Policy and Oversight

Financial and Performance Audit Directorate

Quality Control Review

Coopers & Lybrand, L.L.P.
Worcester Polytechnic Institute
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1996

Report Number PO 97-054

September 30, 1997

Office of the Inspector General
Department of Defense

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for Public Release
Distribution Unlimited

20000225 063
Additional Copies

To obtain additional copies of this evaluation report, contact the Secondary Reports Distribution Unit of the Analysis, Planning, and Technical Support Directorate at (703) 604-8937 (DSN 664-8937) or FAX (703) 604-8932.

Suggestions for Future Audits or Evaluations

To suggest ideas for or to request future audits or evaluations, contact the Planning and Coordination Branch of the Analysis, Planning, and Technical Support Directorate at (703) 604-8939 (DSN 664-8939) or FAX (703) 604-8932. Ideas and requests can also be mailed to:

OAIG-AUD (ATTN: APTS Audit Suggestions)
Inspector General, Department of Defense
400 Army Navy Drive (Room 801)
Arlington, Virginia 22202-2884

Defense Hotline

To report fraud, waste, or abuse, contact the Defense Hotline by calling (800) 424-9098; by sending an electronic message to Hotline@DODIG.OSD.MIL; or by writing to the Defense Hotline, The Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20301-1900. The identity of each writer and caller is fully protected.

Acronyms

DoD           Department of Defense
GAAS          Generally Accepted Auditing Standards
GAS           Government Auditing Standards
OMB           Office of Management and Budget
WPI           Worcester Polytechnic Institute
September 30, 1997

Chairman, Non-Profit Industry Group
Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P.
1301 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10019-6013

SUBJECT: Quality Control Review of Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P.
Worcester Polytechnic Institute
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1996
Report No. PO97-054

Introduction

We are providing this report for your information and use. Your Boston office performed the single audit for Worcester Polytechnic Institute (WPI), Worcester, Massachusetts. The audit is required by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, "Audits of Institutions of Higher Education and Other Nonprofit Institutions." For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1996, WPI reported total Federal expenditures of $8,246,007 representing $1,036,384 for the Department of Defense (DoD) and $7,209,623 for other Federal agencies.

On October 4, 1996, Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. issued individual reports on the financial statements; Schedule of Federal Awards; internal controls based on an audit of the financial statements; and compliance with laws, regulations, contracts, and grants based on an audit of the financial statements. On October 26, 1996, Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. issued individual reports on the internal control structure used in administering Federal awards, compliance with general requirements, and compliance with specific requirements applicable to major programs. The auditors issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statements, Schedule of Federal Awards, and compliance with specific requirements applicable to major programs. Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. issued positive and negative assurance statements on compliance with general requirements. Positive assurance states that, with respect to the items tested, the results of the auditors' procedures disclosed no material instances of noncompliance. Negative assurance states that, with respect to the items not tested, nothing came to the auditors' attention that caused them to believe that WPI had not complied in all material respects. The auditors also obtained an understanding of the
internal controls related to the financial statements and Federal awards. The audit report describes the auditors' scope of work in obtaining that understanding and in assessing control risk. The report on internal controls further describes the significant internal controls and control structure, including the controls that provide reasonable assurance that Federal awards are being managed in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Quality Control Review Results

Except for the audit of the major program student financial aid, which we did not review, we found that the OMB Circular A-133 audit performed by Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. meets the applicable guidance and regulatory requirements in the Circular, its related Compliance Supplement, Government Auditing Standards (GAS), Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (GAAS), and the provisions of the Federal award agreements for the financial statements, internal controls, and compliance requirements. The audit programs used on the engagement are comprehensive, and the working papers that support the audit reports were prepared in a professional manner.

Quality Control Review Objective

The objective of a quality control review is to assure that the audit was conducted in accordance with applicable standards and meets the auditing requirements of OMB Circular A-133. As the cognizant agency for WPI, we conducted a quality control review of the audit working papers. We focused our review on the following qualitative aspects of the audit: due professional care, planning, supervision, independence, quality control, internal controls, substantive testing, general and specific compliance testing, and the Schedule of Federal Awards.

