AESOP Field Prediction

by Brian B. Luu and Calvin D. Le

ARL-TR-835

November 1995

19951211 043

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.
The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.
**REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**

**AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)**

**REPORT DATE**
November 1995

**REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED**

**TITe AND SUBTITLE**
AESOP Field Prediction

**AUTHOR(S)**
Brian B. Luu and Calvin D. Le

**PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)**
U.S. Army Research Laboratory
Attn: AMSRL-WT-ND
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

**SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)**
U.S. Army Research Laboratory
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

**FUNDING NUMBERS**
PE: 62120

**PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER**
ARL-TR-835

**SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER**

**SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES**
AMS code: 622120.H250011
ARL PR: 5FE7E2

**DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

**ABSTRACT**  (Maximum 200 words)
A model to predict the electromagnetic fields emitted by AESOP (Army Electromagnetic Pulse Simulator Operation) is presented. Transmission-line theory is used to develop the antenna currents, and electric dipole arrays are employed to calculate the radiated field. The dipole arrays, representing AESOP, are placed over a perfectly conducting ground. A computer code was developed to estimate the emitted field signature of AESOP.

**SUBJECT TERMS**
EMP simulators, dipole models, antenna modeling

**NUMBER OF PAGES**
51

**PRICE CODE**
UL

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-85)  
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z38-18  
298-102

1
Contents

1. Introduction .............................................................................................................. 5
2. AESOP Simulator ................................................................................................. 6
3. Theory ................................................................................................................... 7
   3.1 Current Distribution ......................................................................................... 8
   3.2 Electric Field and Magnetic Field of a Dipole .................................................. 11
4. Implementation ...................................................................................................... 14
5. Results and Comparison with Empirical Data ..................................................... 16
6. Conclusion ............................................................................................................. 17
Distribution .............................................................................................................. 53

Appendices

A.—Program Listing ................................................................................................. 19
B.—Field Plot Comparison ..................................................................................... 29

Figures

1. AESOP at WRF ..................................................................................................... 5
2. General layout of AESOP system ......................................................................... 6
3. AESOP characteristics .......................................................................................... 7
4. Circuit models ........................................................................................................ 8
5. Current comparisons ............................................................................................. 11
6. Dipole model ......................................................................................................... 12
7. Illustration of dipole model for AESOP simulator ............................................. 15
8. Coordinates for slanted dipoles .......................................................................... 15
1. Introduction

Under the sponsorship of the Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA), the Physics International Company developed a Transportable Electromagnetic Pulse Simulator (TEMPS) for Harry Diamond Laboratories (HDL)* in 1972. In 1974, HDL procured a copy of TEMPS, called AESOP (Army electromagnetic pulse (EMP) Simulator Operation), which was erected at the Woodbridge Research Facility (WRF) (see fig. 1). In 1994, AESOP was transferred to the Test and Evaluation Command (TECOM) at White Sands Missile Range. The main function of AESOP is to simulate the electromagnetic environment produced by an exoatmospheric nuclear burst. By simulating the threat-level EMP environment, it allows for testing and verifying the EMP susceptibility or hardness of a system. Since AESOP is a virtual copy of TEMPS (except for transportability and some minor modifications and enhancements), the specifications of AESOP are documented in the TEMPS’s technical manuals.

Because of the complexity of EMP simulation and the limited computing capability in the 70s, no computing codes were developed to calculate or approximate the field generated by AESOP. A field mapping report¹ of TEMPS was developed to provide the EMP fields at key locations near the ground. The present report provides results from a code that calculates the electromagnetic (EM) field generated by AESOP (the code is given in app A).

Figure 1. AESOP at WRF.

*Now part of the Army Research Laboratory.
2. AESOP Simulator

Most of the information in this section is derived from the Physics International manual for TEMPS.²

Located at WRF in a 120,000-m² test area, AESOP is the largest EMP free-field simulator in the world. It consists of three basic subsystems: a support structure, pulse generator, and antenna.

- The support structure, made of fiberglass columns and laminated wood beams, is used to support and suspend the pulse generator and antenna at a height up to 20 m above the ground.

- The pulse generator mainly comprises the pulser assembly with two Marx generators, peaking capacitors, output switch, and control subsystem.

- The antenna is composed of a cylindrical and conical system of 36 wires extending from each end of the pulser assembly with associated forming hoops, end assemblies, tensioning elements, and end grounding terminations. The diameter of the cylindrical section is 9.144 m.

The general layout of the AESOP system is shown in figure 2.² The overall length of AESOP is 300 m from end dome to end dome. The pulser and antenna are normally positioned at 20 m above the ground level but can also be at lower elevations.

---

AESOP produces a pulsed EM field by transmitting a high-energy electrical pulse generated from the pulse generator to the ground through the antenna. It can provide a radiated free-field range of 20 to 50 kV/m from the pulser on the center line. The pulse shape is a double exponential with less than 10-percent undershoot (fig. 3). For more information, see the TEMPS manual.\(^2\)

**Figure 3.** AESOP characteristics:
(a) pulse shape and
(b) span on EMP coverage.

---

3. Theory

In the model presented here, the AESOP antenna is modeled as a series of dipole antenna elements above a perfectly conducting ground. There are two steps involved in the calculation of the radiated electromagnetic field from AESOP. A closed-form analytical time-domain current profile on each dipole element is derived by the transmission-line method. (In order to simplify the problem, we account only for reflection at the load end of AESOP.) The time-domain radiated electromagnetic field from each dipole is then computed by a convolution technique.

