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During this three years period of activity we have worked on the basic topic of our grant that is to say 'Investigations on local seismic phases and modeling of seismic signals' but we have also enlarged this research in some cases to teleseismic distances. We also worked on data processing of seismic waves recorded at regional distances by a mini-array in order to improve the capability of automatic detection and localization of events.

Within the frame of the study of regional phases, we have used methods of numerical simulation to model data from the French and Spanish seismic networks in order to study the influence of crustal heterogeneities on the attenuation of these phases.
The approach is done in two parts: first, the analysis and modelisation of Lg waves which propagate through the Pyrenean Chain; second, a numerical simulation study of the propagation and of the diffraction of S and Lg waves in irregular crustal models. The aim of these two studies is to better understand the main characteristics of seismic waves at regional distances (100 to 1000 km from the earthquakes or the explosion) and more precisely to understand how the complexity of geological and tectonical structures might affect their propagation.

Within the same frame of studies we investigate the propagation of Lg waves in laterally-varying crustal structures by numerical simulation. The results show that the Lg wave amplitude is only slightly affected by the presence of these heterogeneities and they confirm the robustness of Lg wave propagation in presence of lateral heterogeneities observed in other simulations. They show that large scale geometry features of the crust cannot account alone for the strong attenuation of Lg waves observed in many regions but suggest a possible relation between the level of Lg wave coda and the degree of roughness of the Moho.

Besides that research program, we have model for two test sites, the Nevada Test Site and the Hoggar Test Site, the wave field propagating at local and teleseismic distances. This numerical simulation which takes into account the site structure and the topography effects, propagates up to teleseismic distances waves forms with amplitudes anomalies in good agreement with the waves forms recorded on the french seismic network (case of NTS). Concerning the french test site of Hoggar, we have for now studied the influence of the topography on the shape and the amplitude of seismograms recorded at short distances from the sources.

The third part of our research program concerns different aspects of data processing adapted to a mini-array both on teleseisms and regional events detection and location.

An interesting method of location different from the classical f-k method has been investigated and gives already good results in terms of accuracy and flexibility. It is based on cross-correlation processing and Chasles relationship for resolution.

Automatic phase identification for regional phases using neuronal networks adapted to the output of the mini-array processing (velocity at various frequencies for each regional phase) has been developed.
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During these three years of activity we have concentrated our efforts on the following subjects:

I - Regional waves propagation and attenuation which are one of our basic topics for several years,

II - Modeling of local and teleseismic waves generated by underground nuclear explosions in the NTS and in the Hoggar Massif, Sahara.

III - First data processing associated with a mini-array recently built in the Center of France.
I - Regional waves propagation:

I - 1 Crustal wave propagation anomaly across the Pyrenean Range:

Following our previous studies, we are using Lg records analysis and numerical modelling of Lg propagation to find out to what extent this phase can be seen as a marker of unidentified structural anomalies within the crust.

This study is based on Lg propagation through the Pyrenean range from earthquakes located in Spain.

We have first evaluated the mean value of the S-wave quality factor for Central-Spain. We have computed simultaneously the seismic station responses and the source functions. The correction for propagation effects, assuming an homogenous attenuation and the theoretical evaluation of the Lg excitation lead to the seismic moment of each event. The moment magnitude we obtained fits the magnitude proposed by the local networks.

This gives the confirmation of the Q model in the low frequency range (1-5Hz). As we intended to compare traces of different Spanish earthquakes recorded in France at different epicentral distances, we had to make amplitudes independent of propagation and sources effects. Therefore we corrected the spectral amplitudes for geometrical spreading, anelastic attenuation and normalized them to equal seismic moment.

We then plotted the records as a function of group velocity, in order to make up a fan profile along the Pyrenean axis. The resulting section reveals that in the central and the eastern parts of the range, neither the North-Pyrenean-Fault, nor the Moho jump deduced from seismic-refraction experiments and vertical seismics, seem to affect the Lg propagation. However, there is an extinction of the Lg phase in the western part of the chain. The lateral extent of this area is correlated with a zone of positive gravity anomaly, probably linked to the presence of dense material of mantle origin.

A numerical simulation in the low frequency band indicates that the Moho topography inferred from deep seismic soundings does not explain the strength of the observed attenuation. Ray-tracing seismograms show that, at high frequency, the conclusion is the same.
The attenuation effect of the structure lateral variation should not be so strong. We therefore think that attenuation of guided waves is not basically due to large scale geometry effects, but more probably to local properties of the crustal materials, possibly apparent attenuation due to scattering on small scale heterogeneities.

This work has been submitted to the Geophysical Journal and revised in march 1993.

I - 2 Calculation of synthetic seismograms in a laterally varying medium by the boundary element discrete wavenumber method:

We present a theoretical investigation of the effect of lateral crustal heterogeneities on the propagation of seismic waves. We study particularly how Lg waves are affected by the crossing of complex crustal structures. The work is carried out by numerical simulation and the method of calculation is based on boundary integral / boundary element techniques. The Green's functions necessary to the implementation of these techniques are evaluated in the frequency - wavenumber domain using the discrete wavenumber method and reflectivity/transmissivity matrices. This formulation has the advantage over purely numerical methods of allowing the calculation of the wavefields over large distances (several hundred kilometers) corresponding to several hundred times the wavelengths.

We investigate how crustal waves are affected by the crossing of faults and by the presence of lateral variations in crustal thickness. We find that the Lg waveforms are extremely sensitive to the presence of lateral heterogeneities along their path, but that their energy stays remarkably stable as long as the heterogeneities encountered are not too strong. On the basis of the theoretical results obtained, the observations of Lg wave extinction or strong attenuation over specific continental paths are difficult to explain by purely geometric diffraction and scattering.

The numerical simulation results also show that the back scattered Lg wavefield induced by lateral variations in crustal thickness can be strong. This suggests that in real observations part of the Lg wave coda is due to back scattering and that the level of coda present might be related to the roughness of the Moho.
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SUMMARY

$Lg$ records analysis and numerical modelling of $Lg$ propagation are used to find out to what extent this phase can be seen as a marker of unidentified structural anomalies in the crust. This study is based on $Lg$ propagation through the Pyrenean range from earthquakes located in Spain.

We have first evaluated the mean value of the $S$-wave quality factor for Central Spain. We have computed simultaneously the seismic station responses and the source functions. The correction for propagation effects, assuming a homogeneous attenuation and the theoretical calculation of the $Lg$ excitation, lead to the seismic moment of each event. The moment magnitude obtained correlates well with the magnitude proposed by the local networks. This gives a confirmation of the $Q$ model in the low frequency range (1-5Hz). As we intended to compare traces of different Spanish earthquakes recorded in France at different epicentral distances, we had to make amplitudes independent of propagation and source effects. Therefore, we corrected the spectral amplitudes for geometrical spreading, anelastic attenuation and normalized them to equal seismic moment.

We then plotted the records as a function of group velocity, in order to make up a fan profile along the Pyrenean axis. The resulting section reveals that in the central and the eastern parts of the range, neither the North-Pyrenean Fault, nor the Moho jump deduced from seismic-refraction experiments and vertical seismics, seem to affect $Lg$ propagation. However, there is an extinction of the $Lg$ phase in the western part of the chain. The lateral extent of this area is correlated with a zone of positive gravity anomaly, probably linked to the presence of dense material of mantle origin. A numerical simulation in the low frequency band indicates that the Moho topography inferred from deep seismic soundings does not explain the strength of the observed attenuation. Ray-tracing seismograms show that, at high frequency the conclusion is the same. The attenuation effect of the structure lateral variation should not be so strong. We, therefore, think that attenuation of guided waves is not due to large-scale geometry effects, but is due to local properties of the crustal materials, possibly apparent attenuation due to scattering on small-scale heterogeneities.

Key words : Lg waves, Pyrenees, quality factor, synthetic seismograms.

INTRODUCTION

In the range between 150 and several thousand kilometres, crustal waves are dominant on short-period seismograms in continental areas. Thus, the $Lg$ phase, which consists of $S$ waves trapped in the crust, is the major phase observed on regional records.

$Lg$ amplitude is known to be sensitive to important changes in crustal structure: propagation paths through oceanic crust are the origin of high attenuation or extinction of the $Lg$ phase, as found in the early analysis of this phase (Press & Ewing 1952; Bath 1954). Zones of strong local weakening of $Lg$ also exist in continental domains. Such observations have been reported in the Himalayan Belt (Ruzai'kin et al. 1977), in the North Sea Graben (Kennett et al. 1985), in the Eurasian Shield (Baumgardt 1991) and in the south-western part of the Alpine range (Campillo et al. 1993).

It seems that some geological features partially or completely stop crustal guided wave propagation. Our purpose is to investigate what structure may produce these $Lg$ amplitude variations and blockage effects. Is it a large-scale geometry result of a local attenuation or scattering effect? As there already exists evidence of an $Lg$ propagation anomaly in the Western Alps, our study has been aimed at the analysis of $Lg$ propagation across the Pyrenean range, in order to improve our knowledge about the influence of such orogenic structures.
DATA SPECIFICATIONS

For this study, we have used Spanish and French data obtained by the IGN (Instituto Geografico Nacional) array and the LDG (Laboratoire de Detection Geophysique) network respectively. All seismic stations are short-period vertical instruments with natural period of 1s. The characteristics of the networks are described in an IGN report (IGN 1991) and by Nicolas et al. (1982) for the LDG network. We have examined records available from these two networks and we confirmed that Lg waves effectively propagate in France and in Spain, on both sides of the Pyrenean range. As we intended to study the propagation from natural sources located in Spain, to see whether or not Lg waves are able to cross the Pyrenees, we had to determine the anelastic attenuation of the crustal phases in Spain.

The data set consists of 12 earthquakes with hypocentres in the crust, recorded in Spain. Their parameters are described in Table 1. As is the case in most continental areas, Lg is the dominant phase on most of the records. The source-receiver pairs have been chosen in such a way that the propagation paths were sampling the central part of Spain, considered to be a stable continental area. We did not take into account stations located in southern Spain because we observed strong attenuation in the region of Gaudalquivir sedimentary basin Fig. 1 shows the paths used in this part of the study.

EVALUATION OF THE S-WAVE MEAN QUALITY FACTOR

To evaluate the S-wave mean quality factor, we used Lg phases that consist of multiply reflected S waves. Calculation of the crustal quality factor $Q$ is done by computing the spectral density per time unit as a function of epicentral distance, in the time window providing the largest amplitudes, i.e. in the group velocity window 3.6-3.2 km s$^{-1}$.

For each station $i$ and each earthquake $j$, this amplitude can be written in the form:

$$A_{ij}(f, d) = S_j(f) \cdot AA(f, d) \cdot E(d) \cdot ST_i(f),$$

(1)

where $f$ represents the frequency and $d$ the epicentral distance. $S_j(f)$ is the source contribution, proportional to the seismic moment at low frequency. $AA(f, d)$ is given by

$$AA(f, d) = \exp\left(\frac{-\pi fd}{QV_m}\right)$$

(2)

and represents the anelastic attenuation for a phase propagating with a mean velocity $QV_m$. $E(d)$ denotes the geometrical spreading in the time domain needed to correct the spectral density per time unit. It is taken in the form:

$$E(d) = d^{-0.83} \quad \text{(Campillo, Bouchon & Massinon 1984)}$$

(3)

$ST_i(f)$ is the station response, corresponding to the effects of local geology structure.

This equation is solved by an iterative process given in detail by Campillo, Plantet & Bouchon (1985). Only the data obtained for earthquakes that were recorded by at least four stations, were used. We first took $ST = 1$ and evaluated the mean value of $Q$ by least-square fitting for each earthquake. We then computed the mean value of $Q$ for the entire set of events. $ST$ could be evaluated by computing a simple residue at each station. This process converged after a few iterations. The root-mean-square residue between the observed amplitudes and the ones predicted by eq. 1 was computed to check the convergence and the stability. The shape of the displacement spectrum was obtained after deconvolution of the instrumental response of the IGN network stations. Thus, we got the sources-displacement spectra in m s$^{-1}$.

We found $Q(f)$ in the form:

$$Q = (330 \pm 30) f^{0.51 \pm 0.06}$$

(4)

This result is close to the mean crustal quality factor computed for central France (Campillo et al. 1985).
ESTIMATION OF THE SEISMIC MOMENTS

We computed the seismic moment using the theoretical excitation of \( L_g \) for a point source dislocation. We neglected the radiation pattern since \( L_g \) is a superposition of \( S \) waves leaving the source in a wide range of take-off angles. To perform the estimation, we computed synthetic seismograms in a flat layered medium corresponding to the crustal structure of central Spain. Table 2 summarizes the characteristics of the model.

The theoretical calculation was performed, for a seismic moment of 1, using the discrete wavenumber representation (Bouchon 1981), combined with the Kennett propagation technique (1983). We evaluated the \( L_g \) spectral density from the synthetics in exactly the same way as for the data. We can, therefore, obtain the theoretical value of \( S \) of eq. 1 for a unit moment. For frequencies lower than the corner frequency, we can relate the seismic moment to the observed amplitudes, corrected for spreading and attenuation. To test the accuracy of our results we have calculated the \( L_g \) magnitudes from each seismic moment value, from which we deduced \( M_w \) as defined by Kanamori (1977)

\[
\log M_w = 1.5M_o + 9 \quad (M_o \text{ in Nm}).
\]

Table 1 presents the local magnitudes reported in the bulletins and the values of our \( M_w \) magnitudes. We can see that the seismic moments measured from the \( L_g \) phase vary consistently with the magnitudes proposed by the local networks. Fig 2 shows the source spectra which allow us to find out the seismic moments and the magnitude values. We plotted all spectra on a log-log diagram, in order to compare their shape and their dimension. One can see that the corner frequency measured on our spectra varies between 3 an 8 Hz. Considering the events with seismic moment between \( 10^{13} \) and \( 10^{16} \) Nm i.e. \( M_w < 5 \), and assuming a self-similar model, \( f_c \) should be in the range 0.6-7 Hz for a 100 to 200 bars stress drop. Beyond \( f_c \), the observed high-frequency spectra decrease as \( w^{-2} \).

The \( L_g \) magnitudes we found are systematically smaller than those given by the local networks from direct waves. We have tested that the crustal model used for the numerical simulation of \( L_g \) propagation has no significant influence on the seismic moment values. On the other hand, changes in the parameters of fault geometry cause variations in the \( L_g \) magnitudes. However, azimuthal dependence is weaker for \( L_g \) than for direct waves (Campillo 1990) because this phase is made up of a superposition of rays, sampling a wide range of take-off angles. The good agreement of the linear correlation we obtained between \( L_g \) moment magnitudes and local magnitudes confirms the possibility of using the source spectra to correct the amplitudes.

PROPAGATION ANALYSIS THROUGH THE PYRENEES

Most of the earthquakes whose records were used for the \( Q \) calculation did not provide data of adequate quality at the French stations because of the great epicentral distances. Only four events located in northern Spain provide a large enough signal-to-noise ratio: Sotos, Cucalon and the two closely spaced events Camero and Arnedo (see Fig. 1).

First we looked at the records obtained at the French station EPF, which is located in the Pyrenees, north of the North Pyrenean Fault (NPF, Fig. 3). This zone of deep subvertical faults is a major structural feature of the mountain range, clearly apparent in the oriental and in the central Pyrenees. In the western Pyrenees, it is assumed that the discontinuity is overlain by Cretaceous sediments. The NPF constitutes the limit of the North Pyrenean Zone and the axial zone of the range. The available information concerning the tectonics of the region shows that, in the central part of range (Fig. 4), an underthrust of the Iberic crust to the North takes place along the NPF (Pinet et al. 1987; Choukroune et al. 1989). On the other hand, the oceanic lithosphere in the Gulf of Biscay dives under the Iberic plate (Boillot et al. 1971).

For the earthquakes we examined, the seismograms recorded at EPF have the same typical shape of continental short-period records as observed at all nearby Spanish stations: the crustal wave \( P_g \) and \( L_g \) are dominant. This is illustrated in Fig. 5 (a and b): the \( L_g \) phase produces a clear onset at 3.5 km s\(^{-1}\). However, the records obtained at stations in central France show very different characteristics. The corresponding paths are plotted in Fig. 6. In the case where the path crosses the western part of the Pyrenean Chain, the larger arrivals on the seismograms have a group velocity higher than \( L_g \) (about 4.2 km s\(^{-1}\)) and consist of the mantle wave \( S_n \) (Fig. 5c). The \( L_g \) phase vanishes along this path. For a path that crosses the central part of the chain, \( S_n \) and \( L_g \) exhibit similar amplitudes (Fig. 5d). These seismograms suggest that the regional phases are affected very differently when they cross the Pyrenees at different locations along the axis of the range.
In order to verify this effect we plotted a series of seismograms as a function of the location where the waves cross the Pyrenees. We measured the locations of the crossing along an axis from Bilbao to Perpignan shown as a thick solid line in Fig. 6. The identification of the various regional phases in the data set is simplified by plotting the records as a function of group velocity. We consider the records in France from a series of earthquakes in northern Spain (Table 3 and Fig. 6). The records are bandpassed between 1 and 5 Hz. Amplitudes are corrected for geometrical spreading, anelastic attenuation and normalized to equal seismic moment. The effect of attenuation is crudely removed from the whole seismogram by correcting the spectra with a filter defined from our results of the quality factor for S waves (eq. 4). The seismic moment used for the normalization is obtained from the least-square regression of the spectral amplitude of Lg with distance for the LDG stations. In case of a strong effect of attenuation of Lg at the crossing of the Pyrenees, the moment is underestimated. This results in an unrealistically high amplitude of the other seismic phases such as Sn.