The engagement partner informed us that Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. recently had a peer review performed by Ernst & Young LLP for the year ended March 31, 1997, and the peer review letter has not yet been issued. We reviewed the most recent peer review letter, dated September 23, 1994, issued by Ernst & Young LLP, which found that Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. met the objectives of the quality control review standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and that the standards were being complied with during the fiscal year ended March 31, 1994.

Scope and Methodology

We used the 1991 edition of the Uniform Quality Control Guide for Single Audits (the Guide), which was approved by the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency as guidance for performing the quality control review procedures. The Guide is organized
by the general and field work audit standards and the required elements of a single
audit. The Guide is further divided into the substantive work performed during the
audit of the financial statements and the specific program compliance testing for major
programs. In addition, we supplemented the Guide to include additional review of
transaction testing. We conducted our review in September 1997. We did not review
the Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. audit of the major program student financial aid
because it does not include DoD funds. The Schedule of Federal Awards shows fiscal
year ended June 30, 1996, student financial aid expenditures in the amount of
$1,754,350 or 21 percent of total Federal award expenditures.

Results of Prior Quality Control Reviews

We identified minor quality control review findings and recommendations at 3 of the
10 Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. locations we visited from January 1, 1995, through
December 31, 1996. The affected offices were notified, and no further action is
necessary.

Background

The Inspector General Act of 1978, Public Law 95-452, prescribes the duties and
responsibilities of that office. In implementing those responsibilities, the Inspector
General is required to "take appropriate steps to assure that any work performed by
non-Federal auditors complies with the standards established by the Comptroller
General."

The Single Audit Act of 1984 (Public Law 98-502) was intended to improve the
financial management of state and local governments whose annual expenditures total
$100,000 or more with respect to Federal financial assistance programs; establish
uniform requirements for audits of Federal financial assistance; promote efficient and
effective use of audit resources; and ensure that Federal departments and agencies rely
on and use the audit work done under the Act, to the maximum extent practicable.

The Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, based on 12 years of experience under the
1984 Act, are intended to strengthen the usefulness of single audits by increasing the
audit threshold from $100,000 to $300,000 with respect to Federal financial assistance
programs before an audit is required under the Act, by selecting programs to be audited
on the basis of risk assessment rather than the amount of funds involved, and by
improving the contents and timeliness of single audits. The Amendments also bring
nonprofit organizations, previously covered by similar requirements in OMB Circular
A-133, under the Single Audit Act.

OMB Circular A-133 establishes the Federal audit and reporting requirements for
nonprofit and educational institutions. It provides that an audit made in accordance
with the Circular shall be in lieu of any financial audit required under individual Federal awards. An agency must rely on the audit to the extent that it provides the information and assurances that an agency needs to carry out its overall responsibilities. The independent public accountant, Federal auditor, and other non-Federal auditors must consider each other’s work in determining the nature, timing, and extent of their respective audit procedures. The Circular also requires that the cognizant agency obtain or conduct quality control reviews of selected audits made by non-Federal auditors and provide the results, when appropriate, to other interested organizations. When the OMB has not assigned a cognizant agency, then the Federal agency that provides the predominant amount of direct funding to a recipient is designated as the oversight agency. The oversight agency is responsible for providing technical advice to institutions and independent auditors when requested by the recipient and may assume all or some of the responsibilities normally performed by the cognizant agency. The revised Circular was issued June 24, 1997, to incorporate the changes in the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996. Its provisions apply to audits of fiscal years beginning after June 30, 1996.

Discussion of Results

During our quality control review, we reviewed and took no exception to the working papers supporting the following reports and schedules.

Independent Accountant’s Report on Basic Financial Statements. The auditor is required to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We reviewed the audit program and the testing of evidential matter to determine whether testing was sufficient, based on assessment of control risk, to warrant the conclusion reached and whether the working papers support the conclusion.

Independent Accountant’s Report on Schedule of Federal Awards. The auditor is required to subject the Schedule of Federal Awards to the auditing procedures applicable to the audit of the financial statements and to express an opinion regarding whether the information in the Schedule is fairly stated in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole. Our review was included in the evaluation steps of the audit working papers related to the “Independent Accountant’s Report on Basic Financial Statements.”

