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Convertino, Victor A., Jill L. Polet, Keith A. Engelke, G. Wyckliffe Hoffler, Lynda D. Lane, and C. Gunnar Blomqvist. Evidence for increased β-adrenoceptor responsiveness induced by 14 days of simulated microgravity in humans. Am. J. Physiol. 273 (Regulatory Integrative Comp. Physiol. 42); R93–R99, 1997.—We studied hemodynamic responses to α- and β-receptor agonists in eight healthy men before and after 14 days of 6° head-down tilt (HDT) to test the hypothesis that increased adrenoceptor responsiveness is induced by prolonged exposure to simulated microgravity. Steady-state infusions of isoproterenol (Iso) at rates of 0.005, 0.01, and 0.02 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ were used to assess β₁- and β₂-adrenoceptor responsiveness. Infusions of phenylephrine (PE) at rates of 0.25, 0.50, and 1.00 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ were used to assess responsiveness of α₁-vascular adrenoceptors. Slopes calculated from linear regressions between Iso and PE doses and changes in heart rate, blood pressure, and leg vascular resistance (occlusion plethysmography) for each subject were used as an index of α₁- and β₁-adrenoceptor responsiveness. HDT increased the slopes of heart rate (1,056 ± 107 to 1,553 ± 83 beats·min⁻¹·μg⁻¹·kg⁻¹; P = 0.014) and vasodilation (−469 ± 111 to −1,446 ± 309 peripheral resistance units·μg⁻¹·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹; P = 0.0224) to Iso infusion. There was no alteration in blood pressure or vascular resistance responses to PE infusion after HDT. Our results provide evidence that simulated microgravity causes selective increases in β₁- and β₂-adrenoceptor responsiveness without affecting α₁-vascular adrenoceptor responses.

METHODS

Subjects. After being informed of all procedures and risks, eight healthy, normotensive, nonsmoking men with mean (±SE) age of 38 ± 2 yr, height of 183 ± 2 cm, and weight of 81 ± 3 kg gave their written consent to serve as subjects for this investigation. All experimental procedures and protocols were approved by the Human Research Review Boards of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)-Kennedy Space Center, NASA-AMES Research Center, and Brooks Air Force Base. Selection of subjects was based on results of a screening evaluation composed of a detailed medical history, physical examination, blood chemistry analysis, urinalysis, chest X-ray, and electrocardiogram (ECG). Subjects were made familiar with all laboratory personnel, procedures, and protocols during an orientation session conducted before the study.

General protocol. The experimental protocol comprised 4 days of ambulatory control followed by 16 days of 6° HDT and 2 days of post-HDT reambulation. During HDT, the subjects were continuously monitored by staff nurses to ensure that they remained head-down without interruption and that no physical exercise was performed by the subjects between pre- and post-HDT measurements.

During the 22-day experimental period, subjects lived 24 h/day in the Human Research Facility at NASA-AMES Research Center and followed the same controlled diet. The average daily caloric intake was 2,500–2,800 kcal (45% carbohydrate, 38% fat, 17% protein). Dietary sodium and potassium were held constant at ~120 and 60–80 meq/day,
respectively. Fluid intake was ad libitum; the average was 1,844 ± 17 mL/day. The photoperiod was 16 h light to 8 h dark, with lights on at 0700. The 16-day HDT period was chosen because this represents the projected minimum duration of future Extended Duration Orbiter space missions. The 6° head-down position was chosen because actual flight changes in some cardiovascular responses are closely simulated by this ground-based analog (5). Each subject underwent an adrenergic response test on the third day before HDT and on day 14 of HDT. In addition, antecubital venous blood samples were drawn for determination of circulating NE and plasma volume.

