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The goal of this research has been to develop a new production rule model of cognition which (a) is an extension of the ACT* theory (Anderson, 1983) and (b) incorporates the insights of the rational analysis of cognition (Anderson, 1990). A new computer simulation model, ACT-R, has been completed which achieves this goal. It has been implemented in Mac LISP and runs on Macintosh computers. We have distributed it to a number of sites and are actively supporting its use. In addition we have performed a series of experiments studying its application to navigation in an artificial environment, to the Tower of Hanoi task, and to the results from a number of skill acquisition studies.

The navigation studies involved subjects driving simulated vehicles through a computer display that involved different types of roads with different types of obstacles. Subjects had numerous decisions to make about which routes to take and we tried to simulate in ACT-R their choices and latencies to make their choices. We found that subjects were affected by the same factors as ACT-R—the distribution in quality of choices and experiences with different types of routes. The detailed evidence supported the ACT-R theory of conflict resolution.

The Tower of Hanoi studies investigated how goals are managed in a problem solving situation. Tower of Hanoi is a puzzle which requires a lot of goal management for successful solution. As ACT predicts we found that subjects were mainly influenced by the number of goals they must
set before making a move and were not affected by the number of goals they are currently remembering. We were able to successfully predict latency and move choices over a number of variations on the basic puzzle.

The skill acquisition studies largely involved looking at data collected from our intelligent tutoring systems for programming and geometry proof skills. Here we are interested in whether the skill can be successfully divided into individual production rules, whether these rules are learned as the ACT-R theory predicts, and whether transfer among tasks can be predicted in terms of production rule overlap across the tasks. The results of these empirical studies were largely positive.

The ACT-R theory, its computer simulation, and the supporting empirical research were described in a new book to be published as Anderson (1993). This book will also contain a disk containing the ACT-R simulation system and the simulations in the book. We expect to be spending a great deal more time supporting use of the system after publication of the book.