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Introduction

Alkoxide or phenoxide ligands are ubiquitous in classical olefin and acetylene metathesis catalyst systems.\textsuperscript{1-3} For example, one of the earliest and most successful tungsten systems for the metathesis of olefins was prepared from WCl\textsubscript{6}, EtAlCl\textsubscript{2} (4 equiv), and ethanol (1 equiv),\textsuperscript{3,4} while acetylene metathesis was observed to be successful only in the presence of phenols.\textsuperscript{5,6} Therefore it is not surprising that alkoxide ligands have played a pivotal role in the development of relatively stable yet reactive alkylidene complexes\textsuperscript{7} or alkylidyne complexes\textsuperscript{8} in the past decade that are long-lived catalysts for the metathesis of olefins or acetylenes, respectively. These well-defined d\textsuperscript{0} metathesis catalysts (counting the alkylidene ligand as a dianion and the alkylidyne ligand as a trianion) also have offered the opportunity of assessing the steric and electronic influence of a range of alkoxides in a given type of reaction in a semi-quantitative manner. In this article I will trace the development in my laboratories of alkoxide chemistry of alkylidene and alkylidyne complexes containing Ta, Mo, W, or Re that are catalysts or potential catalysts for the olefin or acetylene metathesis reaction. This article is not meant to be comprehensive. Contributions by other researchers to the chemistry of "d\textsuperscript{0}" alkylidene/alkoxide or alkylidyne/alkoxide complexes can be found in review articles\textsuperscript{7-14} or in other chapters in this volume.

General Comments

There are several reasons why alkoxides are good candidates as "ancillary" ligands in the organometallic chemistry and catalysis of the early transition metals. First, early transition metal alkoxides are often relatively stable. It has been known for some time that early transition metal alkoxides such as Ti(O\textsuperscript{t}Pr)\textsubscript{4} do not readily undergo the \(\beta\)-hydride elimination process that is so common for later (e.g., group 8) transition metals.\textsuperscript{15,16} When early transition metal alkoxides do decompose, they often yield oxo complexes.\textsuperscript{17-19} Phenoxide complexes are generally more stable than alkoxide complexes,\textsuperscript{20-22} in part because they are more resistant to reactions that lead to oxo complexes. Second, the relatively high electrophilicity of an early transition metal makes dissociation of alkoxide ion, at least in a neutral species, unlikely. Third, since early transition metal chemistry is often carried out in the absence of water, protonolysis of the alkoxide usually is
not an issue. Finally, formation of oligomeric or polymeric complexes that contain bridging alkoxides can be prevented if the alkoxide is sufficiently large. The last is a key point. Much of the advancement in the use of transition metal alkoxide complexes as catalysts in the last decade can be attributed to the use of "large" alkoxides that do not readily bridge and therefore that stabilize reactive mononuclear species toward bimolecular decomposition reactions.

The nature of the M-OR bonding in an early transition metal complex and the influence of that bonding on chemistry at the metal is potentially more complex than it appears. Through X-ray structural studies there has been some attempt to correlate the M-O bond length and the M-O-R angle with the "degree of π bonding." However, a combination of σ effects and steric effects could produce some of the same results that one otherwise might attribute to π bonding alone. Consequently, it has been increasingly recognized that there is little, if any, correlation between the M-O-R angle and the degree of π bonding. The nature of the hybridization at oxygen alone could have significant steric and electronic consequences at the metal, but the possibility that one or both electron pairs on oxygen can be involved to varying degrees in π bonding between M and O further complicates the issue. Both σ and π bonding will be profoundly altered as the electron-withdrawing ability of the R group changes (e.g., from t-Bu to Ph to CMe(CF₃)₂) and as the sterics in a crowded situation force the M-O-R angle to increase. Finally, it should be noted that in a catalytic reaction, where the coordination number of the metal and its core geometry (and consequently the electronics and sterics at the metal) change dramatically during the course of the reaction, the nature of the interaction between the metal and an alkoxide ligand will change constantly.

One of the measurable properties of an alkoxide that provides some indication of the degree to which it could alter the reactivity of a metal is the pKₐ of the corresponding alcohol. Some pKₐ values in water for some relevant alcohols are listed in Table 1. Note that perfluoro-t-butanol is almost as strong an acid in water as acetic acid (pKₐ = 4.75), and that the difference in pKₐ between t-butanol and hexafluoro-t-butanol is approximately ten orders of magnitude. The proton affinity of [(CF₃)₃CO]⁻ was shown to be intermediate between the proton affinities of Cl⁻ and Br⁻.
via ion cyclotron resonance, while the electron affinity of the hexafluoro-\textit{t}-butoxide radical was shown to be greater than the electron affinity of any halogen atom, and among the highest known for any organic radical.\textsuperscript{27} It also should be noted that the pK\textsubscript{a} of an ordinary phenol is approximately the same as hexafluoro-\textit{t}-butanol (~10). Such data provide a rough idea of the magnitude of the differences one might anticipate between metal complexes containing different alkoxides, especially if two or three are bound to a given metal. More subtle, synergistic effects that alter the M-O-C angle are comparatively difficult to assess. Note that electronic differences between hexafluoroisopropoxide and hexafluoro-\textit{t}-butoxide ligands, at least in terms of their similar pK\textsubscript{a}'s, would appear to be minimal. Therefore steric differences should dominate in reactions of analogous complexes that contain these two alkoxides.

**Tantalum and Niobium**

In the early days of tantalum alkylidene chemistry attention was focused on chloride derivatives that contained cyclopentadienyl or phosphine ligands.\textsuperscript{10} For example, the reaction between Ta(CH\textsubscript{3}/Bu)(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{28, 29} and olefins yielded products that apparently resulted from rearrangement of metallacyclobutane intermediates (e.g., equation 1); no metathesis products (e.g., equation 2) were observed. The conclusion that "replacing chloride ligands with alkoxide ligands tends to slow down the rate of rearrangement of a metallacyclobutane ring relative to

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{M}=\text{CHR} + \text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CHR'} & \rightarrow \text{RCH}=&\text{CHCH}_2\text{R'} + \text{RCH}_2\text{CH}=\text{CHR'} + \text{M} \\
& \rightarrow \text{RCH}=&\text{CH}_2 + \text{M}=\text{CHR'}
\end{align*}
\]

metathesis"\textsuperscript{29} (equations 1 and 2, respectively), and the observation that \textit{t}-butoxide complexes did not bind a phosphine as strongly as the analogous chloride complexes served as a guide for the development of alkoxide chemistry pertaining to metathetical reactions in the following decade. The feeling at the time was that rearrangement of an alkylidene to an olefin or bimolecular coupling of alkylidenes (especially methylenes) to give the olefin would be difficult to prevent for tantalum. This behavior could be part of the reason why tantalum shows negligible metathesis activity of the
classical type.\textsuperscript{1}  

Several years later, after aryloxide complexes had left their mark on tungsten and molybdenum alkylidyne chemistry (see later), tantalum alkylidene chemistry was revisited in the form of Ta(CH\textsuperscript{3}Bu)(OAr)\textsubscript{3}(THF) and Ta(CH\textsuperscript{3}Bu)(OAr')\textsubscript{3}(THF) complexes (Ar = 2,6-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{2}Pr\textsubscript{2}; Ar' = 2,6-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{3}Me\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{30, 31} A pseudo-five-coordinate tantallacyclobutane complex could be isolated upon addition of an olefin such as norbornene, the structure of which (Figure 1) illustrates the space-filling role of a total of six isopropyl groups in the ortho positions of the aryl rings.\textsuperscript{31} However, alkylidene complexes that contain \(\beta\) protons still could not be observed. Metatheses of acyclic olefins (terminal or internal) also were observed to be relatively short-lived, most likely because rearrangement of intermediate alkylidenes that contain \(\beta\) protons or bimolecular decomposition (especially of methylene complexes) continued to take place at a significant rate. An interesting finding was that the arylthiolate complex, Ta(CH\textsuperscript{3}Bu)(S-2,4,6-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}Pr\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}(THF), the structure of which showed THF to be bound trans to the neopentylidene ligand,\textsuperscript{32} did not react with acyclic olefins, although it did react with norbornene. However, tantallacyclobutane complexes were not observed, and alkylidene complexes that were produced upon ring-opening of norbornene (which contain a \(\beta\) proton) were found to be relatively stable. In general, thiolate analogs of d\textsuperscript{0} alkylidene or alkylidyne complexes that contain alkoxide or aryloxide ligands are relatively rare and their chemistry poorly explored. 

