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Abstract

Currently there is no automated planning tool for the optimum positioning of USAF area surveillance assets for a theater-level campaign. Selection of orbit points is currently done by hand with little regard for optimum placement. This research seeks to find the optimum placement of the very limited USAF airborne surveillance assets against a theater level target set. Analysis of the number of aircraft required to cover a theater-level target set would provide commanders with information on the allocation of these critical assets.

The problem of finding the optimum points can be modeled as a classic maximal covering location problem (MCLP). Additional constraints on the placement of surveillance aircraft can be handled by preprocessing the potential orbit points to eliminate infeasible orbit points. Heavy emphasis is placed on preprocessing the data to reduce the problem size and hence solution time. The aggregation of both the potential orbit points and targets was accomplished without loss of locational information. An existing heuristic was used to find a solution in a very short time.

The heuristic finds the optimum orbit points for the available aircraft up to the point where total coverage occurs or it becomes impossible to cover any additional targets. Allocation decisions for these assets can then be accomplished.
INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Background

Currently there is no automated planning tool for the optimal or near-optimal positioning of United States Air Force (USAF) area surveillance assets for a theater-level campaign. These assets include the Boeing E-3 Airborne Warning And Control System (AWACS) and Northrop E-8 Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System (Joint STARS) aircraft. There is a very limited number of both of these aircraft in the USAF fleet; optimum use of these assets is critical. Selection of orbit points for these aircraft is currently done manually with little regard for ideal placement. Analysis of how many orbit points, and thus how many aircraft, required to maximally cover a theater-level target set is not currently accomplished. The focus of this research is to determine the optimal or near-optimal placement of airborne area surveillance orbit points to provide maximum target coverage with a minimal number of aircraft.

1.2 E-3 Aircraft Uses

The E-3 AWACS was developed by Boeing to fill the need for an airborne radar. Airborne radar provides the USAF greater threat warning of enemy aircraft, while affording USAF commanders enhanced battlefield awareness by increasing radar coverage over the battlefield. The E-3 is currently used by the USAF, North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), and the Royal Saudi Air Force. Typically E-3 orbits are
continuously occupied for months or years at a time. NATO AWACS aircraft have provided continuous coverage of the current Balkan crisis since 1992. USAF and Saudi Arabian AWACS have maintained an almost continuous orbit over Saudi Arabia since 1981. During the 1991 Gulf War there were times when eight orbits in the theater were continuously occupied by AWACS. This greatly strained the AWACS fleet, as aircraft had to be available to meet other crises that might develop (in particular a possible Korean conflict) and to meet continuous training, maintenance, and exercise support requirements. From the author’s experience in NATO AWACS, it takes 3-4 aircraft to continuously occupy an orbit point.

The E-3 aircraft uses an onboard radar to scan for both airborne and maritime targets. Radar data is processed to give a real-time air picture of all friendly and enemy aircraft within the radar’s range capabilities. Flying at altitudes of approximately 30,000 feet allows the AWACS radar to avoid blocking terrain and to see deep into enemy controlled territory. This knowledge of an enemy aircraft’s position provides an invaluable threat warning to friendly forces, and it allows AWACS controllers to direct friendly aircraft to eliminate any airborne threat to allied aircraft. In addition, the radar picture can be sent to all friendly forces providing them with a real-time air picture without turning on their own radar and thus revealing their positions. Finally, the AWACS can easily move along with friendly ground forces as they advance into enemy territory, providing a real-time radar picture of the air threat to ground forces.
1.3 E-8 Aircraft Uses

The E-8 Joint STARS aircraft was developed by the USAF and US Army to undertake the ground surveillance, targeting, and battle management missions [20:137]. This revolutionary aircraft was first used in the Gulf War during Operation Desert Storm. In that conflict, the test-bed aircraft provided a real-time ground picture of the locations of both friendly and enemy ground forces. This ability to accurately know the locations of both friendly and enemy forces in real-time and to observe as they maneuvered was a first in military history. Friendly ground forces can be continuously updated on enemy troop movements. The possibility of an enemy surprise ground attack, at least on a large scale, has been virtually eliminated for US and allied forces covered by Joint STARS aircraft. Since the Gulf War, the first two production Joint STARS aircraft have deployed to Germany (in December 1995) to monitor NATO led peacekeeping operations in the Balkans [20:137]. Only 20 of these highly valuable (and expensive) aircraft are scheduled to be built. The effective use of this limited asset is critical.

1.4 Research Objectives

The objective of this research is to develop a model to effectively assign area surveillance assets to orbit points which maximally cover a selected target base. The overall goal of this study is to obtain solutions in under 30 minutes. Results should also include any alternative sites providing equal coverage. In a given scenario, non-optimal coverage can lead to a greater number of aircraft required to provide the same amount of target coverage as a few well-placed aircraft.
1.5 Limitations and Assumptions

The problem of finding orbit points for the area surveillance aircraft can be modeled as the classic maximal covering location problem (MCLP) first discussed by Church and ReVelle [4:101]. Solving this NP-complete problem for an optimal solution within the stated time limit of 30 minutes may prove impossible; thus a heuristic was used to find a solution (albeit with no guarantee of optimality). Prior to the execution of the solution procedure, every attempt was made to reduce the number of constraints and variables in the model, while maintaining the fidelity of the model.

Only one type of aircraft can be modeled at a time. The E-3 and E-8 are used to cover similar, yet different, target bases. In addition, the value assigned to coverage of certain targets by each aircraft type would be different. For example, an E-3 would assign greater value to covering enemy airfields rather than tanks; the opposite would, in general, hold for the E-8. Finally, only unclassified target sets and target values have been used in this study.

Several assumptions have been made to bring the scope of the problem to an executable but realistic level. These assumptions should not affect the quality of the solutions provided, but they make the problem solvable in a reasonable amount of time. These assumptions are:

1. No route planning. The surveillance aircraft can reach the assigned orbit point by some route. The exact route is left to the aircrews.

2. Orbit points are not selected if they are within the lethal range of known enemy surface-to-air missile (SAM) sites or if they are within a selected radius of enemy airfields.
This is a realistic constraint which avoids needlessly risking the destruction of these high-value aircraft.

3. Terrain effects are ignored. For the E-3 aircraft this is a realistic assumption since the E-3 is chiefly used to identify flying targets. The E-8 flying over rough country may experience degraded capabilities. In an operational setting, this may not be a realistic assumption. This is a potential area for further research.

4. Orbit points are fixed. The research looks at a ‘snapshot’ of the target base, preferably at the start of the campaign, prior to the destruction of many of the targets. As the target environment changes, the model may be re-run with the new target set and constraints updated as necessary.

1.6 Conclusion

Chapter 2 provides a background of previous work related to this topic. Chapter 3 covers the methodology used in solving the problem. A small example is included for illustration. Chapter 4 provides the results for two large scenarios in order to demonstrate the speed and accuracy of the model. Chapter 5 discusses possible extensions of the work and provides a brief conclusion.
2.1 Introduction to the Covering Problem

The covering problem entails locating a set of supply points that 'cover' a given set of demand points. A supply point covers a demand point if the demand point is within a given metric (usually distance or time) of the supply point. A single supply point can cover any number of demand points. The objective is to cover all the demand points with a minimum number of supply points. This chapter discusses the two versions of the covering problem, generation of candidate orbit points, and data aggregation.

2.2 MCLP and SCP

Two versions of covering problems are the set covering problem (SCP) and the maximal covering location problem (MCLP). The latter was introduced by Church and ReVelle in 1974 [4:101]. Extensive literature exists on both problems. A taxonomy compiled by Schilling, et al in 1993 [17:25-55] provides an excellent source of recent material on both types of covering problems. The SCP involves finding the minimum number of facility sites required to cover a given set of demand points. The covering constraints are usually based on some easily determined metric such as distance or time-of-travel. In mathematical form, the SCP is:

Minimize \( \sum_{j \in J} c_j \cdot x_j \) \hspace{1cm} (1)

Subject To:

\[ \sum_{j \in N_i} x_j \geq 1, \quad \forall i \in I \] \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ x_j \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall j \in J \] \hspace{1cm} (3)
where \( m \) = number of demand points

\( n \) = number of possible facility location sites

\( I \) = set of demand points

\( J \) = set of candidate facility location sites

\( S \) = maximum covering distance

\( d_{ij} \) = the distance (or some other metric) from each demand point \( i \) to each possible facility location point \( j \)

\( c_j \) = the cost of using site \( j \), for \( j = 1, \ldots, n \)

\( x_j = 1 \), if facility site at location \( j \) is occupied, 0 otherwise

\( N_i = \{ j \mid d_{ij} \leq S \} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \). The set of possible facility location sites which cover demand point \( j \)

Constraint (2) forces the coverage of all the demand points without regard for the number of facilities required. The limited nature of most budgets can make covering all customers impractical. The MCLP attempts to address this problem by locating a limited number of facilities to cover the maximum number of, but not necessarily all, demand points. The MCLP is formulated mathematically as:

Maximize \[ z = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \cdot y_i \] (4)

Subject To:

\[ \sum_{j \in N_i} x_j \geq y_i \quad \forall i \in I \] (5)

\[ \sum_{j \in J} x_j \leq P \] (6)
\( x_j \in \{0, 1\} \ \forall \ j \in J \) \hspace{1cm} (7)

\( y_i \in \{0, 1\} \ \forall \ i \in I \) \hspace{1cm} (8)

where \( N_i = \{ j \in J \mid d_{ij} \leq S \} \) for \( \forall \ i \in I \)

- \( x_j = 1 \), if site at location \( j \) is occupied, 0 otherwise
- \( y_i = 1 \), if the demand point at \( i \) is covered, 0 otherwise
- \( a_i = \) the value of covering demand point \( i \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \)
- \( P = \) the number of facility site locations that can be occupied

All other parameters defined as in the SCP above.

If the \( a_i \) are all equal to a value of 1, the problem finds the maximal cover given the number of facilities. Weighting the \( a_i \) parameter provides a solution which maximizes the value of the covered demand points. If all the demand points are covered by the given number of facilities, the problem is equivalent to the SCP.

Unfortunately, both problems are NP-hard [17:27]. Thus every effort must be made to reduce the size of the problem so as to reduce solution times. Heuristics, which quickly solve large realizations of the SCP and MCLP but provide no guarantee of optimality, can be used to provide effective solutions to these problems. There are many heuristics designed to solve the SCP [17:28]. There are fewer heuristics which solve the MCLP; however MCLP heuristics also solve the SCP. The placement of the airborne surveillance assets may be modeled as a MCLP since it is unlikely that total target coverage can be attained with the limited assets available. In addition, it is highly likely a commander may have various values for covering different targets. The selection of aircraft orbit points is formulated as a MCLP and then solved using a heuristic.
2.3 Generation of Candidate Orbit Points (COPs)

The generation of candidate orbit points (COPs) for aircraft placement has not received much attention. In most literature, candidate site locations were already taken as a given, based on owned land, zoning restrictions, or previously located sites (for example, existing warehouses or hospitals) [13; 16; 17]. While there has been some discussion concerning the optimum placement of one site on a continuous plane, given a set of demand points, but the multiple-site model has not been fully developed [3:25]. Thus, a method of developing COPs was needed. Two methods were explored. The first of these was the circle method discussed by Mehrez and Stulman in 1982 and extended by them in 1984 [15; 14]. The other method consists of laying a square grid over the area of interest and using the corners of each grid square as COPs.

2.3.1 Circle Method

Mehrez and Stulman developed a method to generate a finite candidate solution set on an infinite plane. They postulated that the optimal solution to the MCLP must exist on the set of all intersection points of circles drawn a radius R (the maximum distance a candidate site can be from a demand point and still cover it) around each of the demand points [14:20]. This approach generates a set of candidate sites to use in the solution of the MCLP. They noted that this solution set often places the candidate sites at the farthest possible locations from the demand points. From an airborne surveillance viewpoint, this is a good result since the 'demand' points are usually hostile. Unfortunately, the maximum number of intersection points generated by this method is $2\left(\binom{m}{2}\right)$ [14:22], where $m$ is the number of demand points. For a theater-level target base of 1000 targets, this
would mean nearly one million possible intersection points! This method was not used for computational reasons but might prove easy to implement on smaller problems.

2.3.2 Grid Method

The grid method consists of laying a grid over all the demand points. The size of the grid is determined by the size of the geographic region to be covered. The spacing between grid points is another factor which must be considered in developing the model. This spacing should be set to a distance less than the operational orbit radius of the surveillance aircraft to avoid missing any good sites. This method is used widely in the literature of covering problems [17]. It was also used by Ignizio in 1971 [12: 91] to solve a large problem involving the location of radar sites.

2.4 Data Aggregation

In order to solve the airborne surveillance problem in a reasonable amount of time, some aggregation of the demand points and the COPs is necessary. Errors due to aggregation are discussed at length in the literature and the reader is directed to three excellent papers on the subject. In 1978 Hillsman and Rhoda describe three sources of error resulting from demand aggregation in the p-median problem [11]. Current and Schilling extended this work a step further by applying it to both the SCP and MCLP problems [5; 6]. The former work develops three rules for aggregation of demand points. These rules were developed to minimize aggregation error.

Aggregation error in the MCLP has two sources: type A and type B (Current and Schilling [6:96]). The type A error has two cases. In case 1 a demand point is considered covered when in fact it is not and in case 2 a demand point is considered not covered.
when in fact it is covered. The first case occurs when an uncovered demand point is aggregated to a point closer to a COP and thus becomes ‘covered’ at the aggregated demand point. The second case occurs when a covered demand point is aggregated to a demand point farther away from a COP and thus becomes ‘uncovered’. Both cases lead to errors in actual coverage for each COP and can lead to infeasible unaggregated solutions and significant optimality errors [5:121]. Type B errors occur when aggregation is accomplished at COPs by aggregating demand points that are outside the cover range of a COP to that COP location. This is similar to type A case 1 error with the same associated difficulties.

Current and Schilling propose three aggregation rules to reduce or eliminate type A and B errors. They are:

1. Only aggregate demand points at current demand point locations.
2. Do not aggregate demand point k to aggregated demand point j if the distance from k to j is greater than the covering distance.
3. Only aggregate demand at a demand point to an aggregated demand point if the set of COPs that cover both demand points are identical.

The first two rules eliminate type B error and type A case 2 errors. Unfortunately, type A case 1 errors can still occur. Rule 3 guarantees that any COP selected which covers an aggregated demand point also covers all the demand points aggregated at that point. Thus rule 3 retains all of the locational information present in the original network and is suitable for covering models with a single maximal covering distance [5:123].
The first two rules can lead to covering errors and/or optimality errors due to aggregation. These two rules can also lead to a solution that is not optimal for the unaggregated model [5:122]. The third rule produces an aggregation pattern that does not cause errors due to aggregation. Use of only rule 3 leads to less data aggregation than using other aggregation rules [5:123]. Rules 1 and 3 are implemented in this work to ensure no loss of locational information.

2.5 Algorithms and Heuristics

The primary algorithm used today to solve large mixed integer programs (MIPs) is the simplex algorithm with branch-and-bound. There are many commercially-available linear solvers. For a large zero/one program no IP solver can guarantee the solution of a large MIPs in a short amount of time. For this reason a heuristic was examined for use in this research. There are a number of heuristics investigated for use in this research to solve the covering problem [7; 12; 13; 16]. Ignizio presented a rather elegant and simple heuristic in his 1971 dissertation [12]. The heuristic was published in Francis and White in 1974 [7:447]. Ignizio's heuristic was specifically developed for the MCLP and has been used in selecting sites for ground radar [12:91]. His research on the heuristic obtained the optimum answer in 85% of all tested problems. This rate increased to 95% on MCLPs. His solution times, for small problems on 1971-era computers, were measured in seconds. The one large-scale problem solved, with 25,521 candidate locations, only took 13.53 minutes to terminate using FORTRAN IV for the Univac 1108 [12:90]. This heuristic was chosen for this research because of its ease of implementation, short solution times, and the high levels of coverage achieved. The heuristic is explained in detail in section 3.9.
3.1 Introduction

This chapter covers the methodology used in solving the problem of placement of airborne surveillance assets to maximally cover a theater-level target base. The full mathematical model is discussed first, followed by a discussion of the parameter values and data generation techniques. Implementation of the preprocessing constraints is then reviewed. Subsequently, the method of aggregation of the COPs and targets is covered. Finally, the presentation of the heuristic is given followed by a small example.