Measurements of adrenergic responsiveness. Each subject was moved to a quiet room on a gurney specially designed with 6° HDT, and intravenous catheters were placed in the antecubital vein of each arm while the subject was supine. All infusions were performed through the intravenous catheter placed in the left arm using an Auto-Syringe infusion pump, and administration rates were achieved by appropriate combinations of infusion rate and agonist concentrations. The catheter placed in the right arm was used to draw blood samples for analysis of plasma NE. Instrumentation was completed during a 30-min period to establish a resting baseline. Baseline measurements included plasma volume, plasma norepinephrine, heart rate (ECG), blood pressure (Finapres), and leg blood flow (LBF) (occlusion plethysmography). After baseline measurements, graded infusions of β- and α-adrenergic agonists were performed with isotonic saline as a vehicle. The total volume infused was <50 mL. A recovery period of at least 30 min was allowed between the two agonist infusion protocols to allow hemodynamic measurements to return to preinfusion baseline levels. Before and during both infusion protocols, constant monitoring of heart-beat blood pressure and heart rate was performed. In addition, leg blood flows were measured at each infusion level. A blood sample (20 mL) for plasma NE determination was drawn at the end of the third infusion level for each drug.

β-Adrenergic responses. Immediately after the 30-min baseline period, infusions of isoproterenol (Iso) were used to assess the responses of β- and β-adrenergic receptors. Iso was infused at three graded constant rates of 0.005, 0.01, and 0.05 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. Each infusion interval was 9 min in duration to establish steady state and allow adequate time for all measurements. The protocol and dosages of Iso [and phenylephrine (PE), see below] were adopted from those used during spaceflight experiments on NASA's Space Life Sciences (SLS)-1 and SLS-2 missions. Appropriate dosages for these adrenergic agonists were determined by laboratory experience to produce safe but significant physiological responses. An elevation of heart rate by 35 beats/min above resting baseline was the predetermined end point for test termination. However, all β-adrenergic receptor responsiveness test protocols were completed. Linear regression relationships were then constructed relating the increase in heart rate to the dose of Iso and the decrease in leg vascular resistance to the dose of Iso. The slopes describing the linear stimulus-response relationship between the dose of Iso and heart rate and leg vascular resistance provided a measure of the functional response of β- and β-adrenergic receptors, respectively.

α-adrenergic responses. After heart rate and blood pressure had been allowed to return to baseline levels following Iso infusions, graded infusion of the α-adrenergic receptor agonist PE was used to assess the responsiveness of these vascular receptors. PE was infused at three graded constant rates of 0.25, 0.50, and 1.00 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. As in the case of the Iso infusion test, each infusion interval was 9 min in duration. An elevation of systolic blood pressure of 20 mmHg above or reflex reduction of heart rate 20 beats/min below resting baseline were predetermined end points for test termination. One pre-HDT and two post-HDT tests were terminated during the third stage of infusion using these criteria. The response of α-adrenergic receptors was assessed by relating the PE dose with the increment in mean arterial pressure and reduction in leg vascular resistance. The relationships between PE doses and blood pressure and leg vascular resistance were linear, and the slopes describing these relationships were used to represent an index of the α-adrenergic receptor responsiveness.

Heart rate and blood pressure. Continuous heart rate was recorded using a standard three-lead ECG. A photoplethysmographic Finapres finger cuff blood pressure monitoring device was used to provide continuous beat-by-beat measurement of peripheral systolic and diastolic arterial blood pressures. Finapres recordings were verified by blood pressure measured during each stage of infusion with a sphygmomanometer. Heart rate and blood pressure responses were saved as a digital record for subsequent data analysis. Mean arterial pressure was calculated as systolic pressure plus half diastolic pressure divided by three.

Leg vascular resistance. LBF was measured using venous occlusion plethysmography employing a dual loop mercury-in-steel strain gauge placed around the left leg at the point of maximal calf circumference to determine changes in venous volume. Venous outflow from the leg was prevented by the placement of a cuff around the thigh just above the knee using an occlusion pressure of +40 mmHg. Arterial occlusion to reduce blood flow to the foot was applied by an ankle cuff inflated at a pressure of +250 mmHg. After ankle cuff inflation for 1 min, venous occlusion was initiated for 10 s, followed by its release for 10 s for six sequential occlusions. The relative change (percent) in strain gauge length over 10 s was quantified as a volume of blood per unit time, i.e., LBF. The 10-s occlusions were repeated during the final 2 min of drug infusion at each stage, and the average of the six measurements represented the LBF for that drug dose. An index of leg vascular resistance was calculated by dividing mean arterial pressure by average LBF during the final 2 min of each drug infusion and expressed as peripheral resistance units (PRU); in mmHg·1·1·min⁻¹.