**Alkyne Metathesis by Mo and W Alkylidyne Complexes** 

The discovery of neopentylidyne complexes of the type M(CH\textsuperscript{3}Bu)(CH\textsubscript{2}Bu)\textsubscript{3} and M(CH\textsuperscript{3}Bu)Cl\textsubscript{3}(dme) (M = Mo or W\textsuperscript{33, 34}) created the possibility of preparing alkylidyne complexes would be active for metathesis of alkynes (according to a proposal by Katz\textsuperscript{35}). Interestingly, although a stable tungstacyclobutadiene complex forms readily upon addition of one equivalent of an internal alkyne to W(CH\textsuperscript{3}Bu)Cl\textsubscript{3}(dme), that complex reacts further with alkyne to yield a mixture of reduced tungsten complexes that contain a peralkylatedcyclopentadienyl ring (equation 3).\textsuperscript{36} In contrast, alkoxide or phenoxide complexes of the type W(CH\textsuperscript{3}Bu)(OR)\textsubscript{3}S\textsubscript{X} (S = coordinating
solvent, e.g., 1,2-dimethoxyethane or THF) are successful to varying degrees as initiators of alkyne metathesis. W(C'Bu)(OBU)_3, a stable, highly "electron-deficient" species (a "12 electron species" not counting π electrons), is astoundingly active for the metathesis of ordinary internal alkynes.\textsuperscript{37,38} Tungstacyclobutadiene intermediates are not observed. On the other hand, trigonal bipyramidal tungstacyclobutadiene complexes are observed when W(C'Bu)(OAr)_3 is treated with an internal alkyne,\textsuperscript{39} and they have been shown to metathelize acetylenes at rates that are independent of alkyne concentration, consistent with rate-limiting loss of alkyne from the tungstacyclobutadiene ring. Trigonal bipyramidal tungstacyclobutadiene complexes, W(C_3Et_3)(OR)_3, also can be prepared where OR = OCH(CF_3)_2 (Figure 2) or OCM(CF_3)_2.\textsuperscript{40} (Note in Figure 2 how the CF_3 groups of the hexafluoroisopropoxide ligands are virtually "eclipsed", presumably in order to minimize steric interactions.) They too are catalysts for the metathesis of internal acetylenes, but by two strikingly different mechanisms. W(C_3Et_3)[OCMe(CF_3)_2]_3 and related intermediates behave like OAr complexes; the rate-limiting step is loss of the alkyne from the metallacyclobutadiene ring. However, W(C_3Et_3)[OCH(CF_3)_2]_3 metathesizes alkynes slowly in an associative manner. Cyclopentadienyl complexes are not formed readily in any of the alkoxide systems, i.e., alkoxide ligands encourage reactions that lead to reformation of a d^0 complex that contains a multiple metal-carbon bond relative to "reductions" of the metal via formation of cyclopentadienyl rings. At this point it should be noted again that the pKₐ values for phenols and hexafluoroalcohols are within one pKₐ unit, and that to a first approximation the differences between the OCH(CF_3)_2 and OCM(CF_3)_2 systems can be ascribed solely to steric factors. (The OCH(CF_3)_2 ligand in a complex such as that shown in Figure 2 is simply too small to force an alkyne to be lost from the metallacyclobutadiene ring, small enough, in fact, to allow more alkyne to attack the metal, as in the analogous tungstenacyclobutadiene
trichloride complex.) Interestingly, the attempted synthesis of W(C′Bu)(O-2,6-C₆H₃ᵗBu₂)₃ led to consumption of only two equivalents of phenoxide and formation of a neopentyldiene complex via addition of a t-butyl C-H bond to the W≡C bond, a clear example of complications that can arise if unavoidable steric hindrance is found too near the metal.³⁹ Detrimental intramolecular reactions can be avoided, intermolecular decomposition reactions of pseudo-four-coordinate species can be prevented, and pseudo-five-coordinate metallacyclobutadiene complexes can be destabilized toward loss of alkyne, all at the same time, if the steric hindrance in alkoxide ligands is finely tuned and is not found in the immediate vicinity of the metal center.

A wide variety of molybdenum neopentyldylyne complexes of the type Mo(C′Bu)(OR)₃ (OR = O′Bu, OCHMe₂, OCH₂Bu, OCM(MeCF₃)₂, OCMe₂(CF₃), or OAr) or Mo(C′Bu)(OR)₃(dme) (OR = OCH(CF₃)₂, OCMe(CF₃)₂, or OC(CF₃)₃) also can be prepared from Mo(C′Bu)Cl₃(dme).⁴¹ (When OR = OCMe(CF₃)₂ the metal is electrophilic enough to bind dme, but the coordination sphere is crowded enough so that dme is lost readily in solution; consequently both four-coordinate and six-coordinate species are known.) Internal acetylenes do not react with Mo(C′Bu)(O′Bu)₃, but they do react smoothly with all fluoroalkoxide or phenoxide complexes to give new, isolable alkylidyne complexes. The OCM(MeCF₃)₂, OC(CF₃)₃, and OAr complexes are excellent catalysts for the metathesis of internal alkynes, but molybdacyclobutadiene complexes are rarely observed. The main lessons learned from the Mo studies are that (i) molybdenum complexes in general are less reactive than their tungsten analogs, (ii) molybdacyclobutadiene complexes are much more prone to lose alkynyl than tungstacyclobutadiene complexes, and (iii) molybdenum is more likely to polymerize alkynes, a reaction that often competes with metathesis.⁴²

One of the unexpected findings in Mo and W alkylidyne chemistry was that reactions between terminal alkynes and neopentyldiene complexes yield "deprotiomellaclobutadiene" complexes when OR is relatively electron-withdrawing (equation 4).⁴¹,⁴³ Several of these "deproticycles" have been isolated, often as adducts that contain two donor ligands, and characterized through x-ray studies. The β proton in a metallacyclobutadiene complex probably is
lost intermolecularly, since the reaction is catalyzed by bases. So far deprotiometallacycles have been observed only when the relatively electron-withdrawing fluoroalkoxide or phenoxide ligands are present; apparently only then is the \( \beta \) proton acidic enough to be removed readily.

\[
\text{M(C'Bu)(OR)\textsubscript{3}} + \text{RC}=\text{CH} \rightarrow \text{RO-M}\left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\text{R'} \text{Bu} \\
\text{R'} \text{RO} \\
\text{R'} \text{Bu}
\end{array}\right\} \text{H} \rightarrow \text{RO-M}\left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\text{R'} \text{Bu} \\
\text{R'} \text{RO} \\
\text{R'} \text{Bu}
\end{array}\right\} \]

(4)

