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EXPERIMENTAL METHODS FOR EVALUATING PLANNING SYSTEMS

Paul R. Cohen, and Adele E. Howe

University of Massachusetts
Computer Science Department, Box 34610
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The purpose of this research was to develop empirical tools that allow AI researchers to study their systems in a principled, systematic way. In the course of a three-year project the University of Massachusetts published over 30 refereed articles on empirical methods; a textbook, Empirical Methods for Artificial Intelligence; a web site devoted to research methods in AI and Computer Science; and over 40 papers at symposia, workshops, ad related forums.

This final report contains summaries of the first two years of the project and the final year. The project went according to plan with one significant deviation. The project didn't envision the power of the World Wide Web. Technical results were planned to be delivered in conventional ways by publishing software and reports. By the second year of the project, it became clear that a web site devoted to empirical methods was required. MIT Press granted permission to post excerpt of the recently published book. Technical articles were posted, along with more of the tutorial and resource material, onto the web site. The Evaluation of Intelligent Systems (EIS) web site is completed, and much of the technology developed under this program - including the CLASP system - can be found there. As the web site address is changing in the near future, we refer interested readers to the Experimental Knowledge Systems Laboratory web site (http://www-eksl.cs.umass.edu), from which pointers to EIS may be followed.
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Numerical Productivity Measures

- Refereed papers published: 35
- Refereed papers submitted: 1
- Invited papers published: 6
- Refereed workshop abstracts and symposia papers: 17
- Books or parts thereof published: 6
- Ph.D. dissertations: 3
- Unrefereed reports and articles: 14
- Invited presentations: 32
- Contributed presentations: 10
- Tutorials: 3
- Honors, including conference committees: 15
- Graduate students supported at least 25% time: 7

Additional Researchers Working with the Principal Investigator
Subcontractor and Co-Investigator: Adele E. Howe
Other: David M. Hart (Lab Manager), David L. Westbrook (Systems Development Supervisor).
1 Executive Summary

The purpose of this research was to develop empirical tools that would allow AI researchers to study their systems in a principled, systematic way. The need was clear and well-documented [3]. In the course of this three-year project we have published over 30 refereed articles on empirical methods; a textbook, Empirical Methods for Artificial Intelligence; a web site devoted to research methods in AI and Computer Science; and over 40 papers at symposia, workshops, and related forums. Three graduate students have received PhD’s in the course of this work. All are faculty members at good institutions (Adele Howe, Colorado State; Scott Anderson, Spelman College; Rob St. Amant, North Carolina State). And while we cannot establish a causal connection to our advocacy and publications, we are happy to report that many DARPA programs now have vigorous requirements and study groups on empirical evaluation. (For instance, Professor Cohen is principal consultant on evaluation in the HPKB program.) The tide is turning: methodology is recognized as important. Under the sponsorship of ARPA and Rome Laboratory, we have been leaders in the struggle.

This is our final report. It contains summaries of the first two years of the project and somewhat more detail on this, the final year. The project went according to plan, with one significant deviation. When we started the project we didn’t envision the power of the World Wide Web (who did?). We planned to deliver our technical results in conventional ways, by publishing software and reports. By the second year of the project, however, it became clear that we should set up a web site devoted to empirical methods—especially as we had just published a book on the subject and MIT Press gave us permission to excerpt the book. So while we continued to publish technical articles and to improve the CLASP statistical package, we started to move more and more of the tutorial and resource material onto the web site. Now the Evaluation of Intelligent Systems (EIS) web site is nearly completed, and much of the technology developed under this program—including the CLASP system—can be found there. As the web site address is changing in the near future, we refer interested readers to the Experimental Knowledge Systems Laboratory web site (http://www-eksl.cs.umass.edu), from which pointers to EIS may be followed.
2 Summary of Technical Results and Accomplishments, Year One

We include a list of representative papers at the end of each section. These papers can be accessed at http://www-eksl.cs.umass.edu/publications.html, unless otherwise noted. Additional technical detail for Years One and Two are provided in our Annual Reports for those years.

2.1 Dependency Detection

We implemented the Dependency Detection (DD) module, which identifies significant dependencies among measured factors in execution traces of planner behavior. This module has been integrated with CLIP/CLASP for delivery to the CPE. We also developed a new algorithm for detecting dependencies in multiple concurrent streams, called Multi-Stream Dependency Detection (MSDD). This algorithm is particularly effective for analyzing time-series data such as multiple program execution traces [16].