3.1 Current Distribution

AESOP is represented by a transmission line over a perfectly conducting ground. There are three factors in this transmission-line model that need to be considered.\(^2\)

First, the 35-stage Marx generator can be modeled in a lumped equivalent circuit, as shown in figure 4(a). The switches \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) are the voltage charging and discharging switches, respectively. \(Z_s\) is the characteristic impedance of the antenna, which includes the biconical and conical sections. \(Z_t\) is the termination load.

Second, the biconical section with cones at angle \(\theta = 40.5^\circ\) has a characteristic impedance, \(Z_b\),

\[
Z_b = \frac{Z_0}{\pi} \ln \left[ \cot \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right] = 120 \, \Omega ,
\]  

Figure 4. Circuit models: (a) AESOP, (b) transmission-line model, and (c) AESOP model at \(t = t_2\).\(^2\)

where

\[ Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} = 377 \, \Omega \] .

Third, the cylindrical system of wires that makes up the AESOP antenna structure can be treated as low-loss transmission line of a wire over the ground plane. Its characteristic impedance, \( Z_c \), is approximated by \( R \), \( L \), and \( C \) (\( R \) is series resistance per unit length, \( L \) is series inductance per unit length, and \( C \) is shunt capacitance per unit length):

\[ Z_c = \left(1 + \frac{R}{2sL}\right)\sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = 130 \left(1 + \frac{R}{2sL}\right), \quad (2) \]

where

\[ L = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{2h}{a}\right), \]

\[ C = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon_0}{\ln\left(\frac{2h}{a}\right)}, \]

\[ s = j\omega, \]

\( \omega = \) angular frequency,

\( h = \) height of a wire over the ground plane, and

\( a = \) radius of a wire.

The conical section is designed to maintain the same characteristic impedance as the cylindrical section. In other words, the ratio of \( 2h/a \) remains constant for both conical and cylindrical sections.

For the low-resistive-loss biconical section, the characteristic impedance, \( Z_b \), is very compatible with the characteristic impedance, \( Z_c \), of the cylindrical section. Since the cylindrical section is much longer than the biconical section, the assumption is that the characteristic impedance \( Z_b \) equals the characteristic impedance \( Z_c \). The schematic representation of a 1-cm-unit-length section, \( \delta_c \), of \( Z_c \) is shown in figure 4(b).

At time \( t = t_2 \), we obtain the Laplace transform for the AESOP model (fig. 4(c)):

\[ V_0 = V_3(t_2) \left( \frac{Z_N\sqrt{\frac{T}{C}}}{L_2} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left( s - y_i \right) \right), \quad (3) \]
where

\[V_1, V_2, V_3\] are initial voltage sources at \(t=t_2\),

\(Z_{eq}\) is the equivalent impedance of \(R_2, L_2, Z_b, Z_C,\) and \(Z_l,\)

\(y_1, y_2\) are roots of

\[y^2 + \left[\frac{V_2(t_2)}{V_2(t_2)C_2} + \frac{R_1}{L_1}\right]y + \left[\frac{V_1(t_2)}{V_3(t_2)C_2} + \frac{1}{L_1C_1}\right] = 0,\]

\(y_3 = \frac{R}{2L},\)

\(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5\) are roots of

\[s^5 + A_1s^4 + A_2s^3 + A_3s^2 + A_4s + A_5 = 0,\]

and

\(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5\) are functions of \(R, R_1, R_2, L, L_1, L_2, C, C_1, C_2.\)

The reflecting voltage coefficient at the load end is

\[
\Gamma = \frac{Z_l-Z_c}{Z_l+Z_c} = |\Gamma|e^{j\phi}.
\] (4)

Voltage across the capacitor, \(V_n\), at any given \(n^{th}\) section of the antenna is calculated as

\[V_n = V_0 \left[e^{-\eta t} + \Gamma e^{-(2N-n)\eta t}\right], \] (5)

where

\[\psi = \alpha + j\beta,\]

\[\alpha = \frac{R}{2\sqrt{L/C}} \delta\]

\[\beta = \omega \sqrt{L/C} \delta t.\]

The corresponding current on the \(n^{th}\) section of the antenna is

\[I_n = (V_n - V_{n+1}) \frac{1}{L} \left(\frac{1}{s + \frac{R}{L}}\right). \] (6)

The time-domain current, \(I_n(t)\), can be calculated directly from the above equation by the Laplace transform technique:

\[I_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{4} [B_{nk} \exp(D_{nk}t)] u(t - t_n), \] (7)

where

\(B_{nk}\) is the time constant coefficient number for the \(k^{th}\) variable and the \(n^{th}\) section,

\(D_{nk}\) is the time constant exponential coefficient number for the \(k^{th}\) variable and the \(n^{th}\) section,
$t_n$ is the delay time constant for the $n$th section.

The calculated current distributions at various locations on the AESOP antenna are shown in figure 5(a). Figure 5(b) compares the results of this method to those of the finite time-difference method. Good agreement was obtained at the early time, and reasonable agreement was obtained at the later time.

### 3.2 Electric Field and Magnetic Field of a Dipole

As described in section 2, an electromagnetic field is emitted from the AESOP antenna, which is a transmission-line antenna. It can be modeled as a series of linear dipoles. Each dipole itself is an elementary antenna that radiates fields. In this section, the electric field and magnetic field (radiated free field) equations of a dipole model are expanded from section 2.3 of
Collin\textsuperscript{3} and used as the basis for computing the fields of the AESOP simulator.

