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Pace of Operations: 3 Components

- **Deployments**: Peacekeeping, humanitarian, and combat.
  - including peace enforcement, TFAS, PfP, SETAF missions
- **Training/Exercises**: Field exercises, schools, and TDYs
- **Garrison Duties**: Rear detachment and garrison support
There are levels of OPTEMPO that *enhance* unit and soldier readiness. There are also levels of OPTEMPO that *decrease* unit and soldier readiness. Military deployments, training exercises, and garrison duties are useful in maintaining soldier and unit readiness. It is only when these levels are either very high or very low that soldier and unit readiness declines.
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Psychological Screening Procedures

Three Components

• **Primary Screen**: Administered to all redeploying military personnel. Measures three psychological symptom categories:
  • post-traumatic stress
  • depression
  • alcohol abuse

• **Secondary Screen Interview**: Military personnel whose scores exceed established criteria on any indicator are interviewed by an appropriate clinician.

• **Home station referral**: Based on the interview, military personnel may be referred for home station follow-up. Referrals are documented in the service members’ medical records.
Units Conducting Psychological Screening (1 of 2)

USAMRU-E (Heidelberg)
254th CSC (Wiesbaden)
67th CSH (Wuerzburg)
85th CSC (Fort Hood)
MTF 324th (Florida)
MTF 94th (Texas)
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Units Conducting Psychological Screening (2 of 2)
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Deployment Length

- Average deployment length for the entire force was 6.6 months.
- 27% of the force were deployed for longer than 8 months.
- The Army had the highest average deployment length in the Bosnia theater compared to all other services. The DoD civilians and the Marines had the next highest, followed by the Air Force and Navy.
Deployment Length: Primary Screen

- Percent of total Bosnia force whose scores on the primary psychological screens (PTSD, depression, and alcohol) exceed specified criteria as a function of length of deployment.
- 19.0% of those receiving the primary screen exceeded established criteria on at least one of the scales.
- In general, the highest rates occurred among those deployed for longer than 5 months, with the first increase occurring after 5-6 months deployment.

**PTSD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Deployment (in months)</th>
<th>% Positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10+</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Depression**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Deployment (in months)</th>
<th>% Positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10+</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Alcohol Abuse**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Deployment (in months)</th>
<th>% Positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10+</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deployment Length: Referrals

- Percent of total force referred for home station follow-up as a function of length of deployment.
- There is a peak for referral rates around 7 to 8 months of deployment. During OJE there was an increase in referrals at 5 to 6 months (with a peak of 4.4%).
- Unlike the primary screen rates, referral rates can be affected by a particular psychological battery screening team, based on differences in experience and training.

![Bar Chart]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deployment Length (in months)</th>
<th>Percent Referred (All Types)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Population Incidence: Referrals

- Comparison of military referral with population rates.
- No reference data sets exist which permit a direct comparison between these redeployment military rates and other populations. *Indirect* comparisons, however, can be made between military referral rates and population diagnostic rates.
- The military referral rates for PTSD, depression, and alcohol abuse are below or within general population rates.

NOTE: The referral rates include two numbers: the first number is based on the percent of the entire population surveyed, the second number is based on the percent of the respondents receiving a secondary screen (i.e. those exceeding criteria on any one scale). The population diagnostic rates are based on the U.S. population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Military Referral Rate</th>
<th>Population Diagnostic Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total population</strong></td>
<td><strong>Secondary screening</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PTSD</strong></td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(questions pertain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>only to deployment)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depression</strong></td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohol Abuse</strong></td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison of Forces: Primary Screen

- Percent of each service (Army, Navy, Air Force, Marines, and DoD civilians) whose scores exceed established criteria on the PTSD, depression, and alcohol scales.

- The Army personnel had the highest rates on all primary scales compared with the other services followed by the Marines, Navy, Civilians, and Air Force.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PTSD</th>
<th>Depression¹</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Total²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (N = 45,898)</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army (n = 43,717)</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy (n = 618)</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force (n = 1,074)</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine (n = 198)</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian (n = 291)</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Of those scoring below criteria on depression, 1.7% endorsed an item assessing suicidal ideation.

² NOTE: Numbers may not sum to 100% because of rounding.
Comparison of Forces: Secondary Screen

- Percent of each service (Army, Navy, Air Force, Marines, and DoD civilians) receiving a home station referral.
- The Army and Marines had the highest home station referral rates, followed by DoD civilians, the Navy, and the Air Force.
- Among those exceeding criteria on one of the primary scales, Civilians, Army, and Marines have the highest referral rates, whereas the Navy and Air Force had a relatively low rate of referral.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Referred (% of total sample; n=46,444)</th>
<th>Referred (% of those interviewed; n=1,024)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (N = 45,902)</strong></td>
<td>2.4% (n = 1,095)</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army (n = 43,719)</strong></td>
<td>2.4% (n = 1,067)</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy (n = 618)</strong></td>
<td>1.1% (n = 7)</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force (n = 1,074)</strong></td>
<td>0.5% (n = 5)</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine (n = 198)</strong></td>
<td>2.5% (n = 5)</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Civilian (n = 293)</strong></td>
<td>1.7% (n = 5)</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Rank Comparisons: Primary Screen

- Percent of the force in the Bosnia theater whose scores on the PTSD, depression, and alcohol scales exceed establish criteria as a function of rank.
- In general, the rates of the junior enlisted and NCOs were higher than the rates of officers on all three scales (PTSD, depression, and alcohol abuse).