3.2 Problem Description

The optimum placement of airborne surveillance assets can be modeled as a modified MCLP with a number of additional considerations and constraints. The airborne assets are aircraft which must be constantly moving. The normal operational orbit radius for E-3 and E-8 aircraft is 15 nautical miles (NM). Thus, the model must take into consideration each aircraft's ability to cover the targets from both sides of its orbit. The surveillance aircraft cannot be assigned orbit points within the lethal radius of enemy SAM sites. Both E-3 and E-8 aircraft have no inherent defensive capability against hostile attack and must avoid these situations. Surveillance aircraft cannot be placed too far from friendly fighter support, measured as the distance from the fighter aircraft's home field to the orbit point, or the surveillance aircraft becomes vulnerable to enemy fighter aircraft. The surveillance aircraft cannot be stationed too far from their home airfield, or transit time to the orbit point and back reduces the on-station time of the aircraft due to crew duty limitations, thus limiting the number of surveillance orbits that can be occupied.
These constraints and the form of the MCLP lead to the development of a mathematical model to describe this problem.

3.3 The Mathematical Model

The mathematical model can be described as an MCLP with additional constraints. The parameters, constants, and variable definitions are below, followed by the mathematical equations. Mathematical Formulation:

Parameters:

\( i \) = Number of the surveillance point.

\( j \) = Number of the target point

\( k \) = Number of the SAM site

\( m \) = Number of the friendly fighter base

\( n \) = Number of the home base of the surveillance aircraft

\( COPT_j \) = The set of orbit points that cover target \( j \)

Constants:

\( Y_{ik} \) = Distance from surveillance point \( i \) to SAM site \( k \)

\( LR_k \) = Lethal radius of the SAM at site \( k \)

\( V_{im} \) = Distance from surveillance point \( i \) to base \( m \)

\( V_i = \min V_{im} \forall i, m \)

\( DCAR_m \) = Range limit from friendly fighter base \( m \)

\( W_{in} \) = Distance from surveillance point \( i \) to home base \( n \)

\( W_i = \min W_{in} \forall i, n \)

\( ACR_n \) = Range limit from home base \( n \)
\[ X_{ip} = \text{Distance from surveillance point } i \text{ to No-Fly Point } p \]

\[ NFP_p = \text{No-Fly range of No-Fly Point } p \]

\[ ASP = \text{Number of surveillance aircraft available} \]

\[ P_j = \text{Target } j \text{ value} \]

Variables:

\[ t_j = \text{Is the target } j \text{ covered by at least one occupied orbit point? } 0 = \text{No, } 1 = \text{Yes} \]

\[ cop_i = \text{Is the orbit point } i \text{ occupied by an aircraft? } 0 = \text{No, } 1 = \text{Yes} \]

Mathematical equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MAX} & \quad \sum_i P_i \cdot t_j \\
\text{Subject To:} & \quad \sum_i cop_i \leq ASP \quad \text{Number of SPs available} \\
& \quad t_j \leq \sum_{i \in \text{COP}_j} cop_i, \forall j \quad \text{Covers the targets} \\
& \quad W_i \cdot cop_i \leq ACR_x, \forall i, n \quad \text{Range limit from home base} \\
& \quad V_i \cdot cop_i \leq DCAR_m, \forall i, m \quad \text{Range limit from friendly fighter base} \\
& \quad cop_i \leq \frac{Y_s}{L_k}, \forall i, k \quad \text{Avoids lethal SAM range} \\
& \quad cop_i \leq \frac{X_p}{NFP_p}, \forall i, p \quad \text{Avoids No-Fly Zones}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( cop_i \in \{0, 1\} \) for all \( i \), \( t_j \in \{0, 1\} \) for all \( j \)

The constraint set above is not the MCLP constraints. Constraints (12) - (15) model operational considerations in the employment of airborne surveillance assets. These constraints can be used to preprocess the COPs. Then constraints (12) - (15) can be removed, leaving the MCLP equations (9) - (11).
3.4 Data Generation

Due to the classified nature of the specific data requirements of the model, two notional scenarios have been developed and utilized. Data structures have, however, been developed such that actual data can be easily inserted in the model. Thus, all data used (target lists, ranges, etc.) was created by the researcher to mimic actual conditions but are not correct for the weapons and equipment modeled. Actual classified target data are obtainable from the respective theater air planning staffs.

3.4.1 Notional Database

The target database for an Iraq scenario was generated by using Jet Navigation Charts (JNC) of Iraq and selecting all the airfields shown. In addition, a selection of non-airfield targets was added to represent the ground forces and other targets that might be attacked by US-led forces. In all, 205 targets were selected for the Iraq scenario. The Far Eastern scenario targets were created by selecting potential targets from maps of the region from North Korea to Hong Kong. A total of 133 targets were selected. A notional value was also assigned to each target. Each target was identified by target number, target type, target value, latitude, longitude, name, and country location. Appendix C presents the complete target list for the Iraq scenario, and Appendix E presents the complete target list for the Far Eastern scenario.

Determining the value assigned to the coverage of a target is a separate area of research. It is expected that these values, which are critical to the solution of the problem, would be explicitly or implicitly determined by the theater commander. If the coverage value of all the targets are identical, then solution of the model finds the maximum number
of targets covered since all targets have equal value. Otherwise solution of the model finds the maximum target value covered. Notional target values ranged from 50 to 1 (with 50 assigned to the most valuable targets and 1 assigned to the least valuable) in the scenarios. The model can handle any positive numerical value for the parameter $P_j$ in Equation (9).

In the model, coverage values are additive. If some target class, for example all airfields, must have priority over other targets, setting the target coverage for that class of targets (or even a particular target) to a value greater than the sum of the remaining target values would cause the model to attempt to cover all the high-priority targets first. The model can be used in this way to solve pre-emptive goal-programming like MCLPs. There is no capability for the model to manage non-additive coverage values. For example, if the individual coverage values for target A and target B were 5 and 6, respectively, but the value of covering both was not 11 ($=5+6$), the model currently would not accurately represent this non-additive situation. This is a potential area for further research, provided the appropriate relations are designed and can be developed.

Parameters used in the model are summarized in Table 1. These parameters can be edited easily, using the FORTRAN program shown in Appendix B, to include either actual operational data or other experimental scenarios. The cover range is defined as the maximum distance that a COP can be from a target and still cover that target. This distance should be set at the surveillance asset’s effective radar range minus the radius of the surveillance orbit. For example, if the effective range of the E-3 was 190 NM and the
orbit radius was 15 NM, then the cover range should be set at 175 NM. This guarantees coverage at the far side of the surveillance asset’s orbit.

Table 1: Parameter Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cover Range of Surveillance Aircraft</td>
<td>175 NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveillance Aircraft Available</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethal SAM ranges (varies by SAM type)</td>
<td>30 NM to 120 NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range limit from friendly fighter bases (varies by base)</td>
<td>300 NM to 350 NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range limit from surveillance aircraft home base (varies by base)</td>
<td>500 NM to 550 NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of Solution Grid - Iraq Scenario</td>
<td>15° by 15°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of Solution Grid - Far Eastern Scenario</td>
<td>21° by 21°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step size for generating COPs in Latitude</td>
<td>0.20 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step size for generating COPs in Longitude</td>
<td>0.20 degrees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.2 Generation of 3D Solution Grid

The target list is not used directly to find distances between points. Each target’s latitude and longitude is converted to an earth-centered coordinate system. The axis of this coordinate system is referenced as the IJK system zeroed at the earth’s center as depicted in Figure 1. The vector \( \mathbf{r} \) is the vector from the earth’s center to the target.

The I axis runs from the earth’s center to the intersection of the equator and the 0° longitude. The J axis forms a 90° angle with the I axis and is also in the plain of the equator. The K axis runs vertically through the North Pole. This forms the geocentric-equatorial coordinate system used (2:93-99). Each target’s coordinates are converted to vectors in the IJK coordinate system through two Euler coordinate transformations. All the coordinates for SAM sites, bases, and no-fly areas are also converted to vectors in the IJK coordinate system. These vectors are then used to find the Euclidean distance
between any two points. Distortion due to the curvature of the Earth is not a consideration because of the short length of the covering radius.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 1: \( IJK \) coordinate system [2:99]

3.5 *Generation of Candidate Orbit Points (COPs)*

The generation of a good set of fixed COPs requires development of sufficient points to accurately portray the ability of surveillance aircraft to occupy any point in space but not so many that solution times prove unmanageable. A grid of COPs roughly 15 NM apart was chosen to reflect the surveillance aircraft orbit radius of 15 NM. The grid was centered on the unweighted center of all the targets. This choice was somewhat arbitrary but works well in practice. For the Iraq scenario, the size of the grid is 15° on each side which is roughly 900 NM per side. If a larger grid size is desired, these parameters can be easily changed by editing the parameter list using the FORTRAN program (in Appendix
B). Once all the COP latitude and longitude coordinates are generated, each point is transformed into the IJK coordinate system.

3.6 Elimination of COPs

Preprocessing of the COPs to eliminate non-viable points prior to solution is critical to reducing solution times. Each COP is associated with a binary decision variable in the mathematical model. The grid size chosen produces 5776 COPs (Iraq) and 11,236 COPs (Far Eastern) for each respective scenario. Finer grids (which increase the number of COPs per degree) or a larger grid size (greater actual area covered) would produce more COPs. Elimination of COPs by imposing the requirements of avoiding SAMs, being close to both the home airfield and friendly fighter airfields, and avoiding flight into no-fly areas can significantly reduce the number of COPs thus, in general, shortening solution times. COP elimination is accomplished by checking the distance from each home airfield, friendly fighter airfield, SAM site, and no-fly point (respectively), to each COP, against each of the range restrictions. If the distance does not meet the restrictions imposed by Equations (12) - (15), respectively, then the COP is eliminated.

3.6.1 Home Airfield Range Restrictions

COPs that are too far from any surveillance aircraft’s home bases can be eliminated. The distance from each COP to each home base is checked. If the COP is not within the range restriction of any of the home bases, it is removed. Mathematically, this constraint is modeled by Equation (12).
3.6.2 Fighter Cover Restrictions

Friendly fighter cover is a necessity for the survival of airborne surveillance assets in modern air warfare. Fighters have very short ranges and crew fatigue for these single manned aircraft is a definite consideration. Thus, the COPs must be relatively close to friendly fighter aircraft bases. The distance from each COP to each friendly fighter base is checked. If a COP is not within the range restriction of any friendly fighter base, it is removed. This constraint is modeled by Equation (13).

3.6.3 SAM Restrictions

COPs within the lethal range of any SAM site cannot be selected as a point for placing a surveillance aircraft. Thus, these COPs can be eliminated from the MCLP prior to solution. The distance from each COP to each SAM site is checked. When modeling the lethal ranges, the orbit radius of the surveillance aircraft must be added to each lethal range to prevent the surveillance aircraft orbit from being within the lethal range. If the distance is less than the lethal SAM range for that SAM site, the COP is removed from further consideration.

Proximity to enemy fighter bases is also a consideration. Surveillance aircraft too close to enemy fighter bases risk attack before friendly aircraft can deal with the threat. Enemy fighter bases can be modeled as SAMs sites by limiting how close the COPs can be to these bases. Mathematically this constraint is modeled by Equation (14).

3.6.4 Political Boundaries and No-Fly-Zones

Political boundaries and designated no-fly-zones are addressed by the addition of further restrictions on COPs. For example, in the Iraq scenario, surveillance aircraft

21
cannot be assigned orbits inside Iran. In addition, designated free-fire zones (areas where all aircraft are treated as hostile and engaged) must be avoided. These restrictions are modeled by generating points with ranges and excluding those COPs within the designated ranges. This is identical to how the SAM restrictions are treated. Careful modeling of borders can prevent selection of COPs inside these no-fly zones. In the Iraq scenario, the

![COPs Remaining After Constraint Application: Iraq Scenario](image)

**Figure 2:** Iraq Scenario; COPs available prior to aggregation
Iranian, Jordanian, and Syrian airspace had to be excluded. In the Far Eastern scenario, the Chinese airspace had to be excluded. This constraint is modeled by Equation (15).

After the elimination of COPs, the number of variables is reduced substantially. For example, in the Iraq scenario the application of the operational constraints reduced the number of COPs from 5776 to 2245; a 61% reduction in the number of integer variables. Figure 2 shows graphically the reduction for this scenario. In Figure 2, every intersection represents a possible COP location at the start of the problem. The dark points are the COPs remaining after pre-processing and removing from the candidate list any points that do not satisfy constraints (12) through (15).

3.6.5 COP Elimination and Aggregation

Aggregation of COPs is undertaken to simplify the problem without loss of locational information. First, all COPs that do not cover any targets are eliminated from the model. This is equivalent to the elimination of all zero columns from the A matrix in the MCLP. Next, all COPs with identical coverage of targets are aggregated into one COP (the first encountered by the program). This aggregation scheme is identical to the one used for aggregating targets, and is discussed in Section 2.3 (see Current and Schilling [5]). All the COPs aggregated in this manner represent potential alternate optimal locations if the aggregated COP is selected as an orbit point. For example, if COP numbers 34, 45, 67, 68, and 127 have identical target coverage, the model keeps COP 34 and eliminates the other four COPs from the model. If COP 34 is selected as an orbit point, the other four COPs would represent alternate sites that give the same target
coverage and could be selected by the decision maker without loss of overall coverage.

Each COP aggregated in this manner reduces by one the number of variables in the integer

program and, consequently, reduces the solution time of the problem.

After all elimination and aggregation was accomplished, the COPs remaining form

the potential list of orbit points for placing the surveillance aircraft, as shown in Figure 3
for the Iraq scenario. Elimination and aggregation reduced the number of COPs from the remaining 2245, after the application of the constraints (14) and (15), to 1031.

3.7 Target Aggregation

Target aggregation was accomplished by applying rule 1 and rule 3 from the Current and Schilling paper to the targets available (see Section 2.3) [5]. No loss of location information occurs when these rules are applied. Target aggregation was accomplished after all COP elimination and aggregation was completed. The target value of targets selected for aggregation was summed and this value was assigned to the aggregated target. In test problems Current and Schilling obtained reductions of 36 to 92 percent in the number of targets using rules 1 and 3 [5:123]. It is expected that when using operational (and thus very large) target sets, similar results will be obtained. In actual scenarios, there could be 50 or more targets clustered around key points or population centers, which could be aggregated into a few targets producing a high degree of aggregation. Baghdad, Iraq, or P’YongYang, North Korea, provide good examples of such clustering of surveillance targets.

3.8 The Reduced Mathematical Model

After the elimination of COPs, and aggregation of COPs and targets, the resulting model can be stated as an integer programming problem in the form of an MCLP.

Maximize \[ z = \sum_{i} c_i \cdot y_i \]  

Subject To: \[ A \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{y} \]  
\[ \sum_{j} x_{ij} \leq P \]
\[ x_j \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ j = 1, \ldots \ n \]

\[ y_i \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ i = 1, \ldots m \]

(underlined symbols represent column vectors)

where \( n \) = the number of COPs

\( m \) = the number of targets

\( x_j = 1 \), if an aircraft occupies COP \( j \), \( 0 \) otherwise

\( y_i = 1 \), if target \( i \) is covered, \( 0 \) otherwise

\( A \) = A 0-1 matrix. \( a_{ij} = 1 \) if target \( i \) is within the cover range of COP \( j \); \( 0 \)

otherwise.