We begin with the hypothesis of a short current filament ($dl$), which can be represented as a dipole, located at the origin and oriented along the $z$-axis as shown in figure 6(a). The magnetic and electric fields generated by the dipole at an observation point are given by

\begin{equation}
\overline{E} = \frac{Z_0 dl}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{jk_0 r^3} \right) ie^{-jk_0 \theta} \cos \theta \  \hat{r} + \frac{Z_0 dl}{4\pi} \left( \frac{jk_0}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{jk_0 r^3} \right) ie^{-jk_0 \theta} \sin \theta \ \hat{\theta}, \tag{8}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\overline{H} = \frac{dl}{4\pi} \left( \frac{jk_0}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) ie^{-jk_0 \theta} \sin \theta \ \hat{\phi}, \tag{9}
\end{equation}

where

\[ Z_0 = \left( \mu_0 / \varepsilon_0 \right)^{1/2} = \text{intrinsic impedance of free space}, \]
\[ k_0 = \omega \left( \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \right)^{1/2} = \text{free–space wave number}, \]
\[ c = \left( \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \right)^{-1/2} = \text{speed of light in free space}. \]

\textbf{Figure 6.} Dipole model: (a) original, (b) general coordinates, and (c) rotate coordinates.

In the more general case shown in figure 6(b), \( r(x,y,z) \) and \( r'(x',y',z') \) are the coordinates of the observation point and of the dipole, respectively. Hence, \( R(X,Y,Z) \) is the relative coordinate of the observation point in the Cartesian coordinate with the origin at the dipole, where \( \bar{R} = \bar{r} - \bar{r}' \). The magnitude of \( R \) can be defined as

\[
R = \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + (z-z')^2}.
\]

In the general case, then, equations (8) and (9) become

\[
\bar{E}(\omega) = \frac{Z_0 dl}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{c}{j\omega R^3} \right) I(\omega) e^{-j\omega R/c} \cos \theta \bar{R} \\
+ \frac{Z_0 dl}{4\pi} \left( \frac{j\omega}{cR} + \frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{c}{j\omega R^3} \right) I(\omega) e^{-j\omega R/c} \sin \theta \bar{R},
\]

(10)

\[
\bar{H}(\omega) = \frac{dl}{4\pi} \left( \frac{j\omega}{cR} + \frac{1}{R^2} \right) I(\omega) e^{-j\omega R/c} \sin \theta \bar{R}.
\]

(11)

By transferring equations (10) and (11) into the time domain and changing to Cartesian coordinates, we transform equations (10) and (11) to

\[
\bar{E}(t) = \frac{Z_0 dl}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{cR} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{t-R}{c} \right) + \frac{3}{R^2} I \left( \frac{t-R}{c} \right) + \frac{3c}{2R^3} \text{sgn}(t) \otimes I \left( \frac{t-R}{c} \right) \right] \frac{XZ}{R^2} \hat{x},
\]

\[
+ \frac{Z_0 dl}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{cR} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{t-R}{c} \right) + \frac{3}{R^2} I \left( \frac{t-R}{c} \right) + \frac{3c}{2R^3} \text{sgn}(t) \otimes I \left( \frac{t-R}{c} \right) \right] \frac{YZ}{R^2} \hat{y},
\]

\[
+ \frac{Z_0 dl}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{cR} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{t-R}{c} \right) \frac{Z^2-R^2}{R^2} + \left( \frac{1}{R^2} I \left( \frac{t-R}{c} \right) + \frac{c}{2R^3} \text{sgn}(t) \otimes I \left( \frac{t-R}{c} \right) \right) \frac{3Z^2-R^2}{R^2} \right] \hat{z},
\]

(12)

\[
\bar{H}(t) = \frac{dl}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{cR} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{t-R}{c} \right) + \frac{1}{R^2} I \left( \frac{t-R}{c} \right) \right] \left( \frac{-Y}{R} \hat{x} + \frac{X}{R} \hat{y} \right),
\]

(13)

where \( \text{sgn}(t) = \frac{t}{|t|} \), \( t \neq 0 \), and \( \otimes \) is a convolution operator.

We can change the orientation of the dipole so that it matches those of AESOP by rotating the coordinates as indicated in figure 6(c):

\begin{align*}
&\text{from } x \text{ to } y \\
&\text{from } y \text{ to } z \\
&\text{from } z \text{ to } x
\end{align*}
Finally, the electric and magnetic fields of a dipole are calculated by

\[
\overline{E}(t) = \frac{Z_0}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{cR} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t - \frac{R}{c} \right) \frac{X^2 - R^2}{R^2} + \frac{1}{R^2} I(t - \frac{R}{c}) + \frac{c}{2R^3} \text{sgn}(t) \otimes I(t - \frac{R}{c}) \right) \frac{3X^2 - R^2}{R^2} \hat{x}
\]

\[
+ \frac{Z_0}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{cR} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t - \frac{R}{c} \right) + \frac{3}{R^2} I(t - \frac{R}{c}) + \frac{3c}{2R^3} \text{sgn}(t) \otimes I(t - \frac{R}{c}) \right) \frac{XY}{R^2} \hat{y}
\]

\[
+ \frac{Z_0}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{cR} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t - \frac{R}{c} \right) + \frac{3}{R^2} I(t - \frac{R}{c}) + \frac{3c}{2R^3} \text{sgn}(t) \otimes I(t - \frac{R}{c}) \right) \frac{XZ}{R^2} \hat{z},
\]

(14)

\[
\overline{H}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{cR} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t - \frac{R}{c} \right) + \frac{1}{R^2} I(t - \frac{R}{c}) \right) \left( -\frac{Z}{R} \hat{y} + \frac{Y}{R^2} \hat{z} \right).
\]

(15)

4. Implementation

The dipole current distribution and field equations developed in section 3 provide us the means to compute the free field emitted by AESOP. But the total field in the half space above the ground is a result of the superposition of the free field and the reflected field from the ground.