The fact that complexes such as \( \text{W(CEt)(O'Bu)\textsubscript{3}} \) do not decompose to \( \text{EtC}=\text{CEt} \) and \( (\text{BuO})\textsubscript{3}\text{W}=\text{W(O'Bu)\textsubscript{3}} \)\(^9\) led us to try to form alkylidyne complexes by adding an internal alkyne to \( (\text{BuO})\textsubscript{3}\text{W}=\text{W(O'Bu)\textsubscript{3}} \) (equation 5).\(^4^4\) This "cleavage reaction" works extremely well for a wide variety of alkynes,\(^4^5\) even terminal alkynes, if the methyldyne complex is trapped as a five-coordinate base adduct. (Four-coordinate methyldyne complexes are unstable with respect to bimolecular decomposition to give \( \text{W}=\text{W} \) complexes that contain bound acetylene.\(^4^6,4^7\)) The cleavage reaction also works to some extent when the alkoxide in \( (\text{RO})\textsubscript{3}\text{W}=\text{W(OR)\textsubscript{3}} \) is \( \text{OCH(CF}_3)_2 \),\(^4^8\) \( \text{OCMe}_2(\text{CF}_3) \),\(^4^8\) or \( \text{O}-2,6-\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_2 \).\(^4^9\) In the case of \( (\text{BuO})\textsubscript{3}\text{Mo}=\text{Mo(O'Bu)\textsubscript{3}} \) the cleavage reaction works only for sterically less demanding and more reactive terminal alkynes, and to only a limited extent.\(^5^0\) Competing alkyne polymerization is a common problem, especially for smaller alkynes. These results suggest that the reaction between a \( \text{M}=\text{M} \) compound and an alkyne and that between a \( \text{M}=\text{C} \) compound and an alkyne are related. Unfortunately, inaccessibility to a variety of \( (\text{RO})\textsubscript{3}\text{M}=\text{M(OR)\textsubscript{3}} \) complexes has prevented a full exploration of the alkyne cleavage reaction.

There are several features of alkyne metathesis by pseudo-tetrahedral alkylidyne complexes that should be pointed out and compared with alkene metathesis by alkylidene complexes to be
discussed later. The alkyne metathesis studies outlined above suggested that in general the more electrophilic the metal in a pseudo-four-coordinate complex, the faster it will react with an alkyne in a given set of steric circumstances to give a trigonal bipyramidal metallacyclobutadiene complex. Consequently we assume that the alkyne approaches the electrophilic metal on one of the three equivalent COO faces of the M(CR')(OR)₃ complex and binds weakly to it. When a two electron donor base binds to a M(CR')(OR)₃ species, the observed species is that in which the base is bound trans to the alkylidyne (on the OOO face). It is quite possible that the alkyne only rarely approaches the COO face to "bind" and form a metallacyclobutadiene complex compared to the number of times it (unproductively) interacts with the metal on the OOO face. Therefore the nature and energy of unoccupied orbitals other than the LUMO could play a significant role in determining the rate of a productive reaction. It also should be noted that a two electron donor base can "block" a metathesis reaction by binding strongly to the metal to give a five-coordinate species, but the base need not necessarily bind to the same site as the metathesis substrate.

Several thiophenoxide analogs of alkylidyne phenoxide complexes have been prepared and shown to be relatively inactive for the metathesis of alkynes.

**Rhenium Acetylene Metathesis Catalysts.**

Concurrent with the development of W and Mo catalysts for alkyne metathesis was the search for "Re(VII)" alkylidyne or alkylidene complexes. The first publications in this area reported the syntheses of species such as Re(NBu)₂(CH₄Bu)(CH₂Bu) and Re(CBu)(CHBu)(OBU)₂. However, neither compound reacted readily with several representative olefins or acetylenes. The development of new routes to Re(VII) complexes and the realization that alkoxide ligands were desirable for metathesis activity led to the discovery of complexes of the type Re(CBu)(NAr)(OR)₂ (OR = OBU, OCMe₂CF₃, OCMe(CF₃)₂, and OAr). Only Re(CBu)(NAr)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ was found to be active for the metathesis of internal alkynes. However, this "simple" reaction turned out to be relatively complex. A rhenacyclobutadiene complex in theory can be formed in two ways, either by alkyne attack on one of two equivalent CNO faces, or by alkyne attack on the COO face of the pseudo-tetrahedral
Re(C'Bu)(NAr)(OR)_2 species. The approximately TBP rhenacyclobutadiene complex ("type 1") that could be isolated and structurally-characterized (Figure 3; the Re=C bond is in an "axial" position) did not contain a symmetric (delocalized) ring and turned out to be inactive for alkyne metathesis. Furthermore, it was observed that certain alkynes with bulky substituents could be metathesized for a significant period of time. In these cases an unstable rhenacyclobutadiene complex ("type 2") could be observed at low temperatures by NMR that had the proposed symmetrical structure shown in equation 6, one that is analogous to other TBP metallacyclobutadiene complexes (Figure 2).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ar} & \quad \text{N} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{RO} \\
\text{RO}
\end{array} & \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{Re} \\
\equiv \\
\text{CR'}
\end{array} & + & R'C\equiv CR' & \quad \leftrightarrow & \begin{array}{c}
\text{RO} \\
\text{R'}
\end{array} & \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{ArN=Re} \\
\equiv \\
\text{R'}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

The first explanation that was offered was that a "small" alkyne attacks one of the CNO faces of the rhenium complex to form a type 1 metallacycle most rapidly. When the alkoxide is small and electron-withdrawing, and the acetylene does not contain bulky substituents, only the CNO face is attacked, and an inactive type 1 rhenacycle is formed. When attack at the CNO face becomes sterically untenable (a large alkoxide and a large substituent in the acetylene or alkylidyne ligand) then the acetylene adds to the COO face to give a type 2 rhenacycle. In the most extreme cases (involving diisopropylacetylene or di-sec-butylacetylene) the initial and all subsequent rhenacycles are of type 2. Under these conditions a type 1 rhenacycle does not form for steric reasons, as long as the alkoxide is large, and metathesis therefore is relatively long-lived. If the acetylene substituents are of intermediate size, metathesis is not long-lived because of "competitive face attack" by the acetylene on the CNO face and eventual formation of inactive type 1 rhenacycle. The second explanation offered was that alkyne attack on the COO face is always preferred, but "pseudorotation" of the "initial" rhenacyclobutadiene complex leads to the inactive type 1
rhenacycle unless sterically prevented (in a non-obvious way) by large substituents on the rhenacycle. In either case the alkoxide again plays a crucial role: (i) it has to be electron-withdrawing in order to boost the reactivity of the metal, and (ii) it has to be bulky enough to avoid immediate or eventual formation of a stable type 1 rhenacycle. These results awakened us to the fact that the "correct type" of intermediate could be present (here a rhenacyclobutadiene complex), but that in fact it could be too stable to be part of a catalytic cycle. The possibility of forming metallacycles via more than one mode of attack on a pseudotetrahedral molecule later also became an important consideration in W and Mo imido alkylidene chemistry.

