Based on the results of this work, the prospects of development of a visible chemical laser based on NCl(b) are promising. NCl, in the electronically excited b-state emits to the ground state at 665 nm. The NCl b-state is generated by energy-pooling of NCl(a) and excited iodine atoms, I*. All of these species can be generated from chemical reactions solely. This work has shown that: 1. In the generation of NCl, the branching ratio for NCl(a) is high. 65% of the HN3 ends up in the NCl(a) state. 2. The rate constant for the energy-pooling reaction NCl(a) + I* → NCl(b) is quite favorably large, approximately 10−11 cm³/sec. 3. A gain on the order of 1×10−4 cm−1 was obtained. 4. Variations of the cavity ring-down experiment showed that virtually no NCl(x) is formed via reaction (2.6).
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1.0 TECHNICAL REPORT SUMMARY

1.1 Project Objectives

The objective of the research described in this report is to determine the feasibility of developing a laser operating at a wavelength of 665 nm based on a chemically derived system of NCl(b^1Σ) molecules. The lasing species NCl(b^1Σ) is generated by energy transfer (pooling) between NCl(a^1Δ) and I*(2P_{1/2}), the latter excited by energy transfer from NCl(a^1Δ), and the former generated by the reaction of Cl + N₃.

1.2 Concept Description

An experimental and theoretical study of a new chemical laser operating in the visible at 665 nm has been conducted. The upper level of the lasing species is the electronically excited b^1Σ state of the NCl molecule. NCl(b^1Σ) is generated by energy transfer (pooling) between NCl(a^1Δ) and I*. This system is analogous to the NF(b^1Σ) system investigated by J. Herblin. However, since the radiative lifetime for NF(b^1Σ) is 20 ms which is considerably longer than the 630 μs for NCl(b^1Σ) and the value of the cross-section for stimulated emission is 3 x 10^{-18} cm^3 s^{-1} for NCl(b^1Σ) whereas it is on the order of 10^{-19} cm^3 s^{-1} for NF(b^1Σ), this system is expected to be superior.

1.3 Accomplishments

A pyrex cylindrical fast flow reactor (CFFR) and associated hardware were assembled. Two spectrometers were mounted on a single cart which could be easily moved along the (CFFR). Each spectrometer was interfaced to an optical multichannel analyzer (OMA). Each spectrometer/OMA pair was calibrated in order to measure absolute NCl(b^1Σ) and NCl(a^1Δ) photon yields simultaneously.

In the first phase of this work, the NCl(b^1Σ) and NCl(a^1Δ) photon yields were measured. These measurements indicated that at least 65 percent of the NCl molecules resulting from the reaction of Cl with N₃ result in the NCl(a^1Δ) electronic state. The yield of NCl(b^1Σ) was shown to be less than .01 percent. The high yield of NCl(a^1Δ) molecules is encouraging, not only for using this molecule to produce NCl(b^1Σ) but also, because of its near resonance with I*, as an energy storage source for an I* laser.

In the second phase, experiments were carried out to measure the pooling rate coefficient of I*(2P_{1/2}) and NCl(a^1Δ) to NCl(b^1Σ). The pooling rate was deduced by
monitoring the absolute emission intensity of NCl(b→X), NCl(a→X) and I(2P1/2 → 2P3/2) as a function of distance (time) down the length of the CFFR. This measurement was made with and without ICI present. The enhancement in the NCl(b→X) emission at 665 nm, when iodine atoms were present, was clearly evident. This rate was estimated to be $1 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$. An alternate experiment was setup to confirm this rate. In this experiment photolysis by 248 nm photons of CF3I and ClN3 produced an instantaneous source of I* and NCl(a). By knowing and varying the concentrations of these two species and monitoring their time dependence as a function of number density, it was hoped that the pooling rate could be confirmed. However, the I2(b-X) emission obscured the results and only qualitative information was obtained.

In the final phase of this contract, the CFFR was modified to accept a 1/2 meter transverse optical cavity. A cavity ring-down technique was used to make small signal gain measurements under optimized NCl(b) population conditions. A small signal gain of $1 \times 10^{-4} \text{cm}^{-1}$ was recorded. The cavity ring-down experiments also showed that virtually no NCl(X) is produced.

1.4 Future NCl Based Laser Research

Based on the results of this research contract the prospects of development of a visible chemical laser based on NCl(b) are encouraging. The branching ratio for production of NCl(a) was found to be high (65%). Results indicate that NCl(b1Σ) can be produced from pooling of I*(2P1/2) and NCl(a1Δ) at a rate of $1 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$. It is desirable to confirm this rate measurement using an alternate methodology. Although the emphasis of this program has been to investigate the feasibility of producing an NCl(b1Σ) based chemical laser, the results of this research contract also indicate that NCl(a1Δ) is an ideal candidate for pumping an I* laser. In the work reported here the production of NCl(a) from the reaction of N3 and Cl was found to approach unity. Furthermore, the rate constant for the reaction

$$I + \text{NCl}(a) \rightarrow I^* + \text{NCl}(X) \quad (1.1)$$

has been recently measured to be approximately $1 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$. These findings make NCl(a1Δ) an attractive energy storage source for an iodine laser. Apart from the obvious advantages of a nonaqueous gas phase system, the use of isoelectronic NCl(a1Δ) instead of O2(a1Δ) as the energy source for an iodine laser may also lead to less stringent inversion requirements. The near-resonant production of I*(2P1/2) by reaction (1.1) results in the formation of vibrationally excited NCl(X3Σ), which is readily collisionally deactivated.
2. INTRODUCTION

The principle of a short-wavelength chemical laser is to generate, by chemical reactions, electronically-excited product molecules capable of sustaining laser action. In practice, most chemical reactions give ground electronic state products. Those that do not generally give products in their lowest lying metastable states. These states typically have small stimulated emission cross sections, and are quite low in energy (-eV, 23Kcal/mole), making them unsuitable for visible lasing.