2.2 Path Analysis

We developed two causal induction algorithms for the Path Analysis (PA) module, which uses path analytic techniques to model observed planner behavior. Tests show that these algorithms outperform other leading causal induction algorithms [5].


2.3 Assistant for Intelligent Data Exploration (AIDE)

Development of the Assistant for Intelligent Data Exploration (AIDE) was begun to assist human analysts in exploratory data analysis, or EDA [2]. AIDE provides the Acausal Functional Relations (AFR) module functionality, assisting the knowledge engineer in discovering unanticipated but significant patterns in planner behavior. A prototype of AIDE was built and integrated with the Path Analysis and Dependency Detection modules.


2.4 Nonparametric Confidence Intervals (NCI)

We began initial work on the Nonparametric Confidence Intervals (NCI), or bootstrapping, module. Basic bootstrapping functionality is being implemented now, with more sophisticated forms to follow.

2.5 Case Studies Compilation

We successfully applied Dependency Detection and Path Analysis in tandem to analyze a significant aspect of planner behavior in PHOENIX [6]. This case study demonstrates the combined application of multiple experiment modules to explain a single but complex behavior pattern. Several other case studies we have developed illustrate the use of basic CLIP/CLASP tools and of individual modules, such as AFR.


2.6 Infrastructure Development

CLIP/CLASP Workshops. We conducted a very successful workshop on CLIP/CLASP at Rome Laboratory in October, 1993. The workshop was attended by Planning Initiative members from Pittsburgh, Rochester, Yale, GE, BBN, ISX, and Rome Laboratory.
Researchers were encouraged to bring their own simulation testbeds for hands-on application of CLIP/CLASP, and several did - Pittsburgh researchers brought TILEWORLD and Rochester researchers brought the TRAINS system.

In addition to the workshops, we continued to develop CLIP/CLASP, concentrating on three tasks: 1) maintaining and improving it for CPE users, 2) enhancing it to be a framework for the experiment modules, and 3) using it as a teaching vehicle to supplement Paul Cohen’s textbook, *Empirical Methods for Artificial Intelligence*.


See also http://www-eksl.cs.umss.edu/research/clip-clasp-overview.html.

3 Summary of Technical Results and Accomplishments, Year Two

3.1 Further Development of Experiment Modules

**Dependency Detection** (DD) was extended to a multi-stream version (MSDD) and an incremental multi-stream version (iMSDD). MSDD was shown to be equal to many standard classification algorithms when run on UC Irvine Machine Learning datasets, even though it was not specifically designed as a classifier. However, a drawback of MSDD is that it works offline on batch data; iMSDD works online, using data as it becomes available. DD, MSDD and iMSDD are all integrated with CLASP through a common (but separate) interaction pane accessible from the CLASP main menu.


**Creating Agents that Use MSDD to Learn to Plan.** We successfully applied MSDD to the task of automatically learning planning operators with context-dependent and probabilistic effects in environments where exogenous events change the state of the world. We were able to show two significant results:

- The number of search nodes required by MSDD to find these target operators scales approximately linearly with the size of the agent’s state description, even though
the size of the operator space increases exponentially.

- The algorithm consistently returns small sets of operators that contain the target operators, as well as operators that capture structure that is implicit in the definition of the sample domain but that was not explicitly codified in the target operators.


**Acausal Functional Relationship (AFR)** or exploratory data analysis, module. We developed a new planning system that addresses the significant control issues inherent in exploratory data analysis. We also created a mixed-initiative user interface that allows the user to view the actions of the module and interact with the planner, asking for explanations and offering advice. This module developed into a full-fledged system with its own interface.


**Nonparametric Confidence Intervals (NCI)**, or bootstrapping, module. Bootstrapping functionality was added to the CLASP interaction pane, providing the menu-driven capability to bootstrap most of the common CLASP tests and statistics.


**Path Analysis (PA)**, or causal modeling, module. The causal modeling algorithms developed in year one were integrated into CLASP through a special purpose, PA Interface accessible from the CLASP main menu.
3.2 Case Studies Compilation

- Publication of textbook, *Empirical Methods for Artificial Intelligence*, incorporating many of the methods and case studies being developed in this project.