**Imido Alkylidene Complexes of Tungsten and Molybdenum**

The discovery of pseudo-octahedral oxo alkylidene complexes of tungsten of the type $W(O)(CH'Bu)(PR_3)_2Cl_2$\(^{58}\) allowed "$W(O)(CH'Bu)(O'Bu)_2$" to be prepared by adding 2-butoxide. However, $W(O)(CH'Bu)(O'Bu)_2$ proved too unstable to characterize. Since we felt that bimolecular decomposition reactions were responsible for its instability, we turned to synthesizing analogous imido alkylidene complexes in the belief that imido ligands would block bimolecular decomposition reactions more effectively than oxo ligands. Initial studies involving the readily available phenylimido ligand were promising,\(^{59}\) but we felt that the parent phenylimido ligand might be too small to prevent bimolecular decomposition or disproportionation reactions of four-coordinate species. Therefore, we turned to the much more bulky 2,6-diisopropylphenylimido (NAr) ligand, a "dianionic equivalent" of the OAr ligand that had been employed successfully in acetylene metathesis systems. The imido alkylidene dichloride complex shown in equation 7 was synthesized from a neopentyldiyne complex.\(^{60}\) From it (and later a bistriolate analog\(^{61}\)) a variety of neutral, four-coordinate complexes of the type $W(CH'Bu)(NAr)(OR)_2$ could be prepared that

\[\text{Equation 7} \]
contain relatively bulky alkoxides (OR = O\textsuperscript{\textprime}Bu, OCMe\textsubscript{2}(CF\textsubscript{3}), OCMe(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}, OC(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}(CF\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{3}), or OAr). The activity of such species for the metathesis of ordinary internal olefins (e.g., cis-2-pentene) appeared to peak for the OCMe(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} species. New alkylidene complexes such as W(NAr)(CHPh)[OCMe(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{2} (Figure 4) could be isolated, and in some cases trigonal bipyramidal (TBP) tungstacyclobutane complexes were stable enough to be observed or even isolated (Figure 5). W(CH\textsuperscript{\textprime}Bu)(NAr)[OCMe\textsubscript{2}(CF\textsubscript{3})]\textsubscript{2} reacted relatively slowly with 3-hexenes, while W(CH\textsuperscript{\textprime}Bu)(NAr)(O\textsuperscript{\textprime}Bu)\textsubscript{2} virtually did not react at all. Ethylene, a much more reactive olefin, reacted rapidly to give characterizeable TBP tungstacyclobutane complexes such as W(CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2})(NAr)[OC(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}(CF\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{3})]\textsubscript{2} or W(CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2})(NAr)[OCMe(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}]. Ethylene also reacted with the less reactive W(CH\textsuperscript{\textprime}Bu)(NAr)(OR)\textsubscript{2} complexes (OR = O\textsuperscript{\textprime}Bu, OCMe\textsubscript{2}(CF\textsubscript{3}), or OAr), but the course of those reactions could not be clarified. On the basis of this work it was proposed that the rate of reaction of alkylidene complexes with olefins correlated directly with the electron-withdrawing ability of the alkoxide, as found in acetylene metathesis systems described earlier. However, it was clear that steric factors limited the rate of reaction of alkylidene complexes in some cases (e.g., OR = OC(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}(CF\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{3})) and that the stability of TBP metallacycles correlated inversely with the degree of substitution in the WC\textsubscript{3} ring (as one would expect).

Other studies provided more surprises. The structure of W(CH\textsuperscript{\textprime}Bu)(NAr)(O\textsuperscript{\textprime}Bu)\textsubscript{2} was shown to be entirely analogous to that of W(CHPh)(NAr)[OCMe(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{2}. Therefore the significant reactivity difference between the two could not be ascribed to gross structural differences. Secondly, both syn and anti rotamers of W(CHSiMe\textsubscript{3})(NAr)(OAr)\textsubscript{2} were observed (equation 8) and found to interconvert on the NMR time scale (\(\Delta G^\ddagger = 12\) k cal mol\textsuperscript{-1}). It was not clear at the time why rotamers could be observed in this case — only the syn rotamer had been observed before\textsuperscript{62} — and why they interconverted readily. Perhaps the most revealing finding at the time was that ethylene would react with complexes of the type W(CH\textsuperscript{\textprime}Bu)(NAr)(OR)\textsubscript{2} (OR = O\textsuperscript{\textprime}Bu, OCMe\textsubscript{2}(CF\textsubscript{3}), OAr) to give either trigonal bipyramidal or square pyramidal tungstacyclobutane complexes, or in some cases (OR = OCMe\textsubscript{2}(CF\textsubscript{3}) or OAr) a mixture of
interconverting SP and TBP species. In one case the lowest energy form depended upon the nature of the metallacycle, i.e., W[CH₂CH(R)CH₂](NAr)(OAr)₂ is a square pyramid when R = tBu, but a trigonal bipyramid when R = SiMe₃. It was proposed on the basis of kinetic studies that square pyramidal metallacycles are relatively stable toward loss of an olefin because the WC₃ ring is further from an "olefin/alkyldiene" transition state than is the WC₃ ring in a trigonal bipyramidal metallacycle. For that reason complexes that contain relatively electron-withdrawing alkoxides (which are usually trigonal bipyramids) will lose an olefin more readily than those that contain relatively electron-donating alkoxides (which are usually square pyramids), in spite of the fact that the metal is "more electrophilic" when electron-withdrawing alkoxides are present, and that therefore (on a superficial level) metallacyclobutane complexes are less likely to lose an olefin. However, the fascination with tungstacycles began to wane when it was realized that observable metallacyclobutane complexes are likely simply to be "traps" with stabilities that depend on many factors. In order to maximize the rate of metathesis it would be best to try to avoid them completely. Since molybdaclyclobutadiene complexes had been shown to be much less stable than tungstaclyclobutadiene complexes in alkyne metathesis systems,⁴¹ we turned to synthesizing molybdenum imido alkyldiene complexes. The possibility that reactivity also was related to which pseudotetrahedral face of the catalyst was attacked in the imido alkyldiene complex, or that syn or anti rotamers could have dramatically different activities had not yet been considered.

The poor yields and tedious synthesis of Mo(C'O'Bu)(CH₂tBu)₃⁴¹ limited initial investigations into Mo imido alkyldiene chemistry. However, small quantities of Mo(NAr)(CH'tBu)(OR)₂ (OR = O'Bu, OCMe₂(CF₃), OCMe(CF₃)₂) complexes could be prepared
by a route analogous to that shown in equation 7, and the complex in which OR = OCMe(CF₃)₂ was shown to be especially active for the metathesis of internal olefins. Other routes to Mo(NAr)(CH'Bu)(OR)₂ species were developed later, the most general beginning with [NH₄][MoO₂] and yielding Mo(NAr)(CHCM₂Ph)(triflate)₂(dme), a precursor to a wide variety of imido alkylidene complexes, in three steps. Therefore a wide variety of molybdenum complexes of the type Mo(NAr)(CHCM₂Ph)(OR)₂ became available for study.