 Plasma measurements. Plasma concentrations of NE were measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (Waters). NE was extracted by absorbing plasma samples onto alumina. After washing of the absorbed alumina with a dilute buffer solution, NE was eluted from the alumina when treated with an acidic solution. 3,4-Dihydroxybenzylamine (DHBA) was used as an internal standard, and extraction efficiency of NE and DHBA was based on the extraction of known standards. After extraction, the samples were assayed using a Waters 712 Wisp to inject the sample onto a reverse-phase C₁₈ column. A Waters 460 electrochemical detector was used to determine the concentration of NE in the samples. The within-assay coefficient of variation (CV) was 1.4%, and between-assay CV was 3.8%.

 Plasma volume was determined by a dilution technique using sterile solutions of Evans blue dye (New World Training, DeBary, FL) previously described and reported (8). Total circulating plasma NE was calculated as the product of plasma volume and plasma NE concentration.

Statistics. Standard descriptive statistics were performed on each of the response variables of interest, with results presented as means ± SE. Standard paired t-test statistics were used to compare mean slopes of the dose-response relationships between drug infusions and heart rate, blood pressure, and vascular resistance before and after HDT.
for comparison of baseline heart rate, blood pressure, plasma NE concentrations, and total circulating NE before and after HDT. Repeated-measures analysis of variance was performed to determine differences between measurements in blood pressures and NE between pre- and post-HDT and across drug infusions. A least-significant difference (LSD) post hoc test was conducted to determine statistical differences across the drug treatments. The null hypothesis was rejected when \( P < 0.05 \).

RESULTS

Baseline measurements. Mean body weight was reduced from 81.0 \( \pm 3.4 \) kg before to 79.5 \( \pm 3.3 \) kg after HDT (\( t = 4.446, \) df = 7, \( P = 0.0015 \)). Baseline heart rate and leg vascular resistance were elevated by day 14 of HDT compared with pre-HDT (Fig. 1). Plasma volume was decreased by 16\%, from 3,759 \( \pm 154 \) ml before HDT to 3,159 \( \pm 114 \) ml after HDT (5). Baseline plasma NE was reduced from 174 \( \pm 11 \) pg/ml before HDT to 139 \( \pm 6 \) pg/ml after HDT (\( t = 2.878, \) df = 7, \( P = 0.0237 \)). As a result of the reduction in plasma volume, total circulating NE was dramatically lowered from 655 \( \pm 49 \) ng before HDT to 434 \( \pm 15 \) ng after HDT (\( t = 4.685, \) df = 7, \( P = 0.0022 \)).

Adrenergic receptor responsiveness. Fourteen days of HDT increased the average slope of the individual subject dose-response relationships between Iso and heart rate by 47\%, from 1,056 \( \pm 107 \) beats \( \cdot \) \( \mu \)g\(^{-1} \cdot \)kg\(^{-1} \cdot \)min\(^{-1} \) before HDT to 1,553 \( \pm 83 \) beats \( \cdot \) \( \mu \)g\(^{-1} \cdot \)kg\(^{-1} \cdot \)min\(^{-1} \) on day 14 of HDT (\( t = 3.235, \) df = 7, \( P = 0.0144 \)). Figure 1 (top) represents the regressions calculated from the mean (\( \pm \)SE) heart rates at each Iso level before and after HDT. Similarly, the average slope of the individual subject dose-response relationships between Iso and leg vascular resistance increased by threefold from -469 \( \pm 111 \) PRU\( \cdot \)\( \mu \)g\(^{-1} \cdot \)kg\(^{-1} \cdot \)min\(^{-1} \) before HDT to -1,446 \( \pm 309 \) PRU\( \cdot \)\( \mu \)g\(^{-1} \cdot \)kg\(^{-1} \cdot \)min\(^{-1} \) on day 14 of HDT (\( t = 2.919, \) df = 7, \( P = 0.0224 \)). Figure 1 (bottom) represents the regressions calculated from the mean (\( \pm \)SE) leg vascular resistances at each Iso level before and after HDT. Mean arterial pressure was unchanged during the Iso infusions and increased during PE infusions, but there were no differences in blood pressure responses to the infusions from before to after HDT (Tables 1 and 2).