To simplify the problem, we assume that the earth ground is a perfectly electrically conducting (PEC) half space. With this assumption, we can apply the method of images to calculate the reflected field. The calculation can be further simplified if we use the symmetry of dipole currents through the plane that is perpendicular to the axis of AESOP at the center. The biconic and cylindrical parts of the antenna are assumed to be one single transmission line, having both ends slanted toward the ground.

We model the simulator as a series of dipole elements representing the physical structure of the AESOP simulator. The number of dipole elements has been selected to resolve the early-time structure of the field and to produce a relatively smooth waveform. For every 0.01-m section of the antenna, we evaluate the current distribution developed in section 3.1 at the time and spatial location that is the driving source for the corresponding dipole element. We employ 14,978 dipole elements with a dipole separation of 0.01 m to represent half the antenna of AESOP.

We let the coordinates be as depicted in figure 7. Thus, the \( z = 0 \) plane is the ground plane and parallel to AESOP. The method of images is applied through the ground plane to compute the reflected fields. The \( y = 0 \) plane contains the antenna. The symmetry of current distribution is through the \( x = 0 \) plane, called the center plane. The height of the antenna is 20 m above the ground. Each end of AESOP is terminated at 6.5 m above the ground. Each slanted section begins and ends at 100.4 and 147.9 m from the center plane, respectively. To save computational time, we translate and rotate the coordinate system to determine the fields of the simulator slanted sec-
tions, as depicted in figure 8. Finally, we use superposition of all fields to obtain the total field at an observation point from the AESOP simulator.

We have used a FORTRAN program to compute all fields at an observation point. The program is listed in appendix A.

Figure 7. Illustration of dipole model for AESOP simulator.

Figure 8. Coordinates for slanted dipoles.
5. Results and Comparison with Empirical Data

We implemented a FORTRAN program to compute the fields at an observation point out to 2 μs in time with a time step of 1 ns. The following are the execution times of the program on some systems:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Execution time (hour:minute)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IBM 3090 with MVS/XA 2.3</td>
<td>4:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM RISC/6000-530 with AIX 3.2.4</td>
<td>3:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM RISC/6000-550 with AIX 3.2.4</td>
<td>2:18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM RISC/6000-560 with AIX 3.2.4</td>
<td>1:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGI 4D/440 with IRIX 4.0.5</td>
<td>2:32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGI 4D/35 with IRIX 4.0.5</td>
<td>11:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECstation 5000 with ULTRIX 4.3</td>
<td>14:49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because of the symmetry, the computed field components $E_x$, $H_y$, and $H_z$ are the only nonzero components of the field on the center plane ($x = 0$ plane). Off the center plane, the computed model indicates that the $H_x$ component is zero (in retarded time) until the current arrives to the slanted section of the simulator. In the plane containing the antenna ($y = 0$ plane), the symmetry requires that $E_x, E_z,$ and $H_y$ be the only nonzero fields. The calculated fields show the effects of the change in direction of the current on the antenna structure, as slope discontinuities in the fields, at the expected time.

For comparison to the empirical data, we scale all the computed fields by a factor that scales the computed total electric field of the point (0,50,20) to 50 kV/m. In comparison, we notice that the idealized lossless ground plane assumption has caused the peak fields of the model to be generally overestimated (compared to the empirical data) by roughly a factor of two; this assumption also led to the inaccuracy of the vertical field prediction from the slanted end sections of the simulator. Assuming a perfectly conducting ground plane means that the model does not reflect the effects of the pseudo-Brewster angle on the vertical fields. However, the energy in the predicted field waveforms still provides an upper bound for the actual fields.

Appendix B contains field plots compared to empirical data for the following sample points in the space:

\[
\begin{align*}
& (0, -176, 1) \\
& (0, -176, 4.6) \\
& (-332, -176, 1) \\
& (-332, -176, 4.6) \\
& (0, 1546, 4.6) \\
& (410, 502, 4.6) \\
& (-444, 1341, 4.6) \\
& (473, 0, 1) \\
& (473, 0, 4.6)
\end{align*}
\]
6. Conclusion

Although the perfectly electrically conducting ground assumption has generally overestimated and distorted the calculated field, the predicted fields are still good for bounding problems, especially for points high above the ground. The calculated information can provide upper bound contours or isosurfaces at certain field magnitudes. The number of dipoles used in the model can be decreased, with a corresponding decrease in the model run time, but with an increase in "spiky" noise in the predicted waveforms. This model has been helpful in understanding the relationship between the characteristics of the field waveforms and the structure of the AESOP simulator. Because it is simple and requires only 2.5 MB of memory to execute, this model can be used on most computer platforms. This code is the first building block to develop more accurate field predictions for the AESOP simulator.
Appendix A.—Program Listing