One way to generate higher lying electronic states is to pool the energy of two less-excited species. This has been shown in the upconversion of NF(a1A) to NF(b1Σ) by I*(2P1/2)\[^1\]

\[
NF(a^1\Delta) + I^*(2P_{1/2}) \rightarrow NF(b^1\Sigma) + I(2P_{3/2}) \quad (2.1)
\]

This reaction has been shown to be very efficient\[^1,2\]. Although frequency upconversion by means of an iodine laser could be used to produce green lasing of NF(b1Σ), the unfavorably long radiative lifetime (t = 23 ms)\[^3,4\] and resulting low stimulated emission cross section make the concept unattractive.\[^12,13\] It has been suggested that the energy of NF(b1Σ) be collisionally transferred to a lasing molecule, such as IF.\[^1,5,6\]

\[
NF(b^1\Sigma) + IF(X) \rightarrow NF(X^3\Sigma) + IF(b) \quad (2.2)
\]

Unfortunately, this energy transfer is very slow while associated deactivation reactions between NF(b1Σ) and IF(X^3\Sigma) are very fast.\[^7\]

An attractive alternative, the NCl(b1Σ) molecule, is isoelectronic with NF(b1Σ) and has a much shorter radiative lifetime. As shown in Table 2.1 The radiative lifetimes for NCl(b1Σ) and NF(b1Σ) are 630 μs and 23 ms, respectively.\[^3,8,10\] The estimated gain cross-section for NCl(b1Σ) is 3 x 10^{-18} cm^2. This value is comparable to 7.4 x 10^{-18} cm^2 for I*(2P_{1/2}).\[^11\]

In order to better understand several possible laser systems based on energy storage in NF(a1Δ) many studies have been made concerning the production of as well as the rates and mechanisms of energy transfer processes involving the excited a1Δ and b1Σ+ states of the NF radical.\[^1-5,12\] However, relatively little is known about these states for the analogous NCl molecule, although it too can be produced efficiently from reactions of azides.

Interest in mechanisms for the production of as well as the reaction kinetics of the a1Δ and b1Σ+ states of NCl has recently resurfaced with the results of Bower and Yang\[^14,15\] suggesting the possibility of using NCl(a1Δ) to pump an I* laser and the suggestion of Yang and Gylys\[^16\] of using an energy pooling reaction of NCl(a1Δ) with I* to pump an NCl(b) laser (665nm). Yang and Bower described the large value (1 x 10^{-10} cm^3 s^{-1}) for the rate constant of the NCl(a) + I energy transfer to be associated with the operation of a near resonant energy-transfer process.
\[ \text{NCl(a,v=0)} + \text{I}(^2P_{3/2}) \rightarrow \text{NCl(X,v=2)} + \text{I}^*(^2P_{1/2}) + 50 \text{ cm}^{-1}. \] (2.3)

Ray and Coombe\(^{20}\), using photolysis at 193nm to produce iodine atoms and \text{NCl} (a^1\Delta) respectively have determine the \text{NCl(a)} - I energy transfer rate to be somewhat slower \((1.8 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1})\). Although there is some disagreement between the two reported rate measurements, the potential of an \text{NCl(a)} pumped \text{I}^* laser is very promising. A source of electronically excited nitrene (NX) molecules has been shown to be the reaction

\[ \text{X} + \text{N}_3 = \text{NX(a,B)} + \text{N}_2 \] (2.4)

Where X is a halogen atom. The determination of this branching ratio was one of the objectives of this research contract. However, based on the analogous NF system it was believed that the major excited species excited is the lower lying \text{NCl(a}^1\Delta) state. This lead to our proposal to investigate a new visible chemical laser based on excited \text{NCl(b}^1\Sigma) molecules. The proposed chemical reaction sequences for \text{NCl(b}^1\Sigma) formation are as follows:

\[ \text{Cl} + \text{HN}_3 \rightarrow \text{HCl} + \text{N}_3 \] (2.5)
\[ \text{Cl} + \text{N}_3 \rightarrow \text{NCl (a}^1\Delta, b^1\Sigma, X^3\Sigma) + \text{N}_2 \] (2.6)
\[ \text{NCl(a}^1\Delta) + \text{I}(^2P_{3/2}) \rightarrow \text{NCl(X}^3\Sigma, v = 2) + \text{I}^*(^2P_{1/2}) + 50 \text{ cm}^{-1} \] (2.7)
\[ \text{NCl(a}^1\Delta) + \text{I}^*(^2P_{1/2}) \rightarrow \text{NCl(b}^1\Sigma, v = 2) + \text{I}(^2P_{3/2}) + 10 \text{ cm}^{-1} \] (2.8)
\[ \text{NCl (b}^1\Sigma, v = 2) + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{NCl(b}^1\Sigma, v = 0) + \text{M} \] (2.9)
\[ \text{NCl(X}^3\Sigma) + \text{NCl(X}^3\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + 2 \text{ CI} \] (2.10)
\[ \text{NCl(b}^1\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{NCl(X}^3\Sigma) + 665 \text{ nm} \] (2.11)