Figure 7 presents the section obtained from these Spanish earthquakes recorded in France. One can see that the sampling is not homogeneous because of the poor distribution of earthquakes in northern Spain correctly recorded in France. However, we notice that the waveform is very different in the east and in the west side of the Pyrenean range. In the east, the maximum amplitudes appear for group velocities between 3.5 and 3.0 km s\(^{-1}\) these waves are clearly Lg phases. In the west, the largest amplitudes are seen between 4.5 and 4.0 km s\(^{-1}\). These phases are Sn waves corresponding to upper mantle propagation.

As has already been noticed, the way we perform the normalization of seismic moment can explain why the amplitudes of Pn and Sn waves in the western part appear much higher than those in the eastern part. Another reason is the way we corrected amplitudes with distance. The amplitude corrections for spatial attenuation are strictly valid only in the group velocity window 3.2-3.6 km s\(^{-1}\), i.e. for the Lg wave. Phases for which group velocity is greater than the Lg wave velocity are all the more amplified since epicentral distance and frequency increase. Even if the amplitude values are not exact along the entire record, this figure illustrates the Lg blockage and the relative Sn-wave amplification in the western Pyrenees. The Sn waves cannot be observed in the eastern Pyrenees because their amplitudes are much smaller than the ones of Pg and Lg. If we accept that Sn is not sensitive to crustal structure and that the mantle is almost homogeneous beneath this region, the variation of the ratio of amplitude Sn to Lg at a given distance is a crude measure of the variation of attenuation in the crust. Fig. 7 indicates that between eastern and western parts of the Pyrenees, the attenuation effect changes by more than one order of magnitude.

However, for all these earthquakes, the Lg phase can be observed at EPF station. The presence of the Lg phase in the east and at EPF indicates that the Lg blockage is not simply associated with the NPF, which lies along the entire eastern and central part of the range. On the other hand, the Lg phase vanishes when it crosses the western part of the mountain chain and the energy seems to propagate mostly as the Sn wave. This anomaly seems to have a lateral extent of about 100 km. It is noteworthy that this zone of attenuation corresponds to a zone of strong positive gravity anomaly called the 'Labourd anomaly', whose origin is not definitively known. The areas with positive Bouguer anomaly are shown in grey in Fig. 6. A similar anomaly of propagation of the crustal phase Lg has been reported in the western Alps (Campillo et al. 1993), correlated with a positive Bouguer anomaly. Crustal materials of deep origin might be associated with both features.

**NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS IN MULTILAYERED MEDIA WITH IRREGULAR INTERFACES**

We attempted to understand why Lg is not observed through the western part of the range. To investigate the influence of crustal geometry on Lg propagation, we performed some numerical modelling in laterally heterogeneous models for the SH case. Since Lg consists of a superposition of post-critically reflected S waves, the SH case must present most of the effect of the large-scale lateral variations of Moho depth. The calculation method combines the discrete wavenumber Green’s function representation with boundary-integral equation techniques (Campillo & Bouchon 1985; Bouchon, Campillo & Gaffet 1989). The wavefield produced by the interfaces is considered to be equivalent to the radiation of body forces distributed along the boundaries. The inversion of a propagator matrix was performed for each interface, so that the computation time and the memory required varies only linearly with the number of interfaces. As many seismic experiments revealed the presence of a 10 km Moho jump between the North Pyrenean Zone and the axial zone (Him et al. 1980; Roure et al. 1989), we have first designed a model with simple change in crustal thickness (Fig 8a), the Moho being deeper on the Spanish side of the Pyrenees. There is no attenuation in this model: only the topography of the Moho is taken into account.
The synthetic seismograms, with a Ricker wavelet of 1.5 s period as the source function, are shown in Fig. 8(b). The maximum frequency reached is 1 Hz. The reduction velocity is 3.5 km s\(^{-1}\), which is the S-wave velocity chosen for the crust. One can see the successive reflection branches, which constitute the reflected energy forming the Lg phase. The Sn head-wave branch appears for distances greater than 250 km with small amplitudes.

We can check that, at low frequencies, the Moho jump does not produce a notable effect on wavesforms and amplitudes. One can notice a weak perturbation above the Moho jump, but amplitudes are as large beyond the jump as they are ahead of it. The use of boundary integral equations is limited to relatively low frequencies simply because of the high computation time required by this quasi-exact approach. In order to check the validity of our conclusions at higher frequency, we used the ray theory to compute an 'infinite frequency' response. The calculations were made with the same model of Moho topography. Details of the computations with the paraxial ray approach and of the comparison with the boundary-integration equation method results are given in Gibson & Campillo (1993). The synthetics obtained (Fig. 8c) are very similar to those of boundary integral equations and confirm that the Moho step will only have weak effect on the guided wave amplitude, whatever the frequency band is.

From these numerical tests we concluded that the Moho jump cannot be the reason for the vanishing Lg wave. This is strongly supported by the observation that Lg waves are not attenuated in the Eastern Pyrenees. We must, therefore, examine in more detail the influence of the particular structure of the western part of the chain.

Figure 9 (a) describes the second model, which includes results of recent deep seismic investigations conducted in the western Pyrenees (preliminary interpretation of the structure of the lithosphere along the Pyrenees-Arzacq Ecorc profile, M. Daïgnières, private communication). These experiments suggest a zone of anomalously high velocity in the crust, in addition to the Moho jump. We, therefore, investigated the influence of such a structure.

The synthetic seismograms from this second model are shown in Fig. 9(b). One can notice that the Sn wave is stronger than in the previous model. Therefore, as observed on the real data, the Sn/Lg amplitude ratio is higher for the rays travelling through such a zone. But the Lg wave is not much affected: the amplitude of the Lg wave train is still large beyond the velocity anomaly area. Therefore, the geometry of this structure can not account for the almost complete extinction of the Lg phase observed on the data.

This energy blockage must then be explained by the local properties of the crustal material rather than by the large-scale geometry of the crust-mantle structure. The theoretical result is in a good agreement with the observation that Lg can propagate across the eastern part of the mountain range where the jump of the Moho between north and south is present as in the western part. The high velocities observed in this area where Lg disappears may be due to the presence of lower crustal blocks, brought up to the surface during the compression phases of the orogeny, or due to the presence of slices of mantle materials. Both interpretations would agree with existence of a positive Bouguer anomaly. As the lower crust is known to be layered and very reflective in many parts of western Europe (see Mooney & Brocher 1987, for a review), both interpretations imply an increased heterogeneity of the crust in this region with respect to neighbouring areas. An enhanced scattering of seismic waves by this heterogeneity may be the case of the strong attenuation of crustal phases observed in the zone where the gravity anomaly indicates intrusion of material of deep origin into the upper crust.

**CONCLUSION**

We combined observations and numerical simulations in order to study crustal wave propagation through the Pyrenees. We first computed a mean crustal value of the S-wave quality factor for central Spain to evaluate intrinsic attenuation along the paths. \( Q \) has been found in the form:

\[
Q = 330f^{0.51}
\]
The seismogram analysis shows that in the central and eastern part of the mountain chain the North Pyrenean Fault does not block \( L_g \) propagation and that the Moho jump found in this region does not block either. In spite of the fact that the jump of the Moho is present all along the mountain range, a localized zone of attenuation exists in the western part of the Pyrenees, correlated with a positive Bouguer anomaly. As similar observations were made in the Alps in the Ivrea region and as numerical modelling shows that geometrical effects do not explain the observed extinction of \( L_g \) waves, it seems that the general conclusion can be drawn that strong attenuation of guided waves is probably due to local crustal properties. Scattering by small-scale heterogeneities, such as lower crust or mantle slices, may be the cause of strong attenuation in the frequency range considered here. This interpretation is coherent with the observation of high seismic velocity and the position of a Bouguer anomaly in these regions.
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### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Latitude °N</th>
<th>Longitude °W</th>
<th>H Km</th>
<th>MI LDG</th>
<th>MI IGN</th>
<th>Mw-Lg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ayamonte</td>
<td>20 12 89</td>
<td>23.286</td>
<td>-07.328</td>
<td>23.</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>44.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandola</td>
<td>23 09 89</td>
<td>38.291</td>
<td>-08.655</td>
<td>18.</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadix</td>
<td>25 10 87</td>
<td>36.361</td>
<td>-06.501</td>
<td>66.</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>36.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alicante</td>
<td>02 12 88</td>
<td>38.200</td>
<td>+00.315</td>
<td>20.</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>33.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotos</td>
<td>07 11 87</td>
<td>43.000</td>
<td>-03.812</td>
<td>15.</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>35.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vilanova</td>
<td>10 08 86</td>
<td>41.128</td>
<td>-07.213</td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>36.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laza</td>
<td>30 08 89</td>
<td>42.105</td>
<td>-07.516</td>
<td>13.</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>35.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nazare</td>
<td>31 03 89</td>
<td>39.601</td>
<td>-09.493</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>33.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>20 09 87</td>
<td>42.138</td>
<td>-02.476</td>
<td>05.</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>34.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldea</td>
<td>24 05 88</td>
<td>38.400</td>
<td>-03.406</td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucalon</td>
<td>06 07 87</td>
<td>40.951</td>
<td>-01.001</td>
<td>05.</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>31.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnedo</td>
<td>29 11 88</td>
<td>42.146</td>
<td>-02.112</td>
<td>05.</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>29.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2

Crustal model used for the numerical calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer Thickness (km)</th>
<th>P. Wave (km/sec)</th>
<th>S.Wave Velocity (km/sec)</th>
<th>S.Wave Q</th>
<th>P.Wave Q</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Latitude °N</td>
<td>Longitude °W</td>
<td>MI LDG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 04 86</td>
<td>23h32'07</td>
<td>42.91</td>
<td>-1.90</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 10 86</td>
<td>06h48'12</td>
<td>39.88</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 08 87</td>
<td>18h43'06</td>
<td>41.01</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 02 88</td>
<td>16h38'48</td>
<td>42.40</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 03 88</td>
<td>21h19'02</td>
<td>42.38</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 07 90</td>
<td>16h29'32</td>
<td>42.37</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 08 90</td>
<td>12h22'33</td>
<td>40.26</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Captions

Figure 1: Locations of earthquake epicenters (stars) and IGN network stations (circles). On the map are also reported the paths used in the calculation of the mean value of crustal quality factor in Spain.

Figure 2: Source displacement spectra plotted for each earthquake in m.s.

Figure 3: Tectonic map of the Pyrenean region. The station EPF is reported on the Figure. NPF: North Pyrenean fault.

Figure 4: Cross section showing the crust geometry and the Moho topography from the Ebro basin in the South to the Aquitaine basin in the North in the central part of the mountain range (from Roure et al., 1989).

Figure 5: Short period records obtained for earthquakes "Camero" and "Cucalon" at station EPF (a and b) and in central France (c and d). The corresponding paths are plotted in Figure 6. The group velocity are indicated in order to make easier the identification of the different phases.

Figure 6: Map showing the location of earthquakes in Spain (black dots) and the seismic stations in France (circles) used to study regional phases crossing the Pyrenees. The lines correspond to the path of the seismograms shown in Figure 5. The heavy line indicates the axis used to locate the crossing of the chain for the different paths. The grey zones indicate a positive Bouguer gravity anomaly.

Figure 7: Seismograms obtained in France for earthquakes in Spain plotted as function of group velocity to allow a direct comparison of the traces. The horizontal axis represents the position of the crossing of each path with the line Bilbao-Perpignan shown in Figure 6. Amplitudes are corrected from propagation effects and normalized to equal seismic moment using the propagation parameters obtained in central Spain and central France.

Figure 8: Influence of the Moho topography on Lg propagation. a) model used with a simple variation of the Moho depth, b) synthetic seismograms obtained using the boundary integral equation method, c) synthetic seismograms obtained using the asymptotic ray theory. All synthetics are plotted using a reduction velocity of 3.5 km/s.

Figure 9: Influence of the Moho topography on Lg propagation. a) model used with a Moho jump and introduction of high velocity bodies in the crust, b) synthetic seismograms obtained using the boundary integral equation method. Synthetics are plotted using a reduction velocity of 3.5 km/s.
Figure 8

(a) Schematic diagram showing the Moho transition range with seismic wave velocities and densities. The surface is at the top, with depths of 10 km, 30 km, and 45 km. The Moho boundary is indicated by a dashed line. The velocities are 3.5 km/s and 4.7 km/s for two different regions, and the densities are 3.1 and 3.3.

(b) Seismic waveforms showing the Moho transition range. The waveforms are plotted against distance and time, with a clear distinction between different seismic events.

(c) Additional seismic waveforms with the same vertical scale as (b), illustrating the complexity of the seismic signals at the Moho transition range.
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Abstract

We investigate the propagation of Lg waves in laterally-varying crustal structures by numerical simulation. The method of calculation is formulated in terms of boundary integral equations where the Green's functions are evaluated by wavenumber summation. The approach is well suited to study the propagation of seismic waves in a layered medium where the interfaces are flat in some regions and irregular in other regions. We investigate the effect of a crustal fault with vertical offset and study the case of a lateral change in crustal thickness. The results show that the Lg wave amplitude is only slightly affected by the presence of these heterogeneities. They confirm the robustness of Lg wave propagation in presence of lateral heterogeneities observed in other numerical simulations. They show that large scale geometric features of the crust cannot account alone for the strong attenuation of Lg waves observed in many regions. The results also suggest a possible relation between the level of Lg wave coda and the degree of roughness of the Moho. They further indicate the importance of back scattering and suggest a possible use of the back scattered wave field to map strong crustal heterogeneities.
Introduction

Over the last two decades, numerical simulation techniques have become an increasingly important tool in studying the propagation of seismic waves in complex geological structures. Several methods of elastodynamic calculations have been developed to this effect. The most widely used techniques may be classed in three groups: ray methods, finite-difference finite-element techniques, and boundary integral equation methods.

These numerical simulation studies have been performed for different types of geological structures. Among the most extensively studied geological objects are sedimentary basins and alluvial valleys (Aki and Larner, 1970; Trifunac, 1971; Bouchon and Aki, 1977a; Hong and Helmberger, 1978; Sanchez-Sesma and Esquivel, 1979; Bard and Bouchon, 1980a,b, 1985; Harmsen and Harding, 1981; Dravinski, 1983; Lee and Langston, 1983; Sanchez-Sesma, 1983; Nowack and Aki, 1984; Bard and Gariel 1986; Géli et al., 1987; Koketsu, 1987; McLaughlin et al., 1987; Moczo et al., 1987; Mossessian and Dravinski, 1987, 1992; Benz and Smith, 1988; Bravo et al. 1988; Campillo et al., 1988; Kawase, 1988; Sanchez-Sesma et al., 1988; Vidale and Helmberger, 1988; Kawase and Aki, 1989; Rial, 1989; Seligman et al., 1989; Hill et al., 1990; Horike et al., 1990; Novaro et al., 1990; Gaffet and Bouchon, 1991; Gariel et al., 1991; Koketsu et al., 1991; Liu et al., 1991; Papageorgiou and Kim, 1991; Frankel and Vidale, 1992; Graves and Clayton, 1992; Kagawa et al., 1992; Kawase and Sato, 1992; Oho et al., 1992; Toshinawa and Ohmachi, 1992; Ueyayashi et al., 1992; Frankel, 1993; Jongmans and Campillo, 1993; Mateos et al., 1993; Zahradnik et al., 1993). Other types of complex geological structures investigated include irregular subsurface layering (Smith, 1975; Kelly et al., 1976; Cerveny et al., 1977;

In the present paper we apply the boundary integral equation method to investigate the propagation of seismic waves over distances of a few hundred kilometers in laterally heterogeneous crustal structures. We use a formulation very close to the one developed by Kawase (1988) and Kawase and Aki (1989, 1990) where the Green's functions are calculated by the discrete wavenumber method and where the singularities inherent to the boundary integral equation approach are removed by integrating analytically the Green's function expressions over surface elements.