IMPLICIT NONE
INTEGER*4 MAXF, MAXS, MAXT, MAXSH
PARAMETER (MAXF=8, MAXS=14978, MAXSH=10040, MAXT=2000)
CHARACTER DSCUCO*80, DSEHD*80, DSEHT*80, SPC*1
REAL*8 COEF(20,MAXS), CUC
REAL*8 CUCC, CUL, CUR
REAL*8 EH(0:MAXT,MAXF), RL(4), RO(12), RS(4)
REAL*8 EHM(8), ROS(4), ROT(4)
REAL*8 OXT, OZT, SA, SAX, SAZ, SINA, COSA
REAL*8 AL, C, DL, DLMIN, DT, PI, ZO, ZOO4PI
REAL*8 CU, CUD, CVO, ETC, HTC, TCS, TEHS, TR, RETARD
REAL*8 CUP, TCRS, SN, SN1, SNR, SRN1
INTEGER*4 IB, INF, IT, ITS, IS, ISB, ISE, ISL, ISGX, ISGZ
INTEGER*4 I, J, K, ITM(8), ISGXZB, ISGXE, ISGZB, ISGZE
COMMON /COEFBL/COEF,CUC
COMMON /CUPARM/CUP,TCRS,SN,SN1,SNR,SRN1
DATA CUCC,CUL,CUR/0.273448D-12,4.07D-9,5.364D-3/

* CUP = DSQRT(CUL*CUCC)
CUC = CUR/(2.*DSQRT(CUL/CUCC))

* INITIALIZE PARAMETERS
*
ISGXZB = 1
ISGXE = -1
ISGZB = 1
ISGZE = -1
SPC = '^'
C C = 2.997925D8
C = 3.D8
PI = 4.*DATA(1.D0)
ZO = 4.*PI*C*1.D-7
C ZO = 376.991184D0
ZOO4PI=C*1.D-7
DLMIN = 1.D-2
DT = 1.D-9
AL = 20.
RS(2) = 0.
OXT = 100.40D0
OZT = AL
SAX = 47.5D0
SAZ = 13.5D0
SA = DSQRT(SAX**2 + SAZ**2)
COSA = SAX/SA
SINA = SAZ/SA
ISE = 0

* READ IN CURRENT COEFFICIENTS OF ALL SEGMENTS *

DSCUCO = '/home/emsc3/bluu/aesop/CUCOEF.DATADATA'
OPEN(28, FILE=DSCUCO, STATUS='OLD', FORM='FORMATTED')
DO 170 J=1, MAXS
    READ(28,*) IS, (COEF(I,J), I=1,20)
170 CONTINUE
CLOSE(28)

* READ PARTITION DATA SET NAME OF THE E AND H FIELD *

READ(5,'(A)') DSEHD
IB = INDEX(DSEHD, ' ')
IB = IB - 1

* READ IN SEGMENT LENGTH AND COORDINATE OF OBSERVATION POINT *

115 READ(5,*,END=999) DL, (RO(I), I=1,12)

ISL = (DL + DLMIN/2)/DLMIN

* DETERMINE THE STARTING TIME *

ISB = (ISL+1)/2
ISGX = 1
IF (RO(1).LT.0.) ISGX = -1
RS(1) = ISGX*(2*ISB-1)*(DLMIN/2.)
TEHS = DSQRT((RO(1)-RS(1))**2 + RO(2)**2 + (RO(3)-AL)**2)/C +
| ISB*CUP

* INITIALIZE EH ARRAY *

DO 150 J=1, MAXF
    DO 150 I=0, MAXT
        EH(I,J) = 0.
150 CONTINUE

* CALCULATE E AND H FIELD *

WRITE(6,*) 'P', RO(1), RO(2), RO(3)
WRITE(6,*) ' DIPOLE LENGTH IS', DL
DO 200 IS=ISB, MAXSH, ISL
   ISE = IS
   TCS = IS*CUP
   TCRS = (2*(MAXS-IS)+1)*CUP
   SN = IS-1
   SN1 = IS
   SNR = 2*MAXS - IS
   SNR1 = 2*MAXS - IS +1
   DO 220 ISGZ= ISGZB, ISGZE, -2
   DO 220 ISGX= ISGXZB, ISGXE, -2
   RS(1) = ISGX*(2*IS-1)*(DLIN/2.)
   RS(3) = ISGZ*AL
   C
   RS(4) = DSQRT(RS(1)**2 + RS(2)**2 + RS(3)**2)
   RL(1) = RO(1) - RS(1)
   RL(2) = RO(2) - RS(2)
   RL(3) = RO(3) - RS(3)
   RL(4) = DSQRT(RL(1)**2 + RL(2)**2 + RL(3)**2)

*  
RETARD = RL(4)/C + TCS - TEHS
ITS = RETARD/DT + 1.
   DO 250 IT=ITS, MAXT
   TR = IT*DT - RETARD
   CALL CURRENT(IS,TR,CU,CUD,CVO)
   HTC = ISGZ*DL*(CUD/RL(4))/C +
   \quad CU/RL(4)**2)/C**PI

*  
   EH(IT,4) = EH(IT,4) + 0.
   EH(IT,5) = EH(IT,5) - RL(3)/RL(4)*HTC
   EH(IT,6) = EH(IT,6) + RL(2)/RL(4)*HTC
   ETC = ISGZ*ZOO4PI*DL*(CUD/RL(4))/C +
   \quad 3.*(CU/RL(4)**2) + (1.5*C)*(CVO/RL(4)**3))
   EH(IT,1) = EH(IT,1) + ISGZ*ZOO4PI*DL* ( 
   \quad CUD/RL(4)/C*((RL(1)/RL(4))**2-1.) + 
   \quad (CU/RL(4)**2*(C/2.)*(CVO/RL(4)**3)) * 
   \quad (3.*(RL(1)/RL(4))**2-1.) )
   EH(IT,2) = EH(IT,2) + RL(1)/RL(4)*RL(2)/RL(4)*ETC
   EH(IT,3) = EH(IT,3) + RL(1)/RL(4)*RL(3)/RL(4)*ETC