The energy diagrams of \text{NCl(a}^1\Delta), \text{NCl(b}^1\Sigma) and \text{I}^*(^2P_{1/2}) are shown in Figure 2.1. As seen in this diagram, the \text{NCl(b}^1\Sigma, v = 2) state is in near resonance with \text{NCl(a}^1\Delta) + \text{I}^*(^2P_{1/2}). Determination of the transfer rate of reaction (2.8) was another goal of this contract. Based on some preliminary flow tube experiments, which showed a significant enhancement of \text{NCl(b}^1\Sigma) emission when \text{I}(^2P_{1/2}) atoms were present, it was expected to be efficient. The dashed curve of Figure 2 shows the emission spectrum resulting from the reaction of \text{Cl} + \text{HN}_3. The emission is mainly due to \((0,0)\) band of the \text{b} \rightarrow \text{X} transition. The solid curve in Figure 2.2 shows the spectrum obtained with addition of \text{ICl} at a density of \(1 \times 10^{13} / \text{cm}^3\) to the \text{Cl}/\text{HN}_3 flow field. This resulted in approximately a 250\% increase in \text{NCl(b} \rightarrow \text{X) emission. Moreover, the spectrum remained dominated by the \((0,0)\) band transition at 665 nm.

The behavior of the \text{NCl(b}^1\Sigma \rightarrow X^3\Sigma) emission has been studied as a function of \text{HN}_3 and \text{ICl} concentrations, to gain information about the kinetic processes involved in the underlying pumping energy transfer and pooling reactions. The dependence of the observed 665 nm emission on the \text{HN}_3 concentration is plotted in Figure 2.3 for \text{ICl} concentrations \(0, 5 \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^3\) and \(7 \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^3\). Note that the \text{[NCl(b}^1\Sigma]) production without \text{ICl} injection increases almost linearly with \text{[HN}_3]. The addition of \text{ICl} causes
the NCl(b^1Σ) emission to increase with increasing HN3 at a much higher rate. The enhancement of NCl(b^1Σ) production varies from 200 to 250%, depending on ICI concentration, at high [HN3]. These results suggested that it may be possible to convert large concentrations of electronically excited NCl(a^1Δ) radicals into the more energetic NCl(b^1Σ) radicals by energy transfer collisions with electronically excited iodine atoms.

Determination of the NCl(a)/NCl(b) branching ratio depicted by reaction (2.6) will be discussed in section 3. The derivation of the NCl(a) + I* pooling rate constant depicted by reaction (2.8) will be shown in section 4. Finally, results of a cavity ring-down experiment determining the gain for the NCl(b) system will be presented in section 5.

3. PHOTON YIELD MEASUREMENT

3.1 Background

A number of experiments performed in recent years[12,17,18] have demonstrated that electronically excited nitrogen halide diatomics (NX = NF, NCI, NBr) can be efficiently produced by reactions between halogen atoms and small covalently bound azides,

\[ X + N_3 = NX(a,b) + N_2 \]  

(3.1)

where X is a halogen atom. The first such experiments were reported by Clark and Clyne,[18] who observed the generation of NCI(b^1Σ+) and NBr(b^1Σ+) in reactions of chlorine or bromine atoms with CIN3. These excited species radiate in the visible in transitions to the ground (^3Σ−) states. Clark and Clyne showed that the excited nitrenes are produced by reactions of Cl or Br atoms with gas phase azide N3 radicals by directly monitoring the N3 in the reaction medium via the well-known absorption transition near 272 nm. Coombe et al.[12] showed that the reaction (3.1) can be thought of as proceeding via a halogen azide-like intermediate.

In their investigations of the reactions of halogen atoms with gaseous HN3, Coombe et al.[12], suggested that reactions such as (3.1) are strongly constrained by conservation of spin angular momentum and therefore most likely proceed via a halogen azide-like intermediate geometry, where the only accessible potential energy surface is a singlet. This singlet intermediate configuration can correlate to NX + N2 (both singlets) or NX + N2 (both triplets). Coombe et al[12] postulated that since the lowest-lying triplet state of N2 is not accessible by these reactions, the yield of excited singlet NX is probably very high, as long as nonadiabatic curve crossings in the exit channel are not efficient. In the low-density limit, the yield of NF(a^1Δ) was found by Coombe and co-workers to be near unity. The yields of the b^1Σ+ states of NCl and NBr was estimated to have a lower limit of 10 percent. However, they were not able to determine the yield of the NCl(a^1Δ) state because at the time the spontaneous emission rate for this
transition was unknown, hence direct calculation of the peak density of excited states was not possible. Furthermore, the $a^1\Delta - X^3\Sigma^-$ emission signal was much too weak at the low reagent densities to be monitored by their detection system.