\( c_i \) = the value of covering target \( i \)

\( P \) = the number of aircraft available

Note that several constraints have been eliminated by preprocessing. This is now
in the form of the MCLP shown in Equations (4) - (8).

3.9 Applying a Heuristic

As discussed in Chapter 2, Ignizio’s heuristic was chosen to solve the MCLP
developed in this research. Ignizio’s heuristic utilizes a basic greedy procedure. In a

greedy heuristic, the first decision variable assigned a value is the one that provides the
greatest increase in the objective function value for the amount of resource used. The

remaining decision variables are checked to find the decision variable, considering the
targets not already covered, which offers the most improvement in the objective function.

This variable is then assigned a value. This process is continued until no increase in the
objective function can be obtained, or the limit on the number of decision variables
assigned a value is reached. In this research model, the decision variables have values of 0 or 1. The heuristic selects three decision variables which are each assigned a value of 1. After the selection of the third decision variable, the procedure is modified by including a drop iteration after every greedy selection. Once the third variable is selected, a determination is made whether or not any one of \( k \) variables assigned a value of 1 can be dropped from the solution. A variable can be removed if the remaining \( k-1 \) variables assigned a value of 1 provide an improvement in the objective function value over the previously selected \( k-1 \) variables. For example, if after assigning three variables a value of 1, the objective function value is 58. Then, once a value is assigned to a fourth variable \((k = 4)\) the objective function value increases to 65. If a group of three variables, from the four chosen, has an objective function value greater than 58, then the variable not in the group would be dropped (assigned a value of 0). A new variable is then assigned a value and added to the solution. This continues until no increase in the objective function is possible or the limit on the number of decision variables assigned value is reached. If no increase in the objective function can be obtained by assigning another variable a value, then the heuristic terminates. The detailed ten - step heuristic developed by Ignizio [12: 43-58] and modified by the author follows.

3.9.1 Step 1 - Initialization

First the problem must be formulated as a maximization problem in the form of Equations (16) - (18). Each element of the \( A \) matrix is transformed by \( a_{ij} = a_{ij}c_i \) for each target \( i \). Let \( \Theta \) be the ordered set of indices \( \mathcal{J} \) of the variables or alternatives \( x_j \) set to one; initially \( \Theta = \{ \emptyset \} \). Let \( k \) represent the number of alternatives selected thus far; initially \( k = \)}
0. Let \( R \) be the matrix whose columns are \( A_{ij} \), where \( i = 1, \ldots, m \) and \( j \in \Theta \). Let \( A^* \) be a column vector of the maximum \( R_{ij} \) for each \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), \( \forall j \in \Theta \).

3.9.2 Step 2 - Selection of First Alternative

For each column vector in \( A \), calculate \( T_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} \). Choose the column vector \( A_j \) with the maximum \( T_j \) as the first alternative and designate it as \( A^* \). Set \( x_j = 1 \), place \( j \) in the ordered set \( \Theta \) and set \( k = 1 \). Remove the selected \( A_j \) from the \( A \) matrix. In event of a tie for maximum \( T_j \), any one of the tied alternatives is selected. In the implementation of the heuristic the first \( T_j \) in the tie is selected. This step selects the first variable to be assigned a value.

3.9.3 Step 3 - Selection of Additional Alternatives

This step selects each additional variable to assign a value after the first. For each column \( A_j \), where \( j \notin \Theta \), calculate \( S_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \max(a_{ij} - a_{i*}, 0) \). Find the maximum \( S_j, S_j > 0 \). For the \( j \) corresponding to \( \max S_j \), set \( x_j = 1 \) and place \( j \) in the \( k+1 \) position of \( \Theta \). Set \( k = k + 1 \). If there is a tie for \( \max S_j \), any alternative may be selected. In the implementation of the heuristic, the first \( S_j \) in the tie is selected. If all \( S_j \leq 0 \), additional alternatives will not increase the objective function value; proceed to step 9.

3.9.4 Step 4 - Formation of the Best Combination

This step forms the column vector which is the current best solution. The sum of the column vector \( A^* \) is equal to the objective function value at this point. Remove the column vector \( A_j \) with \( j = \Theta(k) \) (the \( j \) selected in step 3) from the \( A \) matrix. Update \( A^* \).
where the $i$th element of $A^*$ is $a_i^* = \max_{j \in \theta} \{a_{ij}\}, \ i = 1, \ldots, m$. If $|\theta| = 2$ repeat steps 3 and 4. Otherwise, proceed to step 5.

3.9.5 Step 5 - Combination Improvement Check

This step determines whether or not an alternative can be dropped by calculating the elimination effect. $\theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_k\}$, where $\theta_i$ represents the $i$th index in the ordered set $\theta$. Let $R$ be the matrix with columns $A(\theta_1), A(\theta_2), \ldots, A(\theta_k), A^*$. For each column of $R$, define $E$, the elimination effect, as follows:

$$E(A_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ \max_s \{a_{is} - a_{i^*}\} \right\} \text{ where } s \in \theta, s \neq j, \text{ for } j = \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_k$$

Define $E(A_{\theta_k})$ as the elimination effect of the last variable assigned a value ($j = \theta_k$).

Proceed to step 6.

3.9.6 Step 6 - Elimination Check

This step determines if a variable can be removed from the solution and removes that variable if required. If the maximum $E(A_j) = E(A_{\theta_k})$ found in step 5, proceed to step 8. If not, remove the $A_j$ with the maximum $E(A_j)$ from the matrix $R$ (that is, remove this alternative from the solution), remove $j$ from $\theta$. Set $x_j = 0$. Set $k = k - 1$. Return $A_j$ to the $A$ matrix. Proceed to step 7.

3.9.7 Step 7 - Formulation of Improved Best Combination

Update $A^*$, where $a_i^* = \max_{j \in \theta} \{a_{ij}\}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Return to step 3. This step updates $A^*$ and proceeds to pick the next alternative.
3.9.8 Step 8 - Check

This check determines if the maximum number of alternatives have been selected.

If \( |\theta| = P \), proceed to step 9. If not, return to step 3 to select another alternative.

3.9.9 Step 9 - Assign and Terminate

For each \( i \), set \( y_i = 1 \) if \( a^*_i > 0 \). For each \( i \) such that \( y_i = 1 \), assign variable \( y_i \) to alternative \( x_j \) for \( j \) corresponding to the \( \max_{j \in \theta} a_{ij} \). If there is a tie in the \( \max_{j \in \theta} a_{ij} \) then the assignment can be made to any of the tied variables. Go to step 10.

3.9.10 Step 10 - Reorder and Repeat

The A matrix is then reordered once and the heuristic is repeated. The best solution is then chosen from the two solutions generated. In the implementation of the heuristic, the A matrix is only reordered once. This reorder is accomplished by switching the last column with the first column, the second to last column with the second column, and so forth. Multiple reordering in a random fashion is an area for further research.

3.10 Example: Applying the Heuristic to MCLP

This example was developed to illustrate the workings of the heuristic using a small representative problem. The example begins with the A matrix already formed. Each column represents a candidate orbit point and each row represents a target to be covered. There are nine targets (\( y_1 \) to \( y_9 \)) to cover from six candidate orbit points (\( x_1 \) to \( x_6 \)) with three aircraft.

STEP 1: Place the problem in the proper form:

Maximize \( z = 15 \, y_1 + 10 \, y_2 + 10 \, y_3 + 10 \, y_4 + 15 \, y_5 + 15 \, y_6 + 3 \, y_7 + 3 \, y_8 + y_9 \) (20)
subject to: \( \sum_{j=1}^{6} x_j \leq 3 \)  \hspace{1cm} (21)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
x_3 \\
x_4 \\
x_5 \\
x_6 \\
x_7 \\
x_8 \\
x_9
\end{bmatrix} \geq
\begin{bmatrix}
y_1 \\
y_2 \\
y_3 \\
y_4 \\
y_5 \\
y_6 \\
y_7 \\
y_8 \\
y_9
\end{bmatrix}  \hspace{1cm} (22)

\( x_j \in \{0,1\} \ \forall \ j = 1, \ldots, 6, \quad y_i \in \{0,1\} \ \forall \ i = 1, \ldots, 9 \)

Transform the A matrix by multiplying by the \( c \) vector. The new A matrix is equal to \( cA \) (original):

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
15 & 15 & 0 & 15 & 0 & 0 \\
10 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
10 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
10 & 0 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 0 \\
0 & 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The problem is now set in tabular format for ease of illustration. Table 2 shows the example problem in tabular form.
Table 2: Step 1 - A matrix for maximizing problem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>$x_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$y_1$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_2$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_3$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_4$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_5$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_6$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_7$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_8$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_9$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STEP 2 - Selection of first COP (Table 3)

Table 3: Step 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x_3$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>$x_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$y_1$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_2$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_3$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_4$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_5$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_6$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_7$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_8$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_9$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_j$</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$max T_j = 46, x_1 = 1, \theta = \{1\}, k = 1$

Step 3 - Selection of additional COP; calculate $S_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max (a_{ij} - a_i^*, 0)$ (Table 4).
Table 4: Step 3
Candidate Orbit Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>A*</th>
<th>x2</th>
<th>x3</th>
<th>x4</th>
<th>x5</th>
<th>x6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ S_j \] = 18
\[ \text{max } S_j = 18, x_2 = 1, \theta = \{1,2\}, k = 2 \]

Step 4 - Formation of the Best Combination (Table 5)

Table 5: Step 4
Candidate Orbit Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>A*</th>
<th>x3</th>
<th>x4</th>
<th>x5</th>
<th>x6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since \( |\theta| = 2 \), repeat steps 3 (Table 6) and 4 (Table 7).
Table 6: Step 3 - Repeat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>A*</th>
<th>x3</th>
<th>x4</th>
<th>x5</th>
<th>x6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sj</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{max } S_j = 15, \quad x_3 = 1, \quad \theta = \{1,2,3\}, \quad k=3 \]

Table 7: Step 4 - Repeat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>A*</th>
<th>x3</th>
<th>x4</th>
<th>x5</th>
<th>x6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step 5 - Combination Improvement Check; calculate

\[ E(A_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \{ \max_s (a_{i,s} - a_{i,*}) \} \]

(Table 8).
Step 6 - Elimination Check. The maximum $E(A_j) \neq E(A_{\theta(x)})$. Thus, remove $x_1$ from $R$, remove 1 from $\theta$, so $\theta = \{2, 3\}$. Set $x_1 = 0$, $k = 2$, and proceed to step 7.

Step 7 - Formulation of Improved Best Combination (Table 9). Update $A^*$ for the new $\theta$.

Return to step 3. Tables 11 - 14 show the repeat of steps 3 - 6.

### Table 8: Step 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R matrix</th>
<th>Candidate Orbit Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Targets</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_1$</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_2$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_3$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_4$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_5$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_6$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_7$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_8$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_9$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E(A_j)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max $E(A_j)$ = 0, $x_1 = 0$, $\theta = {2, 3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 9: Step 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>$A^*$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_4$</th>
<th>$x_5$</th>
<th>$x_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$y_1$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_2$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_3$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_4$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_5$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_6$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_7$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_8$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_9$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 10: Step 3 - Repeat
Candidate Orbit Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>A*</th>
<th>x₁</th>
<th>x₄</th>
<th>x₅</th>
<th>x₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y₁</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₂</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₃</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₄</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₅</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₆</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₇</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₈</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₉</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sⱼ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

max Sⱼ = 3, x₄ = 1, θ = {2,3,4}, k=3

Table 11: Step 4 - Repeat
Candidate Orbit Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>A*</th>
<th>x₁</th>
<th>x₅</th>
<th>x₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y₁</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₂</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₃</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₄</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₅</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₆</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₇</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₈</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y₉</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 12: Step 5 and 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>( x_2 )</th>
<th>( x_3 )</th>
<th>( x_4 )</th>
<th>( A^* )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( y_1 )</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_2 )</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_3 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_4 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_5 )</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_6 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_7 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_8 )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_9 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
E(A_j) = \begin{bmatrix} -25 \\ -26 \\ -3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ max } E(A_j) = -3, \text{ max } E(A_j) = E(A_{00}) \] - proceed to step 8

Step 8 - Check. Since \( |\theta| = 3 \) proceed to step 9. The maximum number of aircraft have been selected.

Step 9 - Assign and Terminate. Since \( \theta = \{2,3,4\}, x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 1, \) all others equal 0.

Since \( a^*_i > 0 \) for all \( i \), then \( y_i = 1, i = 1, \ldots, 9 \), and the objective function value is 82. Since all \( y_i = 1 \), all targets are covered. This is also the optimal solution for the integer program given in Equations (20) - (22). Target assignment to a COP begins by assigning target \( y_1 \) to \( x_2 \) or \( x_4 \), \( y_2 \) to \( x_2 \), \( y_3 \) to \( x_3 \), etc. The heuristic terminates at this point.

Step 10 - Reorder and Repeat. The A matrix is then reordered and the problem is resolved. Again all targets are covered using three aircraft.

This example was also solved using a linear solver. Lindo® solved the problem in 22 pivots and obtained the same solution, on a Gateway® Pentium, 133Mhz computer.

Chapter 4 shows the result of applying the heuristic to the two scenarios.
RESULTS

4.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses the results of applying this methodology to two large scenarios. The Iraq scenario is set up to simulate a possible coverage problem of targets in Iraq. The Far Eastern scenario simulates a covering problem posed by threats in both Korea and in and around Taiwan. Utilizing Ignizio’s heuristic coded in FORTRAN 77 to solve either scenario took less than a minute including read and write times on a Sun Sparc 20. A sample of the computer output from the Far Eastern scenario is presented in Appendix G.