At one point we became interested in preparing two electron donor adducts of M(CH'Bu)(NAr)(OR)₂ (M = Mo or W) complexes in the belief that the structures of base adducts might be relevant to the structure of the "weak olefin adduct" in an olefin metathesis reaction. PMe₃ was found to attack the CNO face of syn-M(CH'Bu)(NAr)(OR)₂ rotamers to give (chiral) TBP species in which the phosphine is bound in an axial position (Figure 6; equation 9). Predictably, the base is bound strongly only when the alkoxide is electron-withdrawing, and less strongly in the Mo than in the analogous W complex. A relatively stable CNO adduct of the syn rotamer, the only observable rotamer in solution, forms first, but the CNO adduct of the anti rotamer is the thermodynamic product. The anti adduct is believed to form via loss of PMe₃ from the syn adduct, followed by rotation of the alkylidene to give (usually unobservable) anti-M(CH'Bu)(NAr)(OR)₂, and readdition of PMe₃ to it. Therefore the situation is that shown in equation 9. The CNO adduct of the syn rotamer is believed to be formed less readily because of the developing steric interaction between the R substituent on the syn alkylidene and the isopropyl groups on the aryl ring of the NAr ligand (which lies in the trigonal plane of the TBP adduct; Figure 6). In compounds that contain a butenylidene ligand, three isomers of five-coordinate quinuclidine adducts are observed to be in equilibrium, syn and anti CNO adducts

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R'O-M}^\triangleleft \text{NAr} & \overset{\text{-L}}{\longrightarrow} \text{anti} \quad \overset{\text{syn}}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{R'O-M}^\triangleright \text{NAr} \\
\text{R'O} & \overset{\text{L}}{\text{R}} \quad \overset{\text{L}}{\text{R}}
\end{align*}
\]

\( \text{syn CNO adduct} \quad \text{anti CNO adduct} \)
analogous to those shown in equation 9 and an adduct of a syn rotamer that has an achiral core as a result of addition of the base to either the COO or NOO face. As a consequence of these studies we recognized that (i) the structure of base adducts may or may not be analogous to the structure of the "initial weak olefin adduct" in a metathesis reaction; (ii) the observed base adduct could be either a thermodynamic or a kinetic product; (iii) in theory, the base could add to any of three different faces (CNO, COO, NOO) in a pseudo-tetrahedral complex; (iv) base adducts of the anti rotamers that were investigated were the most stable; and (v) in some cases rotamers could interconvert readily via a pseudo-tetrahedral species. Two conclusions would soon become especially relevant: (i) "rotamers should react with olefins at different rates," and (ii) "the rate at which rotamers interconvert could be an important factor in some circumstances."71

A detailed investigation of alkylidene rotation rates produced some results that dramatically illustrate the extent to which various alkoxides can change the nature of the metal at a fundamental level.72,73 Low temperature (-85 °C) photolysis of a wide variety of complexes of the type syn-Mo(NR')(CHR')(OR)2 generated significant quantities of the anti rotamer. Anti to syn isomerization rate constants (k_{a/s}) were determined by NMR methods and correlated with the nature of R, R', R", and the solvent. Activation parameters were calculated in toluene-d8 and thf-d8 for the series Mo(NAr)(CHCMe2Ph)(OR)2 (where OR = OCMC2(CF3), OCMC(CF3)2, OC(CF3)3, or OC(CF3)2(CF2CF2CF3)). Values for k_{a/s} were found to vary by up to seven orders of magnitude (at 298K), the smallest values for k_{a/s} being found in complexes that contain the most electron-withdrawing alkoxides in thf as a solvent. Equilibrium constants (K_{eq} = k_{a/s}/k_{s/a}) at 25 °C were found to vary by up to approximately two orders of magnitude. Values for k_{s/a} at 298K were calculated and found to vary by up to six orders of magnitude in the same general direction as k_{a/s}. The main conclusion was that the rate of interconversion of syn and anti rotamers was "fast" for t-butoxide complexes (k_{s/a} = 1 sec^{-1}) and "slow" for hexafluoro-t-butoxide complexes (k_{s/a} = 10^{-5} sec^{-1}). To a first (and qualitative) approximation, when the metal is relatively electron-rich the alkylidene that rotates by 90° can be stabilized by the orbital that lies in the N/Mo/C plane (equation 10). When the metal is relatively electron-poor that orbital is energetically more closely
matched with the energy of a p orbital on the imido nitrogen atom and therefore is involved primarily in forming the pseudo triple bond to the imido ligand. The ease of rotation also varies to a significant degree with the nature of the imido and alkylidene ligands. For example, although there is little difference in the rate of alkylidene ligand rotation in hexafluoro-\( t \)-butoxide complexes that contain N-2,6-C\(_6\)H\(_3\)Pr\(_2\) and N-2,6-C\(_6\)H\(_3\)Me\(_2\) ligands, the alkylidene ligand in an analogous N-2-C\(_6\)H\(_3\)Bu complex rotates \( \sim 1500 \) times faster. The postulate is that the unsymmetrically substituted phenylimido ligand is more or less "permanently bent," thereby making the transition state shown in equation 10 more accessible. Similar phenomena that lead to bending of alkoxide or phenoxy ligands could also significantly alter the accessibility of various transition states, although at present there is no way to measure the consequence of such phenomena.

SCF-\( X\alpha \)-SW calculations carried out on Mo(VI) imido alkylidene complexes, Mo(NH)(CH\(_2\))(OH\(_2\)) and Mo(NH)(CH\(_2\))(OCH\(_3\))\(_2\), confirm that the alkoxide oxygen 2p orbitals contribute to a significant extent to most molecular orbitals, and therefore that they should strongly affect the rate of \( \text{anti} \rightarrow \text{syn} \) interconversion and the reactivity of these complexes.\(^{74}\) In the \( \text{syn} \) rotamer a low energy occupied orbital was found that had significant metal, alkylidene C\(_\alpha\), and alkylidene H\(_\alpha\) character, and whose energy changed significantly as the M-C\(_\alpha\)-H\(_\alpha\) angle was varied, i.e., an "agostic" M(CH\(_\alpha\)) interaction is present in the \( \text{syn} \) rotamer. An analogous interaction is not possible in the \( \text{anti} \) rotamer. Several high level calculations on imido alkylidene complexes that cover a variety of other issues have been reported.\(^{75-77}\)

Relative to tantalum systems, tungsten and molybdenum alkylidene complexes do not
appear to be reduced as readily. One might expect the potential for the metal to be reduced via rearrangement of a metallacyclobutane ring or bimolecular coupling of alkylidene ligands to vary significantly from one alkoxide to another. Little is actually known at this stage about reductive processes. The one publication that addresses reduction of Mo complexes in the presence of olefins suggests that both metallacycle rearrangement and alkylidene coupling can lead to reduction of the metal, but no obvious correlation of one or the other with the nature of the alkoxide ligand (e.g., O'Bu versus OCM Me(CF₃)₂) was found.