Description of the method

The simplest medium configuration involves two homogeneous media separated
by an interface and is depicted in Figure 1a. A source of elastic disturbance is located in one of the medium (denoted thereafter by index 1) and produces at a point $P$ of medium 1 a direct wavefield $V_o(P)$. For simplicity we shall only consider the two-dimensional antiplane problem. Using Huygens principle, the wavefield diffracted by the interface can be described as the radiation from secondary sources distributed all along the interface. The total wavefield at $P$ may thus be written in the form:

$$V(P) = V_o(P) + \int_S \sigma(Q)G(P,Q)dQ$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $\sigma(Q)$ is a source density function which represents the strength of the diffracting source at the interface point $Q$ and $G(P,Q)$ is the wavefield radiated at $P$ by a unit source located at $Q$ and is called the Green's function of medium 1. The integration is taken over the surface of separation $S$.

Similarly, the wavefield diffracted in the second medium may be written in the form:

$$V'(P') = \int_S \sigma'(Q)G'(P',Q)dQ$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $G'$ is the Green's function of medium 2.

The first step towards the obtention of a numerical solution for the diffracted wavefield requires a discretization of the surface integral. This is achieved by approximating the surface $S$ by $N$ surface elements $\Delta S_i$ on which the source density functions $\sigma$ and $\sigma'$ are assumed to be constant (Figure 1b). Equations (1) and (2) thus become:

$$V(P) = V_o(P) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i \int_{\Delta S_i} G(P,Q)dQ$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$
\[ V(P') = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma'_i \int_{\Delta S} G'(P', Q) dQ \]

Then choosing \( P \) and \( P' \) to lie on the interface itself and denoting by \( Q_j \) this particular point (chosen for instance at the middle of the \( j \)th surface element, Figure 1c), one gets:

\[ V(Q_j) = V_o(Q_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i \int_{\Delta S} G(Q_j, Q) dQ \] (4)

\[ V(Q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma'_i \int_{\Delta S} G'(Q_j, Q) dQ \]

The continuity of the displacement wavefield across the interface requires the equality of the two right hand sides of equations (4) and provides a system of \( N \) equations (as \( j=1,N \)) where the unknowns are the \( \sigma_i \) and the \( \sigma'_i \). The continuity of the stresses across \( S \) provides \( N \) more equations and thus leads to a system of \( 2N \) equations to \( 2N \) unknowns.

Before inverting the system one need to evaluate the expressions:

\[ G_{i,j} = \int_{\Delta S} G(Q_j, Q) dQ \] (5)

and

\[ G'_{i,j} = \int_{\Delta S} G'(Q_j, Q) dQ \]

for \( i=1,N : j=1,N \)

and
\[ T_{i,j} = \int T(Q_j, Q) dQ \]

\[ T'_{i,j} = \int T'(Q_j, Q) dQ \]

where \( T(Q_j, Q) \) is the surface traction produced at \( Q_j \) by a unit line force acting at \( Q \), and \( T' \) is the same quantity calculated for the elastic parameters of medium 2.

Using the discrete wavenumber method (Bouchon and Aki, 1977b), the two-dimensional antiplane Green's function expressed in the frequency domain may be written in the form:

\[ G(Q_j, Q) = \frac{1}{2i\rho \beta^2 L} \sum_{n=-M}^{M} \frac{e^{-i\gamma_n|x_j - z_j|} e^{-i\gamma_n(z_j - z_Q)}}{\gamma_n} \]

with:

\[ k_n = \frac{2\pi}{L}, \]

\[ \gamma_n = (\frac{\omega^2}{\beta} - k_n^2)^{1/2}, \quad \text{Im}(\gamma_n) \leq 0. \]

where \((x_j, z_j)\) are the coordinates of \( Q_j \) and \((x_Q, z_Q)\) those of \( Q \), \( \rho \) is the medium density, \( \beta \) is the shear wave velocity, \( L \) is the periodicity length associated with the method, and \( M \) is an integer large enough to insure convergence of the series.

The traction \( T \) is thus given by:

\[ T(Q_j, Q) = \rho \beta^2 [n_{x,j} \frac{dG(Q_j, Q)}{dx_j} + n_{z,j} \frac{dG(Q_j, Q)}{dz_j}] \]

where \( n_{x,j} \) and \( n_{z,j} \) denote the \( x \) and \( z \) components of the normal to the interface at \( Q_j \). If we approximate each surface element \( \Delta S_i \) by a plane surface, the analytical
The inversion of the linear system expressing the continuity of displacement and stress across the interface then leads to the two source density distributions \( \sigma_i \) and \( \sigma'_i \) representing the diffracted wavefield in the two media.

The use of the discrete wavenumber method of calculating Green's functions in the boundary element scheme has the advantage of preventing the occurrence of mathematical or numerical singularities often associated with boundary element or boundary integral equation techniques. It is also easy to implement when one of the medium or the two media are made up of flat layers. In such a case, the full-space Green's functions are simply replaced by the layered medium Green's functions through the use of a Thomson-Haskell or Kennett algorithm (Kennett, 1974; Müller, 1985) and the surface integrals (5) and (6) are still evaluated analytically. We shall now present such examples of calculation.

Test of accuracy of the method

In order to check the precision of the method we consider the configuration depicted in Figure 2a. The medium consists of a homogeneous crustal layer, 30 km thick, overlaying a mantle half-space. The source is a line of horizontal shear dislocation occurring on a vertical plane and located at 10 km depth. The receivers are placed along a linear profile which extends in a direction perpendicular to the

\[
T_{i,i} = T'_{i,i} = \frac{1}{2}
\]
line of dislocation. The time dependence of the dislocation is a smooth step-
function defined by:

\[ f(t) = \left[ 1 + \frac{\tan(t/\tau)}{2} \right] \text{ with a rise time } \tau \text{ equal to 0.5s} \] (10)

Two calculations are made: For the first one we consider the problem as one
involving a source embedded in a flat layered medium and use the discrete
wavenumber method coupled with reflectivity matrices. For the second calculation
we consider that we have two independent layered media separated by a fictitious
vertical interface located at 200 km from the source. We thus treat the problem as
if the crustal-mantle structure on both sides of the 200 km mark were different. We
divide the fictitious vertical boundary into 100 surface elements. We calculate the
mathematical expressions of the Green's functions \( G \) and \( G' \) for the crust-mantle
structure using the discrete wavenumber method. We integrate analytically over
each surface element (equations (5) and (6)) the resulting expressions. We invert the
resulting linear system of equations and obtain the two source distributions \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma' \).
We finally use the two source distributions inferred to calculate the seismic
displacement produced at the receivers. In carrying out this procedure we assume
that the fictitious surface of separation between the two media extends from the
free surface down to a finite depth (chosen as 45 km) below which little seismic
energy is present.

The comparison between the two sets of results is displayed in Figure 2b. The
calculation is made over a time window of 60s and for frequencies up to 2Hz. The
periodicity length \( L \) used in the discrete wavenumber method for the two
calculations is 850 km. The agreement between the two solutions proves the
validity of the approach. The choice of the number of elements to represent the
diffracting surface (100) is somewhat arbitrary. In general, the number of elements depends on the particular frequency considered: At low frequencies a minimum number of elements is required, while at high frequencies this number should be chosen such that at least three surface elements are sampled per seismic wavelength. For this reason the element size may vary from one layer to the next according to the layer shear wave seismic wavelength.

Effect of a vertical fault

We now investigate how the propagation of Lg waves is affected by the presence of a fault. Below periods of a few seconds and up to very high frequencies the Lg wave train is the most prominent seismic phase produced by crustal earthquakes at regional distances (100km to 1000km from the source). These waves are made up of shear waves multiply-reflected in the crust and incident on the Moho at angles more grazing than the critical angle defined as the incident angle beyond which all the downgoing shear energy is reflected back into the crust. The prominence of the Lg waves arises from the waveguide nature of their propagation and it is interesting to investigate how irregularities of the waveguide affect their amplitude and characteristics.

The crustal model considered is shown in Figure 3a. A vertical fault with 2km offset extends from the surface to the Moho. The fault is represented by boundary elements and the Green's functions are calculated for the two flat-layer structures present on both sides of the fault. The criterion used to determine the number of elements is the one described in the previous section. The boundary extension is limited to 45km depth. The earthquake is modelled as a line of horizontal shear dislocation occurring on a vertical plane at a depth of 10km and is located 200km
from the fault. The time dependence of the dislocation is governed by equation (10) and the frequency range extends from 0 to 3Hz. The receivers are placed along a linear array perpendicular to the line of dislocation and to the fault. The corresponding seismograms are displayed in Figure 3b. The presence of a back scattered wavefield originating from the fault is clearly seen. Its amplitude is about 1/10th the amplitude of the primary field. At the crossing of the fault a change in the character of the seismograms occurs with the near-disappearance of the surface wave trains. Also a shift in the arrival time of the refracted mantle shear wave (Sn) takes place when crossing the fault.

A comparison between the seismogram obtained 300km away from the source with those that would be obtained in the absence of the fault is shown in Figure 4. The first comparison (CRUST1) corresponds to the case of a flat-layer crust similar to the one present on the left hand side of the fault. The second one (CRUST2) corresponds to the crustal model on the right hand side of the fault. These results show that the presence of the fault changes entirely the Lg waveform but does not affect significantly its energy. These observations can be accounted for by the nature of the Lg phase. Because its waveform is the result of the interference pattern between numerous shear wave arrivals, relatively small changes in crustal structure produce phase differences between the interfering waves and thus affect the waveform. On the other hand the amplitude of each individual shear wave constituting the Lg wave train is not significantly affected by a small variation in crustal structure so that the total energy of the Lg wave is almost unchanged.

Effect of a change in the Moho depth

We now investigate the effect on the seismograms of a lateral change in crustal
thickness. The structure considered is depicted in Figure 5a. It involves a change in the Moho depth which rises from 35km to 25km over an horizontal distance of 10km. The earthquake source and the receivers have the same characteristics and locations as in the previous example. The position of the diffracting boundary used in the calculation is represented by the dashed line. It extends from the free surface down to 47km depth and includes the dipping Moho. The verticality of the two boundary segments extending in the crust and in the mantle is chosen to minimize the number of boundary elements required.

The results are presented in Figure 5b. They show that the back scattered wave field is very strong which suggests that in real observations part of the Lg wave coda is due to back scattering induced by variations in crustal thickness and that the level of coda present might be related to the roughness of the Moho. This simulation also shows that the position where the change in crustal thickness takes place may be inferred by a well-placed seismic array.

The comparison of the seismogram obtained at 300km with those that would be obtained if the crust were laterally homogeneous is depicted in Figure 6. The two flat-layer models correspond to the structures on both sides of the region where the variation of crustal depth occurs. Like for the previous configuration, the high sensitivity of the Lg waveform to the crustal structure is apparent, while the amplitude stays stable. On the contrary the surface waves, which sample only the upper part of the crust, are in phase between the three models and display similar waveforms. The arrival time of the refracted mantle shear wave (Sn) lies between the arrival times predicted by the two flat-layer models.

In order to gain more physical insight into the diffraction phenomenon induced by the change in crustal thickness, we present in Figure 7 a space-time display of
the wavefield. The amplitude of the waves is calculated at a grid of points extending from the surface down to a depth of 45km and between epicentral distances of 150km and 250km. Fifteen snapshots taken at 3.5s interval and starting 39s after the occurrence of the earthquake are presented. The first ones show the propagation of the shear wave fronts which form the Lg wave. The back propagation of the scattered wavefield becomes apparent after about 63s. The last five snapshots show the presence of a nearly-cylindrical wavefront which seems to originate from the free surface area located above the dipping Moho. We interpret this feature as shear waves scattered upward by the Moho slope and subsequently reflected downward by the free surface. Because of the dominance of the primary wavefield at earlier times, only the downward propagation is visible.

**Conclusion**

We have investigated the propagation of Lg waves in a laterally-varying crust using a numerical simulation technique formulated in terms of boundary integral equations where the Green's functions are evaluated by discrete wavenumber summation. The method is well suited for studying the propagation of seismic waves in a layered crustal structure which varies laterally over part of the propagation path.

We have considered the case where a fault with vertical offset is present and the case of a change in crustal thickness. Our results confirm the simulation experiments of Maupin (1989), Regen and Harkrider (1989a), Campillo et al. (1993), and Gregersen and Vaccari (1993) which all clearly show that Lg wave propagation in crustal structures with strong lateral variations is surprisingly robust and that large scale geometric features of the crust are not sufficient to account for the
strong attenuation of Lg waves observed in many regions.

The present results also suggest a possible relation between the level of Lg wave coda and the degree of roughness of the Moho. They further indicate the importance of the back scattered wave field and suggest its possible use to map crustal heterogeneities.
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Appendix

The Somigliana representation theorem (see e.g. Aki and Richards, 1980) gives the expression of the displacement or stress fields as a function of their value on an interface $S$ delimiting a volume $V$. In the SH case for the interior problem, the displacement is written as:

$$c u_y(\xi) = \int_S [G_{yy}(x,\xi)\tau_{yy}(x) - T_{yy}(x,\xi)u_y(x)]dS_j(x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1a)

where $G_{yy}$ and $T_{yy}$ are the displacement and stress Green’s functions evaluated at $x$ for a source at $\xi$. The volumic body forces are assumed to be null and $c$ is a constant which takes the value 0, 1 or 0.5 for $x$ respectively outside, inside $V$, and on $S$, assuming $S$ has smooth boundaries. Different equivalent representations may be derived from (1a) to obtain a form equivalent to Huygens principle which involves a single surface distribution (see e.g. Coutant, 1989). In the SH case, the Kirchhoff-Helmholtz representation for instance uses a single layer force distribution (or unidirectional forces as opposed to a double layer dipole with moment distribution) whose amplitude is given by a stress discontinuity $[\tau]$. In this case the displacement is written:

$$u_y(x) = \int_S G_{yy}(x,\xi)[\tau_{yy}(\xi)dS_j(\xi)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2a)

By construction of this representation, the displacement field is continuous across $S$ and its expression is valid for $x$ located on $S$ or inside or outside $V$. The stress field representation however is discontinuous across $S$, $\tau_{\text{out}} - \tau_{\text{in}} = [\tau]$, and its value on $S$ must be evaluated with a limiting process (see e.g. Sanchez-Sesma and Campillo, 1991). The stress field representation is:
\[ \tau_{yj}(x) = \zeta \frac{[\gamma_{yj}(x)]}{2} + \int_{S} T_{yj}(x,\xi)[\gamma_{yj}(\xi)]dS(\xi) \]  

(3a)

where \( \zeta \) is 1 when \( x \) is on \( S \), 0 otherwise. The discretization of integral representations (2a) and (3a) is achieved by approximating the surface \( S \) by \( N \) planar segments \( \Delta S_i \) with normal \( n_i \) and by assuming the force density per surface unit \( \sigma = [\gamma_{yj}n_j] \) to be constant on each segment. The representation then becomes:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_i & = \int_{S} G_{yy}(x,\xi)dS(\xi) \\
\tau_{yj}(x) & = \zeta \frac{[\gamma_{yj}(x)]}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i \int_{\Delta S_i} T_{yj}(x,\xi)dS(\xi)
\end{align*}
\]  

(4a)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_i & = \int_{S} G_{yy}(x,\xi)dS(\xi) \\
\tau_{yj}(x) & = \zeta \frac{[\gamma_{yj}(x)]}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i \int_{\Delta S_i} T_{yj}(x,\xi)dS(\xi)
\end{align*}
\]  

(5a)

In order to solve the boundary conditions problem, we need to compute the stress and displacement on the boundary \( S \) at the \( N \) segment collocation points \( x_i \). The following auto-influence terms have to be evaluated:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_i & = \int_{\Delta S_i} G_{yy}(x,\xi)dS(\xi) \\
\tau_{yj}(x_i) & = \zeta \frac{[\gamma_{yj}(x_i)]}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i \int_{\Delta S_i} T_{yj}(x,\xi)dS(\xi)
\end{align*}
\]  

(6a)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_i & = \int_{\Delta S_i} G_{yy}(x,\xi)dS(\xi) \\
\tau_{yj}(x_i) & = \zeta \frac{[\gamma_{yj}(x_i)]}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i \int_{\Delta S_i} T_{yj}(x,\xi)dS(\xi)
\end{align*}
\]  

(7a)

The two integrals contain respectively a weakly and a strongly singular kernel and can be integrated in the space domain (e.g. Sanchez-Sesma and Campillo, 1991) or using the discrete wavenumber (DW) decomposition of the Green's function. Performing the integral in the DW domain requires however a minor correction to
the expression of the stress field as a function of the horizontal wavenumber. The
SH displacement Green's function for an infinite space may be expressed in its
wavenumber representation form as:

\[ G_{yy}(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi i \rho \beta^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-i\gamma|z-z_o|} e^{-ik(z-z_o)}}{\gamma} \, dk \quad (8a) \]

The stress tensor \( T_{yy} \) horizontal wavenumber representation can be directly
obtained from (8a) by derivation with one exception, when the source and "receiver"
are located at the same vertical position, i.e., for \( z = z_o \). In this case the component
\( T_{yy} \) is undefined and the stress can only be computed for a vertically oriented
\((n_z = 1, n_z = 0)\) segment. Since the integral is independent of the segment orientation,
we evaluate (7a) by performing the calculation for a vertical orientation, that is:

\[ \int T_{yy}(x_1, \xi) dS(\xi) = \int T_{yy}(x_1, \xi) dS(\xi) = \frac{1}{4\pi i \rho \beta^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int -ik e^{-i\gamma|z-z_o|} \, dk \, dz = 0 \quad (9a) \]

This result is similar to the one obtained by integration in the space domain, and we
finally get:

\[ \tau_y(x_1) = \frac{\sigma(x_1)}{2} \quad (10a) \]
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102.
Figure legends

Figure 1
Illustration of the method

Figure 2
Comparison of the surface displacement traces obtained using boundary elements with the flat layer solution. The configuration used is displayed in (a). The star indicates the source location. Receivers are located at epicentral distances between 100 and 300km, at 10km interval. The two superposed sets of seismograms are presented in (b). A reduced time equal to the epicentral distance divided by the mantle shear wave velocity has been applied to the traces.