4.2 Iraq Scenario

The Iraq scenario had 205 targets inside a 900 by 900 NM cover grid. The cover radius used was 175 NM. Eleven aircraft were available for the scenario. Each COP was located 0.20 degrees, approximately 15 NM, apart for a total of 5776 COPs generated. The initial cover matrix was 205 rows by 5776 columns. The target list for the Iraq Scenario is in Appendix C and the restriction lists are in Appendix D. Table 13 shows the results of the preprocessing and the effects of aggregation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Starting #</th>
<th>Ending #</th>
<th>% Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COPs Elim. by Home Base Restriction</td>
<td>5776</td>
<td>5054</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPs Elim. by Fighter Base Restriction</td>
<td>5054</td>
<td>4920</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPs Elim. by SAM Site Restriction</td>
<td>4920</td>
<td>3886</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPs Elim. by No-fly Restriction</td>
<td>3886</td>
<td>2245</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPs Elim. for No Coverage</td>
<td>2245</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPs Aggregated</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>1031</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total COP reduction - all sources</td>
<td>5776</td>
<td>1031</td>
<td>82.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targets Aggregated</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 36.4% reduction in problem size due to COP aggregation, while low, is in line with results obtained by Current and Schilling [5:123-124]. Including target aggregation and restrictions the original A matrix reduced from 205 by 5776 to 184 by 1031 - an 84.0% reduction. Total coverage was obtained, given the target values used, with only four aircraft. When all targets had the same cover value of 1, the heuristic obtained total coverage with four aircraft. The solution is given in Table 14. The selection of COPs is in the order shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COP #</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>COP #</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>554</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were some alternate COP choices. For example, COP # 291 could be replaced with COP # 322 (39.1° by 40.1). This would give the planner alternate sites for placing the surveillance aircraft without any loss of target coverage. They might be useful in considering routing or in conjunction with other operational considerations. The coverage by percentage and value covered for both cases are shown in Figures 4-6. In both the target values used and not-used cases, there was no removal of previously selected COPs in favor of a better mix of COPs as the number of aircraft used increased. As shown in Figure 4, there is little difference in the percent coverage between the target values used and not-used case for this scenario. This is not surprising given the closeness of the COPs selected as shown in Table 14.
Figures 5 and 6 show the value of the targets covered for each COP occupied. In both cases, the last aircraft covers only one additional target. Given the limited number of assets available, this could be an important operational consideration.
Table 15 shows in tabular form the data in Figures 5 and 6. In both cases, over 50% of the targets are covered with just one aircraft.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COP #</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
<th>Value Increase</th>
<th>COP #</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
<th>Value Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>53.22</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>56.59</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>554</td>
<td>26.08</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>22.93</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291</td>
<td>20.35</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In both cases, 99% of the required coverage was accomplished with only three aircraft. The marginal return for assigning extra surveillance aircraft to the theater is minimal. The theater commander could decide the number of aircraft used to achieve the percent of coverage. It should be again noted that, from the author’s experience in NATO AWACS, it generally it takes 3 - 4 aircraft to occupy a surveillance orbit continuously. Thus, 3 - 4 orbit points represent 9 - 16 actual aircraft and associated crews and maintenance support.
Multiple coverage of some targets occurred. Most targets were only covered once, while only a few were covered more than twice. If the workload on any aircraft is too high, then more aircraft could be assigned to reduce the load. In both cases, the last aircraft assigned greatly increased the number of targets multiply covered. The results are summarized in Table 16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>TGT Values Used</th>
<th>TGT Values Not-used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The heuristic solved the Iraq problem quickly and efficiently. The results provide the theater commander with a good approximation of the number of surveillance aircraft required for a given coverage requirement.

4.3 Far Eastern Scenario

The Far Eastern Scenario had 133 targets inside an approximately 1,260 by 1,260 NM cover grid. The cover radius used was 175 NM. Eleven aircraft were available for the scenario. Each COP was located 0.20 degrees or approximately 15 NM apart, for a total of 11,236 COPs generated. The initial cover matrix was 133 rows by 11,236 columns. The target list for the Far Eastern Scenario is in Appendix E and the restriction lists are in Appendix F. Table 17 shows the results of the preprocessing and the effects of aggregation.
Table 17: Far Eastern Scenario Reductions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Starting #</th>
<th>Ending #</th>
<th>% Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COPs Elim. By Home Base Restriction</td>
<td>11236</td>
<td>8191</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPs Elim. By Fighter Base Restriction</td>
<td>8191</td>
<td>5489</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPs Elim. By SAM Site Restriction</td>
<td>5489</td>
<td>5027</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPs Elim. By No-fly Restriction</td>
<td>5027</td>
<td>2963</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPs Elim. For No Coverage</td>
<td>2963</td>
<td>2733</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPs Aggregated</td>
<td>2733</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total COP reduction - all sources</td>
<td>11236</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>93.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targets Aggregated</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note the COP aggregation of 72.4% was on the high end of the results obtained by Current and Schilling [5:123-124]. Including target aggregation and restrictions, the original A matrix reduced from 133 by 11,236 to 130 by 753 - a 93.4% reduction. Total coverage was not obtained, with the target values used and not-used, with six aircraft.

Three targets were not covered in both cases. The solution is given in Table 18. The selection of COPs is in the order shown. Note how the final solution set of COPs is the same, but the order of selection was different due to the effects of using the target values.

Table 18: Far Eastern Scenario COPs in Solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COP #</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>COP #</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>127.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>119.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>127.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>129.0</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>121.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>123.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were some alternate COP choices. For example, COP # 271 had six alternate sites available (COPs # 272, 332, 333, 334, 335, and 336). This would give the planner alternate sites for placing the surveillance aircraft without any loss of target
coverage. The coverage by percentage and value-covered for both cases are shown in Figures 7 - 9. As shown in Figure 7, there is little difference in the percent coverage between the target values used and not-used case. This is expected from the closeness of the COPs selected as shown in Table 18.

![Figure 7: FE; Percent of Target Value Covered](image)

Figures 8 and 9 show the value of the targets covered for each COP occupied. Note the diminishing returns as more aircraft are assigned.
In both cases over 90% of the required coverage was accomplished with only three aircraft. The marginal return for assigning extra surveillance aircraft to the theater is
minimal. The theater commander could decide the number of aircraft used to achieve the percent of coverage required. Note that 3 - 6 orbit points represent 9 - 24 actual aircraft. For a scenario using the E-8 aircraft, with only 20 projected to be bought by the USAF, a requirement of 24 aircraft would exceed the entire E-8 inventory.

Multiple coverage of some targets occurred. Most targets were only covered once and none were covered more than twice. If the workload on any aircraft is too high, then more aircraft could be assigned to reduce the load, if the aircraft are available. The results are summarized in Table 19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Target Value On or Off</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value of a non-covered target $y_j$ is $c_j$ from Equation (9). In both cases there were three non-covered targets with a combined value of 3 and 12, respectively.
RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary

The heuristic solution to the MCLP provided quick and accurate results for two large theater-level scenarios. The heuristic can be used to find good candidate locations for placing airborne surveillance assets. The number of such assets required for a theater-level conflict can be quickly found and the solution updated as conditions change. The program can be easily modified to accommodate actual data (such as real target lists, actual coverage ranges, etc.). The research objective of solution times under 30 minutes was easily met in the test scenarios. Alternate locations, providing equal coverage, were found for the selected orbit points. The model is easy to use and could be called as a subprogram, to optimize locations as part of another program, if necessary.

The research was successful. The model found effective orbit points to place the surveillance aircraft so target coverage is maximized. Solution times were under a minute, which was well within the 30 minute limit set as the goal.

5.2 Implementation

The heuristic can be applied to any problem that can be placed in the form of a MCLP, Equations (16) - (18). Such problems can be found in the selection and placement of radar or sonar for fleet defense, placement of air or water pollution sensors, deployment of fire-fighting equipment, warehouse location problems, and, with the appropriate transformation to the dual, packing problems. The heuristic has already been applied to locating ground air defense radar in the Boston area [12: 95].
5.3 Further Research

There are two promising areas for further research. First, the heuristic can be improved to provide additional options such as double coverage of targets. Secondly, the problem setup and constraints can be refined.

The heuristic can be enhanced by adding the ability to handle requirements that some targets, or all, require multiple coverage prior to being considered as covered. This would reflect an operational requirement that some targets must be covered continuously even in the event of the loss of a surveillance aircraft. A requirement to obtain double coverage on some demand points would be the first direction of exploration. This might be accomplished by not crediting coverage of a target, requiring multiple coverage, until the required coverage is obtained.

The heuristic assigns targets to aircraft without consideration of the load on an aircraft. One area of research would be to modify the heuristic to consider the workload on any aircraft. This load-balance would act as an upper bound on the number of targets covered by a single aircraft.

The preprocessing can be enhanced by including pre-existing radar. These existing radar sites would cover targets which could be eliminated from the target list. This would enable a more comprehensive model of an entire integrated air defense system.

Modeling the borders of no-fly zones more accurately could also improve the model by eliminating more operationally infeasible COPs prior to solution. Lastly, placing realistic terrain restrictions on how far a surveillance aircraft can ‘see’ from a given COP in a given direction might provide a more realistic solution. This could take into account
the blocking effect of tall mountain ranges. This would be particularly true if the operational theater was situated in very rugged terrain. The E-8 Joint STARS aircraft placement optimization would benefit from this more realistic treatment.

Heuristics provide quick, good solutions to a wide variety of problems. In the case of the MCLP, Ignizio's heuristic provides an excellent example. In large MCLP problems, this heuristic could be used to find a good solution very quickly and to provide a good starting basis from which to solve the problem to optimality using a commercial solver.
Appendix A

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Parameters:

\[ i = \text{Number of the surveillance point.} \]

\[ j = \text{Number of the target point} \]

\[ k = \text{Number of the SAM site} \]

\[ m = \text{Number of the friendly fight base} \]

\[ n = \text{Number of the home base of the surveillance aircraft} \]

\[ COPT_j = \text{The set of orbit points that cover target } j \]

Constants:

\[ Y_{ik} = \text{Distance from surveillance point } i \text{ to SAM site } k \]

\[ LR_k = \text{Lethal radius of the SAM at site } k \]

\[ V_{im} = \text{Distance from surveillance point } i \text{ to DCA base } m \]

\[ V_i = \text{MIN } V_{im} \forall i, m \]

\[ DCAR_m = \text{Range limit from friendly DCA base } m \]

\[ W_{in} = \text{Distance from surveillance point } i \text{ to home base } n \]

\[ W_i = \text{MIN } W_{in} \forall i, n \]

\[ ACR_n = \text{Range limit from home base } n \]

\[ X_{ip} = \text{Distance from surveillance point } i \text{ to No-Fly Point } p \]

\[ NFP_p = \text{No-Fly range of No-Fly Point } p \]

\[ ASP = \text{Number of surveillance aircraft available} \]

\[ P_j = \text{Target } j \text{ value} \]
Variables:

\( t_j = \) Is the target \( j \) covered by at least one occupied orbit point? \( 0 = \text{No}, 1 = \text{Yes} \)

\( cop_i = \) Is the orbit point \( i \) occupied by an aircraft? \( 0 = \text{No}, 1 = \text{Yes} \)

Mathematical equations:

\[
\text{MAX } \sum_j P_j \cdot t_j \quad \text{Objective Function}
\]

Subject To:

\[
\sum_i \text{cop}_i \leq ASP \quad \text{Number of SPs available}
\]

\[
t_j \leq \sum_{i \in \text{COP}_j} \text{cop}_i, \forall j \quad \text{Covers the targets}
\]

\[
W_i \cdot \text{cop}_i \leq ACR_n, \forall i, n \quad \text{Range limit from home base}
\]

\[
V_i \cdot \text{cop}_i \leq DCAR_m, \forall i, m \quad \text{Range limit from friendly fighter base}
\]

\[
\text{cop}_i \leq \frac{Y_{ik}}{L_{Rk}}, \forall i, k \quad \text{Avoids lethal SAM range}
\]

\[
\text{cop}_i \leq \frac{X_{ip}}{NFRP}, \forall i, p \quad \text{Avoids No-Fly Zones}
\]

where \( \text{cop}_i \in \{0,1\} \) for all \( i \), \( t_j \in \{0,1\} \) for all \( j \)
APPENDIX B

FORTRAN 77 Program.

PROGRAM ZAWACS

C *********************************************
C VARIABLE DEFINITIONS
C
C ntgt  = number of targets
C ASP   = Available Surveillance Aircraft
C cls   = # of columns in A matrix
C ncspt = # of candidate surveillance points
C ncolA = # of active columns in A matrix
C nrowA = # of active rows in A matrix
C gridsize = Distance, in degrees, between csp lat. and lon.
C CTRLAT = Center latitude of all the targets
C CTRLON = Center longitude of all the targets
C e     = Earth's eccentricity
C Ae    = Radius of Earth at equator in NM
C Rad   = Converts degrees to radians
C esqr  = Eccentricity Squared
C CR    = Cover Radius in NM
C TOTGRDSZ = Total grid size - each side of grid box in degrees
C Centered on the center lat. & longitude
C x, z  = Variables used to find x,y,z coordinates
C const(2) = Dummy variables
C TGTLAT = Array of Target latitudes
C TGTLON = Array of Target Longitudes
C TGTVAL = Array of Target Values
C TGTx,y,z = Arrays of Target x,y,z values in NM
C CTRx,y,z = x,y,z coordinates of the Center of all the targets
C CSPTx,y,z = Arrays of the Candidate Surveillance Points (CSPT)
C x,y,z coordinates
C CSPLAT,LON = Arrays of CSPT latitude and longitude
C COVERMAT = Cover Matrix(CM)- The A matrix, size ntgt by ncspt
C colsum = The sum of all the entries of each column in CM
C rowsum = The sum of all the entries of each row in CM
C colind = Column Indicator - an array pointer to the CM columns
C rowind = Row Indicator - an array pointer to the CM rows
C multCSP = A ncspt by ncspt array to keep track of aggregated
C CSPTs - These are possible alternate solution sites
C TGTNUM = An Array of the targets numbers from 1 to ntgt
C multTGT = A ntgt by ntgt array to keep track of aggregated
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HEURISTIC VARIABLE DEFINITIONS

Oset = The Ordered Set of the columns selected in the A matrix

Xj = The number of ASPs used

UV = Yes/No switch for using target values 1 = Yes, 0 = No

Tj = The column sum used in step 2

Sj = The column sum used for selection of additional alt.

see the heuristic description

Astar = The column vector of the last site selected

R = The ordered matrix storing the column vectors of

the selected sites

EE = The column vector used in the elimination effect

PREPROCESSING VARIABLE DEFINITIONS

nBase = Number of friendly home bases

nFtr = Number of friendly fighter bases

nSAM = Number of enemy SAM sites

nNoFly = Number of No Fly points included

FTR = Array of the number,x,y,z coordinates, and range of each

fighter base

SAM = Array of the number,x,y,z coordinates, and lethal range

of each SAM site

Base = Array of the number,x,y,z coordinates, and range

of each home base site

NoFly = Array of the number,x,y,z coordinates, and exclusion

range of each NoFly point

* Note: The four arrays hold lat, lon coordinates in the x,y positions

till conversion to x,y,z coordinates

PROGRAM NOTES:

The following parameters must be set prior to running the program.

gridsize - Defines how 'fine' the CSPT grid will be [DEGREES]

TOTGRDSZ - Defines how large the grid will be [DEGREES]

ntgt - How many targets are involved [INTEGER]

cls = (TOTGRDSZ/gridsize)+1 [INTEGER]

ncsp = cls*cls [INTEGER]

ASP = Number of aircraft are available [INTEGER]

CR = The Cover Radius [NM]

nBase = Number of Home Bases involved [INTEGER]

nFtr = Number of Friendly fighter bases involved [INTEGER]
C nSAM - Number of SAM sites involved [INTEGER]
C nNoFly - Number of No Fly points involved [INTEGER]
C UV - Use target Values? 1 = Yes, 0 = No [INTEGER]

C The following files must be created to input the correct data ***
C UNIT FILE NAME USE
C 1 fetgts.txt - Reads in the targets (#,LAT,LON,TGTVAL)
C 2 feftrbas.txt - Reads in the friendly fighter bases in
C    (#, LAT, LON, RANGE)
C 3 fehome.txt - Reads in the Home base list in
C    (#, LAT, LON, RANGE)
C 4 fenofly.txt - Reads in the no-fly points in
C    (#, LAT, LON, RANGE)
C 5 feSAM.txt - Reads in the SAM sites in
C    (#, LAT, LON, LETHAL RANGE)
C
C ******************************:

C Declarations

INTEGER i, j, k, ntgt, ASP, cls, ncsp, ncolA, nrowA
REAL gridsize, CTRLAT, CTRLON, e, Ae, Rad, esqr
REAL CR, TOTGRDSZ
REAL x, z, const, const2

PARAMETER (gridsize = 0.2, TOTGRDSZ = 21.0)
PARAMETER (ntgt = 133, cls = 106, ncsp = 11236)
PARAMETER (e = 0.08182, Ae = 3443.9, Rad = 0.0174533)
PARAMETER (ASP = 11, CR = 175.0)