The dose-response relationship between PE and leg vascular resistance shifted upward on the response axis (Fig. 2, top) with HDT, and the average dose-response relationships between PE and mean arterial pressure before and after HDT were superimposed (Fig. 2, bottom). The average pre-HDT slope calculated from the individual subject dose-response relationships between PE and leg vascular resistance (\( 24.7 \pm 5.9 \) PRU\( \cdot \)\( \mu \)g\(^{-1} \cdot \)kg\(^{-1} \cdot \)min\(^{-1} \)) was not altered (\( t = 0.370, \) df = 7, \( P = 0.7224 \)) by HDT (\( 27.0 \pm 3.4 \) PRU\( \cdot \)\( \mu \)g\(^{-1} \)).

### Table 1. Blood pressure responses at baseline and three levels of isoproterenol infusion before and after 14 days of HDT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isoproterenol, ( \mu )g(^{-1} \cdot )min(^{-1} )</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>0.005</th>
<th>0.010</th>
<th>0.020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>Before HDT</td>
<td>125 \pm 2</td>
<td>127 \pm 3</td>
<td>127 \pm 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After HDT</td>
<td>124 \pm 3</td>
<td>127 \pm 3</td>
<td>132 \pm 3</td>
<td>134 \pm 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>Before HDT</td>
<td>75 \pm 1</td>
<td>70 \pm 1</td>
<td>66 \pm 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After HDT</td>
<td>74 \pm 2</td>
<td>74 \pm 2</td>
<td>72 \pm 2</td>
<td>73 \pm 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>Before HDT</td>
<td>92 \pm 1</td>
<td>89 \pm 1</td>
<td>89 \pm 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After HDT</td>
<td>91 \pm 2</td>
<td>91 \pm 2</td>
<td>92 \pm 2</td>
<td>94 \pm 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means \( \pm \)SE; HDT, head-down tilt. Analysis of variance revealed no statistical differences.
Table 2. Blood pressure responses at baseline and three levels of phenylephrine infusion before and after 14 days of HDT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenylephrine, µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.50</th>
<th>1.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>127 ± 2</td>
<td>132 ± 3</td>
<td>134 ± 3</td>
<td>139 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before HDT</td>
<td>131 ± 1</td>
<td>133 ± 1</td>
<td>139 ± 3</td>
<td>143 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>77 ± 2</td>
<td>79 ± 3</td>
<td>80 ± 3</td>
<td>84 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before HDT</td>
<td>77 ± 2</td>
<td>77 ± 2</td>
<td>79 ± 2</td>
<td>84 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>94 ± 2</td>
<td>97 ± 3</td>
<td>98 ± 2</td>
<td>102 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before HDT</td>
<td>95 ± 1</td>
<td>96 ± 2</td>
<td>99 ± 2</td>
<td>104 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE; analysis of variance revealed no statistical differences.

kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). The average slopes calculated from the individual subject dose-response relationships between PE and mean arterial pressure before (11.9 ± 3.2 mmHg·µg⁻¹·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) and after (12.6 ± 2.3 mmHg·µg⁻¹·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) HDT were not statistically distinguishable (t = 0.165, df = 7, P = 0.8734).