3.2 Experiment

Cl-HN$_3$ flames were produced in a cylindrical fast flow reactor (CFFR). The reactor was 5cm in diameter and approximately 1.5 meters long. It is made of pyrex and coated with halocarbon wax in order to minimize halogen atom recombination at the surface. The CFFR was equipped with an inner slide injector of concentric design. This injector could be moved to change the position of the reagent zone with respect to the fixed Cl$_2$ injector. The inner slide tube was terminated in a radial array of small holes through which the HN$_3$ enter the CFFR. Cl atoms were produced by passage of Cl$_2$ diluted in Ar through a 1000W microwave discharge, which was positioned on a sidearm of the CFFR. A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 3.1.

The HN$_3$ was kept in 5 liter pyrex bulbs. Its rate of flow into the CFFR was controlled and monitored with an MKS flow meter. The HN$_3$ was prepared several days prior to experimental tests by the reaction of NaN$_3$ with stearic acid, ($\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_6$ COOH). These reagents were mixed in a ratio of 1:20 (by atomic weight) and left in vacuo overnight. Heating of the cell to approximately 50°C for 1-2 hours and then to 110°C resulted in evolution of HN$_3$. The gas was collected in 5 liter storage bulbs at pressures below 100 Torr. It was immediately diluted with 1000 Torr of Argon. The HN$_3$ could be stored this way for several months without any noticeable decomposition. In most of these experiments the HN$_3$ was injected into the CFFR via the sliding injector 20 cm downstream of the Cl inlet, which corresponds to a flow time of 25ms.

The spectral emissions were monitored by means of two Optical Multichannel Analyzers (OMA), each was interfaced to an Instruments SA Inc., 1/3 meter spectrometer. Both spectrometers were mounted on a single cart which could be easily positioned at any point down the length of the CFFR. This permitted the spatial and thus temporal dependence of the emissions to be obtained. The spectrometer used to monitor the NCI(a) emission in the NIR was equipped with a 600 groove/mm grating blazed at 1.0μm. The NIR OMA had a quantum, efficiency of 60 percent at the NCI(a) emission wavelength of 1.08μm. The visible emissions were dispersed by a 1200 g/mm grating blazed at 500 nm and detected with a visible OMA which has a quantum efficiency of 16 percent at the NCI(b) wavelength of 665 nm.

The light collection efficiency of each detector, hence the calibration factors, $\alpha$, were obtained prior to the branching ratio experiments. The calibration factor for the visible detection system at 665nm was obtained by using the O + NO reaction as a chemical actinometer. A known concentration of oxygen atoms were produced by the N + NO chemiluminescent titration, the N atoms were generated by passage of N$_2$ through a microwave discharge. At the point at which the N$_2$ recombination emission disappears the oxygen atom concentration is known by reading the flow rate of NO. It can
be seen from Figure 3.2 that \([O] = 1.045(10^{14})\text{cm}^{-3}\). Further addition of NO to the system beyond the end point of the titration produced the yellow-green \(\text{NO}_2\) afterglow characteristic of the \(O + \text{NO}\) reaction. The absolute photon yield of this reaction and the spectral distribution of the \(\text{NO}_2\) emission are well known\(^\text{[19]}\), (see Table 3.1) and therefore permit determination of the parameter \(\alpha(665\text{nm})\) which when multiplied by the measured intensity (photon-count rate) gives the absolute photon emission rate per \(\text{cm}^3\).

\[
\{\alpha(665\text{nm})\}(\text{counts/sec}) = K_c[O][\text{NO}]
\]

were \(K_c = (7.5(10)^{-17}\text{cm}^3\mu\text{m}^{-1}\text{sec}^{-1})\) (BW) see Table 3.1

\[
(\alpha)5.67(10^3)\text{counts/sec} = (7.5(10)^{-17}\text{cm}^3\mu\text{m}^{-1}\text{sec}^{-1})\) (BW) \[(6.73(10^{13}\text{cm}^{-3})[1.3(10^{14})\text{cm}^{-3}]
\]

where BW is the bandwidth =.016\mu m

\[\alpha(665\text{nm}) = 1\text{ count} = (1.85x10^7)\text{ photons/cm}^3\]

The calibration factor, \(\alpha\), depends on the spectral bandwidth of the spectrometer and wavelength. The photon yield measurements were made with the slits of the spectrometer opened such that the spectral width was greater than the bandwidth of the emission feature measured.

Although the \(\text{NO}_2\) recombination emission extends to the NIR, the emission at 1.08\mu m was not strong enough to calibrate the IR OMA which was used to monitor the \(\text{NCl}(a^1\Delta)\) emission. The absolute calibration factor \(\alpha(1.08\mu m)\) for the NIR OMA was obtained by measuring the NIR photon emission rate per cubic centimeter from a known amount of \(\text{O}_2(a^1\Delta)\). In the \(\text{O}_2(a^1\Delta)\) molecule, the electrons are paired so the spin is zero, but the orbital angular momentum is not. Therefore the absolute amount of \(\text{O}_2(a^1\Delta)\) can be measured using electron spin resonance and compared to the emission intensity obtained with the IR OMA. Figure 3.3 shows the change in IR OMA signal counts as a function of \(\text{O}_2(a^1\Delta)\) partial pressure as measured by electron spin resonance. From the slope we obtain \(\alpha(1.08\mu m) = 8.29x10^8\text{ photons/cm}^3\)-count. All gas flow rates were monitored by using MKS mass flow meters calibrated against known \(\text{N}_2\) flow rates. Flow rates of the gases used in the experiments were determined from conversion factors supplied by MKS.