REAL TGTLAT(ntgt), TGTLON(ntgt), TGTVAL(ntgt)
REAL TGTx(ntgt), TGTy(ntgt), TGTz(ntgt)
REAL CTRx, CTRy, CTRz
REAL CSPTx(ncsp), CSPTy(ncsp), CSPTz(ncsp)
REAL CSPLAT(ncsp), CSPLON(ncsp)
REAL COVERMAT(ntgt,ncsp), colsum(ncsp), rowsum(ntgt)
INTEGER colind(ncsp), rowind(ntgt)
REAL multCSP(ncsp,ncsp),TGTNUM(ntgt)
REAL multTGT(ntgt,ntgt)

C Heuristic Variables
INTEGER Oset(ncsp), Xj, UV
REAL Tj(ncsp), Sj(ncsp), Astar(ntgt)
REAL R(ntgt,ncsp), EE(ncsp)
PARAMETER( UV = 0)

C Preprocessing variables

INTEGER nBase, nFtr, nSam, nNoFly
PARAMETER (nBase = 4, nFtr = 16, nSam = 17, nNoFly = 6)
REAL FTR(nFtr,5), SAM(nSam,5), Base(nBase,5), NoFly(nNoFly,5)

CTRLAT = 0.0
CTRLON = 0.0

C Open Data files

OPEN(UNIT = 1, FILE = 'fetgts.txt', STATUS = 'OLD')
OPEN(UNIT = 2, FILE = 'feftrbas.txt', STATUS = 'OLD')
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE = 'fehome.txt', STATUS = 'OLD')
OPEN(UNIT = 4, FILE = 'fenofly.txt', STATUS = 'OLD')
OPEN(UNIT = 5, FILE = 'fesam.txt', STATUS = 'OLD')
OPEN(UNIT = 10, FILE = 'NVfeRES', STATUS = 'NEW')
OPEN(UNIT = 11, FILE = 'NVfeHres', STATUS = 'NEW')
OPEN(UNIT = 12, FILE = 'NVfeCres', STATUS = 'NEW')
OPEN(UNIT = 13, FILE = 'NVfeZres', STATUS = 'NEW')

WRITE(11,*)
WRITE(11,*)'FAR EAST SCENARIO - TGT VALUES OFF'
WRITE(11,*)

C Read in home base data and fighter base data (Blue Forces)
C and then read in SAM data and no fly area data (RED Forces)
C***

CALL GETBLUE(nBase,nFtr,FTR,Base)
CALL GETRED(nSam, nNoFly,SAM,NoFly)

C***
C Read in Target Data
C***

DO 10 i = 1, ntgt

READ(1,*) TGTNUM(i),TGTLAT(i),TGTLON(i),TGTVAL(i)
CTRLAT = CTRLAT + TGTLAT(i)
CTRLON = CTRLON + TGTolon(i)

10 CONTINUE

CTRLAT = Rad * CTRLAT/FLOAT(ntgt)
CTRLON = Rad * CTRLON/FLOAT(ntgt)

C ***
C Generate Grid to place targets on
C ***
C First find the R vector for each target
C ***
DO 20 i = 1, ntgt
   TGTLAT(i) = TGTLAT(i) * Rad
   TGTolon(i) = TGTolon(i) * Rad
20 CONTINUE

esqr = e*e
const = Ae*(1.0-(esqr))
const2 = SQRT(1.0-(esqr*(SIN(CTRLON)*SIN(CTRLON)))))

x = (Ae/const2)*COS(CTRLON)
z = (const/const2)*SIN(CTRLON)
CTRx = x * COS(CTRLAT)
CTRy = x * SIN(CTRLAT)
CTRz = z

DO 30 k = 1, ntgt
   const2 = SQRT(1.0-(esqr*(SIN(TGTLON(k))*SIN(TGThON(k)))))
   x = (Ae/const2)*COS(TGThON(k))
z = (const/const2)*SIN(TGThON(k))
TGTx(k) = x * COS(TGTLAT(k))
TGTy(k) = x * SIN(TGTLAT(k))
TGTz(k) = z
30 CONTINUE
C ***
C          DO 40 j = 1, ntgt
C          WRITE(13,*)TGTx(j),TGTy(j),TGTz(j), TGTNUM(j)
C 40     CONTINUE
C ***
C Finds the x, y, z coordinates of each of the constraint points
C with respect to the x,y,z coordinate system
C ***

CALL KILLGRID(nBase, nFTR, nSAM, nNoFly, Base, FTR, SAM, NoFly,
+     CTRx, CTRy, CTRz)

C *********************************
C *********************************
C Finds the candidate surveillance points and then generates the
C 'A' matrix - the cover matrix
C *****

CALL FINDCSP(gridsize, TOTGRDSZ, cis, ncsp, CSPTx, CSPTy,
+     CSPTz, CTRLAT, CTRLON, CSPLAT, CSPLON)

C ***
C This subroutine processes the CSPs for each constraint
C Columns are removed from the cover matrix
C ***

CALL ELIMCSP(CSPTx,CSPTy,CSPTz,nBase,nFTR,nSam,nNoFly,Base,FTR,
+     SAM,NoFly,ncsp,colind, CSPLAT, CSPLON)

C ***
C This subroutine generates the 'A' matrix - COVERMAT
C ***

C     CALL ZCOVER(CSPTx,CSPTy,CSPTz,TGTx,TGTy,TGTz,ntgt,ncsp,
+     COVERMAT,CR)

C ***
C This subroutine aggregates the CSPs. Eliminates CSPs that do not
C cover any targets and then aggregates CSPs with identical coverage.
C CSP with identical coverage represent possible multiple solutions.
C ***

     CALL AGGCSP(COVERMAT,ntgt,colind,colmum,CSPTx,
+     CSPTy,CSPTz,ncsp,ncolA,multCSP, CSPLAT, CSPLON)

C ***
C This subroutine aggregates the targets. This reduces the number
C of rows in the cover matrix. Targets with the same CSP coverage are combined into one aggregated target. The target value is updated to reflect the additional coverage. No coverage errors are introduced.

C ***
CALL AGGTGT(COVERMAT,ncolA,nrowA,rownind,ncsp,
+ rowsum,multTGT,TGMTNUM,ntgt,TGTVAL,UV)

C ***
C SEND THE A MATRIX TO FILE FOR GAMS USE.
C DATA IS ALL PREPROCESSED AT THIS POINT.
C ***

C ***
C Subroutine FINDSPT (find solution points) runs the heuristic and finds the solution.
C ***

CALL FINDSPT(COVERMAT,ncolA,nrowA,colindrowind,colsum,
+ rowsum,TGTVAL,ASP,Oset,Tj,Sj,Astar,R,EE,ntgt,ncsp,Xj)

C ***
C Subroutine XXX prints out the results
C ***

CALL XXX(COVERMAT,ncolA,nrowA,TGTVAL,ASP,Oset,ntgt,
+ ncsp,Xj,CSPLAT,CSPLON,TGLAT;TGLON,rowind,colind)

C CLEAN UP

CLOSE(UNIT=1)
CLOSE(UNIT=2)
CLOSE(UNIT=3)
CLOSE(UNIT=4)
CLOSE(UNIT=5)

END FILE(UNIT = 10)
END FILE(UNIT = 11)
END FILE(UNIT = 12)
END FILE(UNIT = 13)

CLOSE(UNIT = 10)
CLOSE(UNIT = 11)
CLOSE(UNIT = 12)
CLOSE(UNIT = 13)
STOP
END

C ***
C ******************************************************************************************
C ******************************************************************************************
C ***** END MAIN PROGRAM - SUBROUTINES FOLLOW  *****
C ******************************************************************************************
C ******************************************************************************************
C ***
C This subroutine prints out the results
C ***
SUBROUTINE XXX(CM,ncolA,nrowA,TV,ASP,Oset,ntgt,ncsp,Xj,
+ csplat, csplon, tgtlat,tgtlon, rowind, colind)

INTEGER i, j, ASP, ntgt, ncsp, ncolA, nrowA, iconst
INTEGER Oset(ncsp), Xj, rowind(ntgt), colind(ncsp)
REAL CM(ntgt,ncsp), TV(ntgt), csplat(ncsp),csplon(ncsp)
REAL tgtlat(ntgt), tgtlon(ntgt), RADS, part(30), const

REAL ttv, ttvc
RADS = 0.0174533

WRITE(13,*)'The final list of COPs considered'
WRITE(13,*)'# LAT LON'
DO 49 i = 1, ncolA
WRITE(13,*)i, ',csplat(i), ',csplon(i)
49  CONTINUE

WRITE(11,*)
WRITE(11,*)' The LAT and LON of the solution are'
WRITE(11,*)'# LAT LON'
DO 51 i = 1, Xj
WRITE(11,*)Oset(i), ',(csplat(Oset(i))/RADS), ','
+ (csplon(Oset(i))/RADS)
51  CONTINUE
WRITE(11,*)
WRITE(11,*)'The following targets were not covered'
' WRITE(11,*)'LAT LON Value'
DO 52 i = 1, ntgt
    rowind(i) = 0
52  CONTINUE
DO 53 i = 1, 30
    part(i) = 0.0
53  CONTINUE

ttv = 0.0
ttvc = 0.0

DO 61 i = 1, nrowA
    ttv = ttv + TV(i)
61  CONTINUE

DO 62 i = 1, nrowA
    DO 63 j = 1, Xj
        IF (CM(i,Oset(j)).GT.0) THEN
            ttvc = ttvc + TV(i)
            GO TO 62
        ENDIF
63  CONTINUE

WRITE( 1,*) (tgtlat(i)/RDS), (tgtlon(i)/RADS), +      TV(i)
62  CONTINUE

C Checks to see if all targets are covered

IF (ttvc.EQ.ttv) THEN
    WRITE(11,*)'ALL TARGETS COVERED'
END IF

DO 64 i = 1, Xj
    const = 0.0
    DO 65 j = 1, nrowA
        IF (rowind(j).EQ.0) THEN
            IF (CM(j,Oset(i)).GT.0.0) THEN
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const = const + TV(j)
rowind(j) = 1

ENDIF
ENDIF

65 CONTINUE
part(i) = const
64 CONTINUE

WRITE(11,*)'Each solution gives the following % to total'
WRITE(11,*)'Orbit Percent Coverage'
WRITE(11,*)'Number Coverage Value'
DO 66 i = 1, Xj
   const = 100.0*part(i)/tv
   WRITE(11,*)Oset(i), const, part(i)
66 CONTINUE

DO 67 j = 1, nrowA
   rowind(j) = 0
67 CONTINUE

WRITE(11,*)'Target Coverage by each Orbit Point'
WRITE(11,*)
WRITE(11,*)'TARGET TARGET TIMES Orbit Points'
WRITE(11,*)'NUMBER VALUE COVERED In Coverage'
WRITE(11,*)'-------------------------------'
DO 68 i = 1, nrowA
   iconst = 0
   DO 69 j = 1, Xj
      IF (CM(i,Oset(j)).GT.0.0) THEN
         iconst = 1 + iconst
         colind(j) = Oset(j)
      ELSE
         colind(j) = 0
      ENDIF
69 CONTINUE

WRITE(11,171)i,TV(i),iconst,(colind(k), k=1,Xj)
68 CONTINUE
171 FORMAT(I4,2X,F4.1,2X,I4,2X,20(I4,15))
RETURN
C This subroutine reads in the Blue data
C ***
SUBROUTINE GETBLUE(nbase,nftr,FTR,Base)

INTEGER i, j, k, nbase, nftr
REAL FTR(nftr,5), Base(nbase,5)

DO 2001 i = 1, nbase
   READ(3,*) (Base(i,j), j = 1, 4)
   Base(i,5) = Base(i,4)
2001 CONTINUE

DO 2002 i = 1, nftr
   READ(2,*) (FTR(i,k), k = 1, 4)
   FTR(i,5) = FTR(i,4)
2002 CONTINUE

RETURN
END

C ***
C This subroutine reads in the Red data
C ***
SUBROUTINE GETRED(nsam, nnofly, SAM, NoFly)

INTEGER i, j, nsam, nnofly
REAL SAM(nsam,5), NoFly(nnofly,5)

DO 2003 i = 1, nsam
   READ(5,*) (SAM(i,j), j = 1, 4)
   SAM(i,5) = SAM(i,4)
2003 CONTINUE

DO 2004 i = 1, nnofly
   READ(4,*) (NoFly(i,j), j = 1, 4)
   NoFly(i,5) = NoFly(i,4)
2004 CONTINUE

RETURN
END

C ***
This subroutine finds the x, y, z coordinates of each of the constraint points and places them in the 2, 3, 4 spots of each matrix

```
SUBROUTINE K1LLGRID(nbase, nftr, nsam, nnofly, Base, FTR, SAM, 
+ NoFly, CTRx, CTRy, CTRz)

INTEGER i, k, nbase, nftr, nsam, nnofly
REAL Base(nbase,*), FTR(nftr,*), SAM(nsam,*), NoFly(nnofly,*)
REAL CTRx, CTRy, CTRz, Ae, hold
REAL RADS, esqr, e, const, const2, x, z

Ae = 3443.9
RADS = 0.0174533
e = 0.08182
esqr = e*e
const = Ae*(1.0-esqr)

C Converts degrees to radians

DO 2101 i = 1, nbase
  Base(i,2) = RADS * Base(i,2)
  Base(i,3) = RADS * Base(i,3)
2101 CONTINUE

DO 2102 i = 1, nftr
  FTR(i,2) = RADS * FTR(i,2)
  FTR(i,3) = RADS * FTR(i,3)
2102 CONTINUE

DO 2103 i = 1, nsam
  SAM(i,2) = RADS * SAM(i,2)
  SAM(i,3) = RADS * SAM(i,3)
2103 CONTINUE

DO 2104 i = 1, nnofly
  NoFly(i,2) = RADS * NoFly(i,2)
  NoFly(i,3) = RADS * NoFly(i,3)
2104 CONTINUE

C Generates 'R' vector for all the points

DO 2105 k = 1, nbase
  const2 = SQRT(1.0-(esqr*(SIN(Base(k,3))*SIN(Base(k,3))))))
```
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x = (Ae/const2)*COS(Base(k,3))
z = (const/const2)*SIN(Base(k,3))

hold = Base(k,2)

Base(k,2) = x * COS(Base(k,2))
Base(k,3) = x * SIN(hold)
Base(k,4) = z

2105 CONTINUE

DO 2106 k = 1, nftr
   const2 = SQRT(1.0-(esqr*(SIN(FTR(k,3))*SIN(FTR(k,3)))))
x = (Ae/const2)*COS(FTR(k,3))
z = (const/const2)*SIN(FTR(k,3))
hold = FTR(k,2)
FTR(k,2) = x * COS(FTR(k,2))
FTR(k,3) = x * SIN(hold)
FTR(k,4) = z

2106 CONTINUE

DO 2107 k = 1, nsam
   const2 = SQRT(1.0-(esqr*(SIN(SAM(k,3))*SIN(SAM(k,3)))))
x = (Ae/const2)*COS(SAM(k,3))
z = (const/const2)*SIN(SAM(k,3))
hold = SAM(k,2)
SAM(k,2) = x * COS(SAM(k,2))
SAM(k,3) = x * SIN(hold)
SAM(k,4) = z

2107 CONTINUE

DO 2108 k = 1, nnofly
   const2 = SQRT(1.0-(esqr*(SIN(NoFly(k,3))*SIN(NoFly(k,3))))))
\[ x = \frac{(Ae}{\text{const2}}) \cdot \cos(\text{NoFly}(k,3)) \]
\[ z = \frac{(\text{const}/\text{const2})}{\text{SIN}(\text{NoFly}(k,3))} \]

\[ \text{hold} = \text{NoFly}(k,2) \]

\[ \text{NoFly}(k,2) = x \cdot \cos(\text{NoFly}(k,2)) \]
\[ \text{NoFly}(k,3) = x \cdot \sin(\text{hold}) \]
\[ \text{NoFly}(k,4) = z \]

\[ 2108 \text{ CONTINUE} \]