Figure 3
Effect of the presence of a vertical fault. The crustal model and source-receivers configuration are depicted in (a). The surface displacement traces are presented in (b). A reduced time equal to the epicentral distance divided by the mantle shear wave velocity has been applied to the seismograms.

Figure 4
Comparison of the seismograms obtained at an epicentral distance of 300km in presence of a fault (model of Figure 3a) with those obtained for the two flat layered structures situated on the left hand side (crust1) and on the right hand side (crust 2) of the fault.

Figure 5
Effect of a change in crustal thickness. The crustal model and source-receivers
configuration are depicted in (a). The surface displacement seismograms are displayed in (b) with a reduced time based on the mantle shear wave velocity.

Figure 6
Comparison of the seismograms obtained at an epicentral distance of 300km for the laterally-varying crustal model of Figure 5a (Moho) with those calculated for the crustal model with constant 35km thickness (crust 3) and the one with 25km thickness (crust 4).

Figure 7
Snapshots showing the propagation of the seismic wave field for the configuration depicted in Figure 5a. Each frame represents a cross-section of the medium between depths of 0 and 45km and between epicentral distances of 150 and 250km. The first snapshot is taken 39s after the source emission, and the subsequent ones are displayed at 3.5s intervals.
\[ V(P) = V_0(P) + \int_0^P \sigma(Q) G(P, Q) \, dQ + P \]

\[ V(P') = \int_{Q(P)} \sigma'(Q) G(P', Q) \, dQ \]

\[ V(P) = V_0(P) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i \int_{\Delta S_i} G(P, Q) \, dQ + P \]

\[ V(P') = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i' \int_{\Delta S_i} G(P', Q) \, dQ \]

\[ V(Q_j) = V_0(Q_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i \int_{\Delta S_i} G(Q_j, Q) \, dQ \]

\[ V(Q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i' \int_{\Delta S_i} G'(Q_j, Q) \, dQ \]
FIGURE 2

TIME (SEC)

DISTANCE

100 km
300 km

100 km
200 km
300 km

3.65 km/s 2.9
4.7 km/s 3.3

A

B

49
PROPAGATION OF LG WAVES IN A CRUST WITH VARYING THICKNESS
II - Modeling of seismic waves generated by nuclear tests in the NTS and Taourirt Tan Afella, Hoggar:

II - 1 Teleseismic wave form modeling including geometrical effects of superficial geological structures near the seismic sources at the NTS:

We analyse observed seismograms of 21 events recorded at teleseismic distances in France from nuclear explosions detonated at Nevada Test Site (NTS). Variations of the displacement waveform, duration, and amplitude are studied in terms of influence of the explosion's medium of burial and location in the laterally heterogeneous Yucca Flat basin. The analysis is made using numerical modelings of data which simulate the modifications of the original source signal by the geometry of the geological surrounding structure. Spalling and non-linear effects are not included in computations. The numerical simulations of the variations are processed using a mixed symbolic and numerical algorithm developed to simulate the ground motions that may be recorded in any kind of two dimensional heterogeneous non-vertical structures. This algorithm is linked (i) to the discrete wavenumber - boundary integral equation method and to the reciprocity theorem to simulate the displacement field radiated to farfield distances by the source site geological structure, and (ii) to ray propagation to propagate the displacement field across the mantle from the source region to the receiver. Variations of the computed displacement amplitudes are as large as twice from one detonation point to another. The shapes of the observed seismograms are modelled with a good reliability for most of the 21 events in the frequency band studied, i.e. 0.2Hz - 2.5Hz. A set of 21 relative yield estimates are derived, which include the source site response and thus the amplitude variations induced by the heterogeneous structure of the source region.

II - 2 Modeling of french nuclear tests in Taourirt Tan Afella Massif, Hoggar, Sahara:

The French nuclear test site for underground explosions was located in the Hoggar Massif at the beginning of the 1960's, 4 km west from In Eker, and 150 km north from Tamanrasset in the South of Algeria. The test site is located in a granitic massif, the Taourirt tan Afella (5°2' E; 24°3' N), which is intruded in two gneiss series. The massif is intrusive in the west side of a 1 km thick mylonitic corridor. This corridor approximately oriented north-south separates the In eker gneiss serie (west side) and the Tefedest gneiss serie (east side). Both series present a north-south schistosity.
Teleseismic waveform modeling including geometrical effects of superficial geological structures near to seismic sources

Stéphane Gaffet

Institut de Géodynamique - 250, rue Albert Einstein - Sophia-Antipolis 1 - 06560 Valbonne - France

Abstract

We analyse observed seismograms of 21 events recorded at teleseismic distances in France from nuclear explosions detonated at Nevada Test Site (NTS). Variations of the displacement waveform, duration, and amplitude are studied in terms of influence of the explosion's medium of burial and location in the laterally heterogeneous Yucca Flat basin. The analysis is made using numerical modelings of data which simulate the modifications of the original source signal by the geometry of the geological surrounding structure. Spalling and non-linear effects are not included in computations. The numerical simulations of the variations are processed using a mixed symbolic and numerical algorithm developed to simulate the ground motions that may be recorded in any kind of two dimensional heterogeneous non-vertical structures. This algorithm is linked (i) to the discrete wavenumber - boundary integral equation method and to the reciprocity theorem to simulate the displacement field radiated to farfield distances by the source site geological structure, and (ii) to ray propagation to propagate the displacement field across the mantle from the source region to the receiver. Variations of the computed displacement amplitudes are as large as twice from one detonation point to another. The shapes of the observed seismograms are modelled with a good reliability for most of the 21 events in the frequency band studied, i.e. 0.2Hz - 2.5Hz. A set of 21 relative yield estimates are derived, which include the source site response and thus the amplitude variations induced by the heterogeneous structure of the source region.

INTRODUCTION

It has long been recognized that the analysis of seismic waveforms induced by underground nuclear tests could provide valuable information for the understanding of source surrounding site effects. Conversely, these source site effects must be taken into account to obtain reliable source information from seismograms.

Neither the influence of physical properties of the source medium (e.g. density, porosity, water saturation) that must be taken into account in source function modeling of underground nuclear tests (Werth and Herbst, 1963; Boardman et al., 1964; Hasegawa, 1971, 1972; Mueller and Murphy, 1971, 1972; Power, 1974), nor non-linear reflections or spalling effects are studied in this paper, i.e. we focus our analysis to displacement waveform variations observed at teleseismic distances that can be ascribed to scattering by structure heterogeneities in the vicinity of the source region (Cleary et al., 1975; Bouchon, 1976; McLaughlin et al., 1987; Ferguson, 1988; McLaughlin and Jih, 1988; Stead and Helberger, 1988).

Figure 1 displays the seismograms recorded by the French Laboratoire de Détectio et de Géophysique (LDG) network for detonations in the central region of Yucca Flat, Nevada. These seismograms were constructed by delay and sum of 5 vertical recordings from the central part of France. The epicentral distance is 79° - 81° and the azimuth from North is 39° - 41°. The epicentral distance corresponds to an angle of incidence from the source region of 16.85° (Pho and Behe, 1972).

Waveforms differ significantly from one explosion to another. For instance, Breton (top in Figure 1) and Texarkana (bottom) display short duration signals (2 seconds) while Jornada or Dalhart show much longer durations (more than 6 seconds). Since the propagation paths from the source region to the receivers are identical, and since the receiver response remains constant for all these explosions, the perturbation and the
duration lengthening of the observed waveforms can be related to reflected paths and to scattering from geological structures located near to the sources. We then limit our study to the farfield influence of the medium structure surrounding the source and therefore ignore the receiver structures in this paper.

In a first part, we describe a new mixed symbolic and numerical procedure developed to compute teleseismic synthetic waveforms for sources located in heterogeneous media. The numerical procedure is an extension of the discrete wavenumber boundary integral equation method (Bouchon and Aki, 1977). For this extension, any kind of non-vertical subsurface or topographic structures can be introduced in the model. In a second part, we study variations of the amplitudes and the waveform perturbations induced by the heterogeneous structure of Yucca Flat as seen at the LDG network. We show that our synthetic seismograms actually reproduce the observed variations in amplitude as well as the anomalous durations of the observed seismograms and that the source medium description used might be accurate enough to describe the global waveforms recorded.

NEAR SOURCE SITE EFFECTS EXPECTED AT YUCCA FLAT

The map of Paleozoic basement depths at Yucca Flat (Figure 2 from Ferguson, 1988) shows a North-South elongated basin with large depth variations in the East-West azimuth. The locations of the 21 studied explosions are depicted by circles. A geological cross section, AA', normal to the main axis of the basin and roughly turned toward the France, is shown in Figure 3. At this cross section, the width of the valley is approximately 12.5km and the maximum depth to Paleozoic basement is 1 km. The water table, wt, is from Doty and Thordarson (1983). It separates the dry, DT, and the wet, WT, tuff levels. Above these volcanic rocks are superficial deposits, SD, whose base depth, sd, is interpolated from drill hole data given by Fernald et al. (1968). Following Bouchon (1976), a superficial layer made up of fan alluvium, FA, is introduced. Finally, the Tertiary-Paleozoic contact depth, tp, is derived from the works of Taylor (1983), Ferguson et al. (1988) and Ferguson (1988). The cross section shows the complexity of the geological units in the central region of Yucca Flat. The aim of this paper is to investigate whether these near source structure heterogeneities could account for the observed waveforms recorded in France.

A basic effect of near source heterogeneities is a non-isotropic radiation pattern of the energy. As a result the source site effect influences on mb, can be cancelled by averaging records from stations well distributed (in azimuths and distances) around the source. But by contrast, if only records from narrow aperture network are available, such as from the LDG network, it is of critical importance to take the near source site effects into account (McLaughlin et al., 1987, McLaughlin and Jih, 1988).

MODELING: METHOD OF COMPUTATION

The farfield P-wave displacement, DISfar, is assumed to result from the convolution of the source function, SOU, with the source site response SITsou, with the propagation wave response, PRO, with the receiver site response, SITrec, and with the recording system response, INS. Thus

\[ DIS_{far} = SOU \ast PRO \ast INS \ast SIT_{rec} \ast SIT_{sou} \]  

Different formulations of the source function SOU have been proposed in terms of reduced displacement potential, (see for example the Haskell model (1967) and two modified forms by von Seggern and Blandford (1972) and by Helmberger and Hadley (1981)). The source formulation used in this paper is the one given by Mueller and Murphy (1971), which takes into account both the yield and the detonation depth. This model involves scaling factors that depend on the source medium and which have been empirically determined for different source environments (Viecelli, 1973; Aki et al., 1974; Murphy, 1977; Denny and Johnson, 1991).
The first few seconds of the teleseismic observations at distances of interest (i.e. 80°) essentially consist of paths that propagated across the mantle. Assuming ray propagation in the mantle, the attenuation along these paths can be described by the Futterman (1962) anelastic attenuation formulation, which in the frequency domain, is given by

\[ \text{PRO} = \exp\left(-\pi f^* t' (1 - \exp(-f/fo))\right) \exp\left(-2i\pi f^* (Q - \frac{1}{\pi} \log f/fo)\right) \exp\left(2i\pi f^* t' \log f\right) \]  

where \( f \) is frequency, \( t' = \int_{\text{path}} 2^{-1} ds \) represents the ray path-integrated effect of the mantle quality factor \( Q \), \( f_0 \) is the frequency above which dispersion occurs in the mantle \( (f_0 > 0) \), \( e \) is the Euler constant. In the 0.2Hz - 2.5Hz frequency band the \( t' \) value can vary between 0.1 and 0.8 (Fraser and Filson, 1972; Cormier, 1982; Der and Lees, 1985). In (2) the first exponential scales the amplitude according to the factor \( t' \), the second term introduces a phase delay, and the last term represents the frequency dispersion function with a phase advance for \( f > 1\text{Hz} \) and a phase delay for \( f < 1\text{Hz} \). This description of the ray attenuation in the mantle implies that the geometrical spreading remains constant for all the explosions studied, which is the case in the source-receiver configuration studied.

The response of the LDG network short period recording system, \( INS \), is dominated by the seismometer response function with a characteristic frequency of 1Hz and a damping factor of \( 1/\sqrt{2} \).

The receiver response, \( SIT_{\text{rec}} \), remains constant for all the explosions studied and is assumed to be flat over all the frequency band for the next computations. The term \( SIT_{\text{eu}} \), computed using the discrete wavenumber - boundary integral equation method, contains the response of the site geological structure surrounding the source.

**Numerical method for source site effects modeling**

Different numerical methods have been investigated to study the influences of the near source heterogeneities on the teleseismic P-wave seismograms. Hasegawa (1971 and 1972) developed a method and correlated the recorded seismogram shape to the geological complexity of the source environments. Bouchon (1976) proposed an alternative method which is related to the Thomson-Haskell method (Thomson, 1950; Haskell, 1953) and to the reciprocity theorem. He demonstrated the great influence of the source depth and of the burying medium on the \( m_b \) estimates. The main limitation of these methods is that the medium must be made up of flat layers. Such a simplification does not apply, even approximately, to the Yucca Flat basin (Figure 2).

Another method proposed by Aki and Larner (1970) has been used by Ferguson (1988) for Yucca Flats explosion modelings, and other empirical or data analysis methods (Taylor, 1983; Lay and Welc. 1987; Lay 1987a and 1987b) emphasize the effect of near source heterogeneous structures on the farfield displacement records. These methods only use a few frequencies to estimate the displacement spectrum, and hence to estimate the yield.

The algorithm developed below removes the restrictions of the previous methods which assume flat-layered media or are limited to a few amplitude samples computed in the frequency domain. This algorithm, in association with the discrete wavenumber - boundary integral equation method and with the reciprocity theorem, is able to compute the complete waveform in the time domain for events located in any kind of topography and subsurface structure.

**Continuity of the stress-displacement field across the interfaces**

We assume that the structure of the medium studied is entirely described by a set of interfaces as shown in Figure 3. An interface is defined as the boundary that separates two given media (one of the two media may be the vacuum, i.e. the boundary is a free surface). Each medium is thus generally bounded by more than one interface. We use the
matrix formulation to describe the problem and do not make explicit the content of these matrices. The reader may refer to Gaffet and Bouchon (1989), Bouchon et al. (1989) and Gaffet and Bouchon (1991) for the complete mathematical formulation.

In the discrete wavenumber - boundary integral equation method, each side of an interface \( i \) is associated with a distribution of regularly spaced horizontal and vertical forces (see Figure 4). The notation \( Q'_j \) is used to represent the vectorial forces located on each side of the interface \( i \) in contact with the media \( I \). The potentials radiated from an interface \( j \) by its forces located in the medium \( J \) and received by the side of an interface \( i \) in contact with the medium \( I \) is given by the product \( A_{ij} Q'_j \). At this first step of the description, the interface \( i \) can receive the diffracted field in medium \( I \) from the interface \( j \) if and only if \( (\text{iff}) \ I = J \). The dimension of the matrix \( A_{ij} \) equals \( 4M_i \times 2M_j \) in the \( P-SV \) problem and \( 2M_i \times M_j \) in the \( SH \) problem, \( M_i \) and \( M_j \) being the number of points used to define the interfaces \( i \) and \( j \).