Rhenium Catalysts for Alkene Metathesis

The list of four-coordinate rhenium(VII) complexes that are potential olefin metathesis catalysts include the neutral four-coordinate cousins of M(NR')(CHR')(OR)₂ (M = Mo or W) complexes, Re(NR')₂(CHR')(OR) and Re(CR')(CHR')(OR)₂. An early complex of the latter type was Re(CH'Bu)(C'Bu)(O'Bu)₂. However, Re(CH'Bu)(C'Bu)(O'Bu)₂ proved unreactive toward representative olefins. (At that time (~1982) the importance of the alkoxide in determining metathesis activity was not recognized.) A few years later the role of alkoxide ligands had been recognized and more convenient routes were found to a variety of Re(NR')₂(CHR')(OR) complexes, including Re(CH'Bu)(NAr)₂[OCH(CF₃)₂]. However, Re(CH'Bu)(NAr)₂[OCH(CF₃)₂] does not react readily with olefins, even norbornene (at 25°). Finally, routes to [Re(C'Bu)(CH'Bu)Cl₂]ₙ, a precursor to a family of complexes of the type Re(C'Bu)(CH'Bu)(OR)₂, were developed, and a family of such complexes therefore could be prepared. Syn and anti rotameric forms of Re(C'Bu)(CH'Bu)(OR)₂ complexes could both be observed, but they were found to interconvert thermally or photochemically relatively slowly (ΔG°₂₉₈ = 25 kcal mol⁻¹ for OR = O'Bu and 30 kcal mol⁻¹ for OR = OCM Me(CF₃)₂). We rationalized that the reason for slow rotation is that the metal π orbital that lies in the C=Re≡C plane is involved in covalent bonding to the alkyldyne ligand and therefore is relatively inaccessible for stabilizing the rotated alkyldyne ligand. An X-ray study of syn-Re(C'Bu)(CH'Bu)[O CM e(CF₃)₂]₂(THF) (Figure 7) showed it to have a structure approximately halfway between a face-capped tetrahedron and a trigonal bipyramid (with THF approximately
trans to the neopentyldiene ligand in each case). Only the OMe(CF₃)₂ derivative reacts readily with and metathesizes internal olefins, but only in the absence of coordinating solvents such as THF or DME. In the presence of THF or dimethoxyethane terminal olefins R"CH=CH₂ (R" = Me, Et, Ph) react with Re(C'Bu)(CH'Bu)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ to give syn or anti-Re(C'Bu)(CHR")[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂S₂ (S = THF or 0.5 DME) in high yield. Relatively nucleophilic heteroatom-substituted (O, S, or N) terminal olefins react more rapidly than ordinary olefins with Re(C'Bu)(CH'Bu)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ in the presence of THF to yield complexes of the type syn or anti-Re(C'Bu)(CHX)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂(THF)₂ (X = OR, SR, NR₂, or paradamethylaminophenyl). Evidently formation of a six-coordinate complex containing two equivalents of THF is favored when the alkylidene is relatively small. Terminal olefins can be metathesized, but a significant complication is subsequent reaction of the rhenium complex with ethylene. Separate studies showed that Re(C'Bu)(CH'Bu)(OR)₂ complexes are reduced by ethylene in a reaction that is overall a 3+2 cycloaddition across the alkylidene and alkylidene ligands to give Re(V) metallacyclopentene complexes. This is a new type of reaction that leads to "reduction" of the metal. Initial results suggest that this "reduction" is as facile for t-butoxide complexes as for hexafluoro-t-butoxide complexes.

**Ring-opening Metathesis by W and Mo Imido Alkylidene Complexes.**

Most of the studies concerning ring-opening metathesis by well-characterized metathesis catalysts have employed substituted norbornenes or norbornadienes. Substituted norbornenes and norbornadienes are readily available in wide variety, and they usually react irreversibly with an alkylidene. Norbornene itself is the most reactive, and the resulting polynorbornene probably the most susceptible to secondary metathesis. The initial investigation, which was concerned with polymerization of norbornene itself by W(NAr)(CH'Bu)(O'Bu)₂ as an initiator, showed that low polydispersity polynorbornene was formed, presumably because the initial and propagating t-butoxide alkylidene complexes react only with the strained double bond in norbornene, not with the double bonds in the polymer formed as a consequence of ring-opening. Many subsequent studies, which involved an exploration of functionality tolerance, the synthesis of block
copolymers, and ring-opening of other monomers, routinely employed Mo or W \( t \)-butoxide complexes.\(^{11,86}\) Studies involving more reactive alkylidene initiators have been concerned primarily with substituted norbornenes and norbornadienes that for steric and/or electronic reasons are less reactive than norbornene itself. Polymers made from such monomers therefore are less susceptible to secondary metathesis reactions. However, since the reactivity of \( \text{syn} \) and \( \text{anti} \) rotamers is not likely to be the same, and since the rates of interconversion of \( \text{syn} \) and \( \text{anti} \) rotamers have been shown to vary dramatically, the polymerization process may not consist of a \textit{single} propagating step.

A detailed study of ROMP of disubstituted norbornadienes (e.g., 2,3-dicarbomethoxynorbornadiene or 2,3-bis(trifluoromethyl)norbornadiene) by Mo \( t \)-butoxide initiators\(^{87}\) showed that they are polymerized in a well-behaved living manner to give essentially monodisperse homopolymers that are highly \( \text{trans} \) and highly tactic. Tacticity of all \( \text{trans} \) polymers must be controlled by the chirality of the alkylidene's \( \beta \) carbon atom in the growing chain ("chain-end control"). In contrast, polymerizations initiated by Mo(CH\( \text{Bu} \))(NAr)[OCMe(CF\(_3\)]\(_2\)\] give low polydispersity all-\( \text{cis} \) poly(NBDF6) and poly(dicarbomethoxynorbornene) that are only \(~75\%\) tactic.\(^{88}\) The living nature of the latter reaction can be ascribed to the relatively low reactivity of NBDF6 in general (powerful electron-withdrawing trifluoromethyl groups deactivate the olefinic bond), the low reactivity of the double bonds in the polymer (for both steric and similar electronic reasons), and the relatively low reactivity of the "deactivated" propagating alkylidene. The formation of all \( \text{trans} \) polymers employing the \( t \)-butoxide initiator and all \( \text{cis} \) polymers employing the hexafluoro-\( t \)-butoxide initiator is a dramatic illustration of how the nature of the alkoxide can determine polymer structure.

A theory as to how \( \text{cis/trans} \) selectivity arises resulted from a series of low temperature NMR studies. NBDF6 was shown to react rapidly and selectively with \( \text{anti-Mo(NAr)}(\text{CHCMemPh})[\text{OCMe(CF}_3\)]\(_2\)\] at \(-78^\circ\text{C}\) in a mixture of \( \text{anti} \) and \( \text{syn} \) rotamers (generated photochemically at low temperature) to give a \( \text{syn} \) first-insertion product that contains a \( \text{trans} \) C=C bond (\( \text{anti} \rightarrow \text{syn} + 1; \) equation 11; \( P = \) polymer chain). At higher temperatures (up to 25\(^\circ\)) the \( \text{syn} \)}
rotamer reacts very much more slowly to produce a syn first insertion product that contains a cis C=C bond \((\text{syn} \rightarrow \text{syn}+1c)\); equation 12). Since little anti form is present under normal circumstances (no photolysis) and syn to anti conversion is slow \((-10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1})\), cis polymers are proposed to be formed from syn species via olefin attack (through the exo face) on the CNO face of the syn rotamer of the catalyst with C7 of the monomer extending over the arylimido ring, as shown in equation 11. If the mode of attack is the same in the \(t\)-butoxide catalyst system, where

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{anti} & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{syn}+1c \\
\text{syn} & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{syn}+1c
\end{align*}
\]

\text{syn} and anti rotamers interconvert rapidly \((-1 \text{ s}^{-1})\), then it is possible that the mechanism for forming trans polymers involves only the anti form of the propagating alkylidene species. In short, high-cis polymers can be formed via syn intermediates when rotamer isomerization rates are negligible on the time scale of polymerization, while high-trans polymers can be formed via anti intermediates when rotamer isomerization rates are fast on the time scale of polymerization. These studies reveal in a dramatic fashion that (i) in any catalyst system of this type syn and anti rotamers (essentially two types of catalysts) might be accessible, either via rotation of the alkylidene about
the Mo=CHR bond, or via reaction of the Mo=CHR bond with a C=C double bond in the substrate (i.e., as part of chain growth itself); (ii) syn and anti rotamers may or may not interconvert readily on the time scale of polymerization; and (iii) reactivities of syn and anti rotamers might differ by many orders of magnitude. Unfortunately, the reactivity difference between anti and syn rotamers could be confirmed only for OCMeth(CF₃)₂ catalysts, since syn and anti rotamers interconvert too readily in the t-butoxide system. If trans polymer always arises via CNO face attack on an anti rotamer, and cis polymer always arises via CNO face attack on a syn rotamer, regardless of the type of alkoxide present, then kₐ must be greater than 10⁵ kₕ in order for all trans polymer to result in the t-butoxide catalyst system (if we require kₐ[anti] > 10² kₕ[syn] and Kₑq = 10³ = [syn]/[anti]). The low reactivity of syn rotamers relative to anti rotamers can be ascribed to the development of steric hindrance between the alkylidene substituent and the isopropyl groups on the NAr ligand as the monomer approached the CNO face (see, for example, Figure 6). In the OCMeth(CF₃)₂ system the anti rotamer is still much more reactive than the syn rotamer, but the syn rotamer itself is in this case also reactive.