The flow rate of \(\text{Cl}\) atoms was determined using two different methods by titration with \(\text{NOCl}\). In the first method a mass-spectrometer was used to monitor the production of both NO and \(\text{Cl}_2\) as NOCl was added to the Cl atoms in the flow stream. The titration point at which the production of NO and \(\text{Cl}_2\) stopped to increase with increasing NOCl concentration indicated the Cl atom concentration (see Figure 3.4). In the second method, the Cl atom concentration was determined by monitoring the \(\text{NCl}(b)\) emission as NOCl was added to the flow stream. The NOCl was added to the flow stream prior to the injection of the HN\(_3\). The flow rate of NOCl at which the \(\text{NCl}(b)\) emission disappeared indicated the Cl concentration. Figure 3.5 shows that with a \(\text{Cl}_2\) flow of 30 sccm through
the microwave discharge, 4.6x10^{13}\text{cm}^{-3} of Cl atoms were produced. The latter method was used more often because of its ease.

3.3 Photon Yield Measurement Results

The flame of the Cl + HN₃ reaction is dominated by intense emission from the excited a¹Δ and b¹Σ⁺ electronic states of NCI. As shown in Figure 3.6a, at least 90 percent of the NCI (b¹Σ⁺ → X³Σ⁻) emission occurs in the Δν = 0 sequence at 665 nm, giving the flame a deep red color. Previous experiments on this system indicated the pumping reaction Cl + N₃ is sufficiently exothermic for production of NCI(b¹Σ⁺), whereas F + N₃ cannot produce NF(b¹Σ⁺). It can only be produced by pooling reactions of I⁺ with NF(a¹Δ). Emission from NCI(a¹Δ) is also observed. As shown in Figure 3.7a, the most intense bands (the Δν = 0 sequence) occurred at 1.08μm.

The photon yield measurements for the b → X and a → X transitions were complicated by three factors. First, the flow time (distance) necessary for complete mixing of the reactants must be considered from the point of injection. Second, the time length of the flame at standard conditions 1 atm pressure, is very short. Such that calibration by comparison to the O + NO system would be difficult. Third, the radiative lifetime for the NCI(a¹Δ) state is very long (1.4 sec) compared to that for NCI(b) (630 μs). Due to its relatively long radiative lifetime, NCI(a¹Δ) can undergo many collisions before radiating, therefore, its effective lifetime is considerably shorter than its radiative lifetime.

Figure 3.6b shows the buildup and decay in NCI(b) fluorescence intensity at 665 nm as a function of flow time from the point of injection. The open and closed circles represent data taken in separate experiments under identical conditions. Each data point represents the area integrated under the spectrum in 30 seconds. The line shows the best fit to the data of the double exponential curve fitting routine. Figure 3.6a shows a typical data point(spectrum) obtained 15 cm down stream of the HN₃ injector. Since NCI(b¹Σ⁺) has such a short radiative lifetime, collisional quenching effects were ignored. The exponential decay in fluorescence intensity corresponds to the decrease in production of NCI(b¹Σ⁺) as a function of distance from the point of injection. Careful observation of the emission in the flow field indicated that complete mixing occurred within 2 cm of the injection point. This corresponds to a flow time of 2.5 ms.

Similarly, Figure 3.7b illustrates the data obtained for the buildup and decay of NCI(a→X) emission intensity at 1.08 μm. The open and closed circles represent data taken in two separate tests under identical conditions. The data points represent the integrated counts (in 12 seconds) obtained at each location along the CFFR. Figure 3.7a shows a typical data point(spectrum) obtained down stream of the HN₃ injector. The line in Figure 3.7b indicates the best fit of the double exponential curve fitting routine to the data. The rising exponential was assumed to be influenced by collisional quenching of the NCI(a¹Δ) and the distance (time) needed for complete mixing of the injected HN₃ with
reactants in the flow stream. In order to determine the collisional quenching rate for \( \text{NCl}(b^1\Sigma^+) \), the 2.5 ms needed for mixing as determined from the \( \text{NCl}(b) \) data was subtracted from the time constant for the rising exponential as determined by the fitting program. As shown in Figure 3.7 the collisional quenching rate was thus determined to be 1/2.5 ms. In order to minimize the effects of collisional quenching and to stretch the time length of the \( \text{NCl} \) flame down the length of the CFFR, photon yield measurements were made under very low reagent concentrations. Under these conditions the collisional quenching of the excited species is minimized and the time-integrated intensity profile will approach the photon yield which can be calculated when the radiative lifetime and the effective lifetime are considered.

Flames stretching down the length of the CFFR were obtained for halogen atom densities less than 3.0 mTorr and \( \text{HN}_3 \) densities less than 0.3 mTorr. The photon yield is given by the following expression.

\[
\text{Yield \ NCl}(b^1\Sigma^+) = \alpha(665 \text{nm}) \int I(t) \, dt \, \cdot \, (1/K_r)/[\text{HN}_3]
\]  

(3.2)

where \( \alpha(665 \text{nm}) \) is the detector calibration factor at the \( \text{NCl}(b-X) \) transition and \( I(t) \) is the distance (time) dependent \( \text{NCl}(b\rightarrow X) \) intensity. A small component of the buildup in intensity of the \( b\rightarrow X \) emission shown in Figure 3.6 is due to the mixing rate because the radiative lifetime is relatively short (630 \( \mu \)s).