We introduce, now, a term written \( S'_i \) that describes a seismic source located within the medium \( I \), that acts on the interface \( i \). Using the notations previously defined, we can write the equation which represents the interactions between the interface \( i \) and all the interfaces that describe the model.

\[
S'_i + A_{i,ii} Q'_i + \sum_{j \neq i} A_{i,j} Q'_j = S'_i + A_{i,ii} Q'_i + \sum_{j \neq i} A_{i,j} Q'_j = S'_i + A_{i,ii} Q'_i + \sum_{j \neq i} A_{i,j} Q'_j = 0, \quad \text{if } I' \text{ in (4) is vacuum}
\]

Initiation of the algorithm: first step of elimination procedure

The equations (4) and (5) are repeated for each interface \( i \) and each product of type \( A_{ij} Q'_j \) is computed \( \text{iff } I = J \). (4) and (5) are rewritten below to describe the system of matrix that initiate the first step of the algorithm, \( \text{i.e. level } I = 0 \). The following series of matrices and vectors is obtained for each interface \( i \):

\[
0a_i = \begin{bmatrix} A_{ij} & | & -A_{ij} \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0b_i = 0a_i^{-1} \left( S'_i - S'_i \right)
\]

\( 0a_i \) is a \( 4M_i \times 4M_i \) matrix (\( \text{i.e. } P-SV \text{ case} \)) or a \( 2M_i \times 2M_i \) matrix (\( \text{i.e. } SH \text{ case} \)) that is constructed by concatenation, as indicated by the vertical bar, of the two rectangular matrices \( A_{ij} \) and \( -A_{ij} \). Then, for each interface \( j \) acting on the interface \( i \), we have to compute

\[
0b_{ij} = 0a_i^{-1} \left[ A_{ij} \right]
\]

The terms \( A_{ij} \) exist \( \text{iff } \) it has been computed in (4) and (5), \( \text{i.e. } \text{iff } \) at least one, non vacuum, of the two media \( J \) or \( J' \), in contact with interface \( j \) is also in contact with \( i \). Thus for each interface \( i \), we obtain

\[
Q_i = 0b_i + \sum_{j \neq i} 0b_{ij} Q_j
\]

In (8), the vectors \( Q_i \) and \( Q_j \) correspond to the forces applied on each sides of the interfaces \( i \) and \( j \).
Symbolic elimination

We solve the linear system of matrices described in (8) using symbolic Gauss Jordan elimination. The unknowns are the vectors $Q_i$ and the elements of the system are the rectangular matrices $b_{ij}^L$. The system may be sparse depending on interaction between interfaces $i$ and $j$, i.e., depending on the topology of the structure. Using (8), at step $l$ of elimination, we obtain

$$Q_i = (l^{-1} \beta_i + l^{-1} b_{ii}^L) Q_i + \sum_{j>l,j \neq i} l^{-1} b_{ij}^L Q_j, \; \text{for } i > l$$

(10)

The main difficulty is to avoid multiplication between null terms at step $l$, i.e., the terms corresponding to non-interactive interfaces. An automatic symbolic procedure is adopted which considers that the product $l^{-1} b_{ii}^L Q_i$ must be taken into account iff there exists a fictive path, called $C_1$, that may cross the interfaces $1$ to $l$ to connect the interfaces $l$ and $i$, allowing the diffracted field emitted by the interface $l$ in the medium $L$ or $L'$ to reach the interface $i$ in the medium $I$ or $I'$. The same condition is applied to take into account the product $l^{-1} b_{jj}^L Q_j$. A path, called $C_2$, must cross the interfaces $1$ to $l$ to allow to the field diffracted by the interface $j$ in the medium $J$ or $J'$ to be received by the interface $i$ in the medium $I$ or $I'$.

This mixing of simultaneously numerical calculation and symbolic determination whether a computation has to be made is the crux of the proposed method.

In a similar way we also express $Q_i$ as

$$Q_i = (l^{-1} \beta_i + l^{-1} b_{ii}^L) Q_i + \sum_{j>l,j \neq i} l^{-1} b_{ij}^L Q_j$$

(11)

The product $l^{-1} b_{ii}^L Q_i$ must now be taken into account iff a path, called $C_1'$, exists and crosses the interfaces $1$ to $l$ which permits at step $l$ to the field diffracted by the interface $i$ in the medium $I$ or $I'$ to be received by the interface $l$ in the medium $L$ or $L'$. Finally, to take into account the product $l^{-1} b_{jj}^L Q_j$, a path, called $C_3$, must exist across the interfaces $1$ to $l$ which allows the field diffracted by the interface $j$ in the medium $J$ or $J'$ to be received by the interface $i$ in medium $L$ or $L'$.

Then if we replace $Q_i$ in (8) by its expression given in (9) we get

$$Q_i = (l^{-1} \beta_i + l^{-1} b_{ii}^L l^{-1} \beta_i) + l^{-1} b_{ii}^L l^{-1} b_{ii}^L Q_i$$

$$+ \sum_{j>l,j \neq i} (l^{-1} b_{jj}^L + l^{-1} b_{jj}^L l^{-1} b_{jj}^L) Q_j$$

(12)

and thus

$$Q_i = \left[ I - l^{-1} b_{ii}^L l^{-1} b_{ii}^L \right]^{-1} \left( l^{-1} \beta_i + l^{-1} b_{ii}^L l^{-1} \beta_i \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j>l,j \neq i} \left[ I - l^{-1} b_{jj}^L l^{-1} b_{jj}^L \right]^{-1} \left( l^{-1} b_{jj}^L + l^{-1} b_{jj}^L l^{-1} b_{jj}^L \right) Q_j$$

(13)

We then obtain

$$Q_i = l_i \beta_i + \sum_{j>l,j \neq i} b_{ij}^L Q_j$$

(14)
The different products in (13) that define the matrices \( b_j \) and the vectors \( Q \) in (14) are computed iff the corresponding paths \( C_1, C_2, C_3 \) or \( C_4 \) can be found, i.e., we determine symbolically whether or not the products of matrices in (13) have to be numerically calculated. We have described the iteration \( l - 1 \) to \( l \) of the matrix linear system reduction process that has now to be repeated for \( l = 1 \) onto the \( N^{th} \) interface to complete the resolution of (8).

**Backpropagation of the forces**

At the end of this elimination process, i.e. (10), ..., (14), we obtain an upper triangular system of matrices. Its resolution is straightforward that we call backpropagation of the forces. The last iteration in (14) gives directly the force vector \( Q_{N^{th}} \). This vector is then introduced in the \( N^{th} - 1 \) expression to compute \( Q_{N^{th}-1} \), and so on to back compute all the forces that must be applied on both sides of each interface in order to insure the continuity of the stress-displacement field across the interfaces considered.

Note that this method described here may actually be applied to solve any kind of linear band system with the minimum need of computer memory (each matrix may be saved on mass storage until it is needed), and with the maximum of numerical stability.

**NUMERICAL MODELING OF YUCCA FLAT DATA**

The map given in Figure 2 displays the distribution of the 21 studied detonations. Figure 3 shows the corresponding cross section AA' used for this study. The elastic parameters of each medium summarized in Table 1 are those from Ferguson (1988). \( \alpha, \beta, \rho \) and \( \nu \) denote the \( P \) and \( SV \) velocities, the density and Poisson's ratio.

The focal parameters of the explosions are listed in Table 2 (from USGS bulletin). The locations of the 21 explosions are projected onto the vertical cross section AA' in Figure 5. We assume that the 21 locations have accurate depths and therefore determine the medium of burial. Under this assumption 4 explosions are detonated above the water table in tuff (e.g., Draughts, Tajo, Strake, and Tezarkana). Most of them are below the water table. Breton is assumed to be buried in the sediment deposit level (SD, Figure 1) and appears to be very near the closing area (CA) of all the media near the horst Paleozoic structure.

**Influence of the source location**

We compute the seismograms that should be recorded in France for a line of explosions buried at a depth of 650m in the wet tuff layer, and for yields of 1kt, 10kt, and 100kt. The Mueller and Murphy (1971) source function is used with the parameters corresponding to the wet tuff-rhyolite medium (Murphy, 1977). The \( t^* \) value is taken to be 0.7 (Cormier, 1982). The maximum amplitudes and the location of the corresponding explosions are presented in Figure 6. We obtain a strong variation by a factor of 2 of the maximum 0 to peak amplitude with the location of the detonations (Figure 6 bottom), which appears to be insensitive to the energy of the explosions (i.e., for 1kt, 10kt, and 100kt plotted respectively as black losanges, plus signs, and crosses).

The amplification is maximum for detonations located in the western zone (WZ, Figure 6). In this area, the amplitude variation is very sensitive to the location of the detonations: amplification can vary by as much as 40% for a horizontal shift of only a few hundred meters of the detonation point. The minimum amplification is observed for explosions located in the eastern saturated (EZ) tuff zone. This minimum is not only related to the shape of the Paleozoic basement but rather appears to integrate the geometry of all the surrounding heterogeneous structures. The maximum attenuation occurred for shots detonated in the western part of this zone. We obtain at this point a relative attenuation larger than 50% if compared to the absolute basin maximum amplification, and 40% when compared to the eastern zone maximum amplitude.
These preliminary results confirm the conclusion of previous investigation regarding the necessity to take into account the heterogeneous structures that may exist in the vicinity of seismic sources to accurately analyse seismogram amplitudes obtained from a narrow aperture network.

Waveform fitting - Yield inversion

Synthetic seismograms have been computed using the Mueller and Murphy (1971) source function and compared to our records. The constraint used to construct the synthetic waveforms is simply to best fit the relative amplitudes of the observed seismograms by only adjusting the yield. These best fitting seismograms are shown in Figure 7. Rummy ($m_b = 5.7$), which has the maximum amplitude observed was chosen as the reference event. We therefore arbitrarily fix its yield to be 150kt. This calibration then allows us to estimate the yields of the other explosions. The two last columns of Table 3 summarize the yields obtained using this calibration and the observed relative amplitudes. These two columns illustrate the yield variations that may occur between explosions such as Hermosa and Lowball or Rummy and Jornada (detonated in wet tuff), or Tajo and Tezarkana (detonated in dry tuff), having the same seismogram amplitudes.

The quality of the fits is quantified using the correlation coefficient computed over the first 5 seconds of signal (see last column in Figure 7). Most part of the seismograms have synthetic waveforms which closely follow the observed ones. The longer duration of the ground motion observed for events in the central region (i.e. around Tahoka) is correctly reproduced, as well as the relative amplitudes of the two first troughs corresponding to the $P$ and $pP$ wave arrivals. The fourth peak which induces duration lengthening, arrives approximately 4 seconds after the first arrival. Such a delay is observed on the real seismograms.

There are different ways to explain the slight discrepancy between synthetic $pP-P$ and observed $pP-P$, e.g. a too shallow depth of burial, too large wave velocities or lateral velocity variations as reported by Johnson and McEvilly (1990). We however prefer other explanations which concern the representation of the source radiation for an explosion that takes place near an interface (i.e. at distances smaller than the elastic radius).

(i) For numerical modeling assuming linear elasticity, the source used to represent the nuclear explosion must not be considered as a point source because the elastic zone size becomes important in comparison with the heterogeneity dimensions. Likewise, the spherical elastic zone shape in a homogeneous space should be modified in presence of different media having different compaction properties (Murphy, 1980). Therefore, we must take into account the elastic zone shape and the size of an explosion in heterogeneous medium to explain variations in the frequency content and in the amount of seismic energy radiated outside of the basin. (ii) Another reason for waveform discrepancy is that secondary sources such as spall and non linear reflection at free surface are not included in this work which adresses only the geometrical influence of the structure surrounding the source.

Thus if the shot location in a heterogeneous medium plays a great role in shaping the seismograms, it appears necessary to take care in the explosion source model to have the best possible source as input into the diffracting heterogeneous media system.

CONCLUSIONS

We have implemented in a new numerical algorithm, the discrete wavenumber - boundary integral equation method to simulate source site effects which could account for the farfield radial ground motions. The computation uses the reciprocity theorem to simulate 21 underground explosions from the central region of Yucca Flat valley, Nevada, and recorded in France. This study confirms that teleseismic seismogram waveforms and amplitudes are very sensitive to the shot location for explosions located in a heterogeneous sedimentary-filled basin. We have obtained a good correlation between both shape and duration of
synthetic seismograms and the observed ones. This indicates that the location of the explosions is accurately taken into account in our calculations and that the heterogeneities in the source region must be taken into account for waveform analysis and for yield estimates. Source site effects such as these are of great importance in calibrating a receiver response in relation to a specified site, as well as in calibrating the site of a single explosion. We show that if the near source heterogeneities can be reliably accounted for, the uncertainty on the yield estimate of a nuclear test by a narrow aperture network would be significantly reduced.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1 - Seismograms recorded in France by the French L. D. G. network. The time window is 20 seconds long. Dates, names, and relative amplitudes of all events are given above each seismogram.

Figure 2 - Map of Paleozoic basement at Yucca Flat test site, Nevada. The circles show epicenters of the explosions used in the study. The two first letters of the explosion names are also given (Table 1). The cross section AA' is depicted by the thick bold line. This map is adapted from the map given by Ferguson (1988).

Figure 3 - Cross section AA' (see Figure 2 for location). Lower case letters refer to interfaces (i.e. sd as basement of sedimentary deposits, wt as water table, and tp as tertiary-Paleozoic contact depth). Upper case letters refer to geological units (i.e. FA : fan alluvium, SD : sedimentary deposits, DT : dry tuff, WT : wet tuff, and PZ : paleozoic basement). The display vertical exaggeration is 5:1.

Figure 4 - Description of the interfaces and media notations used in text. We show here three parts of three interfaces i, j, and l. The couples of orthogonal arrows represent the couples of horizontal and vertical forces applied at the sampling points on each side of all interfaces. The name of the media separated by the three interfaces are I, I', J, J', L, and L'. The notations used in text to represent the forces applied (i.e. Qi, Qj, and Ql) are illustrated in this Figure.

Figure 5 - Locations of the 21 explosions studied in relation to the cross section given in Figure 3. The depths and locations are those given by the USGS bulletin.

Figure 6 - Estimated maximum displacement amplitudes that would be received by the French LDG network for a set of 18 fictitious explosions at Yucca Flat test site, Nevada.

Figure 7 - Comparison of synthetic seismograms (thick bold line) with the observed data (thin bold line) for the 21 explosions studied. The synthetics are 10 seconds long and include the LDG short period seismometer response. Dates of each event are noted to the left. The bold numbers are relative amplitudes recorded in France. The explosion names are followed in the last column by the correlation coefficient between synthetic and observed seismograms.
**TABLE 1 - Elastic parameters of media**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>$a$ (m/s)</th>
<th>$b$ (m/s)</th>
<th>$\rho$ (kg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>$\nu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>2140</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PZ</td>
<td>4570</td>
<td>2640</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2 - Event locations and magnitudes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>depth (m)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$m_b$</th>
<th>Event name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37.0867</td>
<td>-116.0711</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>13 Sep</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Breton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.0858</td>
<td>-116.0686</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>30 Aug</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Bullfrog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.0831</td>
<td>-116.0661</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>22 Mar</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Glencoe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>37.0797</td>
<td>-116.0514</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>27 Sep</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Draughts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>37.0722</td>
<td>-116.0500</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>09 Nov</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Sandreef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>37.0533</td>
<td>-116.0453</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>05 Dec</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Kinibito</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>37.0914</td>
<td>-116.0511</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>28 Jan</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Jornada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>37.0658</td>
<td>-116.0464</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>01 Mar</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Tortugas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>37.0608</td>
<td>-116.0453</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>13 Aug</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Tahoka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>37.0889</td>
<td>-116.0492</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>13 Oct</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Dalhart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>37.0728</td>
<td>-116.0458</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>14 Apr</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Turquoise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>37.0786</td>
<td>-116.0439</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>12 Jul</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Lowball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>37.0944</td>
<td>-116.0447</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>25 May</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Crewline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>37.0947</td>
<td>-116.0361</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>03 Jun</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Mizzent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>37.0692</td>
<td>-116.0303</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>04 Feb</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Keelson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>37.0947</td>
<td>-116.0322</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>02 Apr</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Hermosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>37.0947</td>
<td>-116.0278</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>27 Apr</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Bulkhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>37.0739</td>
<td>-116.0197</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>27 Sep</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Rummy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>37.0983</td>
<td>-116.0156</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>05 Jun</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Tajo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>37.0867</td>
<td>-116.0069</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>04 Aug</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Strake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>37.0767</td>
<td>-116.0006</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>10 Feb</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Texarkana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>mb</td>
<td>Event name</td>
<td>buried in Yo (kt)</td>
<td>A / A₀</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Breton SD</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Bullfrog WT</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Glencoe WT</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Draughts DT</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Sandreef WT</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Kinibito WT</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Jornada WT</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Tortugas WT</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Tahoka WT</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Dalhart WT</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Turquoise WT</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Lowball WT</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Crewline WT</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Mizzen WT</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Keelson WT</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Hermosa WT</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Bulkhead WT</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Rummy WT</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Tajo DT</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Strake DT</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Texarkana DT</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FIGURE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 Sep 1984</td>
<td>Brow - (0.13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Aug 1983</td>
<td>Bullfrog - (0.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Mar 1986</td>
<td>Glencoe - (0.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Sep 1978</td>
<td>Draughts - (0.24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Nov 1977</td>
<td>Sandreel - (0.74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Dec 1985</td>
<td>Kinibito - (0.43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Jan 1982</td>
<td>Jornada - (0.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Mar 1984</td>
<td>Tortugas - (0.76)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Aug 1987</td>
<td>Tahoka - (0.77)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Oct 1988</td>
<td>Dalhart - (0.92)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Apr 1983</td>
<td>Turquoise - (0.59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Jul 1978</td>
<td>Lowball - (0.54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 May 1977</td>
<td>Crewline - (0.31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Jun 1975</td>
<td>Mizen - (0.63)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Feb 1976</td>
<td>Keelson - (0.59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Apr 1985</td>
<td>Hermosa - (0.54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Apr 1977</td>
<td>Bulkhead - (0.41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Sep 1978</td>
<td>Rummy - (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Jun 1986</td>
<td>Tajo - (0.20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Aug 1977</td>
<td>Strake - (0.15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Feb 1989</td>
<td>Texarkana - (0.20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SUMMARY
The influence of the topography on the shape and amplitude of seismograms recorded at short distances is investigated for a set of nuclear explosions detonated in the Touarirt tan Afella mountain, Hoggar, Sahara, mountain where was located the French nuclear test site during 1960's. The wavefield observed in one side in comparison to the other side of mountain show a phase generated with a strong amplitude by reflection of the direct field inside of the mountain. This phase is back-diffracted and can be seen in North azimuth and not in South azimuth. The existence of such a phase is correlated to the source location inside of the mountain. The amplitudes are also modified by the topography with a variation of 25% between observations made in the North and in the South azimuths.