- Use of empirical methods to identify serious search control difficulties with a well-known, contemporary AI planner, UCPOP, and to investigate possible solutions. This work by the subcontractor (Howe) provides a highly visible demonstration of the empirical approach.

- Also at CSU, empirical methods were used to begin development of an information gathering agent for querying WWW search engines. This agent uses techniques from machine learning and planning to learn how to formulate search plans based on past query results. Each plan employs a subset of available web search engines that have been shown to be effective based on similar queries in the past.


3.3 Infrastructure Development

- Integration of CLIPCLASP and four Experiment Modules into a comprehensive empirical analysis environment. This environment eventually included additional tools for building real-time simulators and for mixed-initiative planning of experiments.

- CLASP for the Macintosh. A version of CLASP with a native Macintosh interface was developed for distribution with the *Empirical Methods* textbook, and many of the text’s exercises use CLASP for the Macintosh.

4 Summary of Technical Results and Accomplishments, Year Three

The third and final year of the project has seen significant progress on five fronts:

- **CLASPWeb.** The CLASP system is a statistics package written in Common Lisp, easily integrated into AI development environments through the CLIP instrumentation package. As a standalone system, CLASP provides the functionality of most data analysis packages. CLASPWEB is, as far as we can tell, the first data analysis package that can be run over the Web. CLASP resides on a server, clients submit data, and analyses are returned. See http://satchmo.cs.colostate.edu:4936 for access, although the server will change in the near future.

- **Dependency Detection (DD) Implementation.** The Dependency Detection algorithm designed by Professors Howe and Cohen has been improved in several ways. See http://satchmo.cs.colostate.edu:4936/upb/node3.html.

- **Multi-Stream Dependency Detection (MSDD).** Whereas Dependency Detection finds structure in a single data stream, MSDD finds structure in multiple streams. The algorithm has been improved and parallelized.

- **Overfitting.** One of the most pernicious and ubiquitous pathologies of induction algorithms and some search algorithms is overfitting. Basically, overfitting means that an algorithm goes too far: It may add too much structure to a model, or it may learn things that are more complex than they should be, or it may extend search farther than it should. We have provided a statistical theory of overfitting and simple statistical methods to avoid it.

- **Evaluation of Intelligent Systems Website.** The EIS Web site was set up to provide one-stop shopping for empirically-inclined researchers. It is the delivery vehicle for much of the technology developed under this program.

We will discuss these contributions in more detail.

4.1 CLASPWeb

To our knowledge, CLASPWEB is the first data analysis package to run on the World Wide Web. It is a web-based implementation of the Common Lisp Analytical Statistics Package, developed under this contract. CLASP itself is widely used, for example it is available with Prof. Cohen's book *Empirical Methods for Artificial Intelligence*. CLASP runs on the Macintosh and Unix boxes, but the latter implementation is difficult in some
respects and requires a Lisp license. It became clear to us that browsers like Netscape provide platform independent interfaces to software packages, hence CLASPWEB.

The major technical problems in CLASPWEB have to do with the memoryless nature of web protocols. When a client requests a page from a server, it is sent and the connection is terminated. No information about the client persists on the server (although cookies are changing that to some extent). So imagine the plight of a data analyst who requests a statistical operation from the CLASPWEB server: He must ship his entire dataset to the server every time. This creates web traffic and takes time. The solution we adopted was to allow a user to store his or her dataset on the CLASPWEB server for a period of time. Then the client merely requests operations on the dataset from the server, and the results are shipped back to the client.

So far, so good, but some operations have side effects. For example, partitioning a dataset creates several other datasets. Transforming a variable creates another variable. While some statistical operations (e.g., taking the mean) are reducing in the sense that they reduce data to something smaller — a statistic — many operations create new data. Where is it stored? Currently, on the CLASPWEB server. This is not a realistic long term solution.

A related problem is security. Some CLASP operations allow the user to write and execute arbitrary Lisp code. Clearly, these operations cannot be allowed on CLASPWEB, otherwise clients would be able to make the server do arbitrary things.

The current status of CLASPWEB is that it is on the Web, used sparingly, and continually under development. We expect it to be more heavily used as the full CLASP functionality becomes available.