Independent Accountant’s Report on the Internal Control Structure Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards. The auditor is required to obtain an understanding of the internal control structure that is sufficient to plan the audit and assess control risk for the assertions embodied in the financial statements. We reviewed the audit program for the appropriate procedures, the working paper documentation, and the substantive testing performed.
Independent Accountant’s Report on the Internal Control Structure Used in Administering Federal Awards. The auditor is required to obtain an understanding of the internal control structure to assess control risk to determine whether the auditor intends to place reliance on the internal control structure. The auditor must perform tests of controls to evaluate the effectiveness of the design and operation of the policies and procedures in preventing or detecting material noncompliance, review the system for monitoring subrecipients and obtaining and acting on subrecipient audit reports, and evaluate the effectiveness of the controls used to calculate and bill direct and indirect costs in accordance with the requirements in the Compliance Supplement. We reviewed the audit program for the appropriate procedures, the working paper documentation, and the tests of controls performed.

Independent Accountant’s Report on Compliance with Laws, Regulations, Contracts, and Grants Based on an Audit of the Basic Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards. The auditor is required to determine whether the recipient has complied with laws and regulations that may have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. We reviewed the audit program for the appropriate procedures, the working paper documentation, its support, and the compliance tests performed.

Independent Accountant’s Report on Compliance with General Requirements. The auditor is required to determine whether the recipient has complied with laws and regulations that may have a direct and material effect on any of its major Federal programs. General requirements are those that could have a material effect on the recipient’s financial statements including those prepared for Federal programs. The auditors’ procedures were limited to those prescribed in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement. We reviewed the audit program for the appropriate procedures, compared the audit program steps with those in the Compliance Supplement to make sure that the appropriate areas were audited, reviewed the working paper documentation and its support, reviewed the compliance tests performed, and reevaluated selected compliance items.

Independent Accountant’s Report on Compliance with Specific Requirements Applicable to Major Programs. The auditor is required to determine whether the recipient has complied with laws and regulations that may have a direct and material effect on its major Federal programs. The requirements included types of services allowed or not allowed; eligibility; matching, level of effort and earmarking; reporting; claims for advances and reimbursements; cost allocation; subrecipient monitoring; amounts claimed for reimbursement or used for matching; and special tests and provisions. For the major program research and development, we reviewed the audit program for the appropriate procedures, compared the audit program steps with those in the Compliance Supplement to make sure that the appropriate areas were audited, reviewed the working paper documentation and its support, reviewed the compliance tests performed, and reevaluated selected compliance items.
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The auditor may report immaterial findings in the audit report or in a separate letter to the recipient. Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P. included a Schedule of Reportable Conditions in its report and prepared two separate letters. See Enclosure 1 for a Schedule of Reportable Conditions, Findings and Questioned Costs, and Management Letter Comments and Recommendations.

Comments

Because this report contains no findings or recommendations, written comments are not required. We appreciate the courtesies extended during the review. If you have questions on this report, please contact Ms. Barbara Smolenyak, Program Director, at (703) 604-8761 or Mr. Steven E. Zane, Project Manager, at (703) 604-8772. See Enclosure 2 for the report distribution.

Russell A. Rau
Assistant Inspector General
Policy and Oversight

Enclosures
Schedule of Reportable Conditions, Findings and Questioned Costs, and Management Letter Comments and Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page No.</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Amount Questioned</th>
<th>Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Purchasing</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Equipment Inventory</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Letter of Immaterial Findings, October 26, 1996

Sponsored Research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page No.</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Amount Questioned</th>
<th>Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Direct Questioned Cost</td>
<td>$119</td>
<td>NSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$1,840</td>
<td>NSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Subcode Classification</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Student Financial Aid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page No.</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Amount Questioned</th>
<th>Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Entrance and Exit Interviews</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoEd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Expected Family Contribution</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>DoEd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Refunds</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>DoEd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

'Similar findings were identified in the prior year.