Key words: nuclear explosion, numerical modeling, site effect

1 INTRODUCTION
The French nuclear test site used at the beginning of 1960's was located in the Hoggar massif (Figure 1), 4 km west from In Eker, and 150 km North from Tamanrasset in South of Algeria. The test site is located in a granitic massif, the Taourirt tan Afella (5°2'E; 24°3'N), which is intruded in two gneiss series. (Fauré, 1972; Boullier and Bertrand, 1981). The massif is intrusive in the west side of a 1km thick mylonitic corridor (Figure 2, from Faure, 1972). This corridor, approximately oriented North-South separates the In Eker gneiss serie (west side) and the Tefedest gneiss serie (east side). Both series present a North-South schistosity. The massif is embedded in a thin level of sand (Figure 3, from Faure, 1972). It presents an ellipsoidal shape, 8km long in the North-South direction and 5.6km large in the east-west direction and culminates at 2000m high over a region with 1000m mean elevation.

The study presented here, corresponds to the first step realized in waveform analysis of the French explosions detonated in 1960's in the Taourirt tan Afella massif, Hoggar, Sahara. This study concerns the influence of the topography onto the ground motions at local distances (i.e. from 1km to 30km) in the aim to understand the waveforms observed in the NS and EW azimuths at BRI (approximately 2km southwest from the explosions studied), BRIII (15km west), INA (i.e. In Amguel, 30km South), and by the French LDG network (2000km in the North).

2 DATA COLLECTED
13 underground explosions have been detonated inside of the massif between November 1961 and February 1966 (see table below). Three sets of the ground velocities recorded, are displayed figures 4, 5, and 6, which correspond to the explosions Rubis, Opale, and Jade respectively. Only Rubis has been recorded at short distance (1.73km) from the source at BRI. All explosions have been recorded at distances of around 15km at BRIII and 30km at INA.

Place for Table 1

The shape of the ground velocity differs greatly between these three explosions. The recordings at BRIII and INA for Rubis show pure P-wave and Rayleigh wave. The recordings of Opale show a long surface wavetrain onto the horizontal component. The
greatest difference is found for recordings of explosion Jade. It presents a very long and strong coda associated to the surface wave at BRIII and INV. These differences may be explained by different causes, i.e. explosion working (spalling, coupling), water saturation in source region (and its evolution between each experiment), surrounding geological structures and topography which induce multipathing and amplification effects.

3 STUDY

Our interest is to understand the ground displacement waveforms at local distances, lower than 30km, in both NS and EW azimuths, and at teleseismic distances at 20°, in relation with the location of the shot inside of the Taourirt tan Afella massif, and with the azimuth of recording. In the aim to realize this objective, the Taourirt tan Afella structure is considered as to be superposition of (i) the topography and (ii) the crustal underground structure as defined by Munier (1982). Assuming linear superposition of both effects, this decomposition is useful to separate effects induced by surficial and by underground heterogeneities.

The results presented below, are relative to topographical effects of Touarirt tan Afella for the NS azimuth. They discuss about the ground displacement modifications induced (i) by the topography and (ii) by the detonation location inside of the mountain. The numerical method used for computation is the discrete wavenumber - boundary integral equation method (Bouchon and Aki, 1977; Gaffet and Bouchon, 1989). The wave propagation velocities are \( \alpha = 6\text{km/s} \) and \( \beta = \alpha/\sqrt{3} \), and the source time function is a Ricker pulse.

Figure 7 is shown as reference, the ground velocities obtained for an explosion buried at 300m depth under a flat surface. The only waves generated are the direct P-wave followed by the Rayleigh wave with a shorter amplitude.

Figure 8, displays the ground velocities obtained when the explosion is at the same depth (i.e. 300m), straight under the top of Taourirt tan Afella mountain (configuration is given figure 9). The source pulse is centered at 3Hz. The time duration is 13s and the observations ranges from 21km in South (+ signs) to 30km in North (- signs) directions. Distances and relatives amplitudes are written for each H and Z velocity components. Besides the direct field (line P, Figure 8) which is followed by a Rayleigh wave (R1) with a strong amplitude on both side of the mountain, two other branches appear which propagate with a delay of 1.7s in the North direction. These two phases are (i) reflexion inside of the massif of the direct P-wave (RD) and (ii) a second Rayleigh wavetrain (R2) generated by the interaction between the reflected P-wave inside of the irregular topography. In South azimuth a phase (S) propagates with the S-wave velocity. It is vertically polarized and is observed at the front of the Rayleigh wave branch (R1). This phase is a S surface wave generated in the flat zone of the massif. The maximum amplitudes computed in North direction are 60% the one obtained in the South.

Figure 10 shows a comparison between horizontal (H) and vertical (Z) ground velocities obtained at 20km North and South from the source in the configuration shown figure 9. Three observations can be made using this explosion location configuration. (i) The amplitude of the vertical component is significantly modified by the topography while the horizontal one is not, (ii) the shape and amplitude of the direct P-wave are not affected by the topography, and (iii) the amplitude and shape of the diffracted field (i.e Rayleigh wave and secondary phases) of both horizontal and vertical components are different.

We now study the influence of the location onto the ground velocity when an explosion is detonated in South (explosion A, figure 11) and in North (explosion B, figure 12) inside of the mountain. Explosions A and B are supposed to be buried 300m depth normal to surface topography. The distances of observation is approximately 15km South and North from the source. The pulse source is centered at 4Hz, and the seismograms are computed
for a time window of 7.8s (Figure 13). The relative amplitude and the horizontal offset if written in the right side of each component. The diffracted field, i.e. mainly composed by the phases noted (S), (R1), (R2), has a higher frequency content for explosion A than for explosion B. This is observed for all ground velocity components on both sides of the massif. This observation may be related to the lower elevation of the mountain in the explosion A zone. The phase (R2) is well observed for the two explosions on the $AzS$ and $BzN$ components while the surface $S$-wave appears on the $AzN$ and $BzS$ components. This behaviour is coherent with the observation previously made, figure 8. We notice the phase delay of the (R1) phase on $BhS$ component.

Thus, the different phases are symmetrically observed for explosions A and B. But as for it, the frequency contents of the diffracted fields are significantly different, between explosion A and B, as well as the relative amplitude of the different components.

4 CONCLUSION

We realize here the first step of a global seismological study of Saharian explosions. The preliminary results show the great influence of the topography onto the ground velocity recorded in the frequency band between 1 to 4Hz, at distances from 1 to 30km far from explosions detonated inside of the granitic massif of the Taourirt tan Afella, Hoggar, Sahara.

The general result is that, alone the topography strongly shapes the ground velocities and their amplitudes. This result encourages us to enter the second step of the study concerning the underground basaltic and mohorovicic structures, associated to the lateral variations of the medium, such as the NS mylonitic corridor, in the aim to get a complete explanation of the waveform recorded.

Yet, the main results are the following ones. The back scattered wave shapes very strongly the seismograms. The surface wave appears to be generated after reflexion of the direct field onto the opposit side of the massif. The back scattered field is made up of both $P$-surface wave and Rayleigh wave which simply duplicate the direct corresponding fields. We show that for a receiver located at the same epicentral distance, the amplitude of the vertical ground velocity may vary with a factor of 2, from one side to the other side of the massif. We show that the duration of the surface wavetrain is strongly enlarged depending on the side (the azimuth) of observation.
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Figure 1: Map of North Africa. The gray filled circle indicates the location of the French nuclear test site in Algeria, during 1960's.

Figure 2: This map, from Faure (1972), illustrates the geological formation located around the Taourirt tan Afella test site.

Figure 3: Topographical section in North-South azimuth across Taourirt tan Afella massif, from Faure (1972).

Figure 4: Seismograms recorded during Rubis experiment, at BRI, BRIII, and INA. The time scale is repeated with different shape for each trace.

Figure 5: Seismograms recorded during Opale experiment, at BRIII and INA.

Figure 6: Seismograms recorded during Jade experiment, at BRIII and INA.

Figure 7: Horizontal (H) and vertical (Z) components of the ground velocity computed for an explosion buried 300 m depth under a flat surface in a homogeneous space. The source is a Ricker pulse centered at 3.5 Hz. The time window is 7.8 s. Receivers are identified by their epicentral distances (from -18 km to +18 km).

Figure 8: Horizontal (H) and vertical (Z) seismograms computed in the configuration described figure 9. The time duration is 13 s. The source is an explosion with a pulse time function centered at 3 Hz. The epicentral distances of the receivers are from +21 km in the South to -30 km in the North. The relative amplitude are written above the epicentral distances. The notation used to highlight the different phases are discussed in the text.

Figure 9: Geometrical configuration used to compute the seismograms presented figure 8. The P and S wavelength are illustrated above the topography.

Figure 10: Comparison of ground velocity computed at an epicentral distance of 20 km for each side of the mountain. See text for explanation.

Figure 11: Geometrical configuration used for the explosion A.

Figure 12: Geometrical configuration used for the explosion B.

Figure 13: Comparison of the horizontal and vertical components of the ground velocity for the explosion A (left side) and for explosion B (right side). For explosion A, the two receivers are 14.9 km far in the South and in the North from explosion location. For explosion B, the two receivers have an offset of 15.5 km in the North and in the South azimuths from explosion. The different components are noted as follow in the text, AB hz NS. The first letter refers to the explosion (A or B), the second letter refers to the component (H or Z), and the third letter refers to the azimuth of observation (South or North).
**TABLE 1 - French explosions characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explosion</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>TU</th>
<th>Lon  E</th>
<th>Lat  N</th>
<th>mb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agate</td>
<td>11/7/1961</td>
<td>11h 29mn</td>
<td>59.931s</td>
<td>5° 3' 7.6&quot;</td>
<td>24° 3' 25.5&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryl</td>
<td>5/1/1962</td>
<td>10h 00mn</td>
<td>0.458s</td>
<td>5° 2' 30.8&quot;</td>
<td>24° 3' 46.8&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeraude</td>
<td>3/18/1963</td>
<td>10h 02mn</td>
<td>0.351s</td>
<td>5° 3' 7.9&quot;</td>
<td>24° 2' 28.9&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amethyste</td>
<td>3/30/1963</td>
<td>9h 59mn</td>
<td>0.328s</td>
<td>5° 3' 25.2&quot;</td>
<td>24° 2' 36.0&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubis</td>
<td>10/20/1963</td>
<td>13h 00mn</td>
<td>0.011s</td>
<td>5° 2' 19.0&quot;</td>
<td>24° 2' 7.8&quot;</td>
<td>5.6 (USGS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opale</td>
<td>2/14/1964</td>
<td>11h 00mn</td>
<td>0.347s</td>
<td>5° 3' 8.6&quot;</td>
<td>24° 3' 13.1&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topaze</td>
<td>6/15/1964</td>
<td>13h 40mn</td>
<td>0.367s</td>
<td>5° 2' 4.4&quot;</td>
<td>24° 3' 59.8&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turquoise</td>
<td>11/28/1964</td>
<td>10h 30mn</td>
<td>0.035s</td>
<td>5° 2' 30.1&quot;</td>
<td>24° 2' 30.7&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saphir</td>
<td>2/27/1965</td>
<td>11h 30mn</td>
<td>0.039s</td>
<td>5° 1' 52.3&quot;</td>
<td>24° 3' 31.4&quot;</td>
<td>5.8 (USGS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jade</td>
<td>5/30/1965</td>
<td>11h 00mn</td>
<td>0.037s</td>
<td>5° 3' 3.1&quot;</td>
<td>24° 3' 18.0&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corindon</td>
<td>10/1/1965</td>
<td>10h 00mn</td>
<td>0.043s</td>
<td>5° 2' 2.6&quot;</td>
<td>24° 3' 53.7&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourmaline</td>
<td>12/1/1965</td>
<td>10h 30mn</td>
<td>0.088s</td>
<td>5° 2' 48.9&quot;</td>
<td>24° 2' 37.4&quot;</td>
<td>5.1 (USGS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenat</td>
<td>2/16/1966</td>
<td>11h 00mn</td>
<td>0.035s</td>
<td>5° 2' 28.4&quot;</td>
<td>24° 2' 39.0&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1**
FIGURE 2

From Faure, 1972

[Diagram with various geological features labeled in French, such as mylonites, gneiss d'In Eker, gneiss de la Tefedest, migmatites de la Tefedest, granites de la Tefedest, granites synéctostoniques (Gôme et In Eker), granites des Taourirt, calcaires, and roches filoniennes d'après H. CARRAT.]
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**FIGURE 12**
Ground velocity for a pulse source centered at 4 Hz - Time window: 7.8 s

**Figure 13**

*Horizontal (H) and vertical (V) components*
The massif is embedded in a thin level of sand. It presents an ellipsoidal shape, 8 km long in the north-south direction and 5.6 km large in the east-west direction and culminates at 2000 m high over a region with 1000 m mean elevation.

The study we have carried out corresponds to the first step in wave form analysis of the French explosions detonated in 1960's in the Taouarirt tan Afella Massif, Hoggar, Sahara.

The study is composed of two parts:

First it concerns the influence of topography onto the ground motions at local distances (i.e. from 1 km to 30 km) and at teleseismic distances (2000 km). Second, it concerns the influence of the underground crustal structures at the same distances.

Both steps have been proceeded in the aim to understand the waveforms observed in the NS and EW azimuths at station BRI (approximately 2 km Southwest from the explosions), BRIII (15 km west), INA (i.e. In Amguel, 30 km south), and by the French LDG network located 2000 km to the North.

The preliminary results show the large influence of the topography onto the ground velocity which was recorded in the frequency band between 1 and 4 Hz, at distances from 1 to 30 km far from explosions detonated inside the granitic massif.

The general result is that the topography alone strongly shapes the ground velocities and their amplitudes. This result encourages us to enter the second step of the study concerning the underground basaltic and Mohorovicic structures, associated to the lateral variations of the medium, such as the NS mylonitic corridor, in the aim to get a comprehensive explanation of the recorded waveform.

The main results are the following:

- The back scattered wave shapes very strongly the seismograms. The surface wave appears to be generated after reflexion of the direct field onto the opposite side of the massif. The back scattered field is made of both P-surface wave and Rayleigh wave which simply duplicate the direct corresponding fields.

- We show that for a receiver located at the same epicentral distance, the amplitude of the vertical ground velocity may vary with a factor of 2, from one side to the other side of the massif.
III - Data processings associated with a mini-array recently built in the Center of France:

III - 1 Detection and phase identification capabilities:

During the 80's, substantial efforts have been carried out to use local mini-arrays for automatic event detection (e.g.: Mikkelveit et al., 1983). Beside these studies, some researches have also been undertaken to evaluate their capabilities of automatic azimuth and slowness determination, for location purpose.