PTSD

Depression

Alcohol Abuse
Component Comparisons: Primary Screen

- Percent of the force in the Bosnia theater whose scores on the PTSD, depression, and alcohol scales exceed established criteria as a function of component.
- In general, the rates of the active duty personnel were higher than the rates of reservists and national guard personnel on all three scales (PTSD, depression, and alcohol abuse).
- In terms of home station referral rates, the active duty and reservist personnel had higher rates (2.4% and 2.2% respectively) than the National Guard (0.9%).
Scale Reliability

- The PTSD scale is highly reliable.
- The Zung and the CAGE, both published scales, had lower rates of reliability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>Chronbach’s Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAGE</td>
<td>.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zung</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effectiveness of Symptom Scales: Interviews

- Disposition rates\(^1\) for military personnel scoring in the positive range on the three screening measures (PTSD, depression, and alcohol abuse).
- The PTSD and depression scales were effective in identifying redeploying personnel with problems 68% and 64% of the time, respectively; the alcohol abuse scale was effective only 29% of the time.

---

\(^1\)Case disposition breakdowns are based on 5,395 military personnel redeploying.
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Analysis: Zung Depression Scale

- All items on the scale contributed to soldiers exceeding criteria on the primary screen.
- For those who exceeded criteria on the Zung, 8 items distinguished between those who got any type of referral and those who did not receive a referral.

I feel that others would be better off if I were dead
I am more irritable than usual
I am restless and cannot keep still
I get tired for no reason
My heart beats faster than usual
I have trouble sleeping at night
I have crying spells or feel like it
I feel down-hearted and blue

Note: N= 4,771
All differences significant at p<.01

Symptom Frequency
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Analysis: PTSD Scale (1 of 2)

- All items on the scale contributed to soldiers exceeding criteria on the primary screen.
- For those who exceeded criteria on PTSD, 11 items distinguished between those who got any type of referral and those who did not receive a referral.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Referred</th>
<th>Not Referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Was not as interested in things that used to be important to me</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Could not remember certain things about it</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felt upset because something reminded me of the event</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suddenly felt like I was going through it all over again</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had upsetting dreams</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had upsetting memories</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N= 2,232
All differences significant at p<.001

Symptom Frequency
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Analysis: PTSD Scale (2 of 2)

- All items on the scale contributed to soldiers exceeding criteria on the primary screen.
- For those who exceeded criteria on PTSD, 11 items distinguished between those who got any type of referral and those who did not receive a referral.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Referred</th>
<th>Not Referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Got suddenly scared or startled</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had difficulty concentrating</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had difficulty falling or staying asleep</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felt hopeless about the future</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felt distant from other people</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N=2,232
All differences significant at p<.01
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Analysis: CAGE Alcohol Scale

- All items on the scale contributed to soldiers exceeding criteria on the primary screen.
- For those who exceeded criteria on the CAGE, no items distinguished between those who got any type of referral and those who did not receive a referral.
- However, 2 items distinguished between those who got a referral for alcohol and those who did not.

Note: N=4464
All differences significant at p<.001
Primary Physical Screen: Incident Rates

- The majority of self-reported complaints on the SF600 were related to rashes, skin infections, or sores. The least frequently mentioned were urinary problems.
- 39% of those reporting physical problems on the SF600 reported losing at least 3 days of work during the deployment; 8% reported that they have a condition that has not been evaluated which limits their ability to do their primary military job.

Based on on-site review of 3 days of screening in November 1997 during OJG, N=544.
Relationship between Physical and Psychological Screens

- More personnel were identified with problems by the primary psychological screen (n = 85) than the primary physical screen (n = 51).

- Soldiers exceeding criteria on the primary psychology screen are at almost double the risk of reporting physical problems.

**Negative on Psychology Screen**

- Physical Problem: 12%
- No Physical Problem: 88%

**Positive on Psychology Screen**

- Physical Problem: 20%
- No Physical Problem: 80%

Based on on-site review of 3 days of screening in November 1997 during OJG, N=544.
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Value of Psychological Data Base

- Provides the Combatant Commanders information on the mental health of the redeploying force over time
- Identifies deployment mental health issues for interventions with the follow-on force
- Projects patient load at home station mental health facilities for redeploying service members
- Establishes a reference database for comparison to future operations and follow-on operations
- Facilitates the assessment of soldiers' readiness for subsequent deployments
Conclusion

• Overall, the redeploying Bosnia force is psychologically healthy.
• Psychological screening as part of a Joint Medical Surveillance Program is feasible.
• Based on these data, the psychological health of the deployed soldier did not decline until deployed for longer than 5 months.
• The influence of demographic variables on mental health rates needs to be considered when interpreting data from selective time periods.
• Future analyses should include a comparison of unit types (i.e. Combat, Combat Support and Combat Service Support).

• New Directions
  • Refinement of Secondary Screening
  • Decentralization of Screening
  • Garrison Study
  • Lessons Learned
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