NE responses. Both plasma concentration and total circulating plasma NE during baseline rest before HDT (174 ± 11 pg/ml and 655 ± 49 ng, respectively) were greater (t = 2.878, df = 7, P = 0.0237 and t = 4.685, df = 7, P = 0.0022, respectively) than after HDT (139 ± 7 pg/ml and 434 ± 15 ng, respectively). Under all infusion conditions, both plasma concentration and total circulating plasma NE were lower [F(1.7) = 6.67, P = 0.0363] on day 14 of HDT compared with pre-HDT (Fig. 3). Compared with preinfusion baseline, plasma NE was increased by Iso infusion and decreased by PE infusion [F(2,14) = 28.15, P = 0.0001] both before and after HDT (LSD ≤ 57 pg/ml, P < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

We measured plasma NE, heart rate, blood pressure, and peripheral vascular responses during graded infusion of cardiac and vascular adrenoreceptor agonists in eight healthy men before and after 14 days of 6° HDT to test the hypothesis that adaptation to simulated microgravity leads to adrenoreceptor hypersensitivity. The major finding of this study was that HDT led to substantial increases in the heart rate and vasodilatory responses to a β-adrenergic agonist but had little effect on the vasoconstrictive response to α-adrenergic stimulation. The results of the present study also substantiated that both circulating concentrations and total content of NE were dramatically reduced during HDT. Our data may be the first to provide evidence that microgravity may cause selective increases in β₁- and β₂-adrenoreceptor responsiveness in healthy humans that were associated with reduced circulating NE without affecting α₁-vascular responses.

Tachycardia is a well-documented phenomenon associated with return to the upright posture following exposure to actual or simulated microgravity. Elevation in postflight heart rate has been attributed to hypovolemia (3), elevated catecholamines (1, 6, 12, 23, 18), and increased aortic baroreceptor responsiveness (9). Our data support the notion that increased responsiveness of cardiac β₁-adrenergic receptors represents an additional mechanism that may contribute to postspaceflight tachycardia.

In addition to chronotropic effects, the alteration in cardiac adrenoreceptor responsiveness was associated with frequent occurrence of junctional or nodal arrhythmias during agonist infusion in some of our subjects. This is particularly intriguing in light of observations that altered autonomic function was postulated as a

![Graph showing dose-response relationships between phenylephrine (PE) and log vascular resistance (top) and mean arterial pressure (bottom) before (□) and after (●) HDT. Linear regressions are calculated from mean values. For log vascular resistance, the linear equation for mean pre-HDT data is y = 20.3·x + 43.0 (r² = 0.988) and for mean HDT day 14 is y = 22.4·x + 57.0 (r² = 0.991). For mean arterial pressure, the linear equation for mean pre-HDT data is y = 74.4·x + 94.4 (r² = 0.979) and for mean HDT day 14 is y = 104.4·x + 94.2 (r² = 0.974).](image-url)
ADRENORECEPTOR ADAPTATION TO HEAD-DOWN TILT

Fig. 3. Plasma concentrations of norepinephrine (NE) during baseline rest and at the end of Iso and PE infusions before (C, broken lines) and after (●, solid lines) 14 days of HDT. HDT reduced plasma NE under all drug conditions ($P = 0.0363$). Compared with preinfusion baseline, plasma NE was increased by Iso infusion and decreased by PE infusion ($P = 0.0001$) both before and after HDT (least-significant difference $= 57 \text{pg/ml}$, $P < 0.05$).

possible mechanism underlying changes in juncional rhythm frequently observed in astronauts during the US Skylab missions (17). There were no juncional rhythms in any of our eight subjects during adrenergic agonist infusion tests conducted before HDT. However, after 14 days of HDT, Iso induced juncional rhythms in three subjects, with premature ventricular contractions (PVCs) occurring in one of those subjects and premature atrial contractions (PACs) occurring in another. PE infusion was associated with juncional rhythm for 2 minutes with one interpolated beat in one subject, juncional rhythm and PVCs in one subject, bradycardia of 35 beats/min with erratic rhythm with escape beats in one subject, and PACs in one subject. These observations indicate that increased responsiveness of cardiac adrenoreceptors resulting from exposure and adaptation to microgravity may represent a mechanism for increased risk of cardiac arrhythmias during and after a space mission.