Due to its relatively long radiative lifetime (1.4 sec), \( \text{NCl}(a) \) can undergo many collisions before radiating and therefore collisional quenching must be accounted for. The time decay profile of \( \text{NCl}(a) \) emission intensity can be used to deduce a total pseudo-first-order decay rate \( K_d = K_r + \Sigma k_Q \) is the total quenching rate. Where \( K_r \) is the radiative loss rate and \( K_Q \) is the rate due to collisional quenching. The corrected \( \text{NCl}(a\rightarrow X) \) yield is then obtained by multiplying the measured photon yield by a factor of \( K_d/K_r \). The \( \text{NCl}(a) \) photon yield is then given by

\[
\text{Yield \ NCl}(a^1\Delta) = \alpha(1.08 \mu\text{m}) \int I(t) \, dt \, \cdot \, (K_d/K_r)/[\text{HN}_3]
\]  

(3.3)

By knowing the flow speed (800 cm/sec) in the fast flow reactor, the buildup and decay in time of both \( \text{NCl}(a^1\Delta) \) and \( \text{NCl}(b^1\Sigma^+) \) were determined. By integrating under the curve shown in Figure 3.6b, using the value for \( \alpha(665 \text{nm}) \) derived in section 3.2 and applying equation (3.2) the \( \text{NCl}(b^1\Sigma^+) \) photon yield was determined to be

\[
\text{Yield \ NCl}(b^1\Sigma^+) = 6320 \times (1.85 \times 10^7)/(4.8 \times 10^{12})(1/1587) = .0014\%
\]
Similarly, by integrating under the curve shown in Figure 3.7b, using the value for $\alpha(1.08\mu m)$ derived in in section 3.2 and applying equation (3.3) the NCl($a^1\Delta$) photon yield was determined to be

$$\text{Yield NCl}(a^1\Delta) = 27 \times (8.29 \times 10^8)/(4.8 \times 10^{12})(1.4/0.010) = 65\%$$

These results indicate that at least 65% of the HN$_3$ molecules entering the flow stream end up in the NCl($a^1\Delta$) state. The fraction ending up in the b electronic state was found to be extremely small (~0.001%). These results show that large amounts of NCl($a^1\Delta$) can be produced via reaction (2.6).

4. DERIVATION OF THE ENERGY-POOLING RATE CONSTANT

The feasibility of developing a short wavelength chemical laser based on emission from the NCl($b^1\Sigma^+$) state depends upon the two critical reactions (2.7) and (2.8). For convenience these are written below:

$$\text{NCl}(a) + I \rightarrow \text{NCl}(x) + I^* \quad (2.7)$$
$$\text{NCl}(a) + I^* \rightarrow \text{NCl}(b) + I \quad (2.8)$$

Reaction (2.7) which has recently been studied by Yang and Bower$^{14,15}$, is analogous to the well-known pumping$^{11}$ of $I^*$ by O$_2(a^1\Delta)$ while reaction (2.8) is similar to the well known NF($a^1\Delta$) and $I^*$ pooling reaction system investigated by Herbelin$^{1,2}$. Under suitable conditions it is hoped that these energy transfer processes may lead to lasing on the NCl($b\rightarrow X$) transition at 665nm. Since the NCl($b\rightarrow X$) transition is optically forbidden it may also become inverted at reduced metastable concentrations that do not lead to self-annihilation. The reverse process for reaction (2.7) was not considered because, like NF(X), the triplet ground state NCI(X) molecules are believed to be highly reactive and therefore chemically self-annihilate at a near gas kinetic rate.$^{1,2}$ Thus the NCI(X) concentration (and the rate of back reaction with $I^*$) is reduced to insignificant values.

The experimental setup used to measure the pooling rate of reaction (2.8) was similar to that used in determining the photon yield (described in section 3.2) except with the addition of an ICl inlet. The pooling rate, $K_{(2,8)}$, was measured by monitoring the absolute emission intensity of NCl($b\rightarrow X$), NCl($a\rightarrow X$) and I($2p_{1/2} \rightarrow 2p_{3/2}$) as a function of distance (time) down the length of the CFFR. This measurement was made with and without ICl present in order to derive the pooling rate. As shown in Figure 4.1, the enhancement in the NCl($b\rightarrow X$) emission at 665 nm when iodine atoms were present was clearly evident. An estimate of the pooling rate constant, $K_{(2,8)}$, for reaction (2.8)
was accomplished by considering the rate equations for the production and loss mechanism of NCl(b), and assuming a steady-state approximation:

\[
\frac{d[NCl(b)]}{dt} = K(2.8) [I^*] [NCl(a)] \quad \text{PRODUCTION}
\]

\[
\frac{d[NCl(b)]}{dt} = K_{Rad} [NCl(b)] + K_{Cl_2} [Cl_2] [NCl(b)] \quad \text{LOSS}
\]