For similar objectives, the French Laboratoire de Détection et de Géophysique (LDG) has installed in 1990 a small temporary local network in the Center of France, provisory composed of 5 vertical component short period seismometers with an aperture of 1.2 km (In the next future 10 stations would be set up). Ninety eight (98) teleseismic events have been recorded by the network during 6 months of operation. We present here the main result concerning the automatic determination of azimuth and slowness for each event of this dataset. Two different methods of data processing are tested and compared for that purpose.

1) The methods:

• The first method, so-called "frequency-wavenumber (f-k) method", has often been used by seismologists (e.g.: Capon, 1969, Gupta et al., 1990). At the opposite of the original use of this method which computes the K-spectrum using filtered signals in a narrow band, we have followed the algorithm proposed by Nawab et al., (1985), which uses the zero-delay spectrum to obtain a k-spectrum containing information integrated over the whole frequency range. Then the azimuth is evaluated by searching the maximum value of the radial energy of the K-spectrum.

• The second method is just a "correlation method". In a first step, the cross-correlation function of the stations taken two by two leads to the determination of arrival-time differences, with an accuracy of one sampling interval (i.e.: 0.02 s in our case). In a second step, the cross-spectrum phase allows to compute the residual arrival-time difference, less than 0.02 s. This residual arrival-time difference is determined by the slope of the phase as a frequency function in the characteristic frequency band of the signals.
Then, assuming a plane wave as a propagation model, these arrival-time differences are used to compute both azimuth and slowness of the wave.

2) The results:

We have processed the whole dataset of 98 teleseismic events.

Besides 12 events (given by numbers), the standard deviation of the residuals is 15 degrees, in the 1000-8500 km range. For larger distances, the incident wave being very close to the vertical axis leads to a poor azimuthal determination, as expected.

A similar study has been made with the correlation method, for the determination of azimuth using, first, arrival-time differences obtained from the cross-correlation functions, and secondly, those computed by both cross-correlation functions and cross-spectrum phases. It clearly shows the gain obtained by the use of the cross-spectrum, specially in the 1000-8500 km range. This is again demonstrated by testing the consistency of the arrival-time differences set which must verify the triangular Chasles relation is: \( \Delta t_{ij} = \Delta t_{ik} + \Delta t_{kj} \) for all \( i; j; k \). The RMS value of the residuals of the Chasles relation is 0.013 s when we only use arrival-time differences determined by cross-correlation, and 0.002 s in the second case.

Another advantage can be attributed to the "correlation method". At the opposite of "(fk) method" which assumes a plane wave and model, we can use a more refined model defined by a plane wave and a set of time delays affecting the arrival-times. Using the global dataset, we can statistically compute each station anomaly as the mean value of the residuals. This leads to time delays ranging from -0.008 s to 0.005 s producing time differences greater than half a sampling interval for some couples of stations.

The residual azimuths as a function of the true azimuths obtained from USGS, take into account the station anomalies, clearly shows a cosine dependence which might be explained by a deeping structure of the crust layers below the network. Final results taking into account this cosine dependence within the range of 1000-8500 km, show that the standard deviation becomes less than 10 degrees with only two aberrant points.

We have used a set of 98 telesismic events recorded by a temporary 5 stations mini-array set up in the Center of France to test two methods for automatic measurement of P-wave azimuth.
The first method, or "(f-k) method", gives as expected consistent results in most of the cases (azimuth determination with an error of 15 degrees and 12 aberrant evaluations).

A second method, the "correlation method", derived from the doublets method (Poupinet et al., 1982, Plantet et al.; 1985), uses a step-by-step algorithm, computing first, on set time differences, then uses the cross-spectrum as a vernier to refine these differences. It also allows to introduce station anomalies to correct the propagation model before the azimuth computation. Azimuth is then determined with an error of less than 10 degrees in the 1000-8500 km range with only two aberrant determinations. Consequently, better results are obtained with this method.

Further studies will investigate the regional domain for which the higher frequency content will give a better accuracy in the arrival-time differences.

We suggest such a correlation method to be tested with seismic data recorded in other mini-arrays.

III - 2 Automatic processing of seismic events recorded on a mini-array (signal analysis combined with neural networks):

We present a new method for automatic processing of seismic events recorded on a 5 - station mini-array located in Central France.

The first step of the process consists in the computation of accurate arrival time differences for each couple of stations using their correlation function. These arrival time differences computed for different time-windows and for different frequency bands allow us to get three time-frequency plots representing the consistancy of the time-delay set, the velocity and the azimuth deduced from the location performed with these time-delays. The consistancy of the time-delay set is then used as a signal detector.

For teleseismic events, the location is strickly deduced from the velocity and the azimuth and leads to an accuracy of less than 10 degrees for distances lower than 80 degrees.
For regional events, an additional step is needed to identify the different phases. This task is performed by a neural network using as inputs for each time-step the velocities computed on the current part of signal filtered in different frequency bands provided that the consistency of the time-delay set is verified. A multi-layered perceptron then computes the possibility of appearance for each phase as a function of time. The more probable azimuth is determined and used as a filter. Finally, the distance is computed by choosing in propagation tables the best solution according to the possibilities.
EARTHQUAKE LOCATION APPLIED TO A MINI-ARRAY:
K-SPECTRUM VERSUS CORRELATION METHOD

Yves Cansi, Jean-Louis Plantet and Bernard Massinon
Laboratoire de Détection et de Géophysique

Abstract. Two different methods devoted to automatic location of both regional and teleseismic events recorded on a mini-array are compared in terms of location accuracy. The first method belongs to the frequency-wavenumber methods family; the second one uses signal processing to compute accurate time-delays and then derive the event parameters. In the latter method a careful study of each time-delay set is performed in order to remove ambiguity errors using Chasles relationship. It leads to an accuracy of about 10 degrees on the azimuth determination for distances smaller than 80 degrees, and 3 degrees for regional distances. Finally, a criterion derived from these relations is proposed for phase detection and identification.

Introduction

During the 80's, many attempts were made to adapt seismic arrays to automatic signal detection and event location. Besides these studies, some research has been conducted in order to evaluate their capabilities of automatic azimuth and slowness determination [e.g.: Mykkelniet et al. 1990].

In 1989, the 'Laboratoire de Détection et de Géophysique' (LDG) temporarily installed a 5-station small aperture mini-array, which recorded more than 400 seismic events during its 4-month operation period. This dataset has been used to study an automatic location process adapted for both teleseismic and regional events. Two methods were used with a different approach: one belongs to the spectral methods family, the other analyzes directly the time series.

We present the results concerning the automatic determination of azimuth and slowness for two sub-datasets (teleseismic and regional events), using these two different methods, and compare them.

The Data

During the test, the mini-array was mainly composed of 5 short-period vertical component seismometers. Figure 1 shows a map of the mini-array centered on 45.741°N 2.0133°E. It has an extension of 2.1 km in the north-south direction and 1.3 km in the east-west direction.

The recorded signals were transmitted to station GM5 for numerical recording after a 12-bit digitalization at a rate of 50 samples per second. This structure with a single clock provides an homogeneous digitalization, and allows comparison of signals recorded by different stations with a high accuracy.

The 106 teleseismic events used for the study are those which have been localized by USGS. The epicentral distance range extends from 9 to 176 degrees, and twenty-eight events have propagated PKP phases. Their magnitudes range from $m_b=4.1$ (at a distance of 10 degrees) to $m_b=6.4$.

The dataset for local and regional events is composed of 30 earthquakes recorded and localized by the national permanent LDG network. Their epicentral distances range from 42 to 1540 km and their magnitude from $M_L=2.2$ to $M_L=5.3$. In this dataset, for 16 events the Pg-phase is the first arrival; for the 14 remaining events, Pn is the first arrival.

The Methods

The first method (denoted by 'k-spectrum Method') belongs to the 'frequency-wavenumber methods' family. The classical formulation of these methods has often been used by seismologists [e.g.: Capon, 1969, Ingate et al., 1985]. It assumes that the propagation of the wave through the mini-array can be modeled by a plane-wave. So it investigates the horizontal wavenumber plane (kx,ky) for each frequency, and determines the azimuth and the slowness of the wave from the wavenumber which gives the maximum of energy.

In order to take into account wideband signals (such as signals from local events), we have used the 'Zero-Delay Power Spectrum' as suggested by Gupta et al. [1989]. This method, proposed by Nawab et al. [1985], computes the 'Zero-Delay Covariance Matrix' from the recorded signals, then computes the wavenumber spectrum using the 'Maximum Likelihood Estimator'. For a plane wave with a given velocity, the radial k-spectrum in the wave azimuth is the spectrum of the wave. The estimation of azimuth and slowness is given by the wave number which realizes the...
maximum of energy. Another estimation of the azimuth can be obtained by searching for the azimuth which gives the maximum of radial energy integrated over the radial wavenumbers. In the following, we refer to these two kinds of azimuth estimation as the 'Maximum of Amplitude' and the 'Maximum of Radial Energy' determinations respectively.

The second method is based on the correlation of the signals. In contrast to the 'k-spectrum Method' which computes the wave parameters by a straightforward process, the 'Correlation Method' acts step by step and computes sequentially the arrival-time differences for each couple of stations, then derives the wave parameters (azimuth and slowness) using a classical location method [e.g.: Husebye, 1969]. This step-by-step algorithm allows us to correct the arrival-time differences for plane wave model anomalies using for example a set of statistically determined station corrections.

The high accuracy measurement of the arrival-time differences \( \Delta t_{ij} \) for each couple of stations is performed in two steps. A rough estimate (step 1) is yielded by the maximum value of the cross-correlation function (maximum accuracy equal to the sampling interval), then a refined one (step 2) which takes into account the frequency content is obtained by the slope of the weighted least-squared fit of the cross-spectrum phase as a function of selected frequencies which have high signal-to-noise ratio. The coherency function is used as the weighting factor. Nevertheless, for teleseismic events, the slope of the fit cannot be determined with a good accuracy because of their narrow frequency-band. To remove this instability, we use the fact that the phase is tending to zero with frequency and solve the least-squared fit only for the slope.

For local events, seismic signals have a higher frequency content, and consequently, in some cases (specially for small events) the cross-correlation function has not a unique well-defined maximum. Two or more delays might give correlation factors close to one another. This feature is named 'ambiguity'.

To remove the errors induced by this ambiguity, the 'consistency' of the time-delay set is tested. For each set of stations i, j, k, let us introduce the residual \( r_{ijk} \), also denoted Chasles residual, and the error of each time-delay \( e_{ij} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
  r_{ijk} &= \Delta t_{ij} + \Delta t_{jk} + \Delta t_{ki} \\
  e_{ij} &= r_{ij} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

The time-delay set is considered as 'consistent' if the triangular 'Chasles relationship':

\[
 r_{ijk} = 0
\]

holds for all stations i, j, k. If not, we have to find the \( e_{ij} \)'s for which:

\[
 e_{ij} + e_{jk} + e_{ki} = r_{ijk}
\]

This system is strongly underdetermined; but we can translate it into an integer-number system of equations by assuming that all these numbers are multiples of the sampling (or oversampling) interval. Furthermore, we are only interested in the largest values of the errors (i.e.: large enough to correspond to another maximum of the corresponding correlation function). Using linear programming, we can easily solve this problem, and then use the largest values of \( e_{ij} \) to look for a new consistent time-delay set.

Figure 2 shows an example which describes clearly this feature. The cross-correlation function of a Pn-wave is shown, by dots for the original digitization frequency (50 Hz), and by a solid line for an oversampling rate of 8. The triangle shows the maximum of this function, and the arrow the secondary maximum which is compatible with the maxima of the other couples of stations using Chasles relationship. For this event, table 1 shows the results ('RMS Ch': root mean squared of Chasles residuals, 'AV' and 'ΔAz': velocity and azimuth errors relative to Pn-velocity and to true azimuth respectively) in the two cases: with and without the use of Chasles relationship. It indicates clearly that valuable results are obtained when we use Chasles relationship (even without oversampling).

The Results for Teleseismic Events

The two azimuth estimation methods derived from k-spectrum analysis have been applied to each event.

Figure 3 shows the difficulty of determination of the azimuth using the 'Maximum of Amplitude' determination: the solid curve represents the radial maximum of energy versus azimuth, which is bounded by the k-spectrum value for k=0. The dashed one shows the variation of the radial energy versus azimuth. This last determination gives a better precision because of its greater range of amplitude.

The errors of azimuth determinations (i.e.: the differences between the k-spectrum azimuths and azimuths derived from the USGS locations) are plotted versus distance on figure 4. For both kinds of determination (i.e.: 'Maximum of Amplitude' and 'Maximum of Radial Energy'), the azimuth is not available for distances greater than 80 degrees (specially PKP-distances), but for smaller distances the determination is available and the standard deviation is 18 degrees for the 'Maximum of Amplitude'.

![Fig. 2. Example of ambiguity error for a Pn-wave. See text for explanations.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use of Chasles Relationship</th>
<th>Sampling Rate (Hz)</th>
<th>RMS Ch (s)</th>
<th>ΔAz (°)</th>
<th>ΔV (km/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
Cansi et al.: K-spectrum Versus Correlation Method

The Results for Regional Events

Concerning the regional events, we have only used the 'Correlation Method'. The high frequency content of the signals (especially for the Pn-phase) leads to wavelengths of the order of the size of the mini-array. So, the k-spectrum contains many relative maxima which have amplitudes very close to each other.

Fig. 4. Azimuth errors (relative to USGS azimuths) as a function of distance, using 'Maximum of Radial Energy'.

Fig. 3. Variation of radial maximum amplitude (solid line) and radial energy (dashed line) versus azimuth.

determination and 15 degrees for the 'Maximum of Radial Energy' one.

The same dataset has also been processed by the 'Correlation Method'. For each event, the RMS value of the Chasles residuals $r_{ijk}$ is less than 0.003s, which gives an evaluation of the arrival-time differences measurements.

Using the time-delay sets of all the events, we have localized them and then computed station anomalies as the mean values of the residuals. The greatest one (GM7: 0.008s) cannot be neglected compared with the measurement accuracy: 0.003s.

Final results, taken into account the station corrections, are presented in figure 5. The azimuth errors are plotted versus USGS azimuth. It shows clearly a cosine dependence which might be explained by a dipping structure of the crust layers under the mini-array. After removal of this cosine dependence, the standard deviation is reduced to 9 degrees.

The Results for Regional Events

Concerning the regional events, we have only used the 'Correlation Method'. The high frequency content of the signals (especially for the Pn-phase) leads to wavelengths of the order of the size of the mini-array. So, the k-spectrum contains many relative maxima which have amplitudes very close to each other.
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Fig. 3. Variation of radial maximum amplitude (solid line) and radial energy (dashed line) versus azimuth.

determination and 15 degrees for the 'Maximum of Radial Energy' one.

The same dataset has also been processed by the 'Correlation Method'. For each event, the RMS value of the Chasles residuals $r_{ijk}$ is less than 0.003s, which gives an evaluation of the arrival-time differences measurements.

Using the time-delay sets of all the events, we have localized them and then computed station anomalies as the mean values of the residuals. The greatest one (GM7: 0.008s) cannot be neglected compared with the measurement accuracy: 0.003s.

Final results, taken into account the station corrections, are presented in figure 5. The azimuth errors are plotted versus USGS azimuth. It shows clearly a cosine dependence which might be explained by a dipping structure of the crust layers under the mini-array. After removal of this cosine dependence, the standard deviation is reduced to 9 degrees.

The 'Correlation Method' used as a Detector

As described above, Chasles relationship is used to test the consistency of the time-delay set. When applied to plane wave signals, the RMS of Chasles residuals is comparable to the measurement accuracy (i.e.: 0.003s).

If we apply this process to the noise recorded on the stations, RMS of Chasles residuals is about 0.01s or more, to be compared to the 0.003s obtained for seismic signals. Consequently, we can use this quantity as a discriminant between seismic signals and noise.

Figure 7 shows an example for a local event. For different frequency-bands, the signals are analysed by using a moving time-window of 2s-width. The plot on the top shows a colored map of the velocity obtained for each position of the time-window (horizontal axis) and of the

Fig. 5. Final results for teleseisms using 'Correlation Method': azimuth error is plotted versus USGS azimuth. Filled symbols are not used for the computation of cosine dependence.

Fig. 6. Final results for regional events using 'Correlation Method': azimuth error is plotted versus LDG azimuth. Filled symbols are not used for the computation of cosine dependence.
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It clearly appears that only the time-windows corresponding to the beginning of each phase match this criterion. The influence of the frequency-band is also clearly seen: the Pn-phase is consistent in the high frequency domain, but Lg-phase consistency is limited to low frequencies. Furthermore, the velocity distribution allows a phase identification without any ambiguity.

Conclusions

We have tested two methods devoted to automatic location of both teleseismic and regional events. They are based on a major assumption: the very good correlation of the different records of each event between stations. Moreover, another assumption is needed by the k-spectrum method: it assumes that a plane wave model is valid for all the data.