Consistent with the above proposals, it has been shown that especially unreactive monomers such as 1,7,7-trimethylnorbornene will react only with the anti rotamer of the OCMeth(CF₃)₂ catalyst to give all trans polymer, but at a (very slow) rate that is independent of monomer concentration. The calculated rate constant is essentially the same as the rate constant for conversion of a syn rotamer to an anti rotamer, consistent with syn to anti conversion being rate-limiting.⁸⁹

A type of alkoxide that has been missing in studies of well-defined metathesis catalysts so far are those that are linked to one another, i.e., diolates. So far 3,3'-disubstituted binaphtholates or enantiomerically pure tartrate ligands have led to isolable species,⁹⁰ possibly in part since only diolates that form a seven-membered ring containing the metal can form the pseudo four-coordinate species that appear to be the required intermediates in metathesis systems of this general type. The other reason for using binaphtholates and tartrates is that they are chiral and therefore could give rise to polymers whose tacticity is regulated by enantiomeric site control. Indeed, polyNBDF6
prepared using (±)-Mo(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(CHCMe₂Ph)[BINO(SiMe₂Ph₂)] [BINO(SiMe₂Ph₂)₂ is the binaphtholate that is substituted at the 3 and 3' positions with an SiMe₂Ph group] as the initiator was not only >99% cis but was >99% tactic. Analogous all cis, highly tactic polymers prepared from enantiomerically pure dicarboalkoxyxynorbornadienes (2,3-(CO₂R*)₂norbornadiene where R* is a chiral group such as 1R, 2S, 5R-(-)-menthyl) were shown to be isotactic by proton/proton correlation spectroscopy and decoupling experiments, while the all trans, highly tactic polymers prepared using Mo(CHCMe₂Ph)(NAr)(O'Bu)₂ as the initiator were shown to be syndiotactic. Related experiments employing enantiomerically pure disubstituted norbornenes (2,3-dicarboxymethoxynorborn-5-ene, 2,3-dimethoxymethylnorborn-5-ene, and 5,6-dimethylnorborn-2-ene) gave high cis, isotactic or high trans, atactic polymers, respectively.

According to the model developed from reactivity studies of hexafluoro-t-butoxide complexes, cis, isotactic polymer should be the product of addition of monomer to the same CNO face of a syn alkylidene to give an insertion product that is a syn rotamer. However, at this stage it is not known whether this model holds for the binaphtholate complexes, in which interconversion of syn and anti rotamers appears to be relatively facile (as is true of phenoxide complexes in general), or whether all cis polymers can form via anti rotamers. In any case, the enormous importance of steric factors and relative rates of reactivities of rotamers is illustrated by the finding that the polyNBDF6 prepared using (±)-Mo(N-2,6-C₆H₃Pr₂)(CHCMe₂Ph)[BINO(SiMe₂Ph₂)]₂, (instead of (±)-Mo(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(CHCMe₂Ph)[BINO(SiMe₂Ph₂)₂]) as the initiator was only ~70% cis! The tentative explanation is that the relative reactivity of syn versus anti rotamers is much greater in the N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂ system since less steric hindrance develops when the substrate adds to the CNO face (cf. Figure 6).

**Alkyne Polymerization by Mo Imido Alkylidene Complexes.**

For some time it has been proposed that alkylidene complexes are responsible for polymerization of internal or terminal acetylenes by "classical" Mo and W catalysts. One of the most soluble and highly conjugated polymers of this type is poly(o-TMSphenylacetylene), or poly(o-TMSPA). However, o-TMSPA and other terminal acetylenes are not polymerized
smoothly by *any* of the four-coordinate Mo alkylidene initiators of the type we have described here, all of which contain relatively bulky alkoxide ligands. For example, Mo(NAr)(CHCM2Ph)(O'Bu)2 does not react readily with o-TMSPA at 25 °C. Mo(NAr)(CHCM2Ph)[OCMe(CF3)2]2 reacts very slowly with o-TMSPA, but the GPC of poly(o-TMSPA) prepared with this initiator is multimodal, consistent with multiple, possibly coupled pathways for chain growth. The GPC of poly(o-TMSPA) prepared with Mo(NAd)(CHCM2Ph)[OCMe(CF3)2]2 (Ad = adamantyl) is unimodal, but polydispersities of polymers containing between 5 and 80 equiv of o-TMSPA ranged between 1.2 and 1.4, in spite of the fact that Mo(NAd)(CHCM2Ph)[OCMe(CF3)2]2 is converted virtually completely to the first "α-insertion product" upon addition of a slight excess of o-TMSPA (equation 13). Acting on the assumption that "α-addition" is *most* feasible in the case of o-TMSPA in order to avoid steric interactions between α and β substituents in the intermediate metallacyclobutene complex, we decided that it would be most desirable to employ a complex that has a relatively small alkoxide. In this circumstance the bulky R' group can be located in the α position of the intermediate metalla- cycle, more or less "over" the now sterically less demanding equatorial alkoxide (equation 13). Unfortunately, however, Mo(CHCM2Ph)(NR')(OR)2 complexes in which OR is not a bulky alkoxide are unstable with respect to bimolecular decomposition, or more
accurately, all attempts to prepare (e.g.) four-coordinate hexafluoroisopropoxide complexes have failed so far. However, base adducts can be prepared (equation 14). All data for Mo(CHCMe₂Ph)(NAd)(OCH(CF₃)₂)₂(2,4-lutidine) are consistent with it being a syn rotamer having 2,4-lutidine bound to the CNO face. This initiator is consumed completely upon addition of three equivalents of o-TMSPA to give a mixture of first-insertion and higher insertion products.

\[
\text{Mo(CHCMe₂Ph)(NAd)(OR')₃[K(dme)₂]} + \text{BF}_3 + \text{2,4-lut} \rightarrow \text{AdN} \equiv \text{Mo} \equiv \text{C} \equiv \text{CMe₂Ph} \\
\text{OR'} = \text{OCH(CF₃)₂}
\]

(14)

Interestingly, all evidence available at this time suggests that the insertion products are essentially base-free, as judged by the appearance of resonances characteristic of free 2,4-lutidine as the initiator is consumed. Evidently, disubstituted alkylidenes of the type shown in equation 13 are sufficiently crowded that 2,4-lutidine does not bind to any significantly degree, and they are relatively stable toward bimolecular decomposition or (de facto) any decomposition that might involve loss of an alkylidene α proton (e.g., to give an alkylidyne complex). Polymerizations of o-TMSPA proceed smoothly to give low polydispersity poly(o-TMSPA), where the relationship between \( M_n \) and the number of equivalents of o-TMSPA employed is linear, characteristic of a living polymerization that proceeds via a single type of chain growth and with a rate of initiation that approximately equals the rate of propagation. The surprising features of these "small alkoxide" initiators is that the "off rate" of the base is high enough that they react readily with alkyne, and that propagation via base-free disubstituted alkylidenes is neither too fast (in which case only high polymer would be formed) nor too slow (in which case polymerization could be impractically slow). The fact that disubstituted alkylidene intermediates are still quite reactive was somewhat surprising and opens up the possibility that alkylidene complexes that contain a variety
of "small" alkoxides might be stable if the alkylidene ligand is dissubstituted.