C Finds the \( x, y, z \) coordinates of each constraint point

\[ \text{WRITE}(10,*)'\text{BASES xyz}' \]
\[ \text{DO 2109} \ k = 1, \text{nbase} \]

\[ \text{WRITE}(10,*)'\text{Base}(k,2),\text{Base}(k,3),\text{Base}(k,4) \]
\[ 2109 \text{ CONTINUE} \]

\[ \text{WRITE}(10,*)'\text{FTRs xyz}' \]
\[ \text{DO 2110} \ k = 1, \text{nftr} \]

\[ \text{WRITE}(10,*)'\text{FTR}(k,2),\text{FTR}(k,3),\text{FTR}(k,4) \]
\[ 2110 \text{ CONTINUE} \]

\[ \text{WRITE}(10,*)'\text{SAMs xyz}' \]
\[ \text{DO 2111} \ k = 1, \text{nsam} \]

\[ \text{WRITE}(10,*)'\text{SAM}(k,2),\text{SAM}(k,3),\text{SAM}(k,4) \]
\[ 2111 \text{ CONTINUE} \]

\[ \text{WRITE}(10,*)'\text{NoFlys xyz}' \]
\[ \text{DO 2112} \ k = 1, \text{nnofly} \]

\[ \text{WRITE}(10,*)'\text{NoFly}(k,2),\text{NoFly}(k,3),\text{NoFly}(k,4) \]
\[ 2112 \text{ CONTINUE} \]

\[ \text{RETURN} \]
\[ \text{END} \]

C ***
C This subroutine generates the \( x, y, z \) coordinates for
C the candidate surveillance points and places them in
C the CSPT matrix
C ***

\[ \text{SUBROUTINE FINDCSP(gs, tgs, zcs, zcsqr, cspx, cspy,} \]
cspz, clat, clon, csplat, csplon)

INTEGER i, j, k, zcs, zcsqr
REAL gs, tgs, cspx(zcsqr), cspy(zcsqr), cspz(zcsqr)
REAL const, const2, clat, clon, startlat, startlon
REAL Ae, e, RADS, esqr, x, z, csplat(zcsqr)
REAL csplon(zcsqr)

Ae = 3443.9
RADS = 0.0174533
e = 0.08182
esqr = e*e
const = Ae*(1.0-esqr)

clat = clat/RADS
clon = clon/RADS

startlat = (FLOAT(NINT(10.0*clat))/10.0)-(tgs/2.0)
startlon = (FLOAT(NINT(10.0*clon))/10.0)-(tgs/2.0)

i = 0

DO 1001 j = 1, zcs
   DO 1002 k = 1, zcs
      i = i + 1
      csplat(i) = startlat + (FLOAT(k-1)*gs)
      csplon(i) = startlon + (FLOAT(j-1)*gs)
      WRITE(12,*)i,' ',csplat(i),' ',csplon(i)
   C" WRITE(12,*)i,' ',csplat(i),' ',csplon(i)
   csplat(i) = csplat(i) * RADS
   csplon(i) = csplon(i) * RADS
   1002 CONTINUE
   1001 CONTINUE

DO 1004 i = 1, zcsqr
   const2 = SQRT(1.0-(esqr*(SIN(csplon(i))
   + *SIN(csplon(i)))))
   x = (Ae/const2)*COS(csplon(i))
   z = (const/const2)*SIN(csplon(i))
cspx(i) = x * COS(csplat(i))
cspy(i) = x * SIN(csplat(i))
cspz(i) = z

1004 CONTINUE

WRITE(11,*)'CTRLAT= ',clat,' CTRLON= ',clon

clat = clat * RADS
clon = clon * RADS

C  WRITE(10,*)'CSPs generated are as follows'
C  WRITE(10,*)'#  X coord  Y coord  Z coord'
C  DO 1003 i = 1, zcsqr
C  WRITE(10,5501)i,cspx(i),cspy(i), cspz(i)
C 1003 CONTINUE
C 5501 FORMAT(14,2X,F8.2,2X,F8.2,2X,F8.2)

RETURN
END

C ** This Subroutine eliminates CSPs that do not meet the constraints
C of avoiding enemy SAM's, range from home base limits,
C friendly fighter cover limits, and No Fly restrictions
C ***
SUBROUTINE ELIMCSP(csptx,cspty,csptz,nbase,nftr,nsam,nnofly,
+ Base, FTR, SAM, NoFly, ncsp, colind, csplat,csplon)

INTEGER i, k, nbase, nftr, nsam, nnofly, ncsp
INTEGER colind(ncsp), SUM
REAL csptx(*), cspty(*),csptz(*),Base(nbase,5), FTR(nftr,5)
REAL SAM(nsam,5), NoFly(nnofly,5), A, B, C, test
REAL csplat(*), csplon(*)

INTEGER fb,fs,ff,fn, DUM

fb = 0
fs = 0
ff = 0
fn = 0

DO 2201 k = 1, ncsp
  colind(k) = 0
 2201 CONTINUE
DO 2202 k = 1, ncs

DO 2203 i = 1, nbase

   A = (csptx(k)-Base(i,2))**2.0
   B = (cspty(k)-Base(i,3))**2.0
   C = (csptz(k)-Base(i,4))**2.0
   test = SQRT(A+B+C)

   IF (test.LE.Base(i,5)) THEN
      colind(k) = 1
   ENDIF

2203 CONTINUE

IF (colind(k).LT.1) THEN
   fb = fb + 1
   GOTO 2202
ENDIF

DUM = 0

DO 2204 i = 1, nfr

   A = (csptx(k)-FTR(i,2))**2.0
   B = (cspty(k)-FTR(i,3))**2.0
   C = (csptz(k)-FTR(i,4))**2.0
   test = SQRT(A+B+C)

   IF (test.LE.FTR(i,5)) THEN
      DUM = 1
   ENDIF

2204 CONTINUE

IF (DUM.EQ.0) THEN
   colind(k) = 0
   ff = ff + 1
   GOTO 2202
ENDIF

DO 2205 i = 1, nnofly

   A = (csptx(k)-NoFly(i,2))**2.0
   B = (cspty(k)-NoFly(i,3))**2.0
   C = (csptz(k)-NoFly(i,4))**2.0

68
test = SQRT(A+B+C)

IF (test.LE.NoFly(i,5)) THEN
  colind(k) = 0
  fn = fn + 1
  GOTO 2202
ENDIF

2205  CONTINUE

DO 2206 i = 1, nsam

    A = (csptx(k)-SAM(i,2))**2.0
    B = (cspty(k)-SAM(i,3))**2.0
    C = (csptz(k)-SAM(i,4))**2.0
    test = SQRT(A+B+C)

    IF (test.LE.SAM(i,5)) THEN
        colind(k) = 0
        fs = fs + 1
        GOTO 2202
    ENDIF

2206  CONTINUE

2202  CONTINUE

SUM = 0
DO 2207 i = 1, ncsp
  SUM = SUM + colind(i)
2207  CONTINUE

WRITE(11,*)'# of CSP = ',ncsp
WRITE(11,*)'The total number of CSP remaining = ',SUM
WRITE(11,*)'ELIM by base, ftr, SAM, NoFly'
WRITE(11,*)'BLUE',fb,ff,' RED',fs,fn
WRITE(11,*)

k = 0

DO 2208 i = 1, ncsp

    IF (colind(i).GT.0) THEN
        k = k + 1
        csptx(k) = csptx(i)
        cspty(k) = cspty(i)
        csptz(k) = csptz(i)

2208  CONTINUE
csplat(k) = csplat(i)
csplon(k) = csplon(i)

ENDIF

2208   CONTINUE

DO 2209 i = (SUM + 1), ncsp
   csptx(i) = 100000.0
   cspty(i) = 100000.0
   csptz(i) = 100000.0
   csplat(i) = 0.0
   csplon(i) = 0.0
2209   CONTINUE

RETURN
END

C ***
C This subroutine generates the cover matrix
C ***

SUBROUTINE ZCOVER(csptx,cspty,csptz,tgtx,tgty,tgtz,ntgt,
+       ncsp,CM,CD)

INTEGER ntgt, ncsp, i, j
REAL csptx(ncsp),cspty(ncsp), csptz(ncsp)
REAL tgtx(ntgt),tgty(ntgt),tgtz(ntgt)
REAL CM(ntgt,ncsp), covdist, A, B, C, CD
covdist = CD
DO 1101 i = 1, ntgt

   DO 1102 j = 1, ncsp
      A = (csptx(j)-tgtx(i))**2.0
      B = (cspty(j)-tgty(i))**2.0
      C = (csptz(j)-tgtz(i))**2.0
      CM(i,j) = SQRT(A+B+C)
   IF (CM(i,j).GT.covdist) THEN
      CM(i,j) = 0.0
   ELSE
      CM(i,j) = 1.0
   ENDIF
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C This subroutine aggregates the CSPs
C ***

SUBROUTINE AGGCSP(CM, ntgt, colind, colsum, csptx, cspty,
+ csptz, ncsp, ncolA, multCSP, csplat, csplon)

INTEGER ntgt, ncsp, i, ii, j, kk, ncspA
INTEGER colind(ncsp), ncolA, numagg
REAL CM(ntgt, ncsp), colsum(ncsp), RADS
REAL csptx(ncsp), cspty(ncsp), csptz(ncsp)
REAL multCSP(ncsp, ncsp), csplat(*), csplon(*)

RADS = 0.0174533

DO 1201 i = 1, ncsp
    colind(i) = 1
1201 CONTINUE
C ***
C This first part eliminates columns that can see no targets
C ***

ncolA = 0
DO 1202 j = 1, ncsp
    colsum(j) = 0.0
    DO 1203 k = 1, ntgt
        colsum(j) = CM(k, j) + colsum(j)
    1203 CONTINUE
    IF (colsum(j) .LT. 1.0) THEN
        colind(j) = 0
    END IF
ncolA = colind(j) + ncolA
1202 CONTINUE

i = 0
DO 1205 j = 1, ncsp
    IF (colind(j) .EQ. 1) THEN
        i = i + 1
        colsum(i) = 0
    DO 1206 k = 1, ntgt
test = SQRT(A+B+C)

IF (test.LE.NoFly(i,5)) THEN
  colind(k) = 0
  fn = fn + 1
  GOTO 2202
ENDIF
2205 CONTINUE

DO 2206 i = 1, nsam

  A = (csptx(k)-SAM(i,2))**2.0
  B = (cspty(k)-SAM(i,3))**2.0
  C = (csptz(k)-SAM(i,4))**2.0
  test = SQRT(A+B+C)

  IF (test.LE.SAM(i,5)) THEN
    colind(k) = 0
    fs = fs + 1
    GOTO 2202
  ENDIF
2206 CONTINUE
2202 CONTINUE

SUM = 0
DO 2207 i = 1, ncs
  SUM = SUM + colind(i)
2207 CONTINUE

WRITE(11,*),'# of CSP = ',ncs
WRITE(11,*),'The total number of CSP remaining = ',SUM
WRITE(11,*),'ELIM by base, ftr, SAM, NoFly'
WRITE(11,*),'BLUE',fb,ff,' RED',fs,fn
WRITE(11,*)

k = 0
DO 2208 i = 1, ncs

  IF (colind(i).GT.0) THEN
    k = k + 1
    csptx(k) = csptx(i)
    cspty(k) = cspty(i)
    csptz(k) = csptz(i)
  ENDIF
2208 CONTINUE
csplat(k) = csplat(i)
csplon(k) = csplon(i)
ENDIF

2208 CONTINUE

DO 2209 i = (SUM + 1), ncsp
    csptx(i) = 100000.0
    cspty(i) = 100000.0
    csptz(i) = 100000.0
    csplat(i) = 0.0
    csplon(i) = 0.0
2209 CONTINUE

RETURN
END

C***
C This subroutine generates the cover matrix
C***

SUBROUTINE ZCOVER(csptx,cspty,csptz,tgtx,tgty,tgtz,ntgt,
+ ncsp,CM,CD)

INTEGER ntgt, ncsp, i, j
REAL csptx(ncsp),cspty(ncsp), csptz(ncsp)
REAL tgtx(ntgt),tgty(ntgt),tgtz(ntgt)
REAL CM(ntgt,ncsp), covdist, A , B, C, CD
covdist = CD
DO 1101 i = 1, ntgt
    DO 1102 j = 1, ncsp
        A = (csptx(j)-tgtx(i))**2.0
        B = (cspty(j)-tgty(i))**2.0
        C = (csptz(j)-tgtz(i))**2.0
        CM(i,j) = SQRT(A+B+C)
        IF (CM(i,j).GT.covdist) THEN
            CM(i,j) = 0.0
        ELSE
            CM(i,j) = 1.0
        ENDIF
SUBROUTINE AGGCSP(CM, ntgt, colind, colsum, csptx, cspty, 
+ csptz, ncsp, ncolA, multCSP, csplat, csplon)

INTEGER ntgt, ncsp, i, ii, j, k, kk, ncolA
INTEGER colind(ncsp), ncolA, numagg
REAL CM(ntgt, ncsp), colsum(ncsp), RADS
REAL csptx(ncsp), cspty(ncsp), csptz(ncsp)
REAL multCSP(ncsp, ncsp), csplat(*), csplon(*)

RADS = 0.0174533

DO 1201 i = 1, ncsp
   colind(i) = 1
1201 CONTINUE

C This first part eliminates columns that can see no targets
C
ncolA = 0
DO 1202 j = 1, ncsp
   colsum(j) = 0.0
   DO 1203 k = 1, ntgt
      colsum(j) = CM(k, j) + colsum(j)
1203 CONTINUE
   IF (colsum(j) .LT. 1.0) THEN
      colind(j) = 0
   END IF
   ncolA = colind(j) + ncolA
1202 CONTINUE

i = 0
DO 1205 j = 1, ncsp
   IF (colind(j) .EQ. 1) THEN
      i = i + 1
      colsum(i) = 0
   DO 1206 k = 1, ntgt
CM(k,i) = CM(k,j)
colsum(i) = colsum(i) + CM(k,i)

1206 CONTINUE

C
C This second part finds CSPs that cover identical target sets
C and then removes all but one of the identical coverage CSPs
C

DO 1207 i = (ncolA+1),ncsp
   csptx(i) = -10000.0
   cspty(i) = -10000.0
   csptz(i) = -10000.0
   colind(i) = 0
   colsum(i) = 0.0
   csplat(i) = 0.0
   csplon(i) = 0.0
   DO 1208 j = 1, ntgt
      CM0j,i) = 0.0
   1208 CONTINUE
   1207 CONTINUE

C ***
C ***
WRITE(12,*)'The aggregated CSP List'
WRITE(12,*)'# LAT LON has # LAT LON agg. to it'
DO 1209 i = 1, (ncolA-1)
   IF (colsum(i).GT.0.0) THEN
      DO 1210 j = (i+1), ncolA
         IF (colsum(i).EQ.colsum(j)) THEN
            DIFF1 = 0.0
            DO 1211 k = 1, ntgt
               DIFF1 = ABS(CM(k,i) - CM(k,j))+DIFF1
            1211 CONTINUE
            IF (DIFF1.EQ.0.0) THEN
         
         72
colsum(j) = 0.0
multCSP(i,j) = 1.0
colind(j) = 0
WRITE(12,571)i,(csplat(i)/RADS),(csplon(i)/RADS),
+ j,(csplat(j)/RADS),(csplon(j)/RADS)
END IF
END IF
1210 CONTINUE