250 CONTINUE
220 CONTINUE
200 CONTINUE
*  
*  CALCULATE THE FIELD CONTRIBUTE BY THE SLANT PARTS
*  
   RS(3) = 0.
   RO(2) = RO(2)
   ISB = ISE + ISL
DO 260 IS=ISB, MAXS, ISL
   TCS = IS*CUP
   TCRS = (2*(MAXS-IS)+1)*CUP
   SN = IS-1
   SN1 = IS
   SNR = 2*MAXS - IS
   SN1= 2*MAXS - IS +1
DO 280 ISGZ= ISGZB,ISGZE,-2
DO 280 ISGX= ISGXB,ISGX,-2
   ROS(1) = RO(1) - ISGX*OXT
   ROS(3) = RO(3) - ISGZ*O2T
   ROT(1) = COSA*ROS(1) - ISGX*ISGZ*SINA*ROS(3)
   ROT(3) = ISGX*ISGZ*SINA*ROS(1) + COSA*ROS(3)
   RS(1) = ISGX*(2*(IS-MAXSH)-1)*(DLMIN/2.)
   RS(4) = DSQRT(RS(1)**2 + RS(2)**2 + RS(3)**2)
   RL(1) = ROT(1) - RS(1)
   RL(2) = ROT(2) - RS(2)
   RL(3) = ROT(3) - RS(3)
   RL(4) = DSQRT(RL(1)**2 + RL(2)**2 + RL(3)**2)

* RETARD = RL(4)/C + TCS - TEHS
ITS = RETARD/DT + 1.
DO 290 IT=ITS, MAXT
   TR = IT*DT - RETARD
   CALL CURRENT(IS,TR,CU,CUD,CVO)

  * HTC = ISGZ*DL*(CUD/RL(4)/C +
         CU/RL(4)**2)/(4.*PI)
   EHM(6) = RL(2)/RL(4)*HTC

  *
   EH(IT,4) = EH(IT,4) + ISGX*ISGZ*SINA*EHM(6)
   EH(IT,5) = EH(IT,5) - RL(3)/RL(4)*HTC
   EH(IT,6) = EH(IT,6) + COSA*EHM(6)

  *
   ETC = ISGZ*ZOO4PI*DL*(CUD/RL(4)/C +
         3.*(CU/RL(4)**2) + (1.5*C)*(CVO/RL(4)**3))
   EHM(1) = ISGZ*ZOO4PI*DL*(
         CUD/RL(4)/C*((RL(1)/RL(4))**2-1.) +
         (CU/RL(4)**2+(C/2.)*(CVO/RL(4)**3)*)
         *(3.*(RL(1)/RL(4))**2-1.)
   EHM(3) = RL(1)/RL(4)*RL(3)/RL(4)*ETC

  *
   EH(IT,1) = EH(IT,1) + COSA*EHM(1)+ISGX*ISGZ*SINA*EHM(3)
   EH(IT,2) = EH(IT,2) + RL(1)/RL(4)*RL(2)/RL(4)*ETC
   EH(IT,3) = EH(IT,3) - ISGX*ISGZ*SINA*EHM(1)+COSA*EHM(3)
290 CONTINUE
280 CONTINUE
260 CONTINUE
*
*
DO 270 I=1,8
   EHM(I) = 0.
   ITM(I) = 0.
270 CONTINUE
*
* DETERMINE THE MAX VALUES OF E & H FIELDS AND THEIR TIMES
*
DO 295 IT=0, MAXT
   ETC = DSQRT(EH(IT,1)**2 + EH(IT,2)**2 + EH(IT,3)**2)
   HTC = DSQRT(EH(IT,4)**2 + EH(IT,5)**2 + EH(IT,6)**2)
   EH(IT,7)=ETC
   EH(IT,8)=HTC
   IF (ETC.GT.EHM(7)) THEN
      EHM(7) = ETC
      ITM(7) = IT
   ENDIF
   IF (HTC.GT.EHM(8)) THEN
      EHM(8) = HTC
      ITM(8) = IT
   ENDIF
DO 297 I=1,6
   IF (ABS(EH(IT,I)).GT.ABS(EHM(I))) THEN
      EHM(I) = EH(IT,I)
      ITM(I) = IT
   ENDIF
297 CONTINUE
295 CONTINUE
*
* SET A DATA SET NAME FOR E AND H FIELD
*
WRITE(DSEHT,'(SP,A,E14.7,E14.7,E14.7)') DSEHD(1:IB),
   | RO(1), RO(2), RO(3)
   IF(DSEHT(IB+1:IB+1).NE.' ') THEN
      DSEHT(IB+2:IB+2) = DSEHT(IB+1:IB+1)
      DSEHT(IB+16:IB+16) = DSEHT(IB+15:IB+15)
      DSEHT(IB+30:IB+30) = DSEHT(IB+29:IB+29)
   ENDIF
DSEHT(IB+1:IB+1) = SPC
DSEHT(IB+15:IB+15) = SPC
DSEHT(IB+29:IB+29) = SPC
RETARD = TEHS/DT