Cyclopolymerizations of dipropargyl derivatives such as \((\text{HC}=\text{CCH}_2\text{C}(\text{CO}_2\text{Et}))_2\) by the \(\text{Mo}(\text{CH}^\prime\text{Bu})(\text{NAr})\text{[OCMe(\text{CF}_3)_2]}_2\) initiator in dimethoxyethane have been shown to yield polyenes with a relatively low polydispersity. The chain contains both five- and six-membered rings formed by tail-to-tail or head-to-tail cyclopolymerization, respectively, that are a consequence of \(\alpha\) or \(\beta\) addition of the first triple bond to an Mo=C bond. Dipropargyl diethylmalonate was polymerized slowly by catalysts such as \(\text{Mo}(\text{CH}^\prime\text{Bu})(\text{NAr})(\text{O}^\prime\text{Bu})_2\) to give polymers with a broad polydispersity. The issues here are complex, but related \((\text{inter alia})\) to the relative reactivity of rotamers and the rate at which they interconvert. The preparation of cyclopolymermers with a relatively narrow distribution of chain lengths about a known average has allowed measurements to be made that show that the third order hyperpolarizability \((\gamma)\) in polyene oligomers saturates at approximately 100 double bonds.

**Comments**

One might get the impression on the basis of the work that has been described here that bulky alkoxides and phenoxides are not involved in any significant side reactions. Generally that is true. However, slight variations can lead to significantly different behavior. I have mentioned already in the section dealing with alkylidyne complexes and acetylene metathesis that a CH bond in an ortho t-butyl group can be activated, a type of reaction that has been investigated extensively by Rothwell and coworkers. A related example is the formation of a metallated neopentyl complex upon the attempted synthesis of a \(\text{OC}^{\text{(CF}_3)}_2\text{(tolyl)}\) tungsten neopentylidene complex
(equation 15). A relatively recent finding is that an ostensibly minor variation of a synthesis, in this case substituting KOCMe(CF₃)₂ for LiOCMe(CF₃)₂, leads to formation of the alkylidyne complex shown in equation 16 instead of the known Mo(CH₄Bu)(NAd)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ (Ad = adamantyl). When and exactly how the proton migration takes place is still unknown, although it is unlikely to take place in the bisalkoxide complexes, since it has been known for some time that both W(CH₄Bu)(NAr)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ and W(CH₄Bu)(NHAr)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ are stable species that cannot be interconverted in the presence of triethylamine. In such circumstances it is likely that the acidity of the alcohol formed upon deprotonation of the alkylidyne will be a crucial determinant of whether the imido nitrogen atom is subsequently protonated.

\[
\text{Mo(CH₄Bu)(NAd)(dme)(triflate)} \xrightarrow{2 \text{ KOCMe(CF₃)₂}} \xrightarrow{-2 \text{ KOTf - dme}} \text{CF₃} \quad \text{CF₃} \quad \text{CF₃} \\
\text{Me} \quad \text{Me} \quad \text{CF₃} \quad \text{CF₃}
\]

\[\text{(16)}\]

**Conclusions**

It is clear that alkoxides in a dramatic fashion can "control the reactivity" of well-defined "d⁰" alkylidene and alkylidyne complexes in olefin and acetylene metathesis reactions. The first requirement of course is to stabilize all intermediates in a metathesis reaction toward decomposition. Bulky alkoxides in particular are extremely useful in that they can prevent or significantly slow down bimolecular decomposition of alkylidene or alkylidyne intermediates in the catalytic reaction. However, bulky alkoxides also play many important roles within a given complex, only a few of which we have been able to document. One role is simply steric destabilization of metallacyclic intermediates. It is interesting to note that trigonal bipyramidal metallacyclic intermediates that contain two alkoxide ligands in the axial positions appear to be crucial types of intermediates in several metathesis reactions we have discussed, and interesting to speculate that such TBP intermediates are favored when the alkoxide is bulky and relatively
electron-withdrawing. Unexpected findings include the overwhelming importance of syn and anti alkylidene rotamers in olefin metathesis reactions and the fact that the alkoxide can alter the rate of interconversion of alkylidene rotamers by many orders of magnitude. Since alkylidenes in classical metathesis systems also are likely to have an orientational preference in the vast majority of circumstances, many of the observations in classical systems that have been ascribed to steric effects within metallacycles (for example) might be traceable to syn/anti behavior of a type analogous to that found in the well-characterized complexes discussed here.

What is not obvious is why alkoxides in particular lead to stable but reactive alkylidene and alkylidyne complexes in metathesis systems, while complexes that contain other common bulky ligands (e.g., alkyls, thiolates, amides, etc.) in general are not found in successful long-lived metathesis catalysts. The common characteristic of the alternatives is that the element bound to the metal (C, N, S) is not as electronegative as oxygen. Chloride ligands, of course, are sufficiently electronegative, but they also readily bridge between metals and promote rapid disproportionation or other decomposition reactions. Fortunately, the number of readily available and varied alkoxide or phenoxide ligands is large, and therefore one can be chosen that will fit the requirements in a given catalytic metathesis reaction. We can assume that in the future many other examples of reactions catalyzed by early transition metal alkoxide complexes (e.g., Ziegler-Natta polymerizations, Lewis acid catalyzed Diels-Alder reactions, etc.) will be "controlled" by the alkoxide ligands.
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Table 1. $pK_a$'s of Some Relevant Alcohols in Water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$pK_a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Me$_2$CHOH</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CF$_3$)PhCHOH</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CF$_3$)$_2$CHOH</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me$_3$COH</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CF$_3$)(C$_6$F$_5$)$_2$COH</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CF$_3$)$_2$MeCOH</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CF$_3$)$_3$COH</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CF$_3$)$_2$(C$_6$F$_5$)COH</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$OH</td>
<td>9.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,6-Me$_3$C$_6$H$_2$OH</td>
<td>10.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_6$F$_5$OH</td>
<td>5.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_6$Cl$_5$OH</td>
<td>5.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. A drawing depicting the molecular structure of the tantalacyclobutane complex formed in the reaction between Ta(CH\textsuperscript{4}Bu)(OAr\textsubscript{3})(THF) and norbornene (OAr = O-2,6-C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{3}Pr\textsubscript{2})

(Reproduced from reference 31 with permission).
Figure 2. A drawing depicting the molecular structure of
\[ \text{W(C}_3\text{Et}_3)[\text{OCH(CF}_3)_2]_3 \] (Reproduced from reference 40 with permission).
Figure 3. A drawing depicting the molecular structure of Re(C$_3$Et$_3$)(N-2,6-C$_6$H$_3$Pr$_2$)[OCMe(CF$_3$)$_2$]$_2$ (Reproduced from reference 57 with permission).
Figure 4. A drawing depicting the molecular structure of W(CHPh)(N-2,6-C₆H₃Pr₂)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂ (Reproduced from reference 62 with permission).
Figure 5. A drawing depicting the molecular structure of

W[CH₂CH(SiMe₃)CH(SiMe₃)](NAr)[OCMe₂(CF₃)]₂ (Reproduced from reference 62 with permission).
Figure 6. A drawing depicting the molecular structure of 

$\textit{syn-Mo(CH}^\text{t} \text{Bu)(NAr)}[\text{OCMe(CF}_3)_2]_2(\text{PMe}_3)$ (Reproduced from reference 71 with permission).
Figure 7. A drawing depicting the molecular structure of 

\[ \text{syn-Re(C'Bu)(CH'Bu)[OCMe(CF}_3 \text{)}_2\text{THF}} \] (Reproduced from reference 81 with permission).