Elevated baseline vasoconstriction and peripheral resistance were evident in our subjects by increased leg vascular resistance (Figs. 1, bottom, and 2, top) and were well-documented responses during orthostatic challenges after adaptation to actual or simulated microgravity (2, 7, 15). It is unclear whether the increased vascular responses to Iso and maintained vascular responses to PE after HDT were affected by the elevated baseline peripheral vasoconstriction. Consequently, we could not verify with our techniques whether the peripheral responses to adrenergic agonists reflected actual alterations in $\beta_2$- and $\alpha_1$-adrenoreceptors. Physiologically, our finding of increased vascular $\beta_2$-responses following HDT that were greater than $\alpha_1$-responses in the presence of lower circulating NE is similar to the relationship between $\beta_2$- and $\alpha_1$-vascular adrenoreceptors observed in patients with Bradbury-Eggleston syndrome who also have dramatically depressed plasma NE (24). $\beta_2$-Adrenergic hypersensitivity in the absence of a change in $\alpha$-vascular adrenoreceptor response to adrenergic agonists may present a significant consequence to blood pressure regulation following return from spaceflight. It is clear that the capacity for peripheral vasoconstriction is an important determinant of orthostatic performance following spaceflight because astronauts who successfully finished 10 min of standing after 9- to 14-day missions had significantly higher total peripheral resistance than astronauts who could not complete the test challenge (2). Because vascular $\beta_2$-adrenoreceptors elicit vasodilation compared with vascular constriction mediated by $\alpha_1$-adrenoreceptors, the overall effect of greater $\beta_2$-responsiveness in the absence of changes in $\alpha_1$-responses could produce a lesser vasoconstrictive effect, especially under a condition of increased sympathetic discharge during standing after return to the upright posture (30). This hypothesis is supported by the observation that normal reductions in blood flow to inactive muscle and visceral tissue during exercise did not occur in rats exposed to HDT (22). The potential to limit orthostatically induced elevations in peripheral resistance could compromise the capacity of the cardiovascular system to maintain adequate arterial blood pressure and cerebral perfusion during postspaceflight standing.

The mechanism of increased $\beta$-Adrenoreceptor responsiveness observed after HDT in the present study is unclear but may be associated with lowered sympathetic discharge (23). This hypothesis is supported in the present study by the dramatic reduction in total circulating NE at day 14 of HDT in our subjects. There is evidence from other investigations that NE is reduced during exposure to actual (18) and ground-based simulation of (6, 16, 21, 23) microgravity. $\beta$-Adrenoreceptor activity is reduced in individuals who have elevated plasma NE as a result of regular exposure to upright posture (13) and physical exercise (4), but is accentuated in subjects exposed to 10 days of HDT (21) and patients with dysautonomias in which circulating catecholamines are absent or reduced (23, 25). It is therefore possible that reduction of orthostatic and physical work stresses in a microgravity environment could be responsible for chronically reduced secretion of NE during spaceflight, leading to increased responsiveness of $\beta$-adrenoreceptors and greater tachycardia and vasodilation to symptomimetic stimulation.

Elevated circulating thyroid hormone has caused increased numbers of cardiac $\beta$-adrenoreceptors in rats (31), and hyperthyroid state is associated with low levels of plasma catecholamines in humans (20). Plasma thyroxine concentration was elevated from 7.0 ± 0.3 µg/100 ml preflight to 8.7 ± 0.5 µg/100 ml postflight in the nine crewmembers who participated in NASA's three Skylab space missions (19), and serum triiodothyronine was elevated throughout 54 days of bedrest in human subjects (29). Although circulating thyroid hormone that caused increased numbers of cardiac $\beta$-adrenoreceptors in an animal model was much greater (threelfold) than normal baseline levels (31) compared with 18–24% elevation observed in humans during actual or simulated exposures to microgravity, the
possibility of thyroid hormone as a contributing mechanism to increased cardiac β-adrenergic receptor responsiveness observed following HDT should be considered for investigation.

We chose to use steady-state rather than bolus infusion of the agonists to measure important vascular resistance data that could not be obtained by a distribution-phase method. The use of steady-state infusion could influence our interpretations if HDT altered Iso or PE clearance by the liver. We are unaware of any published data that indicate that liver function is altered by HDT or spaceflight. On the other hand, if liver metabolism of the agonists is similar to that of the endogenous catecholamines, then the interpretation of the effects of HDT on adrenoreceptor function should be appropriate.