* WRITE E AND H FIELD TO FILE
*
OPEN(32, FILE='DSEHT', STATUS='UNKNOWN', FORM='UNFORMATTED')
WRITE(32, RO(1), RO(2), RO(3), RO(4), RO(5), RO(6), DL, DT)
WRITE(32, RO(7), RO(8), RO(9), RO(10), RO(11), RO(12), TEHS, RETARD
WRITE(32, EHM(1), EHM(2), EHM(3), EHM(4), EHM(5), EHM(6), EHM(7),
| EHM(8)
WRITE(32, ITM(1), ITM(2), ITM(3), ITM(4), ITM(5), ITM(6),
| ITM(7), ITM(8)
DO 400 I=0, MAXT
   WRITE(32, (EH(I,J), J=1, 8)
400 CONTINUE
CLOSE(32)
*
WRITE(6,*), 'END OF THIS RUN; DATA IN FILE: ', DSEHT
GOTO 115
999 STOP
79 FORMAT(8E15.7)
END
*
*
** SUBROUTINE CALCULATE THE DIPOLE'S
**
** CURRENT,
** CURRENT DERIVATIVE,
** CONVOLUTION OF SIGN FUNCTION AND CURRENT
**
*
SUBROUTINE CURRENT(NS, TS, Y, YD, YCO)
IMPLICIT NONE
INTEGER*4 MAXS, NS, LR
REAL*8 P, TC2, SN, SN1, SNR, SNR1
REAL*8 T, TS, Y, YS, YD, YSD, YCO, YSCO
COMMON /CUPARM/P, TC2, SN, SN1, SNR, SNR1
C DATA P/0.333606558688524581D-10/
C DATA C/0.219836066437990879D-04/
*
*
LR = 0
T = TS
CALL CURDIS(NS, LR, SN, T, Y, YS, YSD, YCO)
T = TS + P
CALL CURDIS(NS, LR, SN, T, Y, YD, YCO)
Y = Y - YS
YD = YD - YSD  
YCO = YCO - YSCO  

* REFLECTION CURRENT IS NOT EMERGING  
*
IF (T.LE.TC2) RETURN  
T = TS - TC2  
*
* REFLECTION CURRENT  
*
LR = 12  
CALL CURDIS(NS,LR,SNR1,T,YS,YSD,YSCO)  
Y = Y + YS  
YD = YD + YSD  
YCO = YCO + YSCO  
T = T + P  
CALL CURDIS(NS,LR,SNR,T,YS,YSD,YSCO)  
Y = Y - YS  
YD = YD - YSD  
YCO = YCO - YSCO  
RETURN  
END  
*
*
** SUBROUTINE CALCULATES CURRENT PARAMETERS **  
*
*
SUBROUTINE CURDIS(NS,IR,SN,T,YS,YSD,YSCO)  
IMPLICIT NONE  
INTEGER*4 MAXS, NS, IR  
PARAMETER (MAXS=14978)  
REAL*8 CO(20,MAXS), C  
REAL*8 T, SN, YS, YSD, YSCO  
COMMON /COEFBL/CO,C  
*
AA = CO( 1+IR,NS)  
AB = CO( 2+IR,NS)  
AC = CO( 3+IR,NS)  
AD = CO( 4+IR,NS)  
PD = CO( 5+IR,NS)  
AF = CO( 6+IR,NS)  
EA = CO( 7,NS)  
EB = CO( 8,NS)  
EC = CO( 9,NS)  
ED = CO(10,NS)
* EDP  =  CO(11,NS)
* EF   =  CO(12,NS)
* RA   =  CO(13,NS)
* RB   =  CO(14,NS)
* RC   =  CO(15,NS)
* RD   =  CO(16,NS)
* PDR  =  CO(17,NS)
* RF   =  CO(18,NS)
* AR   =  CO(19,NS)
* ER   =  CO(20,NS)
*
* CURRENT DISTRIBUTION
*
YS = DEXP(-SN*C)*( CO(1+IR,NS)*DEXP(CO(7,NS)*T) +  
|  CO(2+IR,NS)*DEXP(CO(8,NS)*T) +  
|  CO(3+IR,NS)*DEXP(CO(9,NS)*T) +  
|  CO(6+IR,NS)*DEXP(CO(12,NS)*T) +  
|  2.*CO(4+IR,NS)*DEXP(CO(10,NS)*T)*DCOS(CO(11,NS)*T+CO(5+IR,NS))  )
*
* DERIVATIVE OF CURRENT DISTRIBUTION
*
YSD = DEXP(-SN*C)*( CO(1+IR,NS)*CO(7,NS)*DEXP(CO(7,NS)*T) +  
|  CO(2+IR,NS)*CO(8,NS)*DEXP(CO(8,NS)*T) +  
|  CO(3+IR,NS)*CO(9,NS)*DEXP(CO(9,NS)*T) +  
|  CO(6+IR,NS)*CO(12,NS)*DEXP(CO(12,NS)*T) +  
|  2.*CO(4+IR,NS)*DEXP(CO(10,NS)*T)* (  
|  CO(10,NS)*DCOS(CO(11,NS)*T+CO(5+IR,NS)) -  
|  CO(11,NS)*DSIN(CO(11,NS)*T+CO(5+IR,NS))  )
*
* CONVOLUTION OF SGN(T) AND I(T)
*
YSCO = DEXP(-SN*C)*(  
|  CO(1+IR,NS)*(2.*DEXP(CO(7,NS)*T)-1.)/CO(7,NS) +  
|  CO(2+IR,NS)*(2.*DEXP(CO(8,NS)*T)-1.)/CO(8,NS) +  
|  CO(3+IR,NS)*(2.*DEXP(CO(9,NS)*T)-1.)/CO(9,NS) +  
|  CO(6+IR,NS)*(2.*DEXP(CO(12,NS)*T)-1.)/CO(12,NS) +  
|  2.*CO(4+IR,NS)*( 2.*DEXP(CO(10,NS)*T)*  
|  (CO(10,NS)*DCOS(CO(11,NS)*T+CO(5+IR,NS)) +  
|  CO(11,NS)*DSIN(CO(11,NS)*T+CO(5+IR,NS)) ) -  
|  (CO(10,NS)*DCOS(CO(5+IR,NS)) +  
|  CO(11,NS)*DSIN(CO(5+IR,NS)) ) )/  
|  (CO(10,NS)**2+CO(11,NS)**2)  )