END IF
1209 CONTINUE
571 FORMAT(15,2XF8.2,2X,F8.2,4X,15,2X,F8.2,2X,F8.2)
C ***
C Update all variables for eliminated columns, redundant targets are maintained
C ***
i = 0
ii = 0
DO 1212 j = 1, ncolA
   IF (colind(j).EQ.1) THEN
      i = i + 1
      colsum(i) = colsum(j)
      colind(i) = colind(j)
      csptx(i) = csptx(j)
      cspty(i) = cspty(j)
      csptz(i) = csptz(j)
      csplat(i) = csplat(j)
      csplon(i) = csplon(j)
   DO 1213 k = 1, ntgt
      CM(k,i) = CM(k,j)
   1213 CONTINUE
   ELSE
      ii = ii + 1
      colsum(ncolA+ii) = colsum(j)
      colind(ncolA+ii) = 0
      csptx(ncolA+ii) = csptx(j)
      cspty(ncolA+ii) = cspty(j)
      csptz(ncolA+ii) = csptz(j)
      csplat(ncolA+ii) = csplat(j)
      csplon(ncolA+ii) = csplon(j)
   DO 1214 k = 1, ntgt
...
CM(k,(ncolA+i)) = CM(k,j)
CONTINUE
END IF
CONTINUE

kk = ncolA
ncolA = i
numagg = ii

DO 1215 i = 1, ii
  j = ncolA+i
  colsum(j) = colsum(kk+i)
  colind(j) = colind(kk+i)
  csptx(j) = csptx(kk+i)
  cspty(j) = cspty(kk+i)
  csptz(j) = csptz(kk+i)
  csplat(j) = csplat(kk+i)
  csplon(j) = csplon(kk+i)

  DO 1219 k = 1,ntgt
    CM(k,j) = CM(k,kk+i)
  CONTINUE
1219 CONTINUE
CONTINUE
1215 CONTINUE

WRITE(11,*)'The number of CSPs left is ',ncolA
RETURN
END

***
This subroutine aggregates the targets
***
SUBROUTINE AGGTGT(CM,ncolA,nrowA,rowind,ncsp,rowsum,
  +            multTGT,TGTNUM,ntgt,TGTVAL,UV)
  INTEGER i, j, k, ntgt, count, UV
  INTEGER rowind(ntgt), ncsp, ncolA, nrowA
  REAL CM(ntgt,ncsp), DIFF2, rowsum(ntgt)
  REAL multTGT(ntgt,ntgt), TGTNUM(ntgt), TGTVAL(ntgt)
DO 1301 i = 1, ntgt
   rowind(i) = 1
1301 CONTINUE

IF (UV.NE.1) THEN
   DO 1321 i = 1, ntgt
      TGTVAL(i) = 1.0
   1321 CONTINUE
ENDIF

DO 1307 i = 1, ntgt
   DO 1308 j = 1, ntgt
      multTGT(i,j) = 0.0
   1308 CONTINUE
1307 CONTINUE

C ***
C This part finds all targets with identical cover constraints (the
C same CSPs coverage) and removes all but one of the targets. Targets
C eliminated this way are stored in the multTGT matrix).
C ***

DO 1302 i = 1, ntgt
   rowsum(i) = 0.0
   DO 1303 j = 1, ncolA
      rowsum(i) = CM(i,j) + rowsum(i)
   1303 CONTINUE
1302 CONTINUE

WRITE(10,*)
WRITE(10,*)'Aggregated Target List'
WRITE(10,*)'Aggregated Target #, Redundant Target #, TGT Value'
DO 1304 i = 1, (ntgt-1)
   count = 0
   IF (rowind(i).GT.0) THEN
      DO 1305 j = (i+1), ntgt
         IF (rowind(j).GT.0) THEN
            75
         ENDIF
      1305 CONTINUE
   ENDIF
1304 CONTINUE
IF (rowsum(i).EQ.rowsum(j)) THEN

    DIFF2 = 0.0

    DO 1306 k = 1, ncolA
        DIFF2 = ABS(CM(i,k)-CM(j,k))+DIFF2
    1306 CONTINUE

    IF (DIFF2.LT.1.0) THEN
        rowind(i) = 0
        TGTVAL(i) = TGTVAL(i) + TGTVAL(j)
        multTGT(i,j) = TGTNUM(j)
        WRITE(10,*) TGTNUM(i),',',TGTNUM(j),',',TGTVAL(i)
        count = count + 1
    END IF

    END IF

END IF

1305 CONTINUE

C This section eliminates the redundant targets from the A matrix, and updates
C all variables
C ***

nrowA = 0
i = 0

DO 1309 j = 1, ntgt
    IF (rowind(j).EQ.1) THEN
        i = i + 1
        nrowA = i
        rowsum(i) = rowsum(j)
    END IF

1309 CONTINUE
rowind(i) = rowind(j)
TGTNUM(i) = TGTNUM(j)
TGTVAL(i) = TGTVAL(j)

DO 1310 k = 1, ncolA
   CM(i,k) = CM(j,k)
1310 CONTINUE

ENDIF
1309 CONTINUE
C ***
C This part sets the extra rows in the covermatrix to zero
C ***
DO 1311 i = (nrowA+1), ntgt
   rowsum(i) = 0.0
   rowwind(i) = 0
   TGTVAL(i) = 0.0
   TGTNUM(i) = 0.0
   DO 1312 j = 1, ncolA
      CM(i,j) = 0.0
1312 CONTINUE
1311 CONTINUE

WRITE(10,*)'Covermatrix size (nonzero) ',nrowA,' by ',ncolA
WRITE(10,*)'Original A matrix size ',ntgt,' by ',ncsp
RETURN
END

C ***********************************************
C ***********************************************
C ***** THIS SUBROUTINE RUN'S IGNIZIO'S HEURISTIC *****
C ***********************************************
C ***********************************************

SUBROUTINE FINDSPT(CM,ncolA,nrowA,colind,rowind,colsum,
   + rowsum,TGTVAL,ASP,Oset,Tj,Sj,Astar,R,EE,ntgt,ncsp,Xj)

INTEGER i, ii, j, k, ncolA, nrowA, ASP, ntgt, ncsp
INTEGER colind(*), rowind(*), kk
REAL colsum(*), rowsum(*), TGTVAL(*)
REAL CM(ntgt,ncsp), ttv

INTEGER aspt, Xj, Oset(*), inset, Overrun
REAL Tj(*), Sj(*), Astar(*)
REAL R(ntgt,ncsp), EE(*)

C ***
C This sets the cover matrix with the target values, IF
C useVAL = 1, otherwise all targets have equal value of one
C This is set in the target aggregation subroutine
C ***
C *** INITIALIZE ****

WRITE(11,*)
WRITE(11,*)'Starting Heuristic'
WRITE(11,*)'The number of rows left = ', nrowA
WRITE(11,*)'The number of columns left = ', ncolA
WRITE(11,*)'The Cover radius = 175 nm'
WRITE(11,*)'Grid size = 21 degree box'
WRITE(11,*)'COPs 0.20 degrees apart'
WRITE(11,*)

C *

C *** STEP 1 ***

DO 1480 i = 1, ntgt
   rowsum(i) = 0.0
1480 CONTINUE

C *** STEP 2 ***
DO 1403 j = 1, ncolA
   Tj(j) = 0.0
   DO 1404 i = 1, nrowA
      Tj(j) = Tj(j) + CM(i,j)
   1404 CONTINUE
1403 CONTINUE

aspt = 1

DO 1405 j = 2, ncolA
   IF (Tj(j).GT.Tj(aspt)) THEN
      aspt = j
   END IF
1405 CONTINUE

DO 1406 i = 1, nrowA
   Astar(i) = CM(i,aspt)
   R(i,Xj) = Astar(i)
1406 CONTINUE

Oset(Xj) = aspt

C *** STEP 3 ****

990 CONTINUE

DO 1407 j = 1, ncolA
   inset = 0
   Sj(j) = 0.0
   DO 1408 k = 1, Xj
      IF (j.EQ.Oset(k)) THEN
         inset = 1
      END IF
1408 CONTINUE

IF (inset.EQ.0) THEN
   DO 1409 i = 1, nrowA
      Sj(j) = MAX(CM(i,j)-Astar(i),0.0)+Sj(j)
1409 CONTINUE
END IF
1407 CONTINUE

C *** Check for completion ***
CHECK = 0
DO 1410 j = 1, ncolA
   IF (Sj(j).GT.0.0) THEN
      CHECK = 1
   END IF
1410 CONTINUE

IF (CHECK.LT.1) THEN
C CALL TERMINAT()
   WRITE(11,*)'TERMINATES ON ALL Sj <= 0 - STEP 3'
   GOTO 999
END IF
C ***
aspt = 1
DO 1411 j = 2, ncolA
   IF (Sj(j).GT.Sj(aspt)) THEN
      aspt = j
   END IF
1411 CONTINUE

Xj = Xj + 1
Oset(Xj) = aspt

C *** STEP 4 ***

DO 1412 i = 1, nrowA
   Astar(i) = MAX(Astar(i),CM(i,aspt))
   R(i,Xj) = CM(i,Oset(Xj))
1412 CONTINUE

C *** Check for infinite loop
   Overrun = Overrun + 1
   IF (Overrun.GT.100) GOTO 999
C ***
   IF (Xj.LT.3) THEN
      CALL PRELIM(CM,Oset,Xj,ncolA,nrowA,ntgt,ncsp,
             + ttv, TGTVAL, rowind)
   ENDIF
   IF (Xj.EQ.2) GOTO 990
C *** STEP 5 ***
DO 1413 k = 1, Xj
C *** zero out the checker - rowsum ***
   DO 1423 kk = 1, nrowA
      rowsum(kk) = 0.0
   1423 CONTINUE

DO 1414 j = 1, Xj

   IF (j.NE.k) THEN
      DO 1415 i = 1, nrowA
         rowsum(i) = MAX(rowsum(i),R(i,j))
      1415 CONTINUE
   END IF

   EE(k) = 0.0
   DO 1416 i = 1, nrowA
      EE(k) = EE(k) + (rowsum(i)-astar(i))
   1416 CONTINUE

   WRITE(11,*)' EE(k)'
   WRITE(11,*)(NINT(EE(kk)), kk=l, Xj)
   WRITE(11,*)

   aspt = Xj
   DO 1417 k = (Xj-1), 1, -1
      IF (EE(k).GT.EE(aspt)) THEN
         aspt = k
      END IF
   1417 CONTINUE

   CALL PRELIM(CM,Oset,Xj,ncolA,nrowA,ntgt,ncsp,
               + ttv,TGTVAL, rowind)

C *** STEP 6 ***

   IF (aspt.EQ.Xj) THEN
      GOTO 998
   END IF

C *** Sends to step 8 ***

   DO 1418 k = aspt, (Xj-1)
Oset(k) = Oset(k+1)
DO 1419 i = 1, nrowA
   R(i,k) = R(i,(k+1))
1419   CONTINUE
1418 CONTINUE

Xj = Xj - 1

C *** STEP 7 ***

DO 1420 i = 1, nrowA
   Astar(i) = R(i,1)
1420 CONTINUE

DO 1421 j = 2, Xj
   DO 1422 i = 1, nrowA
      Astar(i) = MAX(Astar(i),R(i,j))
1422 CONTINUE
1421 CONTINUE

GOTO 990

C *** STEP 8 ***

998 CONTINUE

IF (Xj.LT.ASP) THEN
   GOTO 990
END IF

C *** STEP 9 ***

C CALL TERMINAT()

999 CONTINUE

WRITE(11,*)'Solution Accomplished'
WRITE(11,*)'Xj = ',Xj
WRITE(11,*)'The Solution set is columns'
WRITE(11,*)(Oset(j), j = 1,Xj)

RETURN
END
C ***
C This subroutine save preliminary results of the heuristic
C ***
SUBROUTINE PRELIM(CM,Oset,Xj,ncolA,nrowA,ntgt,ncsp,
+ ttv,TV, rowind)

INTEGER i, j, ncolA, nrowA, ntgt, ncsp
REAL CM(ntgt,ncsp), TV(*), part(30), const
INTEGER Xj, Oset(*), rowind(ntgt)

WRITE(11,*)
WRITE(11,*)'The current solution for Xj = ',Xj
WRITE(11,*)(Oset(i), j = 1, Xj)
WRITE(11,*)
DO 3304 j = 1, nrowA
    rowind(j) = 0
3304 CONTINUE

DO 3301 i = 1, Xj
    const = 0.0
    DO 3302 j = 1, nrowA
        IF (rowind(j).EQ.0) THEN
            IF (CM(j,Oset(i)).GT.0.0) THEN
                const = const + TV(j)
                rowind(j) = 1
            ENDIF
        ENDIF
    3302 CONTINUE
    part(i) = const
3301 CONTINUE

WRITE(11,*)
WRITE(11,*)'The total Coverage Value = ',ttv
WRITE(11,*)'Each solution gives the following % to total'
WRITE(11,*)'Orbit Percent Coverage'
WRITE(11,*)'Number Coverage Value'
DO 3303 i = 1, Xj
    const = 100.0*part(i)/ttv
    WRITE(11,*)Oset(i), const, part(i)
3303 CONTINUE
3303 CONTINUE

RETURN
END
**APPENDIX C**

IRAQ SCENARIO TARGET LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TARGET #</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>VALUE</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35.79</td>
<td>43.09</td>
<td>Q-West</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34.71</td>
<td>43.54</td>
<td>Sahra</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.84</td>
<td>42.45</td>
<td>Al Asad</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.34</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>Al Taq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.24</td>
<td>44.23</td>
<td>Saddam Int.</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>44.38</td>
<td>Baghdad Int.</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30.95</td>
<td>46.08</td>
<td>Tallil</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>46.62</td>
<td>Lajbah-SE</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30.55</td>
<td>47.67</td>
<td>Basrah Intl</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30.57</td>
<td>47.75</td>
<td>Basrah</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36.28</td>
<td>42.42</td>
<td>Afar</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36.33</td>
<td>43.16</td>
<td>Mosel</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35.87</td>
<td>42.13</td>
<td>Sinjar</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35.77</td>
<td>43.26</td>
<td>Q-South</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35.52</td>
<td>44.27</td>
<td>K1</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35.15</td>
<td>44.12</td>
<td>Ashtah</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34.93</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>K2</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34.65</td>
<td>43.78</td>
<td>T-East</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34.56</td>
<td>43.67</td>
<td>T-South</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34.18</td>
<td>44.27</td>
<td>Samarra</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34.18</td>
<td>44.75</td>
<td>Salum</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34.95</td>
<td>44.46</td>
<td>Tuz</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.52</td>
<td>44.25</td>
<td>Al Taji</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>44.51</td>
<td>Rashead</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.95</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>Balad SE</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.83</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>Rutbah</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.42</td>
<td>41.98</td>
<td>Mudaysis</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>44.15</td>
<td>Karbala</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.92</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>Shayka</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>45.75</td>
<td>UBA-Jarrah</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.15</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>Kut East</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.95</td>
<td>42.12</td>
<td>Radif</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.44</td>
<td>43.15</td>
<td>Al Khirr</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.35</td>
<td>44.88</td>
<td>Shaykh HS</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.84</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>Qalat-W</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.87</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>Amara</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.47</td>
<td>47.33</td>
<td>Qalat-E</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Lat</td>
<td>Lon</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.92</td>
<td>43.66</td>
<td>Gralaysan</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.67</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>Salman-N</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.35</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>Rumaylah SW</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.25</td>
<td>47.48</td>
<td>Mufrahs</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.22</td>
<td>47.66</td>
<td>Safwan</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.45</td>
<td>47.48</td>
<td>Shaibah-W</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.47</td>
<td>47.62</td>
<td>Shaibah</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Heliport</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.92</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>H-Sug-A-S</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Heliport</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.33</td>
<td>46.55</td>
<td>Al Bus-NE</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Heliport</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>Qalb-A-L</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Heliport</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>Rumaylah</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36.66</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>H-Zaalah</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>43.33</td>
<td>Hel-3494</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36.53</td>
<td>44.35</td>
<td>Bashur</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35.48</td>
<td>44.33</td>
<td>Kirkuk</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36.33</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>I-Army-Hq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35.48</td>
<td>44.37</td>
<td>I-Army-Hq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30.51</td>
<td>47.77</td>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>46.09</td>
<td>Army</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35.08</td>
<td>43.57</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34.46</td>
<td>41.94</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34.06</td>
<td>42.37</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.36</td>
<td>43.78</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>44.35</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.37</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>45.81</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.04</td>
<td>44.42</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.88</td>
<td>47.12</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.98</td>
<td>47.48</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.55</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36.75</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>I-Corp-HQ</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>I-Corp-HQ</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>I-Corp-HQ</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.42</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>Corp</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29.88</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>Corp</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29.48</td>
<td>46.36</td>
<td>Corp</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29.89</td>
<td>45.33</td>
<td>Corp</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.49</td>
<td>44.61</td>
<td>Corp</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.83</td>
<td>43.76</td>
<td>Corp</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.66</td>
<td>41.78</td>
<td>Corp</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.08</td>
<td>45.73</td>
<td>Darraji</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>44.32</td>
<td>K3</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.07</td>
<td>39.63</td>
<td>He3-NW</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.35</td>
<td>40.62</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>41.45</td>
<td>H1-New</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>39.33</td>
<td>H-strip</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>H3-SE</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Heliports</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>39.74</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37.05</td>
<td>42.62</td>
<td>I-Div-Hq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.95</td>
<td>43.05</td>
<td>I-Div-Hq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37.05</td>
<td>43.45</td>
<td>I-Div-Hq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>43.85</td>
<td>I-Div-Hq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.75</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>I-Div-Hq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.87</td>
<td>44.25</td>
<td>I-Div-Hq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.64</td>
<td>44.45</td>
<td>I-Div-Hq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.38</td>
<td>42.42</td>
<td>I-Div-Hq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>GdnTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.25</td>
<td>44.02</td>
<td>I-Div-Hq</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.35</td>
<td>48.27</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.445</td>
<td>47.65</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.25</td>
<td>47.82</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.11</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.15</td>
<td>47.72</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.18</td>
<td>47.34</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>47.18</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.24</td>
<td>47.26</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>47.11</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.47</td>
<td>46.86</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.65</td>
<td>46.79</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.92</td>
<td>47.04</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>46.92</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.75</td>
<td>46.81</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.66</td>
<td>46.71</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>46.62</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.43</td>
<td>46.67</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>46.52</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.48</td>
<td>45.88</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.55</td>
<td>45.57</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.61</td>
<td>45.31</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31.74</td>
<td>44.32</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.01</td>
<td>44.36</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.24</td>
<td>44.54</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.442</td>
<td>44.56</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>44.58</td>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>GndTrps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Iraq