4.2 Dependency Detection

Dependency detection refers to algorithms that find dependencies between discrete events in time series. For instance, when one event follows another more often that would be expected by chance, we say they are linked by a dependency. Professors Howe and Cohen invented dependency detection before this contract began and they have been refining it since. The most recent development is from Professor Howe's laboratory. Dependency detection finds little "nuggets" in time series - pairs of events, or triples, but not longer chains of events. In particular, dependency detection doesn't allow one to infer a finite state machine from the dependencies it finds. Professor Howe's most recent work fixes this problem. She has an algorithm that merges dependencies between pairs of events to get a finite state machine that generates a time series of events. This work is reported in [7].
4.3 Multi Stream Dependency Detection

Finding patterns in event-based data is an important and difficult problem that has received little attention from researchers in either AI or statistics. We have developed and tested a family of algorithms for finding structure in multivariate time series [11,13,14].

One application of these techniques is to predicting events in computer networks. Networks produce large amounts of event-based data, and management of such networks is largely driven by the generation and interpretation of events. A problem that plagues network managers is the large number of events of different types from disparate locations in the network that result from network faults [10]. Finding patterns in those events to form clusters of related events is important for reducing the amount of information that must be interpreted and for understanding the state of the network (e.g. by identifying whether a set of events represents the effects of a single fault or multiple, concurrent faults). A version of MSDD called called Multi-Event Dependency Detection (MEDD). MEDD finds dependencies between patterns of events recorded in event logs.

Another recent development is the parallelization of MSDD. Experiments that are currently underway suggest that the search space of MSDD can be efficiently divided among several machines, reducing search times. We do not yet know by what factor runtimes are reduced. Clearly, communication overhead prevents us getting a reduction of runtime to $1/p$ on $p$ processors, but the deviation from this ideal appears to be small, on the basis of preliminary results.

We are exploring commercial possibilities for MSDD.

4.4 Overfitting

Overfitting is a widely observed pathology of induction algorithms. Overfitted models contain unnecessary structure that reflects nothing more than random variation in the data sample used to construct the model. Portions of these models are literally wrong, and can mislead users. Overfitted models are less efficient to store and use than their correctly-sized counterparts. Finally, overfitting can reduce the accuracy of induced models on new data [8,15].

We have discovered why induction algorithms overfit. The reason is quite subtle: Algorithms generally don't account for the fact that the components they are considering adding to models are the best of several components. We proved that the maximum component has statistical distributions quite unlike ordinary components, resulting in systematic overestimates of the accuracy of components. We have shown empirically the importance of accounting for multiple comparisons when evaluating models (Jensen and Cohen, 1997). We have also invented several techniques to control overfitting. Based on experiments with artificial and realistic datasets, Bonferroni pruning produces trees that are smaller and at least as accurate as trees pruned using several other common
approaches.

We also have shown that the techniques used by other researchers to compensate for overfitting don’t really work. Often such techniques involve data reduction, the removal of training instances prior to tree construction. For example, some techniques identify instances that are “bad” and remove them from the training set, while others actively build a training set from available instances by selecting those that are “good”. Whether the explicit goal of any given technique is increased accuracy or smaller trees, the latter is invariably observed. John’s ROBUST-C4.5 treats misclassified training instances as outliers, iteratively removing them and building a new tree [9]. The result over a large number of datasets is trees that are much smaller than those built by C4.5, but that have roughly equivalent accuracy. We demonstrated that any technique that throws away data will have this effect: There’s nothing particularly smart about the techniques in the literature. Random discarding of data would accomplish the same thing [12].

4.5 Evaluation of Intelligent Systems

Unlike Biology, Medicine, Psychology, etc., Computer Science has no curriculum in Research Methods. We need one. Our survey of 150 papers at AAAI-90 showed lots of theoretical AI and system-building, but only 10% merged theoretical and empirical work in experiments, the hallmark of science. In a recent issue of the AI Journal on Empirical AI, which we edited, half the accepted papers (and all the rejected ones) needed methodological revision. Some basic errors are endemic and very damaging. For example, using the wrong distributions in decision tree induction causes overfitting, multiple pairwise t tests underestimate error probabilities, huge samples obscure causes of variance, and so on. The good news is that these problems are easy to understand and fix, so improvements in practice could be global and fast given a global, fast way to get the word out.