Note: Acronyms identified at the end of the schedule.
Schedule of Reportable Conditions, Findings and Questioned Costs, and Management Letter Comments and Recommendations (Cont’d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page No.</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Amount Questioned</th>
<th>Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Purchasing and Receiving</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Year 2000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Disaster Recovery Plan</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Split-Interest Agreements</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SFAS Nos. 116 and 117(^2)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gifts(^2)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Accounts Receivable(^2)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fixed Asset Inventory(^2)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>DoD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^2\)Similar comments and recommendations were identified in the prior year.

Acronyms
- DoD: Department of Defense
- DoEd: Department of Education
- NSF: National Science Foundation
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Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1996  

Distribution List  

Independent Auditors  
Mr. John Mattie, Partner  
Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P.  
100 Pearl Street  
Hartford, CT 06103-4508  

Federal Award Recipient  
Board of Trustees  
Worcester Polytechnic Institute  
100 Institute Road  
Worcester, MA 01609-2280  

Mr. Stephen J. Hebert  
Vice President for Administration,  
Treasurer and Secretary of the Corporation  
Worcester Polytechnic Institute  
100 Institute Road  
Worcester, MA 01609-2280  

Federal Non-DoD Agencies Providing Direct Awards  

U.S. Department of Agriculture  
Regional Inspector General  
4700 River Road, Suite 5D06  
Riverdale, MD 20737-1237  

U.S. Department of Education  
Non-Federal Audit Team  
Office of Inspector General  
3535 Market St., Room 16280  
Philadelphia, PA 19104  
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Federal Non-DoD Agencies Providing Direct Awards (Cont'd)

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Inspector General
ATTN: Single Audit Coordinator
1000 Independence Avenue SW
IG-33, Room 5A-193
Washington, DC 20585

Department of Health and Human Services
Office of Inspector General, National External Audit Resources
National Audit Managers - Non-Federal Audits
Lucas Place
323 West 8th Street, Room 514
Kansas City, MO 64105

U.S. Department of Transportation
Office of Inspector General
Atlanta Federal Center
Suite 17T60
Atlanta, GA 30303

U.S. Agency for International Development
Contract Audit Management Branch
Room 1425, SA-14
Washington, DC 20523-1416

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
National Single Audit Coordinator
Office of Inspector General
Mid-Atlantic Audit Division
841 Chestnut Street, 13th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Federal Non-DoD Agencies Providing Direct Awards (Cont’d)

Federal Emergency Management Agency
District Audit Manager
Office of Inspector General
Room 373
3003 Chambles-Tucker Road
Atlanta, GA 30341

National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Office of Inspector General
Headquarters, Code W
Washington, DC 20546-0001

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Office of the Director
Administration Building, Room 1134
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

National Science Foundation
Office of Inspector General
Assistant Inspector General for Audit
4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1135
Arlington, VA 22230

Department of Defense Components

Director, Defense Procurement
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology
3060 Defense Pentagon
Washington, DC 20301-3060
Worcester Polytechnic Institute
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1996

Distribution List (Cont’d)

Department of Defense Components (Cont’d)

Director, Defense Research and Engineering
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology
3030 Defense Pentagon
Washington, DC 20301-3030

Director
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
3701 North Fairfax Drive
Arlington, VA 22203-1714

Commander
Defense Contract Management Command
8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 4539
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-3060

Chief of Naval Research
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5660

Resident Representative
Office of Naval Research
Boston Regional Office
Department of the Navy
495 Summer Street, Room 103
Boston, MA 02210-2109

Department of the Air Force
Directorate for Accounting and Banking
Office of the Assistant Secretary
1130 Air Force Pentagon, Room 4C239
Washington, DC 20330-1130
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Department of Defense Components (Cont'd)

Department of the Army
Deputy Assistant Secretary of
the Army for Financial Operations
101 Army Pentagon, Room 3E575
Washington, DC 20310-6178

Department of the Navy
Comptroller of the Navy
Pentagon, Room 2B340
Washington, DC 20350-1100
Evaluation Team Members

This report was prepared by the Financial and Performance Audit Directorate, Office of the Assistant Inspector General for Policy and Oversight, DoD.

Barbara E. Smolenyak
Steven E. Zane
Nancy C. Cipolla
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