Kuwait
|   | GndTrps | 3   |  28.9 |  46.25 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  28.87 |  46.42 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  28.75 |  46.3  |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  28.26 |  46.05 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  28.45 |  45.95 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  28.44 |  45.75 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  28.2 |  45.42 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  28.77 |  45.75 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  28.72 |  45.45 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  28.69 |  45.2  |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  28.9 |  44.71 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  29.06 |  44.5  |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  29.1 |  44.7 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  29.56 |  43.6 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  29.54 |  43.82 |    | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  29.8 |  43.5 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  29.75 |  43.7 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  29.7 |  43.38 |    | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  30.75 |  42.4 |     | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  30.72 |  42.61 |    | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  30.65 |  42.75 |    | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  30.21 |  42.27 |    | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  31.02 |  41.08 |    | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  31.08 |  41.26 |    | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  31.11 |  41.12 |    | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  31.19 |  40.83 |    | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  31.45 |  39.75 |    | BDE |     |   | GndTrps | 3   |  31.9 |  39.68 |    | BDE |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.35 |  42.5 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.6 |  42.45 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.45 |  42.7 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.5 |  42.9 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.5 |  43.2 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.42 |  43.55 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.47 |  43.6 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.51 |  44 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.15 |  44.3 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.18 |  44.5 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.5 |  44.27 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.5 |  44.27 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |   | GdnTrps | 3   |  37.5 |  44.27 | US Army |   | Turkey |     |
APPENDIX D

IRAQ SCENARIO RESTRICTIONS LIST

Notes; SA - Saudi Arabia, Gdn Trps - Ground Troops, BDE - Brigade, DIV - Division, I-Corp-HQ - Iraqi Corp Headquarters, I-Army-HQ - Iraqi Army Headquarters

**HOME BASES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37.88</td>
<td>32.55</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Konya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.88</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>King Khalid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SAMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>Lethal Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SA-10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35.48</td>
<td>44.37</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30.47</td>
<td>47.55</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.06</td>
<td>40.28</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>45.58</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.24</td>
<td>44.23</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.95</td>
<td>44.40</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>44.38</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33.82</td>
<td>42.52</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36.75</td>
<td>42.60</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36.80</td>
<td>43.40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36.60</td>
<td>44.30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36.33</td>
<td>43.30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>30.42</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29.88</td>
<td>46.70</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29.48</td>
<td>46.36</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29.89</td>
<td>45.33</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30.10</td>
<td>46.09</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red FTR</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33.24</td>
<td>44.23</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red FTR</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36.33</td>
<td>43.16</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red FTR</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33.35</td>
<td>40.62</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red FTR</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>45.75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FRIENDLY FTR COVER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.38</td>
<td>36.65</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>Tabuk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27.91</td>
<td>45.51</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>KKMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.88</td>
<td>46.80</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>King Khalid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.93</td>
<td>47.79</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Jaber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>LAT</td>
<td>LON</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>39.40</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34.42</td>
<td>48.75</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.50</td>
<td>50.75</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32.45</td>
<td>48.40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35.42</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>37.25</td>
<td>46.60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX E

#### FAR EAST SCENARIO TARGET LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TARGET</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>VALUE</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Island</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>119.92</td>
<td>Matsu</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Island</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24.48</td>
<td>118.42</td>
<td>Quemoy</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.17</td>
<td>121.72</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.21</td>
<td>121.4</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.83</td>
<td>120.95</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>120.5</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.22</td>
<td>120.31</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.07</td>
<td>121.48</td>
<td>Army HQ</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22.62</td>
<td>120.33</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Island</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23.51</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>Makung</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>Taipei</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25.16</td>
<td>121.7</td>
<td>Chilung</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td>Taichung</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.02</td>
<td>120.22</td>
<td>Tainan</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>120.35</td>
<td>Kaohsung</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>US CV</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>CVN BG</td>
<td>US Navy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>116.81</td>
<td>Swanton</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>118.11</td>
<td>Amoy</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24.97</td>
<td>118.71</td>
<td>Q-C</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26.08</td>
<td>119.47</td>
<td>Foochow</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>120.64</td>
<td>Wenchow</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30.32</td>
<td>120.14</td>
<td>Hangchow</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>121.52</td>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>120.9</td>
<td>Nuntang</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25.45</td>
<td>119.03</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24.61</td>
<td>117.7</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25.11</td>
<td>118.72</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>119.87</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24.12</td>
<td>117.47</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>118.14</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23.49</td>
<td>116.79</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28.02</td>
<td>120.62</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29.87</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>31.11</td>
<td>121.42</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32.07</td>
<td>120.95</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>37.52</td>
<td>121.25</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36.97</td>
<td>120.82</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36.21</td>
<td>120.5</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>41.75</td>
<td>123.42</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>124.51</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.44</td>
<td>124.79</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41.17</td>
<td>126.12</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41.37</td>
<td>128.14</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34.87</td>
<td>119.28</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36.11</td>
<td>120.22</td>
<td>Tsingtao</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.87</td>
<td>121.55</td>
<td>Dairen</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>NavBase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.84</td>
<td>121.18</td>
<td>Port Arthur</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Straights</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.77</td>
<td>120.11</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.71</td>
<td>119.91</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>119.9</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.94</td>
<td>119.82</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.51</td>
<td>119.55</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.17</td>
<td>119.56</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26.03</td>
<td>119.47</td>
<td>Army HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.98</td>
<td>119.7</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>119.81</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.38</td>
<td>119.11</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.14</td>
<td>118.82</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>118.85</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.97</td>
<td>118.65</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.82</td>
<td>118.62</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>118.59</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.76</td>
<td>118.17</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.58</td>
<td>118.34</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.55</td>
<td>118.13</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.27</td>
<td>118.14</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.29</td>
<td>117.83</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.66</td>
<td>117.92</td>
<td>Army HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>117.62</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>128.14</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.51</td>
<td>128.09</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38.61</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.58</td>
<td>127.89</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38.62</td>
<td>127.87</td>
<td>Army HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39.08</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38.71</td>
<td>127.42</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.59</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.58</td>
<td>127.24</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.27</td>
<td>126.99</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.11</td>
<td>126.87</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>126.85</td>
<td>Army HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.95</td>
<td>126.5</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38.09</td>
<td>126.47</td>
<td>Army HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>126.45</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.93</td>
<td>126.11</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.91</td>
<td>125.87</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39.03</td>
<td>125.67</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>39.13</td>
<td>127.4</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38.82</td>
<td>125.42</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>125.69</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>125.82</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>125.62</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39.89</td>
<td>127.55</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>129.61</td>
<td>FTR Bases</td>
<td>North Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37.51</td>
<td>126.92</td>
<td>Seoul</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35.95</td>
<td>126.63</td>
<td>Kunsan</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35.91</td>
<td>128.58</td>
<td>Taegu</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35.11</td>
<td>128.97</td>
<td>Pusan</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36.32</td>
<td>127.47</td>
<td>Tarjon</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>35.12</td>
<td>126.95</td>
<td>Kwargju</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>129.4</td>
<td>Ulson</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34.88</td>
<td>126.41</td>
<td>Mokpo</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>37.42</td>
<td>127.97</td>
<td>Wonju</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>37.38</td>
<td>127.01</td>
<td>Suwon</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>37.71</td>
<td>127.06</td>
<td>US DIV HQ</td>
<td>US Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.42</td>
<td>128.44</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.26</td>
<td>128.17</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38.21</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>127.86</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.17</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>127.81</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.13</td>
<td>127.15</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.03</td>
<td>127.03</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.87</td>
<td>126.9</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>126.65</td>
<td>DIV-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.51</td>
<td>126.57</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>Army HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>Grd Trps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.45</td>
<td>127.13</td>
<td>CORP-HQ</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26.24</td>
<td>127.75</td>
<td>Kadena</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.17</td>
<td>129.75</td>
<td>Sasebo</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>121.2</td>
<td>Manila</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>Taipei</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Home Bases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.24</td>
<td>127.75</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>Kadena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.17</td>
<td>129.75</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>Sasebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>121.2</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Manila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Taipei</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## SAMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>Lethal Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SA-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41.75</td>
<td>129.62</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>128.83</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>39.32</td>
<td>127.3</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>39.12</td>
<td>125.75</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.62</td>
<td>128.1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38.57</td>
<td>127.4</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38.22</td>
<td>126.87</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>37.99</td>
<td>126.47</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>127.62</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>39.04</td>
<td>127.75</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39.72</td>
<td>125.51</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38.74</td>
<td>125.3</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA-10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38.02</td>
<td>125.78</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Fighter Bases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.16</td>
<td>121.7</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.02</td>
<td>120.22</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>120.35</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37.51</td>
<td>126.92</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35.95</td>
<td>126.63</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35.91</td>
<td>128.58</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>35.11</td>
<td>128.97</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36.32</td>
<td>127.47</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35.12</td>
<td>126.95</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>129.4</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>LAT</td>
<td>LON</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>34.88</td>
<td>126.41</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>37.42</td>
<td>127.97</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37.38</td>
<td>127.01</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>US Navy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NO FLY ZONES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Border</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX G

Example FORTRAN Program Output.

The program outputs the results to four files. Each file output is designed for easy importation to MS Excell for spreadsheet analysis.

File 1

The aggregated CSP List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>has # LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>agg. to it</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>119.80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.10</td>
<td>119.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>119.80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>119.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>119.80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22.10</td>
<td>120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>119.80</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>119.80</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22.70</td>
<td>119.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>119.80</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>119.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22.10</td>
<td>120.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>120.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>120.40</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22.10</td>
<td>120.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>120.40</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22.70</td>
<td>120.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>120.40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23.10</td>
<td>120.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>120.40</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23.30</td>
<td>120.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

... etc...

File 2 - This file presents the results of running the heuristic

FAR EAST SCENARIO - TGT VALUES ON

CTRLAT= 32.3529 CTRLON= 123.075
# of CSP = 11236
The total number of CSP remaining = 2963
ELIM by base, ftr, SAM, NoFly
BLUE 3045 2702 RED 462 2064
# CSP agg = 1980
The number of CSPs left is 753

Starting Heuristic
The number of rows left = 130
The number of columns left = 753
The Cover radius = 175 nm
Grid size = 21 degree box
COPs 0.20 degrees apart
Total Target Value Covered = 846,000

The current solution for Xj = 2
36 525

... etc...

TERMINATES ON ALL Sj <= 0 - STEP 3

Solution Accomplished
Xj = 6

The Solution set is columns
525 2 615 91 388 271

The LAT and LON of the solution are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>35.900</td>
<td>127.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.100</td>
<td>119.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>37.500</td>
<td>129.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>32.100</td>
<td>121.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>24.700</td>
<td>125.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>35.900</td>
<td>123.400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following targets were not covered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41.750</td>
<td>123.420</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each solution gives the following % to total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orbit Number</th>
<th>Percent Coverage</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>36.0520</td>
<td>305.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>41.1348</td>
<td>348.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>2.83688</td>
<td>24.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>12.6478</td>
<td>107.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>2.36407</td>
<td>20.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>3.54610</td>
<td>30.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Target Coverage by each Orbit Point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TARGET NUMBER</th>
<th>TARGET VALUE</th>
<th>COVERED</th>
<th>Orbit Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
File 3

**Aggregated Target List**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aggregated Target #</th>
<th>Redundant Target #</th>
<th>TGT Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.0000</td>
<td>133.000</td>
<td>25.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0000</td>
<td>43.0000</td>
<td>11.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0000</td>
<td>132.000</td>
<td>12.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Covermatrix size (nonzero) 130 by 753

Original A matrix size 133 by 11236

File 4

The final list of COPs considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.9000</td>
<td>119.600</td>
<td>339.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.1000</td>
<td>119.600</td>
<td>348.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.9000</td>
<td>119.800</td>
<td>332.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.5000</td>
<td>119.800</td>
<td>348.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>21.9000</td>
<td>120.000</td>
<td>321.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

... etc ...

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>22.5000</td>
<td>119.800</td>
<td>348.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751</td>
<td>21.9000</td>
<td>119.800</td>
<td>332.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>752</td>
<td>22.1000</td>
<td>119.600</td>
<td>348.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>753</td>
<td>21.9000</td>
<td>119.600</td>
<td>339.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Optimizing Airborne Area Surveillance Asset Placement

Currently there is no automated planning tool for the optimum positioning of USAF area surveillance assets for a theater-level campaign. This research seeks to find the optimum or near optimum placement of the limited USAF airborne surveillance assets against a theater-level target set. The problem of finding the optimum orbit points can be modeled as a classic maximal covering location problem (MCLP). Operational constraints on the placement of surveillance aircraft can be handled by preprocessing the potential orbit points to eliminate infeasible orbit points. Heavy emphasis is placed on preprocessing the data to reduce problem size and hence solution time. The aggregation of both the potential orbit points and targets was accomplished without loss of locational information. An existing heuristic was used to find a solution in a very short time. The heuristic finds the optimum orbit points for the available aircraft and any alternate solutions. Allocation decisions can then be accomplished.