Assuming steady-state conditions, and that quenching by molecular chlorine is the major quenching loss term, we can solve for \( K(2.8) \):

\[
K(2.8) = \frac{[NCl(b)]}{[I^*] [NCl(a)]} \frac{K_{Rad} + K_{Cl_2} [Cl_2]}{K_{Cl_2} [Cl_2]}
\]

The values:

\[ [NCl(b)] = 8 \times 10^8 \text{cm}^{-3} \]
\[ [I^*] = 2.5 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3} \]
\[ [NCl(a)] = 9 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-3} \]
\[ [Cl_2] = 8 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-3} \]

were determined from the data shown in Figure 4.1. The value \( K_{Rad} = 1/630 \mu s = 1587 \text{ s}^{-1} \) is well known. The value for \( K_{Cl_2} \) has not been measured. However, if the rate for \( Cl_2 \) quenching of \( NF(b) \) is used then \( K_{Cl_2} = 1.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1} \) and a value of

\[
K(2.8) \sim \frac{8 \times 10^8 \text{cm}^{-3} (1587 \text{ s}^{-1} + 1200 \text{ s}^{-1} + \ldots)}{(2.5 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3})(9 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-3})}
\]

\[
K(2.8) \sim 1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}
\]

is derived for the energy-pooling reaction. This number is comparable to that found for the corresponding reaction generating \( NF(b) \) from \( NF(a) \) and \( I^* \).
5. DERIVATION OF THE GAIN COEFFICIENT AND OBSERVATION OF GAIN

From the known value for the radiative decay, and the estimated concentration of NCl(b) in the CFFR, under a given set of operating conditions, it is possible to estimate the gain-coefficient per unit path length for the NCl(b)→NCl(X) transition at 665 nm, the [0-0] band. The gain-coefficient was determined to be

\[ \alpha = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1} \]

Efforts were made to observe gain in this system employing the Cavity Ring-Down technique. The essential features of this method are illustrated in Figure 5.1. As shown, a high-finesse 0.5m Fabry-Perot etalon is inserted into the flow tube by means of a vacuum-tight Pyrex glass cross. The high-reflectivity confocal etalon mirrors are mounted rigidly in a Burleigh mount with coupling to the flow-tube isolated through use of stainless-steel bellows. The high reflectivity dielectric coating of the mirrors is protected from the corrosive chemical flow by means of an argon purge. The output from an excimer-driven dye laser, tuned to the 0--0 band of the NCl(b → X) transition is directed through the confocal etalon to a filtered photomultiplier tube. The output of the PMT is observed and recorded by a fast digital storage oscilloscope. From the scope trace the decay time of the cavity is measured. Typical decay times that we have measured are in the range 3000 nanoseconds corresponding to 900 round trip passes through the optical cavity. For a gain measurement, this cavity decay time is compared, with and without the presence of NCl(b), the anticipated active laser media. If the media generates gain on the probing laser pulse, the decay time of the laser cavity will be increased, and comparison of the two traces should so indicate.

A pair of traces recorded in the absence (lower trace) and in the presence (upper trace) of NCl(b) on a logarithmic scale is shown in Figure 5.2. The small increase in decay time for NCl(b) indicates that the system may indeed have gain. The gain, \( \alpha \), for this system can be derived from the expression

\[ \ln \left( \frac{I_{on}}{I_{off}} \right) = \alpha L N \] (5.1)

were \( I_{on} \) and \( I_{off} \) are the values of the 665 nm intensity as recorded from the PMT with the HN3 flow turned on and off respectively, \( L \) is the length of the gain region and \( N \) is the number of passes that occurred through the gain media for the cavity ring-down time at which the intensity values, \( I_{on} \) and \( I_{off} \), are compared. Figure 5.2 shows that for a cavity ring-down time of 2.85 µs (which corresponds to 1710 passes through the gain region), \( I_{on} = 3.68 \) while \( I_{off} = 3.60 \). Substituting these values into equation (5.1) gives

\[ \alpha L = (1710)^{-1} \ln(e^{3.68}/e^{3.60}) \]

\[ \alpha L = 4.68 \times 10^{-5} \]
If \( L \) is between .25cm and 0.5cm then

\[
\alpha \sim 1.87 \times 10^{-4} \text{cm}^{-1} \text{ to } 9.35 \times 10^{-5} \text{cm}^{-1}
\]

Tests were also conducted in which the \( \text{HN}_3 \) mass flow as well as reactant concentrations were varied. The value of \( \alpha \) in these tests was always found to be positive. These experiments confirm the branching ratio results presented in section 3.3 and imply that the amount of \( \text{NCl}(X^3\Sigma) \) produced via reaction (2.6) is insignificant. These results suggest that reaction (2.6) behaves similarly to the analogous reaction studied by Coombe et al\cite{12} in NF and is strongly constrained by conservation of spin angular momentum and proceeds via a halogen azide-like intermediate geometry, where the only accessible potential energy surface is a singlet. These results also imply that the nonadiabatic curve crossings in the exit channel are not efficient.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of this work, the prospects of development of a visible chemical laser based on \( \text{NCl}(b) \) are promising. \( \text{NCl} \), in the electronically excited \( b \)-state emits to the ground state at 665 nm. The \( \text{NCl} \, b \)-state is generated by energy-pooling of \( \text{NCl}(a) \) and excited iodine atoms, \( I^* \). All of these species can be generated from chemical reactions solely. This work has shown that:

1. In the generation of \( \text{NCl} \), the branching ratio for \( \text{NCl}(a) \) is high. 65% of the \( \text{HN}_3 \) ends up in the \( \text{NCl}(a) \) state.
2. The rate constant for the energy-pooling reaction \( \text{NCl}(a) + I^* \rightarrow \text{NCl}(b) \) is quite favorably large, approximately \( 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec} \).
3. A gain on the order of \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1} \) was obtained.
4. Variations of the cavity ring-down experiment showed that virtually no \( \text{NCl}(X) \) is formed via reaction (2.6)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda_c$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (cm²)</th>
<th>$\omega_e$ (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>$\tau_R$</th>
<th>$\nu$ (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>874.2</td>
<td>10⁻²²</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>5.6 s</td>
<td>11435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528.8</td>
<td>10⁻¹⁹</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>20 ms</td>
<td>18905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1080</td>
<td>2 x 10⁻¹⁹</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>1.4 s</td>
<td>9260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>665</td>
<td>3 x 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>935.6</td>
<td>&lt; 1 s</td>
<td>14984.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1174</td>
<td>10⁻²⁰</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>630 µs</td>
<td>8440 (8520)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>745</td>
<td>2 x 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>7 µs</td>
<td>13419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>~ 10⁻¹⁶</td>
<td>406.5</td>
<td>15 µs</td>
<td>19054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>471</td>
<td>8 x 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>1.4 µs</td>
<td>22956.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1269</td>
<td>&lt; 10⁻²⁵</td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>7882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1315</td>
<td>7.4 x 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>1509</td>
<td>3 s</td>
<td>7603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18 s</td>
<td>13121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1 Radiative Lifetimes, Gain Cross Sections, and Emission Wavelengths for various possible chemical laser candidates.
Figure 2.1 Energy diagram showing pumping scheme for I* and NCl(b).
Table 3.1 Values of the rate constant for NO-O chemiluminescence at several wavelengths.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$(μm)</th>
<th>$K_c(10^{17}\text{cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>6.1 + 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>7.9 + 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>7.1 + 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>6.0 + 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>5.2 + 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.8 + 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>3.7 + 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>2.8 + 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.8 + 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.1 Schematic of experimental setup used to make photon yield measurements and derive the $I^\ast$-NCI(a) pooling rate.
Figure 3.2 Calibration of visible OMA using NO + O recombination as a chemical actinometer.
Figure 3.3 Absolute calibration of IR OMA using electron spin resonance to determine the number density of $\text{O}_2^{1\Delta}$. The triangles and dots represent data accumulated during two separate experiments. The slope of the line indicates that $\alpha(\text{NIR}) = 8.29 \times 10^8$ photons/cm$^3$ count.
Figure 3.4 Determination of [Cl] using Mass Spectrometer.

$[\text{Cl}] = 5.94 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$

$(\text{Cl}_2)_0 + \mu \text{ Wave} \rightarrow (\text{Cl}_2)_1 + \text{Cl}$

$\text{Cl} + \text{NOCl} \rightarrow (\text{Cl}_2)_2 + \text{NO}$

TOTAL PRESSURE = 1.5 TORR

TOTAL FLOW = 2500 SCCM
Figure 3.5 Titration of Cl with NOCl by monitoring the decrease of the NCl(b-X) emission at 665 nm. The NCl(b) emission is extinguished at a NOCl(50% in Ar) flow rate of 3.0 sccm. The total flow in the CFFR was 1673 sccm and the total pressure was 1.58 Torr. This indicates that [Cl] = 4.6 x 10^{13} cm^{-3}. 

\begin{align*}
(Cl_2)_0 \text{ uw } & \rightarrow (Cl_2)_1 + Cl \\
Cl + HN_3 & \rightarrow N_3 + HCl \\
Cl + N_3 & \rightarrow NCl(a,b,x) + N_2 \\
\text{monitor NCl(b)}
\end{align*}

Pressure = 1.58 Torr 
Ar = 1600 sccm 
Cl_2 (10\% in Ar) = 40 sccm 
HN_3 (10\% in Ar) = 30 sccm 

pt. at which NCl(b) emission extinguished
Figure 3.6a Typical NCl(b→X) emission spectrum obtained 11cm downstream of HN3 Injector.
Figure 3.6b  
The build-up and decay of NCl(b) fluorescence intensity at 665 nm as a function of flow time from the point of HN₃ injection. The open and closed circles represent data points taken under identical conditions in two separate tests.
Figure 3.7a Typical NCl(a→ X) emission spectrum obtained 11cm downstream of HN3 injector.
Figure 3.7b  The build-up and decay of NCl(a) fluorescence intensity at 1.08 μm as a function of flow time from the point of HN3 injection. The open and closed circles represent data points taken under the same conditions in two separate tests.
Figure 4.1  Time decay of NCl(b) emission at 665 nm with and without the presence of I.
Figure 5.1  Schematic of the experimental setup used for the cavity ringdown gain measurement.
Figure 5.2 Oscilloscope traces of cavity decay: Lower trace - without NCl present; upper trace - with NCl present