Another limitation to the use of systemic steady-state infusion in human subjects is the presence of compensatory baroreflex responses to adrenergic stimulation. This is complicated by alterations in functions of arterial and cardiopulmonary baroreflex control of heart rate and peripheral vascular resistance induced by exposure to microgravity or its ground-based analogs (6, 7, 9, 14). The chronotropic response to equal elevations in systemic arterial pressure is increased by aortic baroreceptor stimulation (9) and reduced by carotid baroreceptor stimulation (6, 7) in subjects who have been exposed to HDT. During Iso infusion in the present experiment, arterial pressures were not altered, suggesting that it is unlikely that the increased heart rate (β1) responsiveness was influenced by altered arterial baroreflex function. It could be argued that the elevated adrenoreceptor responsiveness following HDT observed in the present study may be underestimated because circulating NE was significantly attenuated under all baseline and infusion conditions.

Peripheral vascular adrenergic responsiveness in a hypovolemic state is heavily influenced by the cardiopulmonary baroreflex control of vascular resistance. HDT decreased plasma volume and increased the vasoconstrictive response to changes in central venous pressure (7). Although not measured during the agonist infusions in the present study, Iso infusions at doses greater than those used in our investigation have failed to alter central venous pressure (26, 27). It is therefore unlikely that changes in cardiac and vascular resistance responses to carotid, aortic, or cardiopulmonary baroreceptor control could explain alterations in β1 and β2 responsiveness observed after HDT in the present study. 

PE is known to increase both arterial and central venous pressure (9). A hypertensive stimulus to arterial and cardiopulmonary baroreceptors would be expected to reflexly induce vasodilation by sympathetic withdrawal because peripheral vascular resistance is increased following exposure to HDT during hypotensive stimulation (7). This could lead to an underestimation of the α1-response observed in the present study. In addition, the arterial hypertension induced by PE would be expected to elicit carotid and aortic baroreceptor-mediated vasodilation. The potential impact of these arterial baroreflexes on the peripheral α1-response is unclear because the effect of microgravity on arterial baroreceptor control of vascular resistance is unknown.

The reduction in plasma volume may also complicate the interpretation of dose-response relationships after HDT because the concentration of the adrenergic agonists might be expected to increase by 16% if the doses of drugs were not adjusted. Because the dose-response relationships are linear, it could be suggested that 16% of the β-receptor responses was accounted for by a dilution effect. Although we did not measure the concentration of Iso or PE in the plasma, we attempted to adjust for vascular volume reduction by calculating the dose of each drug by body weight, which decreased at the end of HDT in proportion to the decrease in plasma volume (8). In any event, the increase in β1- and β2-adrenergic responses by 47 and 208%, respectively, indicated that these changes occurred despite the possibility of a slightly higher concentration of agonists due to hypovolemia following HDT.

**Perspectives**

The heightened β-adrenergic response following HDT in the present study may provide partial explanations for a number of physiological consequences, including orthostatic hypotension and instability, that have been commonly exhibited in individuals who have been exposed to microgravity environments. Numerous factors have been identified as potential contributing mechanisms, including hypovolemia and reduced capacity of baroreflexes to buffer against changes in blood pressure. Although hypovolemia contributes to post-spaceflight tachycardia and hypotension, it is an insufficient explanation because attempts at fluid replacement have not eliminated orthostatically induced cardioacceleration (3, 28). A sharp elevation in the amounts of NE discharged on standing after exposure to microgravity (30) could produce a more tachycardic and less vasoconstrictive character in the presence of hyperresponsive adrenoreceptors. Enhanced elevation in heart rate in a setting of hypovolemia and lower vasoconstriction is a well-known stimulus for the Bezold-Jarisch reflex and vasovagal syncope. All of the subjects in the present study experienced earlier presyncopal symptoms and orthostatic hypotension after HDT (11, 12). Therefore, in addition to hypovolemia and impaired baroreflex function, our data support the previously proposed hypothesis (23) that increased responsiveness of β-adrenergic receptors can be induced by prolonged exposure to microgravity and could contribute to post-spaceflight orthostatic intolerance.
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