The Evaluation of Intelligent Systems (EIS) web site, sponsored by Rome Laboratory, provides information on exploratory data analysis, visualization, and discovery procedures; on experiment design, control conditions and common pitfalls; on statistical hypothesis testing, including new Monte Carlo methods; on parameter estimation and modeling; on common experimental methods for evaluating systems and for probing them to find causes of behaviors.

EIS also provides software for data analysis (CLASPWeb, see above). It provides links to datasets, analytical algorithms, newsgroups, and reports of new techniques. It is anchored by Empirical Methods for Artificial Intelligence [4]. EIS is an online, interactive curriculum, handbook, field-guide, analysis package and reference library for empirical methods.

Most notably, EIS has become the delivery vehicle for many of the algorithms, techniques, and publications that we have developed under the this contract.


Jensen, D. and Cohen, P.R. Multiple Comparisons in Induction Algorithms. Submitted to *Machine Learning*.


5 Publications, Reports and Articles

5.1 Books, or Parts Thereof


5.2 Journal Articles


### 5.3 Refereed Conference Papers


Howe, Adele E. and Aaron Fuegi. 1994. How Would We Know if a Program Failure was Caused by What It Did Yesterday? In *Proceedings, Sixth IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence*.


### 5.4 Invited Papers


5.5 Refereed Workshop Abstracts and Symposia Papers


Anderson, Scott D., Adam Carlson, David L. Westbrook, David M. Hart and Paul R.


5.6 Ph.D. Dissertations


5.7 Unrefereed Reports and Articles


Oates, Tim. 1994. MSDD as a Tool for Classification. EKSI Memo #94-29, Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts/Amherst.

5.8 Conferences, Workshops and Presentations

5.8.1 Invited Presentations


August 1997: “How to Find Big-Oh in Your Data Set (and How Not to),” presented by Paul Cohen at IDA-97, London UK.


January 1997: “The Effects of Training Set Size on Decision Tree Complexity,” presented by Tim Oates at the Sixth International Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, Ft. Lauderdale FL.


January 1997: “How to Find Big-Oh in Your Data Set (and How Not To),” presented by Paul Cohen at the Sixth International Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, Ft. Lauderdale FL.

January 1997: "Overfitting Explained," presented by David Jensen at the Sixth International Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, Ft. Lauderdale FL.

January 1997: "Interaction with a Mixed Initiative System for Exploratory Data Analysis," presented by Robert St. Amant at the Third International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, Orlando FL.


April 1996: "Evaluation as a Basis for AI Software Development," presented by Adele Howe at the University of Chicago, Chicago IL.


August 1995: "The Duration of Deliberation," presented by Scott Anderson at the IJCAI-95 Workshop on Anytime Algorithms and Deliberation Scheduling, Montreal, Canada.


Gregory at the Tenth International Conference on Mathematical and Computer Modelling and Scientific Computing, Boston MA.


October 1994: “When Good Planners Go Bad,” presented by Adele Howe to the Math and Computer Science Dept., Colorado School of Mines, Golden CO.


February 1994: “Evaluation in the Planning Initiative,” presented by Paul Cohen at the ARPA/Rome Laboratory Knowledge-Based Planning and Scheduling Initiative Workshop, Tucson, AZ.

5.9 Contributed Presentations

September 1995: Paul Cohen presented a talk at Rome Laboratory on Intelligent Data Analysis.

November 1994: Paul Cohen presented a talk at Rome Laboratory on Dependency Detection.

February 1994: Paul Cohen, David Hart, David Westbrook (UMass) and Adele Howe (Colorado St. Univ.) attended the ARPA/Rome Laboratory Knowledge-Based Planning and Scheduling Initiative Workshop in Tucson, AZ and participated in the following:

- A panel led by Paul Cohen describing the “Handbook of Evaluation for the ARPA/Rome Lab Planning Initiative.”
- A demo led by Hart and Westbrook of our interactive plan steering system (Oates et al. 1994).
- A “CLIP/CLASP Workshop” led by Cohen and Westbrook.

December 1993: Paul Cohen attended the Quarterly Planning Initiative meeting at Yale
University, where he helped plan and lead part of the meeting devoted to evaluation of the PI's research results.

October 1993: EKSL organized and presented the “CLIP/CLASP Workshop” hosted by Rome Laboratory, Griffiss AFB, for Planning Initiative participants. The workshop included:

- Two tutorials conducted by Paul Cohen (see “Tutorials,” below).
- A presentation by David Westbrook on the use of CLIP.
- A technical introduction to CLASP presented by Adam Carlson.