IF(IR.EQ.0) RETURN
YS= YS + DEXP(-SN*C)*CO(19,NS)*DEXP(CO(20,NS)*T)

26
YSD = YSD + DEXP(-SN*C) * CO(19,NS) * CO(20,NS) * DEXP(CO(20,NS) * T)
YSCO = YSCO + DEXP(-SN*C) * CO(19,NS) * (2. * DEXP(CO(20,NS) * T) - 1.) / CO(20,NS)
RETURN
END
Appendix B.—Field Plot Comparison

The field plots presented display electric or magnetic field component waveforms at selected test points, showing both empirical measurements and computed results in the same graph. No comparisons are done for field components that are zero in theory. Both of the fields, empirical and computed, are scaled to the same reference field level, 50 kV/m at 50 m away from the center of AESOP on the center plane. We selected the field points based on the availability of empirical data for test points that were measured for the Perimeter Field Map at the Woodbridge Research Facility. For those test points that have more than one measurement, we have chosen the best data set to compare with computed data. The selected field comparison points are as follows:

( 0, -176, 1) (fig. B-1)
( 0, -176, 4.6) (fig. B-2)
(-322, -176, 1) (fig. B-3)
(-322, -176, 4.6) (fig. B-4)
( 0, 1546, 4.6) (fig. B-5)
( 410, 502, 4.6) (fig. B-6)
(-444, 1341, 4.6) (fig. B-7)
( 473, 0, 1) (fig. B-8)
( 473, 0, 4.6) (fig. B-9)
Figure B-1. Field comparison at 
(0,-176,1) meters: (a) $E_x$, (b) $H_y$. 

(a) 

(b)
Figure B-1 (cont'd).
Field comparison at (0, -1761) meters:
(c) $H_z$. 

![Graph showing empirical and computed data for $H_z$ over time.](image)
Figure B-2. Field comparison at (0, -176, 4.6) meters: (a) $E_x$, (b) $H_y$. 

(a) $E_x$ (V/m) vs. Time (ns)

(b) $H_y$ (A/m) vs. Time (ns)
Figure B-2 (cont'd).
Field comparison at (0,-176,4.6) meters:
(c) $H_z$. 

![Graph showing field comparison with labels](image-url)
Figure B-3. Field comparison at (-332, -176, 1) meters: (a) $E_x$, (b) $E_y$. 

(a) 
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Figure B-3 (cont’d).
Field comparison at (-332, -176, 1) meters:
(c) $E_z$, (d) $H_x$. 
Figure B-3 (cont'd).
Field comparison at (-332,-176) meters:
(e) $H_y$, (f) $H_z$. 

(e) $H_y$:
- Empirical
- Computed
- Data scaled to 50 kV/m

(f) $H_z$:
- Empirical
- Computed
- Data scaled to 50 kV/m
Figure B-4. Field comparison at (-332, -176, 4.6) meters: (a) $E_x$, (b) $E_y$. 

![Graph (a) showing $E_x$](image)

![Graph (b) showing $E_y$](image)
Figure B-4 (cont’d).
Field comparison at (~332, -176, 4.6) meters:
(c) $E_z$, (d) $H_x$. 

![Graph of $E_z$](image)

![Graph of $H_x$](image)
Figure B-4 (cont'd). Field comparison at (-332, -176, 4.6) meters:
(e) $H_y$, (f) $H_z$. 

Graphs showing time (ns) vs. magnetic field strength (A/m) for different methods (Empirical and Computed). Data scaled to 50 kV/m.
Figure B-5. Field comparison at (0,1546.4,6) meters: (a) $E_x$, (b) $H_y$. 
Figure B-5 (cont'd).
Field comparison at (0.1546, 4.6) meters:
(c) $H_z$. 

![Graph showing field comparison between computed and empirical values.](image)
Figure B-6. Field comparison at (410,502,4.6) meters:
(a) $E_x$, (b) $E_y$. 
Figure B-6 (cont'd).
Field comparison at (410,502,4.6) meters:
(c) $E_z$, (d) $H_x$. 
Figure B-6 (cont’d).
Field comparison at (410,502,4.6) meters:
(e) $H_y$, (f) $H_z$. 

(e) $H_y$ (Am)

(f) $H_z$ (Am)

Data scaled to 50 kV/m
Figure B-7. Field comparison at (-444,1341,4.6) meters:
(a) $E_x$  (b) $E_y$.  

(a) Data scaled to 50 kV/m

(b) Data scaled to 50 kV/m
Figure B-7 (cont'd).
Field comparison at
(-444,1341,4.6) meters:
(c) $E_z$, (d) $H_x$. 

(c) $E_z$ 

(d) $H_x$ 

Data scaled to 50 kV/m
Figure B-7 (cont’d).
Field comparison at
(−444,1341,4.6) meters:
(e) $H_y$  (f) $H_z$. 

Data scaled to 50 kV/m
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Figure B-8. Field comparison at (473,0,1) meters: (a) $E_x$, (b) $E_z$. 

(a)

(b)

Data scaled to 50 kV/m
Field comparison at (473,0,1) meters: (c) $H_y$. Data scaled to 50 kV/m.
Figure B-9. Field comparison at (473,0.4,6) meters:
(a) $E_x$, (b) $E_z$. 

(a) 

(b)
Figure B-9 (cont'd).
Field comparison at 
(473,0,4.6) meters:
(c) $H_y$. 
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