For teleseisms, using the azimuth determined by the 'Maximum of Radial Energy' of the k-spectrum, the azimuth standard error is about 15 degrees for distances smaller than 80 degrees. On the opposite, no a priori model is needed for the correlation method. This allows us to proceed in two steps: firstly, a rough \( \Delta \) evaluation (correlation), and then a refined one (phase spectrum), with the possibility of introducing station anomalies before location. Nevertheless, a careful study of the time-delay set is necessary to be sure of its consistency (Chasles relationship). Using this method, the standard error on azimuth is reduced to less than 10 degrees, after correction of an evident cosine azimuthal dependance. This dependance might be due to a dipping structure of the crustal layers under the mini-array.

Similarly, for regional events, the azimuth standard error is less than 3 degrees, for a data set including both Pn and Pg phases.

Finally, we have tested the detection possibilities of the correlation method by using a criterion based on the consistency of the Chasles residuals. It allows us to detect and to identify the later arrivals inside each signal, such as Sn and Sg waves for regional events. Further studies will investigate the potential of this method in some other cases such as a new implementation of the mini-array using 10 stations and also its application to a larger network of regional extension.
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AUTOMATIC PROCESSING OF SEISMIC EVENTS RECORDED ON A MINI-ARRAY
(SIGNAL ANALYSIS COMBINED WITH NEURAL NETWORKS)
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OBJECTIVES

Among the different methods used to automatically locate earthquakes recorded on a mini-array, the method based on the broad band F-k analysis is the most common (e.g.: Mykkeltveit et al., 1990). However, this method assumes that the propagation of the considered wave front can be modeled by a plane-wave at the scale of the array. Furthermore, in the case of local model variations (e.g.: station anomalies) the resulting precision is very low because of the large wavelength compared to the array extension. To take into account these difficulties, we have tested another method based on a high precision determination of the arrival-times, from which the event location is derived by a classical Husebye's method which computes the velocity and the azimuth of the wave. Each time-window is processed by this method in different frequency bands and activated only if the arrival-time delay set is consistent. Furthermore, this consistency is used as a signal detector and leads to three time-frequency functions, representing first the consistency, and second the velocity and azimuth when they are available. In the case of teleseismic events, the event location is strictly derived from the determinations of the velocity and the azimuth. But for regional events a phase identification is needed. This task is performed by a neural network which uses the three time-frequency functions as inputs and which leads to an estimate of each phase occurrence possibility as a function of time.

Processing of a set of 28 regional events recorded on the 5-station mini-array worked by the Laboratoire de Détectio et de Géophysique of the french Commissariat a l'Energie Atomique led to the following results:
- a very precise determination of the azimuth: standard error is less than 4 degrees;
- a good phase identification capability (especially for P-waves) which leads to an estimation of the distance with a relative error lower than 20%.

RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHED

In 1992, the french Laboratoire de Détectio et de Géophysique of the Commissariat a l'Energie Atomique has installed in Central France a temporary mini-array composed of 5 vertical short-period seismometers (Figure 1). Numerical signals are digitized at a rate of 50 cycles per second with a 12-bit dynamic.

This mini-array has recorded more than 100 teleseismic events and about 28 regional events during its 4-months operating period. This experience has provided a useful database for testing different automatic
location methods (Cansi et al., 1992). We have shown that better results are obtained when we use the two-step correlation-method which computes first the arrival-time differences with a high precision (less than the sampling interval 0.02s), and second the azimuth and propagation velocity corrected for statistically determined station anomalies.

1) Data Processing:

For regional events, the correlation functions which define the arrival-time differences often have several relative maxima with comparable amplitudes. This leads to an ambiguity in the arrival-time computation, which can be removed by testing the consistency of the time-delay set, using the Chasles relationship:

\[ \Delta t_{ij} + \Delta t_{ik} + \Delta t_{nk} = 0 \]

Furthermore, this consistency can be used as a signal detector. When the studied time-window contains a seismic signal, the Root Mean Square of the residuals of the Chasles relations is low (i.e.: less than the sampling interval: it is an estimate of the measurement accuracy). On the opposite, when it contains only noise, no consistency can be found (i.e.: the RMS of Chasles relations is high), because of its low correlation at the scale of the array.

Then, for each 4.5s-time window and for different frequency-bands, we can estimate a probability of signal occurrence, and, in the case of high probability, the corresponding velocity and azimuth. Some examples of these time-frequency functions are displayed on figures 3 and 4. For each time step and for each frequency-band, the velocity is shown (grey scale) only when the consistency is better than 0.02s.

We can see clearly that most of the seismic phases lead to consistent signals whose velocity is well defined for all the available frequency-bands. Nevertheless, some cases are more ambiguous:

- a phase cannot be precisely recognized because the velocity is not clearly defined (see Sn-phase on figure 4),
- a false detection is obtained because a part of the record contains consistent noise with a velocity compatible with the regional phases velocity (see noise on figures 3 and 4 as an example).

Since these two kinds of problems cannot be easily modeled, we have used a "learning system" approach based on a neural network to identify the different phases of each event without ambiguity.

2) Phase Identification:

For neuromimetic applications, the classical programming efforts are transposed to the determination of the various authorised degrees of freedom described as follows:

- the data structure: the first step is to extract from the database the information that are strictly necessary for phase identification. Furthermore, those data have to be invariant by translation, rotation and dilatation, which precludes the analysis on a variable period. The solution we chose is thus to present as inputs and for each time sample the signal velocity for 5 frequency bands (from 0 to 12 Hz). The data whose corresponding Chasles RMS is greater than an arbitrary threshold (i.e.: 0.02 s) are set to 0.
the network structure: we only used multi-layered perceptrons, with a sigmoid transfer function. They are indeed capable of building complex decision hyper-volumes in the hyper-space of the input data, thus realizing an accurate classifier. Several tests led to choose a 2 hidden-layer perceptron. The complexity of the system is due to the high non-linearity of the problem.

the learning function: we chose as a learning function the "back propagation with momentum" method, which uses a gradient method to minimize the quadratic error between the expected and the observed results. Despite a long computing time, this allows a reliable and accurate learning convergence.

the example database: it was made of all the available events, excepted 3 of them on which the tests were made. In order to avoid incoherencies, the arrival time of each phase was picked on the time-frequency diagrams; a phase is thus declared present over the whole time-window between its arrival time and the arrival time of the following phase. Each sample is presented 50000 times in a random order.

the network topology: the number of nodes in the hidden layers was determined empirically. Several networks were designed: in order to avoid over-training, we chose for each phase the simplest one which did not degrade the results. Finally, the Pn phase requires 24+9 units, the Pg phase 20+6, the Sn phase 16+6 and the Sn phase 20+6 (Figure 2).

All the designing, learning and testing operations were performed using the neural simulator SNNS, developed by the Stuttgart University. The middle diagrams of figures 3 and 4 show the neural outputs as a function of time for two events which were not in the learning database.

3) Distance estimation:
In order to remove the last false detections due to consistent noise, a post data processing is needed to test the consistency of the results on the whole signal, by using the azimuthal information as described as follows:

- the first step is to compute an azimuth histogram with the possibility functions previously determined and to choose the more probable 20° wide interval. The average azimuth can then be calculated.
- the second step is to refine this approximation by determining the most probable 5° wide sub-interval for each phase. For each time sample, the probability of existence of a phase is set to 0 if the corresponding azimuth is out of those sub-intervals.

The bottom diagrams in figures 3 and 4 show the phase characterization curves after this azimuthal filtering.

At this step, in the function describing the possibility of occurrence of each phase as a function of time, all the information which do not belong to the detected event have been removed. The last step - the distance evaluation - can now be performed.

Each function is differentiated to allow an easy detection of each phase by identifying the times where the derivative is greater than an arbitrary threshold (i.e. 0.7). When only two phases are detected, the resulting distance is computed using the two times for which the product of the corresponding possibility functions is at its maximum. When more than two phases are detected, a least-squares estimation of the distance is performed for each set of possible arrival-times. The best one is retained as the event distance.
Figure 1: Network map. It is centred on the point 45.7411N-2.0133E.

Figure 2: Design of the neural networks.
Figure 3: Example of an event at the different processing levels: the time-frequency plot of the velocity (top), the 4 phase probability functions (middle), and the same after azimuth filtering (bottom).
Figure 4: Example of an event at the different processing levels: the time-frequency plot of the velocity (top), the 4 phase probability functions (middle), and the same after azimuth filtering (bottom).
AZIMUTH EVALUATION

Figure 5: Azimuth results: azimuth errors versus bulletin azimuths (reference)

DISTANCE EVALUATION

Figure 6: Computed distance (this study) versus bulletin distance (reference).

Figure 7: Regional map showing the location errors for all the events.
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OBJECTIVE

The French LDG (Laboratoire de Géophysique) has been installing and operating an experimental mini-array in Central France (GIAT) for seismic Verification and Monitoring purposes, since March, 1993. The objective of the work presented here was to adapt and use the software developed at Norsar, in order to assess the detection capabilities of the GIAT array, both for regional and teleseismic events.

RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHED

Data acquisition and processing:

The French GIAT mini-array presently consists of 9 vertical seismometers, and one 3-components station. It's size is about 3 kilometres (figure 1). The data are digitised at a rate of 50 samples a second, and are stored locally on a personal computer in files containing each about 17 mn of data. Once a file is completed, it is sent to the distant processing centre via phone, where it is automatically written to a Norsar type diskloop. The detection software recognises the arrival of new data, computes a set of filtered beams, and then uses a classical STA/LTA detector on each beam.

Detection configuration:

The results reported here were obtained over a 57 day period, between May 5 and June 30, 1993. During this period, a set of 83 beams was deployed, at different velocities (for regional phases) and for many azimuths. These beams were also attached to different sub-arrays, which were empirically and qualitatively determined according to the frequency content and correlation characteristics of the different wave types and the background noise (Kvaerna,
STA/LTA thresholds were between 4.4 and 7.1 for coherent beams, and between 3.6 and 4.4 for incoherent beams. No horizontal beams could be used, since only one 3 components station was available.

Detection results:

During the period considered, an average of 161 detections was observed each day. Deviations from this mean can be very high (figure 2), due to transient noise occurring on one or more stations (it's origin can be cultural, meteorological, traffic, etc.). The smallest numbers of detections are sometimes observed on Saturdays or Sundays, but this is not always true.

As a reference for estimating detection capabilities, we used the LDG regional and teleseismic bulletins, which are obtained from the whole LDG network (about 40 stations covering France), and which are available and distributed once a week.

Only events for which both a location and a magnitude were reported in these bulletins were used for the comparisons. Thus, 184 regional events and 186 teleseismic events were selected, i.e. an average of about 3 regional events and 3 teleseismic events every day.

An event was declared to be detected by the mini-array if at least one phase was detected by at least one beam. Note that this does NOT mean that such an event could be located or identified automatically by the mini-array.

Results indicate that less than 5% of all detections can be associated with natural seismic events. Another 5 to 10% can be attributed to artificial sources such as quarry or rock blasts. In fact, from Mondays to Fridays, the mini-array detected an average of 5 quarry-blasts per day during working hours. Thus, nearly 90% of all detections were never attributed to a known seismic source.

Detectability of regional events:

Figures 3 and 4 display the preliminary results obtained for regional events. It can be concluded from these figures that the 75% confidence threshold for detection is about $M_1 = 3.4$ ($M_1$ is the LDG local magnitude).

This value is about 0.7 magnitude units higher than the value obtained for the whole LDG network, which can be estimated as $M_1 = 2.7$ (LDG local magnitude), for distances up to 6 to 8 degrees.

It is instructive to compare this threshold with those reported for the fennoscandian arrays (Mykkeltveit et al. 1990; Uski, 1990), which are close to $M_1 = 2.3$ to 2.7 (where $M_1$ is the local magnitude computed for Norway) at the 90% confidence level.

Thus, the difference between the detection capabilities at both sites is presently close to one unit of local magnitude. This is apparently due to the different calibrations used for these local magnitudes, which should be further investigated in the future. Also, there is about a factor two difference in $L_g$ wave attenuation coefficients between Norway and France (Alsaker et al. 1991; Plantet et al. 1991).

Detectability for teleseismic events:
Figures 5 and 6 display the results obtained for the detectability of teleseismic events. The 75% confidence threshold can presently be estimated at mb = 4.9, which is close to the whole LDG network threshold, though the LDG bulletin can probably no longer be used as a reference in the teleseismic case. Anyway, only one event with a magnitude above 5.4 was missed.

These results are close to those reported for GERESS (Gestermann et al. 1991).

Regional phase identification:

Regional phases observed in central France are mainly Pn, Pg, Sg and Lg, while Sn is rarely detected. For Fennoscandian arrays, Norsar uses both phase velocity (obtained from the f-k analysis) and polarisation results in order to identify regional phases. However, this is more difficult for GIAT, since only one (very noisy) 3 components station is available. So, presently, we only use velocities for identifying regional phases.

An analysis of 38 such phases, carefully checked by the analyst, led to the following preliminary rules (velocities are given in km/sec):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if } VEL < 3.1 & \text{ then phase is Noise} \\
\text{else: if } VEL < 5.8 & \text{ then phase is } S \\
& \text{if } VEL > 3.1 \text{ and } VEL < 4.2 & \text{ then phase is } Lg \\
& \text{if } VEL > 4.2 \text{ and } VEL < 5.8 & \text{ then phase is } Sn \\
\text{else: if } VEL > 5.8 & \text{ then phase is } P \\
& \text{if } VEL > 5.8 \text{ and } VEL < 7.4 & \text{ then phase is } Pg \\
& \text{if } VEL > 7.4 \text{ and } VEL < 10.5 & \text{ then phase is } Pn \\
\text{else: if } VEL > 10.5 & \text{ then phase is teleseismic}
\end{align*}
\]

The main ambiguity which may appear is for Sg-Lg versus Sn discrimination, for velocities between 4.2 and 5.2. More experience is now needed before evaluating the performances of these phase identification rules.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Despite the fact that the GIAT mini-array consists of only 10 stations, detection results for both regional and teleseismic events are encouraging: the 75% confidence threshold is ML=3.4 for regional and mb=4.9 for teleseismic events.

Such figures could only be obtained due to the high false detection rate (nearly 90%) that was allowed. It is expected that these false detections will be eliminated during the phase association procedure included in the RONAPP software, and that no or little spurious events will be found in the final automatic bulletin.

We are presently trying to further reduce the STA/LTA thresholds, to ameliorate the spike elimination algorithm, and to increase the total number of beams. We plan to run with an average of perhaps 400 detections a day, among
which less than 5% would be associated with real seismic events. We hope that
this will further reduce the detection thresholds by about 0.2 to 0.3 magnitude
units. However, it is not yet sure whether regional events with such low
magnitudes (say 3.1 local LDG magnitude) could be automatically located, since
for that purpose at least two phases must be detected.

A longer period of observation, and comparisons with other reference
bulletins (for teleseismic events) should confirm these results.

Of course, adding more stations, especially 3-component stations, would
also improve the results.

The next step is now to investigate in more details the phase identification
and location capabilities of the GIAT mini-array. This will be done using both the
classical RONAPP algorithm provided by Norsar, and a novel approach for phase
picking and classification based on coherency analysis and neural networks
(Cansi et al., 1993).

Finally, before distributing automatic bulletins, it will be necessary to
address the important issue of discriminating between quarry blasts and natural
seismic events.
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FIGURE 1. Location and geometry of the French GIAT mini-array in central France. The array consists of 9 vertical seismometers, and one "central" 3-components station (GM5). GM5 is located at 45°44' N and 2°01' E.

FIGURE 2. Number of detections per day at GIAT, from May 5 to June 30, 1993, deploying a set of 83 beams. Mean is 161. Deviations from the mean by more than a factor 2 are not rare. The effect of weekends does not appear clearly.
FIGURE 3. Regional event detectability at GIAT. The Y-axis displays the cumulated number of detected events, whose local LDG magnitude is higher than the value specified on the X-axis. Reference is the LDG regional bulletin.

FIGURE 4. Regional event detectability at GIAT. Reference is the LDG regional bulletin. The 75% confidence threshold for detection is 3.4. Up to a distance of 8 degrees, no event with local magnitude greater than 3.2 was missed.
FIGURE 5. Teleseismic event detectability at GIAT. The Y-axis displays the cumulated number of detected events, whose body wave magnitude mb is higher than the value specified on the X-axis. Reference is the LDG teleseismic bulletin. Theoretical relation is linear with a slope equal to -1. Deviation from linearity is observed for an mb of about 4.8 and points out the detection threshold.

FIGURE 6. Teleseismic event detectability at GIAT. Reference is the LDG teleseismic bulletin. The 75% confidence threshold for detection is 4.9. Up to a distance of 60 degrees, no event with mb greater than 4.9 was missed.