July 1993: Paul Cohen organized and moderated a panel entitled “The Pros and Cons of Evaluation” at the Eleventh National Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Washington, DC. The panel included Lynette Hirschman (Mitre Corp.), Drew McDermott (Yale Univ.), Bruce Porter (UTexas/Austin) and Charles Weems (UMass/Amherst).

5.10 Tutorials

October 1993: Paul Cohen presented two tutorials at the “CLIP/CLASP Workshop” held at Rome Laboratory, Griffiss AFB: “Statistics Short Course: A Tutorial on Exploratory Data Analysis and Hypothesis Testing,” and “Tutorial in the Use of CLIP/CLASP.”

July 1993: Paul Cohen and Bruce Porter (Univ. of Texas, Austin) presented a tutorial entitled “Experimental Methods for Evaluating AI Systems,” at the Eleventh National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Washington, DC.
6 Awards, Promotions, and Honors

Paul Cohen was promoted from Associate to Professor of Computer Science, September, 1995.

Robert St. Amant was appointed Assistant Professor of Computer Science at North Carolina State University, August 1996.

David Jensen, previously with the Office of Technology Assessment, joined the EKSL in 1995 as Research Scientist. His research interests are in the areas of knowledge discovery in databases, statistical model building, machine learning, and advanced data analysis.

Scott Anderson was appointed Assistant Professor of Computer Science at Spelman College, August, 1995.

Adele Howe was appointed Assistant Professor of Computer Science at Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO in September, 1993.

Dawn Gregory was selected in 1995 as a recipient of a three-year National Science Foundation Graduate Fellowship merit award to support her Doctoral work in knowledge-based system induction and automated experiment design.

J. Tim Oates was awarded a three-year DoD National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate Fellowship in 1995 to support his work and training in knowledge-based planning and scheduling, statistical learning algorithms and distributed, multi-agent retrieval.

Paul Cohen was elected Fellow of the American Association for Artificial Intelligence in 1993, and served as Councillor of that organization from 1991 to 1994.

7 Related Service to the ARPI and the AI Research Community

Editorships

Paul Cohen and Bruce Porter edited a Special Issue of Artificial Intelligence devoted to empirical AI, published in August 1996.

Adele Howe currently serves as Book Review Editor for AI Magazine, and Associate Editor for North America for Knowledge Engineering Review.

Textbook on Empirical Methods. Paul Cohen’s textbook, Empirical Methods for Artificial Intelligence, was published by the MIT Press in the summer of 1995. The book includes case studies from our work with TRANSSim and PHOENIX, as well as other current and "classic" AI systems. It is distributed with CLIP/CLASP, an Instructor Guide, and a package of sample datasets that can be easily incorporated into a graduate level course on empirical methods. This text is an outgrowth of the ARPA/NSF-sponsored
Workshop on AI Methodology [1] that we hosted in 1990 in Northampton, MA.

AAAI-95 Spring Symposium on Integrated Planning Applications. Adele Howe (subcontractor at Colorado State Univ.) chaired a Spring Symposium on Integrated Planning Applications, whose purpose was to investigate issues that arise when a planning system is integrated into a real-world environment. Howe also chaired a panel on evaluation during that symposium.


AAAI Panel on Evaluation. Paul Cohen organized and moderated a AAAI-93 panel on evaluation methods for AI that included Drew McDermott (Yale), Lynette Hirschman (Mitre Corporation), Bruce Porter (University of Texas) and Charles Weems (University of Massachusetts).

Article on Simulation and Empirical Evaluation. Paul Cohen was co-author of a three-way discussion of the merits and pitfalls of empirical evaluation using simulation testbeds that appeared in AI Magazine, winter 1993. This paper discussed some of the issues we have faced and advances we have made using empirical methods in the PHOENIX and TRANS SIM testbeds.

Program Committees. Paul Cohen served as a member of the Program Committees for the Fifth and Sixth International Workshops on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, 1995 and 1997; the Fourteenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-97); the Third International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Planning Systems (AIPS-96); the Third International Workshop on Agent Theories, Architectures, and Languages (ATAL-96); and the First International Symposium on Intelligent Data Analysis (IDA-95). He also served as Co-Chair of the Program Committee and Chair of the Steering Committee for IDA-97.
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