\gamma$-glutamyl transferase); Calo (calmodulin binding protein); poe (transmembrane protein); CG7586 (G,-macroglobulin homologue); Trf (RNA polymerase II transcription factor); CG8668 and CG8673 (putative galactosyl transferase); up to 17 unknown gene products (including gel and belt).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>smi35A</strong></td>
<td>This is the alcohol dehydrogenase (Adh) region, which has been extensively annotated by Ashburner et al. (1999). The $P[iaB]$ insertion site is near wb (laminin) and $l(2)34F$a (dyrk2 kinase homologue).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>smi45E</strong></td>
<td>Wnt2 (Wnt oncogene analog 2); cro (croaker, a courtship impaired and slow mating mutant); CG1931 (cytoskeletal protein); rdgG (retinal degeneration G; unknown gene product); up to 5 unknown gene products, including an <strong>olfactory receptor</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>smi51A</strong></td>
<td>CG8151 (RNA polymerase II transcription factor); CG8422 (G protein-coupled receptor); CG10104 (endopeptidase); CG17385 and CG17390 (zinc finger transcription factors); phy1 (phylopol; nuclear protein); cspf (component of the cleavage and adenylation specificity factor complex); Ax2 (Additional sex comb); chromatin binding protein); tvt (glucuronol N-acetylglucosaminyl transferase homologue); CG10110 (RNA binding protein); up to 23 unknown gene products (including the oho51, awk, L, and xen loci).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>smi60E</strong></td>
<td>gsb and gsb-n (gooseberry; RNA polymerase II transcription factor); uzip (integral membrane axon guidance protein); gol (goliath) and Tkr (zinc finger transcription factors); CG2803 (troponin homologue); BcDNA:GH04753 (glutathione-S-transferase homologue); CG12850 (transcription factor); CG2811 and CG9358 (putative ligand carrier proteins); RpL19 (ribosomal protein); CG2947 and ESTS:17F2S (peptides); emp (epithelial membrane protein); zip (non-muscle myosin); ETH (ecdyosis triggering hormone); NaCP60E (sodium channel protein); up to 20 unknown gene products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>smi61A</strong></td>
<td>CG1201, BcDNA:GH04978 and P661C (protein kinases); CG1216 and Gymk (glycerol kinase); CG11869 (putative microtubule-associated protein); CG13406 (G protein-coupled receptor); miple2 (midline/pleiotrophin family protein); Lsp1y (larval serum protein 1 subunit); CG1212 (putative signal transduction protein); CG7051 (dynein-like motor protein); CG7036 (putative transcription factor); Mch (mitochondrial carrier protein); NitFlit (nitriase and fragile histidine triad fusion protein); CG17142 (cytoskeletal structural protein); Kaz1 (serine protease inhibitor); up to 16 unknown gene products (including fwd).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>smi65A</strong></td>
<td>CG10541 (cytoskeletal structural protein); CG5046 (ligand carrier binding protein); CG17498 (cell cycle regulator); CG5537 (uracil phosphoribosyl transferase); S68 (ribosomal protein S-p70-protein kinase); vn (neuregulin-like protein); B3 (chromatin binding protein); 33-13 and Ets65A (DNA binding proteins); CG10486, CG5592, CG6600 and CG10226 (transport proteins); CG10487 (receptor guanylate cyclase); CG10489 (DNA replication)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
protein); CG13287, CG13296, CG10274 and CG7386 (transcription factors); CG10467 (aldose 1-epimerase homologue); CG10469, CG10472, CG10475, CG10477, CG6457, CG6462, CG6467, CG6483, CG6480, and CG6592 (endopeptidases); CG10163 (phospholipase A1 homologue); i(3)mbu (lethal(3) malignant blood neoplasm membrane protein); CG10533, CG10461, CG10529, and CG12330 (structural proteins); Lep20, Lcp11, Lcp65Aa, Lcp65Ac, Lep65Ad, Lep6, Lcp65Ab1, Lcp65Ab2, Lcp65Ae, Lep65Af, and Lep65Ag3 (larval cuticle proteins); Acp65Aa (adult cuticle protein); CG13289 (cell adhesion protein); CG6062 and CG6619 (putative signal transduction proteins); CG6610, i(3)02094 and CG13296 (RNA binding proteins); Snap25 (synaptosome-associated protein 25kD); CG10160 (lactate dehydrogenase); CG10173 (peptidase); D19A and D19B (nuclear zinc finger proteins); lanA (laminin A); Mdr65 (multiple drug transporter); Tm (transportin); up to 41 unknown gene products (including Jon65A, tantalus and prd1).

**smi79E**

* Aats-ile and CG11471(isoleucyl tRNA synthetase); CG7495 (dopamine β-monoxygenase homologue); CG9085 (protein kinase); Csp (cysteine string protein); Ddx11 (ATP-dependent helicase); Hem (plasma membrane protein); Ten-m (tenascin); up to 15 unknown gene products (including exb).

**smi97B**

CG6036 (protein phosphatase); CG6162 (transporter protein); ird15 (immune response deficient); CG14239 (putative ion channel); scrib (scribbled; an adhesion protein with multiple leucine-rich repeats and PDZ domains); CG5443 (hexokinase); Pd (pigment dispersing factor, neuroptide hormone); dei (RNA polymerase II transcription factor); CG5432 (aldolase homologue); CG6490 (cell adhesion protein); up to 6 unknown gene products.

**smi98B**

CG4849 and CG4980 (RNA binding proteins); CG5540 (olfactory receptor); CG4963 (mitochondrial carrier protein homologue); CG12260, CG12261, and CG4976 (transcription factors); CG5017 and CG5520 (chaperones); CG5527 (endopeptidase); Acp98AB (accessory gland specific peptide); Ets98B (DNA binding protein); Ppl1 (ribosomal protein); up to 16 unknown gene products.

* Candidate genes likely to account for smell-impairments induced by P-element insertions, as evident from preliminary unpublished experiments, are shown in bold print. Note the large number of predicted transcription units of unknown function, which may harbor genes that contribute to odor-guided behavior. Data were compiled from the *Drosophila* genomic sequence as accessed via Flybase. (http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu/). For **smi35A** only the two most likely candidate genes have been indicated. This region has been annotated extensively by Ashburner et al. (1999). The P[FLArB] element in **smi79E** has inserted next to a hoppel transposon, complicating efforts to identify the affected **smi** gene.